

Spatialized ecological network analysis for ecosystem-based management: effects of climate change, marine renewable energy, and fishing on ecosystem functioning in the Bay of Seine

Quentin Nogues, Emma Araignous, Pierre Bourdaud, Ghassen Halouani, Aurore Raoux, Eric Foucher, François Le Loc'H, Frédérique Loew-Turbout, Frida Ben Rais Lasram, Jean-Claude Dauvin, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Quentin Nogues, Emma Araignous, Pierre Bourdaud, Ghassen Halouani, Aurore Raoux, et al.. Spatialized ecological network analysis for ecosystem-based management: effects of climate change, marine renewable energy, and fishing on ecosystem functioning in the Bay of Seine. ICES Journal of Marine Science, 2022, 79 (4), pp.1098-1112. 10.1093/icesjms/fsac026 . hal-03610445

HAL Id: hal-03610445 https://hal.science/hal-03610445

Submitted on 16 Mar 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Spatialized ecological network analysis for ecosystem-based management: effects of			
2	climate change, marine renewable energy and fishing on ecosystem functioning in the Bay			
3	of Seine			
4	Quentin Nogues ¹ , Emma Araignous ² , Pierre Bourdaud ³ , Ghassen Halouani ⁴ , Aurore			
5	Raoux ⁵ , Éric Foucher ⁶ , François Le Loc'h ³ , Frédérique Loew-Turbout ⁷ , Frida Ben Rais Lasram ⁸ ,			
6	Jean-Claude Dauvin ⁵ , Nathalie Niquil ¹ .			
7				
8 9 10	¹ Normandie Université, UNICAEN, Laboratoire Biologie des ORganismes et Ecosystèmes Aquatiques, UMR 8067 BOREA (CNRS, MNHN, UPMC, UCBN, IRD-207), esplanade de la paix, CS 14032, 14000 Caen, France.			
11 12 13	 ²France Energies Marines ITE-EMR, 525 avenue Alexis de Rochon, 29280 Plouzané, France. ³Université de Bretagne Occidentale, CNRS, IRD, IFREMER, Institut Universitaire Européen de la Mer (IUEM), rue Dumont d'Urville, BP 70, 29280 Plouzané, France. 			
14 15 16	⁴ IFREMER, Unite halieutique Manche-Mer du Nord Ifremer, HMMN, 62200 Boulogne sur mer, France. ⁵ Normandie Université, UNICAEN, UNIROUEN, Laboratoire Morphodynamique Continentale et Côtière, CNRS LIMB 6143 M2C, 24 rue des Tilleuls, 14000 Caen, France			
17	⁶ IFREMER, Unité Halieutique Manche Mer-du-Nord, Laboratoire Ressources Halieutiques de Port-en-			
18 19 20	⁷ Normandie Université, UNICAEN, MRSH, CS 14032, 14000 Caen, France. ⁸ Université Littoral Côte d'Opale, Université Lille, IRD, CNRS, UMR 8187 LOG, Laboratoire			
21 22	d'Océanologie et de Géosciences, 62930 Wimereux, France.			
23 24	* Corresponding author: quentin.nogues33@gmail.com Published in: ICES Journal of Marine Science			
25 26 27	tsac026 DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsac026</u> Received: June 10, 2021. Revised: January 16, 2022. Accepted: January 28, 2022, published:			
28	February 24, 2022.			
29 30 31	© The Author(s) 2022. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of International Council for the Exploration of the Sea. All rights reserved. For permissions, please e-mail: journals.permissions@oup.com			
32 33	Link: <u>https://academic.oup.com/icesjms/advance-article-abstract/doi/10.1093/icesjms/fsac026/6535870</u>			
34				

35 Abstract

36 Integrative and spatialized tools for studying the effects of a wide variety of ecosystem 37 drivers are needed to implement ecosystem-based management and marine spatial planning. 38 We developed a tool for analyzing the direct and indirect effects of anthropic activities on the 39 structure and functioning of coastal and marine ecosystems. Using innovative modeling 40 techniques, we ran a spatially explicit model to carry out an ecological network analysis (ENA) 41 of the effects of climate change, of an offshore wind farm and of multiple fishing scenarios on the Bay of Seine (eastern part of the English Channel) ecosystem. ENA indices described the 42 43 effects of those different drivers in a holistic and spatial way. The spatial analysis of ecosystem properties revealed local and global patterns of modifications attributed to climate change, 44 45 while the offshore wind farm resulted in localized changes in the ecosystem. This ability of 46 ENA indicators to detect human induced changes in ecosystem functioning at various spatial scales allows for a more integrative view of the effects of human activities on ecosystems. ENA 47 indices could be used to link both local and global ecosystem changes, for a more cross-scale 48 49 approach to ecosystem management.

50 **Key words:** Ecological network analysis, ENA, Ecospace, trophic structure, ecosystem 51 functioning, climate change, Offshore wind farm, fishing effects, species distribution.

52 Highlights:

- Ecological network analysis describes the spatial effects of multiple environmental
 drivers on the functioning of the extended Bay of Seine ecosystem.
- Climate change effect on species distribution had strong structuring effects on the
 ecosystem.
- Two fishing scenarios linked to Brexit (increased and decreased fishing) were tested;
 they had limited effects on ecosystem functioning compared to the effects of climate
 change on species distribution.
- Ecological network analysis distinguished vulnerable areas that might require special
 attention in terms of ecological management.
- 62

63 1 Introduction

64 Marine ecosystems are crucial for human societies because they provide many services 65 such as food provisioning, nutrient regulation, habitat maintenance and climate mitigation 66 (Peterson and Lubchenco, 1997). Marine ecosystems are subject to pressures from human 67 activities (Halpern et al., 2008) and their subsequent detrimental impacts. Anthropogenic pressure is predicted to keep on increasing in the next decades due to the growing human 68 needs (MEA et al., 2005). This is reflected in the expanding number of offshore wind farms 69 70 (OWFs) to meet the need for greener energy. The environmental impacts of OWFs occur in 71 three phases: i) during the construction phase impacts may be considered temporary, the 72 same can be said of the ii) decommission phase while iii) during the operational phase impacts 73 are longer lasting (Petersen and Malm, 2006). The most significant long-lasting impacts of the 74 operational phase on the whole ecosystem functioning include the reef effect caused by the 75 turbine structures and the reserve effect resulting from fishing closure (Raoux et al., 2019; Degraer *et al.*, 2020). Direct anthropogenic activities are not the only driver of ecosystems: 76 77 climate change (CC) may also have many hard to predict effects (Hoegh-Guldberg and Bruno, 78 2010; Poloczanska et al., 2016; Winder and Sommer, 2012a). These effects include (among 79 others) drifts in species distribution (Cheung et al., 2009) and changing physiological rates (Brierley and Kingsford, 2009). Ecosystems are complex and interconnected. Unpredictable 80 81 effects on several of their components could cascade through trophic chains and interactions, limit their resilience and thus facilitate regime shifts and ecosystem collapses (Levin and 82 83 Lubchenco, 2008). In this situation, there is a growing need for integrative approaches to 84 understand the sensitivity of such ecosystems to a wide variety of drivers.

85 The scientific community and the decision makers encourage the use of integrative 86 approaches that can address an increasing complexity (Rombouts et al., 2013) and number of anthropogenic pressures (de Jonge, 2007; Fath et al., 2019; Rodriguez, 2017). Integrative 87 88 approaches are holistic methods employed to understand the functioning of whole 89 ecosystems. Integrative or ecosystem-based approaches are considered essential for adequate ecosystem-based management (Agardy et al., 2011; Borja et al., 2010; Buhl-90 Mortensen et al., 2017) and have been highly advocated for sustainable management of 91 92 marine and coastal environments (Langlet and Rayfuse, 2018).

93 Ecological network analysis (ENA) is promising because it is compatible with ecosystem-94 based management and offers a quantitative assessment of marine ecosystem functioning 95 (Niquil et al., 2014a; Safi et al., 2019; Heymans et al., 2020). ENAs depict the ecosystem as a 96 network of interactions, where information can cascade from one part of the network to the other. Derived from different sciences including economics and thermodynamics (Wulff et al., 97 98 1989), ENA indices can quantify emerging properties of ecosystems and monitor their 99 evolution (Ulanowicz, 1986; Heymans and Tomczak, 2016; Borrett and Scharler, 2019). Using 100 ENA to spatialize ecosystem models would make them more operational and help marine 101 spatial planning (Le Tissier, 2020).

Ecospace is a well-known spatio-temporal trophic model derived from the Ecopath with Ecosim framework (Walters *et al.*, 1999; Christensen and Walters, 2004). It can help marine spatial planning initiatives by simulating the effects of environmental changes on food webs (e.g. Alexander *et al.*, 2016; Liquete *et al.*, 2016). However, to our knowledge, no study has tested ENA in an Ecospace model. Combining ENA with Ecospace could give us a holistic view of the ecosystem under multiple schemes of environmental changes in order to link ecosystem-based management to marine spatial planning.

109 In this study, we propose to investigate the spatial effects of multiple drivers on the Bay 110 of Seine (eastern part of the English Channel) ecosystem, using ENA indices. This work is based 111 on the Ecospace model of Halouani et al. (2020) modified by Bourdaud et al. (2021). It 112 represents the food web of the extended Bay of Seine (eBoS), and initially modeled the potential reserve effect of the future offshore wind farm (OWF) of Courseulles-sur-Mer 113 114 (Halouani et al. 2020). It was also used to explore the potential effects of CC on species 115 distribution (Bourdaud et al. 2021) by combining it with niche models (Ben Rais Lasram et al., 116 2020).

Following these works, we integrated new approaches aimed at better forecasting the possible evolution of the Bay of Seine ecosystem. First, we added the reef effect to the potential impacts of the future OWF of Courseulles-sur-Mer. Secondly, we used the spatialtemporal framework module of *EwE* (Steenbeek *et al.*, 2013) to better model the likely effect of CC on species distribution in the Bay of Seine. Finally, we integrated fishing scenarios following the plausible effects of Brexit into the eBoS model. The spatial explanatory power

123 of ENA indices was tested, both at a local scale inside the eBoS (OWF) and at a global scale 124 across eBoS (CC and fishing scenarios), using these scenarios. We explored the spatial 125 variability of the ecosystem properties and determined three functional regions with similar 126 properties in the eBoS. We also discussed the sensitivity of the ecosystem properties to the 127 different drivers within each functional region. By doing so, we determined the potential risk 128 that such changes in ecosystem properties occur. We also highlighted the sensitive areas of 129 the ecosystems that may require special attention from decision makers in the future, 130 especially in the implementation of new OWFs in the English Channel. Finally, we investigated 131 ENA sensitivity and explanatory power as a spatial planning tool.

132 2 Materials and methods

133 2.1 Study area

134 The extended Bay of Seine Ecospace model covers the sea space from the Cotentin 135 peninsula to Le Havre all the way up to the French-British delimitation of the Exclusive 136 Economic Zones (Figure - 1). It is a shallow coastal ecosystem open onto the English Channel, 137 with a mean depth of 35 m varying from 5 m to around 70 m in the paleo-valley north-west of 138 the eBoS. The eBoS covers 13,500 km²; the main sediment types include gravels, coarse sand, 139 fine sand and muddy fine sand (Supplementary materials Figure S - 1, Dauvin, 2015). 140 Oceanographic features include the Seine estuary (south-east of the eBoS), and the Seine 141 paleo valley (south-east to north-west of the eBoS) (Figure - 1). The Bay of Seine and the 142 English Channel in general are a highly anthropized ecosystem, with numerous activities 143 including fishing, aggregate extraction, marine renewable energy, tourism, sea freight and 144 more (Dauvin, 2015). Fishing is very important in the bay, and more particularly king scallop 145 (Pecten maximus) dredging, but many other fishing techniques are also used. Fishing gears 146 include trawls and nets targeting demersal fish, trawls targeting small pelagic fish, demersal 147 fish and cephalopods, as well as other fishing gears (Supplementary materials Table S - 1). The most harvested fish species include sole (Solea solea) and cod (Gadus morhua). The bay is also 148 149 of great interest for renewable marine energy. The offshore wind farm of Courseulles-sur-Mer 150 is under construction and should start operating in 2024 (~ 50 km², 64 turbines). Other 151 offshore wind farm projects of various sizes are also under consideration in the bay.



153Figure - 1 Map of the eastern English Channel, including the boundaries of154the extended Bay of Seine Ecospace model and the localization of the155offshore wind farm of Courseulles-sur-Mer.

156 2.2 Food web modeling

157 The eBoS model was built from Ecopath with Ecosim (EwE 6) software. EwE can model 158 marine food webs through a static average representation (Ecopath), with a time dynamics 159 (Ecosim) and spatio-temporally (Ecospace).

160 The basic Ecopath model is a balanced model where the production of a trophic group 161 is considered equal to its consumption by the system (Polovina, 1984; Pauly *et al.*, 2000). The 162 production of each group of Ecopath follows the equation:

$$B_{i} \cdot (P/B)_{i} = \sum B_{j} \cdot (Q/B)_{j} \cdot DC_{ij} + Y_{i} + E_{i} + BA_{i} + B_{i} \cdot (P/B)_{i} \cdot (1 - EE_{i})$$
(1)

163

164 Where B is the biomass of prey i or predator j, $(P/B)_i$ is the production of i *per* unit of biomass, 165 $(Q/B)_j$ is the consumption of j *per* unit of biomass, DC_{ij} is the fraction of i in the diet of j, Y_i 166 is the total fishery catch rate of i, E_i is the net migration rate of i, BA_i is the biomass 167 accumulation rate of i and EE_i is the ecotrophic efficiency of i or the proportion of i's 168 production utilized in the system.

The eBoS Ecopath model is composed of 40 living groups including a wide range of marine species – fish, invertebrates, birds and marine mammals – and 2 non-living groups – detritus and fishing discards. Living groups include monospecific groups as well as multispecific groups (Supplementary materials Table S - 2). Multiple fishing techniques were modeled (trawling, nets, angling, traps, and other minor gears). A full description of the eBos model is available in *Halouani et al.* (2020).

Ecosim is a time-dynamic version of Ecopath and considers biomass variation over time (Walters *et al.*, 1997; Christensen and Walters, 2004). Ecosim represents the biomass dynamics as:

$$dB_j/dt = \frac{g_{j.a_{ij}.v_{ij}.B_j.B_i}}{2.v_{ij}+a_{ij.B_j}} - Z_{j.B_j}$$
(2)

where B_j is predator j biomass, i the prey of j, g_j is the growth efficiency of j, v_{ij} is the prey vulnerability exchange rate, a_{ij} is the predator search rate, and Z_j is the total instantaneous mortality of j.

The eBoS Ecosim model was set to run from 2000 to 2015 and used 29 annual time series, including 21 time series of catches from the IFREMER database SACROIX (Système d'Information Halieutique, 2017) and 8 time series of biomass from multiple stock assessment campaigns. See Halouani *et al.* (2020) for more details.

185 Finally, Ecospace is a spatially explicit time-dynamic model based on Ecopath and 186 Ecosim. In Ecospace, the spatial extent of the ecosystem is represented by a grid of cells and 187 each cell is a time-dynamic trophic model based on Ecosim, with interconnections between 188 cells (Walters et al., 1999; Christensen et al., 2014). The base map of the eBoS Ecospace model 189 was made of 4,907 cells, with a resolution of 0.015° x 0.015° each, identified depending on 190 their row r and their column c (r,c). Input maps included a bathymetric map to define the 191 model area, extracted from GEBCO (General Bathymetric Chart of the Oceans: 192 https://www.gebco.net/) and a map of primary production from SeaWifs representing the relative chlorophyll a concentration in the bay in 2000 (https://podaac.jpl.nasa.gov/). A 193 194 habitat map was used to define species distributions in the initial model of Halouani et al. 195 (2020), but it was replaced with niche model suitability index maps in Bourdaud *et al.* (2021)

196 (Supplementary materials Table S - 3). These suitability index maps were computed using 197 multi-algorithm niche models (Ben Rais Lasram et al., 2020, Supplementary materials Figure S 198 - 2 to 28). Niche model algorithms are correlative approaches aimed at identifying the 199 potential niches of species by correlating species occurrences with environmental variables. 200 The niche models developed by Ben Rais Lasram et al. (2020) used presence-only data 201 correlated with climatic variables (temperature and salinity) as well as habitat variables (type 202 of substrate, depth, slope, and orientation). Eight models from BIOMOD were used. Model fit 203 was determined using a 3-fold cross validation procedure and model performance was 204 assessed using both the Continuous Boyce Index or CBI and the True Skill Statistic or TSS. Only 205 the models with an averaged CBI superior to 0.5 were kept (Supplementary materials Table S 206 4 & 5). All the modeling choices can be found in Ben Rais Lasram et al. (2020). Averaged 207 suitability index maps were then built from the fitted species distribution models, using 208 climatic and habitat-based species distribution models, and were validated using expert 209 knowledge.

210 Averaged suitability index maps were computed for 72 species of the eBoS and were 211 employed as environmental driver maps for most of the groups of the Ecospace model (Coll et al., 2019). Some groups considered poorly modeled by the niche models were driven by 212 213 other parameters, e.g. depth (Supplementary materials Table S - 3). Monospecific niche model 214 outputs were directly applied for monospecific trophic groups and merged according to the 215 biomass of each species in multi-specific groups. The multi-specific trophic groups lacking data 216 to model the distribution of all the species of the group were driven by the suitability index 217 map of the dominant species of the group (Bourdaud et al., 2021).

Environmental drivers (h) were used to compute the habitat capacity (C_{rcj}) of each trophic group j in each cell (r,c) of the eBoS Ecospace model and define suitable habitats for each group of the model (Christensen *et al.*, 2014). The habitat capacity drove the vulnerable prey densities (V_{ij}) as well as the vulnerability exchange rate (v_{ij}) , the search rate (a_{ij}) and the predation rate (z_j) to set suitable environments for all the groups of the model according to their environmental preferences. Predators fed themselves according to their habitat capacity and based on prey availability. The prey pool available for each predator is fixed and

defined in the Ecopath diet matrix. The habitat capacity C_{rcj} ranged between 0 and 1 and was calculated for each cell as a function of a vector of habitat attributes (environmental drivers):

$$C_{rcj} = f_j(h_{r,c}) \tag{3}$$

$$V_{ij} = \frac{v_{ij}.B_j}{2.v_{ij} + a_{ij}.\frac{B_j}{C_{rcj}}}$$
(4)

227 Where B_j is the biomass of predator j, v_{ij} is the vulnerability exchange rate, and a_{ij} the search 228 rate.

Multiple types of environmental drivers can define the habitat capacity of a species 229 (water depth, temperature, or suitability index maps from niche models, Supplementary 230 materialsTable S - 3), and each environmental driver is associated with a specific response 231 232 curve. In the eBoS model, a linear response curve was associated to the niche model results 233 to compute the habitat capacity of each species (see De Mutsert *et al.*, 2017). The suitability index of the niche models varied between 0 (not suitable) and 1 (suitable), like the habitat 234 235 capacity (Bourdaud et al., 2021). Other response curves were built for the other groups (Supplementary materials Figure S - 29 to S - 34). 236

237 The eBoS model simulated multiple scenarios and each scenario modeled one driver. In 238 the first scenario, we modeled the potential long-term effects of the future OWF of Courseulles-sur-Mer. The second and third scenarios modeled the likely effects of CC on 239 240 species distribution in the bay of Seine under the RCP8.5 forcing scenario of the IPCC (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change) that appears to be the most realistic one 241 (Schwalm et al., 2020). Finally, we built two fishing scenarios linked to the potential effects of 242 Brexit: a "reduced fishing activities" scenario – F red – and an "increasing fishing activities" 243 244 scenario -F inc (Figure -2).

ENA required working with a mass-balanced model. As such, we did not work in a temporal way and we only needed "snapshot" of trophic flows. Ecospace was used to create end maps of indices for each scenario (Figure – 2) at a mass-balanced state.



Figure – 2 Modeling framework. eBoS, extended Bay of Seine; ENA,

248

249

250 251

2.3

ecological network analysis; OWF, offshore wind farm; CC, climate change. Effect of climate change on species distribution

252 In Bourdaud et al. (2021), a first set of suitability index maps was computed using niche models with climate parameters over the 2005 – 2012 period (Ben Rais Lasram et al., 2020). 253 254 It was defined as the initial environmental driver for 27 of the 40 living groups, from benthic 255 invertebrates to piscivorous fish (Supplementary materials Table S - 2). Groups were chosen based on data availability and distribution models results. To model the effect of CC on the 256 257 distribution and dynamics of eBoS species, two new sets of suitability index maps were 258 computed with niche models, using climate projections under the IPPC "business as usual" 259 scenario RCP 8.5 (Ben Rais Lasram et al., 2020), but at different time intervals: one in 2050 260 (2041 – 2050) and one in 2100 (2091 – 2100). Using these new niche models, we determined the evolution of the suitability index under the effects of climate change for the 27 living 261 262 groups using environmental driver. This allowed us, to model the potential effect of climate 263 change on a large part of the food web, from benthic invertebrates to piscivorous fish but not 264 in its entirety.

The suitability index defined the theoretical niche of the species, between the realized niche and the fundamental one (Soberón and Nakamura, 2009; Jiménez *et al.*, 2019). Considering the fundamental niche as the extent of geoclimatic parameters where species

have a positive production rate (Hutchinson, 1957), we hypothesized that the production of the species would be lower close to the limit of the theoretical niche (lower suitability index), and higher in the center of the theoretical niche (higher suitability index). The niche models simulated how suitable the geoclimatic parameters were and their evolution by 2050 and 2100, following the IPPC "business as usual" scenario RCP 8.5.

Like the Ecospace model outputs, the niche model outputs used to model the effects of climate change were all validated by experts (pers. Com. Jean-Claude Dauvin, Jean-Paul Robin and Éric Foucher), and the results were similar to those of other works on similar species in the English Channel (Rombouts *et al.*, 2013).

277 Averaged suitability index maps for each of the 27 groups were computed for the two climate change projections (2050 and 2100), and were introduced in Ecospace using the 278 spatial-temporal framework of EwE (Steenbeek et al., 2013) to model the effects of climate 279 280 change. The spatial-temporal framework was used with the following protocol: all Ecospace 281 scenarios were first started with the initial suitability index maps as environmental drivers 282 computed from 2005 - 2012 climate parameters. After 20 years of spin-up used to reach 283 stable biomass for each group, the suitability index maps of the CC niche models were 284 introduced to replace the initial suitability index maps and to model the effect of CC on species 285 distribution in the two CC scenarios. Subsequently, Ecospace scenarios were run until group 286 biomass values were considered stable and reached a balanced state, as required by ENA. The 287 models were run for 55 years after the spin-up in each CC scenario. The results retrieved after 288 stabilization were used to compute ENA indices.

289 By replacing the initial suitability index computed from 2005 – 2012 climate parameters 290 with suitability index sets computed from the effects of climate change on climate parameters, 291 we modified the environmental driver for each of the 27 groups, to reflect the effects of 292 climate change in 2050 and in 2100. The aim was to reflect the impact of climate change on 293 the biogeoclimatic niches of the trophic groups: as climate change modifies the environment, 294 geoclimatic parameters become more or less suitable for the species of the trophic groups 295 and modify habitat suitability (see Coll et al., 2019). Following the foraging arena theory, if the 296 habitat becomes more or less suitable for a group (according to niche models), then the 297 habitat capacity changes accordingly and modifies the group dynamic in Ecospace (Walters et

298 al., 1999; Christensen et al., 2014). If the suitability index of a group decreases between the 299 reference niche model — computed from the 2005 – 2012 climatic parameters — and one of the climate change niche models — IPPC "business as usual" scenario RCP 8.5 —, the habitat 300 301 capacity of the group is reduced (C_{rci}) . Consequently, the habitat is less suitable for the group 302 j, consumption of I by j decreases (Christensen et al., 2014; Coll et al., 2019), and so does the 303 production of j (Eq. 4). Therefore, the evolution of biomass distribution in the Ecospace model 304 due to climate change depends both on the suitability index of the species (evolution of abiotic 305 parameters) and on prey availability (biotic relationship between species), allowing for a more 306 realistic simulation of the effects of climate change (see Bourdaud et al., 2021).

307 Using the spatial-temporal framework of *EwE* (Steenbeek *et al.*, 2013), we produced end 308 model results for the two CC time intervals rather than modeling the "continuous" impact of 309 CC from the current period to the 2050 or 2100 horizon.

310 2.4 Fishing scenarios

To evaluate the significance of the effects of fishing on the ecosystem, we designed multiple fishing effort functions (Supplementary materials Table S – 6 to S - 8), to model the potential effects of Brexit on fishing effort in the eBoS (Walters *et al.*, 1999). Two new scenarios were built: one with a decreased fishing pressure (**F_dec**) and one with an increased fishing pressure (**F_inc**) compared to the reference scenario.

316 **F_dec** considered a decrease of the fishing activities in the area. Such a decrease would 317 be the result of the closing of British fishing areas to French fishermen. Those areas 318 considered rich in fish resources (https://atlasare very transmanche.certic.unicaen.fr/en/), so it was speculated that fishermen would lose 319 320 part of their income and could decide to stop or shift their activity. As France provides 321 strong support to European fishing, French fishermen could be helped find other jobs, 322 and this would limit French fishing in the area. By looking at the "fishing vessel activity" 323 report of Caen by the Ifremer (Ifremer SIH, 2017), we supposed that medium-sized to 324 small ships (< 12 m) would be more impacted. Such vessels mainly performed 3 fishing 325 activities in the eBoS model ("pelagic and bottom trawls", "bottom trawls", "pelagic 326 trawls"), as well as "other fishing gears". To model the potential effects of this 327 scenario, we approximated a 20% reduction of the "trawl" activities and a 5 %

reduction of "other fishing gears". Moreover, British fishermen would not be able to catch king scallops in French waters anymore, and in the absence of potential modifications of quotas, this would result in a lower fishing pressure in the area. The "dredge" gear activity would thus be reduced by 20 % based on British quotas on king scallops.

333 F_inc considered an increase of fishing in the area resulting from the relocation of 334 European fisheries from France, Belgium, The Netherlands or even Denmark inside the 335 eBoS. As European fishermen would not have access to the United Kingdom waters, 336 they would have to fish in other places, e.g. in the eBoS. King scallop fishing would still 337 be reduced, as no new quotas are likely to be set to let other countries take up the 338 UK's vacant place, even though some French fishermen could benefit from it. In our 339 scenario, this resulted in a 20 % increase of the "pelagic and bottom trawls", the "bottom trawls" and the "pelagic trawls" activities, as well as a 5 % increase of "other 340 fishing gears" activities based on the previous Brexit scenario. 341

Following the December 2020 negotiations between the European Union and the United Kingdom government, decisions on fishing have been postponed till 2026, making our scenarios still plausible to this day.

New fishing effort grids were built from the initial model of Halouani *et al.* (2020) and modified according to the desired scenario (Supplementary materials Table S – 6 to S - 8). Fishing effort in each fishing scenario was considered constant, because we only looked at the "end picture" of each scenario.

349 2.5 Offshore wind farm

Recently there has been an increasing interest to understand potential effects of OWFs on marine ecosystems (Shields and Payne, 2014). They have been split into three main categories depending on the phase of life of the offshore wind farm: 1, construction; 2, routine operation; 3, decommission (Gill, 2005; Shields and Payne, 2014). While the construction and decommission phases are characterized by a strong and abrupt impact on the ecosystem, the operating phase is characterized by a long and structuring effect lasting as long as the park is operating (Gill, 2005; Petersen and Malm, 2006; Wilhelmsson *et al.*, 2006; Wilhelmsson and

Malm, 2008). This study targets the two main structuring effects of the operating phase on the whole ecosystem: the reef effect and the reserve effect (Petersen and Malm, 2006; Raoux *et al.*, 2019; Degraer *et al.*, 2020). To model these impacts, we used tools available in Ecospace and data from a previous Ecopath model of the Courseulles-sur-Mer OWF (Raoux *et al.*, 2017).

361 Spatial restrictions are likely to be implemented around offshore wind farm installations 362 for navigation safety which could lead to a limitation of fishing activities: this is the above-363 mentioned reserve effect. Modeling the reserve effect induced by the OWF was 364 straightforward and had previously been achieved by Halouani et al. (2020) using the MPA 365 tool of Ecospace. To do so, multiple cells of the Ecospace model inside the future OWF were 366 closed to fishing. Only 15 % of the OWF surface was blocked to all fishing activities so as to 367 represent the OWF owners' proposal during the environmental impact assessment, to 368 "optimize" the fishing area by leaving a sufficient space between turbines and connecting 369 cables (Raoux et al., 2018).

370 Due to the small footprint of the OWF foundation compared to the Ecospace cell resolution (5% of a single cell), modeling the reef effect was not possible by simply changing 371 372 the habitats in the cells. We had to look at a previous model of the reef effect of the 373 Courseulles-sur-Mer OWF (Raoux et al., 2017). The observations on this Ecopath model were 374 linked to the 70 km² farm in Ecospace (37 cells). In Raoux et al. (2017), the reef effect was 375 modeled by forcing the biomass of 10 trophic groups and the replacement of soft sediment 376 by hard substrates was thus considered insignificant. We did the same by creating new 377 environmental maps for the same groups in the eBoS Ecospace model to represent the 378 biomass variations caused by the reef effect (Supplementary materials Table S - 9). The 379 increased habitat suitability due to the reef effect would thus lead to a higher foraging capacity 380 based on the foraging arenas theory (Walters et al., 1997; Ahrens et al., 2012). The new 381 environmental maps were added using the spatial-temporal framework of Ecospace at the 382 2015 time step, before the CC simulations. Similar structural sub-regions were used to 383 characterize the effects of the OWF on the eBoS ecosystem (Halouani et al. 2020): the OWF 384 area itself, the first two rows of cells surrounding the farm (spillover 1), the next two rows of 385 cells surrounding the farm (spillover 2) and the rest of the eBoS model (Bay) (Supplementary 386 materials Figure S - 35).

387 2.6 Ecological network analysis

388 Ecological network analysis indices are holistic indices describing the functioning and organization of the food web. They are computed from flow matrices of the food web. ENA 389 390 indices were computed for each cell of the Ecospace model with a beta Ecospace plugin: 391 "EnaR" (Table - 1). This plugin allows Ecospace to build SCOR files for each cell of the model at 392 every time step. Based on the SCOR file, the ENA indices were calculated with the "ena"" R 393 package (Borrett and Lau, 2014). ENA indices were calculated for the 4,907 cells of the 394 Ecospace model in the extended Bay of Seine. They were computed for the initial reference 395 current scenario, for the two CC scenarios, for the two fishing scenarios and for the OWF 396 scenario.

3	9	7
-	-	•

Table - 1 ENA indices computed with enaR from Ecospace SCOR files.

Name	Objective	Calculation	References
Relative redundancy of the flow (RDC)	The relative redundancy is the "reserve" of the system information and refers to the extent of parallel flows in the system relative to the total capacity of the system.	$\Phi_i = -\sum_{i,j=1}^n T_{ij} \log[\frac{T_{ij}^2}{T_i T_j'}]$ Where Φ_i is the internal relative redundancy, T_{ij} the flow between i and j, T_i the sum of all the flows leaving i, T_j' the sum of all the flows leaving j. $RDC = \frac{\Phi_i}{DC}$ Where DC is the development	(Ulanowicz and Norden, 1990; Christensen, 1995; Ulanowicz <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> , 2009)
		capacity of the system.	
Total flow diversity (H)	Flow diversity quantifies the diversity of flows passing through all the groups of the model.	$H = \sum_{i} \sum_{j} f_{ij} \log(f_{ij}Q_i)$ Where f_{ij} is the fraction of the total flow from j that passes through I, and Q_i is the probability that a unit of energy passes through i.	(Christensen, 1995)
Mean trophic efficiency / Mean transfer Efficiency (TE)	The mean trophic efficiency describes the mean percentage of production of one trophic level converted to production by the next trophic level. It is averaged for the entire trophic network.	Using Lindeman spine, the trophic efficiency for a trophic level tl was computed as: $TE_{tl} = \frac{T_{.tl+1}}{T_{.tl}} \times 100$ Where $T_{.tl}$ is the total outflow for trophic level tl, and $T_{.tl+1}$ is the total outflow for the next trophic level. The 'mean trophic efficiency' of the system is then derived from the geometric	(Lindeman, 1942; Niquil <i>et al.</i> , 2014)

		mean of the efficiencies of all	
		trophic levels.	
System omnivory index (SOI)	The system omnivory index quantifies the distribution of trophic interactions among different trophic levels. It is the mean omnivory index of all the groups.	$OI_{i} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} [TL_{j} - (TL_{i} - 1)]^{2}$ $\times DC_{ij}$ $SOI = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} [OI_{i} \times \log(Q_{i})]}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \log(Q_{i})}$ where TL is the trophic level of i or j.	(Libralato, 2013)
Recycling index or Finn Cycling Index (FCI)	The recycling index is the fraction of energy recycled in the system.	$FCI = \frac{TST_c}{TST}$ where <i>TST</i> is the total system throughflow, and <i>TSTc</i> the cycled total system throughflow.	(Finn, 1980)
Mean trophic level (MTL2)	The MTL2 is the mean trophic level of the network's groups, taking all level-2 consumers into account.	$MTL = \frac{\sum_{i} TL_{i} \times B_{i}}{\sum_{i} B_{i}}$ where B is the biomass of i or j.	(Latham, 2006)

398

399 2.7 Statistical analysis

In order to better understand the effects of each scenario spatially, a K-means clustering analysis was carried out (MacQueen, 1967) on the ENA results of the current reference scenario. The "Elbow" method was used to determine the optimal value of the cluster based on multiple K values and their effects on the averaged distance between points (sum of the square).

405 A Cliff delta was used to test the significance of the differences between the ENA values 406 of the reference scenario and those of the different scenarios modeling the effects of a driver. 407 In previous works, the Cliff Delta (Cliff, 1993) proved useful to compare ENA results when large sample sizes and heteroscedasticity precluded the application of parametric statistical tests 408 409 (Tecchio et al., 2016; V. Girardin & J. Lequesne, pers. comm.). We employed the non-410 parametric Cliff Delta with the same threshold as Romano et al. (2006), who considered 411 differences between datasets negligible if the Cliff Delta ($| \partial Cliff |$) was < 0.147, low if 0.147 < 412 $| \partial Cliff | < 0.33$, medium if $0.33 < | \partial Cliff | < 0.474$, or strong if $| \partial Cliff | > 0.474$.

413 3 Results

414 3.1 Regionalization of the model

The ENA values of the reference scenario were higher near the coastline and especially near the Seine estuary south east of the eBoS model, for the 6 indices; they were lower in the deepest, most offshore part of the eBoS, north west of the eBoS model (Figure - 3). While most of the indices followed this trend, the FCI obviously differed, with a pattern closer to the primary production pattern (Supplementary materials Figure S - 36). Overall, this indicates that the flow diversity, the relative overhead, the mean trophic level and to a lesser extent recycling seemed to follow a coastline / open sea gradient.



422

423 424

Figure - 3 Maps of ecological network analysis indices for the reference scenario.

The K-means clustering analysis associated to the "elbow" method determined three to four clusters. In order to simplify the analysis and because three clusters provided better spatial delimitation, we set it at three. The three clusters revealed a gradient from the

- 428 coastline to the open sea (Figure 4). The clusters were named accordingly, with the most
- 429 coastal cluster called "Coastal Bay of Seine", the following one "Offshore Bay of Seine" and



430 the last one "Central English Channel".



432

433

434

Figure - 4 Regions with similar ecosystem properties and functioning determined using a K-means clustering analysis based on the ecological network analysis index values in the reference scenario.

435 3.2 Effects of climate change and fishing on the functioning and organization of the436 system

437 Climate change scenarios displayed much larger variation in their ENA indices than fishing scenarios did. The CC 2100 scenario was the one with the highest number of strong 438 439 variations with the reference scenario ($| \partial Cliff | > 0.474$, Figure - 5). While fishing scenarios 440 had logical effects on ENA indices, with opposite responses to the increased or decreased 441 fishing pressure, CC scenarios had surprising effects. For example, the 2050 CC scenario 442 increased the SOI of the Coastal Bay of Seine region, while the 2100 CC scenario greatly 443 decreased it (Figure - 5). This is linked to the different effects of climate change on the groups 444 of the Ecospace model (Supplementary materials Table S – 37 to S - 52).

All but two indices displayed medium to strong variation in the 2100 CC scenario. Flow diversity (H) in the Central English Channel region and recycling (FCI) in the Offshore Bay of Seine region were the only indices displaying negligible variation compared to the reference scenario (Figure - 5). In the 2050 CC scenario, six indices displayed negligible variation compared to the reference scenario, especially in the Offshore Bay of Seine (3 indices) and the

450 Central English Channel (2 indices) (Figure - 5). Variations due to the 2050 CC scenario were 451 small or negligible. This difference between the 2050 and 2100 scenarios is linked to the 452 greater effect of climate change on the trophic group's habitat suitability in the 2100 scenario 453 than in the 2050 scenario (Supplementary materials Figure S - 41).

In general, the Coastal Bay of Seine region was the most sensitive area to CC (in both the
2050 and 2100 scenarios), with negligible variation of only one of its ecological indices,
followed by the Central English Channel (3 indices) and finally the Offshore Bay of Seine (4
indices).



458

- 459
- 460

Figure - 5 Variations between the reference scenario and the different CC scenarios (left columns) and Brexit scenarios (right columns).

461Positive variations are in red boxes, and negative variations in blue462boxes. A), variation in the Central English Channel region; B), variation in the463Offshore Bay of Seine region; C), variation in the Coastal Bay of Seine region.464Cliff Delta results: *** strong variation (| ∂Cliff | > 0.474); ** medium

 465
 variation (0.33 < | ∂Cliff | < 0.474); * small variation (0.147 < | ∂Cliff | <</td>

 466
 0.33); no *, negligible variation (| ∂Cliff | < 0.147).</td>

467 3.3 Effect of the offshore wind farm on the system

468 The effect of the OWF was the most visible one on the SOI of the eBoS model, followed 469 by the mean trophic level, trophic efficiency, flow diversity, the relative redundancy of the 470 flows, and recycling. Spatially speaking, the effects were mainly localized within the OWF perimeter, where all the above-mentioned indices increased, except recycling that was slightly 471 472 reduced compared to the reference scenario (0.147 < $| \partial Cliff | < 0.33$) (Figure - 6). While 473 recycling did not appear to be impacted by the OWF in the spillover regions, flow diversity, 474 omnivory, trophic efficiency and the mean trophic level decreased. The spillover regions always resulted in a decreased metric, regardless of ENA indices, in diverse proportions. The 475 rest of the bay of Seine did not show any significant variation between the OWF and reference 476 477 scenarios, indicating that the OWF had a localized effect on the Bay of Seine ecosystem.



478

479 Figure - 6 Variations between the reference and OWF scenarios for the 480 OWF sub-region.

481Regions include the spillover 1 region (first two rows of cells around482the OWF), the spillover 2 region (next two rows of cells around the OWF)483and the rest of the bay. All sub-regions are exclusive, with no overlapping.484Red bars, positive variations; blue bars, negative variations. Cliff Delta485variation: *** strong (| ∂ Cliff | > 0.474); ** medium (0.33 < | ∂ Cliff | <</td>4860.474); * small (0.147 < | ∂ Cliff | < 0.33); no *, negligible (| ∂ Cliff | < 0.147).</td>

487 4 Discussion

488 The modeling approach implemented in the present study improved the simulation of 489 multiple drivers, using whole ecosystem approaches based on a single reference model. We 490 did not represent the entire effect of CC, but rather tried to progressively improve the 491 forecasting previously achieved in the Bay of Seine (Bourdaud et al., 2021; Halouani et al., 492 2020; Nogues et al., 2020; Raoux et al., 2019). Despite improvements such as modeling the 493 reef effect of the OWF, modeling the effects of climate change on species physiology (through 494 the habitat capacity), adding variability in the fishing regimes, there still remains limitations 495 related to the great complexity of climate change and of its impacts on ecosystems (Hoegh-496 Guldberg and Bruno, 2010; Ainsworth et al., 2011). Such limitations include the failure to 497 account for the arrival of tropical non-indigenous species (NIS) in the eBoS (Cheung et al., 498 2009; Weatherdon et al., 2016). Modeling the inflow of non-indigenous species due to CC in 499 an open system like the Bay of Seine is a very hard task. The results are often hypothetical and 500 subject to many modeling hypotheses (Morin and Thuiller, 2009; Beaugrand et al., 2018; Le 501 Marchand et al., 2020). Moreover, the arrival of non-indigenous species is often modeled with 502 new trophic groups (Libralato et al., 2015; Corrales et al., 2018), which change the system 503 aggregation. Comparing the system before and after the arrival of NIS using ecological 504 network analysis becomes tricky, as some ENA indices are highly sensitive to the system 505 aggregation (Johnson et al., 2009). That is why we chose not to integrate such arrivals for the 506 time being, even though NIS might have several effects on the food web structure (Libralato 507 et al., 2015; Kotta et al., 2018).

508 Another important effect of CC on marine and coastal ecosystems is its potential impact 509 on phytoplankton primary production (Winder and Sommer, 2012). So far, primary production models have not foreseen a clear trend of primary production in the Bay of Seine 510 related to CC (Holt et al., 2016). Moreover, turbidity is expected to be the main limiting factor 511 512 of primary production in the Bay of Seine (Pascal Claquin, pers. com., UMR Borea), but the 513 responses of current turbidity models are not consistent enough for us to predict potential 514 primary production changes in the eBoS (Fettweis et al., 2012; Capuzzo et al., 2015; Wilson 515 and Heath, 2019). Therefore, data availability did not enable us to model the effect of CC on 516 all the groups of the model, we thus focused on the effect of CC on the distribution and

517 dynamics of local macro-organisms and its effects on the ecosystem functioning (Harley *et al.*,518 2006).

519 This study also aimed to build a framework for future studies on cumulative impacts 520 using ENA indices. The methodology had to be simple in order to be compatible with complex 521 cumulative assessment methods. Taking into account the uncertainty of the Ecospace model 522 - through Monte Carlo analysis of the Ecopath pedigree — and the niche model results — 523 through a sensitivity analysis of the niche model results - requires a large number of 524 simulations. The long time needed to compute ENA maps and the large number of scenarios 525 necessary for cumulative effect assessment (CEA) would make a study of uncertainty 526 incompatible with CEA based on ENA indices. However, taking the uncertainty around the 527 niche model results into account could represent a significant improvement for future works 528 (Payne et al., 2016), but will first require significant work to optimize the computation time of 529 ENA indices.

530 4.1 Climate change and species distribution: consequences on food web functioning

531 The potential effects of CC on species distribution appear to have a strong structuring 532 effect on the eBoS community in the different functional regions of the eBoS. These structural 533 changes are clearly visible in the reduced trophic efficiency of nearly all the regions of the 534 eBoS under both CC scenarios, except for the Coastal Bay of Seine region in the 2050 CC 535 scenario. This implies that CC would reduce the efficiency of the ecosystem in the processing 536 of energy through its trophic levels (Lindeman, 1942). Trophic efficiency is widely used to 537 tackle the effects of multiple stressors, with a broad range of responses (Coll et al., 2009; 538 Niquil et al., 2014b). Lower trophic efficiency can be linked to a possible ecosystem shift 539 caused by invasive species (Baird et al., 2012). Trophic efficiency in the present study seems 540 to indicate a similar major modification of the ecosystem, regardless of the region, leading to 541 lower efficiency and requiring a higher energy input to maintain medium to top trophic level 542 species. This lower trophic efficiency is likely caused by the shift toward a more fish-based 543 system (Supplementary materials Figure S - 41), as fish allocate more energy to maintenance and thus have a lower trophic efficiency than smaller invertebrates (Gillooly et al., 2001). Such 544 545 a structuring effect of CC due to community shifts has already been observed and is expected

to play a major role in the future evolution of marine ecosystems (Walther *et al.*, 2002;
Parmesan, 2006).

548 The structuring effect of climate change in the 2100 RCP8.5 scenario seems to result 549 from important community changes that lead to a lower resistance of the system to 550 disturbances. Community changes are visible through the increased mean trophic level of the 551 system and coincide with decreased benthic invertebrate biomass as well as modified fish 552 biomass (Supplementary materials Figure S - 41). This is the result of the high sensitivity of 553 multiple benthic invertebrates species to CC (Rombouts et al., 2012), as well as the high 554 vulnerability of low-trophic-level fish to changing climate conditions (McLean et al., 2018), 555 making them potentially highly sensitive to CC. Taken together, the decreased biomass of low 556 trophic level groups like invertebrates and small fish will reduce the mean trophic level and 557 result in a loss of redundant trophic pathways, leading to a lower relative redundancy of the 558 flow in the system. Such changes have been related to losses in the ability of the system to 559 adequately respond to external pressure by reconfiguring itself (Odum, 1985; Ulanowicz, 560 1986). Losing this ability makes a system less resilient to stressors, as described by Heymans 561 and Tomczak (2016). It is well known that invertebrates are going to be highly impacted by CC 562 (Kendall et al., 2004; Byrne, 2011). However, few studies have investigated the overall effect 563 of community changes on ecosystem functioning. Our results support the idea that benthic 564 communities could play a major role in the resilience of the eBoS ecosystem (Nogues et al., 2020; Raoux et al., 2019). 565

566 We predict that the effects of climate change at the 2100 horizon could result in 567 important local variations of the system omnivory and recycling indices between the Coastal 568 Bay of Seine and the Central English Channel regions. These variations could be attributed to 569 the local shift of the ecological community within the eBoS. The increased system omnivory 570 index in the Central English Channel region can be explained by the northward movement of 571 omnivorous fish groups like benthos feeders' Gurnards (Supplementary materials Figure S - 41 572 & 48) rather than by the changing omnivory of the groups between the regions 573 (Supplementary materials Table S - 11). In an opposite trend to fish, the biomass of 574 invertebrates decreased in the Central English Channel region and increased slightly in the 575 Coastal Bay of Seine region (Supplementary materials Figure S - 41). This is reflected on the

576 system through an increased recycling in the Coastal Bay of Seine region and a reduced one in 577 the Central English Channel region, as invertebrates play a key role in recycling. Some studies 578 have already pointed out the overall effect of changing species distribution on ecosystem 579 functioning (Corrales *et al.*, 2018; Libralato *et al.*, 2015). The present study shows that effects 580 on the ecosystem can also be local, leading to variable ecosystem properties at a regional 581 scale.

582 Modifications of the ecosystem are smaller in the 2050 CC scenario than in the 2100 583 scenario. They are also different for many indices in each functional region of the model. Out 584 of the six ENA indices for the three functional regions, only five out of eighteen cases had 585 similar responses in the two CC scenarios. The limited number of proportional responses 586 between the 2050 and 2100 scenarios is a potential sign of the non-linear effect of CC on 587 ecosystems. While this is partly linked to the niche model themselves and to their predictions 588 of species suitability experiencing a range drift related to the loss of suitable climatic 589 conditions between 2050 and 2100, as observed in other studies (Ben Rais Lasram et al., 2010; 590 Albouy et al., 2013; Hattab et al., 2014), this might also be caused by the cascading effects on 591 the system (Carpenter et al., 1985).

592 Although CC effects in the 2050 scenario are less visible than in the 2100 scenario, local 593 trends can still be outlined. While the model forecasts a decrease of the mean trophic level in 594 the Coastal Bay of Seine region, an increased mean trophic level is expected in the Central 595 English Channel region. This gradient can be explained by the increase of invertebrate biomass 596 values in the most coastal region, increasing flow redundancy and recycling (Supplementary 597 materials Figure S - 42). In the more offshore Central English Channel region, a loss of 598 invertebrate biomass results in a decreased invertebrate / fish ratio (Supplementary materials 599 Figure S - 42). This modification of the ecological communities is noticeable at the ecosystem 600 level via a higher mean trophic level and a lower trophic efficiency. While the 2100 scenario 601 appears to be impacted both globally (at the entire eBoS scale) and locally (inside the eBoS), 602 the impact of CC seems more local in the 2050 scenario with no homogeneous effects at the 603 entire eBoS scale. This is why it is crucial to take the effects of CC into account both globally 604 and locally. Detecting such effects at the community level might be an issue for many local 605 development actors as they prefer to use "tailor-made" solutions, specific to their case study,

that may fail to detect holistic ecosystem changes (Hendriksen *et al.*, 2014). ENA showed that by using a spatialized model, they could characterize and understand the effects of CC on the ecosystem between functional regions (local effects) and across the whole eBoS (global effects). This represents a societal priority for us to be able to predict the evolution of marine ecosystems (Claudet *et al.*, 2020). Information about the local effect of CC could prompt local stakeholders to set up actions in the field of vulnerability and adaptation of the societal system (Charles, 2012) and to raise awareness at a local scale (Ireland and Clausen, 2019).

613 4.2 ENA indices in fishing scenarios

614 While the effects of CC on the ecosystem are not proportional between the 2050 and 615 2100 scenarios, with strong but sometimes completely different effects on some indices, fishing has negligible but proportional effects, opposite in the two Brexit scenarios (fishing 616 617 increase / decrease). The trophic efficiency and the mean trophic level have already been used 618 in many studies to describe the effect of fishing on the ecosystems (Libralato et al., 2004, 2010; 619 Coll et al., 2009). On the other hand, the mean trophic level was popularized by Pauly et al. 620 (1998) and his "Fishing down the marine food web" theory that depicts the mean trophic level 621 as sensitive to the effect of fishing, i.e. decreasing with the fishing pressure due to the 622 decreased predator biomass. The omnivory index was also promoted as a robust index to 623 detect the effect of fishing (Fulton et al., 2005). Despite the many items of evidence of their 624 operational ability to describe the effects of fishing, ENA variations due to fishing were 625 consistently considered negligible by the Cliff Delta. The little sensitivity of ENA indices to 626 fishing scenarios might thus result from the little impact of the Brexit scenario on ecosystem 627 functioning. The eBoS is a heavily anthropized ecosystem, with a strong fishing industry 628 (Buléon and Shurmer-Smith, 2021). Protecting the ecosystem from the effects of fishing might 629 require ambitious management plans to truly help ecosystems recover (Dunford et al., 2004).

630 4.3 Effect of the offshore wind farm on the extended bay of Seine

As observed by Halouani *et al.* (2020) who simulated the possible reserve effect in the case of fishery closing in the entire OWF area, it appears that the OWF could play the role of a "fish aggregating device". The aggregating role of the OWF appears to have an important structuring effect on the ecosystem. The structuring role of the OWF is particularly prominent with the increased mean trophic level, trophic efficiency, omnivory and redundancy of the

flows. The aggregating effect is also noticeable outside the OWF perimeter. Biomass outside the OWF appears lower in the OWF eBoS scenario than in the reference scenario. This decreased fish biomass is likely due to the agglomeration of the mobile fish groups inside the OWF area due to the higher suitability of the cells and to the higher prey density for fish groups inside the OWF. Agglomeration is well known and has been extensively studied (Bohnsack, 1989; Pickering and Whitmarsh, 1997; Smith *et al.*, 2015) and was also observed by Halouani *et al.* (2020) to be caused by the reserve effect only (Colléter *et al.*, 2014).

643 Inside the OWF perimeter, Ecospace predicted a similar structuring effect to the one 644 forecasted in Nogues et al. (2020). This structuring effect is visible through the many 645 important modifications of the ecosystem, which appears to shift toward a more demersal / 646 benthic system (Supplementary materials Figure S - 45). Similarly to the results of Raoux et al. 647 (2019), the OWF could increase the relative redundancy of the flow. The OWF of the eBoS 648 model may also increase the omnivory index of the system, as observed by Nogues et al. 649 (2020). However, unlike previous studies, recycling is reduced by the OWF in our simulations. 650 All these modifications – along with the increased trophic efficiency and the increased flow 651 diversity - seem to be linked to an increased resistance of the system to disturbance. With the 652 higher flow redundancy, the system has more in store against disturbances (Levin and 653 Lubchenco, 2008), improving its ability to adapt and overcome stresses. The higher omnivory 654 index also suggests that the system would be more resilient, as it makes it more flexible (Fagan, 1997; Libralato, 2013). The heterogeneity brought by the hard substrate of the wind 655 656 turbine structure to the sandy habitat surrounding the OWF seems to increase the flow 657 diversity. Flow diversity can be interpreted as species diversity (Christensen, 1995). Therefore, 658 an increase in habitat heterogeneity should also increase local diversity (Munguia et al., 2011). 659 These changes are all linked to the increase in benthic and demersal biomass (Supplementary 660 materials Figure S - 45), which tends to have an overall positive impact on the ecosystem of 661 Courseulles-sur-Mer by making it more complex, efficient, diverse and resilient (Nogues et al. 2020). 662

663 Changes in the eBoS system are also visible outside the OWF area. Through the 664 agglomeration of fish species in the OWF area, fish biomass may decrease in the vicinity of the 665 OWF. Even though these biomass changes are small, they still have an effect on ENA indices

666 and on the ecosystem. Decreased fish biomass and increased invertebrate biomass lead to a 667 lower mean trophic level as well as a lower omnivory index of the system around the OWF 668 (Supplementary materials Figure S – 45). As trophic efficiency and flow diversity also appear to 669 decrease, these results tend to indicate a simplification of the ecosystem around the OWF 670 toward a less resilient state. However, because fishing could increase inside the OWF due to 671 the reef effect (see above, Grossman et al., 1997), fishing may also increase in the surrounding 672 areas of the OWF, potentially affecting an already weakened system. This emphasizes the 673 need for careful planning of fishing around and inside the OWF area and may require 674 mitigation, even in such a limited space. With these new insights into the spatial footprint of 675 multiple drivers on the ecosystem, ENA indices demonstrate their usefulness to locate areas 676 in need of careful ecological management (Safi et al., 2019). ENA indices could be used to i) 677 plan spatial management projects based on the responses of the ecosystem to drivers and ii) 678 better maintain ecosystem sustainability (Curtin and Prellezo, 2010).

679 Conclusion

680 For the first time in ecological network analysis, the mapping of ENA indices provides 681 insights into spatial ecosystem functioning. ENA indices further prove their usefulness and 682 potential as tools for ecosystem management by helping us understand human induced 683 ecosystem changes. Therefore, they could be used to support marine spatial planning by highlighting areas of concern where the ecosystem could be more sensitive to perturbations. 684 685 Their ability to detect the effects of localized and more global ecosystem drivers on ecosystem 686 functioning could be used to link local and global ecosystem management initiatives. It is also 687 important to note that these scenarios were built to test the ability of ENA indices to assess 688 cumulative effects (Nogues et al., in prep.). There is an increasing demand for studying the 689 combined effects of climate change and other drivers at the whole ecosystem scale in order 690 to predict ecosystem changes and elaborate management scenarios. This study sets the basis 691 for such work: it provides tools for simulating the effects of multiple drivers, which then need 692 to be combined, to determine the potential cumulative effects resulting from interactions 693 between the different anthropogenic drivers.

694 Acknowledgements

695 This work was funded by the Normandy Region (RIN Trophi-Services project) and the 696 APPEAL project which benefited from France Energies Marines and State financing managed 697 by the National Research Agency under the Investments for the Future program (reference 698 ANR-10-IED-0006-25). We also thank for their help in compiling the datasets or for giving 699 expert advice Jeroen Steenbeeck, Pascal Claquin, Maud Thermes, Valérie Girardin, Justine 700 Lequesn, Tarrek Hattab and all the partners and collaborators of the TROPHIK and WINDSERV 701 project for their help in compiling the datasets and for giving expert advice. We thank Annie 702 Buchwalter for English corrections.

703 Data availability statement

The data underlying this article will be shared on reasonable request to the correspondingauthor.

706 Author contribution

All authors developed the ideas, conceptualized and revised the manuscript. Q.N. was the lead author and main contributor. E.A., G.H., P.B. and Q.N. build the model. E.F., F.L.T., N.N. and

709 Q.N. built the scenarios.

710 **Competing interest statement**

711 The authors have no conflict of interest to declare.

712 References

713

- Agardy, T., Davis, J., Sherwood, K., and Vestergaard, O. 2011. UNEP (2011): Taking Steps
 toward Marine and Coastal Ecosystem-Based Management An Introductory Guide.
- Ahrens, R. N. M., Walters, C. J., and Christensen, V. 2012. Foraging arena theory. Fish and
 Fisheries, 13: 41–59.
- Ainsworth, C. H., Samhouri, J. F., Busch, D. S., Cheung, W. W. L., Dunne, J., and Okey, T. A.
- 719 2011. Potential impacts of climate change on Northeast Pacific marine foodwebs and

- fisheries. ICES Journal of Marine Science, 68: 1217–1229.
- Albouy, C., Guilhaumon, F., Leprieur, F., Lasram, F. B. R., Somot, S., Aznar, R., Velez, L., *et al.*2013. Projected climate change and the changing biogeography of coastal Mediterranean
- fishes. Journal of Biogeography, 40: 534–547.
- Alexander, K. A., Meyjes, S. A., and Heymans, J. J. 2016. Spatial ecosystem modelling of marine
 renewable energy installations : Gauging the utility of Ecospace. Ecological Modelling,
 331: 115–128. Elsevier B.V. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2016.01.016.
- Baird, D., Asmus, H., and Asmus, R. 2012. Effect of invasive species on the structure and
 function of the Sylt-R??m?? Bight ecosystem, northern Wadden Sea, over three time

729 periods. Marine Ecology Progress Series, 462: 143–162.

- Beaugrand, G., Luczak, C., Goberville, E., and Kirby, R. R. 2018. Marine biodiversity and the
 chessboard of life. PLoS ONE, 13: 1–27.
- Ben Rais Lasram, F., Guilhaumon, F., Albouy, C., Somot, S., Thuiller, W., and Mouillot, D. 2010.
 The Mediterranean Sea as a 'cul-de-sac' for endemic fishes facing climate change. Global
 Change Biology, 16: 3233–3245.
- Ben Rais Lasram, F., Hattab, T., Noguès, Q., Beaugrand, G., Dauvin, J., Halouani, G., Le Loc'h,
 F., *et al.* 2020. An open-source framework to model present and future marine species
 distributions at local scale. Ecological Informatics: 101130.
- Bohnsack, J. A. 1989. Are high densities of fishes at artificial reefs the result of habitat
 limitation or behavioral preference? Bulletin of Marine Sciencen of Marine Science, 44:
 631–645.
- Borja, Á., Elliott, M., Carstensen, J., Heiskanen, A.-S., and van de Bund, W. 2010. Marine
 management Towards an integrated implementation of the European Marine Strategy
 Framework and the Water Framework Directives. Marine Pollution Bulletin, 60: 2175–
 2186. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20965524 (Accessed 23 September 2019).
- Borrett, S. R., and Lau, M. K. 2014. enaR : An r package for Ecosystem Network Analysis.
 Methods in Ecology and Evolution, 5: 1206–1213. http://doi.wiley.com/10.1111/2041210X.12282.

748 Borrett, S. R., and Scharler, U. M. 2019. Walk partitions of flow in Ecological Network Analysis:

- Review and synthesis of methods and indicators. Ecological Indicators, 106: 105451.
 Elsevier. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2019.105451.
- 751 Bourdaud, P., Ben Rais Lasram, F., Araignous, E., Champagnat, J., Grusd, S., Halouani, G.,

752 Hattab, T., et al. 2021. Impacts of climate change on the Bay of Seine ecosystem: Forcing

a spatio-temporal trophic model with predictions from an ecological niche model.

754 Fisheries Oceanography, 12: 1–19.

Brierley, A. S., and Kingsford, M. J. 2009. Impacts of Climate Change on Marine Organisms and
Ecosystems. Current Biology, 19: R602–R614. Elsevier Ltd.
http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2009.05.046.

758 Buhl-Mortensen, L., Galparsoro, I., Vega Fernández, T., Johnson, K., D'Anna, G., Badalamenti,

F., Garofalo, G., *et al.* 2017. Maritime ecosystem-based management in practice: Lessons
learned from the application of a generic spatial planning framework in Europe. Marine
Policy, 75: 174–186.

762 http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0308597X16000373.

- 763 Buléon, P., and Shurmer-Smith, L. 2021. Cross Channel Atlas. https://atlas764 transmanche.certic.unicaen.fr/en/.
- Byrne, M. 2011. Impact of ocean warming and ocean acidification on marine invertebrate life
 history stages: Vulnerabilities and potential for persistence in a changing ocean.
 Oceanography and Marine Biology: An Annual Review, 49: 1–42.
- Capuzzo, E., Stephens, D., Silva, T., Barry, J., and Forster, R. M. 2015. Decrease in water clarity
 of the southern and central North Sea during the 2^{0t}h century. Global Change Biology, 21:
 2206–2214.
- Carpenter, S. R., Kitchell, J. F., and Hodgson, J. R. 1985. Cascading Trophic Interactions and
 Lake Productivity. BioScience, 35: 634–639.
- Charles, A. 2012. People, oceans and scale: Governance, livelihoods and climate change
 adaptation in marine social-ecological systems. Current Opinion in Environmental
 Sustainability, 4: 351–357. Elsevier B.V. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cosust.2012.05.011.

- Cheung, W. W. L., Lam, V. W. Y., Sarmiento, J. L., Kearney, K., Watson, R., and Pauly, D. 2009.
 Projecting global marine biodiversity impacts under climate change scenarios. Fish and
 Fisheries, 10: 235–251.
- Christensen, V. 1995. Ecosystem maturity towards quantification. Ecological Modelling, 77:
 3–32. https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/0304380093E0073C.
- Christensen, V., and Walters, C. J. 2004. Ecopath with Ecosim: Methods, capabilities and
 limitations. Ecological Modelling, 172: 109–139. Elsevier.
 https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0304380093E0073C (Accessed 6
 September 2019).
- Christensen, V., Coll, M., Steenbeek, J., Buszowski, J., Chagaris, D., and Walters, C. J. 2014.
 Representing Variable Habitat Quality in a Spatial Food Web Model: 1397–1412.
- Claudet, J., Bopp, L., Cheung, W. W. L., Devillers, R., Escobar-Briones, E., Haugan, P., Heymans,
 J. J., *et al.* 2020. A Roadmap for Using the UN Decade of Ocean Science for Sustainable
 Development in Support of Science, Policy, and Action. One Earth, 2: 34–42.
- Cliff, N. 1993. Dominance statistics: Ordinal analyses to answer ordinal questions.
 Psychological Bulletin, 114: 494–509. American Psychological Association Inc.
- Coll, M., Palomera, I., and Tudela, S. 2009. Decadal changes in a NW Mediterranean Sea food
 web in relation to fishing exploitation. Ecological Modelling, 220: 2088–2102.
- Coll, M., Pennino, M. G., Steenbeek, J., Sole, J., and Bellido, J. M. 2019. Predicting marine
 species distributions : Complementarity of food-web and Bayesian hierarchical modelling
 approaches, 405: 86–101. Elsevier.
- Colléter, M., Gascuel, D., Albouy, C., Francour, P., Tito, L., Morais, D., Valls, A., *et al.* 2014.
 Fishing inside or outside ? A case studies analysis of potential spillover effect from marine
 protected areas , using food web models. Journal of Marine Systems, 139: 383–395.
 Elsevier B.V. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmarsys.2014.07.023.
- Corrales, X., Coll, M., Ofir, E., Heymans, J. J., Steenbeek, J., Goren, M., Edelist, D., *et al.* 2018.
 Future scenarios of marine resources and ecosystem conditions in the Eastern
 Mediterranean under the impacts of fishing, alien species and sea warming. Scientific

- 804 Reports, 8: 1–16. Springer US. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-32666-x.
- Curtin, R., and Prellezo, R. 2010. Understanding marine ecosystem based management: A
 literature review. Marine Policy, 34: 821–830.
 https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0308597X10000047.
- Dauvin, J. C. 2015. History of benthic research in the English Channel: From general patterns
 of communities to habitat mosaic description. Journal of Sea Research, 100: 32–45.
 Elsevier B.V. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.seares.2014.11.005.
- de Jonge, V. N. 2007. Toward the application of ecological concepts in EU coastal water
 management. Marine Pollution Bulletin, 55: 407–414.
- De Mutsert, K., Lewis, K., Milroy, S., Buszowski, J., and Steenbeek, J. 2017. Using ecosystem
 modeling to evaluate trade-offs in coastal management: Effects of large-scale river
 diversions on fish and fisheries. Ecological Modelling, 360: 14–26. Elsevier B.V.
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2017.06.029.
- Degraer, S., Carey, D. A., Coolen, J. W. P., Hutchison, Z. L., Kerckhof, F., Rumes, B., and
 Vanaverbeke, J. 2020. Offshore wind farm artificial reefs affect ecosystem structure and
 functioning: A synthesis. Oceanography, 33: 48–57.
- Dunford, R. W., Ginn, T. C., and Desvousges, W. H. 2004. The use of habitat equivalency
 analysis in natural resource damage assessments. Ecological Economics, 48: 49–70.
- Fagan, W. F. 1997. Omnivory as a Stabilizing Feature of Natural Communities. The American
 Naturalist, 150: 554–567. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18811300 (Accessed
 13 June 2018).
- Fath, B. D., Asmus, H., Asmus, R., Baird, D., Borrett, S. R., de Jonge, V. N., Ludovisi, A., *et al.*2019. Ecological network analysis metrics: The need for an entire ecosystem approach in
 management and policy. Ocean and Coastal Management, 174: 1–14.
- Fettweis, M., Monbaliu, J., Baeye, M., Nechad, B., and Van den Eynde, D. 2012. Weather and
 climate induced spatial variability of surface suspended particulate matter concentration
 in the North Sea and the English Channel. Methods in Oceanography, 3–4: 25–39. Elsevier
- 831 B.V. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.mio.2012.11.001.

- Finn, J. T. 1980. Flow Analysis of Models of the Hubbard Brook Ecosystem. Ecology, 61: 562–
 571.
- Fulton, E. A., Smith, A. D. M., and Punt, A. E. 2005. Which ecological indicators can robustly
 detect effects of fishing? ICES Journal of Marine Science, 62: 540–551.
- Gill, A. B. 2005. Offshore renewable energy: ecological implications of generating electricity in
 the coastal zone. Journal of Applied Ecology, 42: 605–615.
 http://doi.wiley.com/10.1111/j.1365-2664.2005.01060.x.
- Gillooly, J., Brown, J., West, G., Savage, V. ., and Charnov, E. 2001. Effects of Size and
 Temperature on Metabolic Rate. Science (New York, N.Y.), 293: 2248–2251.
- Grossman, G. D., Jones, G. P., and Seaman, W. j. 1997. Do artificial reefs increase regional fish
 production ? A review of existing data. Artificial reef management, 22: 18–23.
- Halouani, G., Villanueva, C.-M., Raoux, A., Dauvin, J., Lasram, F., Foucher, E., Le Loc'h, F., *et al.*2020. A spatial food web model to investigate potential spillover effects of a fishery
 closure in an offshore wind farm. Journal of Marine Systems, 212: 103434.
- Halpern, B. S., Walbridge, S., Selkoe, K. A., Kappel, C. V., Micheli, F., D'Agrosa, C., Bruno, J. F.,
- *et al.* 2008. A Global Map of Human Impact on Marine Ecosystems. Science, 319: 948–
 952. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18276889 (Accessed 5 June 2018).
- Harley, C. D. G., Hughes, A. R., Hultgren, K. M., Miner, B. G., Sorte, C. J. B., Thornber, C. S.,
 Rodriguez, L. F., *et al.* 2006. The impacts of climate change in coastal marine systems.
 Ecology Letters, 9: 228–241.
- Hattab, T., Albouy, C., Ben Rais Lasram, F., Somot, S., Le, F., and Leprieur, F. 2014. Towards a
 better understanding of potential impacts of climate change on marine species
 distribution : a multiscale modelling approach: 1417–1429.
- Hendriksen, A., Jouanneau, C., Koss, R., and Raakjaer, J. 2014. Fishing for opinions:
 Stakeholder views on MSFD implementation in European Seas. Marine Policy, 50: 353–
 363. Elsevier. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.marpol.2014.03.009.
- Heymans, J. J., and Tomczak, M. T. 2016. Regime shifts in the Northern Benguela ecosystem:
 Challenges for management. Ecological Modelling, 331: 151–159. Elsevier B.V.
 Link: https://academic.oup.com/icesjms/advance-article-

abstract/doi/10.1093/icesjms/fsac026/6535870

- 860 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2015.10.027.
- Heymans, J. J., Bundy, A., Christensen, V., Coll, M., de Mutsert, K., Fulton, E. A., Piroddi, C., *et al.* 2020. The Ocean Decade: A True Ecosystem Modeling Challenge. Frontiers in Marine
 Science, 7: 1–5.
- Hoegh-Guldberg, O., and Bruno, J. F. 2010. The impact of climate change on the world's marine
 ecosystems. Science, 328: 1523–1528.
- Holt, J., Schrum, C., Cannaby, H., Daewel, U., Allen, I., Artioli, Y., Bopp, L., *et al.* 2016. Potential
 impacts of climate change on the primary production of regional seas: A comparative
 analysis of five European seas. Progress in Oceanography, 140: 91–115. Elsevier Ltd.
- Hutchinson, G. E. 1957. Concluding Remarks. Cold Spring Harbor Symposia on Quantitative
 Biology, 22: 415–427.

871 http://symposium.cshlp.org/cgi/doi/10.1101/SQB.1957.022.01.039.

- 872 Ifremer SIH. 2017. Activité des navires de pêche. Caen.
- 873 IFREMER SIH. 2017. Système d'Information Halieutique, Données de production et d'effort de
 874 pêche (SACROIS).
- Ireland, P., and Clausen, D. 2019. Local action that changes the world: Fresh perspectives on
 climate change mitigation and adaptation from Australia. Elsevier Inc. 769–782 pp.
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-814104-5.00027-2.
- Jiménez, L., Soberón, J., Christen, J. A., and Soto, D. 2019. On the problem of modeling a
 fundamental niche from occurrence data. Ecological Modelling, 397: 74–83. Elsevier.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2019.01.020.
- Johnson, G. A., Niquil, N., Asmus, H., Bacher, C., Asmus, R., and Baird, D. 2009. The effects of
 aggregation on the performance of the inverse method and indicators of network
 analysis. Ecological Modelling, 220: 3448–3464.
- Kendall, M. A., Burrows, M. T., Southward, A. J., and Hawkins, S. J. 2004. Predicting the effects
 of marine climate change on the invertebrate prey of the birds of rocky shores. Ibis, 146:
 40–47.

- Kotta, J., Wernberg, T., Jänes, H., Kotta, I., Nõomaa, K., Rätsep, M., and Orav-Kotta, H. 2018.
 Novel crab predator causes marine ecosystem regime shift. Scientific Reports, 8.
- Langlet, D., and Rayfuse, R. 2018. The Ecosystem Approach in Ocean Planning and
 Governance. Brill | Nijhoff, Leiden, Nederland. https://brill.com/view/title/54021.
- Latham, L. G. 2006. Network flow analysis algorithms. Ecological Modelling, 192: 586–600.
- Le Marchand, M., Hattab, T., Niquil, N., Albouy, C., Le Loc'h, F., and Ben Rais Lasram, F. 2020.
- Climate change in the Bay of Biscay: Changes in spatial biodiversity patterns could be driven by the arrivals of southern species. Marine Ecology Progress Series, 647: 17–31.
- Le Tissier, M. 2020. Unravelling the Relationship between Ecosystem-Based Management,
 Integrated Coastal Zone Management and Marine Spatial Planning BT Ecosystem-Based
 Management, Ecosystem Services and Aquatic Biodiversity: Theory, Tools and
 Applications. *In* pp. 403–413. Ed. by T. G. O'Higgins, M. Lago, and T. H. DeWitt. Springer
 International Publishing, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-45843-0
- Levin, S. A., and Lubchenco, J. 2008. Resilience , Robustness , and Marine Ecosystem-based
 Management. BioScience, 58: 27–32.
- Libralato, S., Pranovi, F., Raicevich, S., Da Ponte, F., Giovanardi, O., Pastres, R., Torricelli, P., *et al.* 2004. Ecological stages of the Venice Lagoon analysed using landing time series data.
 Journal of Marine Systems, 51: 331–344.
- Libralato, S., Coll, M., Tempesta, M., Santojanni, A., Spoto, M., Palomera, I., Arneri, E., *et al.*2010. Food-web traits of protected and exploited areas of the Adriatic Sea. Biological
 Conservation, 143: 2182–2194. Elsevier Ltd.
- Libralato, S. 2013. System Omnivory Index. *In* Encyclopedia of Ecology, pp. 481–486. Elsevier.
 https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/B9780124095489006059.
- 910 Libralato, S., Caccin, A., and Pranovi, F. 2015. Modeling species invasions using thermal and
- 911 trophic niche dynamics under climate change. Frontiers in Marine Science, 2.
- Lindeman, R. 1942. The Trophic Dynamic of Ecology. Ecology, 23: 399–417.
- 913 Liquete, C., Piroddi, C., Macías, D., Druon, J., and Zulian, G. 2016. Ecosystem services

- sustainability in the Mediterranean Sea : assessment of status and trends using multiple
 modelling approaches. Nature Publishing Group: 1–14. Nature Publishing Group.
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/srep34162.
- 917 MacQueen, J. 1967. Some methods for classification and analysis of multivariate observations.
- Proceedings of the fifth Berkeley symposium on mathematical statistics and probability,
 1: 281–297.
- 920 http://books.google.de/books?hl=de&lr=&id=IC4Ku 7dBFUC&oi=fnd&pg=PA281&dq=
- 921 MacQueen+some+methods+for+classification&ots=nNTcK1IdoQ&sig=fHzdVcbvmYJ-
- 922 ITNHu1HncmOFOkM#v=onepage&q=MacQueen some methods for923 classification&f=false.
- McLean, M., Mouillot, D., and Auber, A. 2018. Ecological and life history traits explain a
 climate-induced shift in a temperate marine fish community. Marine Ecology Progress
 Series, 606: 175–186.
- MEA, Reid, W., Mooney, H., Cropper, A., Capistrano, D., Carpenter, S., Chopra, K., *et al.* 2005.
 Millenium Ecosystem Assessment Synthesis Report.
- Morin, X., and Thuiller, W. 2009. Comparing niche- and process-based models to reduce
 prediction uncertainty in species range shifts under climate change, 90: 1301–1313.
- Munguia, P., Osman, R. W., Hamilton, J., Whitlatch, R., and Zajac, R. 2011. Changes in habitat
 heterogeneity alter marine sessile benthic communities. Ecological Applications, 21:
 933 925–935.
- Niquil, N., Le Loc'h, F., Tecchio, S., Chaalali, A., Vouriot, P., Mialet, B., Fizzala, X., *et al.* 2014a.
 Ongoing research on ecosystem health indicators for food webs in the MSFD context. *In*Trans-Channel forum proceedings: Science and Governance of the Channel, pp. 4–7.
- Niquil, N., Baeta, A., Marques, J. C., Chaalali, A., Lobry, J., and Patrício, J. 2014b. Reaction of
 an estuarine food web to disturbance: Lindeman's perspective. Marine Ecology Progress
 Series, 512: 141–154.
- Nogues, Q., Raoux, A., Araignous, E., Hattab, T., Leroy, B., Ben Rais Lasram, F., Le Loc'h, F., *et al.* 2020. Cumulative effects of marine renewable energy and climate change on

942 ecosystem properties : Sensitivity of ecological network analysis. Ecological Indicators.

- 943 Odum, E. P. 1985. Trends Expected in Stressed Ecosystems. BioScience, 35: 419–422.
 944 https://academic.oup.com/bioscience/article-lookup/doi/10.2307/1310021.
- Parmesan, C. 2006. Ecological and evolutionary responses to recent climate change. Annual
 Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics, 37: 637–669.
- Pauly, D., Christensen, V., Dalsgaard, J., Froese, R., and Torres, F. 1998. Fishing down marine
 food webs. Science (New York, N.Y.), 279: 860–3. American Association for the
 Advancement of Science. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9452385 (Accessed 12
 June 2018).
- Pauly, D., Christensen, V., and Walters, C. 2000. Ecopath, Ecosim, and Ecospace as tools for
 evaluating ecosystem impact of fisheries. ICES Journal of Marine Science, 57: 697–706.
 Oxford University Press. https://academic.oup.com/icesjms/articlelookup/doi/10.1006/jmsc.2000.0726 (Accessed 11 June 2018).
- Payne, M. R., Barange, M., Cheung, W. W. L., MacKenzie, B. R., Batchelder, H. P., Cormon, X.,
 Eddy, T. D., *et al.* 2016. Uncertainties in projecting climate-change impacts in marine
 ecosystems. ICES Journal of Marine Science, 73: 1272–1282.
 https://academic.oup.com/icesjms/article/73/5/1272/2240686.
- Petersen, J. K., and Malm, T. 2006. Offshore Windmill Farms: Threats to or Possibilities for the
 Marine Environment. AMBIO A Journal of the Human Environment, 35: 75–80.
 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17256642.
- 962 Peterson, C. H., and Lubchenco, J. 1997. Marine Ecosystem Services. *In* Nature's Services:
 963 Societal Dependence On Natural Ecosystems, pp. 117–194.
- 964 Pickering, H., and Whitmarsh, D. 1997. Artificial reefs and fisheries exploitation : a review of
- the 'attraction versus production ' debate , the influence of design and its significancefor policy, 31: 39–59.
- 967 Poloczanska, E. S., Burrows, M. T., Brown, C. J., Molinos, J. G., Halpern, B. S., Hoegh-Guldberg,
- 968 O., Kappel, C. V., *et al.* 2016. Responses of marine organisms to climate change across
 969 oceans. Frontiers in Marine Science, 3: 1–21.

- 970 Polovina, J. J. 1984. Model of a coral reef ecosystem: The ECOPATH model and its application
- 971 to French Frigate Shoals. Coral Reefs: 1–11.
- Raoux, A., Tecchio, S., Pezy, J. P., Lassalle, G., Degraer, S., Wilhelmsson, D., Cachera, M., et al.
- 973 2017. Benthic and fish aggregation inside an offshore wind farm: Which effects on the
 974 trophic web functioning? Ecological Indicators, 72: 33–46.
- 875 Raoux, A., Dambacher, J. M., Pezy, J. P., Mazé, C., Dauvin, J. C., and Niquil, N. 2018. Assessing
 876 cumulative socio-ecological impacts of offshore wind farm development in the Bay of
- 977 Seine (English Channel). Marine Policy, 89: 11–20.
- 878 Raoux, A., Lassalle, G., Pezy, J. P., Tecchio, S., Safi, G., Ernande, B., Mazé, C., *et al.* 2019.
 979 Measuring sensitivity of two OSPAR indicators for a coastal food web model under
 980 offshore wind farm construction. Ecological Indicators, 96: 728–738.
- Rodriguez, N. J. I. 2017. A comparative analysis of holistic marine management regimes and
 ecosystem approach in marine spatial planning in developed countries. Ocean & Coastal
 Management, 137: 185–197. Elsevier.
 https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0964569116304677 (Accessed 23
 September 2019).
- Romano, J., Kromrey, J. D., Coraggio, J., Skowronek, J., and Devine, L. 2006. Exploring methods
 for evaluating group differences on the NSSE and other surveys: Are the t-test and
 Cohen's d indices the most appropriate choices? Annual meeting of the Southern
 Association for Institutional Research: 14–17.
- 990 Rombouts, I., Beaugrand, G., and Dauvin, J. C. 2012. Potential changes in benthic macrofaunal 991 distributions from the English Channel simulated under climate change scenarios. 992 Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science, 99: 153–161. Elsevier Ltd. 993 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2011.12.026.
- Rombouts, I., Beaugrand, G., Fizzala, X., Gaill, F., Greenstreet, S. P. R. R., Lamare, S., Le Loc'h,
 F., *et al.* 2013. Food web indicators under the Marine Strategy Framework Directive: From
 complexity to simplicity? Ecological Indicators, 29: 246–254. Elsevier Ltd.
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2012.12.021 (Accessed 12 June 2018).
 - Link: <u>https://academic.oup.com/icesjms/advance-article-abstract/doi/10.1093/icesjms/fsac026/6535870</u>

- 998 Safi, G., Giebels, D., Arroyo, N. L., Heymans, J. J., Preciado, I., Raoux, A., Schückel, U., et al.
- 999 2019. Vitamine ENA: A framework for the development of ecosystem-based indicators
- 1000 for decision makers. Ocean and Coastal Management, 174: 116–130. Elsevier. 1001 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2019.03.005.
- Schwalm, C. R., Glendon, S., and Duffy, P. B. 2020. RCP8.5 tracks cumulative CO2 emissions.
 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 117: 19656 LP 19657.
- 1004 http://www.pnas.org/content/117/33/19656.abstract.
- Shields, M., and Payne, A. 2014. Marine Renewable Energy Technology and EnvironmentalInteractions.
- Smith, J. A., Lowry, M. B., and Suthers, I. M. 2015. Fish attraction to artificial reefs not always
 harmful : a simulation study: 4590–4602.
- Soberón, J., and Nakamura, M. 2009. Niches and distributional areas: Concepts, methods, and
 assumptions. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of
 America, 106: 19644–19650.
- Steenbeek, J., Coll, M., Gurney, L., Mélin, F., Hoepffner, N., Buszowski, J., and Christensen, V.
 2013. Bridging the gap between ecosystem modeling tools and geographic information
 systems : Driving a food web model with external spatial temporal data. Ecological
 Modelling, 263: 139–151. Elsevier B.V.
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2013.04.027.
- Tecchio, S., Chaalali, A., Raoux, A., Tous Rius, A., Lequesne, J., Girardin, V., Lassalle, G., *et al.*2016. Evaluating ecosystem-level anthropogenic impacts in a stressed transitional
 environment: The case of the Seine estuary. Ecological Indicators, 61: 833–845. Elsevier
 Ltd.
- Ulanowicz, R. ., and Norden, J. . 1990. Symmetrical overhead in flow networks. International
 Journal of Systems Science, 21: 429–437. Taylor & Francis.
 https://doi.org/10.1080/00207729008910372.
- 1024 Ulanowicz, R. E. 1986. Growth and Development : Ecosystems Phenomenology. Springer New1025 York.

- Ulanowicz, R. E., Goerner, S. J., Lietaer, B., and Gomez, R. 2009. Quantifying sustainability:
 Resilience, efficiency and the return of information theory. Ecological Complexity, 6: 27–
 36.
- Walters, C., Christensen, V., and Pauly, D. 1997. Structuring dynamic models of exploited
 ecosystems from trophic mass-balance assessments. Fish Biology and Fisheries, 7: 139–
 172.
- Walters, C., Pauly, D., and Christensen, V. 1999. Ecospace : Prediction of Mesoscale Spatial
 Patterns in Trophic Relationships of Exploited Ecosystems , with Emphasis on the Impacts
 of Marine Protected Areas: 539–554.
- 1035 Walther, G.-R., Post, E., Convey, P., Menzel, A., Parmesan, C., Beebee, T. J. C., Fromentin, J.-
- M., et al. 2002. Ecological responses to recent climate change. Nature, 416: 389–395.
 http://www.nature.com/articles/416389a.
- Weatherdon, L. V., Ota, Y., Jones, M. C., Close, D. A., and Cheung, W. W. L. 2016. Projected
 scenarios for coastal first nations' fisheries catch potential under climate change:
 Management challenges and opportunities. PLoS ONE, 11.
- Wilhelmsson, D., Malm, T., and Öhman, M. 2006. The influence of offshore windpower on
 demersal fish. ICES Journal of Marine Science, 63: 775–784.
 https://academic.oup.com/icesjms/article-lookup/doi/10.1016/j.icesjms.2006.02.001.
- Wilhelmsson, D., and Malm, T. 2008. Fouling assemblages on offshore wind power plants and
 adjacent substrata. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science, 79: 459–466.
 https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0272771408001911.
- Wilson, R. J., and Heath, M. R. 2019. Increasing turbidity in the North Sea during the 2^{0th}
 century due to changing wave climate. Ocean Science, 15: 1615–1625.
- 1049 Winder, M., and Sommer, U. 2012. Phytoplankton response to a changing climate.1050 Hydrobiologia, 698: 5–16.
- Wulff, F., Field, J. G., and Mann, K. H. 1989. Network Analysis in Marine Ecology: Methods and
 Applications. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg.
- 1053