

# Impact of near-future ocean warming and acidification on the larval development of coral-eating starfish Acanthaster cf. solaris after parental exposure

Thomas Hue, Olivier Chateau, Gaël J. Lecellier, Claire Marin, Noémie Coulombier, Loïc Le Dean, Hugues Gossuin, Mehdi Adjeroud, Pascal Paul Dumas

## ▶ To cite this version:

Thomas Hue, Olivier Chateau, Gaël J. Lecellier, Claire Marin, Noémie Coulombier, et al.. Impact of near-future ocean warming and acidification on the larval development of coral-eating starfish Acanthaster cf. solaris after parental exposure. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology, 2022, 548, 10.1016/j.jembe.2021.151685. hal-03604068

## HAL Id: hal-03604068 https://hal.science/hal-03604068v1

Submitted on 8 Jan 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



## 1 Impact of near-future ocean warming and acidification on the larval development of

## 2 coral-eating starfish *Acanthaster* cf. *solaris* after parental exposure

- 3 THOMAS HUE<sup>1,2\*</sup>, OLIVIER CHATEAU<sup>3</sup>, GAEL LECELLIER<sup>1,2,4</sup>, CLAIRE MARIN<sup>7</sup>, NOEMIE COULOMBIER<sup>8</sup>,
- 4 LOÏC LE DEAN<sup>9</sup>, HUGUES GOSSUIN<sup>3</sup>, MEHDI ADJEROUD<sup>2,5,6</sup>, PASCAL DUMAS<sup>1,2</sup>
- <sup>1</sup>IRD, UMR 9220 ENTROPIE, BP A5, Noumea, New Caledonia; <sup>2</sup>Laboratoire d'Excellence "Corail",
- 6 58 avenue Paul Alduy, 66860 Perpignan, France; <sup>3</sup>Laboratory of Marine Biology and Ecology,
- 7 Aquarium des lagons, 98807 Noumea, New Caledonia; <sup>4</sup>Université de Paris-Saclay, UVSQ, 45 avenue
- 8 des Etats-Unis, Versailles Cedex, France; <sup>5</sup>UMR 9220 ENTROPIE, IRD, Université de la Réunion,
- 9 CNRS, IFREMER, Université de la Nouvelle-Calédonie, Perpignan, France; <sup>6</sup>PSL Université Paris,
- 10 USR 3278 CRIOBE, EPHE-UPVD-CNRS, Perpignan, France; <sup>7</sup>Université de Nouvelle-Calédonie,
- 11 98846 Noumea, New Caledonia; <sup>8</sup>ADECAL Technopole, 1 bis rue Berthelot, 98846 Noumea, New
- 12 Caledonia; <sup>9</sup>Ifremer, UMR 9220 ENTROPIE, RBE/LEAD, 101 Promenade Roger Laroque, 98897
- 13 Noumea, New Caledonia
- \* corresponding author: thomas.hue@ird.fr

## 16 Abstract

15

Outbreaks of crown-of-thorns starfish Acanthaster spp. (COTS) are among the most severe local 17 threats to Indo-Pacific coral reefs. Despite intensive research, the factors triggering outbreaks remain 18 19 unclear, though could involve enhanced COTS larval fitness due to ocean warming and acidification. 20 Nevertheless, the effect of these combined stressors has never been tested on larval development and survivorship after parental exposure. We investigated the effects of ocean warming (+2 °C above 21 ambient) and/or acidification (pH 7.75) on early COTS life-history stages of offspring after exposing 22 the parental generation for 20 weeks to the same treatments. We hypothesized that prior adult 23 exposure would modulate the effects measured in previous studies that omitted this phase, providing a 24 25 more realistic scenario. Our results showed detrimental effects of elevated temperature towards lower 26 gastrulation success and smaller advanced bipinnaria. Both elevated temperature and lower pH 27 produced developmental delay from early to advanced bipinnaria, eventually translating into retarded 28 achievement of mid-late brachiolaria. On average, larvae were significantly bigger in low pH

treatments, independent of stages. We suggest a link between developmental delay and larger larvae due to acidification, where larvae could be blocked at a developmental stage but continue growing. Finally, we found that larval mortality was not impacted by treatments, potentially due to prior adult exposure. If adult COTS were able to acclimatize their reproductive physiology in 15 weeks to produce larvae withstanding warming and/or acidification, slow climatic changes might not affect survival at this life stage. However, the developmental delays displayed might elongate their fragile pelagic phase, potentially decreasing their chances to reach recruitment. We specified the natural spawning peak in New Caledonia, and show caution in directly linking high fertilisation rates with high larval success. Our study reinforces the need to include parental exposure when investigating climate change effects on echinoderm larvae, as punctual stress over single-life stages may produce misleading results.

Keywords: crown-of-thorns starfish, larvae, adult exposure, ocean warming, ocean acidification

1. Introduction

Coral reefs are under pressure from large scale disturbances such as ocean warming and acidification, tropical storms, pollution, coastal development and overfishing (Bellwood et al., 2004; Bruno and Selig, 2007; Hoegh-Guldberg et al., 2007; Hughes et al., 2018). At the same time, coral-eating crown-of-thorns starfish (COTS) outbreaks continue to affect the Indo-Pacific zone, accelerating the degradation of coral reef ecosystems (Baird et al., 2013; Kayal et al., 2012; Leray et al., 2012; Mellin et al., 2019). For example, the world's largest continuous coral reef system, the Great Barrier Reef, suffered a loss of half its coral cover across the three last decades, which COTS outbreaks were responsible for 42% (De'ath et al., 2012) and COTS outbreaks still have a dominant impact on coral state and performance on the GBR (Castro-Sanguino et al., 2021). The causes and mechanisms of outbreak initiations are still not fully elucidated, despite increased research efforts the last three decades (Pratchett et al., 2014). Because of the exceptional fecundity of COTS, it is usually accepted that the slightest increase of larval survivorship could translate to a tremendous increase in the

abundance of adults. A variety of environmental modifications could enhance larval survival, including the eutrophication of surface waters improving food availability, the alleviation of natural predation, and the modification of ocean parameters due to climate change (Cowan et al., 2020; Fabricius et al., 2010; Uthicke et al., 2015).

There has been growing research interest in COTS development in elevated temperatures and CO<sub>2</sub> conditions in a climate change context (see Table 1). Conflicting results have emerged from these studies on diverse aspects of the reproductive cycle. For example, some authors predicted negative effects on COTS fertilisation success from a pH of 7.70 (Uthicke et al., 2013a), while others found no significant effects of pH down to 7.60 (Caballes et al., 2017b; Kamya et al., 2014). Similarly, it was suggested that 30°C would either be a lethal threshold for COTS larvae (Kamya et al., 2014), or that it would enhance larval growth and development when combined with high food availability (Uthicke et al., 2015). At first glance, most of these discrepancies may be attributable to differences in experimental protocols, such as the number and physiological status of reproductive adults, the sexand sperm to egg ratios used for the fertilisation trials, or potentially different geographical locations and associated temperature baselines resulting in different warming deltas. While these various protocols are sometimes difficult to compare, most of the studies to date share one common experimental aspect: a lack of parental exposure to the modified pH and/or temperature conditions and the investigation of potential effects on the subsequent biological stages (Table 1).

Table 1. Studies on the impact of modified temperature and/or pH on different COTS stage of life.
 OA, OW and OAW stand for Ocean Acidification, Ocean Warming and Ocean Acidification and
 Warming, respectively.

Ontogenic stage	<b>Conditions tested</b>		Parental exposure	Main findings	References	
	Temperature	pН	(weeks)			
Gonado- Somatic Index	seasonnal T°; seasonnal T° +2°C	pH <sub>NIST</sub> ambient; pH <sub>NIST</sub> 7.75	3 and 4 months	Negative effect of OW on GSI No effect of OA nor OAW	Hue et al. 2020	
Egg metrics	seasonnal T°; seasonnal T° +2°C	pH <sub>NIST</sub> ambient; pH <sub>NIST</sub> 7.75	3 and 4 months	Negative effect of OW on egg maximum diameters and volumes; No effect of OA nor OAW	Hue et al. 2020	

Sperm motility	/	pH <sub>NBS</sub> 8.11; pH <sub>NBS</sub> 7.9; pH <sub>NBS</sub> 7.6	/	Negative effect of OA on sperm motility and velocity	Uthicke et al. 2013
	20-36°C at 2° intervals (9 levels)	pH <sub>NIST</sub> 7.4- 8.2 at 0.2 pH unit intervals (5 levels)	/	Sperm activity reduced at T <28°C; Sperm activity relatively high at pH 8.2-7.6; Negative effect of pH 7.4	Caballes et al. 2017
Fertilisation	1	pH <sub>NBS</sub> 8.11; pH <sub>NBS</sub> 7.9; pH <sub>NBS</sub> 7.6	/	Negative effect of OA on fertilisation succes	Uthicke et al. 2013
	20-36°C at 2° intervals (9 levels)	pH <sub>NIST</sub> 7.4- 8.2 at 0.2 pH unit intervals (5 levels)	/	High fertilisation success at 24-32°C (lowered at 34-36°C); No effect of pH 7.6-8.2 on fertilisation (lowered at pH 7.4)	Caballes et al. 2017
	Ambient; Ambient +2°; Ambient +4°C	pH <sub>NIST</sub> 8.1; pH <sub>NIST</sub> 7.8; pH <sub>NIST</sub> 7.6	/	No effect of OW, OA nor OAW on fertilisation success	Kamya et al. 2014
	seasonnal T°; seasonnal T° +2°C	pH <sub>NIST</sub> ambient; pH <sub>NIST</sub> 7.75	3 and 4 months	Negative effect of OW on fertilisation success; No effect of OA nor OAW	Hue et al. 2020
Gastrulation	19.4-36.5°C (12 levels)	/	/	Negative effect of T <28.7°C or >31.6 °C on gastrulation	Lamare et al. 2014
	Ambient; Ambient +2°; Ambient +4°C	pH <sub>NIST</sub> 8.1; pH <sub>NIST</sub> 7.8; pH <sub>NIST</sub> 7.6	/	No effect of OW, OA nor OAW on gastrulation (non significant reduction at 30°)	Kamya et al. 2014
	Ambient; Ambient +2°; Ambient +4°C	$\begin{array}{l} pH_{\text{NIST}}~8.05;\\ pH_{\text{NIST}}~7.90 \end{array}$	/	Negative effect of OW (31°C) on gastrulation; No effect of OA on gastrulation	Sparks et al. 2017
	20-36°C at 2° intervals (9 levels)	pH <sub>NIST</sub> 7.4- 8.2 at 0.2 pH unit intervals (5 levels)	/	Negative effect of T <26°C and >32°C on gastrulation; Negative effect of pH $\leq$ 7.8 on gastrulation (lowest at 7.4)	Caballes et al. 2017
Larval development	/	pH <sub>NBS</sub> 8.11; pH <sub>NBS</sub> 7.9; pH <sub>NBS</sub> 7.6	/	Negative effect of OA on larval development	Uthicke et al. 2013
	Ambient; Ambient +2°; Ambient +4°C	pH <sub>NIST</sub> 8.1; pH <sub>NIST</sub> 7.8; pH <sub>NIST</sub> 7.6	/	No effect of OW/OA on early development; Negative effect of OW (30°) and OA (pH 7.8; 7.6) on advanced development.	Kamya et al. 2014
	19.4-36.5°C (12 levels)	1	/	Negative effect of temperatures <25.6°C or >31.6°C on	Lamare et al. 2014
	Ambient; Ambient +1°; Ambient +2°C	/	/	development Positive effect of OW (combined with high nutrition) on larval development (shortened by 30% at 30°C)	Uthicke et al. 2015

Larval growth	/	pH <sub>NBS</sub> 8.11; pH <sub>NBS</sub> 7.9; pH <sub>NBS</sub> 7.6	/	No effect of OA on larval size	Uthicke et al. 2013
	19.4-36.5°C (12 levels)	/	/	Negative effect of T 31.6°C on larval size	Lamare et al. 2014
	Ambient; Ambient +1°; Ambient +2°C	/	/	Positive effect of OW on larval size (day 10 post-fertilisation)	Uthicke et al 2015
Larval mortality	Ambient; Ambient +2°; Ambient +4°C	$\begin{array}{l} pH_{NIST} \ 8.1; \\ pH_{NIST} \ 7.8; \\ pH_{NIST} \ 7.6 \end{array}$	/	Negative effect of OW (100% mortality at 30°C)	Kamya et al 2014
	Ambient; Ambient +1°; Ambient +2°C	/	/	No demonstrated effect of OW on larval mortality	Uthicke et al 2015
Juvenile growth	Ambient; Ambient +2°; Ambient +4°C	$\begin{array}{l} pH_{NIST} \ 8.1; \\ pH_{NIST} \ 7.8; \\ pH_{NIST} \ 7.6 \end{array}$	/	Positive effect of OW and OA on juvenile growth	Kamya et al. 2016

Experiments where a punctual stress is directly applied over a particular life stage are unlikely to accurately reflect climate change, which occurs gradually and chronically (IPCC, 2014). Therefore, a number of studies on echinoderms have begun to implement an initial acclimation phase, where reproductive adults are exposed to the target conditions (Dupont et al., 2013; Hu et al., 2018; Karelitz et al., 2020, 2019; Strader et al., 2020, 2019; Suckling et al., 2015; Uthicke et al., 2020, 2013b; Wong et al., 2019). This parental exposure is a key phase to take into account as it may modify, buffer, or even reverse effects demonstrated without it, or conversely show no change at all (Morley et al., 2016; Parker et al., 2012; Suckling et al., 2015; Uthicke et al., 2013b). For example, two studies on sea urchins have recently suggested that longer adult exposure to acidification would produce larger larvae, but with a lower survival rate (Karelitz et al., 2020; Suckling et al., 2014). It is now widely acknowledged that short-term experiments lacking parental exposure might end up in biased, possibly misleading results, reinforcing the need to generalize acclimation phases in climate change-related experimental research (Harianto et al., 2018; Karelitz et al., 2020, 2019; Uthicke et al., 2020).

In this context, it seemed important that we reconsider the results obtained by previous studies that investigated climate change effects on COTS, by systematically including a parental exposure phase. In this study, we exposed the COTS parental generation to modified temperature and pH

conditions and investigated the larval development and growth. We kept adult COTS in a fully crossed design of ambient, warming (+2 °C) and acidification (pH 7.75 units) conditions for a 20 week period. These conditions reflect the "business-as-usual" scenario projections for the end of the century by climatologists (IPCC, 2014). We then investigated COTS growth and development across all stages of larval development, from gastrulation (24 hours post-fertilisation) to the final larval (brachiolaria) stage (Keesing et al., 1997; Uthicke et al., 2015). Based on previous results obtained on COTS reproductive performances with the same experimental set-up (Hue et al., 2020; see Table 1), we hypothesized that (i) temperature has detrimental effects on larval development (ii) pH does not significantly affect larvae and (iii) reproduction is more effective earlier in the reproduction time frame in New Caledonia.

## 2. Material and methods

2.1. Species and collection sites

Approximately 100 adult specimens of *Acanthaster* cf. *solaris* (35 ± 3 cm; mean ± SD) were collected in early August 2019 on the Bancs de l'Ouest reefs (south-western lagoon of New Caledonia, 22°30'S, 166°36'E). Within one hour of collection, COTS were transported to the Aquarium des Lagons facilities in Noumea and stored in flow-through 3,000 l raceways for one week prior to the experiment. From each specimen, several gonadal lobes were collected by incising the proximal end of one arm, and sex was determined microscopically (Leica DM750; magnification ×100). The animals were haphazardly distributed into 12 tanks of 500 l (4 treatments replicated 3 times, including four males and four females per tank, n=96), to achieve a compromise between conserving a decent genetic pool and avoiding overcrowded tanks (Ayukai et al., 1996; Kamya et al., 2017; Uthicke et al., 2015). We chose a multiple parent approach to avoid the potential maternal and paternal effects characteristics of single dam-sire crosses and therefore reflect a population of natural spawners (Caballes et al., 2016; Palumbi, 1999; Sparks et al., 2017).

## 2.2. Experimental treatments and adult exposure

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

Experimental treatments were the same as in Hue et al. (2020). All tanks were supplied with flowthrough seawater pumped from 5 m deep in nearshore waters facing the aquarium facility. Filtered seawater was obtained by using 5 µm cartridge filters set at a flow rate of 1.2 l.min<sup>-1</sup>. Tanks were haphazardly allocated to one of four different treatments (three replicates × four treatments; see details below). Each of the twelve tanks had temperature and pH controlled independently, in order to avoid pseudo-replication and follow appropriate design guidelines (Cornwall and Hurd, 2016). Water temperature and pH were controlled independently in twelve 120 l header tanks, which were placed above each adult tank for gravity feeding. Adult COTS were exposed to one of the four following treatments: Control: ambient seawater parameters not modified. Acidification (elevated CO<sub>2</sub>): pH<sub>NIST</sub> target was a 0.35 decline from ambient to approximately 7.75. This treatment represents the upper values of ocean acidification projected for the end of the century (IPCC 2014). Water acidification was obtained by bubbling pure CO<sub>2</sub> through solenoid valves. In each tank, pH was monitored and regulated continuously using an IKS Aquastar pH-stat system (accuracy ± 0.05 pH units). Electrodes were calibrated using standardized NBS buffers and adjusted each day to the desired pH<sub>NIST</sub> using a Mettler Toledo 1140 pH meter with an InLab Expert DIN temperature compensated probe; the probe was calibrated weekly using standardized NIST precision buffers. Warming (elevated temperature): temperature was increased by 2 °C compared to control conditions. This treatment represents the ocean warming projected by the "business as usual" scenario for the end of the century (IPCC 2014). Temperature was adjusted once a week to keep the +2 °C delta constant, while following the natural (seasonal) temperature changes. During the week, if the delta between ambient and elevated temperature treatments varied by >50% (i.e. ± 1 °C), it would be immediately readjusted to keep the +2 °C delta as stable as possible during the experiment. Temperature was controlled using 300 W titanium aquarium heaters (Tetra, HT) plugged with electronic temperature controllers (DR 983 Eliwell). Acidification and Warming (elevated CO2 and elevated temperature): a combination of the two previous treatments, i.e ambient temperature +2 °C and pH 7.75. Temperature and pH<sub>NIST</sub> were progressively modified in the corresponding tanks, to reach the targeted conditions over a week (Fig.

2). Temperature and  $pH_{NIST}$  were measured twice a day in all tanks using a Mettler Toledo 1140 pH meter with an InLab Expert DIN temperature compensated probe. Total alkalinity was measured once a week on water samples collected and filtered through 0.45  $\mu$ m syringes and immediately analysed by titration. The  $pH_{NIST}$  was measured at 0.1 ml increme nts of 0.01 N HCl at 25 °C using a Schott Titroline Easy® titration system. Three replicates of 20 ml were analysed. Total alkalinity (A<sub>T</sub>) was calculated from the Gran function applied to pH variations from 4.2 to 3.0 as mEq  $1^{-1}$  from the slope of the curve HCl volume versus pH. Parameters of the  $CO_2$  system were calculated with the free access CO2SYS Systat package using the dissociation constants of Dickson & Millero (Dickson and Millero, 1987) (Table S1).

Adult COTS were exposed to one of the four treatments for a 20 week period from September 2019 to January 2020, coinciding with their natural gametogenesis and breeding season (Conand, 1983; Hue et al., 2020). During the entire duration of experiments, animals were fed twice a week with 50 g of seafood mix (squids, mussels, fishes) per tank.

## 2.3. Spawning and larval rearing

Two reproductions were performed *in vitro* using the same animals. Gametes were harvested at 15 and 20 week (Fig. 2) which resulted in two experimental sets of data. Three to four gonadal lobes were collected from all four females per tank and placed in maturation-inducing hormones (10<sup>-4</sup> 1-methyladenine). Three to four gonadal lobes were then collected from each of the four males and placed in covered well plates to prevent desiccation. After 60 minutes, the egg mix was rinsed through a 500 µm mesh to retrieve eggs without the gonadic envelopes and pooled together. Two µl of sperm per male were collected and diluted to 15 ml of seawater. One ml of this mix was then added in the egg stock solution achieving a sperm to egg ratio of approximately 50:1 that reached fertilization >95% (Hue et al., 2020; Kamya et al., 2014). Gametes of the four males and the four females were pooled to simulate a population of natural spawners and avoid potential maternal/paternal effects characteristic of single dam-sire crosses (Palumbi, 1999; Sparks et al., 2017). For all steps, we used 1

μm filtered seawater from the corresponding tanks. Details of spawning procedure are also described in Hue et al. (2020).

The targeted amount of fertilized eggs was then introduced into rearing jars to obtain approximately 1 embryo per ml (Uthicke et al., 2015). One cylindrical polyethylene rearing jar of  $\sim$ 15 l was allocated to each adult tank, to rear larvae in the same condition as their broodstock. Rearing jars were placed above corresponding adult tanks. Seawater homogenised at the desired temperature and pH was sent from the 120 l header tanks to the rearing jars using submersible aquarium pumps (1.000 l.h<sup>-1</sup>; Tetra) and filtered through 1  $\mu$ m before entering the jars. The flow rate was set to achieve fully renew every hour. Larvae were retained in the rearing jars by 80  $\mu$ m banjo filters placed on the water evacuation, that were replaced with 200  $\mu$ m banjo filters after 3 days when algae were first introduced for larval feeding. Rearing jars were cleaned every third day by carefully washing larvae over a 40  $\mu$ m mesh and replacing 100% of the water after washing the jars (Ayukai et al., 1996; Uthicke et al., 2015). Fig. S1 presents the whole system we used to expose adults and rear larvae.

## 2.4. Larval feeding

We fed the larvae with two algae species: *Tisochrysis* sp. (CS 177/9) supplied by CSIRO (Hobart) and *Dunaliella* sp. isolated in New Caledonia (Coulombier et al., 2020), as previously done in COTS larvae rearing (Mellin et al., 2017; Uthicke et al., 2018, 2015). To provide algae of constant biochemical quality, algae were cultured in 0.2 µm filtered seawater enriched with Walne's medium (Walne, 1966) under controlled conditions using photobioreactors in continuous mode (Fig. S2). Temperature and pH were kept constant during the algae cultures (Tables S1 and S2). Cell growth was assessed daily by measuring light absorbance (UV-mini, Shimadzu) at 680 nm and 800 nm (Table S1 and S2) and 2-3 times a week by counting cells under microscope (Leica DM750) using Malassez hemocytometer. Both strains were provided to larvae in equal cell concentration to reach 10,000 cell.ml<sup>-1</sup>, corresponding to a fully satiated diet according to Uthicke et al. (2015), excluding potential food limitations. Larvae were fed once a day beginning on day 3, twice a day from day 5 and finally 3

times a day from day 7 until the end of the experimental period. During feeding, the flow of seawater renewal was stopped for 90 minutes (Kamya et al., 2014).

#### 2.5. Gastrulation

To estimate the proportion of embryos undergoing normal gastrulation, 50 embryos per jar were sampled 24h after fertilisation and immediately placed in 6-well plate filled with a 7% MgCl<sub>2</sub> solution to relax them. They were then photographed under microscope and scored as normal or abnormal gastrula following criteria established by Sparks et al. (2017). Namely, a gastrula was scored as abnormal if one or more of the following criteria were violated: longitudinal symmetry, internal and external cell wall integrity, clear development of a keyhole-shaped internal blind-ended gut, and finally their size roughly equal to that of the others (Fig. 1).

## 2.6. Larval mortality, development, and morphology

Larval mortality was estimated by haphazardly sampling ~30 larvae from each rearing jar using a graduated syringe, every other day from day 3 to day 21. Combining numbers of larvae sampled per day with specific volumes allowed us to calculate larvae density and deduce the mortality.

The ~30 larvae were immediately placed in 6-well plate filled with a 7% MgCl<sub>2</sub> solution to relax them. After 5-10 minutes, they were photographed with a camera fixed on a microscope (magnification ×100; Leica DM750). Those pictures allowed a precise determination of larval development into four stages described in the literature (Caballes et al., 2017a; Henderson and Lucas, 1971; Lucas, 1982; Yamaguchi, 1973): (1) **Early bipinnaria** - complete alimentary canal, bipinnaria arms not yet developed, pre-oral and anal lobes, coelomic pouches below or close to the mouth (Fig. 1); (2) **Advanced bipinnaria** - distinctly developed bipinnaria arms, coelomic pouches fuse as axohydrocoel above the mouth (Fig. 1); (3) **Early brachiolaria** - brachiolaria arms appear as little stumps (Fig. 1); (4) **Mid-late brachiolaria** - starfish primordium developing, brachiolaria arms elongate (Fig. 1). For all stages, we also determined larvae normality. If a larvae showed clear

asymmetry, was stunted, or deformed, it was considered abnormal (Kamya et al., 2014; Lamare et al., 2014) (Fig. 1). We used the ImageJ software (Schneider et al., 2012) to evaluate the larval morphology by measuring a series of six metrics following Lamare et al. (2014): total length, total width, mouth hood, gut hood, gut length and gut width (Fig. 1).

## 2.7. Data analysis

Normality and homogeneity of variance from gastrulation proportion data did not improve after transformations. Therefore, we used non-parametric three-way permutational ANOVA (PERMANOVA) tests to evaluate the effects of experimental run (two levels, fixed), temperature (two levels, fixed), and pH (two levels, fixed) on these response variables. We chose to use experimental run as a fixed factor because the two runs were started at one month intervals and Hue et al. (2020) showed effects of temporality on COTS reproductive performances. Analysis of gastrulation proportions were computed in Primer using 9999 permutations.

Larval mortality data were analysed using linear mixed effect models with temperature (two levels, fixed), pH (two levels, fixed), days (nine levels, fixed), tank (nested within each temperature x pH level for a total of 12 levels, random), and all their interactions.

Data from the proportion of each larvae stage each day were arcsin-root transformed prior to analysing with the same model described above, except we performed it for each stage by day. Moreover, only normal larvae were analysed, resulting in four stages.

For larval morphology data, we performed a reduced-centered principal component analysis (PCA) to analyse all six larval metrics together and recovered data from the first component (71.09% of the total explained variation). The first component, with all variables (metrics) correlated in the same way (Fig. S3), corresponds to the global size of the larvae. Data of each larvae stage were analysed using linear mixed effect models with temperature (two levels, fixed), pH (two levels, fixed), days (ten levels, fixed), larvae variation nested within tank (nested within each temperature x pH level for a total of 12 levels, random), and all their interactions.

Normality and homogeneity of variances were checked by inspecting model residual plots and boxplot of data within treatment groups. Post-hoc pairwise contrasts were performed using the R package "Ismeans" (Lenth, 2016), controlling for false discovery using Tukey adjustment. Models were computed in R (R Development Core Team) complemented by the nlme package (Pinheiro et al., 2017).

**Figure 1.** Development stages of *Acanthaster* cf. *solaris*; a) normal and b) abnormal gastrula, c) early and d) advanced bipinnaria, e) early and f) mid-late brachiolaria, g) and h) examples of abnormal larvae, i) illustration of the six metric measures: total length (1), total width (2), mouth hood (3), gut hood (4), gut length (5), and gut width (6). All pictures are purposefully the same scale (bar = 200  $\mu$ m).

# 3. Results G H I

## 3.1. System performance

Both temperature and pH targets were achieved in adult tanks and larvae rearing jars across the 20 weeks of experiment (Fig. 2). Temperatures in adult tanks followed seasonality, with ambient treatments ranging from  $22.5 \pm 0.1$  °C in early September to  $26.6 \pm 0.1$  °C in late January and elevated treatments ranging from  $24.1 \pm 0.3$  °C in early September to  $28.7 \pm 0.3$  °C in late January (mean  $\pm$  SD; Fig. 2). During the entire adult acclimation, the difference between ambient and elevated temperature was kept at  $1.82 \pm 0.3$  °C. In the rearing jars, temperature ranged from  $26.7 \pm 0.3$  °C in the ambient treatments to  $28.4 \pm 0.3$  °C in the elevated treatments during the first experimental run. During the second experimental run the following month, temperatures ranged from  $27.5 \pm 0.6$  °C in the ambient treatments to  $29 \pm 0.5$  °C in the elevated treatments (mean  $\pm$  SD; Fig. 2). During larval rearing, the delta of temperature between ambient and elevated temperature was  $1.60 \pm 0.15$  °C (mean  $\pm$  SD). Average carbon chemistry, including pH, varied little among replicates or similar CO<sub>2</sub> (low/high) treatments (Supplementary table 3).

**Figure 2.** Daily averages of temperature and pH in both experimental tanks (Adult) and rearing jars (Larvae) coloured by treatments, from September 2019 to February 2020. The shaded part on the left graphs represents both larvae rearing periods, parameters of which are detailed on the right graphs.

## 3.2. Percentage of gastrulation

Percentage of gastrulation was not significantly affected by experimental run (Pseudo-F = 0.123; p(perm) = 0.726), pH (Pseudo-F = 0.073; p(perm) = 0.789), temperature, nor their interactions (Supplementary Table 4). The noteworthy difference was a tendency towards reduced normal gastrulation in elevated temperature treatments ( $62.7 \pm 8.4\%$ ) compared to ambient temperature treatments ( $70 \pm 8.5\%$ ), with a p-value close to the significant threshold (temperature; Pseudo-F = 4.000; p(perm) = 0.067; Supplementary Fig. 4).

## 3.3. Larval mortality

Final larval densities were drastically different between the two experimental runs and are therefore analysed separately (F = 51.113; p < 0.001; Fig. 3). Larval density appeared constant across the first experimental run (Factor day; F = 0.755; p = 0.643; mean  $\pm$  SD last day,  $72.6 \pm 0.06\%$ ; Fig. 3) with no significant effect of the conditions, temperature and/or pH (Table 2). In contrast, an increased mortality was observed throughout the second run, down to densities of  $22.5 \pm 13.5\%$  on the last day (Factor day; F = 32.596; p < 0.001, Fig. 3). A significant interaction between days and pH was observed (F = 2.647; p = 0.014), revealing a higher mortality in low pH conditions for the second run (mean  $\pm$  SD last day, remaining larval density  $30.9 \pm 3\%$  in ambient vs  $14.2 \pm 16\%$  in low pH; Fig. 3).

**Table 2.** Results of the linear mixed effect model performed on COTS larvae survival submitted to different treatment through time, for the two experimental runs.

Firs	t experi	Second experimental run						
Source of	$\mathbf{F}$	Df	<b>Df.res</b>	<b>Pr(&gt;F)</b>	$\mathbf{F}$	Df	Df.res	<b>Pr(&gt;F)</b>
variation								
Temperature	0.849	1	8	0.383	0.134	1	8	0.723
pН	0.003	1	8	0.96	2.292	1	8	0.168
Days	0.755	8	64	0.643	32.596	8	64	<0.001
Temperature	0.23	1	8	0.644	0.049	1	8	0.828
x pH								
Temperature	0.799	8	64	0.604	1.578	8	64	0.149
x Days								
pH x Days	0.527	8	64	0.831	2.647	8	64	0.0142

**Temperature** 0.194 8 64 0.99 1.06 8 64 0.402 **x pH x Days** 

298

299

300

301

**Figure 3.** Proportion of initial larvae remaining from day 5 to day 21. Results of the first experimental run (started mid-December) and of the second (started mid-January) represented across the four treatments. Curves were drawn with mean  $\pm$  SD (n = 3 rearing jars).

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

## 3.4. Larval development

For the first experimental run, the larvae in the control treatments followed the development pattern described in the literature (Keesing et al., 1997; Lucas, 1982) (Fig. 4a). Specifically, peak proportion of early bipinnaria were detected at day 3 (88%), followed by advanced bipinnaria 2-4 days later (49-68%). Early brachiolaria were detected 2 days after the first detection of the previous stage (30% on day 9) and finally, mid-late brachiolaria appeared at day 9 with proportions ranging from 15-30% until day 21. Data highlighted notable differences in larval development between treatments. Significant interactions were observed between larval stages and pH and/or stages and temperature at different days (Table 3), suggesting a developmental delay from the first stage (early bipinnaria) to the second (advanced bipinnaria). Specifically, there were lower proportion of early bipinnaria in the warmed and acidified treatment at day 3 compared to the three other treatments, due to higher proportions of abnormal larvae (22.9  $\pm$  7.6 % abnormal larvae in warmed and acidified treatment vs 9.6  $\pm$  5.9 % in the three other treatments; Fig. 4a; Table 3). Moreover, there were higher proportions of early bipinnaria at day 5 in the low pH (61  $\pm$  8.18 %) compared to the ambient pH treatments (34  $\pm$  13%), and at day 9 in the elevated temperature treatments (21 ± 15%) compared to ambient temperature treatments (5  $\pm$  4%; Table 3; Fig. 4a). These developmental delays eventually translated into delay in reaching the maximum proportion of mid-late brachiolaria, achieved on day 13 in control treatments but only day 15 or 17 when larvae faced elevated temperature and/or low pH conditions. Finally, there were also more abnormal larvae in the warmed and acidified treatment (23  $\pm$  8%) compared to control  $(11 \pm 8\%)$ .

The second experimental run (Fig. 4b) was distinct from the first one ( $\chi^2$  = 594.93, df = 3, p < 0.001). All treatments, including control, showed a higher proportion of abnormal larvae, eventually reaching 100% in the low pH treatment. At best, on average only 7.14% of the larvae reached the last stage (mid-late brachiolaria) in the control treatment, i.e. ~4 times less than during the first run. Therefore, as most larvae exhibited abnormal development patterns associated with marked morphological aberrations, data from the second run were excluded from the subsequent analyses.

**Table 3.** Results of the linear mixed effect model performed on COTS larvae development submitted to different treatment through time for the first experimental run. Only results including the days where effects were highlighted appear in the table (see Supplementary Table 5 for the complemented

	Day 3				Day 5			Day 9				
Source of variation	F	Df	Df.res	Pr(>F)	F	Df	Df.res	Pr(>F)	F	Df	Df.res	Pr(>F)
Temperature	2,062	1	8	0,189	0.002	1	8	0.965	0.814	1	8	0.393
рН	2,303	1	8	0,168	0	1	8	0.999	0.04	1	8	0.845
Stage	1903,3	3	24	<0,001	81.186	3	24	<0.001	15.765	3	24	<0.001
Temperature x pH	4,089	1	8	0,078	0.047	1	8	0.834	0.225	1	8	0.648
Temperature x Stage	2,062	3	24	0,132	4.142	3	24	0.017	3.172	3	24	0.043
pH x Stage	2,303	3	24	0,103	15.191	3	24	<0.001	1.413	3	24	0.263
Temperature x pH x												
Stage 332 results).	4,089	3	24	0,018	0.807	3	24	0.502	1.284	3	24	0.302

**Figure 4.** Development of COTS larvae for a) the first experimental run (started mid-December) and b) the second (started mid-January) though time across the four experimental treatments. Colour bars represent mean percentage of each larvae stage across the experimental time, from day 3 to day 21 (n = 3 rearing jars).

## 3.5. Larvae morphology

Only the normal larvae were used in the morphology analyses, as obtaining all six measures for abnormal larvae was not always possible. The six metrics were reduced-centered by PCA analysis; the coordinates of the larvae on the first axis (71.09% of total variation explained) were then used as a proxy of the global larvae size.

The early bipinnaria appeared overall larger in low pH and ambient temperature exposures (temperature x pH; F = 5.945; p-value = 0.016; Fig. 5a). Early bipinnaria were also affected by the interaction of days with temperature (F = 6.462; p-value < 0.001) and with pH (F = 2.984; p-value = 0.011; Table 4). Pairwise revealed that larvae were smaller at day 5, 7 and 11 when exposed to elevated temperature (p-values < 0.02). Early bipinnaria finally appeared to be smaller at day 9 when exposed to both elevated temperature and lower pH (p-value = 0.003).

Advanced bipinnaria were significantly affected by the interaction of either days and temperature (F= 2.215; p-value = 0.031), days and pH (F = 4.607; p-value < 0.001), or days temperature and pH (F = 3.455; p-value < 0.001). Pairwise revealed that larvae were smaller in elevated temperature at day 7 (p-values < 0.001) and larger in low pH and ambient temperature at days 9 and 13 (p-values < 0.01). Finally, advanced bipannaria larvae were also larger in low pH treatments at day 17 (p-value = 0.04; Fig. 5b).

The size of early brachiolaria was significantly affected by pH (F = 33.945; p-value < 0.001). Indeed, early brachiolaria appeared to be larger in acidified treatments (Fig. 6a)

Finally, the size of mid-late brachiolaria was significantly affected by the interaction of pH and days (F = 5.807; p-value < 0.001). The larvae were larger in low pH treatments at day 13 (p-value < 0.01) and from day 17 to day 21 (p-value < 0.03; Fig. 6b).

**Table 4.** Results of the linear mixed effect model performed on COTS larvae size for the four different stages submitted to different treatment through time for the first experimental run.

	E	arly	bipinnar	ia	Adv	Advanced bipinnaria			
Source of variation	F	Df	Df.res	Pr(>F)	F	Df	Df.res	Pr(>F)	
Temperature	6.533	1	284.4	0.011	21.278	1	280.99	<0.001	
рН	2.55	1	305.68	0.111	6.578	1	275.66	0.01	
Days	99.06	5	634.74	<0.001	91.341	7	747.07	<0.001	
Temperature x pH	5.945	1	318.25	0.016	0.339	1	278.29	0.561	
Temperature x Days	6.462	5	640.05	<0.001	2.215	7	749.06	0.031	
pH x Days	2.984	5	645.53	0.011	4.607	7	749.97	<0.001	
Temperature x pH x									
Days	0.186	5	648.8	0.968	3.455	7	750.58	0.001	
	Ea	rly k	rachiola	ria	Mid-	·late	brachio	laria	
Source of variation	F	Df	Df.res	Pr(>F)	F	Df	Df.res	Pr(>F)	

Temperature	1.22	1	214.68	0.27	4.54	1	263.67	0.034
рН	33.945	1	220.22	<0.001	21.828	1	253.34	<0.001
Days	25.307	5	368.47	<0.001	6.189	5	506.56	<0.001
Temperature x pH	0.046	1	221.37	0.829	2.631	1	271.69	0.105
Temperature x Days	0.933	5	370.9	0.459	0.281	5	512.59	0.923
pH x Days	1.87	5	369.59	0.099	5.807	5	510.21	<0.001
Temperature x pH x								
Days	1.152	5	372.73	0.332	0.729	5	514.4	0.601

**Figure 5.** Evolution of size for a) early bipinnaria and b) advanced bipinnaria across the four experimental treatments. PCA1 represents a proxy of larval global size. Bold horizontal lines indicate medians, boxes enclose the upper and lower quartiles of the data, whiskers mark the maximum and minimum values excluding outliers, and the dots show outliers. Data for individual larvae measured are shown.

**Figure 6.** Evolution of size for a) early brachiolaria and b) mid-late brachiolaria across the four experimental treatments. PCA1 represents a proxy of larval global size. Bold horizontal lines indicate medians, boxes enclose the upper and lower quartiles of the data, whiskers mark the maximum and minimum values excluding outliers, and the dots show outliers. Data for individual larvae measured are shown.

## 4. Discussion

In this experimental study, we investigated the effects of near-future ocean warming and acidification on COTS development from early embryonic to late larval stages, after exposing the parental generation to stressors for 20 weeks. Parental exposure and acclimation represent a step towards a more realistic scenario of climate change, compared to previous studies that applied punctual stress only on single life-stage. To our knowledge, this is the first study on COTS which implemented an adult exposure phase prior to study larvae. We followed the "business-as-usual" scenario projections for the end of this century, with an increase in ocean temperature of 2° C and a decrease in pH to 7.75 (IPCC, 2014). We did not observe any evidence of additive or synergistic effects with the stressors. Results suggest that the future climate might not be as detrimental to larval mortality, as there was no effect of pH or temperature detected. However, some developmental delay due to elevated temperature

and/or lower pH were found. It is difficult to conclude if the delay would have repercussions on the following cycle, which would require conducting the same kind of experiments over more consecutive life-stages, for example through recruitment and juvenile stages. We highlighted that studying several life stages subsequently is critical to predict outcomes of similar experiments, as we found that early reproduction processes like fertilisation might not be enough to predict COTS offspring fitness.

Most metrics used to characterize the larval development suggested that the first experimental run was of better quality than the second run. This difference may be attributable to adult COTS gradually accumulating stress, e.g. from repeated gonad sampling. However, the greatest care was taken to keep disturbance to a minimum, and we did not observe any evidence of stress across the entire experiment, mortality being anecdotal (1% of the animals died over the 20 week period). A more plausible explanation for these marked differences is the spawning seasonality, as a recent study highlighted that breeding COTS earlier (December/January) resulted in fertilisation rates about twice as high as those obtained later in the season (February/March) (Hue et al., 2020). Our results are consistent with this seasonality, showing higher larvae performances (development and survival) in December (first experimental run) than in January (second), which is consistent our hypothesis: a higher reproduction success earlier in the breeding season in New Caledonia. This also suggests that the impacts of modified conditions should be investigated over as much of the development cycle as possible, as punctual "success" (such as high fertilisation rates, e.g. > 95%, Hue et al., 2020) does not necessarily translate into subsequent biological stages.

We could not see evidence of significant larval mortality in any treatments from the first experimental run. This contrasts with existing studies that systematically showed a marked larval mortality through time (Caballes et al., 2017a, 2016; Pratchett et al., 2017; Wolfe et al., 2015). Several methodological parameters could explain these differences. First, our larvae feeding procedure provided for a fully satiated diet (10.000 cells.ml<sup>-1</sup>; Uthicke et al., 2015), with high biochemical quality algae obtained with photo-bioreactor cultures of two different strains, three times a day. While increasing the quality and quantity of food might have improved the overall larval survival, it is unlikely that it could explain the absence of mortality by itself, as some authors showed significant

larval mortality even with high nutrition (Caballes et al., 2017a). Another explanation could be that larvae densities were only estimated from fifth day post-fertilisation onwards. Larval densities generally stabilize after most non-viable larvae have died, usually happening around the sixth day (Keesing et al., 1997). This may explain the apparent stability observed from day 5 to day 21. However, previous studies on COTS larval densities still show mortality after 5 days (Caballes et al., 2017a; Pratchett et al., 2017). The last difference between our study and all previous studies on COTS larvae was the adult exposure phase. In echinoderms, it has already been shown that a sufficient period of adult exposure allows acclimation through reproductive physiology, after which no effect of warming and/or acidification would be detected on larvae performances (Dupont et al., 2013; Suckling et al., 2015). Nevertheless, these alleviated effects have only been shown in sea urchins after acclimation periods > 1 year, but not after only 4-6 months. Further examinations of COTS larval survivorship, including longer adult exposure periods, will be necessary to reinforce this and the absence of mortality in larvae exposed to near-future ocean acidification and warming. However, it is necessary to cautiously interpret these results emerging from a specific experiment frame (constant food providing, constant renewal of water, absence of predation, no real hydrologic movements, filtered seawater and regular cleaning of the jars potentially preventing disease). Therefore, ecological impacts cannot be directly inferred, and further investigations will be required.

Larval development was negatively influenced by both elevated temperature and low pH. The two stressors produced passage delay from early bipinnaria to advanced bipinnaria stages, which eventually led to delay in achieving large proportion of mid-late brachiolaria. In the absence of similar studies who included a parental exposure, it is difficult to compare development patterns in different temperature and pH treatments (but see Caballes et al., 2017a), who incorporated nutrition). Nevertheless, studies with no parental exposure showed a delay produced by acidification (Kamya et al., 2014) which reinforce our results, though the delay produced by temperature does not match the literature (Uthicke et al., 2015). This latter study proposed that larvae subjected to elevated temperature combined with high nutrition would grow and evolve faster. The prior exposure of adults could be an explanation for these discrepancies and underscore the importance of multigenerational

studies. If elevated temperature produced thermal stress on eggs and fertilization as previously suggested (Hue et al., 2020), this stress might have been transmitted forward to the larvae. The fact that gastrulation tended to be lower in elevated temperature treatments reinforces the suggested effect, though this effect was barely above the significant threshold (p-value = 0.06). At the end of the experiment (21 days), however, all larvae stages were in roughly the same proportion, independent of treatments. We could assume that larvae from elevated temperature/low pH treatments that were lagging at day 13 eventually caught up. Nevertheless, we could also hypothesize that these delays could have repercussions later in the life-cycle, therefore elongating the time to recruitment and decreasing the survival chances, in contrast to the co-promoting effect of higher food and increasing temperature suggested by (Uthicke et al., 2015).

Elevated temperature also had detrimental effect on larval morphology, with smaller advanced bipinnaria in elevated temperature treatments from day 5 to 11. Once again, these findings contradict other studies where a moderate increase of temperature (+2 °C) has shown enhanced larvae growth (Kamya et al., 2014; Uthicke et al., 2015) or no significant impacts (Lamare et al., 2014). Nevertheless, these studies usually target 1 to 3 days post-fertilisation with all larvae pooled together for daily analysis (Kamya et al., 2014; Lamare et al., 2014; Uthicke et al., 2015, 2013a). This is potentially misleading, as analyses very likely encompass larvae from different development stages, with contrasted sizes, growth rates etc. In this study, all growth analyses were performed after larvae were individually attributed to a specific development stage. We collected ten samples from day 3 to day 21 post-fertilisation, reducing uncertainty from studies sampling 1 to 3 days post-fertilisation. The temperature effects on morphology we observed may also result from our initial exposure phase, with the thermal stress experienced by adults being passed on to the first larval stage (bipinnaria). These effects, however, were not observed on the next larval stage (brachiolaria). This raises the issue of stage resilience, as more advanced larvae such as brachiolaria were assumed to be less resilient to warming than early larval stages (Kamya et al., 2014; Lamare et al., 2014). Surprisingly, positive effects of acidification were systematically found on larvae morphology, from early bipinnaria to late brachiolaria, which were larger when reared in low pH (7.75). Again, these findings contrast with current literature, in which lower pH resulted in smaller larvae (Kamya et al., 2014) or increased abnormality (Uthicke et al., 2013a). These seemingly conflicting results could be attributable to a transgenerational adaptive process from broodstock to the larval stages, triggered by the initial phase of parental exposure. Indeed, an example of transgenerational plasticity has been proposed in a recent study which similarly found that sea urchin larvae of *Strongylocentrotus purpuratus* would grow larger in acidification treatments after 4.5 months of parental generation exposure (Wong et al., 2018). Finding out if there is indeed a link between low pH effects on developmental delay and larger larvae will require further investigations.

Our study also identified information regarding spawning seasonality that is pertinent for both future experiments and COTS management. The strong differences between the two experimental runs for larvae performances allowed us to clarify the spawning seasonality for COTS in New Caledonia. Therefore, we propose a thinner timeframe for future experiments, peaking in December for years without anomalous temperature increases. Understanding this spawning seasonality is also primordial for managers planning controls to limit coral reef damages from COTS outbreaks (Bos et al., 2013; Dumas et al., 2016). Acquiring more knowledge about the peak spawning period could help prevent the formation of primary outbreaks and the initiation of secondary outbreaks (Babcock et al., 2020). These secondary outbreaks are caused by a primary outbreak population that triggers massive spawning, producing large quantities of adults that will have high impacts on coral reefs. Organizing control campaigns before the annual spawning peak is among the best current leads to fight COTS outbreaks (Babcock et al., 2020). The success of these operations depends on early detection of COTS growing densities. Combining spawning season knowledge with efforts of participative science, already proven efficient in detecting and reporting growing COTS densities (Dumas et al., 2020), could be critical in controlling COTS population outbreaks. We hope this contribution to a broader view of COTS early development processes in the context of current global change will help to better understand, anticipate, and ultimately manage future outbreaks (Babcock et al., 2020; Westcott et al., 2020).

468

469

470

471

472

473

474

475

476

477

478

479

480

481

482

483

484

485

486

487

488

489

490

491

492

493

495	Acknowledgements
496	The authors are particularly grateful to Richard Farman, Director of the Aquarium des Lagons in
497	Noumea, for hosting our experiments. We also wish to express our warmest thanks to the aquarium
498	staff for their logistical support, in particular Sylvain Govan and Jeff Dubosc. We warmly thank Dr.
499	Sven Uthicke for his valuable advices. Finally, we would like to warmly thank all the staff from the
500	Adecal Technopole/Ifremer laboratory for their great help for the microalgae cultures.
501	
502	Competing interests
503	The authors declare no competing interest.
504	
505	References
506	Ayukai, T., Keesing, J.K., Cartwright, C.M., Halford, A.R., Hocking, D., Okaji, K., 1996. Practical
507	handbook for large scale rearing of the larvae and juveniles of crown-of-thorns starfish. AIMS
508	Rep. 22, 1–29.
509	Babcock, R.C., Plagányi, É.E., Condie, S.A., Westcott, D.A., Fletcher, C.S., Bonin, M.C., Cameron,
510	D., 2020. Suppressing the next crown-of-thorns outbreak on the Great Barrier Reef. Coral Reefs.
511	https://doi.org/10.1007/s00338-020-01978-8
512	Baird, A.H., Pratchett, M.S., Hoey, A.S., Herdiana, Y., Campbell, S.J., 2013. Acanthaster planci is a
513	major cause of coral mortality in Indonesia. Coral Reefs 32, 803–812.
514	https://doi.org/10.1007/s00338-013-1025-1
515	Bellwood, D.R., Hughes, T.P., Folke, C., Nyström, M., 2004. Confronting the coral reef crisis. Nature
516	429, 827-833. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature02691
517	Bos, A.R., Gumanao, G.S., Mueller, B., Saceda-Cardoza, M.M.E., 2013. Management of crown-of-
518	thorns sea star (Acanthaster planci L.) outbreaks: Removal success depends on reef topography

519	and timing within the reproduction cycle. Ocean Coast. Manag. 71, 116–122.
520	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2012.09.011
521	Bruno, J.F., Selig, E.R., 2007. Regional decline of coral cover in the Indo-Pacific: Timing, extent, and
522	subregional comparisons. PLoS One 2. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0000711
523	Caballes, C.F., Pratchett, M.S., Buck, A.C.E., 2017a. Interactive effects of endogenous and exogenous
524	nutrition on larval development for crown-of-thorns starfish. Diversity 9, 15.
525	https://doi.org/10.3390/d9010015
526	Caballes, C.F., Pratchett, M.S., Kerr, A.M., Rivera-Posada, J.A., 2016. The role of maternal nutrition
527	on oocyte size and quality, with respect to early larval development in the coral-eating starfish,
528	acanthaster planci. PLoS One 11, e0158007. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0158007
529	Caballes, C.F., Pratchett, M.S., Raymundo, M.L., Rivera-Posada, J.A., 2017b. Environmental tipping
530	points for sperm motility, fertilization, and embryonic development in the crown-of-thorns
531	starfish. Diversity 9, 10. https://doi.org/10.3390/d9010010
532	Castro-Sanguino, C., Ortiz, J.C., Thompson, A., Wolff, N.H., Ferrari, R., Robson, B., Magno-Canto,
533	M.M., Puotinen, M., Fabricius, K.E., Uthicke, S., 2021. Reef state and performance as indicators
534	of cumulative impacts on coral reefs. Ecol. Indic. 123, 107335.
535	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2020.107335
536	Conand, C., 1983. Abondance, cycle sexuel et relations biometriques de l'etoile de mer Acanthaster
537	planci en Nouvelle-Caledonie 43.
538	Coulombier, N., Nicolau, E., Le Déan, L., Antheaume, C., Jauffrais, T., Lebouvier, N., 2020. Impact
539	of Light Intensity on Antioxidant Activity of Tropical Microalgae. Mar. Drugs 18, 122.
540	https://doi.org/10.3390/md18020122
541	Cowan, ZL., Ling, S.D., Caballes, C.F., Dworjanyn, S.A., Pratchett, M.S., 2020. Crown-of-thorns
542	starfish larvae are vulnerable to predation even in the presence of alternative prey. Coral Reefs
543	39, 293–303. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00338-019-01890-w

544	De ath, G., Fabricius, K.E., Sweatman, H., Puotinen, M., 2012. The 27-year decline of coral cover on
545	the Great Barrier Reef and its causes. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 109, 17995-17999.
546	https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1208909109
547	Dumas, P., Fiat, S., Durbano, A., Peignon, C., Mou-Tham, G., Ham, J., Gereva, S., Kaku, R., Chateau
548	O., Wantiez, L., De Ramon N'Yeurt, A., Adjeroud, M., 2020. Citizen Science, a promising tool
549	for detecting and monitoring outbreaks of the crown-of-thorns starfish Acanthaster spp. Sci. Rep
550	10, 291. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-57251-8
551	Dumas, P., Moutardier, G., Ham, J., Kaku, R., Gereva, S., Lefèvre, J., Adjeroud, M., 2016. Timing
552	within the reproduction cycle modulates the efficiency of village-based crown-of-thorns starfish
553	removal. Biol. Conserv. 204, 237–246. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2016.10.027
554	Dupont, S., Dorey, N., Stumpp, M., Melzner, F., Thorndyke, M., 2013. Long-term and trans-life-cycle
555	effects of exposure to ocean acidification in the green sea urchin Strongylocentrotus
556	droebachiensis. Mar. Biol. 160, 1835–1843. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-012-1921-x
557	Fabricius, K.E., Okaji, K., De'ath, G., 2010. Three lines of evidence to link outbreaks of the crown-of
558	thorns seastar Acanthaster planci to the release of larval food limitation. Coral Reefs 29, 593-
559	605. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00338-010-0628-z
560	Harianto, J., Nguyen, H.D., Holmes, S.P., Byrne, M., 2018. The effect of warming on mortality,
561	metabolic rate, heat-shock protein response and gonad growth in thermally acclimated sea
562	urchins (Heliocidaris erythrogramma). Mar. Biol. 165. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-018-3353
563	8
564	Henderson, J.A., Lucas, J.S., 1971. Larval development and metamorphosis of Acanthaster planci
565	(Asteroida).
566	Hoegh-Guldberg, O., Mumby, P.J., Hooten, A.J., Steneck, R.S., Greenfield, P., Gomez, E., Harvell,
567	C.D., Sale, P.F., Edwards, A.J., Caldeira, K., Knowlton, N., Eakin, C.M., Iglesias-Prieto, R.,
568	Muthiga, N., Bradbury, R.H., Dubi, A., Hatziolos, M.E., 2007. Coral reefs under rapid climate

569	change and ocean acidification. Science 318, 1737–1742.
570	https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1152509
571	Hu, M.Y., Lein, E., Bleich, M., Melzner, F., Stumpp, M., 2018. Trans-life cycle acclimation to
572	experimental ocean acidification affects gastric pH homeostasis and larval recruitment in the sea
573	star Asterias rubens. Acta Physiol. 224, e13075. https://doi.org/10.1111/apha.13075
574	Hue, T., Chateau, O., Lecellier, G., Kayal, M., Lanos, N., Gossuin, H., Adjeroud, M., Dumas, P.,
575	2020. Temperature affects the reproductive outputs of coral-eating starfish Acanthaster spp. after
576	adult exposure to near-future ocean warming and acidification. Mar. Environ. Res. 162, 105164.
577	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marenvres.2020.105164
578	Hughes, T.P., Anderson, K.D., Connolly, S.R., Heron, S.F., Kerry, J.T., Lough, J.M., Baird, A.H.,
579	Baum, J.K., Berumen, M.L., Bridge, T.C., Claar, D.C., Eakin, C.M., Gilmour, J.P., Graham,
580	N.A.J., Harrison, H., Hobbs, J.A., Hoey, A.S., Hoogenboom, M., Lowe, R.J., McCulloch, M.T.,
581	Pandolfi, J.M., Pratchett, M., Schoepf, V., Torda, G., Wilson, S.K., 2018. Spatial and temporal
582	patterns of mass bleaching of corals in the Anthropocene. Science (80 ). 359, 80–83.
583	https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aan8048
584	IPCC, 2014. Climate change 2014 impacts, adaptation, and vulnerability, Climate Change 2014:
585	Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability: Part B: Regional Aspects: Working Group II
586	Contribution to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.
587	Cambridge University Press, Cambridge. https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107415386
588	Kamya, P.Z., Byrne, M., Graba-Landry, A., Dworjanyn, S.A., 2016. Near-future ocean acidification
589	enhances the feeding rate and development of the herbivorous juveniles of the crown-of-thorns
590	starfish, Acanthaster planci. Coral Reefs 35, 1241–1251. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00338-016-
591	1480-6
592	Kamya, P.Z., Byrne, M., Mos, B., Hall, L., Dworjanyn, S.A., 2017. Indirect effects of ocean
593	acidification drive feeding and growth of juvenile crown of- thorns starfish, Acanthaster planci.
594	Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. 284, 20170778. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2017.0778


595	Kamya, P.Z., Dworjanyn, S.A., Hardy, N., Mos, B., Utnicke, S., Byrne, M., 2014. Larvae of the coral
596	eating crown-of-thorns starfish, Acanthaster planci in a warmer-high CO 2 ocean. Glob. Chang.
597	Biol. 20, 3365–3376. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12530
598	Karelitz, S., Lamare, M., Patel, F., Gemmell, N., Uthicke, S., 2020. Parental acclimation to future
599	ocean conditions increases development rates but decreases survival in sea urchin larvae. Mar.
600	Biol. 167, 2. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-019-3610-5
601	Karelitz, S., Lamare, M.D., Mos, B., De Bari, H., Dworjanyn, S.A., Byrne, M., 2019. Impact of
602	growing up in a warmer, lower pH future on offspring performance: transgenerational plasticity
603	in a pan-tropical sea urchin. Coral Reefs 38, 1085–1095. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00338-019-
604	01855-z
605	Kayal, M., Vercelloni, J., Lison de Loma, T., Bosserelle, P., Chancerelle, Y., Geoffroy, S., Stievenart,
606	C., Michonneau, F., Penin, L., Planes, S., Adjeroud, M., 2012. Predator Crown-of-Thorns
607	Starfish (Acanthaster planci) Outbreak, Mass Mortality of Corals, and Cascading Effects on Reed
608	Fish and Benthic Communities. PLoS One 7. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0047363
609	Keesing, J.K., Halford, A.R., Hall, K.C., Cartwright, C.M., 1997. Large-scale laboratory culture of the
610	crown-of-thorns starfish Acanthaster planci (L.) (Echinodermata: Asteroidea). Aquaculture 157,
611	215–226. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0044-8486(97)00062-8
612	Lamare, M., Pecorino, D., Hardy, N., Liddy, M., Byrne, M., Uthicke, S., 2014. The thermal tolerance
613	of crown-of-thorns (Acanthaster planci) embryos and bipinnaria larvae: Implications for spatial
614	and temporal variation in adult populations. Coral Reefs 33, 207–219.
615	https://doi.org/10.1007/s00338-013-1112-3
616	Lenth, R. V., 2016. Least-squares means: The R package Ismeans. J. Stat. Softw. 69.
617	https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v069.i01
618	Leray, M., Béraud, M., Anker, A., Chancerelle, Y., Mills, S.C., 2012. Acanthaster planci outbreak:
619	Decline in coral health, coral size structure modification and consequences for obligate decapod

620	assemblages. PLoS One 7, e35456. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0035456
621	Lucas, J.S., 1982. Quantitative studies of feeding and nutrition during larval development of the coral
622	reef asteroid Acanthaster planci (L.). J. Exp. Mar. Bio. Ecol. 65, 173-193.
623	https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-0981(82)90043-0
624	Mellin, C., Lugrin, C., Okaji, K., Francis, D.S., Uthicke, S., 2017. Selective feeding and microalgal
625	consumption rates by crown-of-thorns seastar (Acanthaster cf. solaris) larvae. Diversity 9, 1–16.
626	https://doi.org/10.3390/d9010008
627	Mellin, C., Matthews, S., Anthony, K.R.N., Brown, S.C., Caley, M.J., Johns, K.A., Osborne, K.,
628	Puotinen, M., Thompson, A., Wolff, N.H., Fordham, D.A., MacNeil, M.A., 2019. Spatial
629	resilience of the Great Barrier Reef under cumulative disturbance impacts. Glob. Chang. Biol.
630	25, gcb.14625. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14625
631	Morley, S.A., Suckling, C.C., Clark, M.S., Cross, E.L., Peck, L.S., 2016. Long-term effects of altered
632	pH and temperature on the feeding energetics of the Antarctic sea urchin, Sterechinus neumayeri
633	Biodiversity 17, 34–45. https://doi.org/10.1080/14888386.2016.1174956
634	Palumbi, S.R., 1999. All males are not created equal: Fertility differences depend on gamete
635	recognition polymorphisms in sea urchins. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 96, 12632–12637.
636	https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.96.22.12632
637	Parker, L.M., Ross, P.M., O'Connor, W.A., Borysko, L., Raftos, D.A., Pörtner, H.O., 2012. Adult
638	exposure influences offspring response to ocean acidification in oysters. Glob. Chang. Biol. 18,
639	82–92. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2011.02520.x
640	Pinheiro, J., Bates, D., DebRoy, S., Sarkar, D., Heisterkamp, S., Van Willigen, B., Maintainer, R.,
641	2017. Package 'nlme.' Linear nonlinear Mix. Eff. Model. version 3.
642	Pratchett, M., Caballes, C., Rivera-Posada, J., Sweatman, H., 2014. Limits to Understanding and
643	Managing Outbreaks of CrownofThorns Starfish (Acanthaster spp.), in: Oceanography and
644	Marine Biology: An Annual Review. pp. 133-200. https://doi.org/10.1201/b17143-4


645	Pratchett, M.S., Dworjanyn, S., Mos, B., Caballes, C.F., Thompson, C.A., Blowes, S., 2017. Larval
646	survivorship and settlement of crown-of-thorns starfish (Acanthaster cf. solaris) at varying algal
647	cell densities. Diversity 9. https://doi.org/10.3390/d9010002
648	Schneider, C.A., Rasband, W.S., Eliceiri, K.W., 2012. NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of image
649	analysis. Nat. Methods 9, 671–675. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2089
650	Sparks, K.M., Foo, S.A., Uthicke, S., Byrne, M., Lamare, M., 2017. Paternal identity influences
651	response of Acanthaster planci embryos to ocean acidification and warming. Coral Reefs 36,
652	325–338. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00338-016-1505-1
653	Strader, M.E., Kozal, L.C., Leach, T.S., Wong, J.M., Chamorro, J.D., Housh, M.J., Hofmann, G.E.,
654	2020. Examining the Role of DNA Methylation in Transcriptomic Plasticity of Early Stage Sea
655	Urchins: Developmental and Maternal Effects in a Kelp Forest Herbivore. Front. Mar. Sci. 7, 1-
656	17. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2020.00205
657	Strader, M.E., Wong, J.M., Kozal, L.C., Leach, T.S., Hofmann, G.E., 2019. Parental environments
658	alter DNA methylation in offspring of the purple sea urchin, Strongylocentrotus purpuratus. J.
659	Exp. Mar. Bio. Ecol. 517, 54–64. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jembe.2019.03.002
660	Suckling, C.C., Clark, M.S., Beveridge, C., Brunner, L., Hughes, A.D., Harper, E.M., Cook, E.J.,
661	Davies, A.J., Peck, L.S., 2014. Experimental influence of pH on the early life-stages of sea
662	urchins II: increasing parental exposure times gives rise to different responses. Invertebr. Reprod.
663	Dev. 58, 161–175. https://doi.org/10.1080/07924259.2013.875951
664	Suckling, C.C., Clark, M.S., Richard, J., Morley, S.A., Thorne, M.A.S., Harper, E.M., Peck, L.S.,
665	2015. Adult acclimation to combined temperature and pH stressors significantly enhances
666	reproductive outcomes compared to short-term exposures. J. Anim. Ecol. 84, 773–784.
667	https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2656.12316
668	Uthicke, S., Liddy, M., Patel, F., Logan, M., Johansson, C., Lamare, M., 2018. Effects of larvae
669	density and food concentration on Crown-of-Thorns seastar (Acanthaster cf. Solaris)

development in an automated flow-through system. Sci. Rep. 8, 642. 670 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-19132-w 671 Uthicke, S., Logan, M., Liddy, M., Francis, D., Hardy, N., Lamare, M., 2015. Climate change as an 672 673 unexpected co-factor promoting coral eating seastar (Acanthaster planci) outbreaks. Sci. Rep. 5, 674 8402. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep08402 Uthicke, S., Patel, F., Karelitz, S., Luter, H., Webster, N., Lamare, M., 2020. Key biological responses 675 676 over two generations of the sea urchin Echinometra sp. A under future ocean conditions. Mar. 677 Ecol. Prog. Ser. 637, 87–101. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps13236 678 Uthicke, S., Pecorino, D., Albright, R., Negri, A.P., Cantin, N., Liddy, M., Dworjanyn, S., Kamya, P., 679 Byrne, M., Lamare, M., 2013a. Impacts of Ocean Acidification on Early Life-History Stages and 680 Settlement of the Coral-Eating Sea Star Acanthaster planci. PLoS One 8, e82938. 681 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0082938 682 Uthicke, S., Soars, N., Foo, S., Byrne, M., 2013b. Effects of elevated pCO2 and the effect of parent 683 acclimation on development in the tropical Pacific sea urchin Echinometra mathaei. Mar. Biol. 160, 1913–1926. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-012-2023-5 684 685 Walne, P.R., 1966. Experiments in the Large-Scale Culture of the Larvae of Ostrea Edulis L. H.M.S.O. London, UK 1966. 686 687 Westcott, D.A., Fletcher, C.S., Kroon, F.J., Babcock, R.C., Plagányi, E.E., Pratchett, M.S., Bonin, 688 M.C., 2020. Relative efficacy of three approaches to mitigate Crown-of-Thorns Starfish 689 outbreaks on Australia's Great Barrier Reef. Sci. Rep. 10, 12594. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-69466-1 690 691 Wolfe, K., Graba-Landry, A., Dworjanyn, S.A., Byrne, M., 2015. Larval starvation to satiation: 692 Influence of nutrient regime on the success of Acanthaster planci. PLoS One 10. 693 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0122010 694 Wong, J.M., Johnson, K.M., Kelly, M.W., Hofmann, G.E., 2018. Transcriptomics reveal


695	transgenerational effects in purple sea urchin embryos: Adult acclimation to upwelling
696	conditions alters the response of their progeny to differential p CO 2 levels. Mol. Ecol. 27, 1120
697	1137. https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.14503
698	Wong, J.M., Kozal, L.C., Leach, T.S., Hoshijima, U., Hofmann, G.E., 2019. Transgenerational effects
699	in an ecological context: Conditioning of adult sea urchins to upwelling conditions alters
700	maternal provisioning and progeny phenotype. J. Exp. Mar. Bio. Ecol. 517, 65–77.
701	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jembe.2019.04.006
702	Yamaguchi, M., 1973. Early life histories of coral reef asteroids, with special reference to Acanthaster
703	planci (L.). In Biology and Geology of Coral Reefs (Vol. 2: Biology) , O.A. Jones & R. Endean
704	(eds). New York: Academic Press, 369 – 387. Biol. Geol. Coral Reefs 2, 1973.
705	





















## A Early brachiolaria



## B Mid-late brachiolaria

