

Savoring the present: The reciprocal influence between positive emotions and positive emotion regulation in everyday life

Desirée Colombo, Jean-Baptiste Pavani, Javier Fernandez-Alvarez, Azucena Garcia- Palacios, Cristina Botella

▶ To cite this version:

Desirée Colombo, Jean-Baptiste Pavani, Javier Fernandez-Alvarez, Azucena Garcia- Palacios, Cristina Botella. Savoring the present: The reciprocal influence between positive emotions and positive emotion regulation in everyday life. PLoS ONE, 2021, 16 (5), pp.e0251561. 10.1371/journal.pone.0251561. hal-03592403

HAL Id: hal-03592403 https://hal.science/hal-03592403

Submitted on 1 Mar 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

1	Savoring the Present: The Reciprocal Influence Between Positive Emotions
2	and Positive Emotion Regulation in Everyday Life
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Desirée Colombo ^{1*} , Jean-Baptiste Pavani ² , Javier Fernandez-Alvarez ³ , Azucena Garcia- Palacios ^{1,4} , Cristina Botella ^{1,4}
11	¹ Department of Basic Psychology, Clinic and Psychobiology, Jaume I University, Spain
12	² Center for Research on the Psychology of Cognition, Language and Emotion (PSYCLE), Aix Marseille
13	University, France
14	³ Department of Psychology, Catholic University of the Sacred Heart, Italy
15	⁴ CIBER Fisiopatología Obesidad y Nutrición (CIBERobn), Instituto Salud Carlos III, Spain
16	
17 18	
19	
20	
21	
22 23	
23	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	Corresponding Author
33	*Desirée Colombo,
34	Universitat Jaume I,
35	Av. Sos Baynat, s/n, 12071, Castellón, Spain
36	E-mail: <u>dcolombo@uji.es</u>

37 ABSTRACT

A growing body of research has investigated the regulation of negative emotions in ecological settings, but little is known about the mechanisms underlying positive emotion regulation in everyday life. Although some evidence suggests that adopting positive strategies is beneficial for emotional well-being, the literature is inconsistent about the effects of positive emotions on subsequent regulatory processes. In the present study, we adopted a two-week ecological momentary assessment to explore the association between positive emotions and positive emotion regulation in daily life. According to our results, the less individuals felt positive emotions at one point, the more they tended to enhance their use of positive strategies from this time to the next, which in turn resulted in subsequent higher levels of positive emotions. This prototype of positive regulation can be seen as a highly adaptive mechanism that makes it possible to compensate for a lack of positive emotions by enhancing the deployment of positive strategies. The theoretical and clinical implications of these findings are discussed.

49 Keywords: positive emotions; positive emotion regulation; ecological momentary assessment.

62 1. INTRODUCTION

The pursuit of happiness is considered one of the most important life goals of individuals (1), 63 64 who intensely seek to create pleasant experiences throughout their lives. Positive emotions 65 (PE) are a core component of well-being because they are not limited to pleasant sensations, 66 but rather produce short- and long-term psychological benefits and improve both physical and mental health (2–5). More specifically, PE temporarily extend the scope of attention, cognition 67 and action (6), which in turn promotes resilience and psychological well-being (7). 68 69 Accordingly, people spend most of their time trying to downregulate negative emotions and 70 upregulate positive ones (8).

71 Emotion regulation is a process through which individuals try to influence their emotional state 72 in order to achieve personal goals (9). To date, most of the literature has focused on the 73 regulation of negative emotional states. Nevertheless, there is increasing evidence highlighting 74 the crucial role of positive emotion regulation (10,11), that is, the set of strategies people 75 implement to create, maintain and enhance PE for two main purposes. First, people upregulate 76 PE for its own sake, that is, to experience pleasurable states and increase happiness (12). 77 Second, the upregulation of PE has been recognised as a mood repair mechanism, i.e., a process that helps individuals to reduce negative affect and recover from stressful events (13,14). 78

Although originally conceptualized for negative emotion, Gross' extended model (2015) has also been used to understand positive emotion regulation (10). Accordingly, different types of positive strategies can be deployed in different stages of the emotion generation process: (a) by selecting a situation that is expected to improve affect (situation selection); (b) by actively changing a situation in order to get the most out of it (situation modification); (c) by redirecting the attention towards specific features or details of a situation that might increase positive emotions (attentional deployment); (d) by changing the appraisal of an emotion-eliciting stimulus in order to amplify the associated pleasant state (cognitive change); and (e) by experientially, physiologically, or behaviourally expressing ongoing PE to further increase their intensity (response modulation). These strategies are implemented not only during the experience of a positive emotional state (10). They might also be used before (i.e., while anticipating a positive event) (16) or after (i.e., while recalling a positive memory) (17) the emotion-generative process (10,11). For the purposes of the present study, however, we will mainly focus on the available literature exploring PE regulation in the present.

93 When assessed in naturalistic settings, people use on average a repertoire of sixteen strategies 94 in response to PE (18). The implementation of positive emotion regulation has been shown to 95 be beneficial for mental health, and a growing body of studies has revealed that people who frequently adopt strategies to intensify and prolong positive experiences (that is, savoring (19)) 96 97 show enhanced emotional well-being (20,21) and more sustained PE over time (22). For instance, a more extensive use of some strategies, such as counting blessings or sharing, leads 98 99 to greater levels of happiness, despite experiencing few daily positive events (21,23). In another 100 study, Langston et al. (24) found that capitalizing on positive events (i.e., the process of 101 beneficially seizing and interpreting positive situations) further increases the experience of 102 positive emotions. Furthermore, the intense use of strategies, such as mindfulness and positive 103 reappraisal, has been found to predict higher levels of psychological well-being and enhanced 104 experience of positive emotional states (25,26). In sum, there is a growing body of evidence 105 that highlights the important emotional outcomes associated with the use of positive emotion 106 regulation in daily life.

However, not only can emotion regulation influence emotional outcomes, but emotions can
also determine subsequent emotion regulation processes (27). This hypothesis is further
confirmed by the evidence showing that momentary mood predicts subsequent affect levels

(28), which suggests that emotion regulation might be partly determined by an individual's
momentary emotional state (29). Nonetheless, the previous literature has been inconsistent
about the association between PE and positive emotion regulation.

On the one hand, the broaden-and-build theory states that the experience of PE enhances one's 113 114 attentional scope and thought-action repertoire, leading to cognitive and behavioural 115 broadening mechanisms (7,30) such as increased creativity or cognitive flexibility (31,32). 116 These mechanisms have been hypothesized to affect emotion regulation processes as well. 117 Thus, positive emotions are likely to encourage the adoption of adaptive, broadminded 118 strategies that further enhance positive states (7,33). Consistent with this theory, the momentary experience of high levels of PE has been found to predict greater subsequent adoption of 119 120 adaptive strategies such as problem solving (29) and mindfulness (34).

121 On the other hand, pro-hedonic theories, such as the hedonic flexibility principle, suggest that people are likely to implement behavioural strategies based on their momentary mood in order 122 to minimize negative affect and maximize positive emotions (35,36). More specifically, the 123 124 experience of low positive emotions is supposed to motivate actions and behaviours to enhance 125 mood. Thus, individuals are likely to increase their attempts to upregulate PE when 126 experiencing a low rather than high level of PE. Recent studies have demonstrated that, when 127 experiencing bad moods, people are more likely to engage in mood-enhancing activities, such 128 as doing sport, going out in nature or chatting with a friend, whereas useful but mood-129 decreasing activities are pursued when the current mood is already high (36,37). In another 130 study, individuals were found to seek pleasant social relationships when feeling bad and prefer 131 solitude or less pleasant social interactions when feeling good (38).

In sum, despite the growing evidence highlighting the importance of PE in mental health, thereare still many unanswered questions about the regulatory mechanisms underlying positive

134 states. Although positive emotion regulation has received increasing attention in the past decade, the effects of its momentary use are still unexplored. More specifically, whereas the 135 findings about the emotional outcomes of positive emotion regulation are quite consistent, the 136 137 effect of momentary PE on subsequent strategy implementation is still largely unknown. Importantly, momentary PE not only reflect the experience of a pleasant state, but they also 138 represent an important source of information that drives regulatory mechanisms. Thus, 139 140 exploring the reciprocal influences between PE and positive emotion regulation is important in order to disentangle the factors determining past, present and future positive emotional 141 142 experiences.

143 The current study

The aim of the current study was to explore the reciprocal interconnection between PE and 144 positive emotion regulation in daily life. To do so, we asked 85 undergraduate students to use 145 a two-week Ecological Momentary Assessment (EMA) to report their momentary levels of PE 146 147 and rate the adoption of positive strategies to regulate ongoing PE. Despite the evidence 148 showing that people's repertoire of positive strategies is quite large (18), it would have been 149 too demanding and time-consuming to assess a high quantity of items at each assessment. 150 Accordingly, we decided to focus on a limited number of positive strategies and to exclude 151 dampening ones (i.e., strategies that decrease the intensity of ongoing PE).

The rational adopted for selecting the strategies was based on Quoidbach et al.'s theory (2015) of positive emotion regulation. While the effectiveness of situation selection and situation modification strategies to enhance PE has been found to be weak or even largely unknown and controversial, there is more consistent evidence that supports the value of attentional deployment, cognitive change and response modulation strategies to increase positive emotions, especially in the short-term (10). We therefore decided to focus on these three

158 categories and, for each of them, two strategies were selected based on the previous literature 159 relating positive emotion regulation to PE, thus making a total of 6 strategies: mindfulness, 160 stimulus control, broadening, counting blessings, emotion expression, and sharing. The use of 161 this rational allowed us to explore the association between PE and positive emotion regulation 162 both at a strategy and category levels.

163 Attentional deployment refers to the set of strategies specifically designed to direct one's 164 attention in order to savour a pleasant emotional state, which in turn increases the experience 165 of positive states both in the short and long terms (10). In the present study, we explored the 166 momentary use of mindfulness, which is focusing the attention on the present situation, and 167 stimulus control, which refers to the attempt to avoid other negative thoughts in order to focus on the pleasant state. According to the previous literature, both strategies can play a role in 168 169 daily positive emotional states. Indeed, a growing body of literature has found mindfulness to 170 be associated with more intense and frequent positive emotions (20,25,39), which in turn has 171 been shown to increase next-day mindfulness levels (34). Furthermore, Heiy and Cheavens 172 (18) found stimulus control to be one of the most frequently adopted strategies in response to PE that positively affect general mood. 173

174 Cognitive change refers to the attempt to influence the meaning of a positive stimulus, for example, by reappraising a positive situation as a special moment or by increasing the value 175 176 attributed to a positive event. Generally, previous research has shown that reappraising a positive stimulus (40,41) and increasing the perceived value of a positive experience (42) are 177 178 associated with enhanced levels of positive emotions. Indeed, not only does the adoption of 179 cognitive change strategies enhance momentary positive emotional states, but it also has a 180 modest impact on PE in the long-terms (10). In the present study, we assessed participants' use of broadening, which is thinking about the current pleasant state as part of a worthy life, 181

182 and counting one's blessings, i.e., thinking about the special moments by not taking them for 183 granted. Whereas one's perceived satisfaction and fulfilment in different aspects of life have 184 been found to significantly affect emotional well-being (43), Wood et al. (2010) showed the 185 significant effect of counting one's blessings on increasing positive emotions.

186 Finally, response modulation includes strategies to influence the physiological, experiential or 187 behavioural response to a positive state, which usually involve expressing the emotion with 188 either verbal or nonverbal communication. Indeed, the accumulated literature coming from 189 embodied cognition research has suggested that expressing positive emotions both physically 190 - for example, by facial display (45,46)) - and verbally (24,47) can boost the experience of the 191 associated positive state. Consistently, we assessed the momentary use of emotion expression (i.e., the use of the body to express and communicate ongoing PE) as well as sharing (i.e., the 192 193 tendency to share positive experiences through verbal communication with other people).

194 The first objective of this study was to explore which of the two aforementioned theories better 195 explains the association between PE and positive emotion regulation strategies. According to 196 the broaden-and-build theory, the experience of intense PE fosters broadening mechanisms and 197 the use of broad-minded positive strategies. In this case, and consistent with previous findings, 198 high levels of PE should determine an increase in the subsequent use of positive strategies. In 199 contrast, the hedonic flexible principle states that low mood, compared to high mood, predicts 200 the implementation of strategies to enhance momentary emotions. Thus, a lower level of PE at 201 a certain time should predict an increase in the use of positive emotion regulation from that 202 time to the next.

The second objective of this study was to explore the unique impact of six positive emotion regulation strategies on subsequent PE. Consistent with the ample evidence showing the

beneficial emotional outcomes of positive regulation, we expected to find that increased use ofpositive strategies at one point predicted enhanced PE in the following assessment.

207 The third objective was to investigate whether the reciprocal influence between PE and positive 208 emotion regulation changes significantly depending on the intrinsic nature of strategies, as 209 defined by the three categories explored in the present study: attentional deployment, cognitive 210 change and response modulation. To this aim, we explored whether strategy category 211 significantly moderated the association between positive emotion regulation and PE and, more 212 specifically: (a) whether the strategy category moderated the impact of PE at t0 on positive 213 regulation at t1, and (b) whether the strategy category moderated the effect of positive 214 regulation on subsequent levels of PE.

215 **2. MATERIAL AND METHODS**

216 2.1 Inclusion criteria and sample

217 In order to exclude the potential confounding effect of depression, which has been shown to be 218 associated with an impaired use of savoring strategies and an increased adoption of dampening strategies (48,49), individuals with a score above 14 on the Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-219 9) (50) were excluded from the study (i.e., individuals with moderate to severe depressive 220 221 conditions; n=6). Similar to the sample size of previous EMA studies on emotion regulation 222 (29,51), we recruited 85 undergraduate students at Jaume I University (Castellon, Spain). The 223 sample was composed of 67 females (77.9%) and 19 males (22.1%); their ages ranged between 224 18 and 36 years (M: 22.07; SD:3.45).

This study was approved by the ethics committee of Jaume I University (certificate number:CD/57/2019), and informed consent was obtained from each participant.

227 2.2 Material

Participants were prompted three times a day for two weeks to complete a brief questionnaire
on their smartphone, reaching a total of 42 potential observations for each participant.
Consistent with previous studies, this sampling frequency has been shown to be adequate for
the assessment of daily emotion regulation patterns (18) and it leads to good compliance levels
(16,17). In the present study, 2726 out of 3570 possible assessments were obtained, thus
revealing a mean compliance of 76.34% (*SD*=18.12), ranging between 33% and 100%.

234 At each prompt, participants were first asked to rate the momentary intensity of seven PE on a 235 Likert scale from 1 to 5 (1 = not at all, 5 = a lot). The seven emotions were selected in order to 236 include both low-arousal (hope, serenity, gratitude) and high-arousal (happiness, amusement, 237 excitement, pride) positive emotions (52), which is consistent with the evidence showing the 238 influence of positive regulation on both types of positive emotions (26). To obtain a general 239 indicator of PE, the seven positive emotions rated at each assessment were averaged. The 240 composite score obtained showed high internal consistency at both the between- (α =.96) and 241 within-individual levels (α =.86).

242 Participants were also asked to rate the momentary adoption of six positive strategies on a 0-243 100 scale ($0 = no \ adoption$, $100 = high \ adoption$), which were selected with the aim of 244 exploring three categories of positive regulation (10): mindfulness and stimulus control for the 245 category 'attentional deployment'; broadening and counting blessings for the category 246 'cognitive change'; emotion expression and sharing for the category 'response modulation'. 247 Due to the lack of validated questionnaires to assess positive strategies, ad hoc single items 248 were created (see Table 1), as is common in ecological studies exploring emotion regulation 249 (see, for example, 25,30,32,38). These items were mostly inspired by a previous study (18).

250 Similar to previous EMA studies exploring the reciprocal influences between emotion and

251 emotion regulation (see for example 28,35,43), change scores were calculated for each strategy, 252 indicating whether a strategy was used more or less at a certain time (t1), compared to the 253 previous assessment (t0). These scores were calculated to analyse to what extent PE at t0 254 influenced positive emotion regulation change from t0 to t1. To compute change scores that 255 are not affected by the so-called 'regression toward the mean effect', change scores were 256 computed through linear mixed-effects models with maximum likelihood by taking the 257 residuals of a model in which the strategy at t1 was regressed on itself at t0. In addition, strategy 258 type was also taken into account in the analyses to explore further the relationship between PE 259 and positive regulation depending on the intrinsic nature of the strategies adopted. To do so, 260 strategies were averaged based on their category. This made it possible to obtain three new 261 variables that reflected the intensity of use of each category of strategy. To assess the internal 262 consistency of the new variables, correlations for each pair of strategies were performed at the 263 between-individual level (attentional deployment: r = .882, p < .001; cognitive change: r =.981, p < .001; response modulation: r = .936, p < .001) and within-individual level (attentional 264 265 deployment: r = .556, p < .001; cognitive change: r = .732, p < .001; response modulation: r =266 .630, *p* < .001).

267 *2.3 Procedure*

Participants were recruited via social media and poster advertisements placed in different
buildings at the university. Students willing to participate were invited to visit the laboratory
to receive more details about the study design and sign the informed consent.

The EMA phase lasted 14 days. Participants received three daily semi-random prompts (between 9:30 - 14:00, 14:00 - 18:30, and 18:30 - 23:00) to complete the momentary assessment through the data collection program Qualtrics. To prevent backfilling, participants were given sixty minutes to access the survey; after that period of time, the assessment was marked as 275 missing. During the entire study, participants could contact a researcher on the team to resolve276 technical issues.

At the end of the study, participants were invited to return to the laboratory for a debriefing
session. Participants who replied to at least 65% of the total EMA assessments received a
monetary remuneration of 10 euros.

280 2.4 Statistical analyses

The datasets of the analyses and the R code are contained in an open-access file available on the OSF website at <u>https://doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/TEUBR</u>. The data analytic strategy followed three steps that are similar to the steps found in previous ecological momentary assessment studies on reciprocal influences between emotions and actions (29,36,53).

In an initial data preparation step, all the variables of interest were person-mean-centred to 285 286 enable the examination of within-individual processes. Then, to analyse the relationships 287 between variables assessed at two consecutive time points (t0 and t1), data were lagged. This meant deleting assessments that were not directly preceded or followed by another completed 288 assessment (n=558). Consequently, each row of the data frame analysed contained participants' 289 290 responses to two consecutive assessments (see the 'Data.csv' file at 291 https://doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/TEUBR).

The first aim of the study was to examine the effect of the PE felt at a given time on the subsequent implementation of positive strategies. To this end, a series of linear mixed-effects models containing one random intercept per participant were estimated using maximum likelihood with the R lmerTest package (54). Linear mixed-effects models were computed to take into account the hierarchical nature of the data. In this step, six models were computed (i.e., one per strategy). The dependent variable entered in each model was the change in the

298 strategy of interest from t0 to t1, whereas the main independent variable was PE at t0. Two 299 other independent variables were also included to neutralize their possible confounding effects: 300 the use of each strategy at t0 and PE at t1. PE at t1 was included as a control variable. Not 301 controlling for PE at t1 could produce a biased estimation of the effect of PE at t0 on the 302 subsequent implementation of emotion regulation strategies. As PE at t1 was related to PE at 303 t0 and strategy changes from t0 to t1, it could represent a confounding variable when attempting 304 to determine the specific relationship between PE at t0 and strategy changes from t0 to t1. 305 Therefore, to ensure that the effect of PE at t0 on strategy changes from t0 to t1 was not actually 306 explained by PE at t1's relationships with both variables, we controlled for PE at t1

The second aim of the study was to examine the effect of positive emotion regulation strategies 307 on subsequent PE level. To this end, one linear mixed-effects model was computed that 308 309 contained PE at t1 as the dependent variable and change in the use of each strategy from t0 to 310 t1 as independent variables. PE at t0 was also included as a control variable to neutralize the 311 so-called regression towards the mean effect. Taken together, the analyses conducted to explore 312 the first and second objectives of this study made it possible to analyse similar phenomena to 313 those analysed in previous studies on reciprocal influences between emotions and actions in 314 everyday life without resorting to their semi-retrospective assessment of strategy use (i.e., the 315 effect of emotions at one time on the actions occurring between this time and a following time, 316 and the effect of the actions performed within this time interval on concurrent emotional changes (e.g., 28,35,43)). 317

The third aim of the study was to explore whether the relationships between PE and positive emotion regulation significantly changed depending on strategy category. To this end, the dataset on which our analyses were based was restructured to obtain a data frame where each row contained a participant's responses to two consecutive assessments for one strategy 322 category. In this restructured data frame, each pair of consecutive assessments completed 323 consisted of three rows (one for the intensity of the use of attentional deployment strategies, one for the intensity of the use of cognitive change strategies and one for the intensity of the 324 325 of response modulation strategies; 'Data_ST.csv' file use see the at https://doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/TEUBR). Then, the two types of linear mixed-effects models 326 327 mentioned for the first and second aims of the study were computed again, but with slight 328 modifications. A first model was designed to examine whether the effect of PE at t0 on the 329 change in strategy use depended on the category of the strategy considered. The dependent 330 variable was change in strategy use from t0 to t1, whereas the independent variables were PE 331 at t0 and the interaction with the strategy category (i.e., a categorical variable with three modalities: attentional deployment, cognitive change, response modulation), with PE at t1 as a 332 control variable. A second model was designed to examine the effects of change in strategy use 333 334 on subsequent PE depending on the category of strategy considered. This model included PE 335 at t1 as the dependent variable, whereas the independent variables were change in strategy 336 intensity, its interaction with the strategy category, and PE at t0.

337 **3. RESULTS**

338 3.1 The influence of experienced positive emotions on positive emotion regulation

339 Descriptive statistics are shown in Table 1. They provide an initial general overview of the340 association between PE and positive emotion regulation.

342 Table 1: Correlations between emotion regulation and PE at the within-individual level. Means and standard deviations were computed on raw variables.
 343 Categories were obtained by averaging strategies in the following way: mindfulness and stimulus control for 'attentional deployment', broadening and counting blessings for 'cognitive change', and emotion expression and sharing for 'response modulation'. (PE: positive emotions)

	M (SD)	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1. PE	2.77 (0.97)	1.00								
STRATEGIES										
2. Mindfulness: I'm trying to be focused on the present and concentrate on how good I feel	56.34 (27.06)	.538***	1.00							
3. Stimulus control: I'm trying to avoid all negative thoughts and stressors in order to focus and make the most of my positive emotions	52.5 (28.89)	.400***	.556***	1.00						
4. Broadening: I'm thinking about all the good things I have and that are happening in my life as well	51.65 (28.96)	.489***	.601***	.565***	1.00					
5. Counting blessings: I'm thinking about how lucky I am to live in this moment and feel so good	51.35 (29.02)	.504***	.625***	.558***	.732***	1.00				
6. Emotion expression: <i>I'm trying to express and emphasize</i> <i>my emotions on the outside by showing them</i>		.422***	.462***	.368***	.474***	.463***	1.00			
7. Sharing: I'm sharing my positive emotions with other people, for example, with my friends, partner, and/or family	44.59 (31.98)	.406***	.422***	.377***	.468***	.452***	.630***	1.00		
CATEGORIES										
8. Attentional deployment	54.43 (25.93)	.520***	.854***	.891***	.646***	.650***	.454***	.444***	1.00	
9. Cognitive change	51.51 (28.03)	.527***	.653***	.591***	.923***	.931***	.497***	.489***	.686***	1.00
10. Response modulation	45.81 (29.57)	.454***	.487***	.409***	.514***	.500***	.896***	.899***	.494***	.539***

The first aim of the study was to explore the effects of PE on positive emotion regulation. In a series of linear mixed-effects models (**Table 2**), we therefore examined how PE at t0 influenced changes in each of the strategies at t1, controlling for the use of each strategy at t0 and for PE at t1.

351 Results showed that the effects were all negative and significant. In other words, the less 352 individuals felt positive emotions at t0, the more they tended to enhance the use of mindfulness 353 (b = -0.16, SE = 0.023, p < 0.001), stimulus control (b = -0.105, SE = 0.025, p < 0.001), 354 broadening (b = -0.075, SE = 0.024, p < 0.01), counting blessings (b = -0.124, SE = 0.023, p < 0.01), counting blessings (b = -0.124, SE = 0.023, p < 0.01), counting blessings (b = -0.124, SE = 0.023, p < 0.01), counting blessings (b = -0.124, SE = 0.023, p < 0.01), counting blessings (b = -0.124, SE = 0.023, p < 0.01), counting blessings (b = -0.124, SE = 0.023, p < 0.01), counting blessings (b = -0.124, SE = 0.023, p < 0.01), counting blessings (b = -0.124, SE = 0.023, p < 0.01), counting blessings (b = -0.124, SE = 0.023, p < 0.01), counting blessings (b = -0.124, SE = 0.023, p < 0.01), counting blessings (b = -0.124, SE = 0.023, p < 0.01). 355 0.001), emotion expression (b = -0.0647, SE = 0.025, p < 0.01), and sharing (b = -0.069, SE = -0.069356 0.025, p < 0.01) from this time to the next. Therefore, our results seem to confirm the hypothesis 357 postulated by the hedonic flexibility principle, suggesting that the experience of low PE is 358 likely to motivate individuals to subsequently increase the use of positive strategies in order to 359 upregulate positive emotional states.

Table 2: Results of the six linear mixed-effects models predicting change in strategy use from PE at t0. (PE: positive emotions)

	Change in Mindfulness		Change in Chang Mindfulness Stimulus (Change in Broadening		Change in Counting blessings		Change in Emotion expression		Change in Sharing	
	b	SE	b	SE	b	ŜE	b	SE	b	SE	b	SE
FIXED EFFECTS												
PE (t0)	16***	.023	11***	.025	075**	.023	124***	.023	065**	.025	069**	.025
Mindfulness (t0)	082**	.025	.038	.027	.054*	.026	.020	.026	.051	.027	.029	.028
Stimulus control (t0)	.012	.023	067**	.025	.043	.024	.024	.023	.004	.025	.029	.025
Broadening (t0)	.069*	.028	.024	.03	14***	.028	.096***	.028	.027	.031	.0097	.031
Counting blessings (t0)	.034	.028	.032	.031	.04	.029	124***	.029	019	.03	014	.031
Emotion expression (t0)	.038	.023	007	.025	.051*	.024	.025	.024	072**	.025	-018	.025
Sharing (t0)	.014	.023	.023	.025	016	.024	.027	.024	.054*	.025	034	.025
PE (t1)	.55***	.019	.40***	.02	.48***	.019	.502***	.019	.044***	.02	.041***	.025
				*µ	o<.05, ** p<	.01, ***p	<.001					

372 3.2 The influence of positive emotion regulation on experienced positive emotions

The second aim of the study was to explore the emotional outcomes of positive emotion regulation. We hypothesized that strategy change at t0 would predict PE at t1 and, more specifically, that an increase in the use of positive strategies would be associated with a greater experience of PE in the subsequent assessment.

- 377 To test this hypothesis, a linear mixed-effects model was performed that included PE at t1 as 378 the dependent variable (Table 3). Confirming our hypothesis, all the strategies were found to predict PE positively at t1, and, thus, an increase in the use of positive strategies at one time 379 380 enhanced the experience of PE in the subsequent assessment (mindfulness: b = 0.284, SE =381 0.024, p < 0.001; stimulus control: b = 0.046, SE = 0.021, p < 0.05; broadening: b = 0.093, SE 382 = 0.026, p < 0.001; counting blessings: b = 0.125, SE = 0.025, p < 0.001; emotion expression: 383 b = 0.192, SE = 0.022, p < 0.001; sharing: b = 0.093, SE = 0.022, p < 0.001), controlling for PE at t0 (b = 0.21, SE = 0.016, p < .001). 384
- 385

Table 3: Results of the linear mixed-effect model predicting PE at t1 from change in the use of each strategy at t0. (PE=positive emotions)

		<i>PE</i> (<i>t1</i>)		
	b	SE	df	t
FIXED EFFECTS				
Change in mindfulness	0.284***	0.024	2168	12.66
Change in stimulus control	0.046*	0.021	2168	2.12
Change in broadening	0.093***	0.026	2168	3.73
Change in counting blessings	0.125***	0.025	2168	4.87
Change in emotion expression	0.192***	0.022	2168	5.47
Change in sharing	0.093***	0.022	2168	4.27
PE (t0)	0.21***	0.016	2168	12.85
*p<.05, ** p<.01, ***p<.001				

389 390

391 *3.3 The moderating role of strategy category*

392 Finally, we explored whether the association between PE and positive emotion regulation

393 significantly changed depending on the strategy category. A first linear mixed-effects model

investigated whether the strategy category affected the impact of PE at t0 on strategy use at t1

- **395** (**Table 4**). However, no significant interactions were observed.
- 396

400

Table 4: Results of the linear mixed-effect model predicting the effect of positive emotions at t0 on
 change in strategy intensity at t1, moderated by strategy category. Attentional deployment represents
 the reference group. (PE: positive emotions)

Change in use intensity (t1)				
SE	df	t		
.019	6546	-9.31		
.024	6546	-4.29		
.025	6546	-11.53		
.011	6546	91		
.011	6546	43.37		
.025	6546	1.13		
.025	6546	1.83		
_	.025	.025 6546 .025 6546		

401 402

403 We then examined whether the strategy category influenced the effect of change in strategy

404 use on subsequent levels of PE (**Table 5**).

405	Table 5: Results of the linear mixed-effect model predicting the effect of change in strategy use
406	intensity at t0 on PE at t1, moderated by strategy category. Attentional deployment represents the
407	reference group. (PE: positive emotions)
408	

		PE(t1)		
	b	SE	df	t
FIXED EFFECTS				
Change in use intensity (t1)	.564***	.021	6486.91	26.89
Cognitive change (vs. attentional deployment)	.058*	.025	6439.02	2.36
Response modulation (vs. attentional deployment)	.132***	.024	6440.36	5.33
PE (t0)	.252***	.01	6538.48	4.06
Change in use intensity (t1) * Cognitive change (vs. attentional deployment)	054	.029	6524.83	-1.89
Change in use intensity (t1) * Response modulation (vs. attentional deployment)	161***	.027	5933.7	-5.92
*p<.05, ** p<.01, ***p<.001				

409 410

411 Interestingly, results revealed that the strategy category moderated the association between the

412 change in strategy use and PE. More specifically, there was a significant interaction between

change in strategy use and response modulation (b = -0.161, SE = .027, p < .001) and a closeto-significant trend in the interaction between change in strategy intensity and cognitive change (b = -0.054, SE = .027, p = .059). As **Figure 1** shows, the use of response modulation strategies to enhance PE was significantly less effective than the adoption of attentional deployment strategies.

418

419 Figure 1: Graphical representation of the effect of change in strategy use on subsequent positive
 420 emotions, moderated by strategy category (PE=positive emotions)

421

422 **4. DISCUSSION**

To date, although the use of strategies to regulate negative emotions has been extensively explored, the regulation of positive emotional states in everyday life has received little attention. The aim of the current study was to deepen our knowledge about PE and its underlying regulatory mechanisms. Overall, we showed that PE determines positive emotion regulation, which in turn affects subsequent levels of PE, thus confirming the existence of a reciprocal influence between momentary PE and positive emotion regulation.

429 The first aim of the study was to explore the effects of PE on positive emotion regulation. The 430 results showed that PE at t0 significantly predicted positive emotion regulation at t1 and, more 431 specifically, that the less individuals felt PE at one time (t0), the more they tended to increase 432 the use of positive strategies from this point to the next (from t0 to t1). Experiencing low levels 433 of PE is, therefore, likely to shift one's efforts towards implementing strategies to reach a more positive emotional state. These findings are consistent with the hedonic flexibility principle 434 435 (35,36), suggesting that individuals are likely to be motivated to upregulate PE as a consequence of low momentary affect. This regulatory mechanism might be seen as a highly 436 437 adaptive process that can compensate for the lack of PE through an increased use of positive

438 strategies, regardless of their nature (i.e., attentional, cognitive or behavioural). Moreover, the 439 findings of the present study might also be understood in light of the affective baseline theory 440 (55), which postulates the existence of a baseline functioning of an individual's affective 441 system. According to this theory, although fluctuations around the home-base are the natural 442 consequence of internal and external life events, affect is constantly brought back to the baseline by an attractive component consisting of regulatory mechanisms. Thus, the experience 443 444 of low PE might encourage individuals to implement strategies that induce a return to the baseline, which has been shown to be defined by a slightly positive valence (56). 445

446 In spite of being coherent with the hedonic theories, our results diverge from previous studies that showed increased implementation of positive strategies as a consequence of high levels of 447 positive emotions (18,29,53). A possible explanation for these divergent results might be found 448 449 in the EMA design. All the previous studies adopted a momentary evaluation of the emotional 450 state but a retrospective assessment of emotion regulation, asking participants to rate the 451 strategies used since the last prompt (i.e., in the previous few hours). Thus, when analysing the 452 effect of emotions at one point on subsequent strategy use, emotion regulation strategies were 453 closer in time to the emotions than in our study, which assessed emotion regulation at exactly 454 the same time as PE. In fact, there is evidence showing that soon after an emotion, mood-455 congruent processes take place, whereas mood-incongruent processes tend to follow (57). 456 Furthermore, the two theories suggested by the previous literature could be reconciled. The broaden-and-build theory states that the experience of PE encourages the building of adaptive 457 458 resources and boosts the creation of coping skills, which in turn foster well-being. Accordingly, 459 this 'resource-building process' might involve mechanisms that help to enhance affect when 460 experiencing low PE and maintain a positive mood (i.e., consistent with hedonic theories), 461 which in turn would promote psychological well-being and resilience in the long term.

The second aim of the study was to explore the unique emotional outcomes of positive emotion regulation. Confirming our hypothesis, an increase in the use of all six strategies resulted in enhanced PE in the subsequent assessment. In previous studies, trait savoring was found to be associated with greater happiness and well-being (20), whereas state savoring was shown to predict increased positive emotions (21). Therefore, these results are consistent with the previous literature and support the adaptive role of positive emotion regulation in emotional well-being.

469 Finally, our third aim was to investigate whether the reciprocal influence between PE and 470 positive emotion regulation changed significantly depending on the intrinsic nature of the 471 strategies. The results showed that the strategy category significantly moderated the association 472 between the change in strategy use and subsequent PE. More specifically, the use of response 473 modulation strategies (e.g., sharing and emotional expression) was significantly less effective 474 than the adoption of attentional deployment strategies and produced a less important increase 475 in subsequent PE. Sharing positive experiences has been shown to improve one's perception 476 in the eyes of others, leading to increased self-esteem (58) and life satisfaction (20). This 477 strategy might, therefore, indirectly increase PE by mainly targeting other dimensions of an 478 individual's well-being. Furthermore, the benefits of sharing have been shown to depend on how the recipient responds to the news (actively/constructively or passively/destructively) 479 480 (58), which could further justify the mitigated effects of this strategy on momentary PE found 481 in our study. In contrast, emotional expression refers to the verbal or nonverbal expression of 482 an ongoing emotion (59), which makes it possible to rapidly and adaptively react to 483 environmental threats and opportunities (60). Emotional expression may foster PE, especially 484 in the short term (i.e., soon after the emotion is produced), thus showing reduced effects in the 485 long term. As suggested in a previous study (20), positive emotion regulation might not only 486 increase only PE. Instead, each strategy may target different dimensions of the person's

487 emotional well-being, thus involving different emotional outcomes. However, further studies488 are needed to disentangle the unique emotional consequences of positive emotion regulation.

Although this study sheds new light on the mechanisms underlying the experience of PE, weacknowledge several limitations that could be addressed by future research.

491 First, our study involved a sample of 85 healthy undergraduate individuals. Future studies are
492 needed to explore the reciprocal influence between PE and positive emotion regulation in a
493 more diverse sample.

Second, we excluded participants who presented moderate-to-severe depressive symptoms. It is possible that the patterns observed in the present study cannot be extended to samples of patients suffering from an emotional disorder (61), who are typically prone to dampening rather than savoring PE (48,49). Reasonably, an abnormal functioning of this mechanism might be observed in this population, which could be defined by a lack of motivation or capacity to implement positive strategies despite experiencing low PE, or by reduced efficacy in using positive strategies to increase PE levels. Future studies should confirm this hypothesis.

501 Third, our study specifically focused on PE, without studying the role of negative affect on 502 positive emotion regulation. A growing body of evidence shows that positive and negative 503 affect do not lie on opposite ends of a bipolar scale; instead, they can be experienced 504 simultaneously (62,63). In our study, we found that the experience of low PE was associated 505 with a greater use of positive strategies, which might suggest that upregulating PE also serves 506 as a mechanism to repair mood (64). Nevertheless, the absence of a variable assessing 507 momentary negative emotions keeps us from confirming this hypothesis, which should be 508 addressed in future studies.

509 Fourth, the daily EMA included the assessment of only six positive strategies. On the one hand,

there is evidence that people's repertoire for dealing with PE includes a wider range of strategies that were not explored in this study (65). On the other hand, the use of maladaptive strategies in response to positive states (e.g., dampening) was not taken into consideration, thus limiting the findings of the present study to the mechanisms underlying the upregulation of PE.

514 Finally, the use of ad hoc single items to assess a multifaceted construct such as emotion regulation might not fully capture the complexity of this process. In addition to the fact that the 515 516 use of ad hoc items is common in EMA studies (see for example, 25,30,32,38), the validated 517 questionnaires available to assess positive emotion regulation mainly measure an individual's 518 tendency to savour positive emotions (see for example (11,66,67)), rather than measuring to 519 what extent specific strategies are adopted in response to a specific stimulus (e.g., state emotion 520 regulation). The lack of validated measures for the assessment of momentary positive 521 regulation led us to create our own single items. Moreover, there is evidence that long EMA 522 questionnaires usually lead to higher perceived burden (68), which further supports the decision 523 to include only a few items to assess emotion regulation. Indeed, the inclusion of a broader set 524 of items could have resulted in decreased compliance and increased participant burden, thus 525 affecting the quality of the data collected. As Trull and Ebner-Premier (69) recently stated, 526 EMA is still a field with several methodological aspects that remain unclear. Accordingly, there 527 is the need to expand and improve this research field further by, for instance, creating validated 528 measures to be used in EMA designs or developing rigorous guidelines that guides researchers in the design of EMA studies. 529

Despite these limitations, our research adds to the previous literature by extending our knowledge about PE and the underlying regulatory mechanisms. More specifically, we showed that low levels of PE determine an increase in the use of strategies to upregulate PE, which in turn results in a better mood.

- 534 Although further studies are needed to confirm these findings, our study sheds new light on the
- 535 importance of PE for emotional well-being, and it opens up new avenues to understand the
- dysfunctional regulation of positive emotional states in emotional disorders. 536

537 FUNDING

- 538 This work was supported by the Marie Curie EF-ST AffecTech Project, approved at call H2020
- MSCA ITN 2016, under grant number 722022. 539

540 DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

541 The data supporting the findings of this study are openly available in OSF at 542 https://doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/TEUBR.

543 REFERENCES

- Diener E. Subjective well-being: The science of happiness and a proposal for a 544 1. 545 national index. Am Psychol. 2000;55(1):34.
- 546 2. Diener E, Seligman MEP. Very happy people. Psychol Sci. 2002;13(1):81–4.
- 547 3. Tugade MM, Fredrickson BL. Regulation of positive emotions: Emotion regulation strategies that promote resilience. J Happiness Stud. 2007;8(3):311-33. 548
- 549 4. Gruber J, Kogan A, Quoidbach J, Mauss IB. Happiness is best kept stable: Positive
- 550 emotion variability is associated with poorer psychological health. Emotion.
- 551 2013;13(1):1.
- Lyubomirsky S, King L, Diener E. The benefits of frequent positive affect: Does 552 5. 553 happiness lead to success? Psychol Bull. 2005;131(6):803.
- 554 Fredrickson BL. What good are positive emotions? Rev Gen Psychol. 1998;2(3):300-6. 19.
- 555
- 7. Fredrickson BL, Joiner T. Positive emotions trigger upward spirals toward emotional 556

- 557 well-being. Psychol Sci. 2002;13(2):172–5.
- Gross JJ, Richards JM, John OP. Emotion regulation in everyday life. Regulation.
 2006;129:1–34.
- 560 9. Gross JJ. The emerging field of emotion regulation: An integrative review. Rev Gen
 561 Psychol. 1998;2(3):271–99.
- 562 10. Quoidbach J, Mikolajczak M, Gross JJ. Positive interventions: An emotion regulation
 563 perspective. Psychol Bull. 2015;141(3):655.
- 564 11. Bryant FB. Savoring Beliefs Inventory (SBI): A scale for measuring beliefs about
 565 savouring. J Ment Heal. 2003;12(2):175–96.
- 566 12. Livingstone KM, Srivastava S. Up-regulating positive emotions in everyday life:
- 567 Strategies, individual differences, and associations with positive emotion and well-

being. J Res Pers. 2012;46(5):504–16.

- Folkman S, Moskowitz JT. Positive affect and the other side of coping. Am Psychol.
 2000;55(6):647.
- 57114.Tugade MM, Fredrickson BL. Resilient Individuals Use Positive Emotions to Bounce
- 572 Back From Negative Emotional Experiences. J Pers Soc Psychol. 2004;86(2):320.
- 573 15. Gross JJ. Emotion Regulation: Current Status and Future Prospects. Psychol Inq.
 574 2015;26(1):1–26.
- 575 16. Colombo D, Fernández-Álvarez J, Suso-Ribera C, Cipresso P, García-Palacios A, Riva
- 576 G, et al. Biased affective forecasting: A potential mechanism that enhances resilience577 and well-being. Front Psychol. 2020;11.
- 578 17. Colombo D, Suso-Ribera C, Fernández Álvarez J, Cipresso P, Garcia-Palacios A, Riva
- 579 G, et al. Affect recall bias: Being resilient by distorting reality. Cognit Ther Res.
 580 2020;1–13.
- 18. Heiy JE, Cheavens JS. Back to basics: A naturalistic assessment of the experience and

582 regulation of emotion. Emotion [Internet]. 2014;14(5):878–91. Available from: http://doi.apa.org/getdoi.cfm?doi=10.1037/a0037231 583 584 19. Bryant FB, Veroff J. Savoring: A new model of positive experience. Savoring: A New 585 Model of Positive Experience. 2017. 586 20. Quoidbach J, Berry E V., Hansenne M, Mikolajczak M. Positive emotion regulation 587 and well-being: Comparing the impact of eight savoring and dampening strategies. 588 Pers Individ Dif. 2010;49(5):368-73. 589 21. Jose PE, Lim BT, Bryant FB. Does savoring increase happiness? A daily diary study. J 590 Posit Psychol. 2012;7(3):176-87. 591 22. Gentzler AL, Morey JN, Palmer CA, Yi CY. Young Adolescents' Responses to 592 Positive Events: Associations With Positive Affect and Adjustment. J Early Adolesc. 593 2013; 594 23. Hurley DB, Kwon P. Savoring Helps Most When You Have Little: Interaction 595 Between Savoring the Moment and Uplifts on Positive Affect and Satisfaction with 596 Life. J Happiness Stud. 2013; 597 24. Langston CA. Capitalizing On and Coping With Daily-Life Events: Expressive 598 Responses to Positive Events. J Pers Soc Psychol. 1994; Brown KW, Ryan RM. The Benefits of Being Present: Mindfulness and Its Role in 599 25. 600 Psychological Well-Being. J Pers Soc Psychol. 2003;84(4):822. 601 26. Nezlek JB, Kuppens P. Regulating positive and negative emotions in daily life. J Pers. 602 2008;76(3):561-80. 603 27. Colombo D, Fernandez-Alvarez J, Suso-Ribera C, Cipresso P, Valev H, Leufkens T, et 604 al. The need for change: Understanding emotion regulation antecedents and 605 consequences using ecological momentary assessment. Emotion. 2020;20(1):30-6. 606 28. Pettersson E, Boker SM, Watson D, Clark LA, Tellegen A. Modeling daily variation in

the affective circumplex: A dynamical systems approach. J Res Pers. 2013;47(1):57–
608 69.

609	29.	Pavani JB, Le Vigouroux S, Kop JL, Congard A, Dauvier B. Affect and Affect
610		Regulation Strategies Reciprocally Influence Each Other in Daily Life: The Case of
611		Positive Reappraisal, Problem-Focused Coping, Appreciation and Rumination. J
612		Happiness Stud. 2016;17(5):2077–95.
613	30.	Fredrickson BL. The role of positive emotions in positive psychology. The broaden-
614		and-build theory of positive emotions. Am Psychol [Internet]. 2001;56(3):218-26.
615		Available from:
616		http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=3122271&tool=pmcentrez
617		&rendertype=abstract
618	31.	Davis MA. Understanding the relationship between mood and creativity: A meta-
619		analysis. Organ Behav Hum Decis Process. 2009;108(1):25-38.
620	32.	Lin WL, Tsai PH, Lin HY, Chen HC. How does emotion influence different creative
621		performances? The mediating role of cognitive flexibility. Cogn Emot.
622		2014;28(5):834–44.
623	33.	Burns AB, Brown JS, Sachs-Ericsson N, Ashby Plant E, Thomas Curtis J, Fredrickson
624		BL, et al. Upward spirals of positive emotion and coping: Replication, extension, and
625		initial exploration of neurochemical substrates. Pers Individ Dif. 2008;44(2):360-70.
626	34.	Brockman R, Ciarrochi J, Parker P, Kashdan T. Emotion regulation strategies in daily
627		life: mindfulness, cognitive reappraisal and emotion suppression. Cogn Behav Ther.
628		2017;46(2):91–113.
629	35.	Simon HA. Motivational and emotional controls of cognition. Psychol Rev.
630		1967;74(1):29.

631 36. Quoidbach J, Sugitani Y, Gross JJ, Taquet M, Akutsu S. From affect to action: How

632 pleasure shapes everyday decisions in Japan and the U.S. Motiv Emot.

633 2019;43(6):948–55.

- 634 37. Taquet M, Quoidbach J, de Montjoye Y-A, Desseilles M, Gross JJ. Hedonism and the
- choice of everyday activities. Proc Natl Acad Sci [Internet]. 2016;113(35):9769–73.
- Available from: http://www.pnas.org/lookup/doi/10.1073/pnas.1519998113
- 637 38. Quoidbach J, Taquet M, Desseilles M, de Montjoye YA, Gross JJ. Happiness and
 638 Social Behavior. Psychol Sci. 2019;30(8):1111–22.
- 639 39. Erisman SM, Roemer L. A Preliminary Investigation of the Effects of Experimentally
- 640 Induced Mindfulness on Emotional Responding to Film Clips. Emotion. 2010;
- 641 40. Giuliani NR, McRae K, Gross JJ. The Up- and Down-Regulation of Amusement:
- 642 Experiential, Behavioral, and Autonomic Consequences. Emotion. 2008;
- 41. Wang X, Feng Z, Zhou D, Lei X, Liao T, Zhang L, et al. Dissociable self effects for
- 644 emotion regulation: A study of chinese major depressive outpatients. Biomed Res Int.645 2014;
- Plassmann H, O'Doherty J, Shiv B, Rangel A. Marketing actions can modulate neural
 representations of experienced pleasantness. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2008;
- 648 43. Frisch MB. Quality of life therapy: Applying a Life Satisfaction Approach to Positive
 649 Psychology and Cognitive Therapy. Hoboken: Wiley. 2006. 353 p.
- 44. Wood AM, Froh JJ, Geraghty AWA. Gratitude and well-being: A review and
- theoretical integration. Clinical Psychology Review. 2010.
- 45. Mori K, Mori H. Another test of the passive facial feedback hypothesis: When your
 face smiles, you feel happy. Percept Mot Skills. 2009;
- 46. Neuhoff CC, Schaefer C. Effects of laughing, smiling, and howling on mood. Psychol
 Rep. 2002;
- 656 47. Gable SL, Impett EA, Reis HT, Asher ER. What do you do when things go right? The

- 657 intrapersonal and interpersonal benefits of sharing positive events. J Pers Soc Psychol. 658 2004:
- Wood J V., Heimpel SA, Michela JL. Savoring Versus Dampening: Self-Esteem 659 48.
- 660 Differences in Regulating Positive Affect. J Pers Soc Psychol. 2003;85(3):566.
- 661 49. Li YI, Starr LR, Hershenberg R. Responses to Positive Affect in Daily Life: Positive
- 662 Rumination and Dampening Moderate the Association Between Daily Events and
- 663 Depressive Symptoms. J Psychopathol Behav Assess. 2017;39(3):412-25.
- 664 50. Kroenke K, Spitzer RL, Williams JBW. The PHQ-9: Validity of a brief depression 665 severity measure. J Gen Intern Med. 2001;16(9):606-13.
- 666 51. Brans K, Koval P, Verduyn P, Lim YL, Kuppens P. The regulation of negative and positive affect in daily life. Emotion. 2013;13(5):926–39. 667
- 668 52. Russell JA. A circumplex model of affect. J Pers Soc Psychol. 1980;
- 669 53. Brans K, Koval P, Verduyn P, Lim YL, Kuppens P. The regulation of negative and
- 670 positive affect in daily life. Emotion [Internet]. 2013;13(5):926–39. Available from:
- 671 http://doi.apa.org/getdoi.cfm?doi=10.1037/a0032400
- 672 54. Kuznetsova A, Brockhoff PB, Christensen RHB. ImerTest Package: Tests in Linear Mixed Effects Models. J Stat Softw. 2017;82(13):1-26.
- 673
- Kuppens P, Oravecz Z, Tuerlinckx F. Feelings Change: Accounting for Individual 674 55.
- 675 Differences in the Temporal Dynamics of Affect. J Pers Soc Psychol.
- 676 2010;99(6):1042.
- 677 56. Cacioppo JT, Berntson GG. The affect system: Architecture and operating
- characteristics. Curr Dir Psychol Sci. 1999;8(5):133-7. 678
- 679 57. Forgas JP, Ciarrochi J V. On managing moods: Evidence for the role of homeostatic
- 680 cognitive strategies in affect regulation. Personal Soc Psychol Bull. 2002;28(3):336-
- 681 45.

682	58.	Gable SL, Impett EA, Reis HT, Asher ER. What do you do when things go right? The
683		intrapersonal and interpersonal benefits of sharing positive events. J Pers Soc Psychol.
684		2004;87(2):228.

- 685 59. Gross JJ. The emerging field of emotion regulation: An integrative review. Rev Gen
 686 Psychol [Internet]. 1998;2(3):271–99. Available from:
- 687 http://doi.apa.org/getdoi.cfm?doi=10.1037/1089-2680.2.3.271
- 688 60. Ekman P. An Argument for Basic Emotions. Cogn Emot. 1992;6(3–4):169–200.
- 689 61. Carl JR, Soskin DP, Kerns C, Barlow DH. Positive emotion regulation in emotional
- 690 disorders: A theoretical review. Clin Psychol Rev. 2013;33(3):343–60.
- 691 62. Berrios R, Totterdell P, Kellett S. Investigating goal conflict as a source of mixed
 692 emotions. Cogn Emot. 2015;
- 693 63. Larsen JT, McGraw AP. Further evidence for mixed emotions. J Pers Soc Psychol.
 694 2011;
- 695 64. Folkman S, Moskowitz JT. Coping: Pitfalls and Promise. Annu Rev Psychol [Internet].
 696 2004;55(1):745–74. Available from:
- 697 http://www.annualreviews.org/doi/10.1146/annurev.psych.55.090902.141456
- 698 65. Cheavens JS. Back to basics: a naturalistic assessment of the experience and regulation699 of emotion. Emotion. 2014;
- 700 66. Nelis D, Quoidbach J, Hansenne M, Mikolajczak M. Measuring individual differences
- in emotion regulation: The Emotion Regulation Profile-Revised (ERP-R). Psychol
 Belg. 2011;
- Feldman GC, Joormann J, Johnson SL. Responses to positive affect: A self-report
 measure of rumination and dampening. Cognit Ther Res. 2008;32(4):507.
- 705 68. Eisele G, Vachon H, Lafit G, Kuppens P, Houben M, Myin-Germeys I, et al. The
- 706 Effects of Sampling Frequency and Questionnaire Length on Perceived Burden,

- 707 Compliance, and Careless Responding in Experience Sampling Data in a Student
- 708 Population. Assessment. 2020;
- 709 69. Trull TJ, Ebner-Priemer UW. Ambulatory assessment in psychopathology research: A
- 710 review of recommended reporting guidelines and current practices. J Abnorm Psychol.
- 711 2020;129(1):56.
- 712
- 714