



## Dispersal syndromes can link intraspecific trait variability and meta-ecosystem functioning

Allan Raffard, Elvire Bestion, Julien Cote, Bart Haegeman, Nicolas Schtickzelle, Staffan Jacob

### ► To cite this version:

Allan Raffard, Elvire Bestion, Julien Cote, Bart Haegeman, Nicolas Schtickzelle, et al.. Dispersal syndromes can link intraspecific trait variability and meta-ecosystem functioning. Trends in Ecology & Evolution, inPress, 37 (4), pp.322-331. 10.1016/j.tree.2021.12.001 . hal-03603063

**HAL Id: hal-03603063**

**<https://hal.science/hal-03603063>**

Submitted on 9 Mar 2022

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 Dispersal syndromes can link intraspecific trait variability and meta-ecosystem functioning

2  
3 Allan Raffard<sup>1\*</sup>, Elvire Bestion<sup>2</sup>, Julien Cote<sup>3</sup>, Bart Haegeman<sup>2</sup>, Nicolas Schtickzelle<sup>1</sup> &  
4 Staffan Jacob<sup>2</sup>

5  
6 <sup>1</sup> *Université catholique de Louvain, Earth and Life Institute, Biodiversity Research Centre, Louvain-*  
7 *la-Neuve, Belgium*

8 <sup>2</sup> *Station d'Écologie Théorique et Expérimentale du CNRS à Moulis, Moulis, France.*

9 <sup>3</sup> *CNRS, UPS, IRD, Laboratoire Évolution et Diversité Biologique, UAR 5174, 31062, Cedex 9*  
10 *Toulouse, France*

11  
12 \*allan.raffard@uclouvain.be

13 Trends in Ecology and Evolution, 2022, Vol. 37, No. 4, pages 322-331, DOI:  
14 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2021.12.001>

15 This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license  
16 <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>

## Highlights

Dispersal is a key process for the dynamics and functioning of meta-communities and meta-ecosystems. Meta-ecosystem theory however does not fully integrate the possible effects of dispersal, largely assuming random diffusion of organisms and nutrients, contrasting with rising empirical evidence for intraspecific variability in dispersal strategies.

Dispersal is often associated with a suite of phenotypic traits, forming dispersal syndromes. Since phenotypic variability is now acknowledged as a key factor mediating ecosystem dynamics, we argue that dispersal syndromes can link trait-based ecology and meta-ecosystem functioning together.

We highlight that the dispersal of individuals can be associated with functional effect traits and can therefore alter trophic and nutrient-mediated interactions in ecosystems. We illustrate how the association between dispersal tendency and functional traits can modify the spatial heterogeneity of ecosystems.

## Abstract

Dispersal mediates the flows of organisms in meta-communities and subsequently energy and material flows in meta-ecosystems. Individuals within species often vary in dispersal tendency depending on their phenotypic traits (i.e., dispersal syndromes), but the implications of dispersal syndromes for meta-ecosystems have been rarely studied. Using empirical examples on vertebrates, arthropods and microbes, we highlight that key functional traits can be linked to dispersal. We argue that this coupling between dispersal and functional traits can have consequences for meta-ecosystem functioning, mediating flows of functional traits and thus the spatial heterogeneity of ecosystem functions. As dispersal syndromes may be genetically determined, the spatial heterogeneity of functional traits may be further carried-over across generations and link meta-ecosystem functioning to evolutionary dynamics.

43 **Keywords:** Dispersal syndrome, phenotypic variability, animal movement, ecosystem  
44 functioning.

45

## Role of dispersal in meta-communities and meta-ecosystems

The rise of **trait-based ecology** (see Glossary) has emphasised the importance of **individual trait variability** for community structure and **ecosystem functioning** [1,2]. Key frameworks have been elaborated to forecast ecosystem functioning from individual traits, such as the metabolic theory of ecology and ecological stoichiometry [3,4]. Functional traits (particularly **functional effect traits**, *sensu* [2]) indeed allow inferring causal mechanisms (e.g., body size alters resource partitioning) that help understanding key ecosystem properties such as the biodiversity-ecosystem functioning relationships. By determining biotic interactions in communities, the variability of functional traits affects species coexistence and matter fluxes (i.e. chemical elements, including nutrients) in food webs, ultimately altering ecosystem processes [5].

Nonetheless, research on the effects of individual trait variability on ecosystems still rarely considers the spatial structure of the environment [6–8]. Food webs in local communities can indeed be connected by spatial flows of organisms forming a food web **meta-community** [7]. **Dispersal** can affect biotic interactions (e.g., predation) in food web meta-communities, modifying species coexistence within communities [9,10]. These exchanges of organisms between local communities can modify nutrient fluxes within the landscape that, added to abiotic energy and materials fluxes between and within local ecosystems, form **meta-ecosystems**. Dispersal of organisms is a key process linking populations and communities, and the resulting fluxes of nutrients sequestered in organisms among habitats form a central part of meta-ecosystem dynamics as they affect primary production, consumption and decomposition [11–13].

While dispersal was mostly considered as a rate in meta-community and meta-ecosystem literature, it is now widely acknowledged to be a non-random process resulting from several environmental factors, phenotypic traits and their interactions [14]. For instance, niche

breadth and life-history traits are correlated with dispersal variability among species [15]. Within species, dispersal decision of individuals can also depend upon their phenotype, involving morphological, physiological or behavioural characteristics correlated with dispersal. For instance, in rodents, dispersers are often more active, bolder and explore more than residents [16]. Similarly, polymorphism (e.g. wing length of insects) is often associated with dispersal distance [17]. Such correlation or co-occurrence between a suite of phenotypic traits and dispersal forms a **dispersal syndrome** [14,16,18,19], that may result from multiple ecological and evolutionary processes (Box 1).

Dispersal syndromes are known to affect the spatial heterogeneity of functional traits and in turn mediate intra/interspecific interactions and meta-community dynamics [20–22]. However, whether this variability in the movements of organisms and their phenotypic traits in landscapes can in turn modify the flows of energy and materials and affect food web meta-community and meta-ecosystem functioning is rarely studied theoretically or empirically. Here, we build upon empirical evidence on vertebrates, arthropods and microorganisms to highlight that traits classically integrated into trait-based ecology and known for their role in ecosystem functioning can be linked to dispersal propensity. Based on this evidence for dispersal syndromes as a linkage between trait-based ecology and ecosystem functioning, we point out potential implications of these dispersal syndromes for meta-ecosystem functioning (Figure 1). We explore the consequences of dispersal syndromes and their evolution for the spatial heterogeneity of ecosystem functions.

## **On the ecosystem importance of dispersal-related traits**

The phenotypic structure of populations has received strong consideration from ecologists, and the description of functional effect traits has allowed a mechanistic understanding of the effects of individuals on ecosystems [2,23]. The variability of functional traits within a

species can be large [24], and can modify the strength of trophic and non-trophic interactions, such as nutrient recycling within an ecosystem [25]. For example, consistent differences in foraging behaviour in pale chubs (*Zacco platypus*) alter trophic cascades [26]; morphology of mandible in shredders (*Gammarus fossarum*) drives decomposition rate [27]. Functional traits and their intraspecific variability have hence consequences for species coexistence, community structure, and subsequently drive the flows of energy and materials within and among ecosystems.

Key functional traits have been found to be related to individual dispersal propensity: in Table 1, we provide a list of relationships within the same species between dispersal and phenotypic traits on the one hand, and between these phenotypic traits and functional effect traits (sometimes even ecosystem functions) on the other hand. As such, in a freshwater fish (*Salmo trutta*), higher dispersal propensities are associated with larger body sizes [28], a trait correlated in this species with nutrient excretion rate [29] and known as key for ecosystem functioning [30]. Moreover, movements of dispersers can be associated with higher metabolic costs compared to residents [31] - metabolism is a key trait for ecosystem functioning [3] - which can induce higher consumption rates to counterbalance the energetic expenditure (as found in the butterfly *Melitaea cinxia*. [32,33]). Finally, dispersal is often part of a general behavioural syndrome involving multiple behavioral traits [34]. In the signal crayfish (*Pacifastacus leniusculus*), individuals staying in core populations (i.e., residents) of an invasion front are more aggressive [35], which can alter multiple dimensions of ecosystems such as trophic interactions in food webs [36,37].

Because of dispersal syndromes, individuals moving within a landscape can carry different functional traits from individuals staying locally, and spatially heterogeneous distribution of environmental conditions (e.g., environmental gradients, source-sink systems) can make the fluxes of individual phenotypes asymmetric. Therefore, spatial variability of

functional traits can be generated among landscapes, potentially modifying the interactions within meta-communities and in turn material and energy fluxes in meta-ecosystems. These altered fluxes can affect two essential and intertwined dimensions of ecosystems: the strength of **trophic interactions** and nutrient recycling. Especially, trophic interactions govern food web structure in meta-communities, with subsequent effects on biomass production that are key for meta-ecosystems functioning. First, dispersal syndromes can modify the spatial heterogeneity of traits linked to energy and nutritional needs of organisms, consequently modifying trophic interactions [3,36,38]. For instance, in decomposer species, dispersers may display higher litter consumption, hence affecting the decomposition rate of organic matter, although this effect varies among species [39]. In mosquitofish (*Gambusia affinis*), dispersers are less sociable than residents, which affects prey biomass because sociability is correlated with food intake [40]. Dispersal-related morphological traits can also be important for ecosystem functioning. In pygmy grasshoppers (*Tetrix subatala*), a morphological specialisation for dispersal (i.e., fully developed wings) is associated with a different diet compared to wingless individuals [41]. This can potentially affect the recipient ecosystem through altered trophic interactions. These examples show that dispersal syndromes can affect material fluxes in food webs and thus ecosystem functions, such as decomposition of organic matter, through changes in trophic interactions (Table 1) [42].

Second, dispersal syndromes can produce **nutrient-mediated effects**, modifying nutrient and detritus influxes in ecosystems, both qualitatively and quantitatively [22]. In a freshwater fish (*Salmo trutta*), larger individuals disperse farther than smaller individuals [28] and display higher nutrient excretion rates (i.e., N and P) [29]. Such intraspecific variability in nutrient excretion rate, resulting from catabolic and homeostatic mechanisms, is large enough to generate functional differences among ecosystems, notably concerning primary production [30,43]. Differences between residents and dispersers may affect the spatial variability of



productivity in meta-ecosystems. For example, in phosphorus-limited habitats, individuals with a higher phosphorus excretion rate cause an increased biomass of primary producers [44]. Organic material recycling might also be altered by differential mortality between residents and dispersers induced by the costs of dispersal. For instance, dispersers can have a higher parasite load than residents [45], which may increase mortality and thus alter the stocks of dead organic matter. Moreover, the stoichiometry of organisms is an important factor governing ecosystem functions [4], and has recently been discussed as a key parameter linking dispersal to meta-ecosystems [22]. Intraspecific variability of stoichiometry has been documented [4], and several studies suggest that differences between residents and dispersers are likely. For instance, differences in chemical composition (e.g., lipid, triglyceride reserves) between residents and dispersers have been found in marine fish [46] and insects [47]. Since chemical compounds within organisms consist of different proportions of the basic elements, differences in molecular traits (e.g., RNA content) and key physiological functions are expected to be associated with variability in organisms' stoichiometry [48,49]. The potential for dispersal syndromes to include stoichiometric variability might thus result in altered nutrient flows among local ecosystems. Studying the three-way association between dispersal, stoichiometry and ecosystem functioning is an important future challenge [22], especially since dispersal can couple distant (but often similar) ecosystems, while resource flows mostly occur locally [50].

### **Implications of dispersal syndromes for meta-ecosystem functioning**

Meta-community research has emphasized the central role of dispersal as a process linking biotic interactions, and global rates of material flows (through both abiotic and biotic fluxes) among local ecosystems are core to meta-ecosystem theory [10,51]. However, dispersal can be differentiated from abiotic fluxes as it can alter spatial distribution of organisms,

mediating directly biotic interactions, in addition to modify nutrient fluxes. For instance, increasing dispersal rate tends to homogenize food webs and functions across space in a non-linear manner, above a certain threshold [9,10,51] (though increasing consumer dispersal can also generate spatial heterogeneity, e.g., [52]). Yet, dispersal is more than just movement rate or distance, it also includes the phenotypic characteristics of resident and disperser individuals, and therefore a potential different package of flowed nutrients (i.e., dispersing individuals). However, the potential for differences between dispersers and residents in multiple functional traits is currently not integrated in meta-ecosystem theory.

As highlighted above, dispersal syndromes often involve functional traits of major importance for ecosystem functioning (Table 1). The heterogeneity of phenotypic distributions resulting from dispersal syndromes, i.e., when dispersers have different phenotypes than residents in heterogeneous landscapes, may thus generate spatial differentiation in ecosystem functioning. For instance, the establishment of individuals or species in novel habitats as occurring during recolonization or range shifts may be associated with a non-random subset of traits, such as body mass or diet. This generates spatial heterogeneity in functional trait distribution [53] and in turn affecting resource fluxes and thus ecosystem biomass. Therefore, any biased flow of functional traits carried by dispersers in heterogeneous landscapes may modify the spatial heterogeneity of meta-ecosystems, whose importance could depend upon nutrient flows (Box 2).

The effects of dispersal on meta-ecosystems are known to depend on the rate of dispersal in classic theory. With dispersal syndromes, since dispersers differ from residents, differences in dispersal rate do not only change the total flow of organisms within meta-ecosystems, but also mediate the spatial distribution of functional trait values (Box 2). For instance, in a scenario where dispersers consume more resources than residents (as found in [39]), intermediate dispersal rates would alter the heterogeneity of biomass consumption at

the meta-ecosystem scale compared to a scenario in which individuals disperse regardless of their phenotype. Thus the heterogeneity in resource standing stock can be modified (Box 2). Therefore, developing an integrative framework including dispersal syndromes in a meta-ecosystem might benefit our understanding of spatially structured environments.

Importantly, dispersal syndromes can differ among populations or species [14–16]. Environmental conditions and evolutionary processes can strongly shape dispersal syndromes [19]. The direction and the strength of covariations as well as the traits involved in dispersal syndromes are the result of an evolutionary history where biotic interactions and landscape configuration play a key role (e.g., [19,54], Box 1). The resulting variability in dispersal syndromes and thus of flows of functional traits might alter meta-ecosystem dynamics through trophic interactions and nutrient-mediated effects. For instance, when dispersal is associated with high competitive abilities (e.g., due to higher aggressiveness as found in bluebirds [55]), an increased dispersal rate would lead to an increase in competition strength in colonized habitats. On the contrary, dispersers can often be poorer competitors (e.g., competition-colonisation trade-off, costs of dispersal, local exclusion of poorer competitors) [31,56]. If competitive interactions drive community composition, the consequences of dispersal for community composition, and subsequently the level and stability of ecosystem functions are likely to depend on the form of dispersal syndromes and thus on their ecological and evolutionary drivers.

The role of evolutionary processes for ecosystem functioning has been studied at the local scale [23]. At the meta-community level, Urban & Skelly [57] have drawn a framework integrating the evolution of intraspecific trait variation in meta-communities. They emphasized that genetically based trait variation might be a major component of meta-community structure affecting species coexistence. Therefore, by extending this concept, dispersal syndromes can have two main roles in the evolutionary dynamics of meta-

communities and meta-ecosystems: eco-evolutionary dynamics and local adaptations. First, since the evolution of intraspecific variability of dispersal and its associations with phenotypic variability depend on biotic and abiotic conditions, the existence and form of dispersal syndromes are likely to vary in space and time [19]. Therefore, eco-evolutionary feedbacks between dispersal and meta-ecosystem functioning can arise because of environmental fluctuations, due to the dependency of dispersal syndromes on abiotic conditions and its effect on ecosystem functions. The consequences of dispersal for meta-ecosystems are expected to differ depending on the nature of the syndrome (above and Box 2). Therefore, integrating the evolutionary dynamics of dispersal-related traits into meta-ecosystem theories should help understand how ecosystem functioning varies in the face of environmental changes.

Second, dispersal is generally expected to slow down adaptation by homogenizing local gene pools, at least over a certain threshold. Nonetheless, theoretical and experimental studies showed that it may instead promote local adaptation and thus population phenotypic differentiation when individuals choose to disperse and settle in habitats that better suit their phenotype [58]. Since dispersal syndromes are also expected to generate spatial heterogeneity of phenotypic traits, the interaction between dispersal syndromes and habitat choice might alter the dynamics of trait variation within and between populations. Whether dispersal syndromes are fixed at the scale of population or species or depend on environmental conditions (Box 1) might generate dynamic phenotypic flows in meta-ecosystems according to evolutionary dynamics (e.g., local adaptation) and ecological context. By modifying trait dynamics within and among populations, dispersal syndromes might hence alter functional divergences among food webs and ecosystems across generations. Indeed, functional traits linked to dispersal propensity may be inherited across generations and so may be the consequences of these traits for ecosystem functioning [59]. Investigating the potential for

such eco-evolutionary dynamics of dispersal to affect biotic interactions and as a result food webs and ecosystems is of particular importance.

## **Concluding remarks**

Dispersal syndromes mediate phenotypic distributions and hence interaction strength and nutrient release in ecological networks and thus may play a major role in meta-ecosystem functioning. We especially pointed out that links between dispersal and traits of key importance for ecosystem functioning can modify trophic interactions and material fluxes. For example, dispersal syndromes can make dispersal increase spatial heterogeneity in ecosystem functioning relative to cases without dispersal syndromes. Predictions regarding spatial dynamics of meta-ecosystems may hence benefit from the integration of dispersal syndromes. Yet, dispersal syndromes can greatly vary across species in both direction and traits involved, which might affect the consequences for meta-ecosystems as illustrated in Box 2. Improving our understanding of the role of dispersal for the spatial dynamics of meta-ecosystems will thus require further effort in integrating dispersal syndromes in meta-ecosystem theoretical frameworks, but also increased investigation of the ecological and evolutionary drivers of dispersal syndromes.

Modelling approaches can be a powerful method to evaluate the role of phenotypic-dependent dispersal for meta-ecosystem functioning. For instance, existing models (see for example [22,52]) can be modified to implement dispersal syndromes. It would allow to determine whether the phenotypic differences between dispersing and non-dispersing individuals might result in an increased complexity in ecological interactions, potentially quantitatively or qualitatively altering meta-ecosystems functioning and stability (e.g., [60]). Such models should further allow assessing whether and how the evolution of dispersal syndromes alter meta-ecosystem dynamics. The evolution of dispersal syndromes is important

to account for since anthropogenic pressures change the environmental conditions that are known to shape dispersal syndromes (e.g., habitat fragmentation).

Transposing those predictions to nature is challenging due to the inherent large scale of meta-ecosystem frameworks [8], but correlative approaches in the field coupled with adequate statistics (e.g., structural equation modelling) can bring insights on the relationships between dispersal syndromes, spatially structured diversity and ecosystem patterns. A further necessary step to identify whether dispersal syndromes directly or indirectly affect meta-ecosystems will be to take advantage of the increasingly used micro/mesocosm experiments. Manipulating dispersal syndromes (i.e., shape and variance) and key characteristics of the environment (e.g., abiotic conditions, habitat fragmentation) in simplified meta-ecosystems is an essential step forward for our comprehension of the effects of dispersal syndromes on biodiversity, biomass production and stability of meta-ecosystems. Understanding the role of variability of dispersal for meta-ecosystem functioning is an exciting and challenging topic for years to come (see Outstanding Questions). In a world where human activities are constantly shaping landscape structure, considering those issues might also help design ecological applications, such as conservation strategies.

## **Acknowledgements**

AR is supported by a F.R.S.-FNRS research project (PDR T.0211.19) awarded to NS in collaboration with SJ. NS is Senior Research Associate of the F.R.S.-FNRS and supported by an Action de Recherche Concertée grant (ARC 18/23-095). SJ, EB, BH and JC are part of TULIP (Laboratory of Excellence Grant ANR-10 LABX-41), SJ is supported by the Agence Nationale de la Recherche for the project CHOOSE (ANR-19-CE02-0016), and JC by the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and

innovation programme (grant agreement No 817779). This paper is contribution BRCXXX of the Biodiversity Research Center at UCLouvain.

## References

- 1 McGill, B. *et al.* (2006) Rebuilding community ecology from functional traits. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 21, 178–185
- 2 Violle, C. *et al.* (2007) Let the concept of trait be functional! *Oikos* 116, 882–892
- 3 Brown, J.H. *et al.* (2004) Toward a metabolic theory of ecology. *Ecology* 85, 1771–1789
- 4 Leal, M.C. *et al.* (2016) The ecology and evolution of stoichiometric phenotypes. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* DOI: 10.1016/j.tree.2016.11.006
- 5 Díaz, S. *et al.* (2013) Functional traits, the phylogeny of function, and ecosystem service vulnerability. *Ecol. Evol.* 3, 2958–2975
- 6 Loreau, M. *et al.* (2003) Meta-ecosystems: a theoretical framework for a spatial ecosystem ecology. *Ecol. Lett.* 6, 673–679
- 7 Massol, F. *et al.* (2011) Linking community and ecosystem dynamics through spatial ecology: An integrative approach to spatial food webs. *Ecol. Lett.* 14, 313–323
- 8 Gonzalez, A. *et al.* (2020) Scaling-up biodiversity-ecosystem functioning research. *Ecol. Lett.* 23, 757–776
- 9 Loreau, M. *et al.* (2003) Biodiversity as spatial insurance in heterogeneous landscapes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 100, 12765–12770
- 10 Thompson, P.L. and Gonzalez, A. (2017) Dispersal governs the reorganization of ecological networks under environmental change. *Nat. Ecol. Evol.* 1, 0162
- 11 Lundberg, J. and Moberg, F. (2003) Mobile link organisms and ecosystem functioning: implications for ecosystem resilience and management. *Ecosystems* 6, 0087–0098
- 12 Gravel, D. *et al.* (2010) Source and sink dynamics in meta-ecosystems. *Ecology* 91, 2172–2184
- 13 Gravel, D. *et al.* (2010) Patch dynamics, persistence, and species coexistence in metaecosystems. *Am. Nat.* 176, 289–302
- 14 Clobert, J. *et al.* (2009) Informed dispersal, heterogeneity in animal dispersal syndromes and the dynamics of spatially structured populations. *Ecol. Lett.* 12, 197–209
- 15 Stevens, V.M. *et al.* (2014) A comparative analysis of dispersal syndromes in terrestrial and semi-terrestrial animals. *Ecol. Lett.* 17, 1039–1052
- 16 Le Galliard, J.-F. *et al.* (2012) Patterns and processes of dispersal behaviour in arvicoline rodents: dispersal mechanisms in microtine rodents. *Mol. Ecol.* 21, 505–523
- 17 Zera, A.J. and Denno, R.F. (1997) Physiology and ecology of dispersal polymorphism in insects. *Annu. Rev. Entomol.* 42, 207–230
- 18 Sih, A. *et al.* (2004) Behavioral syndromes: an integrative overview. *Q. Rev. Biol.* 79, 241–277
- 19 Cote, J. *et al.* (2017) Evolution of dispersal strategies and dispersal syndromes in fragmented landscapes. *Ecography* 40, 56–73
- 20 Jacob, S. *et al.* (2015) Habitat matching and spatial heterogeneity of phenotypes: implications for metapopulation and metacommunity functioning. *Evol. Ecol.* 29, 851–871

- 338 21 Jacob, S. *et al.* (2019) Variability in dispersal syndromes is a key driver of metapopulation  
339 dynamics in experimental microcosms. *Am. Nat.* 194, 613–626
- 340 22 Massol, F. *et al.* (2017) How life-history traits affect ecosystem properties: effects of  
341 dispersal in meta-ecosystems. *Oikos* 126, 532–546
- 342 23 Matthews, B. *et al.* (2011) Toward an integration of evolutionary biology and ecosystem  
343 science. *Ecol. Lett.* 14, 690–701
- 344 24 Siefert, A. *et al.* (2015) A global meta-analysis of the relative extent of intraspecific trait  
345 variation in plant communities. *Ecol. Lett.* 18, 1406–1419
- 346 25 Raffard, A. *et al.* (2019) The community and ecosystem consequences of intraspecific  
347 diversity: a meta-analysis. *Biol. Rev.* 94, 648–661
- 348 26 Katano, O. (2011) Effects of individual differences in foraging of pale chub on algal  
349 biomass through trophic cascades. *Environ. Biol. Fishes* 92, 101–112
- 350 27 Rota, T. *et al.* (2018) Phenotypic determinants of inter-individual variability of litter  
351 consumption rate in a detritivore population. *Oikos* 127, 1670–1678
- 352 28 Vøllestad, L.A. *et al.* (2012) Small-scale dispersal and population structure in stream-  
353 living brown trout ( *Salmo trutta* ) inferred by mark–recapture, pedigree reconstruction,  
354 and population genetics. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 69, 1513–1524
- 355 29 Villéger, S. *et al.* (2012) Intra- and interspecific differences in nutrient recycling by  
356 European freshwater fish. *Freshw. Biol.* 57, 2330–2341
- 357 30 Schmitz, O.J. *et al.* (2010) Predator control of ecosystem nutrient dynamics. *Ecol. Lett.*  
358 13, 1199–1209
- 359 31 Bonte, D. *et al.* (2012) Costs of dispersal. *Biol. Rev.* 87, 290–312
- 360 32 Niitepõld, K. *et al.* (2009) Flight metabolic rate and *Pgi* genotype influence butterfly  
361 dispersal rate in the field. *Ecology* 90, 2223–2232
- 362 33 Niitepõld, K. (2019) Effects of flight and food stress on energetics, reproduction, and  
363 lifespan in the butterfly *Melitaea cinxia*. *Oecologia* 191, 271–283
- 364 34 Reale, D. *et al.* (2010) Personality and the emergence of the pace-of-life syndrome  
365 concept at the population level. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* 365, 4051–4063
- 366 35 Hudina, S. *et al.* (2015) Differences in aggressive behaviour along the expanding range of  
367 an invasive crayfish: an important component of invasion dynamics. *Biol. Invasions* 17,  
368 3101–3112
- 369 36 Toscano, B.J. *et al.* (2016) Personality, foraging behavior and specialization: integrating  
370 behavioral and food web ecology at the individual level. *Oecologia* 182, 55–69
- 371 37 Pintor, L.M. *et al.* (2009) Behavioral correlations provide a mechanism for explaining high  
372 invader densities and increased impacts on native prey. *Ecology* 90, 581–587
- 373 38 McCauley, S.J. *et al.* (2010) Foraging rates of larval dragonfly colonists are positively  
374 related to habitat isolation: results from a landscape-level experiment. *Am. Nat.* 175,  
375 E66–E73
- 376 39 Little, C.J. *et al.* (2019) Dispersal syndromes can impact ecosystem functioning in  
377 spatially structured freshwater populations. *Biol. Lett.* 15, 20180865
- 378 40 Cote, J. *et al.* (2017) Non-random dispersal mediates invader impacts on the  
379 invertebrate community. *J. Anim. Ecol.* 86, 1298–1307
- 380 41 Karpestam, E. and Forsman, A. (2013) Stable isotopes reveal dietary divergence between  
381 dispersal phenotypes in *Tetrix subulata* pygmy grasshoppers (Orthoptera: Tetrigidae).  
382 *Eur. J. Entomol.* 110, 65–70
- 383 42 Schmid, D.W. *et al.* (2019) Rapid divergence of predator functional traits affects prey  
384 composition in aquatic communities. *Am. Nat.* 193, 331–345



385 43 Bassar, R.D. *et al.* (2010) Local adaptation in Trinidadian guppies alters ecosystem  
386 processes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 107, 3616–3621

387 44 Evangelista, C. *et al.* (2017) Resource composition mediates the effects of intraspecific  
388 variability in nutrient recycling on ecosystem processes. *Oikos* 126, 1439–1450

389 45 Clobert, J. *et al.* (2001) *Dispersal*, Oxford University Press.

390 46 Shima, J.S. *et al.* (2015) Consequences of variable larval dispersal pathways and resulting  
391 phenotypic mixtures to the dynamics of marine metapopulations. *Biol. Lett.* 11,  
392 20140778

393 47 Zera, A.J. *et al.* (1994) Lipid, carbohydrate and nitrogen content of long- and short-  
394 winged *Gryllus firmus*: Implications for the physiological cost of flight capability. *J. Insect*  
395 *Physiol.* 40, 1037–1044

396 48 Gillooly, J.F. *et al.* (2005) The metabolic basis of whole-organism RNA and phosphorus  
397 content. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 102, 11923–11927

398 49 Jeyasingh, P.D. and Weider, L.J. (2007) Fundamental links between genes and elements:  
399 evolutionary implications of ecological stoichiometry. *Mol. Ecol.* 16, 4649–4661

400 50 Gounand, I. *et al.* (2018) Meta-ecosystems 2.0: rooting the theory into the field. *Trends*  
401 *Ecol. Evol.* 33, 36–46

402 51 Thompson, P.L. *et al.* (2020) A process-based metacommunity framework linking local  
403 and regional scale community ecology. *Ecol. Lett.* 23, 1314–1329

404 52 Gounand, I. *et al.* (2014) The Paradox of Enrichment in Metaecosystems. *Am. Nat.* 184,  
405 752–763

406 53 Messenger, M.L. and Olden, J.D. (2019) Phenotypic variability of rusty crayfish (*Faxonius*  
407 *rusticus*) at the leading edge of its riverine invasion. *Freshw. Biol.* 64, 1196–1209

408 54 Legrand, D. *et al.* (2015) Ranking the ecological causes of dispersal in a butterfly.  
409 *Ecography* 38, 822–831

410 55 Duckworth, R.A. and Badyaev, A.V. (2007) Coupling of dispersal and aggression facilitates  
411 the rapid range expansion of a passerine bird. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 104, 15017–15022

412 56 Cadotte, M.W. *et al.* (2006) On testing the competition-colonization trade-off in a  
413 multispecies assemblage. *Am. Nat.* 168, 704–709

414 57 Urban, M.C. and Skelly, D.K. (2006) Evolving metacommunities: toward an evolutionary  
415 perspective on metacommunities. *Ecology* 87, 1616–1626

416 58 Edelaar, P. *et al.* (2008) Matching habitat choice causes directed gene flow: a neglected  
417 dimension in evolution and ecology. *Evolution* 62, 2462–2472

418 59 Whitham, T.G. *et al.* (2006) A framework for community and ecosystem genetics: from  
419 genes to ecosystems. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 7, 510–523

420 60 Gravel, D. *et al.* (2016) Stability and complexity in model meta-ecosystems. *Nat.*  
421 *Commun.* 7, 12457

422 61 Berggren, H. *et al.* (2012) Spatial sorting may explain evolutionary dynamics of wing  
423 polymorphism in pygmy grasshoppers. *J. Evol. Biol.* 25, 2126–2138

424 62 Cucherousset, J. *et al.* (2007) Stable isotope evidence of trophic interactions between  
425 introduced brook trout *Salvelinus fontinalis* and native brown trout *Salmo trutta* in a  
426 mountain stream of south-west France. *J. Fish Biol.* 71, 210–223

427 63 Bolnick, D.I. *et al.* (2009) Phenotype-dependent native habitat preference facilitates  
428 divergence between parapatric lake and stream stickleback. *Evolution* 63, 2004–2016

429 64 Matthews, B. *et al.* (2016) Experimental evidence of an eco-evolutionary feedback  
430 during adaptive divergence. *Curr. Biol.* 26, 483–489

- 65 Dingemanse, N.J. *et al.* (2003) Natal dispersal and personalities in great tits ( *Parus major* ). *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.* 270, 741–747
- 66 Dingemanse, N.J. and de Goede, P. (2004) The relation between dominance and exploratory behavior is context-dependent in wild great tits. *Behav. Ecol.* 15, 1023–1030
- 67 Ebenhard, T. (1987) High activity in bank voles in relation to colonization ability. *Oikos* 49, 297
- 68 Zera, A.J. and Zhao, Z. (2006) Intermediary metabolism and life-history trade-offs: differential metabolism of amino acids underlies the dispersal-reproduction trade-off in a wing-polymorphic cricket. *Am. Nat.* 167, 889–900
- 69 De Fraipont, M. *et al.* (2000) Increased pre-natal maternal corticosterone promotes philopatry of offspring in common lizards *Lacerta vivipara*. *J. Anim. Ecol.* 69, 404–413
- 70 Meylan, S. *et al.* (2002) Stress and body condition as prenatal and postnatal determinants of dispersal in the common lizard (*Lacerta vivipara*). *Horm. Behav.* 42, 319–326
- 71 Cote, J. *et al.* (2006) Experimental enhancement of corticosterone levels positively affects subsequent male survival. *Horm. Behav.* 49, 320–327
- 72 Cote, J. *et al.* (2010) Food deprivation modifies corticosterone-dependent behavioural shifts in the common lizard. *Gen. Comp. Endocrinol.* 166, 142–151
- 73 Shine, R. *et al.* (2011) An evolutionary process that assembles phenotypes through space rather than through time. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 108, 5708–5711
- 74 Jacob, S. and Legrand, D. (2021) Phenotypic plasticity can reverse the relative extent of intra- and interspecific variability across a thermal gradient. *Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* 288, 20210428

455 **Glossary**

456 **Dispersal:** Any movement of individuals from one population to another that can potentially lead to gene  
457 flow. Dispersal must not be confounded with migration, i.e., a back-and-forth movement (often seasonal  
458 but not only) between a reproduction site and a site where other parts of the life cycle are spent.

459 **Dispersal syndrome:** Suite of phenotypic traits correlated with dispersal (i.e., differentiating dispersers  
460 from residents or along dispersal rate or distance).

461 **Ecosystem functioning:** Set of processes (or functions) describing changes in energy and matter dynamics  
462 due to biological, physical or geochemical factors.

463 **Functional effect trait:** Individual characteristics affecting community or ecosystem processes (also  
464 referred to as functional traits in the text). Can be differentiated from functional response traits allowing  
465 organisms to cope with environmental variability.

466 **Individual trait variability** (= intraspecific trait variability): Variability of trophic, physiological,  
467 behavioral or morphological features displayed by individuals within a species.

468 **Meta-community:** Set of local communities linked by the dispersal of organisms. Multiple theoretical  
469 meta-communities have been studied, such as food web meta-communities or competitive meta-  
470 communities.


471 **Meta-ecosystem:** Set of local ecosystems connected by flows of energy, matter, and organisms.

472 **Nutrient-mediated effects:** Modification of the dynamics of nutrient elements independently of  
473 consumptive effects, for instance through excretion of nitrogen, phosphorus, or carbon.

474 **Trait-based ecology:** Study of the interactions between organisms' phenotype and their environment.

475 **Trophic interactions:** Interactions linked to the feeding habits and the consumption of organisms and  
476 describing vertical matter fluxes.

477



**Figure 1. Overview of the potential linkage between dispersal syndromes and meta-ecosystem functioning through covariations with functional effect traits.** (a) Dispersal syndromes consist of dispersers (white circles) with different phenotypes than residents (black circles), potentially leading to a spatial heterogeneity in the distribution of phenotypic traits. Grey arrows represent abiotic fluxes (nutrients and material) which may interact with dispersal in shaping meta-ecosystem functioning. (b) Dispersal syndromes can involve multiple phenotypic traits, such as morphology (e.g., leg size) or physiology (e.g., hormones levels). These traits are known to be linked to key functional effect traits important for ecosystem functions such as consumption rate, nitrogen excretion, and nutrient recycling. The figure illustrates an example where dispersers are more active explorers and consume more resource than residents, but other relationships can exist (Table 1). (c) Dispersal syndromes can therefore make local ecosystems differ in key properties such as biomass production,

491 consumption rate, and nutrient recycling, hence increasing spatial heterogeneity of meta-  
492 ecosystem functioning.  
493  
494

**Table 1. Traits involved in dispersal syndromes are often linked with functional traits of key importance for ecosystem dynamics.** We draw a non-exhaustive list of empirical examples where a trait in a given species is involved in dispersal syndromes and where the same trait (or dispersal directly) is linked to a functional effect trait, therefore potentially sustaining dispersal syndrome effects on meta-ecosystems. Positive and negative correlations are denoted by a (-) and a (+), respectively.

Trait category	Species	Dispersal-related traits	Functional effect traits	Expected relationship between dispersal and functional effect trait
Morphology	Pygmy grasshopper ( <i>Tetrix subulate</i> , arthropod)	Wing length (+) [17,61]	Diet (+) [41]	Dispersal is positively correlated with trophic position
	Brown trout ( <i>Salmo trutta</i> , fish)	Body length (-) [28]	Diet/ Excretion rate (+) [62][29]	Dispersal is negatively correlated with N-excretion rate and trophic position
	Threespine stickleback ( <i>Gasterosteus aculeatus</i> , fish)	Stream morphology (+) [63]	Prey biomass (-) [64]	Dispersal reduces prey biomass
Behaviour	Mosquitofish ( <i>Gambusia affinis</i> , fish)	Sociability (-) [40]	Prey biomass (+) [40]	Dispersal reduces prey density
	Signal crayfish ( <i>Pasifastacus lenisculus</i> , arthropod)	Aggressiveness (-) [35]	Food consumption (+) [37]	Dispersal is negatively correlated with prey consumption
	Great tit ( <i>Parus major</i> , bird)	Exploration (+) [65]	Competitive dominance (+) [66]	Dispersal is positively correlated with competitiveness
	Bank vole ( <i>Myodes glareolus</i> , mammal)	Activity (+) [67]	Food consumption (+) [67]	Dispersal is positively correlated with consumption rate
	Dragonfly ( <i>Libellula spp.</i> , arthropod)	Colonization distance (+) [38]	Food consumption (+) [38]	Dispersal is positively correlated with consumption rate
Physiology	Sand field cricket ( <i>Gryllus firmus</i> , arthropod)	Lipid and amino acid metabolism (+) [17,68]	Nutrient content (+) [47]	Dispersal is positively correlated with lipid and triglyceride content
	Common lizard ( <i>Lacerta vivipara</i> , squamate)	Corticosterone (-) [69,70]	Food consumption (+) [71,72]	Dispersal is negatively correlated with food intake
	Glanville fritillary ( <i>Melitaea cinxia</i> , arthropod)	Metabolic rate (+) [32]	Food consumption (+) [33]	Dispersal is positively correlated with food intake
Life history	Common triplefin ( <i>Forsterygion lapillum</i> , fish)	Growth rate (-) [46]	Nutrient content (-) [46]	Dispersal is negatively correlated with lipid concentration

**Box 1. Processes generating dispersal syndromes and their consequences for meta-ecosystems.**

Dispersal is part of large association among suites of phenotypic traits (e.g., pace-of-life framework [34]) whose respective evolution can greatly influence each other. Multiple processes can explain these covariations between phenotype and dispersal [14,19,22], which may generate variability of dispersal syndromes within and between species. First, trade-offs may result in negative correlations between dispersal and other traits, as when allocation of time and energy to dispersal limits investment in other biological functions (e.g., foraging, immunity). Second, syndromes can emerge from structural links between dispersal and other traits, including gene pleiotropy or allometric scaling. Finally, dispersal syndromes can result from selection, when the environment favors both dispersal and other traits (co-selection) or when selection on dispersal affects the evolution of other traits or the reverse (joint-selection). In addition, both dispersal and related phenotypic traits can be determined by both genetic and environmental factors [19], which can generate different levels of spatial and temporal variability in dispersal syndromes. The level of heritability of traits involved in dispersal syndromes can modify the strength, direction, and variance of correlations between traits and dispersal across generations. Furthermore, plasticity in dispersal and related traits along environmental conditions can result in important and rapid changes in traits and their covariations, potentially generating high variability in dispersal syndromes.


Depending on the relative importance of processes generating dispersal syndromes and their variation across time and space, the extent of intraspecific variation and direction of correlations between dispersal and functional traits might change among localities. Investigating how much dispersal syndromes vary among localities is thus crucial to better understand their importance for example on meta-population dynamics [21], species range distribution [73], or the diversity and stability of meta-ecosystems [60]. For instance, landscape fragmentation usually increases dispersal costs and might exacerbate dispersal syndromes that result from trade-offs [19], ultimately potentially increasing the consequences for the spatial heterogeneity of meta-ecosystems. Furthermore, environmental changes can generate different levels of intra- and interspecific variability of dispersal syndromes when dispersal and related traits are plastic [74]. We might expect the resulting variability of dispersal syndromes to determine the strength and type of species interactions, thereby modifying patterns of diversity within communities and potentially meta-ecosystem stability and resilience. Identifying which mechanisms underlie variability of dispersal syndromes is thus one of the key challenges to better understand their effects on meta-ecosystem functioning.

503

504

**Box 2. A mathematical illustration of the potential effects of dispersal syndromes on a key component of meta-ecosystems: consumption rate.**

We investigated the consequences of dispersal for the spatial variability of consumption rate, a key component of meta-ecosystems, when dispersal is correlated positively or negatively to this functional trait, as found in many species (see for instance Table 1). To do so, we constructed a meta-population model with dispersal of a consumer in a landscape consisting of a 10-habitat patch network. Local environmental conditions varied randomly and temporally, leading to random local extinctions. We drew multiple scenarios involving dispersal syndromes (see Supplementary information). In a scenario of absence of a dispersal syndrome (i.e., residents and dispersers display equal consumption rates; yellow lines), increasing dispersal rate is expected to increase total resource consumption (left panel), and decrease its spatial heterogeneity (non-linearly; right panel) in meta-ecosystems. We then considered dispersal syndromes, with dispersers showing either higher (competitive advantage) or lower (competition-colonization trade-off) consumption rate compared to residents, while setting the mean consumption rate constant across different dispersal probabilities. In the case of a positive association of consumption rate and dispersal (blue lines), we observed a slight increase in total resource consumption at intermediate dispersal rates (Figure 1a), resulting from increased abundance of the disperser phenotype following recolonization of extinct patches. Although the effects on resource consumption at the landscape scale (left panel) are weak, they create an important spatial heterogeneity of resource consumption in the meta-ecosystem (Figure 1b). While increasing dispersal always has a homogenizing effect above a certain threshold, the inclusion of a dispersal syndrome where dispersers are weaker consumers than residents (red curve) could strongly moderate this effect resulting in higher heterogeneity than previously predicted. On the contrary, higher consumption in dispersers (blue curve) results in a decrease of spatial heterogeneity of ecosystem function and alleviates the effect of dispersal rate. The integration of abiotic nutrient fluxes will be an important next step since they may affect species persistence and interactions. Therefore, further studies should investigate whether abiotic fluxes interact with or blur the effects of dispersal syndromes on local biomass and nutrient recycling. This simple model highlights the potential for dispersal syndromes to significantly affect the spatial variability in ecosystem function, with direction of effects that depends on the type of dispersal syndrome considered, pointing out the potential for further investigation of the integration of dispersal syndromes in meta-ecosystem theory.



**Figure 1.** Hypothetical examples of consequences of dispersal syndromes for resource consumption in the meta-ecosystem, concerning both its average value (a) and its spatial heterogeneity of resource consumption (b).



505 **Outstanding questions.**

506 Can landscape configuration and anthropogenic modifications of landscapes (e.g.  
507 fragmentation) mediate dispersal syndromes effects on meta-ecosystems? How do different  
508 regimes of spatial and temporal variability in environmental conditions affect dispersal  
509 syndromes and their ecosystem consequences?

510 Marine, freshwater and terrestrial ecosystems often differ in their environmental variability  
511 and constraints on dispersal movements. Do the evolution of dispersal syndromes and  
512 consequences for meta-ecosystems differ across biomes?

513 In what ways do the mechanisms underlying dispersal syndromes (plastic or genetic)  
514 determine the nature and timing of meta-ecosystem consequences? Do meta-ecosystem  
515 dynamics affect in turn the evolution of dispersal syndromes? Do eco-evolutionary feedbacks  
516 between dispersal syndromes evolution and ecosystem dynamics exist and how do they drive  
517 long-term dynamics of ecosystem functioning?

518 How do differences of mechanisms underlying syndromes and the relative importance of  
519 intra- and inter-specific variability affect meta-ecosystem dynamics? How to integrate such  
520 variability within and among species in meta-ecosystem theoretical framework?

521 Invasion dynamics and range expansions of populations are currently occurring worldwide.  
522 Does integration of dispersal syndromes in these theories help predict future impacts on  
523 ecosystems?

524 How to decipher the effects of population density from that of phenotypic differentiation in  
525 natural systems?

526 May dispersal syndromes change qualitatively or quantitatively the effects of dispersal for  
527 spatial and temporal stability (including resilience and resistance) of meta-ecosystems?

528 What are the species characteristics (trophic level, functional role, passive or active dispersal,  
529 dispersal distance) that make dispersal syndrome more or less important at the meta-  
530 community and meta-ecosystem scales?

531

## Supplementary information

### Model description (Box 2)

We model a meta-population consisting of  $M = 10$  identical patches. Each patch can maintain a population of  $K = 100$  individuals. The populations are composed of two types of individuals, residents and dispersers, which can differ in their resource consumption. In the case of a positive (negative) dispersal syndrome, dispersers consume more (less) resource than residents, while in the absence of a dispersal syndrome, dispersers and residents consume the same amount of resource.

We subject this meta-population to a random sequence of local extinctions, with  $p_E = 0.2$  the extinction probability per patch and per generation. We study the response of the meta-population to these perturbations, in particular the spatial (and temporal) variation of resource consumption per patch. We are interested in how these quantities depend on the dispersal probability  $p_D$  (i.e., the fraction of dispersers) and on the type of dispersal syndrome (no syndrome, positive and negative).

When varying dispersal probability  $p_D$ , we keep constant the mean resource consumption per individual. That is, denoting by  $c_D$  and  $c_R$  the resource consumption of a disperser and a resident, respectively, we keep  $p_D c_D + (1 - p_D) c_R = 1$  when varying  $p_D$ . The type of dispersal syndrome determines the ratio  $c_D / c_R$  (1, 5 or 1/5 for no, positive and negative syndrome, respectively), so that we get

$$c_D = c_R = 1 \quad \text{for no dispersal syndrome}$$

$$c_D = 5 c_R = \frac{1}{p_D + \frac{1-p_D}{5}} \quad \text{for a positive dispersal syndrome}$$

$$c_D = \frac{1}{5} c_R = \frac{1}{p_D + 5(1-p_D)} \quad \text{for a negative dispersal syndrome}$$

Then, for a set of parameter values  $p_D$ ,  $c_D$  and  $c_R$ , the simulations proceed as follows:

Initially all patches are occupied by  $K/2 = 50$  individuals.

1. Some of the patches become extinct. For each patch an extinction occurs with probability  $p_E = 0.2$ . This means that a patch undergoes extinction on average every five generations.
2. For each individual the dispersal phenotype is determined with probability  $p_D$  a disperser, or else a resident. Then the dispersers redistribute over the meta-population. The dispersal process is assumed to be spatially uniform: for each disperser its new patch is randomly drawn from the set of  $M = 10$  patches.
3. Individuals reproduce in a density-dependent way. For each parent the number of off- spring is Poisson distributed with mean  $\frac{2K}{K+N}$ , where  $N$  is the number of individuals (both dispersers and residents) in the patch. After reproduction the parent individuals die. Hence, the new number of individuals in the patch is on average  $N \frac{2K}{K+N}$ . This formula implies that the population is expected to double for a small population ( $N \ll K$ ) and to remain constant for a population close to carrying capacity  $K = 100$ , as it should.

After this last step the simulation continues at step 1 for the next generation.

The resource consumption of an individual is assumed to be proportional to its number of offspring. Hence, denoting by  $N_D$  and  $N_R$  the number of offspring produced in a patch by dispersers and residents, respectively, the total resource consumption in that patch is given by  $c_D N_D + c_R N_R$ . Note that resource consumption does not affect the meta-population dynamics, so that the same simulations can be used to study different combinations  $c_D$  and  $c_R$  (i.e., different types of dispersal syndrome).

In each simulation we monitor the resource consumption during 1000 generations.

Using these monitored values ( $M = 10$  patches  $\times$  1000 generations), we compute the (spatio-

578 temporal) mean resource consumption per patch and the (temporal) mean standard deviation  
579 of resource consumption between patches. We obtain similar results when considering the  
580 temporal rather than spatial variation of resource consumption. We repeat this procedure 10  
581 times for each value of dispersal probability  $p_D$  ranging from 0 to 1 in steps of 0.05. The  
582 figure of Box 2 shows results averaged over the 10 replicates.  
583