

Insertion of an Ultra-thin Interfacial Aluminium Layer for the Realisation of a Hf0.5Zr0.5O2 Ferroelectric Tunnel Junction.

Benoît Manchon, Greta Segantini, Nicolas Baboux, Pedro Rojo Romeo, Rabei Barhoumi, Dominique Drouin, Infante Ingrid C., F. Alibart, Bertrand Vilquin, Damien Deleruyelle

▶ To cite this version:

Benoît Manchon, Greta Segantini, Nicolas Baboux, Pedro Rojo Romeo, Rabei Barhoumi, et al.. Insertion of an Ultra-thin Interfacial Aluminium Layer for the Realisation of a Hf0.5Zr0.5O2 Ferroelectric Tunnel Junction.. physica status solidi (RRL) - Rapid Research Letters (pss RRL), 2022, 16 (10), pp.2100585. 10.1002/pssr.202100585. hal-03609773

HAL Id: hal-03609773 https://hal.science/hal-03609773v1

Submitted on 29 Aug 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 RESEARCH ARTICLES

2

- 3 B. Manchon,* G. Segantini, N. Baboux,
- 4 P. Rojo Romeo, R. Barhoumi,
- 5 I. C. Infante, F. Alibart, D. Drouin,
- 6 B. Vilquin, D. Deleruyelle 2100585
- 7 Insertion of an Ultrathin Interfacial
- 8 Aluminum Layer for the Realization of a
- 9 Hf_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O₂ Ferroelectric Tunnel
- 10 Junction



Metal-insulator-ferroelectric-metalstruc-1ture has recently been brought to the2spotlight as a way to make Ferroelectric3Tunnel Junction without the need for ultra-4thin ferroelectric layer. Herein, the first5use of an ultrathin Al layer is presented6for the fabrication of an MFIM HZO-based7FTJ through the scavenging of oxygen from8the HZO during annealing. Herein, a small9increase of remanant polarization, a large10of up to 3.7, is reported.12Q1

RESEARCH ARTICLE



Insertion of an Ultrathin Interfacial Aluminum Layer for 1 the Realization of a Hf_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O₂ Ferroelectric Tunnel Junction

Benoît Manchon,* Greta Segantini, Nicolas Baboux, Pedro Rojo Romeo, 02

Rabei Barhoumi, Ingrid C. Infante, Fabien Alibart, Dominique Drouin, Bertrand Vilquin, 5 and Damien Deleruyelle

7 Herein, the effect of a 2 nm thin aluminum layer inserted between the ferro-

electric layer and the top electrode in a TiN/Hf $_{0.5}$ Zr $_{0.5}$ O₂/TiN stack deposited by 8 9

reactive magnetron sputtering is investigated. The oxidation of the interfacial

layer during annealing due to scavenging of the Hf_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O₂ impacts both the 10 ferroelectric properties and the electrical conductivity of the junction. It is shown

11

12 that the overall conductivity of the junction is boosted 20 folds while the

13 resistance ratio between the positive and negative polarization states is increased

from 1.3 up to 3.7. Through a systematic analysis of programming conditions, 14

pulse duration, and height, we show that both the remanent polarization and 15

On/Off current ratio can be enhanced at the expanse of the endurance leading to 16 a trade-off.

17 18

18 1. Introduction

The unique properties of ferroelectric materials have been 19 exploited to date in numerous integrated devices such as 20 Ferroelectric Random Access Memories, Ferroelectric Field 21 Effect Transistors, Negative Capacitance Field Effect 22 23 Transistors, and Ferroelectric Tunnel Junctions (FTJs).^[1] The discovery of ferroelectricity in Si-doped hafnium oxide in 2011^[2] 24 gave a significant boost to research on the topic. Although other 25 successful dopants have been found,^[3] the solid solution 26

Hf_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O₂ (HZO) has attracted a lot of 1 interest.^[4] Hafnia-based thin films present 2 the double advantage of already being 3 widely used in the semiconductor industry 4 and of having a significantly lower crystal- 5 lization temperature as compared to perov- 6 skite ferroelectrics, with reported values as 7 low as 300 °C,^[5] allowing therefore full 8 compatibility with both front-end and 9 back-end of line integration. 10

FTJs are tri-layer structures in which two 11 electrodes consisting either of metals or 12 highly doped semiconductors sandwich a 13 thin ferroelectric layer. The internal field 14 of the ferroelectric layer attracts or repels 15 charges at the interfaces with the electro- 16 des. Due to differences in screening 17

lengths and permittivities of the electrode materials, the polari-18 zation direction induces a modulation of the potential barrier. 19 The polarization state can then be probed through the measure- 20 ment of tunneling currents. Although ferroelectric HZO has 21 been demonstrated down to 1 nm thick layers,^[6] scaling HZO 22 thickness down to a few nanometers while maintaining good 23 ferroelectric properties remains a real fabrication challenge.^[7] 24 The resistance variation in an ideal FTJ is only due to direct 25 tunneling; however, real FTJs are complex devices where 26 several competing charge transport mechanisms can occur 27

B. Manchon, N. Baboux, I. C. Infante, D. Deleruyelle	B. Manchon, D. Drouin
INSA Lyon	Laboratoire Nanotechnologies Nanosystèmes (LN2) CNRS UMI-3463
ECL	Québec, Canada
CNRS	G. Segantini, P. Rojo Romeo, R. Barhoumi, B. Vilquin
UCBL	ECL
CPE Lyon	INSA Lyon
INL	CNRS
UMR5270	UCBL
Univ Lyon	CPE Lyon
69621 Villeurbanne, France	INL
E-mail: benoit.manchon@insa-lyon.fr	UMR5270
3. Manchon, D. Drouin	Univ Lyon
nstitut Interdisciplinaire d'Innovation Technologique (3IT)	69130 Ecully, France
Université de Sherbrooke Sherbrooke, Québec, Canada	F. Alibart Institute of Electronics Microelectronics and Nanotechnology (IEMN) Université de Lille

DOI: 10.1002/pssr.202100585 Q-license

Villeneuve d'Ascq, France



3

O7

www.advancedsciencenews.com

simultaneously. In addition, more than one physical mechanism 1 2 can be involved in the resistance modulation. In this work, we 3 will define FTIs as ferroelectric devices, which exhibit a change

4 in their electrical resistance depending on polarization direction.

Therefore, the term "tunneling currents," in its broad sense, will 5

refer to all charge transport modes across a dielectric (such as 6 7 direct tunneling, Fowler-Nordheim tunneling, thermionic emis-8 sion, and so on) rather than just direct tunneling. Recently, a 9 slightly different structure has been brought in the spotlight. Theorized by Meyer et al.,^[8] the addition of an ultrathin dielectric 10 layer, resulting in a metal/ferroelectric/insulator/metal (MFIM) 11 structure, enables conductance modulation with thicker 12 ferroelectric layers. The inserted dielectric layer plays the role 13 of tunneling barrier while the ferroelectric layer acts as a 14 15 polarization switch. In this context, several devices exploiting Al₂O₃ and TiO₂ interfacial layers have recently been reported 16

in the literature.^[9–12] 17 An important parameter in the stabilization of the ferroelectric 18 19 phase in doped HfO_2 is the oxygen content. It has mainly been 20 studied in atomic layer deposition processes and the results indi-21 cate that the formation of the orthorhombic and tetragonal phases can be promoted by oxygen vacancies.^[13] In this work, 22 the affinity of Al for oxygen atom was exploited to combine 23 24 the fabrication of an MFIM junction with the generation of oxygen vacancies in HZO layer to promote the ferroeletric phase. 25 A set of twin samples was fabricated to study the influence of 26 an ultrathin interfacial Al layer. Their structure is depicted in Q5 27 28 Figure 1. A symmetrical TiN/HZO/TiN stack serves as reference to the second sample which had a 2 nm thick laver of Al inserted 29 at the top electrode interface: TiN/HZO/Al/TiN. The ferroelec-30 tric HZO layer is 11 nm thick for both samples. Although 31 symmetrical electrodes cannot, in theory, produce an On/Off 32 ratio, symmetrical FTIs have been demonstrated due to interfa-33 cial differences between the top and bottom contact (interface 34 reconstruction, exposure to air, and so on).^[14] It is theorized that 35 the Al layer formed a dielectric AlO_x layer during the annealing 36 step by scavenging oxygen atoms from the HZO layer. We report 37 38 the first use of an oxygen scavenging ultrathin Al layer for the 39 fabrication of an HZO-based FTJ. The aim was to obtain the 40 same effect as an interfacial layer of Al2O3 to increase On/Off 41 ratio of FTJ devices while also generating oxygen vacancies,

which play an important yet not fully understood role in the 1 stabilization of the ferroelectric orthorhombic phase. 2

2. Results and Discussion

The glancing incidence X-ray diffraction (GI-XRD) patterns 4 shown in Figure 1a indicate the poly-crystalline nature of the 5 HZO layers. The position of the peak at $2\theta \approx 30.5^{\circ}$ is consistent 6 with the theoretical value of the orthorhombic/tetragonal phase 7 for the (111) plane and confirms the presence of the ferroelectric 8 phase. The monoclinic (11–1) and (111) peaks at $2\theta \approx 28.4^{\circ}$ and 9 $2\theta \approx 31.6^\circ$, respectively, are also detected. Using pseudo-Voigt 10 functions that account for Cu K α 1 and K α 2 radiations to fit 11 the data shown in Figure 1b, an analysis of the integrated areas 12 corresponding to the orthorhombic and monoclinic peaks was 13 performed. The presence of orthorhombic phase in the sample 14 with the Al layer was found to be higher by a factor 3 when com- 15 pared to the one without Al, suggesting that the Al layer helped 16 the formation of the ferroelectric phase. This is consistent with 17 the idea of oxygen vacancies helping the formation of the ortho-18 rhombic phase. However, these statements are mitigated by the 19 fact that the diffraction signal originates both from HZO capped 20 and uncapped by the top electrode. The importance of tensile 21 strain provided by top electrode capping in the stabilization of 22 the orthorhombic phase is well documented.^[15,16] HZO not cov- 23 ered by the top electrode is unlikely to form the orthorhombic 24 phase and the monoclinic phase is therefore overevaluated. 25 Here, the surface ratio of HZO covered by the top electrode is 26 about 50%. In addition, the overlap of the orthorhombic and 27 tetragonal peaks makes the quantitative analysis of the two 28 phases rather difficult. 29

The relative permittivity (ε_r) against voltage plots obtained 30 from capacitance measurements plotted on Figure 1c exhibits 31 the characteristic butterfly shape of ferroelectric capacitors. 32 The extracted relative permittivity of the HZO/AlO_x stack is 33 lower than that of the reference as would be expected with the 34 addition of a second dielectric layer. This finding is in favor 35 of our assumption that the deposited Al has been oxidized during 36 annealing. To further support this statement, the theoretical per-37 mittivity versus voltage curve of the HZO/AlO_x sample, $\varepsilon_r^{\text{computed}}$, 38



Q6

Figure 1. a) Structure of the two samples studied in this work, not to scale. Typical device diameters studied are 20, 50, and 100 µm. b) GIXRD diffraction pattern corresponding to each sample. The fits were obtained using pseudo-Voigt functions accounting for the Cu Ka1 and Ka2 radiations. c) Relative permittivity obtained from capacitance versus voltage sweeps taken between ± 3.5 V on both samples. The relative permittivity of the Hf_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O₂ (HZO)/ AIO, corresponds to the average of the double layer. The HZO/Al₂O₃ curve is computed from the reference HZO by adding a theoretical 2 nm layer with a relative permittivity of 9.1 corresponding to Al₂O₃.

www.pss-rapid.com

1 was computed from the permittivity curve of the HZO reference 2 sample, $\varepsilon_r^{\text{HZO}}$ with thickness $d_1 = 11$ nm, by adding an interfacial 3 series capacitance of thickness $d_2 = 2$ nm and relative permittiv-4 ity ε_r^{IL} using Equation (1). As shown in Figure 1c, a very good 5 match was achieved using $\varepsilon_r^{IL} \approx 9.1$, a value fully consistent with 6 the one of Al₂O₃.^[17]

www.advancedsciencenews.com

$$\varepsilon_r^{\text{computed}} = \frac{\varepsilon_r^{\text{HZO}} \cdot \varepsilon_r^{\text{IL}}}{d_2 \cdot \varepsilon_r^{\text{HZO}} + d_1 \cdot \varepsilon_r^{\text{IL}}}$$
(1)

The ferroelectric properties were then investigated by cycling 7 the devices and regularly taking positive-up-negative-down 8 (PUND) measurements.^[18] The assumption is made that the 9 non-ferroelectric contributions to current are exactly the same 10 in a switching and a non-switching pulse and thus the ferroelec-11 tric current can be isolated by simple subtraction. The positive 12 and negative remanent polarizations (Pr) for five devices of each 13 sample cycled at equal electric field are plotted Figure 2a. 14 The device to device variation of the remanent polarization is 15 very low with an average standard deviation to the mean of less 16 than 1% for the five measurements on each sample. The maxi-17 mum 2Pr during cycling is slightly increased from 40.5 to 18 $43.8 \,\mu C \, cm^{-2}$ with the addition of the Al interfacial layer. 19 However, the overall endurance is impacted; the reference sam-20 ple devices all reached at least 1×10^5 cycles while three of the 21 AlO_x devices broke down before, with one as early as 2×10^4 . 22

These changes may be attributed to the oxygen vacancies that 1 were introduced, improving remanent polarization by increasing 2 the orthorhombic phase content but precipitating breakdown 3 due to a higher defect density. Oxygen vacancies are thought 4 to help the formation of the orthorhombic phase by reducing 5 the phases relative free energy, reducing the grain size or by play- 6 ing the role of nucleation sites.^[19] However, oxygen vacancies are 7 also associated with degraded ferroelectric properties past the sta- 8 bilization process playing a key role in wake up, fatigue, and 9 probably leading to an early dielectric breakdown.^[20] Here, it 10 seems a compromise is reached in the AlO_x sample as remanent 11 polarization was improved at the expense of endurance while the 12 wake-up and fatigue behavior remained relatively unchanged. 13 Figure 2c shows the current-voltage characteristics recorded dur- 14 ing the switching pulses of a PUND measurement after 5×10^3 15 cycles while Figure 2b shows the extracted polarization-voltage 16 (P–V) curves after the current from the non-switching pulses was 17 subtracted. The introduction of the interfacial layer has increased 18 the coercive field and created a clear asymmetry in the device's 19 electrical properties. Polarization switching occurs at a higher 20 (absolute) voltage than for the reference while being more 21 gradual. This turns into an increased effective coercive field 22 for both regions (from -1.2 to -1.7 MV cm⁻¹ and from 1.3 to 23 2.4 MV cm⁻¹) and a softer slope under negative field in the 24 P-V loop. The increase of the coercive field can be related to 25 the voltage drop through the interfacial dielectric layer making 26



Figure 2. a) Remanent polarization for the reference and the AlO_x sample during cycling until dielectric breakdown. Five of each device were cycled. Stars mark dielectric breakdown. The inset represents one cycle with pulse parameters of ± 3.8 V for the reference and 4.5 V for the AlO_x sample ≈ 3.5 MV cm⁻², 500 ns ramps, 20 ms plateau, and 10 ms pause between pulses. b) Current–voltage characteristics of the two samples under applied triangular pulses with 60 µs ramps. These data, as the following polarization curves, are measurements taken after 5×10^3 cycles (data point marked with an empty circle on the endurance graph). c) Polarization versus voltage loops for both samples extracted from the positive-up-negative-down (PUND) measurements. d) Voltage sweeps under the coercive field in the On and Off states for both devices. The measurements were repeated four times.



09

www.advancedsciencenews.com

the voltage requirement higher to reach the coercive field in the 1 2 HZO layer. One might wonder why Figure 1c does not show the 3 same change in coercive field. It is because the electrical measurement conditions are rather different. The voltage range is 4 5 not the same and the voltage sweep is quasi-static during the 6 CV measurement as opposed to dynamic for the PUND. 7 Interestingly, the tunneling currents are also drastically increased in the AlO_x sample, most likely due to the number 8 9 of oxygen vacancies assisting conduction between electrodes.^[21] 10 This feature is advantageous for FTJ applications since it allows larger sensing current at low voltages. The increased coercive 11 field may also be beneficial for FTJ applications by allowing a 12 13 wider range of accessible voltages for read operation without altering the polarization state, therefore giving access to even 14 15 higher read currents.

16 Figure 2d shows the plots of current density against electric 17 field taken at low voltages with the polarization facing one or 18 the other electrode for both samples. The measurements were taken 4 times to show repeatability. The On/Off ratio is increased 19 from \approx 1.3 to \approx 2.4 with the introduction of the Al layer. The 20 small On/Off ratio of the symmetrical device is attributed to a 21 22 slight interfacial difference due to exposure to air between 23 HZO and top TiN deposition. The maximum current density available for operation in the Off state jumped up from 6.35 24 to 451 mA cm^{-2} . This is a key parameter for dynamic sensing; 25 for instance, such an increase would allow the reduction of 26

the FTJ diameter from 71 to 8.4 mm to reach a 1 nA sensing 1 current.

The effect of pulse parameters on HZO/AlO, samples was 3 then investigated by varying pulse widths and heights while mon- 4 itoring both the remanent polarization and the On/Off current 5 ratio. Both properties increase with pulse width (Figure 3a) and 6 height (Figure 3c) at the expense of endurance. There is a 7 trade-off that must be considered when choosing operation 8 parameters. If the endurance appears to follow a more hectic 9 dependency, it is due to the statistical nature of dielectric 10 breakdown. The presence of defects can significantly impact 11 the maximum endurance. The increase in maximum remanent 12 polarization is monotonous for both pulse parameters (Figure 4). 13 For best performances, the pulse parameters are 20 ms duration, 14 as it offers the highest On/Off ratio while remaining relatively 15 stable throughout the lifetime of the device, and 3.5 V since it 16 provides the highest endurance. However, for the On/Off ratio, 17 the pulse duration has the biggest influence with not only the 18 value increasing from less than 1.5 to more than 3.5 but also 19 the value becoming increasingly unstable during cycling. 20 Voltage only influences the On/Off ratio up to 3.5 V where a form 21 of saturation is reached. While maximum polarization more than 22 doubles when voltage is increased from 3.5 to 4.5 V, the On/Off 23 ratio remains relatively unchanged. This suggests the origin of 24 the conductivity modulation is not directly dependent on the 25 polarization field or at least not linearly. The oxygen vacancies 26



Figure 3. Evolution of remanent polarization a) and On/Off ratios for the AlO_x sample b) during endurance tests carried out with cycling pulse width varying from 1 to 500 μ s at 4 V. Evolution of c) polarization and d) On/Off ratio while cycling with pulse heights ranging from 3 to 4.75 V with pulse duration of 20 μ s. Stars mark dielectric breakdown.







Figure 4. Maximum On/Off current ratios (dots) and remanent polarizations (diamonds) as a function of a) pulse duration and b) height. Insets represent the cycling pulses that were applied with the relevant parameters, as indicated.

migrating under the effect of the applied external field and the
ferroelectric polarization are thought to be an important factor at

3 play. We suspect that, similarly to the memristor model 4 presented by Strukov et al.,^[22] the oxygen vacancies which are

5 packed at the AlO_x/HZO interface migrate in and out of the

6 ferroelectric layer under the effect of the applied field and of

7 the ferroelectric polarization, lowering and increasing resistivity

8 by creating available states for trap-assisted tunneling. Carrying

9 out current-voltage measurement over an extended temperature

10 range would be helpful to elucidate the prevalence of the differ-

11 ent resistance modulation mechanisms and it will be the subject

12 of further investigations.

13 3. Conclusion

The impact of inserting an ultrathin Al layer in HZO-based FTJ 14 was investigated. With respect to reference devices, it is shown 15 that the insertion of the Al layer leads to an increase of both the 16 17 remanent polarization and tunneling currents. Oxidation of the 18 Al layer into interfacial AlO_x by scavenging oxygen ions was found to be consistent with CV measurements. It is therefore 19 20 believed that oxygen vacancies generated through oxidation of the Al layer promoted the formation of the orthorhombic phase 21 22 of HZO while actively participating to the increase of leakage currents. The On/Off current ratio was improved from 1.3 to up to 23 24 3.5 in conjunction with a drastic increase of electrical conductivity, which is beneficial for FTJ operations. Through studying the 25 26 effect of cycling pulse amplitude and duration, it is shown that both the remanent polarization and On/Off current ratio can be 27 tailored but they are increased at the expense of endurance. 28

29 4. Experimental Section

30 Device Fabrication: The fabrication process of the two samples was 31 thought out to have as little difference between them as possible. A piece 32 of n^+ Si (100) Si wafer was first cleaned using acetone and ethanol fol-33 lowed by a bath in a buffer oxide etching (BOE) solution to remove the 34 native oxide layer. The 50 nm thick TiN bottom electrode was then depos-35 ited from a Ti target and a N₂ plasma by reactive magnetron sputtering 36 inside an AC450-sputtering machine by Alliance Concept (all deposition steps were carried out in this equipment). HZO of 11 nm was deposited 1 by nonreactive sputtering of a ceramic $Hf_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O_2$ target. The piece of Si 2 was then cleaved in halves for the UV lithography step preparing for the 3 liftoff. On one half, 50 nm of TiN and 100 nm of Pt thick circular pads were 4 added as top electrode. The purpose of the Pt was to improve the electrical 5 contact with the metallic tips used for electrical characterization. An ultra-6 7 thin Ti layer was necessary for the adhesion of Pt on TiN. The other half was subjected to the same fabrication steps with the addition of a 2 nm Al 8 layer between the HZO and the top electrode TiN. The exact sputtering 9 parameters could be found in the work by Bouaziz et al.^[23] Both resulting 10 samples were annealed in a tubular furnace at 450 °C under N₂ atmo-11 sphere for 30 min. 12

Device Characterization: The thicknesses of the layers were verified by13X-ray reflectometry (XRR) and structural properties were measured by14GI-XRD with a Rigaku Smartlab diffractometer.15

Electrical characterization was carried out using a Keithley 4200-SCS 16 Semiconductor Parameter Analyzer Characterization System equipped 17 with SMUs, RPMs, and CVUs. Voltage was applied through the bottom 18 electrode by contacting a dielectrically broken-down device and the top 19 electrode of the device of interest. For the study of the impact of pulse 20 21 width and height, the cycling pulses were square shaped with 500 ns ramps and 10 µs pauses at 0 V between them. The duration was varied 22 first, from 1 to 500 µs with the height maintained at 4 V. The voltage 23 was then increased from 3 to 4.75 V with a pulse width fixed at $20 \,\mu\text{s}$. 24 Each pulse form was applied once to one device until breakdown. Two 25 reading protocols were used during cycling: a PUND sequence to measure 26 polarization followed by two low voltage pulses to read the current value 27 with a programming pulse in between to switch polarization state. The 28 29 PUND pulses were triangular with ramps of 20 µs and maximum voltage equal to cycling voltage. Read pulses were 1 V high and 5 ms long with 30 500 ns ramps. The devices were 100 µm in diameter. The exact setup 31 for electrical characterization was also described by Bouaziz et al. $^{\left[23\right] }$ 32

Acknowledgements

This work was undertaken on the NanoLyon technology platform and34received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research35and innovation programme under Grant Agreement no.78030236(3eFERRO), under the Marie Sklodowska-Curie Grant Agreement no.37801512 (i3E ECLAUSion), and French Public Authorities through the
NANO2022 program.38

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

33

40

41

www.advancedsciencenews.com



5

Data Availability Statement 1

- 2 The data that support the findings of this study are available from the
- 3 corresponding author upon reasonable request

JE NEWS

Keywords 4

aluminum, ferroelectric HZO, ferroelectric tunnel junction, thin films 5

6	Received: November 16, 2021
7	Revised: March 7, 2022
8	Published online:

- 9 [1] R. Khosla, S. K. Sharma, ACS Appl. Electron. Mater. 2021, Q11 10 acsaelm.0c00851.
 - T. S. Böscke, J. Müller, D. Bräuhaus, U. Schröder, U. Böttger, Appl. 11 [2] 12 Phys. Lett. 2011, 99, 102903.
 - 13 [3] M. H. Park, T. Schenk, U. Schroeder, in Ferroelectricity in Doped 14 Hafnium Oxide: Materials, Properties and Devices (Eds.: 15 U. Schroeder, C. S. Hwang, H. Funakubo), Woodhead Publishing 16 Series in Electronic and Optical Materials, Woodhead Publishing, 17 Duxford 2019, pp. 49-74.
 - 18 [4] M. Dragoman, M. Aldrigo, D. Dragoman, S. Iordanescu, A. Dinescu, 19 M. Modreanu, IEEE Nanotechnol. Mag. 2021, 15, 8.
- 20 [5] T. Onaya, T. Nabatame, N. Sawamoto, A. Ohi, N. Ikeda, T. Nagata, 012 21 A. Ogura, Microelectron. Eng. 2019.
- 22 [6] S. S. Cheema, N. Shanker, C.-H. Hsu, A. Datar, J. Bae, D. Kwon, Q13 23 S. Salahuddin, arXiv:2007.06182 [cond-mat, physics:physics], 2020.
 - [7] S. Oh, H. Kim, A. Kashir, H. Hwang, Appl. Phys. Lett. 2020, 117, 24 25 252906.
 - 26 [8] R. Meyer, J. R. Contreras, A. Petraru, H. Kohlstedt, Integr. Ferroelectr. 27 2004, 64, 77.
- Q14 28 [9] B. Max, M. Hoffmann, S. Slesazeck, T. Mikolajick, 2018, 142-145.

- [10] A. Shekhawat, G. Walters, N. Yang, J. Guo, T. Nishida, 1 S. Moghaddam, Nanotechnology 2020, 31, 39LT01. 2 3
- [11] H. Ryu, H. Wu, F. Rao, W. Zhu, Sci. Rep. 2019, 9, 20383.
- [12] J. Hwang, Y. Goh, S. Jeon, IEEE Trans. Electron Devices 2021, 4 68.841.
- [13] M. Materano, P. D. Lomenzo, A. Kersch, M. H. Park, T. Mikolajick, 6 7 U. Schroeder, Inorg. Chem. Front. 2021, 8, 2650.
- [14] F. Ambriz-Vargas, G. Kolhatkar, R. Thomas, R. Nouar, A. Sarkissian, 8 C. Gomez-Yáñez, M. A. Gauthier, A. Ruediger, Appl. Phys. Lett. 2017, 9 110. 093106. 10
- [15] S. J. Kim, D. Narayan, J.-G. Lee, J. Mohan, J. S. Lee, J. Lee, H. S. Kim, 11 Y.-C. Byun, A. T. Lucero, C. D. Young, S. R. Summerfelt, T. San, 12 L. Colombo, J. Kim, Appl. Phys. Lett. 2017, 111, 242901. 13
- [16] T. Shiraishi, K. Katayama, T. Yokouchi, T. Shimizu, T. Oikawa, 14 O. Sakata, H. Uchida, Y. Imai, T. Kiguchi, T. J. Konno, 15 H. Funakubo, Appl. Phys. Lett. 2016, 108, 262904. 16
- [17] G. D. Wilk, R. M. Wallace, J. M. Anthony, J. Appl. Phys. 2001, 89, 17 18 5243
- [18] K. M. Rabe, M. Dawber, C. Lichtensteiger, C. H. Ahn, J.-M. Triscone, 19 in Physics of Ferroelectrics: A Modern Perspective, Topics in Applied 20 Physics, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg 2007, pp. 1-30. 21
- [19] M. H. Park, D. H. Lee, K. Yang, J.-Y. Park, G. T. Yu, H. W. Park, 22 M. Materano, T. Mittmann, P. D. Lomenzo, T. Mikolajick, 23 U. Schroeder, C. S. Hwang, J. Mater. Chem. C 2020, 8, 10526. 24
- [20] D. R. Islamov, V. A. Gritsenko, T. V. Perevalov, V. A. Pustovarov, 25 O. M. Orlov, A. G. Chernikova, A. M. Markeev, S. Slesazeck, 26 U. Schroeder, T. Mikolajick, G. Y. Krasnikov, Acta Mater. 2019, 27 166, 47. 28
- [21] D. R. Islamov, A. G. Chernikova, M. G. Kozodaev, A. M. Markeev, 29 T. V. Perevalov, V. A. Gritsenko, O. M. Orlov, J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 30 31 2017, 864, 012002.
- [22] D. B. Strukov, G. S. Snider, D. R. Stewart, R. Stanley Williams, Nat. 32 33 Lett. 2008.
- [23] J. Bouaziz, P. Rojo Romeo, N. Baboux, R. Negrea, L. Pintilie, 34 35 B. Vilguin, APL Mater. 2019.



By providing the payment information below, you agree that you are responsible for the charges and taxes (as applicable), and that you will promptly pay the invoice in accordance with the terms thereof.

Reprint Order Form

Charges for Reprints in Euro (excl. VAT), prices are subject to change. Minimum order 50 copies

No. of pages	50	100	150	200	300	500
	copies	copies	copies	copies	copies	copies
1-4	345,—	395,—	425,—	445,—	548,—	752,—
5-8	490,—	573,—	608,—	636,—	784,—	1077,—
9–12	640,—	739,—	786,—	824,—	1016,—	1396,—
13–16	780,—	900,—	958,—	1004,—	1237,—	1701,—
17–20	930,—	1070,—	1138,—	1196,—	1489,—	2022,—
every additional 4 pages	147,—	169,—	175,—	188,—	231,—	315,—

Please send me send bill me for

no. of reprints

high-resolution PDF file (330 Euro excl. VAT) E-mail address:

Special Offer:

If you order 200 or more reprints you will get a PDF file for half price.

Please note: It is not permitted to present the PDF file on the internet or on company homepages.

Cover Posters (prices excl. VAT)

Posters of published covers are available in two sizes:

DIN A2 42 x 60 cm / 17 x 24in (one copy: 39 Euro)

DIN A1 60 x 84 cm / 24 x 33in (one copy: 49 Euro)

Postage for shipping (prices excl. VAT) overseas +25 Euro within Europe +15 Euro Please complete this form and return it via E-Mail to the Editorial Office.

E-mail: pss.rapid@wiley-vch.de

Manuscript No.:	
Customer No.: (if available)	
Purchase Order No.: _	
Author:	

Information regarding VAT: The charges for publication of *cover pictures* /*reprints/issues/poster/Videa abstracts/* are considered to be "supply of services" and therefore subject to German VAT. However, if you are an institutional customer outside Germany, the tax can be waived if you provide us with the valid VAT number of your company. Non-EU customers may have a VAT number starting with "EU" instead of their country code, if they are registered with the EU tax authorities. If you do not have a valid EU VAT number and you are a taxable person doing business in a non-EU country, please provide a certification from your local tax authorities confirming that you are a taxable person and are conducting an economic activity in your country. **Note:** certifications confirming that you are a tax-exempt legal body (non-profit organization, public body, school, political party, etc.) in your country do not exempt you from paying German VAT.

VAT	number:	

.

Mail reprints / copies of the issue to:

Send bill to:

I will pay by bank transfer



VISA, Mastercard and AMERICAN EXPRESS

For your security please use this link (Credit Card Token Generator) to create a secure code Credit Card Token and include this number in the form instead of the credit card data. Click here: https://www.wiley-vch.de/editorial_production/index.php

CREDIT CARD TOKEN NUMBER

v			
---	--	--	--

Date, Signature

Wiley-VCH GmbH– A company of John Wiley & Sons, Inc. -Location of the Company: Weinheim - Trade Register: Mannheim, HRB 736569 Managing Directors: Sabine Haag und Guido Herrmann

