



## **Effect of degassing phenomenon of the aviation fuel cavitation dynamics in a case of a three-bladed inducer**

Théodore Magne, Richard Paridaens, Florent Ravelet, Sofiane Khelladi, Farid Bakir, Petar Tomov, Loïc Pora

### **► To cite this version:**

Théodore Magne, Richard Paridaens, Florent Ravelet, Sofiane Khelladi, Farid Bakir, et al.. Effect of degassing phenomenon of the aviation fuel cavitation dynamics in a case of a three-bladed inducer. AFM/SHF Conference on Hydraulic Machines and Cavitation, Nov 2019, Sion, Switzerland. <hal-03602772>

**HAL Id: hal-03602772**

**<https://hal.science/hal-03602772v1>**

Submitted on 9 Mar 2022

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



HAL Authorization

# Effect of degassing phenomenon of the aviation fuel cavitation dynamics in a case of a three-bladed inducer

AFM/SHF Conference on Hydraulic Machines and Cavitation  
November 6-7, 2019, Sion, Switzerland

Théodore MAGNE, Richard PARIDAENS, Florent Ravelet,  
Sofiane KHELLADI, Farid BAKIR  
DynFluid Laboratory  
ENSAM ParisTech  
151 Blvd de l'Hopital  
75013 Paris, FRANCE

Petar TOMOV, Loïc PORA  
Fuel Equipment Design Office  
SAFRAN Aircraft Engines  
77550 Rond-Point René Ravaut, FRANCE

**Proposed session:** Cavitation and Multiphase flow

**Key words:** cavitation dynamics, degassing, jet fuel, inducer, NPSH

**Corresponding author:** [theodore.magne@safrangroup.com](mailto:theodore.magne@safrangroup.com)

Cavitation phenomenon is vastly present in different technical devices such as hydrofoils, pumps and convergent-divergent nozzles observed in various fields of engineering. One particular field of interest is the cavitation in the low pressure stage of a fuel pump, located at the interface between the aircraft and its engine. Cavitation phenomenon can generate vibrations, noise, and instabilities in the flow, which can reduce the main fuel pump hydraulic performance. Moreover, a degassing phenomenon from the aircraft fuel can take place during aircraft climbing. As a result, both phenomena can be coupled, which can lead to the emergence of a complex multiphase flow, which needs a thorough research and exploration.

An experimental work is conducted on two 3-bladed axial inducers of a low pressure stage fuel pump at partial flow rates in cavitating and non-cavitating regimes for two different working fluid. The two different fluid are: water with added dissolved  $\text{CO}_2$  and a jet fuel. Furthermore, two different procedures will be tested, for the first procedure, the depressure is achieved with a vacuum pump, for the second procedure it is done by closing an upstream valve. Typical Net Positive Suction Head (NPSH) curves and characteristic curves are obtained for numerous rotational rate and flow coefficient. The resulting cavitation inception and dynamics are different for each case study. The cavitation dynamics is observed by means of a high-speed camera.

The experiments were conducted in a closed water loop at Dynfluid's facility. The latter consists of a 1000 L fuel tank and a test section, where the three-bladed inducer is located in a 80 mm diameter circular duct. The test section is transparent in order to perform visualization with the high-speed camera at a sampling rate of 1 kHz. Additionally, the outer casing of the test section has a rectangular shape to minimize the effect of a light refraction. The high-speed camera is not fixed on the loop, so that any vibrations from the rotating machinery can be omitted. The water temperature is equal to  $18^\circ\text{C} \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$  and it is constantly monitored during the tests. The inducer rotating speed ranges from 0 rpm to  $8000 \text{ rpm} \pm 10 \text{ rpm}$ . One of the water tank is partially filled with liquid so that a free surface is created inside. A vacuum pump is connected to the free surface in order to decrease the pressure in the system and to simulate the aircraft climbing in altitude. Moreover, a specific device is placed in one of the tanks in order to inject a controlled quantity of  $\text{CO}_2$  and to dissolve it in water. The valve needed for the ARP procedure is mounted at almost  $26 D_H$  upstream the test section. The fluid motion can be achieved by the inducer only or by a coupling with a centrifugal pump present in the loop. The flow rate ranges from  $0 \text{ m}^3 \cdot \text{h}^{-1}$  to  $40 \text{ m}^3 \cdot \text{h}^{-1}$ . In the present study, the centrifugal pump is used for fluid flows higher than  $10 \text{ m}^3 \cdot \text{h}^{-1}$ . It has been verified that there is no impact on the inducer hydraulic performances when the centrifugal pump is activated. The fluid flow is controlled by multiple manual valves. The pressure measurements are taken on multiple locations:  $20 D_H$  in

upstream the inducer and  $11.25 D_H$  downstream. There are also two average pressure tap locations, which are located  $1 D_H$  upstream the tip leading edge of the inducer, the one at the outlet is at  $0.75 D_H$  downstream.

The NPSH curves and ARP curves are plotted for various inducer operating points. The similarity of these curves is dependent on the properties of the working fluid. In fact, for the case with gassed water the procedure does not change the performance of the inducer at a given running condition. While for the jet fuel case, differences in the cavitation inception can be found .




Figure 1: Schematic view of the Dynfluid test facility. The flow direction is indicated with the flesh. The injection of  $\text{CO}_2$  takes place on the bottom of tank A. The circuit pressure is driven by the vacuum pump. The test section is fully transparent between tank A and tank B.



Figure 2: Different views of the inducer U1