## Parameterized complexity of a single machine scheduling problem

## Maher Mallem<sup>1</sup>

## Sorbonne Université, CNRS, LIP6, F-75005 Paris, France

Many scheduling problems, even seemingly basic ones such as  $P2|chains|C_{max}$ , have been proved (strongly) NP-hard [7] over the years. [4] even showed that this problem remains weakly NP-hard with three chains. Upon reaching NP-hardness this quickly, it becomes difficult to establish anything deeper about these scheduling problems within the scope of classical complexity theory.

To answer this, parameterized complexity theory gives additional tools for a refined analysis of such hard scheduling problems. Given a parameter k and denoting n the input size, a problem is called *fixed – parameter tractable* (FPT) parameterized by k if it can be solved in time  $\mathcal{O}(poly(n) \times f(k))$  with f an arbitrary function [5]. The idea is to identify k as the limiting property and give a polynomial time algorithm for all instances with a bounded value of k. When the studied problem is believed to not be FPT, the W-hierarchy defined in [6] is usually used to evaluate its difficulty relative to the considered parameter. We have  $FPT = W[0] \subseteq$  $W[1] \subseteq W[2] \subseteq$  etc. and unless P = NP, all the inclusions are believed to be strict.

While parameterized complexity theory has been successfully applied to a lot of computer science areas, it has started to be studied extensively on scheduling only quite recently. One of the few older results was from [2] and showed that  $P|prec, p_j = 1|C_{max}$  is W[2]-hard parameterized by the number m of machines. Some interesting parameters recently studied include the width w of the partial order giving the precedence constraints [4] and, in the case of a precedence graph only formed of chains, the number of chains c or the thickness  $\tau$ , which is the maximum number of overlapping chain time windows at any given time [3].

The pathwidth - noted  $\mu$  - which we define as the maximum number of overlapping job time windows at any given time, has been considered only very recently [1, 8, 9]. To the best of our knowledge, this parameter has had no hardness result relative to it proved yet. Currently known hardness results relative to other similar parameters such as the width w of the precedence graph do not match. For example [2] showed that  $P2|prec, p_j \in \{1, 2\}|C_{max}$ is W[2]-hard parameterized by w, while it can be inferred from [8] that this problem is FPT parameterized by  $\mu$ . With  $\mu$  allowing such problems to be FPT when other parameters do not, it makes it all the more difficult to find a hardness result relative to  $\mu$ .

We consider the decision problem  $1|chains, r_i, \tilde{d}_i, p_i = 1, l_{i,j}|\star$  with  $l_{i,j}$  an exact delay (also called *time lag*) between two consecutive jobs in a chain. [3] established several hardness results with the number of chains c or the thickness  $\tau$  as the parameter, but all the hardness reductions required delays to be unary in the input. We show that  $1|chains, r_i, \tilde{d}_i, p_i = 1, l_{i,j}|\star$  is W[1]-hard parameterized by  $\mu$ . We reduce from INDEPENDENT SET which is a well-known W[1]-complete problem parameterized by the size k of the independent set. k chains of jobs are used to select the k nodes of the independent set and duplicate this information multiple times using exact delays. Then the edges are checked to not violate the supposedly independence of the chosen set. The edges are processed successively and individually in order to keep the pathwidth low. This reduction does not require unary delays and could potentially be reinvested in other scheduling problems involving delays.

## Références

- Robert Baart, Mathijs de Weerdt, and Lei He. Single-machine scheduling with release times, deadlines, setup times, and rejection. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 291(2):629–639, 2021.
- [2] Hans L Bodlaender and Michael R Fellows. W[2]-hardness of precedence constrained kprocessor scheduling. Operations Research Letters, 18(2):93–97, 1995.
- [3] Hans L Bodlaender and Marieke van der Wegen. Parameterized complexity of scheduling chains of jobs with delays. arXiv preprint arXiv :2007.09023, 2020.
- [4] Robert Bredereck, Laurent Bulteau, Christian Komusiewicz, Nimrod Talmon, René van Bevern, and Gerhard J Woeginger. Precedence-constrained scheduling problems parameterized by partial order width. In *International conference on discrete optimization and operations research*, pages 105–120. Springer, 2016.
- [5] R. Downey and M. Fellows. *Parameterized complexity*. Springer, 1999.
- [6] Rodney G Downey, Michael R Fellows, and Kenneth W Regan. Descriptive complexity and the W hierarchy. In Proof Complexity and Feasible Arithmetics, pages 119–134, 1996.
- [7] Jianzhong Du, Joseph YT Leung, and Gilbert H Young. Scheduling chain-structured tasks to minimize makespan and mean flow time. *Information and Computation*, 92(2):219–236, 1991.
- [8] Claire Hanen and Alix Munier-Kordon. Fixed-parameter tractability of scheduling dependent typed tasks subject to release times and deadlines. *Submitted*, 2021.
- [9] Alix Munier Kordon and Ning Tang. A fixed-parameter algorithm for scheduling unit dependent tasks with unit communication delays. In *European Conference on Parallel Processing*, pages 105–119. Springer, 2021.