



HAL
open science

Anomaly Detection for Compositional Data using VSI MEWMA control chart

Thi Thuy Van Nguyen, Cédric Heuchenne, Kim Phuc Tran

► **To cite this version:**

Thi Thuy Van Nguyen, Cédric Heuchenne, Kim Phuc Tran. Anomaly Detection for Compositional Data using VSI MEWMA control chart. 10th IFAC Conference on Manufacturing Modelling, Management and Control, Jun 2022, Nantes, France. hal-03621457

HAL Id: hal-03621457

<https://hal.science/hal-03621457>

Submitted on 28 Mar 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Anomaly Detection for Compositional Data using VSI MEWMA control chart*

Thi Thuy Van Nguyen^{*,***} Cédric Heuchenne^{**}
Kim Phuc Tran^{****}

^{*} *HEC Liège - Management School of the University of Liège, Belgium,
(email: TTV.Nguyen@uliege.be)*

^{**} *HEC Liège - Management School of the University of Liège,
Belgium, Corresponding author, (email: C.Heuchenne@ulg.ac.be)*

^{***} *International Research Institute for Artificial Intelligence and Data
Science, Dong A University, Danang, Vietnam, (email:
vanntt.iad@donga.edu.vn)*

^{****} *Univ.Lille, ENSAIT, GEMTEX, France, (e-mail:
kim-phuc.tran@ensait.fr)*

Abstract: In recent years, the monitoring of compositional data using control charts has been investigated in the Statistical Process Control field. In this study, we will design a Phase II Multivariate Exponentially Weighted Moving Average (MEWMA) control chart with variable sampling intervals to monitor compositional data based on isometric log-ratio transformation. The Average Time to Signal will be computed based on the Markov chain approach to investigate the performance of proposed chart. We also propose an optimal procedure to obtain the optimal control limit, smoothing constant, and out-of-control Average Time to Signal for different shift sizes and short sampling intervals. The performance of proposed chart in comparison with the standard MEWMA chart for monitoring compositional data is also provided. Finally, we end the paper with a conclusion and some recommendations for future research.

Keywords: Compositional data, Markov chain, VSI-MEWMA, control chart, Data Science

1. INTRODUCTION

In the global competitive economy nowadays, an extremely important task for manufacturing companies is to not only offer high-quality products but also reduce waste and increase efficiency in the production processes. The development of advanced technologies in Artificial Intelligence and Data Science fields makes this task more possible, but also more challenging when competing with other companies. Therefore, making a smart decision in manufacturing becomes a crucial task in any production company. In this context, early detection of abnormal products as well as assignable causes to fix the production system as soon as possible is an indispensable part, and Statistical Control Process (SPC) is one of the most effective methods to accomplish this task. Through control charts, SPC helps manufacturing companies monitor product qualities and discover the defects in the production lines. In SPC literature, many studies have been done to design a variety of control charts for monitoring different types of process data, see Montgomery 2013. Among these different data, compositional data (CoDa) are vectors whose components are strictly positive and they often present the proportions, percentages, or frequencies of some whole. Their applications can be found in many domains such as chemical research, econometrics, and the food industry, see Aitchison

1986. Due to the constraint on the sum of components of the CoDa vector, it can not be treated as normal data.

In SPC literature, the studies in the control charts for monitoring CoDa data are still limited. In Boyles 1997, a chi-squared type control chart for monitoring CoDa data was proposed. Recently, Vives-Mestres et al. 2014b investigated a T^2 control chart for monitoring CoDa with $p = 3$ and then Vives-Mestres et al. 2014a extended the work in Vives-Mestres et al. 2014b for individual observations case. Two methods for interpretations of out-of-control signal of individual T_C^2 control chart in case $p > 3$ was proposed in Vives-Mestres et al. 2016. In Tran et al. 2017, the authors proposed a MEWMA-CoDa chart for monitoring CoDa with arbitrary components. This type of control chart was shown to be effective in detecting small to moderate process shift sizes and outperforming its competitor (T^2 -CoDa chart). The influence of measurement errors on the performance of T^2 , MEWMA chart for monitoring CoDa were investigated in Zaidi et al. 2019 and Zaidi et al. 2020, respectively. In these control charts, the authors suggested using an isometric log-ratio (ilr) transformation to transform CoDa to vector in \mathbb{R}^{p-1} space to handle the constraint of CoDa and the average run length (ARL) to evaluate the performance of proposed control charts.

In the control charts mentioned above, the fixed sampling interval (FSI) was supposed to use. Recently, the design of control charts tends to use variable sampling intervals (VSI). In these charts, the sampling interval between two

* This paper was submitted to "10th IFAC Conference on Manufacturing Modelling, Management and Control" on 14/02/2022

consecutive samples is allowed to vary due to the value of the current control statistic. Many studies on the VSI control chart have been published so far, see, for example, Castagliola et al. 2013, and Nguyen et al. 2018, among many others. As we know, the VSI MEWMA control chart for monitoring CoDa has not been used. Consequently, in this study, we propose a VSI MEWMA type control chart for monitoring CoDa, namely VSI MEWMA-CoDa, with arbitrary components based on ilr transformation. The modification of the Markov chain approach proposed by Lee 2009 will be used to compute average time to signal (ATS), criteria to access the performance of VSI control charts.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, the modeling of CoDa and the suggested isometric log-ratio transformation are introduced; the VSI MEWMA-CoDa control chart together with the Markov chain approach and optimization procedure to find the optimal parameters are given in Section 3; in Section 4, the performance of the VSI MEWMA-CoDa chart with different scenarios are provided; conclusions and some recommendations for further researches are given in Section 5.

2. MODELING OF COMPOSITIONAL DATA

By definition, a row vector, $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p)$, is a p -part composition when its components are strictly positive and they carry only relative information, see Aitchison 1986, Pawlowsky-Glahn et al. 2015. The relative information here refers only to the proportions between components of the composition, regardless of their numerical values. The sum of the components of \mathbf{x} , $\sum_{i=1}^p x_i$, is a constant κ . For instance, $\kappa = 100$ refers to measurements in percentage while $\kappa = 1$ means that the measurements are proportions. Each composition can be considered as an equivalent class made of proportional factors since the ratios between its components do not change when multiplying it by a positive constant. In this case, if \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} are compositions and $\mathbf{x} = \lambda \mathbf{y}$ for some constants λ , we say that \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} are compositionally equivalent. To check the equivalency of the two compositions, we can use the closure function $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{x})$, defined as

$$\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{x}) = \left(\frac{\kappa \cdot x_1}{\sum_{i=1}^p x_i}, \frac{\kappa \cdot x_2}{\sum_{i=1}^p x_i}, \dots, \frac{\kappa \cdot x_p}{\sum_{i=1}^p x_i} \right)$$

where $\kappa > 0$ is a fixed constant; in this definition, two p -part compositions \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} are compositionally equivalent if $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{y})$. The sample space of CoDa is the simplex,

$$\mathcal{S}^p = \left\{ \mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p) \mid x_i > 0, i = 1, \dots, p; \sum_{i=1}^p x_i = \kappa \right\}$$

In \mathbb{R}^p space, we can use Euclidean geometry to add vectors or multiply vectors by scalar to obtain their properties or compute their distance. But, due to special structure of CoDa vectors in \mathcal{S}^p , this geometry can not be applied directly. Aitchison 1986 introduced the Aitchison geometry, with two operations required for a vector space structure on \mathcal{S}^p : *Perturbation* and *powering* operators. The perturbation \oplus of $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{S}^p$ by $\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{S}^p$ (equivalent to the addition in \mathbb{R}^p) is defined by

$$\mathbf{x} \oplus \mathbf{y} = \mathcal{C}(x_1 y_1, \dots, x_p y_p) \in \mathcal{S}^p$$

and the powering \odot of $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{S}^p$ by a constant $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ (equivalent to the multiplication by a scalar operation in the \mathbb{R}^p) is defined by

$$\alpha \odot \mathbf{x} = \mathcal{C}(x_1^\alpha, \dots, x_p^\alpha) \in \mathcal{S}^p$$

In practice, CoDa are often transformed to vectors in the Euclidean space to remove its constraints. The center log-ratio (clr) transformation of vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{S}^p$, $\text{clr}(\mathbf{x})$, is an isometry from \mathcal{S}^p to a subspace $U \subset \mathbb{R}^p$, defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{clr}(\mathbf{x}) &= \left(\ln \frac{x_1}{g_m(\mathbf{x})}, \ln \frac{x_2}{g_m(\mathbf{x})}, \dots, \ln \frac{x_p}{g_m(\mathbf{x})} \right) \\ &= (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_p) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$g_m(\mathbf{x}) = \left(\prod_{i=1}^p x_i \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \exp \left(\frac{1}{p} \sum_{i=1}^p x_i \right)$$

is the geometric mean of the composition and $\sum_{i=1}^p \xi_i = 0$.

The inverse center log-ratio $\text{clr}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\xi})$ recovering \mathbf{x} from $\boldsymbol{\xi} = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_p)$ is

$$\text{clr}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\xi}) = \mathcal{C}(\exp(\boldsymbol{\xi})) = \mathcal{C}(\exp(\xi_1), \exp(\xi_2), \dots, \exp(\xi_p)).$$

Egozcue et al. 2003 showed that the constraint in the component of $\text{clr}(\mathbf{x})$ makes singular the $\text{clr}(\mathbf{x})$ variance-covariance matrix for random composition. To overcome this drawback, Egozcue et al. 2003 proposed a new transformation which is associated with an orthogonal basis in \mathcal{S}^p , named isometric log-ratio (ilr) transformation. Let $\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{p-1}$ be an orthonormal basis of \mathcal{S}^p . Any composition $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{S}^p$ can be expressed as

$$\mathbf{x} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{p-1} x_i^* \odot \mathbf{e}_i, \quad x_i^* = \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{e}_i \rangle_a = \langle \text{clr}(\mathbf{x}), \text{clr}(\mathbf{e}_i) \rangle$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_a$ denotes the Aitchison inner product. Thus, the ilr transformation of $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{S}^p$ is $\text{ilr}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}^* = (x_1^*, x_2^*, \dots, x_{p-1}^*)$. Let \mathbf{B} be a $(p-1, p)$ matrix whose i^{th} row is $\text{clr}(\mathbf{e}_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, p-1$. This matrix is known as a contrast matrix associated with the orthonormal basis $\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{p-1}$. The ilr transformation \mathbf{x}^* of composition \mathbf{x} can be computed by

$$\mathbf{x}^* = \text{ilr}(\mathbf{x}) = (x_1^*, \dots, x_{p-1}^*) = \text{clr}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \mathbf{B}^\top$$

There are many candidates for an orthonormal basis in \mathcal{S}^p . Egozcue and Pawlowsky-Glahn 2005 proposed a sequential binary partition to define an orthonormal basis. In this basis, \mathbf{e}_i is defined to be $\mathcal{C}(e_{i,1}, \dots, e_{i,j}, \dots, e_{i,p})$ where

$$e_{i,j} = \begin{cases} \exp \left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{i(i+1)}} \right) & \text{if } j \leq i \\ \exp \left(-\sqrt{\frac{i}{i+1}} \right) & \text{if } j = i+1 \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The elements $e_{i,j}$ are called the *balancing elements* of this basis. Thus, if this type of orthonormal basis is chosen to transform \mathbf{x} , i.e., $\text{ilr}(\mathbf{x}) = \text{clr}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \mathbf{B}^\top$, the coordinates x_i^* are called *balances* and can be obtained by

$$x_i^* = \sqrt{\frac{i}{i+1}} \ln \left(\frac{\left(\prod_{j=1}^i x_j \right)^{\frac{1}{i}}}{x_{i+1}} \right).$$

Table 1. An example of ilr transformation in \mathcal{S}^4

x_1	x_2	x_3	x_4	x_1^*	x_2^*	x_3^*
0.10	0.30	0.50	0.10	-0.78	-0.87	0.78
0.20	0.25	0.20	0.35	-0.16	0.09	-0.42
0.50	0.10	0.20	0.20	1.14	0.09	0.06
0.60	0.05	0.05	0.30	1.76	1.01	-0.83
0.35	0.15	0.10	0.40	0.60	0.68	-0.72
0.20	0.45	0.05	0.30	-0.57	1.46	-0.52

From its ilr coordinate \mathbf{x}^* , \mathbf{x} can be recovered by using the inverse of ilr transformation:

$$\text{ilr}^{-1}(\mathbf{x}^*) = \text{clr}^{-1}(\mathbf{x}^* \mathbf{B}) = \mathcal{C}(\exp(\mathbf{x}^* \mathbf{B})).$$

Table 1 illustrates the application of ilr transformation in practice for the case $p = 4$. The first 4 columns present the components of 6 compositions in \mathcal{S}^4 and the remaining 3 columns present their corresponding ilr coordinates in \mathbb{R}^3 . As can be seen, these ilr coordinates x_i^* are not constrained any longer. For more detail on CoDa and its properties, see Pawlowsky-Glahn et al. 2015.

3. VSI MULTIVARIATE EWMA CONTROL CHART FOR COMPOSITIONAL DATA

3.1 VSI MEWMA-CoDa control chart

Let us suppose that, at each sampling period $i = 1, 2, \dots$, a sample of size n independent p -part composition observations $\{\mathbf{X}_{i,1}, \dots, \mathbf{X}_{i,n}\}$, $\mathbf{X}_{i,j} \in \mathcal{S}^p$, $j = 1, \dots, n$ is collected, and suppose also that each $\mathbf{X}_{i,j}$, $j = 1, \dots, n$, follows a multivariate normal distribution $N_{\mathcal{S}^p}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$ on the simplex \mathcal{S}^p , where $\boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathcal{S}^p$ is the center of compositions and $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ is their variance-covariance matrix. Assume that, when the process is in-control, the composition center is $\boldsymbol{\mu}_0$ and when the process is out-of-control, the composition center is $\boldsymbol{\mu}_1$. The aim of this paper is to design a variable sampling interval MEWMA control chart (denoted by VSI-MEWMA-CoDa) to monitor the center $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ of a p -part compositional process. Since CoDa data has a constant constraint on its components, the traditional VSI-MEWMA control chart may not perform well on monitoring this type of data. In Tran et al. 2017, instead of directly monitoring the composition center $\boldsymbol{\mu}$, the authors proposed to monitor the mean vector $\boldsymbol{\mu}^* = \text{ilr}(\boldsymbol{\mu})$ using a FSI MEWMA control chart for the sample mean coordinates vector $\bar{\mathbf{X}}_i^*$. In this study, we will apply the idea of Tran et al. 2017 to investigate a VSI-MEWMA control chart for monitoring a compositional process.

Let $\{\mathbf{X}_{i,1}^*, \dots, \mathbf{X}_{i,n}^*\}$ be the corresponding ilr coordinates of $\{\mathbf{X}_{i,1}, \dots, \mathbf{X}_{i,n}\}$, i.e. $\mathbf{X}_{i,j}^* = \text{ilr}(\mathbf{X}_{i,j}) \in \mathbb{R}^{p-1}$. Since $\mathbf{X}_{i,j}$ follows a multivariate normal distribution $N_{\mathcal{S}^p}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$ on \mathcal{S}^p , its corresponding ilr coordinate $\mathbf{X}_{i,j}^*$ follows a multivariate normal distribution $N_{\mathbb{R}^{p-1}}(\boldsymbol{\mu}^*, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^*)$ on \mathbb{R}^{p-1} , where $\boldsymbol{\mu}^* = \text{ilr}(\boldsymbol{\mu}) \in \mathbb{R}^{p-1}$ is the mean vector, $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^*$ is the $(p-1, p-1)$ variance-covariance matrix of the ilr transformed data. The values of parameters $\boldsymbol{\mu}^*$ and $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^*$ depend on the particular choice of matrix \mathbf{B} chosen in ilr transformation (see Pawlowsky-Glahn et al. 2015 and section 2). Denote the ilr coordinates of in-control composition center $\boldsymbol{\mu}_0$ and out-of-control composition center $\boldsymbol{\mu}_1$ are $\boldsymbol{\mu}_0^*$ and $\boldsymbol{\mu}_1^*$, respectively. The average of n independent p -part compositional observations is defined by

$$\bar{\mathbf{X}}_i = \frac{1}{n} \odot (\mathbf{X}_{i,1} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbf{X}_{i,n})$$

then its ilr coordinate $\bar{\mathbf{X}}_i^*$ is $\bar{\mathbf{X}}_i^* = \text{ilr}(\bar{\mathbf{X}}_i) = \frac{1}{n}(\text{ilr}(\mathbf{X}_{i,1}) + \dots + \text{ilr}(\mathbf{X}_{i,n})) = \frac{1}{n}(\mathbf{X}_{i,1}^* + \dots + \mathbf{X}_{i,n}^*) \in \mathbb{R}^{p-1}$.

We first recall the FSI MEWMA-CoDa control chart proposed by Tran et al. 2017 as follows. Let the MEWMA vector \mathbf{W}_i be

$$\mathbf{W}_i = r(\bar{\mathbf{X}}_i^* - \boldsymbol{\mu}_0^*) + (1-r)\mathbf{W}_{i-1}, i = 1, 2, \dots$$

where $\mathbf{Y}_0 = \mathbf{0}$, $r \in (0, 1]$ is a fixed smoothing parameter. In FSI MEWMA-CoDa control chart, Tran et al. 2017 suggested to monitor the statistic

$$Q_i = \mathbf{W}_i^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{W_i}^{-1} \mathbf{W}_i, i = 1, 2, \dots \quad (1)$$

where $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{W_i}$ is the variance-covariance matrix of \mathbf{W}_i . In this work, the asymptotic form of the variance-covariance matrix $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{W_i}$

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{W_i} = \frac{r}{n(2-r)} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^*$$

was used to compute the plotted statistic (and it is also used in our work). An out-of-control signal is issued when $Q_i > UCL = H$, where $H > 0$ is chosen to achieve a specific value of in-control ATS .

In the FSI MEWMA-CoDa control chart, the sampling interval is a fixed constant h_F . As for the VSI MEWMA-CoDa control chart, based on the current value of Q_i , the time between two successive samples $\bar{\mathbf{X}}_i, \bar{\mathbf{X}}_{i+1}$ is allowed to varied. In this chart, the control limit UCL is held the same as in the FSI chart, and an additional warning limit $w = UWL$ ($0 < UWL < UCL$) is introduced to determine the switch between the long and short sampling intervals: The long sampling intervals h_L is used when the control statistic $Q_i^2 \leq UWL^2$ (safe region) and the short sampling intervals h_S is used when $UWL < Q_i^2 \leq UCL^2$ (warning region). An out-of-control signal is issued when $Q_i^2 > UCL^2$.

3.2 Markov chain model

Suppose that the occurrence of an assignable cause makes the in-control composition center $\boldsymbol{\mu}_0$ is shifted to $\boldsymbol{\mu}_1$, or equivalently $\boldsymbol{\mu}_0^*$ is shifted to $\boldsymbol{\mu}_1^*$. In this subsection, we will discuss a method based on the Markov chain model to compute the average of the zero-state time to signal (ATS) for the VSI MEWMA-CoDa control chart. Let ATS_0, ATS_1 denote the ATS when the process runs in-control, and out-of-control, respectively. In comparison with other control charts, it is desirable to design a chart with smaller ATS_1 while their ATS_0 are the same. In the FSI chart, since the sampling interval h_F is fixed, we have

$$ATS^{\text{FSI}} = h_F \times ARL^{\text{FSI}}.$$

In the VSI chart, since the sampling interval is allowed to vary, the relation between ATS and ARL would be:

$$ATS^{\text{VSI}} = E(h) \times ARL^{\text{VSI}}.$$

where $E(h)$ denote the average sampling interval.

Lowry et al. 1992 showed that the performance of a MEWMA- \bar{X} chart is a function of the n , $\boldsymbol{\mu}_0^*$, $\boldsymbol{\mu}_1^*$ and $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^*$ only through the non-centrality parameter δ where

$$\delta = \sqrt{n(\boldsymbol{\mu}_1^* - \boldsymbol{\mu}_0^*)^T (\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^*)^{-1} (\boldsymbol{\mu}_1^* - \boldsymbol{\mu}_0^*)}.$$

Without loss of generality, we can assume $n = 1$, $\boldsymbol{\mu}_0^* = \mathbf{0}$ (i.e. the in-control composition center is $\boldsymbol{\mu}_0 = (\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{p}, \dots, \frac{1}{p})$) and $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^* = \mathbf{I}_{p-1}$ (the identity matrix in \mathbb{R}^{p-1}). In this case, the statistic Q_i in (1) is modified to $Q_i = b \|W_i\|_2^2$ with $b = \frac{2-r}{r}$. Consequently, the control limits UCL and UWL of VSI MEWMA-CoDa are modified to be

$$UCL = \sqrt{H/b}, \quad UWL = \sqrt{w/b}$$

To calculate the in- and out-of-control ATS of the VSI MEWMA- \bar{X} chart, Lee 2009 modified the Markov chain approach proposed by Runger and Prabhu 1996 to approximate its calculation based on the statistic $q_i = \|W_i\|_2$.

Concerning the *in-control* case, the one dimensional Markov chain can be used to approximate ATS. In this case, the interval $[0, UCL']$, where $UCL' = \sqrt{H/b}$, is divided into $m + 1$ sub-intervals (states): the first sub-interval has length $\frac{g}{2}$ and the others have length g , where

$g = \frac{2UCL'}{2m+1}$. The probability of transition from state i to state j , denoted by $p(i, j)$, is given by

- for $i = 0, 1, \dots, m$ and $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$,

$$p(i, j) = P\left(\left(\frac{(j-0.5)g}{r}\right)^2 < \chi^2(p-1, c) < \left(\frac{(j+0.5)g}{r}\right)^2\right)$$

where $\chi^2(p-1, c)$ denotes a non central chi-square random variable with $p-1$ degrees of freedom and non-centrality parameter $c = \left(\frac{(1-r)ig}{r}\right)^2$,

- for $j = 0$,

$$p(i, 0) = P\left(\chi^2(p-1, c) < \left(\frac{g}{2r}\right)^2\right).$$

Let \mathbf{P}_1 denote the $(m+1, m+1)$ transition probability matrix corresponding to the transient states with the elements $p(i, j)$ then the zero-state in-control ATS of the VSI MEWMA-CoDa control chart is obtained by

$$ATS = \mathbf{s}^\top (\mathbf{I}_{m+1} - \mathbf{P}_1)^{-1} \mathbf{h},$$

where \mathbf{s} is the $(m+1)$ -starting probability vector, i.e. $\mathbf{s} = (1, 0, 0, \dots, 0)^\top$, \mathbf{h} is the $(m+1)$ -vector of sampling interval with the i^{th} component h_i is defined by

$$h_i = \begin{cases} h_L & \text{if } ig \leq UWL \\ h_S & \text{if } ig > UWL \end{cases}.$$

The expected sampling interval $E(h)$ is calculated by

$$E(h) = \frac{\mathbf{s}^\top (\mathbf{I}_{m+1} - \mathbf{P}_1)^{-1} \mathbf{h}}{\mathbf{s}^\top (\mathbf{I}_{m+1} - \mathbf{P}_1)^{-1} \mathbf{1}_{m+1}},$$

where $\mathbf{1}_{m+1} = (1, 1, \dots, 1)^\top$ is the $m+1$ column vector of 1's.

To calculate the zero-state ATS of VSI MEWMA-CoDa chart in the *out-of-control* case, Lee 2009 modified the two dimensional Markov chain approach which is originally proposed by Runger and Prabhu 1996. In this approach, $\mathbf{W}_i \in \mathbb{R}^{p-1}$ is partitioned into $W_{i1} \in \mathbb{R}$ with mean $\delta \neq 0$

and $\mathbf{W}_{i2} \in \mathbb{R}^{p-2}$ with zero mean. Then, $q_i = \|\mathbf{W}_i\|_2 = \sqrt{W_{i1}^2 + \mathbf{W}_{i2}^\top \mathbf{W}_{i2}}$.

The transition probability $h(i, j)$ of W_{i1} from state i to state j is used to analyze the out-of-control component. Applying the Markov chain-based approach with the number of states of the Markov chain is $2m_1 + 1$, for $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, 2m_1 + 1$, we have

$$h(i, j) = \Phi\left(\frac{-UCL' + jg_1 - (1-r)c_i}{r} - \delta\right) - \Phi\left(\frac{-UCL' + (j-1)g_1 - (1-r)c_i}{r} - \delta\right)$$

where Φ denotes the cumulative standard normal distribution function, $c_i = -UCL' + (i-0.5)g_1$ is the center point of state i with the width of each state $g_1 = \frac{2UCL'}{2m_1+1}$.

Concerning \mathbf{W}_{i2} component, the transition probability $v(i, j)$ from state i to state j is used to analyze the in-control component. In this case, the Markov chain approach as in in-control case will be applied with $p-2$ replacing $p-1$. The control region is partitioned into $m_2 + 1$ sub-intervals (states) with the width of each states is $g_2 = \frac{2UCL'}{2m_2+1}$. The transition probability $v(i, j)$ is given as follows

- for $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m_2$ and $j = 1, 2, \dots, m_2$

$$v(i, j) = P\left(\left(\frac{(j-0.5)g_2}{r}\right)^2 < \chi^2(p-2, c) < \left(\frac{(j+0.5)g_2}{r}\right)^2\right),$$

where $c = \left(\frac{(1-r)ig_2}{r}\right)^2$,

- for $j = 0$,

$$v(i, 0) = P\left(\chi^2(p-2, c) < \left(\frac{g_2}{2r}\right)^2\right)$$

Let \mathbf{H} denote the $(2m_1+1, 2m_1+1)$ transition probability matrix of W_{i1} with elements $h(i, j)$, \mathbf{V} denote the (m_2+1, m_2+1) transition probability matrix of $\|\mathbf{Y}_{i2}\|_2$ with elements $v(i, j)$, and \mathbf{P}_2 denote the transition probability matrix of two dimensional Markov chain. Since W_{i1} and \mathbf{Y}_{i2} are independent, we have $\mathbf{P}_2 = \mathbf{H} \otimes \mathbf{V}$, where \otimes is the Kronecker's matrices product. Matrix \mathbf{P}_2 will consist of the transition probabilities of all transient and some absorbing states of the Markov chain.

Let \mathbf{T} be the $(2m_1+1, m_2+1)$ - matrix with element $T(\alpha, \beta)$ given by

$$\mathbf{T}(\alpha, \beta) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if state } (\alpha, \beta) \text{ is transient} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and \mathbf{P} be the transition probability matrix containing only transient states of the Markov chain. Then, we have $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{T}(\alpha, \beta) \otimes \mathbf{P}_2$ where symbol \otimes indicates the element-wise multiplication of matrices.

Let \mathbf{h} be the $(2m_1+1) \cdot (m_2+1)$ vector of sampling intervals for the bivariate chain. Lee 2009 defined \mathbf{h} to be

$$\mathbf{h}^\top = ((1, 0), \dots, (1, m_2), (2, 0), \dots, (2, m_2), \dots, \dots, (2m_1 + 1, 0), \dots, (2m_1 + 1, m_2))$$

with the element $\mathbf{h}(i, j)$ defined by

$$\mathbf{h}(i, j) = \begin{cases} h_L & \text{if } a_{i,j} \leq UWL^2 \\ h_S & \text{if } UWL^2 < a_{i,j} \leq UCL^2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where $a_{i,j} = (i - (m_1 + 1))^2 g_1^2 + j^2 g_2^2$.

Thus, the zero-state out-of-control ATS of VSI MEWMA-CoDa control chart is defined by $ATS = \mathbf{s}^\top (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})^{-1} \mathbf{h}$ where \mathbf{s} is the initial probability vector with the component corresponding to state $(\alpha, \beta) = (m_1 + 1, 0)$ is equal to one and all other components are equal to zero. In case $m_1 = m_2 = m$, Lee and Khoo 2006 showed that the entry corresponding to the component with value equal to 1 of \mathbf{s} is the $(m(m+1)+1)$ th entry. Concerning the performance of the program used for the computation of the ATS , we follow the recommendation in Tran et al. 2017 and decide to use $m_1 = m_2 = 30$.

3.3 Optimization procedure

Assume that the fixed sampling interval in FSI control charts is to be a time unit, i.e. $h_F = 1$. Hence, $ATS_0^{FSI} = ARL_0$. In order to evaluate the performances of VSI MEWMA-CoDa with its FSI version, we can compare their out-of-control ATS_1 while constraining the same in-control values of both ATS_0 and $E_0(h)$ (average sampling interval). Thus, the VSI MEWMA-CoDa control chart can be designed by finding the optimal combination of parameters that minimize the out-of-control ATS_1 subject to the predefined constraint of ATS_0 and $E_0(h)$.

In general, a fixed couple (h_S, h_L) is typically used, which can be chosen from the suggested list as in the work of Castagliola et al. 2013. However, as discussed in the study of Nguyen et al. 2018, while h_S is quite reasonable to fix, it seems not practical to fix h_L due to the fact that when the control statistic falls into the central region, the process is still in safe and the next sampling interval can be flexible to choose if it does not influence the performance of the chart. Based on this reason, we follow the suggestion in Nguyen et al. 2018 to fix the proportion between the UCL and UWL values. Let R be the number such that $UWL = R \cdot UCL$. When the control limit UCL is determined, the warning limit UWL can be computed based on the value of R .

Thus, the optimal design of the VSI MEWMA-CoDa control chart will consist of searching the optimal parameters (r, H, h_L) which minimize the out-of-control ATS_1 for given shift δ subject to constraints in the in-control ATS_0 and $E_0(h) = 1$, i.e.,

$$(r^*, H^*, h_L^*) = \underset{(r, H, h_L)}{\operatorname{argmin}} \operatorname{ATS}(n, r, H, R, p - 1, \delta, h_L, h_S)$$

subject to the constraint

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{ATS}(n, r^*, H^*, R, p - 1, \delta = 0, h_L^*, h_S) = \operatorname{ATS}_0 \\ E_0(h) = 1 \end{cases}$$

By fixing the in-control predefined ATS_0 value, these optimal parameters can be obtained by using the two-steps optimization procedure as follows

- (1) Find the set of triples (r, H, h_L) such that the in-control $ATS = \operatorname{ATS}_0$ and $E_0(h) = 1$.
- (2) Among these feasible triples (r, H, h_L) , choose (r^*, H^*) which provides the smallest out-of-control ATS value for a particular shift δ in vector $\boldsymbol{\mu}_0^*$.

As noted in Tran et al. 2017, the value of r must not be too small to avoid unreliable results and the diverging ability in the Markov Chain approach. In this paper, we fix the minimal bound to search for the smoothing parameter r to be 0.05, as recommended in many studies, including Tran et al. 2017.

4. PERFORMANCE OF THE VSI MEWMA-CODA CONTROL CHART

In this section, we will compare the performance of the VSI MEWMA-CoDa chart with the FSI MEWMA-CoDa chart proposed by Tran et al. 2017. The comparison will be based on the values of out-of-control ATS_1 while constraining on the same in-control values of both ATS_0 and $E_0(h)$. To take advantage of the results from the study of Tran et al. 2017, save the calculation costs, and simplify the application in practice, we propose to find the near-optimal values to the VSI MEWMA-CoDa control chart as follows:

- For each optimal couple (r^*, H^*) in Table 2 in study of Tran et al. 2017, the value of UWL and h_L are chosen to achieve predefined ATS_0 and $E_0(h)$,
- After obtaining UWL and h_L , together with the corresponding (r^*, H^*) , we compute the ATS_1 of VSI MEWMA-CoDa for specific shift sizes δ and compare them with ARL_1 of FSI MEWMA-CoDa chart (Table 3 in Tran et al. 2017).

The procedure to find the near-optimal values is implemented based on following scenarios:

- $n = 1, p = 3, \operatorname{ATS}_0 = 200$, and $E_0(h) = 1$;
- $\delta \in \{0.25, 0.50, 0.75, 1.00, 1.25, 1.50, 1.75, 2.00\}$;
- $h_S \in \{0.1, 0.5\}$.

The values ARL_1 (FSI column) of MEWMA-CoDa and ATS_1 (VSI columns) for some different scenarios are shown in Table 2. The values of w such that $UWL = \sqrt{w/b}$ and h_L to obtain the near-optimal value are also provided for each scenario. Some remarks can be drawn from this results as follows

- The VSI MEWMA-CoDa control chart always outperforms the FSI MEWMA-CoDa control chart in detecting the process shifts. For example, when $\delta = 0.25, h_S = 0.1$, we have $ARL_1 = 64.6$ for FSI MEWMA-CoDa chart and $ATS_1 = 56.8$ for VSI MEWMA-CoDa chart,
- The VSI MEWMA-CoDa charts with smaller h_S ($h_S = 0.1$) perform better than the ones with larger h_S ($h_S = 0.5$). For example, when $\delta = 0.5$, we have $ATS_1 = 19.9$ in case $h_S = 0.1$ and $ATS_1 = 23.5$ in case $h_S = 0.5$,
- When the shift sizes δ are large ($\delta \geq 1.75$), the performance of VSI MEWMA-CoDa chart are still better than FSI MEWMA-CoDa chart, but not much.

Table 2. Comparison between VSI MEWMA-CoDa and FSI MEWMA-CoDa charts

δ	FSI	$h_S = 0.1$		$h_S = 0.5$	
		(w, h_L)	VSI	(w, h_L)	VSI
0.25	64.6	(1.7, 1.6)	56.8	(0.7, 2.1)	63.5
0.50	26.4	(1.7, 1.6)	19.9	(0.9, 1.8)	23.5
0.75	15.1	(1.6, 1.7)	10.4	(1.0, 1.8)	12.9
1.00	9.9	(2.9, 1.3)	6.9	(0.9, 1.8)	8.4
1.25	7.1	(1.6, 1.8)	4.9	(0.9, 2.0)	6.3
1.50	5.4	(3.5, 1.2)	3.7	(0.9, 1.9)	4.8
1.75	4.3	(3.7, 1.2)	3.0	(0.8, 2.1)	4.2
2.00	3.5	(3.6, 1.2)	2.4	(1.1, 1.8)	3.3

5. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we proposed a VSI MEWMA-CoDa control chart to monitor a normal multivariate random vector defined as the inverse isometric log-ratio of a p -part composition. The optimal procedure to compute the optimal triple (r^*, H^*, h_L^*) and the ATS values of the proposed chart for different shift sizes were presented. We also proposed a method to find the near-optimal values for the VSI MEWMA-CoDa chart to utilize the results in the study of Tran et al. 2017 and reduce the computation costs. The numerical performance comparison between the VSI MEWMA-CoDa chart and standard (FSI) MEWMA-CoDa control chart in terms of ATS_1 (based on the near-optimal values method) showed that the VSI MEWMA-CoDa chart always outperforms the standard chart. Future research on monitoring CoDa could be concentrated on the extension of the VSI MEWMA-CoDa chart to the VSI MCUSUM-CoDa chart, or investigating the effect of measurement error on these charts. The methods to transform CoDa into normal data before designing these controls charts are also worthy to focus. Due to the wide applications of CoDa in the real-life, the online monitoring of CoDa should be worthy of consideration by researchers in the SPC field.

REFERENCES

Aitchison, J., 1986. *The Statistical Analysis of Compositional Data* (Monographs on Statistics and Applied Probability). Chapman & Hall Ltd., London, (Reprinted in 2003 with additional material by The Blackburn Press).

Boyles, R. A., 1997. Using the chi-square statistic to monitor compositional process data. *Journal of Applied Statistics* 24 (5), 589–602.

Castagliola, P., Achouri, A., Taleb, H., Celano, G., Psarakis, S., 2013. Monitoring the coefficient of variation using a variable sampling interval control chart. *Quality and Reliability Engineering International* 29 (8), 1135–1149.

Egozcue, J., Pawlowsky-Glahn, V., 2005. Groups of Parts and Their Balances in Compositional Data analysis. *Mathematical Geology* 37 (7), 795–828.

Egozcue, J. J., Pawlowsky-Glahn, V., Mateu-Figueras, G., Barceló-Vidal, C., 2003. Isometric logratio transformations for compositional data analysis. *Mathematical Geology* 35 (3), 279–300.

Lee, M., 2009. Multivariate ewma charts with variable sampling intervals. *Economic Quality Control* 24, 231–241.

Lee, M., Khoo, M., 2006. Optimal statistical design of a multivariate EWMA chart based on ARL and MRL. *Communications in Statistics-Simulation and Computation* 35 (3), 831–847.

Lowry, C. A., Woodall, W., Champ, C. W., Rigdon, S. E., 1992. A Multivariate Exponentially Weighted Moving Average control chart. *Technometrics* 34 (1), 46–53.

Montgomery, D., 2013. *Statistical Quality Control: a Modern Introduction*, 7th Edn. Wiley, New York.

Nguyen, H. D., Tran, K. P., Heuchenne, C., 2018. Monitoring the ratio of two normal variables using variable sampling interval ewma control charts. *Quality and Reliability Engineering*.

Pawlowsky-Glahn, V., Egozcue, J. J., Tolosana-Delgado, R., 2015. *Modeling and Analysis of Compositional Data*. John Wiley & Sons.

Runger, G. C., Prabhu, S. S., 1996. A Markov Chain Model for the Multivariate Exponentially Weighted Moving Averages Control Chart. *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 91 (436), 1701–1706.

Tran, K. P., Castagliola, P., Celano, G., Khoo, M. B., 2017. Monitoring compositional data using multivariate exponentially weighted moving average scheme. *Quality and Reliability Engineering International* 34 (3), 391–402.

Vives-Mestres, M., Daunis-I-Estadella, J., Martin-Fernandez, J., 2014a. Individual T^2 Control Chart for Compositional Data. *Journal of Quality Technology* 46 (2), 127–139.

Vives-Mestres, M., Daunis-I-Estadella, J., Martin-Fernandez, J., 2014b. Out-of-Control Signals in Three-Part Compositional T^2 Control Chart. *Quality and Reliability Engineering International* 30 (3), 337–346.

Vives-Mestres, M., Daunis-I-Estadella, J., Martin-Fernandez, J., 2016. Signal Interpretation in Hotelling's T^2 Control Chart for Compositional Data. *IIE Transactions* 48 (7), 661–672.

Zaidi, F. S., Castagliola, P., Tran, K. P., Khoo, M. B. C., 2019. Performance of the hotelling t2 control chart for compositional data in the presence of measurement errors. *Journal of Applied Statistics* 46 (14), 2583–2602.

Zaidi, F. S., Castagliola, P., Tran, K. P., Khoo, M. B. C., 2020. Performance of the mewma-coda control chart in the presence of measurement errors. *Quality and Reliability Engineering International* 36 (7), 2411–2440.