

# **Females of solitary- and group-living sister species of African striped mice show a similar social structure following experimentally imposed group-living**

Rebecca Rimbach, Kirsty-Jane Hartman, Catherine Currin, Carsten Schradin,

Neville Pillay

# **To cite this version:**

Rebecca Rimbach, Kirsty-Jane Hartman, Catherine Currin, Carsten Schradin, Neville Pillay. Females of solitary- and group-living sister species of African striped mice show a similar social structure following experimentally imposed group-living. Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology, 2022, 76 (2), pp.29.  $10.1007/s00265-022-03144-2$ , hal-03607848

# **HAL Id: hal-03607848 <https://hal.science/hal-03607848v1>**

Submitted on 14 Mar 2022

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



## 22 **Abstract**

23 Social systems vary within and between species, ranging along a continuum between solitary-24 living to complex societies. Social systems are emergent properties of interactions between 25 individuals, and these interactions are often modulated by hormones. We investigated the 26 behavioral and hormonal consequences of experimentally imposed social grouping in two sister 27 species of the African striped mouse *Rhabdomys*, one of which (*R. pumilio*) is group-living in its 28 natural xeric environment, while the other (*R. dilectus*) is solitary-living in its mesic environment. 29 We compared social behavior of triads of age-matched, unfamiliar females of each species, and 30 measured their serum corticosterone and testosterone concentrations in comparison to solitarily 31 housed controls. On Day 1, triads of both species displayed mainly amicable behavior. Aggression 32 was rare, but *R. pumilio* females showed more aggression than *R. dilectus* females. Triads of both 33 species shared nests from Day 2 onwards, and amicable behavior increased over time. Neither 34 species differed in hormonal concentration compared to their solitary controls, but *R. dilectus* had 35 1.3x higher serum corticosterone than *R. pumilio*. Serum testosterone did not differ between 36 species or treatments. Neither corticosterone nor testosterone concentration measured at the end 37 of the experiment was related to the duration of amicable behavior shown between triad members 38 at the end of the study. In sum, both species established a similar social structure when housed in 39 non-kin triads in captivity. Both displayed high amicability and little aggression, indicating that 40 environmental factors, such as resource distribution and duration of the breeding season, may be 41 more important determinants of social organization in striped mice than social tolerance.

42

43 **Keywords** 

44 communal breeding, group formation, social behavior, solitary-living, group-living, social 45 flexibility

46

## 47 **Significance statement**

48 Variation in social systems occurs both between and within species, and to date, it is still poorly 49 understood how differences in social tolerance relate to inter-specific variation in social systems. 50 We experimentally imposed social grouping in females of two sister species of the African striped 51 mouse, one naturally group-living and one solitary-living species. We studied interactions between 52 individuals in these experimental groups over 21 days and measured testosterone and 53 corticosterone concentration at the end of the experiment. Both species mainly showed socio-54 positive behavior and little aggression occurred. Individuals from experimental groups of both 55 species had similar testosterone and corticosterone concentrations compared to solitary-housed 56 control females of the same species. Our results show that both species are tolerant of conspecifics, 57 at least in captivity, indicating that environmental factors might be more important determinants 58 of social organization than social tolerance.

#### 60 **Introduction**

61 Variation in social systems occurs both between and within species (Lott 1991; Kappeler et al. 62 2013). This variation is underpinned by the environmental conditions and is physiologically 63 mediated. The mechanisms leading to the formation of the observed variety of social systems are 64 still not well understood (Kappeler et al. 2013). The social system of a species is created by the 65 behavior of individuals, with whom they group (social organization), with whom and how they 66 interact (social structure), with whom they mate (mating system) and who they care for (care 67 system; Kappeler, 2019). One important component of all forms of sociality is the nature of the 68 interactions between individuals (Hinde 1976).

69 Group-living is typically associated with frequent interactions between group members and 70 these interactions can be both amicable (e.g. grooming, body contact) and agonistic (e.g. chasing, 71 fighting) (Silk 2007; Kappeler et al. 2013). Aggression can occur over access to resources or 72 mating partners, and to establish a social hierarchy (Creel 2001; Silk 2007). Group size can 73 influence rates of agonistic interactions, for example, occurrence of agonistic interactions between 74 female primates increases with group size (Wheeler et al. 2013). Typically, individuals are less 75 tolerant of unrelated individuals (especially of the same sex) than of relatives (Clutton-Brock 76 2016). Interactions between individuals of solitary species are generally less well-studied 77 (Kappeler and van Schaik 2002), but studies have revealed that great diversity exists also in solitary 78 mammals (Woodroffe and Macdonald 1993). For example, males of some solitary species form 79 alliances (Lührs and Kappeler 2013), some solitary foraging species form 'spatial groups' or 80 sleeping associations that are characterized by home-range overlap and friendly interactions 81 among members (Wiens and Zitzmann 2003; Dammhahn and Kappeler 2009; Lührs and Kappeler 82 2013; Graw et al. 2019), and others show social tolerance at food or water sources (Elbroch et al.

83 2017; Tichon et al. 2020). There is a dynamic feedback between how constituent individuals 84 behave towards each other, which leads to a particular social organization of a population, and in 85 turn affects the behavior of individuals in that population (Kappeler and van Schaik 2002; Schradin 86 et al. 2018). To date, it is still poorly understood how differences in social tolerance relate to inter-87 specific variation in social systems.

88 While external drivers underpin social interactions, various internal factors, such as 89 hormones and neuropeptides, modulate interactions between individuals (McCall and Singer 2012; 90 Hofmann et al. 2014). Testosterone is an important modulator of social interactions, influencing 91 aggression, territory defense, sexual behavior, and is itself influenced by social status (Staub and 92 De Beer 1997; Soto-Gamboa et al. 2005). Some species show increased testosterone secretion in 93 response to social interactions, and high concentration of testosterone can be linked to social 94 aggression (Wingfield et al. 1990; Goymann et al. 2007; Tibbetts and Crocker 2014). Testosterone 95 also potentially increases risk-taking behavior because of its association with inhibition of the 96 hypothalamus-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis that reduces anxiety (Aikey et al. 2002; McCall and 97 Singer 2012; Raynaud and Schradin 2014). Glucocorticoid hormones, corticosterone or cortisol, 98 are released via stimulation of the HPA axis in response to stressors, for example aggression from 99 a conspecific (Sapolsky et al. 2000). Glucocorticoid hormones mobilize glucose to provide energy 100 in response to stressors, and increased circulating levels are considered as a stress response 101 (Rubenstein 2007). It has been proposed that a generalized endocrine profile of chronic stress does 102 not exist, but social stress is associated with a glucocorticoid increase in many species (Zayan 103 1991; Dickens and Romero 2013). Solitary and social species experience social stress differently 104 (Blanchard et al. 2001). For example, several social primate species mount a stress response when 105 separated from conspecifics (Zayan 1991), and female yearling tuco-tuco, *Ctenomys sociabilis*,

106 that live and breed alone have higher glucocorticoid levels than females that live and breed within 107 their natal group (Woodruff et al. 2013). In contrast, solitary species can experience stress in 108 response to social interactions with conspecifics, such as in the golden hamster, *M. auratus*, which 109 experiences immune-system decline in groups, with a shorter life span as group size increases 110 (Wommack et al. 2003). Moreover, group-living animals can experience social buffering, 111 displaying reduced corticosterone response to a stressful situation due to the presence of 112 conspecifics to which they are socially bonded, potentially via inhibition of the HPA axis (Sachser 113 et al. 1998; Hennessy et al. 2009; Young et al. 2014).

114 The African striped mouse, genus *Rhabdomys*, is a small (adult body mass 30 - 90g) 115 diurnal, murid rodent, widely distributed throughout southern Africa, occurring in a variety of 116 biomes from semi-arid deserts (160 mm rainfall per annum) to moist grasslands (700-1000 mm 117 rainfall per annum) (Skinner and Chimimba 2005). Our study considers two closely related species 118 of *Rhabdomys*: *R. pumilio* occurring in xeric environments and *R. dilectus* in mesic areas of South 119 Africa (Rambau et al. 2003). Molecular data places the divergence between the species at 120 approximately 3.1 million years ago (du Toit et al. 2012). Distinct differences in sociality exist 121 between these species in nature. *R. pumilio* in the arid Succulent Karoo is facultatively group-122 living (Schradin and Pillay 2003, 2004), and is socially flexible, switching to solitary-living under 123 very low population density during the breeding season (but not during the non-breeding season) 124 (Schradin et al. 2010a, 2012). In free-living *R. pumilio*, individuals of both sexes have lower 125 corticosterone concentrations after switching from group-living to solitary-living during the 126 breeding season (Schoepf and Schradin 2013). Female *R. pumilio* which breed solitarily have 127 lower baseline corticosterone concentrations when compared to their group-living counterparts, 128 but do not differ in testosterone concentrations (Hill et al. 2015). Weanling *R. pumilio* show a more

129 pronounced stress response to separation from their natal group compared to weanling *R. dilectus*, 130 indicating that philopatry and group-living may be more important for young *R. pumilio* compared 131 to young *R. dilectus* that typically disperse at weaning (Mackay et al. 2014). The grassland species, 132 *R. dilectus*, is solitary-living, with individual home ranges overlapping mainly with home ranges 133 of the opposite sex (Schradin and Pillay 2005). While variation in hormonal response in *R. pumilio* 134 has been well investigated, a comparison of the hormonal profile with the closely related *R.*  135 *dilectus* is lacking.

136 In this study we investigated 1) whether *R. dilectus*, a naturally solitary-living species, 137 tolerates conspecifics and forms groups under experimental conditions, 2) whether social 138 interactions differ between both species and how interactions change over time when 139 experimentally kept in groups, and 3) how circulating corticosterone and testosterone 140 concentrations correlate with social behaviors and the social contexts (imposed group-living vs 141 solitary-housed). First, we investigated whether or not three unrelated, unfamiliar conspecific 142 female *Rhabdomys* display the social tolerance necessary to facilitate and initiate social group 143 formation, and whether tolerance (nest sharing and amicability) of conspecifics is maintained over 144 time in each species (referred to as stable groups in this study). We predicted that *R. pumilio* triads 145 show less aggression and more amicable behavior compared to *R. dilectus* triads, and that these 146 differences would occur already at the beginning of nest cohabitation. We hypothesized that 147 associating with conspecifics would be more stressful physiologically for *R. dilectus* than *R.*  148 *pumilio*. We predicted that 1) social groups of both species would have greater concentrations of 149 corticosterone and testosterone than solitary-housed controls of both species, due to social stress 150 and competition experienced in groups, 2) testosterone and corticosterone concentrations to

151 correlate positively with aggression and to be higher in the more aggressive triads and species, and 152 3) testosterone and corticosterone concentrations to correlate negatively with amicable behavior.

153

## 154 **Materials and Methods**

## 155 *Study Species*

156 In the semi-arid Succulent Karoo, *R. pumilio* is facultatively group-living and usually forms social 157 groups that consist of one breeding male, up to four closely related breeding females and their 158 philopatric offspring (Schradin and Pillay 2003, 2004). Group members share a nest, interact 159 amicably and bask together at dawn and dusk, and defend a common territory, but forage solitarily 160 during the day (Schradin and Pillay 2005). *R. pumilio* is socially flexible, switching to solitary-161 living under very low population density during the breeding season, but not during the non-162 breeding season (Schradin et al. 2010a, 2012). Individuals of both sexes have lower corticosterone 163 concentrations when solitary than in a group (Schoepf and Schradin 2013). Female *R. pumilio* 164 which breed solitarily have lower baseline corticosterone concentrations when compared to their 165 group-living counterparts, but the solitary breeders do not differ from the group-living females in 166 testosterone concentrations (Hill et al. 2015).

167 The grassland species, *R. dilectus*, is solitary-living, with individual home ranges 168 overlapping mainly with home ranges of the opposite sex (Schradin and Pillay 2005). *R. dilectus* 169 occurs at lower population density than *R. pumilio*, indicating that lower population density 170 promotes solitary-living (Schradin and Pillay 2005). The breeding season (7 months; October to 171 April) of *R. dilectus* is more than double that of *R. pumilio* (3 months; August to November) 172 (Schradin and Pillay 2005; Skinner and Chimimba 2005).

## 174 *Study Animals*

175 Experiments took place in the Milner Park Animal Unit at the University of the Witwatersrand, 176 Johannesburg, South Africa. The striped mice used in this study were from existing captive 177 colonies. The naturally group-living species, *Rhabdomys pumilio*, originated from the Goegap 178 Nature Reserve in the semi-arid Succulent Karoo of South Africa (average rainfall of 160 mm per 179 annum, winter rainfall). The naturally solitary-living species, *Rhabdomys dilectus*, originated from 180 the moist grassland in central South Africa (average rainfall of 700 mm per annum, summer 181 rainfall). All individuals were F2 or F3 captive born.

182

183 *Husbandry* 

184 We conducted experiments under partially controlled environmental conditions: 14:10 light: dark 185 cycle (lights on at 05h00); 30-60% rH; and a room temperature of 24-28℃. We randomly formed 186 approximately 40 breeding pairs per species simultaneously before experiments began. Breeding 187 pairs were housed in clear Labotec™ cages (L x H x W: 425mm x 150mm x 240mm), containing 188 a PVC nest-box (L x H x W: 98mm  $\times$  64mm  $\times$  77mm),  $\pm$ 3cm wood shavings, a handful of 189 *Eragrostis* grass  $(\pm 20g)$  and  $\pm 5g$  of paper tissue provided for nesting material. We provided a 190 cardboard tube (L x D: 99mm x 38mm) and a small wooden block for chewing for enrichment. 191 The daily diet included 5g Epol® mouse cubes, approximately 5g fresh fruit or vegetables and 192 approximately 2g millet per mouse (millet was gradually increased to 4g for pregnant and lactating 193 females); water was provided *ad libitum*.

194 At 20 days of age, pups produced from the breeding pairs were weaned and housed alone 195 for a further 30 days in Labotec™ cages, furnished and enriched as described. *R. dilectus* young 196 typically disperse at weaning (around day 16), whereas *R. pumilio* young typically delay dispersal,

197 although they disperse earlier when population density is low (Willan and Meester 1989; Schradin 198 and Pillay 2004; Schradin 2005; Schoepf and Schradin 2012a). Thus, solitary living at young age 199 occurs naturally in both species. From these juveniles, we used a total of 40 *R. pumilio* and 40 *R.*  200 *dilectus* females in this study. We focused our study on females because groups in *R. pumilio* are 201 usually made up of multiple breeding females with one breeding male (Schradin et al. 2012).

202

## 203 *Experimental design*

204 At approximately 43 days of age (one week prior to forming triads), we marked all striped mice 205 using commercial hair dye (Innecto™, Pinetown, South Africa) to allow for individual 206 identification. We assigned three unrelated, age-matched conspecific females randomly to a triad, 207 and we formed a total of 10 triads per species. Each triad was housed together from approximately 208 50 days old to approximately 85 days old in an aluminum tank with a clear Perspex front (L x H x 209 W: 460mm x 350mm x 300mm) and a wire-mesh lid. These tanks contained wood shavings for 210 bedding, and grass and nesting material, as described under husbandry (above). Tanks contained 211 three separate nest boxes of equal size (L x H x W: 100mm x 77mm x 63.5mm) to prevent forced 212 cohabitation of the triad. The feeding regime followed the diet described above. Before we initiated 213 behavioral data collection, we directly observed interactions for 20 min immediately after 214 introducing the three mice into experimental tanks on Day 1, so that triads could be terminated if 215 excessive fights occurred. We observed no fights in any of the triads during this period. Following 216 this 20-minute direct observation period, we filmed the triads from 09h00-12h00 using Sony 217 Handycam® video cameras; striped mice are most active during this period (Pillay 2000). We 218 filmed triads on Days 1, 3, 6, 10, 15, and 21 of triad formation and we manually scored the behavior 219 of females (Table S1) from video footage using a stopwatch. It was not possible to score behavior

220 blindly for several reasons: due to the timing of birth within a species that allowed triad formation 221 to start earlier for *R. pumilio* than for *R. dilectus*, because the same researchers were responsible 222 for husbandry, individual markings, triad formation and filming and scoring of behavior; and 223 because the marking of mice was visible in the video recordings. As age-matched controls for 224 hormonal comparison to triads (below), we maintained ten females per species in solitary housing 225 from 50 to 85 days of age. We marked these individuals and placed them in new cages on the same 226 days as experimental triads. Control animals were housed in Labotec<sup>TM</sup> cages prepared as 227 described above.

228 To investigate the initiation of group formation in the two species, we scored the three 229 hours (09h00-12h00) of footage from Day 1 of triad formation, recording the frequency of all 230 interactions between all members of the triad. We recorded dyadic interactions between members 231 of each triad, including which animal initiated an interaction, which mouse they initiated the 232 interaction toward, the manner of the approach (amicable or aggressive), and the response 233 (aggressive or avoidance) of the approached mouse (Table S1). We calculated the frequency of 234 aggressive approaches, amicable approaches, avoidance, and aggressive responses to an approach 235 from these data from Day 1 (behaviors defined in Table S1).

236 We scored the duration of amicable and aggressive interactions between dyads within each 237 triad from the video footage recorded on Days 1, 3, 6, 10, 15 and 21 of experimental triad 238 formation, and we recorded the presence of nest cohabitation from direct observation. We recorded 239 the duration of behaviors continuously for 15-minutes during the period of most activity of the 240 three hours of video footage recorded for all triads on the 6 non-consecutive days over the 21-days 241 of sampling. We used the same 15 min slots for each triad. We recorded nest cohabitation (yes/no) 242 using direct observation at times when the mice were resting or asleep, usually between 14h00-

243 15h00. This was done every day following the formation of triads and we used this information to 244 confirm whether group formation had occurred in a triad (Schradin et al. 2010b; Schoepf and 245 Schradin 2012b).

246 At approximately Day 35 (85 days of age), we euthanized all subjects (triads and controls) 247 through isoflurane inhalation and collected blood to measure serum corticosterone and 248 testosterone. Mice were euthanized and blood collected within 2-3 minutes of first handling to 249 minimize the effect of the stress of handling on the circulating corticosterone concentrations. Mice 250 were confirmed dead by testing for a pulse, then immediately decapitated and we collected 251 approximately 500µL of blood in an Eppendorf<sup>™</sup> tube from the trunk of the animal. Following 252 the methods described by Schradin (2008), we kept blood samples at room temperature for 1.5 253 hours to allow for coagulation before being centrifuged at 8000 rpm for 10 minutes. Subsequently, 254 we pipetted the serum into another Eppendorf™ tube and centrifuged for a further 10 minutes at 255 8000 rpm. We then pipetted the serum into two different aliquots (20µL of serum for 256 corticosterone, and 50µL for testosterone) which were stored at -20℃. We measured hormone 257 concentrations using enzyme-immunoassays, performed at the University of Strasbourg, France, 258 using commercial kits from IBL Hamburg, specific to each hormone, following the procedures 259 validated for striped mice by (Schradin 2008). For both hormones, serial dilution of striped mouse 260 sample pools (2 for each hormone) paralleled the standard curve and the slopes were not different 261 (Schradin 2008). Intra- and inter-assay variability was determined with pools from wild striped 262 mice. For testosterone, inter-assay variability for one pool with 6 samples was 15.6%. For 263 corticosterone, inter-assay variability (5 samples) for two pools were 11.5% and 15.6%. Intra-264 assay variability was only determined for corticosterone for both pools and was 10.2% (5 samples) 265 and 4.6% (8 samples). Hormone concentrations are expressed as ng/ml of serum.

266

## 267 *Data analysis*

268 We analyzed all data in R v.3.6.2 (R Core Team 2019). We calculated the percentage of triads that 269 shared a nest for each species and used a Fisher's Exact Test to assess whether the species differed 270 in nest cohabitation. We terminated one *R. dilectus* triad on Day 4 due to excessive fighting, and 271 the video footage of one *R. pumilio* triad was corrupted and not available for analysis of behavioral 272 interactions. We did not consider the *R. pumilio* triad in the data analysis of the Day 1 interactions 273 and excluded both triads from the analysis of the behavior over the 21-day sampling period, 274 resulting in data set of  $n = 9$  triads for both species for these analyses. However, we included both 275 triads in the nest cohabitation percentage calculation. For the hormonal analysis, we excluded the 276 *R. dilectus* triad that was terminated early, and thus we analyzed data from 9 *R. dilectus* triads (n 277 = 27 individuals), 10 *R. pumilio* triads (n = 30 individuals), and 10 individually-housed controls 278 per species.

279

## 280 Interactions on Day 1 of triad formation

281 We analyzed the frequency of the type of approaches (amicable or aggressive) and responses to an 282 approach (aggressive or avoidance) on Day 1 using a multivariate analysis of variance 283 (MANOVA). We used the square-root of the approach and response behaviors to reduce 284 heteroscedasticity. We included species as a categorical predictor and we used the frequency of 285 aggressive approaches, amicable approaches, avoidance of approaches, and aggressive responses 286 to approaches as multiple response variables. We assessed species differences in the proportion of 287 aggressive approaches to amicable approaches and the proportion of avoidance behavior to 288 aggressive responses using Fisher's Exact Tests for Count Data.

289

## 290 Behavior during 6 non-consecutive days of sampling over 21 days

291 We used linear mixed models (LMMs), using 'lme4' package (Bates and Maechler 2010), to 292 analyze species differences and temporal changes in the duration of amicable and aggressive 293 behavior displayed during the 6 days of observation during 3 weeks of the study. We fitted two 294 LMMs, one for each behavior, with either aggression or amicable behavior as the response 295 variable, and species and Day, and the interaction between species and Day as fixed factors in the 296 model. We included Triad ID as a random effect. We used Wald Chi-square tests (type III) to 297 calculate  $\chi^2$  statistics and *p* values using the 'car' package (Fox and Weisberg 2011). We assessed 298 model assumptions by inspecting Q–Q plots and by plotting model residuals against fitted values. 299 Prior to running each model, we checked for multi-collinearity by calculating variance inflation 300 factors (Zuur et al. 2010) for the predictor variables using the 'vif' function in the 'car' package 301 (Fox and Weisberg 2011). Vifs did not indicate collinearity (all vifs<2).

302

## 303 Hormone concentrations

304 We analyzed the influence of species and treatment on corticosterone and testosterone 305 concentrations using a LMM per hormone with Gaussian error structure. We used the square root 306 of testosterone to reduce heteroscedasticity when fitting the model. In both models, we included 307 species, treatment and their interaction as fixed factors. We included treatment group ID (i.e. triad 308 and control group) and litter ID as random effects. We included litter ID to account for the litter 309 from which females were derived having possible influences on group dynamics, as litter mates 310 were assigned to different triads or as controls. We then performed post-hoc analyses using the 311 'emmeans' function from the 'emmeans' package (Lenth 2021) to determine pairwise differences

312 in hormone levels between species and treatment groups. We adjusted *p* values using the Tukey 313 method. Since corticosterone suppresses testosterone secretion in some species (Soto-Gamboa et 314 al. 2005; Deviche et al. 2014), we used a Pearson's product-moment correlation to assess whether 315 the two hormones were correlated  $(n = 77)$ .

316 To establish the relationship between the hormonal profiles and social behavior, we 317 calculated the total duration of amicable and aggressive behavior shown by each of the 18 triads 318 during the 15-minute observation slot on Day 21, which were the behavioral data collected closest 319 in time to when the endocrine measurements were taken. Both species showed no aggressive 320 behavior on Day 21, and thus we did not examine the relationship between aggressive behavior 321 and endocrine levels. We fitted two LMMs with Gaussian error structure. We used hormone 322 concentration (corticosterone or square root of testosterone) as the response variable and included 323 the duration of amicable behavior on Day 21, its quadratic term, species, and the interaction 324 between behavior and species as fixed factors.. We included triad ID as random effect in both 325 LMMs. We checked model assumptions by inspecting Q–Q plots and by plotting model residuals 326 against fitted values and by using the 'performance' package (Lüdecke et al. 2021).

327

#### 328 **Results**

329 Females of both species cohabited nests, with all three females sharing one nest from Day 2 for 330 100% of *R. pumilio* triads (10 of 10 triads) and 90% of *R. dilectus* triads (9 of 10 triads; Fisher`s 331 Exact Test: p > 0.99). One *R. dilectus* triad did not cohabit a nest and was terminated on Day 4 due 332 to excessive fighting.

333

#### 334 Interactions on Day 1 of triad formation

335 Species was not a significant predictor  $(F<sub>1,14</sub> = 1.03, p = 0.43)$  of the type of approaches and 336 responses to an approach on Day 1 of triad formation (Fig. 1A). Triads of *R. pumilio* showed a 337 total of 2015 approaches (359 aggressive and 1656 amicable approaches) and triads of *R. dilectus* 338 showed a total of 1556 approaches (234 aggressive and 1322 amicable approaches). Triads of *R.*  339 *pumilio* showed a total of 832 responses (753 avoidance and 79 aggressive responses) and triads 340 of *R. dilectus* showed a total of 635 responses (538 avoidance and 97 aggressive responses). There 341 was a species difference in the proportion of aggressive approaches to amicable approaches 342 (Fisher's Exact Test for Count Data:  $P = 0.03$ , odds ratio = 1.22, 95% CI = 1.02 – 1.47; Fig. 1B) 343 and in the proportion of avoidance behavior to aggressive responses ( $P = 0.0009$ , odds ratio = 1.72, 344 95% CI =  $1.24 - 2.39$ ; Fig. 1B). Both species showed more amicable approaches than aggressive 345 approaches, but in *R. pumilio* proportions were more skewed towards aggressive approaches 346 compared to *R. dilectus* (Fig. 1B). Both species showed more often avoidance behavior compared 347 to aggressive responses, and again, in *R. pumilio* proportions were more skewed towards 348 aggressive responses compared *R. dilectus* (Fig. 1B).







352 **Fig 1** Aggressive and amicable approaches, aggressive responses and avoidance behavior between 353 triad members on Day 1 (observation of 3 hrs) of triad formation in two species of *Rhabdomys* 354 (beige = solitary *R. dilectus*, blue = group-living *R. pumilio*). (A) Frequencies of approaches and 355 responses are shown. Columns indicate mean frequency and error bars show the standard error. 356 (B) Percentages of approaches (aggressive vs. amicable) and responses (aggressive vs. avoidance) 357 are shown. Boxplot center line represents the median, lower and upper box limits represent the 1<sup>st</sup> 358 and 3<sup>rd</sup> quartile (IQR), whiskers minimum and maximum values of nonoutlier data ( $\pm$ 1.5 × IQR) 359 and outliers are indicated by small filled circles (n = 10 for *R. dilectus* triads, n = 9 for *R. pumilio* 360 triads)

361

## 362 Behavior over 21 days

Species was not a significant predictor of amicable behavior duration ( $\chi^2$  = 0.04, p = 0.83), nor 364 was there a significant interaction between species and Day ( $\chi^2$ <sub>5</sub> = 4.49, p = 0.48). Day was a 365 significant predictor of duration of amicable behavior ( $\chi^2$ <sub>5</sub> = 53.56, p < 0.001; Fig. 2A). Post hoc 366 tests revealed that amicable behavior duration was significantly lower on Day 1 than the 367 subsequent days ( $p < 0.0001$ ), and the duration of amicable behavior on Day 21 was significantly 368 greater than on Day 3 ( $p = 0.01$ ) and Day 6 ( $p = 0.02$ ; Fig. 2). In both species, amicable behavior 369 occurred more frequently than aggressive behavior during the study (Fig. 2B). Species ( $χ²₁ = 0.009$ , 370  $p = 0.92$ ), Day ( $\chi^2$ <sub>5</sub> = 0.00, p = 1.00) and their interaction ( $\chi^2$ <sub>5</sub> = 5.55, p = 0.35; Fig. 2B) did not 371 affect aggressive behavior.



373

374 **Fig. 2** Duration of (A) amicable and (B) aggressive behavior of two species of *Rhabdomys* (*R.*  375 *pumilio* in blue and *R. dilectus* in beige) housed in triads over 21 days. Data (15 min observations 376 per day) are displayed as mean and 95% confidence interval

377

## 378 Hormone concentrations

 $379$  Testosterone and corticosterone were not correlated (Pearson's product-moment correlation:  $t = -$ 380 1.42, df = 75, p = 0.15, r = -0.16). Species ( $\chi^2$ <sub>1</sub> = 3.86; *p* = 0.049) was a significant predictor of 381 circulating corticosterone concentrations. *R. dilectus* had higher corticosterone concentrations 382 (mean ± SD: control: 2038.2 ± 1215.7 ng/ml, triad: 2644.7 ± 566.7 ng/ml) than *R. pumilio* (control: 1896.4 ± 655.9 ng/ml, triad: 1838.2 ± 641.0 ng/ml). Treatment ( $\chi^2$  1 = 1.06; p = 0.30) was not a 384 predictor of corticosterone concentrations, nor was there an interaction between species and 385 treatment ( $\chi^2$ <sub>1</sub> = 2.09; p = 0.14). Pairwise comparisons of corticosterone concentrations between 386 species and treatment groups showed no significant differences (all P>0.09; Fig. 3A). Both species 387 had similar testosterone concentrations (*R. dilectus*: control: 0.71 ± 0.36 ng/ml, triad: 0.56 ± 0.28 388 ng/ml; *R. pumilio*: control:  $0.62 \pm 0.13$  ng/ml, triad  $0.75 \pm 0.36$  ng/m). Testosterone concentration 389 was not significantly predicted by species ( $\chi^2$ <sub>1</sub> = 1.70; p = 0.19), treatment ( $\chi^2$ <sub>1</sub> = 0.11; p = 0.76),



391



393 **Fig 3** Serum (A) corticosterone and (B) testosterone concentrations of two species of *Rhabdomys*. 394 Comparisons are shown between two treatments: triads (in yellow) and solitarily-housed females 395 (controls, in green). Boxplots show median values as well as 1st and 3rd quartiles and points 396 represent individual values ( $n = 27$  for *R. dilectus* triads,  $n = 30$  for *R. pumilio* triads,  $n = 10$  for 397 controls of each species)

398

399 Neither corticosterone nor testosterone concentration showed a relationship with the 400 duration of amicable behavior shown between triad members on Day 21 (Table 1; Fig. 4).

402 **Table 1** Results of the linear mixed models to test for an association between the total duration of 403 amicable behavior shown in a triad on Day 21 and corticosterone and testosterone concentration

## 404 of two species of *Rhabdomys*. Factors in bold type indicate significant predictors of hormone

## 405 concentration



406  $\sigma^2$  = variance of the random effect 'triad'; ICC = Intraclass coefficient of variation.

407 The marginal  $\mathbb{R}^2$  considers only the variance of the fixed effects, while the conditional  $\mathbb{R}^2$  takes 408 both the fixed and random effects into account.

409

410



412 **Fig 4** Serum corticosterone and testosterone concentrations in relationship to the duration of 413 amicable behavior on Day 21 in triads of two species of *Rhabdomys* (*R. pumilio* in blue and *R.*  414 *dilectus* in beige).

415

## 416 **Discussion**

417 Surprisingly, females of both the group-living and the solitary-living species of the African striped 418 mice formed stable non-kin groups characterized by amicable interactions with corticosterone and 419 testosterone levels comparable to their solitary controls. Overall, aggression was rare and both 420 species showed more amicable approaches than aggressive approaches and more avoidance 421 behavior than aggressive responses. But in *R. pumilio* proportions were more skewed towards 422 aggressive approaches and aggressive responses compared *R. dilectus*.

423 Nest cohabitation occurred in all *R. pumilio* triads and 90% of *R. dilectus* triads, and in all 424 those triads already by the second day of triad formation. Nest cohabitation was then maintained 425 for the remaining duration of the study. We had not expected the naturally solitary-living *R.*  426 *dilectus* to form groups as readily as the natural group-living *R. pumilio*. Sharing a nest with 427 conspecifics requires social tolerance and is often used as an indication of group-living (Andrews 428 and Belknap 1993; Rusu and Krackow 2004; Schradin et al. 2010a; Schoepf and Schradin 2012b). 429 Our study thus indicates that differences in the social organization between both *Rhabdomys* 430 species under natural conditions may not be due to species differences in social tolerance. The 431 similarity in social interactions between both species implies that environmental factors, such as 432 resource distribution and the duration of the breeding season, could be more important 433 determinants of social organization in free-living *Rhabdomys* than social tolerance (Schradin 2005; 434 Schradin and Pillay 2005). However, we studied both species in a single context (non-kin triads)

435 and results may vary if, for example, the number of animals per cage was decreased or increased, 436 in the presence of a male or when studied under semi-natural conditions.

437 We expected *R. pumilio* to be more tolerant of conspecifics than *R. dilectus*, but initially 438 on Day 1 *R. pumilio* showed a higher proportion of aggressive approaches and aggressive 439 responses toward conspecifics than *R. dilectus*. Naturally occurring groups of *R. pumilio* typically 440 consist of extended family members (Schradin and Pillay 2004), which are territorially aggressive 441 towards striped mice of other groups (Schradin 2004), so using unrelated females here may have 442 promoted aggression on Day 1. Importantly, amicable behavior was also common on Day 1 and 443 consistently increased in the first week after the mice started cohabiting, remaining high 444 throughout the second and third week of the study. Behaviors such as allogrooming facilitates 445 social recognition of group members (Kerth 2007) and the increasing duration of amicable 446 behavior between group members over time may be the result of increased familiarity between 447 group members. Importantly, amicable behavior was the predominant behavior displayed in triads 448 of both *Rhabdomys* species, indicating not only social tolerance but social attraction facilitating 449 group formation in both species. Female free-tailed bats, *Tadarida teniotis*, are more amicable 450 toward familiar than unfamiliar conspecifics (Ancillotto and Russo 2014). Similarly, meadow 451 voles, *M. pennsylvanicus*, that form unrelated communal nesting groups in winter, reduce agonistic 452 and increase amicable interactions toward group-members, but display heightened aggression 453 toward unfamiliar non-group members (Kawata 1990). In captivity, but not in the wild, meadow 454 voles readily form partner preferences for both same-sex and opposite-sex conspecifics (Beery et 455 al. 2009; Madrid et al. 2020). These differences in behavior between captive and wild individuals 456 of the same species show that animals in captivity may exhibit behaviors that they do not normally 457 exhibit under free-living conditions, highlighting the need to study species both in captivity and in

458 the wild. It is important to note that the use of non-kin may have resulted in fewer differences 459 between the species because neither species lived in their typical social organization (solitary or 460 with extended family members), and it would be important to repeat this study using related 461 females.

462 We expected *R. dilectus* to be more physiologically stressed during associations with 463 conspecifics than *R. pumilio*, expressed as higher corticosterone concentrations in triads compared 464 to solitary housed females. We found no support for this prediction, and triads of both species had 465 similar corticosterone levels as solitary-housed controls of their own species.

466 With the exception of one *R. pumilio* triad, little aggression occurred after Day 1, which 467 prevented analysis of the relationship between aggressive behavior and hormonal profiles. 468 Testosterone was not related to amicable behavior. We expected triads to have greater 469 concentrations of testosterone than solitary-housed controls of both species, due to competition 470 within groups. However, there was no difference in circulating testosterone concentrations 471 between the two species, regardless of social setting (triad vs. solitary control). Similarly, previous 472 studies found no differences in testosterone concentration between solitary and group-living 473 female *R. pumilio* (Schoepf and Schradin 2013; Hill et al. 2015). Together these studies do not 474 support the hypothesis that testosterone has an important role in the regulation of social behavior 475 of female *Rhabdomys*. However, it may be important to repeatedly measure testosterone 476 throughout the process of triad formation when most aggression occurred to better assess species 477 differences. It has been proposed that, in females, selection may have favored independence of 478 aggression from testosterone concentration because elevated concentrations of testosterone could 479 interfere with normal ovulation or produce detrimental maternal effects, such as a decrease in the 480 expression of maternal behavior (Gandelman 1973; Svare 1980; O'Neal et al. 2008). Similar to

481 findings in many other mammalian and avian species, our results indicate that female aggression 482 might be independent of testosterone in contrast to male aggression (Payne and Swanson 1972; 483 Elekonich and Wingfield 2000; Davis and Marler 2003; Sannen et al. 2004; Jawor et al. 2006; 484 Littlefield 2010).

485 Inter-specific variation does not only occur in social systems, but also in hormone levels 486 (Guindre-Parker 2018). Inter-specific variation in testosterone levels is best explained by 487 differences in breeding season length and mating system (Husak et al. 2021). While mean 488 testosterone levels can vary between 0 and 5 ng/ml in mammals (Husak et al. 2021), variation in 489 glucocorticoid levels is more extreme (Chrousos et al. 1982; Guindre-Parker 2018). For example 490 catarrhine primates have cortisol levels of around 300 ng/ml and some platyrrhine primates have 491 1000-2000 ng/ml (Chrousos et al. 1982; Coe and Levine 1995). Similarly, house mice (*Mus*  492 *musculus*) have basal corticosterone levels of between 100 and 150 ng/ml (Veenema et al. 2003), 493 prairie voles (*Microtus ochrogaster*) of between 630 and 850 ng/ml (DeVries et al. 1995), while 494 female striped mice (*R. pumilio*) have up to 2000 ng/ml during the breeding season (Schradin 2008; 495 Hill et al. 2020). While several studies investigated inter-specific differences in stress response 496 (Crespi et al. 2013), understanding variation in basal glucocorticoid levels received less attention 497 (Guindre-Parker 2018; Schoenemann and Bonier 2018). One important first question would be 498 whether very high basal levels observed in one species also occur in closely related species from 499 another environment, allowing for an assessment of phylogeny versus environment on basal levels 500 of glucocorticoids. We show that both *Rhabdomys* species have corticosterone concentrations that 501 are higher than those of most mammals, indicating that the high corticosterone concentrations are 502 a phylogenetic remnant of a common ancestor and we predict that other *Rhabdomys* species will 503 share this trait with the two species examined here. Comparative studies of basal glucocorticoid 504 levels in mammals would be needed to address why some species have such high basal 505 glucocorticoid levels.

506

507 *Conclusion*

508 Ours is one of few studies assessing how social structure develops when two sister species, that 509 naturally differ in their social organization, are experimentally forced to live in groups. 510 Surprisingly, we found a very similar social structure in both species, characterized by high 511 amicability and little aggression, making cohabitation possible in 19 out of 20 triads. Whether *R.*  512 *dilectus* shows flexibility in its social organization under natural conditions, similar to *R. pumilio*, 513 is unknown and needs to be studied in the future, but here we showed that this species has the 514 capacity to do so. Ongoing studies in some parts of its range provide anecdotal information of *R.*  515 *dilectus* sometimes forming small social groups, but the reasons for, and the demographic 516 composition of, such group-living are not always apparent (Dufour et al. 2019).

517

518 **Supplementary Information** Supplementary material is available

519

#### 520 **Acknowledgements**

521 We thank Megan Mackay and Damian Ponsonby for help with sample collection and preparation.

522 We thank the staff at the Milner Park Animal Unit for their help with the animal maintenance. We

523 thank two anonymous reviewers for their comments on an earlier version of the manuscript.

524

#### 525 **Author's contributions**





- 572 Coe CL, Levine S (1995) Diurnal and annual variation of adrenocortical activity in the squirrel
- 573 monkey. Am J Primatol 35:283–292. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajp.1350350404
- 574 Creel S (2001) Social dominance and stress hormones. Trends Ecol Evol 16:491–497
- 575 Crespi E, Williams T, Jessop T, Delehanty B (2013) Life history and the ecology of stress: how
- 576 do glucocorticoid hormones influence life-history variation in animals? Funct Ecol 27:93–
- 577 106
- 578 Dammhahn M, Kappeler PM (2009) Females go where the food is: does the socio-ecological
- 579 model explain variation in social organisation of solitary foragers? Behav Ecol Sociobiol
- 580 63:939–952. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00265-009-0737-2
- 581 Davis ES, Marler CA (2003) The progesterone challenge: Steroid hormone changes following a
- 582 simulated territorial intrusion in female *Peromyscus californicus*. Horm Behav 44:185–198. 583 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0018-506X(03)00128-4
- 584 Deviche P, Beouche-Helias B, Davies S, et al (2014) Regulation of plasma testosterone,
- 585 corticosterone, and metabolites in response to stress, reproductive stage, and social
- 586 challenges in a desert male songbird. Gen Comp Endocrinol 203:120–131
- 587 DeVries AC, DeVries MB, Taymans S, Carter CS (1995) Modulation of pair bonding in female
- 588 prairie voles (Microtus ochrogaster) by corticosterone. Proc Natl Acad Sci 92:7744–7748.
- 589 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.92.17.7744
- 590 Dickens MJ, Romero LM (2013) A consensus endocrine profile for chronically stressed wild
- 591 animals does not exist. Gen Comp Endocrinol 191:177–189
- 592 du Toit N, van Vuuren BJ, Matthee S, Matthee CA (2012) Biome specificity of distinct genetic
- 593 lineages within the four-striped mouse *Rhabdomys pumilio* (Rodentia: Muridae) from
- 594 southern Africa with implications for taxonomy. Mol Phylogenet Evol 65:75–86



- 596 influence space use and nest-site occupancy: implications for social variation in two sister 597 species. Oikos 128:503–516
- 598 Elbroch LM, Levy M, Lubell M, et al (2017) Adaptive social strategies in a solitary carnivore.

599 Sci Adv 3:e1701218. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1701218

- 600 Elekonich MM, Wingfield JC (2000) Seasonality and hormonal control of territorial aggression
- 601 in female song sparrows (Passeriformes: Emberizidae: *Melospiza melodia*). Ethology

602 106:493–510. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1439-0310.2000.00555.x

603 Fox J, Weisberg S (2011) An R companion to applied regression, 2nd edn. Sage Publications,

604 London

- 605 Gandelman R (1973) Reduction of maternal nest building in female mice by testosterone
- 606 propionate treatment. Dev Psychobiol 6:539–546. https://doi.org/10.1002/dev.420060610
- 607 Goymann W, Landys MM, Wingfield JC (2007) Distinguishing seasonal androgen responses
- 608 from male-male androgen responsiveness revisiting the challange hypothesis. Horm Behav 609 51:463–476
- 610 Graw B, Kranstauber B, Manser MB (2019) Social organization of a solitary carnivore: spatial
- 611 behaviour, interactions and relatedness in the slender mongoose. R Soc Open Sci 6:182160.
- 612 https://doi.org/10.1098/rsos.182160
- 613 Guindre-Parker S (2018) The evolutionary endocrinology of circulating glucocorticoids in free-
- 614 living vertebrates: recent advances and future directions across scales of study. Integr Comp

615 Biol 58:814–825

- 616 Hennessy MB, Kaiser S, Sachser N (2009) Social buffering of the stress response: diversity,
- 617 mechanisms, and functions. Front Neuroendocrinol 30:470–482
- 618 Hill DL, Pillay N, Schradin C (2020) Glucocorticoid levels predict subsequent social tactic in
- 619 females of a facultatively social mammal. Funct Ecol 650–662.
- 620 https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.13744
- 621 Hill DL, Pillay N, Schradin C (2015) Alternative reproductive tactics in female striped mice:
- 622 solitary breeders have lower corticosterone levels than communal breeders. Horm Behav
- 623 71:1–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yhbeh.2015.03.004
- 624 Hinde RA (1976) Interactions, relationships and social structure. Man (New Ser 11:1–17
- 625 Hofmann HA, Beery AK, Blumstein DT, et al (2014) An evolutionary framework for studying
- 626 mechanisms of social behavior. Trends Ecol Evol.
- 627 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2014.07.008
- 628 Husak JF, Fuxjager MJ, Johnson MA, et al (2021) Life history and environment predict variation 629 in testosterone across vertebrates. Evolution (N Y). https://doi.org/10.1111/evo.14216
- 630 Jawor JM, Young R, Ketterson ED (2006) Females competing to reproduce: dominance matters
- 631 but testosterone may not. Horm Behav 49:362–368.
- 632 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yhbeh.2005.08.009
- 633 Kappeler PM (2019) A framework for studying social complexity. Behav Ecol Sociobiol 73:13.
- 634 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00265-018-2601-8
- 635 Kappeler PM, Barrett L, Blumstein DT, Clutton-Brock TH (2013) Constraints and flexibility in
- 636 mammalian social behaviour: introduction and synthesis. Philos Trans R Soc B
- 637 368:20120337. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2012.0337
- 638 Kappeler PM, van Schaik CP (2002) Evolution of primate social systems. Int J Primatol 23:707– 639 740
- 640 Kawata M (1990) Fluctuating populations and kin interaction in mammals. Trends Ecol Evol

641 5:17–20

- 642 Kerth G (2007) Causes and consequences of sociality in bats. Bioscience 58:737–746
- 643 Lenth R V. (2021) emmeans: estimated marginal means, aka least-squares means (version 1.6.0)
- 644 Littlefield BL (2010) Beyond aggression and dominance: the effects of social and environmental
- 645 factors on fecal testosterone and fecal glucocorticoid levels in wild female Verreaux´s
- 646 sifaka (Propithecus verreauxi). Emory University
- 647 Lott DF (1991) Intraspecific variation in the social systems of wild vertebrates. Cambridge 648 University Press, New York, USA.
- 649 Lüdecke D, Ben-Shachar M, Patil I, et al (2021) performance: an R package for assessment,
- 650 comparison and testing of statistical models. J Open Source Softw 60:3139.
- 651 https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.03139
- 652 Lührs ML, Kappeler PM (2013) Simultaneous GPS tracking reveals male associations in a
- 653 solitary carnivore. Behav Ecol Sociobiol 67:1731–1743. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00265- 654 013-1581-y
- 655 Mackay M, Rymer TL, Pillay N (2014) Separation at weaning from the family is stressful for
- 656 naturally group-living, but not solitary-living, male African striped mice Rhabdomys. Stress 657 17:266–274
- 658 Madrid JE, Parker KJ, Ophir AG (2020) Variation, plasticity, and alternative mating tactics:
- 659 revisiting what we know about the socially monogamous prairie vole, 1st edn. Elsevier Inc.
- 660 McCall C, Singer T (2012) The animal and human neuroendocrinology of social cognition,
- 661 motivation and behavior. Nat Neurosci 15:681–688
- 662 O'Neal DM, Reichard DG, Pavilis K, Ketterson ED (2008) Experimentally-elevated
- 663 testosterone, female parental care, and reproductive success in a songbird, the Dark-eyed
- 664 Junco (*Junco hyemalis*). Horm Behav 54:571–578.
- 665 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yhbeh.2008.05.017
- 666 Payne AP, Swanson HH (1972) The effect of sex hormones on the aggressive behaviour of the
- 667 female golden hamster (*Mesocricetus auratus* Waterhouse). Anim Behav 20:782–787.
- 668 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0003-3472(72)80152-0
- 669 Pillay N (2000) Female mate preference and reproductive isolation in populations of the striped 670 mouse *Rhabdomys pumilio*. Behaviour 137:1431–1441
- 671 R Core Team (2019) R: a language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for
- 672 Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. In: www.R-project.org/
- 673 Rambau R V., Robinson TJ, Stanyon R (2003) Molecular genetics of *Rhabdomys pumilio*
- 674 subspecies boundaries: mtDNA phylogeography and karyotypic analysis by fluorescence in
- 675 situ hybridization. Mol Phylogenet Evol 28:564–575. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1055-
- 676 7903(03)00058-7
- 677 Raynaud J, Schradin C (2014) Experimental increase of testosterone increase boldness and
- 678 decrease anxiety in male African striped mouse helpers. Physiol Behav 129:57–63.
- 679 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physbeh.2014.02.005
- 680 Rubenstein DR (2007) Stress hormones and sociality: integrating social and environmental 681 stressors. Proceeding R Soc B 274:967–975
- 682 Rusu AS, Krackow S (2004) Kin-preferential cooperation, dominance-dependent reproductive
- 683 skew, and competition for mates in communally nesting female house mice. Behav Ecol 684 Sociobiol 56:298–305
- 685 Sachser N, Dürschlag M, Hirzel D (1998) Social relationships and the management of stress.
- 686 Psychoneuroendocrinology 23:891–904. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0306-4530(98)00059-6
- 687 Sannen A, Van Elsacker L, Heistermann M, Eens M (2004) Urinary testosterone-metabolite
- 688 levels and dominance rank in male and female bonobos (*Pan paniscus*). Primates 45:89–96. 689 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10329-003-0066-4
- 690 Sapolsky RM, Romero ML, Munck AU (2000) How do glucocorticoids influence stress
- 691 responses? Integrating permissive, suppressive, stimulatory, and preparative actions. Endocr 692 Rev 21:55–89
- 693 Schoenemann K, Bonier F (2018) Repeatability of glucocorticoid hormones in vertebrates: a 694 meta-analysis. PeerJ 6:e4398
- 695 Schoepf I, Schradin C (2013) Endocrinology of sociality: comparisons between sociable and
- 696 solitary individuals within the same population of African striped mice. Horm Behav
- 697 64:89–94. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yhbeh.2013.04.011
- 698 Schoepf I, Schradin C (2012a) Better off alone! Reproductive competition and ecological
- 699 constraints determine sociality in the African striped mouse (*Rhabdomys pumilio*). J Anim
- 700 Ecol 81:649–656. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2656.2011.01939.x
- 701 Schoepf I, Schradin C (2012b) Differences in social behaviour between group-living and solitary
- 702 African striped mice, *Rhabdomys pumilio*. Anim Behav 84:1159–1167.
- 703 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anbehav.2012.08.019
- 704 Schradin C (2005) When to live alone and when to live in groups: ecological determinants of
- 705 sociality in the African striped mouse (*Rhabdomys pumilio*, Sparrman , 1784). Belgian J
- 706 Zool 135:77–82
- 707 Schradin C (2008) Seasonal changes in testosterone and corticosterone levels in four social
- 708 classes of a desert dwelling sociable rodent. Horm Behav 53:573–579.
- 709 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yhbeh.2008.01.003



- 733 Skinner JD, Chimimba CT (2005) The mammals of the southern African subregion. Cambridge 734 University Press, Cape Town
- 735 Soto-Gamboa M, Villalón M, Bozinovic F (2005) Social cues and hormone levels in male
- 736 Octodon degus (Rodentia): a field test of the challenge hypothesis. Horm Behav 47:311–
- 737 318
- 738 Staub NL, De Beer M (1997) The role of androgens in female vertebrates. Gen Comp Endocrinol 739 108:1–24
- 740 Svare B (1980) Testosterone propionate inhibits maternal aggression in mice. Physiol Behav
- 741 24:435–439. https://doi.org/10.1016/0031-9384(80)90232-2
- 742 Tibbetts EA, Crocker KC (2014) The challenge hypothesis across taxa: social modulation of
- 743 hormone titres in vertebrates and insects. Anim Behav 92:281–290.
- 744 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anbehav.2014.02.015
- 745 Tichon J, Gilchrist JS, Rotem G, et al (2020) Social interactions in striped hyena inferred from
- 746 camera trap data: is it more social than previously thought? Curr Zool 66:345–353.
- 747 https://doi.org/10.1093/CZ/ZOAA003
- 748 Veenema A, Meijer O, de Kloet E, et al (2003) Differences in basal and stress-induced HPA
- 749 regulation of wild house mice selected for high and low aggression. Horm Behav 43:197–
- 750 204
- 751 Wheeler BC, Scarry CJ, Koenig A (2013) Rates of agonism among female primates: a cross-
- 752 taxon perspective. Behav Ecol 24:1369–1380. https://doi.org/10.1093/beheco/art076
- 753 Wiens F, Zitzmann A (2003) Social structure of the solitary slow loris *Nycticebus coucang*
- 754 (Lorisidae). J Zool 261:35–46. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0952836903003947
- 755 Willan K, Meester J (1989) Life-history styles of southern African Mastomys natalensis, Otomys

