



**HAL**  
open science

## Adult stem cells host intracellular symbionts: The poriferan archetype.

Alexander Ereskovsky, Baruch Rinkevich, Ildiko Somorjai

► **To cite this version:**

Alexander Ereskovsky, Baruch Rinkevich, Ildiko Somorjai. Adult stem cells host intracellular symbionts: The poriferan archetype.. Advances in aquatic invertebrate stem cell research. Balarin L., Rinkevich B., Hobmayer B. Eds. MDPI Books, 2022. hal-03592793

**HAL Id: hal-03592793**

**<https://hal.science/hal-03592793>**

Submitted on 1 Mar 2022

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License



**ADVANCES IN AQUATIC INVERTEBRATE  
STEM CELL RESEARCH**  
FROM BASIC RESEARCH TO INNOVATIVE  
APPLICATIONS

*Editors*

Loriano Ballarin  
University of Padova  
Italy

Baruch Rinkevich  
Israel Oceanographic and  
Limnological Research  
Israel

Bert Hobmayer  
University of Innsbruck  
Austria

*Editorial Office*

MDPI  
St. Alban-Anlage 66  
4052 Basel, Switzerland

For citation purposes, cite each chapter independently as indicated below:

Author 1, and Author 2. 2022. Chapter Title. In *Advances in Aquatic Invertebrate Stem Cell Research: From Basic Research to Innovative Applications*. Edited by Loriano Ballarin, Baruch Rinkevich, and Bert Hobmayer. Basel: MDPI, Page Range.

**ISBN 978-3-0365-1635-6 (Hbk)**

**ISBN 978-3-0365-1636-3 (PDF)**

**[doi.org/10.3390/books978-3-0365-1636-3](https://doi.org/10.3390/books978-3-0365-1636-3)**

Cover Design by Ms. Oshrat Ben-Hamo, 2021, used with permission.

This research and publication were funded by European Cooperation in Science & Technology (COST).

© 2022 by the authors. Chapters in this volume are Open Access and distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY 4.0) license, which allows users to download, copy and build upon published articles, as long as the author and publisher are properly credited, which ensures maximum dissemination and a wider impact of our publications. The book taken as a whole is © 2022 MDPI under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons license CC BY-NC-ND.

# Contributors

ALESSANDRA SALVETTI

Assoc. Prof., Department Clinical and Experimental Medicine, University of Pisa, Pisa, Italy.

ALEXANDER ERESKOVSKY

<sup>1</sup>Research Director, Institut Méditerranéen de Biodiversité et d'Ecologie marine et continentale (IMBE), Aix Marseille University, CNRS, IRD, Avignon University, Station Marine d'Endoume, Marseille, France.

<sup>2</sup>Prof. Dr., Department of Embryology, Faculty of Biology, Saint-Petersburg State University, Saint-Petersburg, Russia.

<sup>3</sup>Koltzov Institute of Developmental Biology of Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia.

AMALIA ROSNER

Dr., Israel Oceanographic & Limnological Research, National Institute of Oceanography, Haifa, Israel.

ANDY QARRI

PhD student, Helmholtz Zentrum München, Regenerative Biology and Medicine Institute, Munich, Germany.

ANNA PERONATO

PhD student, Department of Biology, University of Padova, Padova, Italy.

ANTONIETTA SPAGNUOLO

Dr., Department of Biology and Evolution of Marine Organisms, Stazione Zoologica A. Dohrn, Naples, Italy.

AYELET VOSKOBOYNIK

Dr., Hopkins Marine Station, Stanford University, Pacific Grove, CA, USA.

BENYAMIN ROSENAL

Dr., The Shraga Segal Department of Microbiology, Immunology, and Genetics, Faculty of Health Sciences, Regenerative Medicine and Stem Cell Research Center, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, Beer Sheva, Israel.

CHIARA ANSELMI

Dr., Hopkins Marine Station, Stanford University, Pacific Grove, CA, USA.

DAN BOBKOV

Dr., Collection of Vertebrate Cell Cultures, Institute of Cytology, Russian Academy of Sciences, St. Petersburg, Russia.

FABIO GASPARINI

Dr., Department of Biology, University of Padova, Padova, Italy.

FAN ZENG

Dr., Institute of Zoology, University Innsbruck, Innsbruck, Austria.

GAETANA GAMBINO

Dr., Department Clinical and Experimental Medicine, University of Pisa, Pisa, Italy.

HELIKE LÕHELAI

<sup>1</sup>Researcher, Department of Chemistry and Biotechnology, Tallinn University of Technology, Tallinn, Estonia.

<sup>2</sup>Postdoc., Neuroscience Center/HiLIFE, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland.

ILDIKO M. L. SOMORJAI

Dr., School of Biology, University of St Andrews, St Andrews, Fife, Scotland, UK.

# Adult Stem Cells Host Intracellular Symbionts: The Poriferan Archetype

Alexander Ereskovsky, Baruch Rinkevich and Ildiko M. L. Somorjai

**Abstract:** Unlike vertebrates, adult stem cells (ASC) in a wide range of aquatic invertebrate phyla are morphologically diverse, exhibiting a wide range of differentiation states as well as somatic and germline physiognomies. They may arise *de novo* by trans-differentiation from somatic cells and above all represent phenotypes of specialized cells with multifunctionality. One unexpected phenomenon is the presence of intracellular symbionts in the ASCs of some invertebrates. Overviewing the literature on intracellular symbionts in sponge (Porifera) ASCs and in other aquatic invertebrates, we reveal that ASC intracellular prokaryotic and eukaryotic symbionts are restrictive to a single sponge class, the Demospongiae. The eukaryotic symbionts in sponges are exclusively unicellular photosynthetic algae, and are found only in pluripotent stem cells, most frequently in the archaeocytes; they are documented in five orders of Demospongiae. Bacteriocyte-like cells have been reported in sponges and three other phyla, indicative of their independent evolutionary origins. The results of this study add considerable insight into the establishment and maintenance of intracellular symbioses in ASCs of aquatic invertebrates, and provide new a understanding of the diversity of symbiotic associations across the tree of life.

---

## 1. Introduction

According to the prevailing dogma in cell biology, adult stem cells (ASC) in animals are committed lineage-specific cells, with tissue-/organ-restricted fates, and which are moreover capable of regeneration and repair of tissues and organs (Clevers and Watt 2018). Ordinarily, ASCs are undifferentiated cells that give rise to either daughter stem cells, non-self-renewing progenitors, or to lineage-specific differentiated cells (Clevers and Watt 2018; Raff 2003). Model ASCs (in vertebrates and insects) typically possess high nucleo-cytoplasmic ratios, are small in size compared to lineage-differentiated progenies, and are often rare. However, ASCs in many aquatic invertebrates are not only very common (up to one third of all animal cells), but are also morphologically highly diverse, and exhibit a wide range of differentiation states as well as somatic and germline characteristics, just to name some key biological properties (summarized in Rinkevich et al. 2022). Moreover, ASCs in aquatic invertebrates may arise *de novo* by trans-differentiation from somatic cells (Borisenko et al. 2015; Ferrario et al. 2020) and above all represent phenotypes

of specialized cells with multifunctionality. Examples include the ecto-/endodermal epitheliomuscular cells in polyps of Cnidaria (Bosch et al. 2010; Hobmayer et al. 2012) or the archaeocytes and choanocytes in Porifera (Funayama 2018).

One unexpected and, as yet, little-explored phenomenon is the presence of intracellular symbionts in the ASCs of some invertebrates (for example, Bright and Giere 2005; Masuda 1990; Pflugfelder et al. 2009; Saller 1989), and the evidence that ASCs manipulate symbiont maintenance (Bosch et al. 2010; Dirks et al. 2012; Kovacevic 2012). Below, we review the literature on ASCs and their symbionts in sponges (Phylum: Porifera), which represent the best-known model case, as well as the few examples from other systems. We place this within the context of intracellular symbionts more generally, concluding with a discussion of how the application of modern methodologies in sponges to this problem may improve our understanding of this unusual symbiosis.

## 2. Symbiosis

### 2.1. *What Is Endosymbiosis?*

Symbiosis, an inter-dependent relationship between two species, is an important factor for ecological diversity and evolutionary novelty (Sitte and Eschbach 1992; Wernegreen 2012). The most comprehensive definition of symbiosis includes the full range of interaction modes, from harmful (parasitic) to beneficial (mutualistic). It applies not only to organisms living anywhere within the host body—such as within tissues (extracellular) or within cells (intracellular)—but also to cytosymbiosis, the intimate and long-lasting association of cells belonging to different taxa, and often considered as the most intricate partnership among living entities (Sitte and Eschbach 1992; Wernegreen 2012). Both parasitic and mutualistic symbiotic interactions can evolve into a state where there is a stable and permanent association between symbionts and hosts. In the case of intracellular mutualists, evolutionary processes may lead to cytosymbiosis through both morphological alterations as well as via physiological/molecular incorporation of the symbionts into the hosts' cellular environments, to the point where endosymbionts are no longer easily recognizable as foreign intrusions. Following such integrations, endosymbionts enhance the ability of hosts to succeed in diverse contexts, from unbalanced diets and nitrogen-poor soils, to hydrothermal vents and oligotrophic aquatic environments (Hinze et al. 2021; Wernegreen 2012). Key functions performed by mutualistic, intracellular endosymbionts include harvesting energy from chemicals or light, to converting nitrogen into a usable form, and synthesizing nutrients that supplement the host's diet, to name just a few (Wernegreen 2012).

Cytosymbiotic associations can be organized within a graded series of cumulative morphological integrations, including the development of arrays of mechanisms

targeting the interactions between host and symbiont (Bandi et al. 1995; Melo-Clavijo et al. 2018; Song et al. 2017). They can also be exposed to partner switching and rapid compensatory evolution (Sørensen et al. 2021). In cytosymbiosis, the interrelations between the partners of each specific symbiosis can be commensalic, parasitic, or mutualistic; but in every case, cytosymbiotic partnership leads to adaptive interaction of the partners or even to strict co-evolution (Sitte and Eschbach 1992). Intracellular symbiotic microorganisms commonly reside in specialized or non-specialized host cells, but not in ASCs; the property of “stemness” could be regarded as mutually exclusive to a highly differentiated and specialized cytosymbiotic state. The appearance of such an association, therefore, should be studied not only from functional but also from host/symbiont co-evolutionary perspectives, as unicellular symbionts have been associated with sponges (and their ASCs) since their initial evolution as multicellular animals (Ereskovsky 2010; Wilkinson 1983).


## 2.2. *Porifera as Model Systems for ASC Cytosymbiosis*

### 2.2.1. Overview of Characteristics of Organization and Cellular Plasticity

Sponges branch off basally in the metazoan phylogenetic tree and comprise four distinct classes: Demospongiae, Hexactinellida, Calcarea and Homoscleromorpha. Living sponges are found in all aquatic environments at all depths. A sponge is traditionally defined as “a sedentary, filter-feeding metazoan”, and has no nerves, muscles, specialised digestive system or gonads (Borchiellini et al. 2021).

Sponges have two cell layers, the choanoderm and the pinacoderm (Figure 1), formed by choanocytes and pinacocytes, respectively. Choanocytes are flagellated collar cells lining the filtering cavities of the aquiferous system, the choanocyte chambers. Pinacocytes are flattened cells covering the outer parts of the body and lining the canals of the aquiferous system. The space between the external pinacocyte layer and the aquiferous system is filled by the mesohyl, a loose layer composed of collagen fibrils, skeletal elements, and up to ten cell types with different degrees of motility (Ereskovsky and Lavrov 2021; Harrison and De Vos 1991).

The tissues in sponges are simpler, both structurally and functionally, than in other Metazoa. In particular, sponge tissues tend to be highly multifunctional when compared to counterparts in more recent branching animal lineages, permitting a higher rate of cell migration and thus an almost constant reorganization of tissues. Moreover, the cells of sponge tissues possess a very high capacity for transdifferentiation into other cell types (Gaino et al. 1995; Nakanishi et al. 2014). In addition, sponges possess very high regenerative and reconstitutive abilities, culminating in the re-building of a functional body from dissociated cells (reviewed in Ereskovsky et al. 2015, 2020, 2021; Lavrov and Kosevich 2014; Simpson 1984).



**Figure 1.** TEM images of principal pluripotent cells in sponges. **(A)**—archaeocyte of *Halisarca dujardini* (Demospongiae); **(B)**—choanocytes of *Leucosolenia variabilis* (Calcarea); **(C)**—archaeocyte of the freshwater sponge *Lubomirskia baicalensis* (Demospongiae) with intra-cellular algal symbionts; **(D,E)**—archaeocytes of the marine sponge *Haliclona* sp. (Demospongiae) with intra-cellular dinoflagellate symbionts (showing fibrillar material between algae and archaeocyte (arrowed)) (Modified from Garson et al. 1998). as—algal symbionts, ch—choanocyte, d—dinoflagellate, f—flagellum, n—nucleus, ph—phagosome. Source: Graphic by authors.

### 2.2.2. Sponge ASC Characteristics

As one of the most basal metazoan groups (Redmond and McLysaght 2021; Simion et al. 2017), sponges hold a key position to address stem cell origins.

Most research on stem cells in sponges has been conducted in demosponges, and until recently, consisted almost entirely of microscopic studies. However, in the past few years, molecular studies have provided new insights. According to the most recent investigations in Porifera, there are not only two (Funayama 2018), but rather at least four types of pluripotent ASC: the archaeocytes and choanocytes, as well as pinacocytes and particular amoeboid vacuolar cells (Ereskovsky et al. 2015; Fierro-Constaín et al. 2017; Lavrov et al. 2018).

Three main criteria are generally accepted as defining a stem cell: (1) the capacity for self-renewal, (2) differentiation (or transdifferentiation) of this cell type into others, and (3) contribution of this cell to the processes of homeostasis and

regeneration (Melton 2014). The molecular evidence of their stemness includes the expression of genes encoding GMP (germline multipotency program) proteins (*piwi*, *vasa*, *bruno*, *pl-10*, and all the genes encoding Tudor domains, *ddx6*, and *mago-nashi*); the observation that genes encoding RNA helicase and proteins involved in mRNA splicing are elevated in the archaeocytes of the freshwater demosponge *Ephydatia fluviatilis* (Alié et al. 2015); and expression of the *EfPiwiA* and *EfPiwiB* genes detected in choanocytes (Funayama et al. 2010). GMP genes (*piwi*, *argonaute*, *vasa*, *nanos*, *pl10*, *tudor*, *pumillo*, *boule*) are expressed in the choanocytes of adult *Oscarella lobularis* (Homoscleromorpha) (Fierro-Constaín et al. 2017). *VasaB* and *SciPL10B* are also strongly expressed in the choanocytes of *Sycon ciliatum* (Calcarea) (Leininger et al. 2014).

Choanocytes are specialized epithelial cells responsible for water movement inside the sponge aquiferous system and food particle capture. These cells are characterized by apical–basal polarity and the presence of a flagellum surrounded by the collar of microvilli at the apical pole (Simpson 1984) (Figure 1B).

Archaeocytes are amoeboid cells of the mesohyl devoid of any polarity or specialized features, and are typical in Demospongiae. These cells manifest high polymorphism and multifunctionality. Up to now, no generally accepted characteristics of archaeocytes have been defined. Only general features exist, which are present in all archaeocyte descriptions: an amoeboid shape, a large nucleolated nucleus and the absence of specialized inclusions in the cytoplasm (Ereskovsky and Lavrov 2021; Simpson 1984), (Figure 1A,C). As for the function of demosponge archaeocytes, their role has been described in: (1) the transport of food particles and elimination of digestive products (Godefroy et al. 2019; Willenz and Van de Vyver 1984); (2) outgoing particulate organic matter (Maldonado 2016); (3) the burrowing processes in excavating sponges (Rützler and Rieger 1973); (4) spicules secretion (Funayama et al. 2005; Rozenfeld 1980); (5) immunity role (Fernández-Busquets 2008; Smith and Hildemann 1986); (6) gametogenesis (Ereskovsky 2010; Simpson 1984); (7) asexual reproduction (budding, gemmulogenesis, reduction body formation) (Ereskovsky et al. 2017; Harrison et al. 1975; Simpson 1984); (8) regeneration, somatic embryogenesis and growth (Buscema et al. 1980; Ereskovsky et al. 2020, 2021; Lavrov and Kosevich 2014). Thus, this sponge archaeocyte multifunctionality is unusual for the stem cells of Metazoa.

Notably, there is another unusual feature of archaeocytes in Demospongiae—the presence of intracellular photosynthetic algal symbionts. Freshwater sponges (order Spongillida) harbour Chlorophyta from the classes Trebouxiophyceae and Chlorophyceae (zoochlorella), and Ochrophyta from the class Eustigmatophytacea. Some marine demosponges (orders Haplosclerida and Clionaida) also harbour Dinoflagellata *Symbiodinium* spp (zooxanthella) (Table 1).

**Table 1.** Distribution of symbiotic intracellular unicellular algae in demosponge adult stem cells.

Demosponge Species	Adult Sponge Cell Type	Gemmule	Buds	Algal Species	Method	References
Order Spongillida						
Family Spongillidae						
<i>Spongilla lacustris</i> (geen)	Archaeocytes, choanocytes (Williamson)	Thesocytes of green gemmules	No	Phylum Chlorophyta Trebouxiophyceae, zoochlorellae	TEM	(Masuda 1990; Gilbert and Allen 1973; Williamson 1979)
<i>Spongilla lacustris</i>	Archaeocytes, choanocytes, pinacocytes	Thesocytes	No	Trebouxiophyceae, <i>Chlorella</i> sp. Germany	In vivo microscopy LM, TEM	(Saller 1989, 1991)
<i>Spongilla lacustris</i>	?	Thesocytes	No	Trebouxiophyceae, <i>Choricystis minor</i> - Japan	LM,	(Handa et al. 2006)
<i>Spongilla lacustris</i>	?	?	No	Trebouxiophyceae, <i>Lewinosphaera symbiontica</i> USA	MB	(Pröschold et al. 2010)
<i>Nudospongilla moorei</i>	Amoebocytes	?	?	Zoochlorella	LM	(Brien and Govaert-Mallebranche 1958)
<i>Radiospongilla sendai</i> (geen)	Archaeocytes	Thesocytes of green gemmules	No	Trebouxiophyceae, (zoochlorellae) <i>Choricystis minor</i>	TEM	(Masuda 1990; Handa et al. 2006; Okuda et al. 2002)
<i>Radiospongilla cerebellata</i> (geen)	Archaeocytes	Thesocytes of green gemmules	No	Trebouxiophyceae, (zoochlorellae) <i>Choricystis minor</i> and <i>Chlorella</i>	TEM	(Handa et al. 2006; Masuda 1985, 1990)
<i>Radiospongilla cerebellata</i>	Archaeocytes, amoebocytes, choanocytes, pinacocytes	?	Archaeocytes, amoebocytes, choanocytes, pinacocytes	Trebouxiophyceae, <i>Chlorella</i>	LM, TEM	(Saller 1990)
<i>Eunapius fragilis</i>	?	Thesocytes	No	Trebouxiophyceae, <i>Choricystis minor</i>	LM, <i>In vivo</i> microscopy	(Handa et al. 2006)
<i>Heteromeyenia slepanowii</i> (geen)	Archaeocytes	No	No	Zoochlorellae	TEM	(Masuda 1990)
<i>Ephydatia fluviatilis</i> (geen)	Archaeocytes	Thesocytes	No	Trebouxiophyceae, <i>Chlorella</i> sp	LM, TEM	(Wilkinson 1980; Gaino et al. 2003)
<i>Ephydatia fluviatilis</i> (brown)	Archaeocytes	No	No	No algae	LM, TEM	(Gaino et al. 2003)
<i>Ephydatia muelleri</i>	Archaeocytes	Thesocytes	No	<i>Chlorella</i> sp.	LM, cell fractioning	(Hall et al. 2021)
<i>Ephydatia muelleri</i>	Archaeocytes	No	No	Trebouxiophyceae <i>Choricystis</i> , <i>Chlorella</i> sp.	MB, CM, TEM	(Masuda 1990; Gilbert and Allen 1973; Williamson 1979)

**Table 1. Cont.**

Demosponge Species	Adult Sponge Cell Type	Gemmule	Buds	Algal Species	Method	References
Family Lubomirskiidae						
<i>Lubomirskia baicalensis</i>	Archaeocytes	No	No	Chlorophyceae <i>Mychonastes jurisii</i>	LM, TEM, SEM, MB	(Chernogor et al. 2013)
<i>Lubomirskia baicalensis</i>	Archaeocytes	No	No	Trebouxiophyceae Chlorophyceae <i>Mychonastes</i> sp.	TEM	(Ereskovsky et al. 2016)
<i>Lubomirskia incrustans</i>	Archaeocytes	No	No	Trebouxiophyceae <i>Choricystis parasitica</i>	LM	(Kulakova et al. 2014)
<i>Lubomirskia abietina</i>	Archaeocytes	No	No	Trebouxiophyceae <i>Choricystis krienitzii</i>	LM	(Kulakova et al. 2020)
<i>Baikalospongia bacillifera</i>	Archaeocytes	No	No	Trebouxiophyceae <i>Choricystis parasitica</i>	LM	(Kulakova et al. 2014)
<i>Baikalospongia intermedia</i>	Archaeocytes	No	No	Trebouxiophyceae <i>Choricystis krienitzii</i>	LM	(Kulakova et al. 2020)
Family Metaniidae						
<i>Corvoemyenia everetti</i>	Archaeocytes	No	No	Phylum Ochrophyta Eustigmatophyta	TEM	(Frost et al. 1997)
Order Haplosclerida						
<i>Haliclona</i> sp.	Archaeocytes	No	No	Dinoflagellata, <i>Symbiodinium microadriaticum</i>	LM, TEM	(Garson et al. 1998)
Order Clionaida						
<i>Cliona viridis</i>	Archaeocytes	?	Archaeocytes	Dinoflagellata <i>Symbiodinium</i>	LM, TEM	(Rosell 1993)
<i>Cliona inconstans</i> , <i>C. orientalis</i>	Archaeocytes	?	?	Zooxantellae	LM, TEM	(Vacelet 1981)
<i>Cliona caribbaea</i> , <i>C. varians</i>	Archaeocytes	?	?	Dinoflagellata <i>Gymnodinium microadriaticum</i>	LM, TEM	(Rützler 1990)
<i>Cervicornia cuspidifera</i>	Amoeboid cells	No	No	Dinoflagellata <i>Symbiodinium microadriaticum</i>	LM	(Rützler and Rieger 1973)
Order Suberitida						
<i>Suberites aurantiacus</i>	Archaeocytes	No	No	Zooxantella	LM	(Cheng et al. 1968)
Order Tetractinellida						
<i>Cinachyra tarentina</i>	Amoeboid cells	No	No	Dinoflagellata Zooxantella <i>Symbiodinium microadriaticum</i>	LM, TEM	(Scalera-Liaci et al. 1999)

CM—confocal microscopy; LM—light microscopy; MB—molecular biological data; no—absence; SEM—scanning electron microscopy; TEM—transmission electron microscopy; ?—no data.

### 2.2.3. Diversity of Intracellular Algal Symbionts

Intracellular algal symbionts were described for the first time by Brandt (1881, 1882—see Krueger 2016) in mesohylar cells of the freshwater demosponge *Spongilla* sp. Subsequently, thanks to progress in light and electron microscopy, intracellular algal symbionts were found in a number of different sponge species, but exclusively from the class Demospongiae (Rützler 1990; Sarà and Vacelet 1973; Sarà et al. 1998; Simpson 1984; Vacelet 1981; Wilkinson 1987). These symbionts include different

species of the phylum Chlorophyta, the classes Trebouxiophyceae (genera *Chlorella*, *Zoochlorella*, *Choricystis*, *Lewinosphaera*), Chlorophyceae (*Mychonastes*) (Masuda 1985, 1990; Pröschold and Darienko 2020; Saller 1990; Simpson 1984; Williamson 1979), dinoflagellates (Zooxanthellae) of the genera *Symbiodinium* and *Gymnodinium* (Annenkova et al. 2011; Garson et al. 1998; Hill 1996; Pang 1973; Rosell and Uriz 1992; Rützler 1990; Sarà and Liaci 1964; Scalera-Liaci et al. 1999; Vacelet 1981), cryptophytes, cryptomonads (Wilkinson 1992), diatoms (Cox and Larkum 1983), coccoid red algae (Lemloh et al. 2009) eustigmatophytes (Frost et al. 1997), and macroscopic algae (Price et al. 1984; Rützler 1990) (Table 1).

#### 2.2.4. Distribution of Archaeocytes with/without Symbionts in the Sponge

Archaeocytes are the principal cells acting as hosts (Table 1), and the same archaeocyte can contain from one to several algal symbionts (Gaino et al. 2003; Masuda 1990; Saller 1989). In some freshwater sponges, green algal symbionts can also be found inside choanocytes and pinacocytes (Gilbert and Allen 1973; Saller 1990, 1991). This is also true for some marine demosponges. In *Haliclona* sp., algal cells of *Symbiodinium microadriaticum* are grouped together in clusters of  $6 \pm 10$  cells and enclosed by sponge cells, rather than being randomly distributed throughout the mesohyl (Garson et al. 1998). In the boring sponges *Cliona inconstans* and *C. orientalis*, the Zooxanthellae are always intracellular and occur in individual vacuoles of archaeocytes (Figure 1D,E). Each cell contains several algae (Vacelet 1981). In *Cliona caribbaea* and *C. varians*, the symbiotic dinoflagellates *Gymnodinium microadriaticum* are intracellular, either fully embedded in a host archaeocyte vacuole or encircled by host cell filopodia (Rützler 1990).

The spatial distribution of cells harboring symbionts in the sponge body is not homogeneous. In *Cinachyra tarentina*, the majority of the zoochlorellae are concentrated in the cortical zone of the sponge (Scalera-Liaci et al. 1999). Archaeocytes of *Ephydatia fluviatilis* harbour *Chlorella* concentrated mainly in the uppermost regions of the sponge body; in the inner parts of the sponge body, cells do not host zoochlorellae (Gaino et al. 2003).

The intracellular position of algal cells occurs in the host cytoplasm within vacuoles. At least in more thoroughly studied systems such as protists, the cnidarian *Hydra viridis* and the sponge *Spongilla lacustris*, two types of vacuole are observed (Reisser and Wiessner 1984). The first, the perialgal vacuole, always harbours only one algal cell. The wall of this type of vacuole is attached to the vacuolar membrane of the host. A perialgal vacuole divides simultaneously with the enclosed alga and apparently protects it from host lytic enzyme action (Reisser and Wiessner 1984). The chlorellae are able to divide inside the perialgal vacuole of sponge cells in *Spongilla lacustris* (Saller 1990). The second, the food vacuole, contains algae in various stages of digestion and other material (Simpson 1984). This may allow the host cell to absorb

nutrients from damaged or dying algae, or under particularly adverse conditions in which the symbiont can no longer be maintained.

#### 2.2.5. Intracellular Symbiosis Is Facultative

Three lines of evidence indicate that intracellular symbiosis of algal and sponge cells is facultative: (1) geographic, (2) ecological and (3) ontogenetic. The best geographic evidence comes from *Spongilla lacustris*. These sponges are able to host different algae species in their archaeocytes, depending on the geographic region they inhabit: *Chlorella* sp. in Germany (Saller 1989), *Choricystis minor* in Japan (Handa et al. 2006), *Choricystis parasitica* and *Lewinosphaera symbiontica* in Massachusetts (USA) (Pröschold et al. 2010). (2) Ecological evidence. With respect to ecological evidence, many populations of the same freshwater sponge species contain green, brownish, and white individuals as a result of temporal and/or spatial variation in light availability. Electron microscopy investigation revealed that green sponges harbour zoochlorellae, which are absent in the brownish ones (Gaino et al. 2003). Sponges that have green colour with zoochlorellae will quickly turn white when shaded (Frost and Williamson 1980), as zoochlorellae were digested by their host (Williamson 1979). Other examples are fresh-water sponge species that live in dark habitats, such as underground caves (*Eunapius subterraneus* in Croatia (Bilandija et al. 2007); *Racekiela cavernicola* in Brasil (Volkmer-Ribeiro et al. 2010)), or at great depths in lakes that completely lack symbiotic eukaryotic algae (e.g., *Baikalospongia abyssalis* in Baikal (Itskovich et al. 2017)).

There is also experimental evidence. For example, Hall et al. (2021) infected young aposymbiotic sponges of *Ephydatia muelleri* that had hatched from gemmules with sponge-derived algae. Evidence of the establishment of intracellular position by the algae was manifested within 4 h of infection. At the 24-hour time point, many sponge host archaeocytes harboured multiple or single algae within a single cell.

In adult sponges the algae are transmitted among the sponge cells in a very particular way. After the donor and the recipient cell get closer each other, the vacuole includes *Chlorella* inside bulges out, surrounded by cell processes of the recipient cell. The vacuole opens, while the donor cell retracts and the recipient cell closes around the alga. Finally, the alga is incorporated into the recipient cell (Masuda 1990; Saller 1991). No release of the algae into the intercellular mesenchyme was detected. Then, the chlorella cells divide inside the sponge cells.

#### 2.2.6. Horizontal and Vertical Transmission of Intracellular Algal Symbionts

As we showed above, the sponge-algal symbiosis is facultative. Accordingly, the transmission of algal symbionts occurs horizontally during sexual reproduction. In any event, not a single study has so far shown the presence of algal symbionts in sponge larvae. As for asexual reproduction, the situation there is more complicated.

In sponges there are three types of asexual reproduction: fragmentation, budding and gemmule formation (Ereskovsky 2010).

During fragmentation, the sponge is divided into two or more parts, each consisting of all tissue types and the symbionts. In contrast, during the budding process, the vertical transmission of intracellular symbiotic algae has been documented for two species: in the fresh-water sponge *Radiospongilla cerebellata*, where bud cell archaeocytes, amoebocytes, choanocytes and pinacocytes included *Chlorella* sp. (Saller 1990); and in the marine boring sponge *Cliona viridis*, in which the archaeocytes of the buds harbour intracellular dinoflagellate symbionts (Rosell 1993).

Gemmules of demosponges are special dormant structures that are capable, under suitable conditions, of developing asexually into new adult animals (Simpson 1984). Gemmules develop from the pluripotent archaeocytes. Gemmule thesocytes (resulting from archaeocyte differentiation) of many freshwater sponges include four or five functional algal endosymbionts per cell (Gilbert and Allen 1973; Masuda 1990; Okuda et al. 2002; Williamson 1979). The ultrastructure of zoochloellae inside of gemmules differs from the ultrastructure of active symbionts in adult, green sponges: the gemmular symbionts contain loosely packed membranes of the chloroplasts, they generally lack lipid granules, and they lack chloroplast starch grains (Masuda 1990; Williamson 1979). This modification in structure could be a result of the relative inactivity of the symbionts inside gemmules. However, it has been shown that the symbionts within thesocytes are photosynthetically active, and could pass some of their photosynthate to the sponge cells (Gilbert and Allen 1973).

Before hatching, symbiotic algae could be phagocyted, and thus the young sponges that develop from such gemmules would be aposymbiotic (Rasmont 1970), without signs of symbiotic algal propagation (Simpson 1984; Williamson 1979). Yet, under dark conditions, brown gemmules do not host symbiotic algae, or only possess them in very low numbers (Gilbert and Allen 1973; Jorgensen 1947; Simpson 1984). Therefore, the vertical transmission of intracellular algal symbionts during gemmulogenesis in sponges is facultative.


### 2.3. Cytosymbiosis in ASCs-Beyond Poriferans

In contrast to the demosponges, cytosymbiosis in ASCs is a rare situation in other multicellular organisms in general, and in marine invertebrates in particular (Figure 2). Nevertheless, several well documented cases attest to the importance of ASCs in coordinating and maintaining intracellular symbiosis. Examples include the deep-sea vestimentiferan tubeworms (Polychaeta; best known are *Lamellibrachia luymesii* and *Riftia pachyptila*), which live in symbiosis with intracellular bacteria housed in bacteriocyte host cells (considered to be “tissue-specific unipotent bacteriocyte stem cells”; (Pflugfelder et al. 2009)), located within a special organ, the trophosome (Bright and Giere 2005). These stem cells continuously proliferate to produce new

bacteriocytes, a process leading to self-renewal of bacteriocyte and to a complex control of the symbiont population in these host cells. Similarly, the free-living symbiotic flatworm *Paracatenula galatea* possesses intracellular, sulphur-oxidizing bacteria (also called bacteriocytes): as for all other somatic cells in adult worms, the bacteriocytes originate solely from the pool of aposymbiotic neoblasts, the ASCs of flatworms (Dirks et al. 2012). In addition, in *Hydra*, the epithelial stem cells lineages, but not the interstitial cells, actively shape the microbial intracellular communities of epithelial cells (Fraune et al. 2009). However, the elimination of nerve cells and secretory gland cells, two important cell types derived from interstitial cells, had a significant influence on the structure of symbiotic microbiota. Further, in the branching coral species *Stylophora pistillata*, algal containing cells in the endodermal layer express “stemness” genes such as *Nanos* and *Tudor*, as well as Tubulins and genes involved in the cell cycle (Levy et al. 2021), indicating that these cells may carry stem cell properties. There is also some preliminary evidence for ASC-related cytosymbiosis in hibernating colonies of botryllid ascidians (Hyams et al. 2017). About 15% of the blood cell population in the vasculature of hibernating colonies was first identified as phagocytes. However, transmission electron microscope studies revealed specific facultative symbionts—*Endozoicomonas* bacteria—inside their phagosomes. This novel case of cytosymbiosis develops de novo and only during stress conditions, a phenomenon most probably controlled by circulating ASCs (B.R., unpublished data).

There are additional unique examples of the involvement of ASCs of terrestrial invertebrates in maintaining or controlling intracellular symbionts. In early developmental stages of the aphids *Acyrtosiphon pisum* and *Megoura viciae* and in the cockroach *Periplaneta americana*, studies revealed de novo bacteriocyte formation from aposymbiotic ASCs, followed in the cockroaches by postembryonic divisions of the bacteriocytes (Braendle et al. 2003; Chevalier et al. 2011; Lambiase et al. 1997; Maire et al. 2020; Miura et al. 2003), suggesting that insect and tubeworm bacteriocytes proliferate (Dirks et al. 2012). The same applies to haemocytes of the isopod *Armadillidium vulgare*, which host endosymbiotic *Wolbachia* cells, intracellular  $\alpha$ -proteobacteria (Chevalier et al. 2011) that are considered parasites in many insects such as *Drosophila*, in which they colonize female germline stem cells (Ote and Yamamoto 2020). However, some strains also appear to confer protection against RNA viruses in flies and mosquitoes in the laboratory, indicating a mutualism, although it is still unclear if this antiviral effect exists in the wild (reviewed in (Pimentel et al. 2021)). Intracellular *Wolbachia* symbionts are not only the cytosymbiotic bacteria in insect stem cells, as germline cells can also be colonized by other microorganisms, such as the Gram-positive bacterium *Spiroplasma* in *Drosophila* (Hackett et al. 1986), or the Gram-negative bacterium *Arsenophonus*, which infects the *Sulcia* symbiont of the leafhopper *Macrostelus laevis* (Kobiałka et al. 2016). The aforementioned means

of ASC control is further illustrated by bacteria from larval bacteriocytes in uninfected nuclei of putative stem cells, as assessed over the course of metamorphosis (Maire et al. 2020).



**Figure 2.** Distribution of algal, cyanobacterial and bacterial endosymbionts in metazoan phyla. To the left, a cladogram illustrates phylogenetic relationships among phyla; branch lengths are not proportional to evolutionary divergence. The position of sponges (Porifera) is highlighted in red. Coloured boxes indicate bilaterian lineages belonging to the Ecdysozoa (yellow), Gnathifera (green) Lophotrochozoa (blue), and Deuterostomia (pink). The positions of Acoelomorpha and Xenoturbellida are still debated and are indicated by dotted lines. To the right of each phylum, absence of endosymbionts (red symbols) as well as presence of algal (green symbols), cyanobacterial (blue symbols) and bacterial (orange symbols) endosymbionts are shown. Note that for many lineages, examples of both (mixed circles) intracellular (filled circles) and extracellular (empty circles) symbionts exist and where endosymbiosis is uncertain, dotted circles are used. Groups in which bacteriocytes have been reported are indicated by a “B” next to the bacterial endosymbiont column. An asterisk (\*) denotes cases in which ASCs have been reported in the literature to contain endosymbionts. Sources: Acoelomorpha:

(Melo-Clavijo et al. 2018; Hikosaka-Katayama et al. 2012; Venn et al. 2008); Nemertea: (McDermott 2006); Platyhelminthes: (Dirks et al. 2012; Dubilier et al. 2008; Gruber-Vodicka et al. 2011; Melo-Clavijo et al. 2018; Venn et al. 2008); Annelida: (Dubilier et al. 2008); Ectoprocta: (Karagodina et al. 2018; Saffo 1992; Sharp et al. 2007); Gastrotricha: (Todaro et al. 2017); Mollusca: (Dubilier et al. 2008; Duperron et al. 2006; Melo-Clavijo et al. 2018; Venn et al. 2008); Chaetognatha: (Thuesen and Kogure 1989); Rotifera: (Selmi 2001); Arthropoda: (Dubilier et al. 2008; Lindquist et al. 2005); Tardigrada: (Vecchi et al. 2016); Nematoda: (Dubilier et al. 2008); Priapulida: (Kroer et al. 2016); Vertebrata: (Baker et al. 2019; Kerney et al. 2011; Melo-Clavijo et al. 2018); Urochordata: (Melo-Clavijo et al. 2018; Mutalipassi et al. 2021; Saffo 1992); Echinodermata: (Carrier and Reitzel 2020; Saffo 1992); Xenoturbellida: (Kjeldsen et al. 2010); Cnidaria: (Melo-Clavijo et al. 2018; Venn et al. 2008); Porifera: (Rützler 1990; Saller 1991; Sarà et al. 1998; Williamson 1979); Ctenophora: (Daniels and Breitbart 2012; Hernandez and Ryan 2018); Placozoa: (Gruber-Vodicka et al. 2019). Please see text for details.

The important interplay between ASCs and their intracellular symbionts has also been recorded in vertebrates. For instance, the intracellular bacterial pathogen *Mycobacterium leprae* has the capacity to alter the developmental reprogramming of lineage committed host glial cells to progenitor/stem cell-like cells in mammals (Hess and Rambukkana 2015). In addition, the host–pathogen symbiosis commonly recorded between bacteria and stem cells of the intestine, where microbial products can stimulate stem cell survival, trigger regeneration and provide protection against stress (Nigro et al. 2014), or the ways in which *Escherichia coli* cells can mobilize functional hematopoietic stem cells (Burberry et al. 2014), are but two of many examples of what may be a widespread but poorly understood phenomenon in animals.

### 3. Discussion and Future Perspectives

Here, we showed that the intracellular symbionts (either prokaryotic or eukaryotic) of sponges are found only in representative species of Demospongiae, one of the four Porifera classes (Demospongiae, Hexactinellida, Homoscleromorpha and Calcarea; Table 2). Prokaryotic organisms are found in specialized cells—bacteriocytes—in representatives of different orders of Demospongiae (Table 2). Bacteriocyte-like cells have been reported in four phyla, indicative of their independent evolutionary origins (Figure 2). Eukaryotic symbionts are exclusively unicellular photosynthetic algae in sponges, and are found in pluripotent stem cells, most frequently in the archaeocytes; they are documented in five orders: Spongillida, Haplosclerida, Clionaida, Suberitida, and Tetractinellida (Table 1). It is interesting to note that the representatives of the green algae from the phylum Chlorophyta were found only in freshwater sponges of the order Spongillida.

**Table 2.** Distribution of bacteriocytes harboring intracellular symbiotic bacteria within Porifera.

Order	Species	Bacteriocyte Localization	Stem-Cell	References
Class Demospongiae				
Biemnida	<i>Biemna ehrenbergi</i>	Mesohyl	No	(Ilan and Abelson 1995)
	<i>Neofibularia irata</i>	Mesohyl	No	(Wilkinson 1978)
Axinellida	<i>Cymbastella concentrica</i>	Mesohyl	No	(Nguyen et al. 2014)
Verongiida	<i>Aplysina cavernicola</i>	Mesohyl	No	(Vacelet 1975)
	<i>Aplysina aerophoba</i>	Mesohyl	No	(Vacelet 1975)
	<i>Aplysina cauliformis</i>	Mesohyl	No	(Gochfeld et al. 2019)
	<i>Aplysina fistularis</i>	Mesohyl	No	(Negandhi et al. 2010)
Haplosclerida	<i>Petrosia ficiformis</i>	Mesohyl	No	(Vacelet and Donaday 1977)
	<i>Haliclona tubifera</i>	Larva	No	(Woollacott 1993)
	<i>Haliclona cnidata</i>	Mesohyl	No	(Schellenberg et al. 2020)
	<i>Haliclona</i> sp.	Mesohyl	No	(Tianero et al. 2019)
	<i>Oceanapia sagittaria</i>	Mesohyl	No	(Salomon et al. 2001)
	<i>Cribochalina</i>	Mesohyl	No	(Rützler 1990)
Chondrosida	<i>Chondrosia reniformis</i>	Mesohyl	No	(Lévi and Lévi 1976)
Chondrillida	<i>Halisarca dujardinii</i>	Mesohyl	No	(Ereskovsky unpublished)
	<i>Halisarca restingaensis</i>	Mesohyl	No	(Alvizu et al. 2013)
	<i>Chondrilla australiensis</i>	Mesohyl and Larva	No	(Usher and Ereskovsky 2004)
Suberitida	<i>Suberites domuncula</i>	Mesohyl	No	(Bohm et al. 2001)
Tetractinellida	<i>Thoosa</i> sp., <i>Alectona</i> sp.	Mesohyl	No	(Garrone 1974)
	<i>Jaspis stellifera</i>	Mesohyl	No	(Wilkinson 1978)
Tethyida	<i>Tethya stolonifera</i>	Mesohyl	No	(Taylor et al. 2021)
Poecilosclerida	<i>Lycopodina hypogea</i>	Mesohyl	No	(Vacelet and Boury-Esnault 1996)
	<i>Cladorhiza</i> sp.	Mesohyl	No	(Vacelet et al. 1996)
	<i>Crambe crambe</i>	Mesohyl	No	(Maldonado 2007)
	<i>Hymedesmia methanophila</i>	Mesohyl	No	(Rubin-Blum et al. 2019)
	<i>Svenzea zeeae</i>	Mesohyl and Larva	No	(Rützler et al. 2003)
Scopalinaida	<i>Scopalina ruetzleri</i>	Mesohyl	No	(Rützler et al. 2003)
Agelasida	<i>Astrosclera willejana</i>	Mesohyl	No	(Worheide 1998)
Demospongiae incertae sedis	<i>Myceliospongia araneosa</i>	Mesohyl	No	(Vacelet and Perez 1998)

It is generally accepted that all multicellular organisms actively coordinate somatic maintenance properties, including growth (in organisms with indeterminate growth -such as sponges, corals, and the immortal *Hydra*- throughout the organism's life span; (Vogt 2012)); cell proliferation and cell death for tissue homeostasis; and for phenomena such as regeneration, with ASCs in some of these organisms acting as the building blocks for all needs (Biteau et al. 2011; Merrell and Stanger 2016; Rinkevich et al. 2022). The additional cellular homeostasis required for the management and coordination of intracellular symbiosis clearly presents a scenario in which non-traditional functions were imposed on ASC performance during evolution. In

contrast to the sponge examples, most other ASC types do not possess intracellular symbionts and yet directly or indirectly influence cytosymbiosis in a wide range of marine and terrestrial taxa (but see *Wolbachia* infections in isopods that harbour these intracellular parasites not only inside haemocytes but also within ASCs of the hematopoietic system, or the *Wolbachia* that hijack the female germline of insects (Chevalier et al. 2011; Ote and Yamamoto 2020). Indeed, intracellular symbionts are rarely associated with ASCs, and to our knowledge—with the exception of sponges—only in the case of bacterial symbionts (Figure 2).

It is, therefore, of great interest to illuminate the mechanisms driving the highly coordinated behaviours of ASCs in specific symbioses, such as the unipotent bacteriocyte stem cells that continuously proliferate to produce new bacteriocytes in some annelids (Bright and Giere 2005); the maintenance of symbiosis during the continuous bacteriocyte formation from aposymbiotic neoblasts in adult paracatenulid flatworms (Dirks et al. 2012); the epithelial stem cells that actively shape the microbial intracellular communities in *Hydra* (Fraune et al. 2009); or the larval bacteriocytes that develop from uninfected putative stem cells in the rice weevil *Sitophilus oryzae* (Alvizu et al. 2013). Thus, cytosymbiosis-borne ASC phenomena are either established (in sponges) or supported (directly and indirectly; at least in Cnidaria, Platyhelminthes, Annelida, Arthropoda [insects and crustacean alike], Urochordata and Vertebrata). However, the most prominent examples of endosymbiotic ASCs come from the sponges.

Many challenges remain in studying symbioses at the mechanistic level. First, it should be possible to isolate and culture host and symbiont separately; this is rarely possible. Many symbioses have arisen in inhospitable environments (e.g., deep sea *Bathymodiolus* mussels and their sulphide- and methane-oxidizing bacterial symbionts, (Duperron et al. 2006), which cannot be easily recreated in the laboratory. Marine algae are particularly difficult to culture, and yet are the basis for many photosymbiotic associations. In addition, many symbioses are obligate, or transmitted vertically, making them near impossible to manipulate without killing host or symbiont, or affecting embryonic survival. It should be possible to generate aposymbiotic and symbiotic hosts at will to understand the metabolic and genetic changes directly caused by symbiosis. Such studies on sponges have recently been initiated (Geraghty et al. 2021; Hall et al. 2021). Finally, from a technical perspective, it is often difficult to separate host and symbiont genomes in intracellular symbioses. In particular, RNA sequencing of endosymbiotic host tissues en masse fails to adequately define transcriptional profiles at the fine resolution necessary to assess changes at the cellular level.

In spite of these many limitations, metagenomic approaches are now giving new insight into host–symbiont interactions. For instance, dual RNA-seq combined with imaging has allowed the time course of endosymbiont-embryonic host cellular

interactions to be mapped during cereal weevil metamorphosis (Maire et al. 2020). RNAseq of aposymbiotic and symbiotic bobtail squid tissues as well as *Vibrio* both before and after venting from the light organ (Thompson et al. 2017) and hybridization chain reaction-fluorescent in situ hybridization of both partners at the onset of symbiosis maps transcriptional changes in situ (Nikolakakis et al. 2015). A recent RNASeq analysis, combined with electron and confocal microscopy of fresh-water demosponge model *Ephydatia muelleri*, has revealed some of the genetic pathways involved in intracellular host/photosymbiont interactions, identifying putative genetic pathways involved with endosymbiosis establishment (Hall et al. 2021). RNASeq analysis and comparative analyses of the transcriptomes of aposymbiotic and symbiotic sponges have identified a suite of genes that are regulated at the early establishment stages of the stable symbiosis between *E. muelleri* and its native green algal symbionts (Geraghty et al. 2021). Authors have also begun to differentiate these genes from those involved in generalized phagocytosis events related to feeding and/or immunity. Single cell analyses are providing new avenues for understanding that might be well suited to tackling the ASC/endosymbiont–poriferan mutualism. As a case in point, recent work on the cnidarian coral *Xenia* has identified the cell lineage containing the Symbiodinium algal symbiont as originating as a pre-endosymbiotic progenitor pool (Hu et al. 2020). Similarly, Levy et al. (2021) simultaneously queried the transcriptomes of Symbiodinium-containing host cells and their symbionts, and compared with “free” Symbiodinium and non-symbiotic gastrodermal cells and in the stony coral *Stylophora pistillata*. They identified shared lipid metabolism pathways in algal hosting cells with those of *Xenia* (Hu et al. 2020) and *Exaiptasia pallida* (Hambleton et al. 2019), a symbiotic anemone, suggesting cnidarian-dinoflagellate photosymbioses may generate very particular constraints on physiologies despite their independent evolutionary origins. Similar efforts in sponges would thus add considerable insight into the establishment and maintenance of photosymbioses, and provide new insight into the diversity of symbiotic associations seen across the tree of life.

**Author Contributions:** A.E., I.M.L.S. and B.R. conceived the work, wrote the manuscript and approved the final version. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research was funded by the European Cooperation in Science & Technology program (EU COST). Grant title: “Stem cells of marine/aquatic invertebrates: from basic research to innovative applications” (MARISTEM). The work of AE was conducted under the IDB RAS Government basic research program in 2021 No. 0088-2021-0009.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## References

- Alié, Alexandre, Tetsutaro Hayashi, Itsuro Sugimura, Michaël Manuel, Wakana Sugano, Akira Mano, Nori Satoh, Kiyokazu Agata, and Noriko Funayama. 2015. The ancestral gene repertoire of animal stem cells. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 112: E7093–100. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Alvizu, Adriana, Maria-Chistina Díaz, Christina Bastidas, Klaus Rützler, Rob Thacker, and Linda M. Márquez. 2013. A skeleton-less sponge of Caribbean mangroves: Invasive or undescribed? *Invertebrate Biology* 132: 81–94. [CrossRef]
- Annenkova, Natalia, Dennis Lavrov, and Sergey Belikov. 2011. Dinoflagellates Associated with Freshwater Sponges from the Ancient Lake Baikal. *Protist* 162: 222–36. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Baker, Lydia, Lindsay Freed, Cole Easson, Jose Lopez, Dante Fenolio, Tracey Sutton, Spencer V. Nyholm, and Tory A. Hendry. 2019. Diverse deep-sea anglerfishes share a genetically reduced luminous symbiont that is acquired from the environment. *Elife* 8: e47606. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Bandi, Claudio, Massimo Sironi, Guiseppa Damiani, Lorenzo Magrassi, Christine Nalepa, Ugo Laudani, and Luciano Sacchi. 1995. The establishment of intracellular symbiosis in an ancestor of cockroaches and termites. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 259: 293–99. [PubMed]
- Bohm, Markus, Ute Hentschel, Anja Friedrich, Lars Fieseler, Richard Stefen, Vera Gamulin, Isabel M. Muller, and Werner E.G. Muller. 2001. Molecular response of the sponge *Suberites domuncula* to bacterial infection. *Marine Biology* 139: 1037–45.
- Bilandija, Helena, Jana Bedek, Branco Jalzic, and Sanja Gottstein. 2007. The morphological variability, distribution patterns and endangerment in the Ogulin cave sponge *Eunapius subterraneus* Sket & Velikonja, 1984 (Demospongiae, Spongillida). *Natura Croatica* 16: 1–17.
- Biteau, Benoit, Christine Hochmuth, and Henrich Jasper. 2011. Maintaining Tissue Homeostasis: Dynamic Control of Somatic Stem Cell Activity. *Cell Stem Cell* 9: 402–11. [CrossRef]
- Borchiellini, Carole, Kassandra de Pao-Mendonca, Amelie Vernale, Caroline Rocher, Alexander Ereskovsky, Jean Vacelet, and Emmanuelle Renard. 2021. Porifera (Sponges): Recent knowledge and new perspectives. *eLS* 2: 1–10. [CrossRef]
- Borisenko, Ilya, Maya Adamska, Daria Tokina, and Alexander Ereskovsky. 2015. Transdifferentiation is a driving force of regeneration in *Halisarca dujardini* (Demospongiae, Porifera). *Peer J* 3: e1211. [CrossRef]
- Bosch, Thomas C. G., Friederike Anton-Erxleben, Georg Hemmrich, and Konstantin Khalturin. 2010. The *Hydra* polyp: Nothing but an active stem cell community. *Development Growth and Differentiation* 52: 15–25. [CrossRef]
- Braendle, Christian, Toru Miura, Ryan Bickel, Alexander Shingleton, Shrinivas Kambhampati, and David L. Stern. 2003. Developmental origin and evolution of bacteriocytes in the aphid-*Buchnera* symbiosis. *PLoS Biology* 1: 70–76. [CrossRef]

- Brandt, Karl. 1881. *Ueber das Zusammenleben von Thieren und Algen. Verhandlungen der physiologischen Gesellschaft zu Berlin 1880–1881*. Berlin: Archiv für Anatomie und Physiologie—Physiologische Abtheilung. Physiologische Gesellschaft zu Berlin/Berlin Physiological Society, pp. 570–574.
- Brien, Paul, and Denise Govaert-Mallebranche. 1958. A propos de deux éponges du Tanganika. *Académie Royale des Sciences Coloniales* 8: 1–43.
- Bright, Monika, and Olav Giere. 2005. Microbial symbiosis in Annelida. *Symbiosis* 38: 1–45.
- Burberry, Aaron, Melody Zeng, Lei Ding, Ian Wicks, Naohiro Inohara, Sean Morrison, and Gabriel Nunez. 2014. Infection mobilizes hematopoietic stem cells through cooperative NOD-like receptor and Toll-like receptor signalling. *Cell Host and Microbe* 15: 779–91. [CrossRef]
- Buscema, Marco, Danielle De Sutter, and Gisele Van de Vyver. 1980. Ultrastructural study of differentiation processes during aggregation of purified sponge archaeocytes. *Wilhelm Roux's Archive of Developmental Biology* 53: 45–53. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Carrier, Tyler, and Adam Reitzel. 2020. Symbiotic life of echinoderm larvae. *Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution* 7: 509. [CrossRef]
- Cheng, Thomas C., Herbert W. F. Yee, and Erik Rifkin. 1968. Studies on the Internal Defense Mechanisms of Sponges I. The Cell Types Occurring in the Mesoglea of *Terpios zeteki* (de Laubenfels) (Porifera: Demospongiae). *Pacific Sciences* 22: 395–401.
- Chernogor, Lubov, Natalia Denikina, Igor Kondratov, Ivan Solovarov, Igor Khanaev, Sergej Belikov, and Hermann Ehrlich. 2013. Isolation and identification of the microalgal symbiont from primmorphs of the endemic freshwater sponge *Lubomirskia baicalensis* (Lubomirskiidae, Porifera). *European Journal of Phycology* 48: 497–508. [CrossRef]
- Chevalier, Frederic, Juline Herbinière-Gaboreau, Joanne Bertaux, Maryline Raimond, Franck Morel, Didier Bouchon, Pierre Grève, and Christine Braquart-Varnier. 2011. The Immune Cellular Effectors of Terrestrial Isopod *Armadillidium vulgare*: Meeting with Their Invaders, *Wolbachia*. *PLoS ONE* 6: e18531. [CrossRef]
- Clevers, Hans, and Fiona Watt. 2018. Defining adult stem cells by function, not by phenotype. *Annual Review Biochemistry* 87: 1015–27. [CrossRef]
- Cox, Guy, and Anthony W. Larkum. 1983. A diatom apparently living in symbiosis with a sponge. *Bulletin of Marine Science* 33: 943–45.
- Daniels, Camille, and Mya Breitbart. 2012. Bacterial communities associated with the ctenophores *Mnemiopsis leidyi* and *Beroe ovata*. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology* 82: 90–101. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Dirks, Ulrich, Harald R. Gruber-Vodicka, Nikolaus Leisch, Silvia Bulgheresi, Bernhard Egger, Peter Ladurner, and Jörg A. Ott. 2012. Bacterial symbiosis maintenance in the asexually reproducing and regenerating flatworm *Paracatenula galateia*. *PLoS ONE* 7: e34709. [CrossRef]
- Dubilier, Nivole, Claudia Bergin, and Christian Lott. 2008. Symbiotic diversity in marine animals: The art of harnessing chemosynthesis. *Nature Reviews Microbiology* 6: 725–40. [CrossRef]

- Duperron, Sebastien, Claudia Bergin, Frank Zielinski, Anna Blazejak, Annelie Pernthaler, Zoe McKiness, and Nikole Dubilier. 2006. A dual symbiosis shared by two mussel species, *Bathymodiolus azoricus* and *Bathymodiolus puteoserpentis* (Bivalvia: Mytilidae), from hydrothermal vents along the northern Mid-Atlantic Ridge. *Environmental Microbiology* 8: 1441–47. [CrossRef]
- Ereskovsky, Alexander, Alisia Geronimo, and Thierry Pérez. 2017. Asexual and puzzling sexual reproduction of the Mediterranean sponge *Haliclona fulva* (Demospongiae): Life cycle and cytological structures. *Invertebrate Biology* 136: 403–21. [CrossRef]
- Ereskovsky, Alexander, and Andrey Lavrov. 2021. Porifera. In *Invertebrate Histology*. Edited by Elise E.B. LaDouceur. Hoboken: John Wiley & Sons, Inc., pp. 19–54.
- Ereskovsky, Alexander, Daria B. Tokina, Damian Saidov, Stephen Baghdiguian, Emilie Le Goff, and Andrey Lavrov. 2020. Transdifferentiation and mesenchymal-to-epithelial transition during regeneration in Demospongiae (Porifera). *Journal of Experimental Zoology, Part B: Molecules, Development and Evolution* 334: 37–58. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ereskovsky, Alexander, Ilya E. Borisenko, Feodor V. Bolshakov, and Andrey I. Lavrov. 2021. Whole-body regeneration in sponges: Diversity, fine mechanisms and future prospects. *Genes* 12: 506. [CrossRef]
- Ereskovsky, Alexander, Ilya E. Borisenko, Pascal Lapébie, Eve Gazave, Daria Tokina, and Carole Borchiellini. 2015. *Oscarella lobularis* (Homoscleromorpha, Porifera) regeneration: Epithelial morphogenesis and metaplasia. *PLoS ONE* 10: e0134566. [CrossRef]
- Ereskovsky, Alexander, Lubov Chernogor, and Sergey Belikov. 2016. Ultrastructural description of development and cell composition of primmorphs in the endemic Baikal sponge *Lubomirskia baicalensis*. *Zoomorphology* 135: 1–17. [CrossRef]
- Ereskovsky, Alexander. 2010. *The Comparative Embryology of Sponges*. Dordrecht: Springer, pp. 1–329.
- Fernández-Busquets, Xavier. 2008. The sponge as a model of cellular recognition. In *Sourcebook of Models for Biomedical Research*. Edited by Philipp M. Conn. Totowa, NJ: Humana Press Inc., pp. 75–84.
- Ferrario, Cinzia, Michela Sugni, Ildiko Somorjai, and Loriano Ballarin. 2020. Beyond adult stem cells: Dedifferentiation as a unifying mechanism underlying regeneration in invertebrate deuterostomes. *Frontiers in Cell and Developmental Biology* 8: 587320. [CrossRef]
- Fierro-Constaín, Laura, Quentin Schenkelaars, Eve Gazave, Anne Haguénauer, Caroline Rocher, Alexander Ereskovsky, Carole Borchiellini, and Emmanuelle Renard. 2017. The conservation of the germline multipotency program, from sponges to vertebrates: A stepping stone to understanding the somatic and germline origins. *Genome Biology and Evolution* 9: 474–88. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Fraune, Sebastian, Yuichi Abe, and Thomas Bosch. 2009. Disturbing epithelial homeostasis in the metazoan *Hydra* leads to drastic changes in associated microbiota. *Environmental Microbiology* 11: 2361–69. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Frost, Thomas M., Linda E. Graham, Joan E. Elias, Mark J. Haase, Donald Kretchmer, and James Kranzfelder. 1997. A yellow-green algal symbiont in the freshwater sponge, *Corvomeyenia everetti*: Convergent evolution of symbiotic associations. *Freshwater Biology* 38: 395–99. [CrossRef]
- Frost, Thomas, and Craig Williamson. 1980. *In situ* determination of the effect of symbiotic algae on the growth of the freshwater sponge *Spongilla lacustris*. *Ecology* 61: 1361–70. [CrossRef]
- Funayama, Noriko, Mikiko Nakatsukasa, Kurato Mohri, Yoshiki Masuda, and Kiyokazu Agata. 2010. *Piwi* expression in archeocytes and choanocytes in demosponges: Insights into the stem cell system in demosponges. *Evolution and Development* 12: 275–87. [CrossRef]
- Funayama, Noriko, Mikiko Nakatsukasa, Tetsutaro Hayashi, Shigehiro Kuraku, Katsuaki Takechi, and Mikako Dohi. 2005. Isolation of Et silicatein and Et lectin as Molecular Markers for Sclerocytes and Cells Involved in Innate Immunity in the Freshwater Sponge *Ephydatia fluviatilis*. *Zoological Science* 22: 1113–22. [CrossRef]
- Funayama, Noriko. 2018. The cellular and molecular bases of the sponge stem cell systems underlying reproduction, homeostasis and regeneration. *The International Journal of Developmental Biology* 62: 513–25. [CrossRef]
- Gaino, Elda, Manuela Reborá, Carla Corallini, and Tisza Lancioni. 2003. The life-cycle of the sponge *Ephydatia fluviatilis* (L.) living on the reed *Phragmites australis* in an artificially regulated lake. *Hydrobiologia* 495: 127–42. [CrossRef]
- Gaino, Elda, Renata Manconi, and Roberto Pronzato. 1995. Organizational plasticity as a successful conservative tactics in sponges. *Animal Biology* 4: 31–43.
- Garrone, Robert. 1974. Ultrastructure d'une "gemmule armée" planctonique d'éponge Clonidae. *Inclusions fibrillaires et genèse du collagène, Archives d'Anatomie Microscopique* 63: 163–82.
- Garson, Mary J., Andrew E. Flowers, Richard I. Webb, Romila D. Charan, and Elizabeth J. McCaffrey. 1998. A sponge/dinoflagellate association in the haplosclerid sponge *Haliclona* sp.: Cellular origin of cytotoxic alkaloids by Percoll density gradient fractionation. *Cell and Tissue Researches* 293: 365–73. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Geraghty, Sara, Koutsouveli Vasiliki, Hall Chelsea, Chang Lillian, Sacristan-Soriano Oriol, Malcolm Hill, Ana Riesgo, and April Hill. 2021. Establishment of Host–Algal Endosymbioses: Genetic response to symbiont versus prey in a sponge host. *Genome Biology Evolution* 13: evab252. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Gilbert, John J., and Harold L. Allen. 1973. Chlorophyll and primary productivity of some green, freshwater sponges. *Internationale Revue der gesamten Hydrobiologie und Hydrographie* 58: 633–58. [CrossRef]
- Gochfeld, Deborah, Maria-Christina Diaz, Abigail Renegar, and Julie Olson. 2019. Histological and ultrastructural features of *Aplysina cauliformis* affected by *Aplysina* red band syndrome. *Invertebrate Biology* 138. [CrossRef]
- Godefroy, Nelly, Emilie Le Goff, Camille Martinand-Mari, Khalid Belkhir, Jean Vacelet, and Stephen Baghdiguan. 2019. Sponge digestive system diversity and evolution: Filter feeding to carnivory. *Cell and Tissue Researches* 377: 341–51. [CrossRef]

- Gruber-Vodicka, Harald, Nikolaus Leisch, Manuel Kleiner, Tjorven Hinzke, Manuel Liebeke, Margaret McFall-Ngai, Michael G. Hadfield, and Nicole Dubilier. 2019. Two intracellular and cell type-specific bacterial symbionts in the placozoan *Trichoplax* H2. *Nature Microbiology* 4: 1465–74. [CrossRef]
- Gruber-Vodicka, Harald, Ulrich Dirks, Nikolaus Leisch, Christian Baranyi, and Kilian Stoecker. 2011. *Paracatenula*, an ancient symbiosis between thiotrophic *Alphaproteobacteria* and catenulid flatworms. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 108: 12078–83. [CrossRef]
- Hackett, Kevin, Dwight Lynn, David Williamson, Annette Ginsberg, and Robert Whitcomb. 1986. Cultivation of the *Drosophila* sex-ratio spiroplasma. *Science* 232: 1253–55. [CrossRef]
- Hall, Chelsea, Sara Camilli, Henry Dwaah, Benjamin Kornegay, Christie Lacy, Malcolm S. Hill, and April L. Hill. 2021. Freshwater sponge hosts and their green algae symbionts: A tractable model to understand intracellular symbiosis. *Ephydatia muelleri* Algal symbiosis transcriptomes. *PeerJ* 9: e10654. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Hambleton, Elizabeth, Victor Jones, Ira Maegele, David Kvaskoff, Timo Sachsenheimer, and Annika Guse. 2019. Sterol transfer by atypical cholesterolbinding NPC2 proteins in coral-algal symbiosis. *eLife* 8: e43923. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Handa, Shinji, Miro Nakahara, Hiromi Tsubota, Hironori Deguchi, Yoshiko Masuda, and Taketo Nakano. 2006. Choricystis minor (Trebouxiophyceae, Chlorophyta) as a symbiont of several species of freshwater sponge. *Hikobia* 14: 365–73.
- Harrison, Frederic, and Leo De Vos. 1991. Porifera. In *Microscopic anatomy of invertebrates*. Edited by Frederic W. Harrison and John A. Westfall. New York: Wiley, Volume 2, pp. 29–89.
- Harrison, Frederic W., Dana Dunkelberger, and Norimitsu Watabe. 1975. Cytological examination of reduction bodies of *Corvomeyenia carolinensis* Harrison (Porifera: Spongillidae). *Journal of Morphology* 145: 483–91. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Hernandez, Alexandra, and Joseph Ryan. 2018. Horizontally transferred genes in the ctenophore *Mnemiopsis leidyi*. *PeerJ* 6: e5067. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Hess, Samuel, and Anura Rambukkana. 2015. Bacterial-induced cell reprogramming to stem cell-like cells: New premise in host–pathogen interactions. *Current Opinion in Microbiology* 23: 179–88. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Hikosaka-Katayama, Tomoe, Kanae Koike, Hiroshi Yamashita, Arira Hikosaka, and Kazuhiko Koike. 2012. Mechanisms of maternal inheritance of dinoflagellate symbionts in the acoelomorph worm *Waminoa litus*. *Zoological Sciences* 29: 559–67. [CrossRef]
- Hill, Malcolm S. 1996. Symbiotic zooxanthellae enhance boring and growth rates of the tropical sponge *Anthosigmella varians* formavarians. *Marine Biology* 125: 649–54. [CrossRef]
- Hinzke, Tjorven, Manuel Kleiner, Mareike Meister, Rabea Schlüter, Chrisyian Hentschker, Jan Pané-Farré, Petra Hildebrandt, Horst Felbeck, Stefan M. Sievert, Florian Bonn, and et al. 2021. Bacterial symbiont subpopulations have different roles in a deep-sea symbiosis. *eLife* 10: e58371. [CrossRef]

- Hobmayer, Bert, Marcell Jenewein, Dominik Eder, Marie-Kristin Eder, and Stella Glasauer. 2012. Stemness in *Hydra*—A current perspective. *International Journal of Developmental Biology* 56: 509–17. [CrossRef]
- Hu, Minjie, Xiaobin Zheng, Chen-Ming Fan, and Yixian Zheng. 2020. Lineage dynamics of the endosymbiotic cell type in the soft coral *Xenia*. *Nature* 582: 534–38. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Hyams, Yosef, Guy Paz, Claudette Rabinowitz, and Baruh Rinkevich. 2017. Insights into the unique torpor of *Botrylloides leachi*, a colonial urochordate. *Developmental Biology* 428: 101–17. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ilan, Micha, and Avigdor Abelson. 1995. The life of a sponge in a sandy lagoon. *Biological Bulletin* 189: 363–69. [CrossRef]
- Itskovich, Valeria B., Oxana V. Kaluzhnaya, Elena Veynberg, and Dirck Erpenbeck. 2017. Endemic Lake Baikal sponges from deep water 2: Taxonomy and Bathymetric Distribution. *Zootaxa* 4236: 335–42. [CrossRef]
- Jorgensen, Barker. 1947. On the gemmules of *Spongilla lacustris* together with some remarks on the taxonomy of the species. *Det Kgl. Danske Videnskabernes Selskab, Biologiske Meddelelser* 20: 69–79.
- Karagodina, Natalia, Andrey Vishnyakov, Olga Kotenko, Alina Maltseva, and Andrey Ostrovsky. 2018. Ultrastructural evidence for nutritional relationships between a marine colonial invertebrate (Bryozoa) and its bacterial symbionts. *Symbiosis* 75: 155–64. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kerney, Ryan, Eunsoo Kim, Roger Hangarter, Aaron Heiss, Cory Bishop, and Bryan Hall. 2011. Intracellular invasion of green algae in a salamander host. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 108: 6497–502. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kjeldsen, Kasper, Mattias Obst, Hiroaki Nakano, Peter Funch, and Andreas Schramm. 2010. Two types of endosymbiotic bacteria in the enigmatic marine worm *Xenoturbella bocki*. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 76: 2657–62. [CrossRef]
- Kobiałka, Michat, Anna Michalik, Marcin Walczak, Lukasz Junkiert, and Tereza Szklarzewicz. 2016. *Sulcia* symbiont of the leafhopper *Macrosteles laevis* (Ribaut, 1927) (Insecta, Hemiptera, Cicadellidae: Deltocephalinae) harbors *Arsenophonus* bacteria. *Protoplasma* 253: 903–12. [CrossRef]
- Kovacevic, Goran. 2012. Value of the *Hydra* model system for studying symbiosis. *The International Journal of Developmental Biology* 56: 627–35. [CrossRef]
- Kroer, Paul, Kasper Kjeldsen, Jens Nyengaard, Andreas Schramm, and Peter Funch. 2016. A novel extracellular gut symbiont in the marine worm *Priapulius caudatus* (Priapulida) reveals an alphaproteobacterial symbiont clade of the Ecdysozoa. *Frontiers in Microbiology* 7: 539. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Krueger, Thomas. 2016. Concerning the cohabitation of animals and algae—An English translation of K. Brandt’s 1881 presentation “Ueber das Zusammenleben von Thieren und Algen”. *Symbiosis* 71: 167–74. [CrossRef]
- Kulakova, Nina, Natalia Denikina, and Sergey Belikov. 2014. Diversity of Bacterial Photosymbionts in Lubomirskiidae Sponges from Lake Baikal. *International Journal of Biodiversity* 2014: 152097. [CrossRef]

- Kulakova, Nina, Serrgey Kashin, and Yuriy Bukin. 2020. The genetic diversity and phylogeny of green microalgae in the genus *Choricystis* (Trebouxiophyceae, Chlorophyta) in Lake Baikal. *Limnology* 21: 15–24. [CrossRef]
- Lambiase, Simonetta, Aldo Grigolo, Ugo Laudani, Luciano Sacchi, and Baccio Baccetti. 1997. Pattern of bacteriocyte formation in *Periplaneta americana* (L.) (Blattaria: Blattidae). *International Journal of Insect Morphology and Embryology* 26: 9–19. [CrossRef]
- Lavrov, Andrey I., Feodor V. Bolshakov, Daria Tokina, and Alexander Ereskovsky. 2018. Sewing up the wounds: The epithelial morphogenesis as a central mechanism of calcaronean sponge regeneration. *Journal of Experimental Zoology, Part B: Molecules, Development and Evolution* 330: 351–71. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Lavrov, Andrey, and Igor Kosevich. 2014. Sponge cell reaggregation: Mechanisms and dynamics of the process. *Russian Journal of Developmental Biology* 45: 205–23. [CrossRef]
- Leininger, Sven, Marcin Adamski, Brith Bergum, Corina Guder, Jing Liu, Mary Laplante, Jon Bråte, Friederike Hoffmann, Sofia Fortunato, Signe Jordal, and et al. 2014. Developmental gene expression provides clues to relationships between sponge and eumetazoan body plans. *Nature Communications* 5: 3905. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Lemloh, Marie-Louise, Janny Fromont, Franz Brummer, and Kayley Usher. 2009. Diversity and abundance of photosynthetic sponges in temperate Western Australia. *BMC Ecology* 9: 4. [CrossRef]
- Lévi, Claude, and Pierre Lévi. 1976. Embryogenèse de *Chondrosia reniformis* (Nardo), démosponge ovipareé et transmission des bactéries symbiotiques. *Annales des Sciellces Naturelles, Zoologie* 18: 367–80.
- Levy, Shani, Anamaria Elek, Xavier Grau-Bové, Simon Menéndez-Bravo, Marta Iglesias, Amos Tanay, Tali Mass, and Arnau Sebé-Pedrós. 2021. A stony coral cell atlas illuminates the molecular and cellular basis of coral symbiosis, calcification, and immunity. *Cell* 11: 2973–87. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Lindquist, Neils, Paul Barber, and Jeremy Weisz. 2005. Episymbiotic microbes as food and defence for marine isopods: Unique symbioses in a hostile environment. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 272: 1209–16. [CrossRef]
- Maire, Justin, Nicolas Parisot, Mariana Ferrarini, Agnes Vallier, Benjamin Gillet, Sandrine Hughes, Séverine Balmand, Carole Vincent-Monégat, Anna Zaidman-Rémy, and Abdelaziz Heddi. 2020. Spatial and morphological reorganization of endosymbiosis during metamorphosis accommodates adult metabolic requirements in a weevil. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 117: 19347–58. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Maldonado, Manuel. 2007. Intergenerational transmission of symbiotic bacteria in oviparous and viviparous demosponges, with emphasis on intracytoplasmically compartmented bacterial types. *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the UK* 87: 1701–13. [CrossRef]
- Maldonado, Manuel. 2016. Sponge waste that fuels marine oligotrophic food webs: A re-assessment of its origin and nature. *Marine Ecology* 37: 477–91. [CrossRef]

- Masuda, Yoshiki. 1990. Electron microscopic study on the zoochlorellae of some freshwater sponges. In *New Perspectives in Sponge Biology*. Edited by Klais Rützler. Washington, DC: Smithsonian Institution Press Washington, pp. 467–71.
- Masuda, Yoshiko. 1985. Electron Microscopic Study on the Zoochlorellae of Adult Green Sponges and Gemmules of *Radiospongilla cerebellata* (Bowerbank) (Porifera: Spongillidae). *Kawasaki Igakkai Shi Liberal Arts Sci Course* 11: 63–66.
- McDermott, John. 2006. Nemerteans as hosts for symbionts: A review. *Journal of Natural History* 40: 1007–20. [CrossRef]
- Melo-Clavijo, Jenny, Alexander Donath, Joao Serôdio, and Gregor Christa. 2018. Polymorphic adaptations in metazoans to establish and maintain photosymbioses. *Biological Reviews* 93: 2006–20. [CrossRef]
- Melton, Douglas. 2014. ‘Stemness’ ‘Stemness’: Definitions, Criteria, and Standards. In *Essentials of Stem Cell Biology, 3d ed.* Edited by Ronald Lanza and Alex Atala. London: Academic Press, pp. 7–17.
- Merrell, Allyson, and Ben Stanger. 2016. Adult cell plasticity in vivo: De-differentiation and transdifferentiation are back in style. *Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology* 17: 413–25. [CrossRef]
- Miura, Toru, Christian Braendle, Alexander Shingleton, Geoffroy Sisk, Srinivas Kambhampati, and David Stern. 2003. A comparison of parthenogenetic and sexual embryogenesis of the pea aphid *Acyrtosiphon pisum* (Hemiptera: Aphidoidea). *Journal of Experimental Zoology Part B Molecular and Developmental Evolution* 295B: 59–81. [CrossRef]
- Mutalipassi, Mirko, Gennaro Riccio, Valerio Mazzella, Christian Galasso, Emmanuele Somma, Antonia Chiarore, Donatella de Pascale, and Valerio Zupo. 2021. Symbioses of cyanobacteria in marine environments: Ecological insights and biotechnological perspectives. *Marine Drugs* 19: 227. [CrossRef]
- Nakanishi, Nagayasu, Shunsuke Sogabe, and Bernard Degnan. 2014. Evolutionary origin of gastrulation: Insights from sponge development. *BMC Biology* 12: 26. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Negandhi, Karita, Patricia Blackwelder, Alexander Ereskovsky, and Jose Lopez. 2010. Florida reef sponges harbor coral disease-associated microbes. *Symbiosis* 51: 117–29. [CrossRef]
- Nguyen, Mary, Michael Liu, and Torsten Thomas. 2014. Ankyrin-repeat proteins from sponge symbionts modulate amoebal phagocytosis. *Molecular Ecology* 23: 1635–45. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Nigro, Giulina, Raffaella Rossi, Pierre-Henri Commere, Philippe Jay, and Philippe Sansonetti. 2014. The cytosolic bacterial peptidoglycan sensor Nod2 affords stem cell protection and links microbes to gut epithelial regeneration. *Cell Host and Microbe* 15: 792–98. [CrossRef]
- Nikolakakis, Kiel, Erik Lehnert, Margaret McFall-Ngai, and Edward Ruby. 2015. Use of hybridization chain reaction-fluorescent in situ hybridization to track gene expression by both partners during initiation of symbiosis. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 81: 4728–35. [CrossRef]

- Okuda, Naomi, Atsuko Yamamoto, Yuriko Satoh, Yoshihiro Fujimoto, and Yoshihisa Kamishima. 2002. Role of Symbiotic Algae on Gemmule Germination of a Freshwater Sponge, *Radiospongilla cerebellata*. *Chugokugakuen Journal* 1: 7–12.
- Ote, Manabu, and Daisuke Yamamoto. 2020. Impact of *Wolbachia* infection on *Drosophila* female germline stem cells. *Current Opinion in Insect Science* 37: 8–15. [CrossRef]
- Pang, Rosemary. 1973. The ecology of some Jamaican excavating sponges. *Bulletin Marine Sciences* 23: 227–43.
- Pflugfelder, Bettina, Craig S. Cary, and Monika Bright. 2009. Dynamics of cell proliferation and apoptosis reflect different life strategies in hydrothermal vent and cold seep vestimentiferan tubeworms. *Cell Tissue Research* 337: 149–65. [CrossRef]
- Pimentel, Andre C., Casia Cesar, Marcos Martins, and Rodrigo Cogni. 2021. The antiviral effects of the symbiont bacteria *Wolbachia* in insects. *Frontiers in Immunology* 11: 626329. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Price, Ian, Richard Fricker, and Clive Wilkinson. 1984. *Ceratodictyon spongiosum* (Rhodophyta), the macroalgal partner in an alga- sponge symbiosis, grown in unialgal culture. *Journal of Phycology* 20: 156–58. [CrossRef]
- Pröschold, Thomas, and Tatiana Darienko. 2020. *Choricystis* and *Lewinosphaera* gen. nov. (Trebouxiophyceae Chlorophyta), two different green algal endosymbionts in freshwater sponges. *Symbiosis* 82: 175–88. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Pröschold, Thomas, Tatyana Darienko, Paul Silva, Werner Reisser, and Lothar Krienitz. 2010. The systematics of *Zoochlorella* revisited employing an integrative approach. *Environmental Microbiology* 13: 350–64. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Raff, Martin. 2003. Adult stem cell plasticity: Fact or artifact? *Annual Review of Cell and Developmental Biology* 19: 1–22. [CrossRef]
- Rasmont, Robert. 1970. Some new aspects of the physiology of freshwater sponges. In *The Biology of the Porifera*. Edited by William G. Fry. London: Academic Press, pp. 415–22.
- Redmond, Anthony K., and Aoife McLysaght. 2021. Evidence for sponges as sister to all other animals from partitioned phylogenomics with mixture models and recoding. *Nature Communication* 12: 1783. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Reisser, Werner, and Werner Wiessner. 1984. Autotrophic Eukaryotic Freshwater Symbionts. In *Cellular Interactions. Encyclopedia of Plant Physiology*. Edited by Hans Linskens and John Heslop-Harrison. Berlin/Heidelberg: Springer, Volume 17, pp. 59–74.
- Rinkevich, Baruh, Lorian Ballarin, Pedro Martinez, Ildiko Somorjai, Oshrat Ben-Hamo, Ilya Borisenko, Eugene Berezikov, Alexander Ereskovsky, Eve Gazave, Denis Khnykin, and et al. 2022. A pan-metazoan concept for adult stem cells: The wobbling Penrose landscape. *Biological Review* 97: 299–325. [CrossRef]
- Rosell, Doloris, and Maria J. Uriz. 1992. Do associated zooxanthellae and the nature of the substratum affect survival, attachment and growth of *Cliona viridis* (Porifera, Hadromerida)—An experimental approach. *Marine Biology* 114: 503–7. [CrossRef]
- Rosell, Doloris. 1993. Effects of reproduction in *Cliona viridis* (Hadromerida) on zooxanthellae. In *Recent advances in ecology and systematics of sponges*. Edited by Maria J. Uriz and Klaus Rützler. Barcelona: Instituto de Ciencias del mar, C.S.I.C., Volume 54, pp. 405–13.

- Rozenfeld, Francine. 1980. Effects of puromycin on the differentiation of the freshwater sponge: *Ephydatia fluviatilis*. *Differentiation* 17: 193–98. [CrossRef]
- Rubin-Blum, Maxim, Chakkitah Antony, Lisbeth Sayavedra, Clara Martínez-Pérez, Daniel Birgel, Jörn Peckmann, Yu-Chen Wu, Paco Cardenas, Ian MacDonald, Yann Marcon, and et al. 2019. Fueled by methane: Deep-sea sponges from asphalt seeps gain their nutrition from methane-oxidizing symbionts. *The ISME Journal* 13: 1209–25. [CrossRef]
- Rützler, Klaus, and Gregor Rieger. 1973. Sponge burrowing: Fine structure of *Cliona lampa* penetrating calcareous substrata. *Marine Biology* 21: 144–6246. [CrossRef]
- Rützler, Klaus, Rob W.B. van Soest, and Belinda Alvarez. 2003. *Svenzea zeai*, a Caribbean reef sponge with a giant larva, and *Scopalina ruetzleri*: A comparative fine-structural approach to classification (Demospongiae, Halichondrida, Dictyonellidae). *Invertebrate Biology* 122: 203–22. [CrossRef]
- Rützler, Klaus. 1990. Associations between Caribbean sponges and photosynthetic organisms. In *New Perspectives in Sponge Biology*. Edited by Klaus Rützler. Washington, DC: Smithsonian Institution Press, pp. 455–66.
- Saffo, Mary. 1992. Invertebrates in endosymbiotic associations. *American Zoologist* 32: 557–65. [CrossRef]
- Saller, Uwe. 1989. Microscopical aspects on symbiosis of *Spongilla lacustris* (Porifera, Spongillidae) and green algae. *Zoomorphology* 108: 291–96. [CrossRef]
- Saller, Uwe. 1990. Formation and construction of asexual buds of the freshwater sponge *Radiospongilla cerebellata* (Porifera, Spongillidae). *Zoomorphology* 109: 295–301. [CrossRef]
- Saller, Uwe. 1991. Symbiosis of *Spongilla lacustris* (Spongillidae) and Green Algae. Algae Uptake, Distribution and Final Whereabouts. In *Fossil and Recent Sponges*. Edited by Joachim Reitner and Hans Keupp. Berlin: Springer, pp. 299–305.
- Salomon, Christine E., Thomas Deerinck, Mark H. Ellisman, and David J. Faulkner. 2001. The cellular localization of dercitamide in the Palauan sponge *Oceanapia sagittaria*. *Marine Biology* 139: 313–19.
- Sarà, Michele, and Jean Vacelet. 1973. Ecologie des Démosponges. In *Traité de Zoologie*. Edited by Pierre P. Grassé. Paris: Masson, Volume 3, pp. 462–576.
- Sarà, Michele, and Lidia Liaci. 1964. Symbiotic association between Zooxanthellae and two Marine Sponges of the Genus *Cliona*. *Nature* 203: 321. [CrossRef]
- Sarà, Michele, Gorgio Bavestrello, Riccardo Cattaneo-Vietti, and Carlo Cerrano. 1998. Endosymbiosis in Sponges—Relevance for Epigenesis and Evolution. *Symbiosis* 25: 57–70.
- Scalera-Liaci, Lidia, Margareta Sciscioli, Elena Lepore, and Elda Gaino. 1999. Symbiotic zooxanthellae in *Cinachyra tarentina*, a non-boring demosponge. *Endocytobiosis Cell Research* 13: 105–14.
- Schellenberg, Johannes, Jessica Reichert, Martin Hardt, Ines Klingelhöfer, Gertrud Morlock, Patrick Schubert, Mina Bižić, Hans-Peter Grossart, Peter Kämpfer, Thomas Wilke, and et al. 2020. The Bacterial Microbiome of the Long-Term Aquarium Cultured High-Microbial Abundance Sponge *Haliclona cnidata*—Sustained Bioactivity Despite Community Shifts Under Detrimental Conditions. *Frontiers in Marine Sciences* 7: 266. [CrossRef]

- Selmi, Carlo. 2001. Ectosymbiotic bacteria on ciliated cells of a rotifer. *Tissue and Cell* 33: 258–61. [CrossRef]
- Sharp, Koty, Seana Davidson, and Margo Haygood. 2007. Localization of ‘*Candidatus Endobugula sertula*’ and the bryostatins throughout the life cycle of the bryozoan *Bugula neritina*. *The ISME Journal* 1: 693–702. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Simion, Paule, Herve Philippe, Dennis Baurain, Muriel Jager, Daniel Richter, Arnaud Di Franco, B. Roure, N. Satoh, E. Quéinnec, A. Ereskovsky, and et al. 2017. Tackling the conundrum of metazoan phylogenomics: Sponges are sister to all other animals. *Current Biology* 27: 1–10. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Simpson, Tracey. 1984. *The Cell Biology of Sponges*. New York: Springer, p. 678.
- Sitte, Peter, and Stefan Eschbach. 1992. Cytosymbiosis and Its Significance in Cell Evolution. In *Progress in Botany*. Edited by H.-Dietmar Behnke, Karl Esser, Klaus Kubitzki, Michael Runge and Hubert Ziegler. Berlin: Springer, Volume 53, pp. 29–43.
- Smith, Courtney, and William H. Hildemann. 1986. Allograft rejection, autograft fusion and inflammatory responses to injury in *Callyspongia diffusa* (Porifera; Demospongia). *Proceeding of Royal Society, London* 226: 445–64.
- Song, Chihong, Kazuyoshi Murata, and Tochinobu Suzaki. 2017. Intracellular symbiosis of algae with possible involvement of mitochondrial dynamics. *Scientific Reports* 7: 1221. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Sørensen, Megan E.S., Jamie A. Wood, Duncan D. Cameron, and Michael Brockhurst. 2021. Rapid compensatory evolution can rescue low fitness symbioses following partner switching. *Current Biology* 31: 3721–28. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Taylor, Jessica, Giorgia Palladino, Bernd Wemheuer, Georg Steinert, Detmer Sipkema, Timothy J. Williams, and Torsten Thomas. 2021. Phylogeny resolved, metabolism revealed: Functional radiation within a widespread and divergent clade of sponge symbionts. *The ISME Journal* 15: 503–19. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Thompson, Luke, Kiel Nikolakakis, Shu Pan, Jennifer Reed, Rob Knight, and Edward Ruby. 2017. Transcriptional characterization of *Vibrio fischeri* during colonization of juvenile *Euprymna scolopes*. *Environmental Microbiology* 19: 1845–56. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Thuesen, Erik, and Kazuhiro Kogure. 1989. Bacterial production of tetrodotoxin in four species of Chaetognatha. *The Biological Bulletin* 176: 191–94. [CrossRef]
- Tianero, Ma Diarey, Jared Balaich, and Mohamed Donia. 2019. Localized production of defence chemicals by intracellular symbionts of *Haliclona* sponges. *Nature Microbiology* 4: 1149–59. [CrossRef]
- Todaro, Antonio, Matteo Dal Zotto, Sarah Bownes, and Robert Perissinotto. 2017. Two new interesting species of Macrotrichida (Gastrotricha) from KwaZulu-Natal (South Africa). *Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington* 130: 140–55. [CrossRef]
- Usher, Kayley, and Alexander Ereskovsky. 2004. Larval development, ultrastructure and metamorphosis in *Chondrilla australiensis* Carter, 1873 (Demospongiae, Chondrosida, Chondrillidae). *Invertebrate Reproduction and Development* 47: 51–62. [CrossRef]

- Vacelet, Jean, Aline Fiala-Medioni, Christine R. Fisher, and Nicole Boury-Esnault. 1996. Symbiosis between methane-oxidizing bacteria and a deep-sea carnivorous cladorhizid sponge. *Marine Ecology, Progress Serie* 145: 77–85. [CrossRef]
- Vacelet, Jean, and Claude Donaday. 1977. Electron microscope study of the association between some sponges and bacteria. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 30: 301–14. [CrossRef]
- Vacelet, Jean, and Nicole Boury-Esnault. 1996. A new species of carnivorous sponge (Demospongiae: Cladorhizidae) from a Mediterranean cave. *Bulletin de L'institut Royal des Sciences Naturelles de Belgique* 66: 109–15.
- Vacelet, Jean, and Thierry Perez. 1998. Two new genera and species of sponges without skeleton (Porifera, Demospongiae) from a Mediterranean cave. *Zoosystema* 20: 5–22.
- Vacelet, Jean. 1975. Etude en microscopie electronique de l'association entre bacteries et spongiaires du genre *Verongia* (Dictyoceratida). *Journal Microscopie Biol Cell* 23: 271–88.
- Vacelet, Jean. 1981. Algal-sponge symbioses in the coral reefs of New Caledonia: A morphological study. Paper presented at Fourth International Coral Reef Symposium, Manila, Philippines, 18–22 May 1981; pp. 713–19.
- Vecchi, Matteo, Filipe Vicente, Roberto Guidetti, Roberto Bertolani, Lorena Rebecchi, and Michele Cesari. 2016. Interspecific relationships of tardigrades with bacteria, fungi and protozoans, with a focus on the phylogenetic position of *Pyxidium tardigradum* (Ciliophora). *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society* 178: 846–55. [CrossRef]
- Venn, A. Alexander, John E. Loram, and Angeka E. Douglas. 2008. Photosynthetic symbioses in animals. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 59: 1069–80. [CrossRef]
- Vogt, Gunter. 2012. Hidden treasures in stem cells of indeterminately growing bilaterian invertebrates. *Stem Cell Reviews and Reports* 8: 305–17. [CrossRef]
- Volkmer-Ribeiro, Cecille, Maria Bichuette, and Vanessa Machado. 2010. *Racekiela cavernicola* (Porifera: Demospongiae) new species and the first record of cave freshwater sponge from Brazil. *Neotropical Biology and Conservation* 5: 53–58. [CrossRef]
- Wernegreen, Jennifer J. 2012. Endosymbiosis. *Current Biology* 22: R555–R561. [CrossRef]
- Wilkinson, Clive. 1978. Microbial associations in sponges. III. Ultrastructure of the in situ associations in coral reef sponges. *Marine Biology* 49: 177–85. [CrossRef]
- Wilkinson, Clive R. 1980. Nutrient translocation from green algal symbionts to the freshwater sponge *Ephydatia fluviatilis*. *Hydrobiologia* 75: 241–50. [CrossRef]
- Wilkinson, Clive. 1983. Phylogeny of bacterial and cyanobacterial symbionts in marine sponges. *Endocytobiology* 2: 993–1002.
- Wilkinson, Clive. 1987. Significance of microbial symbionts in sponge evolution and ecology. *Symbiosis* 4: 135–46.
- Wilkinson, Clive. 1992. Symbiotic interactions between marine sponges and algae. In *Algae and Symbioses: Plants, Animals, Fungi and Viruses, Interactions Explored*. Edited by William Reisser. Bristol: Biopress Ltd., pp. 112–5187.
- Willenz, Philippe, and Gisele Van de Vyver. 1984. Ultrastructural localization of lysosomal digestion in the freshwater sponge *Ephydatia fluviatilis*. *Journal of Ultrastructural Research* 87: 13–22. [CrossRef]

- Williamson, Craig E. 1979. An ultrastructural investigation of algal symbiosis in white and green *Spongilla lacustris* (L.) (Porifera: Spongillidae). *Transactions of the American Microscopical Society* 98: 59–77. [CrossRef]
- Woollacott, Robert. 1993. Structure and swimming behavior of the larva of *Haliclona tubifera* (Porifera, Demospongiae). *Journal of Morphology* 218: 301–21. [CrossRef]
- Worheide, Gerd. 1998. The reef cave dwelling ultraconservative coralline demosponge *Astrosclera willeyana* Lister 1900 from the Indo-Pacific. *Facies* 38: 1–88. [CrossRef]

© 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).