

# Influence of film-thickness on the ozone detection of perovskite La0.8Pb0.1Ca0.1Fe1–xCoxO3 based sensors

S Smiy, M Bejar, E Dhahri, T Fiorido, K Aguir, V. Laithier, M Bendahan

# ▶ To cite this version:

S Smiy, M Bejar, E Dhahri, T Fiorido, K Aguir, et al.. Influence of film-thickness on the ozone detection of perovskite La0.8Pb0.1Ca0.1Fe1–xCoxO3 based sensors. New Journal of Chemistry, 2021, 45 (26), pp.11626-11635. 10.1039/D1NJ01368H . hal-03605432

# HAL Id: hal-03605432 https://hal.science/hal-03605432v1

Submitted on 11 Mar 2022

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Film-thickness influence on ozone detection of perovskite La<sub>0.8</sub>Pb<sub>0.1</sub>Ca<sub>0.1</sub>Fe<sub>1-x</sub>Co<sub>x</sub>O<sub>3</sub> based sensors

# S. Smiy<sup>*a*</sup>, M. Bejar<sup>*a*,\*</sup>, E. Dhahri<sup>*a*</sup>, T. Fiorido<sup>*b*</sup>, K. Aguir<sup>*b*</sup>, V. Martini-Laithier<sup>*b*</sup>, M.

# Bendahan<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Laboratoire de Physique Appliquée, Faculté des Sciences, B.P. 1171, 3000 Sfax, Université de Sfax, Tunisie.

<sup>b</sup> Aix Marseille Univ, Université de Toulon, CNRS, IM2NP, Marseille, France

# Abstract

In this study, La<sub>0.8</sub>Pb<sub>0.1</sub>Ca<sub>0.1</sub>Fe<sub>1-x</sub>Co<sub>x</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (x = 0.10 and x = 0.20) thin films with respective thicknesses of 300 and 600 nm have been prepared by the drop coating technique onto  $Si/SiO_2$  substrate with platinum interdigitated electrodes. The effect of cobalt-content and films thickness on the ozone sensing properties have been studied. The sensors responses have been determined in an operating temperature range between 160 and 280°C. Sensors have been exposed to different concentrations between 50 and 400 ppb of ozone gas at a constant flow rate of 500 ml/min dry air.

The studied La<sub>0.8</sub>Pb<sub>0.1</sub>Ca<sub>0.1</sub>Fe<sub>1-x</sub>Co<sub>x</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (x = 0.10 and x = 0.20) compounds could be a promising sensitive film for ozone detection, especially for the thinnest sensitive layer, 300 nm in our case.

Keywords: Perovskite, Sensors, Thin films, Films thickness, Ozone sensor.

Corresponding author: <u>bejar moez@yahoo.fr</u> (M. Bejar)

# **I-INTRODUCTION**

Ozone  $(O_3)$  is an oxidizing gas, which is used in various fields such as food, odor control and medical disinfection **[1]**. However, above a concentration of 90 *ppb*, ozone gas leads to health problems and become very harmful above a concentration of 160 *ppb* **[2]**. The **W**orld Health Organization (*WHO*) declared that the 8 h average ozone gas exposure limit is 75 *ppb* **[3]**. Hence, many materials have been recently investigated as ozone-gas based sensors. Among them, metal oxide semiconductors are widely used such as *ZnO* **[4, 5]**,  $WO_3$  **[6,7]**,  $SnO_2$  **[8]** and  $In_2O_3$  **[9]** added to that we have other materials which are used for gas sensing applications **[10-13]**. Though, the complicated fabrication processes are still the main drawback of these materials. These materials are currently studied in forms of nanostructured such as nanowires, nanotubes and nanorods thanks to their large surface area and nano-size effect **[14,15]**. But these materials are characterized by a very high operating temperature for example in the case of WO<sub>3</sub> and Au-ZnO prepared by the hydrothermal method, the operating temperature is found to be equal to 200°C and 206°C, respectively, in presence of 1000 ppb of BTEX **[16]**. Added to that, many works are interested on the study of materials which are nonporous, mesoporous and other materials characterized by nanometric size used for gas sensing application **[16-18]**.

Perovskite materials are promising candidates for the detection of ozone-gas **[19-23]**. For instance,  $SrTi_{0.85}Fe_{0.15}O_3$  sensor was used in the detection of 800 *ppb* of ozone gas at the operating temperature of 250 °C **[22]**. M. Mori et *al*. found that introducing Co **[24]** in place of Fe was accompanied by a decrease in the operating temperature of this sensor **[23]**. *LaFeO*<sub>3</sub> is a multifunctional perovskite material, which was used in the detection of many dangerous oxidizing gases such us NO<sub>2</sub> **[25]** and *SO*<sub>2</sub>**[26]**.

However, LaFeO<sub>3</sub> is characterized by a high resistance [27], which is a disadvantage in the field of gas sensors. For this reason, it is necessary to replace the lanthanum in LaFeO<sub>3</sub> with lower-valence cations, such as Sr, Ca and Pb [28-30]. The gas-sensing properties of La<sub>1-x</sub>Ca<sub>x</sub>FeO<sub>3</sub> and La<sub>1-x</sub>Pb<sub>x</sub>FeO<sub>3</sub> have been studied respectively by L.B. Kong et *al.* [31] and P. Song et *al.* [32]. It has been confirmed that these perovskites, with a composition where x = 0.2, show a higher response and greater selectivity to CO and ethanol gases, respectively. Usually, the perovskite materials used in the field of gas sensing are used in pellets forms, therefore and in order to increase the sensitivity of these sensors it is necessary to deposit these materials using chemical method which is sol gel by drop coating because the response increases in case of the use of chemical preparation method [33].

In our previous published work related to this framework, we have demonstrated that introducing cobalt instead of Iron in  $La_{0.885}Pb_{0.005}Ca_{0.11}Fe_{1-x}Co_xO_{2.95}$  (x = 0.00, 0.05, 0.10 and 0.15) compounds leads to the decrease of the operating temperature from 270 °C to 250 °C when Co-content increase from 0 % to 5 % in presence of the  $NH_3$ reducing gas [29]. Moreover, the responses of  $La_{0.8}Pb_{0.1}Ca_{0.1}Fe_{1-x}Co_xO_3$  (0.00  $\leq x \leq$ 0.20)sensors found in this study were higher than those of  $La_{0.885}Pb_{0.005}Ca_{0.11}Fe_{1-x}Co_xO_{2.95}$  (0.00  $\leq x \leq 0.15$ ) sensors found in our previously study **[29]**. But in these works, perovskite materials are used in pellets forms. In this context, in our recent article, the substitution of Fe by Co and substitute La by Ca and Pb in  $LaFeO_3$  sensor can lead to an increase of the response *S* and a decrease of the operating temperature for these materials **[28-34]**. The aim of the present work was to develop  $La_{0.8}Pb_{0.1}Ca_{0.1}Fe_{1-x}Co_xO_3$  films (x = 0.10 and x = 0.20) by drop-coating method based on the use of nano-powders synthesized by the sol-gel technic. Also, in this work, the  $O_3$  sensing properties of our sensors as a function of films thickness are investigated.

#### **II- EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS**


#### II-1) Elaboration of nano-powders and thin films deposition

A series of La<sub>0.8</sub>Pb<sub>0.1</sub>Ca<sub>0.1</sub>Fe<sub>1-x</sub>Co<sub>x</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (x = 0.10 and x = 0.20) samples have been prepared technic with  $La(NO_3)_36H_2O$ ,  $Pb(NO_3)_22H_2O$ ,  $Ca(NO_3)_26H_2O$ , the sol-gel using  $Fe(NO_3)9H_2O$  and  $Co(NO_3)_26H_2O$  as precursors (all chemicals were of 99.99% purity and purchased from Sigma-Aldrich). Firstly, precursors have been dissolved in distilled water to obtain a mixed solution. Citric acid  $C_6H_8O_7$  and few drops of ethylene glycol have been added when these nitrates are completely dissolved in order to ensure homogenization and gel formation (the ratio amount of citric acid/ethylene glycol was 1:4). The obtained powders are sintered respectively at 300 °C (24 h), 600 °C (24 h) and 900 °C (24 h) to obtain the corresponding phase. Finally, the structure of the prepared compounds has been characterized by *X*-ray diffraction using  $CuK_{\alpha}$  radiation ( $\lambda = 1.5406$  Å). The thin films deposition of the prepared compounds has been performed on  $Si/SiO_2$  substrate by the drop-coating technique. A mixture of 20 mg and 20 ml of 2-propanol has been prepared for each compound. The suspensions have been ultrasonicated for 10 min at 40 °C in order to ensure homogenization for the mixture. The coating of Si/SiO<sub>2</sub> substrate with inter-digitated *Pt*-electrodes, has been carried out drop by drop using a micropipette. Two thin films have been obtained after the deposition of 8 and 16 drops which correspond to 20  $\mu$ l and 40  $\mu$ l, respectively. The deposition of thin films was followed by an annealing for 1 h at 500 °C.

#### II-2) Gas sensitivity measurements

We investigated the  $O_3$  sensing properties of our La<sub>0.8</sub>Pb<sub>0.1</sub>Ca<sub>0.1</sub>Fe<sub>1-x</sub>Co<sub>x</sub>O<sub>3</sub> material for two film thicknesses 300 nm (8 drops) and 600 nm (16 drops) for x = 0.00 and x = 0.20. Sensors

have been placed in a test chamber which allowed the control of the temperature under variable  $O_3$  concentrations (*Figure* 1).



**Figure 1:** General diagram of measurements setup used to characterize ozone microsensors.

The volume of the chamber is about 2 liters. Dry air has been used as a reference gas. Ozone gas has been generated by oxidizing a part of oxygen in the dry air using a pen-ray UV lamp (Stable Ozone Generator UVP/185 *nm*). The different ozone concentrations have been obtained by partially masking the UV lamp whose range is between 30 *ppb* and 800 *ppb* with a dry air flow rate maintained at  $0.5 \ell / min$ . An ozone analyzer (reference Seres 2000G), has been used to calibrate and verify the correct ozone concentrations during the tests. The operating temperature of La<sub>0.8</sub>Pb<sub>0.1</sub>Ca<sub>0.1</sub>Fe<sub>1-x</sub>Co<sub>x</sub>O<sub>3</sub> based sensors has been adjusted between 160 °C and 280 °C in order to optimize the sensor response. The sensor bias voltage has been fixed to 1 V and the current is measured using a keithley 4250 sourcemeter. The response *S* is defined as:


$$S = \frac{R_a}{R_g} \tag{Eq. 1}$$

Where  $R_a$  is the sensor resistance under dry air and  $R_g$  the sensor resistance under ozone with dry air.

### **III- RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**


#### III-1) XRD and EDX studies

In order to check the crystallinity of La<sub>0.8</sub>Pb<sub>0.1</sub>Ca<sub>0.1</sub>Fe<sub>1-x</sub>Co<sub>x</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (x = 0.10 and x = 0.20) nanopowders, a Bragg ( $\theta$ -2 $\theta$ ) *X*-ray diffraction (*XRD*) has been applied, CuK $\alpha$  radiation ( $\lambda = 1.5406$  Å) and 0.02 angle step have been used for the *XRD* analysis. The analysis of *XRD* patterns (*Figure* 2) proves that the prepared samples crystalize in the orthorhombic system with *Pnma* group [19].




**Figure 2**: X-ray diffraction patterns of  $La_{0.8}Pb_{0.1}Ca_{0.1}Fe_{1-x}Co_xO_3$  (x = 0.10 and x = 0.20) compounds, all peaks are indexed in the orthorhombic *Pnma* symmetry.

The (*hkl*) Miller indices of the most intense peaks are (101), (002), (220), (040), (103), (321), (242) and (323). The energy dispersive spectra (*EDX*) and SEM graphs of La<sub>0.8</sub>Pb<sub>0.1</sub>Ca<sub>0.1</sub>Fe<sub>1-x</sub>Co<sub>x</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (x = 0.10 and x = 0.20) sensors are depicted in *Figures* **3** (a, b) and *Figures* **3** (c, d) the results reveal the presence of the characteristic peaks of La, Pb, Ca, Fe and Co elements.



**Figure 3**(*a*, *b*): Energy dispersive spectra (*EDX*) of La<sub>0.8</sub>Pb<sub>0.1</sub>Ca<sub>0.1</sub>Fe<sub>1-x</sub>Co<sub>x</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (x = 0.10 (a) and x = 0.20 (b) sensors.



**Figure 3** (*c*. *d*): SEM-graphs of La<sub>0.8</sub>Pb<sub>0.1</sub>Ca<sub>0.1</sub>Fe<sub>1-x</sub>Co<sub>x</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (x = 0.10 (c) and x = 0.20 (d)) sensors.

The grain size was determined by using the Scherrer method [35]:


$$D_{SC} = \frac{k \times \lambda}{\beta \cos \theta} \tag{Eq. 2}$$

Where *k* is the grain form factor (k = 0.9),  $\lambda = 1.5406$  Å represents the wavelength,  $\theta$  defines the Bragg angle for the most intense peak and  $\beta$  is the full width at half maximum of the most intense peak.

The calculated value of the crystallite size  $(D_{SC})$  was found equal to 43.4 *nm* and 43.2 *nm* for x = 0.10 and x = 0.20, respectively. The obtained values are comparable to those found for other compounds considered as nanometric materials for gas-sensing application [36, 37]. Moreover, it is reported that nanometric materials present significant activity and consequently, facilitate the chemisorption of oxygen of these compounds [38]. Finally, it is worth to mention that the incorporation of the Co ion in place of Iron leads to the decrease of the crystallite size value.

III-2) Dependence between film thickness and ozone sensing properties of  $La_{0.8}Pb_{0.1}Ca_{0.1}Fe_{1-x}Co_xO_3$  (x = 0.10, x = 0.20) sensors

The deposition of  $La_{0.8}Pb_{0.1}Ca_{0.1}Fe_{1-x}Co_xO_3$  (x = 0.10 and x = 0.20) nano powders over the Si/SiO<sub>2</sub> substrate has been checked by optical microscope analysis. The results depicted in *Figure*. **4**, show the optical views of La<sub>0.8</sub>Pb<sub>0.1</sub>Ca<sub>0.1</sub>Fe<sub>1-x</sub>Co<sub>x</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (x = 0.10 and x = 0.20) compounds after deposition of 8 and 16 drops.



**Figure 4**: Optical view of La<sub>0.8</sub>Pb<sub>0.1</sub>Ca<sub>0.1</sub>Fe<sub>1-x</sub>Co<sub>x</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (x = 0.10 and x = 0.20) sensors after deposit of 8 and 16 drops.


Film's thickness has been measured after annealing using a DEKTAK 6M mechanical profilometer. The average film thickness was found to be equal to 300 nm and 600nm for coating process with 8 and 16 drops, respectively (*Table* 1).

Table 1: Mean thickness, standard deviation, and repeatability error of


	Thickness ( <i>nm</i> )					Mean thickness	Standard deviation	Error repeatability (%) = (Standard	
	test 1	test 2	test 3	test 4	test 5	test 6	(nm)	(nm)	deviation/Mean thickness)
8 drops	288	316	321	302	287	286	300	15,5	5
16 drops	589	604	609	612	599	588	600	10	1,7

 $La_{0.8}Pb_{0.1}Ca_{0.1}Fe_{1-x}Co_xO_3$  (*x* = 0.10 and *x* = 0.20) sensors.

After the deposit of our material, measurements of the sensor resistance as a function of time have been carried out at different temperatures and with different La<sub>0.8</sub>Pb<sub>0.1</sub>Ca<sub>0.1</sub>Fe<sub>1-x</sub>Co<sub>x</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (x = 0.10 and x = 0.20) film thicknesses (*Figures* 5 and 6).




**Figure 5**: Ozone gas response of  $La_{0.8}Pb_{0.1}Ca_{0.1}Fe_{0.9}Co_{0.1}O_3$  (x = 0.10) sensor as a function of time at several temperatures (190, 210, 230 and 260 °*C*) for a thickness film equal to 300 *nm* (8 drops) and 600 *nm* (16 drops) for an exposure time equal to 1 *min* ( $C = 100 \ ppb$ ).




**Figure 6**: Ozone gas response of  $La_{0.8}Pb_{0.1}Ca_{0.1}Fe_{0.8}Co_{0.2}O_3$  (x = 0.20) sensor as a function of time at several temperatures (170, 210, 230 and 260 °*C*) for a thickness film equal to 300 *nm* (8 drops) and 600 *nm* (16 drops) for an exposure time equal to 1 *min* ( $C = 100 \ ppb$ ).

Furthermore, the response (S) of La<sub>0.8</sub>Pb<sub>0.1</sub>Ca<sub>0.1</sub>Fe<sub>1-x</sub>Co<sub>x</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (x = 0.10 and x = 0.20) sensors for thickness films equal to 300 nm and 600 nm for an exposure time equal to 1min has been performed. The decrease of the resistance when sensors are exposed to  $O_3$  gas, which is an oxidizing gas, indicates the *p*-type semiconductor behaviour of the studied sensors [39]. The responses obtained with the thickness 300 nm are higher than those found with a thickness of 600 nm. In fact, when the thickness of the film increases, the electrodes are buried [40]. Then, the oxygen species are trapped at the top of the  $La_{0.8}Pb_{0.1}Ca_{0.1}Fe_{1-x}Co_xO_3$  (x = 0.10 and x = 0.20) film, which reduces the diffusion in the part between the electrodes, inducing the response decrease. On the other hand, the result show that for x = 0.10 and at T = 210, 230 and  $260 \,^{\circ}C$ , the R(t) curve does not return to the baseline. This variation depends on the amount of oxygen adsorbed on the surface because the electron trapped by the oxygen at the surface from the semiconductor decreases the resistance by increasing the major charge carrier (*hole*) concentration [39]. However, for a temperature equal to 190 °C, we observe the presence of some stability of R(t) curve. So, we can predict that the operating temperature is expected to be equal to 190 °C for x = 0.10 sensor and this temperature decreases to 170 °C for  $La_{0.8}Pb_{0.1}Ca_{0.1}Fe_{0.8}Co_{0.2}O_3$  sensor.

**Figure. 7** summarizes the ozone response (*S*) values of La<sub>0.8</sub>Pb<sub>0.1</sub>Ca<sub>0.1</sub>Fe<sub>1-x</sub>Co<sub>x</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (x = 0.10 and x = 0.20) with a thickness film equal to 300 *nm* and 600 *nm* per each sensor in presence of  $O_3$  gas when concentration is equal to 100 *ppb* at different working temperatures. As it is known, each sensor can be characterized by its optimal operating temperature ( $T_o$ ) because the temperature has a significant effect on the gas response.






**Figure 7**: The relationship between response  $(S = R_a/R_g)$  and temperature (T) of La<sub>0.8</sub>Pb<sub>0.1</sub>Ca<sub>0.1</sub>Fe<sub>1-x</sub>Co<sub>x</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (x = 0.10 and x = 0.20) based sensor during ozone exposing time of 1 *min* at 100 *ppb* concentration as a function of film thickness.


**Figure**. **7** reveals that the optimum operating temperatures  $(T_o)$  for our sensors was found to be equal to 190 °C and 170 °C for x = 0.10 and 0.20, respectively. Lee and reedy **[41]** have revealed that many factors, such as oxygen species, the rates of adsorption and desorption, and the charge carrier concentration, contribute to the sensor response. The activities of the electrons and oxygen species in the sensing reactions depend on the operating temperatures **[42]**.

From *Figure* **5**, we can note that the *S* values increase not only by decreasing film-thickness, but also by increasing cobalt rate **[43]**.

To further investigate the attitude of  $La_{0.8}Pb_{0.1}Ca_{0.1}Fe_{1-x}Co_xO_3$  (x = 0.10 and x = 0.20) sensors to detect various ozone concentrations levels, the sensitivity of the prepared sensors in presence of different concentration of ozone gas for an exposure time equal to 4 min were investigated and the results are shown in *Figure 8* (x = 0.10) and *Figure 9* (x = 0.20). The results show that curves present partial reversibility to the base line of  $La_{0.8}Pb_{0.1}Ca_{0.1}Fe_{1-x}Co_xO_3$  (x = 0.10 and x = 0.20) sensors towards 50, 100, 200, 300, and 400 *ppb* at the operating temperature.



**Figure 8**: La<sub>0.8</sub>Pb<sub>0.1</sub>Ca<sub>0.1</sub>Fe<sub>0.9</sub>Co<sub>0.1</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (x = 0.10) sensor response (*S*) to different oxidizing gas ( $O_3$ ) concentrations (50, 100, 200, 300 and 400 ppb) for a film thickness equal to 300 nm (8 drops) and 600 nm (16 drops) at  $T_0 = 190$  °C and for an exposure time equal to 4 min.



**Figure 9:**  $La_{0.8}Pb_{0.1}Ca_{0.1}Fe_{0.8}Co_{0.2}O_3$  (x = 0.20) sensor response (*S*) to different oxidizing gas ( $O_3$ ) concentrations (50, 100, 200, 300 and 400 *ppb*) for a film thickness equal to 300 *nm* (8 drops) and 600 *nm* (16 drops) at  $T_0 = 170 \,^{\circ}C$  and for an exposure time equal to 4 *min*.

All characteristics of gas sensors, such as signal, response, and recovery times, depend on the gas diffusion effects and the rate of reaction. This phenomenon is demonstrated theoretically, using the model of  $SnO_2$  [37]. When oxygen species are adsorbed, a depletion layer is formed on the surface of the sensing films.

$$O_{2(gas)} \leftrightarrow O_{2(ads)}$$
 (Eq. 3)

$$O_{2(ads)} + e^- \leftrightarrow O_{2(ads)}^- \tag{Eq. 4}$$

$$O_{2(ads)}^{-} + e^{-} \leftrightarrow 2O_{ads}^{-}$$
 (Eq. 5)


$$O_{(ads)}^{-} + e^{-} \leftrightarrow O_{(ads)}^{2-}$$
 (Eq. 6)

The chemical reactions process between free adsorption site *S* and the triatomic gases, as ozone ( $O_3$ ), are Eq.7 and Eq.8 **[44]**. These reactions depends also in the operating temperature of each sensor:

$$S + O_3 \rightarrow (S - 0) + O_2$$
 (Eq. 7)

$$(S-0) + e^- \to (S+0)^-$$
 (Eq.8)

The response of  $La_{0.8}Pb_{0.1}Ca_{0.1}Fe_{1-x}Co_xO_3$  (x = 0.10 and x = 0.20) detectors increases, simultaneously, by the increases of the gas concentrations and the decreases of film thickness from 300 *nm* to 600 *nm* (*Figure* 10 and *Table* 2).



**Figure 10**: Response ( $S = R_a/R_g$ ) vs.  $O_3$  concentrations (50, 100, 200, 300 and 400 *ppb*) at the working temperature ( $T = 190 \ ^\circ C$ ) for x = 0.10 and ( $T = 170 \ ^\circ C$ ) for x = 0.20 sensors.

**<u>Table 2</u>**: Response  $(S = R_a/R_g)$  of La<sub>0.8</sub>Pb<sub>0.1</sub>Ca<sub>0.1</sub>Fe<sub>1-x</sub>Co<sub>x</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (x = 0.10 and x = 0.20) sensors during an ozone exposing time of 4 *min* at different gas concentrations as a function of film thickness (300 nm and 600 nm).

<b>C</b> ( <b>ppm</b> )	S (0.10) 300 nm	S (0.10) 600 nm	S (0.20) 300 nm	S (0.20) 600 nm
0.05	1.586	1.264	1.753	1.420
0.1	2.020	1.407	2.111	1.642
0.2	2.475	1.523	3.264	1.793
0.3	2.926	1.690	4.273	2.001
0.4	3.391	1.716	6.563	2.091

Moreover, the response of the prepared sensors was found higher than the response reported for other metal oxide semiconductor (*Table*.3) [45-47], and than other perovskite materials cited as potentials  $O_3$  sensing [48].

Sensors	<b>Τ</b> <sub>0</sub> (° <b>C</b> )	<b>C</b> ( <b>ppm</b> )	Response (S)	Ref
SnO <sub>2</sub>	20	0.217	1.6	[45]
CuO	250	0.6	2.5	[46]
$Zn - MoS_2$	25	5	1.8	[47]
SmFe <sub>0.8</sub> Co <sub>0.2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	200	400	3	[48]

**<u>Table 3</u>**: Comparison of sensing performances of different sensors for the detection of  $O_3$ .

The response and recovery times have been investigated, and the results have been illustrated in *Table* **4**.

**<u>Table 4</u>**: Response  $(\tau_{resp})$  and recovery  $(\tau_{rec})$  times of La<sub>0.8</sub>Pb<sub>0.1</sub>Ca<sub>0.1</sub>Fe<sub>1-x</sub>Co<sub>x</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (x = 0.10 and x = 0.20) sensors for a thicknesses film equal to 300 *nm* and 600 *nm* at different concentrations (*C*) at the operating temperature for each sensor.

Sensors	<b>T</b> (° <b>C</b> )	<b>C</b> ( <b>ppb</b> )	$ au_{resp}(min)$	$ au_{rec}$ (min)
x = 0.10	190	50	3.3	10.2
x = 0.10 : 300 nm		200	2.2	21.2
. 500 mm		400	1.9	30.1
x = 0.10		50	3.5	26.1
x = 0.10 : 600 nm	190	200	3.3	49.9
		400	3.1	58.3
		50	3.5	8.3
x = 0.20 : 300 nm	170	200	2.5	14.1
		400	2.1	41.3
x = 0.20	170	50	2.8	28.2
x = 0.20 : 600 nm		200	2.6	44.6
· 000 nm		400	2.3	52.4

The response time is the time needed to reach 90% of the final steady state signal and the recovery time (return time) was defined as the time needed to reach 10% of the final

steady state signal **[49]**. In the case of our sensors, the response time  $(\tau_{resp})$  increases from 1.95 *min* to 3.12 *min* for x = 0.10 when concentration is equal to 400 *ppb* and when thickness film increases from 300 *nm* to 600 *nm*.

# **IV-CONCLUSION**

The present work demonstrates the feasibility of the use of  $La_{0.8}Pb_{0.1}Ca_{0.1}Fe_{1-x}Co_xO_3$  (x = 0.10 and x = 0.20) films deposited by drop coating, as ozone sensors.

La<sub>0.8</sub>Pb<sub>0.1</sub>Ca<sub>0.1</sub>Fe<sub>1-x</sub>Co<sub>x</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (x = 0.10 and x = 0.20) structural properties revealed the presence of an orthorhombic structure with nanometric grain size. The study of the response ( $S = R_g/R_a$ ) of our compounds proves the ability of our samples to detect low concentration equal to 50 *ppb* (*sub* – *ppm*) of ozone gas. Responses are more important in case of a thickness film equal to 300 *nm* compared to those found in case of film thickness of 600 *nm*. The optimal working temperature was found to be equal to 190 °C with x = 0.10 and decreases to 170 °C for the sample doped with 20% of cobalt.

# References

[1] L. Yu, Y. Li, H. Yu, K. Zhang, X. Wang, X. Chen, J. Yue, T. Huo, H. Ge, K. Alamry, H. Marwani and S. Wang, A fluorescence probe for highly selective and sensitive detection of gaseous ozone based on excited-state intramolecular proton transfer mechanism, J. Sens. Actuat. B Chem, 266 (2018) 717.

[2] Risk and Benefits Group, Health Risk and Exposure Assessment for Ozone, book, 2014.

**[3]** D. Zhang, Z. Yang, P. Li and X. Zhou, Ozone gas sensing properties of metal-organic frameworks-derived  $In_2O_3$  hollow microtubes decorated with ZnO nanoparticles, J. Sens. Actuat. B Chem, 301 (2019) 127081.

[4] A. Catto, L. Silva, C. Ribeiro, S. Bernardini, K. Aguir, E. Longo and V. Mastelaroa, An easy method of preparing ozone gas sensors based on ZnO nanorods, J. RSC Adv. 5 (2015) 19528.

**[5]** B. Liu, S. Wang, Z. Yuan, Z. Duan, Q. Zhao, Y. Zhang, Y. Su, Y. Jiang, G. Xie and H. Tai, Novel chitosan/ZnO bilayer film with enhanced humidity-tolerant property: Endowing triboelectric nanogenerator with acetone analysis capability, Nano energy, 78 (2020) 105256.

**[6]** J. Huang, X. Wang, Y. Gong, Y. Liu, P. Zhou, X. Suo, D. Zeng and H. Li, Construction of  $WO_3$  coatings with micro-nano hybrid structures by liquid precursor flame spray for enhanced sensing performances to sub-ppm ozone, J. Mater. Lett. 205 (2017) 106.

[7] M. Bendahan, J. Guerin, R. Boulmani, K. Aguir, WO3 sensor response according to operating temperature: Experiment and modelling, J. Sens. Actuat. B Chem, 124 (2007) 24–29.

**[8]** C. Jeng, P. Chong, C. Chiu, G. Jiang, H. Lin, R. Wu and C. Wu, A dynamic equilibrium method for the  $SnO_2$ -based ozone sensors using UV-LED continuous irradiation, J. Sens. Actuat. B Chem, 195 (2014) 702.

**[9]** D. Klaus, D. Klawinski, S. Amrehn, M. Tiemann and T. Wagner, Light-activated resistive ozone sensing at room temperature utilizing nanoporous  $In_2O_3$  particles: influence of particle size, J. Sens. Actuat. B Chem, 217 (2015) 181.

17

**[10]** S. Bano, A.S. Ganie, S. Sultana, M.Z. Khan and S. Sabir, The non-enzymatic electrochemical detection of glucose and ammonia using ternary biopolymer based-nanocomposites, New. J. Chem, 2021.

**[11]** O. Yang, L. Zhou, L. Peng, G. Yuan, H. Ding, L. Tan and Y. Zhou, A smart mitochondriatargeting TP-NIR fluorescent probe for the selective and sensitive of H2S in living cells and mice, *New. J. Chem*, 2021.

**[12]** V. Adepu, N. Bokka, V. Mattela and P. Sahatiya, A highly electropositive ReS2 based ultra-sensitive flexible humidity sensor for multifunctional applications, New. J. Chem, 45 (2021) 5855 – 5862.

**[13]** M.R. Sovizi and S. Mirzakhani, A chemiresistor sensor modified with lanthanum oxide nanoparticles as a highly sensitive and selective sensor for dimethylamine at room temperature, New. J. Chem, 44 (2020) 4927 – 4934.

**[14]** K. Aguir, S. Bernardini, B. Lawson and T. Fiorido, Trends in metal oxide thin films: Synthesis and applications of tin oxide, Tin Oxide Materials, (2020)219-246.

**[15]** W. Avansi Jr, A.C. Catto, L.F. da Silva, T. Fiorido, S. Bernardini, V.R. Mastelaro, K. Aguir and R. Arenal, One-dimensional V<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> heterostructures for chemiresistive ozone sensors, ACS Appl. Nano Mater. 2 (2019) 4756–4764.

**[16]** L. F. d. Silva, A. C. Catto, S. Bernardini, T. Fiorido, J.V. N. de Palma, W. Avansi Jr, K. Aguir and M. Bendahan, BTEX gas sensor based on hematite microrhombuses, J. Sens. Actuat. B Chem, 326 (2021) 128817.

**[17]** A. Shahmoradi, A. Hosseini, A. Akbarinejad and N. Alizadeh, Noninvasive Detection of Ammonia in the Breath of Hemodialysis Patients Using a Highly Sensitive Ammonia Sensor Based on a Polypyrrole/Sulfonated Graphene Nanocomposite, American Chemical Society, 2021.

**[18]** R. Souissi, N. Bouguila, M. Bendahan, T. Fiorido, K. Aguir, M. Kraini, C. Vázquez and A. Labidi, Highly sensitive nitrogen dioxide gas sensors based on sprayed β-In2S3 film, J. Sens. Actuat. B Chem, 319 (2020) 128280.

**[19]** L.F.d. Silva, V.R. Mastelaro, A.C. Catto, C.A. Escanhoela, J.S. Bernardini, S.C. Zílio, E. Longo and K. Aguir, Ozone and nitrogen dioxide gas sensor based on a nanostructured

*SrTi*<sub>0.85</sub>*Fe*<sub>0.15</sub>*O*<sub>3</sub>thin film, J. Alloys. Compds, 638 (2015) 374.

**[20]** M. Mori, J. Fujita, Y.I. tagaki and Y. Sadaoka, Ozone detection in air using  $SmFeO_3$  gas sensor for air quality classification, J. Cera. Soc. Japan, 119 (2011) 926.

**[21]** V.R. Mastelaro, S.C. Zilio, L.F.D. Silva, P.I. Pelissari, M.I.B. Bernardi, J. Guerin and K. Aguir, Ozone gas sensor based on nanocrystalline  $SrTi_{1-x}Fe_xO_3$  thin films, J. Sens. Actuat. B Chem, 181 (2013) 919.

**[22]** G. Kang, Z. Zhu, B.H. Tang, C.H. Wuand and R.J. Wu, Rapid detection of ozone in the parts per billion range using a novel Ni–Al layered double hydroxide, J. Sens. Actuat. B Chem, 241 (2017) 1203.

**[23]** Y. Itagaki, M. Mori, Y. Hosoya, H. Aono and Y. Sadaoka,  $O_3$  and  $NO_2$  sensing properties of SmFe<sub>1-x</sub>Co<sub>x</sub>O<sub>3</sub> perovskite oxides, J. Sens. Actuat. B Chem, 122 (2007) 315.

**[24]** W. Belkacem, A. Labidi, J. Guerin, N. Mliki and K. Aguir, Cobalt nanograins effect on the ozone detection by WO3 sensors, J. Sens. Actuat. B Chem, 132 (2008) 196–201.

**[25]** S. Thirumalairajan, K. Girija, V.R. Mastelaro and N. Ponpandian, Surface morphologydependent room-temperature  $LaFeO_3$  nanostructure thin films as selective  $NO_2$  gas sensor prepared by radio frequency magnetronsputtering, J. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 6 (2014) 13917.

**[26]** S. Palimar, S.D. Kaushik, V. Siruguri, D. Swain, A.E. Viegas, C. Narayana and N.G. Sundaram, Investigation of Ca substitution on the gas sensing potentiel of  $LaFeO_3$  nanoparticles towards low concentration  $NO_2$  gas, J. Dalton Trans, 45(2016) 13547.

**[27]** Y. Zhang, Z. Duan, H. Zou and M. Ma, Fabrication of electrospun LaFeO<sub>3</sub> nanotubes via annealing technique for fast ethanol detection, J. Mater. Sci. Lett, 215 (2018) 58 – 61.

**[28]** J. Mizusaki, M. Ynshihiro, S. Yamauchi and K. Fueki, Non stoichiometry and defect Structure of the perovskite-type oxides  $La_{1-x}Sr_xFeO_{3-\delta}$ , J. Solid State Chem., 58 (1985) 257 – 266.

**[29]** S. Smiy, H. Saoudi, A. Benali, M. Bejar, E. Dhahri, T. Fiorido, M. Bendahan and K. Aguir, Correlation between structural, magnetic and gas sensor properties of  $La_{0.885}Pb_{0.005}Ca_{0.11}Fe_{1-x}Co_xO_3$  compounds, J. Mater. Res. Bull, 130 (2020) 110922.

**[30]** J. Mizusaki, M. Yoshihiro, S. Yamauchi and K. Fueki, Thermodynamic quantities and defect equilibrium in the perovskite-type oxide solid solution  $La_{1-x}FeO_{3-\delta}$ , J. Solid State Chem, 67 (1987) 1 – 8.

**[31]** L.B. Kong, Y.S. Shen, Gas-sensing property and mechanism of  $Ca_x La_{1-x} FeO_3$  ceramics, Sensors and Actuators B, 30 (1996) 217 – 221.

**[32]** P. Song, H. Qin, L. Zhang, K. An, Z. Lin, J. Hu, M. Jiang, The structure, electrical and ethanol-sensing properties of  $La_{1-x}Pb_xFeO_3$  perovskite ceramics with  $x \le 0.3$ , Sensors and Actuators B, 104 (2005) 312 – 316.

**[33]** H.Y. Li, C.S. Lee, D. H. Kim and J.H. Lee, Flexible Room-Temperature NH3 Sensor for Ultrasensitive, Selective, and Humidity-Independent Gas Detection, J. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 10 (2018) 27858–27867.

**[34]** J. Mizusaki, M. Yoshihiro, S. Yamauchi, K. Fueki, Thermodynamic quantities and defect equilibrium in the perovskite-type oxide solid solution  $La_{1-x}FeO_{3-\delta}$ , J. Solid State Chem., 67 (1987) 1 – 8.

**[35]** A. Benali, M. Bejar, E. Dhahri, M. Sajieddine, M.P.F. Graça and M.A. Valente, Magnetic, Raman and Mossbauer properties of double-doping *LaFeO*<sub>3</sub> perovskite oxides, J. Mat. Chem. Phys. 149-150 (2015) 467.

[36] T. Addabbo, F. Bertocci, A. Fort, M. Gregorkiewitz, M. Mugnaini, R. Spinicci and V. Vignoli, Gas sensing properties and modeling of *YCoO*<sub>3</sub>-based perovskite, J. Sens. Actuat. B Chem, 221 (2015) 1137.

**[37]** S. Smiy, H. Saoudi, A. Benali, M. Bejar, E. Dhahri, T. Fiorido and K. Aguir, New perovskite compound La<sub>0.885</sub>Pb<sub>0.005</sub>Ca<sub>0.11</sub>FeO<sub>2.95</sub> for gas sensing application, J. Chem. Phys. Lett, 735 (2019) 136765.

**[38]** S. Vallejos, V. Khatko, J. Calderer, I. Gracia, C. Cané, E. Llobet and X. Correig, Micromacined  $WO_3$ -based sensors selective to oxidizing gases, J. Sens. Actuat. B Chem, 132 (2008) 209.

**[39]** A. Bejaoui, J. Guerin, J.A. Zapien and K. Aguir, Theoretical and experimental study of the response of CuO gas sensor under ozone, J. Sens. Actuat. B Chem, 190 (2014) 8.

**[40]** H.Y. Li, C. S. Lee, D. H. Kim and J.H. Lee, Flexible Room-Temperature NH<sub>3</sub> Sensor for Ultrasensitive, Selective, and Humidity-Independent Gas Detection, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 10 (2018) 27858 – 27867.

**[41]** A.P. Lee and B. Reedy, Temperature modulation in semiconductor gas sensing, J. Sens. Actuat. B Chem, 60 (1999) 35.

**[42]** Z. Gergintschew, H. Forster, J. Kositza, D. Schipanski, Two-dimensional numerical simulation of semiconductor gas sensors, J. Sens. Actuat. B Chem, 26 (1995) 170.

**[43]** T. Elavarasan, S. Ernest and S. Fairose, Influence of cobalt doping on the structural, optical, topographical and sensing properties of spray deposited zinc oxide thin films, Materials Today: Proceedings, (2020).

**[44]** J. Guerin, M. Bendahan and K. Aguir, A dynamic response model for the  $WO_3$ -based ozone sensors, J. Sens. Actuat. B Chem, 128 (2008) 462.

**[45]** A. Gaddari, F. Berger, M. Amjoud, J.B. Sanchez, M. Lahcini, B. Rhouta, D. Mezzane, C. Mavon, E. Beche and V. Flaud, A novel way for the synthesis of tin dioxide sol–gel derived thin films: application to  $O_3$  detection at ambient temperature, J. Sens. Actuat. B Chem, 176 (2013) 811.

**[46]** A. Bejaoui, J. Guerin, J.A. Zapien and K. Aguir, Theoretical and experimental study of the response of CuO gas sensor under ozone, Sensors and Actuators B, 190 (2014) 8.

**[47]** L. Shoa, Z. Wu, H. Duan and T. Shaymurat, Discriminative and rapid detection of ozone realized by sensor array of Zn<sup>2+</sup> doping tailored MoS<sub>2</sub> ultrathin nanosheets, J. Sens. Actuat. B Chem, 258 (2018) 937.

**[48]** M. Mori, Y. Itagaki and Y. Sadaoka, Effect of VOC on ozone detection using semiconducting sensor with  $SmFe_{1-x}Co_xO_3$  perovskite-type oxides, J. Sens. Actuat. B Chem, 163 (2012) 44.

**[49]** R. Waser, Nanoelectronics and Information Technology: Advanced Electronic Materials and Novel Devices, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, Germany (2005).