

Effects of vegetation cover and season on soil nitrifiers in an African savanna: Evidence of archaeal nitrifier inhibition by grasses

Tharaniya Srikanthasamy, Sébastien Barot, Fulgence Koffi, Kevin Tambosco, Yoan Marcangeli, David Carmignac, Aya Brigitte N'Dri, Jonathan Gervaix, Julie Leloup, Xavier Le Roux, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Tharaniya Srikanthasamy, Sébastien Barot, Fulgence Koffi, Kevin Tambosco, Yoan Marcangeli, et al.. Effects of vegetation cover and season on soil nitrifiers in an African savanna: Evidence of archaeal nitrifier inhibition by grasses. Geoderma, 2022, 416, pp.115775. 10.1016/j.geoderma.2022.115775 . hal-03607708

HAL Id: hal-03607708 https://hal.science/hal-03607708

Submitted on 22 Jul 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

1	Effects of vegetation cover and season on soil nitrifiers in an African savanna: evidence				
2	of archaeal nitrifier inhibition by grasses				
3					
4	Tharaniya Srikanthasamy ^{a,*} , Sébastien Barot ^b , Fulgence K. Koffi ^c , Kevin Tambosco ^a , Yoan				
5	Marcangeli ^a , David Carmignac ^a , Aya Brigitte N'Dri ^c , Jonathan Gervaix ^d , Julie Leloup ^a , Xavier				
6	Le Roux ^d , Jean-Christophe Lata ^{a,e,**}				
7					
8	^a Sorbonne Université, Université de Paris, UPEC, CNRS, INRA, IRD, UMR 7618, Institute of Ecology				
9	and Environmental Sciences – Paris, iEES Paris, Tour 44-45, 4 place Jussieu, 75005 Paris, France				
10	^b IRD, Sorbonne Université, CNRS, INRA, Univ Paris Diderot Paris 07, UPEC, UMR 7618, Institute of				
11	Ecology and Environmental Sciences – Paris, Tour 44-45, 4 place Jussieu, 75005 Paris, France				
12	^c UFR-SN / Research Station of Lamto (CRE), Research pole Environment and Sustainable				
13	Development, Nangui Abrogoua University (ex University of Abobo-Adjamé), 02 BP 801, Abidjan 02,				
14	Côte d'Ivoire				
15	^d INRAE, CNRS, Université de Lyon, Université Lyon 1, Laboratoire d'Ecologie Microbienne, UMR				
16	INRAE 1418, UMR CNRS 5557, 43 boulevard du 11 novembre 1918, Villeurbanne 69622, France				
17	^e Department of Geoecology and Geochemistry, Institute of Natural Resources, Tomsk Polytechnic				
18	University, 30, Lenin Street, Tomsk 634050, Russia				
19					
20	*, ** Corresponding authors.				
21 22	E-mail addresses: tharaniya.sri@gmail.com (T. Srikanthasamy)				
23	Keywords: Seasonal nitrification activity; AOA-amoA & AOB-amoA; BNI (Biological Nitrification				
24	Inhibition), Poaceae, Savanna, Tree				
25	Running title: Dynamics of microbial communities in savanna soils				
26					
27					
28					

29 ABSTRACT

30 For the first time in a savanna, we analysed the joint influence of climate seasonality and plant cover 31 type on the abundances and transcription levels of bacterial and archaeal nitrifiers, and nitrification 32 enzyme activity (NEA). The dominant tree and grass species stimulated and inhibited nitrification, 33 respectively: NEA was 4-fold higher and 5-fold lower under trees and grasses than in bare soil, 34 respectively. The abundances of bacterial nitrifiers (AOB-amoA) followed the same trend as the 35 abundances of total bacteria did, with higher abundances under grasses and trees than in bare soil and higher abundances during the wet season, but AOB-amoA transcripts were always below detection 36 37 limit. In contrast, the abundances of archaeal nitrifiers (AOA-amoA) were 13-fold lower under grasses 38 and 2-fold higher under trees than in bare soil, and the AOA-amoA transcript level decreased under 39 grasses during the wet season. Our results show that nitrifier abundances and transcriptional activities 40 are highly seasonal and that nitrification is likely to be dominated by AOA-amoA in these savanna 41 soils. We show for the first time that a dominant grass species (Hyparrhenia diplandra) of humid 42 African savannas inhibits nitrification through marked decreases in AOA-amoA expression levels.

43 **1. Introduction**

44 The first, often limiting step of nitrification (oxidation of ammonium into nitrite) is mainly driven 45 by two microbial communities - archaeal and bacterial ammonia oxidisers that harbour the ammonia 46 monooxygenase subunit A gene (amoA). The relative contribution of each community to nitrification 47 depends on different environmental parameters such as the soil characteristics (Hatzenpichler, 2012), 48 and their niche differentiation is strongly debated. For example, ammonia-oxidising archaea (AOA-49 *amoA*) play a key role in nitrification in acidic soils and soils with low ammonium concentrations or 50 contaminated with heavy metals (Nicol et al., 2008; Cao et al., 2011; Yao et al., 2011; Prosser and 51 Nicol, 2012). Ammonia-oxidising bacteria (AOB-amoA) play a key role in nitrification in soils with 52 high total N and ammonium contents (Verhamme et al., 2011; Ma et al., 2016).

53 Soil microbial communities and the biogeochemical cycles under their control can be impacted by 54 drying and rewetting stresses (Fierer et al., 2003; Kaisermann et al., 2013; Thion and Prosser, 2014). 55 However, little is known about the AOA-amoA and AOB-amoA responses to these stresses. For 56 example, in a grassland with no historical record of drought events, AOA-amoA seem to be less 57 resistant than AOB-amoA to drought. They are also less resilient to rewetting in soils (Thion and 58 Prosser, 2014). This could be linked to the higher concentration of ammonium during and following 59 drought associated to lower AOA-amoA tolerance to these concentrations. AOA-amoA also appear to be sensitive to high water contents, maybe due to the subsequent decrease in available oxygen 60 61 (Szukics et al. 2010). However, they have been found insensitive to oxygen availability, contrary to 62 AOB-amoA (Ke et al. 2015). Finally, AOA-amoA have proved more sensitive than AOB-amoA to 63 osmotic and matric stresses in soil microcosms (Bello et al. 2019). Changes in temperature also 64 influence the overall archaeal and bacterial community structures (Stres et al., 2008). As far as 65 nitrifiers are concerned, AOB-amoA abundances increase with increasing soil temperature, while 66 AOA-amoA decrease at 25°C in high soil water content conditions (70%) (Szukics et al. 2010). In 67 addition, acidophilic AOA-amoA strains have lower optimum growing temperature than neutrophilic 68 AOA-amoA (Gubry-Rangin et al., 2017). All these results suggest that the abundance and activity of 69 archaeal and bacterial nitrifiers should vary along the year according to climate seasonality. For example, a seasonal effect was recorded on both groups of ammonia oxidisers in a Chinese upland red
soil, with higher gene copy numbers in summer than in winter (He et al. 2007).

72 Overall, AOA-amoA seem more abundant in temperate soils (Prosser and Nicol 2012; Sterngren et 73 al., 2015), but their contribution to nitrification vs. AOB-amoA varies among ecosystems (Le Roux et 74 al., 2008; Nicol et al., 2008; Verhamme et al., 2011; Simonin et al., 2015). AOA-amoA have been 75 found more abundant than AOB-amoA in savanna soils (Assémien et al., 2017; Catão et al., 2017; 76 Rughöft et al., 2016; Srikanthasamy et al., 2018), but there is no consensus on this issue because of the 77 very low number of studies documenting nitrification in savanna ecosystems (which yet cover 12– 78 13% of global terrestrial surfaces; Rutten et al., 2016). AOA-amoA are key nitrifiers in non-fertilized 79 cultivated soils from the West African savanna zone, but the influence of AOB-amoA on nitrification 80 increases when these soils are fertilized (Assémien et al. 2017). The relative contribution of AOA-81 amoA vs. AOB-amoA in non-cultivated savanna soils has been determined only once, in Brazilian 82 Cerrados (Catão et al., 2017, 2016), and has never been determined in an African savanna.

83 The soil nitrification rate also depends on the plant cover and its species composition (Le Roux et 84 al., 2013). Some species of African savanna tussock grasses such as Hyparrhenia diplandra (Lata et 85 al., 1999, 2000, 2004) and Brachiaria humidicola (Subbarao et al., 2009) inhibit nitrification. Their 86 roots exude biological nitrification inhibitors such as brachialactone or methyl 3-(4-hydroxyphenyl) 87 propionate (Subbarao et al., 2009; Zakir et al., 2008). These molecules can block nitrification totally 88 or partially by inhibiting the AMO and/or HAO enzyme (Coskun et al., 2017a; Subbarao et al., 2012) 89 through a process called Biological Nitrification Inhibition (BNI). BNI molecules do inhibit 90 nitrification by AOB-amoA (e.g., Subbarao et al., 2009); however, whether they also inhibit 91 nitrification by AOA-amoA and their impacts on AOA-amoA and AOB-amoA abundances and 92 transcriptional activities remain to be fully deciphered. A few studies in an agricultural context suggest 93 that nitrification by AOA-amoA can also be inhibited through BNI (Subbarao et al., 2009; Byrnes et 94 al., 2017; Nuñez et al., 2018; Lu et al., 2019; Sarr et al., 2020). However, the authors only used AOA-95 amoA DNA abundance - not AOA-amoA transcripts. This can lead to skewed conclusions because the 96 abundance of a microorganism is not necessarily correlated with the activity of all its potential 97 functions (Fierer, 2017). Finally, a pure culture experiment recently showed that AOA-*amoA* seem
98 more sensitive than AOB-*amoA* to BNI compounds, with variability among strains (Kaur-Bhambra et
99 al. 2021).

100 The nitrification rate has been found 72 times higher under the dominant tree species than under 101 the dominant grass species in the humid West African savanna of Lamto reserve (Ivory Coast) 102 (Srikanthasamy et al. 2018). These results suggest that nitrification could be inhibited by the dominant 103 grasses but also stimulated by the dominant tree species of this savanna. In order to verify these 104 hypotheses, we compared nitrification enzyme activity (NEA) and the abundance and transcriptional 105 activity of nitrifiers of soils under the dominant tree species vs. the dominant grass species with those 106 of regularly weeded bare soil plots almost without any root influence. The Lamto savanna experiences 107 dry and wet seasons. During the dry season, soils are much drier than during the wet season, grasses 108 stop growing and most of their leaves dry out and are burnt by the annual fire. Thus, grasses likely 109 produce much less root exudates during the dry season than during the wet season, which could 110 decrease their capability to inhibit nitrification. This could change the nitrification activities, 111 abundances and gene expression levels of archaeal and bacterial nitrifiers, in particular under grasses 112 during the dry season.

We characterised the overall effects of the vegetation cover (dominant tree species, grass species and bare soil) and season (wet and dry) on NEA, and on the abundances and transcriptional levels of AOB-*amoA* and AOA-*amoA* in the Lamto savanna. We hypothesized that:

116 (1) The dominant tree and grass species stimulate and inhibit nitrification, respectively, as117 compared to bare soil.

118 (2) Soil microbial abundances and transcription levels are higher under a plant cover than in
119 bare soil, except for the nitrifier groups inhibited under grasses. In particular, this would
120 lead to higher AOA-*amoA*:total archaea and lower AOB-*amoA*:total bacteria ratios under
121 trees and grasses, respectively, based on abundances and transcript abundances.

Microbial abundances and transcription levels are higher during the wet season, except for
the nitrifier groups targeted by BNI, as they undergo a higher inhibiting root activity under
grasses during the wet season. The activity of grasses indeed strongly decreases during the
dry season (Abbadie et al., 2006), which may alleviate the BNI effect.

126 (4) Because AOA-*amoA* have been assumed to be the most important ammonia oxidisers in this
127 area, we also hypothesized that the dominant grass species (*H. diplandra*) in this savanna
128 area, known to have BNI capacity, may affect the abundance and/or the *amoA* transcript
129 level of both AOB-*amoA* and AOA-*amoA*. Overall, we expected NEA to be positively
130 correlated to AOA-*amoA* abundance and transcriptional activity.

131

2. Materials and methods

132 *2.1. Study sites*

133 The Lamto reserve (6°13'N, 5°20'W) in Ivory Coast harbours a mosaic of savannas distinguished 134 by tree densities, and riparian and gallery forests. The climate is sub-equatorial, and four seasons can 135 be distinguished: (i) a long dry season from December to February; (ii) a long wet season from March 136 to July; (iii) a short dry season in August; (iv) a short wet season from September to November. 137 Annual precipitation in 2017 was 1100.5 mm, as compared to a 30-year average of 1200 mm (data 138 from the Geophysical Station of Lamto). Temperatures are relatively constant throughout the year (27 139 °C on average). Soils are derived from granites and the resulting sands, and classified as tropical 140 ferrugineous soils with a superficial gravelly horizon (acrisol according to the World Reference Base 141 for Soil Resource - WRB). They are sandy (sands 77%; silts 14%; clays 9%), with a bulk density of 142 ca. 1.65 (Lata et al., 1999).

143 2.2. Soil sampling

We distinguished three types of vegetation cover for soil sampling: (i) under the tussocks of the dominant grass *Hyparrhenia diplandra* (hereafter GRA), known to have BNI capacity (Lata et al., 2004; Srikanthasamy et al., 2018); (ii) under the canopy of the dominant tree *Crossopteryx febrifuga* (hereafter TRE); and (iii) in bare soil patches (2 m x 2 m plots without vegetation, weeded for one year before sampling, hereafter BS). In Lamto savanna, grasses are perennial and their individuals form 149 large tussocks separated by at least 20 cm of bare soil, and trees grow separately or in clumps (clumps 150 were avoided for soil sampling). The soil under grasses was directly sampled below identified grass 151 individuals, away from any tree individual. Therefore, the samples not only consisted of soil adhering 152 to the roots but also of all the soil included inside the very dense grass root systems. Grass tussocks 153 were chosen to have a similar basal diameter (ca. 15 cm), and trees were selected to have a similar 154 diameter at breast height (ca. 22 cm). Grass (H. diplandra) and tree (C. febrifuga) individuals were 155 randomly chosen on a surface of ca. 10 ha of shrub savanna, and local sources of heterogeneity 156 (termite mounds, small depressions, rocks) were avoided.

157 Soil was sampled during two contrasted seasons: during the long dry season (January 2017, 107.7 158 mm of precipitation in the previous 2 months and 0 mm in the last 15 days, hereafter D) and the long 159 wet season (May 2017, 344.9 mm of precipitation in the previous 2 months and 196.5 mm in the last 160 15 days, hereafter W). For each of the 6 combinations of season and cover type (3 covers X 2 161 seasons), five replicates were sampled (i.e., a total of 30 samples). One soil sample of about 1 kg was 162 collected from the top 15 cm soil layer at each sampling point using an 8 cm-diameter auger. After a 163 first homogenisation of the samples in the field, 10-g sub-samples were immediately placed in 164 cryotubes and in liquid N. The rest of the soil samples was stored at 4 °C for a very short period for 165 transport to the field station. These samples were subsequently sieved (2 mm), and 200 g of soil were 166 stored at -20 °C for molecular biology analyses and NEA measurements. The remaining soil was air-167 dried in the shade and stored at ambient temperature for physico-chemical analyses. Roots were 168 collected from all soil samples by dry sieving (2 mm mesh), and dried at 50 °C before weighing. Fine-169 root densities varied as follows: 2.06 to 9.08 g dry root dm⁻³ dry soil under grasses, 0.89 to 2.06 g dry 170 root dm⁻³ dry soil under trees, and 0 to 0.30 g dry root dm⁻³ dry soil under bare soil. The aboveground 171 biomass of each grass tussock was collected and dried at 50 °C before weighing; it varied between 172 1.229 and 5.884 g dry matter tussock⁻¹. Soil moisture was measured in the field before sampling with 173 a previously calibrated ThetaProbe ML3 (Delta-T Devices).

174 2.3 Soil physico-chemical characteristics

175 The soil pH was measured in water (5:1 water:soil v/v) with a pH meter (Thermo Scientific OrionTM Star A211, and an OrionTM PerpHecTTM ROSSTM Combination Micro Electrode) according to 176 177 the NF ISO 10390 standard. Mineral N was extracted from 2 g of frozen soil by adding 2 M KCl 178 solution (1:4 soil:solution). Nitrate was reduced to nitrite, and nitrite and ammonium concentrations (expressed as mg N-NO₃⁻ and N-NH₄⁺ g⁻¹ dry soil) were measured with a continuous-flow N analyser 179 180 (SKALAR, San Plus System, Breda, The Netherlands). Total soil C and N contents (expressed as %) 181 were measured using a CHN Elemental Analyser (FlashEA 1112 Series, Thermo Electron 182 Corporation, The Netherlands) after grinding at 80 µm.

183 *2.4. Nitrifying enzyme activity assays*

184 Potential nitrification rates were assessed with nitrifying enzyme activity (NEA) assays, i.e., short-185 term laboratory incubations under non-limiting conditions. This approach detects very low rates of 186 nitrification (Lata et al., 1999; Attard et al., 2011). Frozen soil samples were placed at ambient room 187 temperature for 2 h before the analyses. Freezing was preferred to fresh or drying conservation 188 methods because (i) the time lapse between sampling and analysis (including transportation) was too 189 long to use fresh soil because the analyses were performed in France, (ii) previous studies on different 190 soil matrices showed that freezing was a preferable storage method for soil enzyme activity studies 191 (e.g., denitrification) (Wallenius et al., 2010), and (iii) preliminary tests on fresh vs. frozen samples on 192 our soils showed no difference. NEA was measured according to Dassonville et al. (2011) as the linear 193 rate of nitrate production during a 72-h incubation. Soil sub-samples (3 g equivalent dry soil) were incubated with 6 ml of a solution of $(NH_4)_2SO_4$ (22 µg N-NH₄⁺ g⁻¹ dry soil, allowing the optimum 194 195 nitrification condition for this type of soil according to preliminary analyses - Assemien et al., 2017). 196 Distilled water was added to each sample to reach 24 ml of total liquid volume in the flasks. The soil 197 nitrate content was measured after 5, 24, 48 and 72 h during the aerobic incubation under constant 198 agitation (180 rpm) by ion chromatography (DX120, Dionex, Salt Lake City, USA).

199 2.5. Quantification of microbial abundances

Total ribonucleic acids were extracted from 4 g of fresh soil with an RNA PowerSoil® Total RNA
Isolation Kit (MO BIO Laboratories), in combination with a FastPrep FP120 bead beating system

(Bio-101, Inc., Ca, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. DNase treatment was
performed using the Ambion® TURBO DNA-free TM DNase Treatment and Removal Reagents
protocol, and the absence of contaminant DNA was checked on agarose gel. RNA was quantified
using a QubitTM RNA HS assay kit. All RNA samples were normalised to a similar concentration (8
ng/µ1) before the reverse transcription step achieved using a SuperScript® III First-Strand Synthesis
System for RT-PCR (Invitrogen). All extracted RNA samples were stored at -80 °C before analysis,
and cDNA samples were stored at -20 °C.

209 Total deoxyribonucleic acids were extracted, purified and quantified as in Srikanthasamy et al. 210 (2018), and archaeal and bacterial ammonia oxidiser abundances were quantified by real-time PCR 211 following the same publication. Archaeal amoA genes were amplified using primers CrenamoA23f 212 and CrenamoA616r (Tourna et al., 2008), and bacterial amoA genes were amplified using primers 213 amoA 1F and amoA 2R (Rotthauwe et al., 1997). Two independent quantitative PCR assays were 214 performed for each gene to test repeatability. Standard curves were obtained using serial dilutions of 215 linearised plasmids containing the studied genes. Average amplification efficiency was 84.1% for 216 AOA-amoA and 91.8% for AOB-amoA (see Table S1 for the PCR conditions). Melting curves were 217 analysed using the Dissociation Curve Analysis software program (Applied Biosystems). Abundances 218 were expressed as gene copy numbers per gram of dry soil.

219 2.6. Statistical analyses

220 All statistical analyses were performed using R software (R Core Team 2016). ANOVA2 was used 221 to test the effects of vegetation cover, season and their interaction on all measured variables. When a 222 significant effect of the cover X season interaction was observed (meaning that the effect of the cover 223 differed with the season), all combinations of the two treatment modalities were compared using 224 Tukey's Honestly Significant Difference test. When the interaction was non-significant, we compared 225 the modalities of the significant simple factor(s) using the same post-hoc test. We also tested the 226 relationship between NEA and AOB-amoA and AOA-amoA abundances or their transcript abundances 227 using linear regressions. Normality and homoscedasticity of the residuals of all linear models were 228 tested with Shapiro-Wilk and Bartlett tests, respectively. Data were log-transformed, squaretransformed or boxcox-transformed in case of significant deviation from normality and homoscedasticity. For all tests, the null hypothesis was rejected for p < 0.05, and significance was represented as follows: *** p < 0.001; ** 0.001 < p < 0.01; * 0.01 < p < 0.05.

3. Results

233

234 *3.1. Soil characteristics*

The soil pH was affected by the vegetation cover and the season, but very weakly so (Table 1; see also all mean values in Table S2). The pH was slightly higher under the tree cover (TRE) (6.93 ± 0.05) than under the grass cover (GRA) (6.75 ± 0.06) and in bare soil (BS) $(6.70\pm0.03; p< 0.01)$, and similar under GRA and in BS. The pH was very slightly higher during the wet season (W) (6.86 ± 0.04) than during the dry season (D) (6.73 ± 0.04) .

The soil water content (SWC) was affected by the vegetation cover, the season and their interaction (Tables 1 and S2). The SWC was higher in BS-W ($20.56\pm0.52\%$) than in BS-D ($15.66\pm1.10\%$; p=0.025) and higher under GRA-W ($17.94\pm1.48\%$) than under GRA-D ($12.18\pm1.23\%$; p< 0.01). However, there was no difference between TRE-W ($17.26\pm0.84\%$) and TRE-D ($16.56\pm0.59\%$). The SWC was also higher in BS-W than under GRA-D (p< 0.001), and higher under TRE-W than under GRA-D (p< 0.05).

The soil N-NH₄⁺ concentration was affected by the vegetation cover (Tables 1 and S2) and the cover X season interaction. N-NH₄⁺ concentration was higher under TRE-W (9.69±0.69 mg kg⁻¹ dry soil) than under GRA-W (6.87±0.26 mg kg⁻¹ dry soil; p< 0.01) and in BS-W (5.10±0.33 mg kg⁻¹ soil; p< 0.001). N-NH₄⁺ concentration was also higher under TRE-D (7.68±0.36 mg kg⁻¹ soil) than in BS-W (p< 0.01), and higher under TRE-W than under GRA-D (p< 0.01) and in BS-D (6.59±0.29 mg kg⁻¹ soil; p< 0.001). The soil N-NO₃⁻ concentration was only affected by the season and was slightly lower during the wet season (14.34±1.12 mg kg⁻¹ soil) than during the dry season (14.70±0.70 mg kg⁻¹ soil).

The total soil N concentration was affected by the vegetation cover and the season (Table 1). The total soil N concentration was higher under TRE ($0.069\pm0.003\%$) than in BS ($0.050\pm0.003\%$; p=0.0023). The total soil N concentration was similar under GRA ($0.061\pm0.004\%$) and in BS on the one hand, and under GRA and TRE on the other hand. It was higher during the dry season ($0.064\pm0.003\%$) than during the wet season ($0.056\pm0.004\%$). The total soil C concentration was affected by the cover and was higher under GRA ($1.02\pm0.07\%$) and TRE ($1.02\pm0.04\%$) than in BS ($0.81\pm0.03\%$; p= 0.013). The total soil C concentration was similar under GRA and TRE.

The C:N ratio was impacted by the vegetation cover and the season (Table 1). The C:N ratio was higher under GRA (16.84 ± 0.36) than under TRE (14.76 ± 0.35). The C:N ratio was not significantly different in BS (16.30 ± 0.60) than under GRA and TRE. The C:N ratio was higher during the wet season (16.99 ± 0.35) than during the dry season (14.94 ± 0.30).

264 *3.2. Nitrifying enzyme activity*

Nitrifying enzyme activity (NEA) was affected by the vegetation cover type (Fig. 1, ANOVA results, p<0.001) and the cover X season interaction (p<0.01), but not by the sole effect of the season. NEA was 18 times higher under TRE (45.17 ± 10.67 ng N-(NO₃⁻ + NO₂⁻) h⁻¹ g⁻¹ dry soil) than under GRA (2.47 ± 1.60 ng N-(NO₃⁻ + NO₂⁻) h⁻¹ g⁻¹ dry soil; p< 0.001), and 4 times higher than in BS (12.38 ± 1.73 ng N-(NO₃⁻ + NO₂⁻) h⁻¹ g⁻¹ dry soil; p< 0.01). NEA was five times higher in BS than under GRA (p< 0.01). The cover X season interaction was significant: NEA was higher under TRE-D than under TRE-W, but not difference was found between GRA-D and GRA-W.

272 *3.3. Nitrifier abundances and gene expression levels*

273 Archaeal *amoA* (AOA-*amoA*) gene abundance was affected by the vegetation cover and the season, 274 without any interaction effect (Table 2 and Fig. 2; see also Table S3 for mean values). Archaeal amoA 275 (AOA-*amoA*) gene abundance was about 22 times higher under TRE $(1.98\pm0.44\times10^6 \text{ copies g}^{-1} \text{ dry})$ soil) than under GRA (8.99±4.04×10⁴ copies g⁻¹ dry soil; p< 0.001; Fig. 2A), and about 13 times 276 higher in BS (1.18±0.20×10⁶ copies g⁻¹ dry soil) than under GRA (p< 0.001). Archaeal amoA (AOA-277 278 amoA) gene abundance was similar under TRE and in BS. It was about 2 times higher during the wet season $(1.38\pm0.36\times10^6 \text{ copies g}^{-1} \text{ dry soil})$ than during the dry season $(7.87\pm2.18\times10^5 \text{ copies g}^{-1} \text{ dry})$ 279 280 soil; Fig. 2B). The abundance of AOA-amoA transcripts was affected by the vegetation cover and the 281 season (Fig. 2C; see also Table S2 for mean values). There was no difference between BS, GRA and TRE during the wet season. During the dry season, the abundance of AOA-amoA transcripts was 282

283 lower under GRA (2.10±1.05×10⁵ copies g⁻¹ dry soil) than in BS (3.34±1.04×10⁴ copies g⁻¹ dry soil; 284 p < 0.05).

285 Bacterial amoA gene (AOB-amoA) abundance was affected by the vegetation cover, the season and 286 their interaction (Table 2 and Fig. 3; see also Table S3 for mean values). Bacterial amoA gene was similar in BS-D ($6.31\pm2.85\times10^5$ copies g⁻¹ soil) and BS-W ($3.26\pm1.42\times10^5$ copies g⁻¹ dry soil). It was 287 288 7 times higher under GRA-W ($1.26\pm0.41\times10^6$ copies g⁻¹ dry soil) than under GRA-D ($1.62\pm0.43\times10^5$ copies g^{-1} dry soil; p< 0.01), and 5 times higher under TRE-W (1.72±0.17×10⁶ copies g^{-1} dry soil) than 289 under TRE-D ($3.44\pm0.37\times10^5$ copies g⁻¹ dry soil; p< 0.01). Bacterial *amoA* gene was higher under 290 291 GRA-W (p < 0.05) and TRE-W (p < 0.01) than in BS-W. The abundance of AOB-*amoA* transcripts was 292 below the detection limit (ca. 10^3 copies g⁻¹ dry soil) in all but 2 samples – a grass tussock during the 293 wet season (8.5×10^3 copies g⁻¹ dry soil) and a bare soil sample during the wet season (3.6×10^4 copies 294 g⁻¹ dry soil).

295 The AOA:(AOA+AOB) abundance ratio (Table 2; see also Table S3 for mean values) was only 296 affected by the vegetation cover (p< 0.001). AOA:(AOA+AOB) abundance ratio was at least 4-fold 297 higher under TRE (0.64±0.05; p< 0.001) and in BS (0.73±0.05; p< 0.001) than under GRA 298 (0.16±0.06), and no difference was observed between TRE and BS. The AOA-amoA:total archaeal 299 abundance ratio (Table 2; see also Table S3 for mean values) was affected by the vegetation cover (p< 300 0.001) and the season (p<0.01). AOA:(AOA+AOB) abundance ratio was higher in BS (6.21±1.25×10⁻ ⁴; p< 0.01) and under TRE (7.12 \pm 1.34 \times 10⁻⁴; p< 0.001) than under GRA (2.95 \pm 1.23 \times 10⁻⁵). 301 302 AOA:(AOA+AOB) abundance ratio was higher during the wet season $(6.25\pm1.37\times10^{-4})$ than during 303 the dry season (2.83±0.68×10⁻⁴). The AOA-amoA:total archaeal transcript ratio (Table S3) was 304 unaffected by the cover and the season. Finally, the AOB-amoA:total bacterial abundance ratio (Table 305 2; see also Table S3 for mean values) was only affected by the season (p < 0.01) and was higher during the wet season $(6.66\pm1.28\times10^{-4})$ than during the dry season $(2.50\pm0.73\times10^{-4})$. 306

307 *3.4. Links between NEA and nitrifier abundances and amoA expression levels*

Regressions between NEA and nitrifier abundances and transcript abundances were performed
 separately for trees, grasses and bare soils. NEA increased with AOA-*amoA* abundance under trees

(NEA= $1.576 \times 10^{-8} \times AOA$ -*amoA*; p<0.05, R² = 0.35; Fig. 4A), but no relationship was observed under 310 311 grasses and in bare soil. A significant relationship was also observed between NEA and AOA-amoA abundance when considering all vegetation types (NEA= $1.662 \times 10^{-8} \times AOA$ -amoA; p<0.001, R² = 312 0.42). NEA also significantly increased with AOA-amoA abundance during the wet season (all 313 vegetation covers taken together, NEA= $0.017194 \times AOA$ -amoA; p<0.05, R² = 0.36; Figure not 314 315 shown) but did not increase during the dry season. In the same vein, NEA significantly increased with 316 the abundance of AOA-amoA transcripts during the dry season (all vegetation covers taken together, 317 NEA= $0.004092 \times AOA$ -amoA; p<0.05, R² = 0.45; Fig. 4C). No significant relationship was observed 318 between NEA and the abundance of AOA-amoA transcripts during the wet season (Figure not shown).

In contrast, no significant relationship was observed between NEA and AOB-*amoA* abundance for each vegetation cover type taken separately. However, NEA significantly increased with the abundance of AOB-*amoA* (NEA= $2.604 \times 10^{-8} \times AOB$ -*amoA*, p<0.01, R² = 0.36; Fig. 4B) when considering the whole dataset.

In addition to these results, the impact of the vegetation cover, the season and their interaction on the abundances and transcripts of total bacteria, archaea and fungi, and some regressions between NEA and the soil physico-chemical characteristics are described in Tables S4-1 & S4-2, Fig. S4-1 and Fig. S5-1, respectively.

4. Discussion

328 4.1. Influence of the vegetation cover and the season on nitrifiers

329 Nitrification enzyme activity (NEA) was overall 5 times lower under grasses than in bare soil. This 330 confirms that H. diplandra inhibits nitrification, as already demonstrated in Lata et al. (2000). 331 Together with the results from the other three other dominant tussock grasses of Lamto savanna 332 (Srikanthasamy et al., 2018) - all associated to low nitrification rates -, our results confirm that the 333 dominant grasses of this savanna have biological nitrification inhibition (BNI) capacity. However, we 334 show for the first time that the abundance of archaeal nitrifiers was 13 times lower under grasses than 335 in bare soil, and that the AOA-amoA:total archaeal abundance ratio was also significantly lower under 336 grasses than in bare soil. This demonstrates that BNI induced by grasses decreases the competitive 337 ability of archaeal ammonia oxidisers comparatively to other archaea, as already suggested by 338 Subbarao et al. (2009) or Sarr et al. (2020). In addition, the abundance of AOA-amoA transcripts 339 decreased strongly under grasses during the wet season, potentially due to more efficient BNI induced 340 by more active grass roots during the wet season. Nuñez et al. (2018) reported that the effect of BNI 341 on nitrification increases when plants are growing, and root exudate emissions increase. All these 342 results support the view that grass BNI impact the AMO nitrifying enzyme pathway of AOA-amoA in 343 the Lamto savanna. The mechanism underlying nitrification inhibition is not entirely clear in the 344 current literature (Subbarao et al., 2015; Nuñez et al., 2018). This mechanism might be based on the 345 inactivation of nitrification enzymes, down-regulation of the expression of nitrification genes, or a 346 combination of both.

347 AOA-amoA abundance and transcripts, AOB-amoA abundance, and total fungal, bacterial and 348 archaeal abundance (see Table S4-1 & S4-2) depend on complex interactions between the season and 349 the vegetation cover. Seasonality is indeed often of importance when considering soil microbial 350 abundance and transcriptional activity (Kennedy et al., 2005; Voříšková et al., 2014). On the one hand, 351 we observed overall higher microbial (total as well as/and nitrifier) abundances during the wet season 352 that provided better conditions for soil microorganisms (Thion & Prosser, 2014; de Castro et al., 353 2016). However, this seasonal difference was mainly true only for grass and tree soils, not for bare 354 soil. This confirms that microorganisms depend as much on soil humidity as on plant activities (e.g., 355 root exudates) that increase during the wet season (Haichar et al., 2008; Berendsen et al., 2012). On 356 the other hand, the fact that the abundance of AOA-amoA transcripts decreased during the wet season 357 under grasses in our study suggests that BNI decreased the transcription of AOA-amoA. In contrast, 358 we observed higher AOB-*amoA* abundances under grasses than in bare soil during the wet season, vs. 359 similar ones under grasses and trees. This suggests that BNI induced by grasses is very efficient in 360 inhibiting AOA-amoA nitrification. New experiments would be required to test the capacity of Lamto 361 grasses to inhibit AOB-amoA nitrification in other soils. This is novel for in situ measurements in 362 natural ecosystems. So far, only experimental results on crop lines or pure cultures in controlled 363 conditions have recently documented archaeal nitrifier sensitivity to BNI (Nuñez et al., 2018; Sarr et 364 al., 2020; Kaur-Bhambra et al., 2021). Our results show for the first time that BNI can efficiently

inhibit nitrification by AOA-*amoA* in the field. The causes of this difference in sensitivity can be
multiple (e.g., soil and overall abiotic characteristics, niche partitioning, biochemical, cellular or
genetic differences, differences in microbial community structure (Kaur-Bhambra et al., 2021)), and
they still remain to be tested.

369 NEA was overall 4 times higher under the tree canopy than in bare soil. This confirms that 370 nitrification is stimulated under trees, in line with Mordelet et al. (1993) and Srikanthasamy et al. 371 (2018). Two non-exclusive mechanisms could explain this stimulation. First, the total C and total N 372 concentrations and ammonification are globally higher under trees in these savannas (Mordelet et al., 373 1997; Srikanthasamy et al., 2018; this study – see Table S2). This would stimulate the whole microbial 374 community, increase ammonium availability for nitrifiers and in turn increase their abundance and 375 stimulate their activity, and was confirmed by a significant positive regression between NEA and N-376 NH₄⁺ (see supplementary data S5-1A). Moreover, since differences in total N and C contents between 377 grasses and trees are usually low due to the overall poor soil fertility (this difference was not 378 significant in this study (data not shown) – see Table S2), differences in the quality of organic matter 379 might play a role in the stimulation of nitrification by trees, as suggested by the lower soil C:N ratio 380 under trees than under grasses (Table S2 or the significant positive regression between NEA and pH 381 (see supplementary data S5-1B). Similarly, changes in the soil moisture content could be favourable to 382 microbial activities and nitrifiers under the tree canopy, especially in the dry season (soil humidity was 383 higher under TRE-D than under GRA-D, and no difference was found between TRE-D and TRE-W, 384 see Table S2.

It cannot be ruled out that Lamto savanna trees specifically stimulate nitrifiers through particular leaf leachates or root exudates. Zhang et al. (2016) documented such a process for *Picea asperata* (a coniferous tree) and Bardon et al. (2018) did so for *Pteridum aquilinum* (a fern) in the temperate zone. However, the ratios of AOA-*amoA*/total archaea and AOB-*amoA*/total bacteria were not higher under trees than in bare soil away from any tree. This supports the importance of the first mechanism, i.e., a general improvement of microbial growth conditions – in particular due to a higher organic matter content – rather than specific stimulation of nitrifiers. Finally, as previously stated (Srikanthasamy et al., 2018), the proportions of AOA-*amoA*(AOB-*amoA*) within the whole bacterial(archaeal)
communities were moderately lower than what was expected for sandy soils. This probably reflects
the impact of savanna climate and/or low N availability in the soils.

395 Based on our results, dominant trees in Lamto savanna stimulate nitrification whereas grasses 396 inhibit it as compared to bare soil. This induces a spatially heterogeneous N cycle, which likely has 397 important consequences for the savanna N budget and its overall functioning (Boudsocq et al., 2009; 398 Konaré et al., 2019, 2021). BNI would decrease nitrate availability and subsequent N losses through 399 denitrification and leaching in patches dominated by grasses, whereas the stimulation of nitrification 400 under the tree cover should have the opposite effect. This also suggests that trees and grasses have 401 evolved contrasted preferences for nitrate and ammonium, i.e., trees take up more nitrate that 402 ammonium whereas grasses take up more ammonium than nitrate. This should be tested in the future, *e.g.*, under controlled conditions and using ¹⁵N labelling. 403

404

405 4.2. Relative contribution of archaeal and bacterial nitrifiers to nitrification

406 As in many ecosystems (Di et al., 2010; Hirsch and Mauchline, 2012; Vasileiadis et al., 2012; 407 Assemien et al., 2017; Xiang et al., 2017), AOA-amoA were on average much more abundant (2 408 times) than AOB-amoA in Lamto savanna soils. This does not necessarily mean that AOA-amoA are 409 more influential than AOB-amoA for nitrification. However, our results strongly support the 410 hypothesis of Srikanthasamy et al. (2018) that archaea are involved in nitrification in Lamto savanna: 411 (i) bacterial nitrifiers were rather abundant, but the abundance of AOB-amoA transcripts was below 412 the detection limit in most soil samples; (ii) the abundance of AOB-amoA genes was equally high 413 under trees (with high nitrification) and grasses (with low nitrification), whereas the abundance of 414 AOA-amoA genes was much higher under trees than under grasses. This means that the higher NEA 415 under the tree cover can be explained by AOA-amoA abundance, not by AOB-amoA abundance; (iii) 416 NEA increased with AOA-amoA abundance under trees when considering all vegetation types across 417 the seasons and all vegetation types during the wet season, and with AOA-amoA transcripts during the 418 dry season. Our results suggest that C. febrifuga can stimulate archaeal nitrifiers probably through a 419 general improvement of microbial growth conditions (see above), whereas AOB-*amoA* remains 420 functionally less important for nitrification in these soils. However, a role of AOB-*amoA* under trees 421 cannot be completely excluded because we evidenced a relationship between NEA and AOB-*amoA* 422 abundance when considering all vegetation types across the seasons, and a previous sampling 423 campaign mixing four different tree species had shown the same result (Srikanthasamy et al., 2018).

424 Why there was no significant relationship between the abundance of AOA-amoA transcripts and 425 nitrification (for the different vegetation covers) remains difficult to explain; so does, in the same vein, 426 the abundance of AOA-amoA transcripts that was not much higher under trees than under grasses to 427 parallel the much higher nitrification rate under trees. A first explanation is that transcription was not 428 the last mechanistic step between the presence of AOA-amoA and the nitrification flux. Different 429 mechanisms linked to the translation of transcripts into enzymes and numerous factors influencing 430 enzyme activities (e.g., enzyme persistence and turnover, sorption/deactivation rates, substrate 431 availability, soil organic matter/clay mineral types, soil moisture and temperature, adhesive 432 characteristics of biofilms...) could lead to a non-uniform relationship between transcript abundance 433 and the nitrification flux (Burns et al., 2013). In particular, a meta-analysis including the nitrification 434 process showed how difficult it is to correlate functional genes, transcript abundance and process rates 435 (Rocca et al. 2015). Moreover, nitrification significantly increased with the abundance of AOA-amoA 436 transcripts during the dry season, all vegetation covers taken together. This confirms the role played in 437 nitrification by AOA-amoA in Lamto savanna. It remains difficult to fully understand why this 438 relationship was not significant in the wet season. A possible explanation is that the relationship 439 between nitrification and the abundance of AOA-amoA transcripts depends on the season X vegetation 440 cover interaction, so that we did not have enough replicates for each of these combinations to 441 disentangle these complex relationships.

In the literature, significant AOA-*amoA* activities have been described in ammonium-poor or acidic temperate soils (Nicol et al., 2008; Prosser and Nicol, 2012). Lamto savanna soils are also slightly acidic and are characterised by very low ammonium availability (Abbadie and Lata, 2006). This could explain the functional importance of AOA-*amoA* for nitrification. Very few studies have documented the relative influence of archaeal and bacterial ammonia oxidisers on nitrification in savannas. In 447 Brazilian savanna (Cerrado) soils, nitrification and AOA-amoA and AOB-amoA abundances have 448 been found generally low (Catão et al., 2017, 2016). AOA-amoA predominated, and AOA-amoA 449 transcripts were detected but AOB-amoA transcripts were not. However, nitrification was apparently 450 not constrained by ammonium availability, a low pH or biological inhibitors in that savanna (Catão et 451 al., 2017). In African savannas, studies have reported AOA-amoA as the main drivers of nitrification 452 rather than AOB-amoA (Assémien et al., 2017; Rughöft et al., 2016). To confirm the preponderant 453 influence of archaeal ammonia oxidisers on nitrification in Lamto soils and in other savannas, future 454 studies could use a specific inhibitor of bacterial nitrifying enzymes (1-octyne, Taylor et al., 2013), 455 and acetylene that inhibits both the AOA-amoA and AOB-amoA nitrification enzymatic pathways 456 (Hink et al., 2017). As AOA-amoA tend to prefer low NH4⁺ concentrations while AOB-amoA tend to 457 prefer high NH4⁺ concentrations, it would also be useful to link AOA-amoA and AOB-amoA 458 transcripts and abundances to a gradient of NH4⁺ concentrations in incubation or microcosm 459 experiments. Furthermore, the persistence of AOB-amoA at relatively high abundances in Lamto 460 savanna soils associated with low levels of AOB-amoA transcripts suggests that these AOB-amoA 461 could be in a dormancy state. Another hypothesis is that the dominant AOB-amoA populations in 462 these soils could grow as mixotrophs using soil organic matter rather than only oxidising ammonium 463 into nitrite as their source of energy. Such mixotrophic growth of bacterial nitrifiers has been reported, 464 e.g., by Kouki et al. (2011). Any experiment testing this hypothesis on savanna soils should also 465 ensure that the mixotrophic capacity of AOA-*amoA* is tested (Alves et al., 2018).

466 **5.** Conclusions

The abundances of archaeal and bacterial ammonia oxidisers increase during the wet season, while the transcriptional activities of the AOA-*amoA* gene decrease (the abundance of AOB-*amoA* transcripts remained below the detection limit). This type of discrepancy calls for more studies in savanna soils to better link microbial abundances and activities and decipher the functional and ecological implications of microbial dormancy phenomena (Jones and Lennon, 2010) in these constrained environments. 473 Our results also strongly suggest that archaeal ammonia oxidisers are mostly responsible for 474 nitrification in these West African savanna soils and that grasses can inhibit archaeal nitrification 475 through decreased amoA expression, whereas trees stimulate it by improving growth conditions 476 (higher organic matter and N contents) that benefit all microorganisms including nitrifiers. The 477 absence of a positive correlation between NEA and the abundance of ammonia oxidisers under grasses 478 and in bare soil suggests that nitrification is, at least at some periods, an optional process for nitrifiers 479 (bacteria and archaea). This was suggested by Qin et al. (2014) and should be further tested in 480 experiments in controlled conditions. Published results on nitrification in tropical savannas are 481 currently scarce. The generality of the present results should be tested in other savannas. In particular, 482 the frequency of BNI capacity among perennial grass species and the relative influence of archaea and 483 bacteria on nitrification should be assessed across African savannas and in savannas of other 484 continents.

485

486 Acknowledgements

487 We would like to thank Pr Mireille Dosso, the Director of the Pasteur Institute of Abidjan (Ivory 488 Coast), for allowing us to store our soil samples at her institute. Special thanks to Dr Marcelle Ettien 489 and her team for the management of samples, and to Dr Coulibaly Kalpy Julien for his welcome at the 490 Pasteur Institute. We thank Yéo Kolo, the Director of the Lamto station, for allowing us to work in 491 Lamto. We are grateful to Marcel Konan and Ignace Kouassi Kouadio for their help during soil 492 sampling. We thank the Geophysical Station of Lamto for providing the meteorological data. We 493 thank Hélène Agogué for helping us design the RNA extraction protocol. This research work was 494 funded by the French national program EC2CO-MicrobiEn 2014 (project: Impact of grass and tree 495 diversity on microbial diversity and soil functioning), the Sorbonne University Emergence program 496 2016 (project: SU-16-R-EMR-37-WASCINNI), the French Agronomic Research Institute INRAE 497 (EFPA Department) and the GainGrass Project (ANR-19-CE02-0009) funded by the French National 498 Research Agency (ANR). The authors finally thank Annie Buchwalter for English language editing.

499 References

- Abbadie, L., Gignoux, J., Le Roux, X., Lepage, M., 2006. Lamto Structure, functioning and dynamics
 of a savanna ecosystem, Ecological Studies, Springer, New York, 398 pp.
- Abbadie, L., Lata, J.C., 2006. Nitrogen dynamics in the soil-plant system, in: Abbadie, L., Gignoux,
 J., Le Roux, X., Lepage, M. Springer (Ed.), Lamto Structure Functioning and Dynamics of a
- 504
 Savanna Ecosystem. Springer, New York, pp. 278–297. doi:10.1016/j.eja.2007.05.003
- Alves, R.J.E., Minh, B.Q., Urich, T., von Haeseler, A. and Schleper, C., 2018. Unifying the global
 phylogeny and environmental distribution of ammonia-oxidising archaea based on amoA genes.
 Nature Communications 9, 17
- Assémien, F.L., Pommier, T., Gonnety, J.T., Gervaix, J., Le Roux, X., 2017. Adaptation of soil
 nitrifiers to very low nitrogen level jeopardizes the efficiency of chemical fertilization in west
 african moist savannas. Scientific Reports 1–14. doi:10.1038/s41598-017-10185-5

511 Attard, E., Recous, S., Chabbi, A., De Berranger, C., Guillaumaud, N., Labreuche, J., Philippot, L.,

- Schmid, B., Le Roux, X., 2011. Soil environmental conditions rather than denitrifier abundance
 and diversity drive potential denitrification after changes in land uses. Global Change Biology
 17, 1975–1989. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2010.02340.x
- Bardon, C., Misery, B., Piola, F., Poly, F., Le Roux, X., 2018. Control of soil N cycle processes by *Pteridium aquilinum* and *Erica cinerea* in heathlands along a pH gradient. Ecosphere 9.
 doi:10.1002/ecs2.2426
- 518 Bello, M.O., Thion, C., Gubry-Rangin, C., Prosser, J.I., 2018. Differential sensitivity of ammonia
 519 oxidising archaea and bacteria to matric and osmotic potential. Soil Biology & Biochemistry
 520 129, 184-190. doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2018.11.017
- 521 Berendsen, R.L., Pieterse, C.M.J., Bakker, P.A.H.M., 2012. The rhizosphere microbiome and plant
 522 health. Trends in Plant Science, Volume 17, Issue 8. doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2012.04.001.
- Boudsocq, S., Lata, J.C., Mathieu, J., Abbadie, L., Barot, S., 2009. Modelling approach to analyse the
 effects of nitrification inhibition on primary production. Functional Ecology 23, 220–230.
 doi:10.1111/j.1365-2435.2008.01476.x
- Burns, R.G., DeForest, J.L., Marxsen, J., Sinsabaugh, R.L., Stromberger, M.E., Wallenstein, M.D.,
 Weintraub, M.N., Zoppini, A., 2013. Soil enzymes in a changing environment: Current
 knowledge and future directions. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 58, 2013 : 216-234.
 doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2012.11.009.
- Byrnes, R. C., J. Nùñez, L. Arenas, I. Rao, C. Trujillo, C. Alvarez, J. Arango, F. Rasche, and N.
 Chirinda. 2017. Biological nitrification inhibition by *Brachiaria* grasses mitigates soil nitrous
 oxide emissions from bovine urine patches. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 107:156-163.
- 533 Cao, H., Li, M., Hong, Y., Gu, J.D., 2011. Diversity and abundance of ammonia-oxidizing archaea
- and bacteria in polluted mangrove sediment. Systematic and Applied Microbiology 34, 513–523.

- 535 doi:10.1016/j.syapm.2010.11.023
- 536 Catão, E.C., Thion, C., Krüger, R., Prosser, J.I., 2017. Ammonia oxidisers in a non-nitrifying Brazilian
 537 savanna soil ammonia oxidisers in a non-nitrifying Brazilian. FEMS Microbiology Ecology 93,
 538 1–8. doi:10.1093/femsec/fix122
- Catão, E.C.P., Lopes, F.A.C., Rubini, M.R., Nardoto, G.B., Prosser, J.I., Krüger, R.H., 2016. Shortterm impact of soybean management on ammonia oxidizers in a Brazilian savanna under
 restoration as revealed by coupling different techniques. Biology and Fertility of Soils 52, 401–
 412. doi:10.1007/s00374-015-1086-0
- Coskun, D., Britto, D.T., Shi, W., Kronzucker, H.J., 2017. How plant root exudates shape the nitrogen
 cycle. Trends in Plant Science 22, 661–673. doi:10.1016/j.tplants.2017.05.004
- 545 Dassonville, N., Guillaumaud, N., Piola, F., Meerts, P., Poly, F., 2011. Niche construction by the
 546 invasive Asian knotweeds (species complex Fallopia): impact on activity, abundance and
 547 community structure of denitrifiers and nitrifiers. Biological Invasions 13, 1115–1133.
- De Castro A.P., Sartori da Silva M.R., Quirino B.F., da Cunha Bustamante, M.M., Krüger R.H.,2016.
 Microbial Diversity in Cerrado Biome (Neotropical Savanna) Soils. PLoS One. 2016 Feb
 5;11(2):e0148785. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0148785. PMID: 26849674; PMCID:
 PMC4743975.
- Di, H.J., Cameron, K.C., Shen, J.P., Winefield, C.S., O'Callaghan, M., Bowatte, S., He, J.Z., 2010.
 Ammonia-oxidizing bacteria and archaea grow under contrasting soil nitrogen conditions. FEMS
 Microbiology Ecology 72, 386–394. doi:10.1111/j.1574-6941.2010.00861.x
- Fierer, N., Schimel, J.P., Holden, P.A., 2003. Influence of drying rewetting frequency on soil
 bacterial community structure. Microbial Ecology 45, 63–71. doi:10.1007/s00248-002-1007-2
- Fierer, N. Embracing the unknown: disentangling the complexities of the soil microbiome. Nat Rev
 Microbiol 15, 579–590 (2017) doi:10.1038/nrmicro.2017.87
- Gubry-Rangin, C., Novotnik, B., Mandi, I., Nicol, G.W., Prosser, J.I., 2017. Temperature responses of
 soil ammonia-oxidising archaea depend on pH. Soil Biology & Biochemistry 106, 61–68.
 doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2016.12.007
- Haichar, F., Marol, C., Berge, O., Rangel-Castro, J.I., Prosser, J.I., Balesdent, J., Heulin, T., Achouak,
 W., 2008. Plant host habitat and root exudates shape soil bacterial community structure. ISME J
 2, 1221–1230. doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2008.80
- Hatzenpichler, R., 2012. Diversity, physiology, and niche differentiation of ammonia-oxidizing
 archaea. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 78, 7501–7510. doi:10.1128/AEM.01960-12
- He, J.-Z., Shen, J.-P., Zhang, L.-M., Zhu, Y.-G., Zheng, Y.M., Xu, M.-G., Di, H., 2007. Quantitative
 analyses of the abundance and composition of ammonia-oxidizing bacteria and ammoniaoxidizing archaea of a Chinese upland red soil under long-term fertilization practices.
 Environmental Microbiology 9, 2364–2374. doi:10.1111/j.1462-2920.2007.01358.x
- 571 Hink, L., Nicol, G.W., Prosser, J.I., 2017. Archaea produce lower yields of N₂O than bacteria during

- aerobic ammonia oxidation in soil. Environmental Microbiology 19, 4829–4837.
 doi:10.1111/1462-2920.13282
- 574 Hirsch, P.R., Mauchline, T.H., 2012. Who's who in the plant root microbiome? Nature Biotechnology
 575 30, 961–962. doi:10.1038/nbt.2387
- Jones, S.E., Lennon, J.T., 2010. Dormancy contributes to the maintenance of microbial diversity.
 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 107, 5881–5886.
- **578** doi:10.1073/pnas.0912765107
- Kaisermann, A., Roguet, A., Nunan, N., Maron, P.-A., Ostle, N., Lata, J.-C., 2013. Agricultural
 management affects the response of soil bacterial community structure and respiration to waterstress. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 66, 69–77. doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2013.07.001
- 582 Kaur-Bhambra, J., Wardak, D.L.R., Prosser, J.I., Gubry-Rangin, C., 2021. Revisiting plant biological
 583 nitrification inhibition efficiency using multiple archaeal and bacterial ammonia-oxidising
 584 cultures. Biol Fertil Soils. doi: 10.1007/s00374-020-01533-1
- 585 Ke, X., Lu, W., Conrad, R., Conrad, R., 2015. High oxygen concentration increases the abundance and
 586 activity of bacterial rather than archaeal nitrifiers in rice field soil. Microbial Ecology 70, 961–
 587 970. doi:10.1007/s00248-015-0633-4
- Kennedy, N. M., D. E. Gleeson, J. Connolly, and N. J. W. Clipson., 2005. Seasonal and management
 influences on bacterial community structure in an upland grassland soil. FEMS Microbiology
 Ecology 53:329-337.
- Konaré, S., Boudsocq, S., Gignoux, J., Lata, J.-C., Raynaud, X., Barot, S., 2019. Effects of mineral
 nitrogen partitioning on tree–grass coexistence in West African savannas. Ecosystems.
 doi:10.1007/s10021-019-00365-x
- Konaré, S., Boudsocq, S., Gignoux, J., Lata, J.-C., Raynaud, X., Barot, S., 2021. Spatial heterogeneity
 in nitrification and soil exploration by trees favour source–sink dynamics in a humid savanna: A
 modelling approach. Functioning Ecology, https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.13762
- Kouki, S., Saidi, N., M'hiri, F., Nasr, H., Cherif, H., Ouzari, H., Hassen, A., 2011. Isolation and
 characterization of facultative mixotrophic ammonia-oxidizing bacteria from constructed
 wetlands. Journal of Environmental Sciences 23, 1699–1708. doi:10.1016/S10010742(10)60596-7
- Lata, J., Abbadie, L., Guillaume, K., Lensi, R., 2000. Relationships between root density of the
 African grass *Hyparrhenia diplandra* and nitrification at the decimetric scale: an inhibition –
 stimulation balance hypothesis. Proceedings of The Royal Society 267, 595–600.
- Lata, J.C., 1999. Interactions between Microbial Processes, Nutrient Cycle and Grass Cover
 Functioning: Study of Soil Nitrification Under the Gramineae *Hyparrhenia diplandra* in a Wet
 Tropical Savanna of Ivory Coast. Université of Paris VI, Paris (PhD Thesis).
- Lata, J.C., Degrange, V., Raynaud, X., Maron, P.A., Lensi, R., Abbadie, L., 2004. Grass populations
 control nitrification in savanna soils. Functional Ecology 18, 605–611.

- Lata, J.C., Durand, J., Lensi, R., Abbadie, L., 1999. Stable coexistence of contrasted nitrification
 statuses in a wet tropical savanna ecosystem. Functional Ecology 13, 762–768.
 doi:10.1046/j.1365-2435.1999.00380.x
- Le Roux, X., Poly, F., Currey, P., Commeaux, C., Hai, B., Nicol, G.W., Prosser, J.I., Schloter, M.,
 Attard, E., Klumpp, K., 2008. Effects of aboveground grazing on coupling among nitrifier
 activity, abundance and community structure. The ISME Journal 2, 221–32.
 doi:10.1038/ismej.2007.109
- Le Roux, X., Schmid, B., Poly, F., Barnard, R.L., Niklaus, P.A., Guillaumaud, N., Habekost, M.,
 Oelmann, Y., Philippot, L., Salles, J.F., Schloter, M., Steinbeiss, S., Weigelt, A., 2013. Soil
 environmental conditions and microbial build-up mediate the effect of plant diversity on soil
 nitrifying and denitrifying enzyme activities in temperate grasslands. PLoS ONE 8, e61069.
 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0061069. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0061069
- Lu, Y., X. Zhang, J. Jiang, H. J. Kronzucker, W. Shen, and W. Shi. 2019. Effects of the biological
 nitrification inhibitor 1,9-decanediol on nitrification and ammonia oxidizers in three agricultural
 soils. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 129:48-59.
- Ma, W., Jiang, S., Assemien, F., Qin, M., Ma, B., Xie, Z., Liu, Y., Feng, H., Du, G., Ma, X., Le Roux
 X. 2016. Response of the abundance of microbial functional groups involved in N cycling to N,
 P and NP fertilization in Tibetan alpine meadows. Soil Biology Biochemistry 101: 195-206.
- Mordelet, P., Abbadie, L., Menaut, J.C., 1993. Effects of tree clumps on soil characteristics in a humid
 savanna of West Africa (Lamto, Côte d'Ivoire). Plant and Soil 153, 103–111.
 doi:10.1007/BF00010549
- Mordelet, P., Menaut, J.-C., Mariotti, A., 1997. Tree and grass rooting patterns in an African humid
 savanna. Journal of Vegetation Science 8, 65–70. doi:10.2307/3237243
- Nicol, G.W., Leininger, S., Schleper, C., Prosser, J.I., 2008. The influence of soil pH on the diversity,
 abundance and transcriptional activity of ammonia oxidizing archaea and bacteria.
 Environmental Microbiology 10, 2966–2978. doi:10.1111/j.1462-2920.2008.01701.x
- Nuñez, J., Arevalo, A., Karwat, H., Egenolf, K., Miles, J., Chirinda, N., Cadisch, G., Rasche, F., Rao,
 I., Subbarao, G., Arango, J., 2018. Biological nitrification inhibition activity in a soil-grown
 biparental population of the forage grass, *Brachiaria humidicola*. Plant and Soil 426, 401-411.
- Prosser, J.I., Nicol, G.W., 2012. Archaeal and bacterial ammonia-oxidisers in soil: The quest for niche
 specialisation and differentiation. Trends in Microbiology 20, 523-531.
- 640 doi:10.1016/j.tim.2012.08.001
- Qin, W., Amin, S.A., Martens-Habbena, W., Walker, C.B., Urakawa, H., Devol, A.H., Ingalls, A.E.,
 Moffett, J.W., Armbrust, E. V., Stahl, D.A., 2014. Marine ammonia-oxidizing archaeal isolates
 display obligate mixotrophy and wide ecotypic variation. Proceedings of the National Academy
 of Sciences 111, 12504–12509. doi:10.1073/pnas.1324115111
- 645 Rocca, J.D., Hall, E.K., Lennon, J.T., Evans, S.E., Waldrop, M.P., Cotner, J.B., Nemergut, D.R.,

- Graham, E.B., Wallenstein, M.D., 2015. Relationships between protein-encoding gene
 abundance and corresponding process are commonly assumed yet rarely observed. ISME J 9,
 1693–1699. doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2014.252
- Rotthauwe, J.H., Witzel, K.P., Liesack, W., 1997. The ammonia monooxygenase structural gene amoa
 as a functional marker: Molecular fine-scale analysis of natural ammonia-oxidizing populations.
 Applied and Environmental Microbiology 63, 4704–4712. doi:10.1128/AEM.NA
- Applied and Environmental Microbiology 03, 4704-4712. doi:10.1128/AEM.NA
- Rughöft, S., Herrmann, M., Lazar, C.S., Cesarz, S., Levick, S.R., Trumbore, S.E., Küsel, K., 2016.
 Community composition and abundance of bacterial, archaeal and nitrifying populations in
 savanna soils on contrasting bedrock material in Kruger National Park, South Africa. Frontiers in
 Microbiology 7, 1–16. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2016.01638
- Rutten, G., Prati, D., Hemp, A., Fischer, M., 2016. Plant-soil feedback in East-African savanna trees.
 Ecology 97, 294–301. doi:10.1007/s13398-014-0173-7.2
- Sarr, P.S., Ando, Y., Nakamura, S., Deshpande, S., Subbarao,G.V., 2020. Sorgoleone release from
 sorghum roots shapes the composition of nitrifying populations, total bacteria, and archaea and
 determines the level of nitrification. Biology and Fertility of Soils 56:145–166.
 doi.org/10.1007/s00374-019-01405-3
- Simonin, M., Le Roux, X., Poly, F., Lerondelle, C., Hungate, B.A., Nunan, N., Niboyet, A., 2015.
 Coupling between and among ammonia oxidizers and nitrite oxidizers in grassland mesocosms
 submitted to elevated CO₂ and nitrogen supply. Microbial Ecology 70, 809–818.
 doi:10.1007/s00248-015-0604-9
- 666 Srikanthasamy, T., Leloup, J., Brigitte, Aya, N., Barot, S., Gervaix, J., Koné, A.W., Fulgence, K., Le, 667 X., Raynaud, X., Lata, J., 2018. Contrasting effects of grasses and trees on microbial N-cycling 668 in an African humid savanna. Soil Biology & Biochemistry 117. 153-163.
- 669 doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2017.11.016
- 670 Sterngren, A.E., Hallin,S., Bengtson, P., 2015. Archaeal ammonia oxidizers dominate in numbers, but
 671 bacteria drive gross nitrification in N-amended grassland soil. Frontiers in Microbiology. 6:1350.
 672 doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2015.01350 Both
- Stres, B., Danevčič, T., Pal, L., Fuka, M.M., Resman, L., Leskovec, S., Hacin, J., Stopar, D., Mahne,
 I., Mandic-Mulec, I., 2008. Influence of temperature and soil water content on bacterial, archaeal
 and denitrifying microbial communities in drained fen grassland soil microcosms. Fems
 Microbiology Ecology 66, 110–122. doi:10.1111/j.1574-6941.2008.00555.x
- Subbarao, G.V., Nakahara, K., Hurtado, M.P., Ono, H., Moreta, D.E., Salcedo, A.F., Yoshihashi, A.T.,
 Ishikawa, T., Ishitani, M., Ohnishi-Kameyama, M., Yoshida, M., Rondon, M., Rao, I.M.,
 Lascano, C.E., Berry, W.L., Ito, O., 2009. Evidence for biological nitrification inhibition in *Brachiaria* pastures. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 106, 17302–17307.
- 681 doi:10.1073/pnas.0903694106
- 682 Subbarao, G.V., Yoshihashi, T., Worthington, M., Nakahara, K., Ando, Y., Sahrawat, K.L., Rao, I.M.,

- Lata, J.C., Kishii, M., Braun, H.J., 2015. Suppression of soil nitrification by plants. Plant Science
 233, 155–164. doi:10.1016/j.plantsci.2015.01.012
- Subbarao, G. V., Sahrawat, K.L., Nakahara, K., Ishikawa, T., Kishii, M., Rao, I.M., Hash, C.T.,
 George, T.S., Srinivasa Rao, P., Nardi, P., Bonnett, D., Berry, W., Suenaga, K., Lata, J.C., 2012.
 Biological nitrification inhibition- A novel strategy to regulate nitrification in agricultural
- 688 systems. Advances in Agronomy 114, 249–302. doi:10.1016/B978-0-12-394275-3.00001-8
- 689 Szukics, U., Abell, G.C.J., Hödl, V., Mitter, B., Sessitsch, A., Hackl, E., Zechmeister-Boltenstern, S.,
- Hödl, V., Mitter, B., Sessitsch, A., Hackl, E., Zechmeister-Boltenstern, S., 2010. Nitrifiers and
 denitrifiers respond rapidly to changed moisture and increasing temperature in a pristine forest
 soil. FEMS Microbiology Ecology 72, 395–406. doi:10.1111/j.1574-6941.2010.00853.x
- Taylor, A.E., Vajrala, N., Giguere, A.T., Gitelman, A.I., Arp, D.J., Myrold, D.D., et al. (2013) Use of
 aliphatic n-alkynes to discriminate soil nitrification activities of ammonia-oxidizing
 thaumarchaea and bacteria. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 79: 6544–6551.
- Thion, C., Prosser, J.I., 2014. Differential response of nonadapted ammonia-oxidising archaea and
 bacteria to drying-rewetting stress. FEMS Microbiology Ecology 90, 380–389.
 doi:10.1111/1574-6941.12395
- Tourna, M., Freitag, T.E., Nicol, G.W., Prosser, J.I., 2008. Growth, activity and temperature responses
 of ammonia-oxidizing archaea and bacteria in soil microcosms. Environmental Microbiology 10,
 1357–1364. doi:10.1111/j.1462-2920.2007.01563.x
- Vasileiadis, S., Coppolecchia, D., Puglisi, E., Balloi, A., Mapelli, F., Hamon, R.E., Daffonchio, D.,
 Trevisan, M., 2012. Response of ammonia oxidizing bacteria and archaea to acute zinc stress and
 different moisture regimes in soil. Microbial Ecology 64, 1028–1037. doi:10.1007/s00248-0120081-3
- Verhamme, D.T., Prosser, J.I., Nicol, G.W., 2011. Ammonia concentration determines differential
 growth of ammonia-oxidising archaea and bacteria in soil microcosms. The ISME Journal 5,
 1067–1071. doi:10.1038/ismej.2010.191
- Voříšková, J., V. Brabcová, T. Cajthaml, and P. Baldrian. 2014. Seasonal dynamics of fungal
 communities in a temperate oak forest soil. New Phytologist 201:269-278.
- Wallenius, K., Rita, H., Simpanen, S., Mikkonen, A., Niemi, R.M., 2010. Sample storage for soil
 enzyme activity and bacterial community profiles. Journal of Microbiological Methods 81, 48–
 55. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.mimet.2010.01.021.
- Xiang, X., He, D., He, J., Myrold, D.D., Chu, H., 2017. Ammonia-oxidizing bacteria rather than
 archaea respond to short-term urea amendment in an alpine grassland. Soil Biology and
 Biochemistry 107, 218–225. doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2017.01.012
- Yao, H., Gao, Y., Nicol, G.W., Colin, D., Prosser, J.I., Zhang, L., Han, W., Singh, B.K., Yao, H., Gao,
 Y., Nicol, G.W., Campbell, C.D., Prosser, J.I., Zhang, L., Han, W., Singh, B.K., 2011. Links
 between ammonia oxidizer community structure, abundance, and nitrification potential in acidic

soils. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 77, 4618–4625. doi:10.1128/AEM.00136-11

- Zakir, H.A.K.M., Subbarao, G. V, Pearse, S.J., Gopalakrishnan, S., Ito, O., Ishikawa, T., Kawano, N.,
 Nakahara, K., Yoshihashi, T., Ono, H., Yoshida, M., 2008. Detection, isolation and
 characterization of a root-exuded compound, methyl 3-(4-hydroxyphenyl) propionate,
 responsible for biological nitrification inhibition by sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor*). The New
 Phytologist 180, 442–451. doi:10.1111/j.1469-8137.2008.02576.x
- 726 Zhang, Z., Qiao, M., Li, D., Yin, H., Liu, Q., 2016. Do warming-induced changes in quantity and 727 stoichiometry of root exudation promote soil N transformations via stimulation of soil nitrifiers, 728 denitrifiers and ammonifiers? European Journal of Soil Biology 74, 60–68. 729 doi:10.1016/j.ejsobi.2016.03.007

730 731



Fig. 1. Nitrifying enzyme activities (NEA) according to the vegetation cover: bare soil (BS), the dominant grass species *Hyparrhenia diplandra* (GRA) and the dominant tree species *Crossopteryx febrifuga* (TRE). Means and standard errors were calculated from ten replicates whatever the season. Different letters indicate significant differences between vegetation covers (p<0.05).



Fig. 2. Abundances of ammonia oxidizing archaea, AOA, according to (A) the vegetation cover and (B) the season, and (C) abundances of AOA-*amoA* transcripts according to vegetation cover (bare soil, BS; grass species *Hyparrhenia diplandra*, GRA; and tree species *Crossopteryx febrifuga*, TRE), and season (Dry season, D, white bars; wet season, W, grey bars). Means and standard errors were calculated from ten replicates for the cover effect (A), fifteen replicates for the season effect (B) and five replicates for the interaction (C). Different letters indicate significant differences between covers (p<0.05).



Fig. 3. Abundances of ammonia oxidizing bacteria, AOB, according to the vegetation cover (bare soil, BS; grass species *Hyparrhenia diplandra*, GRA; and tree species *Crossopteryx febrifuga*, TRE) and the season (dry season, D, white bars; wet season, W, grey bars). Means and standard errors were calculated from five replicates. Different letters indicate significant differences between treatments (p<0.05).



Fig. 4: (A) Regression between the nitrifying enzyme activity (NEA) and the abundance of ammonia oxidizing archaea (AOA) according to the vegetation cover whatever the season (bare soil, cross; grasses, triangle; and trees, circle). This regression was only significant for trees (as shown by the regression line) but also when considering all vegetation types together. (B) Regression between the nitrifying enzyme activity (NEA) and the abundance of ammonia oxidizing bacteria (AOB) according to the vegetation cover whatever the season (bare soil, cross; grasses, triangle; and trees, circle). This regression was only significant for all vegetation types together (as shown by the regression line).(C) Regression between the nitrifying enzyme activity (NEA) and the transcripts of AOA-*amoA* according to the season whatever the vegetation cover (dry season, cross; humid season, circle). This regression was only significant for the dry season, (as shown by the regression line).

Table 1

Statistical results (ANOVA) of the effects of the vegetation cover, the season and their interaction on soil characteristics. Transformations of the variable are indicated (- for no transformation); df, degree of freedom; NS, non-significant.

Variable		Data transformation	df	p-value
	Cover	Boxcox	2	NS
NO ₃ -	Season	transformation $\lambda = 2$	1	<0.01
	Cover*Season		2	NS
	Cover		2	< 0.001
$\mathrm{NH_{4}^{+}}$	Season	-	1	NS
	Cover*Season		2	<0.01
	Cover		2	< 0.05
Water content	Season	-	1	< 0.001
	Cover*Season		2	<0.05
	Cover		2	<0.01
pH	Season	Square root	1	< 0.05
	Cover*Season		2	NS
	Cover		2	<0.01
N tot	Season	-	1	< 0.05
	Cover*Season		2	NS
	Cover		2	<0.01
C tot	Season	-	1	NS
	Cover*Season		2	NS
	Cover		2	< 0.001
C:N	Season	-	1	< 0.001
	Cover*Season		2	NS

Table 2

Statistical results (ANOVA) of the effects of the vegetation cover, the season and their interaction on the abundances of ammonia oxidizing archaea and bacteria (AOA and AOB, respectively), and on the corresponding transcripts (only for AOA). Transformations of the variable are indicated (- for no transformation); df, degree of freedom; NS, non-significant.

Variable		Transformation	df	p-value
	Cover		2	<0.001
AOA-amoA	Season	Square root	1	< 0.05
	Cover*Season		2	NS
	Cover		2	NS
AOA- <i>amoA</i>	Season	Square root	1	<0.001
u ansempts	Cover*Season		2	< 0.05
	Cover		2	< 0.05
AOB-amoA	Season	Square root	1	<0.001
	Cover*Season		2	<0.01
	Cover		2	<0.001
AOA:(AOA+AOB) ratio	Season	Log	1	NS
Tatio	Cover*Season		2	NS
	Cover		2	<0.001
AOA- <i>amoA</i> :total	Season	-	1	<0.01
i nonuou runo	Cover*Season		2	NS
AOA-	Cover		2	NS
amoA:Archaeal	Season	-	1	NS
transcripts ratio	Cover*Season		2	NS
	Cover		2	NS
AOB- <i>amoA</i> :total Bacteria ratio	Season	-	1	<0.01
	Cover*Season		2	NS