

STUDY OF THE KINETIC OF HYDRATION OF INDUSTRIAL GRANULATED BLAST FURNACE SLAGS: A STRUCTURAL INVESTIGATION

Abel Danezan, Franck Fayon, Cécile Genevois, Emmanuel Veron, Mathieu Allix, Catherine Bessada, Simon Blotevogel, Laurent Steger, Cédric Patapy,

Martin Cyr, et al.

► To cite this version:

Abel Danezan, Franck Fayon, Cécile Genevois, Emmanuel Veron, Mathieu Allix, et al.. STUDY OF THE KINETIC OF HYDRATION OF INDUSTRIAL GRANULATED BLAST FURNACE SLAGS: A STRUCTURAL INVESTIGATION. Slag Valorisation Symposium, Apr 2019, Mechelen, Belgium. hal-03593083

HAL Id: hal-03593083 https://hal.science/hal-03593083

Submitted on 1 Mar 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

STUDY OF THE KINETIC OF HYDRATION OF INDUSTRIAL GRANULATED BLAST FURNACE SLAGS: A STRUCTURAL INVESTIGATION

Abel DANEZAN¹, Franck FAYON², Cécile GENEVOIS¹, Emmanuel VERON¹, Mathieu ALLIX¹, Catherine BESSADA¹, Simon BLOTEVOGEL², Laurent STEGER^{2,3}, Cédric PATAPY², Martin CYR², Judit KAKNICS⁴, Valérie MONTOUILLOUT¹

¹CNRS, CEMHTI, 1D avenue de la Recherche Scientifique, 45071 Orléans Cedex 2, France ²LMDC, Université de Toulouse, INSA, UPS, Génie Civil, 135 Avenue de Rangueil, 31077 Toulouse Cedex 04, France

³ECOCEM Materials Ltd., 4 place Louis Armand, 75012 Paris, France

⁴ArcelorMittal Global Research and Development (Maizières Process), ArcelorMittal Maizières Research, Voie Romaine, BP 30320 – 57283 Maizières-lès-Metz Cedex, France

abel.danezan@cnrs-orleans.fr, valerie.montouillout@cnrs-orleans.fr

Introduction

Currently used as cement constituent (CEM II, III or V), granulated blast furnace slag induces various short and long term effects on mechanical strength and durability of the concrete. Many factors could be invoked among which the composition¹.

To explain these differences, it is important to understand the hydration mechanisms of ground granulated blast furnace slag (GGBS) and the kinetic of formation of the hydration products. In our study, two GGBS with different origins were put in contact with a cement pore solution and hydration was stopped after times ranging from 24 h to 7 days. The change in structure after each time steps was investigated using multi-nuclear high resolution solid state NMR. The different phases detected were quantified to draw the kinetic of the hydration.

Materials and methods

In this study, which is part of a bigger RFCS research project called ActiSlag, we selected two GGBS samples from different sources, based on their difference in compressive strength (mix of 75wt% GGBS and 25wt% clinker) despite their rather close chemical composition. At 2 days their compressive strength are completely different, 4.3 MPa and 15.6 MPa respectively, whereas they are closer at 91 days, 53.6 MPa and 62.4 MPa respectively. The two samples labelled GGBS4.3 and GGBS15.6 in accordance with their respective compressive strength at 2 days, were initially fully characterized by a combination of techniques including SEM and multinuclear Solid State NMR.

As the aim of this work is to identify and quantify the phases formed during hydration of GGBS alone, neither clinker nor binder were added. However to stay as close as possible to real conditions, a mix of GGBS and Portlandite (Ca(OH)₂) (weight ratio of 1:3) was hydrated with a solution of KOH (0.071 mol L⁻¹) and K₂SO₄ (0.115 mol L⁻¹) with a liquid/solid weight ratio of 1.2. After various delays, the pastes were dipped in acetone for 2 minutes, filtered on a Büchner filter and finally dried in a vacuum (10⁻³ bar) for 15 minutes. The obtained samples were then characterized shortly after the hydration stoppage to prevent any possible alteration.

The environment of silicon and aluminium were studied by High Resolution Solid State ²⁹Si and ²⁷Al NMR. ¹H-²⁹Si and ¹H-²⁷Al Cross Polarization Magic Angle Spinning (CP-MAS) experiments were performed to edit specifically the signals corresponding to hydrated phases. The ²⁹Si MAS and ¹H-²⁹Si CPMAS experiments were acquired on a Bruker AVANCE 400 MHz spectrometer (B₀=9.4T, v_{RF} (¹H)=400 MHz, v_{RF} (²⁹Si)=79.4 MHz, v_{rot} =10 kHz), whereas the ²⁷Al MAS and ¹H-²⁷Al CPMAS experiments were acquired on a Bruker AVANCE 750MHz spectrometer (B₀=17.6T, v_{RF} (¹H)=750 MHz, v_{RF} (²⁷Al)=195.5 MHz, v_{rot} =30 kHz). All the spectra obtained were then simulated using the DMFit software². The CPMAS experiments were first simulated to determine the positions and width of the signals consistent with hydrated phases. These parameters were then used to simulate the quantitative MAS spectra and determine the proportions of Si and Al in each of the detected phases.

Results and discussion

Silicon environment

The main hydrated silicate phases are calcium silicate hydrates, CSH or CASH in presence of aluminium. It is generally accepted that the CSH phase has a tobermorite-like structure, which includes CaO₂ layers with sevenfold coordinated Ca²⁺ ions, where the oxygens are shared with Si⁴⁺ in chains of SiO₄ tetrahedra in a "dreierketten" arrangement on both sides of the CaO₂ layer². C(A)SH are then constituted of Q¹ and Q² units which are well distinguished from the signal of the slag. After 24h of hydration, evidence of silicon in hydrated phases is only detected in the best performing GGBS15.6 sample. After 48h, as seen in Figure 1, evidence of these phases are detected in both GGBS4.3 and GGBS15.6.

Interestingly, the better performing sample GGBS15.6 displays lower proportions of silicon in the unaltered slag phase (70%) and higher proportions of silicon in the hydrated phases (30%). The reactions induced by the hydration are more advanced than in GGBS4.3 where 82% of the silicon is still in the vitreous network of the slag. Moreover, in both cases the main hydrated species are Q¹, indicating a low incorporation of aluminium as well as very short chains (mainly dimers) in the silicate sheet. As the reactions advance, the proportion of Q¹, which means dimers, increases but not the length of the chains.



Figure 1: Experimental and fitted ²⁹Si MAS spectrum of GGBS15.6 and GGBS4.3 after 48 h of hydration.



Aluminium environment

Figure 2: Experimental and fitted ²⁷Al MAS spectrum of GGBS15.6 after 48 h of hydration.

As shown in Figure 2, the ²⁷Al NMR results allowed for the identification of CASH phases, Ettringite, (at least) two AFm phases (labelled AFm10 and AFm10.5, in accordance with their respective chemical shifts) and the third aluminium hydrate (TAH)³. The evolution of the proportions of aluminium in each phases after different times are shown in Figure 3. It can be seen that the dissolution rates of the two samples are dramatically different. In the case of GBS4.3 the dissolution is very slow until 24h (only 5%), then we observe a net acceleration between 24 and 48 h and again a slow-down until 7 days. On the contrary, the dissolution is very fast before 24h in the case of GBS15.6 (28%) , followed by a slowdown and a new acceleration until 7 days. Moreover after 7 days of hydration, around 75% of the GGBS15.6 slag has disappeared whereas only 32% of the GBS4.3 slag is dissolved. In the case of GBS4.3, the concentration of aluminum in AFm10 increases slowly from 0 to 7d. The

important dissolution of slag between 24 and 48h seems to be related to the rapid formation of the second AFm phase (AFm10.5) during the same period, which then disappears concomitantly with the apparition of Ettringite. In the case of GGBS15.6, the slag dissolution is rapid and intense, leading to the formation of both AFms after 24h. Between 24h and 48h, the formation of AFm10 slows down with the slag dissolution and AFm10.5 seems to disappear concomitantly with the formation of Ettringite (similarly to GGBS4.3). The restarting of rapid slag dissolution seems to induce the important formation of AFm10, while the formation of AFm10.5 and Ettringite continues. The proportion of aluminum in TAH phases remain quite low in both samples. The CASH appear a little bit earlier in GGBS15.6, and their proportions increase slowly.



Figure 3: Evolution of the proportion of aluminium in the hydrated phases and in the unaltered slag after different curing times.

Conclusion

We show that the dissolution profiles of two samples of GGBS, even though very similar regarding chemical composition, can be very different, leading to the formation of the same hydration products, but in very different proportions, inducing a difference in the compressive strength of the GGBS containing cement. This goes to show the complexity of the phenomena taking place and their interdependence.

References

- 1. A. Bougara, C. Lynsdale, N.B. Milestone, "Reactivity and performance of blastfurnace slags of differing origin", *Cem Concr Comp* **32** 319-324 (2010).
- I.G. Richardson, A.R. Brough, R. Brydson, G.W. Groves, C.M. Dobson, "Location of aluminum in substituted calcium silicate hydrate (C-S-H) gels as determined by ²⁹Si and ²⁷Al NMR and EELS", J Am Ceram Soc **76** 2285–2288 (1993).
- M. D. Andersen, H. J. Jakobsen, J. Skibsted, "A new aluminium-hydrate species in hydrated Portland cements characterized by ²⁷Al and ²⁹Si MAS NMR spectroscopy", *Cem Concr Res* 36 3-17 (2006).

This project has received funding from the Research Fund for Coal and Steel under grant agreement No 749809