

Improving the Spatial Distribution of Snow Cover Simulations by Assimilation of Satellite Stereoscopic Imagery

César Deschamps-Berger, B. Cluzet, M. Dumont, M. Lafaysse, E. Berthier, P. Fanise, S. Gascoin

▶ To cite this version:

César Deschamps-Berger, B. Cluzet, M. Dumont, M. Lafaysse, E. Berthier, et al.. Improving the Spatial Distribution of Snow Cover Simulations by Assimilation of Satellite Stereoscopic Imagery. Water Resources Research, 2022, 58 (3), 10.1029/2021WR030271. hal-03602822

HAL Id: hal-03602822 https://hal.science/hal-03602822v1

Submitted on 9 Mar 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Increasing the gratial distribution of gran second simulations by		
2 3	assimilation of satellite stereoscopic imagery		
4 5 6 7	Deschamps-Berger C. ^{1,2} , Cluzet B. ¹ , Dumont M. ¹ , Lafaysse M. ¹ , Berthier E. ³ , Fanise P. ² , Gascoin S. ²		
8 9	¹ Université Grenoble Alpes, Université de Toulouse, Météo-France, Grenoble, France, CNRS, CNRM, Centre d'Etudes de la Neige, 38000 Grenoble, France.		
10 11	² Centre d'Etudes Spatiales de la Biosphère, CESBIO, Univ. Toulouse, CNES/CNRS/INRA/IRD/UPS, 31401 Toulouse, France.		
12	³ Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS-LEGOS), 31400 Toulouse, France.		
13	Corresponding author: César Deschamps-Berger (cesar.deschamps-berger@protonmail.com)		
14	Key Points:		
15	• Assimilation of one satellite snow depth map corrects bias in precipitation and improves		
16	the modeled spatial variability of the snow depth		
17	• In the case of a large bias in precipitation, assimilation of a single snow depth map can		
18	modify incidentally the way the SWE and snowpack bulk density are modeled		
19	• This approach, which combines satellite data for assimilation and validation, could be		
20	transferred to unmonitored basins		
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
29			
30			
31			
32			
33			

34 Abstract

Moutain snow cover is highly variable both spatially and temporally and has a tremendous 35 impact on ecosystems and human activities. Numerical models provide continuous estimates of 36 the variability of snow cover properties in time and space. However, they suffer from large 37 uncertainties, for instance originating from errors in the meteorological inputs. Here, we show 38 that the snow depth variability at 250 m spatial resolution can be well simulated by assimilating 39 snow depth maps from satellite photogrammetry in a detailed snowpack model. The assimilation 40 of a single snow depth map per snow season using a particle filter is sufficient to improve the 41 simulated snow depth and its spatial variability, originally poorly represented due to missing 42 physical processes and errors in the precipitation inputs. Assimilation of snow depth only is 43 nevertheless not sufficient for both compensating for strong bias in precipitation and for 44 selecting the most appropriate representation of the physical processes in the snow model. 45 Combined assimilation of snow depths maps and other snow observations is thus a promising 46 47 avenue for accurate simulations of mountain snow cover.

48

49 **Plain language summary**

50 Snow in mountains is critical as it controls water availability for ecosystems and human societies, when it is most needed. In the mountains the snow depth is both hard to map due to its 51 52 spatial variability and crucial to estimate water resources. Nowadays, the best estimations of the snow depth distribution combine models and spatially distributed snow depth measurements. In 53 this work, we build upon this approach by combining a recently developed snow depth mapping 54 method with a state-of-the-art model through assimilation. The assimilation of snow depth maps 55 derived from satellite photogrammetry corrects bias in the precipitation and improves the spatial 56 variability of the simulated snow depth. The workflow presented can be transferred to any 57 mountain range, showing a promising way to study water resources in remote areas. 58

59

60 1 Introduction

The seasonal snowpack in mountain regions controls the seasonality of streamflow, vegetation growth, soil and river temperature (Bard et al., 2015; Choler, 2018; Dedieu et al., 2016; Luce et al., 2014). Accurate knowledge of the spatial distribution of the snowpack properties is necessary to describe the timing and extent of these effects (Freudiger et al., 2017; Hedrick et al., 2018;

Margulis et al., 2019; Revuelto et al., 2016). However, a major challenge is the lack of a direct 65 method to estimate the spatial distribution of snow water equivalent (SWE) in mountain regions 66 (Dozier et al., 2016). Modeling is often used but is limited by the availability of accurate gridded 67 meteorological forcing (Raleigh et al., 2015). The spatial interpolation of meteorological 68 variables (e.g., air temperature, precipitation quantity and phase, and wind) is hampered by the 69 absence of meteorological stations at high elevations (Rasmussen et al., 2012) and by the high 70 spatial variability of meteorological processes in mountain environments due to the complex 71 topography (Barry, 2008). Snowpack models are also prone to errors due to uncertainties in 72 parameter values, the form of parameterizations and the lack of some physical processes 73 (Ménard et al., 2021). Assimilation of gridded data in a snowpack model is key to overcoming 74 these challenges (Girotto et al., 2020; Largeron et al., 2020). It enables taking advantage of the 75 76 profusion of remotely sensed data, especially satellite data, and improving model outputs by a 77 balanced combination of model states and observations as a function of their estimated 78 uncertainties. Maps of the snow cover area (SCA) are widely available and therefore have been predominantly assimilated to improve the simulation of SWE and snowmelt runoff (e.g., 79 Andreadis & Lettenmaier, 2006; Rodell & Houser, 2004; Thirel et al., 2011; Margulis et al., 80 81 2015; Baba et al., 2018). Other gridded products were assimilated, such as SWE maps derived from passive microwave images (Andreadis & Lettenmaier, 2006) or surface reflectance maps 82 (Dumont et al., 2012). But the SCA is only indirectly related to SWE and the low spatial 83 resolution of passive microwave images is limiting, fostering the need for assimilation of other 84 types of data. 85

86 HS is a key snowpack variable for hydrological applications, as it can be combined with bulk density to obtain the SWE. Recently, the development of new methods to retrieve snow depth 87 (height of snow, HS) based on lidar or photogrammetric measurements from airborne, drone or 88 89 satellite platforms has presented new opportunities to better constrain snowpack models. Vögeli et al. (2016) and Brauchli et al. (2017) used an HS map to distribute solid precipitation in a Swiss 90 91 Alps catchment. They corrected an initial field of precipitation with a multiplicative factor per point based on the ratio of the HS at the point and the average HS of the area. The HS was 92 extracted from a single map measured close to the date of peak SWE by airborne 93 photogrammetry. This computationally simple method increased the spatial variability of the 94 simulated HS and improved the simulated discharge of the basin. Another simple method to 95

benefit from HS maps is the direct insertion of the HS map in the model. Revuelto et al. (2016) 96 showed that direct insertion of HS maps derived from terrestrial laser scans improved the spatial 97 distribution of modeled HS and the timing of snow melt in a Spanish Pyrenees catchment. 98 However, the area covered with terrestrial laser scans is at best a few square kilometers and was 99 less than 1 km² in that study (Deems et al., 2013). Shaw et al., (2020) used an HS map derived 100 from satellite stereo-imagery to initialize a hydrological model of a 102 km² high-Andean 101 catchment. This improved the simulation of the runoff compared with initialization with a 102 modeled HS map. Lidar measurements of the Airborne Snow Observatory (ASO, Painter et al., 103 2015) campaigns enabled experiments of direct insertion of HS maps on a larger scale in a 104 mountainous environment (>1000 km²) (Hedrick et al., 2018). Insertion of a dozen HS maps 105 from approximately 1st April and throughout the melt season improved the spatial distribution of 106 107 modeled HS in several catchments in the western USA (Hedrick et al., 2018). However, the 108 direct insertion of any observed variable can lead to an unrealistic state of the snowpack variables that are not observed (e.g., density and temperature), resulting in a rapid loss of its 109 added value (Viallon-galinier et al., 2020). In addition, grid cells where the model predicts no 110 snow, although the observation indicates that snow is present, require the estimation of the 111 112 vertical profile of all snow physical properties (e.g. density, temperature). More generally, direct insertion assumes that observations are perfect, which is unrealistic. To avoid this assumption, 113 114 other methods consider and balance the uncertainties of the observation and of the model. For instance, the particle batch smoother method is applied to ensemble simulations by weighting the 115 ensemble members (the particles) based on their distance to the observation. With the same data 116 as Hedrick et al. (2018), this approach improved the HS spatial distribution up to 75 days after 117 assimilation of a single HS map (Margulis et al., 2019). The particle filter method is similar to 118 the particle batch smoother but can easily be combined with a forecast system. It is well adapted 119 to nonlinear detailed snow models with variable numbers of layers (Cluzet et al., 2021). A 120 particle filter assimilation scheme has been used for point simulations of snowpack either with 121 synthetic (Charrois et al., 2016; Cluzet et al., 2021) or real data (Magnusson et al., 2017; Smyth 122 et al., 2019; Smyth et al., 2020) but, to our knowledge, has never been used with gridded data. 123

These studies are promising and show how HS maps can improve the simulation of the spatial distribution of snowpack properties. Except for Shaw et al. (2020), these studies relied on highly accurate airborne and terrestrial measurements with standard errors smaller than 0.2 m. This

level of accuracy can only be reached by ground, drone or airborne measurements, which require 127 direct access to, or close to, the field. However, the assimilation of data artificially degraded to 128 lower accuracy still improved point simulation of the snowpack (Smyth et al., 2020). Very high-129 resolution stereoscopic satellites such as Pléiades or Worldview provide HS maps with a lower 130 accuracy (~0.7 m) than ground, drone or airborne methods but with less logistical constraints and 131 less cost for the end user (Marti et al., 2016; Shaw et al., 2020; Deschamps-Berger et al., 2020; 132 Eberhard et al., 2021). With this method, HS maps are calculated by differencing two digital 133 elevation models derived from stereoscopic images with and without snow. The typical footprint 134 of a single image (20 km x 20 km for Pléiades) is larger than ground and drone products but 135 smaller than airborne products. Pairs or triplets of stereoscopic images are acquired on-demand 136 contrary to optical satellites with a fixed revisit time (e.g. MODIS, Landsat, Sentinel). 137 Multiannual time series of HS maps from satellite photogrammetry have never been used to date 138 139 for assimilation in a snowpack model.

In this work, we investigate if satellite photogrammetric HS maps can be used to improve snow 140 cover simulations. To this aim, we ran ensemble simulations of the SAFRAN-Crocus snowpack 141 modeling chain (Vernay et al., 2021) in a pilot catchment in the Pyrenees Mountains (France) for 142 143 five hydrological years at a 250 m spatial resolution. Several processes directly influence the HS such as the amount of precipitation, the density of the fresh snow and the compaction of the 144 145 snowpack. The uncertainties of these processes are accounted for by using an ensemble of meteorological forcings (e.g. uncertainty of the precipitation) and a multiphysical model (e.g. 146 density of the fresh snow, compaction). A multiphysical model is an ensemble modelling 147 framework in which ensemble members are calculated with different physical parameterizations 148 of most uncertain processes represented in the model (Essery et al., 2013; Lafaysse et al., 2017). 149 We calculated a multiannual time series of six HS maps from stereoscopic images from the 150 Pléiades satellites and assimilated one HS map per year with a particle filter scheme (Cluzet et 151 al., 2021). 152

153 Therefore, we tackle two main questions:

Is the accuracy of satellite photogrammetric HS maps sufficient to improve the spatial
 distribution of the HS in a detailed model?

156 2. In case of improvement, does assimilation of the HS correct errors in the meteorological157 forcings, in the physical parameterizations of the model, or both?

The impact of assimilation was evaluated with various independent data: a Pléiades HS map not assimilated, Sentinel-2 and Landsat 8 snow melt-out date (SMOD), MODIS SCA and in situ HS from an automatic meteorological station (Question 1). The impact of the assimilation is also evaluated by comparing the meteorological forcings and the physical parameterization associated with the ensemble members selected by the filter (Question 2).

163

164 2 Study area

The study site is a 100 km² mountainous area in the Upper Vicdessos Valley in the Pyrenees 165 (Figures 1 and 2), where elevation ranges between 1000 m a.s.l. and 2700 m a.s.l. (Szczypta et 166 al., 2015; Marti et al., 2016). The vegetation is subalpine pine and beech forest and alpine 167 grassland above the tree line at approximately 1800 m a.s.l. (Figure 3 of Vacquie et al., 2016). 168 Small portions of the area contain human infrastructures with a small city (Auzat, <0.5 km²) and 169 a few roads. Ponds and two man-made reservoirs for hydropower production cover 170 approximately 0.3 km². Seasonal snowpack typically sets in November-December and melts 171 between April and June. The study period encompasses five water years (1 September to 31 172 August, WY) from WY 2014-2015 to WY 2018-2019. This period provides various snow 173 conditions as shown by the winter precipitation of the nearby automatic weather statin (AWS) 174 between 2000 and 2019. WY 2018-2019 and WY 2016-2017 had among the lowest winter 175 precipitation (rank number 19 and 17 respectively) while WY 2017-2018 had high winter 176 precipitation (rank number 3). WY 2014-2015 and WY 2015-2016 were close to the median 177 winter precipitation with rank 7 and 11. 178

manuscript in revision to Water Resources Research



181 **Figure 1.** The simulation area in the Upper Vicdessos valley, Pyrenees. The coloured map shows

- the surface elevation at the resolution of the simulation grid (250 m). The background image is a
- 183 hillshade view of the topography at high-resolution (3 m).
- 184



- 185
- 186 Figure 2. Photographs taken from Col de la Serrette near the geographic center of the study area
- 187 (left: 26 October 2014, right: 11 March 2015).
- 188
- 189 **3 Data and methods**
- 190 3.1. Model and assimilation scheme

The Crocus model simulates snowpack as a stack of 3 to 50 snow layers that exchange mass and 191 energy between them and at their upper and lower boundaries. Here, the model was used in a 192 distributed geometry in a regular grid (Revuelto et al., 2018). There was no energy or mass 193 transfer between the points, which indicates that wind drift, horizontal heat transfer and 194 avalanches were not modeled. However, shading due to topography was considered in the 195 incident shortwave radiation. We used the multiphysics version ESCROC-E1, which is an 196 ensemble of 575 sets of parameterizations of eight physical processes implemented in the model 197 (Lafaysse et al., 2017). The parameterization of the density of the new snow and of the 198 compaction rate are expected to have the largest impact on HS. As in Cluzet et al. (2021), a set of 199 120 parameterizations was randomly drawn once and used for all WY and experiments. 200

The density and mass of each layer are prognostic variables in the model. Thus, assimilating HS 201 can result in modifying the SWE, the density or both. The SMOD was defined as the end of the 202 longest period with continuous snow cover. At each time step and for each grid point, the 203 modeled median HS map was converted to an SCA map with a threshold determining the 204 presence or lack of snow. The optimum HS threshold was chosen among six values in the 0.02 205 m-0.50 m range so that it minimizes the mean and standard deviation of the SMOD residual 206 (SMOD Crocus minus SMOD Sentinel-2/Landsat 8). Based on these criteria, the optimal 207 threshold was 0.20 m (Figure S1), which is close to the threshold of 0.15 m that maximizes the 208 agreement between MODIS SCA (500 m resolution) and in situ HS in the Pyrenees (Gascoin et 209 al., 2015). 210

The snowpack was simulated over five WY (WY 2014-2015 to WY 2018-2019) on a regular 211 grid of points separated by 250 m with a 15 min time step (Figure 1). An ensemble of 120 212 simulations was obtained by stochastic perturbations of the SAFRAN reanalysis and the use of 213 different physical parameterizations of the Crocus model (Lafaysse et al., 2017) (Figure 3). Once 214 per hydrological year, an HS map was assimilated with the particle filter of the data assimilation 215 scheme CrocO (Cluzet et al., 2021). The filter was applied independently to each grid point 216 where observations were available using only the observation at that grid point, following the 217 *rlocal* approach (Cluzet et al., 2021). The particle filter works in two steps. First, the ensemble 218 members (i.e. particles) are assigned a weight which is proportional to their distance to the 219 observation and is relative to the observation error. The observation error is assumed to be 220

normally distributed with a defined standard deviation. Then, the particles are resampled (i.e. eliminated or duplicated) based on their weight following Kitagawa (1996). Each particle results from a meteorological forcing and a parameterization of the model. The particle filter only resamples state vectors and has no influence on the forcing-model couples before or after the assimilation. Nevertheless, we compare the meteorological forcings and the model parameterizations associated with the particles selected by the filter (i.e. with assimilation) with the one of the ensemble without assimilation.

228

3.2. Simulation grid and boundary conditions

The topography of the simulation grid was the snow-free digital elevation model (DEM) 230 231 calculated from the October 2014 Pléiades images. It was aggregated from its initial horizontal resolution (3 m) to the simulation grid resolution (250 m) with an average block filter. The soil 232 and vegetation conditions were extracted at each grid point from the Harmonized World Soil 233 Database (FAO/IIASA/ISRIC/ISS-CAS/JRC, 2012) and Ecoclimap-2 data sets (Faroux et al., 234 2013), respectively. The interaction between snowpack and forest was not accounted for because 235 the implementation of this complex coupling in SURFEX-Crocus is still in progress and not 236 sufficiently mature at the moment (Vincent et al., 2018) and because HS cannot be retrieved in 237 forest with satellite photogrammetry. The soil state (temperature and water/ice content) was 238 initialized with ten iterations of a one-year spin-up simulation with the meteorological conditions 239 of WY 2014-2015. All grid points were snow free on the last day of the spin-up simulations. 240



Figure 3. General setup of the assimilation experiments. Pléiades HS maps (pink box) are assimilated with a particle filter in Crocus ensemble simulation (purple box). The ensemble results from perturbation of the meteorological forcings and different physical parameterizations of the Crocus model (purple boxes left). The assimilation run (green box) is evaluated by comparison with the run without assimilation (grey box) and with independent dataset (blue boxes).

242

250

3.3. Satellite photogrammetric snow depth maps

A time series of seven triplets of stereoscopic images (i.e. three almost synchronous images of 251 the study area from different points of view) was acquired by the Pléiades satellites between 252 October 2014 and March 2019 (Gleyzes et al., 2012) (Table 1). The terrain was snow free in 253 October 2014, which provided a reference snow-off observation. The other acquisitions occurred 254 once every winter in March or April (snow-on) close to the date of peak SWE. During winter 255 2017-2018, two acquisitions were taken in February and May. Each acquisition provided a triplet 256 257 (front, nadir, and back) of panchromatic images at a resolution of 0.5 m and a triplet of multispectral images (red, green, blue, and near-infrared) at a resolution of 2 m. The images were 258 processed using a workflow that calculates a DEM from the panchromatic images and a land-259

cover map from the multispectral images for each acquisition (Deschamps-Berger et al., 2020). 260 The difference between a snow-on and snow-off DEM provided an elevation difference map for 261 each snow-on acquisition. The land-cover map was labeled with the following classes: snow, 262 snow in shade, stable terrain, stable terrain in shade, forest, forest in shade and lakes. The stable 263 terrain is the area where no elevation changes are expected between the acquisitions (i.e., free of 264 snow) and where the elevation is constant in the DEM (i.e., free of forest). The HS map was 265 calculated by taking the elevation difference of snow-covered pixels and setting the HS to zero 266 over stable terrain pixels. The HS maps had an initial horizontal resolution of 3 m and were 267 aggregated using an average block filter of 250 m by 250 m centered on the simulation grid 268 points. HS were set to no-data if more than 90% of the pixels were no-data. This means that 269 more than 10^3 m² of HS are averaged, decreasing the error by a factor of two (Figure 10 in 270 Deschamps-Berger et al. (2020)). No-data pixels correspond to portions of the panchromatic 271 images that were saturated, covered with forest or with clouds. HS maps were also filtered to 272 exclude HS values out of the [-0.5 m; 30 m] range, which can occur due to local artifacts in 273 DEMs in shaded or forested areas in the snow-off DEM. 274

We defined a unique standard error for the measured HS, which is considered in the particle 275 filter. The standard error of the HS at a resolution of 250 m is lower than the standard error at a 276 resolution of 3 m due to the decrease in the random spatially-correlated error. A random error of 277 0.3 m was measured for a 250 m x 250 m averaging area at a mountainous site in California 278 (Figure 10 in Deschamps-Berger et al., 2020). A systematic error of 0.2 m was also typically 279 observed with similar data in several other studies (Table 4 in Deschamps-Berger et al., 2020). 280 281 We added these two error estimates to consider the uncertainty of how error can be transferred from one site to another. Thus, the standard error of the HS at 250 m is 0.5 m. 282

283

284 3.4. Meteorological forcings

The meteorological variables needed as inputs in Crocus are air temperature, solid and liquid precipitation, near-surface specific humidity, direct and diffuse shortwave radiation, longwave radiation, and wind speed. The meteorological forcings were provided by the SAFRAN reanalysis (Vernay et al., 2021) at an hourly resolution. SAFRAN provides meteorological data for different elevation levels at a 300 m resolution within predefined regions of homogeneous climate of approximately 1000 km², the so-called "Couserans massif" in this study. The forcings were interpolated on the simulation grid according to their elevation (Revuelto et al., 2018). Solar radiation was projected according to local slopes and shading effects computed in dedicated routines of the SURFEX platform as in Revuelto et al. (2018).

294 The initial gridded forcing was perturbed stochastically to produce ensembles of 120 forcings. 295 Perturbation of the forcings aims to generate an ensemble of forcings with a dispersion among the forcings matching their uncertainty. The stochastic perturbation was spatially constant and 296 temporally correlated following an updated version of the Charrois et al. (2016) method (see 297 Text S1). Perturbation of each meteorological variable was defined by two parameters, its 298 amplitude and its temporal correlation. All variables were perturbed with the parameters defined 299 in Charrois et al. (2016) at the Col de Porte site (Alps), except for precipitation because we found 300 that the uncertainty of annual cumulative precipitation was severely underestimated by Charrois 301 et al. (2016). Therefore, the temporal correlation of precipitation was increased from 15 hours to 302 1500 hours. This increases the dispersion of the annual cumulative precipitation among the 303 forcings and of the resulting average HS among the simulations in a magnitude more 304 representative of the typical known errors for both variables. In addition, three different sets of 305 forcings were calculated with three spatially and temporally uniform precipitation scaling factors 306 (PSF) to emulate precipitation biases that are typically observed in global reanalyses (Beck et al., 307 2019). The reference experiment was run with a PSF of 1.0 (referred to as PSF-1.0) and was 308 compared with experiments in which precipitation was halved (PSF-0.5) or doubled (PSF-2.0). 309

310

311 3.5. Evaluation products and metrics

First, the impact of assimilation on the modeled snowpack was observed on the HS, SWE, bulk density and runoff by comparison of the simulation with assimilation and the simulation without assimilation. Then, the benefits of assimilation was measured by comparing the modeled HS with the following independent observations:

- for WY 2017-2018 with a Pléiades HS map in May 2018, which was not assimilated

- 317 a time series of HS at the Bernadouze AWS
- a time series of SCA derived from MODIS images
- a time series of SMOD maps calculated from Sentinel-2 and Landsat 8 images.

320 Note that we do not have observations of SWE or runoff on this area.

321 For each variable, X, describing the snowpack (i.e., HS, SWE, density, and runoff), a simulated

322 ensemble was characterized by its mean, the first and third quartiles and its dispersion. These

metrics were calculated for each time step (t) and each point of the grid (i,j). The mean is:

324
$$\overline{X(\iota,j,t)} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \overline{X(\iota,j,t,n)}$$
(1)

325 where N is the size of the ensemble (N=120). The spread of the ensemble is

326
$$\sigma(i,j,t) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left(X(i,j,t,n) - \overline{X(i,j,t)} \right)^2}$$
(2)

The mean is used to make a point-by-point comparison of the simulated ensemble with a reference map (HS and SMOD). It is used to compute the absolute bias between the ensemble and observation at a given point. However, it is not adapted to characterize the complete distribution of the ensemble. The continuous ranked probability score (*CRPS*) is a probabilistic score measuring the distance between the ensemble and observations (Hersbach, 2000). It compares the cumulative distribution function of the ensemble, *Fens*, with the observation, *Fobs*:

333
$$CRPS(i,j,t) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} [Fens(i,j,t,x) - Fobs(i,j,t,x)]^2 dx$$
(3)

334 where *Fobs* is a step function defined by the observation value *Xobs*:

$$Fobs(i, j, x) = 1$$
 if $x > Xobs$; 0 otherwise

The higher the CRPS, the more the modeled ensemble is different from the observation. Thus, the assimilation aims at reducing the CRPS of the model compared to independent observations.

If not specified, the metrics were spatially averaged over grid points where observations were
 available. For the MODIS SCA and Bernadouze HS time series, the RMSE was calculated

between the modeled and observed variables from the assimilation date to the end of the WY.

The spatial variability of the modeled and observed HS maps was measured with semivariance (Blöschl, 1999; Deems et al., 2006).

342 3.7. Snow melt-out date from Sentinel-2 and Landsat 8 images

We obtained every snow cover product available from the remote sensing products distribution 343 platform Theia between September 2016 and September 2019 (Gascoin et al., 2019). These snow 344 cover products were derived from Sentinel-2 and Landsat 8 images and provide a classification 345 346 of the surface as snow, no snow or cloud (including cloud shadow) at 20 m (Sentinel-2) or 30 m (Landsat 8). Landsat 8 data were resampled to the Sentinel-2 20 m resolution grid by using 347 nearest neighbor interpolation. When Sentinel-2 and Landsat 8 data were available on the same 348 349 day, both products were merged by giving priority to Sentinel-2 on a pixel basis, i.e., Landsat 8 observations were used only if the Sentinel-2 pixel was classified as cloud. This time series was 350 linearly interpolated along the time dimension to produce a daily, gap-free time series of snow 351 absence and presence at a 20 m resolution between 1 September 2016 and 1 September 2019. 352 Maps of the SMOD were calculated from the snow cover time series following the same 353 definition as the simulated SMOD. The SMOD maps were aggregated with an average block 354 filter of 250 m by 250 m centered on the simulation grid points. The SMOD was set to no-data if 355 more than 90% of the initial pixel was covered with forest. The standard error of the SMOD 356 measurement was estimated at 6 days based on the revisit time between successive acquisitions 357 $(\sim 2.5 \text{ days})$ and the possible confusion with clouds. 358

359 3.8. Snow cover maps from MODIS

We used a collection of six Terra MODIS snow products (MOD10A1.006) to compute the SCA 360 of the model domain. The snow cover fraction (SCF) of each MODIS pixel (approximately 500 361 m) was derived from the "Snow cover NDSI" field using the formulae of Salomonson and Appel 362 (2004). Prior to computing the SCF, the missing values (approximately 50% of the data, mostly 363 due to cloud cover) were linearly interpolated to generate a gap-free, daily time series as above 364 with the Theia snow cover products. For every day between 1 September 2015 and 1 September 365 2019, a daily continuous SCA time series was thus obtained by summing the area-weighted 366 average of the SCF of each pixel within the study domain. 367

manuscript in revision to Water Resources Research

368 3.9. Bernadouze meteorological station

369 The Bernadouze automatic meteorological station is located in a clearing at 1420 m a.s.l. north of

the study area (42.80°N, 1.42°E, Figure 1). HS is measured bihourly with an acoustic sensor with

a centimetric accuracy (Gascoin & Fanise, 2018).

Table 1. Summary of the data used in this study.

373

Туре	Source	Date	Horizontal resolution
Digital elevation model	Satellite photogrammetry (Pléiades)	2014-10-01	3 m
Snow depth map	Satellite photogrammetry (Pléiades)	2015-03-11	3 m
		2016-04-11	3 m
		2017-03-15	3 m
		2018-02-15	3 m
		2018-05-11	3 m
		2019-03-26	3 m
Snow melt-out date	Sentinel-2/Landsat 8 images	WY 2016-2017 WY 2017-2018 WY 2018-2019	20 m
Snow cover area	MODIS	all WY	500 m
Snow depth	Bernadouze AWS	all WY	

4 Results

377

4.1. Impact of the assimilation on the simulated snow depth on the assimilation date

Figure 4 shows the maps of the difference between the mean modeled HS and Pléiades HS 378 observations on all assimilation dates, for the different Precipitation Scaling Factor values (PSF). 379 Assimilation increased, on average, the modeled HS (PSF-0.5 and PSF-1.0) or decreased the 380 modeled HS (PSF-2.0) (Figure 4, Table S1). In all experiments and for all assimilation dates, 381 382 assimilation increased the similarity between the spatial distribution of the modeled and observed HS, as expected. The semivariogram analysis indicates that the spatial variability of the modeled 383 HS was increased by the particle filter (Figure 5). The differences between the ensemble without 384 assimilation and the Pléiades observations were larger at high elevations for PSF-0.5 and PSF-385 1.0 and rather homogeneous at all elevations for PSF-2.0 (Figure 6 and S2). The modeled HS 386 was largely inferior to observed HS in the southern part of the area prior to assimilation, 387 independent of the elevation for PSF-0.5 and PSF-1.0 (WY 2014-2015, WY 2016-2017, Figure 388 6). This difference was even observed in PSF-2.0 despite the HS being increased by the doubling 389 390 of precipitation. The mismatch between observed and modeled HS in WY 2018-2019 could be related to spatially structured errors in the Pléiades HS map (see 5.5). 391

Figure 7 presents the evolution of the spatial average of the mean absolute difference between 392 the observations and the model, the ensemble spread, the CRPS and the Pearson correlation for 393 assimilated Pléiades observations (solid line). As expected, the distance between the assimilated 394 395 observations and the model, shown by the CRPS and the mean absolute difference, is always reduced by the particle filter compared to the runs without assimilation. The CRPS and the mean 396 absolute bias reduction were larger for PSF-0.5 and PSF-2.0 without assimilation than for PSF-397 1.0, but the values were reduced by the assimilation and close to each other for all experiments. 398 For all years and experiments, the Pearson correlation between the modeled and observed HS 399 increased to ~0.99 after assimilation. 400

401

4.2. Impact of the assimilation on the simulated SWE, density, and runoff

On the date of the assimilation, the assimilation of the HS maps had different impacts on the
modeled bulk density and the SWE depending on the experiment (Figure 8). The increase (PSF0.5 and PSF-1.0) and decrease (PSF-2.0) in HS were associated with similar modifications of the
SWE. Density was also modified to a lesser extent, typically +- 25 kg m3. Density was
diminished for PSF-0.5 and some WY of PSF-1.0. Conversely, density was increased for PSF2.0.

The change in SWE on the date of the assimilation also resulted in modification of the melt runoff amplitude and duration throughout the end of the snow season (Figure S4). Total melt runoff increased from 20% to 30% for PSF-0.5, by 10% or less for PSF-1.0 and decreased by 10% or less for PSF-2.0. The end of the melt period was extended by up to 10 days for PSF-0.5 and not modified for PSF-2.0.

413

414 4.3 Selection of the particles based on their meteorological forcing and their model415 physical parameterization

The HS of ensemble members that are selected by the particle filter may be more appropriate due 416 to their meteorological forcing, their physical version of the Crocus model, or both. Figure 9 417 shows the mean precipitation until the assimilation date of WY 2014-2015 associated with all the 418 particles of the ensemble (gray) and associated with the particles selected by the filter (green). 419 Particles with higher precipitation rates were selected for PSF-0.5 and PSF-1.0 in agreement with 420 the observed increase in SWE due to assimilation for these two experiments. Particles with lower 421 422 precipitation rates were selected for PSF-2.0, again in agreement with the associated SWE reduction. Distribution of the other meteorological variables was not modified by assimilation. 423

Figure 10 shows the versions of the compaction modeling in Crocus associated with all the particles of the ensemble with and without assimilation. No version of the compaction was excluded by the assimilation, but some were preferentially selected. The compaction version from Anderson (1976) was preferred at the expense of the version from Teufelsbauer (2011) in the PSF-0.5. The opposite preferential selection occurred in PSF-2.0. No clear impact of 429 assimilation was observed for PSF-1.0 or for other physical laws impacting snowpack density430 (i.e., density of fresh snow and snow grain metamorphism).



431

Figure 4. Differences of snow depth maps (Crocus minus Pléiades) without assimilation (left
column for a given PSF) and with assimilation (right column for a given PSF). The rows show

the different acquisition dates. The differences are always smaller in the assimilation run, even
on 11 May 2018, 85 days after the assimilation date.



437

Figure 5. Semi-variogram of the snow depth modeled without assimilation (grey), with assimilation (green) and in the Pléiades snow depth maps. The thick solid lines show the 15 February 2018 semi-variance (assimilation date) and the thick dashed lines show the 11 May 2018 semi-variance (evaluation date). The assimilation increased the spatial variability of the modeled HS at the assimilation date. This improvement remains 85 days after the assimilation on 11 May 2018.



Figure 6. Envelopes of the snow depth ensemble without assimilation (grey) and with assimilation (green) as a function of elevation. The envelope shows the first and third quartile of the ensemble distribution. The rows show the three precipitation scaling experiments, from top to bottom: PSF-0.5, PSF-1.0, PSF-2.0. The snow depth measured with Pléiades (red) is identical in all plots.

450

451

452



455

Figure 7. Impact of the assimilation on the modeled snow depth at the assimilation date (solid 456 line) and on the evaluation date (dashed line) compared to Pléiades snow depth maps. Left plot 457 shows the ensemble spread and the mean absolute error. Right plot shows the CRPS and the 458 Pearson correlation. Each arrow shows the statistic for a single date with the metrics without 459 assimilation at the base of the arrow and the metrics with assimilation at the head of the arrow. 460 February and May 2018 arrows are in full colors while other dates are slightly transparent. The 461 arrow color shows the precipitation scaling factor. The assimilation reduced the spread of the 462 ensemble, the bias between the modeled HS and the observation, the mean CRPS and increased 463 the correlation between the modeled HS and the observation. This is expected at the assimilation 464 date but the fact that it subsists on 11 May 2018 shows the long-lasting benefit of the 465 assimilation. 466



Figure 8. Impact of the particle filter on snow depth (left), SWE (middle) and density (right) on 11 March 2015 (assimilation date). Each dot represents the mean value of a simulation grid point with the color showing the elevation of the point. The rows show the different precipitation scaling experiments, from top to bottom: PSF-0.5, PSF-1.0, PSF-2.0.



Figure 9. Solid precipitation rate per elevation between 1 September 2014 and 11 March 2015 (assimilation date). Green envelope shows the precipitation of the particles selected by the assimilation (the first and third quartile). Grey envelope shows the precipitation of all the particles (i.e. no assimilation). The assimilation selected members with mean precipitations compensating the bias introduced by PSF-0.5 and PSF-2.0.

manuscript in revision to Water Resources Research



479

Figure 10. Distribution of the physical parameterizations of the snowpack compaction of all the particles (i.e. no assimilation, left bar) or of the particles selected by the assimilation (right bar). Each column shows the distribution for a WY and each row shows the distribution for the precipitation scaling experiments, from top to bottom: PSF-0.5, PSF-1.0, PSF-2.0. The assimilation selected and eliminated preferentially some physical parameterizations of the PSF-0.5 and PSF-2.0 experiments.

486 4.4. Independent evaluation of the impact of assimilation

A Pléiades HS observation is available on 11 May 2018, 85 days after the assimilation date of 15 February 2018, enabling us to assess the impact of the assimilation on the modeled snowpack with independent data. The mean bias and spread of the ensemble were reduced in the assimilation run on this date (dashed arrows in Figure 7). The mean CRPS was smaller in May in the assimilation run than without assimilation for all elevation levels (Figure 11). The spatial variability introduced by assimilation was also conserved in May (dashed line in Figure 5).

HS measured at the Bernadouze AWS was 1.17 m and 0.82 m on the assimilation dates of WY 493 2014-2015 and WY 2017-2018, respectively (Figure S5). It was null or close to null (i.e., <0.3 494 m) on all other assimilation dates both in Pléiades and at the AWS. HS at the closest grid point 495 was improved (i.e., decreased) from ~ 0.3 m to 0.5 m by assimilation for PSF-2.0. It was slightly 496 improved by ~0.1 m (i.e., increased) for PSF-0.5 for WY 2014-2015 and WY 2017-2018, which 497 were WY with the thickest snowpack during the study period. The impact was null or weak for 498 other assimilation dates of PSF-0.5 and PSF-1.0 due to the lack of snow or good agreement 499 between the model and Pléiades observation prior to assimilation. 500

After assimilation, the modeled SMOD was delayed by typically 15 days for PSF-0.5 compared 501 with the modeled SMOD without assimilation. This improved the modeled SMOD by the same 502 duration, as shown by the map of the difference between modeled and SMOD observed by 503 Sentinel-2/Landsat 8 (Figure 12). Conversely, the modeled SMOD was advanced and generally 504 improved by approximately 5 days for PSF-2.0. However, assimilation locally degraded the 505 modeled SMOD in the southern part of the zone in WY 2017-2018 and WY 2018-2019. The 506 impact of assimilation on the SMOD was null on average for PSF-1.0. The modeled SCA was 507 improved by assimilation compared with MODIS SCA (Figure S6). The RMSE of SCA was 508 reduced by ~20% for PSF-1.0 and PSF-2.0, and ~30% for PSF-0.5 (not shown). 509



Figure 11. Distribution of the snow depth CRPS against elevation on 15 February 2018 (assimilation date) and 85 days later on 11 May 2018 (evaluation date). The CRPS is calculated from the modeled snow depth without assimilation (grey), with assimilation (green) and a

- 514 Pléiades snow depth map. Reduction of the CRPS is visible at both the assimilation date and, to a
- 515 smaller extent, at the evaluation date.



Figure 12. Impact of the assimilation on the modeled SMOD in days. Blue areas show improvement of the modeled SMOD while red areas show worsening of the modeled SMOD by the assimilation. Modeled SMOD in the yellow areas was not modified by the assimilation. SMOD was improved by the assimilation in PSF-0.5 and to a lesser extent in PSF-2.0.

521 5 Discussion

522 5.1. Correction of errors in the meteorological forcings

The mean precipitation of the particle selected by the particle filter differed from the mean 523 524 precipitation of all particles (Figure 9). This was observed in PSF-0.5 and PSF-2.0 which emulate bias in precipitation observed in global reanalyses (Beck et al., 2019) and also in PSF-525 1.0 which provides a reference run to compare HS observations from Pléiades with those from 526 the SAFRAN-Crocus chain. HS was underestimated in PSF-1.0 without assimilation of snow 527 observations, especially at elevations above 2000 m a.s.l. The discrepancy was typically 10% to 528 20%. This bias had the same sign and similar magnitude than those previously reported by 529 comparing SAFRAN-Crocus simulations with HS measurements in this part of the Pyrenees 530 (Quéno et al., 2016). The strong precipitation gradient with elevation is difficult to reproduce for 531 532 an analysis system without any observation at high elevation, explaining the increasing bias of HS with elevation (Vionnet et al., 2019). As the assimilation improved the modeled HS (Figure 533 4, 7, and 11), SMOD (Figure 12), and SCA (Figure S5) in PSF-0.5 and PSF-2.0, we conclude 534 that errors in precipitation can be reduced by the assimilation of Pléiades HS in case of large 535 bias. In case of smaller bias (e.g. PSF-1.0), we conclude that the assimilation of Pléiades HS map 536 537 benefits by improving the modeled spatial variability of HS (Figure 5).

The assimilation had no clear impact on the other meteorological variables. This likely results from the short correlation time of the applied perturbation, which did not sufficiently differentiate the particles. A short correlation time of the perturbation (i.e., 15 h) results in an ensemble of forcings with a random distribution at each time step but a similar yearly mean. Smyth et al. (2019) found that the perturbation of radiative forcing and of precipitation produced assimilation results similar to those of the perturbation of precipitation only. Increasing the correlation time of the perturbation of the temperature could be relevant in evaluating the impact of a large bias in temperature, as it controls the precipitation phase partition during precipitationevents.

- 547
- 548

549 5.2 Correction of errors in the physical parameterization

Density of the snowpack was also modified by assimilation. Density could be modified as a 550 consequence of SWE modification; more SWE due to more precipitation should lead to more 551 compaction and denser snowpack on average. However, the sign of density modification was not 552 consistent with this hypothesis (e.g. PSF-0.5 in Figure 8). The densification law from Anderson 553 (1976) favored in PSF-0.5 leads to slower densification than the law from Teufelsbauer (2011), 554 depreciated by the assimilation. This indicates that the increase in HS for PSF-0.5 also resulted 555 from a slower densification and the decrease in HS for PSF-2.0, resulted from a faster 556 557 densification. This is not necessarily a good reason, as the bias of the HS is related to precipitation errors by construction in the PSF-0.5 and PSF-2.0 experiments. 558

The same phenomenon was observed when assimilating synthetic punctual HS in a two-layer 559 model (Smyth et al., 2019). While Smyth et al. (2019) concluded that the modeled density was 560 improved by assimilation thanks to SWE and HS measurements, we lack in situ measurements to 561 562 perform similar evaluations. Our experiments suggest that assimilation can compensate for meteorological errors by the selection of different physical parameterizations. As a result, an 563 improvement of the simulated SWE can not be systematically guaranteed through HS 564 assimilation. Including different observed variables in the assimilation scheme should help avoid 565 these equifinality issues in the future. 566

- 567
- 568

5.3. Evolution of the snowpack after the assimilation date

569 Satellite-based data (Pléiades, MODIS, Sentinel-2, and Landsat 8) provided independent spatial 570 information to evaluate assimilation performance (Figure 4, 5, 7, 11, and 12). Impact of 571 assimilation on the SMOD was only evident for PSF-0.5 and PSF-2.0 because the modeled

SMOD was largely degraded before the assimilation and the HS increment due to assimilation 572 was large. No positive impact of assimilation in PSF-1.0 was visible in the SMOD comparison, 573 probably because the limited HS assimilation increments likely resulted in SMOD changes that 574 were too small to be captured by the daily to biweekly resolution of the Sentinel-2/Landsat 8 575 SMOD products. In addition, several physical processes shaping the snowpack between the 576 assimilation date and SMOD are not taken into account in this version of Crocus (e.g., wind drift, 577 avalanche deposits, multiple reflections from surrounding surfaces, and light-absorbing 578 particles). For instance, the impact of light-absorbing particles was considered through the 579 decrease in visible albedo with snow age, and the parameterization was calibrated at the Col de 580 Porte site in the Alps (Brun et al., 1992). This does not represent the spatial and temporal 581 variability of the light-absorbing particles present in the modeled snowpack. The TARTES 582 module, which explicitly represents the impact of the light-absorbing particles, was not used 583 because it requires many more computational resources and additional forcing data (Tuzet et al., 584 2017). The explicit modeling of the light-absorbing particles leads to faster melting and a ~6-day 585 advance of the SMOD in this region compared with the Crocus version used here (Réveillet et 586 al., in review). The impact is larger at high elevations and could partially explain the local 587 588 worsening of the modeled SMOD at high elevations in PSF-1.0 and PSF-2.0. In this area, assimilation increased the HS, which delayed the SMOD, but the SMOD was already 589 overestimated without assimilation. 590

591

592

5.4. Perspective on the assimilation scheme

Ensemble simulations provide an estimate of the uncertainty of the modeled variables of 593 interest (e.g. SWE, density) by the way of the ensemble spread. In theory, assimilation should 594 595 reduce the spread to the magnitude of observation error but should not underestimate the residual uncertainty by a too selective particle filter leading to ensemble collapse (i.e., selection of a 596 single particle). Here, assimilation reduced the spread of the ensemble of modeled HS in the 597 598 range to the observation error (Figure 7). This suggests that the spread of the ensemble of HS prior to assimilation, the bias between the ensemble prior to assimilation and observation, and 599 the observation error were consistent. However, assimilation did not modify the ensemble for 600

points at low elevation for PSF-0.5, where all members of the ensemble had no snow. The multiplicative perturbation of precipitation used here cannot produce an ensemble of the HS with a sufficiently large spread where snow falls are low and snowpack is thin. A way to address this issue would be to introduce a spatially variable perturbation of precipitation and to increase the perturbation amplitude in areas of thin snowpacks (i.e., low elevation and strong wind erosion) or to use additive perturbation of the precipitation (Magnusson et al., 2017).

607

5.5. Snow depth mapping methods

608 Higher resolution and more accurate products can be generated from airborne surveys (Brauchli et al., 2017; Hedrick et al., 2018). The higher accuracy reached with airborne lidar or 609 photogrammetry reduces the need to aggregate HS maps and allows higher resolution 610 simulations. In particular, satellite photogrammetry DEM can suffer from errors in the estimation 611 of the satellite attitude which results in erroneous undulations in the HS maps, so called "jitter" 612 (Deschamps-Berger et al., 2020). The magnitude and the spatial distribution of the difference 613 between modeled and observed HS on 26 March 2019 suggest that the corresponding winter 614 DEM is affected by jitter. The jitter is a spatially structured error whose magnitude depends on 615 the image and varies within an image. The largest negative anomaly of modeled HS in the south 616 of the study site on 26 March 2019 is likely due to an undulation of large amplitude. To our 617 knowledge, there is no way to correct for jitter without significant areas of stable terrain which is 618 the case here. Despite this error in the assimilated HS, assimilation improved the modeled 619 SMOD for PSF-0.5 but notably degraded the modeled SMOD in the south for PSF-2.0, where 620 the error due to jitter is believed to be the largest. Despite these errors, the strong asset of satellite 621 photogrammetry is the ability to acquire images in any place of the globe. The typical coverage 622 of a Pleiades image (20 km by 20 km) is too small to cover a whole mountain range like airborne 623 methods could do (Painter et al., 2015). It remains much larger than UAV or terrestrial based 624 methods (Eberhardt et al., 2020). 625

Our results are in line with previous studies which concluded on the improvement of the 628 modeled spatial distribution of the HS through assimilation of a single HS map, with other 629 assimilation method, data source, data frequency and snowpack model (e.g. Revuelto et al., 630 2016; Brauchli et al, 2017; Hedrick et al., 2018; Margulis et al., 2019; Shaw et al., 2020). The 631 observed improvement 85 days after the assimilation date in WY 2017-2018 is consistent with 632 the persistence of reduced error up to 100 days after the assimilation date in simulations 633 weighted with a particle batch smoother (Margulis et al., 2019). Our study confirms the 634 experimental results of Smyth et al. (2020) who found that HS measurements with uncertainty of 635 ~ 0.5 m, typical of satellite photogrammetry, are sufficient to significantly improve snowpack 636 simulations in mountainous regions. 637

The assimilation date of a single HS map is often found to be optimal close to peak SWE for 638 SWE estimation during the melt period (Brauchli et al., 2017; Hedrick et al., 2018; Margulis et 639 al., 2019). The assimilation of an HS map acquired 50 days after peak SWE was less beneficial 640 (Margulis et al., 2019). Here, the assimilation date was close to the basin peak SWE for WY 641 2014-2015, WY 2015-2016, and WY 2016-2017 but was ~40 days prior to the peak in WY 642 2017-2018 and ~50 days after the peak in WY 2018-2019. A third of the peak SWE mass 643 accumulated after the assimilation date for WY 2017-2018, and a quarter was lost before the 644 assimilation date for WY 2018-2019. However, the impact of assimilation on the SMOD (Figure 645 12) was similar for all WYs despite variable assimilation dates. This suggests that assimilation 646 can be beneficial even with a single observation more than a month before or after the peak 647 SWE. 648

649 6 Conclusion

Assimilation of a single HS map each year measured with satellite photogrammetry improved simulation of the snowpack based on comparison of the modeled HS with an independent HS map, independent satellite-derived maps of the SMOD and SCA. Assimilation corrected erroneous precipitation gradients and partially compensated for processes lacking in the model (e.g., wind redistribution) which improved the spatial distribution of modeled HS. The relatively high standard error of the HS measurements (~0.70 m at a 3 m resolution) compared with other remote sensing methods (e.g., drone or airborne) was reduced by aggregating the HS map at a

250 m resolution. Further work may evaluate the impact of assimilating HS maps from satellite 657 photogrammetry at higher resolutions (<250 m) to represent smaller-scale variability. Cross 658 validation dataset (airborne or terrestrial laser scan) would ease the measurement of the impact of 659 assimilation of satellite snow depth maps. The use of satellite photogrammetry is currently 660 limited by the footprint of the images (20 km x 20 km for Pléiades) and jitter errors. Variants of 661 the particle filter, could partly overcome these limitation by propagating information into 662 surrounding areas or erroneous areas by following correlation patterns in the ensemble (Cluzet et 663 al., 2021). Although it may be better constrained in the future by complementary assimilation 664 variables, the point-by-point particle filter used in this study already proved to be efficient and 665 should be easily transferable to other study sites. These results and the possibility of using the 666 particle filter several times per season when a new observation is available (sequential particle 667 filter) combined with meteorological forecasts suggest that this scheme is well suited to 668 operational applications (avalanche or flood forecasting). Assimilation had the largest impact on 669 simulations with degraded meteorological forcings, which are representative of global reanalyses 670 products. Combining satellite-derived snow depth observations, snowpack modeling and 671 globally available forcings may especially allow an improved estimation of the snow cover 672 673 properties in unmonitored mountain catchments (e.g., the Himalayas, Andes, and polar mountain ranges). 674

675

676 Acknowledgments

This work has been supported by the CNES Tosca (APR MIOSOTIS) and the Programme 677 National de Télédétection Spatiale (PNTS; grant no. PNTS-2018-4). This work was cofunded by 678 the LabEx DRIIHM, French program "Investissements d'Avenir" (ANR-11-LABX-0010) which 679 is managed by the ANR (Observatoire Homme Milieu Pyrénées Haut Vicdessos). M.D. was 680 partially funded by ANR JCJC EBONI. Marie Dumont has received funding from the European 681 Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation 682 programme (grant agreement no. 949516, IVORI). CNRM/CEN is part of Labex OSUG@2020. 683 Bernadouze weather station is supported by the Observatoire Spatial Régional (CNRS-INSU) 684 and the Observatoire Homme Milieu (CNRS-INEE). Thanks to R. Marti and B. Pirletta for the 685 pictures in figure 3. We thank Laura Sourp for useful discussions on the HS maps accuracy. 686

688 **Data**

689 The Pléiades images were obtained through DINAMIS (Dispositif Institutionnel National d'Approvisionnement Mutualisé en Imagerie Spatiale) which allows cost-less Pléiades 690 acquisition for French research institutions. Otherwise Pléiades images can be ordered (i) at 691 reduced cost for European researchers also via DINAMIS or (ii) via Airbus Defense and Space 692 for non-EU researchers. The Pleiades snow depth maps used in this study are available at 693 https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4707494. Meteorological forcings available 694 are at https://doi.org/10.25326/37 (version 2019 was used in this study). 695

696 **Code**

697

698 **References**

- Anderson, E. (1976). A point energy and mass balance model of a snow cover, NOAA Technical
 Report NWS 19.
- Andreadis, K. M., & Lettenmaier, D. P. (2006). Assimilating remotely sensed snow observations
 into a macroscale hydrology model. *Advances in Water Resources*, 29, 872–886.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.advwatres.2005.08.004
- Baba, M. W., Gascoin, S., Jarlan, L., Simonneaux, V., & Hanich, L. (2018). Variations of the
 snow water equivalent in the ourika catchment (Morocco) over 2000-2018 using
 downscaled MERRA-2 data. *Water (Switzerland)*, *10*(9).
 https://doi.org/10.3390/w10091120
- Bard, A., Renard, B., Lang, M., Giuntoli, I., Korck, J., Volken, D., Koboltschnig, G., & Janz, M.
 (2015). Trends in the hydrologic regime of Alpine rivers. *Journal of Hydrology*, 529, 1823–
 1837. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2015.07.052
- 711 Barry, R. G. (2008). *Mountain weather and climate*. Cambridge University Press.
- Beck, H. E., Pan, M., Roy, T., Weedon, G. P., Pappenberger, F., Dijk, A. I. J. M. Van, Huffman,
- G. J., Adler, R. F., & Wood, E. F. (2019). Daily evaluation of 26 precipitation datasets
- using Stage-IV gauge-radar data for the CONUS. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*,
- 715 23, 207–224. https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-23-207-2019

716

Blöschl, G. (1999). Scaling issues in snow hydrology. *Hydrological Processes*, *13*(January),
 2149–2175. https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1099-1085(199910)13:14/15<2149::AID-

719 HYP847>3.0.CO;2-8

Brauchli, T., Trujillo, E., Huwald, H., & Lehning, M. (2017). Influence of Slope-Scale Snowmelt
 on Catchment Response Simulated With the *Alpine3D* Model. *Water Resources Research*,
 1–17. https://doi.org/10.1002/2017WR021278

- Brun, E., David, P., Sudul, M., & Brunot, G. (1992). A numerical model to simulate snow-cover
 stratigraphy for operational avalanche forecasting. *Journal of Glaciology*, *38*(128), 13–22.
 https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022143000009552
- Charrois, L., Cosme, E., Dumont, M., Lafaysse, M., Morin, S., & Libois, Q. (2016). On the
 assimilation of optical reflectances and snow depth observations into a detailed snowpack
 model. *The Cryosphere*, *10*, 1021–1038. https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-10-1021-2016
- Choler, P. (2018). Perspectives in Plant Ecology, Evolution and Systematics Winter soil
 temperature dependence of alpine plant distribution : Implications for anticipating
 vegetation changes under a warming climate. *Perspectives in Plant Ecology, Evolution and Systematics, 30*(November 2017), 6–15. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ppees.2017.11.002
- Cluzet, B., Lafaysse, M., Cosme, E., Albergel, C., & Meunier, L. (2021). CrocO v1.0: a
 particle filter to assimilate snowpack observations in a spatialised framework. *Geoscientific Model Development*, 14, 1595–1614. https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-14-1595-2021
- Dedieu, J., Carlson, B. Z., Bigot, S., & Sirguey, P. (2016). On the Importance of HighResolution Time Series of Optical Imagery for Quantifying the Effects of Snow Cover
 Duration on Alpine Plant Habitat. *Remote Sensing*, 8. https://doi.org/10.3390/rs8060481
- Deems, J. S., Fassnacht, S. R., & Elder, K. J. (2006). Fractal Distribution of Snow Depth from
 Lidar Data. *Journal of Hydrometeorology*, 7(2), 285–297.
 https://doi.org/10.1175/JHM487.1
- Deems, J. S., Painter, T. H., & Finnegan, D. C. (2013). Lidar measurement of snow depth : a
 review. 59(215), 467–479. https://doi.org/10.3189/2013JoG12J154
- Deschamps-Berger, C., Gascoin, S., Berthier, E., Deems, J., & Gutmann, E. (2020). Snow depth
 mapping from stereo satellite imagery in mountainous terrain : evaluation using airborne
 laser-scanning data. *The Cryosphere*, 2925–2940. https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-14-2925-2020
- Dozier, J., Bair, E. H., & Davis, R. E. (2016). Estimating the spatial distribution of snow water
 equivalent in the world's mountains. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Water*, *3*(3), 461–
 474. https://doi.org/10.1002/wat2.1140
- Dumont, M., Durand, Y., Arnaud, Y., & Six, D. (2012). Variational assimilation of albedo in a
 snowpack model and reconstruction of the spatial mass-balance distribution of an alpine
 glacier. *Journal of Glaciology*, 58(207), 151–164. https://doi.org/10.3189/2012JoG11J163
- Eberhard, L. A., Sirguey, P., Miller, A., Marty, M., Schindler, K., & Stoffel, A. (2021).
 Intercomparison of photogrammetric platforms for spatially continuous snow depth

- 755 mapping. *The Cryosphere*, *15*, 69–94. https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-15-69-2021
- Essery, R., Morin, S., Lejeune, Y., & Ménard, C. B. (2013). A comparison of 1701 snow models
 using observations from an alpine site. *Advances in Water Resources*, 55, 131–148.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.advwatres.2012.07.013
- Freudiger, D., Kohn, I., Seibert, J., Stahl, K., & Weiler, M. (2017). Snow redistribution for the
 hydrological modeling of alpine catchments. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Water*, 4(5),
 e1232. https://doi.org/10.1002/wat2.1232
- Gascoin, S., Hagolle, O., Huc, M., Jarlan, L., Dejoux, J. F., Szczypta, C., Marti, R., & Sánchez,
 R. (2015). A snow cover climatology for the Pyrenees from MODIS snow products.
 Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, *19*(5), 2337–2351. <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-19-2337-2015</u>
- Gascoin, S., Grizonnet, M., Bouchet, M., Salgues, G. & Hagolle, O. (2019). Theia Snow
 collection: high-resolution operational snow cover maps from Sentinel-2 and Landsat-8
 data, *Earth System Science Data*. 11(2), 493–514. doi: 10.5194/essd-11-493-2019.
- Girotto, M., Musselman, K. N., & Essery, R. L. H. (2020). Data Assimilation Improves
 Estimates of Climate-Sensitive Seasonal Snow. *Current Climate Change Reports*, 81–94.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s40641-020-00159-7
- Gleyzes, A., Perret L., Philippe Kubik, P. (2012). Pleiades system architecture and main
 performances. *Int. Archives of Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences*, Vol. 39(1), pp. 537-542, Melbourne, Australia.

Hedrick, A., Marks, D., Havens, S., Robertson, M., Johnson, M., Sandusky, M., Marshall, H.-P.,
Kormos, P., Bormann, K., & Painter, T. (2018). Direct Insertion of NASA Airborne Snow
Observatory-Derived Snow Depth Time Series Into the iSnobal Energy Balance Snow
Model. *Water Resources Research*, *54*, 8045–8063. https://doi.org/10.1029/2018WR023190

- Hersbach, H. (2000). Decomposition of the Continuous Ranked Probability Score for Ensemble
 Prediction Systems. *Weather and Forecasting*, *15*, 559–570. https://doi.org/10.1175/15200434(2000)015<0559:DOTCRP>2.0.CO;2
- Kitagawa, G. (1996). Monte Carlo filter and smoother for non-Gaussian nonlinear state space
 models, J. Comput. Graph. Stat., 5, 1–25.
- Lafaysse, M., Cluzet, B., Dumont, M., Lejeune, Y., Vionnet, V., & Morin, S. (2017). A
 multiphysical ensemble system of numerical snow modelling. *Cryosphere*, *11*(3), 1173–
 1198. https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-11-1173-2017
- Largeron, C., Dumont, M., Morin, S., Boone, A., Lafaysse, M., Metref, S., Cosme, E., Jonas, T.,
 Winstral, A., Margulis, S. A., & Raleigh, M. (2020). Toward Snow Cover Estimation in
 Mountainous Areas Using Modern Data Assimilation Methods : A Review. *Frontiers in Earth Science*, 8(September). https://doi.org/10.3389/feart.2020.00325

- Luce, C., Staab, B., Kramer, Ma., Wenger, S., Isaak, D., & McConnell, C. (2014). variability in
 the Pacific Northwest. *Water Resources Research*, 50, 1–16.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/2013WR014329.Received
- Magnusson, J., Winstral, A., Stordal, A., Essery, R., & Jonas, T. (2017). Improving physically
 based snow simulations by assimilating snow depths using the particle filter. *Water Resources Research*, 53, 1125–1143. https://doi.org/10.1002/2016WR019092.Received
- Margulis, S. A., Fang, Y., Li, D., Lettenmaier, D. P., & Andreadis, K. (2019). The utility of
 infrequent snow depth images for deriving continuous space-time estimates of seasonal
 snow water equivalent. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 2015, 2019GL082507.
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2019GL082507
- Margulis, S. a., Girotto, M., Cortés, G., & Durand, M. (2015). A Particle Batch Smoother
 Approach to Snow Water Equivalent Estimation. *Journal of Hydrometeorology*, *16*(May 2015), 150504130725006. https://doi.org/10.1175/JHM-D-14-0177.1
- Marti, R., Gascoin, S., Berthier, E., De Pinel, M., Houet, T., & Laffly, D. (2016). Mapping snow
 depth in open alpine terrain from stereo satellite imagery. *Cryosphere*, *10*(4), 1361–1380.
 <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-10-1361-2016</u>
- Menard, C. B., Essery, R., Krinner, G., Arduini, G., Bartlett, P., Boone, A., Brutel-Vuilmet, C.,
 Burke, E., Cuntz, M., Dai, Y., Decharme, B., Dutra, E., Fang, X., Fierz, C., Gusev, Y.,
 Hagemann, S., Haverd, V., Kim, H., Lafaysse, M., Marke, T., Nasonova, O., Nitta, T.,
 Niwano, M., Pomeroy, J., Schädler, G., Semenov, V. A., Smirnova, T., Strasser, U.,
 Swenson, S., Turkov, D., Wever, N. and Yuan, H. (2021). Scientific and Human Errors in a
 Snow Model Intercomparison, *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, *102*(1),
 E61–E79. doi: 10.1175/bams-d-19-0329.1.
- Quéno, L., Vionnet, V., Dombrowski-etchevers, I., Lafaysse, M., Dumont, M., Cnrs, F., Umr, C.,
 & Martin, S. (2016). Snowpack modelling in the Pyrenees driven by kilometric- resolution
 meteorological forecasts. *The Cryosphere*, 1571–1589. https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-10-15712016
- Raleigh, M. S., Lundquist, J. D., & Clark, M. P. (2015). Exploring the impact of forcing error
 characteristics on physically based snow simulations within a global sensitivity analysis
 framework. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, *19*(7), 3153–3179.
 https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-19-3153-2015
- Rasmussen, R., Baker, B., Kochendorfer, J., Meyers, T., Landolt, S., Fischer, A., Black, J.,
 Thériault, J., Kucera, P., Gochis, D., Smith, C., Rodica, N., Hall, M., Ikeda, K., & Gutmann,
 E. (2012). How well are we measuring snow? *American Meteor, June*, 811–830.
 https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-11-00052.1
- Revuelto, J., Jonas, T., & López-Moreno, J. I. (2016). Backward snow depth reconstruction at
 high spatial resolution based on time-lapse photography. *Hydrological Processes*, *30*(17),
 2976–2990. https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.10823

829 830 831 832	 Revuelto, J., Lecourt, G., Lafaysse, M., Zin, I., Charrois, L., Vionnet, V., Dumont, M., Rabatel, A., Six, D., Condom, T., Morin, S., Viani, A., & Sirguey, P. (2018). Multi-Criteria Evaluation of Snowpack Simulations in Complex Alpine Terrain Using Satellite and In Situ Observations. <i>Remote Sensing</i>, 10(8), 1171. https://doi.org/10.3390/rs10081171
833	Rodell, M., & Houser, P. (2004). Updating a Land Surface Model with MODIS-Derived Snow
834	Cover. <i>Journal of Hydrometeorology</i> , 5, 1064–1075. https://doi.org/10.1175/JHM-395.1
835	Salomonson, V. V, & Appel, I. (2004). Estimating fractional snow cover from MODIS using the
836	normalized difference snow index. <i>Remote Sensing of Environment</i> , 89, 351–360.
837	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2003.10.016
838	Shaw, T., Caro, A., Mendoza, P., Ayala, Á., Gascoin, S., & Mcphee, J. (2020). The Utility of
839	Optical Satellite Winter Snow Depths for Initializing a Glacio-Hydrological Model of a
840	High-Elevation, Andean Catchment. <i>Water Resources Research</i> .
841	https://doi.org/10.1029/2020WR027188
842	Smyth, E. J., Raleigh, M. S., & Small, E. E. (2019). Particle Filter Data Assimilation of Monthly
843	Snow Depth Observations Improves Estimation of Snow Density and SWE Water
844	Resources Research. <i>Water Resources Research</i> , <i>4</i> , 1296–1311.
845	<u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2018WR023400</u>
846	
847	Smyth, E. J., Raleigh, M. S., & Small, E. E. (2020). Improving SWE estimation with data
848	assimilation: The influence of snow depth observation timing and uncertainty. <i>Water</i>
849	<i>Resources Research</i> , 56. https://doi.org/10.1029/2019WR026853
850 851 852	 Szczypta, C., Gascoin, S., Houet, T., Hagolle, O., Dejoux, J. F., Vigneau, C., & Fanise, P. (2015). Impact of climate and land cover changes on snow cover in a small Pyrenean catchment. <i>Journal of Hydrology</i>, <i>521</i>, 84–99. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2014.11.060
853 854	Teufelsbauer, H. (2011). A two-dimensional snow creep model for alpine terrain. <i>Natural Hazards</i> , 481–497. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11069-010-9515-8
855 856 857	Thirel, G., Salamon, P., Burek, P., & Kalas, M. (2011). Assimilation of MODIS snow cover area data in a distributed hydrological model. <i>Hydrology and Earth System Sciences</i> , 8, 1329–1364. https://doi.org/10.5194/hessd-8-1329-2011
858	Tuzet, F., Dumont, M., Lafaysse, M., Picard, G., Arnaud, L., Voisin, D., Lejeune, Y., Charrois,
859	L., Nabat, P., & Morin, S. (2017). A multilayer physically based snowpack model
860	simulating direct and indirect radiative impacts of light-absorbing impurities in snow. <i>The</i>
861	<i>Cryosphere</i> , 11, 2633–2653. https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-11-2633-2017
862	Vacquie, L. A., Houet, T., Sheeren, D., Munnik, N. De, Roussel, V., & Waddle, J. (2016).
863	Adapting grazing practices to limit the reforestation of mountainous summer pastures : A
864	process-based approach. <i>Environmental Modelling and Software</i> , 84, 395–411.
865	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsoft.2016.05.006

- Vernay, M., Lafaysse, M., Hagenmuller, P., Nheili, R., Verfaillie, D., & Morin, S. (2019).
 The S2M meteorological and snow cover reanalysis in the French mountainous areas (1958 present) [Data set]. AERIS.<u>https://doi.org/10.25326/37#2019</u>
- Vernay, M., Lafaysse, M., Monteiro, D., Hagenmuller, P., Nheili, R., Samacoïts, R., Verfaillie,
 D., and Morin, S.: The S2M meteorological and snow cover reanalysis over the French
 mountainous areas, description and evaluation (1958–2020), Earth Syst. Sci. Data Discuss.
 [preprint], https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-2021-249, in review, 2021.
- Viallon-galinier, L., Hagenmuller, P., & Lafaysse, M. (2020). Forcing and evaluating detailed
 snow cover models with stratigraphy observations. *Cold Regions Science and Technology*,
 180. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coldregions.2020.103163</u>
- Vincent, L., Lejeune, Y., Lafaysse, M., Boone, A., Le Gac, E., Coulaud, C., Freche, G., Sicart,
- J.E. (2018). Interception of snowfall by the trees is the main challenge for snowpack
- simulations under forests. *In Proceedings of ISSW*, 705-710
- http://arc.lib.montana.edu/snow-science/objects/ISSW2018_008.4.pdf
- Vögeli, C., Lehning, M., Wever, N., & Bavay, M. (2016). Scaling Precipitation Input to Spatially
 Distributed Hydrological Models by Measured Snow Distribution. *Frontiers in Earth*
- *Science*, 4(December), 1–15. https://doi.org/10.3389/feart.2016.00108