

Open-source deep learning-based air-voids detection algorithm for concrete microscopic images

Benoit Hilloulin, Imane Bekrine, Emmanuel Schmitt, Ahmed Loukili

▶ To cite this version:

Benoit Hilloulin, Imane Bekrine, Emmanuel Schmitt, Ahmed Loukili. Open-source deep learning-based air-voids detection algorithm for concrete microscopic images. Journal of Microscopy, in Press, 10.1111/jmi.13098 . hal-03618068

HAL Id: hal-03618068 https://hal.science/hal-03618068

Submitted on 24 Mar 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Open-source deep learning-based air-voids detection algorithm for concrete microscopic images

B. Hilloulin¹, I. Bekrine¹, E. Schmitt², A. Loukili¹

¹ Institut de Recherche en Génie Civil et Mécanique (GeM), UMR-CNRS 6183, Ecole Centrale de Nantes, 1 rue de la Noë, 44321 Nantes, France – e-mail: benoit.hilloulin@ec-nantes.fr; imane.bekrine@ec-nantes.fr; ahmed.loukili@ec-nantes.fr

9 ² Vicat, 4 rue Aristide Berges – Les trois vallons, 38081 L'Isle d'Abeau, France – e-mail:
 10 emmanuel.schmitt@vicat.fr

11

1

2

3

4 5 6

7

8

12 ABSTRACT.

Analyzing concrete microscopic images is difficult because of its highly heterogeneous 13 composition and the different scales involved. This article presents an open-source deep 14 learning-based algorithm dedicated to air-void detection in concrete microscopic images. The 15 model, whose strategy is presented alongside concrete compositions information, is built using 16 the Mask R-CNN model. Model performances are then discussed and compared to the manual 17 air-void enhancement technique. Finally, the selected open-source strategy is exposed. Overall, 18 the model shows a good precision (mAP=0.6452), and the predicted air void percentage agrees 19 with experimental measurements highlighting the model's potential to assess concrete 20 durability in the future. 21

22

KEYWORDS: Concrete; Optical microscopy; Deep learning; Segmentation; Air voids; Digital
twin; Open source

25 1. Introduction

Concrete, the most consumed manufactured material globally, is mainly used in theconstruction field, because of its low cost and good mechanical and durability properties.

During their life service, concrete constructions are exposed to a variety of harmful environments, in particular, to freeze and thaw cycles in frost regions. Concrete heterogeneous and multi-scale natures are both responsible for its remarkable resistance and its complex behavior against potential degradation. Therefore, characterizing and modeling the multi-scale spatial properties of concrete has attracted attention during the past decades.

For example, air-entrainment using air-entraining agents (AEA) was found to be an effective 33 way to improve freeze-thaw resistance, and the parameters of the entrained air-voids network 34 are to be determined in order to assess its quality. In fact, total air content does not ensure the 35 good protection of concrete alone, and Powers' spacing factor¹ L better, representing the 36 maximal distance from any point in the cement paste to the edge of the nearest air-void, matters 37 most. Currently, the most widely used method for the purpose of evaluating this spacing factor 38 is the stereomicroscope-based one described in both ASTM C457 / C457M-16² and EN 480-39 11³ standards. This manual method is tedious, time-consuming (nearly 3 hours for each slice), 40 and depends on the operator's judgment. In addition, this method may not be representative of 41 the real air-void structure since the measurements are one-dimensional. Other techniques have 42 been used to overcome some of the limitations of the standard methods. For example, X-ray 43 micro-computed tomography can give the spatial 3D air-void structure⁴. The manual 44 segmentation technique of 2D enhanced contrast images, either between aggregates and paste 45 by using phenolphthalein or between air-voids and the rest of the constituents by black inking 46 the surface and filling the air voids with white powder can also be used^{5,6}. The automated air-47 void analyzer RapidAir 457 can also help inspect black inked surfaces⁷. Nonetheless, all these 48 methods are not entirely automatized and the uncertainties brought by operator interference and 49 subjectivity may be a source of significant errors. 50

51 Due to the complex heterogeneous nature of concrete, phase separation remains a challenging
52 task. The last advances in machine learning led to a better understanding of concrete properties,

from strength⁸ to shrinkage⁹ or micro-mechanical properties¹⁰, and, more specifically, deep 53 learning segmentation techniques have been fruitful in different fields¹¹. For visual imagery, a 54 convolutional neural network (CNN) might be used, combined with other techniques, to 55 increase observation precision classifying or detecting objects of low contrast with a completely 56 automated procedure. In terms of concrete petrographic analysis, the outcomes are not yet well 57 studied because of the lack of information for validation steps. Yet, it recently showed a 58 promising potential for crack damage detection and monitoring¹²⁻¹⁴ in concrete, some defaults 59 in different structures 15,16 and air voids detection 17 . 60

The aim of the current study is to address the challenge of fast and accurate air-void analysis of concrete with the minimum amount of sample preparation and human bias-prone preparation and interpretation. To this end, an open-source deep learning-based air-voids detection algorithm for concrete microscopic images is introduced. The principle of the model is first presented and then some of its advantages are given and finally the open-source code architecture is presented.

67

68 2. Materials and methods

69 2.1 Concrete formulations

Several concrete samples were employed in this study, divided into two sets. The first one was used for the model training and involved concrete samples of different air void structures and a wide variety of aggregates nature (calcareous/siliceous) and size distributions; in order to get the model well trained. The other set of samples was used for the validation of the analysis method: different dosages of AEA were used ranging from 0.05% to 0.13% of cement weight in order to get concretes with different air-voids structures. Some of these mix proportions were used to formulate different concretes changing just the cement type. Table 1 summarizes the composition of this second set of concrete samples. The nature of superplasticizers 1 and 2cannot be revealed for confidentiality purposes.

79 2.2 Experimental testing and microscopic measurements

For this validation set of concrete samples, the amount of entrained air was measured on fresh
concrete samples according to NF EN 12350-7 standard.

Prior to microscopic analysis, special care was given to the sample preparation step in order to 82 get good quality images for microscopic analyses and clearly distinguish phases for the manual 83 method. For each formulation, two 10x10x2 cm³ slices were cut from 15x15x15 cm³ cubic 84 concrete samples, in a way that keeps parallel the two faces of the slice; and then they were 85 polished using different SiC papers of decreasing grit sizes to minimize surface defects. The 86 quality of the surface is checked after each polishing step. On each prepared surface, the spacing 87 factor \overline{L} has been evaluated using the point-count method of the manual petrography test method 88 described in ASTM C457 / C457M-16 standard. 89

Concrete microscopic images were obtained using a Hirox RH-2000 3D microscope. A magnification of x50 was used in the image acquisition, which is the same as the required one in the ASTM C457 / C457M-16 standard in order to allow proper comparison; this led to 3.13 μ m pixel size. Several 768 (H) ×480 (V) pix images, approx.. 7000 to 8000 in total, have been acquired and merged with a 1/2 relative overlap to reconstruct an 8x8 cm² image with a 3.13 μ m resolution.

96 2.3 Manual contrast enhancement of sections and model comparison.

97 The classic black and white contrast enhancement method has been used for some sections after 98 the raw image acquisition for comparison purposes of the proposed CNN-based method to 99 standard methods. Contrast enhancement was achieved by drawing slightly overlapping parallel 100 lines with a wide-tipped black permanent marker. This was done in three coats, changing the 101 orientation by 90° between coats. After the ink dried, a few tablespoons of thin-sized white 102 powder were worked into the samples using the flat face of a glass slide. A razor blade was 103 used to scrape excess powder, leaving powder pressed into voids. The residual powder was 104 removed by wiping with a clean and lightly oiled fingertip. A fine-tipped black marker was 105 used to darken voids in aggregates and cracks.

106 *2.4 Instance segmentation algorithm*

An instance segmentation algorithm was built in order to perform segmentation based on Mask 107 R-CNN as illustrated in Fig. 1 to detect air voids in high definition microscopic concrete 108 images. To train the model, 1470 images with equivalent magnifications ranging from \times 10 to 109 \times 100 and a 608 pix \times 608 pix size were selected from various cement paste, mortar and 110 concrete samples. This dataset was divided into training, validation, and test sets given the 111 following proportions: 78%, 13%, 9%. All the images were annotated using VGG Image 112 Annotation software. The training was performed during 600 epochs (250 steps/epoch) on a 113 commercial GPU (Nvidia RTX 2080 Ti, 11 Go GDDR6) using initial weights from COCO 114 dataset. Bitmap images were then built using the predictions from the high and the reduced 115 definition image by selecting the minimum intensity for each pixel as explained in Fig. 1 b). 116 Finally, an air-voids map has been generated and can be compared to images obtained through 117 manual contrast enhancement as described in the previous sub-section. The comparison 118 procedure between the numerical and the experimental air voids maps is illustrated in Fig. 1 b). 119 Model performance has been validated the mean average precision and the intersection over 120 union (IoU) indicators. mAP can be defined as follows: 121

$$mAP = \frac{\sum_{q=1}^{Q} AveP(q)}{Q} \tag{1}$$

where Q is the number of queries in the set and AveP(q) is the average precision (AP) for agiven query, q.

125 **3. Results and discussion**

126 *3.1 Model performance*

The mean average precision (mAP) of the model was found to be 0.6452 and the IoU ranged from 0.810 to 0.890 on test images. Thus, the very high precision of the air void detection model is comparable to the precision obtained on large datasets in international competitions for common objects detection¹⁸.

The model has then been applied on completely unknown large-scale high-definition images of 131 concretes whose formulations are given in Table 1. The comparison between the predicted and 132 the manual air-void structure analysis can be analyzed as illustrated in Fig. 2. The algorithm 133 successfully detected air voids and air void maps can be obtained as illustrated in Fig. 2 a). The 134 air content associated with the model prediction equaled 7.76 % (1706 air voids) which is close 135 to the fresh state measured air void content (7.4 %) and the air content measured using ASTM 136 C457 / C457M-16 method which is 7.94 %. However, the manually calculated air void content 137 of the concrete based on Fig. 2 b) image is around 12.8 % (1327 detected air voids) which is 138 higher than the predicted air void content and the measured fresh state air void content. 139

Therefore, the model results are closer to the experimentally measured values than the 140 classically manually colored image analysis technique which constitutes a very promising 141 feature regarding its industrial application. The difference between these values can be 142 attributed to several phenomena: first, the preparation of slices is a critical step and often leads 143 to the overestimation of the actual air void content as some powder particles may be stuck in 144 non perfectly flat regions such as asperities on aggregates, second, the CNN model is less error-145 prone, and its results are reproducible, which is not the case in experimental samples 146 preparation. 147

149 *3.2 Open-source code availability and website deployment*

Source code is available on a GitLab instance¹⁹. Code has been divided between the training procedure and the files related to detection on concrete images (image split, model inference and final image merge). Once public, all the contributions to the source code will be allowed. The open-source strategy of the model is given in Fig. 3. The user can run the source code using its own image and a Python interpreter (Option A). In the future, a dedicated website might be implemented to facilitate the inference (Option B).

156

157 4. Conclusions

In this article, an open-source deep learning instance segmentation model has been developed to detect air voids in cementitious materials using uncolored slices and without any other human intervention (except during the polishing step). Based on the state-of-the-art Mask R-CNN model with a Resnet-101 backbone architecture, the model has been trained to accurately detect air voids with a circular or an irregular shape within a wide range of cementitious materials. The principle of the model has been presented, and its performance has been quantified. The main results can be summarized as follows:

- The adapted Mask R-CNN model has then been trained using various concrete and
 mortar training images on a commercial GPU
- 167 Thousands of air voids can be easily detected with the model in some minutes and clear
 168 boundaries can be drawn between the instances
- The detection of pores on large-scale concrete sections has been performed with good
 precision (mAP=0.6452) using a novel strategy combining the model results on full size images and reduced-size images

- 172 The model predictions agree with the experimentally measured fresh-state air content,
- while air void content can be overestimated using the manual contrast enhancementmethod.

175 **References**

- 1. Powers TC, Willis TF (1950) THE AIR REQUIREMENT OF FROST RESISTANT 176 CONCRETE. Highway Research Board Proceedings 29. Available at: 177 https://trid.trb.org/view/101611 [Accessed July 12, 2021]. 178 2. ASTM C457 / C457M-16 (2016) Standard Test Method for Microscopical Determination 179 180 of Parameters of the Air-Void System in Hardened Concrete. 3. EN 480-11 (2005) Admixtures for concrete, mortar and grout. test methods. 181 Determination of air void characteristics in hardened concrete. 182 4. Kim KY, Yun TS, Choo J, Kang DH, Shin HS (2012) Determination of air-void 183 parameters of hardened cement-based materials using X-ray computed tomography. 184 *Construction and Building Materials* **37**, 93–101. 185 5. Peterson KW, Swartz RA, Sutter LL, Van Dam TJ (2001) Hardened Concrete Air Void 186 Analysis with a Flatbed Scanner. Transportation Research Record 1775, 36–43. 187 6. Peterson K, Carlson J, Sutter L, Van Dam T (2009) Methods for threshold optimization 188 for images collected from contrast enhanced concrete surfaces for air-void system 189 characterization. Materials Characterization 60, 710-5. 190 7. Jakobsen UH, Pade C, Thaulow N, Brown D, Sahu S, Magnusson O, De Buck S, De 191 Schutter G (2006) Automated air void analysis of hardened concrete — a Round Robin 192 study. Cement and Concrete Research 36, 1444-52. 193 8. Lyngdoh GA, Zaki M, Krishnan NMA, Das S (2022) Prediction of concrete strengths 194 enabled by missing data imputation and interpretable machine learning. Cement and 195 Concrete Composites 128, 104414. 196 9. Hilloulin B, Tran VQ (2022) Using machine learning techniques for predicting 197 autogenous shrinkage of concrete incorporating superabsorbent polymers and 198 supplementary cementitious materials. Journal of Building Engineering 49, 104086. 199 10. Hilloulin B, Lagrange M, Duvillard M, Garioud G (2022) ε-greedy automated indentation 200 of cementitious materials for phase mechanical properties determination. Cement and 201 Concrete Composites (in press). 202
- 11. Minaee S, Boykov YY, Porikli F, Plaza AJ, Kehtarnavaz N, Terzopoulos D (2021) Image
 Segmentation Using Deep Learning: A Survey. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 1–1.

- 12. Cha Y-J, Choi W, Büyüköztürk O (2017) Deep Learning-Based Crack Damage Detection
 Using Convolutional Neural Networks. *Computer-Aided Civil and Infrastructure Engineering* 32, 361–78.
- 13. Choi W, Cha Y-J (2020) SDDNet: Real-Time Crack Segmentation. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics* 67, 8016–25.
- 14. Kim B, Cho S (2019) Image-based concrete crack assessment using mask and region based convolutional neural network. *Structural Control and Health Monitoring* 26, e2381.
- 213 15. Amhaz R, Chambon S, Idier J, Baltazart V Automatic Road Crack Detection Based on a
 214 Shortest-Path Algorithm, in IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems,
 215 vol. 17, no. 10, pp. 2718-2729, Oct. 2016, doi: 10.1109/TITS.2015.2477675
- 16. Xue Y, Jia F, Cai X, Shadabfar M, Huang H (2022) An optimization strategy to improve
 the deep learning-based recognition model of leakage in shield tunnels. *Computer-Aided Civil and Infrastructure Engineering* 37, 386–402.
- 17. Song Y, Huang Z, Shen C, Shi H, Lange DA (2020) Deep learning-based automated
 image segmentation for concrete petrographic analysis. *Cement and Concrete Research* 135, 106118.
- 18. He K, Gkioxari G, Dollár P, Girshick RB (2017) Mask R-CNN. In: 2017 IEEE
 International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV), Venice (Italy), pp 2980–8.
- 19. Hilloulin B (2021) Concrete Deep Segmentation. Available at: https://git.gem.ec nantes.fr/bhilloul/concrete-deep-segmentation [Accessed March 10, 2022].
- 226
- 227

| Cement | Sand | Gravel | Gravel | Water | Admixture 1 | Admixture 2 | AEA | w/c |
|--------|---|--|--|--|---|--|---|---|
| 205 | 705 | 244 | 701 | 171 | 2.00 | 0.062 | 0.501 | 0.42 |
| 383 | 195 | 244 | /01 | 1/1 | 5.08 | 0.963 | 0.301 | 0.42 |
| • • • | | | | | • • • • | | | |
| 385 | 795 | 244 | 701 | 171 | 3.08 | 0.963 | 0.193 | 0.42 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 385 | 795 | 245 | 701 | 181 | 3.08 | 0.963 | 0.231 | 0.42 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 350 | 880 | 918 | - | 162 | 2.275 | 0.350 | 0.420 | 0.44 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 385 | 850 | 289 | 640 | 169 | 1.925 | - | 0.270 | 0.45 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | Cement 385 385 385 350 385 | Cement Sand 0/4 385 795 385 795 385 795 385 880 385 850 | CementSand 0/4Gravel 4/10385795244385795244385795245350880918385850289 | CementSand 0/4Gravel 4/10Gravel 10/20385795244701385795244701385795245701350880918-385850289640 | CementSand 0/4Gravel 4/10Gravel 10/20Water | CementSand 0/4Gravel 4/10Gravel 10/20WaterAdmixture 13857952447011713.083857952447011713.083857952457011813.083857952457011813.08350880918-1622.2753858502896401691.925 | CementSand 0/4Gravel 4/10Gravel 10/20WaterAdmixture 1Admixture 23857952447011713.080.9633857952447011713.080.9633857952457011813.080.9633857952457011813.080.963385880918-1622.2750.3503858502896401691.925- | CementSand 0/4Gravel 4/10Gravel 10/20WaterAdmixture 1Admixture 2AEA3857952447011713.080.9630.5013857952447011713.080.9630.1933857952457011813.080.9630.231350880918-1622.2750.3500.4203858502896401691.925-0.270 |

228 Table 1. Mix proportion of concrete validation set (kg/m³)



Fig. 1. Deep Learning air void detection algorithm: a) adapted Mask R-CNN architecture, b) inference

- strategy on large-scale concrete images and comparison with powder-treated sections.
- 233



Fig. 2. Comparison example of the model to manual air void image analysis: a) binary image of the added predictions on the full-size image and on the reduced-size image, b) binary image of the voids detected using the manual analysis.



