

# Sedimentary structure discrimination with hyperspectral imaging in sediment cores

Kevin Jacq, William Rapuc, A Benoit, Didier Coquin, Bernard Fanget, Yves Perrette, Pierre Sabatier, Bruno Wilhelm, Maxime Debret, Fabien Arnaud

# ► To cite this version:

Kevin Jacq, William Rapuc, A Benoit, Didier Coquin, Bernard Fanget, et al.. Sedimentary structure discrimination with hyperspectral imaging in sediment cores. Science of the Total Environment, 2022, 817, pp.152018. 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.152018. hal-03594640

# HAL Id: hal-03594640 https://hal.science/hal-03594640

Submitted on 28 Nov 2022

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# 1 Sedimentary structure discrimination with hyperspectral imaging in

# 2 sediment cores

- 3 Kévin Jacq<sup>a,b\*</sup>, William Rapuc<sup>a</sup>, Alexandre Benoit<sup>b</sup>, Didier Coquin<sup>b</sup>, Bernard Fanget<sup>a</sup>, Yves Perrette<sup>a</sup>,
- 4 Pierre Sabatier<sup>a</sup>, Bruno Wilhelm<sup>c</sup>, Maxime Debret<sup>d</sup>, Fabien Arnaud<sup>a</sup>
- 5 a Univ. Grenoble Alpes, Univ. Savoie Mont Blanc, CNRS, EDYTEM, 73000 Chambéry, France
- 6 b Univ. Savoie Mont Blanc, LISTIC, 74000 Annecy, France
- 7 c Institute for Geosciences and Environmental Research, University Grenoble Alpes, CNRS, IRD,
- 8 Grenoble, France
- 9 d Univ. Rouen Normandie, Univ. Caen, CNRS, M2C, 76821 Mont-Saint-Aignan, France
- 10 Corresponding Author:
- 11 Kévin Jacq
- 12 UMR CNRS 5204 Environnements, Dynamiques et Territoires de la Montagne (EDYTEM)
- 13 Université Savoie Mont Blanc, Campus scientifique,
- 14 73376 Le Bourget du Lac cedex, France
- 15 jacq.kevin@hotmail.fr
- 16
- 17

#### 18 Abstract

19 Hyperspectral imaging (HSI) is a non-destructive, high-resolution imaging technique that is currently 20 under significant development for analyzing geological areas with remote devices or natural samples 21 in a laboratory. In both cases, the hyperspectral image provides several sedimentary structures that 22 must be separated to temporally and spatially describe the sample. Sediment sequences are composed 23 of successive deposits (strata, homogenite, flood) that are visible depending on sample properties. The 24 classical methods to identify them are time-consuming, have a low spatial resolution (millimeters) and 25 are generally based on naked-eye counting. In this study, we compare several supervised classification 26 algorithms to discriminate sedimentological structures in lake sediments. Instantaneous events in lake 27 sediments are generally linked to extreme geodynamical events (e.g., floods, earthquakes), so their 28 identification and counting are essential to understand long-term fluctuations and improve hazard 29 assessments. Identification and counting are done by reconstructing a chronicle of event layer 30 occurrence, including estimation of deposit thicknesses. Here, we applied two hyperspectral imaging 31 sensors (Visible Near-Infrared, VNIR, 60 μm, 400-1 000 nm; Short Wave Infrared, SWIR, 200 μm, 1 000-32 2 500 nm) on three sediment cores from different lake systems. We highlight that the SWIR sensor is 33 the optimal one for creating robust classification models with discriminant analyses (prediction accuracies of 0.87-0.98). Indeed, the VNIR sensor is impacted by the surface reliefs and structures that 34 35 are not in the learning set, which causes mis-classification. These observations are also valid for the 36 combined sensor (VNIR-SWIR) and the RGB images. Several spatial and spectral pre-processing were 37 also compared and enabled one to highlight discriminant information specific to a sample and a sensor. 38 These works show that the combined use of hyperspectral imaging and machine learning improves the 39 characterization of sedimentary structures compared to conventional methods.

40

41 Keywords: Hyperspectral Imaging, Machine Learning, Discrimination methods, Visible and Near-

42 Infrared Spectroscopy, Automatic Detection, Sedimentary Deposits

## 43 **1** Introduction

44 Natural archives, such as sediment cores, are composed of a succession of deposits, so they record 45 past climate and environment biological-physical-chemical variations. There are two main processes: 46 continuous sedimentation and event layers, and the former can be interrupted by the latter. The 47 continuous sedimentation enables one to create an age-depth model and infer the environment and 48 climate conditions. Event layers, e.g., related to floods (Gaume et al., 2009; Glur et al., 2013), storms 49 (Sabatier et al., 2012), landslides, earthquakes (Wilhelm et al., 2016), tsunamis (Chagué-Goff, 2010), 50 and eruptions, are linked to some of the most damaging disasters in terms of economic and societal 51 losses. Currently, in an overwhelming majority of laboratory studies on natural archives, sedimentary 52 structures are first visually described; subsequently, numerous physical (X-ray imaging, computed 53 tomography scan, grain-size) and chemical (X-ray fluorescence or diffraction, scanning electron 54 microscopy) analyses are undertaken. From those results, the event layers are identified and 55 described. Then, layers with identical characteristics (e.g., color and texture) are usually counted by 56 naked-eye observation. This approach is time-consuming, characterized by a low spatial resolution and 57 subject to high uncertainties due to human interpretation (Lotter and Lemcke, 1999). To overcome 58 these limits, several semi-automatic methods were developed to discriminate these sedimentary 59 deposits from RGB images. The main approaches study the strata from annually laminated sediment 60 to create an age-depth model with their discretization, but they only use a line or a combination of 61 segments, and a deposit is characterized by the detection of the maxima (Meyer et al., 2006; Weber 62 et al., 2010). Similarly, for the automatic detection of event layers, Vannière et al. (2013) proposed to 63 use a 1/Red signal and threshold. Some studies also used discrimination methods based on labeled 64 pixels to create classification maps, e.g., an adaptive neuro-fuzzy inference system (Ebert and Trauth, 65 2015) or K-nearest neighbor (Ndiaye et al., 2012). Thereby, only the color signal has been investigated, while many other parameters are potentially useful to distinguish event layers, such as the texture, 66 67 grain size, and chemical composition (Fouinat et al., 2017; Gilli et al., 2013; Wilhelm et al., 2018). A 68 more relevant approach will automatically detect event layers considering all of these parameters.

69 In the current literature, a great number of works focus on the use of hyperspectral imaging (HSI) data 70 for resource management. For instance, in agriculture applications (Teke et al., 2013) several works 71 are focusing on the analysis of (i) environmental stress in crops and associated diseases (Feng et al., 72 2017), (ii) crops variability (Rubwurm and Korner, 2017), and (iii) soil erosion stages (Chabrillat et al., 73 2014) among many others. In forestry and environmental management, relevant works care about 74 analyzing the status and health of forests (Shang and Chisholm, 2014), and infestations in plantation 75 forestry (Peerbhay et al., 2015). In geological exploration and mineralogy, HSI data have been used for 76 detection and mapping of mineral deposits (Contreras Acosta et al., 2019; Dumke et al., 2018) or soil 77 composition analysis (Shi and Wang, 2014). HSI is a non-destructive high-resolution laboratory analysis 78 that enables a semi-automatic description of the natural deposits based on their physical-chemical 79 characterization. HSI can improve chemical knowledge by analyzing the sample surface. It has been 80 used to characterize mineralogical fingerprints (Feng et al., 2018; Lorenz et al., 2019; Tusa et al., 81 2020b), organic matter (Jacq et al., 2019c; Van Exem, 2018), pigments (Butz et al., 2017; Makri et al., 82 2020; Schneider et al., 2018), and particle size distribution (Jacq et al., 2019b). Some of these studies 83 highlight sedimentary structures with proxy estimation but without spatially characterizing them. To 84 describe these deposits, image or classification approaches were used with hyperspectral remote 85 sensing imaging (Ghamisi et al., 2017; Li et al., 2019). These approaches have been used in the 86 laboratory for mineralogical characterization of rock cores or for the detection of veins from other 87 sensor data that require destructive sampling (Contreras Acosta et al., 2020, 2019; Tusa et al., 2020a, 88 2019). These works employ methods such as random forest (RF) or support vector machine (SVM) to classify each hyperspectral pixel into a dominant mineral class or for a mixture of minerals, they used 89 90 visible and near-infrared (VNIR), short and long wave infrared (SWIR, LWIR) hyperspectral cameras. 91 These approaches are therefore interesting for the problem of detecting sedimentary deposits, but 92 sediments from aquatic environments are moreover more complex than those from rocks because of 93 the presence of moisture and particles that will interfere in the hyperspectral signal (Verpoorter et al., 94 2014). To this day, only one study based on lake or marine sediments employs HSI to characterize spatial variations in volcanic tephra layers with a VNIR camera using a supervised classification method
based on an artificial neural network and manual non-destructive labeling (Aymerich et al., 2016).

97 As we have just seen, in the literature many hyperspectral image classification methods have been 98 applied on remote sensing, but few to sedimentary images. Therefore, to classify sediments from cores 99 to know their composition, we want to study three supervised classification methods (decision tree 100 DT, random forest RF, and artificial neural network ANN) and three parametric methods (linear and 101 quadratic discriminant analysis LDA/QDA, partial least-square discriminant analysis PLS-DA). These six 102 methods are problematically specific (Khaledian and Miller, 2020). The hyperspectral images used in 103 this study come from a visible and near-infrared camera (VNIR, pixel size: 60 µm) and a shortwave 104 infrared sensor (SWIR, pixel size: 200 µm). The two sensors can also be combined to estimate a VNIR-105 SWIR composite sensor (pixel size: 200 µm) or reduced to create composite RGB images. Several 106 spectral and spatial pre-processing and compression algorithms were compared. Thus this study 107 proposes to compare numerous approaches (the type of image, pre-processing, and discrimination) to 108 separate and characterize the sedimentary structures of three different samples and provide a 109 simplified methodology for its application to other sites.

- 110 2 Materials and methods
- 111 2.1 Sample site descriptions



Three sediment cores were selected from three lakes located in the western French Alps (Figure 1) in different watersheds, representing different geological contexts and surface areas that present event layers with different geochemical compositions. The studied cores were retrieved from Lake Allos (ALO09\_P13, IGSN: IEFRA08JN, coring year: 2009) (Wilhelm et al., 2015, 2012), the deep basin of Lake Le Bourget (LDB17\_P11, coring year: 2017, water inflow: 10.65 m.an<sup>-1</sup>) (Jenny, 2013; Jenny et al., 2014), and Lake Geneva (LEM10\_P6, IGSN: IEFRA008N, coring year: 2010, water inflow: 13.07 m.an<sup>-1</sup>) (Jenny, 2013; Rapuc et al., 2020).





122 Figure 2: XRF analysis for Ti, Zr/K, and Ca of the three cores: (a) Allos, (b) Bourget, and (c) Geneva

The sediment from the three selected cores was first described and logged after naked-eye observations. When they were present, the event layers were distinguished from the continuous sedimentation by using sedimentological and geochemical results; for these three cores X-ray fluorescence, particle size distribution, and total organic carbon were made.

Lake Allos sediment sequence (ALO09\_P13) presents two different sedimentary units. The upper part
is enriched in organic matter and presents Total Organic Carbon (TOC) values > 1.5% (Wilhelm et al.,
2012). The rest of the sequence is composed of light-gray homogeneous silty clay (median = 10 μm),

corresponding to the continuous sedimentation, which is interrupted by coarser (median = 40 μm)
normally graded beds (Wilhelm et al., 2012). These beds present higher values of dry bulk density,
lower TOC values (< 1%), and are enriched in calcium contents (Figure 2). XRF signals also show peaks</li>
of Zr/K and low Ti values due to an increase of the grain size at the base of the layers and a depletion
in silicate particles, respectively. The graded beds were interpreted as linked to turbidity currents
triggered by gravity reworking or flood events (Wilhelm et al., 2012).

136 Lake Bourget sediment sequence (LDB17\_P11) presents two main units. The first one (0-10 cm) is 137 laminated with an alternation of white/light-gray and dark-gray/brown laminae. These laminae are 138 mainly composed of diatoms, micrite, organic matter (TOC > 2.5%), and autochthonous calcite with a 139 mean grain size comprise between 15 and 30 µm (Giguet-Covex et al., 2010). The second unit is non-140 laminated and corresponds to homogeneous light-gray sediment composed of carbonate particles, 141 silts, clays, and a few diatoms. The mean grain size of this unit is almost constant and is comprised 142 between 4 and 9 µm. The organic matter content is under 5% (Giguet-Covex et al., 2010), and 143 carbonate particles represent at least half of the total sediment (Arnaud, 2005). Several darker 144 deposits, enriched in detrital silicate and carbonate particles, interrupted the continuous 145 sedimentation and were interpreted as underflow deposits linked to flood events (Giguet-Covex et al., 146 2010; Jenny et al., 2014). These deposits present peaks of Ti and Zr/K signals at their base (Figure 2), 147 which support the higher detrital content and the increase of grain size within these layers.

Like the two other sediment sequences, the Lake Geneva sequence (LEM10\_P6) presents two principal sedimentary units. The upper laminated unit corresponds to an alternation of light and dark-gray laminae, enriched in organic matter (TOC values > 5%; (Jenny, 2013)). This unit is followed by homogeneous light-gray silty clay identified as a non-laminated unit (Jenny, 2013), which presents lower organic content (TOC values < 2%) and higher values of calcium (Figure 2). The mean grain size of these two units is higher (> 25  $\mu$ m) than Lake Bourget sediment sequence values. The lower unit is interrupted by thick and coarse graded beds that show peaks of Zr/K, and higher values of Ti (Figure 2) are linked to increased detrital silicates compared to the continuous sedimentation. These deposits
present similarities with those observed in the two other sequences and were interpreted as flood
layers.

Once clearly identified, those layers were visually counted. The data sets will be referred to using the
name of the lake, but it must be understood that there may be differences (color, chemical, physical)
among different sequences from the same lake.

#### 161 2.2 VNIR and SWIR hyperspectral image acquisition

162 Two hyperspectral reflectance cameras were used to analyze these cores at the UMR CNRS M2C lab 163 facilities, University of Normandie-Rouen (France). They cover two consecutive spectral ranges: 400-1 164 000 nm (visible and near-infrared, Specim VNIR PFD OLE23) and 968-2 574 nm (shortwave infrared, 165 Specim SWIR OLES22.5). They have a theoretical spatial pixel size of 60  $\mu$ m and 200  $\mu$ m, respectively. 166 The real resolution may vary due to surface roughness. Relevant data were obtained with a flattening 167 and a cleaning of the core to have a plane surface that revealed sediment structures (Butz et al., 2015; 168 Jacq et al., 2020). Then, the camera was calibrated with a spectral acquisition on a reference, as the 169 image of a known object has squared pixels (true shape) and relevant reflectance intensities (color and 170 signal-to-noise ratio).

171 The resolution of the two datasets (VNIR and SWIR) was also homogenized at a common spatial 172 resolution of 200 µm to obtain a VNIR-SWIR composite sensor. The two hyperspectral images were 173 combined into a unique one (i.e., VNIR-SWIR) with image registration (Liu et al., 2011) adapted to HSI 174 on a wavelength plane characteristic of a similar chemical compound. In previous work, we found that 175 the 970 nm (VNIR) and 1 200 nm (SWIR) wavelengths were optimal for combining (Jacq et al., 2019a). 176 They are related to hydroxyl chemical bonds mostly associated with moisture in sediment cores (Bull, 177 1991; Viscarra Rossel and Behrens, 2010). Therefore, a composite sensor was created to merge the 178 VNIR and SWIR datasets to cover the range of 400-2 500 nm. No reflectance adjustment was used to 179 correct for shifts in the spectral overlap range between the two sensors. In this study, we compare the

180 classification performances of three datasets: VNIR at a spatial resolution of 60  $\mu$ m, SWIR at a 181 resolution of 200  $\mu$ m, and VNIR-SWIR at a resolution of 200  $\mu$ m.

182 Pre-processing can be used to correct the data from noise or aberrant values and highlight discriminant 183 wavelengths. The three dimensions of the HSI, spectral, and spatial pre-processing procedures can be 184 used (Rinnan et al., 2009; Vidal and Amigo, 2012). The spectral pre-processing procedures compared 185 in this study are normalization (autoscale), linear baseline correction (detrend), scattering effect 186 correction (Standard Normal Variate (SNV) and Multiplicative Scatter Correction (MSC)) (Barnes et al., 187 1989), and Savitzky-Golay first and second derivatives (D1 and D2) (Savitzky and Golay, 1964). The 188 derivatives use second-order polynomial fitting and a window of 7 and 13 wavelengths for the first and 189 second derivatives respectively. Principal component analysis (PCA) was also used as a compression 190 of the spectral dimension (Pearson, 1901). Contrast limited adaptive histogram equalization (CLAHE) 191 was used on the spatial dimensions with an estimation based on the grayscale image levels and applied 192 to each image wavelength (Zuiderveld, 1994). Thus, nine datasets per sensor were used for training in 193 an independent way.

194 2.3 Data Analysis

Six commonly used machine learning algorithms were used to create classification models with three types of spectral data (VNIR, SWIR, and VNIR-SWIR) (Figure 3). First, an RGB image was made from the VNIR HSI to visually and manually label continuous sedimentation and event layer pixels by an expert. Then, machine learning methods were used to construct discrimination models. In addition, nine preprocessing procedures (spatial and spectral) were used and compared with raw data. Consequently, 162 discriminations models were created for each sample to find the optimal sensor (of three), method (of six), and pre-processing procedure (of nine) as presented in Figure 3.



Figure 3: Data processing to create a classification model to predict each pixel: (1) Visualization with RGB images at both resolutions; (2) Manual labeling of the image; (3) Data pre-processing and (4) Creation of supervised classification models for each dataset (DT: Decision Tree, RF: Random Forest, ANN: Artificial Neural Network, LDA: Linear Discriminant Analysis, QDA: Quadratic Discriminant Analysis, PLS-DA: Partial Least Squares Discriminant Analysis); (5) Prediction for each image; (6) Estimation of the optimal model depending on the sensor, pre-processing procedure, and discrimination model

#### 208 2.3.1 Data labeling

Hyperspectral VNIR data can be reduced to three planes that correspond to a Red-Green-Blue image from the VNIR sensor (611-549-464 nm, according to the RGB standard) (CIE, 1999). The RGB images are representation modes adapted for naked-eye assessment and were used to visualize the sample to label the sedimentary structures. Thus, the user must manually select rectangular areas that correspond to the different studied classes based on the known deposits characterized by geochemical, textural, and mineralogical analysis. The resulting maps were used as a mask with 0
values for non-labeled pixels and other values for labeled pixels (1: event layer, 2: continuous
sedimentation). It was also used to extract the labeled pixels and their corresponding spectrum (Figure
4). For the SWIR dataset, these maps were also made with VNIR data at 60 µm and resized at 200 µm.



Figure 4: Spectral variations of labelled pixels for each class (blue = event layers; yellow = continuous sedimentation) and for the three cores: (a) Allos, (b) Bourget and (c) Geneva. The reflectance of the sediments depends on the specific sedimentary properties of the sites studied. It seems difficult to visually estimate specific discriminating spectral areas of the two classes studied, therefore statistical approaches will allow to find the discriminating variations

## 223 2.3.2 Classification modeling

Several methods commonly used in the literature were chosen for comparison because there is no universal method (Khaledian and Miller, 2020). Six methods were used to create a supervised classification model to discriminate the two sedimentary processes (Figure 5, Supplementary table 1). Decision trees are a rule-based approach to classification and regression problems (Breiman et al.,

1984). They use the values in each feature to split the dataset to a point where all data points that

have the same class are grouped together. A tall tree with multiple splits generates better

230 classifications.

231 The random forest is a classification algorithm consisting of many decisions trees (Ho, 1995). It uses 232 bagging and features randomness when building each individual tree to try to create an uncorrelated 233 forest of tree whose prediction by committee is more accurate than that of any individual tree. 234 ANN is a set of connected input-output network in which weight is associated with each connection 235 (Ivakhnenko and Lapa, 1965; McCulloch and Pitts, 1943; Rosenblatt, 1958). It consists of one input 236 layer, one or more intermediate layers, and one output layer. Learning of neural network is 237 performed by adjusting the weight of connection. By updating the weight iteratively performance of 238 the network is improved. During training, the interconnection weights are optimized until the 239 network reaches the specified level of accuracy. It has many advantages like parallelism, less affected 240 with noise, good learning ability 241 Linear discriminant analysis (LDA) is a straightforward method applying the generative approach for 242 classification (Fisher, 1936). It is based on the assumption that each class can be modeled by a 243 Gaussian distribution and that all the classes share the same covariance matrix. In practice, LDA 244 requires few computations to estimate the classifier parameters that amount to computing 245 percentages and means, plus a matrix inversion. 246 Quadratic discriminant analysis (QDA) is similar to LDA but without the assumption that the classes 247 share the same covariance matrix, i.e., each class has its own covariance matrix (Fisher, 1936). In this 248 case, the boundary between classes is a quadratic surface instead of a hyperplane. 249 Partial least squares-discriminant analysis (PLS-DA) is a versatile algorithm that is used for predictive 250 and descriptive modeling as well as for discriminative variable selection (Wold et al., 1984). However, 251 versatility needs to optimize a wealth of parameters before reaching reliable and valid outcomes.

252 Khaledian and Miller (2020) propose to consider different properties to define the optimal approach to answer the problem. These methods are based on common or specific assumptions as presented 253 254 briefly above. Some require a large amount of data, such as ANN, while others are less sensitive to 255 sample size. The computation time is also related to the amount of data, as well as to the complexity 256 of the problem, the number of iterations, and the adjustments. Some are easily interpretable (DT, LDA, 257 QDA, PLS-DA), or complex (RF, ANN with few neurons), and some are "black boxes" (ANN with many 258 neurons). Here we use the ANN with few neurons to prioritize the spectral interpretability. Some 259 require the user to define hyperparameters that are presented in Supplementary table 1. Thus, these 260 different methods were chosen to see the effect of these properties on the different datasets (sensors, 261 pre-processing) in predicting the two sedimentary deposit types for the three different sites.



262

Figure 5: Schematic representation of the machine learning methods used in this study depending on their basis: (a) rules;
(b) neurons; and (c) functions

The models were fitted with a randomly selected 70% of the data during calibration, and the remaining data were used in the test set (Supplementary table 2). This ratio was applied to the class with fewer labeled pixels to have a consistent number in each group in the calibration step. The same calibration and test sets were used for each classification method, but they were different for each pre-processing procedure. The labeled pixel number depends on the ability of the user to precisely see the deposits; then, only pixels that certainly belong to these classes are labeled to avoid introducing uncertainty by

uncertain labeling. Due to the compression of the labeled high-resolution map, the pixels of the contours may have been removed as being uncertain, which slightly changes the labeling percentages between the two resolutions. That change explains the difference between the cores with labeled pixels of between 0.45% and 15.71% for one class It also allows visualization of the learning capacity depending on the number of calibration pixels. 5% of the Allos lake image was labeled, against 1.1% for the Bourget lake or 16% for the Geneva lake.

277 2.3.3 Quantitative and qualitative assessments of model classification

278 The quantitative performances of the classification models were estimated by the overall accuracy,

279 precision and recall in calibration and prediction:

$$280 \quad Accuracy = \frac{True \ positive + True \ negative}{True \ positive + True \ negative + False \ positive + False \ negative} \tag{1}$$

$$281 \quad Precision = \frac{True \ positive}{False \ positive + True \ positive}$$
(2)

$$282 \quad Recall = \frac{True \ positive}{False \ negative + True \ positive} \tag{3}$$

Given a classifier and an instance, there are four possible outcomes. If the instance is positive and it is classified as positive, it is counted as a "true positive"; if it is classified as negative, it is counted as a "false negative". If the instance is negative and it is classified as negative, it is counted as a "true negative"; if it is classified as positive, it is counted as a "false positive".

287 The overall accuracy is the proportion of correct predictions (both "true positives" and "true

negatives") among the total number of cases examined. The precision corresponds to the fraction of

first class correct predictions (only "true positives") among the retrieved first class. The recall is the

290 fraction of relevant first-class predictions that were retrieved. Thus, a value closer to 1 indicates

291 better predictive ability.

292 Once a model is considered relevant, it is used on each pixel of the hyperspectral image to classify

them and estimate a classification map. An expert must then visually and qualitatively evaluate its

spatial coherence, using the different successive deposits and its noise level. Hence, a qualitative index with values between 0 and 5 (arbitrary) is used. A value of 0 corresponds to an irrelevant classification map induced by a model that cannot generalize (under or over-fitting); a value of 5 shows globally relevant classifications on the whole image; whereas a value in this interval indicates more or less relevant predictions but with defects (noise, mispredicted deposits). Moreover, it is important to understand the models on the importance they give to different wavelengths. Complex models like RF and neural networks with a large number of neurons are not easily interpretable.

**301 3 Results and interpretations** 

#### 302 3.1 Comparison of the classification methods and pre-processing procedures

303 Nine pre-processing procedures were tested on each sample, each sensor, and each discrimination 304 method to find the optimal combination (Figure 6). As shown in Figure 4, the spectra of the two classes 305 overlap partially or totally, so the pre-processing step must highlight the wavelengths with 306 discriminating variations to create performant and robust models. PCA and second derivatives (D2) 307 had the lowest prediction accuracies for each sensor and sample (Figure 6). The PCA reduction may 308 not maintain the discriminant information in the main PCs and D2. Raw, CLAHE, autoscaled, and 309 detrended data had the highest discriminant properties in all cases (Figure 6). Some pre-processing 310 procedures are sensor-dependent, such as SNV and MSC, which presented low accuracy for the VNIR 311 sensor and high accuracy for the SWIR sensor. The first derivative (D1) had intermediate accuracy for 312 all cases except for the SWIR sensor and Allos sample, for which good performances were obtained.

Among the discriminant methods, DT had the lowest prediction accuracy in most cases, whereas ANN, QDA, and RF had the highest accuracy. For the ANN, few neurons (2-3) appeared to be better than more neurons. The latter has many more parameters and may overfit the small amount of training data, which limits its generalization capability on the test set. The accuracies of the optimal methods are close and must be discussed with all quantitative values and interpretations of their discriminant





Figure 6: Radar charts of the impact of pre-processing on the overall prediction accuracy of each classification method and
 lake core hyperspectral image (a-c: Lake Allos; d-f: Lake Bourget; g-i: Lake Geneva; a, d, g: VNIR sensor; b, e, h: SWIR sensor;
 c, f, i: VNIR-SWIR sensor)

#### 323 3.2 Optimal models for each image type

319

The calibration and prediction accuracies, precisions and recalls of the optimal models were higher than 0.8 and mainly 0.9, which highlights the presence of spectral discriminant information in both sensors (Table 1, Supplementary table 3Supplementary table 5). Nevertheless, it also highlights that the best discriminant capacity derived from the SWIR sensor and therefore the VNIR sensor does not contain as much discriminating information. The combination of the two sensors, which should present
 a better discriminant capacity through the greater amount of spectral information, presents an
 intermediate discriminant ability. Thus, the predictions are certainly biased by some VNIR data
 variations.

332 Among all the models developed, some observations have been made (Supplementary table 3-333 Supplementary table 5). ANNs with two neurons are often the optimal discriminant method based on 334 the prediction accuracy and parsimonious because adding neurons does not improve the accuracy. 335 However, for the qualitative index, discriminant analysis methods (LDA, QDA, PLS-DA) generally had 336 the best performance levels. The computation time is lower for the LDA, PLS-DA, and DT methods, and 337 all of these methods are fast to compute, so the computation time was not used to select the optimal 338 model. It is also more important when there is more data to learn which is the case for the Allos section 339 compared to the others. Based on a compromise between these two first properties (quantitative and 340 qualitative index), the optimal methods in most cases were LDA or PLS-DA. Table 1 highlights an 341 optimal pre-processing procedure that mainly depends on the sample. The discrimination methods 342 appear to have had a low impact on it, which suggests that an optimal pre-processing procedure can 343 highlight the discriminant wavelengths.

344

Table 1: Optimal models for each sample and based on the parameters indicating their performance and robustness

Site	Allos	Bourget	Geneva	
Sensor	SWIR	SWIR	SWIR	
Method	PLS-DA	PLS-DA	LDA	
Processing	SNV	Detrend	SNV	
Accuracy <sub>cal</sub>	0.900	0.969	0.992	
Precision <sub>cal</sub>	0.908	0.988	0.996	

Recall <sub>cal</sub>	0.890	0.951	0.989
Accuracy <sub>pred</sub>	0.902	0.958	0.989
Precision <sub>pred</sub>	0.785	0.996	1.000
$Recall_{pred}$	0.885	0.949	0.989
Qualitative	5	5	5
Computation time (s)	0.800	0.219	0.113

347 The classification map comparison of the optimal and worst models highlights that the VNIR sensor is 348 more sensitive to surface reliefs, as observed in Figure 7.a-b with fissures and holes due to sampling 349 or Figure 7.d-e with shadows. Darker laminated areas are noticeable on the Bourget and Geneva 350 sediment sections (on the left of the picture), which were not initially labeled because of the non-351 possibility of precisely labeling the two classes. In these areas, the VNIR sensor predicted that most of 352 the pixels in the event layer class might be due to the similar color with this class. In contrast, the SWIR 353 data correctly predicted the two groups due to the additional spectral discriminant information. For 354 the Allos sediment section (Figure 7.a-c), the event layers are easily distinguishable from the 355 continuous sedimentation due to their darker colors. However, there is also a color gradient linked to 356 a grain size gradient that is spectrally registered in the SWIR range (Jacq et al., 2019b), which explains 357 the wider layers estimated from the SWIR data than from the VNIR data, and the lightest layers are 358 not visible with the VNIR data.

All of these observations and the quantitative validation are consistent with the greatest discriminant capacities being associated with the SWIR sensor for separating event layers from continuous sedimentation. Thus, the combination of the two sensors is not better, as we expected due to the VNIR data sensitivity to surface roughness, and the combination will not be used further.



365

364 Figure 7: Optimal (c, f, i) and worst (b, e, h) models for each sediment core sample (a, b, c: Allos; d, e, f: Bourget; h, i, j:

Geneva) and labeled areas (blue = event layers; yellow = continuous sedimentation)

#### 366 3.3 Spectral signatures

Figure 4 shows the spectra corresponding to the two classes. For lakes Allos and Bourget, both classes partially overlap, and the event layers show a lower reflectance that can be due to a darker color of the layers. The overlap is more important for Lake Geneva. The color of the sediment is obviously dependent on the sedimentary properties that are specific to the study sites. It is therefore difficult to visually estimate discriminating spectral areas.

372 The different statistical approaches used will allow to estimate automatically the spectral areas 373 allowing to separate the two classes. The estimation of SWIR wavelength cumulative occurrence allows 374 to identify the discriminant wavelengths and to characterize them (Figure 8). It is interesting to observe 375 that the five approaches discussed in this figure show similar discriminating spectral areas, which 376 indicates that despite their differences they can adapt to the problematic. Five main discriminant 377 spectral areas present high cumulative values and can be associated with chemical properties. Organic 378 components can be related to spectral wavelengths between (1) 1 050-1 200 nm and (2) 1 650-1 750 379 nm (Cloutis, 1989; Viscarra Rossel and Behrens, 2010). The three others correspond to spectral ranges 380 with possible overlaps: (3-4) organic compounds and hydroxyl bonds (moisture, organic matter or 381 mineral) between 1 350-1 500 nm and 1 800-1 950 nm, and (5) mineral (clay) and organic compounds 382 between 2 150-2 300 nm (Krupnik and Khan, 2019; Verpoorter et al., 2014; Viscarra Rossel and 383 Behrens, 2010).





Figure 8: Comparison of the normalized coefficients (coefficient weights normalized to one for each method) used by the
 optimal SWIR models to highlight the discriminant wavelengths: (a) Allos, (b) Bourget, and (c) Geneva samples

387 The three optimal core models use similar discriminant wavelengths but have different importance depending on the sediment properties. The two hydroxyl bond spectral areas have important 388 389 implications in the three models and could be due to interstitial moisture that may be associated with 390 coarser particles present in the event layers. The model estimated for Lake Allos highlights another 391 spectral area characteristic of clays (2 150-2 200 nm) associated with continuous sedimentation. 392 Whereas Lake Bourget and Lake Geneva models use specific areas of organic matter (1 050-1 150 nm, 393 1 350-1 400 nm, 1 650-1 750 nm) due to higher organic matter concentration in both sediment 394 sequences. Thus we can see that the SWIR sensor allows to highlight several sedimentary properties that can differentiate the two types of deposits. It should be noted that the sedimentary propertieshighlighted differ and are dependent on the studied site.

# 397 **4 Discussion**

## 398 4.1 Generalization of the model on the other sites

399 Optimal SWIR models developed on each core were used to produce the classification maps of the 400 other cores and to observe the model generalization capability. Table 2 and Supplementary figure 1 401 show the results of these classifications with the test accuracies and qualitative indicators. Most of the 402 models agree on the difficulty of generalizing the model to other cores, which is explained by the 403 differences in reflectance and thus in sediment composition of the sediment sequences as shown in 404 Figure 4. As the continuous sedimentation of Lake Bourget and Lake Geneva is more organic than the 405 one of Lake Allos, or the granulometry and interstitial humidity allowing to trace textural changes in 406 the event deposits that are more significant for Lake Allos than the other. Unfortunately, mineralogical 407 changes (silicates and carbonates) do not show marked absorptions in the spectral domains studied. 408 Only the model developed with the Bourget data could estimate the classification maps for the other 409 cores, possibly since it has less spectrally localized discriminant wavelengths than the other two models 410 (Allos: 2 100-2 200 nm; Geneva: 1 650-1 700 nm).

411 Table 2: Comparison of site-specific models for the generalization to the other cores

			Samples			
			Allos	Bourget	Geneva	
	Allos	Accuracy <sub>pred</sub>		0.367	0.043	
Models		Qualitative		0	0	
	Bourget	Accuracy <sub>pred</sub>	0.973		0.365	

	Qualitative	3		1
	Accuracy <sub>pred</sub>	0.433	0.644	
Geneva				
	Qualitative	0	0	

- Based on these results, the estimation of a classification model is sample-specific. It can be made with a linear discriminant method such as LDA or PLS-DA with the raw SWIR dataset, even if some pretreatment slightly improves the performance.
- 415 4.2 Event layer classification with classical images

The true RGB image can also be converted into HSV (Hue, Saturation, Lightness) or L\*a\*b\* (lightness, color) color spaces and reduced to a grayscale level. The HSV and L\*a\*b\* transformations have been proven to be more adaptable for classification purposes (Bora et al., 2015; Hernández-Hernández et al., 2016). The grayscale levels are the most straightforward color space.

Table 3 and Supplementary figure 2 show that the conventional image presents slightly lower performances with the VNIR data and has the same mispredictions in terms of surface variations, shadows, and laminated areas (Supplementary table 3). All of these observations highlight that these methods learn the color of the deposits too well with VNIR data and do not learn enough from the chemical composition. Then, machine learning and a conventional image can be used in the case of a clean image and sample with only color differences between the classes and learning of all types of sample events and defects.

427 428

Table 3: Comparison of the conventional image discriminant models with the optimal predictions being estimated by the hyperspectral images

Sample	Performance	Grayscale	RGB	HSV	L*a*b*	VNIR HSI	SWIR HSI
Allos	Method	ANN – 2 ne	eurons				PLS-DA

	Accuracy <sub>cal</sub>	0.966	0.821	0.817	0.821	0.889	0.900
	Accuracy <sub>pred</sub>	0.963	0.824	0.808	0.823	0.890	0.902
	Qualitative	4	4	4	4	3	5
	Method	ANN – 2 ne	eurons			RF	PLS-DA
Bourget	Accuracy <sub>cal</sub>	0.995	0.903	0.904	0.906	0.996	0.969
	Accuracy <sub>pred</sub>	0.994	0.898	0.899	0.903	0.995	0.958
	Qualitative	2	2	2	2	3	5
	Method	ANN – 2 ne	eurons		LDA	LDA	
Geneva	Accuracy <sub>cal</sub>	0.911	0.976	0.976	0.975	0.987	0.992
	Accuracy <sub>pred</sub>	0.884	0.979	0.983	0.982	0.989	0.989
	Qualitative	1	2	2	2	4	5

#### 429 4.3 Comparison between HSI and naked-eye chronicles

430 The discretization of the event layers allows for estimation of event layer occurrence along the 431 sediment section and comparison of the event layers with naked-eye event chronicle. The classification 432 map was reduced to a summed profile, with pixels equal to 0 for continuous sedimentation and 1 for 433 an event layer. We assume that the deposits are parallel; if this is not the case, image processing must 434 be used to correct the deformation when possible. This profile can also be used as an event occurrence 435 probability with normalization by the image width in pixels. It was smoothed with a second-order 436 polynomial Savitzky-Golay filter to reduce misclassifications (Savitzky and Golay, 1964). A low 437 threshold (below 20%) allows the separation of all deposits but also the discovery of some artifacts, 438 and the boundaries can be overestimated due to curvatures. Conversely, a high threshold (above 70%) only finds the large deposits, but close ones can be fused. Thus, a double threshold was used. First, 439

using the half-width of the image to classify each column to one class allowed discovery of the most
relevant deposits, but close layers could be observed as a large one. The second threshold of 15% of
the image width was used for event layers thicker than 5 mm to potentially divide them. Finally, the
chronicle can be reconstructed with the depth and thickness of each event layer. Naked-eye chronicles
of the three cores were estimated and can be compared with the hyperspectral ones (Jenny et al.,
2014; Rapuc et al., 2020; Wilhelm et al., 2012).

446 Figure 9 compares the identification of event layers in terms of depth and thickness between the HSI 447 and naked-eye approaches. In general, HSI results in thicker event layers than naked-eye observations. 448 This result can be explained by the limited ability of the eyes to characterize the event limits (resolution 449 grain) or its curvature (misidentification). Machine learning also enables one to identify new deposits 450 that were not visually detected (due to their small thickness or texture or color differences). They must 451 be assessed by other high-resolution techniques such as microscopy to verify their relevance. The 452 number of detected event layers was high (Table 4) due to the detection of supplementary thinner 453 layers.

Initially, this work was developed to study only flood events that were manually labeled. Nonetheless, HSI does not appear to have sufficient discriminant spectral information to distinguish different triggering mechanisms for the event layers (e.g., flood, slumps induced by seismic-shaking, etc.). However, the HSI model is the first fast and non-destructive method to semi-automatically detect event layers in different sedimentary contexts and represents a clear improvement in sedimentology.





461 Table 4: Statistics from the naked-eye and HIS event layer detections. N is the number of event layers identified in each lake



		Ν	N<1	Average	Standard deviation	Minimum	Maximum
			mm	(mm)	(mm)	(mm)	(mm)
	Naked	69	0	7.44	5.73	1.00	39.00
Allos	eye						
	HSI	88	12	9.64	13.51	0.32	68.19

	Naked	56	0	3.41	2.17	1.00	11.00
Bourget	eye						
	HSI	72	19	4.24	4.28	0.20	22.31
	Naked	15	0	15.93	21.97	3.00	88.00
Geneva	eye						
	his	26	11	11.37	23.81	0.22	109.01

463 4.4 Perspectives

464 It is worth noting that we only focused on event layers, and the models are site-specific. Some 465 strategies can be tested in future works. The first strategy would be to create a multi-site database to 466 learn several event types and include continuous sedimentation cases (strata or homogeneous). A 467 second strategy could be to use complementary information estimated by other sensors, such as XRF 468 spectroscopy, which is also a non-destructive high-resolution (up to 200 µm) analysis, for elemental 469 composition (Rapuc et al., 2020).

It would also be interesting to use a spatial-spectral approach to add information contained in both 470 471 dimensions, such as the color and grain size gradient, along a flood event. Deep learning with a 472 convolutional neural network (CNN) uses this type of approach and could be interesting for a large 473 multi-site database. This method introduces multi-scale local feature learning and some translation 474 and rotation invariance, which are of interest for image classification (Schmidhuber, 2015). We have 475 tested a CNN1D that uses only the spectral dimension, but it has poor performance that may be due 476 to too small of a database and a too simple of a problem that an ANN with few neurons could model. 477 In future work, we will use a CNN3D that uses a spatial-spectral approach (Ben Hamida et al., 2018).

We have shown that the combination of VNIR and SWIR does not increase the discriminating capacity. Improvements can be made with surface defect correction before data acquisition or with preprocessing after data acquisition, or the defects can be detected and removed from the classification map. Another method could be to make two separate classifications with both sensors and 482 subsequently combine them with different weights using, for example, fuzzy or belief function
483 methods to improve the certainty of the predictions (Lian et al., 2019; Tehrani et al., 2019).

484 This study has shown that event layers can be estimated at high resolution with hyperspectral imaging 485 in the cores used in the calibration. A first discriminant model based on LDA or PLS-DA, which is 486 estimated with raw hyperspectral data, will enable one to determine the possibility of discrimination 487 among different classes. A similar method has already been used to detect tephra layers (Aymerich et 488 al., 2016). Then, our proposed method may be applied to case studies to detect any type of events 489 such as tsunamis, earthquakes, landslides, storms, and any other laminae. Thus, thanks to a precise 490 manual labeling that includes as much visual and spectral variability as possible, it will be possible to 491 build an automatic log-stratigraphy. This method will be very useful for paleoenvironmental and 492 paleoclimate studies to define the strategy to subsample cores for further analysis to validate 493 predictions or for any other purposes, and it will allow to create event chronicles or to correct the 494 observations from specific events.

# 495 **5 Conclusions**

496 We studied the potential of three hyperspectral sensors (VNIR, SWIR, VNIR-SWIR) to image three 497 sediment cores and created machine learning models. This study aimed to automatically discriminate 498 different types of sedimentation (continuous versus event layer) with non-destructive, high-resolution, 499 time-saving methods. Six discrimination methods coupled with raw data or eight pre-processing 500 procedures were used to find an optimal model. We found that the SWIR sensor enabled one to create 501 the most robust models with discriminant analysis (LDA, PLS-DA). Raw data presented relevant 502 predictions (0.87-0.95 but qualitative index 2-3), but the use of a pre-processing procedure can slightly 503 improve the performance (0.90-0.98) and robustness (4-5) of the model. The models were 504 quantitatively assessed with prediction accuracies for the three cores of 0.90, 0.95, and 0.98 and 505 qualitative index of 4-5. For the qualitative model, event layers presented colors and textures that 506 differed from those of continuous sedimentation and could be identified with naked-eye observation 507 to check the relevance of the prediction maps. The discriminant wavelengths are associated with 508 organic matter, some mineral bands, and moisture. This information is not recorded by the VNIR sensor 509 or RGB images, which explains their lower performance, its models seem to rely mainly on the color 510 which is not sufficiently discriminating information. Finally, event chronicles could be estimated from 511 the classification maps by calculating the depth and thickness of each deposit and seem relevant with 512 naked eye chronicles. Unfortunately, the hyperspectral sensors used in this study do not have sufficient spectral discriminant information to characterize the trigger of the event layer and whether different 513 514 types of events were present in the same sediment core. Future work will enable the characterization 515 of the triggers by combining hyperspectral imaging with other sensors or using spatial-spectral 516 machine learning methods. This study highlights the sediment lithology discrimination capacity of 517 hyperspectral imaging with manual labeling. Future application of this method on sediment sections 518 will allow the creation of robust chronicles of events with characteristic wavelengths and enhance the 519 knowledge about the evolution of the frequency of extreme geodynamic events.

# 520 6 Acknowledgements

521 Coring supports were provided by the French National Research Agency's with the IPER-RETRO 522 program for Lake Geneva (ANR-08-VUL 005) and the Pygmalion project for Lake Allos (ANR BLAN07-523 2\_204489). Hyperspectral imaging was processed at the University of Normandie-Rouen and funded 524 by the Region Normandie, which supports the scientific consortium SCALE UMR CNRS 3730.

- 525 7 Computer Code Availability
- 526 The Matlab codes used in this study to perform all these processing (machine learning and pre-
- 527 processing) can be accessed in the following repository:
- 528 <u>https://github.com/JacqKevin/HSI\_SupervisedClassification</u>.
- 529 **8** Description of author's responsibilities.

- 530 Kévin Jacq: Data acquisition and processing; Algorithm development; Writing original draft
- 531 William Rapuc: Data processing; Sedimentology interpretation; Writing original draft
- 532 Alexandre Benoit: Machine learning methods; Review and editing the draft
- 533 Didier Coquin: Machine learning methods; Review and editing the draft
- 534 Bernard Fanget: Spectroscopy and chemometrics methods; Review and editing the draft
- 535 Yves Perrette: Spectroscopy and chemometrics methods; Review and editing the draft
- 536 Pierre Sabatier: Sedimentology interpretation; Review and editing the draft
- 537 Bruno Wilhelm: Flood event interpretation; Data processing; Review and editing the draft
- 538 Maxime Debret: Data acquisition; Review and editing the draft
- 539 Fabien Arnaud: Data processing; Review and editing the draft

### 540 8 References

- 541 Arnaud, F., 2005. Discriminating Bio-Induced and Detrital Sedimentary Processes from Particle Size
- 542 Distribution of Carbonates and Non-Carbonates in Hard Water Lake Sediments. J. Paleolimnol.
- 543 34, 519–526. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10933-005-6787-1
- 544 Aymerich, I.F., Oliva, M., Giralt, S., Martín-Herrero, J., 2016. Detection of tephra layers in Antarctic
- 545 sediment cores with hyperspectral imaging. PLoS One 11, 1–24.
- 546 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0146578
- 547 Barnes, R.J., Dhanoa, M.S., Lister, S.J., 1989. Standard Normal Variate Transformation and De-
- 548 Trending of Near-Infrared Diffuse Reflectance Spectra. Appl. Spectrosc. 43, 772–777.
- 549 https://doi.org/10.1366/0003702894202201
- 550 Ben Hamida, A., Benoit, A., Lambert, P., Ben Amar, C., 2018. 3-D Deep Learning Approach for Remote
- 551 Sensing Image Classification. IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens. 56, 4420–4434.
- 552 https://doi.org/10.1109/TGRS.2018.2818945
- 553 Bora, D.J., Gupta, A.K., Khan, F.A., 2015. Comparing the performance of L\*A\*B\* and HSV color spaces
- with respect to color image segmentation. Int. J. Emerg. Technol. Adv. Eng. 5, 192–203.
- 555 Breiman, L., Friedman, J.H., Olshen, R.A., Stone, C.J., 1984. Classification And Regression Trees.
- 556 Routledge. https://doi.org/10.1201/9781315139470
- 557 Bull, C.R., 1991. Wavelength selection for near-infrared reflectance moisture meters. J. Agric. Eng.
- 558 Res. 49, 113–125. https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-8634(91)80032-A
- 559 Butz, C., Grosjean, M., Fischer, D., Wunderle, S., Tylmann, W., Rein, B., 2015. Hyperspectral imaging
- 560 spectroscopy: a promising method for the biogeochemical analysis of lake sediments. J. Appl.
- 561 Remote Sens. 9, 1–20. https://doi.org/10.1117/1.JRS.9.096031
- 562 Butz, C., Grosjean, M., Goslar, T., Tylmann, W., 2017. Hyperspectral imaging of sedimentary bacterial

- 563 pigments: a 1700-year history of meromixis from varved Lake Jaczno, northeast Poland. J.
- 564 Paleolimnol. 1–16. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10933-017-9955-1
- 565 Chabrillat, S., Milewski, R., Schmid, T., Rodriguez, M., Escribano, P., Pelayo, M., Palacios-Orueta, A.,
- 566 2014. Potential of hyperspectral imagery for the spatial assessment of soil erosion stages in
- 567 agricultural semi-arid Spain at different scales, in: International Geoscience and Remote Sensing
- 568 Symposium (IGARSS). Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers Inc., pp. 2918–2921.
- 569 https://doi.org/10.1109/IGARSS.2014.6947087
- 570 Chagué-Goff, C., 2010. Chemical signatures of palaeotsunamis: A forgotten proxy? Mar. Geol. 271,
- 571 67–71. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.margeo.2010.01.010
- 572 CIE, 1999. IEC 61966-2-1:1999: Multimedia systems and equipment Colour measurement and
- 573 management Part 2-1: Colour management Default RGB colour space sRGB.
- 574 Cloutis, E.A., 1989. Spectral reflectance properties of hydrocarbons: Remote-sensing implications.
- 575 Science (80-. ). 245, 165–168. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.245.4914.165
- 576 Contreras Acosta, I.C., Khodadadzadeh, M., Tolosana Delgado, R., Gloaguen, R., 2020. Drill-core
- 577 hyperspectral and geochemical data integration in a superpixel-based machine learning
- 578 framework. IEEE J. Sel. Top. Appl. Earth Obs. Remote Sens. 1–16.
- 579 https://doi.org/10.1109/JSTARS.2020.3011221
- 580 Contreras Acosta, I.C., Khodadadzadeh, M., Tusa, L., Ghamisi, P., Gloaguen, R., 2019. A Machine
- 581 learning framework for drill-core mineral mapping using hyperspectral and high-resolution
- 582 mineralogical data fusion. IEEE J. Sel. Top. Appl. Earth Obs. Remote Sens. 12.
- 583 https://doi.org/10.1109/JSTARS.2019.2924292
- 584 Dumke, I., Nornes, S.M., Purser, A., Marcon, Y., Ludvigsen, M., Ellefmo, S.L., Johnsen, G., Søreide, F.,
- 585 2018. First hyperspectral imaging survey of the deep seafloor: High-resolution mapping of
- 586 manganese nodules. Remote Sens. Environ. 209, 19–30.

587 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2018.02.024

- 588 Ebert, T., Trauth, M.H., 2015. Semi-automated detection of annual laminae (varves) in lake sediments
- using a fuzzy logic algorithm. Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol. 435, 272–282.
- 590 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.palaeo.2015.05.024
- 591 Feng, J., Rogge, D., Rivard, B., 2018. Comparison of lithological mapping results from airborne
- 592 hyperspectral VNIR-SWIR, LWIR and combined data. Int. J. Appl. Earth Obs. Geoinf. 64, 340–
- 593 353. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JAG.2017.03.003
- 594 Feng, W., Qi, S., Heng, Y., Zhou, Y., Wu, Y., Liu, W., He, L., Li, X., 2017. Canopy vegetation indices from
- 595 in situ hyperspectral data to assess plant water status of winter wheat under powdery mildew
- 596 stress. Front. Plant Sci. 8. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2017.01219
- 597 Fisher, R.A., 1936. The Use of Multiple Measurements in Taxonomic Problems. Ann. Eugen. 7, 179–
- 598 188. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-1809.1936.tb02137.x
- 599 Fouinat, L., Sabatier, P., Poulenard, J., Reyss, J.-L., Montet, X., Arnaud, F., 2017. A new CT scan
- 600 methodology to characterize a small aggregation gravel clast contained in a soft sediment
- 601 matrix. Earth Surf. Dyn. 5, 199–209. https://doi.org/10.5194/esurf-5-199-2017
- 602 Gaume, E., Bain, V., Bernardara, P., Newinger, O., Barbuc, M., Bateman, A., Blaškovičová, L., Blöschl,
- G., Borga, M., Dumitrescu, A., Daliakopoulos, I., Garcia, J., Irimescu, A., Kohnova, S., Koutroulis,
- A., Marchi, L., Matreata, S., Medina, V., Preciso, E., Sempere-Torres, D., Stancalie, G., Szolgay, J.,
- Tsanis, I., Velasco, D., Viglione, A., 2009. A compilation of data on European flash floods. J.
- 606 Hydrol. 367, 70–78. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JHYDROL.2008.12.028
- 607 Ghamisi, P., Plaza, J., Chen, Y., Li, J., Plaza, A.J., 2017. Advanced Spectral Classifiers for Hyperspectral
- 608 Images: A review. IEEE Geosci. Remote Sens. Mag. 5, 8–32.
- 609 https://doi.org/10.1109/MGRS.2016.2616418
- 610 Giguet-Covex, C., Arnaud, F., Poulenard, J., Enters, D., Reyss, J.-L., Millet, L., Lazzaroto, J., Vidal, O.,

- 611 2010. Sedimentological and geochemical records of past trophic state and hypolimnetic anoxia
- 612 in large, hard-water Lake Bourget, French Alps. J. Paleolimnol. 43, 171–190.
- 613 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10933-009-9324-9
- 614 Gilli, A., Anselmetti, F.S., Glur, L., Wirth, S.B., 2013. Lake Sediments as Archives of Recurrence Rates
- and Intensities of Past Flood Events, in: Dating Torrential Processes on Fans and Cones:
- 616 Methods and Their Application for Hazard and Risk Assessment. pp. 225–242.
- 617 https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-4336-6\_15
- Glur, L., Wirth, S.B., Büntgen, U., Gilli, A., Haug, G.H., Schär, C., Beer, J., Anselmetti, F.S., 2013.
- Frequent floods in the European Alps coincide with cooler periods of the past 2500 years. Sci.
- 620 Rep. 3, 2770. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep02770
- 621 Hernández-Hernández, J.L., García-Mateos, G., González-Esquiva, J.M., Escarabajal-Henarejos, D.,
- 622 Ruiz-Canales, A., Molina-Martínez, J.M., 2016. Optimal color space selection method for
- 623 plant/soil segmentation in agriculture. Comput. Electron. Agric. 122, 124–132.
- 624 https://doi.org/10.1016/J.COMPAG.2016.01.020
- 625 Ho, T.K., 1995. Random decision forests, in: Proceedings of 3rd International Conference on
- 626 Document Analysis and Recognition. IEEE Comput. Soc. Press, pp. 278–282.
- 627 https://doi.org/10.1109/ICDAR.1995.598994
- 628 Ivakhnenko, A., Lapa, V.G., 1965. Cybernetic predicting devices. CCM Information Corp., New York.
- Jacq, K., Coquin, D., Fanget, B., Perrette, Y., Debret, M., 2019a. Study of pansharpening methods
- 630 applied to hyperspectral images of sediment cores, in: 2019 22nd International Conference on
- 631 Information Fusion (FUSION). Ottawa, Canada, pp. 1–6.
- Jacq, K., Giguet-Covex, C., Sabatier, P., Perrette, Y., Fanget, B., Coquin, D., Debret, M., Arnaud, F.,
- 633 2019b. High-resolution grain size distribution of sediment core with hyperspectral imaging.
- 634 Sediment. Geol. 393–394. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sedgeo.2019.105536

- Jacq, K., Martinez-Lamas, R., Van Exem, A., Debret, M., 2020. Hyperspectral core-logger image
  acquisition, Protocols.io.
- Jacq, K., Perrette, Y., Fanget, B., Sabatier, P., Coquin, D., Martinez-Lamas, R., Debret, M., Arnaud, F.,
- 638 2019c. High-resolution prediction of organic matter concentration with hyperspectral imaging
- on a sediment core. Sci. Total Environ. 663, 236–244.
- 640 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.01.320
- Jenny, J.-P., 2013. Réponses des grands lacs périalpins aux pressions anthropiques et climatiques
- 642 récentes : reconstitutions spatio-temporelles à partir d'archives sédimentaires. HAL. Université
- 643 Grenoble Alpes.
- Jenny, J.-P., Wilhelm, B., Arnaud, F., Sabatier, P., Giguet Covex, C., Mélo, A., Fanget, B., Malet, E.,
- 645 Ployon, E., Perga, M.E., 2014. A 4D sedimentological approach to reconstructing the flood
- 646 frequency and intensity of the Rhône River (Lake Bourget, NW European Alps). J. Paleolimnol.
- 647 51, 469–483. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10933-014-9768-4
- 648 Khaledian, Y., Miller, B.A., 2020. Selecting appropriate machine learning methods for digital soil
- 649 mapping. Appl. Math. Model. 81, 401–418. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apm.2019.12.016
- 650 Krupnik, D., Khan, S., 2019. Close-range, ground-based hyperspectral imaging for mining applications
- at various scales: Review and case studies. Earth-Science Rev. 198, 34.
- 652 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2019.102952
- Li, S., Song, W., Fang, L., Chen, Y., Ghamisi, P., Benediktsson, J.A., 2019. Deep learning for
- 654 hyperspectral image classification: An overview. IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens. 57.
- 655 https://doi.org/10.1109/TGRS.2019.2907932
- Lian, C., Ruan, S., Denoeux, T., Li, H., Vera, P., 2019. Joint Tumor Segmentation in PET-CT Images
- 657 Using Co-Clustering and Fusion Based on Belief Functions. IEEE Trans. Image Process. 28, 755–
- 658 766. https://doi.org/10.1109/TIP.2018.2872908

- 659 Liu, C., Yuen, J., Torralba, A., 2011. SIFT flow: dense correspondence across scenes and its
- applications. IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell. 33, 978–994.
- 661 https://doi.org/10.1109/TPAMI.2010.147
- Lorenz, S., Seidel, P., Ghamisi, P., Zimmermann, R., Tusa, L., Khodadadzadeh, M., Contreras, I.C.,
- 663 Gloaguen, R., 2019. Multi-Sensor Spectral Imaging of Geological Samples: A Data Fusion
- 664 Approach Using Spatio-Spectral Feature Extraction. Sensors 19, 2787.
- 665 https://doi.org/10.3390/s19122787
- 666 Lotter, andré f., Lemcke, G., 1999. Methods for preparing and counting biochemical varves. Boreas

667 28, 243–252. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1502-3885.1999.tb00218.x

- 668 Makri, S., Rey, F., Gobet, E., Gilli, A., Tinner, W., Grosjean, M., 2020. Early human impact in a 15,000-
- 669 year high-resolution hyperspectral imaging record of paleoproduction and anoxia from a varved
- 670 lake in Switzerland. Quat. Sci. Rev. 239, 1–14. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2020.106335
- 671 McCulloch, W.S., Pitts, W., 1943. A logical calculus of the ideas immanent in nervous activity. Bull.
- 672 Math. Biophys. 5, 115–133. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02478259
- 673 Meyer, M.C., Faber, R., Spö Tl, C., 2006. The WinGeol Lamination Tool : new software for rapid, semi
- automated analysis of laminated climate archives. The Holocene 16, 753–761.
- 675 https://doi.org/10.1191/0959683606hl969rr
- 676 Ndiaye, M., Davaud, E., Ariztegui, D., Fall, M., 2012. A semi automated method for laminated
- 677 sediments analysis. Int. J. Geosci. 3, 206–210. https://doi.org/10.4236/ijg.2012.31023
- 678 Pearson, K., 1901. On lines and planes of closest fit to systems of points in space. Philos. Mag. 2, 559–
- 679 572. https://doi.org/10.1080/14786440109462720
- 680 Peerbhay, K.Y., Mutanga, O., Ismail, R., 2015. Random Forests Unsupervised Classification: The
- 681 Detection and Mapping of Solanum mauritianum Infestations in Plantation Forestry Using
- 682 Hyperspectral Data. IEEE J. Sel. Top. Appl. Earth Obs. Remote Sens. 8, 3107–3122.

- 683 https://doi.org/10.1109/JSTARS.2015.2396577
- 684 Rapuc, W., Jacq, K., Develle, A.-L., Sabatier, P., Fanget, B., Perrette, Y., Coquin, D., Debret, M.,
- 685 Wilhelm, B., Arnaud, F., 2020. XRF and hyperspectral analyses as an automatic way to detect
- flood events in sediment cores. Sediment. Geol. 409, 1–10.
- 687 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sedgeo.2020.105776
- 688 Rinnan, Å., Berg, F. van den, Engelsen, S.B., 2009. Review of the most common pre-processing
- 689 techniques for near-infrared spectra. TrAC Trends Anal. Chem. 28, 1201–1222.
- 690 https://doi.org/10.1016/J.TRAC.2009.07.007
- 691 Rosenblatt, F., 1958. The Perceptron: A Probabilistic Model for Information Storage and Organization
- 692 in The Brain. Psychol. Rev. 65, 386–408.
- 693 Rubwurm, M., Korner, M., 2017. Temporal Vegetation Modelling Using Long Short-Term Memory
- 694 Networks for Crop Identification from Medium-Resolution Multi-spectral Satellite Images, in:
- 695 IEEE Computer Society Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition Workshops.
- 696 IEEE Computer Society, pp. 1496–1504. https://doi.org/10.1109/CVPRW.2017.193
- 697 Sabatier, P., Dezileau, L., Colin, C., Briqueu, L., Bouchette, F., Martinez, P., Siani, G., Raynal, O., Von
- 698 Grafenstein, U., 2012. 7000 years of paleostorm activity in the NW Mediterranean Sea in
- 699 response to Holocene climate events. Quat. Res. 77, 1–11.
- 700 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yqres.2011.09.002
- 701 Savitzky, A., Golay, M.J.E., 1964. Smoothing and Differentiation of Data by Simplified Least Squares
- 702 Procedures. Anal. Chem. 36, 1627–1639. https://doi.org/10.1021/ac60214a047
- 703 Schmidhuber, J., 2015. Deep Learning in Neural Networks: An Overview. Neural Networks 61, 85–
- 704 117. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neunet.2014.09.003
- 705 Schneider, T., Rimer, D., Butz, C., Grosjean, M., 2018. A high-resolution pigment and productivity
- record from the varved Ponte Tresa basin (Lake Lugano, Switzerland) since 1919: insight from

- 707 an approach that combines hyperspectral imaging and high-performance liquid
- 708 chromatography. J. Paleolimnol. 1–18. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10933-018-0028-x
- 709 Shang, X., Chisholm, L.A., 2014. Classification of Australian native forest species using hyperspectral
- 710 remote sensing and machine-learning classification algorithms. IEEE J. Sel. Top. Appl. Earth Obs.
- 711 Remote Sens. 7, 2481–2489. https://doi.org/10.1109/JSTARS.2013.2282166
- 712 Shi, C., Wang, L., 2014. Incorporating spatial information in spectral unmixing: A review. Remote
- 713 Sens. Environ. 149, 70–87. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2014.03.034
- 714 Tehrani, A.K.N., Macktoobian, M., Kasaei, S., 2019. Color Image Segmentation Using a Fuzzy
- 715 Inference System, in: Proceedings 2019 7th International Conference on Digital Information
- 716 Processing and Communications, ICDIPC 2019. Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers
- 717 Inc., pp. 78–83. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICDIPC.2019.8723780
- 718 Teke, M., Deveci, H.S., Haliloglu, O., Gurbuz, S.Z., Sakarya, U., 2013. A short survey of hyperspectral
- 719 remote sensing applications in agriculture, in: RAST 2013 Proceedings of 6th International
- 720 Conference on Recent Advances in Space Technologies. pp. 171–176.
- 721 https://doi.org/10.1109/RAST.2013.6581194
- 722 Tusa, L., Andreani, L., Khodadadzadeh, M., Contreras, C., Ivascanu, P., Gloaguen, R., Gutzmer, J.,
- 723 2019. Mineral mapping and vein detection in hyperspectral drill-core scans: Application to
- porphyry-type mineralization. Minerals 9. https://doi.org/10.3390/min9020122
- 725 Tusa, L., Kern, M., Khodadadzadeh, M., Blannin, R., Gloaguen, R., Gutzmer, J., 2020a. Evaluating the
- performance of hyperspectral short-wave infrared sensors for the pre-sorting of complex ores
- using machine learning methods. Miner. Eng. 146, 106150.
- 728 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mineng.2019.106150
- Tusa, L., Khodadadzadeh, M., Contreras, C., Shahi, K.R., Fuchs, M., Gloaguen, R., Gutzmer, J., 2020b.
- 730 Drill-Core Mineral Abundance Estimation Using Hyperspectral and High-Resolution

- 731 Mineralogical Data. Remote Sens. 12. https://doi.org/10.3390/rs12071218
- Van Exem, A., 2018. Reconstructions de changements environnementaux dans les archives lacustres
   par imagerie hyperspectrale. HAL. Université de Rouen Normandie.
- Vannière, B., Magny, M., Joannin, S., Simonneau, A., Wirth, S.B., Hamann, Y., Chapron, E., Gilli, A.,
- 735 Desmet, M., Anselmetti, F.S., 2013. Orbital changes, variation in solar activity and increased
- anthropogenic activities: controls on the Holocene flood frequency in the Lake Ledro area,
- 737 Northern Italy. Clim. Past 9, 1193–1209. https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-9-1193-2013
- 738 Verpoorter, C., Carrère, V., Combe, J.-P., 2014. Visible, near-infrared spectrometry for simultaneous
- assessment of geophysical sediment properties (water and grain size) using the Spectral
- 740 Derivative-Modified Gaussian Model. J. Geophys. Res. Earth Surf. 119, 2098–2122.
- 741 https://doi.org/10.1002/2013JF002969
- Vidal, M., Amigo, J.M., 2012. Pre-processing of hyperspectral images. Essential steps before image
  analysis. Chemom. Intell. Lab. Syst. 117, 138–148.
- 744 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemolab.2012.05.009
- 745 Viscarra Rossel, R.A., Behrens, T., 2010. Using data mining to model and interpret soil diffuse
- reflectance spectra. Geoderma 158, 46–54. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2009.12.025
- 747 Weber, M.E., Reichelt, L., Kuhn, G., Pfeiffer, M., Korff, B., Thurow, J., Ricken, W., 2010. BMPix and
- 748 PEAK tools: new methods for automated laminae recognition and counting-application to
- 749 glacial varves from Antarctic marine sediment. Geochemistry, Geophys. Geosystems 11.
- 750 https://doi.org/10.1029/2009GC002611
- 751 Wilhelm, B., Arnaud, F., Sabatier, P., Crouzet, C., Brisset, E., Chaumillon, E., Disnar, J.-R., Guiter, F.,
- 752 Malet, E., Reyss, J.-L., Tachikawa, K., Bard, E., Delannoy, J.-J., 2012. 1400 years of extreme
- 753 precipitation patterns over the Mediterranean French Alps and possible forcing mechanisms.
- 754 Quat. Res. 78, 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.YQRES.2012.03.003

- 755 Wilhelm, B., Ballesteros Canovas, J.A., Corella Aznar, J.P., Kämpf, L., Swierczynski, T., Stoffel, M.,
- 756 Støren, E., Toonen, W., 2018. Recent advances in paleoflood hydrology: From new archives to

757 data compilation and analysis. Water Secur. 3, 1–8.

- 758 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasec.2018.07.001
- 759 Wilhelm, B., Nomade, J., Crouzet, C., Litty, C., Sabatier, P., Belle, S., Rolland, Y., Revel, M.,
- 760 Courboulex, F., Arnaud, F., Anselmetti, F.S., 2016. Quantified sensitivity of small lake sediments
- to record historic earthquakes: Implications for paleoseismology. J. Geophys. Res. Earth Surf.
- 762 121, 2–16. https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JF003644
- 763 Wilhelm, B., Sabatier, P., Arnaud, F., 2015. Is a regional flood signal reproducible from lake
- 764 sediments? Sedimentology 62, 1103–1117. https://doi.org/10.1111/sed.12180
- 765 Wold, S., Ruhe, A., Wold, H., Dunn, III, W.J., 1984. The Collinearity Problem in Linear Regression. The
- 766 Partial Least Squares (PLS) Approach to Generalized Inverses. SIAM J. Sci. Stat. Comput. 5, 735–
- 767 743. https://doi.org/10.1137/0905052
- 768 Zuiderveld, K., 1994. Contrast Limited Adaptive Histogram Equalization, in: Graphics Gems IV.
- 769 Academic Press, pp. 474–485. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-336156-1.50061-6

770

## 772 9 List of captions

773 9.1 Figures

Figure 1: Locations, tributaries and effluents of the lakes: (a) Bourget and Geneva, (b) Allos.

Figure 2: XRF analysis for Ti, Zr/K, and Ca of the three cores: (a) Allos, (b) Bourget, and (c) Geneva

- Figure 3: Data processing to create a classification model to predict each pixel: (1) Visualization with
- RGB images at both resolutions; (2) Manual labeling of the image; (3) Data pre-processing and (4)

778 Creation of supervised classification models for each dataset (DT: Decision Tree, RF: Random Forest,

- ANN: Artificial Neural Network, LDA: Linear Discriminant Analysis, QDA: Quadratic Discriminant
- 780 Analysis, PLS-DA: Partial Least Squares Discriminant Analysis); (5) Prediction for each image; (6)
- 781 Estimation of the optimal model depending on the sensor, pre-processing procedure and
- 782 discrimination model
- 783 Figure 4: Spectral variations of labelled pixels for each class (blue = event layers; yellow = continuous
- sedimentation) and for the three cores: (a) Allos, (b) Bourget and (c) Geneva. The reflectance of the
- 785 sediments depends on the specific sedimentary properties of the sites studied. It seems difficult to
- visually estimate specific discriminating spectral areas of the two classes studied
- Figure 5: Schematic representation of the machine learning methods used in this study depending on
  their basis: (a) rules; (b) neurons; and (c) functions
- 789 Figure 6: Radar charts of the impact of pre-processing on the overall prediction accuracy of each
- 790 classification method and lake core hyperspectral image (a-c: Lake Allos; d-f: Lake Bourget; g-i: Lake
- Geneva; a, d, g: VNIR sensor; b, e, h: SWIR sensor; c, f, i: VNIR-SWIR sensor)
- Figure 7: Optimal (c, f, i) and worst (b, e, h) models for each sediment core sample (a, b, c: Allos; d, e,
- f: Bourget; h, i, j: Geneva) and labeled areas (blue = event layers; yellow = continuous sedimentation)

- 794 Figure 8: Comparison of the normalized coefficients (coefficient weights normalized to one for each
- method) used by the optimal SWIR models to highlight the discriminant wavelengths: (a) Allos, (b)
- 796 Bourget, and (c) Geneva samples
- 797 Figure 9: Comparison of the naked-eye and HSI estimated chronicles for the three samples: (a) Allos;
- 798 (b) Bourget; (c) Geneva
- 799 9.2 Tables
- 800 Table 1: Optimal models for each sensor depending on the sample and discrimination method and
- 801 based on the parameters indicating their performance and rubustness
- Table 2: Comparison of site-specific models for the generalization to the other cores
- 803 Table 3: Comparison of the conventional image discriminant models with the optimal predictions
- 804 being estimated by the hyperspectral images
- Table 4: Statistics from the naked-eye and HSI event layer detections. N is the number of event layers
- 806 identified in each lake core, and the other variables are statistics about the layer thickness

# 807 **10 Supplementary Material**

# 808 10.1 Figures



810 Supplementary figure 1: Classification maps estimated by model re-using: (d-e-f): Allos model; (g-h-i): Bourget model; (j-k-l):

811

Geneva model; (d-g-j): Allos data; (e-h-k): Bourget data; (f-i-l): Geneva data.



813 Supplementary figure 2: Comparison of the classification maps estimated by the learning of the conventional images and

# 815 10.2 Tables

816

#### Supplementary table 1: Parameters of each machine learning method

HSI

Method	Parameters
DT	Split predictor: Standard CART
	Split criterion: Gini's diversity index
	Decision tree pruning based on error criterion
RF	Ensemble-aggregation method: Adaptive logistic regression
	Number of ensemble learning cycles: 100
A N I N I	Number of neurone 2.10
ANN	Number of neurons: 2-10
	Training function: Scaled conjugate gradient backgronagation
	Training function. Scaled conjugate gradient backpropagation
	Performance function: Cross-Entropy

LDA	Discriminant type: Linear
QDA	Discriminant type: Quadratic
PLS-DA	Latent variable estimation method: NIPALS
	Maximum number of latent variables: 15
	Selection of the number of latent variables: R <sup>2</sup> differences between two consecutive
	latent variables are less than 2%

Supplementary table 2: The number of pixels for each sediment core image and the number of labeled pixels for the

calibration and validation sets for each class (1=event layer; 2=continuous sedimentation)

	6	Total pixels		Number of areas	Calibration	Validation
Sample	Sensors		Class	labeled and pixels	pixels	pixels
			1	8 areas,	419 105	179 617
	VNIR	30 433 152	T	598 722 (1.97%)	(1.38%)	(0.59%)
			2	6 areas,	419 105	541 974
Allos			2	961 079 (3.16%)	(1.38%)	(1.78%)
			1	8 areas,	24 755	10 609
	SWIR, VNIR-SWIR	1 702 476	T	35 364 (2.08%)	(1.45%)	(0.62%)
			2	8 areas,	24 755	31 506
				56 261 (3.30%)	(1.45%)	(1.85%)
			1	12 areas,	68 636	87 240
	VNIR	21 096 723	1	155 876 (0.74%)	(0.33%)	(0.41%)
Bourget			2	9 areas,	68 636	29 415
			2	98 051 (0.46%)	(0.33%)	(0.14%)
	SWIR,	1 806 624	1	12 areas,	5 709	7 266
	VNIR-SWIR	1 000 024		12 975 (0.72%)	(0.32%)	(0.40%)

				9 areas,	5 709	2 447
			2	8 156 (0.45%)	(0.32%)	(0.14%)
				8 areas,		
					65 769	1 968 827
			1	2 034 596	(0.480/)	(14 200()
	VNIR	13 711 712		(14 84%)	(0.48%)	(14.36%)
Geneva	VIVII	13/11/12		(1.0.1/0)		
				3 areas,	65 769	28 187
			2	93 956 (0.69%)	(0.48%)	(0.21%)
				8 areas,	4 078	131 855
	SWIR,	865 234	1	135 933 (15.71%)	(0.47%)	(15.24%)
	VNIR-SWIR		2	3 areas,	4 078	1 748
				5 826 (0.67%)	(0.47%)	(0.20%)

Supplementary table 3: Optimal models for the VNIR data depending on the sample and discrimination method

Sample	Performances	DT	RF	ANN	LDA	QDA	PLS-DA
	Processing	CLAHE	Detrend	CLAHE	CLAHE	CLAHE	CLAHE
	Neurons			2			
	Accuracy <sub>cal</sub>	0.978	0.886	0.889	0.863	0.860	0.840
Allos	Precision <sub>cal</sub>	0.977	0.883	0.889	0.904	0.906	0.902
	Recall <sub>cal</sub>	0.980	0.890	0.888	0.811	0.803	0.762
	Accuracy <sub>pred</sub>	0.828	0.882	0.890	0.889	0.889	0.879
	Precision <sub>pred</sub>	0.614	0.710	0.728	0.759	0.764	0.755
	Recall <sub>pred</sub>	0.834	0.888	0.889	0.812	0.804	0.763
	Qualitative	3	3	3	2	2	2

	Computation time (s)	42.544	1272.513	263.840	8.086	251.592	12.975
	Processing	Raw	Raw	Raw	Raw	Raw	Raw
	Neurons			2			
	Accuracy <sub>cal</sub>	0.999	0.996	0.996	0.994	0.994	0.993
	Precision <sub>cal</sub>	0.999	0.996	0.996	0.998	0.997	0.997
Bourget	Recall <sub>cal</sub>	0.999	0.996	0.996	0.990	0.991	0.988
	Accuracy <sub>pred</sub>	0.994	0.995	0.996	0.993	0.992	0.991
	Precision <sub>pred</sub>	0.998	0.998	0.998	0.999	0.999	0.999
	Recall <sub>pred</sub>	0.993	0.995	0.996	0.991	0.991	0.988
	Qualitative	2	3	2	2	2	2
	Computation time (s)	1.817	139.542	5.174	1.216	54.210	2.057
	Processing	Detrend	Detrend	Detrend	Detrend	Detrend	Detrend
	Neurons			2			
	Accuracy <sub>cal</sub>	0.998	0.990	0.992	0.987	0.987	0.985
Geneva	Precision <sub>cal</sub>	0.998	0.985	0.989	0.985	0.985	0.984
	Recall <sub>cal</sub>	0.999	0.995	0.994	0.988	0.988	0.985
	Accuracy <sub>pred</sub>	0.987	0.993	0.994	0.989	0.989	0.985
	Precision <sub>pred</sub>	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
	Recall <sub>pred</sub>	0.987	0.993	0.994	0.989	0.989	0.986

Qualitative	2	2	2	3	3	3
Computation time (s)	2.615	200.019	16.167	1.142	52.417	2.814

Supplementary table 4: Optimal models for the SWIR data depending on the sample and discrimination method

Sample	Performances	DT	RF	ANN	LDA	QDA	PLS-DA
	Processing	Raw	SNV	Autoscale	Raw	Raw	SNV
	Neurons			3			
	Accuracy <sub>cal</sub>	0.982	0.925	0.922	0.920	0.920	0.900
	Precision <sub>cal</sub>	0.982	0.949	0.944	0.933	0.933	0.908
Allos	Recall <sub>cal</sub>	0.982	0.898	0.896	0.905	0.904	0.890
	Accuracy <sub>pred</sub>	0.876	0.928	0.935	0.927	0.926	0.902
	Precision <sub>pred</sub>	0.725	0.853	0.869	0.840	0.840	0.785
	Recall <sub>pred</sub>	0.882	0.888	0.895	0.904	0.903	0.885
	Qualitative	3	5	5	5	5	5
	Computation time (s)	1.214	95.740	6.989	0.664	39.287	0.800
	Processing	Detrend	Detrend	Detrend	Detrend	Detrend	Detrend
Bourget	Neurons			2			
	Accuracy <sub>cal</sub>	0.994	1.000	0.976	0.971	0.972	0.969
	Precision <sub>cal</sub>	0.995	1.000	0.994	0.993	0.994	0.988
	Recall <sub>cal</sub>	0.993	1.000	0.958	0.949	0.949	0.951

	Accuracy <sub>pred</sub>	0.952	0.959	0.967	0.954	0.955	0.958
	Precision <sub>pred</sub>	0.984	0.986	0.997	0.997	0.997	0.996
	Recall <sub>pred</sub>	0.953	0.961	0.960	0.944	0.944	0.949
	Qualitative	2	3	4	5	5	5
	Computation time (s)	0.266	10.402	0.402	0.167	24.268	0.219
	Processing	SNV	SNV	SNV	SNV	SNV	SNV
	Neurons			2			
	Accuracy <sub>cal</sub>	0.998	1.000	0.996	0.992	0.992	0.991
Geneva	Precision <sub>cal</sub>	0.998	1.000	0.998	0.996	0.996	0.996
	Recall <sub>cal</sub>	0.999	1.000	0.994	0.989	0.988	0.985
	Accuracy <sub>pred</sub>	0.991	0.993	0.993	0.989	0.988	0.987
	Precision <sub>pred</sub>	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
	Recall <sub>pred</sub>	0.991	0.993	0.993	0.989	0.988	0.987
	Qualitative	3	4	4	5	5	5
	Computation time (s)	0.155	5.436	0.255	0.113	21.478	0.163

Supplementary table 5: Optimal models for the VNIR-SWIR data depending on the sample and discrimination method

Sample	Performances	DT	RF	ANN	LDA	QDA	PLS-DA
	Processing	CLAHE	SNV	SNV	Raw	Raw	SNV
Allos							
	Neurons			2			

	Accuracy <sub>cal</sub>	0.984	0.943	0.933	0.931	0.931	0.901
	Precision <sub>cal</sub>	0.983	0.961	0.952	0.940	0.940	0.943
	Recall <sub>cal</sub>	0.984	0.924	0.912	0.921	0.921	0.855
	Accuracy <sub>pred</sub>	0.877	0.931	0.940	0.935	0.935	0.921
	Precision <sub>pred</sub>	0.726	0.854	0.875	0.856	0.856	0.857
	Recall <sub>pred</sub>	0.886	0.899	0.911	0.918	0.918	0.855
	Qualitative	3	5	4	5	5	5
	Computation time (s)	2.726	86.900	15.562	1.097	49.604	1.206
	Processing	Raw	Raw	Raw	Detrend	Detrend	Raw
	Neurons			2			
	Accuracy <sub>cal</sub>	0.993	1.000	0.981	0.979	0.979	0.979
	Precision <sub>cal</sub>	0.995	0.999	0.998	0.997	0.997	0.997
Bourget	Recall <sub>cal</sub>	0.992	1.000	0.963	0.960	0.960	0.960
	Accuracy <sub>pred</sub>	0.966	0.967	0.970	0.969	0.969	0.968
	Precision <sub>pred</sub>	0.990	0.995	1.000	0.999	0.999	0.999
	Recall <sub>pred</sub>	0.965	0.963	0.962	0.960	0.961	0.959
	Qualitative	1	2	2	3	4	4
	Computation time (s)	0.372	15.082	0.672	0.265	26.742	0.276
Geneva	Processing	Raw	Raw	Raw	Raw	Raw	Raw

Neurons			2			
Accuracy <sub>cal</sub>	0.999	1.000	0.998	0.997	0.993	0.991
Precision <sub>cal</sub>	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.995	0.990
Recall <sub>cal</sub>	0.999	1.000	0.996	0.994	0.992	0.992
Accuracy <sub>pred</sub>	0.996	0.996	0.996	0.993	0.991	0.993
Precision <sub>pred</sub>	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
Recall <sub>pred</sub>	0.996	0.996	0.996	0.993	0.991	0.993
Qualitative	2	2	4	4	4	4
Computation time (s)	0.223	17.307	0.758	0.437	52.612	0.404