



HAL
open science

Comparison of Illicit Drug Seizures Products of Natural Origin Using a Molecular Networking Approach

Brendan Le Daré, Sophie Allard, Aurélien Couette, Pierre-Marie Allard,
Isabelle Morel, Thomas Gicquel

► **To cite this version:**

Brendan Le Daré, Sophie Allard, Aurélien Couette, Pierre-Marie Allard, Isabelle Morel, et al.. Comparison of Illicit Drug Seizures Products of Natural Origin Using a Molecular Networking Approach. *International Journal of Toxicology*, 2022, 41 (2), pp.108-114. 10.1177/10915818211065161 . hal-03594463

HAL Id: hal-03594463

<https://hal.science/hal-03594463>

Submitted on 6 May 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

Research article

Comparison of illicit drug seizures products of natural origin using a molecular networking approach

Short title: Drug seizures comparison using molecular networking

Brendan Le Daré^{1,2}, Sophie Allard¹, Aurélien Couette¹, Pierre-Marie Allard³, Isabelle Morel^{1,2},
Thomas Gicquel^{1,2}

1. *Rennes University Hospital, Forensic Toxicology Laboratory; F-35000 Rennes, France.*

2. *Univ Rennes, INSERM, INRA, CHU Rennes, Institut NuMeCan (Nutrition, Metabolisms and Cancer) F-35000 Rennes, France.*

3. *Department of Biology, University of Fribourg, Chemin du Musée 10, 1700 Fribourg, Switzerland*

Corresponding author: Dr. Brendan Le Daré (ORCID: 0000-0002-5907-2450 and Publons: AAL-7050-2020)

Address : Laboratoire de Toxicologie Biologique et Médico-Légale, CHU Pontchaillou, 2 Rue Henri Le Guilloux, 35000 Rennes.

E-mail: brendan.le.dare@chu-rennes.fr

Conflicts of interest : None

1 **ABSTRACT**

2 Drug powder composition analysis is of particular interest in forensic investigations to identify illicit
3 substance content, cutting agents and impurities. Powder profiling is difficult to implement due to
4 multiple analytical methods requirement and remains a challenge for forensic toxicology laboratories.
5 Furthermore, visualization tools allowing seizure products identification appear to be under-used to
6 date. The aim of this study is to present the utility of molecular networking for the composition
7 establishment of natural origin drugs. A powder suspected to contain heroin and three powders
8 suspected to contain cocaine obtained from law enforcement agency seizures were analyzed using
9 untargeted screening by liquid chromatography coupled with high resolution mass spectrometry (LC-
10 HRMS/MS). Molecular networking and metabolite annotation applied to suspected heroin sample
11 allowed rapid confirmation of its illicit content (heroin), the identification of structurally related
12 major impurities (6-monoacetylmorphine, 6-monoacetylcodeine, noscapine, papaverine), as well as
13 cutting agents (acetaminophen and caffeine). The cocaine powder profiling allowed the comparison
14 of its constituents in a semi-quantitative manner (cocaine, benzoylecgonine, trans/cis-
15 cinnamoylcocaine, trimethoxycocaine, hexanoylecgonine methylester, caffeine, hydroxyzine,
16 levamisole and phenacetin), bringing additional information for their identification, including
17 geographically sourcing of natural product and their putative place in the supply chain. Although this
18 approach does not replace the profiling techniques used by forensic laboratories, the use of molecular
19 networks provides a visual overview of structurally related constituents which aids the comparison
20 and investigation of seizure powders. Molecular networks offers here an ideal way to depict
21 structurally related and unrelated compounds in these often complex mixtures of chemicals.

22

23 **Keywords:** drug seizure; molecular networking; high-resolution mass spectrometry; cocaine; heroin

24

1 **1. INTRODUCTION**

2

3 In 2018, total drug seizures in the United States amounted over 64M \$ mainly with cocaine, heroin,
4 marijuana, methamphetamine and fentanyl [1]. Drug origins can be diverse, involving various
5 manufacturing methods and therefore different compositions. Highlighting illicit substances nature
6 and presence in powders makes it possible to establish legal sanctions. Moreover, drug sample
7 composition is of interest in the context of judicial investigations; the analysis of contaminants in
8 these powders contributes to their origin and provides scientific evidence that can help in their
9 manufacture localization.

10

11 In the case of natural drugs origin, we can distinguish two cases: extraction or hemi-synthesis.
12 Cocaine is a tropane alkaloid obtained from several species of *Erythroxylum* shrub leaves [2,3]. Since
13 the solvents used for cocaine extraction lead to only a few traces in the final product after
14 evaporation, it is difficult to rely on their analysis for powder study. Conversely, cutting agents
15 analysis such as levamisole, caffeine, lidocaine, acetaminophen or phenacetin provides more
16 information on the methods used by traffickers and helps to discriminate between powders based
17 upon their origin, purity and therefore their place in the supply chain [4,5]. Other illicit substances are
18 produced by hemisynthesis, such as heroin. Therefore, the finished product can potentially contain
19 substances from the plant extraction stage, contaminants from the hemisynthesis stage, as well as
20 cutting products. These compounds can greatly vary in composition or in proportion, and therefore
21 constitute elements of high value for profiling purposes. In the case of heroin, obtained by
22 diacetylation of morphine extracted from *Papaver somniferum*, it is difficult to differentiate the hemi-
23 synthesis methods used [6]. For this reason, the study of the cutting agents remains essential for
24 powder discrimination.

25

1 Drug profiling is not routinely performed in forensic toxicology laboratories and innovative data
2 visualization solutions thus remain poorly used in such contexts. Molecular networking (MN) is a
3 computational strategy that may help visualization and interpretation of the complex data arising
4 from MS analysis. Initially developed within the field of natural products research, this tool is
5 particularly useful for complex biological matrices analysis at the molecular level [7–10]. Data
6 reprocessing using open source softwares such as MzMine 2 or Cytoscape allows visualization of the
7 acquired data by non-targeted screening [7,11]. MN is able to identify compounds and potential
8 similarities among all MS/MS spectra within the dataset and to propagate annotation to unknown but
9 related molecules. Also, it facilitates the comparison of different matrices in a semi-quantitative
10 manner [12,13].

11

12 Recently, our laboratory reported a case of fatal poisoning by an unknown powder ingestion
13 (incorrectly labelled as *Tabernanthe iboga*) and applied MN to identify the plant involved in a
14 woman's death [14]. Here, in order to compare powders composition suspected to contain illicit
15 substances, we applied this tool to law enforcement agencies seizures products. This study illustrates
16 the utility of molecular networks to efficiently determine the nature and number of compounds
17 structurally related to the main expected component in the frame of illicit drug seizures products
18 analysis.

19

20 **2. MATERIAL AND METHODS**

21

22 **2.1 Sample preparation**

23 Powder samples analyzed in this study were collected from law enforcement agency seizures. The
24 sample X, a beige powder sample soluble in methanol, was sold as heroin powder. The sample Y1, a
25 white powder soluble in methanol, and the samples Y2 and Y3, white powders soluble in water, were

1 sold as cocaine powders. The four samples were powdered to weigh 30 mg on a precision balance,
2 dissolved in 3 mL of appropriate solvent, and diluted 1000 times for analysis.

3

4 **2.2 Drug powder analysis**

5 Samples were analysed on an Orbitrap Q Exactive™ mass spectrometer coupled to an Accela 1250
6 pump (both from Thermo Scientific, San Jose, CA) using a heated electrospray ionization source
7 (HESI-II). Data acquisition, calibration and instrument control were managed using Xcalibur® 2.1
8 software (Thermo Scientific).

9 Quantitative assays of illicit drugs were performed using a LC-HRMS method. The mobile phases
10 were composed of ammonium formate at 10 mM and formic acid 0.1% in water (phase A) and
11 acetonitrile and formic acid 0.1% (phase B). LC was performed on a Hypersil Gold column (5 mm x
12 2.1 mm, 3 µm) (Thermo Scientific, San Jose, CA). Heroin and cocaine were quantified on calibration
13 curves using heroin-D9 and cocaine-D3 as internal standards, respectively (LOQ = 5ng/mL, range :
14 10 – 1000 ng/mL , $r^2 > 0.999$). Calibration solutions were purchased from LGC (Teddington, UK).

15 In order to achieve MN, untargeted screening LC-HRMS/MS analyses were performed according to
16 Allard et al. (2019) [12]. Briefly, LC separation was performed on a Hypersil GOLD PFP column
17 (150 x 2.1 mm, 5 µm) (Thermo Scientific, San Jose, USA). The mobile phases were composed of 10
18 mM ammonium acetate and 0.1% formic acid in water (A), and 0.1% formic acid in acetonitrile (B)
19 at a flow rate of 200 µL/min. Detection was performed by data dependent untargeted screening as
20 previously described [12].

21

22 **2.3 Generation of molecular networks**

23 Molecular networks were generated according to Le Daré et al. (2020) [15]. Raw spectral data files
24 were converted from .raw format into the .mzXML open mass format using Proteowizard software
25 [16]. Spectra were converted from profile to centroid mode in the positive ionization mode.

1 Preprocessing of the data was required for the generation of multisample molecular networks, and the
2 use of precursor ion abundance for relative quantification. Such processing additionally allows to
3 take into account the retention time and thus to separate isomers into individual nodes in the resulting
4 molecular networks. For this, the Feature Based Molecular Network process was followed [17].

5 Preprocessed and aligned spectral file were saved as a single .mgf file using the integrated Global
6 Natural Products Social Molecular Networking (GNPS) export module of MZmine 2 [17]. The
7 spectral file .mgf was uploaded to the GNPS platform (<http://gnps.ucsd.edu>). Due to the use of HR
8 data, the basic parameters were set to 0.02 m/z for the mass tolerance of precursor and fragment ions
9 used for MS/MS spectral library searching, and 0.02 m/z for the mass tolerance of fragment ions used
10 for MN. Molecular networks and MS1- and MS2-level spectral annotations were viewed offline with
11 Cytoscape 3.8.0 (<http://www.cytoscape.org>).

12

1 3. RESULTS

2 3.1 Powder X analysis

3

4 To identify compounds in a drug seizure suspected to contain heroin, we generated a molecular
5 network that displayed the MS/MS data acquired during analysis (Figure 1). We annotated the nodes
6 with the precursor ion mass, and we labeled the edges with the mass shift between the two connected
7 nodes. During the generation of the network, nodes are linked together in clusters according to their
8 MS² spectral similarities. The size of each node is proportional to the peak area of each compound,
9 allowing semi-quantitative analysis. We performed node annotation on the basis of their
10 chromatographic retention time (if available), exact mass, and the match between the fragmentation
11 spectrum and the GNPS and/or mzCloud (<https://www.mzcloud.org>, HighChem LLC, Slovakia)
12 spectral databases. We also used spectral in-house database and bibliography information for
13 annotation.

14

15 Visual analysis of the molecular network allows us to raise several observations. First, the annotation
16 step using spectral match revealed the presence of heroin (m/z 370.167), confirming illicit compound
17 content. Its derivative 6-monoacetylmorphine (6-MAM) (m/z 328.156) was identified, linked to the
18 heroin node by a mass shift of -42.0104 (typical of an acetyl group). Second, caffeine (m/z 195.088),
19 acetaminophen (m/z 152.071), noscapine (m/z 414.156), papaverine (m/z 340.156) and 6-
20 monoacetylcodeine (m/z 342.172) were also detected as impurities (from synthesis or from plant
21 origin) or cutting agents (Figure 1). Taken together, these results suggest that MN allows to quickly
22 visualize groups of structurally similar compounds and thus to quickly differentiate cutting products
23 from structurally related metabolites (coextracted compounds or synthetic impurities), with
24 identification of illicit compounds.

25

1 The powder X quantitative analysis using LC-HRMS evaluated heroin content at 48 µg/mg of
2 powder, corresponding to around 5% purity.

3

4 **3.2 Products Y analysis**

5

6 Three powders suspected to contain cocaine were compared using MN. In this sample-to-sample
7 comparison network, we colored the nodes with a pie chart assigning a specific colour to each
8 sample. In addition, the size of each colored section of the chart was proportional to the
9 corresponding peak area in each powder. A cocaine-containing cluster was identified in the three
10 powders (Figure 2). The semi-quantitative approach suggests that the Y1 (orange) powder contains
11 more cocaine than the two others (turquoise and red) (Figure 2a). Other nodes linked to cocaine (m/z
12 304.156) were identified with a mass shift of +26.0155, putatively annotated as *trans* and *cis*-
13 cinnamoylcocaine (m/z 330.172); with a mass shift of -14.0156 giving rise to benzoylecgonine (m/z
14 290.141); with a mass shift of +5.953 giving rise to hexanoylecgonine methylester (m/z 298.303) and
15 finally with a mass shift of +90.031 giving rise to trimethoxycocaine. Visual analysis also revealed a
16 higher proportion of these cocaine structurally related compounds in Y1 powder (orange).

17

18 Interestingly, other isolated nodes annotated as phenacetin, levamisole and caffeine were found in
19 powder Y3 (red), hydroxyzine, levamisole and caffeine in powder Y2 (turquoise) and phenacetin and
20 levamisole in powder Y1 (orange). These compounds were found in different proportions according
21 to the powders. Taken together, these results revealed differences in composition among these three
22 powders, showing higher cocaine purity in the Y1 sample.

23

24 Quantitative analysis of the three powders (Y1, Y2, Y3) using LC-HRMS confirmed the presence of
25 cocaine in different proportions. Y1, Y2 and Y3 powder samples contained cocaine ratios at 572

1 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mg}$, 397 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mg}$ and 168 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mg}$ of powder, corresponding to purity around 57%, 40%, and 17%,
2 respectively.

3

4 **4. DISCUSSION**

5 Highlighting the presence of illicit substances in seized powders is mandatory to establish legal
6 sanctions. In addition, comparison of multiple drug samples by their composition is of definite
7 interest in judicial investigations. The aim of this study is to illustrate the utility of MN as a tool for *i*)
8 drug powder composition determination, helping in their profiling, and *ii*) comparison of various
9 powder sample profiles likely to contain illicit compounds. For these purposes, we analyzed powders
10 likely to contain either heroin or cocaine.

11

12 In the first example concerning a seized powder suspected of containing heroin (powder X),
13 molecular network analysis allowed us to organize numerous chemical species detected by LC-
14 HRMS/MS. The LC-HRMS quantitative method using standard calibration curve revealed 5% purity.
15 Since heroin is produced by morphine diacetylation, it is expected that synthetic by-products would
16 be present. We found 6-monoacetylmorphine (m/z 328.1562) and 6-monoacetylcodeine (m/z
17 342.1717), showing incomplete heroin synthesis and codeine acetylation, respectively [18,19].
18 According to literature data, it is nowadays difficult to differentiate an hemi-synthesis using acetic
19 anhydride or a trifluoroacetic anhydride/acetic acid mixture. However, specific techniques to detect
20 in particular bis-trifluoroacetylmorphine, trifluoroacetylcodeine, and 3-acetyl-6-
21 trifluoroacetylmorphine can orient towards a synthetic pathway using trifluoroacetic anhydride [6].
22 Since we did not detect these substances in our sample, we suggest that this heroin sample was not
23 likely produced by this latter method. We also found other alkaloids of *Papaver somniferum*, namely
24 noscapine and papaverine, reflecting the morphine extraction stage [20]. Given that noscapine can
25 also be used as a heroin adulterant, the high proportion found in our sample (regarding to the node

1 size) oriented us toward this hypothesis [21]. In a study analyzing 3476 heroin samples, Morelato et
2 al. (2019) reported that heroin adulteration consisted almost exclusively in caffeine and
3 acetaminophen [22]. This observation was consistent with previous reports, highlighting these two
4 substances as the most frequent cutting products found in European heroin seizures since the
5 beginning of the 1900s [23]. Accordingly, we detected these two substances in this powder.
6 Therefore, MN allowed us to organize and visualize illicit content and major structurally related
7 impurities, giving a good understanding of powder composition.

8
9 As a second example, three powders suspected to contain cocaine (Y1, Y2 and Y3 powders) were
10 compared to further investigate the utility of molecular networks for drug seizure comparison. Since
11 cocaine is reported to be adulterated by a diverse combination of cutting agents, we believe that such
12 an approach is of particular relevance. Conversely to heroin, cocaine is a naturally present alkaloid,
13 which can be directly extracted and thus requires no hemisynthesis. In addition, the diversity of
14 cocaine powder compositions is known to reflect the origin of the market, which is more complex
15 and dynamic for cocaine than for heroin [22]. In our experiments, MN provided information for
16 profile comparisons, allowing discrimination between sample powders. At first glance, the cocaine
17 node confirmed the illicit content, and a purity analysis can be initiated by the semi-quantitative
18 approach. Higher cocaine content was found in powder Y1 compared with the two others, which was
19 confirmed with LC-HRMS quantitative method using standard calibration curve (57% cocaine).
20 Other alkaloids from *Erythroxylum* genus shrub leaves (namely hexanoylcocaine methylester,
21 trimethoxycocaine and *trans/cis*-cinnamoylcocaine) were also found in a higher proportion in Y1
22 powder, corroborating this observation [24–26]. Four varieties of *Erythroxylum* are well known to be
23 used by coca farmers for illicit cocaine production: *E. novogranatense* var *novogranatense*, *E.*
24 *novogranatense* var *truxillense*, *E. coca* var. *ipadu* and *E. coca* var. *coca*. Interestingly,
25 trimethoxycocaine is only found in native *E. coca* var. *coca* and *E. coca* var. *ipadu*, historically

1 cultivated by coca farmers in Peru/Bolivia and Colombia, respectively [3,27]. Moreover, Malette et
2 al. (2016) showed that the combinations of alkaloids present in cocaine powders made it possible to
3 carry out geographically sourcing, targeting more precisely the regions of origin of the plants used.
4 As a result, they determined that the majority of the trimetoxycocaine producing shrubs came from
5 eastern colombia, bringing valuable information for our samples. [28]. However, new cultigens of
6 cocaine-bearing *Erythroxylum* have been propagated by Colombian coca farmers in recent years,
7 making geographic determination more difficult [3,27]. According to Lukaszewski and Jeffery
8 (1980), benzoylecgonine is commonly encountered in illicit cocaine samples, mostly because of
9 cocaine hydrolysis degradation [29]. Here, benzoylecgonine was found in our three cocaine samples.
10 Besides the semi-quantitative analysis of the alkaloids contained in these powders, cutting products
11 analysis also provides valuable elements for their discrimination. Phenacetin, levamisole, lidocaine,
12 caffeine, diltiazem, hydroxyzine, procaine, tetracaine, acetaminophen, creatine and benzocaine are
13 among the most widespread cutting agents in Europe [22,30]. Consistent with these literature data,
14 MN allows us to find levamisole and phenacetin in powder Y1, caffeine, hydroxyzine and levamisole
15 in powder Y2, and caffeine, levamisole and phenacetin in powder Y3. Interestingly, adulterant nature
16 can also bring valuable information regarding the supply chain. For instance, it is assumed that
17 hydroxyzine is usually added at an early stage of the supply chain, while phenacetin and caffeine can
18 be added at different levels, from distribution to consumption level. Lastly, levamisole adulteration,
19 widely used in South America, seems to take place immediately after production or just before
20 exportation [22]. Here, MN helped in sample-to-sample comparison, and appeared to be of particular
21 interest in major component profile screening. Furthermore, all cocaine derivatives were grouped in a
22 unique cluster, allowing to quickly discriminate cocaine-related ions from adulterants.

23

24 Illicit drug powder profiling usually corresponds to a combination of complex, expensive and time-
25 consuming analytical and mathematical methods hyphenated to adequate databases [22,31].

1 However, visualization tools, such as MN, appear to be under-used. Guéniat and Esseiva (2005)
2 reported that powder comparison is usually based on GC-MS analysis, searching for major
3 compounds (e.g. benzoic acid for cocaine). Minor compounds (e.g. meconine for heroin) and
4 inorganic compounds (e.g. zinc or iron) are not included in this comparison, due to the high inter-
5 sample variability [32]. Although different, this method remains complementary to the molecular
6 network approaches. In this study, the use of MN allowed a simple and efficient visualization of the
7 different drug design stages, including main compounds identification, organization and comparison.
8 Therefore, it brings many complementary elements to quantitative assays, without expensive external
9 standards requirement. In recent years, the use of MN has diversified in many scientific disciplines.
10 Multiple applications have been described in the literature such as metabolomics [10], drug discovery
11 [33], identification of known metabolites or de-replication [34], toxicological screening [12], or
12 xenobiotic metabolism exploration, either of natural or synthetic origin [12–14,33]. Recently,
13 Vincenti et al. (2020) reported the use of MN to analyze new psychoactive substances in two seizures
14 collected by the Italian Department of Scientific Investigation of Carabinieri allowing the
15 identification of fentanyl analogs and synthetic cannabinoids, and associated impurities or synthetic
16 byproducts [35]. Here, we further extend the use of this tool for the analyze of drugs of natural origin.
17 These data reinforce the utility of MN in molecular mapping, and show that this tool can provide
18 extremely accurate visual drug fingerprints facilitating legal investigations.

19
20 In further studies, it would be interesting to investigate the potential of information propagation of
21 quantitative results via molecular networks under the following hypothesis: since a molecular clusters
22 implies spectral similarity, it could be assumed that the presence within a given cluster implies
23 potentially comparable ionization responses. This ionization response could thus be propagated
24 within a cluster from a properly quantified standards to unknown but related analogues allowing the
25 semi-quantitative approach to be refined and expended. These perspectives could be of interest in

1 analytical toxicology, showing then a triple utility of the molecular network: grouping spectrally
2 similar compounds and propagating both qualitative (type of structure) and quantitative information
3 (expected similarity in the ionization response).

4 **5. CONCLUSION**

5

6 This is the first application of a molecular network approach for the analysis and comparison of illicit
7 drug seizures of natural origin. Here, organization of data using MN brings a major benefit compared
8 to classical approaches. It provide visual modeling that facilitates the interpretation and
9 understanding of toxicological test results by forensic scientists and magistrates in the context of law
10 enforcement agencies seizures analysis. When used in conjunction with spectral databases, it thus
11 provides valuable information on the product manufacturing, from synthesis to final shaping. Further
12 investigation and exploitation of the spectral similarities evidenced by MN in the frame of illicit
13 drugs profiling will undoubtedly indicate such visualization approaches as necessary assets in the
14 toolbox of the legal toxicologists.

15

16 **DECLARATIONS**

17 **Conflicts Of Interest**

18 None

19

20 **Funding**

21 This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or
22 not-for-profit sectors

23

24 **Availability of data and material**

25 Full data processed through the GNPS platform are accessible with these links:

- 1 • <https://gnps.ucsd.edu/ProteoSAFe/status.jsp?task=d85ac138c806487aabab29d0b7be17cf>
2 (Figure 1 – Heroin powder)
- 3 • <https://gnps.ucsd.edu/ProteoSAFe/status.jsp?task=eaadf7631b6d4e269dfb99a371b1d490>
4 (Figure 2 – Cocaine powders)

5 **FIGURE LEGENDS**

6

7 **Figure 1. The overall molecular network, composed of nodes from the sample powder X. RT:**
8 retention time.

9

10 **Figure 2. a) The cocaine-containing cluster, composed of nodes from the sample Y1 (orange),**
11 **Y2 (turquoise) and Y3 (red). The node size is mapped to the peak area of cocaine. b) Main**
12 **isolated nodes found in the multi-sample molecular network.**

13

14 **REFERENCES**


- 15 [1] U.S. Customs and Border Protection. CBP Enforcement Statistics FY2019. U.S. Customs and
16 Border Protection; 2019.
- 17 [2] Rivier L. Analysis of alkaloids in leaves of cultivated *Erythroxylum* and characterization of
18 alkaline substances used during coca chewing. *J Ethnopharmacol* 1981;3:313–35.
- 19 [3] Casale JF, Mallette JR, Jones LM. Chemosystematic identification of fifteen new cocaine-
20 bearing *Erythroxylum* cultigens grown in Colombia for illicit cocaine production. *Forensic*
21 *Science International* 2014;237:30–9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forsciint.2014.01.012>.
- 22 [4] Solimini R, Rotolo MC, Pellegrini M, Minutillo A, Pacifici R, Busardò FP, et al. Adulteration
23 Practices of Psychoactive Illicit Drugs: An Updated Review. *Current Pharmaceutical*
24 *Biotechnology* 2017;18. <https://doi.org/10.2174/1389201018666170710184531>.
- 25 [5] Villar Núñez M de los Á, Sánchez Morcillo J, Ruíz Martínez MA. Purity and adulteration in
26 cocaine seizures and drug market inspection in Galicia (Spain) across an eight-year period. *Drug*
27 *Testing and Analysis* 2018;10:381–91. <https://doi.org/10.1002/dta.2216>.

- 1 [6] Odell LR, Skopec J, McCluskey A. A ‘cold synthesis’ of heroin and implications in heroin
2 signature analysis. *Forensic Science International* 2006;164:221–9.
3 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forsciint.2006.02.009>.
- 4 [7] Wang M, Carver JJ, Phelan VV, Sanchez LM, Garg N, Peng Y, et al. Sharing and community
5 curation of mass spectrometry data with Global Natural Products Social Molecular Networking.
6 *Nature Biotechnology* 2016;34:828–37. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.3597>.
- 7 [8] Zhou S, Allard P-M, Wolfrum C, Ke C, Tang C, Ye Y, et al. Identification of chemotypes in
8 bitter melon by metabolomics: a plant with potential benefit for management of diabetes in
9 traditional Chinese medicine. *Metabolomics* 2019;15. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s11306-019-1565-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11306-019-1565-7)
10 [7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11306-019-1565-7).
- 11 [9] Allard P-M, Péresse T, Bisson J, Gindro K, Marcourt L, Pham VC, et al. Integration of
12 Molecular Networking and *In-Silico* MS/MS Fragmentation for Natural Products Dereplication.
13 *Analytical Chemistry* 2016;88:3317–23. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.5b04804>.
- 14 [10] Allard P-M, Genta-Jouve G, Wolfender J-L. Deep metabolome annotation in natural products
15 research: towards a virtuous cycle in metabolite identification. *Current Opinion in Chemical*
16 *Biology* 2017;36:40–9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpa.2016.12.022>.
- 17 [11] Olivon F, Grelier G, Roussi F, Litaudon M, Touboul D. MZmine 2 Data-Preprocessing To
18 Enhance Molecular Networking Reliability. *Analytical Chemistry* 2017;89:7836–40.
19 <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.7b01563>.
- 20 [12] Allard S, Allard P-M, Morel I, Gicquel T. Application of a molecular networking approach for
21 clinical and forensic toxicology exemplified in three cases involving 3-MeO-PCP, doxylamine,
22 and chlormequat. *Drug Testing and Analysis* 2019. <https://doi.org/10.1002/dta.2550>.
- 23 [13] Le Daré B, Allard S, Bouvet R, Baert A, Allard P-M, Morel I, et al. A case of fatal acebutolol
24 poisoning: an illustration of the potential of molecular networking. *International Journal of*
25 *Legal Medicine* 2019. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00414-019-02062-9>.
- 26 [14] Allard S, Le Daré B, Allard P-M, Morel I, Gicquel T. Comparative molecular networking
27 analysis of a Rauwolfia plant powder and biological matrices in a fatal ingestion case. *Forensic*
28 *Toxicology* 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11419-020-00531-0>.
- 29 [15] Le Daré B, Ferron P-J, Allard P-M, Clément B, Morel I, Gicquel T. New insights into
30 quetiapine metabolism using molecular networking. *Sci Rep* 2020;10:19921.
31 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-77106-x>.
- 32 [16] Kessner D, Chambers M, Burke R, Agus D, Mallick P. ProteoWizard: open source software for
33 rapid proteomics tools development. *Bioinformatics* 2008;24:2534–6.
34 <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btn323>.


- 1 [17] Nothias L-F, Petras D, Schmid R, Dührkop K, Rainer J, Sarvepalli A, et al. Feature-based
2 molecular networking in the GNPS analysis environment. *Nature Methods* 2020;17:905–8.
3 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-020-0933-6>.
- 4 [18] O’Neal CL, Poklis A, Lichtman AH. Acetylcodeine, an impurity of illicitly manufactured
5 heroin, elicits convulsions, antinociception, and locomotor stimulation in mice. *Drug and*
6 *Alcohol Dependence* 2001;65:37–43. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0376-8716\(01\)00145-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0376-8716(01)00145-4).
- 7 [19] O’Neal CL, Poklis A. The detection of acetylcodeine and 6-acetylmorphine in opiate positive
8 urines. *Forensic Science International* 1998;95:1–10. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0379-](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0379-0738(98)00074-7)
9 [0738\(98\)00074-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0379-0738(98)00074-7).
- 10 [20] Wolf CE, Pierce KL, Goldfine BL, Nanco CR, Poklis JL, Korzun WJ. Using Papaverine and Its
11 Metabolites, 6-Desmethyl Papaverine and 4’,6-Didesmethyl Papaverine as Biomarkers to
12 Improve the Detection Time of Heroin Use. *Journal of Analytical Toxicology* 2019;43:600–6.
13 <https://doi.org/10.1093/jat/bkz069>.
- 14 [21] Klemenc S. Noscapine as an adulterant in illicit heroin samples. *Forensic Science International*
15 2000;108:45–9. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0379-0738\(99\)00201-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0379-0738(99)00201-7).
- 16 [22] Morelato M, Franscella D, Esseiva P, Broséus J. When does the cutting of cocaine and heroin
17 occur? The first large-scale study based on the chemical analysis of cocaine and heroin seizures
18 in Switzerland. *International Journal of Drug Policy* 2019;73:7–15.
19 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugpo.2019.07.025>.
- 20 [23] Kaa E. Impurities, adulterants and diluents of illicit heroin. Changes during a 12-year period.
21 *Forensic Science International* 1994;64:171–9. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0379-0738\(94\)90228-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0379-0738(94)90228-3).
- 22 [24] Plowman T, Rivier L. Cocaine and Cinnamoylcocaine Content of *Erythroxylum* Species.
23 *Annals of Botany* 1983;51:641–59. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.aob.a086511>.
- 24 [25] Casale JF, Toske SG, Colley VL. Alkaloid content of the seeds from *Erythroxylum Coca* var.
25 *Coca*. *J Forensic Sci* 2005;50:1402–6.
- 26 [26] Locicero S, Hayoz P, Esseiva P, Dujourdy L, Besacier F, Margot P. Cocaine profiling for
27 strategic intelligence purposes, a cross-border project between France and Switzerland. *Forensic*
28 *Science International* 2007;167:220–8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forsciint.2006.06.052>.
- 29 [27] Casale JF, Mallette JR. Illicit coca grown in Mexico: An alkaloid and isotope profile unlike
30 coca grown in South America. *Forensic Chemistry* 2016;1:1–5.
31 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forc.2016.05.001>.
- 32 [28] Mallette JR, Casale JF, Jordan J, Morello DR, Beyer PM. Geographically Sourcing Cocaine’s
33 Origin – Delineation of the Nineteen Major Coca Growing Regions in South America. *Sci Rep*
34 2016;6:23520. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep23520>.

- 1 [29] Lukaszewski T, Jeffery WK. Impurities and Artifacts of Illicit Cocaine. *Journal of Forensic*
2 *Sciences* 1980;25:11250J. <https://doi.org/10.1520/JFS11250J>.
- 3 [30] Martello S, Pieri M, Ialongo C, Pignalosa S, Noce G, Vernich F, et al. Levamisole in Illicit
4 *Trafficking Cocaine Seized: A One-Year Study. Journal of Psychoactive Drugs* 2017;49:408–
5 12. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02791072.2017.1361558>.
- 6 [31] Broséus J, Baechler S, Gentile N, Esseiva P. Chemical profiling: A tool to decipher the structure
7 and organisation of illicit drug markets. *Forensic Science International* 2016;266:18–28.
8 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forsciint.2016.04.008>.
- 9 [32] Guéniat O, Esseiva P. *Le profilage de l'héroïne et de la cocaïne: une méthodologie moderne de*
10 *lutte contre le trafic illicite. Lausanne: Presses polytechniques et universitaires romandes; 2005.*
- 11 [33] Quinn RA, Nothias L-F, Vining O, Meehan M, Esquenazi E, Dorrestein PC. Molecular
12 *Networking As a Drug Discovery, Drug Metabolism, and Precision Medicine Strategy. Trends*
13 *in Pharmacological Sciences* 2017;38:143–54. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tips.2016.10.011>.
- 14 [34] Yang JY, Sanchez LM, Rath CM, Liu X, Boudreau PD, Bruns N, et al. Molecular Networking
15 as a Dereplication Strategy. *Journal of Natural Products* 2013;76:1686–99.
16 <https://doi.org/10.1021/np400413s>.
- 17 [35] Vincenti F, Montesano C, Di Ottavio F, Gregori A, Compagnone D, Sergi M, et al. Molecular
18 *Networking: A Useful Tool for the Identification of New Psychoactive Substances in Seizures*
19 *by LC–HRMS. Front Chem* 2020;8:572952. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fchem.2020.572952>.
- 20


6-monoacetylmorphine
Formula : C₁₉H₂₁NO₄
Exact mass : 327.1470
RT : 6.2 min




6-monoacetylcodeine
Formula : C₂₀H₂₃NO₄
Exact mass : 341.1627
RT : 7.6 min





Heroin
Formula : C₂₁H₂₃NO₅
Exact mass : 369.1576
RT : 7 min




Caffeine
Formula : C₈H₁₀N₄O₂
Exact mass : 194.0803
RT : 5.7 min




Noscapine
Formula : C₂₂H₂₃NO₇
Exact mass : 413.1474
RT : 8.6 min




Acetaminophen
Formula : C₈H₉NO₂
Exact mass : 151.0633
RT : 4.9 min



Papaverine
Formula : C₂₀H₂₁NO₄
Exact mass : 339.1470
RT : 7 min



a.



b.

