



**HAL**  
open science

# Can microplastics facilitate the emergence of infectious diseases?

Claire Loiseau, Gabriele Sorci

► **To cite this version:**

Claire Loiseau, Gabriele Sorci. Can microplastics facilitate the emergence of infectious diseases?. *Science of the Total Environment*, 2022, 823, pp.153694. 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.153694. hal-03620085

**HAL Id: hal-03620085**

**<https://hal.science/hal-03620085v1>**

Submitted on 21 Feb 2024

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 **Discussion Article**

2  
3 **Can microplastics facilitate the emergence of infectious diseases?**

4  
5 Claire Loiseau <sup>1</sup>, Gabriele Sorci <sup>2</sup>

6  
7  
8 <sup>1</sup> CIBIO-InBIO - Research Center in Biodiversity and Genetic Resources, InBIO  
9 Associate Laboratory, Campus de Vairão, 7 Rua Padre Armando Quintas, 4485-661  
10 Vairão, Portugal.

11  
12 <sup>2</sup> Biogéosciences, CNRS UMR 6282, Université de Bourgogne Franche-Comté, 6  
13 Boulevard Gabriel, 21000, Dijon, France

14  
15  
16 Keywords: antimicrobial resistance, biofilm, microbiota, plastic pollution, vectorial  
17 capacity

18  
19 Number of words (without references, including figure legends): 5561

20 Number of figures: 3

21 Number of tables: 1

22 References: 131

23

24

25

26 **Abstract**

27 Plastic pollution is a major environmental problem. Small plastic particles (called  
28 microplastics) have been reported to have pernicious effects on human and wildlife  
29 health, by altering physiological functions (e.g., immunity, metabolism) and interfering  
30 with commensal microorganisms. However, in addition to these direct toxic effects, we  
31 suggest that microplastic pollution might also exert deleterious effects, modifying (i)  
32 the exposure to pathogens (e.g., multi-drug resistant bacteria) and (ii) the dynamics of  
33 vector-borne diseases. Therefore, we argue that microplastics should be considered as  
34 a ubiquitous environmental hazard, potentially promoting the (re)emergence of  
35 infectious diseases. The implementation of multi- and interdisciplinary research  
36 projects are crucial to properly evaluate if microplastic pollution should be added to the  
37 current list of global health threats.

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51 **1. INTRODUCTION**

52

53 Since the middle of the 19th century, plastic materials have revolutionized our daily  
54 life, for better (e.g., in medical and digital sciences), and for worse (e.g., single-use  
55 plastic items), quickly becoming a dreadful visible pollutant (Lavers and Bond, 2017).

56 In addition to large waste, plastic pollution also involves small (<5 mm) particles (e.g.,  
57 microfibers, microbeads and particles produced during the degradation of large plastic  
58 products), collectively called microplastics (Thompson et al., 2004). Microplastics are  
59 everywhere: in oceans and deep-sea (Woodall et al., 2014), in terrestrial ecosystems  
60 (Rilling and Lehmann, 2020), in the atmosphere (Fernando Amato-Lourenço et al.,  
61 2020) and in organisms ranging from zooplankton (Cole et al., 2015) to vertebrates  
62 (Carlin et al., 2020; Hou et al., 2021), including humans (Ragusa et al., 2021; Zhang et  
63 al., 2021; Fig. 1).

64

65 The effect of microplastic ingestion on organismal health has been evaluated and now  
66 there is evidence showing that microplastics can indeed exert deleterious effects on  
67 several physiological and life history traits in both aquatic and terrestrial species (Blarer  
68 and Burkhardt-Holm, 2016; Cole et al., 2015; Lo and Chan, 2018; Salerno et al., 2021).

69 A detailed and exhaustive description of the underlying mechanisms is well beyond the  
70 scope of this discussion article; however, for instance, once ingested, microplastics can  
71 induce the mechanical disruption of the intestinal barrier integrity, causing (i) an up-  
72 regulated expression of genes related to oxidative stress, (ii) inflammatory infiltration  
73 and production of pro-inflammatory cytokines such as TNF- $\alpha$ , IFN- $\gamma$  and IL-6, or (iii)  
74 the destruction of the structure of the intestinal mucosa (see Huang et al., 2021 for a  
75 recent review). Other direct toxic effects arise from the release of additives, plasticizers,

76 trace elements and other chemicals that can provoke endocrine and inflammatory  
77 disorders once microplastics are ingested (see recent reviews: Bradney et al., 2019;  
78 Callaghan et al., 2021; Jiang et al., 2020; Yilmaz et al., 2020). That said, a proper  
79 assessment of the potential deleterious effects of microplastic ingestion requires taking  
80 into account environmentally-relevant concentrations of plastic particles (i.e., those to  
81 which organisms are likely exposed in their natural environment during their lifespan).

82

83 Another important methodological issue when it comes to the assessment of the health  
84 consequences of microplastic pollution is related to the use of virgin microplastics  
85 *versus* aged particles, the reason being that microplastics released in the environment  
86 are readily colonized by a diversity of microorganisms. More specifically, several  
87 studies have reported that microplastics can carry different bacterial communities  
88 depending on their types (Steinman et al., 2020) and have also different composition  
89 and properties (antibiotic resistant bacteria and antibiotic resistance genes) compared  
90 to bacteria found in the surrounding environment (Oberbeckmann et al., 2018; Wang et  
91 al., 2021; Wu et al., 2019a; Zhang et al., 2019). Due to their persistence in the  
92 environment, microplastics might therefore play a role in spreading potentially harmful  
93 bacteria (both for humans and animals) across different environments (marine,  
94 freshwater, terrestrial). This would represent an additional, indirect, impact of  
95 microplastics on organismal health (Bowley et al., 2021; Prata et al., 2021). In addition,  
96 there are several reasons to think that microplastics might also alter the capacity of  
97 arthropod vectors to transmit a diversity of pathogens, through their effects on vector  
98 microbiota and immunity, or through the effects on interacting species (predators,  
99 competitors).

100

101 Despite the concern over the emergence and reemergence of infectious diseases at the  
102 local and global scales (Morens and Fauci, 2013; Plowright et al., 2017), the possibility  
103 that microplastic pollution might facilitate the spread of pathogenic microorganisms  
104 and alter the dynamics of vector-borne diseases have been barely discussed and  
105 investigated. In this discussion article, we would like to highlight the link between two  
106 current global threats that are the increase in microplastic pollution and the acceleration  
107 of disease emergence. There are numerous unknown indirect effects of microplastics  
108 that need to be investigated to evaluate if and how microplastic pollution might promote  
109 the emergence of novel pathogens, potentially affecting human, livestock and wildlife  
110 health. Here, we indicate some research directions that might prove useful to assess the  
111 risk that microplastic pollution might exert as promoter of infectious disease  
112 (re)emergence. We focus on microplastics because of their ubiquitousness in the  
113 environment and their small size, which allows them to be ingested by a large diversity  
114 of aquatic and terrestrial organisms. We think they have the potential (i) to act as disease  
115 vehicles into organisms more easily than large plastic waste and (ii) to provoke  
116 physiological disorders into vectors of infectious diseases.

117

## 118 **2. MICROPLASTICS CAN ACT AS VECTORS OF ANTIBIOTIC** 119 **RESISTANT BACTERIA**

120

### 121 2.1. Increased exposure to antibiotic resistant bacteria

122 Virtually all human beings are today continuously exposed to plastic debris through  
123 ingestion and inhalation (Zarus et al., 2021). A large amount of evidence has rapidly  
124 accumulated showing that we ingest microplastics on a daily basis: several food items  
125 and drinking water have been shown to be contaminated by microplastics (Zhang et al.,

126 2021). Similarly, plastic debris with a size  $< 1\mu\text{m}$  are suspended in the air and can be  
127 inhaled (Fernando Amato-Lourenço et al., 2020; Prata, 2018). Estimates indicate that  
128 people living in highly urbanized areas inhale microplastic particles daily (Wright et  
129 al., 2020) and this also applies to domestic indoor environments (Vianello et al., 2019).  
130 The inhalation route has been corroborated by the finding of plastic particle  
131 contamination in human lung biopsies (Fernando Amato-Lourenço et al., 2021).

132

133 Knowing all of this, an important parameter to take into consideration is that living  
134 beings get exposed through ingestion and inhalation not only to the microplastics but  
135 also to the microorganisms that live on them. The term “plastisphere” was coined by  
136 Zettler and colleagues to describe the community of bacterial species that was found on  
137 marine plastic debris in the North Atlantic subtropical gyre (Zettler et al., 2013). The  
138 main result of their work, corroborated by several other studies, was that  
139 microorganisms living on microplastics were not a subsample of the surrounding  
140 environment, but rather showed a distinct diversity, composition and functional  
141 properties (Amaral-Zettler et al., 2020; Mammo et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2020; Wu et  
142 al., 2019a; Xue et al., 2020). Microplastics have several features that promote bacterial  
143 colonization compared to the surrounding environment and natural substrates (Amaral-  
144 Zettler et al., 2020; Wu et al., 2019a). In particular, bacteria can readily form a biofilm  
145 on microplastics, which provides shelter and increases bacterial survival in the face of  
146 environmental stressors (Lavery et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2020).

147 Antimicrobial resistance is one of the major health challenges that we will face in the  
148 upcoming years (Cassini et al., 2019; Collignon et al., 2018). Several studies revealed  
149 that microplastics selectively enrich antibiotic resistant bacteria and genes (ARBs and  
150 ARGs; Liu et al., 2021; Sathicq et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2020). Evidence shows that

151 microplastics can harbor microbial communities carrying ARGs with abundances 100  
152 to 5,000 times higher than planktonic bacteria (Zhang et al., 2020), although the process  
153 of ARGs enrichment depends on the features of the microplastics and their ageing (Su  
154 et al., 2021). Since biofilm formation favors horizontal gene transfer, microplastics can  
155 become hotspots for ARGs because resistance genes carried by mobile elements can  
156 spread more easily in the biofilm compared to planktonic bacterial communities (Arias-  
157 Andres et al., 2018). Microplastics can also have affinity for antibiotics that are released  
158 in the environment (Li et al., 2018) and for other chemical pollutants (Scott et al., 2021).  
159 For example, antibiotics and trace metals can attach to microplastics and exert selection  
160 pressures on bacteria living on plastic debris, and may also have a synergistic effect on  
161 bacterial resistance due to co-selection (Imran et al., 2019; Yang et al., 2019; Fig. 2).  
162 Such synergistic effects can result in strengthened selection on resistant strains, and  
163 improve the resistome of microplastic-associated bacteria.

164 Although most studies have focused on microplastics in marine environments, the vast  
165 majority of plastic particles come from land-based human activities (Xu et al., 2020).  
166 Therefore, the distribution of microplastics can be seen as a mosaic of pollution  
167 hotspots from which particles flow into other environments. Several hotspots of  
168 microplastic pollution have been identified such as urban wastewater treatment plants  
169 (WWTP) (Syranidou and Kalogerakis, 2022). These hotspots of microplastic pollution  
170 are however, also hotspots for antibiotics, trace elements, ARBs and ARGs (Rizzo et  
171 al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2022). In these environments, microplastics might then serve as  
172 sinks for other pollutants and ARBs, and spread them in other environments via  
173 leachate, landfill leakage, effluents of WWTPs, sewage sludge (Kelly et al., 2021).  
174 Current estimates indicate that 25% of microplastics found in marine environments  
175 come from WWTPs (Syranidou and Kalogerakis, 2022). However, although



176 microplastics can successfully contribute to spread ARBs (homogenizing the risk of  
177 disease emergence), other environmental factors do contribute to the mosaic of disease  
178 emergence risk, including proximity to pollution hotspots and human population  
179 density. With this respect, urban WWTPs and landfills should be considered as  
180 particularly risky sites, especially when in proximity of megalopolis. Urban  
181 environments, highways and roads also produce large amounts of microplastics, such  
182 as tire particles that are subsequently found in the soil (Sommer et al., 2018). As other  
183 microplastic substrates, tire particles have been shown to harbor microbial communities  
184 that differ from the surrounding soil. Bacteria colonizing tire particles also have  
185 different sets of ARGs and, of more concern, the abundance of ARGs is enhanced when  
186 tire particles are exposed to soils containing other pollutants such as heavy metals and  
187 antibiotics (Ding et al., 2021).

188

## 189 2.2. Risks associated with increased exposome and resistome

190 Microplastics have been reported to carry pathogens of aquatic organisms (fish,  
191 crustaceans, mollusks). Isolates of the fish pathogen *Aeromonas salmonicida*  
192 expressing virulence factors related to the secretion systems Type II and IV, and several  
193 toxins have been retrieved from plastic debris (Radisic et al., 2020; Viršek et al., 2017).  
194 These isolates also carried antimicrobial resistance genes (lactamases and  
195 chloramphenicol acetyltransferase), which raise the question of the potential impact  
196 that microplastics might have as disease vectors in aquaculture (Dong et al., 2021). In  
197 the same line, an incubation experiment showed that, compared to surrounding  
198 seawater, mariculture-derived microplastics harbor (i) distinct bacterial communities as  
199 the exposure time increases, (ii) a higher abundance of bacteria of the genera *Vibrio*,  
200 *Pseudoalteromonas* and *Alteromonas* (Sun et al., 2020). The idea that microplastics

201 might be vehicles of pathogenic microorganisms in aquaculture systems is further  
202 supported by recent evidence showing that oysters (*Oestra edulis*) have a higher uptake  
203 rate of microplastics coated with bacteria compared to virgin particles. In addition,  
204 oysters exposed to bacteria-coated microplastics have increased oxygen consumption  
205 and respiration rate compared to oysters exposed to virgin microplastics (Fabra et al.,  
206 2021). Therefore, benthic filter feeders are likely to be preferentially exposed to  
207 bacteria-carrying microplastics and as such transfer them over the trophic chain, up to  
208 humans.

209 Similarly, several human pathogens (including opportunistic ones) have been  
210 discovered on plastic debris in different geographical regions and environments (Table  
211 1). For instance, enteropathogenic strains of *Vibrio* and *Escherichia coli* have been  
212 reported on microplastics sampled at the Guanabara Bay (Brazil) while water samples  
213 had low bacterial titers (Silva et al., 2019). Hence, microplastics can substantially  
214 contribute to spread such pathogens from areas with fecal bacteria contamination to  
215 preserved zones. If these preserved zones involve recreational environments, such as  
216 beaches and bathing waters, we might easily predict an enhanced human exposure to  
217 pathogenic organisms conveyed by microplastics (Keswani et al., 2016).

218 We suggest that the combined effect of microplastic-associated exposome and  
219 resistome might enhance the risk of emergence of novel bacterial pathogens. Although  
220 increased exposure and increased antimicrobial resistance alone represent risk factors  
221 for the emergence of bacterial diseases, microplastics might play the role of catalyzers  
222 favoring the exposure to resistant strains. In addition to this, microplastics carrying  
223 ARBs and ARGs might contribute to spread resistance genes over large distances, even  
224 in areas with no antibiotic pollution and to homogenize globally the risk of emergence  
225 of bacterial pathogens (Fig. 2). The example of polystyrene recovered in Antarctica,

226 harboring microorganisms able to resist multiple drugs, including cephalosporin and  
227 quinolone (Laganà et al., 2019) should act as a serious red flag.

228 Although most of the evidence supporting the role of microplastics as vectors of  
229 pathogens comes from aquatic environments, the possibility that particles suspended in  
230 the air might also serve as vectors for pathogens, including airborne viruses, cannot be  
231 discarded. For instance, it has been suggested that SARS-CoV-2 might have longer  
232 survival on air suspended plastic particles than in the aerosol, contributing to the  
233 transmission and the spread of the pathogen (Liu and Schauer, 2021). ARGs have  
234 already been reported in airborne bacteria sampled in a WWTP in China, including the  
235 opportunistic human pathogens *Comamonas* and *Moraxella* (Li et al., 2016). If these  
236 airborne bacteria can use microplastics as aquatic microorganisms do, there might be a  
237 risk of environmental contamination well beyond the surroundings of WWTPs.

238

### 239 2.3. Summary

240 Here, we argued that microplastic pollution is likely to pose a threat to human and  
241 wildlife health, not only because of direct toxic effects due to the ingestion of plastic  
242 particles, but also due to the exposure to pathogenic microorganisms carrying  
243 antimicrobial resistance genes. Our argument is based on a series of well-established  
244 observations showing that microplastics harbor communities of microorganisms that 1)  
245 differ from those found on natural substrates or as planktonic forms, and 2) present a  
246 greater abundance of ARBs and ARGs. From these two observations, we consider that  
247 microplastics, by increasing the contact rate between hosts and pathogens, could  
248 facilitate the emergence of multi-drug resistant bacterial pathogens and pose a threat to  
249 humans, livestock and wildlife species. Actually, we might draw a parallel with the  
250 recent emergence of bacterial pathogens associated with the use of medical devices

251 (such as prostheses and catheters). Bacteria can form biofilms on such devices (Pelling  
252 et al., 2019; Stickler, 2008; Tande and Patel, 2014) as they do on microplastics and  
253 several new pathogens are now associated with the use of urinary catheters (*Aerococcus*  
254 spp., *Actinobaculum schaalii*, *Alloscardovia omnicolens*; Vouga and Greub, 2016). We  
255 suggest that the potential health and economic costs associated with the emergence of  
256 novel multi-drug resistant microorganisms is worth to stimulate more research in this  
257 area, as to better quantify the risk associated with microplastic pollution.

258

### 259 **3. MICROPLASTICS CAN ALTER VECTOR-BORNE INFECTIOUS** 260 **DISEASE TRANSMISSION**

261

262 Emerging pathogens have been associated not only with antimicrobial resistance, but  
263 also with the spread of arthropod vectors (Kilpatrick and Randolph, 2012). In fact, in  
264 addition to parasites that are directly or environmentally transmitted, wildlife and  
265 humans are exposed to multiple vector-borne pathogens, many of them being  
266 considered as emerging or reemerging (e.g., Dengue, Zika, West Nile viruses; Jones et  
267 al., 2008; Morens et al., 2004). Historically, vector control has relied on synthetic  
268 insecticides and environmental management of breeding sites, but several novel vector  
269 control tools are under development, such as the gene-drive technology or bacterial  
270 infection of vectors (e.g., *Wolbachia*; Wilson et al., 2020). We suggest that microplastic  
271 pollution, as a ubiquitous environmental hazard, should be taken into account in vector  
272 control strategies since it could interfere either with life history traits of vectors and/or  
273 their microbiota.

274

#### 275 3.1 Alteration of vector life history traits

276 Many medically important insect-vectors or intermediate hosts of infectious diseases  
277 (i.e., mosquitoes, black flies, snails) are freshwater organisms inhabiting lakes, rivers,  
278 swamps or coastal ponds that can be heavily polluted with microplastics (Akindele et  
279 al., 2020; Wagner and Lambert, 2018; Windsor et al., 2019;). Microplastics  
280 contamination is not uniformly distributed around the globe and varies with freshwater  
281 ecosystems. Although lakes are generally more contaminated than rivers, and riverine  
282 and lacustrine sediments are more contaminated than the aquatic matrix (Cera  
283 et al., 2020), microplastics in lotic ecosystems are more studied than in lentic systems.  
284 In addition, invertebrates are largely understudied compared to vertebrates (i.e., fish;  
285 Cera et al., 2021). Nonetheless, it has been shown that freshwater invertebrates have  
286 the capacity to ingest microplastics, the quantity of uptake depending on their feeding  
287 type and morphology (Scherer et al., 2017; Setälä et al., 2016). Interestingly,  
288 experimental work found that adult mosquitoes do not avoid water contaminated with  
289 microplastics when ovipositing, and that larvae do ingest microplastics that remain at  
290 the adult stage with, however, no impact on their survival rate (Al-Jaibachi et al., 2018;  
291 Al-Jaibachi et al., 2019; Cuthbert et al., 2019).

292 Depending on the vector species and their ecological niches, microplastics might have  
293 variable influence on vector's life history traits and population dynamics. Even so, we  
294 can expect various outcomes of the microplastic ingestion by the vectors, either direct  
295 effects on development, growth or survival, or indirect effects on vectorial capacity  
296 (Fig. 3). Vectorial capacity (VC) can be defined as a measurement of the efficiency of  
297 vector-borne disease transmission (Garrett-Jones et al., 1964), corresponding to the  
298 basic reproductive rate of a vector-borne pathogen. Vectorial capacity can be assessed  
299 using the following equation:

300 
$$VC = \frac{ma^2bp^N}{-\ln(p)}$$

301 where  $m$  refers to vector density,  $a$  to vector biting rate,  $b$  to vector competence (the  
302 susceptibility of the vector to become infected and transmit the pathogen),  $p$  to the  
303 probability of vector daily survival, and  $N$  to the pathogen extrinsic incubation period  
304 (Cansado-Utrilla et al., 2021). Therefore, when vectors get easily infected, have high  
305 survival rates, high density, and bite at high rate, they effectively transmit the disease.  
306 We propose that microplastics have the potential to affect all these parameters (e.g.,  
307 impairing vector survival, or decreasing extrinsic incubation rate).

308

309 The effect of microplastic pollution on vector-borne diseases can be nonetheless  
310 particularly difficult to predict because of the complex ecological interactions that  
311 operate at different temporal and spatial scales. Microplastics might decrease parasite  
312 transmission if they have negative effects on vectors (reducing fecundity and/or  
313 survival). It has been well documented, for example, that decreasing the survival of  
314 adult *Anopheles* (the mosquitoes that transmit human malaria) reduced their vectorial  
315 capacity (Brady et al., 2016). Negative impacts of microplastics have also been reported  
316 on food intake, growth, reproduction or survival in freshwater invertebrates (Foley et  
317 al., 2018). Therefore, snail-borne parasitic diseases, such as fascioliasis or  
318 schistosomiasis, could be less prevalent if microplastic pollution increases aquatic snail  
319 mortality and/or decreases cercariae release in water.

320

### 321 3.2. Modification of vector microbiota

322 Microplastics, and the associated ‘plastisphere’, might interact with the vector  
323 microbiota and immune system and consequently increase the vectorial capacity.  
324 Recent work has focused on the effects of microplastics on the microbiota (Fackelmann  
325 et al., 2019) and the immune system in model organisms - in mice (Jin et al., 2019; Lu

326 et al., 2018) and zebra fish (Jin et al., 2018; Limonta et al., 2019; Qiao et al., 2019) -  
327 and invertebrates (Ju et al., 2019; Zhu et al., 2018). For example, it has been shown that  
328 ingestion of microplastics by dipteran larvae triggers the activation of phenoloxidase,  
329 one of the effectors of innate immunity (Silva et al., 2021a), but also increases oxidative  
330 damage (Silva et al., 2021b). Therefore, we might expect that insect vectors (such as  
331 black flies transmitting nematodes causing river blindness, or mosquitoes transmitting  
332 *Plasmodium* causing malaria) could have an altered vectorial capacity if the ingestion  
333 of microplastics affects their physiology (e.g., the immune response) and/or the  
334 microbiota. In fact, the microbiota has an important role in modulating the mosquito  
335 immune response and can alter susceptibility to infection through either immune  
336 priming or direct inhibition (Caragata et al., 2019). It has been shown that the removal  
337 of the midgut bacteria through antibiotic treatment led to a greater susceptibility  
338 of *Anopheles gambiae* to *Plasmodium falciparum* and of *Aedes aegypti* to dengue  
339 virus infection (Dong et al., 2009; Xi et al., 2008). Bacteria can also influence mosquito  
340 competence by impairing pathogen infection (Cirimotich et al., 2011a; Cirimotich et  
341 al., 2011b). On the contrary, the presence of members of the Enterobacteriaceae family  
342 in the mosquito midgut favors *Plasmodium* infection (Boissière et al., 2012), while  
343 another recent study reported that *Aedes* mosquitoes harboring a *Serratia*  
344 *marcescens* strain were more permissive to dengue virus infection than those free of *S.*  
345 *marcescens* (Wu et al., 2019b). These few examples show the complexity of the  
346 interactions between pathogens and microbiota that could affect mosquito vectorial  
347 capacity. Considering that microplastics often lead to dysbiosis and alter immune  
348 responses, we suggest that mosquitoes, or other arthropod vectors, ingesting  
349 microplastics could see their susceptibility to pathogens decrease or increase,  
350 depending on the bacteria and contaminants that microplastics carry (Fig. 3). Finally,

351 little is known regarding how the microbiome influences the extrinsic incubation period  
352 (EIP; Cansado-Utrilla et al., 2021), but it has been shown that *Wolbachia* could increase  
353 the EIP of the dengue virus in *Aedes aegypti* (Carrington et al., 2018). It cannot be  
354 excluded that on the opposite, the plastsphere could shorten the EIP and have a positive  
355 effect on pathogen transmission.

356

### 357 3.3. Changes in the trophic chain

358 We propose that microplastics might have even more subtle effects on the transmission  
359 of vector-borne diseases, by altering the population dynamics of interacting species  
360 (predator - prey, competing species). Even if microplastic pollution has no direct effect  
361 on vector species, it may have one on natural enemies that play an essential role to  
362 regulate the abundance of vectors, which is one of the factors, that influences the  
363 vectorial capacity. Pathogen transmission could drastically increase if natural enemies  
364 fail to regulate the vector proliferation (Fig. 3). For example, numerous aquatic insects  
365 or amphibians are regulating mosquito larvae density either by direct predation (Quiroz-  
366 Martínez and Rodríguez-Castro, 2007) or even by indirect stress caused by predators  
367 (Bellamy and Alto, 2018). A study found that mosquito size, fecundity and longevity  
368 decreased with indirect effects of predation, which would result in a significant decrease  
369 of malaria transmission (Roux et al., 2015). On the opposite, if predators are negatively  
370 affected by microplastic pollution, vectors would benefit from it and see their vectorial  
371 capacity enhanced. In agreement with this hypothesis, a recent meta-analysis showed  
372 that feeding activity of fish, such as the predatory performance or number of ingested  
373 prey, was consistently impaired in the presence of microplastic pollution (Salerno et  
374 al., 2021). Finally, human-driven alteration of top-down regulation of vector  
375 populations has also been reported. An emblematic example is the building of a dam



376 on the Senegal River that was associated with an outbreak of schistosomiasis, due to  
377 the interference with the migration of prawns, one of the main predators of the snails  
378 that are the intermediate hosts of schistosome parasites. Reintroduction of prawns  
379 resulted in reduced abundance of infected snails and reduced prevalence of  
380 schistosomiasis (Sokolow et al., 2015).

381

382 Despite the manifold reasons to expect an effect of microplastic pollution on the  
383 dynamics of infectious diseases, studies on the relationship between the exposure of  
384 microplastics and risk of parasite infection in wildlife, livestock or humans are so to  
385 speak inexistent. Only two correlative studies found positive association between  
386 accumulation of microplastics and presence of parasites - in grey seals (Hernandez-  
387 Milian et al., 2019) and fishes (Grazia Pennino et al., 2020), and only one experimental  
388 study investigated the effects of microplastic ingestion in tadpoles and trematodes  
389 (Buss et al., 2021). The latter study performed an experimental microplastic exposure  
390 during one day (i.e., 24h) and found that individuals that ingested microplastics did not  
391 show a significant increase in parasite infection risks. However, one can argue that the  
392 time of exposure might not reflect the likely experience of wild organisms, which are  
393 rather chronically exposed to this pollutant.

394

#### 395 3.4. Summary

396 Here, we argued that microplastic pollution is likely to modify vector-borne disease  
397 dynamics via two pathways, either by altering component of the vectorial capacity or  
398 by modifying the interactions between species along the trophic chain.

399 First, our argument is based on studies showing that arthropods, either as larvae or  
400 adults, are capable of ingesting microplastics, which are known to interfere with the

401 microbiota of organisms. Because infection dynamics of pathogens (viruses or  
402 parasites) are regulated by the microbiota, we hypothesize that microplastics could  
403 facilitate the emergence of vector-borne diseases by increasing the vectorial capacity.  
404 Second, we believe that even if microplastics do not have a significant effect on the  
405 vector microbiota and immunity, they might have undesirable consequences on  
406 predators and/or competitors, which could fail to regulate vector populations.  
407 To date, and to our knowledge, no study has investigated the impact of microplastics  
408 on vectorial capacity, which is surprising given the possible health and economic  
409 consequences. More research in this area should be performed to properly evaluate the  
410 emergence risk of vector-borne diseases associated with microplastic pollution.

411

#### 412 **4. RESEARCH DIRECTIONS**

413

414 We argued here that microplastic pollution might promote infectious disease emergence  
415 through different pathways. Although there exists evidence supporting this view, we  
416 realize that the link between microplastics and the dynamics of infectious diseases (in  
417 particular vector-borne diseases) has been barely investigated. As such, we hope that  
418 this work will contribute to stimulate further investigation to better understand how  
419 microplastics may interfere with antimicrobial resistance and the (re)emergence of  
420 vector-borne diseases.

421 Whatever the aspect of microplastic contamination we want to address, it is worth  
422 reminding ourselves that whether in laboratory conditions or in the field,  
423 environmentally realistic concentration of microplastics should be used (Lenz et al.,  
424 2016), while avoiding primary and pristine microplastics that are not representative of  
425 the contamination *in situ*. The age, shape, size and composition (additives and

426 contaminants) of microplastics as well as their bacterial communities are parameters  
427 that should be better apprehended (Waldman and Rillig, 2020). We realize however  
428 that identifying environmentally-relevant microplastic concentrations is already a  
429 challenging task because it does not only depend on the presence of microplastics in  
430 the environment but also on the actual exposure of organisms (spatially and  
431 temporally), which is linked to ecological and physiological traits of the studied species  
432 (e.g., feeding habits, lifespan). This suggests that environmentally-relevant  
433 concentrations might even differ between species living in the same areas.

434 Our knowledge on the many-fold impacts of microplastic pollution on virtually all  
435 levels of biological organizations (from gene expression to ecosystem functioning) is  
436 rapidly expanding. Research work has been built on a variety of species, environments,  
437 experimental contexts, and has provided indisputable evidence showing that  
438 microplastics do represent a major environmental concern. However, as we learn more,  
439 we think it is time to place greater emphasis on the possible role that microplastic  
440 pollution might also play as promoter of infectious diseases emergence.

441 Taking this into account, we would like to provide some suggestions for future research.

442

443 *How does urbanization influence bacteria colonization on microplastics and infection*  
444 *risk?*

445 We need to better understand how the process of microplastic colonization by  
446 microorganisms changes along the gradient of anthropogenic disturbance. Heavily  
447 polluted environments are hotspots for multi-drug resistant pathogens, however  
448 microplastics have the potential to spread them at very large geographical scales. Long  
449 term surveys of bacteria richness and diversity on microplastics should be performed  
450 in soil and aquatic environment along gradient from cities and intensive agricultural

451 lands where the trace metals and antibiotics are most likely to occur, to wilderness areas  
452 that present low human population density. This might allow us to draw risk maps,  
453 providing a useful tool to guide decisions on where monitoring effort should be  
454 prioritized.

455

456 *Can microplastics biofilm interfere and undermine mosquito control methods?*

457 Future work should focus on the possible effects of microplastics on vector control  
458 strategies. *Wolbachia*-based strategy for controlling the transmission of dengue and  
459 other arboviral infections has been largely investigated and field releases have already  
460 occurred in different places (Kamtchum-Tatuene et al., 2017). However, microplastics  
461 might be able to modify bacterial communities and/or their interactions, and potentially  
462 wipe off the suppressive effects of *Wolbachia* on arboviroses. Biocontrol strategies  
463 should definitely take into consideration this increasing environmental pollution.

464

465 *Does aquatic environment influence interaction between microplastics and population*  
466 *dynamics of vectors?*

467 More effort should be put in the understanding of the environmental traits that might  
468 act in synergy with microplastic pollution. For instance, microplastics present in  
469 freshwater versus brackish water, or in small versus large body of water might affect  
470 differently the population dynamics of vectors. This is not a trivial point since many  
471 species of insect vectors or intermediate hosts have different ecological requirements  
472 and habitat preferences. We should investigate which ecological and biogeographical  
473 parameters are more likely to impact vector and intermediate host life history traits and  
474 vectorial capacity in the context of chronic exposure to microplastics.

475

476 *Do microplastics change the population dynamics of vectors by reducing the*  
477 *abundance and/or behavior of natural enemies?*

478 We advocate for a more holistic approach to the study of microplastics, that explores  
479 the effects at the ecosystem level. Up to now, most of the studies have focused on the  
480 direct effect of microplastic pollution on the species of interest. Nevertheless, the  
481 abundance of vectors and intermediate hosts of human infectious diseases can  
482 dramatically change if predators decline in number in polluted areas. We suggest that  
483 future work should implement a more integrative approach to assess the effect of  
484 microplastics on ecosystem functioning.

485

486

487

488

## 489 **5. CONCLUSIONS**

490

491 Microplastics are clearly not a classical pollutant, in the sense that they are ubiquitous  
492 and highly persistent, which make them today an accepted indicator of the anthropocene  
493 (Zalasiewicz et al., 2016). Evidence is rapidly accumulating showing that microplastics  
494 can have pernicious health effects on organisms that ingest/inhale them due to their  
495 direct toxicity. In this discussion piece, we draw the attention to an additional pernicious  
496 effect of microplastic pollution. We argue that microplastics can facilitate the  
497 emergence of infectious disease by increasing the exposure of bacterial pathogens to  
498 human, livestock and wildlife and by altering the dynamics of vector-borne infections.  
499 We suggest that if microplastics do play a role promoting the (re)emergence of  
500 infectious diseases (both multi-drug resistant bacteria and vector-borne pathogens),

501 they will pose a more serious threat in terms of public health than contaminants  
502 absorbed by microplastics (e.g., persistent organic pollutants; Amelia et al., 2021). Our  
503 argument is based on two lines of reasoning: first, once an infectious disease has  
504 emerged, the whole population of susceptible individuals is potentially at risk,  
505 irrespective of whether they are exposed to the source of the emergence or not. The  
506 COVID-19 pandemic perfectly illustrates this point. Although SARS-CoV-2 is  
507 supposed to have initially infected humans exposed to animals carrying the virus, at a  
508 very local scale, human-to-human transmission rapidly made the whole human  
509 population at risk (including those not exposed to SARS-CoV-2 reservoirs). Obviously,  
510 this argument does not apply to non-transmissible diseases induced by contaminants.  
511 The second line of reasoning relies on the potential that microorganisms have to adapt  
512 to novel environmental conditions through evolutionary changes. These evolutionary  
513 changes might contribute to increase (or decrease) infectivity and virulence, depending  
514 on the selective forces operating on the pathogens and the epidemiological context.  
515 Again this provides a very different scenario compared to inert toxic compounds that  
516 are not expected to become more toxic in response to natural selection.

517

518 We are convinced that interdisciplinary research projects are necessary to address all  
519 these questions and to propose global maps of emergence risks of infectious diseases  
520 associated with microplastic pollution, as it already exists with climate change. We are  
521 aware that such investigations are difficult to launch because it has to bring together  
522 experts from different biological fields, such as ecotoxicologists, microbiologists,  
523 ecologists, chemists, epidemiologists and modeling scientists. Because the framework  
524 that allow such holistic approach already exists into the 'One Health' concept (Zinsstag

525 et al., 2011), researchers should be encouraged to develop studies both in controlled  
526 environments and *in situ* in order to anticipate future public health issues.

527

## 528 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

529 C.L. acknowledges support from the Portuguese National Funds through FCT  
530 (Foundation for Science and Technology) under the PTDC/BIA-EVL/29390/2017  
531 Research Project. G.S. acknowledges support from the French Agence Nationale de la  
532 Recherche (project COINFECT).

533

## 534 **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

535 C.L. and G.S. conceived and wrote the manuscript together. C.L. produced the paintings  
536 in the figures.

537

## 538 **REFERENCES**

- 539 Akindele, E.O., Ehlers, S.M., Koop, J.H.E., 2020. Freshwater insects of different  
540 feeding guilds ingest microplastics in two Gulf of Guinea tributaries in Nigeria.  
541 *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* 27, 33373-33379.
- 542 Al-Jaibachi, R., Cuthbert, R.N., Callaghan, A., 2018. Up and away: ontogenic  
543 transference as a pathway for aerial dispersal of microplastics. *Biol. Lett.* 14,  
544 20180479.
- 545 Al-Jaibachi, R., Cuthbert, R.N., Callaghan, A., 2019. Examining effects of ontogenic  
546 microplastic transference on *Culex* mosquito mortality and adult weight. *Sci.*  
547 *Total Environ.* 651, 871-876.
- 548 Amaral-Zettler, L.A., Zettler, E.R., Mincer, T.J., 2020. Ecology of the plastisphere.  
549 *Nature Rev. Microbiol.* 18, 139-151.

550 Amelia, T.S.M., Khalik, W.M.A.W.M., Ong, M.C., Shao, Y.T., Pan, H.-J., Bhubalan,  
551 K., 2021. Marine microplastics as vectors of major ocean pollutants and its  
552 hazards to the marine ecosystem and humans. *Prog. Earth Planet. Sci.* 8, 12.

553 Arias-Andres, M., Klümper, U., Rojas-Jimenez, K., Grossart, H-P., 2018. Microplastic  
554 pollution increases gene exchange in aquatic ecosystems. *Environ. Pollut.* 237,  
555 253-261.

556 Bellamy SK, Alto BW., 2018. Mosquito responses to trait- and density-mediated  
557 interactions of predation. *Oecologia*, 187, 233-243.

558 Blarer, P., Burkhardt-Holm, P., 2016. Microplastics affect assimilation efficiency in the  
559 freshwater amphipod *Gammarus fossarum*. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. Int.* 23,  
560 23522-23532.

561 Boissière, A. et al., 2012. Midgut microbiota of the malaria mosquito vector *Anopheles*  
562 *gambiae* and interactions with *Plasmodium falciparum* infection. *PLoS Pathog.*  
563 8, e1002742.

564 Bowley, J., Baker-Austin, C., Porter, A., Hartnell, R., Lewis, C., 2021. Oceanic  
565 hitchhikers – Assessing Pathogen risks from marine microplastic. *Trends*  
566 *Microbiol.* 29, 107-116.

567 Bradney, L. et al., 2019. Particulate plastics as a vector for toxic trace-elements uptake  
568 by aquatic and terrestrial organisms and human health risk. *Environ. Int.* 131,  
569 104937.

570 Brady, O.J., Godfray, H.C., Tatem, A.J., Gething, P.W., Cohen, J.M., McKenzie, F.E.,  
571 Perkins, T.A., Reiner, R.C. Jr, Tusting, L.S., Sinka, M.E., Moyes, C.L., Eckhoff,  
572 P.A., Scott, T.W., Lindsay, S.W., Hay, S.I., Smith, D.L., 2016. Vectorial  
573 capacity and vector control: reconsidering sensitivity to parameters for malaria  
574 elimination. *Trans. R. Soc. Trop. Med. Hyg.* 110, 107-17.



575 Buss, N., Sander, B., Hua, J., 2021 Effects of polyester microplastic fiber contamination  
576 on amphibian-trematode interactions. Environ. Toxicol. Chem.  
577 <https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.5035>

578 Callaghan, M.A., Alatorre-Hinojosa, S., Connors, L.T., Singh, R.D., Thompson, J.A.,  
579 2021. Plasticizers and cardiovascular health: role of adipose tissue dysfunction.  
580 Front. Pharmacol. 11, 626448.

581 Cansado-Utrilla, C. et al., 2021. The microbiome and mosquito vectorial capacity: rich  
582 potential for discovery and translation. Microbiome 9, 111.

583 Caragata, E.P., Tikhe, C.V., Dimopoulos, G., 2019. Curious entanglements:  
584 interactions between mosquitoes, their microbiota, and arboviruses. Curr. Opin.  
585 Virol. 37, 26-36.

586 Carlin, J. et al., 2020. Microplastic accumulation in the gastrointestinal tracts in birds  
587 of prey in central Florida, USA. Environ. Pollut. 264, 114633.

588 Carrington, L.B., Tran, B.C.N., Le, N.T.H., Luong, T.T.H., Nguyen, T.T., Nguyen,  
589 P.T., et al., 2018. Field- and clinically-derived estimates of *Wolbachia*-mediated  
590 blocking of dengue virus transmission potential in *Aedes aegypti* mosquitoes.  
591 Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 115, 361–366.

592 Cassini, A. et al., 2019. Attributable deaths and disability-adjusted life-years caused by  
593 infections with antibiotic-resistant bacteria in the EU and the European  
594 Economic Area in 2015: a population-level modelling analysis. Lancet Inf. Dis.  
595 19, 56-66.

596 Cera, A., Cesarini, G., Scalici, M., 2020. Microplastics in freshwater: what is the news  
597 from the world? Diversity 12, 276.

598 Cera A, Scalici M., 2021. Freshwater wild biota exposure to microplastics: A global  
599 perspective. Ecol. Evol. 11, 9904–9916.

600 Cirimotich, C.M. et al., 2011a. Natural microbe-mediated refractoriness  
601 to *Plasmodium* infection in *Anopheles gambiae*. *Science* 332, 855-858.

602 Cirimotich, C.M., Ramirez, J.L., Dimopoulos, G., 2011b. Native microbiota shape  
603 insect vector competence for human pathogens. *Cell Host Microb.* 10, 307-310.

604 Cole, M., Lindeque, P., Fileman, E., Halsband, C., Galloway, T.S., 2015. The impact  
605 of polystyrene microplastics on feeding, function and fecundity in the marine  
606 copepod *Calanus helgolandicus*. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 49, 1130–1137.

607 Collignon, P., Beggs, J.J., Walsh, T.R., Gandra, S., Laxminarayan, R., 2018.  
608 Anthropological and socioeconomic factors contributing to global antimicrobial  
609 resistance: a univariate and multivariable analysis. *Lancet Planet. Health* 2,  
610 e398-e405.

611 Curren, E., Leong, S.C.Y., 2019. Profiles of bacterial assemblages from microplastics  
612 of tropical coastal environments. *Sci. Total Environ.* 655, 313-320.

613 Cuthbert, R.N., Al-Jaibachi, R., Dalu, T., Dick, J.T.A., Callaghan, A., 2019. The  
614 influence of microplastics on trophic interaction strengths and oviposition  
615 preferences of dipterans. *Sci. Total Environ.* 651, 2420-2423.

616 Ding, J. et al., 2021. Exposure to heavy metal and antibiotic enriches antibiotic resistant  
617 genes on the tire particles in soil. *Sci. Total Environ.* 792, 148417.

618 Dong, Y., Manfredini, F., Dimopoulos, G., 2009. Implication of the mosquito midgut  
619 microbiota in the defense against malaria parasites. *PLoS Pathog.* 5, e1000423.

620 Dong, H., Chen, Y., Wang, J., Zhang, Y., Zhang, P., Li, X., Zou, J., Zhou, A., 2021.  
621 Interactions of microplastics and antibiotic resistance genes and their effects on  
622 the aquaculture environments. *J. Hazard. Mat.* 403, 123961.

623 Fabra, M., Williams, L., Watts, J.E.M., Hale, M.S., Couceiro, F., Preston, J., 2021. The  
624 plastic Trojan horse: Biofilm increase micoplastic uptake in marine filter feeders

625 impacting microbial transfer and organism health. *Sci. Total Environ.* 797,  
626 149217.

627 Fackelmann, G., Sommer, S., 2019. Microplastics and the gut microbiome: How  
628 chronically exposed species may suffer from gut dysbiosis. *Marine Pollut. Bull.*  
629 143, 193–203.

630 Fernando Amato-Lourenço, L. et al., 2020. An emerging class of air pollutants:  
631 Potential effects of microplastics to respiratory human health? *Sci. Total*  
632 *Environ.* 749, 141676.

633 Fernando Amato-Lourenço, L. et al., 2021. Presence of airborne microplastics in human  
634 lung tissue. *J. Hazar. Mat.* 416, 126124.

635 Foley, C.J., Feiner, Z.S., Malinich, T.D., Höök, T.O., 2018. A meta-analysis of the  
636 effects of exposure to microplastics on fish and aquatic invertebrates. *Sci. Total*  
637 *Environ.* 631–632, 550-559.

638 Garrett-Jones, C., 1964. Prognosis for Interruption of Malaria Transmission through  
639 Assessment of the Mosquito's Vectorial Capacity. *Nature* 204, 1173–1175.

640 Gong, M., Yang, G., Zhuang, L., Zeng, E.Y., 2019. Microbial biofilm formation and  
641 community structure on low-density polyethylene microparticles in lake water  
642 microcosms. *Environ. Pollut.* 252, 94-102.

643 Harrison, J.P., Schratzberger, M., Sapp, M., Osborn, A.M., 2014. Rapid bacterial  
644 colonization of low-density polyethylene microplastics in coastal sediment  
645 microcosms. *BMC Microbiol.* 14, 232.

646 Hernandez-Milian, G., Lusher, A., MacGibbon, S., Rogan, E., 2019. Microplastics in  
647 grey seal (*Halichoerus grypus*) intestines: are they associated with parasite  
648 aggregations? *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 146, 349-354.

649 Hou, L. et al., 2021. A fish tale: a century of museum specimens reveal increasing  
650 microplastic concentrations in freshwater fish. *Ecol. Appl.* e02320.

651 Huang, Z., Weng, Y., Shen, Q., Zhao, Y., Jin, Y., 2021. Microplastic: a potential threat  
652 to human and animal health by interfering with the intestinal barrier function  
653 and changing the intestinal microenvironment. *Sci. Total Env.* 785, 147365.

654 Imran, Md., Das, K.R., Naik, M.M., 2019. Co-selection of multi-antibiotic resistance  
655 in bacterial pathogens in metal and microplastic contaminated environments: an  
656 emerging health threat. *Chemosphere* 215, 846-857.

657 Jiang, B. et al., 2020. Health impacts of environmental contamination of micro- and  
658 nanoplastics: a review. *Environ. Health Prev. Med.* 25, 29.

659 Jin, Y. et al., 2018. Polystyrene microplastics induce microbiota dysbiosis and  
660 inflammation in the gut of adult zebrafish. *Environ. Pollut.* 235, 322-329.

661 Jin, Y., Lu, L., Tu, W., Luo, T., Fu, Z., 2019. Impacts of polystyrene microplastic on  
662 the gut barrier, microbiota and metabolism of mice. *Sci. Total Environ.* 649,  
663 308-317.

664 Jones, K.E. et al., 2008. Global trends in emerging infectious diseases. *Nature* 451, 990-  
665 994.

666 Ju, H., Zhu, D., Qiao, M., 2019. Effects of polyethylene microplastics on the gut  
667 microbial community, reproduction and avoidance behaviors of the soil  
668 springtail, *Folsomia candida*. *Environ. Pollut.* 247, 890-897.

669 Kamtchum-Tatuene, J., Makepeace, B. L., Benjamin, L., Baylis, M., Solomon, T.,  
670 2017. The potential role of *Wolbachia* in controlling the transmission of  
671 emerging human arboviral infections. *Curr. Opin. Inf. Dis.* 30, 108–116.

672 Kelly, J.J., London, M.G., McCormick, A.R., Rojas, M., Scott, J.W., Hoellein, T.J.,  
673 2021. Wastewater treatment alters microbial colonization of microplastics.  
674 PLoS ONE 16, e0244443.

675 Keswani, A., Oliver, D.M., Gutierrez, T., Quilliam, R.S., 2016. Microbial hitchhikers  
676 on marine plastic debris: Human exposure risks at bathing waters and beach  
677 environments. Mar. Environ. Res. 118, 10-19.

678 Kilpatrick, A.M., Randolph, S.E., 2021. Drivers, dynamics, and control of emerging  
679 vector-borne zoonotic diseases. Lancet 380, 1946-1955.

680 Kirstein, I.V. et al., 2016. Dangerous hitchhikers? Evidence for potentially pathogenic  
681 *Vibrio* spp. on microplastic particles. Mar. Environ. Res. 120, 1-8.

682 Laganà, P. et al., 2019. Do plastics serve as a possible vector for the spread of antibiotic  
683 resistance? First insights from bacteria associated to a polystyrene piece from  
684 King George Island (Antarctica). Int. J. Hyg. Environ. Health 222, 89-100.

685 Lavers, J.L., Bond, A.L., 2017. Exceptional and rapid accumulation of anthropogenic  
686 debris on one of the world's most remote and pristine islands. Proc. Nat. Acad.  
687 Sci. USA 114, 6052-6055.

688 Laverty, A.L., Primpke, S., Lorenz, C., Gerdt, G., Dobbs, F.C., 2020. Bacterial  
689 biofilms colonizing plastics in estuarine waters, with emphasis on *Vibrio* spp.  
690 and their antibacterial resistance. PLoS ONE 15, e0237704.

691 Lenz, R., Enders, K., Nielsen, T.G., 2016. Critical view on used microplastic  
692 concentrations. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA 113, E4121-E4122.

693 Li, J. et al., 2016. Bioaerosol emissions and detection of airborne antibiotic resistance  
694 genes from a wastewater treatment plant. Atmos. Environ. 124, 404-412.

695 Li, J., Zhang, K., Zhang, H., 2018. Adsorption of antibiotics on microplastics. Environ.  
696 Pollut. 237, 460-467.

697 Li, W. et al., 2019. Colonization characteristics of bacterial communities on plastic  
698 debris influenced by environmental factors and polymer types in the Haihe  
699 estuary of Bohai bay, China. *Environ. Sci. Tech.* 53, 10763-10773.

700 Limonta, G. et al., 2019. Microplastics induce transcriptional changes, immune  
701 response and behavioral alterations in adult zebrafish. *Sci. Rep.* 9, 15775.

702 Liu, Q., Schauer, J., 2021. Airborne microplastics from waste as a transmission vector  
703 for COVID-19. *Aerosol Air Qual. Res.* 21, 2000439.

704 Liu, Y. et al., 2021. Microplastics are a hotspot for antibiotic resistance genes: Progress  
705 and perspective. *Sci. Total Environ.* 773, 145643.

706 Lo, H.K.A., Chan, K.Y.K., 2018. Negative effects of microplastic exposure on growth  
707 and development of *Crepidula onyx*. *Environ. Pollut.* 233, 588-595.

708 Lu, L., Wan, Z., Luo, T., Fu, Z., Jin, Y., 2018. Polystyrene microplastics induce gut  
709 microbiota dysbiosis and hepatic lipid metabolism disorder in mice. *Sci. Total*  
710 *Environ.* 631–632, 449-458.

711 Mammo, F.K. et al., 2020. Microplastics in the environment: Interactions with  
712 microbes and chemical contaminants. *Sci. Total Environ.* 743, 140518.

713 McCormick, A.R. et al., 2016. Microplastic in surface waters of urban rivers:  
714 concentration, sources, and associated bacterial assemblages. *Ecosph.* 7,  
715 e01556.

716 Morens, D., Folkers, G., Fauci, A., 2004. The challenge of emerging and re-emerging  
717 infectious diseases. *Nature* 430, 242–249.

718 Morens, D.M, Fauci, A.S., 2013. Emerging infectious diseases: Threats to human  
719 health and global stability. *PLoS Pathog.* 9, e1003467.

720 Murphy, L., Germaine, K., Dowling, D.N., Kakouli-Duarte, T., Cleary, J., 2020.  
721 Association of potential human pathogens with microplastics in freshwater

722 systems. In: Cocca M, Di Pace E, Errico ME, Gentile G, Montarsolo A, Mossotti  
723 R, Avella M. (Eds.) Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on  
724 Microplastic Pollution in the Mediterranean Sea. Springer, Cham, Switzerland,  
725 pp. 112–120.

726 Oberbeckmann, S., Kreikemeyer, B., Labrenz, M., 2018. Environmental factors support  
727 the formation of specific bacterial assemblages on microplastics. *Front.*  
728 *Microbiol.* 8, 2709.

729 Pelling, H. et al., 2019. Bacterial biofilm formation on indwelling urethral catheters.  
730 *Lett. Appl. Microbiol.* 68, 277-293.

731 Pennino, M. et al., 2020. Ingestion of microplastics and occurrence of parasite  
732 association in Mediterranean anchovy and sardine. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 158,  
733 111399.

734 Plowright, R.K. et al., 2017. Pathways to zoonotic spillover. *Nature Rev. Microbiol.*  
735 15, 502-510.

736 Prata, J.C., 2018. Airborne microplastics: consequences to human health? *Environ.*  
737 *Pollut.* 234, 115-126.

738 Prata, J.C. et al., 2021. A One Health perspective of the impacts of microplastics on  
739 animal, human and environmental health. *Sci. Total Environ.* 777, 146094.

740 Qiao, R. et al., 2019. Accumulation of different shapes of microplastics initiates  
741 intestinal injury and gut microbiota dysbiosis in the gut of zebrafish. *Chemos.*  
742 236, 124334.

743 Quiroz-Martínez, H., Rodríguez-Castro, A., 2007. Aquatic insects as predators of  
744 mosquito larvae. *J. Am. Mosq. Cont. Ass.* 23, 110–117.

745 Radisic, V., Nimje, P.S., Bienfait, A.M., Marathe, N.P., 2020. Marine plastics from  
746 Norwegian West coast carry potentially virulent fish pathogens and

747 opportunistic human pathogens harboring new variants of antibiotic resistance  
748 genes. *Microorg.* 8, 1200.

749 Ragusa, A. et al., 2021. Plasticenta: First evidence of microplastics in human placenta.  
750 *Environ. Int.* 146, 106274.

751 Rillig, M.C., Lehmann, A., 2020. Microplastic in terrestrial ecosystems. *Science* 26,  
752 1430-1431.

753 Rizzo, L. et al., 2013. Urban wastewater treatment plants as hotspots for antibiotic  
754 resistant bacteria and genes spread into the environment: A review. *Sci. Total*  
755 *Environ.* 447, 345-360.

756 Rodrigues, A., Oliver, D.M., McCarron, A., Quilliam, R.S., 2019. Colonisation of  
757 plastic pellets (nurdles) by *E. coli* at public bathing beaches. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.*  
758 139, 376-380.

759 Roux, O., Vantaux, A., Roche, B., Yameogo, K.B., Dabiré, K.R., Diabaté, A., et al.,  
760 2015. Evidence for carry-over effects of predator exposure on pathogen  
761 transmission potential. *Proc. R. Soc. Biol. Sci.* 282, 2430.

762 Salerno, M., Berlino, M., Mangano, M.C., Sarà, G., 2021. Microplastics and the  
763 functional traits of fishes: a global meta-analysis. *Glob. Change Biol.* 27, 2645-  
764 2655.

765 Sathicq, M.B., Sabatino, R., Corno, G., Di Cesare, A., 2021. Are microplastic particles  
766 a hotspot for the spread and the persistence of antibiotic resistance in aquatic  
767 systems? *Environ. Pollut.* 279, 116896.

768 Scherer, C. et al., 2017. Feeding type and development drive the ingestion of  
769 microplastics by freshwater invertebrates. *Sci. Rep.* 7, 17006.



770 Scott, J.W., Gunderson, K.G., Green, L.A., Rediske, R.R., Steinman, A.D., 2021.  
771 Perfluoroalkylated substances (PFAS) associated with microplastics in a lake  
772 environment. *Toxics*. 9, 106.

773 Setälä, O., Norkko, J., Lehtiniemi, M., 2016. Feeding type affects microplastic  
774 ingestion in a coastal invertebrate community. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 102, 95-101.

775 Silva, M.M. et al., 2019. Dispersal of potentially pathogenic bacteria by plastic debris  
776 in Guanabara Bay, RJ, Brazil. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 141, 561–568.

777 Silva, C.J.M. et al., 2021a. Immune response triggered by the ingestion of polyethylene  
778 microplastics in the dipteran larvae *Chironomus riparius*. *J. Hazard. Mat.* 414,  
779 125401.

780 Silva, C.J.M. et al., 2021b. Oxidative damage and decreased aerobic energy production  
781 due to ingestion of polyethylene microplastics by *Chironomus riparius*  
782 (Diptera) larvae. *J. Hazard. Mat.* 402, 123775.

783 Sokolow, S.H. et al., 2015. Reduced transmission of human schistosomiasis after  
784 restoration of a native river prawn that preys on the snail intermediate host. *Proc.*  
785 *Nat. Acad. Sci. USA* 112, 9650-9655.

786 Sommer, F., Dietze, V., Baum, A., Sauer, J., Gilge, S., Maschowski, C., Gieré, R., 2018.  
787 Tire abrasion as a major source of microplastics in the environment. *Aerosol*  
788 *Air Qual. Res.* 18, 2014-2028.

789 Steinman, A., Scott, J., Green, L., Partridge, C., Oudsema, M., Hassett, M., Kindervater,  
790 E., Rediske, R.R., 2020. Persistent organic pollutants, metals, and the bacterial  
791 community composition associated with microplastics in Muskegon Lake (MI).  
792 *J. Great Lakes Res.* 46, 1444-1458.

793 Stickler, D.J., 2008. Bacterial biofilms in patients with indwelling urinary catheters.  
794 *Nature Clin. Pract. Urol.* 5, 598-608.

795 Su, Y. et al., 2021. Microplastics act as vectors for antibiotic resistance genes in landfill  
796 leachate: The enhanced roles of the long-term aging process. *Environ. Pollut.*  
797 270, 116278.

798 Sun, X. et al., 2020. Impact of mariculture-derived microplastics on bacterial biofilm  
799 formation and their potential threat to mariculture: A case in situ study on the  
800 Sungo Bay, China. *Environ. Pollut.* 262, 114336.

801 Sun, Y. et al., 2021. Selection of antibiotic resistance genes on biodegradable and non-  
802 biodegradable microplastics. *J. Hazard. Mat.* 409, 124979.

803 Syranidou, E., Kalogerakis, N., 2022. Interactions of microplastics, antibiotics and  
804 antibiotic resistant genes within WWTPs. *Sci. Total Environ.* 804, 150141.

805 Tande, A.J., Patel, R., 2014. Prosthetic joint infection. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* 27, 302-  
806 345.

807 Thompson, R.C. et al., 2004. Lost at sea: where is all the plastic? *Science* 304, 838.

808 Vianello, A. et al., 2019. Simulating human exposure to indoor airborne microplastics  
809 using a Breathing Thermal Manikin. *Sci. Rep.* 9, 8670.

810 Viršek, M.K., Lovšin, M.N., Koren, S., Kržan, A., Peterlin, M., 2017. Microplastics as  
811 a vector for the transport of the bacterial fish pathogen species *Aeromonas*  
812 *salmonicida*. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 125, 301-309.

813 Vouga, M., Greub, G., 2016. Emerging bacterial pathogens: the past and beyond. *Clin.*  
814 *Microbiol. Infect.* 22, 12-21.

815 Wagner, M., Lambert, S., 2018. Freshwater microplastics. Emerging environmental  
816 contaminants? The Handbook of Environmental Chemistry book series, volume  
817 58. Springer, Cham. pp 303.

818 Waldman, W.R., Rillig, M.C., 2020. Microplastic research should embrace the  
819 complexity of secondary particles. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 54, 7751–7753.

820 Wang, J. et al., 2020. Evidence of selective enrichment of bacterial assemblages and  
821 antibiotic genes by microplastics in urban rivers. *Water Res.* 183, 116113.

822 Wang, L. et al., 2020. Bacterial community colonization on tire microplastics in typical  
823 urban water environments and associated impacting factors. *Environ. Pollut.*  
824 265, 114922.

825 Wang, Z. et al., 2021. Plastisphere enrich antibiotic resistance genes and potential  
826 pathogenic bacteria in sewage with pharmaceuticals. *Sci. Total Environ.* 768,  
827 144663.

828 Wilson, A.L., Courtenay, O., Kelly-Hope, L.A., Scott, T.W., Takken, W., Torr, S.J., et  
829 al., 2020. The importance of vector control for the control and elimination of  
830 vector-borne diseases. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* 14, e0007831.

831 Windsor, F.M., Tilley, R.M., Tyler, C.R., Ormerod, S.J., 2019. Microplastic ingestion  
832 by riverine macroinvertebrates. *Sci. Total Environ.* 646, 68-74.

833 Woodall, L.C. et al., 2014. The deep sea is a major sink for microplastic debris. *R. Soc.*  
834 *Open Sci.* 1, 140317.

835 Wright, S.L., Ulke, J., Font, A., Chan, K.L.A., Kelly, F.J., 2020. Atmospheric  
836 microplastic deposition in an urban environment and an evaluation of transport.  
837 *Environ. Int.* 136, 105411.

838 Wu, X. et al., 2019a. Selective enrichment of bacterial pathogens by microplastic  
839 biofilm. *Water Res.* 165, 114979.

840 Wu, P. et al., 2019b. A gut commensal bacterium promotes mosquito permissiveness  
841 to arboviruses. *Cell Host Microb.* 25, 101-112.

842 Xi, Z., Ramirez, J.L., Dimopoulos, G., 2008. The *Aedes aegypti* toll pathway controls  
843 dengue virus infection. PLoS Pathog. 4, e1000098.

844 Xue, N. et al., 2020. Increased inheritance of structure and function of bacterial  
845 communities and pathogens propagation in plastisphere along a river with  
846 increasing antibiotics pollution gradient. Environ. Pollut. 265, 114641.

847 Xu, C. et al., 2020. Are we underestimating the sources of microplastic pollution in  
848 terrestrial environment? J. Hazard. Mat. 400, 123228.

849 Yang, Y. et al., 2019. Plastics in the marine environment are reservoirs for antibiotic  
850 and metal resistance genes. Environ. Int. 123, 79-86.

851 Yi, M.L., Zhou, S.H., Zhang, L.L., Sing, S.Y., 2021. The effects of three different  
852 microplastics on enzyme activities and microbial communities in soil. Water  
853 Environ. Res. 93, 24-32.

854 Yilmaz, B. et al., 2020. Endocrin disrupting chemicals: exposure, effects on human  
855 health, mechanism of action, models for testing and strategies for prevention.  
856 Rev. Endocr. Metab. Disord. 21, 127-147.

857 Zalasiewicz, J. et al., 2016. The geological cycle of plastics and their use as a  
858 stratigraphic indicator of the Anthropocene. Anthropocene 13, 4-17.

859 Zarus, G.M., Muianga, C., Hunter, C.M., Pappas, R.S., 2021. A review of data for  
860 quantifying human exposures to micro and nanoplastics and potential health  
861 risks. Sci. Total Environ. 756, 144010.

862 Zettler, E.R., Mincer, T.J., Amaral-Zettler, L.A., 2013. Life in the “plastisphere”:  
863 microbial communities on plastic marine debris. Environ. Sci. Tech. 47, 7137-  
864 7146.

865 Zhang, M. et al., 2019. Microplastics from mulching film is a distinct habitat for  
866 bacteria in farmland soil. Sci. Total Environ. 688, 470-478.

867 Zhang, Y., Lu, J., Wu, J., Wang, J., Luo, Y., 2020. Potential risks of microplastics  
868 combined with superbugs: Enrichment of antibiotic resistant bacteria on the  
869 surface of microplastics in mariculture system. *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.* 187,  
870 109852.

871 Zhang, N. et al., 2021. You are what you eat: microplastics in the feces of young men  
872 living in Beijing. *Sci. Total Environ.* 767, 144345.

873 Zhang, R. et al., 2022. Antibiotics and antibiotic resistance genes in landfills: A review.  
874 *Sci. Total Environ.* 806, 150647.

875 Zhao, Y., Gao, J., Wang, Z., Dai, H., Wang, Y., 2020. Responses of bacterial  
876 communities and resistance genes on microplastics to antibiotics and heavy  
877 metals in sewage environment. *J. Hazard. Mat.* 402, 123550.

878 Zhu, D. et al., 2018. Exposure of soil collembolans to microplastics perturbs their gut  
879 microbiota and alters their isotopic composition. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 116, 302-  
880 310.

881 Zinsstag, J., Schelling, E., Waltner-Toews, D., Tanner M., 2011. From “one medicine”  
882 to “one health” and systemic approaches to health and well-being. *Prev. Vet.*  
883 *Med.* 101, 148-156.

884

885 **Table 1.** A non-exhaustive list of bacterial species that can potentially cause human diseases (including opportunistic pathogens) found on  
886 microplastics. We report the location and the environmental type to illustrate the diversity of sites and environments where microplastics have the  
887 potential to carry pathogenic bacteria.

<b>Class</b>	<b>Species</b>	<b>Location</b>	<b>Environmental type*</b>	<b>Reference</b>
<i>Alpha Proteobacteria</i>	<i>Brevundimonas spp</i>	China (Beijing)	sewage	(Zhao et al., 2020)
<i>Beta Proteobacteria</i>	<i>Aquabacterium spp</i>	China (Beijing)	sewage	(Zhao et al., 2020)
	<i>Neisseria spp</i>	China (Beijing)	sewage	(Wang et al., 2021)
<i>Gamma Proteobacteria</i>	<i>Acinetobacter beijerinckii</i>	Norway (Øygarden)	intertidal zone	(Radisic et al., 2020)
	<i>Acinetobacter spp</i>	China (Guangzhou)	lake water	(Gong et al., 2019)
	<i>Acinetobacter spp</i>	China (Urumqi river)	river water	(Xue et al., 2020)
	<i>Aeromonas popoffii</i>	Norway (Øygarden)	intertidal zone	(Radisic et al. 2020)
	<i>Alteromonas spp</i>	China (Sungo Bay)	mariculture, shoreline	(Sun et al., 2020)
	<i>Enterobacter spp</i>	Ireland (Carlow)	river	(Murphy et al., 2020)
	<i>Escherichia coli</i>	UK (Scotland)	bathing beaches	(Rodrigues et al., 2019)
	<i>Escherichia coli</i>	Brazil (Guanabara Bay)	mangrove, agricultural, urban areas	(Silva et al., 2019)
	<i>Escherichia coli</i>	Ireland (Carlow)	river	(Murphy et al., 2020)
	<i>Legionella spp</i>	China (Beijing)	sewage	(Zhao et al., 2020)
	<i>Legionella spp</i>	China (Beijing)	sewage	(Wang et al., 2021)
	<i>Morganella morganii</i>	Norway (Øygarden)	intertidal zone	(Radisic et al., 2020)
	<i>Pseudoalteromonas spp</i>	China (Haihe estuary)	estuarine	(Li et al., 2019)
	<i>Pseudoalteromonas spp</i>	China (Sungo Bay)	mariculture, shoreline	(Sun et al., 2020)
	<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	Texas (Gulf of Mexico)	coastal	(Sun et al., 2021)
	<i>Pseudomonas mendocina</i>	China (Haihe river)	river water	(Wu et al., 2019a)
	<i>Pseudomonas monteilii</i>	China (Haihe river)	river water	(Wu et al., 2019a)
	<i>Pseudomonas spp</i>	Singapore	coastline	(Curren et al., 2019)
	<i>Pseudomonas spp</i>	China (Urumqi river)	river water	(Xue et al., 2020)
	<i>Vibrio cholerae</i>	Brazil (Guanabara Bay)	mangrove, agricultural, urban areas	(Silva et al., 2019)
<i>Vibrio cholerae</i>	USA (Chesapeake Bay)	estuarine	(Lavery et al., 2020)	

	<i>Vibrio mimicus</i>	Brazil (Guanabara Bay)	mangrove, agricultural, urban areas	(Silva et al., 2019)
	<i>Vibrio parahaemolyticus</i>	North and Baltic sea	estuarine and coastal areas	(Kirstein et al., 2016)
	<i>Vibrio parahaemolyticus</i>	USA (Chesapeake Bay)	estuarine	(Lavery et al., 2020)
	<i>Vibrio vulnificus</i>	Brazil (Guanabara Bay)	mangrove, agricultural, urban areas	(Silva et al., 2019)
	<i>Vibrio vulnificus</i>	USA (Chesapeake Bay)	estuarine	(Lavery et al., 2020)
	<i>Vibrio spp</i>	Singapore	coastline	(Curren et al., 2019)
	<i>Vibrio spp</i>	UK (Scotland)	bathing beaches	(Rodrigues et al., 2019)
	<i>Vibrio spp</i>	China (Sungo Bay)	mariculture, shoreline	(Sun et al., 2020)
<i>Epsilon Proteobacteria</i>	<i>Arcobacter spp</i>	UK (Humber estuary)	estuarine	(Harrison et al., 2014)
	<i>Arcobacter spp</i>	USA (Chicago)	streams	(McCormick et al., 2016)
	<i>Arcobacter spp</i>	Singapore	coastline	(Curren et al., 2019)
	<i>Arcobacter spp</i>	Ireland (Carlow)	river	(Murphy et al., 2020)
	<i>Arcobacter spp</i>	China (Beijing)	sewage	(Wang et al., 2021)
	<i>Helicobacter spp</i>	Ireland (Carlow)	river	(Murphy et al., 2020)
<i>Actinobacteria</i>	<i>Corynebacterium spp</i>	China (Shanghai)	landfill leachate	(Su et al., 2021)
	<i>Mycobacterium spp</i>	China (Beijing)	sewage	(Zhao et al., 2020)
	<i>Mycobacterium spp</i>	China (Beijing)	sewage	(Wang et al., 2021)
	<i>Mycobacterium spp</i>	China (Henan province)	soil	(Yi et al., 2021)
<i>Clostridia</i>	<i>Clostridium perfringens</i>	Ireland (Carlow)	river	(Murphy et al., 2020)
	<i>Clostridium spp</i>	Singapore	coastline	(Curren et al., 2019)
	<i>Clostridium spp</i>	China (Guangzhou)	lake water	(Gong et al., 2019)
	<i>Clostridium spp</i>	China (Beijing)	sewage	(Wang et al., 2021)
<i>Flavobacteria</i>	<i>Chryseobacterium spp</i>	China (Guangzhou)	lake water	(Gong et al., 2019)

888 \* Environmental type refers to both *in situ* sampling and microplastic exposure to environmental samples under experimental  
889 conditions.

890 **Figure captions**

891

892 **Figure 1.**

893 Microplastics are pervasive pollutants found in virtually all environments (black  
894 diamonds: 1- aquatic systems, such as oceans, rivers, ponds or swamps; 2- terrestrial  
895 systems, such as agricultural land, meadows or forests). Sources of microplastic  
896 pollution are also widespread, involving plastic emission from (asterisks): 1- cities,  
897 villages, 2- roads, 3- sewage sludge, 4- atmospheric deposition. Particles smaller than  
898 1µm can persist as suspended particles in the air. Environmental effects of microplastic  
899 pollution involve a diversity of mechanisms. (A) and (B) refer to the possible  
900 consequences of microplastic pollution on disease emergence in two specific  
901 environments, as detailed in Figure 2 and 3, respectively.

902

903 **Figure 2.**

904 Bacteria along with fungi or algae can readily form a biofilm on microplastics (here  
905 only bacteria are represented to not overload the figure). First, bacteria, both resistant  
906 (red cells) and susceptible ones (blue cells) are attaching to the fragment of microplastic  
907 (1). Binding of trace metals (2) and antibiotics (3) to microplastics can strengthen the  
908 selection on resistance genes, resulting in increased exposure of humans to multi-drug  
909 resistant bacteria. Biofilm i) confers protection from environmental stress and enhance  
910 bacterial persistence, ii) favors horizontal gene transfer potentially promoting the  
911 spread of resistant bacteria over susceptible ones.

912 The combination of enhanced bacterial persistence and the longevity of microplastics  
913 makes them ideal vehicles of potentially pathogenic bacteria from polluted sites (e.g.,  
914 wastewater treatment plants where microplastics, antibiotics, trace metals, multi-drug



915 resistant bacteria can be found at high concentration) to natural environments.

916

917 **Figure 3.**

918 Microplastics can exert direct and indirect effects on invertebrate vectors (e.g.,  
919 mosquitoes) or intermediate species (e.g., snails) of human infectious diseases (e.g.,  
920 malaria, dengue, schistosomiasis, river blindness). Direct toxicity of microplastics on  
921 vector's life history traits might result in a reduction of disease transmission risk.  
922 However, the disruption of vector homeostasis due to plastic debris and/or the  
923 interference of the plastisphere with the vector's microbiota might also result in a better  
924 vectorial capacity, and increase the risk of disease transmission. Even in the absence of  
925 toxicity in vectors, microplastic pollution might affect their population dynamics  
926 through changes in the abundance of interacting species (i.e., predators and  
927 competitors), producing an increased risk of disease transmission.

928

929

930

931

932

933

934

935

936

937

938



939

940 Figure 1

941

942

943

944

945

946


947

948

949

950

951



953 Figure 2

954

955

956

957


958

959

960

961

962



963 Figure 3

964

965

966

967

968