



## Algorithmic aspects of quasi-kernels

Hélène Langlois, Frédéric Meunier, Romeo Rizzi, Stéphane Vialette

### ► To cite this version:

Hélène Langlois, Frédéric Meunier, Romeo Rizzi, Stéphane Vialette. Algorithmic aspects of quasi-kernels. 23ème congrès annuel de la Société Française de Recherche Opérationnelle et d'Aide à la Décision, INSA Lyon, Feb 2022, Villeurbanne - Lyon, France. <hal-03596166>

**HAL Id: hal-03596166**

**<https://hal.science/hal-03596166v1>**

Submitted on 3 Mar 2022

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



HAL Authorization

# Algorithmic aspects of quasi-kernels

Hélène Langlois<sup>1</sup>, Frédéric Meunier<sup>1</sup>, Romeo Rizzi<sup>2</sup>, Stéphane Vialette<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> CERMICS, École des Ponts ParisTech, 77455 Marne-la-Vallée, France

`{helene.langlois, frederic.meunier}@enpc.fr`

<sup>2</sup> Department of Computer Science, Università di Verona, 37129 Verona, Italy

`romeo.rizzi@univr.it`

<sup>3</sup> LIGM, Univ Gustave Eiffel, 77454 Marne-la-Vallée, France

`stephane.vialette@univ-eiffel.fr`

**Keywords :** *Quasi-kernel, digraph, computational complexity.*

## 1 Introduction

Let  $D = (V, A)$  be a digraph. A *kernel*  $K$  is a subset of vertices that is independent (*i.e.*, all pairs of distinct vertices of  $K$  are non-adjacent) and such that, for every vertex  $v \notin K$ , there exists  $w \in K$  with  $(v, w) \in A$ . Kernels were introduced in 1947 by von Neumann and Morgenstern [9]. It is now a central notion in graph theory and has important applications in relations with colorings, perfect graphs, game theory and economics, logic, etc. Chvátal proved that deciding whether a digraph has a kernel is **NP**-complete [2] and the problem is equally hard for planar digraphs with bounded degree [4].

Chvátal and Lovász [1] introduced quasi-kernels in 1974. A *quasi-kernel* in a digraph is a subset  $Q$  of vertices that is independent and such that every vertex of the digraph can reach some vertex in  $Q$  via a directed path of length at most two. In particular, any kernel is a quasi-kernel. Yet, unlike what happens for kernels, every digraph has a quasi-kernel. Chvátal and Lovász provided a proof of this fact, which can be turned into an easy polynomial-time algorithm.

In 1976, Erdős and Székely [3] conjectured that every sink-free digraph  $D = (V, A)$  has a quasi-kernel of size at most  $|V|/2$ . This question is known as the *small quasi-kernel conjecture*. So far, this conjecture is only confirmed for narrow classes of digraphs. In 2008, Heard and Huang [6] showed that every digraph  $D$  has two disjoint quasi-kernels if  $D$  is a sink-free tournament or a transitive digraph. In particular those graphs respect the small quasi-kernel conjecture. Recently, Kostochka et al. [7] renewed the interest in the small quasi-kernel conjecture and proved that the conjecture holds for orientations of 4-colorable graphs (in particular, for planar graphs).

## 2 Disjoint quasi-kernels

Towards proving the small quasi-kernel conjecture, Gutin et al. conjectured in 2001 that every sink-free digraph has two disjoint quasi-kernels, which would imply the small quasi-kernel conjecture. The same authors constructed a nice counterexample with 14 vertices [5] in 2004. We show that, not only sink-free digraphs occasionally fail to contain two disjoint quasi-kernels, but it is **NP**-complete to distinguish those that do from those that do not (our proof uses the counterexample constructed by Gutin et al.).

**Theorem 2.1.** *Deciding if a digraph has two disjoint quasi-kernels is **NP**-complete, even for digraphs with maximum out-degree six.*

Whereas the small quasi-kernel conjecture is true for sink-free planar digraphs [7], no sink-free planar digraph without two disjoint quasi-kernels is known so far. The sink-free digraph constructed

in Theorem 2.1 is not planar as it uses the counterexample constructed by Gutin et al. [5] that contains an orientation of  $K_7$ . This raises the question of deciding whether every sink-free planar digraph has two disjoint quasi-kernels. Although we have not been able to answer to this question, we show that it is NP-complete to distinguish those sink-free planar digraphs that have three disjoint quasi-kernels from those that do not.

**Proposition 2.2.** *Deciding if a digraph has three disjoint quasi-kernels is NP-complete, even for bounded degree planar digraphs.*

### 3 Minimum size quasi-kernels

In this work we also initiate the study of the problem of finding the minimum size of a quasi-kernel which we call MINQK (and we let QK stand for the related decision problem).

**Proposition 3.1.** *MINQK is polynomial-time solvable for orientations of trees.*

The next proposition shows that there is not so much room for extending Proposition 3.1.

**Proposition 3.2.** *QK is NP-complete, even for acyclic orientations of cubic graphs.*

Assuming  $\text{FPT} \neq \text{W}[2]$ , we show that one cannot confine the seemingly inevitable combinatorial explosion of computational difficulty to an additive function of the size of the quasi-kernel.

**Proposition 3.3.** *QK is W[2]-complete for parameter the size of the quasi-kernel, even for acyclic orientations of bipartite graphs.*

Combining the inapproximability result for SET COVER by Raz and Safra [8] with the proof of Proposition 3.3, we obtain the following result.

**Proposition 3.4.** *MINQK cannot be approximated in polynomial time within a factor of  $c \ln(|V|)$  for some constant  $c$  unless  $\text{P} = \text{NP}$ , even for acyclic orientations of bipartite graphs.*

As for non-approximability, we have the following result.

**Proposition 3.5.** *MINQK is APX-complete for acyclic digraphs with maximum in-degree three.*

### References

- [1] V. Chvátal and L. Lovász. Every directed graph has a semi-kernel. In *Hypergraph Seminar*, page 175, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1974. Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
- [2] V. Chvátal. On the computational complexity of finding a kernel. Technical Report CRM300, Centre de Recherches Mathématiques, Université de Montréal, 1973.
- [3] P.L. Erdős and L.A. Székely. *Two conjectures on quasi-kernels, Open Problems No. 4. in Fete of Combinatorics and Computer Science*. Bolyai Society Mathematical Studies, 2010.
- [4] A.S. Fraenkel. Planar kernel and Grundy with  $d \leq 3$ ,  $d_{\text{out}} \leq 2$ ,  $d_{\text{in}} \leq 2$  are NP-complete. *Discrete Appl. Math.*, 3(4) :257–262, 1981.
- [5] G. Gutin, K.M. Koh, E.G. Tay, and A. Yeo. On the number of quasi-kernels in digraphs. *J. Graph Theory*, 46(1) :48–56, 2004.
- [6] S. Heard and J. Huang. Disjoint quasi-kernels in digraphs. *J. Graph Theory*, 58(3) :251–260, 2008.
- [7] A. Kostochka, R. Luo, and S. Shan. Towards the Small Quasi-Kernel Conjecture. *arXiv :2001.04003*, January 2020.
- [8] R. Raz and S. Safra. A sub-constant error-probability low-degree test, and a sub-constant error-probability PCP characterization of NP. In *Proc. 29th STOC*, 1997.
- [9] J. von Neumann and O. Morgenstern. *Theory of games and economic behavior*. Princeton University Press, 1947.