

Comprehensive Insights into the Production of Long Chain Aliphatic Aldehydes Using a Copper-Radical Alcohol Oxidase as Biocatalyst

David Ribeaucourt, Bastien Bissaro, Victor Guallar, Mehdi Yemloul, Mireille Haon, Sacha Grisel, Véronique Alphand, Harry Brumer, Fanny Lambert, Jean-Guy Berrin, et al.

To cite this version:

David Ribeaucourt, Bastien Bissaro, Victor Guallar, Mehdi Yemloul, Mireille Haon, et al.. Comprehensive Insights into the Production of Long Chain Aliphatic Aldehydes Using a Copper-Radical Alcohol Oxidase as Biocatalyst. ACS Sustainable Chemistry & Engineering, 2021, 9 (12), pp.4411- 4421. $10.1021/acss$ uschemeng.0c07406. hal-03619465

HAL Id: hal-03619465 <https://hal.science/hal-03619465>

Submitted on 3 May 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Comprehensive insights into the production of long chain aliphatic aldehydes using a copper-radical alcohol oxidase as biocatalyst

David Ribeaucourt1,2,3, Bastien Bissaro¹ , Victor Guallar4,5 , Mehdi Yemloul² , Mireille Haon¹ ,

Sacha Grisel¹ , Véronique Alphand² , Harry Brumer⁶ , Fanny Lambert³ , Jean-Guy Berrin1 and*

*Mickael Lafond2**

¹INRAE, Aix Marseille Univ, UMR1163 Biodiversité et Biotechnologie Fongiques, 13009, Marseille, France

2 Aix Marseille Univ, CNRS, Centrale Marseille, iSm2, Marseille, France

³V. Mane Fils, 620 route de Grasse, 06620 Le Bar sur Loup, France

4 Joint BSC-CRG-IRB Research Program in Computational Biology, Barcelona Supercomputing Center, Jordi Girona 29, E-08034 Barcelona, Spain

5 Institució Catalana de Recerca i Estudis Avançats (ICREA), 08010 Barcelona, Spain

⁶Michael Smith Laboratories and Department of Chemistry, University of British Columbia, 2185 East Mall, Vancouver, BC, V6T 1Z4, Canada

* corresponding authors: Jean-Guy Berrin (jean-guy.berrin@inrae.fr) and Mickael Lafond

(mickael.lafond@univ-amu.fr)

ABSTRACT

1 The oxidation of alcohols is a cornerstone reaction in chemistry, notably in the flavors and 2 fragrances industry where long chain aliphatic aldehydes are major odorant compounds. In a 3 context where greener alternatives are sought after, biocatalysis holds many promises. Here, we 4 investigated the ability of the alcohol oxidase from *Colletotrichum graminicola* (*Cgr*AlcOx) – an 5 organic cofactor-free enzyme belonging to the copper-radical oxidases (CROs) class – to convert 6 industrially-relevant long chain aliphatic alcohols. *Cgr*AlcOx is a competent catalyst for the 7 conversion of octan-1-ol, when supported by the accessory enzymes peroxidase and catalase. 8 Detailed examination of the products revealed the occurrence of an overoxidation step leading to 9 the production of carboxylic acid for some aliphatic aldehydes and benzaldehyde derivatives. The 10 partition between aldehyde and acid products varied upon substrate properties (chain length and 11 propensity to form *geminal*-diols), enzyme specificity, and could be tuned by controlling the 12 reaction conditions. *In silico* analyses suggested an inhibitory binding mode of long chain aliphatic 13 *geminal*-diols and a substrate-induced fit mechanism for a benzyl alcohol-derivative. By 14 demonstrating their natural ability to perform long chain aliphatic alcohol oxidation, the present 15 study establishes the potential of fungal CRO-AlcOx as promising candidates for the green 16 production of flavors and fragrances compounds. 17 18

- 19 KEYWORDS: Biocatalysts, Copper-Radical Oxidases, Alcohol-Oxidases, Long Chain Aliphatic 20 Alcohols, Fragrant Aldehydes.
- 21
- 22

24 **INTRODUCTION**

26 The oxidation of alcohols to aldehydes is a major reaction in the fine chemical industry¹⁻³. 27 Aldehydes are key intermediates for organic synthesis in applications such as pharmaceuticals or 28 alkene synthesis⁴, but also valuable final products such as flavors and fragrances ingredients⁵⁻⁷. 29 Traditional chemical processes for the oxidation of alcohols usually entail the use of toxic catalysts 30 such as chromium VI⁸, hence calling for the development of eco-friendly alternatives. Yet, many 31 challenges obstruct the different biocatalytic paths that can be envisioned, especially for the water 32 insoluble and poorly reactive unactivated long chain aliphatic alcohols. Amongst the broad class 33 of aldehydes, long chain aliphatic aldehydes from C6 to $C10^{9-12}$ are of main importance for the 34 flavor and fragrance industry. Indeed, such aldehydes have been identified as one of the most 35 prominent classes of "key food odorants"¹¹, and are also major fragrance ingredients, used in 36 quasi-all types of perfumes^{6,13}. Typically, long chain aliphatic aldehydes provide green, fruity, $f(37)$ fresh, citrus-like, fatty, or the so-called aldehydic notes^{14,15}. Long chain aliphatic aldehydes can be 38 enzymatically obtained *via* reduction of their acid counterpart using carboxylic acid reductases 39 (CARs - EC 1.2.1.30). However, CARs are intracellular FAD-dependent enzymes, requiring ATP 40 supply and NADP/NADPH recycling systems¹⁶, which renders their use hardly compatible with 41 industrial constraints. Alternatively, long chain aliphatic aldehydes can be obtained *via* the 42 oxidation of the corresponding alcohols but only a handful of long chain alcohol oxidoreductases 43 have hitherto been discovered, characterized and engineered for this purpose^{12,17,18}. These 44 oxidoreductases include NAD(P)⁺-dependent alcohol dehydrogenases (ADHs - EC 1.1.1.1) and 45 flavin-dependent alcohol oxidases (FAD-AOXs - EC 1.1.3.13)¹⁹. ADHs are well-established 46 biocatalysts despite the reversible, unfavorable and nicotinamide-dependent nature of the alcohol

47 oxidation reaction they catalyze. On the other hand, FAD-AOXs offer irreversible oxidation of 48 alcohols with the aid of molecular O_2 and a flavin cofactor tightly bound to the enzyme^{17,20}.

49

50 Copper radical oxidases (CROs) belonging to the Auxiliary Activity Family 5 subfamily 2 51 (AA5_2) – according to the CAZy classification^{21,22} (www.cazy.org) – represent a promising 52 alternative to these two systems. They are organic cofactor free enzymes bearing two redox 53 centers: a copper ion and a 3'-(S-cysteinyl)-tyrosine (Cys-Tyr) free radical²³. They catalyze the 54 oxidation of alcohols to aldehyde with the concomitant reduction of O_2 to H_2O_2 (Scheme 1). They 55 are often use in conjugation with catalase – to remove deleterious H_2O_2 – and peroxidase (*e.g.*) 56 horseradish peroxidase – HRP) for their activation²⁴. For many years, the only characterized 57 member from this family was the canonical galactose 6-oxidase (EC 1.1.3.9) from *Fusarium* 58 *graminearum* $(FgrGalOx)^{25}$. Recently, a new type of alcohol oxidases, was found in this family. 59 These enzymes, from *Colletotrichum graminicola* (*Cgr*AlcOx) & *C. gloeosporoides* (*Cgl*AlcOx), 60 were described as competent aromatic- and aliphatic- primary alcohol oxidases²⁶. Two 61 homologues from *C. higginsianum* and *Magnaporthe oryzae* – anamorph *Pyricularia oryzae* – have also been described, but only tested on short-chain aliphatic-alcohols²⁷. A paralogous enzyme 63 from *C. graminicola* (*Cgr*AAO) was recently reported to be highly active on aromatic alcohols 64 (EC 1.1.3.7) and 5-hydroxymethylfurfural (HMF, EC 1.1.3.47), but lacked activity on long chain 65 aliphatic alcohols²⁸. Overall, the broad substrate scope covered by these recently characterized 66 fungal enzymes highlights the catalytic potential within the AA5_2 protein family. A striking 67 example of such catalytic promiscuity was recently unveiled in a variant of the *Fgr*GalOx, 68 unlocking production of nitriles from alcohols in presence of ammonia²⁹. Yet, despite their 69 intrinsic and unique biocatalytic abilities, and in contrast to ADH and AOX systems¹⁹ or the

- 70 archetypal *Fgr*GalOx and its engineered variants that have been harnessed for multiple
- 71 applications^{24,30–37}, the CRO-AlcOx have hitherto received little attention as biocatalysts for the
- 72 oxidation of industrially relevant alcohols.

74 **Scheme 1: Reaction scheme of alcohol oxidation to aldehyde by CROs from the AA5_2 subfamily.** 75 The main states of the two redox centers (copper ion and Cys-Tyr free radical) are depicted in blue.
76 Accessory enzymes commonly used to activate the CROs (*i.e.* peroxidase) and to remove deleterious H_2O_2 Accessory enzymes commonly used to activate the CROs (*i.e.* peroxidase) and to remove deleterious H₂O₂ 77 (*i.e.* catalase) are shown in orange and green boxes respectively.

78

79 Here, we present a new biocatalytic route for the production of odorant aldehydes, with a focus 80 on industrially-relevant aromatic and long chain aliphatic compounds. We report for the first-time 81 large-scale production of the *Cgr*AlcOx and propose general guidelines for its use as green catalyst 82 for the oxidation of primary long chain aliphatic alcohols. Combining biochemical assays and *in* 83 *silico* modelling we provide unprecedented insights into the reaction determinants driving the 84 formation of aldehyde and controlling the subsequent, potential and multifactorial overoxidation 85 into carboxylic acid.

87 **RESULTS**

88

89 **Large-scale production of** *Cgr***AlcOx and analytical set up for bioconversion.**

90 Biotechnological application of enzyme is frequently hampered by low recombinant production 91 yield. As a first step towards a scalable process, we developed larger-scale heterologous production 92 of *Cgr*AlcOx in bioreactor using the yeast *Pichia pastoris*, which yielded up to 250 mg of purified 93 enzyme per liter of culture (Figure S1). The specific activities of both *Cgr*AlcOx recombinant 94 enzymes produced in flask and in bioreactor were similar (Figure S1D). The recombinant 95 *Cgr*AlcOx produced in bioreactor was further used in all the subsequent experiments described in 96 the manuscript.

97 The analysis of reaction mixtures involving poorly water-soluble long chain aliphatic 98 alcohols/aldehydes calls for the use of alternative methods than the indirect ABTS/HRP coupled 99 assay, routinely used for CROs. To this end, we implemented a gas chromatography (GC)-FID 100 analytical method. The first assays, run with the reference substrate benzyl alcohol (BnOH), 101 confirmed the requirement of the accessory enzymes catalase and/or HRP, to fulfill complete 102 conversion of BnOH³⁸ (Figure S2). Nevertheless and interestingly, we here showed that as little 103 as 5 nM of catalase (Figure S2C) are enough to reach full conversion while the HRP must be added 104 in quasi-stoichiometric amounts (relative to the AlcOx, *i.e.* in the µM range; Figure S2D).

105

106 *Cgr***AlcOx is a competent catalyst for the full conversion of octan-1-ol.**

107 The next step was dedicated to the study of oxidation of octan-1-ol, often used as a model of 108 non-activated primary long chain aliphatic alcohols⁹. The corresponding aldehyde, *i.e.* octanal, is 109 a molecule with valuable aroma properties, naturally found in citrus essential oils^{14,39}. As observed 110 for the conversion of BnOH (Figure S2), the conversion of octan-1-ol to octanal did not surpass

111 60% with *Cgr*AlcOx only, while almost full consumption of the substrate was reached with the 112 addition of both accessory enzymes (12 μ M HRP and 0.5 μ M catalase) to the reaction (Figure 1A). 113 Catalase, when added alone, showed only minor enhancement of *Cgr*AlcOx-mediated conversion 114 of octan-1-ol (Figure 1C), while HRP at high concentration $(>3.5 \mu M)$ exhibited a much more 115 significant effect (Figure 1D). This result is the first report of complete turnover of an aliphatic 116 unactivated primary alcohol by a CRO-AlcOx (with a turnover number – TON – of 3000). Indeed, 117 previous attempts to convert the shorter alcohol butan-1-ol with the same enzyme (*Cgr*AlcOx), 118 but under non-optimized reaction conditions, failed to surpass 30 % conversion (TON = 1413)²⁶. 119 Importantly, the formation of a new product, namely octanoic acid, was monitored and identified 120 when HRP was added at high loading ($> 3.5 \mu$ M) (Figure 1A, B & D & S3). The presence of acid 121 might indicate an overoxidation process, never highlighted before for any CRO-AlcOx. Of note, 122 this product was not observed for any of the tested catalase concentrations (Figure 1C). Such 123 overoxidation is undesired in the scope of flavors and fragrances inasmuch as the acid generates 124 off-flavors and additional purification steps for isolation of the aldehyde. Understanding and 125 control of this phenomenon is therefore required.

126

127 **Figure 1.** *Cgr***AlcOx-mediated oxidation of octan-1-ol. (A)** Oxidation of octan-1-ol by the 128 *Cgr*AlcOx in the presence or absence of HRP (12 µM) and catalase (8 µM). **(B)** GC-FID 129 chromatograms of reactions catalyzed by *Cgr*AlcOx in the presence or absence of HRP (12 μ M) 130 or catalase (8 µM). (**C & D**) Oxidation of octan-1-ol by the *Cgr*AlcOx with increasing 131 concentrations of catalase and HRP respectively. All reactions were incubated for 16 hours. 132 *CgrAlcOx was used at 1 µM with 3 mM octan-1-ol. Error bars show s.d. (independent* 133 experiments, $n = 3$).

135 **Investigation of the overoxidation process**

136 To investigate the mechanism underlying the overoxidation observed in our enzymatic reactions,

137 two hypotheses were probed:

138 (1) The aldehyde is overoxidized to the acid by *Cgr*AlcOx *via* a *geminal-*diol (*gem*-diol) 139 intermediate that would act as a secondary substrate (Scheme 2). This oxidation pathway is a 140 plausible route^{40,41}, as notably reported for some $ADHs^{42,43}$, FAD-AOXs^{17,44}, AA5_2 GalOx^{45–49} 141 and AA5 1^{50} (glyoxal oxidases, GLOX, EC 1.2.3.15).

142 (2) The aldehyde is overoxidized *via* a non-enzymatic mechanism or by the accessory enzymes 143 (*i.e.* HRP and/or catalase) added into the reaction.

145 **Scheme 2. Aldehyde oxidation to carboxylic acid** *via* **non-enzymatic hydration followed by** 146 **enzymatic oxidation of the** *gem***-diol intermediate**.

144

147 In the *gem*-diol hypothesis, as suggested in a previous study³⁸, the mechanism would be substrate 148 dependent. Indeed, some aldehydes (such as aliphatic aldehydes) are more prone than others to 149 undergo hydration⁵¹. For instance, hydration constant (K_H) values of 0.75 M⁻¹ *vs* 0.01 M⁻¹ were 150 reported³⁸ for hexanal and PhCHO, respectively^{52,53}. Of note, K_H values for octanal and hexanal 151 are expected to be similar as the increase in carbon chain-length has a minor effect^{52,54}. To probe 152 this first hypothesis, starting with the benchmark substrate BnOH, and on the basis of former 153 studies^{44,48,55}, we firstly chose two BnOH analogues bearing either an electron-withdrawing group 154 (EWG) or an electron-donating group (EDG) to affect the K_H value. ¹H-NMR analysis confirmed 155 that the *gem*-diol was formed (24 %) in aqueous conditions only for the aldehyde bearing an EWG 156 (4-nitro-benzaldehyde; 4-NO2-PhCHO) in contrast to 4-methyl-benzaldehyde (4-Me-PhCHO) 157 (Figure 2A). Subsequently, we carried out conversion experiments using these BnOH analogues 158 as substrates, in the presence of *Cgr*AlcOx, HRP and catalase. Quasi-full conversion to the 159 corresponding carboxylic acid was obtained for 4-NO₂-BnOH while in the same conditions 4-Me160 BnOH conversion produced only aldehyde (Figure 2B & S3C-D), steering towards the *gem*-diol

161 hypothesis (see discussion for more details).

164 Figure 2. Conversion of 4-NO₂-BnOH and 4-Me-BnOH by the *CgrAlcOx.* (A) ¹H-NMR 165 spectra of the aldehydes 4-NO2-PhCHO and 4-Me-PhCHO in aqueous media. **(B)** GC-FID 166 chromatograms of 4-NO2-BnOH and 4-Me-BnOH (3 mM each) conversions by the *Cgr*AlcOx (1 167 μ M) incubated for 16 hours in the presence of HRP (12 μ M) and catalase (0.5 μ M). In panel B, 168 the retention time of the substrates and oxidation products is displayed in plain text.

169 Time course monitoring of 4-NO2-BnOH oxidation by the *Cgr*AlcOx (supported by both 170 accessory enzymes) revealed that the alcohol was readily converted to aldehyde within five 171 minutes (Figure 3A & B), while the overoxidation product was only detected after 30 minutes of 172 reaction. Incubation of the aldehyde 4-NO₂-PhCHO for 16 hours with only HRP (12 μ M) or 173 catalase (8 μ M) showed no oxidation to the carboxylic acid form (Figure S4). Altogether, these 174 experiments indicate a *Cgr*AlcOx-mediated aldehyde oxidation. Nevertheless, support of the

175 *Cgr*AlcOx by both accessory enzymes is required to observe full conversion to the acid, providing 176 also a more rapid reaction than with one or the other accessory enzyme added individually (Figure 177 S5). Noteworthily, the time course consumption of the aldehyde 4-NO₂-PhCHO appeared to be 178 very similar whether the latter was produced *in situ* (Figure 3A) or added as initial substrate (Figure 179 S5D).

182 **Figure 3. Time course of 4-NO2-BnOH** (**A & B) and octan-1-ol (C & D) oxidation by the** 183 *Cgr***AlcOx (1 µM) supported by HRP (12 µM) and catalase (0.5 µM)**. Panels B & D are zoom-184 in views (0-30 minutes) of panels A & C, respectively. Reactions contained 3 mM substrates. The 185 legend in panel C applies for all panels. Each time course is the result of multiple replicates of the 186 same reaction stopped at different time points.

187 Based on the results gathered on the model benzyl-alcohol derivatives, we then probed the 188 reactivity of some long chain aliphatic compounds. ¹H-NMR data confirmed the existence of 189 hydrated *gem*-diol form for hexanal (C6; ~ 48 % of *gem*-diol) and octanal (C8; ~ 45 %) (Figure 190 S6), however solubility of decanal (C10) in D_2O was too poor to monitor a suitable signal. Time 191 course analysis of octan-1-ol oxidation showed a very fast full conversion (*i.e.* 15 minutes) of 192 octan-1-ol to octanal (Figure 3C & D) followed by a lag-phase of two to five hours before the 193 detection of overoxidation to the acid. In contrast to 4-NO₂-BnOH overoxidation, only partial 194 conversion to the acid form was reached after 16 hours of reaction with both accessory enzymes.

195 To elucidate the origin of overoxidation for each substrates and ensure control over it in applied 196 settings, we incubated in parallel reactions the different aldehydes during 16 hours, in various 197 conditions: (a) in buffer, (b) with *Cgr*AlcOx, (c) with the accessory enzymes (*i.e*. HRP or catalase) 198 (d) with a set of oxidants likely to be present in the reaction mixture i.e. H_2O_2 (released during 199 *Cgr*AlcOx turnover), free-copper (the first redox-catalytic center of the *Cgr*AlcOx), and free-200 hemin (the redox-cofactor of the HRP). For long chain aliphatic aldehydes, the production of acid 201 seems correlated with the carbon chain length: no oxidation was observed with C6 (Figure 4A & 202 S7), whereas C8 and C10 aldehydes were partially oxidized to the corresponding carboxylic acid 203 after 16 hours of incubation (Figure 4B, 4C, S7 & Table S1). Also, in contrast to the *Cgr*AlcOx-204 dependent overoxidation of 4-NO₂-PhCHO, the overoxidation of C8 and C10 aldehydes could be 205 observed in the presence of HRP alone (Figure 4B, 4C & Figure S8). Keeping in mind that it is 206 well-known that heme encased within HRP is itself an oxidant⁵⁶, we performed control reactions. 207 When HRP was replaced with free hemin we observed a similar oxidation of the C8 and C10 208 aldehydes (Figure 4B & C) suggesting that the oxidation of long chain aliphatic aldehydes in 209 presence of HRP might be independent from its peroxidase activity but more likely due to the 210 presence of its cofactor.

212 **Figure 4. Yield of carboxylic acid detected after incubation of (A) hexanal, (B) octanal, and** 213 **(C) decanal with various reagents**. All reactions were carried out in sodium phosphate buffer (50 214 mM, pH 8) at 23°C, under stirring (190 *rpm*), and incubated for 16 hours. The reaction mixture 215 contained 3 mM aldehyde and one of the following enzymatic or inorganic reagent: *Cgr*AlcOx (1 216 μ M), catalase (8 μ M), H₂O₂ (3 mM), CuSO₄ (1 μ M), HRP (12 μ M) or hemin (10 μ M). Reactions 217 were monitored by GC-FID and results expressed in percentage of acid compared to initial quantity 218 of aldehyde added. Error bars show s.d. (independent experiments, $n = 3$). Extended set of data is 219 available in Table S1. **2213**
 221 AlcOx catalysis, we carried out molecular modeling analyses.
 221 AlcOx catalysis, we carried out molecular modelling analyses.
 221 AlcOx catalysis, we carried out molecular modelling analyses.
 221 Al

220 To get a deeper understanding of the distinctive role of aldehyde and *gem*-diol forms in CRO-

222 **Molecular modeling provides computational evidences for long chain aliphatic** *gem***-diols** 223 **inhibition and suggests substrate induced-fit into** *Cgr***AlcOx active site.**

224 Protein Energy Landscape Exploration (PELE)^{57,58} was applied to simulate ligand-protein 225 interaction and predict optimal docking of $4-NO_2$ -benzyl- and octan- derivatives – *i.e.* alcohols, 226 aldehydes and *gem*-diols – into *Cgr*AlcOx active site (PDB $5C92^{26}$; Figure 5) As expected, 227 aldehydes did not show favorable energy profiles, suggesting a poor binding of both benzyl- and 228 long chain aliphatic aldehydes when they are non-hydrated (Figure 5A-B & S9). Alcohols showed 229 plausible interaction energies at the proximity of copper ion $(4 \text{ Å Cu-C}$ distances), although more 230 nuanced for octan-1-ol compared to BnOH and 4-NO2-BnOH. *Gem-*diols derivatives showed the 231 lowest interaction energy, next to the copper region (Figure 5A & B), likely suggesting binding of 232 these molecules (Figure 5C & D). In the case of octanal *gem*-diol an alternative binding position, 233 located at ~ 8 Å from the copper ion (Figure 5B & D), suggests a possible "non-productive" 234 binding. Overall, catalysis and computational studies suggest that *gem*-diols display favorable 235 properties for binding into *Cgr*AlcOx active site, yet with different outcomes. Indeed, in the case 236 of benzyl derivatives *gem*-diols, *in vitro* experiments indicate that this binding leads to an 237 overoxidation to carboxylic acid. In contrast, for unactivated aliphatic substrates, octanal-derived 238 *gem*-diols most probably cause enzyme inhibition through a non-productive binding. This 239 conclusion is supported by previous observations of impeded conversions of shorter aliphatic 240 alcohols²⁶ and inhibition phenomenon by aliphatic aldehydes³⁸. Accordingly, we observed an 241 inhibitory effect of exogenously added octanal on *Cgr*AlcOx-mediated oxidation of octan-1-ol 242 (IC50 = 3.19 mM \pm 0.19; Figure S10).

244 **Figure 5. PELE's interaction energy plots for 4-NO2-benzyl-alcohol (A) and C8-alcohol (B),** 245 **aldehyde and** *gem***-diol within** *Cgr***AlcOx active site and corresponding docking for the** *gem-*246 **diols derivatives (C & D).** Y axis PELE's interaction energy (in kcal.mol⁻¹). X axis distance (in 247 Å) of the carbon (hosting the alcohol or carbonyl groups) to the Cu metal ion (shown as an orange 248 sphere in panels C and D). Copper coordination residues are shown by transparency in light purple 249 in panels C and D. The residues numbering shown in panel C applies for panel D likewise.

250 PELE's simulations also revealed a possible substrate-induced fit mechanism in the active site 251 of the *Cgr*AlcOx. Indeed, models suggest that the side chains of hydrophobic residues at the 252 entrance of the active site pocket such as W39, F138, M173 and F303 could undergo a slight 253 rotation (Figure S11A) to favor the binding of $4-NO_2-BnOH$. The active site topology is similar

254 whether the enzyme is substrate-free (Figure S11B) or in complex with octan-1-ol (Figure S11C) 255 or BnOH (Figure S11D) while a wider pocket is visible in the case of 4-NO₂-BnOH (Figure S11E). 256 Such flexibility in the active site could play a significant role in the ability of *Cgr*AlcOx to accept 257 a broad range of substrates.

258 During the course of PELE modelling experiments, we also probed the recognition by *Cgr*AlcOx 259 of various aliphatic alcohols with chain lengths ranging from C2 to C10. A clear trend stood out: 260 the longer the chain length the lower the binding energy (see below). These simulations prompted 261 us to verify this trend by enzymatic assays.

262 The determination of kinetic parameters (Table 1 & Figure S12) revealed that while k_{cat} values 263 for C7-C10 alcohols remained constant, the K_m values showed a slight but constant decrease with 264 the increase in aliphatic chain length, a trend previously observed for shorter alcohols $(C2-C7)^{26}$. 265 As a comparative control, we verified that the kinetic parameters for C7 alcohol determined here 266 and by Yin and colleagues²⁶ were similar.

267

268 **Table 1. Kinetic parameters of** *Cgr***AlcOx for several long chain aliphatic alcohols** 269 **determined by the spectrophotometric ABTS/HRP coupled assay using 1 nM** *Cgr***AlcOx.**

Substrate	$k_{\text{cat}}\,(\text{s}^{-1})$	$K_{\rm m}$ (mM)	$k_{\text{cat}}/K_{\text{m}}$ (s ⁻¹ ,M ⁻¹)	Reference
Heptan-1-ol	100 ± 1	0.19 ± 0.01	5.3 x 10^5	Yin <i>et al.</i> ²⁶
	85.8 ± 1.016	$0.19 + 0.01$	4.52×10^5	This work
Octan-1-ol	$84.4 + 1.3$	0.14 ± 0.01	6.03×10^5	This work
Decan-1-ol	$87.5 + 2.2$	$0.12 + 0.02$	7.29×10^5	This work

271 Attempting to relate experimental kinetic parameters to docking results, a few facts need to be 272 laid out. The characteristic 'ping-pong' CRO's catalytic cycle can be divided into two half-

273 reactions, a reductive half-reaction that entails enzyme active site reduction upon substrate 274 oxidation, and a subsequent oxidative half-reaction where the enzyme is re-oxidized into its initial 275 state while O_2 is reduced into H_2O_2 . Studies performed on the *Fgr*GalOx indicate that the oxidative 276 half-reaction is not rate-limiting for $\text{AA}5_2\text{s}^{59,60}$, implying that k_{cat} reflects directly the rate of the 277 reductive half-reaction. Furthermore, the facts that the *kcat* value is not impacted by the nature of 278 the oxidized substrate (Table 1), and is theoretically independent from the K_d , indicate that once 279 the substrate is bound, the chemical reaction (*i.e.* CH₂-OH \rightarrow CHO) occurs at the same rate-280 limiting speed. All these considerations allow us to predict that variations in K_m and K_d values 281 should be directly correlated, meaning that the observed decrease in *K*m for longer chain lengths 282 should reflects an increase in affinity. The correlation observed when plotting PELE's substrate 283 binding energy for C2 to C8, and C10 straight chain saturated primary alcohols against 284 experimental log (K_m) values obtained here and previously²⁶ provides support to our simulation 285 results and further suggests that the observed decrease in *K*m for longer chain lengths may reflects 286 an increase in affinity (K_d) (Figure 6).

289 **Figure 6. Relationship between the average (top quartile) binding energies (C-Cu distances** 290 **< 4Å) determined by PELE and experimental log (***K***m) values for C2 to C8, and C10 straight** 291 **chain saturated primary alcohols with** *Cgr***AlcOx.**

292

294

293 **Preparative-scale experiment**

295 To support the industrial applicability of *Cgr*AlcOx catalyst, the knowledge acquired in the 296 present study was harnessed in a scaled-up experiment. To this end, we harvested the supernatant 297 of a *Cgr*AlcOx-producing *P. pastoris* bioreactor and used it directly as crude enzyme solution. 298 One liter of crude enzyme was mixed to 2 g of octan-1-ol and allowed to react during 30 min to 299 limit overoxidation. After extraction with organic solvent, GC analysis revealed that 0.72 g of 300 octanal, 0.61 g of octan-1-ol and 0.03 g of octanoic acid were recovered. Interestingly, a parallel 301 reaction run with the same concentrations of substrate and accessory enzymes but at 10 mL volume 302 resulted in almost full conversion into aldehyde (92 % of C8ALD; 4.1 % of C8OH and 3.6 % of 303 C8AC), suggesting that the limited rate of conversion observed for the gram-scale experiment 304 might be due to experimental constraints (*e.g.* stirring, vessel etc.) and should be further optimized.

305 **DISCUSSION** 306

307 In the current context, biotechnologies constitute the most promising alternative for the 308 production of long chain aliphatic aldehydes as odorants in a flavors and fragrances market 309 affected by an increasing demand for green and/or natural products that traditional production 310 means cannot provide^{$61,62$}. We herein demonstrate that a fungal CRO-AlcOx can achieve full 311 conversion of some long chain aliphatic alcohols (*i.e.* hexan-1-ol and octan-1-ol) to yield the 312 corresponding industrially-relevant aldehydes, within a few minutes, under mild conditions: water 313 as solvent and O2 as co-substrate. To the best of our knowledge, very few studies have reported 314 the efficient conversion of unactivated long chain aliphatic alcohols. A recent contribution to this 315 field indicates that a mutant from a FAD-dependent choline oxidase from *Arthrobacter* 316 *cholorphenolicus* exhibited activity on some long chain aliphatic alcohols, with best performance 317 on C4-C7 substrates¹⁸. The authors reported for this mutant a k_{cat}/K_m value on hexan-1-ol 1000-318 fold lower than for the *Cgr*AlcOx and described full conversion of C6-C9 long chain aliphatic 319 alcohols (10 mM) within 24 hours at 30° C, using 1 mg.mL⁻¹ of enzyme, with an approximative 320 turnover frequency of 7 x 10^{-3} s⁻¹. Comparatively, the *CgrAlcOx was here used at 52 µg.mL*⁻¹ to 321 convert C6 and C8 alcohols (3 mM) in 15 minutes at 23°C exhibiting a turnover frequency of 3.3 322 s^{-1} . These promising results prompted us to attempt a gram scale reaction, which lead to the 323 recovery of 0.72 g of octanal using a crude *Cgr*AlcOx from bioreactor supernatant. This first proof 324 of concept highlights the potential of CRO-AlcOx as biocatalysts for the production of long chain 325 aliphatic aldehydes.

326 In this study, we also provide insights into the mechanism of the *Cgr*AlcOx by comparing the 327 oxidation of benzyl alcohol and derivatives and long chain aliphatic alcohols (Figure 7).

330 **Figure 7. Overview of the proposed oxidation mechanism by** *Cgr***AlcOx of benzyl alcohol (A)** 331 **and derivatives and long chain aliphatic alcohols (B).**

332 It is now well established that recombinant CROs require accessory enzymes to harness their 333 full potential: catalase for protection against H_2O_2 and HRP for activation, the main resting-state 334 of the enzyme being inactivated^{63–65}. Yet, as reported for GalOx, a minor fraction of the enzyme 335 pool is activated and likely explain the basal level of conversion observed without HRP or catalase, 336 before reaching an inactivated form due to the H_2O_2 effect or undergoing "off-cycle 337 inactivation^{"66}. Here, results suggest different requirement in accessory enzymes according to the 338 substrate to be oxidized. Indeed, rapid conversions require both HRP and catalase, confirming that 339 the two accessory enzymes are not interchangeable but bring distinct improvement to the 340 reaction³⁸. Long-chain unactivated aliphatic substrates can only be fully converted by providing 341 high amount of HRP coupled to catalytic amount of catalase. This observation is likely related to 342 the inhibition by long chain aliphatic *gem*-diols resulting from the hydration of aldehydes products

343 (see discussion below). Although the molecular mechanism of activation of CROs needs to be 344 better understood, it is clear that HRP acts as an oxidizing agent to restore the active form of the 345 enzyme bearing the Cu^{2+} ion and the crosslinked Cys-Tyr free radical^{24,49}. The stoichiometric 346 proportion of HRP needed suggests a protein-protein interaction rather than a catalytic reaction⁶⁷. 347 It is worth noting that peroxidases are also routinely used to activate A45_1 GLOXs^{68,69} pointing 348 out a common activation mechanism of CROs.

349 The present work sheds light on the overoxidation reaction occurring during AlcOx catalysis. 350 This reaction seems directly linked to the propensity of the produced aldehydes to form *gem*-diols 351 upon hydration, which can be used as substrates in a late subsequent reaction, yielding the 352 corresponding carboxylic acids. *Gem*-diols exist in equilibrium with their aldehyde counterparts 353 but are often unfavored unless the carbonyl function is destabilized. For instance, electron-354 attracting substituents, such as NO2, are known to disturb the dipole at the carbonyl group and 355 thence, foster the nucleophilic addition of H_2O^{53} . We show here that benzylic-aldehydes, when 356 prone to form *gem*-diols (*i.e.* 4-NO2-PhCHO), are oxidized into carboxylic acid by the AlcOx 357 whereas, when stabilized in their carbonyl form (*i.e.* 4-MePhCHO), are not further processed by 358 the enzyme. However, the latter condition is not necessarily sufficient *per se*, since the presence 359 of the substituting group may also affect the intrinsic reactivity of the carbon undergoing catalysis. 360 Long chain aliphatic aldehydes are also susceptible to form *gem*-diols. However, our results 361 suggest that these *gem*-diols are not oxidized by the AlcOx, and likely yield inhibitory binding at 362 the active site of the enzyme. Yet, partial oxidation to the carboxylic acid, promoted by 363 HRP/hemin, has been observed for >C6 aldehydes and seems therefore independent from 364 *Cgr*AlcOx. This might account for a phenomenon related to the so-called "autoxidation", a radical 365 chain process mediated by oxygen, and favored by a large number of catalysts, hemin (free or

366 bound to HRP) being likely one of them^{70,71}. A catalytic action of HRP is unlikely in the 367 conversions we performed with *Cgr*AlcOx and octan-1-ol, as H2O2 should be dismutated *in-situ* 368 by the catalase. It is however difficult to evaluate the amount of H_2O_2 that would potentially 369 accumulate in the reaction due to multiple crossed-production and consumption fluxes.

370 Other AA5_2 CROs have shown evidence of *gem*-diol-dependent overoxidation such as the GalOx47,48 or the raffinose-specific galactose oxidases from *C. graminicola*⁷² 371 and *Penicillium* 372 *rubens*⁷³. Nevertheless, not all the CROs appear to exhibit this overoxidation phenomenon, such 373 as the *Cgr*AAO that selectively oxidizes the primary alcohol function of HMF yielding as single end product the bis-aldehyde compound 2,5-diformylfuran despite the presence of *gem*-diol²⁸. The 375 formation of carboxylic acid can be either an unwanted product in the case of flavors and f_1 576 fragrances, or a sought after compound for applications in synthetic organic chemistry⁷⁴. Fine 377 tuning of reaction conditions (*i.e*. reaction length, substrate choice, quantity of accessory enzymes 378 added, pH) allows steering the reaction towards either aldehyde or carboxylic acid. In this regard, 379 the *Cgr*AlcOx could be an efficient "all-in one" catalyst for direct oxidation of some benzylic-380 alcohols or other aromatic alcohols prone to form *gem*-diols to carboxylic acids. In contrast, 381 formation of long chain aliphatic *gem*-diols should be avoided to prevent inhibition.

 382 Building on a previously exposed hypothesis³⁸, we showed here that the conversion yield of 383 unactivated aliphatic alcohol is limited by an inhibition phenomenon that most likely involves the 384 corresponding aliphatic *gem-*diols present in the reaction. Computational studies further strengthen 385 this assumption, showing a possible inhibitory binding mode at the active site of the enzyme with 386 octanal *gem*-diol. Such hypothesis is consistent with the fact that (i) larger amounts of accessory 387 enzymes are required to convert efficiently octan-1-ol, since these enzymes activate the *Cgr*AlcOx 388 and probably allow to decrease the amount of inhibitory aldehyde or *gem*-diol (*via* conversion into

389 acid) and that (ii) *Cgr*AlcOx is unable to oxidize aliphatic *gem*-diols into acids. The inhibition 390 phenomenon seems common to all unactivated aliphatic alcohol as also reported previously for 391 butan-1-ol and glycerol²⁶.

392 Docking studies also highlighted a possible substrate-induced fit for 4-NO₂-BnOH into 393 *Cgr*AlcOx active site as shown by a local twist of the side chain of key residues which could 394 explain the strong substrate tolerance observed for this enzyme. In addition, despite 60 years of 395 intensive research on this class of enzymes, almost exclusively focused on the *Fgr*GalOx, such 396 flexibility at the active site of AA5_2s has not been reported and could contribute to the 397 unexplained inability to obtain crystal structures of CRO-substrate complexes²⁸.

398 Notwithstanding their intrinsic potential, CRO-AlcOx have been underexploited for alcohol 399 . oxidation, while efforts were focused on the carbohydrate-active $FgrGalOx$ and mutants thereof¹⁹. 400 Our study strives to unveil the potential of these promising catalysts and to outline the framework 401 and boundaries of its scope of application. We herein provide guidelines for controlled oxidation 402 of some long chain aliphatic and aromatic alcohols in the context of the flavors and fragrances 403 market. The present work paves the way for scale-up experiments encouraged by high-yield 404 protein production in bioreactor and mild-condition catalysis achieved with the *Cgr*AlcOx 405 biocatalyst. Engineering studies – sustained by a better understanding of the substrate-dependent 406 oxidation initiated here – and process development such as immobilization and biphasic systems 407 could foster the use of CRO-AlcOx for industrial-scale catalysis.

408 **SUPPORTING INFORMATION**

409 Material and methods, supplementary figures S1-S13, tables S1-S2, and supplementary 410 references are provided in the Supporting Information associated file.

411

412 **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

413

414 DR carried out most of the experimental work. BB provided guidance in the experimental work. 415 DR and BB interpreted the data and wrote the manuscript; VG performed the *in silico* experiments, 416 interpreted the corresponding results and was involved in the manuscript writing; MY drove the 417 NMR experiments and the corresponding result interpretations, and was involved in the manuscript 418 writing; MH and SG were involved in enzymes productions; VA was involved in the design of the 419 gas chromatography experiments; HB and FL were involved in the study design and manuscript 420 writing. JGB and ML conceptualized the study, supervised the work, and finalized the manuscript. 421 All authors approved the final version of the manuscript.

422

423 **ACKNOWLEDGMENT**

424 This study was supported by the French National Agency for Research ("Agence Nationale de 425 la Recherche") through the "Projet de Recherche Collaboratif International" ANR-NSERC 426 (FUNTASTIC project, ANR-17-CE07-0047), by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research 427 Council of Canada through the "Strategic Projects - Natural Resources and Energy - Project 428 (STPGP) entitled "FUNTASTIC - Fungal copper-radical oxidases as new biocatalysts for the 429 valorization of biomass carbohydrates and alcohols" and by the PID2019-106370RB-I00 grant 430 from the Spanish Ministry of Innovation and Sciences. We are grateful to MANE & Fils and the 431 "Association Nationale Recherche Technologie" (ANRT) for funding the PhD fellowship of D.R.

- 432 entitled "Discovery and structure-function study of new fungal copper radicals oxidases used as
- 433 biocatalysts for the valorisation of alcohols and plant biomass." This *Convention Industrielle de*
- *Formation par la RecherchE* (CIFRE) grant no. 2017/1169 runs from 1 April 2018 to 1 April 2021.
-

COMPETING INTEREST

437 The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

- 439 **REFERENCES**
- 440
- 441 (1) Arends, I. W. C. E.; Gamez, P.; Sheldon, R. A. Green Oxidation of Alcohols Using 442 Biomimetic Cu Complexes and Cu Enzymes as Catalysts. In *Advances in Inorganic* 443 *Chemistry*; van Eldik, R., Reedijk, J., Eds.; Academic Press, **2006**; Vol. 58, pp 235–279. 444 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0898-8838(05)58006-1.
- 445 (2) Sadri, F.; Ramazani, A.; Massoudi, A.; Khoobi, M.; Tarasi, R.; Shafiee, A.; Azizkhani, V.; 446 Dolatyari, L.; Joo, S. W. Green Oxidation of Alcohols by Using Hydrogen Peroxide in 447 Water in the Presence of Magnetic Fe3O4 Nanoparticles as Recoverable Catalyst. *Green* 448 *Chemistry Letters and Reviews* **2014**, *7* (3), 257–264. 449 https://doi.org/10.1080/17518253.2014.939721.
- 450 (3) Augugliaro, V.; Palmisano, L. Green Oxidation of Alcohols to Carbonyl Compounds by 451 Heterogeneous Photocatalysis. *ChemSusChem* **2010**, *3* (10), 1135–1138. 452 https://doi.org/10.1002/cssc.201000156.
- 453 (4) Ainembabazi, D.; Reid, C.; Chen, A.; An, N.; Kostal, J.; Voutchkova-Kostal, A. 454 Decarbonylative Olefination of Aldehydes to Alkenes. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2020**, *142* (2), 455 696–699. https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.9b12354.
- 456 (5) Rowe, D. Overview of Flavor and Fragrance Materials. In *Practical Analysis of Flavor and* 457 *Fragrance Materials*; John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, **2011**; pp 1–22. 458 https://doi.org/10.1002/9781444343137.ch1.
- 459 (6) Surburg, H.; Panten, J. *Common Fragrance and Flavor Materials: Preparation, Properties* 460 *and Uses*; John Wiley & Sons, **2016**.
- 461 (7) Sell, C. S. *The Chemistry of Fragrances: From Perfumer to Consumer*; Royal Society of 462 Chemistry, 2015.
- 463 (8) Sheldon, R. A.; Brady, D. Broadening the Scope of Biocatalysis in Sustainable Organic 464 Synthesis. *ChemSusChem* **2019**, *12* (13), 2859–2881. 465 https://doi.org/10.1002/cssc.201900351.
- 466 (9) Corberán, V. C.; González-Pérez, M. E.; Martínez-González, S.; Gómez-Avilés, A. Green 467 Oxidation of Fatty Alcohols: Challenges and Opportunities. *Applied Catalysis A: General* 468 **2014**, *474*, 211–223. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcata.2013.09.040.
- 469 (10) Mudge, S. Fatty Alcohols a Review of Their Natural Synthesis and Environmental 470 Distribution. *Executive Summary of the Soap and Detergent Association* **2005**.
- 471 (11) Tsuzuki, S. Higher Straight-Chain Aliphatic Aldehydes: Importance as Odor-Active 472 Volatiles in Human Foods and Issues for Future Research. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2019**, *67* 473 (17), 4720–4725. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.9b01131.
- 474 (12) Goswami, P.; Chinnadayyala, S. S. R.; Chakraborty, M.; Kumar, A. K.; Kakoti, A. An 475 Overview on Alcohol Oxidases and Their Potential Applications. *Applied Microbiology and* 476 *Biotechnology* **2013**, *97* (10), 4259–4275. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-013-4842-9.
- 477 (13) Kohlpaintner, C.; Schulte, M.; Falbe, J.; Lappe, P.; Weber, J.; Frey, G. D. Aldehydes, 478 Aliphatic. In *Ullmann's Encyclopedia of Industrial Chemistry*; American Cancer Society, 479 **2013**. https://doi.org/10.1002/14356007.a01_321.pub3.
- 480 (14) Porat, R.; Deterre, S.; Giampaoli, P.; Plotto, A. The Flavor of Citrus Fruit. In *Biotechnology* 481 *in Flavor Production*; John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, **2016**; pp 1–31. 482 https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118354056.ch1.
- 483 (15) Zviely, M. Aroma Chemicals. In *Kirk-Othmer Encyclopedia of Chemical Technology*; 484 American Cancer Society, **2012**; pp 1–33. 485 https://doi.org/10.1002/0471238961.0118151326220905.a01.pub2.
- 486 (16) Winkler, M. Carboxylic Acid Reductase Enzymes (CARs). *Current Opinion in Chemical* 487 *Biology* **2018**, *43*, 23–29. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpa.2017.10.006.
- 488 (17) Pickl, M.; Fuchs, M.; Glueck, S. M.; Faber, K. The Substrate Tolerance of Alcohol 489 Oxidases. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology* **2015**, *99* (16), 6617–6642. 490 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-015-6699-6.
- 491 (18) Heath, R. S.; Birmingham, W. R.; Thompson, M. P.; Taglieber, A.; Daviet, L.; Turner, N. 492 J. An Engineered Alcohol Oxidase for the Oxidation of Primary Alcohols. *ChemBioChem* 493 **2019**, *20* (2), 276–281. https://doi.org/10.1002/cbic.201800556.
- 494 (19) Liu, J.; Wu, S.; Li, Z. Recent Advances in Enzymatic Oxidation of Alcohols. *Current* 495 *Opinion in Chemical Biology* **2018**, *43*, 77–86. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpa.2017.12.001.
- 496 (20) Kroutil, W.; Mang, H.; Edegger, K.; Faber, K. Biocatalytic Oxidation of Primary and 497 Secondary Alcohols. *Advanced Synthesis & Catalysis* **2004**, *346* (2–3), 125–142. 498 https://doi.org/10.1002/adsc.200303177.
- 499 (21) Lombard, V.; Golaconda Ramulu, H.; Drula, E.; Coutinho, P. M.; Henrissat, B. The 500 Carbohydrate-Active Enzymes Database (CAZy) in 2013. *Nucleic Acids Research* **2014**, *42* 501 (D1), D490–D495. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkt1178.
- 502 (22) Levasseur, A.; Drula, E.; Lombard, V.; Coutinho, P. M.; Henrissat, B. Expansion of the 503 Enzymatic Repertoire of the CAZy Database to Integrate Auxiliary Redox Enzymes. 504 *Biotechnol Biofuels* **2013**, *6*, 41. https://doi.org/10.1186/1754-6834-6-41.
- 505 (23) Ito, N.; Phillips, S. E.; Stevens, C.; Ogel, Z. B.; McPherson, M. J.; Keen, J. N.; Yadav, K. 506 D.; Knowles, P. F. Novel Thioether Bond Revealed by a 1.7 A Crystal Structure of 507 Galactose Oxidase. *Nature* **1991**, *350* (6313), 87–90. https://doi.org/10.1038/350087a0.
- 508 (24) T. Pedersen, A.; Birmingham, W. R.; Rehn, G.; Charnock, S. J.; Turner, N. J.; Woodley, J. 509 M. Process Requirements of Galactose Oxidase Catalyzed Oxidation of Alcohols. *Organic* 510 *Process Research & Development* **2015**, *19* (11), 1580–1589. 511 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.oprd.5b00278.
- 512 (25) Cooper, J. A. D.; Smith, W.; Bacila, M.; Medina, H. Galactose Oxidase from *Polyporus* 513 *circinatus*, Fr. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1959**, *234* (3), 445–448.
- 514 (26) Yin, D.; Urresti, S.; Lafond, M.; Johnston, E. M.; Derikvand, F.; Ciano, L.; Berrin, J.-G.; 515 Henrissat, B.; Walton, P. H.; Davies, G. J.; Brumer, H. Structure–Function Characterization 516 Reveals New Catalytic Diversity in the Galactose Oxidase and Glyoxal Oxidase Family. 517 *Nature Communications* **2015**, *6* (1). https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms10197.
- 518 (27) Oide, S.; Tanaka, Y.; Watanabe, A.; Inui, M. Carbohydrate-Binding Property of a Cell Wall 519 Integrity and Stress Response Component (WSC) Domain of an Alcohol Oxidase from the 520 Rice Blast Pathogen *Pyricularia oryzae*. *Enzyme and Microbial Technology* **2019**, *125*, 13– 521 20. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enzmictec.2019.02.009.
- 522 (28) Mathieu, Y.; Offen, W. A.; Forget, S. M.; Ciano, L.; Viborg, A. H.; Blagova, E.; Henrissat, 523 B.; Walton, P. H.; Davies, G. J.; Brumer, H. Discovery of a Fungal Copper Radical Oxidase 524 with High Catalytic Efficiency towards 5-Hydroxymethylfurfural and Benzyl Alcohols for 525 Bioprocessing. *ACS Catal.* **2020**. https://doi.org/10.1021/acscatal.9b04727.
- 526 (29) Vilím, J.; Knaus, T.; Mutti, F. G. Catalytic Promiscuity of Galactose Oxidase: A Mild 527 Synthesis of Nitriles from Alcohols, Air, and Ammonia. *Angewandte Chemie* **2018**, *130* 528 (43), 14436–14440. https://doi.org/10.1002/ange.201809411.
- 529 (30) Escalettes, F.; Turner, N. J. Directed Evolution of Galactose Oxidase: Generation of 530 Enantioselective Secondary Alcohol Oxidases. *ChemBioChem* **2008**, *9* (6), 857–860. 531 https://doi.org/10.1002/cbic.200700689.
- 532 (31) Mattey, A. P.; Sangster, J. J.; Ramsden, J. I.; Baldwin, C.; Birmingham, W. R.; Heath, R. 533 S.; Angelastro, A.; Turner, N. J.; Cosgrove, S. C.; Flitsch, S. L. Natural Heterogeneous 534 Catalysis with Immobilised Oxidase Biocatalysts. *RSC Adv.* **2020**, 10 (33), 19501–19505. 535 https://doi.org/10.1039/D0RA03618H.
- 536 (32) Herter, S.; McKenna, S. M.; Frazer, A. R.; Leimkühler, S.; Carnell, A. J.; Turner, N. J. 537 Galactose Oxidase Variants for the Oxidation of Amino Alcohols in Enzyme Cascade 538 Synthesis. *ChemCatChem* **2015**, *7* (15), 2313–2317. 539 https://doi.org/10.1002/cctc.201500218.
- 540 (33) Weissenborn, M. J.; Notonier, S.; Lang, S.-L.; Otte, K. B.; Herter, S.; Turner, N. J.; Flitsch, 541 S. L.; Hauer, B. Whole-Cell Microtiter Plate Screening Assay for Terminal Hydroxylation 542 of Fatty Acids by P450s. *Chemical Communications* **2016**, *52* (36), 6158–6161. 543 https://doi.org/10.1039/C6CC01749E.
- 544 (34) McKenna, S. M.; Leimkühler, S.; Herter, S.; Turner, N. J.; Carnell, A. J. Enzyme Cascade 545 Reactions: Synthesis of Furandicarboxylic Acid (FDCA) and Carboxylic Acids Using 546 Oxidases in Tandem. *Green Chem.* **2015**, *17* (6), 3271–3275. 547 https://doi.org/10.1039/C5GC00707K.
- 548 (35) Fuchs, M.; Tauber, K.; Sattler, J.; Lechner, H.; Pfeffer, J.; Kroutil, W.; Faber, K. Amination 549 of Benzylic and Cinnamic Alcohols via a Biocatalytic, Aerobic, Oxidation–Transamination 550 Cascade. *RSC Adv.* **2012**, *2* (15), 6262–6265. https://doi.org/10.1039/C2RA20800H.
- 551 (36) Fuchs, M.; Schober, M.; Pfeffer, J.; Kroutil, W.; Birner‐Gruenberger, R.; Faber, K. 552 Homoallylic Alcohols via a Chemo-Enzymatic One-Pot Oxidation–Allylation Cascade. 553 *Advanced Synthesis & Catalysis* **2011**, *353* (13), 2354–2358. 554 https://doi.org/10.1002/adsc.201100380.
- 555 (37) Rannes, J. B.; Ioannou, A.; Willies, S. C.; Grogan, G.; Behrens, C.; Flitsch, S. L.; Turner, 556 N. J. Glycoprotein Labeling Using Engineered Variants of Galactose Oxidase Obtained by 557 Directed Evolution. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133* (22), 8436–8439. 558 https://doi.org/10.1021/ja2018477.
- 559 (38) Forget, S.; Xia, R. (Fan); Hein, J. E.; Brumer, H. Determination of Biocatalytic Parameters 560 of a Copper Radical Oxidase Using Real-Time Reaction Progress Monitoring. *Org. Biomol.* 561 *Chem.* **2020**. https://doi.org/10.1039/C9OB02757B.
- 562 (39) Surburg, H.; Panten, J. *Common Fragrance and Flavor Materials: Preparation, Properties* 563 *and Uses*; John Wiley & Sons, 2006.
- 564 (40) Monti, D.; Ottolina, G.; Carrea, G.; Riva, S. Redox Reactions Catalyzed by Isolated 565 Enzymes. *Chem. Rev.* **2011**, *111* (7), 4111–4140. https://doi.org/10.1021/cr100334x.
- 566 (41) Hollmann, F.; Arends, I. W. C. E.; Buehler, K.; Schallmey, A.; Bühler, B. Enzyme-567 Mediated Oxidations for the Chemist. *Green Chem.* **2011**, *13* (2), 226–265. 568 https://doi.org/10.1039/C0GC00595A.
- 569 (42) Dong, J.; Fernández‐Fueyo, E.; Hollmann, F.; Paul, C. E.; Pesic, M.; Schmidt, S.; Wang, 570 Y.; Younes, S.; Zhang, W. Biocatalytic Oxidation Reactions: A Chemist's Perspective. 571 *Angew Chem Int Ed Engl* **2018**, *57* (30), 9238–9261. 572 https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201800343.
- 573 (43) Sigman, D. S. The Enzymes; *Academic Press*, **1992**; Vol. XX Mechanisms of Catalysis.
- 574
- 575 (44) Martin, C.; Trajkovic, M.; Fraaije, M. Production of Hydroxy Acids through Selective 576 Double Oxidation of Diols by a Flavoprotein Alcohol Oxidase. *Angewandte Chemie* 577 *International Edition* **2020**, *59* (12), 4869–4872. https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201914877.
- 578 (45) Kelleher, F. M.; Bhavanandan, V. P. Re-Examination of the Products of the Action of 579 Galactose Oxidase. Evidence for the Conversion of Raffinose to 6''-Carboxyraffinose. *J.* 580 *Biol. Chem.* **1986**, *261* (24), 11045–11048.
- 581 (46) Matsumura, S.; Kuroda, A.; Higaki, N.; Hiruta, Y.; Yoshikawa, S. Formation of Uronic 582 Acid by Galactose Oxidase. *Chem. Lett.* **1988**, *17* (10), 1747–1750. 583 https://doi.org/10.1246/cl.1988.1747.
- 584 (47) Parikka, K.; Tenkanen, M. Oxidation of Methyl α-d-Galactopyranoside by Galactose 585 Oxidase: Products Formed and Optimization of Reaction Conditions for Production of 586 Aldehyde. *Carbohydrate Research* **2009**, *344* (1), 14–20. 587 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carres.2008.08.020.
- 588 (48) Birmingham, W. R.; Turner, N. J. A Single Enzyme Oxidative "Cascade" via a Dual-589 Functional Galactose Oxidase. *ACS Catal.* **2018**, *8* (5), 4025–4032. 590 https://doi.org/10.1021/acscatal.8b00043.
- 591 (49) Parikka, K.; Master, E.; Tenkanen, M. Oxidation with Galactose Oxidase: Multifunctional 592 Enzymatic Catalysis. *Journal of Molecular Catalysis B: Enzymatic* **2015**, *120*, 47–59. 593 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcatb.2015.06.006.
- 594 (50) Whittaker, M. M.; Kersten, P. J.; Nakamura, N.; Sanders-Loehr, J.; Schweizer, E. S.; 595 Whittaker, J. W. Glyoxal Oxidase from *Phanerochaete chrysosporium* Is a New Radical-596 Copper Oxidase. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1996**, *271* (2), 681–687. 597 https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.271.2.681.
- 598 (51) Buschmann, H.-J.; Füldner, H.-H.; Knoche, W. The Reversible Hydration of Carbonyl 599 Compounds in Aqueous Solution. Part I, The Keto/Gem-Diol Equilibrium. *Berichte der* 600 *Bunsengesellschaft für physikalische Chemie* **1980**, *84* (1), 41–44. 601 https://doi.org/10.1002/bbpc.19800840109.
- 602 (52) Li, Y.; Peterlin, Z.; Ho, J.; Yarnitzky, T.; Liu, M. T.; Fichman, M.; Niv, M. Y.; Matsunami, 603 H.; Firestein, S.; Ryan, K. Aldehyde Recognition and Discrimination by Mammalian 604 Odorant Receptors via Functional Group-Specific Hydration Chemistry. *ACS Chem Biol* 605 **2014**, *9* (11), 2563–2571. https://doi.org/10.1021/cb400290u.
- 606 (53) Hilal, S. H.; Bornander, L. L.; Carreira, L. A. Hydration Equilibrium Constants of 607 Aldehydes, Ketones and Quinazolines. *QSAR & Combinatorial Science* **2005**, *24* (5), 631– 608 638. https://doi.org/10.1002/qsar.200430913.
- 609 (54) Buschmann, H.-J.; Dutkiewicz, E.; Knoche, W. The Reversible Hydration of Carbonyl 610 Compounds in Aqueous Solution Part II: The Kinetics of the Keto/Gem-Diol Transition. 611 *Berichte der Bunsengesellschaft für physikalische Chemie* **1982**, *86* (2), 129–134. 612 https://doi.org/10.1002/bbpc.19820860208.
- 613 (55) Ferreira, P.; Hernández-Ortega, A.; Herguedas, B.; Rencoret, J.; Gutiérrez, A.; Martínez, 614 M. J.; Jiménez-Barbero, J.; Medina, M.; Martínez, Á. T. Kinetic and Chemical 615 Characterization of Aldehyde Oxidation by Fungal Aryl-Alcohol Oxidase. *Biochem J* **2010**, 616 *425* (3), 585–593. https://doi.org/10.1042/BJ20091499.
- 617 (56) Xue, T.; Jiang, S.; Qu, Y.; Su, Q.; Cheng, R.; Dubin, S.; Chiu, C.-Y.; Kaner, R.; Huang, Y.; 618 Duan, X. Graphene-Supported Hemin as a Highly Active Biomimetic Oxidation Catalyst. 619 *Angewandte Chemie International Edition* **2012**, *51* (16), 3822–3825. 620 https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201108400.
- 621 (57) Borrelli, K. W.; Vitalis, A.; Alcantara, R.; Guallar, V. PELE: Protein Energy Landscape 622 Exploration. A Novel Monte Carlo Based Technique. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **2005**, *1* (6), 623 1304–1311. https://doi.org/10.1021/ct0501811.
- 624 (58) Madadkar-Sobhani, A.; Guallar, V. PELE Web Server: Atomistic Study of Biomolecular 625 Systems at Your Fingertips. *Nucleic Acids Res* **2013**, *41* (Web Server issue), W322–W328. 626 https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkt454.
- 627 (59) Borman, C. D.; Saysell, C. G.; Sokolowski, A.; Twitchett, M. B.; Wright, C.; Sykes, A. G. 628 Reactivity of Galactose Oxidase. *Coordination Chemistry Reviews* **1999**, *190–192*, 771– 629 779. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0010-8545(99)00120-4.
- 630 (60) Whittaker, J. W. Free Radical Catalysis by Galactose Oxidase. *Chem. Rev.* **2003**, *103* (6), 631 2347–2364. https://doi.org/10.1021/cr020425z.
- 632 (61) Silveira, B. M. P.; Barcelos, M. C. S.; Vespermann, K. A. C.; Pelissari, F. M.; Molina, G. 633 An Overview of Biotechnological Processes in the Food Industry. In *Bioprocessing for* 634 *Biomolecules Production*; John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, **2019**; pp 1–19. 635 https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119434436.ch1.
- 636 (62) Pessôa, M. G.; Paulino, B. N.; Molina, G.; Pastore, G. M. Prospective Research and Current 637 Technologies for Bioflavor Production. In *Bioprocessing for Biomolecules Production*; 638 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, **2019**; pp 93–123. https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119434436.ch5.
- 639 (63) Humphreys, K. J.; Mirica, L. M.; Wang, Y.; Klinman, J. P. Galactose Oxidase as a Model 640 for Reactivity at a Copper Superoxide Center. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131* (13), 4657– 641 4663. https://doi.org/10.1021/ja807963e.
- 642 (64) Whittaker, M. M.; Whittaker, J. W. The Active Site of Galactose Oxidase. *J. Biol. Chem.* 643 **1988**, *263* (13), 6074–6080.
- 644 (65) Hartmans, S.; de Vries, H. T.; Beijer, P.; Brady, R. L.; Hofbauer, M.; Haandrikman, A. J. 645 Production of Oxidized Guar Galactomannan and Its Applications in the Paper Industry. In 646 *Hemicelluloses: Science and Technology*; ACS Symposium Series; American Chemical 647 Society, **2003**; Vol. 864, pp 360–371. https://doi.org/10.1021/bk-2004-0864.ch023.
- 648 (66) Saysell, C. G.; Borman, C. D.; Baron, A. J.; McPherson, M. J.; Sykes, A. G. Kinetic Studies 649 on the Redox Interconversion of $GOase_{semi}$ and $GOase_{ox}$ Forms of Galactose Oxidase with 650 Inorganic Complexes as Redox Partners. *Inorg. Chem.* **1997**, *36* (20), 4520–4525. 651 https://doi.org/10.1021/ic970255m.
- 652 (67) Kurek, B.; Kersten, P. J. Physiological Regulation of Glyoxal Oxidase from *Phanerochaete* 653 *chrysosporium* by Peroxidase Systems. *Enzyme and Microbial Technology* **1995**, *17* (8), 654 751–756. https://doi.org/10.1016/0141-0229(95)00003-N.
- 655 (68) Daou, M.; Faulds, C. B. Glyoxal Oxidases: Their Nature and Properties. *World J Microbiol* 656 *Biotechnol* **2017**, *33* (5), 87. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11274-017-2254-1.
- 657 (69) Daou, M.; Piumi, F.; Cullen, D.; Record, E.; Faulds, C. B. Heterologous Production and 658 Characterization of Two Glyoxal Oxidases from *Pycnoporus cinnabarinus*. *Appl. Environ.* 659 *Microbiol.* **2016**, *82* (16), 4867–4875. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00304-16.
- 660 (70) Marteau, C.; Ruyffelaere, F.; Aubry, J.-M.; Penverne, C.; Favier, D.; Nardello-Rataj, V. 661 Oxidative Degradation of Fragrant Aldehydes. Autoxidation by Molecular Oxygen. 662 *Tetrahedron* **2013**, *69* (10), 2268–2275. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tet.2013.01.034.
- 663 (71) Sankar, M.; Nowicka, E.; Carter, E.; Murphy, D. M.; Knight, D. W.; Bethell, D.; Hutchings, 664 G. J. The Benzaldehyde Oxidation Paradox Explained by the Interception of Peroxy Radical 665 by Benzyl Alcohol. *Nature Communications* **2014**, *5*, 3332. 666 https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms4332.
- 667 (72) Andberg, M.; Mollerup, F.; Parikka, K.; Koutaniemi, S.; Boer, H.; Juvonen, M.; Master, E.; 668 Tenkanen, M.; Kruus, K. A Novel *Colletotrichum graminicola* Raffinose Oxidase in the 669 AA5 Family. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* **2017**, *83* (20). 670 https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01383-17.
- 671 (73) Mollerup, F.; Aumala, V.; Parikka, K.; Mathieu, Y.; Brumer, H.; Tenkanen, M.; Master, E. 672 A Family AA5_2 Carbohydrate Oxidase from *Penicillium rubens* Displays Functional 673 Overlap across the AA5 Family. *PLOS ONE* **2019**, *14* (5), e0216546. 674 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0216546.
- 675 (74) Bechi, B.; Herter, S.; McKenna, S.; Riley, C.; Leimkühler, S.; Turner, N. J.; Carnell, A. J. 676 Catalytic Bio–Chemo and Bio–Bio Tandem Oxidation Reactions for Amide and Carboxylic 677 Acid Synthesis. *Green Chem.* **2014**, *16* (10), 4524–4529. 678 https://doi.org/10.1039/C4GC01321B.
- 679

681 **FOR TABLE OF CONTENTS USE ONLY**

682

- 683
- 684 685
- 686
-

687 **SYNOPSIS**

- 688 Establishing Copper-Radical Oxidases as promising biocatalysts for the sustainable production of
- 689 natural aliphatic aldehydes for flavors and fragrances industry.