



**HAL**  
open science

# Trends and drivers of sea surface fCO<sub>2</sub> and pH changes observed in the Southern Indian Ocean over the last two decades (1998–2019)

Coraline Leseurre, Claire Lo Monaco, Gilles Reverdin, Nicolas Metzl,  
Jonathan Fin, Claude Mignon, Léa Benito

## ► To cite this version:

Coraline Leseurre, Claire Lo Monaco, Gilles Reverdin, Nicolas Metzl, Jonathan Fin, et al.. Trends and drivers of sea surface fCO<sub>2</sub> and pH changes observed in the Southern Indian Ocean over the last two decades (1998–2019). *Biogeosciences*, 2022, 19, pp.2599-2625. 10.5194/bg-2022-22 . hal-03607289

**HAL Id: hal-03607289**

**<https://hal.science/hal-03607289>**

Submitted on 31 May 2022

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License



# Summer trends and drivers of sea surface $f\text{CO}_2$ and pH changes observed in the southern Indian Ocean over the last two decades (1998–2019)

Coraline Leseurre, Claire Lo Monaco, Gilles Reverdin, Nicolas Metzl, Jonathan Fin, Claude Mignon, and Léa Benito

Laboratoire d’Océanographie et du Climat: Expérimentation et Approches Numériques (LOCEAN-IPSL), Sorbonne Université-CNRS-IRD-MNHN, Paris, 75005, France

**Correspondence:** Coraline Leseurre (coraline.leseurre@locean.ipsl.fr)

Received: 21 January 2022 – Discussion started: 28 January 2022

Revised: 12 April 2022 – Accepted: 2 May 2022 – Published: 25 May 2022

**Abstract.** The decadal changes in the fugacity of  $\text{CO}_2$  ( $f\text{CO}_2$ ) and pH in surface waters are investigated in the southern Indian Ocean ( $45\text{--}57^\circ\text{S}$ ) using repeated summer observations, including measurements of  $f\text{CO}_2$ , total alkalinity ( $A_T$ ) and total carbon ( $C_T$ ) collected over the period 1998–2019 in the frame of the French monitoring programme OISO (*Océan Indien Service d’Observation*). We used three datasets (underway  $f\text{CO}_2$ , underway  $A_T\text{--}C_T$  and station  $A_T\text{--}C_T$ ) to evaluate the trends of  $f\text{CO}_2$  and pH and their drivers, including the accumulation of anthropogenic  $\text{CO}_2$  ( $C_{\text{ant}}$ ). The study region is separated into six domains based on the frontal system and biogeochemical characteristics: (i) high-nutrient low-chlorophyll (HNLC) waters in the polar front zone (PFZ) and (ii) north part and (iii) south part of HNLC waters south of the polar front (PF), as well as the highly productive zones in fertilised waters near (iv) Crozet Island and (v) north and (vi) south of Kerguelen Island. Almost everywhere, we obtained similar trends in surface  $f\text{CO}_2$  and pH using the  $f\text{CO}_2$  or  $A_T\text{--}C_T$  datasets. Over the period 1998–2019, we observed an increase in surface  $f\text{CO}_2$  and a decrease in pH ranging from  $+1.0$  to  $+4.0\ \mu\text{atm yr}^{-1}$  and from  $-0.0015$  to  $-0.0043\ \text{yr}^{-1}$ , respectively. South of the PF, the  $f\text{CO}_2$  trend is close to the atmospheric  $\text{CO}_2$  rise ( $+2.0\ \mu\text{atm yr}^{-1}$ ), and the decrease in pH is in the range of the mean trend for the global ocean (around  $-0.0020\ \text{yr}^{-1}$ ); these trends are driven by the warming of surface waters (up to  $+0.04\ ^\circ\text{C yr}^{-1}$ ) and the increase in  $C_T$  mainly due to the accumulation of  $C_{\text{ant}}$  (around  $+0.6\ \mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}\ \text{yr}^{-1}$ ). In the PFZ, our data show slower  $f\text{CO}_2$  and pH trends (around  $+1.3\ \mu\text{atm yr}^{-1}$  and  $-0.0013\ \text{yr}^{-1}$ , respectively) associated with an increase in  $A_T$  (around  $+0.4\ \mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}\ \text{yr}^{-1}$ ) that

limited the impact of a more rapid accumulation of  $C_{\text{ant}}$  north of the PF (up to  $+1.1\ \mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}\ \text{yr}^{-1}$ ). In the fertilised waters near Crozet and Kerguelen islands,  $f\text{CO}_2$  increased and pH decreased faster than in the other domains, between  $+2.2$  and  $+4.0\ \mu\text{atm yr}^{-1}$  and between  $-0.0023$  and  $-0.0043\ \text{yr}^{-1}$ . The fastest trends of  $f\text{CO}_2$  and pH are found around Kerguelen Island north and south of the PF. These trends result from both a significant warming (up to  $+0.07\ ^\circ\text{C yr}^{-1}$ ) and a rapid increase in  $C_T$  (up to  $+1.4\ \mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}\ \text{yr}^{-1}$ ) mainly explained by the uptake of  $C_{\text{ant}}$ . Our data also show rapid changes in short periods and a relative stability of both  $f\text{CO}_2$  and pH in recent years at several locations both north and south of the PF, which leaves many open questions, notably the tipping point for the saturation state of carbonate minerals that remains highly uncertain. This highlights the need to maintain observations in the long-term in order to explore how the carbonate system will evolve in this region in the next decades.

## 1 Introduction

Carbon dioxide ( $\text{CO}_2$ ) emissions into the atmosphere have been steadily increasing since the beginning of the industrial age (Friedlingstein et al., 2020) mainly due to the burning of fossil fuel, land use change and cement production (Hartmann et al., 2013). Between a quarter and a third of this anthropogenic  $\text{CO}_2$  is absorbed by the ocean (Takahashi et al., 2009; Friedlingstein et al., 2020) and isolated from the atmosphere with the sinking of dense water masses (Sabine et al.,

2004; Khatiwala et al., 2009; Gruber et al., 2019a; Canadell et al., 2021). However, the uptake of CO<sub>2</sub> by the ocean is not spatially uniform and varies according to different processes such as solubility, photosynthesis and ocean circulation. About half of the CO<sub>2</sub> uptake occurs in the Southern Ocean (south of 35° S; Landschützer et al., 2016; Long et al., 2021), and this region accounts for ~ 40 % of the storage of anthropogenic CO<sub>2</sub> in the ocean interior (Sabine et al., 2004; Khatiwala et al., 2009) due to the formation of dense waters that transport anthropogenic CO<sub>2</sub> below the surface mixed layer (e.g. Lo Monaco et al., 2005b; Gruber et al., 2019a). It is now well recognised that the Southern Ocean experienced changes in the carbon uptake at decadal scale in response to natural or climate-induced variability (notably the southern annual mode): a weakening of CO<sub>2</sub> uptake in the 1990s in connection with increasing winds (e.g. Le Quééré et al., 2007; Metzl, 2009; Lenton et al., 2009), followed by a reversal of this trend until the early 2010s (Landschützer et al., 2015), and since 2011 a decrease in the CO<sub>2</sub> sink has been detected (Keppler and Landschützer, 2019). As atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration increased uniformly in the Southern Hemisphere, the multi-decadal variation in the ocean CO<sub>2</sub> sink in the Southern Ocean is mainly linked to the temporal variability in the fugacity of CO<sub>2</sub> (fCO<sub>2</sub>) in surface waters controlled by external and internal processes such as cooling/warming, freshening, mixing, convection or upwelling, while the role of biological activity is mostly recognised at the interannual scale (Gregor et al., 2018).

The accumulation of anthropogenic CO<sub>2</sub> in the ocean changed the state of CO<sub>2</sub> chemistry in seawater and has led to a pH decrease by approximately 0.1 units in surface waters since the beginning of the 19th century (Canadell et al., 2021), a phenomenon commonly known as ocean acidification (Doney et al., 2009). This process alters the biogeochemical carbon cycles as it reduces the availability of carbonate ions, thus lowering the calcium carbonate saturation state and threatening calcifying organisms (e.g. plankton, corals; Doney et al., 2009). Ocean acidification is now recognised, along with warming and sea level rise, as one of the seven “ocean indicators” for global change (WMO/GCOS, 2018). As carbonate ion concentration is naturally low at high latitudes (Takahashi et al., 2014), the accumulation of anthropogenic CO<sub>2</sub> in the Southern Ocean raises particular concerns as surface waters could become undersaturated with respect to carbonate before the end of the 21st century (Orr et al., 2005; McNeil and Matear, 2008; Munro et al., 2015). This, however, depends on both the anthropogenic CO<sub>2</sub> emission scenario (Bopp et al., 2013; Sasse et al., 2015; Jiang et al., 2019; Kwiatkowski et al., 2020) and the model-specific/dependent evolution of the Southern Ocean carbon sink.

Global ocean biogeochemical models (GOBMs) have attempted to reproduce the ocean CO<sub>2</sub> sink over several decades since the 1960s, and in the future, they are generally consistent with data-based methods at global scale, but

at regional scale, discrepancies are pronounced especially in the Southern Ocean (Hauck et al., 2020). The comparison of fCO<sub>2</sub> (and air–sea fluxes) in the Southern Ocean between models and observations also shows discrepancies at seasonal scale due to incorrect or missing biophysical processes in models (e.g. Lenton et al., 2013; Kessler and Tjiputra, 2016; Mongwe et al., 2018) leading to large biases in timing and amplitude of the C<sub>T</sub> and/or SST (sea surface temperature) cycles (a value of simulated annual ocean CO<sub>2</sub> sink might be correct but for the wrong reasons). This is a problem when using current earth system models (ESMs) to project future changes in the ocean CO<sub>2</sub> sink (Kessler and Tjiputra, 2016) or ocean acidification in the Southern Ocean (Sasse et al., 2015). For these reasons, it is important to continue the investigation of the carbon cycle from observations as a benchmark to the models.

The long-term decrease in sea surface pH has been revealed from direct observations at regional scale (notably at time-series stations, e.g. Bates et al., 2014) or at global scale using reconstructed pH fields (e.g. Lauvset et al., 2015; Jiang et al., 2019; Chau et al., 2020; Gregor and Gruber, 2021; Iida et al., 2021). In the Southern Ocean the spatio-temporal variability in CO<sub>2</sub> and thus pH can be large in summer due to biological processes (e.g. Ishii et al., 1998; Jabaud-Jan et al., 2004; Bakker et al., 2007, 2008; Lourantou and Metzl, 2011; Jones et al., 2012); therefore, the fCO<sub>2</sub> and pH trends estimated from summer data have larger uncertainties than the winter trends (Lenton et al., 2012; Hauri et al., 2016). To reduce these uncertainties, one needs long-term (20 years or more) sea surface observations of oceanic carbon parameters, as obtained for example in the North Atlantic (e.g. Leseurre et al., 2020; Pérez et al., 2021) or in the western North Pacific (e.g. Midorikawa et al., 2010). To date, such long-term time-series observations in the Southern Ocean have been only obtained in the Atlantic sector (Drake Passage) or in the western Antarctic Peninsula (Takahashi et al., 2014; Hauri et al., 2016; Munro et al., 2015; Fay et al., 2018; Brown et al., 2019). Such observations have been also conducted in the Indian–Pacific sector, albeit not regularly (seven summer cruises over 1969–2010; Midorikawa et al., 2012). In other regions of the Southern Ocean, the trends of fCO<sub>2</sub> were evaluated from the synthesis of fCO<sub>2</sub> data and vary between +0.9 and +4.2 μatm yr<sup>-1</sup> (Metzl, 2009; Takahashi et al., 2009, 2012; Lourantou and Metzl, 2011; Lenton et al., 2012; Fay and McKinley, 2014; Tjiputra et al., 2014; Lauvset et al., 2015). This range corresponds to different regions and periods, but most values in the open ocean are close to the increase in the atmosphere (around +2.0 μatm yr<sup>-1</sup>). A few results present negative fCO<sub>2</sub> trends in summer, but these are not significant, for example −0.8 (± 1.0) μatm yr<sup>-1</sup> in the western Antarctic Peninsula over 1993–2017 (Brown et al., 2019) or −0.9 (± 2.5) μatm yr<sup>-1</sup> in the Atlantic sector over 2001–2008 (Lenton et al., 2012), highlighting the difficulty to evaluate the trends in summer when only using a few years of data.

Similar to fCO<sub>2</sub>, the pH trends previously estimated in the Southern Ocean present a large range (Table S1 in the Supplement). This is not surprising as the observed pH trends in this region were generally deduced from fCO<sub>2</sub> data, i.e. not from direct pH measurements. The fCO<sub>2</sub> data syntheses such as the LDEO (Lamont–Doherty Earth Observatory) database (Takahashi et al., 2009) or the SOCAT (Surface Ocean CO<sub>2</sub> Atlas) database (Bakker et al., 2016), were associated with total alkalinity ( $A_T$ ) reconstructed from salinity (e.g. Lee et al., 2006) to calculate pH or total carbon ( $C_T$ ) trends and evaluate their drivers (Lenton et al., 2012; Lauvset et al., 2015; Iida et al., 2021). For pH, the trends estimated in surface waters of the Southern Ocean range between  $-0.0023$  and  $-0.0015$  yr<sup>-1</sup>. However, similar to fCO<sub>2</sub>, the observations in the western Antarctic Peninsula present a different pH trend, i.e. positive of  $+0.0020$  ( $\pm 0.0002$ ) yr<sup>-1</sup>, explained by a decrease in  $C_T$  ranging between  $-0.2$  and  $-0.8$   $\mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$  yr<sup>-1</sup> (Hauri et al., 2016; Brown et al., 2019). In the Drake Passage, observations present contrasting pH trends depending on the season and location, ranging between  $-0.0021$  ( $\pm 0.0006$ ) yr<sup>-1</sup> in the northern part in summer and  $-0.0008$  ( $\pm 0.0004$ ) yr<sup>-1</sup> in the southern part in winter (Munro et al., 2015).

Given the large range of fCO<sub>2</sub> and pH trends depending on the regions, season and periods considered, it is important to document and understand these trends in the various sectors of the Southern Ocean. In this paper, we investigate the surface fCO<sub>2</sub> and pH trends and their drivers in the Indian sector of the Southern Ocean using high-quality direct measurements of  $C_T$ ,  $A_T$  and fCO<sub>2</sub> from repeated cruises conducted since 1998. Our dataset enables us to compare the pH trends deduced either from fCO<sub>2</sub> observations and  $A_T$  reconstructed from salinity (e.g. method used by Lauvset et al., 2015) or pH calculated using sea surface  $A_T$  and  $C_T$  observations (e.g. method used by Leseurre et al., 2020). It also enables us to evaluate the drivers of the observed trends, including the accumulation of anthropogenic CO<sub>2</sub> ( $C_{\text{ant}}$ ) estimated just below the summer mixed layer.

## 2 Material and methods

### 2.1 Study area

The region investigated here presents two major hydrological fronts (Fig. 1): the sub-Antarctic front (SAF) defined here as the isotherm 12 °C and the polar front (PF) defined by the isotherm 5.2 °C (the mean position of the fronts in January is deduced from temperature and salinity observations in surface and subsurface waters). South of the SAF, one finds generally HNLC conditions (high-nutrient low-chlorophyll; Minas and Minas, 1992) mainly due to iron limitation (Martin et al., 1990; de Baar et al., 2005). However, localised areas in the Southern Ocean offer a favourable environment for phytoplankton development notably due to the island mass

effect that supplies micronutrients (iron) to the surface waters (e.g. Moore and Abbott, 2000; Tyrrell et al., 2005; Jones et al., 2012; Borrione and Schlitzer, 2013). In the Indian sector, such favourable environments are found near Crozet and Kerguelen archipelagos where recurrent phytoplankton blooms lead to large  $C_T$  and fCO<sub>2</sub> drawdown in spring–summer (Bakker et al., 2007; Blain et al., 2007, 2008; Jouandet et al., 2008; Lourantou and Metzl, 2011; Lo Monaco et al., 2014). These blooms are well characterised from satellite observations (Fig. 1) and present large interannual variability (both in extension and chlorophyll *a* concentrations). The contrasted biogeochemical regimes in our study region (HNLC versus fertilised regions) lead to large difference in the CO<sub>2</sub> uptake during austral summer, with a strong CO<sub>2</sub> sink observed in Crozet and Kerguelen blooms and a relatively small CO<sub>2</sub> sink in HNLC waters (Metzl et al., 2006; Lo Monaco et al., 2014).


To investigate the decadal fCO<sub>2</sub> and pH trends we thus separate the domain in six main sectors (Fig. 2): (i) HNLC waters in the polar front zone (PFZ) between the SAF and the PF and (ii) north and (iii) south parts of HNLC waters south of the PF in the permanent open-ocean zone (POOZ), as well as the phytoplanktonic bloom regions associated with (iv) the Crozet shelf and (v) the north and (vi) the south of Kerguelen shelf.

The HNLC waters in the POOZ have been divided into northern and southern parts because the two stations in this region are very distant (O10: 50.6° S; O11: 56.6° S; Fig. 1). Station O10 is at the edge of the continental shelf of Kerguelen (bottom depth 1650 m) and was occupied more often than Station O11 in the open ocean (bottom depth 4850 m).

### 2.2 Data collection and measurements

This study is based on observations collected in the framework of the French long-term monitoring programme OISO (*Océan Indien Service d'Observation*) initiated in 1998. During these OISO cruises, both sea surface underway and water-column measurements are collected. Data in the water column were collected using a CTD sensor (conductivity–temperature–depth) coupled to an oxygen (O<sub>2</sub>) sensor and a fluorimeter and equipped with twenty-four 12 L Niskin bottles to sample for  $A_T$ ,  $C_T$ , O<sub>2</sub>, nutrients and chlorophyll *a* (chl *a*). In addition, surface samples were collected every 4 to 8 h for salinity, nutrients and chl *a*. Analytical methods for these cruises followed the protocols used since 1998 described previously (e.g. Metzl et al., 2006; Metzl, 2009; Mahieu et al., 2020; Lo Monaco et al., 2021).

Temperature and salinity were measured using a SeaBird thermosalinograph (SBE 45) for surface data and a SeaBird CTD (SBE911+) for water-column profiles. A control of the CTD data, and in some cases an adjustment, is done using the discrete salinity samples analysed at LOCEAN (*Laboratoire d'Océanographie et du Climat: Expérimentation et Approches Numériques*) using a Guildline Autosol or Portasal



**Figure 1.** Map of the Indian sector of the Southern Ocean. The eight stations reoccupied are identified by white circles. The two major fronts are represented by white lines: the sub-Antarctic (SAF, 12 °C isotherm) and the polar (PF, 5.2 °C isotherm) fronts. The background corresponds to the summer climatological surface waters chlorophyll *a* concentration ( $\text{mg m}^{-3}$ ) (Aqua Modis data generated by Nasa's Ocean Color: <https://oceancolor.gsfc.nasa.gov/> (last access: 15 June 2017); January 2002–2017 composite with a spatial resolution of 4 km). Figure produced with ODV (Schlitzer, 2021).

salinometer and using IAPSO standards provided by Ocean Scientific International Ltd (OSIL). Accuracies of temperature and salinity are, respectively, 0.005 °C and 0.01. The O<sub>2</sub> sensor data were also checked against measurements of samples collected from the Niskin bottles and analysed on board following the Winkler method (Carpenter, 1965) using a METTLER titrator and iodate standards provided by OSIL.


$A_T$  and  $C_T$  were semi-continuously (3 to 4 samples per hour) measured in surface waters using a potentiometric titration method (Edmond, 1970) in a closed cell. The same method is used (on board) to analyse the samples collected in the water column. The repeatability for  $A_T$  and  $C_T$  based on the analysis of duplicates (in surface waters and around 1000 m or in bottom waters) varies from 1.0 to 3.5  $\mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$ . The accuracy of  $A_T$  and  $C_T$  measurements (always better than  $\pm 3.0 \mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$  for all cruises since 1998) was ensured by daily analyses of certified reference materials (CRMs) provided by the A. G. Dickson laboratory (Scripps Institute of Oceanography).

For fCO<sub>2</sub> measurements, sea surface water was continuously equilibrated with a “thin-film-type” equilibrator thermostated with surface seawater (Poisson et al., 1993). The CO<sub>2</sub> in the dried gas was measured with a non-dispersive infrared analyser (NDIR; Siemens ULTRAMAT 5F or 6F). Standard gases for calibration (around 270, 350 and 480 ppm

and certified at LSCE, *Laboratoire des Sciences du Climat et de l'Environnement*) were measured every 5 to 7 h. To correct the CO<sub>2</sub> molar fraction ( $x_{\text{CO}_2}$ ) dry measurements to fCO<sub>2</sub> in situ data, we used polynomials given by Weiss and Price (1980) for vapour pressure and by Copin-Montegut (1988, 1989) for temperature.

For nutrients (nitrite, nitrate, silicate and, for some years, phosphate), samples were filtered (at 0.2  $\mu\text{m}$ ) and either poisoned with HgCl<sub>2</sub> and kept cold (for nitrite, nitrate and silicate) or frozen at  $-80$  °C (for phosphate). Nitrite, nitrate and silicate were analysed on board or at LOCEAN by colorimetry using an auto-analyser (Bran + Luebbe) according to the method of Tréguer and Le Corre (1975) until 2009, then following the method of Coverly et al. (2009). The uncertainty of these measurements is on the order of  $\pm 0.1 \mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$ . Phosphate samples (for some cruises) were analysed using a spectrometer according to the method of Murphy and Riley (1962) and revised by Strickland and Parsons (1972), with an uncertainty of  $\pm 0.02 \mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$ .

For the water-column data obtained between 1998 and 2011 secondary quality controls were performed in the frame of the CARINA (Carbon Dioxide in the Atlantic Ocean) and GLODAP-v2 (Global Ocean Data Analysis Project version 2) projects (Lo Monaco et al., 2010; Key et al., 2015; Olsen et al., 2016). For OISO cruises conducted since 2012



**Figure 2.** Tracks of summer cruises in 1998–2019 with sea surface fCO<sub>2</sub> data (black dots) from SOCAT version v2020 (Bakker et al., 2020). The six areas identified in Tables 2–4 are represented with red square boxes. SAF and PF are indicated in white as in Fig. 1. PFZ stands for polar front zone and POOZ for permanent open-ocean zone (i.e. north of the winter ice edge). Bathymetry is plotted as background based on GEBCO-2019 (figure produced with ODV; Schlitzer, 2021).

(not yet included in GLODAP-v2) we proceeded to a data quality control following the same protocol as for GLODAP-v2 (comparison with deep waters), and we found no systematic bias for the properties measured during these cruises as described in detail by Mahieu et al. (2020). All the data (and metadata) will be delivered to OCADS (Ocean Carbon and Acidification Data System) NCEI (National Centers for Environmental Information) database and to GLODAP-v2-2023.


The surface underway fCO<sub>2</sub>, A<sub>T</sub> and C<sub>T</sub> data (and metadata) are available at the NCEI/OCADS database ([http://www.ncei.noaa.gov/access/ocean-carbon-data-system/oceans/VOS\\_Program/OISO.html](http://www.ncei.noaa.gov/access/ocean-carbon-data-system/oceans/VOS_Program/OISO.html), last access: 30 April 2020). The oceanic fCO<sub>2</sub> data are also available in the SOCAT data product (Bakker et al., 2016). Note that all the data used come from fCO<sub>2</sub> measurements from OISO cruises, except one in 2004/2005 near Crozet Island (expocode 74E320041213 in SOCAT). Note also that when added to SOCAT, original fCO<sub>2</sub> data are recomputed (Pfeil et al., 2013) using the temperature correction from Takahashi et al. (1993). Given the small difference between sea surface temperature (SST) and equilibrium temperature, the fCO<sub>2</sub> data from our cruises are identical (within 1.0 μatm) in

SOCAT and NCEI/OCADS. Here we used fCO<sub>2</sub> values as provided by SOCAT.

### 2.3 Data selection

To investigate the fCO<sub>2</sub> and pH trends and their drivers over the period 1998–2019 we used the observations regularly conducted during summer cruises (one summer cruise per year, between December and beginning of March). The sea surface fCO<sub>2</sub> and pH trends were evaluated using three datasets: (i) underway temperature, salinity and fCO<sub>2</sub> data, (ii) underway temperature, salinity, A<sub>T</sub> and C<sub>T</sub> data, and (iii) temperature, salinity, A<sub>T</sub> and C<sub>T</sub> data averaged in the summer mixed layer at each station representative of each domain (Figs. 1 and 2).

In order to estimate the trends from underway datasets, gridded values for each cruise were averaged in boxes of 1° of latitude and 2° of longitude. Some boxes were enlarged if the surrounding boxes were homogeneous for both physical and biogeochemical parameters. Then, trends were estimated provided some conditions were fulfilled (as on Fig. 4): the box must contain at least eight cruises (years) and must have been visited at the beginning of the period in at least



**Figure 3.** Vertical profiles of (a) potential temperature, (b)  $C_T$  and (c)  $C_{\text{ant}}$  (TrOCA method) for two seasons: during winter (July 2000, cross) and summer (January 2001, circle) at Station O10. The  $C_{\text{ant}}$  concentrations for each year were estimated just below the summer mixed layer. Note that  $C_{\text{ant}}$  during summer in the upper layer is uncertain (results not shown) but below 125 m  $C_{\text{ant}}$  in summer should correspond to  $C_{\text{ant}}$  in winter.

one of the years of 1998, 1999 and 2000, as well at the end of the period, in at least one of the years of 2017, 2018 and 2019. Finally, the boxes were grouped into six large regions (Fig. 2). As we are interested in separating the anthropogenic signal from natural variability for both fCO<sub>2</sub> and pH trends, and because anthropogenic CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations are not well evaluated in surface waters, we also estimated the trends at each station selecting the data just below the summer mixed layer (a layer referred to as BML). South of the PF, this subsurface layer corresponds to the winter water clearly identified by a subsurface temperature minimum observed in summer at 150–200 m (Fig. 3; Metzl et al., 2006; Mackay and Watson, 2021).

From the station dataset, the mixed layer was defined for each station and each year. To evaluate the depth of the mixed layer, we carefully looked at profiles for each station and each period and identified the layer where properties are homogeneous (including O<sub>2</sub>, nutrients,  $A_T$  and  $C_T$ ). On average the summer mixed layer depth over the period 1998–2019 is between 50 and 75 m for the PFZ region (stations O6–O9 and O12) and between 75 and 100 m for the POOZ region (stations A3, O10 and O11). Results for each station in the mixed layer will then be compared to those obtained in the corresponding boxes and regions.

## 2.4 Calculations of the carbonate system parameters and contributions

Any parameter of the carbonate system can be computed from two other carbonate system parameters, together with temperature, salinity, silicate and phosphate data. In this study, we compared the measured fCO<sub>2</sub> to fCO<sub>2</sub> calculated from  $A_T$  and  $C_T$ , as well as pH calculated either from  $A_T$  and  $C_T$  or from fCO<sub>2</sub> and  $A_T$  (reconstructed from salinity, see below). When nutrient data were missing (notably phosphate


that was not measured during all cruises), we used monthly climatological values derived from the OISO data available over the period 1998–2019. Because nutrient interannual variability is low, this choice does not impact the carbonate system parameter calculations. The calculation programme used is CO<sub>2</sub>SYS originally developed by Lewis et al. (1998). We used the MATLAB version (van Heuven et al., 2011) that now includes error propagation (Orr et al., 2018). The constants of thermodynamic equilibrium of CO<sub>2</sub> in seawater used are  $K_1$  (for the dissociation of carbonic acid) and  $K_2$  (for the bicarbonate ion) defined by Mehrbach et al. (1973) and refitted by Dickson and Millero (1987). The total boron value is calculated according to Uppström (1974), and the KHSO<sub>4</sub> dissociation constant is from Dickson (1990). The adopted pH scale is total scale. When  $A_T$  data were not available, it was estimated from salinity. The correlation between sea surface  $A_T$  and salinity in the open ocean is modelled with an empirical linear relationship (Millero et al., 1998; Friis et al., 2003). Here we used the  $A_T$ – $S$  relationship estimated from the OISO data (underway  $A_T$  and  $C_T$  dataset) over the period 1998–2019 (summer cruises; Eq. 1).

$$A_T = 64.341 \times S + 106.764 \quad (1)$$

(RMSE = 7.485 μmol kg<sup>-1</sup>,  $r^2 = 0.41$ ,  $n = 4775$ ).

In order to quantify the accumulation of anthropogenic carbon ( $C_{\text{ant}}$ ) below the mixed layer, two methods were used: the TrOCA method (*Traceur combinant Oxygène, Carbone et Alcalinité*), developed by Touratier and Goyer (2004) and refitted by Touratier et al. (2007), and the preformed carbon method ( $C^0$ ), independently developed by Brewer (1978) and Chen and Millero (1979), using the parameterisations from Lo Monaco et al. (2005b) for the Indian sector of the Southern Ocean.

The method of Touratier and Goyer (2004) is based on the quasi-conservative tracer TrOCA defined according to



**Figure 4.** Trends (per year) of temperature (a), salinity (b),  $A_T$  (c),  $C_T$  (d),  $fCO_2$  (e) and pH (f) evaluated from the  $fCO_2$  surface dataset (in grey), the  $A_T$  and  $C_T$  surface dataset (in black), and the  $A_T$  and  $C_T$  data in the mixed layer at each station (in orange) along the western and eastern tracks (see Fig. S4 in the Supplement). The computation method is explained in the Sect. 2.3.

Eq. (2). The tracer TrOCA contains information on both the origin of water masses and the invasion of anthropogenic carbon. Touratier and Goyet (2004) showed that for a given year, there is a relationship between the distribution of TrOCA in the ocean and potential temperature ( $\theta$ ). Touratier et al. (2007) improved the relationship by adding  $A_T$  in the formulation. Using data collected in old deep water (free from anthropogenic carbon), they proposed an empirical relationship to predict the pre-industrial value of TrOCA ( $TrOCA^0$  in Eq. 3). The accumulation of  $C_{ant}$  (Eq. 4) can be inferred from the increase in TrOCA since the pre-industrial era. The coefficients used in Eqs. (2)–(4) are as follows:  $a = 1.279$ ;

$b = 7.511$ ;  $c = -1.087 \times 10^{-2}$ ;  $d = -7.81 \times 10^5$  (Touratier et al., 2007).

$$TrOCA = O_2 + a(C_T - 0.5A_T) \quad (2)$$

$$TrOCA^0 = \exp \left\{ b + (c\theta) + \left( \frac{d}{A_T^2} \right) \right\} \quad (3)$$

$$C_{ant}^{TrOCA} = \frac{TrOCA - TrOCA^0}{a} \quad (4)$$

The  $C^0$  method is a back-calculation technique that evaluates the preformed carbon concentration (concentration at the surface at the time of water mass formation,  $C^{0,t}$ ) and its pre-



industrial component. Indeed,  $C^{0,t}$  (that can be estimated by removing the inorganic carbon generated in situ by biological processes,  $C_{\text{bio}}$ ) is composed of a pre-industrial part ( $C^{0,\text{PI}}$ ) and an anthropogenic part ( $C_{\text{ant}}$ ) resulting from the uptake of anthropogenic CO<sub>2</sub> from the atmosphere. Thus, anthropogenic carbon in the ocean interior can be calculated from  $C_{\text{T}}$  measurements by correcting for its natural components ( $C_{\text{bio}}$  and  $C^{0,\text{PI}}$ ) according to Eq. (5). The pre-industrial component will not be discussed here because, as a time constant, it does not contribute to the evolution of  $C_{\text{ant}}$  (refer to the study by Lo Monaco et al., 2005b). The biological contribution is the carbon added by carbonate dissolution ( $C_{\text{carb}}$ ) and the remineralisation of the soft tissue ( $C_{\text{soft}}$ ). It can be determined from measurements of  $A_{\text{T}}$ , O<sub>2</sub>, and the molar ratios  $\frac{C}{\text{O}_2}$  and  $\frac{N}{\text{O}_2}$  (here we used the ratio determined by Körtzinger et al., 2001), according to Eqs. (6)–(8).

$$C_{\text{ant}}^0 = C^{0,t} - C^{0,\text{PI}} = C_{\text{T}} - C_{\text{bio}} - C^{0,\text{PI}} \quad (5)$$

$$C_{\text{bio}} = C_{\text{carb}} + C_{\text{soft}} \quad (6)$$

$$C_{\text{carb}} = 0.5 \left\{ \left( A_{\text{T}} - A_{\text{T}}^0 \right) - \left( \frac{N}{\text{O}_2} \text{AOU} \right) \right\} \quad (7)$$

$$C_{\text{soft}} = -\frac{C}{\text{O}_2} \text{AOU} \quad (8)$$

Two other terms are introduced into Eqs. (7) and (8): preformed  $A_{\text{T}}$  ( $A_{\text{T}}^0$ ) and apparent oxygen utilisation (AOU).  $A_{\text{T}}^0$  is derived from a multiparametric relationship observed in surface water in winter (at the time of water mass formation). Studies have shown that  $A_{\text{T}}$  in surface waters varies in relation to oceanic tracers such as temperature, salinity and nutrients (Poisson and Chen, 1987; Körtzinger et al., 1998). It is possible to estimate  $A_{\text{T}}^0$  by assuming that the relationship between  $A_{\text{T}}$  and other oceanic tracers has remained the same since the pre-industrial era. This assumption is supported by observations (see “Results” section). Furthermore, since the air–sea exchange of oxygen is rapid and winter mixed layers are not too deep, winter O<sub>2</sub> concentrations in surface waters are close to equilibrium with the atmosphere (except in areas covered with sea ice). We thus assume that the preformed oxygen corresponds to oxygen saturation (O<sub>2</sub><sup>sat</sup>). The term AOU is thus introduced and defined as the difference between oxygen saturation (which depends on the temperature of the water; Benson and Krause, 1980) and the measured oxygen (AOU = O<sub>2</sub><sup>sat</sup> – O<sub>2</sub>).

The trends in fCO<sub>2</sub> and pH can be driven by changes in temperature, salinity,  $A_{\text{T}}$  and/or  $C_{\text{T}}$  (e.g. Keeling et al., 2004; Munro et al., 2015; Leseurre et al., 2020). For  $C_{\text{T}}$ , this includes the contribution of  $C_{\text{ant}}$  and the natural component ( $C_{\text{T}} = C_{\text{ant}} + C_{\text{nat}}$ ). The contribution of each driver is evaluated by allowing a change in only one parameter according to their observed trend while setting the other parameters to their mean values (Eq. 9). The uncertainty of the contribution was evaluated by performing 1000 random perturbations within the range of the standard deviation of the observed

trends in temperature, salinity,  $A_{\text{T}}$  and  $C_{\text{T}}$ .

$$\frac{dX}{dt} = \frac{\partial X}{\partial T} \frac{dT}{dt} + \frac{\partial X}{\partial S} \frac{dS}{dt} + \frac{\partial X}{\partial A_{\text{T}}} \frac{dA_{\text{T}}}{dt} + \frac{\partial X}{\partial C_{\text{T}}} \frac{dC_{\text{T}}}{dt}, \quad (9)$$

where  $X$  corresponds to fCO<sub>2</sub> or pH.

We tested the impact of fresh water fluxes on  $A_{\text{T}}$  and  $C_{\text{T}}$  by normalising to a salinity of 34 (following the method of Munro et al. (2015) in the Drake Passage of the Southern Ocean). The contribution of  $A_{\text{T}}$  and  $C_{\text{T}}$  being very close to the ones of normalised  $A_{\text{T}}$  and  $C_{\text{T}}$ , we chose not to discuss these last ones in this paper.

### 3 Results

#### 3.1 Decadal trends in surface waters

The trends in surface waters estimated using the three datasets are presented in Fig. 4 and listed in Tables 2–4. For clarity we separated the western and eastern tracks (west and east of 70° E). As expected in the context of global warming, we observe a warming in surface waters south of 48° S (+0.05 °C yr<sup>-1</sup> on average; Fig. 4a, Tables 2–4); however, there is no significant change in salinity (Fig. 4b). Thus,  $A_{\text{T}}$  calculated from salinity (Eq. 1) does not present a decadal change, which is confirmed by  $A_{\text{T}}$  measurements (underway and station data) with the exception of a small area in the PFZ near 47–48° S on the western track (Fig. 4c). In this area, underway  $A_{\text{T}}$  measurements suggest a slight decrease, whereas the mixed layer data (stations O7 and O8) suggest an increase in  $A_{\text{T}}$  of +0.4 μmol kg<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. 4c, Table 2). Note that at Station O7 we also observed an anomaly in the temperature trend (cooling; Fig. 4a) that may reflect small-scale variability (due to the intermittent presence of eddies or meanders).

The trends in  $C_{\text{T}}$  obtained from measured values (underway or station data) or calculated values (from fCO<sub>2</sub> observations) show a good agreement. Surface  $C_{\text{T}}$  concentrations increased in all regions (Fig. 4d) by +0.4 to +1.4 μmol kg<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> (Tables 2–4). The  $C_{\text{T}}$  trends are rather homogeneous in the south (around +0.6 μmol kg<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>) and present more variability in the eastern region (near Kerguelen Island). As expected, the trend in fCO<sub>2</sub> is positive in all regions (from +1.0 to +4.0 μatm yr<sup>-1</sup>; Fig. 4e, Tables 2–4), and it is generally very similar when using underway fCO<sub>2</sub> measurements or fCO<sub>2</sub> calculated with  $A_{\text{T}}-C_{\text{T}}$  pairs from both underway and mixed layer data. The same is true for the pH trend calculated from the three datasets: it is always negative (from –0.0014 to –0.0043 yr<sup>-1</sup>; Fig. 4f, Tables 2–4) and generally in good agreement. As for temperature and  $C_{\text{T}}$ , the fCO<sub>2</sub> and pH trends are rather homogeneous south of 50° S and more variable in the vicinity of Kerguelen Plateau (eastern track) where the variations in pH mirror those of fCO<sub>2</sub> and  $C_{\text{T}}$ .

The three datasets generally show similar changes, which indicates that the trends evaluated locally (in the mixed layer)

**Table 1.** Trends (per year) of O<sub>2</sub>, A<sub>T</sub>, C<sub>T</sub>, pH (total scale, TS) and C<sub>ant</sub> (TrOCA and C<sup>0</sup> methods) evaluated from the A<sub>T</sub> and C<sub>T</sub> data in the summer mixed layer (ML) and below the summer mixed layer (BML) at each station between (a) 1998 and 2019, (b) 1998 and 2017, (c) 1999 and 2019, (d) 2000 and 2019, and (e) 2005 and 2019. C<sub>ant</sub> is only estimated below the mixed layer (see Fig. 3). The significant trends (Student's *t* test) are represented in bold (at 95 %) or with a star (at 90 %).

Region	Station	O <sub>2</sub> μmol kg <sup>-1</sup> yr <sup>-1</sup>	A <sub>T</sub> μmol kg <sup>-1</sup> yr <sup>-1</sup>	C <sub>T</sub> μmol kg <sup>-1</sup> yr <sup>-1</sup>	pH (TS) per year	C <sub>ant</sub> <sup>TrOCA</sup> μmol kg <sup>-1</sup> yr <sup>-1</sup>	C <sub>ant</sub> <sup>0</sup> μmol kg <sup>-1</sup> yr <sup>-1</sup>		
PFZ HNLC	O7		<b>0.4 ± 0.2</b>	<b>1.4 ± 0.5</b>	<b>-0.0015 ± 0.0008</b>			ML	b
		<b>0.5 ± 0.2</b>	<b>0.5 ± 0.3</b>	<b>1.0 ± 0.3</b>	<b>-0.0009 ± 0.0005</b>	<b>0.8 ± 0.2</b>	<b>0.9 ± 0.3</b>	BML	b
	O8	-0.4 ± 0.5	<b>0.4 ± 0.2</b> 0.2 ± 0.3	0.6 ± 0.5 <b>1.1 ± 0.2</b>	-0.0009 ± 0.0007 <b>-0.0024 ± 0.0007</b>	<b>0.7 ± 0.2</b>	<b>0.8 ± 0.3</b>	ML	b
PFZ HNLC	O9		0.1 ± 0.1	<b>0.6 ± 0.3</b>	<b>-0.0016 ± 0.0006</b>			ML	a
		-0.1 ± 0.2	0.1 ± 0.2	<b>0.7 ± 0.2</b>	<b>-0.0018 ± 0.0005</b>	<b>0.7 ± 0.3</b>	<b>0.8 ± 0.3</b>	BML	a
POOZ north HNLC	O10		0.2 ± 0.1*	<b>0.9 ± 0.3</b>	<b>-0.0023 ± 0.0006</b>			ML	a
		-0.1 ± 0.3	0.3 ± 0.2	<b>0.9 ± 0.2</b>	<b>-0.0019 ± 0.0004</b>	<b>0.6 ± 0.2</b>	<b>0.7 ± 0.3</b>	BML	a
POOZ south HNLC	O11		0.1 ± 0.1	<b>0.5 ± 0.3</b>	<b>-0.0017 ± 0.0003</b>			ML	a
		-0.5 ± 0.8	0.1 ± 0.1	<b>0.9 ± 0.3</b>	<b>-0.0024 ± 0.0008</b>	0.5 ± 0.3*	0.6 ± 0.4*	BML	a
POOZ bloom Kerguelen	A3		-0.2 ± 0.3	<b>1.1 ± 0.3</b>	<b>-0.0039 ± 0.0008</b>			ML	e
		-0.6 ± 1.1	0.4 ± 0.4	0.8 ± 0.6	-0.0018 ± 0.0016	0.5 ± 0.5	0.5 ± 0.7	BML	e
PFZ bloom Crozet	O6		0.2 ± 0.3	<b>1.3 ± 0.7</b>	<b>-0.0023 ± 0.0008</b>			ML	d
		0.1 ± 0.3	0.4 ± 0.3	<b>1.2 ± 0.4</b>	-0.0020 ± 0.0011*	<b>0.9 ± 0.3</b>	<b>1.0 ± 0.3</b>	BML	d
PFZ bloom Kerguelen	O12		-0.1 ± 0.2	<b>1.4 ± 0.5</b>	<b>-0.0043 ± 0.0016</b>			ML	c
		0.3 ± 0.3	0.2 ± 0.1*	<b>0.8 ± 0.1</b>	<b>-0.0016 ± 0.0002</b>	<b>1.0 ± 0.1</b>	<b>1.1 ± 0.1</b>	BML	c

are representative of the trends observed in wider areas (underway data). This does not hold in the Kerguelen bloom because stations O12 and A3 are generally in high production areas, while the underway data averaged in the boxes also include lower production areas (Fig. 1). In the next sections we will focus on the results from the mixed layer (stations) data that we grouped in three domains (PFZ HNLC, POOZ HNLC and bloom regions). We choose this dataset because it can be completed by subsurface data, allowing for the calculation of anthropogenic CO<sub>2</sub> (C<sub>ant</sub>).

### 3.2 Drivers of surface fCO<sub>2</sub> and pH trends


#### 3.2.1 Accumulation of anthropogenic CO<sub>2</sub>

To gain insight into the C<sub>T</sub>, fCO<sub>2</sub> and pH trends, we separated the natural versus anthropogenic CO<sub>2</sub> contributions in subsurface water masses by selecting the data below the summer mixed layer (BML in Table 1). As described in Sect. 2, we used two methods to estimate C<sub>ant</sub>. In the investigated domain south of the SAF we obtained C<sub>ant</sub> concentrations ranging from 40 to 75 μmol kg<sup>-1</sup> for the TrOCA method and from 35 to 80 μmol kg<sup>-1</sup> for the C<sup>0</sup> method. The difference in C<sub>ant</sub> concentrations can be explained by uncertainties in the pre-industrial terms (TrOCA<sup>0</sup>, Eq. 3; C<sup>0,PI</sup>, Eq. 5; e.g. Pardo et al., 2014). Since the pre-industrial terms should remain steady for a given water mass, the errors cancel out when estimating a change in C<sub>ant</sub>, and therefore the two methods give very similar trends ranging from +0.5 to +1.1 μmol kg<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> depending on the region (Table 1). In

most regions, the C<sub>ant</sub> trend is relatively close to the C<sub>T</sub> trend calculated below the mixed layer (BML in Table 1, Fig. 5). Some small differences can be attributed to natural processes (e.g. change in biological activity or mixing) that need to be considered when interpreting the fCO<sub>2</sub> and pH trends. Our results also show a north–south gradient of C<sub>ant</sub> trends (Table 1), with higher values at the two northernmost sites (+0.9 to +1.1 μmol kg<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>, stations O6 and O12), medium values farther south in the PFZ (+0.7 to +0.9 μmol kg<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>, stations O7–O9) and lower trends south of the PF (+0.5 to +0.7 μmol kg<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>, stations A3, O10 and O11).

#### 3.2.2 The polar front zone

Data collected in the PFZ between Crozet and Kerguelen islands (stations O7–O9) show a surface fCO<sub>2</sub> increase between +1.0 and +1.6 μatm yr<sup>-1</sup> and a pH decrease between -0.0009 and -0.0016 yr<sup>-1</sup> (Table 2). At stations O7 and O9 the fCO<sub>2</sub> and pH trends in the mixed layer are similar (around +1.5 μatm yr<sup>-1</sup> and -0.0015 yr<sup>-1</sup>) and coherent with those derived from underway fCO<sub>2</sub> or A<sub>T</sub>–C<sub>T</sub> observations averaged in the PFZ HNLC domain. However, the processes that explain these trends are different. At Station O7, the rapid increase in C<sub>T</sub> (+1.4 μmol kg<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>) is countered by an increase in A<sub>T</sub> (+0.4 μmol kg<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>) and the cooling of surface waters (Fig. 6a and b). A very similar increase in A<sub>T</sub> is observed below the mixed layer, while the C<sub>T</sub> trend is slightly lower and mainly explained by the accumulation of C<sub>ant</sub> (Table 1). The small difference between the surface and subsurface C<sub>T</sub> trends of +0.4 μmol kg<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> at Station O7



**Figure 5.**  $C_T$  trends in the summer mixed layer (ML) and below the summer mixed layer (BML). Decomposition of  $C_T^{\text{BML}}$  in  $C_{\text{ant}}$  (TrOCA and  $C^0$  methods) and  $C_{\text{bio}}$  from  $C^0$  method. The three phytoplanktonic bloom stations are reported in yellow (last three) and are separated from the HNLC stations (first five, shown in blue). To help the interpretation, a map with the localisation of these station is included (same map as Fig. 1).

**Table 2.** Trends (per year) evaluated in the HNLC part of PFZ, from the fCO<sub>2</sub> surface dataset, the  $A_T$  and  $C_T$  surface dataset and the  $A_T$  and  $C_T$  data in the mixed layer at each station (O7–O9), estimated between (a) 1998 and 2019 or (b) 1998 and 2017. The significant trends (Student's  $t$  test) are represented in bold (at 95 %) or with a star (at 90 %).

	Temperature °C yr <sup>-1</sup>	Salinity yr <sup>-1</sup>	$A_T$ µmol kg <sup>-1</sup> yr <sup>-1</sup>	$C_T$ µmol kg <sup>-1</sup> yr <sup>-1</sup>	fCO <sub>2</sub> µatm yr <sup>-1</sup>	pH (TS) per year	
fCO <sub>2</sub> dataset	0.02 ± 0.03	0.000 ± 0.002	0.0 ± 0.1	<b>0.6 ± 0.2</b>	<b>1.8 ± 0.2</b>	<b>-0.0018 ± 0.0002</b>	a
$A_T$ $C_T$ dataset	0.02 ± 0.02	-0.002 ± 0.002	-0.1 ± 0.2	<b>0.4 ± 0.2</b>	<b>1.3 ± 0.5</b>	<b>-0.0014 ± 0.0005</b>	a
O7	-0.05 ± 0.04	0.000 ± 0.002	<b>0.4 ± 0.2</b>	<b>1.4 ± 0.5</b>	<b>1.5 ± 0.7</b>	<b>-0.0015 ± 0.0008</b>	b
O8	0.03 ± 0.05	-0.001 ± 0.002	<b>0.4 ± 0.2</b>	0.6 ± 0.5	1.0 ± 0.7*	-0.0009 ± 0.0007	b
O9	0.03 ± 0.03	-0.001 ± 0.002	0.1 ± 0.1	<b>0.6 ± 0.3</b>	<b>1.6 ± 0.6</b>	<b>-0.0016 ± 0.0006</b>	a

could be due to a larger accumulation of  $C_{\text{ant}}$  or higher natural variability at the surface. In contrast, at Station O9 the  $C_T$  trends are the same in surface and subsurface waters and identical to the  $C_{\text{ant}}$  trend below the mixed layer (all around +0.7 µmol kg<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>). At this station we did not observe a significant trend in temperature or  $A_T$ , and thus surface fCO<sub>2</sub> and pH trends are solely attributed to the accumulation of  $C_{\text{ant}}$  (Figs. 5 and 6). Finally, Station O8 shows a mixed scenario: the surface  $C_T$  trend is as low as for Station O9 (around +0.6 µmol kg<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>) and could be mainly explained by the accumulation of  $C_{\text{ant}}$ , but the trends in surface fCO<sub>2</sub> and pH are also affected by an increase in  $A_T$  (like for Station O7). Note that at Station O8 the  $C_T$  trend observed below the mixed layer could result from both anthropogenic and natural processes, as suggested by the decrease in oxygen (although not significant, not shown) that could reflect an increase in organic matter remineralisation (significant contribution of  $C_{\text{bio}}$  in Fig. 5).


### 3.2.3 The POOZ HNLC

South of the polar front in the POOZ HNLC waters we found similar results at the northern station (O10 around 50° S) and southern station (O11 at 56° S) (Figs. 5 and 6). In this large domain, the surface fCO<sub>2</sub> increase (between +1.7 and +2.3 µatm yr<sup>-1</sup>) and pH decrease (between -0.0017 and -0.0023 yr<sup>-1</sup>) are mainly explained by the  $C_T$  increase (be-

tween +0.5 and +0.9 µmol kg<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>) and, to a lesser extent, by the sea surface warming (up to +0.04 °C yr<sup>-1</sup>) (Table 3, Fig. 6). In this region we detect only a small increase in  $A_T$  at Station O10 (+0.2 µmol kg<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>; Table 3). Results obtained below the mixed layer at both stations suggest that the  $C_T$  change (+0.9 µmol kg<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>) can be mainly explained by the accumulation of  $C_{\text{ant}}$  (between +0.5 and +0.7 µmol kg<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>; Table 1), but other processes (or residuals of about 30 %) also contribute to the trend (Fig. 5).

### 3.2.4 Phytoplanktonic blooms near Crozet and Kerguelen islands

In the phytoplanktonic bloom regions near Crozet and Kerguelen islands, fCO<sub>2</sub> increased and pH decreased faster than in the corresponding HNLC waters (PFZ or POOZ; Table 4, Fig. 4e and f). North of Crozet Island (Station O6, in the PFZ), the increase in fCO<sub>2</sub> (+2.2 ± 0.8 µatm yr<sup>-1</sup>) and decrease in pH (-0.0023 ± 0.0008 yr<sup>-1</sup>) are mainly driven by a rapid increase in  $C_T$  (+1.3 ± 0.7 µmol kg<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>; Table 4, Fig. 6). A similar  $C_T$  trend is found below the mixed layer (Table 1) and is mainly explained by the increase in  $C_{\text{ant}}$  (around +0.9 or +1.0 µmol kg<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>; Table 1, Fig. 5). The fastest trends of fCO<sub>2</sub> and pH are found around Kerguelen Island at Station O12 in the PFZ (+4.0 ± 1.4 µatm yr<sup>-1</sup> and -0.0043 ± 0.0016 yr<sup>-1</sup>) and Station A3 in the POOZ (+3.2 ± 0.7 µatm yr<sup>-1</sup> and -0.0039 ± 0.0008 yr<sup>-1</sup>; Table 4).



**Figure 6.** Trends and decomposition of fCO<sub>2</sub> (a) and pH (b) in the summer mixed layer, according to Eq. (9). The effect of change in salinity (*S*), temperature (*T*), *A<sub>T</sub>* and *C<sub>T</sub>* is shown. The three phytoplanktonic bloom stations are reported in yellow (last three) and are separated from the HNLC stations (first five, shown in blue). To help the interpretation, a map with the localisation of these station is included (same map as Fig. 1).

**Table 3.** Trends (per year) evaluated in the HNLC part of (1) the north and (2) the south POOZ, from the fCO<sub>2</sub> surface dataset, the *A<sub>T</sub>* and *C<sub>T</sub>* surface dataset, and the *A<sub>T</sub>* and *C<sub>T</sub>* data in the mixed layer at each station (O10 and O11), estimated between (a) 1998 and 2019. The significant trends (Student's *t* test) are represented in bold (at 95 %) or with a star (at 90 %).

	Temperature °C yr <sup>-1</sup>	Salinity yr <sup>-1</sup>	<i>A<sub>T</sub></i> μmol kg <sup>-1</sup> yr <sup>-1</sup>	<i>C<sub>T</sub></i> μmol kg <sup>-1</sup> yr <sup>-1</sup>	fCO <sub>2</sub> μatm yr <sup>-1</sup>	pH (TS) per year	
(1) fCO <sub>2</sub> dataset	<b>0.03 ± 0.02</b>	-0.001 ± 0.002	-0.1 ± 0.1	<b>0.5 ± 0.2</b>	<b>1.9 ± 0.3</b>	<b>-0.0019 ± 0.0004</b>	a
<i>A<sub>T</sub></i> <i>C<sub>T</sub></i> dataset	<b>0.04 ± 0.02</b>	-0.001 ± 0.002	0.1 ± 0.2	<b>0.5 ± 0.3</b>	<b>1.7 ± 0.4</b>	<b>-0.0018 ± 0.0005</b>	a
O10	<b>0.04 ± 0.02</b>	-0.002 ± 0.002	0.2 ± 0.1*	<b>0.9 ± 0.3</b>	<b>2.3 ± 0.6</b>	<b>-0.0023 ± 0.0006</b>	a
(2) fCO <sub>2</sub> dataset	0.02 ± 0.02	0.000 ± 0.002	0.0 ± 0.1	<b>0.7 ± 0.2</b>	<b>2.1 ± 0.3</b>	<b>-0.0022 ± 0.0003</b>	a
<i>A<sub>T</sub></i> <i>C<sub>T</sub></i> dataset	0.02 ± 0.02	0.000 ± 0.002	0.1 ± 0.2	<b>0.6 ± 0.3</b>	<b>1.7 ± 0.4</b>	<b>-0.0018 ± 0.0004</b>	a
O11	0.04 ± 0.03	0.000 ± 0.002	0.1 ± 0.1	<b>0.5 ± 0.3</b>	<b>1.7 ± 0.3</b>	<b>-0.0017 ± 0.0003</b>	a

These trends result from both a significant warming (up to  $+0.07 \pm 0.03$  °C yr<sup>-1</sup>) and a rapid increase in *C<sub>T</sub>* (up to  $+1.4 \pm 0.5$  μmol kg<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>; Table 4, Fig. 6). The *C<sub>T</sub>* trend is slightly lower below the mixed layer at Station O12 ( $+0.8 \pm 0.1$  μmol kg<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>; Table 1) and is mainly explained by the accumulation of *C<sub>ant</sub>* (Table 1, Fig. 5). Our data also show an increase in oxygen below the mixed layer at Station O12 (not shown) suggesting a decrease in organic matter remineralisation that could be partly compensated for by an increase in carbonate dissolution (increase in *A<sub>T</sub>*; Table 1), leading to a small negative contribution of *C<sub>bio</sub>* (Fig. 5). Note that at Station A3, repeated sampling started in 2005, and the trends were thus estimated for a shorter period (2005–2019) with fewer data collected in the water column than underway. This probably explains why the *C<sub>T</sub>*, *C<sub>ant</sub>* and

pH trends are not significant below the mixed layer (Table 1, large uncertainty in *C<sub>ant</sub>* compared to the other stations).

## 4 Discussion

### 4.1 Accumulation of anthropogenic CO<sub>2</sub>

Our *C<sub>ant</sub>* calculations based on subsurface data and two different methods show an increase between  $+0.5$  and  $+1.1$  μmol kg<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>, which suggests that most of the trends in surface *C<sub>T</sub>*, fCO<sub>2</sub> and pH could be explained by the uptake of anthropogenic CO<sub>2</sub> by the ocean. In the region 40–60° S, the *C<sub>T</sub>* increase expected from equilibration with rising atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> ( $+2.1$  μatm yr<sup>-1</sup>) would range from  $+0.8$  to  $+1.2$  μmol kg<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> (theoretical *C<sub>T</sub>* trend calculated at con-

**Table 4.** Trends (per year) evaluated in the phytoplanktonic bloom of (1) Crozet in the PFZ and of Kerguelen in the (2) PFZ and (3) POOZ, from the fCO<sub>2</sub> surface dataset, the A<sub>T</sub> and C<sub>T</sub> surface dataset, and the A<sub>T</sub> and C<sub>T</sub> data in the mixed layer at each station (O6, O12 and A3), estimated between (c) 1999 and 2019, (d) 2000 and 2019, and (e) 2005 and 2019. The significant trends (Student's *t* test) are represented in bold (at 95 %) or with a star (at 90 %).

	Temperature °C yr <sup>-1</sup>	Salinity yr <sup>-1</sup>	A <sub>T</sub> μmol kg <sup>-1</sup> yr <sup>-1</sup>	C <sub>T</sub> μmol kg <sup>-1</sup> yr <sup>-1</sup>	fCO <sub>2</sub> μatm yr <sup>-1</sup>	pH (TS) per year		
(1)	fCO <sub>2</sub> dataset	-0.01 ± 0.05	-0.001 ± 0.003	0.0 ± 0.2	<b>1.2 ± 0.7</b>	<b>2.6 ± 0.7</b>	<b>-0.0028 ± 0.0007</b>	d
	A <sub>T</sub> C <sub>T</sub> dataset	-0.03 ± 0.05	-0.002 ± 0.003	0.1 ± 0.3	<b>1.3 ± 0.7</b>	<b>2.2 ± 0.7</b>	<b>-0.0024 ± 0.0008</b>	d
	O6	-0.02 ± 0.06	-0.001 ± 0.003	0.2 ± 0.3	<b>1.3 ± 0.7</b>	<b>2.2 ± 0.8</b>	<b>-0.0023 ± 0.0008</b>	d
(2)	fCO <sub>2</sub> dataset	<b>0.07 ± 0.03</b>	-0.001 ± 0.003	-0.1 ± 0.2	<b>0.9 ± 0.3</b>	<b>3.0 ± 0.6</b>	<b>-0.0032 ± 0.0006</b>	c
	A <sub>T</sub> C <sub>T</sub> dataset	<b>0.06 ± 0.03</b>	-0.002 ± 0.003	-0.1 ± 0.2	<b>0.9 ± 0.4</b>	<b>3.0 ± 0.7</b>	<b>-0.0033 ± 0.0008</b>	c
	O12	<b>0.07 ± 0.03</b>	-0.001 ± 0.002	-0.1 ± 0.2	<b>1.4 ± 0.5</b>	<b>4.0 ± 1.4</b>	<b>-0.0043 ± 0.0016</b>	c
(3)	fCO <sub>2</sub> dataset	0.04 ± 0.02*	-0.002 ± 0.002	-0.1 ± 0.1	0.4 ± 0.7	1.5 ± 1.1*	-0.0018 ± 0.0013*	e
	A <sub>T</sub> C <sub>T</sub> dataset	0.05 ± 0.02*	-0.005 ± 0.004	0.2 ± 0.4	0.6 ± 0.4	1.6 ± 1.0*	-0.0018 ± 0.0012*	e
	A3	0.05 ± 0.03*	0.000 ± 0.002	-0.2 ± 0.3	<b>1.1 ± 0.3</b>	<b>3.2 ± 0.7</b>	<b>-0.0039 ± 0.0008</b>	e

stant A<sub>T</sub>, temperature and salinity). This range is due to the north–south variation in the C<sub>T</sub>/A<sub>T</sub> ratio (or Revelle factor) from 0.88 at 45° S to 0.94 at 60° S (from 10 to 15 for the Revelle factor) based on our A<sub>T</sub>–C<sub>T</sub> observations. We thus expect to observe lower C<sub>ant</sub> trends to the south (where the C<sub>T</sub>/A<sub>T</sub> ratio is higher), which is in very good agreement with our results (Table 1). Such contrasting C<sub>ant</sub> trends in the upper ocean north and south of the PF seem to be a permanent feature in the Southern Ocean (e.g. McNeil et al., 2001; Sabine et al., 2008; Tanhua et al., 2017; Gruber et al., 2019a, b). This latitudinal contrast is coherent with the C<sub>ant</sub> change in the upper layer, estimated at the global scale by Gruber et al. (2019a) between 1994 and 2007. In the southern Indian sector, they estimated a mean C<sub>ant</sub> accumulation of +10.7 (± 1.0) μmol kg<sup>-1</sup> in the band 45–50° S and +6.0 (± 1.1) μmol kg<sup>-1</sup> in the band 50–55° S south of the PF. This corresponds to a trend of +0.8 μmol kg<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> in the north and of +0.5 μmol kg<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> in the south, in good agreement with our results in the PFZ and POOZ (Table 1). Notice that this is an independent comparison as Gruber et al. (2019a, b) did not use the data presented here because their diagnostic approach requires phosphate data in the water column that were not measured during our cruises in 1998–2007. Our results also suggest higher C<sub>ant</sub> trends in the PFZ at the two northernmost stations (+0.9 to +1.1 μmol kg<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>). Tanhua et al. (2017) also evaluated pronounced C<sub>T</sub> changes (up to +1.2 μmol kg<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>) in subsurface waters of the PFZ from observations conducted in the South Atlantic (at about 0–10° E) between 1990 and 2012. Note that the increase in C<sub>ant</sub> in the POOZ is expected to become slower and slower as the Revelle factor increases slightly over time (by about +0.025 yr<sup>-1</sup> from 1998 to 2019 in the POOZ), which would reduce the CO<sub>2</sub> uptake by the ocean. However, the upwelling of C<sub>T</sub>-rich but C<sub>ant</sub>-poor deep waters is also an important process to consider as it controls both the relatively low C<sub>ant</sub> concentrations estimated below the mixed layer south of the

PF (e.g. Lo Monaco et al., 2005a; Pardo et al., 2014) and the uptake of CO<sub>2</sub> from the atmosphere (e.g. Le Quéré et al., 2007; Metzl, 2009).

## 4.2 Trends and drivers in the POOZ

Although the C<sub>ant</sub> trends are smaller in the POOZ, the fCO<sub>2</sub> and pH trends in surface waters are slightly higher there than those observed in HNLC waters of the PFZ. This is because in the POOZ the fCO<sub>2</sub> and pH trends are driven by both C<sub>ant</sub> (accounting for about 75 %) and the warming in surface waters (25 %). The warming in the POOZ over 1998–2019 deduced from our summer data both at the surface and in the mixed layer (between +0.02 and +0.05 °C yr<sup>-1</sup>) agrees with SST decadal trends derived at large scale in the Southern Ocean (e.g. Freeman and Lovenduski, 2015, for summer over 1998–2014; Auger et al., 2021, for summer over 1993–2017). In agreement with Auger et al. (2021), our data also indicate a pronounced sea surface warming around Kerguelen Island (between +0.04 and +0.07 °C yr<sup>-1</sup>).

Our data also suggest that in the POOZ HNLC region biological processes did not contribute to the decadal trend of oceanic fCO<sub>2</sub> and C<sub>T</sub>. This is supported by low trends of surface chl *a* concentrations derived from remote sensing data over 1998–2012 (Gregg and Rousseaux, 2014) and confirmed after 2012 (result not shown). We note, however, that biological processes can have a large impact on fCO<sub>2</sub> and C<sub>T</sub> at the interannual scale, which may bias the decadal trends.

### 4.2.1 Comparisons for the HNLC waters of the POOZ

Decadal fCO<sub>2</sub> trends (10 years or more) south of the PF deduced from (more or less) regular observations have been previously investigated in the Indian Ocean sector (Metzl, 2009; Xue et al., 2015), in the Atlantic Ocean sector at Drake Passage (Munro et al., 2015) and at large scale in the Southern Ocean (Takahashi et al., 2009; Lau-

vset et al., 2015; Table S1). Our results in the western Indian POOZ for the period 1998–2019 in summer ( $+2.1 \pm 0.3 \mu\text{atm yr}^{-1}$ ) are close to the fCO<sub>2</sub> trend deduced from winter data selected in the band 50–60° S for the years 1986–2007 ( $+2.1 \pm 0.6 \mu\text{atm yr}^{-1}$ ; Takahashi et al., 2009), whereas Lauvset et al. (2015) estimated a lower fCO<sub>2</sub> trend in the Southern Ocean subpolar seasonally stratified (SO-SPSS) biome ( $+1.5 \pm 0.1 \mu\text{atm yr}^{-1}$  for 1991–2011). Xue et al. (2015) also estimated a much lower fCO<sub>2</sub> rate of  $+1.3 (\pm 0.4) \mu\text{atm yr}^{-1}$  over 1993–2011 using summer observations in the eastern Indian sector, but these authors also noticed very contrasting trends when evaluated on shorter periods:  $+4.5 (\pm 1.0) \mu\text{atm yr}^{-1}$  over 1993–1999 and  $+0.9 (\pm 0.5) \mu\text{atm yr}^{-1}$  over 2000–2011. They attributed this change in the trend to the variation in the Southern Annular Mode (SAM) index happening around the year 2000, a direct link that we did not clearly detect in our observations. Our results in the Indian POOZ for summer are also higher than those observed in the Atlantic sector at Drake Passage over 2002–2015 (Munro et al., 2015) where the summer trend estimated south of the PF is  $+1.3 (\pm 0.9) \mu\text{atm yr}^{-1}$ . During winter, the fCO<sub>2</sub> trend of  $+0.7 (\pm 0.4) \mu\text{atm yr}^{-1}$  south of the PF at Drake Passage over 2002–2015 (Munro et al., 2015) is more than 2 times lower than our estimate in the Indian POOZ when comparing data collected in August 2000 and June–July 2019 ( $+1.9 \mu\text{atm yr}^{-1}$ ; Appendix A). Those fCO<sub>2</sub> trends in the Drake Passage have been revisited for the years 2002–2016 and extended to the SO-SPSS biome (Fay et al., 2018). In winter Fay et al. (2018) found a fCO<sub>2</sub> trend in the SO-SPSS of  $+1.8 (\pm 0.3) \mu\text{atm yr}^{-1}$ , close to our winter estimate, whereas in summer they found a fCO<sub>2</sub> trend of  $+1.2 (\pm 0.2) \mu\text{atm yr}^{-1}$  much lower than in the atmosphere, suggesting that the carbon sink has been growing over 2002–2016 in the subpolar Southern Ocean (Fay et al., 2018). At first hand, this difference with our result is surprising as Fay et al. (2018) used the fCO<sub>2</sub> data from SOCAT version v5 that included the fCO<sub>2</sub> data from the OISO cruises in the southern Indian sector for the period 2002–2016. Interestingly, when selecting the same period as in Fay et al. (2018), we estimated a trend of  $+0.9 (\pm 0.2) \mu\text{atm yr}^{-1}$  over 2002–2016 in the Indian POOZ, supporting the slow rates derived in the SO-SPSS biome for this period (Fay et al., 2018), as well as the rate observed over 2000–2011 in summer south of the PF in the eastern Indian sector (Xue et al., 2015). This highlights the sensitivity of the fCO<sub>2</sub> (or pH) trends to the period selected (and the start/end year pair), especially during summer (Fay and McKinley, 2013; Xue et al., 2015; Leseurre et al., 2020).

The averaged fCO<sub>2</sub> trend that we estimated over 1998–2019 in the POOZ ( $+2.1 \pm 0.3 \mu\text{atm yr}^{-1}$ ) is close to the trend in the atmosphere, suggesting that there is no significant deviation in CO<sub>2</sub> uptake for equilibration with the atmosphere in summer. This is opposite to a first analysis also based on fCO<sub>2</sub> data in the Indian POOZ but limited to the period 1991–2007 (Metzl, 2009), when the fCO<sub>2</sub> trend for sum-

mer was  $+2.4 (\pm 0.2) \mu\text{atm yr}^{-1}$ , leading to a reduction in the CO<sub>2</sub> sink. However, we found that in recent years oceanic fCO<sub>2</sub> increased at a much smaller rate ( $+0.3 \pm 0.2 \mu\text{atm yr}^{-1}$  over 2007–2019) compared to 1991–2007 (Metzl, 2009). In addition, when selecting the period 1998–2007, we estimated a much more rapid trend of  $+5.3 (\pm 0.4) \mu\text{atm yr}^{-1}$  (Fig. S1 Station O11 in the Supplement), comparable to the trend observed in the eastern Indian sector in summer over 1993–1999 (Xue et al., 2015). This sensitivity of the fCO<sub>2</sub> trends to the period clearly visible in our data and previously reported in other sectors of the Southern Ocean (Xue et al., 2015; Fay et al., 2018) implies significant interannual to pluri-annual variations in the air–sea CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes in the Southern Ocean (e.g. Landschützer et al., 2015, 2016; Keppler and Landschützer, 2019).

The rapid fCO<sub>2</sub> increase in the Southern Ocean observed in the 1990s that implied a reduction of the carbon sink was interpreted as resulting from the intensification of the westerlies associated with a positive SAM index (probably driven by accelerating greenhouse gas emissions and stratospheric ozone depletion), which would have enhanced the upwelling of C<sub>T</sub>-rich deep waters (e.g. Le Quéré et al., 2007; Lenton et al., 2009). Although ocean or coupled climate–carbon models suggest a close large-scale connection between the SAM and the carbon uptake in the Southern Ocean in the 1990s (Lenton and Matear, 2007; Le Quéré et al., 2007; Lovenduski et al., 2007; Lenton et al., 2009; Hauck et al., 2013; Gruber et al., 2019b), this does not hold for recent decades. Over 1990–2019, the mean annual SAM index remained mostly positive (except in 1996, 2002 and 2019), whereas the carbon sink in the Southern Ocean increased in the 2000s as opposed to the 1990s (Landschützer et al., 2015; Fay et al., 2018; Keppler and Landschützer, 2019). The link between the SAM and the fCO<sub>2</sub> trends (and air–sea CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes) at high latitudes based on regional observations over the last two or three decades is still an open question (Lenton et al., 2012; Ritter et al., 2017; Gregor et al., 2018; Keppler and Landschützer, 2019). The same holds for the pH trends that are closely linked to the fCO<sub>2</sub> trends. As opposed to observations in the eastern Indian sector (Xue et al., 2015, 2018), we did not identify in the POOZ a clear link between fCO<sub>2</sub> or pH variability and the SAM index for the period 1998–2019, a conclusion also deduced from the time-series data for the Drake Passage over 2002–2015 (Munro et al., 2015).

For pH our results in the HNLC POOZ over 1998–2019 in summer indicate a decrease ranging from  $-0.0017$  to  $-0.0023 \text{ yr}^{-1}$ . This is in the range of that deduced in the SO-SPSS biome over 1991–2011 ( $-0.0021 \text{ yr}^{-1}$ ; Lauvset et al., 2015), in the eastern Indian sector of the Southern Ocean for the period 1969–2003 ( $-0.0020 \text{ yr}^{-1}$ ; Midorikawa et al., 2012) and close to the trends observed over a shorter period of time in the southern Drake Passage in summer over 2002–2015 ( $-0.0017 \text{ yr}^{-1}$ ; Munro et al., 2015) or in the eastern Indian sector for the period 2001–2011 ( $-0.0016 \text{ yr}^{-1}$ ;

Xue et al., 2018; Table S1). Once again, one should keep in mind that the trends are very sensitive to the period considered. Indeed, our time series in the southern POOZ highlights a rapid pH decrease over 1998–2005 (around  $-0.0050 \pm 0.0016 \text{ yr}^{-1}$ ) and relatively stable pH values over 2010–2019 (Fig. S1 O11). This decadal change in the pH trend is directly linked to  $C_T$  and fCO<sub>2</sub> that also showed reduced trends in recent years in the POOZ. From our data we did not identify specific changes in the trends of other properties (e.g. temperature, salinity,  $A_T$ , oxygen or nutrients), and at that stage we had no clear explanation of the origin of the slowdown of the  $C_T$ , fCO<sub>2</sub> and pH trends in surface waters (e.g. change in circulation, mixing, biological processes).

Our results in HNLC waters of the Indian POOZ show significant pluri-annual variations in the CO<sub>2</sub> sink during summer that support previous studies conducted at the scale of the whole Southern Ocean (e.g. Landschützer et al. 2016), but our results only characterise the summer period, and one may wonder whether they also hold for the winter period. This issue was investigated using alternative data collected in our study region by a Saildrone USV (uncrewed surface vehicle) in June–July 2019 (Sutton et al., 2021) compared to OISO data collected in August 2000 (Appendix A).

#### 4.2.2 Trends above Kerguelen Plateau south of the PF (Station A3)

Station A3 is located just south of the polar front above Kerguelen Plateau (Fig. 1) in a spring–summer bloom that occurs each year in this iron-fertilised area (Blain et al., 2007; Jouandet et al., 2008). An interesting result at this station is the fast trends derived in the mixed layer for  $C_T$  ( $+1.1 \mu\text{mol kg}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ ), fCO<sub>2</sub> ( $+3.2 \mu\text{atm yr}^{-1}$ ) and pH ( $-0.0039 \text{ yr}^{-1}$ ) that are much higher than those obtained in HNLC waters (for example at Station O10 south-west of Kerguelen). It is worth remembering that fewer re-occupations were conducted at Station A3 since it was first sampled in 2005 (Fig. S1 A3), and, as this region presents high  $C_T$ , fCO<sub>2</sub> and pH variability in summer (both spatial and temporal) linked to the level and phasing of the phytoplankton bloom, the trends have larger uncertainties. For example, in late January 2014 and 2019 we observed relatively lower fCO<sub>2</sub> and  $C_T$  concentrations compared to the preceding years (Fig. S1 A3) that were linked to a more pronounced bloom during these periods. At that location, results from a multi-sensor mooring deployed from October 2016 to April 2017 showed high fCO<sub>2</sub> and  $C_T$  temporal variability in the surface layer (within 300–400  $\mu\text{atm}$  and 2120–2160  $\mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$  at 40 m; Pellichero et al., 2020). The underway surface fCO<sub>2</sub> data collected in this region in summer also presented very large spatial variability each year with gradients up to 100  $\mu\text{atm}$  between data within and outside the bloom, as was first observed in January 1991 in this region (Poisson et al., 1993). Large spatial variability was also found in the underway  $C_T$  data in which differences of  $C_T$

within and out of the bloom can reach 30  $\mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$  (Jouandet et al., 2008). At Station A3, the fCO<sub>2</sub>, pH and  $C_T$  trends derived from the  $A_T$ – $C_T$  data in the mixed layer are much stronger than those derived from surface underway data (Table 4). This is because we averaged the surface underway data in a grid square of  $1^\circ \times 1^\circ$  (the red box around Station A3 in Fig. 2), which somehow smooths the high spatial variability in most properties, whereas the mixed layer (station) data were all collected at the same position (but at different periods from mid-January to late-February). As an extreme case we compared the fCO<sub>2</sub> data in January 1991 (Poisson et al., 1993) with those obtained in January 2019 in the same region. Surprisingly, we observed almost the same fCO<sub>2</sub> values (Fig. S2 in the Supplement), although one would expect an increase of about  $+50 \mu\text{atm}$  over 28 years due to anthropogenic CO<sub>2</sub> uptake, not considering the warming of  $+0.7^\circ\text{C}$  between 1991 and 2019. Thus, in January 2019 the region was a strong carbon sink reaching  $-100 \mu\text{atm}$ , whereas it was about  $-40 \mu\text{atm}$  in 1991. Again, this highlights the large interannual variations that are observed in summer in this bloom region and that limit the detection of the decadal trends in this region.

At Station A3, the data collected below the mixed layer (in the winter water) could partially reflect the properties of the surface layer during the preceding winter. Thus, our data may suggest lower trends in surface  $C_T$  and pH in winter than the rapid trends observed in summer in the surface mixed layer. However, this result is highly uncertain given the error associated with the trends derived below the mixed layer (Table 1). We have no direct observations in winter, but Station A3 was sampled in October 2005, 2011 and 2016 (pre-bloom periods before the summer stratification; e.g. Pellichero et al., 2020). Based on these three cruises we estimated an increase in fCO<sub>2</sub> of  $+2.2 (\pm 0.5) \mu\text{atm yr}^{-1}$  (or  $+1.8 \mu\text{atm yr}^{-1}$  when correcting for the observed warming of  $+0.05^\circ\text{C yr}^{-1}$ ) close to the atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> increase. Using the  $A_T$ – $S$  relationship (Eq. 1) and fCO<sub>2</sub> data in October 2005, 2011 and 2016, we estimated a  $C_T$  increase of  $+0.50 (\pm 0.15) \mu\text{mol kg}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ , which is 2 times smaller than the trend obtained in summer from the mixed layer data but close to that deduced from the underway data (Table 4) and equal to the  $C_{\text{ant}}$  trend calculated below the mixed layer (Table 1). Results for pH calculated with fCO<sub>2</sub> data for October 2005, 2011 and 2016 lead to a decrease in pH with a rate of  $-0.0021 \text{ yr}^{-1} (\pm 0.0006)$ , in better agreement with the values obtained in summer using underway data than using the station data (Table 4).

In conclusion, although there are large uncertainties about the fCO<sub>2</sub> and pH trends at Station A3 above the Kerguelen Plateau due to the variability in summer and the short time series (2005–2019), we believe that the rapid trends derived in the surface layer from the station data (in summer) are somehow real, but they result from a rapid increase in fCO<sub>2</sub> (decrease in pH) between 2007 and 2008 (detected in the station and underway datasets; Fig. S1 A3) that was followed by the

stagnation of fCO<sub>2</sub> and pH values in recent years (according to the station dataset) or a reversal of the trends (according to the underway datasets). The reasons for such changes are still unknown, but this result highlights the need for pursuing this time series in order to properly predict the evolution of the carbonate system and the impact of ocean acidification in this region known as a biological hotspot.

### 4.3 Trends and drivers in the PFZ

For the PFZ lying between the SAF and the PF (Fig. 1), we separated the trend analysis in three domains: the HNLC zone between Crozet and Kerguelen islands (stations O7, O8 and O9) and the two northernmost stations located in fertilised waters north of Crozet (Station O6) and north of Kerguelen (Station O12).

#### 4.3.1 Trends in the PFZ between Crozet and Kerguelen (stations O7–O9)

To estimate the trends of the properties at a regional scale in the PFZ HNLC waters we averaged the data along the repeated track between Crozet and Kerguelen islands (Fig. 2). In this domain, the fCO<sub>2</sub> and pH trends deduced from the underway and mixed layer (station) datasets appeared slightly lower than at higher latitudes (Fig. 4, Table 2). Because the  $C_{\text{ant}}$  trends are higher in the PFZ than in the POOZ, one would expect to observe higher fCO<sub>2</sub> and pH trends in the PFZ, but this is the opposite.

This region is influenced by the southern branch of the strong SAF current (observed in the band 43–47° S) with a part deflected southward downstream of Crozet Island (Park et al., 1993). This circulation imprints zonal variability in surface properties and is most pronounced in the western part of the track around 55–58° E where a signal of warmer water and lower fCO<sub>2</sub> was regularly observed in all seasons (Poisson et al., 1993). An example is shown for the OISO-14 cruise in January 2006 (Fig. S3 in the Supplement) showing low fCO<sub>2</sub> and  $C_T$  around 56–59° E and higher concentrations east of 60° E (this is also seen for nutrients, not shown). Such a zonal distribution motivated the repeated occupations of stations O7 and O8 located, respectively, at 58 and 60° E: Station O7 was initially selected to reoccupy a historical station visited in 1978 and 1985 (GEOSECS and INDIGO-1 cruises) and to sample the intrusion of the warmer water from the north, while Station O8 aimed at sampling water in the PFZ less influenced by the southern branch of the SAF current. Station O9 is located on the western side of the Kerguelen archipelago and north of the Skiff Bank centred around 50° S, 65° E that rises up to 200 m below the surface (Fig. 2). This topographic feature controls the position of the PF that experiences seasonal and interannual variability in this region (Pauthenet et al., 2018). As opposed to stations O7 and O8, a temperature minimum (between 1.7 and 2.3 °C) was observed almost each year around 200 m at Station O9 char-

acterising the winter water and indicating that this location was very close to the PF at the boundary between the PFZ and the POOZ. Data from Station O9 were thus successfully selected to mimic  $C_T$  and fCO<sub>2</sub> distribution for austral winter in the Southern Ocean (Mackay and Watson, 2021).

The variability in the frontal system and currents in the PFZ between Crozet and Kerguelen islands imprints inter-annual changes in surface properties, which are more pronounced than in the POOZ. Note that for some years, not all three stations were visited (see Fig. S1 stations O7–O9), and the trends might be sensitive to the sampled years. For these reasons (frontal zone and sampling), we expected to observe some differences in the decadal change in surface properties, as well as in the drivers of fCO<sub>2</sub> and pH trends (Fig. 6). Indeed, at Station O7 we observed a cooling in surface waters not identified at stations O8 and O9 (Fig. 4a, Table 2) that actually occurred over a short period (2010–2017; see Fig. S1 O7). Over this short period, we also observed a rapid increase in  $C_T$ , which explains that the  $C_T$  trend in surface waters was also much higher at Station O7 than at stations O8 and O9 (Fig. 4d, Table 2). The surface underway data recorded near Station O7 (within the red box in Fig. 1) also showed a small cooling and higher  $C_T$  trend (Fig. S1 O7), supporting this signal. As cooling is also seen at depth at Station O7 (down to 300 m) we do not attribute this cooling to a change in air–sea heat fluxes. Instead, this is probably linked to the variability in the frontal system or of the meandering structure of the currents downstream of Crozet Island.

An intriguing result for stations O7 and O8 is the positive  $A_T$  trend observed in the mixed layer and below the mixed layer but not identified from underway  $A_T$  data and not observed at Station O9 (Tables 1 and 2). This  $A_T$  signal is not seen when reconstructing  $A_T$  from salinity, suggesting that it is biologically driven (e.g. presence of calcifying organisms; Balch et al., 2016) and that the  $A_T$ –S relationship is not always suitable to mimic the  $A_T$  distribution in this region. The impact of the  $A_T$  increase in the mixed layer is to decrease (increase) fCO<sub>2</sub> (pH), which opposes the effect of anthropogenic CO<sub>2</sub> uptake (Fig. 6a and b).

#### 4.3.2 The phytoplanktonic bloom regions in the PFZ (stations O6 and O12)

Over the full period 1998–2019, the fastest fCO<sub>2</sub> and pH trends were observed at stations O6 and O12 located in the vicinity of Crozet and Kerguelen islands in phytoplanktonic bloom regions north of the PF (in the PFZ). As noted above, the  $C_{\text{ant}}$  trends at these northernmost stations are the highest (related to the  $C_T/A_T$  ratio and possibly less mixing in winter with the  $C_{\text{ant}}$ -poor deep waters).

#### The Crozet bloom (Station O6)

Station O6 is located at 45° S north of Crozet Island and south of the SAF and is close to or within the iron-fertilised



phytoplankton bloom that occurs annually from September to January in this region (Planquette et al., 2007; Pollard et al., 2007; Venables et al., 2007; Sanial et al., 2014; Fig. 1). This bloom usually peaks in October, and therefore the biogeochemical data used in this study are the result of the biological processes that occurred a few months preceding the cruises. Thus, we could expect that the trends that we observed at this location are less sensitive to the cruise period (between December and February) than those observed at Station A3 (described above) where the bloom generally peaks in December.

Previous analyses showed that this region experienced high spatial variability in fCO<sub>2</sub> (up to 100 µatm over a few miles) depending on the location of the frontal system and the bloom (Poisson et al., 1993; Metzl et al., 2006; Bakker et al., 2007). During several summer cruises we locally observed very low fCO<sub>2</sub> (< 280 µatm) and low C<sub>T</sub> (near or < 2060 µmol kg<sup>-1</sup>) in the region 44–46° S. However, such local signals were smoothed when averaging the data in the 1° × 1° grid box, so they will not strongly impact the observed decadal trends derived from the underway datasets. Occasionally, we also observed low A<sub>T</sub> concentrations probably linked to intermittent coccolithophorid blooms occurring in this region (Balch et al., 2016; Terrats et al., 2020). These A<sub>T</sub> variations that drive higher fCO<sub>2</sub> were rather local and had no impact on the decadal A<sub>T</sub> trend that could result from a progressive increase or decline in calcifying species production in this region over 20 years as was suggested in other Southern Ocean sectors (e.g. Freeman and Lovenduski, 2015).

The Crozet bloom presents interannual variations (in location, extent and duration) that imprints variability in C<sub>T</sub> and fCO<sub>2</sub> and could lead to uncertainty in the decadal trends. In addition, the station location at 45° S being close to the frontal system and meandering structure north of Crozet Island (Park et al., 1993; Pollard et al., 2007), it also experienced significant variations clearly identified in both temperature and salinity (Fig. S1 O6). This suggests that different water masses were sampled in different years. This, together with the bloom intensity, explains that the uncertainties in the trends for all properties are larger at Station O6 than at most other stations (Table 4). For example, sea surface was 3 °C warmer in 2001 than the year before (Fig. S1 O6), and C<sub>T</sub> concentration was lower by 40 µmol kg<sup>-1</sup>. Consequently, fCO<sub>2</sub> was lower in 2001 than in 2000 by about 40 µatm despite higher SST. In 2001, the C<sub>T</sub> concentration was the lowest of the time series. This C<sub>T</sub> anomaly in 2001 is not associated with much higher chl *a* compared to 2000 (not shown), so we conclude that it is due to different water mass characteristics rather than local biological processes (i.e. warmer water from the north in 2001). The same conclusion applies at the end of the time series (in 2018–2019) when C<sub>T</sub> was low compared to previous years and reversed the trend caused by the accumulation of anthropogenic CO<sub>2</sub>. However, satellite data suggest that the bloom was relatively

weak in 2014–2016, which probably adds to the accumulation of C<sub>ant</sub> and led to high C<sub>T</sub> and fCO<sub>2</sub> values for 3 consecutive years. Despite spatial variations around Station O6 caused by the bloom or water mass transport, the C<sub>T</sub>, fCO<sub>2</sub> and pH trends evaluated for 2000–2019 around Station O6 are almost the same for the three different datasets (Table 4, Fig. 4), confirming the rapid fCO<sub>2</sub> increase (+2.6 µatm yr<sup>-1</sup>) and pH decrease (−0.0028 yr<sup>-1</sup>). As temperature, salinity and A<sub>T</sub> did not change significantly over 20 years, the fast fCO<sub>2</sub> and pH trends in this region are directly linked to the rapid increase in C<sub>T</sub> in surface waters (+1.3 µmol kg<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>; Figs. 5 and 6).

The large interannual variations observed in surface waters were not detected below the mixed layer, and thus the trends in C<sub>T</sub> and C<sub>ant</sub> below the mixed layer present lower uncertainties compared to those estimated in surface waters (Table 1). Note that at this station C<sub>ant</sub> concentrations were calculated between 75 and 125 m (below the summer mixed layer), which is below the deep chlorophyll maximum occasionally observed at this station (around 50–75 m). The C<sub>ant</sub> trend calculated here (around +0.9 or +1.0 µmol kg<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> depending on the method) is in very good agreement with the theoretical trend of +0.9 µmol kg<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> calculated at 45° S assuming that the ocean is in equilibrium with the atmosphere (using an atmospheric fCO<sub>2</sub> increase of +2.1 µatm yr<sup>-1</sup> and constant A<sub>T</sub>).

To conclude, our observations in this fertilised oceanic domain north of Crozet Island showed a fast increase in fCO<sub>2</sub> and a fast decrease in pH that are mainly driven by the accumulation of anthropogenic CO<sub>2</sub>. The relative stability of the biological processes over the last two decades is confirmed by nutrient data (here silicate and nitrate) that did not present any significant trend over 1998–2019. The same is true for surface chl *a* derived from SeaWiFS and MODIS at that location (45° S, 52° E) or when averaged over the bloom region (e.g. Gregg and Rousseaux, 2014). Processes such as mixing or advection also appear secondary to explain the observed decadal fCO<sub>2</sub> and pH changes in this region, although we observed occasionally different water masses at the station location. Finally, we note that our data suggest a small cooling around Station O6 (although not significant) that agrees with the analysis by Auger et al. (2021) and would lower the fCO<sub>2</sub> and pH trends (as opposed to the warming observed around Kerguelen and in the POOZ).

### The Kerguelen bloom (Station O12)

Station O12 is located north of Kerguelen Island between the PF and the SAF, and like for Station O6 described above, it experienced strong phytoplankton blooms in spring–summer (Fig. 1). This station is situated near the bottom of the slope of Kerguelen Plateau just south of a very strong dynamic eastward jet (sometimes up to 1.5 ms<sup>-1</sup> based on acoustic Doppler current profiler, ADCP, data), associated with the southern branch of the SAF (around 45–47° S) and regu-

larly observed in this region (Park et al., 1993; Laurantou and Metzl, 2011). This strong eastward current can transport the bloom triggered in the fertilised waters above or close to Kerguelen Plateau (around 70° E) as far as 100° E. This bloom generally starts in November and presents high interannual variability. For example, a very strong bloom occurred in austral summer 1997–1998. In the beginning of February 1998, we observed very high chl *a* concentrations at Station O12 (up to 17 µg L<sup>-1</sup> in the layer 0–30 m). The surface fCO<sub>2</sub> was very low, around 215 µatm at Station O12 (with minima below 200 µatm to the south), as well as C<sub>T</sub> concentrations (around 2030 µmol kg<sup>-1</sup> at Station O12; Fig. S1 O12). The 1998 bloom was also marked by very high O<sub>2</sub> concentrations (up to 357 µmol kg<sup>-1</sup> never observed previously in the PFZ circumpolar waters) that caused low AOU values (around 60 µmol kg<sup>-1</sup>) and low nitrate concentrations (around 10.5 µmol kg<sup>-1</sup>, while it is usually around 20 µmol kg<sup>-1</sup>). It is worth noting that the C<sub>T</sub> concentrations in February 1998 in the mixed layer were much lower compared to those observed at the same location more than 10 years before, in March 1985 (C<sub>T</sub> = 2090 µmol kg<sup>-1</sup>) or in February 1987 (C<sub>T</sub> = 2050 µmol kg<sup>-1</sup>) during the INDIGO cruises, indicating that the impact of the bloom in 1998 dominated the effect of C<sub>T</sub> increase due to anthropogenic CO<sub>2</sub> uptake over 10 years. Therefore, the data obtained in February 1998 could create suspicious fCO<sub>2</sub>, C<sub>T</sub> or pH trends calculated over 1998–2019. For this reason, the trends presented in this paper were calculated after removing this large anomaly (i.e. trends evaluated over the period 1999–2019). Another interesting signal in this time series was observed in late January 2015 with low surface fCO<sub>2</sub> and C<sub>T</sub> concentrations (fCO<sub>2</sub> was about the same range as observed at the beginning of the time series 16 years before; Fig. S1 O12). The 2015 anomaly in fCO<sub>2</sub> and C<sub>T</sub> was probably the result of a prolonged bloom that occurred in this region from October 2014 to January 2015.

Although the region around Station O12 presents very high spatial variability in fCO<sub>2</sub> and C<sub>T</sub> in summer linked to biological processes (fCO<sub>2</sub> variations up to 200 µatm in a few miles were commonly observed during the cruises), the results from the fCO<sub>2</sub> and A<sub>T</sub>–C<sub>T</sub> surface underway data averaged in the 1° × 1° box or in the mixed layer at Station O12 all indicate fast trends with fCO<sub>2</sub> trends between +3.0 to +4.0 µatm yr<sup>-1</sup> and pH trends between –0.0032 and –0.0043 yr<sup>-1</sup>. For fCO<sub>2</sub>, the data collected in the last decade confirm a previous result obtained in the same region over the period 1998–2011 (fCO<sub>2</sub> trend of +4.2 µatm yr<sup>-1</sup>; Laurantou and Metzl, 2011), indicating that the system moved in a relatively steady state over 1998–2019 with a rate of fCO<sub>2</sub> always higher than in the atmosphere. This suggests a decline in the carbon sink in summer for more than two decades at that location.

As opposed to Station O6, both surface temperature and salinity appear relatively stable from one year to the other, but a warming around +0.07 °C yr<sup>-1</sup> is detected from the

three datasets, in agreement with the analysis by Auger et al. (2021). Our driver analysis shows that the fast fCO<sub>2</sub> and pH trends around Station O12 are explained by both the warming of the surface layer and a fast increase in C<sub>T</sub> (+1.4 µmol kg<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>). These rapid trends are no longer found below the mixed layer (representing winter conditions), where the increase in C<sub>T</sub> is directly related to the increase in C<sub>ant</sub>. The fast C<sub>T</sub> increase observed in surface waters could be related to a change in biological processes (e.g. decrease in primary production or community change, but this was not detected in the OISO data for the moment). Laurantou and Metzl (2011) also concluded that the fast fCO<sub>2</sub> trend in this region was probably linked to a change in primary productivity and suggested that a decrease in wind speed in this region may have reduced the mixed layer depth, implying less input of nutrients (including iron) to the surface layer. However, the long-term change in chl *a* is not clearly revealed in this region of high spatial variability (Gregg and Rousseaux 2014), and nutrient data from the OISO cruises do not reveal a specific change over 1999–2019.

#### 4.4 Saturation state of carbonate minerals

The accumulation of anthropogenic CO<sub>2</sub> in the ocean leads to acidification and decreases the saturation state of carbonate minerals in seawater (aragonite, Ω<sub>Ar</sub>, and calcite, Ω<sub>Ca</sub>). To date, surface waters are still super-saturated with respect to both aragonite and calcite (Ω > 1), but as Ω is minimum in the cold waters at high latitudes, the undersaturation state could be reached there by 2030–2050, depending on future CO<sub>2</sub> emission levels (Orr et al., 2005; McNeil and Matear, 2008), which raises particular concerns for calcifying species such as pteropods, planktonic foraminifera and coccolithophorids. As the year 2030 is approaching we should now see if these projections based on ocean biogeochemical models and different emission scenarios are real. Our observations clearly show a decrease in Ω<sub>Ar</sub> and Ω<sub>Ca</sub> over all of the study region (Table 5, Fig. S1). The lowest Ω values are found at the southernmost station (56.5° S) and are around 1.5 for aragonite and 2.4 for calcite in January 2019. Note that according to the climatology by Takahashi et al. (2014), we expect lower values in September by about 0.2 to 0.3 for aragonite and 0.3 to 0.4 for calcite, indicating that undersaturation is not yet reached in surface waters in the southern Indian Ocean. The tipping point when the Southern Ocean will become saturated with respect to aragonite or calcite (Ω = 1) depends on the present value of Ω<sub>Ar</sub> and Ω<sub>Ca</sub>, their seasonality (Sasse et al., 2015), and their future trends.

To have a taste of when the tipping point might happen, we projected the trends of Ω<sub>Ar</sub> and Ω<sub>Ca</sub> estimated from our observations into the future. We estimated that undersaturation in January might not be reached before 2070 for aragonite and 2080 for calcite in regions where the pH trends are the fastest (Table 5, stations O12, A3 and O6). Based on the seasonal amplitude in Ω<sub>Ar</sub> and Ω<sub>Ca</sub> given by Takahashi

**Table 5.** Trends (per year) of  $\Omega$  aragonite and  $\Omega$  calcite, evaluated from the  $A_T$  and  $C_T$  dataset in the summer mixed layer at each station between (a) 1998 and 2019, (b) 1998 and 2017, (c) 1999 and 2019, (d) 2000 and 2019, and (e) 2005 and 2019. The significant trends (Student's  $t$  test) are represented in bold (at 95 %). Years of transition to  $\Omega = 1$  are also estimated when the trends are significant.

		$\Omega$ aragonite		$\Omega$ calcite		
		Trend (yr <sup>-1</sup> )	Estimated year $\Omega = 1$	Trend (yr <sup>-1</sup> )	Estimated year $\Omega = 1$	
PFZ HNLC	O7	<b>-0.008 ± 0.004</b>	2210	<b>-0.013 ± 0.006</b>	2160	b
	O8	-0.001 ± 0.004		-0.002 ± 0.006		b
	O9	-0.004 ± 0.003		-0.006 ± 0.004		a
POOZ north HNLC	O10	<b>-0.005 ± 0.002</b>	2160	<b>-0.008 ± 0.004</b>	2230	a
POOZ south HNLC	O11	-0.003 ± 0.002		-0.005 ± 0.003		a
POOZ bloom Kerguelen	A3	<b>-0.011 ± 0.004</b>	2090	<b>-0.018 ± 0.006</b>	2080	e
PFZ bloom Crozet	O6	<b>-0.010 ± 0.008</b>	2130	<b>-0.016 ± 0.008</b>	2120	d
PFZ bloom Kerguelen	O12	<b>-0.012 ± 0.006</b>	2070	<b>-0.019 ± 0.009</b>	2120	c

et al. (2014), it might be reached in September between 15 and 25 years earlier. In the other regions it might not be reached within the next 100 years for aragonite in January (or within the next 40 years in September) and 150 years for calcite in January (100 years in September). Such calculations, however, remain highly uncertain as we have found large temporal variations in pH (hence  $\Omega$ ) trends, and we did not take into account CO<sub>2</sub> emission scenarios. In addition, the trends in  $\Omega$  may not be uniform in the Southern Ocean. It can be noted that our fastest trends ( $-0.012 \text{ yr}^{-1}$  for aragonite and  $-0.019 \text{ yr}^{-1}$  for calcite; Table 5) correspond to the mean trends reported in the Drake Passage for summer ( $-0.013 \text{ yr}^{-1}$  for aragonite and  $-0.020 \text{ yr}^{-1}$  for calcite; Munro et al., 2015) or to the trend observed for aragonite in the eastern Indian sector over 1991–2000 ( $-0.018 \text{ yr}^{-1}$ , whereas it was  $-0.007 \text{ yr}^{-1}$  over 2000–2011; Xue et al., 2018). Again, this highlights the sensitivity of the trends to the periods and regions and the need for more studies based on observations not only in surface waters but also in the water column to evaluate the rate of shoaling of  $\Omega$  as previously shown in the eastern Indian southern sector (Pardo et al., 2017).

## 5 Concluding comments and perspectives

Given the importance of the Southern Ocean in climate (past, present and future) it is crucial to document and understand the changes occurring in this remote region. In this study, we investigated the evolution of CO<sub>2</sub> and pH in the surface layer of the Indian sector during summer using measurements of fCO<sub>2</sub>,  $A_T$  and  $C_T$  obtained almost every year since 1998. This new analysis extends in time and for pH the previous work of Metzl (2009) and Lourantou and Metzl (2011), who estimated fCO<sub>2</sub> changes over 1991–2011 in the same region. It also extends their analyses using additional datasets ( $A_T$ – $C_T$ ) to quantify the drivers of the fCO<sub>2</sub> and pH trends including the contributions of  $C_{\text{ant}}$  evaluated here in subsurface waters. To our knowledge such a regular biogeochem-

ical monitoring in the Southern Ocean is only conducted in the Drake Passage (since 2002; Munro et al., 2015). These time series are key to support the changes detected at the scale of the Southern Ocean or regional studies based on a limited number of reoccupations.  $A_T$  and  $C_T$  measurements are also essential as a complement to fCO<sub>2</sub> or pH as these are key properties, with temperature, that govern the variability in oceanic CO<sub>2</sub> sinks and sources and ocean acidification, but these data are still sparse in the Southern Ocean. In addition, there is a need to better evaluate models, not only from fCO<sub>2</sub> data or reconstructed fCO<sub>2</sub> products (Hauck et al., 2020) but also using  $C_T$  and  $A_T$ .


This study offers new fCO<sub>2</sub>,  $C_T$  and  $A_T$  data at the surface and in the water column to validate GOBMs or ESMs (Kessler and Tjiputra, 2016) used to simulate the ocean CO<sub>2</sub> sink in the recent past or to predict the coupling between climate change and the ocean carbon cycle in the future. This would help to reduce the uncertainties and be confident in the projections of acidification in the Southern Ocean to better evaluate its impact on marine ecosystems, especially in marine protected areas such as around Crozet and Kerguelen islands (French Austral Lands and Seas nature reserves, UNESCO Heritage, <https://whc.unesco.org/en/list/1603/>, last access: 10 January 2022). Overall, this new analysis shows the importance of maintaining long-term observations. It is worth noting that the fCO<sub>2</sub> and pH trends were only evaluated for the summer season; complementing the ship observations with BGC-ARGO (Biogeochemical Argo) floats or drones such as at Drake Passage (Fay et al., 2018) and/or Saildrone USV (Sutton et al., 2021) is a challenge for the future studies at basin scale in the Southern Ocean in order to detect the trends in all seasons.

### Appendix A: An evaluation of fCO<sub>2</sub> and pH trends in winter in the POOZ

In the Indian Ocean HNLC POOZ region, the modest CO<sub>2</sub> sink in summer is balanced by a CO<sub>2</sub> source in winter linked to upwelling and vertical mixing that bring C<sub>T</sub>-rich deep waters to the surface (Metzl et al., 2006). When winter pCO<sub>2</sub> data were included in the air–sea CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes climatology (Takahashi et al., 2009), this led to an annual CO<sub>2</sub> source in the Indian POOZ of around +0.5 mol C m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>. The CO<sub>2</sub> source is stronger in the Indian sector of the POOZ due to higher winds and gas exchange coefficient in this region especially during winter (Takahashi et al., 2009; Wanninkhof and Triñanes, 2017). The stronger CO<sub>2</sub> source in the Indian POOZ is also confirmed by recent methods based on SOCAT fCO<sub>2</sub> observations (e.g. Chau et al., 2022), as well as when complementing the SOCAT fCO<sub>2</sub> data with GLODAP C<sub>T</sub> data (Olsen et al., 2019) below the summer mixed layer to mimic the winter fCO<sub>2</sub> in surface waters (Mackay and Watson, 2021).

The winter CO<sub>2</sub> source south of the PF was also recently observed with autonomous probes on BGC-ARGO floats over 2014–2017 (SOCCOM project; Gray et al., 2018; Bushinsky et al., 2019), as well as in 2019 on a USV (Sutton et al., 2021). Interestingly, in June 2019, the USV sailed close to Station O11 and recorded high fCO<sub>2</sub> in the Indian POOZ at that period (maximum ΔfCO<sub>2</sub> of +25 μatm and mean ΔfCO<sub>2</sub> of +8.7 ± 7.4 μatm in this region). The fCO<sub>2</sub> recorded by the USV in June 2019 (mean fCO<sub>2</sub> = 398.7 ± 6.3 μatm) was much higher than our observations in January 2019 in the same region (fCO<sub>2</sub> = 376.4 ± 4.3 μatm). This is coherent with the fCO<sub>2</sub> seasonal cycle previously observed in this region (Metzl et al., 2006). There, the local hourly CO<sub>2</sub> source in June 2019 based on USV data was as high as +5 and +0.7 g C m<sup>-2</sup> per month when averaged in June–July 2019 in the Indian POOZ (Sutton et al., 2021). This is remarkably close to the climatological flux in June–July in the same region (+0.69 ± 0.62 g C m<sup>-2</sup> per month; Takahashi et al., 2009).

Although there are very few fCO<sub>2</sub> observations in austral winter in this region, we attempted to use the winter data available in the Indian POOZ to compare with the trends we evaluated for summer. Here we used the data collected in August 2000 in the POOZ in the band 50–56° S (Metzl et al., 2006) and compared them with the fCO<sub>2</sub> data from the USV in June 2019 in the same region (Sutton et al., 2021). Averaged monthly fCO<sub>2</sub> values were 362.9 (± 3.1) μatm in August 2000 and 398.7 (± 6.3) μatm in June 2019, indicating a clear fCO<sub>2</sub> increase of +35.8 μatm over 19 years (Fig. A1). This translates into a rate of +1.9 μatm yr<sup>-1</sup>, which is close to that observed in the atmosphere (+2.1 μatm yr<sup>-1</sup> for 2000–2019) and in the range of the trend we deduced from our time series in summer 1998–2019 around Station O11 (+1.7 to +2.1 μatm yr<sup>-1</sup> depending on the datasets; Table 3). Below, we further investigate these data to evaluate whether



**Figure A1.** Monthly (gridded 1 × 1) fCO<sub>2</sub> and pH in the POOZ in austral winter 2000 (OISO-5, in black) and 2019 (USV, in yellow). Dotted lines represent the atmospheric fCO<sub>2</sub> in 2000 and 2019. The mean difference in fCO<sub>2</sub> is +35.8 μatm in 19 years, i.e. +1.9 μatm yr<sup>-1</sup>. The mean difference in pH is −0.0368 in 19 years, i.e. −0.0019 yr<sup>-1</sup>. Note that pH scale is reversed for easier reading.

the fCO<sub>2</sub> increase from winter 2000 to winter 2019 can also be mainly attributed to the accumulation of anthropogenic CO<sub>2</sub>.

Based on the USV data (Sutton et al., 2021) available in SOCAT-v2021 (Bakker et al., 2021) we calculated the C<sub>T</sub> concentrations from SST, fCO<sub>2</sub> and A<sub>T</sub> (using a similar approach to that of Eq. 1); in June 2019 we estimated surface C<sub>T</sub> concentrations between 2160 and 2185 μmol kg<sup>-1</sup> in the Indian POOZ from the USV data. This is higher than our observations in January 2019 (C<sub>T</sub> = 2153.7 ± 0.6 μmol kg<sup>-1</sup> in the mixed layer at Station O11) and coherent with what we know about the C<sub>T</sub> seasonality of around 15–20 μmol kg<sup>-1</sup> in this region (Metzl et al., 2006; Takahashi et al., 2014; Broullón et al., 2020; see also Fig. 3). At Station O11 in January 2019, the C<sub>T</sub> concentration in the winter water (at 150 m) was 2175 μmol kg<sup>-1</sup>. Correcting for organic matter remineralisation (AOU = 14 μmol kg<sup>-1</sup> at 150 m) the surface C<sub>T</sub> concentration in winter would be 2164 μmol kg<sup>-1</sup>. This is very close to the mean C<sub>T</sub> deduced from the USV data (C<sub>T</sub> = 2163.6 ± 5.0 μmol kg<sup>-1</sup>) and, not surprisingly, higher than C<sub>T</sub> concentrations calculated with fCO<sub>2</sub> data for August 2000 (C<sub>T</sub> = 2151.4 ± 5.5 μmol kg<sup>-1</sup>). The difference in C<sub>T</sub> in winter over 19 years is +12.2 μmol kg<sup>-1</sup>, leading to a trend of +0.64 μmol kg<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> in the range of the decadal C<sub>T</sub> trend we estimated in summer at Station O11 (+0.5 to +0.7 μmol kg<sup>-1</sup>; Table 3) and close to the C<sub>ant</sub> trend estimated below the mixed layer (+0.5 to +0.6 μmol kg<sup>-1</sup>; Table 1). In addition, the pH calculated with fCO<sub>2</sub> data and A<sub>T</sub>–S was 8.0706 (± 0.0032) in August 2000 and 8.0338 (± 0.0054) in June 2019 (Fig. A1), leading to a trend of −0.0019 yr<sup>-1</sup> in the same range as deduced from summer observations (Table 3). Although the derived trends for the winter season are only deduced from two periods (2019 versus 2000), these results support our conclusion based on repeated summer data that most of the fCO<sub>2</sub> and pH changes over 1998–2019 in the POOZ are driven by continuous an-

thropogenic carbon uptake (modulated by a small warming during summer).

**Data availability.** The datasets are freely available and are accessible in SOCAT (<http://www.socat.info>, SOCAT, 2022) for the fCO<sub>2</sub> surface dataset, in GLODAP (<http://www.glodap.info>, GLODAP, 2022) for the water-column dataset, and at NCEI/OCADS ([https://www.ncei.noaa.gov/access/ocean-carbon-acidification-data-system/oceans/VOS\\_Program/OISO.html](https://www.ncei.noaa.gov/access/ocean-carbon-acidification-data-system/oceans/VOS_Program/OISO.html), NOAA, 2020) for the A<sub>T</sub> and C<sub>T</sub> surface dataset.

**Supplement.** The supplement related to this article is available online at: <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-19-2599-2022-supplement>.

**Author contributions.** CLM and NM are co-investigators of the ongoing OISO project, and they produced the data synthesis. JF and CM provided the A<sub>T</sub>, C<sub>T</sub> and fCO<sub>2</sub> data during cruises. CL produced the analyses with the help of LB for data below the summer mixed layer. CL wrote the manuscript with input from CLM, GR and NM.

**Competing interests.** The contact author has declared that neither they nor their co-authors have any competing interests.

**Disclaimer.** Publisher's note: Copernicus Publications remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

**Acknowledgements.** The OISO programme was supported by the French institutes INSU (*Institut National des Sciences de l'Univers*) and IPEV (*Institut Polaire français, Paul-Emile Victor*), OSU Ecce Terra (at Sorbonne Université), and the French programme SOERE/Great-Gases. We thank the French oceanographic fleet (*Flotte océanographie française*) for financial and logistic support to the OISO programme and cruises. We thank the captains and crew of RV *Marion Dufresne* and the staff at IFREMER, GENAVIR and IPEV. We gratefully thank the numerous scientific individuals who worked at sea for the OISO programme. We also thank Justine Taugourdeau and Apolline Samin for their help during their internship. The Surface Ocean CO<sub>2</sub> Atlas (SOCAT; <http://www.socat.info>) is an international effort, endorsed by the International Ocean Carbon Coordination Project (IOCCP), the Surface Ocean Lower Atmosphere Study (SOLAS), and the Integrated Marine Biogeochemistry and Ecosystem Research programme (IMBER), to deliver a uniformly quality-controlled surface ocean CO<sub>2</sub> database. We thank the reviewers for their constructive comments that significantly helped to improve the manuscript and the associate editor Jack Middelburg for managing this paper. Support by the LEFE-INSU programme KER-Trend is gratefully acknowledged.

**Financial support.** This research has been supported by the LEFE-INSU programme KER-Trend.

**Review statement.** This paper was edited by Jack Middelburg and reviewed by two anonymous referees.

## References

- Auger, M., Morrow, R., Kestenare, E., Sallée, J.-B., and Cowley, R.: Southern Ocean in-situ temperature trends over 25 years emerge from interannual variability, *Nat. Commun.*, 12, 514, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-20781-1>, 2021.
- Bakker, D. C. E., Nielsdóttir, M. C., Morris, P. J., Venables, H. J., and Watson, A. J.: The island mass effect and biological carbon uptake for the subantarctic Crozet Archipelago, *Deep-Sea Res. Pt. II*, 54, 2174–2190, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2007.06.009>, 2007.
- Bakker, D. C. E., Hoppema, M., Schröder, M., Geibert, W., and de Baar, H. J. W.: A rapid transition from ice covered CO<sub>2</sub>—rich waters to a biologically mediated CO<sub>2</sub> sink in the eastern Weddell Gyre, *Biogeosciences*, 5, 1373–1386, <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-5-1373-2008>, 2008.
- Bakker, D. C. E., Pfeil, B., Landa, C. S., Metzl, N., O'Brien, K. M., Olsen, A., Smith, K., Cosca, C., Harasawa, S., Jones, S. D., Nakaoka, S., Nojiri, Y., Schuster, U., Steinhoff, T., Sweeney, C., Takahashi, T., Tilbrook, B., Wada, C., Wanninkhof, R., Alin, S. R., Balestrini, C. F., Barbero, L., Bates, N. R., Bianchi, A. A., Bonou, F., Boutin, J., Bozec, Y., Burger, E. F., Cai, W.-J., Castle, R. D., Chen, L., Chierici, M., Currie, K., Evans, W., Featherstone, C., Feely, R. A., Fransson, A., Goyet, C., Greenwood, N., Gregor, L., Hankin, S., Hardman-Mountford, N. J., Harlay, J., Hauck, J., Hoppema, M., Humphreys, M. P., Hunt, C. W., Huss, B., Ibáñez, J. S. P., Johannessen, T., Keeling, R., Kitidis, V., Körtzinger, A., Kozyr, A., Krasakopoulou, E., Kuwata, A., Landschützer, P., Lauvset, S. K., Lefèvre, N., Lo Monaco, C., Manke, A., Mathis, J. T., Merlivat, L., Millero, F. J., Monteiro, P. M. S., Munro, D. R., Murata, A., Newberger, T., Omar, A. M., Ono, T., Paterson, K., Pearce, D., Pierrot, D., Robbins, L. L., Saito, S., Salisbury, J., Schlitzer, R., Schneider, B., Schweitzer, R., Sieger, R., Skjelvan, I., Sullivan, K. F., Sutherland, S. C., Sutton, A. J., Tadokoro, K., Telszewski, M., Tuma, M., van Heuven, S. M. A. C., Vandemark, D., Ward, B., Watson, A. J., and Xu, S.: A multi-decade record of high-quality fCO<sub>2</sub> data in version 3 of the Surface Ocean CO<sub>2</sub> Atlas (SOCAT), *Earth Syst. Sci. Data*, 8, 383–413, <https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-8-383-2016>, 2016.
- Bakker, D. C. E., Alin, S. R., Bates, N., Becker, M., Castaño-Primo, R., Cosca, C. E., Cronin, M., Kadono, K., Kozyr, A., Lauvset, S. K., Metzl, N., Munro, D. R., Nakaoka, S., O'Brien, K. M., Ólafsson, J., Olsen, A., Pfeil, B., Pierrot, D., Smith, K., Sutton, A. J., Takahashi, T., Tilbrook, B., Wanninkhof, R., Andersson, A., Atamanchuk, D., Benoit-Cattin, A., Bott, R., Burger, E. F., Cai, W.-J., Cantoni, C., Collins, A., Corredor, J. E., Cronin, M. F., Cross, J. N., Currie, K. I., De Carlo, E. H., DeGrandpre, M. D., Dietrich, C., Emerson, S., Enright, M. P., Evans, W., Feely, R. A., García-Ibáñez, M. I., Gkritzalis, T., Glockzin, M., Hales, B., Hartman, S. E., Hashida, G., Herndon, J., Howden, S. D., Humphreys, M. P., Hunt, C. W., Jones, S. D., Kim, S., Kitidis,

- V., Landa, C. S., Landschützer, P., Lebon, G. T., Lefèvre, N., Lo Monaco, C., Luchetta, A., Maenner Jones, S., Manke, A. B., Manzello, D. P., Mears, P., Mickett, J., Monacci, N. M., Morell, J. M., Musielewicz, S., Newberger, T., Newton, J., Noakes, S., Noh, J.-H., Nojiri, Y., Ohman, M., Ólafsdóttir, S. R., Omar, A. M., Ono, T., Osborne, J., Plueddemann, A. J., Rehder, G., Sabine, C. L., Salisbury, J. E., Schlitzer, R., Send, U., Skjelvan, I., Sparnocchia, S., Steinhoff, T., Sullivan, K. F., Sutherland, S. C., Sweeney, C., Tadokoro, K., Tanhua, T., Telszewski, M., Tomlinson, M., Tribollet, A., Trull, T., Vandemark, D., Wada, C., Wallace, D. W. R., Weller, R. A., and Woosley, R. J.: Surface Ocean CO<sub>2</sub> Atlas Database Version 2020 (SOCATv2020) (NCEI Accession 0210711), NOAA National Centers for Environmental Information [data set], <https://doi.org/10.25921/4xkx-ss49>, 2020.
- Bakker, D. C. E., Alin, S. R., Castaño-Primo, R., Cronin, M., Gkritzalis, T., Kozyr, A., Lauvset, S. K., Metzl, N., Munro, D. R., Nakaoka, S., O'Brien, K. M., Olsen, A., Omar, A. M., Pfeil, B., Pierrot, D., Rodriguez, C., Steinhoff, T., Sutton, A. J., Tilbrook, B., Wanninkhof, R., Willstrand Wranne, A., Ahmed, M., Andersson, A., Apelthun, L. B., Bates, N., Battisti, R., Beaumont, L., Becker, M., Benoit-Cattin, A., Berghoff, C. F., Boutin, J., Burger, E. F., Burgers, T. M., Cantoni, C., Cattrijsse, A., Chierici, M., Cross, J. N., Coppola, L., Cosca, C. E., Currie, K. I., De Carlo, E. H., Else, B., Enright, M. P., Ericson, Y., Evans, W., Feely, R. A., Fiedler, B., Fransson, A., García-Ibáñez, M. I., Gehrung, M., Glockzin, M., González-Dávila, M., Gutekunst, S., Hermes, R., Humphreys, M. P., Hunt, C. W., Ibáñez, J. S. P., Jones, S. D., Kitidis, V., Körtzinger, A., Kosugi, N., Landa, C. S., Landschützer, P., Lefèvre, N., Lo Monaco, C., Luchetta, A., Lutz, V. A., Macovei, V. A., Manke, A. B., Merlivat, L., Millero, F. J., Monacci, N. M., Negri, R. M., Newberger, T., Newton, J., Nickford, S. E., Nojiri, Y., Ohman, M., Ólafsdóttir, S. R., Sweeney, C., Ono, T., Palter, J. B., Papakyriakou, T., Peterson, W. T., Plueddemann, A. J., Qi, D., Rehder, G., Ritschel, M., Rutgersson, A., Sabine, C. L., Salisbury, J. E., Santana-Casiano, J. M., Schlitzer, R., Send, U., Skjelvan, I., Smith, K., Sparnocchia, S., Sullivan, K. F., Sutherland, S. C., Szuts, Z. B., Tadokoro, K., Tanhua, T., Telszewski, M., Theetaert, H., Vandemark, D., Voynova, Y. G., Wada, C., Weller, R. A., and Woosley, R. J.: Surface Ocean CO<sub>2</sub> Atlas Database Version 2021 (SOCATv2021) (NCEI Accession 0235360), NOAA National Centers for Environmental Information [data set], <https://doi.org/10.25921/yg69-jd96>, 2021.
- Balch, W. M., Bates, N. R., Lam, P. J., Twining, B. S., Rosen-gard, S. Z., Bowler, B. C., Drapeau, D. T., Garley, R., Lubel-czyk, L. C., Mitchell, C., and Rauschenberg, S.: Factors regulat-ing the Great Calcite Belt in the Southern Ocean and its biogeo-chemical significance, *Global Biogeochem. Cy.*, 30, 1124–1144, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2016GB005414>, 2016.
- Bates, N., Astor, Y., Church, M., Currie, K., Dore, J., Gonaález-Dávila, M., Lorenzoni, L., Muller-Karger, F., Ólafsson, J., and Santa-Casiano, M.: A Time-Series View of Changing Ocean Chemistry Due to Ocean Uptake of Anthropogenic CO<sub>2</sub> and Ocean Acidification, *Oceanography*, 27, 126–141, <https://doi.org/10.5670/oceanog.2014.16>, 2014.
- Benson, B. B. and Krause, D.: The concentration and isotopic frac-tionation of gases dissolved in freshwater in equilibrium with the atmosphere. 1. Oxygen, *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, 25, 662–671, <https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.1980.25.4.0662>, 1980.
- Blain, S., Quéguiner, B., Armand, L., Belviso, S., Bombled, B., Bopp, L., Bowie, A., Brunet, C., Brussaard, C., Carlotti, F., Christaki, U., Corbière, A., Durand, I., Ebersbach, F., Fuda, J.-L., Garcia, N., Gerringa, L., Griffiths, B., Guigue, C., Guillelm, C., Jacquet, S., Jeandel, C., Laan, P., Lefèvre, D., Lo Monaco, C., Malits, A., Mosseri, J., Obernosterer, I., Park, Y.-H., Picheral, M., Pondaven, P., Remenyi, T., Sandroni, V., Sarthou, G., Savoye, N., Scouarnec, L., Souhaut, M., Thuiller, D., Timmermans, K., Trull, T., Uitz, J., van Beek, P., Veldhuis, M., Vincent, D., Viollier, E., Vong, L., and Wagener, T.: Effect of natural iron fertilization on carbon sequestration in the Southern Ocean, *Nature*, 446, 1070–1074, <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature05700>, 2007.
- Blain, S., Quéguiner, B., and Trull, T.: The natural iron fertiliza-tion experiment KEOPS (KErguelen Ocean and Plateau com-pared Study): An overview, *Deep-Sea Res. Pt. II*, 55, 559–565, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2008.01.002>, 2008.
- Bopp, L., Resplandy, L., Orr, J. C., Doney, S. C., Dunne, J. P., Gehlen, M., Halloran, P., Heinze, C., Ilyina, T., Séférian, R., Tjiputra, J., and Vichi, M.: Multiple stressors of ocean ecosys-tems in the 21st century: projections with CMIP5 models, *Biogeosciences*, 10, 6225–6245, <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-10-6225-2013>, 2013.
- Borrione, I. and Schlitzer, R.: Distribution and recurrence of phyto-plankton blooms around South Georgia, Southern Ocean, *Biogeo-sciences*, 10, 217–231, <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-10-217-2013>, 2013.
- Brewer, P. G.: Direct observation of the oceanic CO<sub>2</sub> increase, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 5, 997–1000, <https://doi.org/10.1029/GL005i012p00997>, 1978.
- Broullón, D., Pérez, F. F., Velo, A., Hoppema, M., Olsen, A., Taka-hashii, T., Key, R. M., Tanhua, T., Santana-Casiano, J. M., and Kozyr, A.: A global monthly climatology of oceanic total dis-solved inorganic carbon: a neural network approach, *Earth Syst. Sci. Data*, 12, 1725–1743, <https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-12-1725-2020>, 2020.
- Brown, M. S., Munro, D. R., Feehan, C. J., Sweeney, C., Duck-low, H. W., and Schofield, O. M.: Enhanced oceanic CO<sub>2</sub> uptake along the rapidly changing West Antarctic Peninsula, *Nat. Clim. Change*, 9, 678–683, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-019-0552-3>, 2019.
- Bushinsky, S. M., Landschützer, P., Rödenbeck, C., Gray, A. R., Baker, D., Mazloff, M. R., Resplandy, L., Johnson, K. S., and Sarmiento, J. L.: Reassessing Southern Ocean Air-Sea CO<sub>2</sub> Flux Estimates With the Addition of Biogeochemical Float Observations, *Global Biogeochem. Cy.*, 33, 1370–1388, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2019GB006176>, 2019.
- Canadell, J. G., Monteiro, P. M. S., Costa, M. H., Cotrim da Cunha, L., Cox, P. M., Eliseev, A. V., Henson, S., Ishii, M., Jac-card, S., Koven, C., Lohila, A., Patra, P. K., Piao, S., Rogelj, J., Syampungani, S., Zaehle, S., and Zickfeld, K.: Global Car-bon and other Biogeochemical Cycles and Feedbacks, in: *Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Inter-governmental Panel on Climate Change*, edited by: Masson-Delmotte, V., Zhai, P., Pirani, A., Connors, S. L., Péan, C., Berger, S., Caud, N., Chen, Y., Goldfarb, L., Gomis, M. I., Huang, M., Leitzell, K., Lonnoy, E., Matthews, J. B. R., May-cock, T. K., Waterfield, T., Yelekçi, O., Yu, R., and Zhou, B.,

- Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 673–816, 2021.
- Carpenter, J. H.: The Accuracy of the Winkler Method for Dissolved Oxygen Analysis, *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, 10, 135–140, <https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.1965.10.1.0135>, 1965.
- Chau, T. T. T., Gehlen, M., and Chevallier, F.: QUALITY INFORMATION DOCUMENT for Global Ocean Surface Carbon Product MULTI-OBS\_GLO\_BIO\_CARBON\_SURFACE\_REP\_015\_008, Res. Rep. Lab. Sci. Clim. Environ., 25, <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-02957656> (last access: 27 January 2022), 2020.
- Chau, T. T. T., Gehlen, M., and Chevallier, F.: A seamless ensemble-based reconstruction of surface ocean pCO<sub>2</sub> and air–sea CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes over the global coastal and open oceans, *Biogeosciences*, 19, 1087–1109, <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-19-1087-2022>, 2022.
- Chen, G.-T. and Millero, F. J.: Gradual increase of oceanic CO<sub>2</sub>, *Nature*, 277, 205, <https://doi.org/10.1038/277205a0>, 1979.
- Copin-Montegut, C.: A new formula for the effect of temperature on the partial pressure of CO<sub>2</sub> in seawater, *Mar. Chem.*, 25, 29–37, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-4203\(88\)90012-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-4203(88)90012-6), 1988.
- Copin-Montegut, C.: A new formula for the effect of temperature on the partial pressure of CO<sub>2</sub> in seawater, *Corrigendum*, *Mar. Chem.*, 27, 143–144, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-4203\(89\)90034-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-4203(89)90034-0), 1989.
- Coverly, S. C., Aminot, A., and Kérouel, R.: Nutrients in Seawater Using Segmented Flow Analysis, in: *Practical Guidelines for the Analysis of Seawater*, 1st Edn., CRC Press, 143–178, 2009.
- de Baar, H. J. W., Boyd, P. W., Coale, K. H., Landry, M. R., Tsuda, A., Assmy, P., Bakker, D. C. E., Bozec, Y., Barber, R. T., Brzezinski, M. A., Buesseler, K. O., Boyé, M., Croot, P. L., Gervais, F., Gorbunov, M. Y., Harrison, P. J., Hiscock, W. T., Laan, P., Lancelot, C., Law, C. S., Levasseur, M., Marchetti, A., Millero, F. J., Nishioka, J., Nojiri, Y., Oijen, T. van, Riebesell, U., Rijkenberg, M. J. A., Saito, H., Takeda, S., Timmermans, K. R., Veldhuis, M. J. W., Waite, A. M., and Wong, C.-S.: Synthesis of iron fertilization experiments: From the Iron Age in the Age of Enlightenment, *J. Geophys. Res.-Oceans*, 110, C09S16, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2004JC002601>, 2005.
- Dickson, A. G.: Standard potential of the reaction: AgCl(s) + 1/2 H<sub>2</sub>(g) = Ag(s) + HCl(aq), and the standard acidity constant of the ion HSO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup> in synthetic sea water from 273.15 to 318.15 K, *J. Chem. Thermodyn.*, 22, 113–127, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-9614\(90\)90074-Z](https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-9614(90)90074-Z), 1990.
- Dickson, A. G. and Millero, F. J.: A comparison of the equilibrium constants for the dissociation of carbonic acid in seawater media, *Deep-Sea Res.*, 34, 1733–1743, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0198-0149\(87\)90021-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0198-0149(87)90021-5), 1987.
- Doney, S. C., Fabry, V. J., Feely, R. A., and Kleyapas, J. A.: Ocean Acidification: The Other CO<sub>2</sub> Problem, *Annu. Rev. Mar. Sci.*, 1, 169–192, <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.marine.010908.163834>, 2009.
- Edmond, J. M.: High precision determination of titration alkalinity and total carbon dioxide content of sea water by potentiometric titration, *Deep Sea Res. Oceanogr. Abstr.*, 17, 737–750, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0011-7471\(70\)90038-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0011-7471(70)90038-0), 1970.
- Fay, A. R. and McKinley, G. A.: Global trends in surface ocean pCO<sub>2</sub> from in situ data, *Global. Biogeochem. Cy.*, 27, 541–557, <https://doi.org/10.1002/gbc.20051>, 2013.
- Fay, A. R. and McKinley, G. A.: Global open-ocean biomes: mean and temporal variability, *Earth Syst. Sci. Data*, 6, 273–284, <https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-6-273-2014>, 2014.
- Fay, A. R., Lovenduski, N. S., McKinley, G. A., Munro, D. R., Sweeney, C., Gray, A. R., Landschützer, P., Stephens, B. B., Takahashi, T., and Williams, N.: Utilizing the Drake Passage Time-series to understand variability and change in sub-polar Southern Ocean pCO<sub>2</sub>, *Biogeosciences*, 15, 3841–3855, <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-15-3841-2018>, 2018.
- Freeman, N. M. and Lovenduski, N. S.: Decreased calcification in the Southern Ocean over the satellite record, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 42, 1834–1840, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2014GL062769>, 2015.
- Friedlingstein, P., O’Sullivan, M., Jones, M. W., Andrew, R. M., Hauck, J., Olsen, A., Peters, G. P., Peters, W., Pongratz, J., Sitch, S., Le Quééré, C., Canadell, J. G., Ciais, P., Jackson, R. B., Alin, S., Aragão, L. E. O. C., Arneeth, A., Arora, V., Bates, N. R., Becker, M., Benoit-Cattin, A., Bittig, H. C., Bopp, L., Bultan, S., Chandra, N., Chevallier, F., Chini, L. P., Evans, W., Florentie, L., Forster, P. M., Gasser, T., Gehlen, M., Gilfillan, D., Gkritzalis, T., Gregor, L., Gruber, N., Harris, I., Hartung, K., Haverd, V., Houghton, R. A., Ilyina, T., Jain, A. K., Joetzer, E., Kadono, K., Kato, E., Kitidis, V., Korsbakken, J. I., Landschützer, P., Lefèvre, N., Lenton, A., Lienert, S., Liu, Z., Lombardozzi, D., Marland, G., Metzl, N., Munro, D. R., Nabel, J. E. M. S., Nakaoka, S.-I., Niwa, Y., O’Brien, K., Ono, T., Palmer, P. I., Pierrot, D., Poulter, B., Resplandy, L., Robertson, E., Rödenbeck, C., Schwinger, J., Séférian, R., Skjelvan, I., Smith, A. J. P., Sutton, A. J., Tans, P. P., Tian, H., Tilbrook, B., van der Werf, G., Vuichard, N., Walker, A. P., Wanninkhof, R., Watson, A. J., Willis, D., Wiltshire, A. J., Yuan, W., Yue, X., and Zaehle, S.: Global Carbon Budget 2020, *Earth Syst. Sci. Data*, 12, 3269–3340, <https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-12-3269-2020>, 2020.
- Friis, K., Körtzinger, A., and Wallace, D. W. R.: The salinity normalization of marine inorganic carbon chemistry data, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 30, 1085, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2002GL015898>, 2003.
- GLODAP: Global Ocean Data Analysis Project, <http://www.glodap.info>, last access: 23 March 2022.
- Gray, A. R., Johnson, K. S., Bushinsky, S. M., Riser, S. C., Russell, J. L., Talley, L. D., Wanninkhof, R., Williams, N. L., and Sarmiento, J. L.: Autonomous Biogeochemical Floats Detect Significant Carbon Dioxide Outgassing in the High-Latitude Southern Ocean, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 45, 9049–9057, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GL078013>, 2018.
- Gregg, W. W. and Rousseaux, C. S.: Decadal trends in global pelagic ocean chlorophyll: A new assessment integrating multiple satellites, in situ data, and models, *J. Geophys. Res.-Oceans*, 119, 5921–5933, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2014JC010158>, 2014.
- Gregor, L. and Gruber, N.: OceanSODA-ETHZ: a global gridded data set of the surface ocean carbonate system for seasonal to decadal studies of ocean acidification, *Earth Syst. Sci. Data*, 13, 777–808, <https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-13-777-2021>, 2021.
- Gregor, L., Kok, S., and Monteiro, P. M. S.: Interannual drivers of the seasonal cycle of CO<sub>2</sub> in the Southern Ocean, *Biogeosciences*, 15, 2361–2378, <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-15-2361-2018>, 2018.
- Gruber, N., Clement, D., Carter, B. R., Feely, R. A., Heuven, S. van, Hoppema, M., Ishii, M., Key, R. M., Kozyr, A., Lauvset, S. K., Lo Monaco, C., Mathis, J. T., Murata, A., Olsen, A., Perez, F.

- F., Sabine, C. L., Tanhua, T., and Wanninkhof, R.: The oceanic sink for anthropogenic CO<sub>2</sub> from 1994 to 2007, *Science*, 363, 1193–1199, <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aau5153>, 2019a.
- Gruber, N., Landschützer, P., and Lovenduski, N. S.: The Variable Southern Ocean Carbon Sink, *Annu. Rev. Mar. Sci.*, 11, 159–186, <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-marine-121916-063407>, 2019b.
- Hartmann, D. L., Klein Tank, A. M. G., Rusticucci, M., Alexander, L. V., Brönnimann, S., Charabi, Y., Dentener, F. J., Dlugokencky, E. J., Easterling, D. R., Kaplan, A., Soden, B. J., Thorne, P. W., Wild, M., and Zhai, P. M.: Observations: Atmosphere and Surface, in: *Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis, Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*, edited by: Stocker, T. F., Qin, D., Plattner, G.-K., Tignor, M., Allen, S. K., Boschung, J., Nauels, A., Xia, Y., Bex, V., and Midgley, P. M., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK and New York, NY, USA, 159–254, <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107415324.008>, 2013.
- Hauck, J., Völker, C., Wang, T., Hoppema, M., Losch, M., and Wolf-Gladrow, D. A.: Seasonally different carbon flux changes in the Southern Ocean in response to the southern annular mode, *Global. Biogeochem. Cy.*, 27, 1236–1245, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2013GB004600>, 2013.
- Hauck, J., Zeising, M., Le Quéré, C., Gruber, N., Bakker, D. C. E., Bopp, L., Chau, T. T. T., Gürses, Ö., Ilyina, T., Landschützer, P., Lenton, A., Resplandy, L., Rödenbeck, C., Schwinger, J., and Séférian, R.: Consistency and Challenges in the Ocean Carbon Sink Estimate for the Global Carbon Budget, *Front. Mar. Sci.*, 7, 852, <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2020.571720>, 2020.
- Hauri, C., Friedrich, T., and Timmermann, A.: Abrupt onset and prolongation of aragonite undersaturation events in the Southern Ocean, *Nat. Clim. Change*, 6, 172–176, <https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate2844>, 2016.
- Iida, Y., Takatani, Y., Kojima, A., and Ishii, M.: Global trends of ocean CO<sub>2</sub> sink and ocean acidification: an observation-based reconstruction of surface ocean inorganic carbon variables, *J. Oceanogr.*, 77, 323–358, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10872-020-00571-5>, 2021.
- Ishii, M., Inoue, H. Y., Matsueda, H., and Tanoue, E.: Close coupling between seasonal biological production and dynamics of dissolved inorganic carbon in the Indian Ocean sector and the western Pacific Ocean sector of the Antarctic Ocean, *Deep-Sea Res.*, 45, 1187–1209, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0967-0637\(98\)00010-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0967-0637(98)00010-7), 1998.
- Jabaud-Jan, A., Metzl, N., Brunet, C., Poisson, A., and Schauer, B.: Interannual variability of the carbon dioxide system in the southern Indian Ocean (20° S–60° S): The impact of a warm anomaly in austral summer 1998, *Global. Biogeochem. Cy.*, 18, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2002GB002017>, 2004.
- Jiang, L.-Q., Carter, B. R., Feely, R. A., Lauvset, S. K., and Olsen, A.: Surface ocean pH and buffer capacity: past, present and future, *Sci. Rep.-UK*, 9, 1–11, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-55039-4>, 2019.
- Jones, E. M., Bakker, D. C. E., Venables, H. J., and Watson, A. J.: Dynamic seasonal cycling of inorganic carbon downstream of South Georgia, Southern Ocean, *Deep-Sea Res. Pt. II*, 59–60, 25–35, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2011.08.001>, 2012.
- Jouandet, M. P., Blain, S., Metzl, N., Brunet, C., Trull, T. W., and Obernosterer, I.: A seasonal carbon budget for a naturally iron-fertilized bloom over the Kerguelen Plateau in the Southern Ocean, *Deep-Sea Res. Pt. II*, 55, 856–867, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2007.12.037>, 2008.
- Keeling, C. D., Brix, H., and Gruber, N.: Seasonal and long-term dynamics of the upper ocean carbon cycle at Station ALOHA near Hawaii, *Global. Biogeochem. Cy.*, 18, GB4006, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2004GB002227>, 2004.
- Keppler, L. and Landschützer, P.: Regional Wind Variability Modulates the Southern Ocean Carbon Sink, *Sci. Rep.-UK*, 9, 7384, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-43826-y>, 2019.
- Kessler, A. and Tjiputra, J.: The Southern Ocean as a constraint to reduce uncertainty in future ocean carbon sinks, *Earth Syst. Dynam.*, 7, 295–312, <https://doi.org/10.5194/esd-7-295-2016>, 2016.
- Key, R. M., Olsen, A., van Heuven, S., Lauvset, S. K., Velo, A., Lin, X., Schirnick, C., Kozyr, A., Tanhua, T., Hoppema, M., Jutterström, S., Steinfeldt, R., Jeansson, E., Ishii, M., Perez, F. F., and Suzuki, T.: Global Ocean Data Analysis Project, Version 2 (GLODAPv2), ORNL/CDIAC-162, ND-P093. Carbon Dioxide Information Analysis Center, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, US Department of Energy, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, 2015.
- Khaliwala, S., Primeau, F., and Hall, T.: Reconstruction of the history of anthropogenic CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations in the ocean, *Nature*, 462, 346–349, <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature08526>, 2009.
- Körtzinger, A., Mintrop, L., and Duinker, J. C.: On the penetration of anthropogenic CO<sub>2</sub> into the North Atlantic Ocean, *J. Geophys. Res.-Oceans*, 103, 18681–18689, <https://doi.org/10.1029/98JC01737>, 1998.
- Körtzinger, A., Hedges, J. I., and Quay, P. D.: Redfield ratios revisited: Removing the biasing effect of anthropogenic CO<sub>2</sub>, *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, 46, 964–970, <https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2001.46.4.0964>, 2001.
- Kwiatkowski, L., Torres, O., Bopp, L., Aumont, O., Chamberlain, M., Christian, J. R., Dunne, J. P., Gehlen, M., Ilyina, T., John, J. G., Lenton, A., Li, H., Lovenduski, N. S., Orr, J. C., Palmieri, J., Santana-Falcón, Y., Schwinger, J., Séférian, R., Stock, C. A., Tagliabue, A., Takano, Y., Tjiputra, J., Toyama, K., Tsujino, H., Watanabe, M., Yamamoto, A., Yool, A., and Ziehn, T.: Twenty-first century ocean warming, acidification, deoxygenation, and upper-ocean nutrient and primary production decline from CMIP6 model projections, *Biogeosciences*, 17, 3439–3470, <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-17-3439-2020>, 2020.
- Landschützer, P., Gruber, N., Haumann, F. A., Rödenbeck, C., Bakker, D. C. E., Heuven, S. van, Hoppema, M., Metzl, N., Sweeney, C., Takahashi, T., Tilbrook, B., and Wanninkhof, R.: The reinvigoration of the Southern Ocean carbon sink, *Science*, 349, 1221–1224, <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aab2620>, 2015.
- Landschützer, P., Gruber, N., and Bakker, D. C. E.: Decadal variations and trends of the global ocean carbon sink, *Global. Biogeochem. Cy.*, 30, 1396–1417, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2015GB005359>, 2016.
- Lauvset, S. K., Gruber, N., Landschützer, P., Olsen, A., and Tjiputra, J.: Trends and drivers in global surface ocean pH over the past 3 decades, *Biogeosciences*, 12, 1285–1298, <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-12-1285-2015>, 2015.
- Le Quéré, C., Rödenbeck, C., Buitenhuis, E. T., Conway, T. J., Langenfelds, R., Gomez, A., Labuschagne, C., Ramonet, M., Nakazawa, T., Metzl, N., Gillett, N., and



- Heimann, M.: Saturation of the Southern Ocean CO<sub>2</sub> Sink Due to Recent Climate Change, *Science*, 316, 1735–1738, <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1136188>, 2007.
- Lee, K., Tong, L. T., Millero, F. J., Sabine, C. L., Dickson, A. G., Goyet, C., Park, G.-H., Wanninkhof, R., Feely, R. A., and Key, R. M.: Global relationships of total alkalinity with salinity and temperature in surface waters of the world's oceans, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 33, L19605, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2006GL027207>, 2006.
- Lenton, A. and Matear, R. J.: Role of the Southern Annular Mode (SAM) in Southern Ocean CO<sub>2</sub> uptake, *Global. Biogeochem. Cy.*, 21, GB2016, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2006GB002714>, 2007.
- Lenton, A., Codron, F., Bopp, L., Metzl, N., Cadule, P., Tagliabue, A., and Le Sommer, J.: Stratospheric ozone depletion reduces ocean carbon uptake and enhances ocean acidification, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 36, L12606, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2009GL038227>, 2009.
- Lenton, A., Metzl, N., Takahashi, T., Kuchinke, M., Matear, R. J., Roy, T., Sutherland, S. C., Sweeney, C., and Tilbrook, B.: The observed evolution of oceanic pCO<sub>2</sub> and its drivers over the last two decades, *Global. Biogeochem. Cy.*, 26, GB2021, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2011GB004095>, 2012.
- Lenton, A., Tilbrook, B., Law, R. M., Bakker, D., Doney, S. C., Gruber, N., Ishii, M., Hoppema, M., Lovenduski, N. S., Matear, R. J., McNeil, B. I., Metzl, N., Mikaloff Fletcher, S. E., Monteiro, P. M. S., Rödenbeck, C., Sweeney, C., and Takahashi, T.: Sea-air CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes in the Southern Ocean for the period 1990–2009, *Biogeosciences*, 10, 4037–4054, <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-10-4037-2013>, 2013.
- Leseurre, C., Lo Monaco, C., Reverdin, G., Metzl, N., Fin, J., Olafsdottir, S., and Racapé, V.: Ocean carbonate system variability in the North Atlantic Subpolar surface water (1993–2017), *Biogeosciences*, 17, 2553–2577, <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-17-2553-2020>, 2020.
- Lewis, E., Wallace, D., and Allison, L. J.: Program developed for CO<sub>2</sub> system calculations, Brookhaven National Lab., Dept. of Applied Science, Upton, NY (USA), Oak Ridge National Lab., Carbon Dioxide Information Analysis Center, TN (USA), <https://doi.org/10.2172/639712>, 1998.
- Lo Monaco, C., Metzl, N., Poisson, A., Brunet, C., and Schauer, B.: Anthropogenic CO<sub>2</sub> in the Southern Ocean: Distribution and inventory at the Indian-Atlantic boundary (World Ocean Circulation Experiment line I6), *J. Geophys. Res.-Oceans*, 110, 1–18, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2004JC002643>, 2005a.
- Lo Monaco, C., Goyet, C., Metzl, N., Poisson, A., and Touratier, F.: Distribution and inventory of anthropogenic CO<sub>2</sub> in the Southern Ocean: Comparison of three database methods, *J. Geophys. Res.-Oceans*, 110, C09S02, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2004JC002571>, 2005b.
- Lo Monaco, C., Álvarez, M., Key, R. M., Lin, X., Tanhua, T., Tilbrook, B., Bakker, D. C. E., van Heuven, S., Hoppema, M., Metzl, N., Ríos, A. F., Sabine, C. L., and Velo, A.: Assessing the internal consistency of the CARINA database in the Indian sector of the Southern Ocean, *Earth Syst. Sci. Data*, 2, 51–70, <https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-2-51-2010>, 2010.
- Lo Monaco, C., Metzl, N., D'Ovidio, F., Llorca, J., and Ridame, C.: Rapid establishment of the CO<sub>2</sub> sink associated with Kerguelen's bloom observed during the KEOPS2/OISO20 cruise, *Biogeosciences Discuss.*, 11, 17543–17578, <https://doi.org/10.5194/bgd-11-17543-2014>, 2014.
- Lo Monaco, C., Metzl, N., Fin, J., Mignon, C., Cuet, P., Douville, E., Gehlen, M., Chau, T. T. T., and Tribollet, A.: Distribution and long-term change of the sea surface carbonate system in the Mozambique Channel (1963–2019), *Deep-Sea Res. Pt. II*, 186–188, 104936, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2021.104936>, 2021.
- Long, M. C., Stephens, B. B., McKain, K., Sweeney, C., Keeling, R. F., Kort, E. A., Morgan, E. J., Bent, J. D., Chandra, N., Chevallier, F., Commane, R., Daube, B. C., Krummel, P. B., Loh, Z., Luijckx, I. T., Munro, D., Patra, P., Peters, W., Ramonet, M., Rödenbeck, C., Stavert, A., Tans, P., and Wofsy, S. C.: Strong Southern Ocean carbon uptake evident in airborne observations, *Science*, 374, 1275–1280, <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abi4355>, 2021.
- Lourantou, A. and Metzl, N.: Decadal evolution of carbon sink within a strong bloom area in the subantarctic zone, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 38, 1–7, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2011GL049614>, 2011.
- Lovenduski, N. S., Gruber, N., Doney, S. C., and Lima, I. D.: Enhanced CO<sub>2</sub> outgassing in the Southern Ocean from a positive phase of the Southern Annular Mode, *Global. Biogeochem. Cy.*, 21, GB2026, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2006GB002900>, 2007.
- Mackay, N. and Watson, A.: Winter Air–Sea CO<sub>2</sub> Fluxes Constructed From Summer Observations of the Polar Southern Ocean Suggest Weak Outgassing, *J. Geophys. Res.-Oceans*, 126, e2020JC016600, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2020JC016600>, 2021.
- Mahieu, L., Lo Monaco, C., Metzl, N., Fin, J., and Mignon, C.: Variability and stability of anthropogenic CO<sub>2</sub> in Antarctic Bottom Water observed in the Indian sector of the Southern Ocean, 1978–2018, *Ocean Sci.*, 16, 1559–1576, <https://doi.org/10.5194/os-16-1559-2020>, 2020.
- Martin, J. H., Fitzwater, S. E., and Gordon, R. M.: Iron deficiency limits phytoplankton growth in Antarctic waters, *Global. Biogeochem. Cy.*, 4, 5–12, <https://doi.org/10.1029/GB004i001p00005>, 1990.
- McNeil, B. I. and Matear, R. J.: Southern Ocean acidification: A tipping point at 450-ppm atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>, *P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 105, 18860–18864, <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0806318105>, 2008.
- McNeil, B. I., Tilbrook, B., and Matear, R. J.: Accumulation and uptake of anthropogenic CO<sub>2</sub> in the Southern Ocean, south of Australia between 1968 and 1996, *J. Geophys. Res.-Oceans*, 106, 31431–31445, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2000JC000331>, 2001.
- Mehrbach, C., Culbertson, C. H., Hawley, J. E., and Pytkowicz, R. M.: Measurement of the Apparent Dissociation Constants of Carbonic Acid in Seawater at Atmospheric Pressure, *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, 18, 897–907, <https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.1973.18.6.0897>, 1973.
- Metzl, N.: Decadal increase of oceanic carbon dioxide in Southern Indian Ocean surface waters (1991–2007), *Deep-Sea Res. Pt. II*, 56, 607–619, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2008.12.007>, 2009.
- Metzl, N., Brunet, C., Jabaud-Jan, A., Poisson, A., and Schauer, B.: Summer and winter air–sea CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes in the Southern Ocean, *Deep-Sea Res.*, 53, 1548–1563, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr.2006.07.006>, 2006.
- Midorikawa, T., Ishii, M., Saito, S., Sasano, D., Kosugi, N., Motoi, T., Kamiya, H., Nakadate, A., Nemoto, K., and Inoue, H. Y.: Decreasing pH trend estimated from 25-yr time series of carbonate

- parameters in the western North Pacific, *Tellus B*, 62, 649–659, <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0889.2010.00474.x>, 2010.
- Midorikawa, T., Inoue, H. Y., Ishii, M., Sasano, D., Kosugi, N., Hashida, G., Nakaoka, S., and Suzuki, T.: Decreasing pH trend estimated from 35-year time series of carbonate parameters in the Pacific sector of the Southern Ocean in summer, *Deep-Sea Res.*, 61, 131–139, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr.2011.12.003>, 2012.
- Millero, F. J., Lee, K., and Roche, M.: Distribution of alkalinity in the surface waters of the major oceans, *Mar. Chem.*, 60, 111–130, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-4203\(97\)00084-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-4203(97)00084-4), 1998.
- Minas, H. and Minas, M.: Net community production in high nutrient-low chlorophyll waters of the tropical and antarctic oceans – grazing vs iron hypothesis, *Oceanol. Acta*, 15, 145–162, 1992.
- Mongwe, N. P., Vichi, M., and Monteiro, P. M. S.: The seasonal cycle of pCO<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes in the Southern Ocean: diagnosing anomalies in CMIP5 Earth system models, *Biogeosciences*, 15, 2851–2872, <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-15-2851-2018>, 2018.
- Moore, J. K. and Abbott, M. R.: Phytoplankton chlorophyll distributions and primary production in the Southern Ocean, *J. Geophys. Res.-Oceans*, 105, 28709–28722, <https://doi.org/10.1029/1999JC000043>, 2000.
- Munro, D. R., Lovenduski, N. S., Takahashi, T., Stephens, B. B., Newberger, T., and Sweeney, C.: Recent evidence for a strengthening CO<sub>2</sub> sink in the Southern Ocean from carbonate system measurements in the Drake Passage (2002–2015), *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 42, 7623–7630, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2015GL065194>, 2015.
- Murphy, J. and Riley, J. P.: A modified single solution method for the determination of phosphate in natural waters, *Anal. Chim. Acta*, 27, 31–36, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0003-2670\(00\)88444-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0003-2670(00)88444-5), 1962.
- NOAA: The OISO Lines, NOAA [data set], [https://www.ncei.noaa.gov/access/ocean-carbon-acidification-data-system/oceans/VOS\\_Program/OISO.html](https://www.ncei.noaa.gov/access/ocean-carbon-acidification-data-system/oceans/VOS_Program/OISO.html), last access: 30 April 2020.
- Olsen, A., Key, R. M., van Heuven, S., Lauvset, S. K., Velo, A., Lin, X., Schirnick, C., Kozyr, A., Tanhua, T., Hoppema, M., Jutterström, S., Steinfeldt, R., Jeansson, E., Ishii, M., Pérez, F. F., and Suzuki, T.: The Global Ocean Data Analysis Project version 2 (GLODAPv2) – an internally consistent data product for the world ocean, *Earth Syst. Sci. Data*, 8, 297–323, <https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-8-297-2016>, 2016.
- Olsen, A., Lange, N., Key, R. M., Tanhua, T., Álvarez, M., Becker, S., Bittig, H. C., Carter, B. R., Cotrim da Cunha, L., Feely, R. A., van Heuven, S., Hoppema, M., Ishii, M., Jeansson, E., Jones, S. D., Jutterström, S., Karlsen, M. K., Kozyr, A., Lauvset, S. K., Lo Monaco, C., Murata, A., Pérez, F. F., Pfeil, B., Schirnick, C., Steinfeldt, R., Suzuki, T., Telszewski, M., Tilbrook, B., Velo, A., and Wanninkhof, R.: GLODAPv2.2019 – an update of GLODAPv2, *Earth Syst. Sci. Data*, 11, 1437–1461, <https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-11-1437-2019>, 2019.
- Orr, J. C., Fabry, V. J., Aumont, O., Bopp, L., Doney, S. C., Feely, R. A., Gnanadesikan, A., Gruber, N., Ishida, A., Joos, F., Key, R. M., Lindsay, K., Maier-Reimer, E., Matear, R., Monfray, P., Mouchet, A., Najjar, R. G., Plattner, G.-K., Rodgers, K. B., Sabine, C. L., Sarmiento, J. L., Schlitzer, R., Slater, R. D., Totterdell, I. J., Weirig, M.-F., Yamanaka, Y., and Yool, A.: Anthropogenic ocean acidification over the twenty-first century and its impact on calcifying organisms, *Nature*, 437, 681–686, <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature04095>, 2005.
- Orr, J. C., Epitalon, J.-M., Dickson, A. G., and Gattuso, J.-P.: Routine uncertainty propagation for the marine carbon dioxide system, *Mar. Chem.*, 207, 84–107, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marchem.2018.10.006>, 2018.
- Pardo, P. C., Pérez, F. F., Khatiwala, S., and Ríos, A. F.: Anthropogenic CO<sub>2</sub> estimates in the Southern Ocean: Storage partitioning in the different water masses, *Prog. Oceanogr.*, 120, 230–242, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2013.09.005>, 2014.
- Pardo, P. C., Tilbrook, B., Langlais, C., Trull, T. W., and Rintoul, S. R.: Carbon uptake and biogeochemical change in the Southern Ocean, south of Tasmania, *Biogeosciences*, 14, 5217–5237, <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-14-5217-2017>, 2017.
- Park, Y.-H., Gamberoni, L., and Charriaud, E.: Frontal structure, water masses, and circulation in the Crozet Basin, *J. Geophys. Res.-Oceans*, 98, 12361–12385, <https://doi.org/10.1029/93JC00938>, 1993.
- Pauthenet, E., Roquet, F., Madec, G., Guinet, C., Hindell, M., McMahon, C. R., Harcourt, R., and Nerini, D.: Seasonal Meandering of the Polar Front Upstream of the Kerguelen Plateau, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 45, 9774–9781, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GL079614>, 2018.
- Pellichero, V., Boutin, J., Claustre, H., Merlivat, L., Sallée, J.-B., and Blain, S.: Relaxation of Wind Stress Drives the Abrupt Onset of Biological Carbon Uptake in the Kerguelen Bloom: A Multisensor Approach, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 47, e2019GL085992, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2019GL085992>, 2020.
- Pérez, F. F., Ólafsson, J., Ólafsdóttir, S. R., Fontela, M., and Takahashi, T.: Contrasting drivers and trends of ocean acidification in the subarctic Atlantic, *Sci. Rep.-UK*, 11, 13991, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-93324-3>, 2021.
- Pfeil, B., Olsen, A., Bakker, D. C. E., Hankin, S., Koyuk, H., Kozyr, A., Malczyk, J., Manke, A., Metzl, N., Sabine, C. L., Akl, J., Alin, S. R., Bates, N., Bellerby, R. G. J., Borges, A., Boutin, J., Brown, P. J., Cai, W.-J., Chavez, F. P., Chen, A., Cosca, C., Fassbender, A. J., Feely, R. A., González-Dávila, M., Goyet, C., Hales, B., Hardman-Mountford, N., Heinze, C., Hood, M., Hoppema, M., Hunt, C. W., Hydes, D., Ishii, M., Johannessen, T., Jones, S. D., Key, R. M., Körtzinger, A., Landschützer, P., Lauvset, S. K., Lefèvre, N., Lenton, A., Lourantou, A., Merlivat, L., Midorikawa, T., Mintrop, L., Miyazaki, C., Murata, A., Nakadate, A., Nakano, Y., Nakaoka, S., Nojiri, Y., Omar, A. M., Padin, X. A., Park, G.-H., Paterson, K., Perez, F. F., Pierrot, D., Poisson, A., Ríos, A. F., Santana-Casiano, J. M., Salisbury, J., Sarma, V. V. S. S., Schlitzer, R., Schneider, B., Schuster, U., Sieger, R., Skjelvan, I., Steinhoff, T., Suzuki, T., Takahashi, T., Tedesco, K., Telszewski, M., Thomas, H., Tilbrook, B., Tjiputra, J., Vandemark, D., Veness, T., Wanninkhof, R., Watson, A. J., Weiss, R., Wong, C. S., and Yoshikawa-Inoue, H.: A uniform, quality controlled Surface Ocean CO<sub>2</sub> Atlas (SOCAT), *Earth Syst. Sci. Data*, 5, 125–143, <https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-5-125-2013>, 2013.
- Planquette, H., Statham, P. J., Fones, G. R., Charette, M. A., Moore, C. M., Salter, I., Nédélec, F. H., Taylor, S. L., French, M., Baker, A. R., Mahowald, N., and Jickells, T. D.: Dissolved iron in the vicinity of the Crozet Islands, Southern Ocean, *Deep-Sea Res. Pt. II*, 54, 1999–2019, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2007.06.019>, 2007.

- Poisson, A. and Chen, C.-T. A.: Why is there little anthropogenic CO<sub>2</sub> in the Antarctic bottom water?, *Deep-Sea Res.*, 34, 1255–1275, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0198-0149\(87\)90075-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0198-0149(87)90075-6), 1987.
- Poisson, A., Metzl, N., Brunet, C., Schauer, B., Bres, B., Ruiz-Pino, D., and Louanchi, F.: Variability of sources and sinks of CO<sub>2</sub> in the western Indian and southern oceans during the year 1991, *J. Geophys. Res.-Oceans*, 98, 22759–22778, <https://doi.org/10.1029/93JC02501>, 1993.
- Pollard, R., Sanders, R., Lucas, M., and Statham, P.: The Crozet Natural Iron Bloom and Export Experiment (CROZEX), *Deep-Sea Res. Pt. II*, 54, 1905–1914, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2007.07.023>, 2007.
- Ritter, R., Landschützer, P., Gruber, N., Fay, A. R., Iida, Y., Jones, S., Nakaoka, S., Park, G.-H., Peylin, P., Rödenbeck, C., Rodgers, K. B., Shutler, J. D., and Zeng, J.: Observation-Based Trends of the Southern Ocean Carbon Sink, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 44, 12,339–12,348, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GL074837>, 2017.
- Sabine, C. L., Feely, R. A., Gruber, N., Key, R. M., Lee, K., Bullister, J. L., Wanninkhof, R., Wong, C. S., Wallace, D. W. R., Tilbrook, B., Millero, F. J., Peng, T.-H., Kozyr, A., Ono, T., and Rios, A. F.: The Oceanic Sink for Anthropogenic CO<sub>2</sub>, *Science*, 305, 367–371, <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1097403>, 2004.
- Sabine, C. L., Feely, R. A., Millero, F. J., Dickson, A. G., Langdon, C., Mecking, S., and Greeley, D.: Decadal changes in Pacific carbon, *J. Geophys. Res.-Oceans*, 113, C07021, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2007JC004577>, 2008.
- Sanial, V., van Beek, P., Lansard, B., d'Ovidio, F., Kestenare, E., Souhaut, M., Zhou, M., and Blain, S.: Study of the phytoplankton plume dynamics off the Crozet Islands (Southern Ocean): A geochemical-physical coupled approach, *J. Geophys. Res.-Oceans*, 119, 2227–2237, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2013JC009305>, 2014.
- Sasse, T. P., McNeil, B. I., Matear, R. J., and Lenton, A.: Quantifying the influence of CO<sub>2</sub> seasonality on future aragonite undersaturation onset, *Biogeosciences*, 12, 6017–6031, <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-12-6017-2015>, 2015.
- Schlitzer, R.: Ocean Data View, AWI, <http://odv.awi.de>, last access: 6 January 2021.
- SOCAT: Surface Ocean CO<sub>2</sub> Atlas, <http://www.socat.info>, last access: 23 March 2022.
- Strickland, J. D. H. and Parsons, T. R.: A Practical Hand Book of Seawater Analysis. Fisheries Research Board of Canada Bulletin, 2nd edn., 310 pp., Ottawa, 1972.
- Sutton, A. J., Williams, N. L., and Tilbrook, B.: Constraining Southern Ocean CO<sub>2</sub> Flux Uncertainty Using Uncrewed Surface Vehicle Observations, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 48, e2020GL091748, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2020GL091748>, 2021.
- Takahashi, T., Olafsson, J., Goddard, J. G., Chipman, D. W., and Sutherland, S. C.: Seasonal variation of CO<sub>2</sub> and nutrients in the high-latitude surface oceans: A comparative study, *Global. Biogeochem. Cy.*, 7, 843–878, <https://doi.org/10.1029/93GB02263>, 1993.
- Takahashi, T., Sutherland, S. C., Wanninkhof, R., Sweeney, C., Feely, R. A., Chipman, D. W., Hales, B., Friederich, G., Chavez, F., Sabine, C., Watson, A., Bakker, D. C. E., Schuster, U., Metzl, N., Yoshikawa-Inoue, H., Ishii, M., Midorikawa, T., Nojiri, Y., Körtzinger, A., Steinhoff, T., Hoppema, M., Olafsson, J., Arnarson, T. S., Tilbrook, B., Johannessen, T., Olsen, A., Bellerby, R., Wong, C. S., Delille, B., Bates, N. R., and de Baar, H. J. W.: Climatological mean and decadal change in surface ocean pCO<sub>2</sub>, and net sea–air CO<sub>2</sub> flux over the global oceans, *Deep-Sea Res. Pt. II*, 56, 554–577, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2008.12.009>, 2009.
- Takahashi, T., Sweeney, C., Hales, B., Chipman, D., Newberger, T., Goddard, J., Iannuzzi, R., and Sutherland, S.: The Changing Carbon Cycle in the Southern Ocean, *Oceanography*, 25, 26–37, <https://doi.org/10.5670/oceanog.2012.71>, 2012.
- Takahashi, T., Sutherland, S. C., Chipman, D. W., Goddard, J. G., Ho, C., Newberger, T., Sweeney, C., and Munro, D. R.: Climatological distributions of pH, pCO<sub>2</sub>, total CO<sub>2</sub>, alkalinity, and CaCO<sub>3</sub> saturation in the global surface ocean, and temporal changes at selected locations, *Mar. Chem.*, 164, 95–125, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marchem.2014.06.004>, 2014.
- Tanhua, T., Hoppema, M., Jones, E. M., Stöven, T., Hauck, J., Dávila, M. G., Santana-Casiano, M., Álvarez, M., and Strass, V. H.: Temporal changes in ventilation and the carbonate system in the Atlantic sector of the Southern Ocean, *Deep-Sea Res. Pt. II*, 138, 26–38, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2016.10.004>, 2017.
- Terrats, L., Claustre, H., Cornec, M., Mangin, A., and Neukermans, G.: Detection of Coccolithophore Blooms With BioGeoChemical-Argo Floats, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 47, e2020GL090559, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2020GL090559>, 2020.
- Tjiputra, J. F., Olsen, A., Bopp, L., Lenton, A., Pfeil, B., Roy, T., Segsneider, J., Totterdell, I., and Heinze, C.: Long-term surface pCO<sub>2</sub> trends from observations and models, *Tellus B*, 66, 23083, <https://doi.org/10.3402/tellusb.v66.23083>, 2014.
- Touratier, F. and Goyet, C.: Applying the new TrOCA approach to assess the distribution of anthropogenic CO<sub>2</sub> in the Atlantic Ocean, *J. Marine Syst.*, 46, 181–197, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmarsys.2003.11.020>, 2004.
- Touratier, F., Azouzi, L., and Goyet, C.: CFC-11, Δ<sup>14</sup>C and <sup>3</sup>H tracers as a means to assess anthropogenic CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations in the ocean, *Tellus B*, 59, 318–325, <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0889.2006.00247.x>, 2007.
- Tréguer, P. and Le Corre, P.: Manuel d'analyse des sels nutritifs dans l'eau de mer (utilisation de l'autoanalyser II Technicon R), UBO, Brest, France, 109 pp., 1975.
- Tyrrell, T., Merico, A., Waniek, J. J., Wong, C. S., Metzl, N., and Whitney, F.: Effect of seafloor depth on phytoplankton blooms in high-nitrate, low-chlorophyll (HNLC) regions, *J. Geophys. Res.-Biogeo.*, 110, G02007, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2005JG000041>, 2005.
- Uppström, L. R.: The boron/chlorinity ratio of deep-sea water from the Pacific Ocean, *Deep Sea Res. Oceanogr. Abstr.*, 21, 161–162, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0011-7471\(74\)90074-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0011-7471(74)90074-6), 1974.
- van Heuven, S., Pierrot, D., Rae, J. W. B., Lewis, E., and Wallace, D. W. R.: MATLAB Program Developed for CO<sub>2</sub> System Calculations, ORNL/CDIAC-105b, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, 2011.
- Venables, H. J., Pollard, R. T., and Popova, E. E.: Physical conditions controlling the development of a regular phytoplankton bloom north of the Crozet Plateau, Southern Ocean, *Deep-Sea Res. Pt. II*, 54, 1949–1965, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2007.06.014>, 2007.
- Wanninkhof, R. and Triñanes, J.: The impact of changing wind speeds on gas transfer and its effect on global air–sea CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes, *Global. Biogeochem. Cy.*, 31, 961–974, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2016GB005592>, 2017.

- Weiss, R. F. and Price, B. A.: Nitrous oxide solubility in water and seawater, *Mar. Chem.*, 8, 347–359, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-4203\(80\)90024-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-4203(80)90024-9), 1980.
- World Meteorological Organization (WMO): The Global Climate Observing System (GCOS), Chairperson, Publications Board, <https://gcos.wmo.int/en/global-climate-indicators> (last access: 14 September 2020), 2018.
- Xue, L., Gao, L., Cai, W.-J., Yu, W., and Wei, M.: Response of sea surface fugacity of  $\text{CO}_2$  to the SAM shift south of Tasmania: Regional differences, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 42, 3973–3979, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2015GL063926>, 2015.
- Xue, L., Cai, W.-J., Takahashi, T., Gao, L., Wanninkhof, R., Wei, M., Li, K., Feng, L., and Yu, W.: Climatic modulation of surface acidification rates through summertime wind forcing in the Southern Ocean, *Nat. Commun.*, 9, 3240, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-05443-7>, 2018.