



HAL
open science

A Berry-Esseen theorem for continuous-time Markov processes conditioned not to be absorbed

William Oçafrain

► **To cite this version:**

William Oçafrain. A Berry-Esseen theorem for continuous-time Markov processes conditioned not to be absorbed. 2022. hal-03599148v1

HAL Id: hal-03599148

<https://hal.science/hal-03599148v1>

Preprint submitted on 6 Mar 2022 (v1), last revised 30 Mar 2023 (v3)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

A Berry-Esseen theorem for continuous-time Markov processes conditioned not to be absorbed

William Oçafrain*

6th March 2022

Abstract

This paper aims to establish a Berry-Esseen-like theorem for Markov processes conditioned not to be absorbed. That is the Kolmogorov distance between the conditional distribution of the renormalized centered empirical mean and a Gaussian law decays as $1/\sqrt{t}$. The main assumption is that a given Doob h -transform of the sub-Markovian semigroup associated to the absorbed process is exponentially ergodic with respect to a ψ -distance.

Notation

- $\mathcal{M}_1(E)$: Set of the probability measures defined on E .
- For any $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(E)$ and measurable function f such that $\int_E f(x)\mu(dx)$ is well-defined,

$$\mu(f) := \int_E f(x)\mu(dx).$$

- For a given positive function ψ , $\mathbb{L}^\infty(\psi)$ is the set of functions f such that f/ψ is bounded, endowed with the norm

$$\|f\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty(\psi)} := \|f/\psi\|_\infty.$$

- For any positive measurable function ψ , for any $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{M}_1(E)$,

$$\|\mu - \nu\|_\psi := \sup_{\|f\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty(\psi)} \leq 1} |\mu(f) - \nu(f)|.$$

- For any nonnegative measurable function f and $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(E)$ such that $\mu(f) \in (0, +\infty)$,

$$f \circ \mu(dx) := \frac{f(x)\mu(dx)}{\mu(f)}.$$

- Kolmogorov distance: For any $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{R})$,

$$d_{\text{Kolm}}(\mu, \nu) := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |\mu((-\infty, x]) - \nu((-\infty, x])|.$$

*Université de Lorraine, CNRS, Inria, IECL, F-54000, Nancy, France. Email : w.oçafrain@hotmail.fr

1 Introduction

1.1 Introduction to quasi-stationarity

Let $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a continuous-time Markov process living on a state space $(E \cup \{\partial\}, \mathcal{E})$, where $\partial \notin E$ is an absorbing state for the process X , which means that $X_t = \partial$ conditioned to $\{X_s = \partial\}$ for all $s \leq t$, and \mathcal{E} is a σ -field associated to the state space E . Denote by τ_∂ the hitting time of ∂ by the process X . We associate to the process X a family of probability measure $(\mathbb{P}_x)_{x \in E \cup \{\partial\}}$ such that $\mathbb{P}_x[X_0 = x] = 1$ for any $x \in E \cup \{\partial\}$. For any probability measure $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(E \cup \{\partial\})$, define $\mathbb{P}_\mu := \int_{E \cup \{\partial\}} \mu(dx) \mathbb{P}_x$, and denote \mathbb{E}_x and \mathbb{E}_μ the associated expectations. Moreover, denote by $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ the natural filtration of the process X .

In this paper, we assume that the process X admits a *quasi-stationary distribution*, defined as a probability measure $\alpha \in \mathcal{M}_1(E)$ such that, for all $t \geq 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}_\alpha[X_t \in \cdot | \tau_\partial > t] = \alpha. \quad (1)$$

Such a probability measure is also a *quasi-limiting distribution*, defined as a probability measure such that there exists a subset $\mathcal{D}(\alpha) \subset \mathcal{M}_1(E)$, called *domain of attraction of α* , such that, for all $\mu \in \mathcal{D}(\alpha)$ and $A \in \mathcal{E}$,

$$\mathbb{P}_\mu[X_t \in A | \tau_\partial > t] \xrightarrow[t \rightarrow \infty]{} \alpha(A).$$

In particular, if α is a quasi-stationary distribution, $\alpha \in \mathcal{D}(\alpha)$ by (1). Conversely, we can show that any quasi-limiting distributions for X satisfy (1) for all $t \geq 0$ (see [24, Proposition 1]). In other terms, quasi-stationary and quasi-limiting distributions are equivalent notions.

Denote by $\lambda_0 := -\log(\mathbb{P}_\alpha[\tau_\partial > 1])$. Then, it is well-known (see [24, Proposition 2] for example) that, for all $t \geq 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}_\alpha[\tau_\partial > t] = e^{-\lambda_0 t}, \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

A consequence of this property coupled with (1) is that, for all $t \geq 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}_\alpha[X_t \in \cdot, \tau_\partial > t] = e^{-\lambda_0 t} \alpha(\cdot). \quad (2)$$

Conversely, if a probability measure α satisfies (2) for a given $\lambda_0 > 0$, then α is a quasi-stationary distribution for the process X . In that respect, the quasi-stationary distributions for X are exactly the probability left eigenmeasures for the semigroup $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$ defined as

$$P_t f(x) := \mathbb{E}_x(f(X_t) \mathbb{1}_{\tau_\partial > t})$$

for all $t \geq 0$, f belonging to a Banach space and $x \in E$. In what follows, we will use the notation

$$\mu P_t := \mathbb{P}_\mu(X_t \in \cdot, \tau_\partial > t)$$

when necessary.

Also, we assume that the process X admits a nonnegative function η defined on E , vanishing at ∂ and satisfying $\alpha(\eta) = 1$, such that, for all $x \in E$ and $t \geq 0$,

$$\mathbb{E}_x[\eta(X_t) \mathbb{1}_{\tau_\partial > t}] = e^{-\lambda_0 t} \eta(x).$$

η is therefore a dual eigenfunction for the semigroup $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$, with respect to the quasi-stationary distribution.

1.2 The main assumption and the Q -process

The main assumption on this process is that this process satisfies the following condition.

Assumption 1. *There exists a function $\psi_1 : E \rightarrow [1, +\infty)$, such that $\alpha(\psi_1) < +\infty$ and $\eta \in \mathbb{L}^\infty(\psi_1)$, as well as two constants $C, \gamma > 0$ such that, for any $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(E)$ and $t \geq 0$,*

$$\|e^{\lambda_0 t} \mu P_t - \mu(\eta)\alpha(\cdot)\|_{\psi_1} \leq C\mu(\psi_1)e^{-\gamma t}. \quad (3)$$

This assumption is satisfied under the general criteria Assumption (F) of [8] or Assumption (G) of [10]. In particular, it is shown in [8] that Assumption 1 is satisfied for a lot of processes such as multidimensional elliptic diffusion processes or processes defined in discrete state space. In particular, we refer the reader to [8, Sections 4 and 5] for examples for which Assumption 1 holds true. Assumption 1 is also satisfied for general strongly Feller processes, as shown in [15], and for some degenerate diffusion processes, as studied in [3, 21]. We refer the reader to [7, 14, 27, 2, 25] for alternative criteria ensuring Assumption 1.

We can show that Assumption 1 is equivalent to the following one.

Assumption 2. *Denoting $E' := \{x \in E : \eta(x) > 0\}$, the family of probability measures $(\mathbb{Q}_x)_{x \in E'}$ defined by*

$$\mathbb{Q}_x(\Gamma) := \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}_x(\Gamma | \tau_\partial > T), \quad \forall t \geq 0, \forall \Gamma \in \mathcal{F}_t,$$

is well-defined. Moreover, for all $x \in E'$, $t \geq 0$ and $\Gamma \in \mathcal{F}_t$,

$$\mathbb{Q}_x(\Gamma) = \mathbb{E}_x \left(\mathbb{1}_{\Gamma, \tau_\partial > t} e^{\lambda_0 t} \frac{\eta(X_t)}{\eta(x)} \right).$$

under $(\mathbb{Q}_x)_{x \in E'}$, X is a Markov process on E' admitting $\beta(dx) := \eta(x)\alpha(dx)$ as an invariant probability measure and, for all $t \geq 0$ and $x \in E'$,

$$\|\mathbb{Q}_x(X_t \in \cdot) - \beta\|_{\frac{\psi_1}{\eta}} \leq C \frac{\psi_1(x)}{\eta(x)} e^{-\gamma t}, \quad (4)$$

where $C, \gamma > 0$ are the same constants as in (3). Moreover, for all $x \in E'$, $t \geq 0$, $T \geq t$ and $\Gamma \in \mathcal{F}_t$,

$$|\mathbb{Q}_x(\Gamma) - \mathbb{P}_x(\Gamma | \tau_\partial > T)| \leq C \frac{\psi_1(x)}{\eta(x)} e^{-\gamma(T-t)}. \quad (5)$$

Moreover, $\beta(\psi_1/\eta) < +\infty$.

Since the process X under $(\mathbb{Q}_x)_{x \in E'}$ is a Markov process, the family of operators $(Q_t)_{t \geq 0}$ defined by

$$Q_t f(x) := \mathbb{E}_x^{\mathbb{Q}}(f(X_t)), \quad \forall t \geq 0, \forall x \in E', \forall f \in \mathbb{L}^\infty(\psi_1/\eta),$$

where $\mathbb{E}_x^{\mathbb{Q}}$ is the expectation associated to \mathbb{Q}_x , is a semigroup. In the literature (see for example [8, Theorem 2.7]), the Markov process associated to this semigroup is called the Q -process.

One has more precisely that Assumption 1 implies Assumption 2 and that the property (4) implies Assumption 1. In particular, Assumption 1 (equivalently Assumption 2) is satisfied when the Q -process satisfies the assumptions 1 and 2 in [17]. Moreover, the inequality (4) implies, since $\eta \in \mathbb{L}^\infty(\psi_1)$, the existence of a constant $C > 0$ such that, for all $x \in E'$ and $t \geq 0$,

$$\|\delta_x Q_t - \beta\|_{TV} \leq C \frac{\psi_1(x)}{\eta(x)} e^{-\gamma t}, \quad (6)$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{TV}$ denotes the total variation norm.

1.3 The main result

A consequence of (5) (see for example [9] for a proof of this statement) is that

$$\mathbb{E}_\mu \left[\frac{1}{t} \int_0^t f(X_s) ds \middle| \tau_\partial > t \right] \xrightarrow[t \rightarrow \infty]{} \beta(f), \quad (7)$$

for all bounded measurable function f and $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(E)$ satisfying $\mu(\psi_1) < +\infty$ and $\mu(\eta) > 0$. A probability measure β satisfying (7) is called a *quasi-ergodic distribution*.

The aim of this paper is to prove a Berry-Esseen-like theorem for processes satisfying Assumption 1, conditioned not to be absorbed up to the time t . Existing results stating a conditional central limit theorem for absorbing discrete-time Markov chains can be found in [11, 23, 1, 26, 4, 18]. In particular, in [11, Section 3.6], it is stated that, for any Markov chain $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ defined on a finite state space $E \cup \{\partial\}$ (absorbed at ∂) whose the matrix $(\mathbb{P}_i(X_1 = j))_{i,j \in E}$ is irreducible and aperiodic, one has that, for all function f such that $\beta(f) = 0$, the limit

$$\theta^2 := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{E}_\alpha \left(\left(\sum_{k=0}^n f(X_k) \right)^2 \middle| \tau_\partial > n \right)$$

is well-defined. If moreover $\theta^2 \neq 0$, one obtains

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}_\alpha \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{k=0}^n f(X_k) \leq y \middle| \tau_\partial > n \right] = \int_{-\infty}^y \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\theta^2}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2\theta^2}} dx,$$

for all $y \in \mathbb{R}$. Up to my knowledge, there does not exist any results on a Berry-Esseen-like theorem associated to these conditional central limit theorems.

The main result of this paper is then the following.

Theorem 1. *Assume that the process $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ satisfies Assumption 1 (or equivalently Assumption 2).*

Then there exists $C > 0$ such that, for all $f \in \mathbb{L}^\infty(\mathbb{1}_E)$ such that $\sigma_f^2 > 0$, $t > 0$ and $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(E)$ such that $\mu(\psi_1) < +\infty$ and $\mu(\eta) > 0$,

$$d_{Kolm} \left(\mathbb{P}_\mu \left(\sqrt{t} \left[\frac{1}{t} \int_0^t f(X_s) ds - \beta(f) \right] \in \cdot \middle| \tau_\partial > t \right), \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_f^2) \right) \leq \frac{C\mu(\psi_1)/\mu(\eta)}{\sqrt{t}}, \quad (8)$$

where $\mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_f^2)$ refers to the centered Gaussian variable of variance

$$\sigma_f^2 := 2 \int_0^\infty \mathbf{Cov}_\beta^\mathbb{Q}(f(X_0) f(X_s)) ds, \quad (9)$$

where $\mathbf{Cov}_\beta^\mathbb{Q}$ refers to the covariance with respect to the probability measure $\mathbb{Q}_\beta := \int_{E'} \beta(dx) \mathbb{Q}_x$.

In particular, (4) implies that $\sigma_f^2 < +\infty$ for any f bounded by 1, since, assuming without loss of generality that $\beta(f) = 0$, for all $k \geq 0$,

$$|\mathbb{E}_\beta^\mathbb{Q}(f(X_0) f(X_k))| = |\mathbb{E}_\beta^\mathbb{Q}(f(X_0) \mathbb{E}_{X_0}^\mathbb{Q}(f(X_k)))| \leq C\beta(\psi_1) e^{-\gamma k}. \quad (10)$$

This paper is only interested in processes conditioned not to be absorbed by absorbing states. Nevertheless, the following proofs can be adapted to general non-conservative semigroups satisfying Assumption 1. Some examples of such semigroups have been studied in [14, 2, 10, 28].

Theorem 1 will be proved at the last section. To prove it, we first need to show a central limit theorem for the Q -process satisfying (4). In particular, up to my knowledge, the papers dealing with central limit theorems for Markov processes require stronger hypotheses than (4). This central limit theorem allows then to conclude to a useful lemma, stated and proved in Section 3.

2 Central limit theorem for the Q -process

This first section aims to establish a central limit theorem for the Q -process. In the literature, central limit theorems for continuous-time Markov processes have been established in [19, 6, 22]. In particular, the papers [19, 6] made use of central limit theorems for martingales; the paper [22] used the Kato's theory on analytically perturbed operators.

In this paper, a central limit theorem will be proved for the Q -process studying the convergence of the moments of $\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \int_0^t f(X_s) ds$, for f such that $\beta(f) = 0$. Up to my knowledge, this method to establish a central limit theorem is new and allows to weaken the needed assumptions on the ergodicity of the Q -process. In particular, we will show that the only hypothesis (4) allows to obtain a central limit theorem for the Q -process (in particular, the papers [19, 6, 22] seems to need more restrictive hypotheses). However, this method is difficult to apply for discrete-time processes; we refer to [13, 12, 20, 16] for central limit theorems for discrete-time Markov chains.

In all what follows, for simplicity, we denote $\mathcal{B}_1(E)$ the set of the bounded by 1 measurable functions defined over E , and we define for all $x \in E'$

$$\psi(x) := \frac{\psi_1(x)}{\eta(x)}.$$

In this section, the following theorem will be proved.

Theorem 2. *Under Assumption 1 (or equivalently Assumption 2), there exists $C > 0$ such that, for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(E')$ such that $\mu(\psi) < +\infty$, $f \in \mathcal{B}_1(E')$ such that $\beta(f) = 0$ and $t > 0$,*

$$\left| \mathbb{E}_\mu^{\mathbb{Q}} \left(\frac{1}{t^k} \left(\int_0^t f(X_s) ds \right)^{2k} \right) - \frac{(2k)!}{k!} \sigma_f^{2k} \right| \leq C \frac{\mu(\psi)}{t} \quad (11)$$

and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_\mu^{\mathbb{Q}} \left(\frac{1}{t^k \sqrt{t}} \left(\int_0^t f(X_s) ds \right)^{2k+1} \right) = 0.$$

In particular, for all $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(E')$ such that $\mu(\psi) < +\infty$ and $f \in \mathcal{B}_1(E')$ such that $\beta(f) = 0$,

$$\mathbb{Q}_\mu \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \int_0^t f(X_s) ds \in \cdot \right) \xrightarrow[t \rightarrow \infty]{w} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_f^2),$$

where w refers to the weak convergence of measures.

In what follows, C refers to a constant whose the value could change all along the section 2.

Before proving Theorem 2, we need to prove the following lemmata.

Lemma 1. *For all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a constant $C \in (0, \infty)$ such that for all $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(E')$, $f \in \mathcal{B}_1(E')$ such that $\beta(f) = 0$ and $s_2 \leq \dots \leq s_{2k}$,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \mathbb{E}_\mu^{\mathbb{Q}} \left(\left[\int_0^{s_2} f(X_{s_1}) ds_1 \right] f(X_{s_2}) \dots \left[\int_{s_{2k-2}}^{s_{2k}} f(X_{s_{2k-1}}) ds_{2k-1} \right] f(X_{s_{2k}}) \right) - \sigma_f^{2k} \right| \\ & \leq C \mu(\psi) \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (s_{2(i+1)} - s_{2i} + 1) e^{-\gamma(s_{2(i+1)} - s_{2i})}, \quad (12) \end{aligned}$$

where $s_0 = 0$ by convention.

Proof. We prove it by induction on k . We begin by showing the case $k = 1$. For all $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(E')$ and $f \in \mathcal{B}_1(E')$ and $t \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}_\mu^\mathbb{Q} \left(\left[\int_0^t f(X_s) ds \right] f(X_t) \right) &= \int_0^t \mathbb{E}_\mu^\mathbb{Q}(f(X_s)f(X_t)) ds \\ &= \int_0^t \mathbb{E}_{\mu_{Q_{t-s}}}^\mathbb{Q}(f(X_0)f(X_s)) ds,\end{aligned}\quad (13)$$

where we recall that $(Q_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is the semigroup for the Q -process. By (6), for all $x \in E'$ and $f \in \mathcal{B}_1(E')$ such that $\beta(f) = 0$,

$$|\mathbb{E}_x^\mathbb{Q}(f(X_0)f(X_s))| \leq \mathbb{E}_x^\mathbb{Q}(|\mathbb{E}_{X_0}^\mathbb{Q}(f(X_s))|) \leq C\psi(x)e^{-\gamma s}.$$

Hence, by (13), (4) and this last inequality, for all $t \geq 0$, $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(E')$ such that $\mu(\psi) < +\infty$ and $f \in \mathcal{B}_1(E')$ such that $\beta(f) = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}\left| \mathbb{E}_\mu^\mathbb{Q} \left(\left[\int_0^t f(X_s) ds \right] f(X_t) \right) - \int_0^t \mathbb{E}_\beta^\mathbb{Q}(f(X_0)f(X_s)) ds \right| &\leq C\mu(\psi) \int_0^t e^{-\gamma(t-s)} \|\mathbb{E}^\mathbb{Q}(f(X_0)f(X_s))\|_{L^\infty(\psi)} ds \\ &\leq C\mu(\psi) \int_0^t e^{-\gamma(t-s)} C e^{-\gamma s} ds \\ &\leq C\mu(\psi) t e^{-\gamma t}.\end{aligned}\quad (14)$$

Moreover, since $\beta(f) = 0$, by (10), for all $t \geq 0$,

$$\left| \int_t^\infty \mathbb{E}_\beta^\mathbb{Q}(f(X_0)f(X_s)) ds \right| \leq \int_t^\infty C\beta(\psi) e^{-\gamma s} ds \leq \frac{C\beta(\psi)}{\gamma} e^{-\gamma t}.\quad (15)$$

Hence, there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\left| \mathbb{E}_\mu^\mathbb{Q} \left(\left[\int_0^t f(X_s) ds \right] f(X_t) \right) - \sigma_f^2 \right| \leq C\mu(\psi)(t+1)e^{-\gamma t}.$$

This concludes the base case.

Let $k-1 \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that the hypothesis of induction is satisfied. Then, by Markov property,

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{E}_\mu^\mathbb{Q} \left(\int_0^{s_2} f(X_{s_1}) ds_1 f(X_{s_2}) \dots \int_{s_{2k-2}}^{s_{2k}} f(X_{s_{2k-1}}) ds_{2k-1} f(X_{s_{2k}}) \right) \\ &= \mathbb{E}_\mu^\mathbb{Q} \left(\int_0^{s_2} f(X_{s_1}) ds_1 f(X_{s_2}) \mathbb{E}_{X_{s_2}}^\mathbb{Q} \left(\int_{s_2}^{s_4} f(X_{s_3-s_2}) ds_3 f(X_{s_4-s_2}) \dots \int_{s_{2k-2}}^{s_{2k}} f(X_{s_{2k-1}-s_2}) ds_{2k-1} f(X_{s_{2k}-s_2}) \right) \right) \\ &= \mathbb{E}_\mu^\mathbb{Q} \left(\int_0^{s_2} f(X_{s_1}) ds_1 f(X_{s_2}) \mathbb{E}_{X_{s_2}}^\mathbb{Q} \left(\int_0^{s_4-s_2} f(X_{s_3}) ds_3 f(X_{s_4-s_2}) \dots \int_{s_{2k-2}-s_2}^{s_{2k}-s_2} f(X_{s_{2k-1}}) ds_{2k-1} f(X_{s_{2k}-s_2}) \right) \right).\end{aligned}\quad (16)$$

By hypothesis, there exists $C > 0$ such that, for all $s_2 \leq s_4 \dots \leq s_{2k}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\left| \mathbb{E}_{X_{s_2}}^\mathbb{Q} \left(\int_0^{s_4-s_2} f(X_{s_3-s_2}) ds_3 f(X_{s_4-s_2}) \dots \int_{s_{2k-2}-s_2}^{s_{2k}-s_2} f(X_{s_{2k-1}}) ds_{2k-1} f(X_{s_{2k}-s_2}) \right) - \sigma_f^{2k-2} \right| \\ \leq C\psi(X_{s_2}) \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} s_2(s_{2(i+1)} - s_{2i} + 1) e^{-\gamma(s_{2(i+1)} - s_{2i})}.\end{aligned}\quad (17)$$

Moreover, since $\beta(f) = 0$, there exists $C > 0$ such that, for all $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(E')$, for all $s_2 \geq 0$,

$$\left| \mathbb{E}_\mu^{\mathbb{Q}} \left(\int_0^{s_2} f(X_s) ds f(X_{s_2}) \psi(X_{s_2}) \right) \right| \leq C\mu(\psi). \quad (18)$$

As a matter of fact, for all $t \geq 0$, $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(E')$ and $f, g \in \mathbb{L}^\infty(\psi)$,

$$\int_0^t \mathbb{E}_\mu^{\mathbb{Q}} [f(X_s)g(X_t)] ds = \int_0^t \mathbb{E}_\mu^{\mathbb{Q}} [f(X_s)\mathbb{E}_{X_s}(g(X_{t-s}))] ds.$$

Thus, by (4), for all $t \geq 0$, $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(E')$, $f \in \mathcal{B}_1(E')$ and $g \in \mathbb{L}^\infty(\psi)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^t \mathbb{E}_\mu^{\mathbb{Q}} [f(X_s)g(X_t)] ds - \int_0^t \mathbb{E}_\mu^{\mathbb{Q}} [f(X_s)] \beta(g) ds \right| &\leq C\|g\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty(\psi)} \int_0^t \mu(\psi) e^{-\gamma(t-s)} ds \\ &\leq C\|g\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty(\psi)} \mu(\psi). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, again by (4), for all $t \geq 0$, $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(E')$ and $f \in \mathcal{B}_1(E')$ such that $\beta(f) = 0$,

$$\left| \int_0^t \mathbb{E}_\mu^{\mathbb{Q}} [f(X_s)] ds \right| \leq C\mu(\psi) \int_0^t e^{-\gamma s} ds \leq C\mu(\psi).$$

These two last inequalities applied to $g = f \times \psi$ imply (18).

Hence, by (16), (17) and (18),

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \mathbb{E}_\mu^{\mathbb{Q}} \left(\int_0^{s_2} f(X_{s_1}) ds_1 f(X_{s_2}) \dots \int_{s_{2k-2}}^{s_{2k}} f(X_{2k-1}) ds_{2k-1} f(X_{s_{2k}}) \right) - \sigma_f^{2(k-1)} \mathbb{E}_\mu^{\mathbb{Q}} \left(\int_0^{s_2} f(X_{s_1}) ds_1 f(X_{s_2}) \right) \right| \\ &\leq C \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (s_{2(i+1)} - s_{2i} + 1) e^{-\gamma(s_{2(i+1)} - s_{2i})} \mathbb{E}_\mu^{\mathbb{Q}} \left(\int_0^{s_2} f(X_s) ds f(X_{s_2}) \psi(X_{s_2}) \right) \\ &\leq C\mu(\psi) \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (s_{2(i+1)} - s_{2i} + 1) e^{-\gamma(s_{2(i+1)} - s_{2i})}. \end{aligned}$$

This and the case $k = 1$ conclude the induction. \square

We need also the following lemma.

Lemma 2. *For all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $C \in (0, +\infty)$ such that, for $t \geq 1$,*

$$\int_{0 \leq s_2 \dots \leq s_{2k} \leq t} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (s_{2(i+1)} - s_{2i} + 1) e^{-\gamma(s_{2(i+1)} - s_{2i})} ds_2 \dots ds_{2k} \leq Ct^{k-1}. \quad (19)$$

Proof. We prove (19) by induction on k . The case $k = 1$ can easily be obtained by the

reader. Now, assume that (19) holds true for $k - 1 \in \mathbb{N}$. For all $t \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \int_{0 \leq s_2 \leq \dots \leq s_{2k} \leq t} (s_{2(i+1)} - s_{2i} + 1) e^{-\gamma(s_{2(i+1)} - s_{2i})} ds_2 \dots ds_{2k} \\
&= \int_{0 \leq s_2 \leq \dots \leq s_{2k} \leq t} \sum_{i=0}^{k-2} (s_{2(i+1)} - s_{2i} + 1) e^{-\gamma(s_{2(i+1)} - s_{2i})} ds_2 \dots ds_{2k} \\
&\quad + \int_{0 \leq s_2 \leq \dots \leq s_{2k} \leq t} (s_{2k} - s_{2k-1} + 1) e^{-\gamma(s_{2k} - s_{2k-1})} ds_2 \dots ds_{2k} \\
&= \int_0^t \left[\int_{0 \leq s_2 \leq \dots \leq s_{2(k-1)} \leq s_{2k}} \sum_{i=0}^{k-2} (s_{2(i+1)} - s_{2i} + 1) e^{-\gamma(s_{2(i+1)} - s_{2i})} ds_2 \dots ds_{2(i-1)} \right] ds_{2k} \\
&\quad + \int_{0 \leq s_2 \leq \dots \leq s_{2k} \leq t} (s_{2k} - s_{2(k-1)} + 1) e^{-\gamma(s_{2k} - s_{2(k-1)})} ds_2 \dots ds_{2k}. \quad (20)
\end{aligned}$$

By hypothesis, for all $t \geq 0$,

$$\int_0^t \left[\int_{0 \leq s_2 \leq \dots \leq s_{2(k-1)} \leq s_{2k}} \sum_{i=0}^{k-2} (s_{2(i+1)} - s_{2i}) e^{-\gamma(s_{2(i+1)} - s_{2i})} ds_2 \dots ds_{2(i-1)} \right] ds_{2k} \leq \int_0^t C s_{2k}^{k-2} ds_{2k} = C \frac{t^{k-1}}{k-1}.$$

For all $t \geq 0$, the second term of (20) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0 \leq s_{2(k-1)} \leq s_{2k} \leq t} (s_{2k} - s_{2(k-1)} + 1) e^{-\gamma(s_{2k} - s_{2(k-1)})} \left[\int_{0 \leq s_2 \leq \dots \leq s_{2k-1}} ds_2 \dots ds_{2(k-2)} \right] ds_{2k-1} ds_{2k} \\
&= \int_{0 \leq r \leq s \leq t} (s - r + 1) e^{-\gamma(s-r)} \frac{r^{k-2}}{(k-2)!} dr ds \\
&= \int_0^t \left[\int_r^t (s - r + 1) e^{-\gamma(s-r)} ds \right] \frac{r^{k-2}}{(k-2)!} dr \\
&= \int_0^t \left(\int_0^{t-r} (u + 1) e^{-\gamma u} du \right) \frac{r^{k-2}}{(k-2)!} dr \leq C t^{k-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, (19) is proved. \square

We can now prove Theorem 2.

Proof of Theorem 2. We begin by the convergence of the even moment. For all $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(E')$, $t \geq 0$, $f \in \mathcal{B}_1(E')$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}_\mu^\mathbb{Q} \left(\left(\int_0^t f(X_s) ds \right)^{2k} \right) \\
&= (2k)! \int_{0 \leq s_2 \leq \dots \leq s_{2k} \leq t} \int_0^{s_2} \int_{s_2}^{s_4} \dots \int_{s_{2k-2}}^{s_{2k}} \mathbb{E}_\mu^\mathbb{Q} (f(X_{s_1}) f(X_{s_2}) \dots f(X_{s_{2k-1}}) f(X_{s_{2k}})) ds_1 \dots ds_{2k}. \quad (21)
\end{aligned}$$

Then, assuming moreover that $\beta(f) = 0$, by (21), (12) and (19), there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\left| \mathbb{E}_\mu^\mathbb{Q} \left(\left(\int_0^t f(X_s) ds \right)^{2k} \right) - \frac{(2k)!}{k!} t^k \sigma_f^{2k} \right| \leq C \mu(\psi) t^{k-1}, \quad (22)$$

which implies (11). Now, for all $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(E')$, $t \geq 0$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $f \in \mathcal{B}_1(E')$ such that $\beta(f) = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}_\mu^{\mathbb{Q}} \left(\left(\int_0^t f(X_s) ds \right)^{2k+1} \right) \\ &= (2k+1)! \int_0^t \mathbb{E}_\mu^{\mathbb{Q}} \left(f(X_s) \mathbb{E}_{X_s}^{\mathbb{Q}} \left[\int_{0 \leq s_2 \leq \dots \leq s_{2k+1} \leq t-s} f(X_{s_2}) \dots f(X_{s_{2k+1}}) ds_2 \dots ds_{2k+1} \right] \right) ds \\ &= (2k+1) \int_0^t \mathbb{E}_\mu^{\mathbb{Q}} \left(f(X_s) \mathbb{E}_{X_s}^{\mathbb{Q}} \left(\left(\int_0^{t-s} f(X_u) du \right)^{2k} \right) \right) ds \\ &= (2k+1) \int_0^t \mathbb{E}_\mu^{\mathbb{Q}} \left(f(X_{t-s}) \mathbb{E}_{X_{t-s}}^{\mathbb{Q}} \left(\left(\int_0^s f(X_u) du \right)^{2k} \right) \right) ds. \end{aligned}$$

By (22) and using that $\mathbb{E}_\mu^{\mathbb{Q}}[f(X_{t-s})C\psi(X_{t-s})] \leq C\mu(\psi)$ for all $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(E')$, $f \in \mathcal{B}_1(E')$ and $s \leq t$, there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\left| \mathbb{E}_\mu^{\mathbb{Q}} \left(\left(\int_0^t f(X_s) ds \right)^{2k+1} \right) - \frac{(2k+1)!}{k!} \sigma_f^{2k} \int_0^t s^k \mathbb{E}_\mu^{\mathbb{Q}}(f(X_{t-s})) ds \right| \leq (2k+1)C\mu(\psi) \frac{t^k}{k}. \quad (23)$$

Since $\beta(f) = 0$, for all $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(E')$ and $s \leq t$,

$$|\mathbb{E}_\mu^{\mathbb{Q}}[f(X_{t-s})]| \leq C\mu(\psi)e^{-\gamma(t-s)}. \quad (24)$$

There exists $C > 0$ such that, for all $t > 0$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$,

$$\frac{1}{t^{k+\frac{1}{2}}} \int_0^t s^k e^{-\gamma(t-s)} ds = \frac{e^{-\gamma t}}{t^{k+1/2}} \int_0^t s^k e^{\gamma s} ds \leq \frac{e^{-\gamma t}}{\sqrt{t}} \int_0^t e^{\gamma s} ds \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{t}}. \quad (25)$$

We deduce from (23), (24) and (25) that, for all $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(E')$ such that $\mu(\psi) < +\infty$ and $f \in \mathcal{B}_1(E')$ such that $\beta(f) = 0$,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t^{k+1/2}} \mathbb{E}_\mu^{\mathbb{Q}} \left(\left(\int_0^t f(X_s) ds \right)^{2k+1} \right) = 0.$$

The central limit theorem for the Q -process is deduced from Lévy's continuity theorem. \square

Remark 1. *The inequality (11) tells that the moments of even order converge to the ones of a Gaussian law at speed $1/t$. Gathering (23), (24) and (25), one obtains $1/\sqrt{t}$ as speed for the convergence of the moments of odd order. Using a similar reasoning as used in the proof of Theorem 1 (in the last section), a Berry-Esseen theorem could be expected for continuous-time Markov processes satisfying (4), which would better the result stated in [22, Theorem 1.5]. In the discrete-time setting, we refer the reader to [5] for a Berry-Esseen theorem under general ergodic assumptions.*

3 A useful lemma for Theorem 1

The aim of this section is to prove the following result, which can be seen as an improved central limit theorem for the Q -process.

Lemma 3. *Assume Assumption 1 (or equivalently Assumption 2). Then, for all $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(E')$ such that $\mu(\psi_1/\eta) < \infty$, $f \in \mathcal{B}_1(E')$ such that $\beta(f) = 0$ and $\sigma_f^2 > 0$ (as defined in (9)), and $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$,*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{g \in \mathbb{L}^\infty(\psi): \|g\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty(\psi)} \leq 1} \left| \mathbb{E}_\mu^\mathbb{Q} \left[e^{\frac{i\omega}{\sqrt{t}} \int_0^t f(X_s) ds} g(X_t) \right] - \beta(g) e^{-\frac{\sigma_f^2 \omega^2}{2}} \right| = 0, \quad (26)$$

where we recall that, for all $x \in E'$,

$$\psi(x) = \frac{\psi_1(x)}{\eta(x)}.$$

Proof of Lemma 3. For all $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(E')$, $f \in \mathcal{B}(E')$ such that $\beta(f) = 0$, $t \geq 0$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $g \in \mathbb{L}^\infty(\psi)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_\mu^\mathbb{Q} \left(\left(\int_0^t f(X_s) ds \right)^k g(X_t) \right) &= k \int_0^t \mathbb{E}_\mu^\mathbb{Q} \left(\left[\int_0^s f(X_u) du \right]^{k-1} f(X_s) g(X_t) \right) ds \\ &= k \int_0^t \mathbb{E}_\mu^\mathbb{Q} \left(\left[\int_0^s f(X_u) du \right]^{k-1} f(X_s) \mathbb{E}_{X_s}^\mathbb{Q}(g(X_{t-s})) \right) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for all $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(E')$, $t \geq 0$, $f \in \mathcal{B}_1(E')$ such that $\beta(f) = 0$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $g \in \mathbb{L}^\infty(\psi)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_\mu^\mathbb{Q} \left(\left(\int_0^t f(X_s) ds \right)^k g(X_t) \right) - \beta(g) \mathbb{E}_\mu^\mathbb{Q} \left(\left(\int_0^t f(X_s) ds \right)^k \right) \\ = k \int_0^t \mathbb{E}_\mu^\mathbb{Q} \left(\left(\int_0^s f(X_u) du \right)^{k-1} f(X_s) [\mathbb{E}_{X_s}^\mathbb{Q}(g(X_{t-s})) - \beta(g)] \right) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, using that $e^{\frac{i\omega}{\sqrt{t}} \int_0^t f(X_s) ds} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{i^k \omega^k}{t^{k/2}} \left(\int_0^t f(X_s) ds \right)^k$ for all $t \geq 0$, $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$, and $f \in \mathcal{B}_1(E')$ such that $\beta(f) = 0$, then, using the above equality, for all $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(E')$ and $g \in \mathbb{L}^\infty(\psi)$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E}_\mu^\mathbb{Q} \left(e^{\frac{i\omega}{\sqrt{t}} \int_0^t f(X_s) ds} g(X_t) \right) - \beta(g) \mathbb{E}_\mu^\mathbb{Q} \left(e^{\frac{i\omega}{\sqrt{t}} \int_0^t f(X_s) ds} \right) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{i\omega}{\sqrt{t}} \right)^k \frac{1}{k!} \left\{ \mathbb{E}_\mu^\mathbb{Q} \left(\left(\int_0^t f(X_s) ds \right)^k g(X_t) \right) - \beta(g) \mathbb{E}_\mu^\mathbb{Q} \left(\left(\int_0^t f(X_s) ds \right)^k \right) \right\} \\ &= \mathbb{E}_\mu^\mathbb{Q}(g(X_t)) - \beta(g) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{i\omega}{\sqrt{t}} \right)^k \frac{1}{k!} \left\{ \mathbb{E}_\mu^\mathbb{Q} \left(\left(\int_0^t f(X_s) ds \right)^k g(X_t) \right) - \beta(g) \mathbb{E}_\mu^\mathbb{Q} \left(\left(\int_0^t f(X_s) ds \right)^k \right) \right\} \\ &= \mathbb{E}_\mu^\mathbb{Q}(g(X_t)) - \beta(g) + \frac{i\omega}{\sqrt{t}} \int_0^t \mathbb{E}_\mu^\mathbb{Q} \left(e^{\frac{i\omega}{\sqrt{t}} \int_0^s f(X_s) ds} f(X_s) [\mathbb{E}_{X_s}^\mathbb{Q}(g(X_{t-s})) - \beta(g)] \right) ds. \end{aligned}$$

By (4) one has, for all $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(E')$, \mathbb{Q}_μ -almost surely and for all $s \leq t$ and $g \in \mathbb{L}^\infty(\psi)$,

$$|\mathbb{E}_{X_s}^\mathbb{Q}(g(X_{t-s})) - \beta(g)| \leq C \|g\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty(\psi)} \frac{\psi_1(X_s)}{\eta(X_s)} e^{-\gamma(t-s)}.$$

Thus, for all $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(E')$, $t > 0$, $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$, $g \in \mathbb{L}^\infty(\psi)$ and $f \in \mathcal{B}_1(E')$ such that $\beta(f) = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \mathbb{E}_\mu^\mathbb{Q} \left(e^{\frac{i\omega}{\sqrt{t}} \int_0^t f(X_s) ds} g(X_t) \right) - \beta(g) \mathbb{E}_\mu^\mathbb{Q} \left(e^{\frac{i\omega}{\sqrt{t}} \int_0^t f(X_s) ds} \right) \right| \\ &\leq C \mu(\psi) \|g\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty(\psi)} e^{-\gamma t} + \frac{C \|g\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty(\psi)} \omega}{\sqrt{t}} \int_0^t C e^{-\gamma(t-s)} \mathbb{E}_\mu^\mathbb{Q}(\psi(X_s)) ds. \end{aligned}$$

This inequality and Theorem 2 implies (26) and concludes the proof. \square

4 Proof of Theorem 1

We can now prove Theorem 1. For all $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(E)$, $t > 0$ and $f \in \mathcal{B}_1(E)$, $g \in \mathbb{L}^\infty(\psi_1)$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}_\mu \left(\left[\int_0^t f(X_s) ds \right]^k g(X_t) \middle| \tau_\partial > t \right) = k! \int_{0 \leq s_1 \leq \dots \leq s_k \leq t} \mathbb{E}_\mu(f(X_{s_1})f(X_{s_2}) \dots f(X_{s_k})g(X_t) | \tau_\partial > t) ds_1 \dots ds_k \\ &= k! \int_0^t \mathbb{E}_\mu \left(\left[\int_{0 \leq s_1 \leq \dots \leq s_{k-1} \leq s} f(X_{s_1}) \dots f(X_{s_{k-1}}) ds_1 \dots ds_{k-1} \right] f(X_s)g(X_t) \middle| \tau_\partial > t \right) ds \\ &= k \int_0^t \mathbb{E}_\mu \left(\left[\int_0^s f(X_u) du \right]^{k-1} f(X_s)g(X_t) \middle| \tau_\partial > t \right) ds \\ &= k \int_0^t \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}_\mu(\tau_\partial > t)} \mathbb{E}_\mu \left(\left[\int_0^s f(X_u) du \right]^{k-1} f(X_s) \mathbb{E}_{X_s}(g(X_{t-s}) \mathbb{1}_{\tau_\partial > t-s}) \mathbb{1}_{\tau_\partial > s} \right) ds. \end{aligned}$$

For all $s \leq t$, $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(E)$, $g \in \mathbb{L}^\infty(\psi_1)$ and $x \in E$, denote

$$C_{\mu,g}(s, t, x) := \frac{\mu(\eta)}{e^{\lambda_0 s}} e^{\gamma(t-s)} \left\{ \frac{\mathbb{E}_x(g(X_{t-s}) \mathbb{1}_{\tau_\partial > t-s})}{\mathbb{P}_\mu(\tau_\partial > t)} - \frac{e^{\lambda_0 s} \eta(x) \alpha(g)}{\mu(\eta)} \right\}.$$

By triangular inequality, for all $s \leq t$ and $x \in E$,

$$\begin{aligned} |C_{\mu,g}(s, t, x)| &\leq \frac{\mu(\eta)}{e^{\lambda_0 s}} e^{\gamma(t-s)} \left\{ \left| \frac{\mathbb{E}_x[g(X_{t-s}) \mathbb{1}_{\tau_\partial > t-s}]}{\mathbb{P}_\mu(\tau_\partial > t)} - \frac{e^{-\lambda_0(t-s)} \eta(x) \alpha(g)}{\mathbb{P}_\mu(\tau_\partial > t)} \right| \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left| \frac{e^{-\lambda_0(t-s)} \eta(x) \alpha(g)}{\mathbb{P}_\mu(\tau_\partial > t)} - \frac{e^{\lambda_0 s} \eta(x) \alpha(g)}{\mu(\eta)} \right| \right\}. \quad (27) \end{aligned}$$

By (3),

$$\frac{\mu(\eta)}{e^{\lambda_0 s}} e^{\gamma(t-s)} \left| \frac{\mathbb{E}_x[g(X_{t-s}) \mathbb{1}_{\tau_\partial > t-s}]}{\mathbb{P}_\mu(\tau_\partial > t)} - \frac{e^{-\lambda_0(t-s)} \eta(x) \alpha(g)}{\mathbb{P}_\mu(\tau_\partial > t)} \right| \leq C \|g\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty(\psi_1)} \psi_1(x) \mu(\eta) \frac{e^{-\lambda_0 t}}{\mathbb{P}_\mu(\tau_\partial > t)}.$$

Again by (3),

$$e^{\lambda_0 t} \mathbb{P}_\mu(\tau_\partial > t) \geq \mu(\eta) - C\mu(\psi_1) e^{-\gamma t}.$$

Hence, for all $t \geq \frac{1}{\gamma} \log \left(\frac{2C\mu(\psi_1)}{\mu(\eta)} \right)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mu(\eta)}{e^{\lambda_0 t} \mathbb{P}_\mu(\tau_\partial > t)} &\leq \frac{1}{1 - C \frac{\mu(\psi_1)}{\mu(\eta)} e^{-\gamma t}} \\ &\leq 1 + 2C \frac{\mu(\psi_1)}{\mu(\eta)} e^{-\gamma t} \leq 2. \end{aligned}$$

For the second part of the right-hand side of the inequality (27),

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mu(\eta)}{e^{\lambda_0 s}} e^{\gamma(t-s)} \left| \frac{e^{-\lambda_0(t-s)} \eta(x) \alpha(g)}{\mathbb{P}_\mu(\tau_\partial > t)} - \frac{e^{\lambda_0 s} \eta(x) \alpha(g)}{\mu(\eta)} \right| &= \eta(x) \alpha(g) e^{\gamma(t-s)} \left| \frac{\mu(\eta)}{e^{\lambda_0 t} \mathbb{P}_\mu(\tau_\partial > t)} - 1 \right| \\ &\leq C \eta(x) \alpha(g) e^{-\gamma s} \frac{C\mu(\psi_1)}{e^{\lambda_0 t} \mathbb{P}_\mu(\tau_\partial > t)} \\ &\leq C \eta(x) \alpha(g) 2C \frac{\mu(\psi_1)}{\mu(\eta)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, these inequalities and (27) imply the existence of a constant $C' > 0$ such that, for all $s \leq t$ such that $t \geq \frac{1}{\gamma} \log \left(\frac{2C\mu(\psi_1)}{\mu(\eta)} \right)$ and $x \in E$,

$$|C_{\mu,g}(s,t,x)| \leq C' \|g\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty(\psi_1)} \left[\psi_1(x) + \frac{\mu(\psi_1)}{\mu(\eta)} \eta(x) \right]. \quad (28)$$

Thus, for all $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(E)$, $f \in \mathcal{B}_1(E)$ such that $\beta(f) = 0$, $g \in \mathbb{L}^\infty(\psi_1)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}_\mu \left(\left[\int_0^t f(X_s) ds \right]^k g(X_t) \middle| \tau_\partial > t \right) - \alpha(g) \mathbb{E}_{\eta \circ \mu}^{\mathbb{Q}} \left(\left[\int_0^t f(X_s) ds \right]^k \right) \\ &= k \times e^{-\gamma t} \int_0^t e^{\gamma s} \mathbb{E}_{\eta \circ \mu}^{\mathbb{Q}} \left(\left(\int_0^s f(X_u) du \right)^{k-1} \frac{f(X_s) C_{\mu,g}(s,t,X_s)}{\eta(X_s)} \right) ds. \end{aligned}$$

By an inequality presented in [22, Section 3], for all $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(E)$, $t > 0$, $f \in \mathcal{B}_1(E)$ such that $\beta(f) = 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$, and for $W > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \mathbb{P}_\mu \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \int_0^t f(X_s) ds \leq x \middle| \tau_\partial > t \right) - \mathbb{Q}_{\eta \circ \mu} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \int_0^t f(X_s) ds \leq x \right) \right| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-W}^W \frac{|\mathbb{E}_\mu(e^{\frac{i\omega}{\sqrt{t}} \int_0^t f(X_s) ds} \middle| \tau_\partial > t) - \mathbb{E}_{\eta \circ \mu}^{\mathbb{Q}}(e^{\frac{i\omega}{\sqrt{t}} \int_0^t f(X_s) ds})|}{|\omega|} d\omega + \frac{24}{\pi\sqrt{\pi}W}. \quad (29) \end{aligned}$$

Similarly to the proof of Lemma 3, for all $t \geq \frac{1}{\gamma} \log \left(\frac{2C\mu(\psi_1)}{\mu(\eta)} \right)$, $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$, $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(E)$ and f such that $\beta(f) = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}_\mu \left(e^{\frac{i\omega}{\sqrt{t}} \int_0^t f(X_s) ds} \middle| \tau_\partial > t \right) - \mathbb{E}_{\eta \circ \mu}^{\mathbb{Q}} \left[e^{\frac{i\omega}{\sqrt{t}} \int_0^t f(X_s) ds} \right] \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{i\omega}{\sqrt{t}} \right)^k \frac{1}{k!} \left\{ \mathbb{E}_\mu \left(\left[\int_0^t f(X_s) ds \right]^k \middle| \tau_\partial > t \right) - \mathbb{E}_{\eta \circ \mu}^{\mathbb{Q}} \left(\left[\int_0^t f(X_s) ds \right]^k \right) \right\} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{i^k \omega^k}{t^{k/2}} \frac{1}{(k-1)!} C e^{-\gamma t} \int_0^t e^{\gamma s} \mathbb{E}_{\eta \circ \mu}^{\mathbb{Q}} \left(\left(\int_0^s f(X_u) du \right)^{k-1} \frac{f(X_s) C_{\mu, \mathbb{1}_E}(s,t,X_s)}{\eta(X_s)} \right) ds \\ &= C e^{-\gamma t} \int_0^t e^{\gamma s} \frac{i\omega}{\sqrt{t}} \mathbb{E}_{\eta \circ \mu}^{\mathbb{Q}} \left(e^{\frac{i\omega}{\sqrt{s}} \int_0^s f(X_u) du} \frac{f(X_s) C_{\mu, \mathbb{1}_E}(s,t,X_s)}{\eta(X_s)} \right) ds. \quad (30) \end{aligned}$$

By (28), the family of functions $(f(\cdot) C_{\mu, \mathbb{1}_E}(s,t,\cdot) / \eta(\cdot))_{t \geq \frac{1}{\gamma} \log \left(\frac{2C\mu(\psi_1)}{\mu(\eta)} \right), s \leq t}$ is uniformly upper-bounded in $\mathbb{L}^\infty(\psi)$, as soon as $\mu(\psi_1) < +\infty$ and $\mu(\eta) > 0$. Under these conditions, Theorem 3 implies that

$$\left| \mathbb{E}_{\eta \circ \mu}^{\mathbb{Q}} \left(e^{\frac{i\omega}{\sqrt{s}} \int_0^s f(X_u) du} \frac{f(X_s) C_{\mu, \mathbb{1}_E}(s,t,X_s)}{\eta(X_s)} \right) - \alpha(f \times C_{\mu, \mathbb{1}_E}(s,t,\cdot)) e^{-\frac{\sigma_f^2 \omega^2}{2}} \right| \xrightarrow{s \rightarrow \infty, t \geq s} 0.$$

Moreover, by (28), one has for all $s \geq 0$,

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} |\alpha(f \times C_{\mu, \mathbb{1}_E}(s,t,\cdot))| \leq C' \alpha(\psi_1) + C' \frac{\mu(\psi_1)}{\mu(\eta)}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
& \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left| e^{-\gamma t} \int_0^t e^{\gamma s} \mathbb{P}_{\eta \circ \mu}^{\mathbb{Q}} \left(e^{\frac{i\omega}{\sqrt{s}}} \int_0^s f(X_u) du \frac{f(X_s) C_{\mu, \mathbb{1}_E}(s, t, X_s)}{\eta(X_s)} \right) ds \right| \\
& \leq \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{-\gamma t} \int_0^t e^{\gamma s} \left| \alpha(f \times C_{\mu, \mathbb{1}_E}(s, t, \cdot)) e^{-\frac{\sigma_f^2 \omega^2}{2}} \right| ds \leq \left(C' \alpha(\psi_1) + C' \frac{\mu(\psi_1)}{\mu(\eta)} \right) e^{-\frac{\sigma_f^2 \omega^2}{2}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

Thus, using (29), (30) and (31),

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \mathbb{P}_{\mu} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \int_0^t f(X_s) ds \leq x \mid \tau_{\partial} > t \right) - \mathbb{Q}_{\eta \circ \mu} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \int_0^t f(X_s) ds \leq x \right) \right| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-W}^W \frac{C' \alpha(\psi_1) + C' \frac{\mu(\psi_1)}{\mu(\eta)}}{\sqrt{t}} e^{-\frac{\sigma_f^2 \omega^2}{2}} d\omega + \frac{24}{\pi \sqrt{\pi W}}.
\end{aligned}$$

This proves Theorem 1.

References

- [1] Basel M Al-Eideh. A central limit theorem for absorbing Markov chains with r absorbing states. *Journal of Information and Optimization Sciences*, 15(3):387–392, 1994.
- [2] Vincent Bansaye, Bertrand Cloez, Pierre Gabriel, and Aline Marguet. A non-conservative Harris’ ergodic theorem. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1903.03946*, 2019.
- [3] Michel Benaïm, Nicolas Champagnat, William Oçafrain, and Denis Villemonais. Degenerate processes killed at the boundary of a domain. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2103.08534*, 2021.
- [4] Erwin Bolthausen. On a functional central limit theorem for random walks conditioned to stay positive. *The Annals of Probability*, pages 480–485, 1976.
- [5] Erwin Bolthausen. The Berry-Esseen theorem for strongly mixing Harris recurrent Markov chains. *Zeitschrift für Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und verwandte Gebiete*, 60(3):283–289, 1982.
- [6] Patrick Cattiaux, Djali Chafai, and Arnaud Guillin. Central limit theorems for additive functionals of ergodic Markov diffusions processes. *ALEA*, 9(2):337–382, 2012.
- [7] Nicolas Champagnat and Denis Villemonais. Exponential convergence to quasi-stationary distribution and Q -process. *Probability Theory and Related Fields*, 164(1-2):243–283, 2016.
- [8] Nicolas Champagnat and Denis Villemonais. General criteria for the study of quasi-stationarity. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1712.08092*, 2017.
- [9] Nicolas Champagnat and Denis Villemonais. Uniform convergence to the Q -process. *Electron. Commun. Probab.*, 22:Paper No. 33, 7, 2017.
- [10] Nicolas Champagnat and Denis Villemonais. Practical criteria for R -positive recurrence of unbounded semigroups. *Electronic Communications in Probability*, 25, 2020.
- [11] Pierre Collet, Servet Martínez, and Jaime San Martín. *Quasi-stationary distributions*. Probability and its Applications (New York). Springer, Heidelberg, 2013. Markov chains, diffusions and dynamical systems.
- [12] Yves Derriennic and Michael Lin. The central limit theorem for Markov chains started at a point. *Probability theory and related fields*, 125(1):73–76, 2003.

- [13] Roland L Dobrushin. Central limit theorem for nonstationary Markov chains. I. *Theory of Probability & Its Applications*, 1(1):65–80, 1956.
- [14] Grégoire Ferré, Mathias Rousset, and Gabriel Stoltz. More on the long time stability of feynman–kac semigroups. *Stochastics and Partial Differential Equations: Analysis and Computations*, 9(3):630–673, 2021.
- [15] Arnaud Guillin, Boris Nectoux, and Liming Wu. Quasi-stationary distribution for strongly Feller Markov processes by Lyapunov functions and applications to hypoelliptic hamiltonian systems. 2020.
- [16] Olle Häggström. On the central limit theorem for geometrically ergodic Markov chains. *Probability theory and related fields*, 132(1):74–82, 2005.
- [17] Martin Hairer and Jonathan C Mattingly. Yet another look at Harris’ ergodic theorem for Markov chains. In *Seminar on Stochastic Analysis, Random Fields and Applications VI*, pages 109–117. Springer, 2011.
- [18] Donald L Iglehart. Functional central limit theorems for random walks conditioned to stay positive. *The Annals of Probability*, 2(4):608–619, 1974.
- [19] Tomasz Komorowski and Anna Walczuk. Central limit theorem for Markov processes with spectral gap in the Wasserstein metric. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications*, 122(5):2155–2184, 2012.
- [20] Thomas G Kurtz. The central limit theorem for Markov chains. *The Annals of Probability*, pages 557–560, 1981.
- [21] Tony Lelièvre, Mouad Ramil, and Julien Reygner. Quasi-stationary distribution for the Langevin process in cylindrical domains, part I: existence, uniqueness and long-time convergence. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications*, 144:173–201, 2022.
- [22] Pascal Lezaud. Chernoff and Berry-Esséen inequalities for Markov processes. *ESAIM: Probability and Statistics*, 5:183–201, 2001.
- [23] Jane P Matthews. A central limit theorem for absorbing Markov chains. 1970.
- [24] Sylvie Méléard and Denis Villemonais. Quasi-stationary distributions and population processes. *Probab. Surv.*, 9:340–410, 2012.
- [25] William Oçafraïn. Convergence to quasi-stationarity through Poincaré inequalities and Bakry-Emery criteria. *Electronic Journal of Probability*, 26:1–30, 2021.
- [26] A Szubarga and D Szynal. Functional random central limit theorems for random walks conditioned to stay positive. *Probab. Math. Statist.*, 6:29–41, 1985.
- [27] Aurélien Velleret. Unique quasi-stationary distribution, with a possibly stabilizing extinction. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1802.02409*, 2018.
- [28] Denis Villemonais and Alexander Watson. A quasi-stationary approach to the long-term asymptotics of the growth-fragmentation equation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2202.12553*, 2022.