



HAL
open science

Shape Derivative for Some Eigenvalue Functionals in Elasticity Theory

Fabien Caubet, Marc Dambrine, Rajesh Mahadevan

► **To cite this version:**

Fabien Caubet, Marc Dambrine, Rajesh Mahadevan. Shape Derivative for Some Eigenvalue Functionals in Elasticity Theory. *SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization*, 2021, 59 (2), pp.1218-1245. 10.1137/20M1343105 . hal-03591473

HAL Id: hal-03591473

<https://hal.science/hal-03591473v1>

Submitted on 28 Feb 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

SHAPE DERIVATIVE FOR SOME EIGENVALUE FUNCTIONALS IN ELASTICITY THEORY*

FABIEN CAUBET[†], MARC DAMBRINE[‡], AND RAJESH MAHADEVAN[§]

Abstract. This work is the second part of a previous paper which was devoted to scalar problems. Here we study the shape derivative of eigenvalue problems of elasticity theory for various kinds of boundary conditions, that is Dirichlet, Neumann, Robin, and Wentzell boundary conditions. We also study the case of composite materials, having in mind applications in the sensitivity analysis of mechanical devices manufactured by additive printing.

The main idea, which rests on the computation of the derivative of a minimum with respect to a parameter, was successfully applied in the scalar case in the first part of this paper and is here extended to more interesting situations in the vectorial case (linear elasticity), with applications in additive manufacturing. These computations for eigenvalues in the elasticity problem for generalized boundary conditions and for composite elastic structures constitute the main novelty of this paper. The results obtained here also show the efficiency of this method for such calculations whereas the methods used previously even for classical clamped or transmission boundary conditions are more lengthy or, are based on various simplifying assumptions, such as the simplicity of the eigenvalue or the existence of a shape derivative.

Key words. eigenvalues of elasticity operators, shape derivatives, shape sensitivity analysis, generalized boundary conditions

AMS subject classifications. 49Q10, 35P15, 49R05

1. Introduction.

1.1. Motivations and generalities on shape derivatives. Many problems ranging from engineering to physics deal with questions of optimal shapes or designs. An important class of these problems involves eigenvalues of elliptic operators since they are important in understanding the vibrating modes of a mechanical structure. A famous example is the so-called *Rayleigh-Faber-Krahn inequality* for the first vibrating mode of a clamped membrane. In recent years, additive manufacturing, or the so-called *3D printing*, has been used in the manufacturing of machine parts with complex geometries or even having a heterogeneous structure. The structural properties of these parts depend on two important features: the distribution of the materials and the effect of thin coatings on the boundary of the device. Of course, engineers would like to optimize the performance of such a printed device by means of an optimal layout of the materials. One of the criteria to consider in the performance of the device are its vibrational properties. In this work, we study the shape sensitivity of eigenvalue problems in linear elasticity for a wide variety of boundary conditions and for both homogeneous and heterogeneous materials with the above applications in mind.

Let \mathcal{O}_{ad} be a family of *admissible open sets* in \mathbb{R}^d , $d = 1, 2, 3$, which is stable with respect to a family of diffeomorphisms $(\mathbf{I} + t\mathbf{V})$, that is, for a given $\delta > 0$, we

*

Funding: F. Caubet and M. Dambrine have been supported by the project RODAM funded by E2S-UPPA and by the ANR project SHAPO (ANR-18-CE40-0013). R. Mahadevan was partially supported by CNRS during his stay at LMAP, UMR 5152 at Universit de Pau et des Pays de l'Adour.

[†]Université de Pau et des Pays de l'Adour, E2S UPPA, CNRS, LMAP, UMR 5142, 64000 Pau, France (fabien.caubet@univ-pau.fr, <http://fcaubet001.perso.univ-pau.fr>).

[‡]Université de Pau et des Pays de l'Adour, E2S UPPA, CNRS, LMAP, UMR 5142, 64000 Pau, France (marc.dambrine@univ-pau.fr, <http://mdambrin.perso.univ-pau.fr>).

[§]Departamento de Matemática, Universidad de Concepción, Chile (rmahadevan@udec.cl, <https://udec-cl.academia.edu/RajeshMahadevan>).

40 have $(\mathbf{I}+t\mathbf{V})(\Omega) \in \mathcal{O}_{\text{ad}}$ whenever $\Omega \in \mathcal{O}_{\text{ad}}$ for all $t \in [0, \delta]$ and for all \mathbf{V} smooth vector
 41 fields with compact support in a neighborhood of Ω . Previously and in what follows, \mathbf{I}
 42 denotes the identity vector field. The *semi-derivative* a shape functional $F : \mathcal{O}_{\text{ad}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,
 43 in the sense of J. Hadamard [17], at $\Omega \in \mathcal{O}_{\text{ad}}$ in the direction of a vector field \mathbf{V} , is
 44 defined as

$$45 \quad (1.1) \quad F'(\Omega; \mathbf{V}) := \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{F(\Omega_t) - F(\Omega)}{t},$$

46 where

$$47 \quad \Omega_t := \Psi_t(\Omega), \quad \text{being } \Psi_t(x) := x + t\mathbf{V}(x),$$

48 whenever the limit in (1.1) exists. This is called a *shape derivative* if it exists and is
 49 a linear functional with respect to \mathbf{V} .

50 **1.2. Aim of this work.** In the first part of the present work exposed in [8],
 51 we have shown how to compute efficiently the semi-derivative of eigenvalue function-
 52 als in the scalar case by following a procedure developed initially by M. Delfour and
 53 J.P. Zolesio for dealing with the sensitivity with respect to a parameter in minimiza-
 54 tion problems. In this paper, we focus on vector case and, to be specific, on the study
 55 of the semi-derivatives for several families of eigenvalue problems in linear elasticity
 56 problems for various kinds of boundary conditions. Whether or not this is linear and
 57 continuous with respect to the vector field will not be addressed here. Indeed, the
 58 fact that eigenvalues in elasticity problems are not simple makes it very little probable
 59 that we could go beyond establishing the existence of a semi-derivative.

60 **OUR STRATEGY.** For establishing the existence of a semi-derivative in the prob-
 61 lems of our interest we shall adopt the following approach. This approach starts with
 62 the application of the following version of Theorem 2.1, Chapter 10, M. Delfour and
 63 J.P. Zolesio [14] for proving the existence and for obtaining an initial expression for
 64 the semi-derivative.

65 **THEOREM 1.1.** *Let X be a Banach space and let $G : [0, \delta] \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a given*
 66 *functional and we set*

$$67 \quad g(t) = \inf_X G(t, x) \quad \text{and} \quad X(t) = \{x \in X : G(t, x) = g(t)\}.$$

68 *If the following hypotheses hold,*

69 (H1) $X(t) \neq \emptyset$ for all $t \in [0, \delta]$,

70 (H2) $\partial_t G(t, x)$ exists in $[0, \delta]$ at all $x \in \cup_{t \in [0, \delta]} X(t)$,

71 (H3) there exists a topology τ on X such that, for every sequence $\{t_n\} \subset]0, \delta[$
 72 tending to 0 and $x_n \in X(t_n)$, there exists $x_0 \in X(0)$ and a subsequence $\{t_{n_k}\}$
 73 of $\{t_n\}$, for which

74 (i) $x_{n_k} \rightarrow x_0$ with respect to τ

75 (ii) $\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \partial_t G(t_{n_k}, x_{n_k}) \geq \partial_t G(0, x_0)$,

76 (H4) for all $x \in X(0)$, the function $t \rightarrow \partial_t G(t, x)$ is upper semi-continuous at
 77 $t = 0$,

then we have that

$$g'(0) = \inf_{x \in X(0)} \partial_t G(0, x).$$

78 In our setting, the functional $G(t, \cdot)$ will be chosen to be the Rayleigh quotient as-
 79 sociated to the original eigenvalue problem on the perturbed domain Ω_t after it is

80 transported back to Ω . We then follow the procedure used in the scalar case [8], for
 81 a step-by-step verification of the hypotheses which guarantee the applicability of the
 82 theorem. It follows that we break up the different terms which constitute the Rayleigh
 83 quotient and calculate their contributions to the shape derivative through Proposi-
 84 tions 3.1 and 3.2 proved below. Then the rather complicated obtained expressions are
 85 simplified thanks to a systematic choice of test functions in the variational formula-
 86 tion of the eigenvalue problem. By following this methodical approach, we are able to
 87 rigorously establish the existence of the Eulerian semi-derivatives in these problems
 88 and obtain the corresponding boundary representations in a simplified manner.

89 MAIN NOVELTIES OF THIS WORK. Now a word about some existing results for
 90 such derivatives in the elasticity case. Previously, the shape sensitivity of eigenvalue
 91 problems of elasticity has been considered for example by J. Sokolowski and J.P.
 92 Zolesio in [23] and G. Allaire and F. Jouve in [2]. In these works, the computation for
 93 this shape derivative in the presence of Dirichlet and Neumann boundary conditions
 94 is given while assuming that the eigenvalue in consideration is simple (and this may
 95 be the case for certain domains although not true in general). The arguments therein
 96 are based on a suitable adjoint formulation.

97 In the present work, we avoid the hypothesis of the simplicity of the eigenvalue
 98 and, by following our unified and systematic approach, we do not only recover the
 99 earlier results but also are able to extend it with a fair amount of ease to other
 100 boundary value problems of interest, especially in additive printing, like the so-called
 101 *Wentzell boundary conditions*. Let us emphasize that such boundary conditions are
 102 not a mathematical curiosity but appear naturally in the context of linear elasticity
 103 as soon as the configuration presents discontinuities on the material properties on
 104 a submanifold (see, e.g., [9] for a crusted body or [20] for an interface problem).
 105 In particular, the Wentzell boundary conditions, coming from asymptotic analysis
 106 (see [20, 21, 9] for the mechanical and theoretical justification of such conditions),
 107 permit to model *coating or membrane effects*. Notice that this approximation of an
 108 original structure with a thin layer by adhering to another domain with new boundary
 109 conditions, called *generalized impedance boundary conditions*, is a classical method in
 110 order to avoid huge difficulties in the theoretical and numerical analysis of a thin
 111 structure (for instance a mesh refinement adapted to the thickness of the layer).

112 We also underline that we consider two types of eigenvalues problems: the volume
 113 and surface types. If the volume type is more classical, at least for classical bound-
 114 ary conditions, the study of shape sensitivity of surface type eigenvalues problem is,
 115 up to our knowledge, much less studied although this permits to study transmission
 116 problems. Let us emphasize that the surface eigenvalue problems do not model ei-
 117 genvalues of thin structures like shells. They have been introduced to justify that the
 118 asymptotic models derived by M. David, J.J. Marigo and C. Pideri in [20, 21] are well
 119 posed in the sense that the problems are of Fredholm type (see [4] for the scalar case
 120 and [5] for the elastic case in dimension two). This is why we also deal with these
 121 problems in this paper, in order to be as complete as possible.

122 Motivated by structural optimization of multi-phase material, we consider, in a
 123 second step, the eigenvalue problem for a mixture of two isotropic elastic materials.
 124 We specify that we use the terminology *composite* to refer to this case. In addition to
 125 considering such piecewise constant material properties in the interior of the domain,
 126 the effect of a thin coating is also taken into account by allowing a Robin or Wentzell
 127 boundary condition.

128 **1.3. Organization of the paper.** To conclude this introduction, the paper is
 129 organized as follows. The main results of the paper are stated in Section 2. We present
 130 first the result in the case of a single isotropic elastic material and, then in the case
 131 of a mixture of two phases. The proofs are gathered in Section 3: we first provide the
 132 derivatives of the elementary terms arising in Rayleigh quotient in Section 3.2, and
 133 then give the proof of the main theorems in Sections 3.3 and 3.4. Finally, we recall
 134 (classical) background and technical results in Appendix A.

135 **2. The results.**

2.1. Notations. We consider a bounded open subset Ω of \mathbb{R}^d with a $\mathcal{C}^{2,1}$ bound-
 ary $\partial\Omega$. Firstly, at each point of $\partial\Omega$, we consider an orthonormal frame $(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \mathbf{n})$ consist-
 ing of a family of orthonormal tangential vectors, denoted by $\boldsymbol{\tau}$, and the unit normal
 vector, denoted by \mathbf{n} . Then the tangential projection is given by

$$\Pi_d := \mathbf{I}_d - \mathbf{n} \otimes \mathbf{n}$$

and, in the local frame, has the representation

$$\Pi_d = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I}_{d-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where \mathbf{I}_d and \mathbf{I}_{d-1} are respectively the identity matrices of size $d \times d$ and $(d-1) \times (d-1)$.
 More generally, any $d \times d$ matrix \mathcal{M} has the following representation in the frame $(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \mathbf{n})$:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{M}_{\tau\tau} & \mathcal{M}_{\tau\mathbf{n}} \\ \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{n}\tau} & \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{n}\mathbf{n}} \end{pmatrix},$$

136 with the components $\mathcal{M}_{\tau\tau} := \Pi_d \mathcal{M} \Pi_d$, $\mathcal{M}_{\tau\mathbf{n}} := \Pi_d \mathcal{M} (\mathbf{I}_d - \Pi_d)$, $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{n}\tau} := (\mathbf{I}_d -$
 137 $\Pi_d) \mathcal{M} \Pi_d$ and $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{n}\mathbf{n}} := (\mathbf{I}_d - \Pi_d) \mathcal{M} (\mathbf{I}_d - \Pi_d)$.

Secondly, in the whole paper, we use

$$C^{\text{sym}} := \frac{1}{2} (C + {}^t C)$$

to denote the symmetric part of a square matrix C . For any vector field $\mathbf{u} =$
 $(u_i)_{i=1, \dots, d} \in \mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)$, the *strain tensor*

$$e(\mathbf{u}) := \frac{1}{2} (\nabla \mathbf{u} + {}^t \nabla \mathbf{u}) = (\nabla \mathbf{u})^{\text{sym}}$$

138 is the symmetric part of the Jacobian matrix $\nabla \mathbf{u}$ whose rows are ${}^t \nabla u_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, d$.

139 We also introduce, for a scalar function $\phi \in \mathbf{H}^1(\partial\Omega)$, the *tangential gradient*

140
$$\nabla_\Gamma \phi := \Pi_d \nabla \phi,$$

141 and, for all vectorial functions $\boldsymbol{\psi} \in \mathbf{H}^1(\partial\Omega)$, the *tangential strain*

142
$$e_\Gamma(\boldsymbol{\psi}) := \frac{1}{2} (\nabla_\Gamma \boldsymbol{\psi} + {}^t \nabla_\Gamma \boldsymbol{\psi}) = (\nabla_\Gamma \boldsymbol{\psi})^{\text{sym}},$$

where the rows of $\nabla_\Gamma \boldsymbol{\psi}$ are the tangential gradients of the components ψ_i , $i = 1, \dots, d$.
 Notice that we have $\nabla_\Gamma \boldsymbol{\psi} = \nabla \boldsymbol{\psi} \Pi_d$ since

$$\nabla_\Gamma \boldsymbol{\psi} = \begin{pmatrix} {}^t \nabla_\Gamma \psi_1 \\ \vdots \\ {}^t \nabla_\Gamma \psi_d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} {}^t \nabla \psi_1 \Pi_d \\ \vdots \\ {}^t \nabla \psi_d \Pi_d \end{pmatrix} = \nabla \boldsymbol{\psi} \Pi_d,$$

and thus

$$e_{\Gamma}(\boldsymbol{\psi}) = \Pi_d e(\boldsymbol{\psi}) \Pi_d.$$

Therefore, in the local frame, it is of the form $\begin{pmatrix} e_{\tau\tau} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Then, we denote by

$$\operatorname{div}_{\Gamma} \boldsymbol{\psi} := \operatorname{Tr}(e_{\Gamma}(\boldsymbol{\psi}))$$

the *surface or tangential divergence*. The tangential divergence of a matrix field C will be the vector field obtained by taking the tangential divergence of the rows of C , that is, for all $i = 1, \dots, d$,

$$(\operatorname{div}_{\Gamma} C)_i := \operatorname{div}_{\Gamma} C_i.$$

143 *Remark 2.1.* We should keep in mind the following basic differences with respect
 144 to the scalar case. On the one hand, in the scalar case, we have $\nabla_{\Gamma} \psi = \Pi_d \nabla \psi$,
 145 whereas, on the other hand, in the case of elasticity, we have $\nabla_{\Gamma} \boldsymbol{\psi} = \nabla \boldsymbol{\psi} \Pi_d$. Also,
 146 in this case, the tangential strain $e_{\Gamma}(\boldsymbol{\psi})$ is obtained by reducing the strain $e(\boldsymbol{\psi})$ to
 147 the tangent space by multiplying by the projection Π_d on either side. This leads to
 148 substantial differences in the formulae for shape derivatives in the scalar case and in
 149 the case of elasticity.

Then we introduce the *signed distance* to the boundary $\partial\Omega$ defined by

$$b(x) := \begin{cases} d(x, \partial\Omega), & \text{if } x \in \Omega, \\ -d(x, \partial\Omega), & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \bar{\Omega}, \end{cases}$$

and the *mean curvature* at any point on $\partial\Omega$, defined by

$$H := \operatorname{div}_{\Gamma} \mathbf{n}.$$

150 Finally, as mentioned in the introduction, given a $\mathcal{C}^{2,1}$ vector field \mathbf{V} with compact
 151 support in a neighborhood of Ω and a (small) real number $\delta > 0$, we consider the one
 152 parameter family of deformations

$$153 \quad (2.1) \quad \Psi_t := \mathbf{I} + t\mathbf{V},$$

154 for all $t \in [0, \delta]$, which are in fact diffeomorphisms if δ is sufficiently small. Then we
 155 define the *perturbed domain* by

$$156 \quad (2.2) \quad \Omega_t := \Psi_t(\Omega).$$

We also use the following notation for the normal component of the vector field \mathbf{V} :

$$V_{\mathbf{n}} := \mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{n}.$$

2.2. Shape derivative for eigenvalue problems of linear elasticity-single phase isotropic materials. We assume that Ω is an elastic body and we consider an isotropic elastic medium with *Lamé coefficients* $\mu > 0$ and $\lambda > 0$, and associated *elastic or Hooke tensor* A given by

$$A\xi := 2\mu\xi + \lambda\operatorname{Tr}(\xi)\mathbf{I}_d, \quad \text{for all symmetric matrices } \xi.$$

157 We also assume that the body Ω is surrounded by a thin layer with an elasticity tensor
 158 given by

$$159 \quad (2.3) \quad A_c \xi := 2\mu_c \xi + \lambda_c \operatorname{Tr}(\xi) \Pi_d,$$

160 where $\mu_c > 0$ and $\lambda_c > 0$ are some (modified) Lamé constants which correspond to
 161 a coating (the thin layer). Then, given $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ two real numbers, we are interested
 162 in the following kinds of eigenvalues problems: of *volume type*, where the spectral
 163 parameter is in the domain,

$$164 \quad (2.4) \quad \begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(Ae(\mathbf{u})) & = \Lambda_\Omega(\Omega)\mathbf{u} & \text{in } \Omega, \\ -\beta \operatorname{div}_\Gamma(A_c e_\Gamma(\mathbf{u})) + \alpha \mathbf{u} + Ae(\mathbf{u})\mathbf{n} & = \mathbf{0} & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

165 and of *surface type*, where the spectral parameter is on the boundary,

$$166 \quad (2.5) \quad \begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(Ae(\mathbf{u})) & = \mathbf{0} & \text{in } \Omega, \\ -\beta \operatorname{div}_\Gamma(A_c e_\Gamma(\mathbf{u})) + \alpha \mathbf{u} + Ae(\mathbf{u})\mathbf{n} & = \Lambda_{\partial\Omega}(\Omega)\mathbf{u} & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

167 For different regimes of the parameter, we have different kinds of eigenvalue prob-
 168 lems. For the choice $\beta = 0$ and $\alpha = 0$ in (2.4), we obtain *Neumann* (pure traction)
 169 eigenvalues. The *Dirichlet* (clamped) eigenvalue problem is obtained from (2.4) in
 170 the limiting case $\alpha \rightarrow +\infty$. The *Robin* eigenvalue problem is obtained from (2.4) by
 171 taking $\beta = 0$. If we take $\beta = 0$ and $\alpha = 0$ in (2.5), we obtain the *Steklov* eigenvalue
 172 problem. Finally, for the choice $\beta > 0$, we have the *Wentzell* eigenvalue problem
 173 (see [9] for the model and the derivation of the Wentzell boundary conditions in the
 174 elasticity case).

175 These eigenvalues problems arise as minimization of the associated *Rayleigh quo-*
 176 *tient* given by
 177

$$178 \quad (2.6) \quad \Lambda_\Omega(\Omega) = \inf_{\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{H}(\Omega)} \left\{ \frac{1}{\int_\Omega |\mathbf{u}|^2 dx} \left(\int_\Omega Ae(\mathbf{u}) : e(\mathbf{u}) dx \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + \alpha \int_{\partial\Omega} |\mathbf{u}|^2 d\zeta(x) + \beta \int_{\partial\Omega} A_c e_\Gamma \mathbf{u} : e_\Gamma \mathbf{u} d\zeta(x) \right) \right\},$$

181 and

$$182 \quad (2.7) \quad \Lambda_{\partial\Omega}(\Omega) = \inf_{\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{H}(\Omega)} \left\{ \frac{1}{\int_{\partial\Omega} |\mathbf{u}|^2 dx} \left(\int_\Omega Ae(\mathbf{u}) : e(\mathbf{u}) dx \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + \alpha \int_{\partial\Omega} |\mathbf{u}|^2 d\zeta(x) + \beta \int_{\partial\Omega} A_c e_\Gamma \mathbf{u} : e_\Gamma \mathbf{u} d\zeta(x) \right) \right\}.$$

186 Notice that, in the various eigenvalue problems, an appropriate choice of a subspace
 187 of $\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)$ has to be made for $\mathcal{H}(\Omega)$. For example, in the case of the first Dirichlet
 188 eigenvalue, we may choose $\beta = 0$ and $\mathcal{H}(\Omega) = \mathbf{H}_0^1(\Omega)$ in (2.6). In the case of the
 189 first non-trivial Neumann or Steklov eigenvalue, we may choose $\alpha = 0$ and $\beta = 0$
 190 and take $\mathcal{H}(\Omega)$ to be the quotient space of $\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)$ modulo the rigid transformations.
 191 In the case of the Wentzell eigenvalue problem, we are in the situation where $\beta > 0$
 192 and we need to choose $\mathcal{H}(\Omega)$ to be $\{\mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{H}^1(\Omega); \mathbf{u}|_{\partial\Omega} \in \mathbf{H}^1(\partial\Omega)\}$ with the associated
 193 norm $\left(\|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)}^2 + \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbf{H}^1(\partial\Omega)}^2 \right)^{1/2}$ (quotiented over the subspace of rigid transfor-
 194 mations if $\alpha = 0$).

195 We now state the results for these problems.

196 THEOREM 2.2. *Given a $\mathcal{C}^{2,1}$ domain Ω and \mathbf{V} a smooth vector field, the semi-*
 197 *derivative $\Lambda'_\Omega(\Omega; \mathbf{V})$ of $\Lambda_\Omega(\Omega)$ in the direction of the vector field \mathbf{V} exists and is given*
 198 *by*
 199

$$\begin{aligned}
 200 \quad \Lambda'_\Omega(\Omega; \mathbf{V}) = \inf \left\{ \int_{\partial\Omega} \left(A e(\mathbf{u}) : e(\mathbf{u}) - 4 A e(\mathbf{u}) \mathbf{n} \cdot \Pi_d e(\mathbf{u}) \mathbf{n} \right. \right. \\
 201 \quad \quad \quad \left. \left. + \alpha \mathbf{u} \cdot (\mathbf{H} \mathbf{u} + 2 \partial_n \mathbf{u} - 4 \Pi_d e(\mathbf{u}) \mathbf{n}) \right. \right. \\
 202 \quad \quad \quad \left. \left. + \beta \left(\mathbf{H} A_c e_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}) : e_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}) - A_c e_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}) : \Pi_d (\nabla \mathbf{u} D^2 b + D^2 b {}^t \nabla \mathbf{u}) \Pi_d \right) \right. \right. \\
 203 \quad \quad \quad \left. \left. + 2\beta \left(A_c e_\Gamma(\partial_n \mathbf{u}) : e_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}) - 2 A_c e_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}) : \nabla_\Gamma (\Pi_d e(\mathbf{u}) \mathbf{n}) \right) - \Lambda_\Omega(\Omega) |\mathbf{u}|^2 \right) V_n \, d\zeta(x) \right\}. \\
 204
 \end{aligned}$$

205 *In the above, the inf is taken with respect to all functions $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{H}(\Omega)$ for which the*
 206 *value $\Lambda_\Omega(\Omega)$ is attained in (2.6).*

207 THEOREM 2.3. *Given a $\mathcal{C}^{2,1}$ domain Ω and \mathbf{V} a smooth vector field, the semi-*
 208 *derivative $\Lambda'_{\partial\Omega}(\Omega; \mathbf{V})$ of $\Lambda_{\partial\Omega}(\Omega)$ in the direction of the vector field \mathbf{V} exists and is*
 209 *given by*
 210

$$\begin{aligned}
 211 \quad \Lambda'_{\partial\Omega}(\Omega; \mathbf{V}) = \inf \left\{ \int_{\partial\Omega} \left(A e(\mathbf{u}) : e(\mathbf{u}) - 4 A e(\mathbf{u}) \mathbf{n} \cdot \Pi_d e(\mathbf{u}) \mathbf{n} \right. \right. \\
 212 \quad \quad \quad \left. \left. + \alpha \mathbf{u} (\mathbf{H} \mathbf{u} + 2 \partial_n \mathbf{u} - 4 \Pi_d e(\mathbf{u}) \mathbf{n}) \right. \right. \\
 213 \quad \quad \quad \left. \left. + \beta \left(\mathbf{H} A_c e_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}) : e_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}) - A_c e_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}) : \Pi_d (\nabla \mathbf{u} D^2 b + D^2 b {}^t \nabla \mathbf{u}) \Pi_d \right) \right. \right. \\
 214 \quad \quad \quad \left. \left. + 2\beta \left(A_c e_\Gamma(\partial_n \mathbf{u}) : e_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}) - 2 A_c e_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}) : \nabla_\Gamma (\Pi_d e(\mathbf{u}) \mathbf{n}) \right) \right. \right. \\
 215 \quad \quad \quad \left. \left. - \Lambda_{\partial\Omega}(\Omega) \mathbf{u} \cdot (\mathbf{H} \mathbf{u} + 2 \partial_n \mathbf{u} - 4 \Pi_d e(\mathbf{u}) \mathbf{n}) \right) V_n \, d\zeta(x) \right\}, \\
 216
 \end{aligned}$$

217 *where the inf is taken with respect to all functions $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{H}(\Omega)$ for which the value*
 218 *$\Lambda_{\partial\Omega}(\Omega)$ is attained in (2.7).*

219 Apart from the case of Dirichlet and Neumann boundary conditions for the volume
 220 case stated in Theorem 2.2, the remaining others results are, completely new to our
 221 best knowledge, new even in the case of a simple eigenvalue. We finally underline
 222 that, even if it is not the same expression, the given formula given above coincides
 223 with the known expression in the corresponding to Dirichlet and Neumann case: this
 224 can be done with checked by direct a computation.

2.3. Shape derivative for eigenvalue problems of linear elasticity - composite materials. Consider now a subset Ω_1 of Ω with a $\mathcal{C}^{2,1}$ boundary and set $\Omega_2 := \Omega \setminus \overline{\Omega_1}$. We assume that there exists $\rho > 0$ such that $\|x - y\| \geq \rho$ for all $x \in \Omega_1$ and $y \in \partial\Omega$. We consider two isotropic elastic materials, with elasticity tensors $A_1 \neq A_2$ given by (for $i = 1, 2$)

$$A_i \xi := 2 \mu_i \xi + \lambda_i \text{Tr}(\xi) I_d,$$

with Lamé coefficients $\mu_i > 0$ and $\lambda_i > 0$, which occupy respectively the domains Ω_1 and Ω_2 with respective densities $\rho_1 > 0$ and $\rho_2 > 0$ (with $\rho_1 \neq \rho_2$). We set

$$\rho := \rho_1 \chi_{\Omega_1} + \rho_2 \chi_{\Omega_2} \quad \text{and} \quad A := A_1 \chi_{\Omega_1} + A_2 \chi_{\Omega_2}.$$

As previously, \mathbf{n} denotes the exterior unit normal to $\partial\Omega$. Moreover Γ stands for the interface between Ω_1 and Ω_2 , that is

$$\Gamma := \partial\Omega_1 \cap \partial\Omega_2 = \partial\Omega_1,$$

and, on Γ , the notation \mathbf{n} will represent the unit normal pointing outward from Ω_1 , that is

$$\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{n}_1 = -\mathbf{n}_2$$

(where \mathbf{n}_i , $i = 1, 2$, represent the exterior unit normal to $\partial\Omega_i$). We summarize the notations in Figure 1. We also use the notation $[\cdot]$ in order to represent the jump on the interface Γ , that is, for a function u and a point $x \in \Gamma$,

$$[u](x) := \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} (u(x - \varepsilon\mathbf{n}(x)) - u(x + \varepsilon\mathbf{n}(x))) = u_1 - u_2.$$




FIG. 1. Notations

225 We consider the eigenvalue problem of *volume type*

$$226 \quad (2.8) \quad \begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(A(x)e(\mathbf{u})) = \mathfrak{M}_\Omega(\Omega)\rho(x)\mathbf{u} & \text{in } \Omega, \\ -\beta\operatorname{div}_\Gamma(A_c e_\Gamma(\mathbf{u})) + \alpha\mathbf{u} + Ae(\mathbf{u})\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{0} & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

227 and of *surface type*

$$228 \quad (2.9) \quad \begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(A(x)e(\mathbf{u})) = \mathbf{0} & \text{in } \Omega, \\ -\beta\operatorname{div}_\Gamma(A_c e_\Gamma(\mathbf{u})) + \alpha\mathbf{u} + Ae(\mathbf{u})\mathbf{n} = \mathfrak{M}_{\partial\Omega}(\Omega)\mathbf{u} & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

229 As previously, for different regimes of the parameters α and β , we obtain different
230 kinds of boundary conditions and the eigenvalues are associated to minimization of
231 the Rayleigh quotients

$$232 \quad (2.10) \quad \mathfrak{M}_\Omega(\Omega) = \inf_{\mathbf{u} \in \mathfrak{H}(\Omega)} \left\{ \frac{1}{\int_\Omega \rho |\mathbf{u}|^2} \left(\int_\Omega A(x)e(\mathbf{u}) : e(\mathbf{u}) \, dx \right. \right. \\ 233 \quad \left. \left. + \alpha \int_{\partial\Omega} |\mathbf{u}|^2 \, d\zeta(x) + \beta \int_{\partial\Omega} A_c e_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}) : e_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}) \, d\zeta(x) \right) \right\},$$

235

236 and

237

$$(2.11) \quad \mathfrak{M}_{\partial\Omega}(\Omega) = \inf_{\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{H}(\Omega)} \left\{ \frac{1}{\int_{\partial\Omega} |\mathbf{u}|^2 d\zeta(x)} \left(\int_{\Omega} A(x)e(\mathbf{u}) : e(\mathbf{u}) dx \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + \alpha \int_{\partial\Omega} |\mathbf{u}|^2 d\zeta(x) + \beta \int_{\partial\Omega} A_c e_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{u}) : e_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{u}) d\zeta(x) \right) \right\},$$

239

240

241 where $\mathcal{H}(\Omega)$ is an appropriate subspace of $\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)$ as discussed above.

242

We now state the results for these problems.

243

244

245

246

THEOREM 2.4. *Let Ω be a $\mathcal{C}^{2,1}$ domain and \mathbf{V} a smooth vector field. Let \mathbf{u} be a normalized eigenfunction corresponding to $\mathfrak{M}_{\Omega}(\Omega)$. Then the semi-derivative $\mathfrak{M}'_{\Omega}(\Omega; \mathbf{V})$ of $\mathfrak{M}_{\Omega}(\Omega)$ in the direction of the vector field \mathbf{V} exists and is given by*

$$\mathfrak{M}'_{\Omega}(\Omega; \mathbf{V}) = \inf \left\{ \int_{\partial\Omega_1} \left([Ae(\mathbf{u}) : e(\mathbf{u})] - 2Ae(\mathbf{u})\mathbf{n} \cdot [\partial_n \mathbf{u}] - \mathfrak{M}_{\Omega}(\Omega)[\rho]|\mathbf{u}|^2 \right) V_n d\zeta(x) \right. \\ \left. + \int_{\partial\Omega} \left(Ae(\mathbf{u}) : e(\mathbf{u}) - 4Ae(\mathbf{u})\mathbf{n} \cdot \Pi_d e(\mathbf{u})\mathbf{n} + \alpha \mathbf{u} \cdot (\mathbf{H}\mathbf{u} + 2\partial_n \mathbf{u} - 4\Pi_d e(\mathbf{u})\mathbf{n}) \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + \beta (\mathbf{H}A_c e_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{u}) : e_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{u}) - A_c e_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{u}) : \Pi_d (\nabla \mathbf{u} D^2 b + D^2 b {}^t \nabla \mathbf{u}) \Pi_d) \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + 2\beta \left(A_c e_{\Gamma}(\partial_n \mathbf{u}) : e_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{u}) - 2A_c e_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{u}) : \nabla_{\Gamma} (\Pi_d e(\mathbf{u})\mathbf{n}) \right) - \mathfrak{M}_{\Omega}(\Omega)\rho_2 |\mathbf{u}|^2 \right) V_n d\zeta(x) \right\},$$

250

251

252

253

where the inf is taken over all functions $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{H}(\Omega)$ for which the value $\mathfrak{M}_{\Omega}(\Omega)$ is attained in (2.10).

254

255

256

257

THEOREM 2.5. *Let Ω be a $\mathcal{C}^{2,1}$ domain and \mathbf{V} a smooth vector field. Let \mathbf{u} be a normalized eigenfunction corresponding to $\mathfrak{M}_{\partial\Omega}$. Then the semi-derivative $\mathfrak{M}'_{\partial\Omega}(\Omega; \mathbf{V})$ of $\mathfrak{M}_{\partial\Omega}(\Omega)$ in the direction of the vector field \mathbf{V} exists and is given by*

$$\mathfrak{M}'_{\partial\Omega}(\Omega; \mathbf{V}) = \inf \left\{ \int_{\partial\Omega_1} \left([Ae(\mathbf{u}) : e(\mathbf{u})] - 2Ae(\mathbf{u})\mathbf{n} \cdot [\partial_n \mathbf{u}] \right) V_n d\zeta(x) \right. \\ \left. + \int_{\partial\Omega} \left(Ae(\mathbf{u}) : e(\mathbf{u}) - 4Ae(\mathbf{u})\mathbf{n} \cdot \Pi_d e(\mathbf{u})\mathbf{n} + \alpha \mathbf{u} \cdot (\mathbf{H}\mathbf{u} + 2\partial_n \mathbf{u} - 4\Pi_d e(\mathbf{u})\mathbf{n}) \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + \beta (\mathbf{H}A_c e_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{u}) : e_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{u}) - A_c e_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{u}) : \Pi_d (\nabla \mathbf{u} D^2 b + D^2 b {}^t \nabla \mathbf{u}) \Pi_d) \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + 2\beta \left(A_c e_{\Gamma}(\partial_n \mathbf{u}) : e_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{u}) - 2A_c e_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{u}) : \nabla_{\Gamma} (\Pi_d e(\mathbf{u})\mathbf{n}) \right) \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. - \mathfrak{M}_{\partial\Omega}(\Omega)\rho_2 \mathbf{u} \cdot (\mathbf{H}\mathbf{u} + 2\partial_n \mathbf{u} - 4\Pi_d e(\mathbf{u})\mathbf{n}) \right) V_n d\zeta(x) \right\},$$

262

263

264

265

where the inf is taken over all $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{H}(\Omega)$ for which the value $\mathfrak{M}_{\partial\Omega}(\Omega)$ is attained in (2.11).

266

267

Obviously, Theorem 2.2 (respectively Theorem 2.3) can be obtained as a particular case of Theorem 2.4 (respectively Theorem 2.5) by letting $A_1 = A_2 = A$

268 and $\rho_1 = \rho_2 = 1$. Even so, we present the proofs of Theorem 2.2 (respectively The-
 269 orem 2.3) since the main ideas can be illustrated more clearly in these particular
 270 cases.

271 *Remark 2.6.* We can notice that the formulae of the above Theorems 2.2, 2.3, 2.4
 272 and 2.5 are a little bit different and more complicated than the scalar case exposed
 273 in [8]. Indeed, in the scalar case, some simplifications occur, which is not the case in
 274 the elasticity case: this is linked with the differences underlined in Remark 2.1 (see
 275 also Remark 3.4 below).

276 **3. Proofs.** The shape derivative results stated in the previous section will be
 277 established in this section in the framework of Theorem 1.1 by following a general
 278 strategy which we employed in the scalar problems (see [8]) and is recalled below for
 279 the benefit of the reader.

280 **3.1. General strategy.** The first step is to reformulate the eigenvalue problem
 281 for the perturbed domain Ω_t , which is obtained by the minimization of a Rayleigh
 282 quotient, as a minimization problem for a functional $G(t, \cdot)$ in a space $\mathcal{H}(\Omega)$ which is
 283 independent of the parameter t .

284 The next step consists in verifying that the assumptions of Theorem 1.1 are
 285 satisfied. For verifying the hypothesis (H3), in the class of eigenvalue problems, we
 286 usually need to show the Γ -convergence (see Appendix A for some reminders on this
 287 notion) of $G(t, \cdot)$ to $G(0, \cdot)$ as $t \rightarrow 0^+$ in the weak topology of $\mathcal{H}(\Omega)$ and later the
 288 strong convergence of a sequence of minimizers.

289 Then, Theorem 1.1 allows us to immediately calculate the shape derivative by
 290 evaluating $\inf_{\mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{X}(0)} \partial_t G(0, \mathbf{u})$ where $\mathbf{X}(0)$ is, generally, an eigenspace for the problem
 291 on Ω . In the case of a simple eigenfunction, it is enough to evaluate at a normalized
 292 eigenfunction. An initial expression for $\partial_t G(0, \mathbf{u})$ is obtained by using the propositions
 293 given in the following subsection and this gives an integral over the domain Ω .

294 As a last step, we transform and simplify the initial calculation of $\partial_t G(0, \mathbf{u})$, to get
 295 a boundary expression for $\partial_t G(0, \mathbf{u})$. This can be usually achieved by choosing $-\nabla \mathbf{u} \mathbf{V}$
 296 as a test function in the governing equation, provided that it has enough regularity.

297 **3.2. Preliminary computations.** Before computing the shape derivatives, we
 298 first prove some preliminary results. We compute the separate contributions of the
 299 different terms of the Rayleigh quotient to the derivatives $\partial_t G(0, \mathbf{u})$ in the various
 300 problems. For this, we rely on the classical formulae in the calculation of shape
 301 derivatives which are recalled in Lemma A.1 and Lemma A.2 in the appendix.

302 PROPOSITION 3.1. For $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)$, we have

$$304 \quad (3.1) \quad \partial_t \left(\int_{\Omega_t} A e(\mathbf{u} \circ \Psi_t^{-1}) : e(\mathbf{u} \circ \Psi_t^{-1}) \, dx \right) \Big|_{t=0} \\
 305 \quad \quad \quad = \int_{\partial\Omega} A e(\mathbf{u}) : e(\mathbf{u}) V_n \, d\zeta(x) + 2 \int_{\Omega} A e(\mathbf{u}) : e(-\nabla \mathbf{u} \mathbf{V}) \, dx, \\
 306 \\
 307$$

$$308 \quad (3.2) \quad \partial_t \left(\int_{\Omega_t} |(\mathbf{u} \circ \Psi_t^{-1})|^2 \, dx \right) \Big|_{t=0} = \int_{\partial\Omega} |\mathbf{u}|^2 V_n \, d\zeta(x) + 2 \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{u} \cdot (-\nabla \mathbf{u} \mathbf{V}) \, dx$$

309 and
 310

$$311 \quad (3.3) \quad \partial_t \left(\int_{\partial\Omega_t} |(\mathbf{u} \circ \Psi_t^{-1})|^2 \, dx \right) \Big|_{t=0} =$$

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \left(\mathbb{H} |\mathbf{u}|^2 + \partial_n |\mathbf{u}|^2 \right) V_n \, d\zeta(x) + 2 \int_{\partial\Omega} \mathbf{u} \cdot (-\nabla \mathbf{u} \mathbf{V}) \, d\zeta(x).$$

Proof. The above formulae are obtained by a straightforward application of the formulae for derivatives of domain and boundary integrals given in Lemma A.1 and Lemma A.2 in the appendix and the fact that $\partial_t(\mathbf{u} \circ \Psi_t^{-1})|_{t=0} = -\nabla \mathbf{u} \mathbf{V}$ since $\partial_t(\Psi_t^{-1})|_{t=0} = -\mathbf{V}$ (see [19, equation (5.7)]). \square

PROPOSITION 3.2. For $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{H}^2(\Omega)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (3.4) \quad & \partial_t \left(\int_{\partial\Omega_t} A_c e_{\Gamma_t}(\mathbf{u} \circ \Psi_t^{-1}) : e_{\Gamma_t}(\mathbf{u} \circ \Psi_t^{-1}) \, dx \right) \Big|_{t=0} \\ &= \int_{\partial\Omega} \left(\mathbb{H} A_c e_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{u}) : e_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{u}) + 2A_c e_{\Gamma}(\partial_n \mathbf{u}) : e_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{u}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - A_c e_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{u}) : \Pi_d (\nabla \mathbf{u} D^2 b + D^2 b^t \nabla \mathbf{u}) \Pi_d \right) V_n \, d\zeta(x) \\ &\quad + 2 \int_{\partial\Omega} A_c e_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{u}) : \left(e_{\Gamma}(-\nabla \mathbf{u} \mathbf{V}) + \Pi_d e(\mathbf{u})(\mathbf{n} \otimes \nabla_{\Gamma} V_n + \nabla_{\Gamma} V_n \otimes \mathbf{n}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (\mathbf{n} \otimes \nabla_{\Gamma} V_n + \nabla_{\Gamma} V_n \otimes \mathbf{n}) e(\mathbf{u}) \Pi_d \right) d\zeta(x). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By applying the classical derivation formula recalled in Lemma A.2, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_t \left(\int_{\partial\Omega_t} A_c e_{\Gamma_t}(\mathbf{u} \circ \Psi_t^{-1}) : e_{\Gamma_t}(\mathbf{u} \circ \Psi_t^{-1}) \, dx \right) \Big|_{t=0} \\ &= \int_{\partial\Omega} \left(\mathbb{H} A_c e_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{u}) : e_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{u}) + \partial_n (A_c e_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{u}) : e_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{u})) \right) V_n \, d\zeta(x) \\ &\quad + 2 \int_{\partial\Omega} A_c e_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{u}) : \partial_t (e_{\Gamma_t}(\mathbf{u} \circ \Psi_t^{-1})) \Big|_{t=0} d\zeta(x). \end{aligned}$$

We conclude using the fact (see respectively Lemma A.3 and Lemma A.4)

$$\partial_n (A_c e_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{u}) : e_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{u})) = 2A_c e_{\Gamma}(\partial_n \mathbf{u}) : e_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{u}) - A_c e_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{u}) : \Pi_d (\nabla \mathbf{u} D^2 b + D^2 b^t \nabla \mathbf{u}) \Pi_d,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_t (e_{\Gamma_t}(\mathbf{u} \circ \Psi_t^{-1})) \Big|_{t=0} = e_{\Gamma}(-\nabla \mathbf{u} \mathbf{V}) \\ & \quad + \Pi_d e(\mathbf{u})(\mathbf{n} \otimes \nabla_{\Gamma} V_n + \nabla_{\Gamma} V_n \otimes \mathbf{n}) + (\mathbf{n} \otimes \nabla_{\Gamma} V_n + \nabla_{\Gamma} V_n \otimes \mathbf{n}) e(\mathbf{u}) \Pi_d. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

We also need the following proposition concerning the case of eigenvalue problems for composites. Let Ω_1 and Ω_2 be a subdivision of Ω as presented in Section 2.3 with the corresponding notations for normal vectors and for the jumps of functions. Then we define following perturbed elasticity tensor and density

$$A_t := A_1 \chi_{\Omega_{1,t}} + A_2 \chi_{\Omega_{2,t}} \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_t := \rho_1 \chi_{\Omega_{1,t}} + \rho_2 \chi_{\Omega_{2,t}}$$

with

$$\Omega_{1,t} := \Psi_t(\Omega_1) \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega_{2,t} := \Psi_t(\Omega_2).$$

340 PROPOSITION 3.3. For $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)$, we have

341

$$\begin{aligned}
342 \quad (3.5) \quad & \partial_t \left(\int_{\Omega_t} A_t e(\mathbf{u} \circ \Psi_t^{-1}) : e(\mathbf{u} \circ \Psi_t^{-1}) \, dx \right) \Big|_{t=0} \\
343 \quad &= \int_{\Gamma} [Ae(\mathbf{u}) : e(\mathbf{u})] V_n \, d\zeta(x) + 2 \int_{\Omega_1} A_1 e(\mathbf{u}) : e(-\nabla \mathbf{u} \mathbf{V}) \, dx \\
344 \quad &+ 2 \int_{\Omega_2} A_2 e(\mathbf{u}) : e(-\nabla \mathbf{u} \mathbf{V}) \, dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} A_2 e(\mathbf{u}) : e(\mathbf{u}) V_n \, d\zeta(x) \\
345 \quad &
\end{aligned}$$

346 and

347

$$\begin{aligned}
348 \quad (3.6) \quad & \partial_t \left(\int_{\Omega_t} \rho_t |(\mathbf{u} \circ \Psi_t^{-1})|^2 dx \right) \Big|_{t=0} = \int_{\Gamma} [\rho |\mathbf{u}|^2] V_n \, d\zeta(x) \\
349 \quad &+ 2 \int_{\Omega_1} \rho_1 \mathbf{u} \cdot (-\nabla \mathbf{u} \mathbf{V}) \, dx + 2 \int_{\Omega_2} \rho_2 \mathbf{u} \cdot (-\nabla \mathbf{u} \mathbf{V}) \, dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} \rho_2 |\mathbf{u}|^2 V_n \, d\zeta(x). \\
350 \quad &
\end{aligned}$$

351 *Proof.* The above formulae are obtained by an application of Lemma A.1 to each
352 of the terms on the right hand side after writing

353

$$\begin{aligned}
354 \quad & \int_{\Omega_t} A_t e(\mathbf{u} \circ \Psi_t^{-1}) : e(\mathbf{u} \circ \Psi_t^{-1}) \, dx \\
355 \quad &= \int_{\Omega_{1,t}} A_1 e(\mathbf{u} \circ \Psi_t^{-1}) : e(\mathbf{u} \circ \Psi_t^{-1}) \, dx + \int_{\Omega_{2,t}} A_2 e(\mathbf{u} \circ \Psi_t^{-1}) : e(\mathbf{u} \circ \Psi_t^{-1}) \, dx \quad \square \\
356 \quad &
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega_t} \rho_t |(\mathbf{u} \circ \Psi_t^{-1})|^2 dx = \int_{\Omega_{1,t}} \rho_1 |(\mathbf{u} \circ \Psi_t^{-1})|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega_{2,t}} \rho_2 |(\mathbf{u} \circ \Psi_t^{-1})|^2 dx.$$

357 3.3. Semi-derivatives for single phase isotropic materials.

358 **3.3.1. Proof of Theorem 2.2.** The considered eigenvalue functional on the
359 perturbed domain is

360

$$\begin{aligned}
361 \quad (3.7) \quad \Lambda_{\Omega}(\Omega_t) = & \inf_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{H}(\Omega_t)} \left\{ \frac{1}{\int_{\Omega_t} |\mathbf{v}|^2 \, dx} \left(\int_{\Omega_t} Ae(\mathbf{v}) : e(\mathbf{v}) \, dx + \alpha \int_{\partial\Omega_t} |\mathbf{v}|^2 \, d\zeta(x) \right. \right. \\
362 \quad & \left. \left. + \beta \int_{\partial\Omega_t} A_c e_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{v}) : e_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{v}) \, d\zeta(x) \right) \right\}, \\
363 \quad &
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{H}(\Omega_t)$ is a suitable subspace of $\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega_t)$ as discussed in Section 2.2. Since the
function space $\mathcal{H}(\Omega_t)$ gets mapped to a function space $\mathcal{H}(\Omega)$ which is independent
of t under the isomorphism $\mathbf{v} \mapsto \mathbf{v} \circ \Psi_t$, the above functional can be obtained as a
minimization problem over $\mathcal{H}(\Omega)$ as follows

$$\Lambda_{\Omega}(\Omega_t) = \inf_{\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{H}(\Omega)} G_{\Omega}(t, \mathbf{u})$$

364 where the functional G_{Ω} is defined by

365

$$\begin{aligned}
366 \quad (3.8) \quad G_\Omega(t, \mathbf{u}) &:= \frac{1}{\int_{\Omega_t} |\mathbf{u} \circ \Psi_t^{-1}|^2 dx} \left(\int_{\Omega_t} A e(\mathbf{u} \circ \Psi_t^{-1}) : e(\mathbf{u} \circ \Psi_t^{-1}) dx \right. \\
367 \quad &+ \alpha \int_{\partial\Omega_t} |\mathbf{u} \circ \Psi_t^{-1}|^2 d\zeta(x) + \beta \int_{\partial\Omega_t} A_c e_\Gamma(\mathbf{u} \circ \Psi_t^{-1}) : e_\Gamma(\mathbf{u} \circ \Psi_t^{-1}) d\zeta(x) \Big). \\
368
\end{aligned}$$

369 EXISTENCE OF THE SEMI-DERIVATIVE. First, we check that the assumptions of
370 Theorem 1.1 are satisfied for the above functional G_Ω .

371 Let us start by Assumption (H1). The arguments to show that the set of mini-
372 mizers of (3.7) is non-empty for each t is classical and is based on the direct method
373 of calculus of variations. In fact, the functional is lower semi-continuous for the weak
374 topology on $\mathcal{H}(\Omega_t)$, since the numerator is convex and continuous for the strong
375 topology on $\mathcal{H}(\Omega_t)$ (and therefore weakly lower semi-continuous), and since the de-
376 nominator is continuous due to the compact inclusion of $\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega_t)$ into $\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega_t)$. As
377 concerns the coercivity of the functional for given t , it is enough to show that the
378 numerator dominates square of the norm or a quotient norm on $\mathcal{H}(\Omega_t)$. In the case of
379 Dirichlet eigenvalue problem, this can be obtained from the coercivity of the tensor A
380 and by the use of *Korn's inequality* (see, e.g., [1, Lemma 2.25] or [16, Theorem 3.1]).
381 In the case of the first non-trivial Neumann eigenvalue problem, one uses the coerciv-
382 ity of the tensor A and the generalized Korn's inequality, that is, Korn's inequality
383 modulo rigid transformations. When $\alpha > 0$ it is enough, once again, to use Korn's
384 inequality without quotienting. The set $\mathbf{X}(t)$, defined in Theorem 1.1, of minimizers
385 for $G_\Omega(t, \cdot)$ is obtained by transporting the minimizers in (3.7) to Ω by composition
386 with Ψ_t . Therefore Assumption (H1) is satisfied.

Let us now check Assumption (H2). Since $\nabla(\mathbf{u} \circ \Psi_t^{-1}) = (\nabla \mathbf{u} \, D\Psi_t^{-1}) \circ \Psi_t^{-1}$, we have

$$e(\mathbf{u} \circ \Psi_t^{-1}) = (\nabla \mathbf{u} \, D\Psi_t^{-1})^{\text{sym}} \circ \Psi_t^{-1}.$$

and

$$e_\Gamma(\mathbf{u} \circ \Psi_t^{-1}) = (\mathbf{I}_d - \mathbf{n}_t \otimes \mathbf{n}_t) (\nabla \mathbf{u} \, D\Psi_t^{-1})^{\text{sym}} \circ \Psi_t^{-1} (\mathbf{I}_d - \mathbf{n}_t \otimes \mathbf{n}_t),$$

387 where \mathbf{n}_t the normal vector field on $\partial\Omega_t$. Therefore,

388

$$389 \quad G_\Omega(t, \mathbf{u})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
390 \quad &= \frac{1}{\int_{\Omega_t} |\mathbf{u} \circ \Psi_t^{-1}|^2 dx} \left(\int_{\Omega_t} A (\nabla \mathbf{u} \, D\Psi_t^{-1})^{\text{sym}} \circ \Psi_t^{-1} : (\nabla \mathbf{u} \, D\Psi_t^{-1})^{\text{sym}} \circ \Psi_t^{-1} dx \right. \\
391 \quad &+ \alpha \int_{\partial\Omega_t} |\mathbf{u} \circ \Psi_t^{-1}|^2 d\zeta(x) + \beta \int_{\partial\Omega_t} A_c (\mathbf{I}_d - \mathbf{n}_t \otimes \mathbf{n}_t) (\nabla \mathbf{u} \, D\Psi_t^{-1})^{\text{sym}} \circ \Psi_t^{-1} (\mathbf{I}_d - \mathbf{n}_t \otimes \mathbf{n}_t) \\
392 \quad &\quad : (\mathbf{I}_d - \mathbf{n}_t \otimes \mathbf{n}_t) (\nabla \mathbf{u} \, D\Psi_t^{-1})^{\text{sym}} \circ \Psi_t^{-1} (\mathbf{I}_d - \mathbf{n}_t \otimes \mathbf{n}_t) d\zeta(x) \Big). \\
393
\end{aligned}$$

394 Then, by a change of variables, this can be written as

395

$$396 \quad (3.9) \quad G_\Omega(t, \mathbf{u}) = \frac{1}{\int_\Omega |\mathbf{u}|^2 j(t) dx} \left(\int_\Omega A (\nabla \mathbf{u} \, D\Psi_t^{-1})^{\text{sym}} : (\nabla \mathbf{u} \, D\Psi_t^{-1})^{\text{sym}} j(t) dx \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \alpha \int_{\partial\Omega} |\mathbf{u}|^2 \omega(t) d\zeta(x) + \beta \int_{\partial\Omega} A_c(\mathbf{I}_d - \boldsymbol{\nu}_t \otimes \boldsymbol{\nu}_t) (\nabla \mathbf{u} \, D\Psi_t^{-1})^{\text{sym}} (\mathbf{I}_d - \boldsymbol{\nu}_t \otimes \boldsymbol{\nu}_t) \\
& \quad : (\mathbf{I}_d - \boldsymbol{\nu}_t \otimes \boldsymbol{\nu}_t) (\nabla \mathbf{u} \, D\Psi_t^{-1})^{\text{sym}} (\mathbf{I}_d - \boldsymbol{\nu}_t \otimes \boldsymbol{\nu}_t) \omega(t) d\zeta(x) \Big),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$j(t) := \det(D\Psi_t(x)) \quad \text{and} \quad \omega(t) := \det(D\Psi_t(x)) \|\mathbf{t}^t(D\Psi_t^{-1})(x)\mathbf{n}(x)\|$$

are respectively the Jacobian and the surface Jacobian and where

$$\boldsymbol{\nu}_t := \mathbf{n}_t \circ \Psi_t.$$

Clearly, by the definition (2.1), Ψ_t depends smoothly on t and, for t small enough, Ψ_t is a diffeomorphism by which we have that $j(t)$ and $\omega(t)$ are smooth functions of t . Also, since $\partial\Omega$ is smooth, it follows that Ω_t has the same smoothness of Ω and therefore, \mathbf{n}_t is differentiable with respect to t for t small enough (see, e.g., [19, Proposition 5.4.14]). Therefore, we are able to conclude from the previous expression (3.9) that $G_\Omega(\cdot, \mathbf{u})$ is derivable for all t small enough, for all $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{H}(\Omega)$, and this gives the hypothesis (H2) of Theorem 1.1.

Before proving Assumption (H3), let us focus briefly on Assumption (H4). The derivative $\partial_t G_\Omega(\cdot, \mathbf{u})$ may be obtained by deriving under the integral sign in the previous equation and since all the integrands are \mathcal{C}^1 functions of t , it follows that $\partial_t G_\Omega(\cdot, \mathbf{u})$ is also continuous with respect to t , for t small enough. This gives Assumption (H4).

We now proceed to show that the hypothesis (H3) holds for the strong topology on $\mathcal{H}(\Omega)$. This will be achieved through the following steps. First, we show that $G_\Omega(t, \cdot)$ converges, in the sense of Γ -limit, to $G_\Omega(0, \cdot)$ as $t \rightarrow 0^+$, in the weak topology on $\mathcal{H}(\Omega)$ (see Definition A.6 and Proposition A.7 in the Appendix for some reminders on this notion; also refer to [11]).

(i) Consider a sequence $\{\mathbf{u}^t\}$ which converges weakly to a \mathbf{u} in $\mathcal{H}(\Omega)$. We obtain the estimate

$$G_\Omega(t, \mathbf{u}^t) = G_\Omega(0, \mathbf{u}^t) + (G_\Omega(t, \mathbf{u}^t) - G_\Omega(0, \mathbf{u}^t)) \geq G_\Omega(0, \mathbf{u}^t) + O(t).$$

Indeed, since any weakly convergent sequence $\{\mathbf{u}^t\}$ is bounded in $\mathcal{H}(\Omega)$ and the coefficients in both the numerator and denominator of G_Ω given by (3.9) are continuous in t , we obtain that $G_\Omega(t, \mathbf{u}^t) - G_\Omega(0, \mathbf{u}^t)$ is $O(t)$ (that is, goes to 0 as $t \rightarrow 0^+$). Then, to conclude the Γ -liminf inequality of Definition A.6, it is enough to use the already observed fact that $G_\Omega(0, \cdot)$ is lower semi-continuous for the weak topology on $\mathcal{H}(\Omega)$.

(ii) The Γ -limsup inequality of Definition A.6 is obtained by taking the constant sequence \mathbf{u} , for any given $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{H}(\Omega)$, and observing as previously that $G_\Omega(t, \mathbf{u}) \rightarrow G_\Omega(0, \mathbf{u})$ as $t \rightarrow 0^+$.

Having obtained the Γ -convergence of $G_\Omega(t, \cdot)$, Proposition A.7 ensures that $\Lambda_\Omega(\Omega_t) \rightarrow \Lambda_\Omega(\Omega)$ as $t \rightarrow 0^+$ since the minimum of $G_\Omega(t, \cdot)$ converges to the minimum of $G_\Omega(0, \cdot)$. Moreover, the 0-homogeneity of the Rayleigh quotients $G_\Omega(t, \cdot)$ means that, for each t , it is enough to consider a minimizer \mathbf{u}^t for which the denominator is 1. Under this normalization, we have the equi-coercivity of $G_\Omega(t, \cdot)$ using the coercivity of the tensor A and Korn's inequality by the same arguments used during the verification of the hypothesis (H1): there exists constant a positive constant C such that

$$C \|\mathbf{u}^t\|_{\mathcal{H}(\Omega)}^2 \leq G_\Omega(t, \mathbf{u}^t), \quad \text{for all } t.$$

This implies, by Proposition A.7, that $\{\mathbf{u}^t\}$ converges weakly in $\mathcal{H}(\Omega)$ to a minimizer \mathbf{u} of $G_\Omega(0, \cdot)$. To conclude this part, we will prove the strong convergence of $\{\mathbf{u}^t\}$ to \mathbf{u} in $\mathcal{H}(\Omega)$. The equi-coercivity can be used once again to give us the following inequality:

$$C\|\mathbf{u}^t - \mathbf{u}\|_{\mathcal{H}(\Omega)}^2 \leq G_\Omega(t, \mathbf{u}^t - \mathbf{u}).$$

425 It remains to prove that $G_\Omega(t, \mathbf{u}^t - \mathbf{u}) \rightarrow 0$ when $t \rightarrow 0$. Expanding the quadratic
426 function $G_\Omega(t, \cdot)$ on the right hand side we get

427

$$428 \quad G_\Omega(t, \mathbf{u}^t - \mathbf{u}) = G_\Omega(t, \mathbf{u}^t) + G_\Omega(t, \mathbf{u})$$

$$429 \quad - 2 \left(\int_\Omega A (\nabla \mathbf{u}^t \mathbf{D}\Psi_t^{-1})^{\text{sym}} : (\nabla \mathbf{u} \mathbf{D}\Psi_t^{-1})^{\text{sym}} j(t) dx + \alpha \int_{\partial\Omega} \mathbf{u}^t : \mathbf{u} \omega(t) d\zeta(x) \right.$$

$$430 \quad \left. + \beta \int_{\partial\Omega} A_c (\mathbf{I}_d - \boldsymbol{\nu}_t \otimes \boldsymbol{\nu}_t) (\nabla \mathbf{u}^t \mathbf{D}\Psi_t^{-1})^{\text{sym}} (\mathbf{I}_d - \boldsymbol{\nu}_t \otimes \boldsymbol{\nu}_t) \right.$$

$$431 \quad \left. : (\mathbf{I}_d - \boldsymbol{\nu}_t \otimes \boldsymbol{\nu}_t) (\nabla \mathbf{u} \mathbf{D}\Psi_t^{-1})^{\text{sym}} (\mathbf{I}_d - \boldsymbol{\nu}_t \otimes \boldsymbol{\nu}_t) \omega(t) d\zeta(x) \right).$$

432

433 Then we use the uniform convergence of the coefficients, the weak convergence of $\{\mathbf{u}^t\}$
434 to \mathbf{u} and the convergence of $\Lambda_\Omega(\Omega_t)$ to $\Lambda_\Omega(\Omega)$ to obtain that

$$435 \quad G_\Omega(t, \mathbf{u}^t - \mathbf{u}) \longrightarrow \Lambda_\Omega(\Omega) + \Lambda_\Omega(\Omega)$$

$$436 \quad - 2 \left\{ \int_\Omega A e(\mathbf{u}) : e(\mathbf{u}) dx + \alpha \int_{\partial\Omega} 2|\mathbf{u}|^2 d\zeta(x) + \beta \int_{\partial\Omega} A_c e_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}) : e_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}) d\zeta(x) \right\}$$

$$437 \quad = \Lambda_\Omega(\Omega) + \Lambda_\Omega(\Omega) - 2\Lambda_\Omega(\Omega) = 0.$$

438 Hence $\{\mathbf{u}^t\}$ converges strongly to \mathbf{u} in $\mathcal{H}(\Omega)$ and, since we have seen that $\partial_t G_\Omega(\cdot, \mathbf{u})$
439 is continuous with respect to t , this proves hypothesis (H3).

440 The existence of the semi-derivative $\Lambda'_\Omega(\Omega; \mathbf{V})$ follows from Theorem 1.1 since we
441 have proved above that the four assumptions of the theorem are satisfied for G_Ω .

COMPUTATION OF THE DIRECTIONAL SHAPE DERIVATIVES. We want to obtain a suitable expression for $\partial_t G_\Omega(0, \mathbf{u})$ whenever \mathbf{u} is a normalized eigenfunction for $\Lambda_\Omega(\Omega)$ since, by the theorem,

$$\Lambda'_\Omega(\Omega; \mathbf{V}) = \inf\{\partial_t G_\Omega(0, \mathbf{u}); \Lambda_\Omega(\Omega) \text{ is attained at } \mathbf{u}\}.$$

442 First, using the expressions (3.1)-(3.4) evaluated at $t = 0$, we get

443

$$444 \quad \partial_t G(0, \mathbf{u}) = \int_{\partial\Omega} A e(\mathbf{u}) : e(\mathbf{u}) V_n d\zeta(x) + 2 \int_\Omega A e(\mathbf{u}) : e(-\nabla \mathbf{u} \mathbf{V}) dx$$

$$445 \quad + \alpha \left(\int_{\partial\Omega} \left(\mathbb{H} |\mathbf{u}|^2 + \partial_n |\mathbf{u}|^2 \right) V_n d\zeta(x) + 2 \int_{\partial\Omega} \mathbf{u} \cdot (-\nabla \mathbf{u} \mathbf{V}) d\zeta(x) \right)$$

$$446 \quad + \beta \int_{\partial\Omega} \left(\mathbb{H} A_c e_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}) : e_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}) + 2 A_c e_\Gamma(\partial_n \mathbf{u}) : e_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}) \right.$$

$$447 \quad \left. - A_c e_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}) : \Pi_d (\nabla \mathbf{u} \mathbf{D}^2 b + \mathbf{D}^2 b^t \nabla \mathbf{u}) \Pi_d \right) V_n d\zeta(x)$$

$$448 \quad + 2\beta \int_{\partial\Omega} A_c e_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}) : \left(e_\Gamma(-\nabla \mathbf{u} \mathbf{V}) + \Pi_d e(\mathbf{u}) (\mathbf{n} \otimes \nabla_\Gamma V_n + \nabla_\Gamma V_n \otimes \mathbf{n}) \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + (\mathbf{n} \otimes \nabla_{\Gamma} V_n + \nabla_{\Gamma} V_n \otimes \mathbf{n}) e(\mathbf{u}) \Pi_d \Big) d\zeta(x) \\
& - \Lambda_{\Omega}(\Omega) \left(\int_{\partial\Omega} |\mathbf{u}|^2 V_n d\zeta(x) + 2 \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{u} \cdot (-\nabla \mathbf{u} \mathbf{V}) dx \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Using $-\nabla \mathbf{u} \mathbf{V}$ as a test function in (2.4), we observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} A e(\mathbf{u}) : e(-\nabla \mathbf{u} \mathbf{V}) + \alpha \int_{\partial\Omega} \mathbf{u} \cdot (-\nabla \mathbf{u} \mathbf{V}) + \beta \int_{\partial\Omega} A_c e_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{u}) : e_{\Gamma}(-\nabla \mathbf{u} \mathbf{V}) \\
& = \Lambda_{\Omega}(\Omega) \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{u} \cdot (-\nabla \mathbf{u} \mathbf{V}).
\end{aligned}$$

Notice that the function $-\nabla \mathbf{u} \mathbf{V}$ belongs to $\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)$. Indeed \mathbf{V} is assumed to be smooth and the boundary $\partial\Omega$ has a $\mathcal{C}^{2,1}$ regularity and then $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{H}^2(\Omega)$ by usual a priori estimates (see [5, Theorem 1.1 and its proof]. Also, observe that for symmetric matrix B and any square matrix C , we have $B:C = B : {}^t C$ and choose $B = A_c e_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{u})$ along with $C = (\mathbf{n} \otimes \nabla_{\Gamma} V_n + \nabla_{\Gamma} V_n \otimes \mathbf{n}) e(\mathbf{u}) \Pi_d$. We use these to get that

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.10) \quad \partial_t G(0, \mathbf{u}) &= \int_{\partial\Omega} A e(\mathbf{u}) : e(\mathbf{u}) V_n d\zeta(x) + \alpha \int_{\partial\Omega} (\mathbb{H} |\mathbf{u}|^2 + 2\mathbf{u} \cdot \partial_n \mathbf{u}) V_n d\zeta(x) \\
&+ \beta \int_{\partial\Omega} \left(\mathbb{H} A_c e_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{u}) : e_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{u}) + 2A_c e_{\Gamma}(\partial_n \mathbf{u}) : e_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{u}) \right. \\
&- \left. A_c e_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{u}) : \Pi_d (\nabla \mathbf{u} D^2 b + D^2 b {}^t \nabla \mathbf{u}) \Pi_d \right) V_n d\zeta(x) \\
&+ 4\beta \int_{\partial\Omega} A_c e_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{u}) : \left((\mathbf{n} \otimes \nabla_{\Gamma} V_n + \nabla_{\Gamma} V_n \otimes \mathbf{n}) e(\mathbf{u}) \Pi_d \right) d\zeta(x) - \Lambda_{\Omega}(\Omega) \int_{\partial\Omega} |\mathbf{u}|^2 V_n d\zeta(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Remark 3.4. Notice that we have a factor 4 as compared to 2 in the corresponding term in the scalar case owing to the fact that the tangential strain is obtained by multiplying the strain by Π_d on either side and while deriving with respect to t , we obtain an additional term as observed in Remark A.5 (see also Remark 2.1).

We also observe that

$$(3.11) \quad A_c e_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{u}) : (\mathbf{n} \otimes \nabla_{\Gamma} V_n) e(\mathbf{u}) \Pi_d = 0.$$

Indeed, after setting, $\mathcal{A} := A_c e_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{u})$, $\mathcal{B} := \mathbf{n} \otimes \nabla_{\Gamma} V_n$, $\mathcal{C} := e(\mathbf{u})$ and $\mathcal{D} := \Pi_d$, and writing these in the local frame, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
A_c e_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{u}) : \mathbf{n} \otimes \nabla_{\Gamma} V_n e(\mathbf{u}) \Pi_d &= \mathcal{A} : \mathcal{B} \mathcal{C} \mathcal{D} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{A}_{\tau\tau} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \mathcal{B}_{n\tau} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{C}_{\tau\tau} & \mathcal{C}_{\tau n} \\ \mathcal{C}_{n\tau} & \mathcal{C}_{nn} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{I}_{d-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Next we remark that $C : ((\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{w}) B) = \mathbf{v} \cdot (C {}^t B \mathbf{w})$ (for any matrices C and B and any vectors \mathbf{v} and \mathbf{w}) and apply this to $A_c e_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{u}) : \nabla_{\Gamma} V_n \otimes \mathbf{n} e(\mathbf{u}) \Pi_d$. We also remark that $A_c e_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{u}) \Pi_d e(\mathbf{u}) \mathbf{n}$ is a tangential vector since Π_d commutes with $A_c e_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{u})$ and so we can apply the tangential Stokes formula without any curvature term (see, e.g., [14, Equation (5.27)]) and obtain that

$$(3.12) \quad \int_{\partial\Omega} A_c e_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{u}) : (\nabla_{\Gamma} V_n \otimes \mathbf{n}) e(\mathbf{u}) \Pi_d d\zeta(x) = \int_{\partial\Omega} \nabla_{\Gamma} V_n \cdot (A_c e_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{u}) \Pi_d e(\mathbf{u}) \mathbf{n}) d\zeta(x)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= - \int_{\partial\Omega} \operatorname{div}_\Gamma (A_c e_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}) \Pi_d e(\mathbf{u}) \mathbf{n}) V_n \, d\zeta(x) \\
&= - \int_{\partial\Omega} (\operatorname{div}_\Gamma (A_c e_\Gamma(\mathbf{u})) \cdot \Pi_d e(\mathbf{u}) \mathbf{n} + A_c e_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}) : \nabla_\Gamma (\Pi_d e(\mathbf{u}) \mathbf{n})) V_n \, d\zeta(x).
\end{aligned}$$

489

490 Therefore, inserting (3.11) and (3.12) in (3.10), we get

491

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_t G(0, \mathbf{u}) &= \int_{\partial\Omega} A e(\mathbf{u}) : e(\mathbf{u}) V_n \, d\zeta(x) + \alpha \int_{\partial\Omega} (\mathbb{H} |\mathbf{u}|^2 + 2\mathbf{u} \cdot \partial_n \mathbf{u}) V_n \, d\zeta(x) \\
&+ \beta \int_{\partial\Omega} \left(\mathbb{H} A_c e_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}) : e_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}) + 2A_c e_\Gamma(\partial_n \mathbf{u}) : e_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}) \right. \\
&- A_c e_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}) : \Pi_d (\nabla \mathbf{u} D^2 b + D^2 b^t \nabla \mathbf{u}) \Pi_d \left. \right) V_n \, d\zeta(x) \\
&- 4\beta \int_{\partial\Omega} (\operatorname{div}_\Gamma (A_c e_\Gamma(\mathbf{u})) \cdot \Pi_d e(\mathbf{u}) \mathbf{n} + A_c e_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}) : \nabla_\Gamma (\Pi_d e(\mathbf{u}) \mathbf{n})) V_n \, d\zeta(x) \\
&- \Lambda_\Omega(\Omega) \int_{\partial\Omega} |\mathbf{u}|^2 V_n \, d\zeta(x).
\end{aligned}$$

497

498 Then using the boundary condition in (2.4), to replace the term $\operatorname{div}_\Gamma (A_c e_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}))$, we
499 obtain

500

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_t G(0, \mathbf{u}) &= \int_{\partial\Omega} A e(\mathbf{u}) : e(\mathbf{u}) V_n \, d\zeta(x) + \alpha \int_{\partial\Omega} (\mathbb{H} |\mathbf{u}|^2 + 2\mathbf{u} \cdot \partial_n \mathbf{u}) V_n \, d\zeta(x) \\
&+ \beta \int_{\partial\Omega} (\mathbb{H} A_c e_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}) : e_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}) - A_c e_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}) : \Pi_d (\nabla \mathbf{u} D^2 b + D^2 b^t \nabla \mathbf{u}) \Pi_d) V_n \, d\zeta(x) \\
&+ 2\beta \int_{\partial\Omega} (A_c e_\Gamma(\partial_n \mathbf{u}) : e_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}) - 2A_c e_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}) : \nabla_\Gamma (\Pi_d e(\mathbf{u}) \mathbf{n})) V_n \, d\zeta(x) \\
&+ 4 \int_{\partial\Omega} (-\alpha \mathbf{u} - A e(\mathbf{u}) \mathbf{n}) \cdot \Pi_d e(\mathbf{u}) \mathbf{n} V_n \, d\zeta(x) - \Lambda_\Omega(\Omega) \int_{\partial\Omega} |\mathbf{u}|^2 V_n \, d\zeta(x).
\end{aligned}$$

505

506 This may be further rearranged to obtain the expression announced in Theorem 2.2.

507 **3.3.2. Proof of Theorem 2.3.** The eigenvalue functional over the perturbed
508 domain reads

509

$$(3.13) \quad \Lambda_{\partial\Omega}(\Omega_t) = \inf_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{H}(\Omega_t)} \left\{ \frac{1}{\int_{\partial\Omega_t} |\mathbf{v}|^2 \, dx} \left(\int_{\Omega_t} A e(\mathbf{v}) : e(\mathbf{v}) \, dx + \alpha \int_{\partial\Omega_t} |\mathbf{v}|^2 \, d\zeta(x) \right. \right. \\
\left. \left. + \beta \int_{\partial\Omega_t} A_c e_\Gamma(\mathbf{v}) : e_\Gamma(\mathbf{v}) \, d\zeta(x) \right) \right\},$$

511

512

and this may be reformulated over a function space $\mathcal{H}(\Omega)$ which is independent of t using the isomorphism $\mathbf{v} \mapsto \mathbf{v} \circ \Psi_t$ and setting $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{v} \circ \Psi_t$ as

$$\Lambda_{\partial\Omega}(\Omega_t) = \inf_{\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{H}(\Omega)} G_{\partial\Omega}(t, \mathbf{u})$$

513 where
514

$$515 \quad (3.14) \quad G_{\partial\Omega}(t, \mathbf{u}) := \frac{1}{\int_{\partial\Omega_t} |\mathbf{u} \circ \Psi_t^{-1}|^2 dx} \left(\int_{\Omega_t} A e(\mathbf{u} \circ \Psi_t^{-1}) : e(\mathbf{u} \circ \Psi_t^{-1}) dx \right. \\ 516 \quad \left. + \alpha \int_{\partial\Omega_t} |\mathbf{u} \circ \Psi_t^{-1}|^2 d\zeta(x) + \beta \int_{\partial\Omega_t} A_c e_\Gamma(\mathbf{u} \circ \Psi_t^{-1}) : e_\Gamma(\mathbf{u} \circ \Psi_t^{-1}) d\zeta(x) \right). \\ 517$$

518 **EXISTENCE OF DIRECTIONAL SHAPE DERIVATIVES.** We go over the main argu-
519 ments needed for applying Theorem 1.1 to problem (2.7) to obtain the existence of
520 the directional shape derivative.

521 Let us start by Assumption (H1). The arguments are exactly the same as in the previ-
522 ous case concerning G_Ω , except that which is needed for the continuity of the denom-
523 inator. In this case, it is enough to use the compact inclusion of $\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega_t)$ into $\mathbf{L}^2(\partial\Omega_t)$
524 (for which we refer, e.g., to [3]). Then, as in the previous case, the set $X(t)$ of minimiz-
525 ers for $G_{\partial\Omega}(t, \cdot)$ is obtained by transporting the minimizers in (3.13) to the domain Ω
526 by composition with Ψ_t . Therefore Assumption (H1) is satisfied.

527 Concerning Assumption (H2), we first get the following expression for $G_{\partial\Omega}$
528

$$529 \quad (3.15) \quad G_{\partial\Omega}(t, \mathbf{u}) = \frac{1}{\int_{\partial\Omega} |\mathbf{u}|^2 \omega(t) d\zeta(x)} \left(\int_{\Omega} A (\nabla \mathbf{u} \, D\Psi_t^{-1})^{\text{sym}} : (\nabla \mathbf{u} \, D\Psi_t^{-1})^{\text{sym}} j(t) dx \right. \\ 530 \quad \left. + \alpha \int_{\partial\Omega} |\mathbf{u}|^2 \omega(t) d\zeta(x) + \beta \int_{\partial\Omega} A_c (\mathbf{I}_d - \boldsymbol{\nu}_t \otimes \boldsymbol{\nu}_t) (\nabla \mathbf{u} \, D\Psi_t^{-1})^{\text{sym}} (\mathbf{I}_d - \boldsymbol{\nu}_t \otimes \boldsymbol{\nu}_t) \right. \\ 531 \quad \left. : (\mathbf{I}_d - \boldsymbol{\nu}_t \otimes \boldsymbol{\nu}_t) (\nabla \mathbf{u} \, D\Psi_t^{-1})^{\text{sym}} (\mathbf{I}_d - \boldsymbol{\nu}_t \otimes \boldsymbol{\nu}_t) \omega(t) d\zeta(x) \right).$$

532

533 Due to the smooth dependence in t of the coefficients appearing in (3.15), we con-
534 clude that $G_{\partial\Omega}(\cdot, \mathbf{u})$ is derivable with respect to t for all $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{H}(\Omega)$, which gives
535 Assumption (H2).

536 As in the previous case of G_Ω , the derivative of the individual terms may be
537 obtained by deriving under the integrals which lead to the fact that $\partial_t G_{\partial\Omega}(\cdot, \mathbf{u})$ is
538 also continuous with respect to t , for all $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{H}(\Omega)$, due to the \mathcal{C}^1 nature of the
539 coefficients. This gives Assumption (H4).

540 Finally we prove that assumption (H3) is also satisfied by showing, as in the case
541 of G_Ω , that $G_{\partial\Omega}(t, \cdot)$ converges to $G_{\partial\Omega}(0, \cdot)$ as $t \rightarrow 0$ in the sense of Γ -limit in the
542 weak topology on $\mathcal{H}(\Omega)$ and that the minimizers converge in the strong topology.

543 Thus the existence of the semi-derivative $\Lambda'_{\partial\Omega}(\Omega; \mathbf{V})$ follows from Theorem 1.1.

COMPUTATION OF DIRECTIONAL SHAPE DERIVATIVES. We only need to get a
suitable expression for $\partial_t G_{\partial\Omega}(0, \mathbf{u})$ whenever \mathbf{u} is a normalized eigenfunction for
 $\Lambda_{\partial\Omega}(\Omega)$ since, by the theorem,

$$\Lambda'_{\partial\Omega}(\Omega; \mathbf{V}) = \inf \{ \partial_t G_{\partial\Omega}(0, \mathbf{u}); \Lambda_{\partial\Omega}(\Omega) \text{ is attained at } \mathbf{u} \}.$$

544 Using the expressions (3.1)-(3.4) evaluated at $t = 0$, we get
545

$$\begin{aligned}
546 \quad \partial_t G_{\partial\Omega}(0, \mathbf{u}) &= \int_{\partial\Omega} Ae(\mathbf{u}) : e(\mathbf{u}) V_n \, d\zeta(x) + 2 \int_{\Omega} Ae(\mathbf{u}) : e(-\nabla \mathbf{u} \mathbf{V}) \, dx \\
547 \quad &+ \alpha \left(\int_{\partial\Omega} \left(\mathbb{H} |\mathbf{u}|^2 + \partial_n |\mathbf{u}|^2 \right) V_n \, d\zeta(x) + 2 \int_{\partial\Omega} \mathbf{u} \cdot (-\nabla \mathbf{u} \mathbf{V}) \, d\zeta(x) \right) \\
548 \quad &+ \beta \int_{\partial\Omega} \left(\mathbb{H} A_c e_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{u}) : e_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{u}) + 2 A_c e_{\Gamma}(\partial_n \mathbf{u}) : e_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{u}) \right. \\
549 \quad &\left. - A_c e_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{u}) : \Pi_d (\nabla \mathbf{u} D^2 b + D^2 b^t \nabla \mathbf{u}) \Pi_d \right) V_n \, d\zeta(x) \\
550 \quad &+ 2\beta \int_{\partial\Omega} A_c e_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{u}) : \left(e_{\Gamma}(-\nabla \mathbf{u} \mathbf{V}) + \Pi_d e(\mathbf{u}) (\mathbf{n} \otimes \nabla_{\Gamma} V_n + \nabla_{\Gamma} V_n \otimes \mathbf{n}) \right. \\
551 \quad &\left. + (\mathbf{n} \otimes \nabla_{\Gamma} V_n + \nabla_{\Gamma} V_n \otimes \mathbf{n}) e(\mathbf{u}) \Pi_d \right) \, d\zeta(x) \\
552 \quad &- \Lambda_{\partial\Omega}(\Omega) \left(\int_{\partial\Omega} \left(\mathbb{H} |\mathbf{u}|^2 + \partial_n |\mathbf{u}|^2 \right) V_n \, d\zeta(x) + 2 \int_{\partial\Omega} \mathbf{u} \cdot (-\nabla \mathbf{u} \mathbf{V}) \, d\zeta(x) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

553

554 Now, given u an eigenfunction in (2.5) whose L^2 norm is 1, we use $-\nabla \mathbf{u} \mathbf{V}$ as a test
555 function in (2.5) since $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{H}^2(\Omega)$ by usual a priori estimates (see [5, Theorem 1.1
556 and its proof] and we observe that

557

$$\begin{aligned}
558 \quad \int_{\Omega} Ae(\mathbf{u}) : e(-\nabla \mathbf{u} \mathbf{V}) \, dx + \alpha \int_{\partial\Omega} \mathbf{u} \cdot (-\nabla \mathbf{u} \mathbf{V}) \, d\zeta(x) \\
559 \quad + \beta \int_{\partial\Omega} A_c e_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{u}) : e_{\Gamma}(-\nabla \mathbf{u} \mathbf{V}) \, d\zeta(x) = \Lambda_{\partial\Omega}(\Omega) \int_{\partial\Omega} \mathbf{u} \cdot (-\nabla \mathbf{u} \mathbf{V}) \, dx,
\end{aligned}$$

560

561 and then arguing as in the previous subsection while using the boundary condition
562 in (2.5), we get

563

$$\begin{aligned}
564 \quad \partial_t G(0, \mathbf{u}) &= \int_{\partial\Omega} Ae(\mathbf{u}) : e(\mathbf{u}) V_n \, d\zeta(x) + \alpha \int_{\partial\Omega} (\mathbb{H} |\mathbf{u}|^2 + 2\mathbf{u} \cdot \partial_n \mathbf{u}) V_n \, d\zeta(x) \\
565 \quad &+ \beta \int_{\partial\Omega} (\mathbb{H} A_c e_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{u}) : e_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{u}) - A_c e_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{u}) : \Pi_d (\nabla \mathbf{u} D^2 b + D^2 b^t \nabla \mathbf{u}) \Pi_d) V_n \, d\zeta(x) \\
566 \quad &+ 2\beta \int_{\partial\Omega} (A_c e_{\Gamma}(\partial_n \mathbf{u}) : e_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{u}) - 2A_c e_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{u}) : \nabla_{\Gamma} (\Pi_d e(\mathbf{u}) \mathbf{n})) V_n \, d\zeta(x) \\
567 \quad &+ 4 \int_{\partial\Omega} (-\alpha \mathbf{u} - Ae(\mathbf{u}) \mathbf{n}) \cdot \Pi_d e(\mathbf{u}) \mathbf{n} V_n \, d\zeta(x) \\
568 \quad &- \Lambda_{\partial\Omega}(\Omega) \int_{\partial\Omega} (\mathbb{H} |\mathbf{u}|^2 + 2\mathbf{u} \cdot \partial_n \mathbf{u} - 4\mathbf{u} \cdot \Pi_d e(\mathbf{u}) \mathbf{n}) V_n \, d\zeta(x),
\end{aligned}$$

569

570 and by rearranging the terms we get the desired expression.

571

3.4. Shape derivative for eigenvalue problems for composite materials.

572

572 We recall that we use the following notation: $A_t = A_1 \chi_{\Omega_{1,t}} + A_2 \chi_{\Omega_{2,t}}$ and $\rho_t =$
573 $\rho_1 \chi_{\Omega_{1,t}} + \rho_2 \chi_{\Omega_{2,t}}$, with $\Omega_t = \Psi_t(\Omega)$, $\Omega_{1,t} = \Psi_t(\Omega_1)$ and $\Omega_{2,t} = \Psi_t(\Omega_2)$.

574

3.4.1. Proof of Theorem 2.4. The considered perturbed problem on Ω_t reads

575

$$\begin{aligned}
576 \quad \mathfrak{M}_\Omega(\Omega_t) &= \inf_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{H}(\Omega_t)} \left\{ \frac{1}{\int_{\Omega_t} \rho_t |\mathbf{v}|^2 dx} \left(\int_{\Omega_t} A_t(x) e(\mathbf{v}) : e(\mathbf{v}) dx + \alpha \int_{\partial\Omega_t} |\mathbf{v}|^2 d\zeta(x) \right. \right. \\
577 \quad &\quad \left. \left. + \beta \int_{\partial\Omega_t} A_c e_\Gamma(\mathbf{v}) : e_\Gamma(\mathbf{v}) d\zeta(x) \right) \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

578

579 The above can be formulated as

$$580 \quad (3.16) \quad \mathfrak{M}_\Omega(\Omega_t) = \inf_{\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{H}(\Omega)} G_\Omega(t, \mathbf{u}),$$

581 with

$$\begin{aligned}
582 \quad G_\Omega(t, \mathbf{u}) &:= \frac{1}{\int_{\Omega_t} \rho_t |(\mathbf{u} \circ \Psi_t^{-1})|^2 dx} \left(\int_{\Omega_t} A_t(x) e(\mathbf{u} \circ \Psi_t^{-1}) : e(\mathbf{u} \circ \Psi_t^{-1}) dx \right. \\
583 \quad &\quad \left. + \alpha \int_{\partial\Omega_t} |(\mathbf{u} \circ \Psi_t^{-1})|^2 d\zeta(x) + \beta \int_{\partial\Omega_t} A_c e_\Gamma(\mathbf{u} \circ \Psi_t^{-1}) : e_\Gamma(\mathbf{u} \circ \Psi_t^{-1}) d\zeta(x) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

585

586 We proceed as in the proofs of the previous theorems.

587 **EXISTENCE OF DIRECTIONAL SHAPE DERIVATIVES.** The existence of the semi-
588 derivative $\mathfrak{M}'_\Omega(\Omega; \mathbf{V})$ follows from Theorem 1.1 once the hypothesis of the theorem
589 are verified.

590 The verification of the hypothesis (H1) is like in the previous subsections due to
591 the coercivity of the tensor A_t .

592 The differentiability of $G_\Omega(\cdot, \mathbf{u})$ with respect to t for any $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{H}(\Omega)$ is seen once
593 we use a change of variables to rewrite $G_\Omega(t, \mathbf{u})$ as
594

$$\begin{aligned}
595 \quad (3.17) \quad G_\Omega(t, \mathbf{u}) &= \frac{1}{\int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{u}|^2 j(t) dy} \left(\int_{\Omega} C_t(y) (\nabla \mathbf{u} D\Psi_t^{-1})^{\text{sym}} : (\nabla \mathbf{u} D\Psi_t^{-1})^{\text{sym}} j(t) dy \right. \\
596 \quad &\quad \left. + \alpha \int_{\partial\Omega} |\mathbf{u}|^2 \omega(t) d\zeta(y) + \beta \int_{\partial\Omega} A_c (\mathbf{I}_d - \boldsymbol{\nu}_t \otimes \boldsymbol{\nu}_t) (\nabla \mathbf{u} D\Psi_t^{-1})^{\text{sym}} (\mathbf{I}_d - \boldsymbol{\nu}_t \otimes \boldsymbol{\nu}_t) \right. \\
597 \quad &\quad \left. : (\mathbf{I}_d - \boldsymbol{\nu}_t \otimes \boldsymbol{\nu}_t) (\nabla \mathbf{u} D\Psi_t^{-1})^{\text{sym}} (\mathbf{I}_d - \boldsymbol{\nu}_t \otimes \boldsymbol{\nu}_t) \omega(t) d\zeta(y) \right),
\end{aligned}$$

598

while observing that

$$C_t(y) := A_t(\Psi_t(y)) = A_1 \chi_{\Omega_{1,t}}(\Psi_t(y)) + A_2 \chi_{\Omega_{2,t}}(\Psi_t(y)) = A_1 \chi_{\Omega_1}(y) + A_2 \chi_{\Omega_2}(y)$$

599 is independent of t . The differentiability with respect to t , that is hypothesis (H2),
600 then follows due to the smooth dependence of the coefficients with respect to t , and
601 also the hypothesis (H4) follows.

602 The hypothesis (H3) is proved by showing, similarly as in the subsection 2.2,
603 that $G_\Omega(t, \cdot)$ converges to $G_\Omega(0, \cdot)$ as $t \rightarrow 0$ in the sense of Γ -limit in the weak
604 topology on $\mathcal{H}(\Omega)$ and that the minimizers converge in the strong topology.

COMPUTATION OF DIRECTIONAL SHAPE DERIVATIVES. Thus, we now only need to get a suitable expression for $\partial_t G_\Omega(0, \mathbf{u})$ given any normalized eigenfunction \mathbf{u} for $\mathfrak{M}_\Omega(\Omega)$ since, by the theorem,

$$\mathfrak{M}'_\Omega(\Omega; \mathbf{V}) = \inf\{\partial_t G_\Omega(0, \mathbf{u}); \mathfrak{M}_\Omega(\Omega) \text{ is attained at } \mathbf{u}\}.$$

605 Using the calculated expressions in (3.5), (3.6), (3.3) and (3.4), we get
606

$$\begin{aligned} 607 \quad \partial_t G_\Omega(0, \mathbf{u}) &= \int_\Gamma [Ae(\mathbf{u}) : e(\mathbf{u})] V_n \, d\zeta(x) + 2 \int_{\Omega_1} A_1 e(\mathbf{u}) : e(-\nabla \mathbf{u} \mathbf{V}) \, dx \\ 608 \quad &+ 2 \int_{\Omega_2} A_2 e(\mathbf{u}) : e(-\nabla \mathbf{u} \mathbf{V}) \, dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} A_2 e(\mathbf{u}) : e(\mathbf{u}) V_n \, d\zeta(x) \\ 609 \quad &+ \alpha \left(\int_{\partial\Omega} \left(\mathbb{H} |\mathbf{u}|^2 + \partial_n |\mathbf{u}|^2 \right) V_n \, d\zeta(x) + 2 \int_{\partial\Omega} \mathbf{u} \cdot (-\nabla \mathbf{u} \mathbf{V}) \, d\zeta(x) \right) \\ 610 \quad &+ \beta \int_{\partial\Omega} \left(\mathbb{H} A_c e_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}) : e_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}) + 2 A_c e_\Gamma(\partial_n \mathbf{u}) : e_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}) \right. \\ 611 \quad &\left. - A_c e_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}) : \Pi_d (\nabla \mathbf{u} D^2 b + D^2 b^t \nabla \mathbf{u}) \Pi_d \right) V_n \, d\zeta(x) \\ 612 \quad &+ 2\beta \int_{\partial\Omega} A_c e_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}) : \left(e_\Gamma(-\nabla \mathbf{u} \mathbf{V}) + \Pi_d e(\mathbf{u}) (\mathbf{n} \otimes \nabla_\Gamma(V_n) + \nabla_\Gamma(V_n) \otimes \mathbf{n}) \right. \\ 613 \quad &\left. + (\mathbf{n} \otimes \nabla_\Gamma(V_n) + \nabla_\Gamma(V_n) \otimes \mathbf{n}) e(\mathbf{u}) \Pi_d \right) \, d\zeta(x) \\ 614 \quad &- \mathfrak{M}_\Omega(\Omega) \left(\int_\Gamma [\rho |\mathbf{u}|^2] V_n \, d\zeta(x) + 2 \int_{\Omega_1} \rho_1 \mathbf{u} \cdot (-\nabla \mathbf{u} \mathbf{V}) \, dx \right. \\ 615 \quad &\left. + 2 \int_{\Omega_2} \rho_2 \mathbf{u} \cdot (-\nabla \mathbf{u} \mathbf{V}) \, dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} \rho_2 |\mathbf{u}|^2 V_n \, d\zeta(x) \right). \\ 616 \end{aligned}$$

617 Notice that the eigenmode \mathbf{u} does not belong to $\mathbf{H}^2(\Omega)$ due to the jumps on the
618 interface. Therefore the function $-\nabla \mathbf{u} \mathbf{V}$ does not belong anymore to $\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)$ and
619 hence cannot be used as test function directly. However the restriction of \mathbf{u} to each Ω_i ,
620 for $i = 1, 2$, belongs to $\mathbf{H}^2(\Omega_i)$ thanks to regularity assumptions on both the outer
621 boundary and the interface. Then, multiplying (2.8) by $-\nabla \mathbf{u} \mathbf{V} \in \mathbf{H}^1(\Omega_i)$ in each Ω_i
622 and integrating by part on Ω_i , for $i = 1, 2$, we obtain that
623

$$\begin{aligned} 624 \quad &\int_{\Omega_1} A_1 e(\mathbf{u}) : e(-\nabla \mathbf{u} \mathbf{V}) \, dx + \int_{\Omega_2} A_2 e(\mathbf{u}) : e(-\nabla \mathbf{u} \mathbf{V}) \, dx \\ 625 \quad &+ \int_{\partial\Omega_1} [Ae(\mathbf{u}) \mathbf{n} \cdot (-\nabla \mathbf{u} \mathbf{V})] \, d\zeta(x) + \alpha \int_{\partial\Omega} \mathbf{u} \cdot (-\nabla \mathbf{u} \mathbf{V}) + \beta \int_{\partial\Omega} A_c e_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}) : e_\Gamma(-\nabla \mathbf{u} \mathbf{V}) \\ 626 \quad &= \mathfrak{M}_\Omega(\Omega) \left(\int_{\Omega_1} \rho_1 \mathbf{u} \cdot (-\nabla \mathbf{u} \mathbf{V}) \, dx + \int_{\Omega_2} \rho_2 \mathbf{u} \cdot (-\nabla \mathbf{u} \mathbf{V}) \, dx \right). \\ 627 \end{aligned}$$

628 Then, noticing that $\nabla_\Gamma \mathbf{u}$ has a continuous trace on $\partial\Omega_1$ as also $Ae(\mathbf{u}) \mathbf{n}$ we obtain
629

$$\begin{aligned} 630 \quad &-\int_{\partial\Omega_1} [Ae(\mathbf{u}) \mathbf{n} \cdot (-\nabla \mathbf{u} \mathbf{V})] \, d\zeta(x) = \int_{\partial\Omega_1} Ae(\mathbf{u}) \mathbf{n} \cdot [(\nabla \mathbf{u} \mathbf{V})] \, d\zeta(x) \\ 631 \quad &= \int_{\partial\Omega_1} Ae(\mathbf{u}) \mathbf{n} \cdot [\partial_n \mathbf{u}] V_n \, d\zeta(x), \end{aligned}$$

632

633 Using the above, we get

634

$$\begin{aligned}
635 \quad \partial_t G_\Omega(0, \mathbf{u}) &= \int_\Gamma [Ae(\mathbf{u}) : e(\mathbf{u})] V_n \, d\zeta(x) - 2 \int_{\partial\Omega_1} Ae(\mathbf{u}) \mathbf{n} \cdot [\partial_n \mathbf{u}] \, d\zeta(x) \\
636 \quad &+ \int_{\partial\Omega} A_2 e(\mathbf{u}) : e(\mathbf{u}) V_n \, d\zeta(x) + \alpha \int_{\partial\Omega} (\mathbf{H} |\mathbf{u}|^2 + 2\mathbf{u} \cdot \partial_n \mathbf{u}) V_n \, d\zeta(x) \\
637 \quad &+ \beta \int_{\partial\Omega} \left(\mathbf{H} A_c e_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}) : e_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}) + 2A_c e_\Gamma(\partial_n \mathbf{u}) : e_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}) \right. \\
638 \quad &\left. - A_c e_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}) : \Pi_d (\nabla \mathbf{u} D^2 b + D^2 b^t \nabla \mathbf{u}) \Pi_d \right) V_n \, d\zeta(x) \\
639 \quad &+ 4\beta \int_{\partial\Omega} A_c e_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}) : \left((\mathbf{n} \otimes \nabla_\Gamma V_n + \nabla_\Gamma V_n \otimes \mathbf{n}) e(\mathbf{u}) \Pi_d \right) \, d\zeta(x) \\
640 \quad &\quad - \mathfrak{M}_\Omega(\Omega) \left(\int_\Gamma [\rho |\mathbf{u}|^2] V_n \, d\zeta(x) + \int_{\partial\Omega} \rho_2 |\mathbf{u}|^2 V_n \, d\zeta(x) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

640

641

642 An argument which shows that $A_c e_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}) : \mathbf{n} \otimes \nabla_\Gamma V_n e(\mathbf{u}) \Pi_d = 0$ (see (3.11)), then leads

643 to

644

$$\begin{aligned}
645 \quad \partial_t G_\Omega(0, \mathbf{u}) &= \int_{\partial\Omega_1} [Ae(\mathbf{u}) : e(\mathbf{u})] V_n - 2 \int_{\partial\Omega_1} Ae(\mathbf{u}) \mathbf{n} \cdot [\partial_n \mathbf{u}] \, d\zeta(x) \\
646 \quad &+ \int_{\partial\Omega} A_2 e(\mathbf{u}) : e(\mathbf{u}) V_n \, d\zeta(x) + \alpha \int_{\partial\Omega} (\mathbf{H} |\mathbf{u}|^2 + 2\mathbf{u} \cdot \partial_n \mathbf{u}) V_n \, d\zeta(x) \\
647 \quad &+ \beta \int_{\partial\Omega} \left(\mathbf{H} A_c e_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}) : e_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}) + 2A_c e_\Gamma(\partial_n \mathbf{u}) : e_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}) \right. \\
648 \quad &\left. - A_c e_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}) : \Pi_d (\nabla \mathbf{u} D^2 b + D^2 b^t \nabla \mathbf{u}) \Pi_d \right) V_n \, d\zeta(x) \\
649 \quad &+ 4\beta \int_{\partial\Omega} A_c e_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}) : \left((\nabla_\Gamma V_n \otimes \mathbf{n}) e(\mathbf{u}) \Pi_d \right) \, d\zeta(x) \\
650 \quad &\quad - \mathfrak{M}_\Omega(\Omega) \left(\int_{\partial\Omega_1} [\rho] |\mathbf{u}|^2 V_n \, d\zeta(x) + \int_{\partial\Omega} \rho_2 |\mathbf{u}|^2 V_n \, d\zeta(x) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

650

651

652 Then, applying the tangential Stokes formula, similarly as in (3.12), we get

653

$$\begin{aligned}
654 \quad \partial_t G_\Omega(0, \mathbf{u}) &= \int_{\partial\Omega_1} [Ae(\mathbf{u}) : e(\mathbf{u})] V_n - 2 \int_{\partial\Omega_1} Ae(\mathbf{u}) \mathbf{n} \cdot [\partial_n \mathbf{u}] \, d\zeta(x) \\
655 \quad &+ \int_{\partial\Omega} A_2 e(\mathbf{u}) : e(\mathbf{u}) V_n \, d\zeta(x) + \alpha \int_{\partial\Omega} (\mathbf{H} |\mathbf{u}|^2 + 2\mathbf{u} \cdot \partial_n \mathbf{u}) V_n \, d\zeta(x) \\
656 \quad &+ \beta \int_{\partial\Omega} \left(\mathbf{H} A_c e_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}) : e_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}) - A_c e_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}) : \Pi_d (\nabla \mathbf{u} D^2 b + D^2 b^t \nabla \mathbf{u}) \Pi_d \right) V_n \, d\zeta(x) \\
657 \quad &+ 2\beta \int_{\partial\Omega} \left(A_c e_\Gamma(\partial_n \mathbf{u}) : e_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}) - 2A_c e_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}) : \nabla_\Gamma (\Pi_d e(\mathbf{u}) \mathbf{n}) \right) V_n \, d\zeta(x) \\
658 \quad &+ 4 \int_{\partial\Omega} (-\alpha \mathbf{u} - Ae(\mathbf{u}) \mathbf{n}) \cdot \Pi_d e(\mathbf{u}) \mathbf{n} V_n \, d\zeta(x) \\
659 \quad &\quad - \mathfrak{M}_\Omega(\Omega) \left(\int_{\partial\Omega_1} [\rho] |\mathbf{u}|^2 V_n \, d\zeta(x) + \int_{\partial\Omega} \rho_2 |\mathbf{u}|^2 V_n \, d\zeta(x) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

659

660

661 and after rearranging the terms we get the announced expression.

662 **3.4.2. Proof of Theorem 2.5.** We will now calculate the sensitivity of $\mathfrak{M}_{\partial\Omega}(\Omega)$
 663 with respect to variations of the domain Ω and of the interface Γ . The perturbed
 664 problem then reads
 665

$$666 \quad \mathfrak{M}_{\partial\Omega}(\Omega_t) = \inf_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{H}(\Omega_t)} \left\{ \frac{1}{\int_{\partial\Omega_t} |\mathbf{v}|^2 d\zeta(x)} \left(\int_{\Omega_t} A_t(x) e(\mathbf{v}) : e(\mathbf{v}) dx + \alpha \int_{\partial\Omega_t} |\mathbf{v}|^2 d\zeta(x) \right. \right. \\ 667 \quad \left. \left. + \beta \int_{\partial\Omega_t} A_c e_\Gamma(\mathbf{v}) : e_\Gamma(\mathbf{v}) d\zeta(x) \right) \right\}.$$

669 The above can be formulated as

$$670 \quad (3.18) \quad \mathfrak{M}_{\partial\Omega}(\Omega_t) = \inf_{\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{H}(\Omega)} G_{\partial\Omega}(t, \mathbf{u}),$$

671 with

$$672 \quad G_{\partial\Omega}(t, \mathbf{u}) := \frac{1}{\int_{\partial\Omega_t} |(\mathbf{u} \circ \Psi_t^{-1})|^2 d\zeta(x)} \left(\int_{\Omega_t} A_t(x) e(\mathbf{u} \circ \Psi_t^{-1}) : e(\mathbf{u} \circ \Psi_t^{-1}) dx \right. \\ 673 \quad \left. + \alpha \int_{\partial\Omega_t} |(\mathbf{u} \circ \Psi_t^{-1})|^2 d\zeta(x) + \beta \int_{\partial\Omega_t} A_c e_\Gamma(\mathbf{u} \circ \Psi_t^{-1}) : e_\Gamma(\mathbf{u} \circ \Psi_t^{-1}) d\zeta(x) \right).$$

676 The verification of the hypotheses of Theorem 1.1 which guarantee the existence
 677 of the semi-derivative $\mathfrak{M}'_{\partial\Omega}(\Omega; \mathbf{V})$ can be shown using arguments from the previous
 678 subsections. We now get a suitable expression for $\partial_t G_{\partial\Omega}(0, \mathbf{u})$ given a normalized
 679 eigenfunction \mathbf{u} for $\mathfrak{M}_{\partial\Omega}(\Omega)$. To begin with we have

$$680 \quad \partial_t G_{\partial\Omega}(0, \mathbf{u}) = \int_{\Gamma} [Ae(\mathbf{u}) : e(\mathbf{u})] V_n d\zeta(x) + 2 \int_{\Omega_1} A_1 e(\mathbf{u}) : e(-\nabla \mathbf{u} \mathbf{V}) dx \\ 681 \quad + 2 \int_{\Omega_2} A_2 e(\mathbf{u}) : e(-\nabla \mathbf{u} \mathbf{V}) dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} A_2 e(\mathbf{u}) : e(\mathbf{u}) V_n d\zeta(x) \\ 682 \quad + \alpha \left(\int_{\partial\Omega} (H|\mathbf{u}|^2 + \partial_n |\mathbf{u}|^2) V_n d\zeta(x) + 2 \int_{\partial\Omega} \mathbf{u} \cdot (-\nabla \mathbf{u} \mathbf{V}) d\zeta(x) \right) \\ 683 \quad + \beta \int_{\partial\Omega} \left(H A_c e_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}) : e_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}) + 2 A_c e_\Gamma(\partial_n \mathbf{u}) : e_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}) \right. \\ 684 \quad \left. - A_c e_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}) : \Pi_d (\nabla \mathbf{u} D^2 b + D^2 b^t \nabla \mathbf{u}) \Pi_d \right) V_n d\zeta(x) \\ 685 \quad + 2\beta \int_{\partial\Omega} A_c e_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}) : \left(e_\Gamma(-\nabla \mathbf{u} \mathbf{V}) + \Pi_d e(\mathbf{u})(\mathbf{n} \otimes \nabla_\Gamma(V_n) + \nabla_\Gamma(V_n) \otimes \mathbf{n}) \right. \\ 686 \quad \left. + (\mathbf{n} \otimes \nabla_\Gamma(V_n) + \nabla_\Gamma(V_n) \otimes \mathbf{n}) e(\mathbf{u}) \Pi_d \right) d\zeta(x) \\ 687 \quad - \mathfrak{M}_{\partial\Omega}(\Omega) \left(\int_{\partial\Omega} (H|\mathbf{u}|^2 + \partial_n |\mathbf{u}|^2) V_n d\zeta(x) + 2 \int_{\partial\Omega} \mathbf{u} \cdot (-\nabla \mathbf{u} \mathbf{V}) d\zeta(x) \right). \\ 688 \quad 689$$

690 Then, multiplying (2.9) by $-\nabla \mathbf{u} \mathbf{V}$ in each Ω_i , for $i = 1, 2$, we observe that

691

$$\begin{aligned}
692 & \int_{\Omega_1} A_1 e(\mathbf{u}) : e(-\nabla \mathbf{u} \mathbf{V}) \, dx + \int_{\Omega_2} A_2 e(\mathbf{u}) : e(-\nabla \mathbf{u} \mathbf{V}) \, dx - \int_{\partial\Omega_1} A e(\mathbf{u}) \mathbf{n} \cdot [\partial_n \mathbf{u}] \, d\zeta(x) \\
693 & + \alpha \int_{\partial\Omega} \mathbf{u} \cdot (-\nabla \mathbf{u} \mathbf{V}) + \beta \int_{\partial\Omega} A_c e_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}) : e_\Gamma(-\nabla \mathbf{u} \mathbf{V}) = \mathfrak{M}_{\partial\Omega}(\Omega) \int_{\partial\Omega} \mathbf{u} \cdot (-\nabla \mathbf{u} \mathbf{V}) \, d\zeta(x).
\end{aligned}$$

695 Using the above we get

$$\begin{aligned}
696 & \\
697 & \partial_t G_\Omega(0, \mathbf{u}) = \int_\Gamma [A e(\mathbf{u}) : e(\mathbf{u})] V_n \, d\zeta(x) - 2 \int_{\partial\Omega_1} A e(\mathbf{u}) \mathbf{n} \cdot [\partial_n \mathbf{u}] \, d\zeta(x) \\
698 & + \int_{\partial\Omega} A_2 e(\mathbf{u}) : e(\mathbf{u}) V_n \, d\zeta(x) + \alpha \int_{\partial\Omega} (\mathbb{H} |\mathbf{u}|^2 + 2\mathbf{u} \cdot \partial_n \mathbf{u}) V_n \, d\zeta(x) \\
699 & + \beta \int_{\partial\Omega} \left(\mathbb{H} A_c e_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}) : e_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}) + 2A_c e_\Gamma(\partial_n \mathbf{u}) : e_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}) \right. \\
700 & \left. - A_c e_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}) : \Pi_d (\nabla \mathbf{u} D^2 b + D^2 b^t \nabla \mathbf{u}) \Pi_d \right) V_n \, d\zeta(x) \\
701 & + 4\beta \int_{\partial\Omega} A_c e_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}) : \left((\mathbf{n} \otimes \nabla_\Gamma(V_n) + \nabla_\Gamma(V_n) \otimes \mathbf{n}) e(\mathbf{u}) \Pi_d \right) \, d\zeta(x) \\
702 & - \mathfrak{M}_\Omega(\Omega) \int_{\partial\Omega} (\mathbb{H} |\mathbf{u}|^2 + 2\mathbf{u} \cdot \partial_n \mathbf{u}) V_n \, d\zeta(x).
\end{aligned}$$

704 Then, continuing as in the proof of Theorem 2.4, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
705 & \\
706 & \partial_t G_\Omega(0, \mathbf{u}) = \int_{\partial\Omega_1} [A e(\mathbf{u}) : e(\mathbf{u}) V_n] - 2 \int_{\partial\Omega_1} A e(\mathbf{u}) \mathbf{n} \cdot [\partial_n \mathbf{u}] \, d\zeta(x) \\
707 & + \int_{\partial\Omega} A_2 e(\mathbf{u}) : e(\mathbf{u}) V_n \, d\zeta(x) + \alpha \int_{\partial\Omega} (\mathbb{H} |\mathbf{u}|^2 + 2\mathbf{u} \cdot \partial_n \mathbf{u}) V_n \, d\zeta(x) \\
708 & + \beta \int_{\partial\Omega} (\mathbb{H} A_c e_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}) : e_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}) - A_c e_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}) : \Pi_d (\nabla \mathbf{u} D^2 b + D^2 b^t \nabla \mathbf{u}) \Pi_d) V_n \, d\zeta(x) \\
709 & + 2\beta \int_{\partial\Omega} \left(A_c e_\Gamma(\partial_n \mathbf{u}) : e_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}) - 2A_c e_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}) : \nabla_\Gamma(\Pi_d e(\mathbf{u}) \mathbf{n}) \right) V_n \, d\zeta(x) \\
710 & + 4 \int_{\partial\Omega} (-\alpha \mathbf{u} - A e(\mathbf{u}) \mathbf{n}) \cdot \Pi_d e(\mathbf{u}) \mathbf{n} V_n \, d\zeta(x) \\
711 & - \mathfrak{M}_\Omega(\Omega) \int_{\partial\Omega} (\mathbb{H} |\mathbf{u}|^2 + 2\mathbf{u} \cdot (\partial_n \mathbf{u} - 2\Pi_d e(\mathbf{u}) \mathbf{n})) V_n \, d\zeta(x).
\end{aligned}$$

713 Appendix A. Auxiliary results on shape derivatives.

714 The purpose of this subsection is to recall some auxiliary results or notions used
715 in the calculations of the shape sensitivities.

716 A.1. Classical derivative formulæ with respect to the domain.

LEMMA A.1 (See, e.g., [19]). *Let $\delta > 0$. Let a vector field $\mathbf{V} \in \mathbf{W}^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and let*

$$\Psi : t \in [0, \delta) \mapsto \Psi_t = \mathbf{I} + t\mathbf{V} \in \mathbf{W}^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Let a bounded Lipschitz open set Ω in \mathbb{R}^d and let $\Omega_t := \Psi_t(\Omega)$ for all $t \in [0, \delta)$. We consider a function f such that $t \in [0, \delta) \mapsto f(t) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is differentiable at 0 with $f(0) \in W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then the function

$$t \in [0, \delta) \mapsto F(t) = \int_{\Omega_t} f(t, x) \, dx$$

is differentiable at 0 (we say that F admits a semi-derivative) and we have

$$F'(0) = \int_{\partial\Omega} f(0, x) V_n \, d\zeta(x) + \int_{\Omega} f'(0, x) \, dx,$$

717 where $V_n = \mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{n}$.

LEMMA A.2 (See, e.g., [19]). Let $\delta > 0$. Let a vector field $\mathbf{V} \in \mathbf{C}^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and let

$$\Psi : t \in [0, \delta) \mapsto \Psi_t = \mathbf{I} + t\mathbf{V} \in \mathbf{C}^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Let a bounded open set Ω in \mathbb{R}^d of classe \mathcal{C}^2 and let $\Omega_t := \Psi_t(\Omega)$ for all $t \in [0, \delta)$. We consider a function g such that $t \in [0, \delta) \mapsto g(t) \circ \Psi_t \in W^{1,1}(\Omega)$ is differentiable at 0 with $g(0) \in W^{2,1}(\Omega)$. Then the function

$$t \in [0, \delta) \mapsto G(t) = \int_{\partial\Omega_t} g(t, x) \, dx$$

is differentiable at 0 (we say that G admits a semi-derivative), the function $t \in [0, \delta) \mapsto g(t)|_{\omega} \in W^{1,1}(\omega)$ is differentiable at 0 for all open set $\omega \subset \bar{\omega} \subset \Omega$ and the derivative $g'(0)$ belongs to $W^{1,1}(\Omega)$ and we have

$$G'(0) = \int_{\partial\Omega} (g'(0, x) + (\mathbf{H}g(0, x) + \partial_n g) V_n) \, d\zeta(x),$$

718 where $V_n = \mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{n}$ and where \mathbf{H} is the mean curvature function on $\partial\Omega$.

719 **A.2. Decomposition formulæ.**

720 LEMMA A.3. Given a bounded open set Ω in \mathbb{R}^d of class \mathcal{C}^2 and $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ we
721 have

$$722 \quad \partial_n (A_c e_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{u}) : e_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{u})) = 2A_c e_{\Gamma}(\partial_n \mathbf{u}) : e_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{u}) - A_c e_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{u}) : \Pi_d (\nabla \mathbf{u} D^2 b + D^2 b {}^t \nabla \mathbf{u}) \Pi_d,$$

723 where b is the signed distance to the boundary $\partial\Omega$.

Proof. Let us first notice that $\partial_n \Pi_d = 0$ and that $\Pi_d D^2 b = D^2 b \Pi_d = D^2 b$, and we underline the fact that $\nabla_{\Gamma} \mathbf{u} = \nabla \mathbf{u} \Pi_d$ since

$$\nabla_{\Gamma} \mathbf{u} = \begin{pmatrix} {}^t \nabla_{\Gamma} u_1 \\ \vdots \\ {}^t \nabla_{\Gamma} u_d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} {}^t \nabla u_1 \Pi_d \\ \vdots \\ {}^t \nabla u_d \Pi_d \end{pmatrix} = \nabla \mathbf{u} \Pi_d.$$

Then we have

$$\partial_n (\nabla_{\Gamma} \mathbf{u}) = \partial_n (\nabla \mathbf{u} \Pi_d) = \partial_n (\nabla \mathbf{u}) \Pi_d \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_n (\nabla \mathbf{u}) = D^2 \mathbf{u} \mathbf{n}.$$

Thus $\nabla (\partial_n \mathbf{u}) = \nabla (\nabla \mathbf{u} \mathbf{n}) = D^2 \mathbf{u} \mathbf{n} + \nabla \mathbf{u} \nabla \mathbf{n} = \partial_n (\nabla \mathbf{u}) + \nabla \mathbf{u} D^2 b$. Hence we obtain

$$\nabla_{\Gamma} (\partial_n \mathbf{u}) = \nabla (\partial_n \mathbf{u}) \Pi_d = \partial_n (\nabla \mathbf{u}) \Pi_d + \nabla \mathbf{u} D^2 b \Pi_d = \partial_n (\nabla_{\Gamma} \mathbf{u}) + \nabla \mathbf{u} D^2 b.$$

We also obtain, noticing that $D^2 b$ is symmetric,

$${}^t \nabla_{\Gamma} (\partial_n \mathbf{u}) = \partial_n ({}^t \nabla_{\Gamma} \mathbf{u}) + D^2 b {}^t \nabla \mathbf{u}.$$

724 We deduce from the previous computation that

$$\begin{aligned}
725 \quad \partial_n (e_\Gamma(\mathbf{u})) &= \frac{1}{2} \partial_n (\Pi_d (\nabla_\Gamma u + {}^t \nabla_\Gamma \mathbf{u}) \Pi_d) = \frac{1}{2} \partial_n (\Pi_d \nabla_\Gamma u + {}^t \nabla_\Gamma \mathbf{u} \Pi_d) \\
726 \quad &= \frac{1}{2} (\Pi_d \partial_n (\nabla_\Gamma u) + \partial_n ({}^t \nabla_\Gamma \mathbf{u}) \Pi_d) \\
727 \quad &= \frac{1}{2} (\Pi_d (\nabla_\Gamma (\partial_n \mathbf{u}) - \nabla \mathbf{u} D^2 b) + ({}^t \nabla_\Gamma (\partial_n \mathbf{u}) - D^2 b {}^t \nabla \mathbf{u}) \Pi_d) \\
728 \quad &= e_\Gamma (\partial_n \mathbf{u}) - \frac{1}{2} (\Pi_d \nabla \mathbf{u} D^2 b + D^2 b {}^t \nabla \mathbf{u} \Pi_d) \\
729 \quad &= e_\Gamma (\partial_n \mathbf{u}) - \frac{1}{2} \Pi_d (\nabla \mathbf{u} D^2 b + D^2 b {}^t \nabla \mathbf{u}) \Pi_d.
\end{aligned}$$

730 Therefore, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
731 \quad \partial_n (A_c e_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}) : e_\Gamma(\mathbf{u})) &= 2A_c \partial_n (e_\Gamma(\mathbf{u})) : e_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}) \\
732 \quad &= 2A_c \left(e_\Gamma (\partial_n \mathbf{u}) - \frac{1}{2} \Pi_d (\nabla \mathbf{u} D^2 b + D^2 b {}^t \nabla \mathbf{u}) \Pi_d \right) : e_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}), \\
733 \quad & \\
734 \quad &
\end{aligned}$$

735 which concludes the proof. \square

736 **LEMMA A.4.** *Given a bounded open set Ω in \mathbb{R}^d of class \mathcal{C}^2 , $\mathbf{V} \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)$*
737 *and $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
738 \quad \partial_t (e_{\Gamma_t}(\mathbf{u} \circ \Psi_t^{-1}))|_{t=0} &= e_\Gamma(-\nabla \mathbf{u} \mathbf{V}) \\
739 \quad &+ \Pi_d e(\mathbf{u})(\mathbf{n} \otimes \nabla_\Gamma V_n + \nabla_\Gamma V_n \otimes \mathbf{n}) + (\mathbf{n} \otimes \nabla_\Gamma V_n + \nabla_\Gamma V_n \otimes \mathbf{n}) e(\mathbf{u}) \Pi_d, \\
740 \quad &
\end{aligned}$$

742 where $V_n = \mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{n}$.

743 *Proof.* We first recall that, since $\partial_t \mathbf{n}_t|_{t=0} = -\nabla_\Gamma V_n$, we have $\partial_t \Pi_d|_{t=0} = \mathbf{n} \otimes$
744 $\nabla_\Gamma V_n + \nabla_\Gamma V_n \otimes \mathbf{n}$. Hence we obtain the result noticing that $e_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}) = \Pi_d e(\mathbf{u}) \Pi_d$. \square

745 **Remark A.5.** As compared to the scalar case dealt with in our previous paper,
746 since $e_\Gamma(\mathbf{u})$ is obtained by multiplying $e(\mathbf{u})$ on both sides by Π_d , when we derive
747 $e_{\Gamma_t}(\mathbf{u} \circ \Psi_t^{-1})$ with respect to t we obtain an extra term.

748 **A.3. Γ -convergence.** For the convenience of the reader, we recall the definition
749 and the main property of the Γ -convergence. For further details we refer to Dal
750 Maso [11].

751 **DEFINITION A.6.** (*Sequential Γ -convergence*) *A family of functionals $\{F_t\}_{t>0}$ de-*
752 *finied on a topological space X is said to be sequentially Γ -convergent to a functional F*
753 *as $t \rightarrow 0^+$ if the two following statements hold.*

754 (i) Γ -lim inf inequality. *For every sequence $\{x_t\}$ converging to $x \in X$, we have:*

$$755 \quad (A.1) \quad \liminf_{t \rightarrow 0^+} F_t(x_t) \geq F(x).$$

756 (ii) Γ -lim sup inequality. *For every $x \in X$, there exists a sequence $\{x_t\}$ converging*
757 *to x such that*

$$758 \quad (A.2) \quad \limsup_{t \rightarrow 0^+} F_t(x_t) \leq F(x).$$

759 *When properties (i) and (ii) are satisfied, we write $F = \Gamma - \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} F_t$.*

760 PROPOSITION A.7. Let $F_t : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a sequence of functionals on a topological
761 space such that:

762 (i) $F = \Gamma - \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} F_t$,

763 (ii) $\sup_t F_t(x_t) < +\infty \Rightarrow \{x_t\}$ is sequentially relatively compact in X .

764 Then we have the convergence: $\inf F_t \rightarrow \inf F$ as $t \rightarrow 0^+$ and, every cluster point of a
765 minimizing sequence $\{x_t\}$ (i.e. such that $F_t(x_t) = \inf_{x \in X} F_t(x)$) achieves the minimum
766 of F .

767

REFERENCES

- 768 [1] G. Allaire, Conception optimale de structures, *Mathématiques & Applications* **58**, Springer,
769 Berlin (2007).
- 770 [2] G. Allaire and F. Jouve, A level-set method for vibration and multiple loads structural opti-
771 mization, *Comput. Meth. Appl. Mech. Engineering* **194**, 3269–3290 (2005).
- 772 [3] M. Biegert, On traces of Sobolev functions on the boundary of extension domains, *Proc. Amer.*
773 *Math. Soc.*, Volume 137, Number 12, December 2009, Pages 4169–4176.
- 774 [4] V. Bonnaillie-Noël, M. Dambrine, F. Héreau, G. Vial. On generalized Ventcel’s type boundary
775 conditions for Laplace operator in a bounded domain. *SIAM Journal on Mathematical*
776 *Analysis*, 42(2) :931-945, 2010.
- 777 [5] V. Bonnaillie-Noël, M. Dambrine, F. Héreau, G. Vial. Artificial conditions for the linear elasticity
778 equations. *Mathematics of Computation*, 2015, 84, pp.1599–1632.
- 779 [6] G. Bouchitté, I. Fragalà and I. Lucardesi, Shape derivatives for minima of integral functionals,
780 *Math. Program., Ser. B* **1-2**, 111–142 (2014).
- 781 [7] G. Bouchitté, I. Fragalà and I. Lucardesi, A variational method for second order shape deriv-
782 atives, *SIAM J. Control Optim.*, **54**, 1056-1084 (2016).
- 783 [8] F. Caubet, M. Dambrine and R. Mahadevan Shape derivatives of eigenvalue functionals. Part
784 one: scalar problems. Preprint
- 785 [9] F. Caubet, D. Kateb, and F. Le Louër. Shape sensitivity analysis for elastic structures with gen-
786 eralized impedance boundary conditions of the Wentzell type—application to compliance
787 minimization. *J. Elasticity*, 136(1):17–53, 2019.
- 788 [10] J. Céa, Conception optimale ou identification de formes, calcul rapide de la dérivée directionnelle
789 de la fonction cout, *Math. Mod. Numer. Anal.* **20**, 371–402 (1986).
- 790 [11] G. Dal Maso, An introduction to Γ -convergence. Birkhäuser, Boston (1993).
- 791 [12] M. Dambrine and D. Kateb. On the shape sensitivity of the first Dirichlet eigenvalue for
792 two-phase problems. *Appl. Math. Optim.*, **63(1)**, 45–74, (2011).
- 793 [13] M. Dambrine, D. Kateb and J. Lamboley, An extremal eigenvalue problem for the Wentzell-
794 Laplace operator, *Annales de l’IHP, Analyse non linéaire*, **33(2)**, 409–450 (2016).
- 795 [14] M. Delfour and J.P. Zolésio, Shapes and Geometries. Analysis, Differential Calculus, and
796 Optimization, *Advances in Design and Control SIAM*, Philadelphia, PA (2001).
- 797 [15] M. Delfour and J.P. Zolésio, Shape sensitivity analysis via min max differentiability, *SIAM J.*
798 *Control Optim.* **26**, 834–862 (1988).
- 799 [16] G. Duvaut and J.-L. Lions, Inequalities in mechanics and physics, *Springer-Verlag*, Berlin-New
800 York (1976).
- 801 [17] J. Hadamard, Mémoire sur le problème d’analyse relatif à l’équilibre des plaques élastiques
802 encastrées. *Mémoire des savants étrangers* **33**, 515–629 (1907).
- 803 [18] A. Henrot, Extremum Problems for Eigenvalues of Elliptic Operators. *Frontiers in Mathemat-*
804 *ics*, Birkhäuser, Basel (2006).
- 805 [19] A. Henrot and M. Pierre, Variation et Optimisation de Formes. Une Analyse Géométrique,
806 *Mathématiques & Applications* **48**, Springer, Berlin (2005).
- 807 [20] M. David, J.-J. Marigo and C. Pideri, Homogenized interface model describing inhomogeneities
808 located on a surface. *J. Elasticity* 109, no. 2, 153–187 (2012).
- 809 [21] J.-J. Marigo and C. Pideri, The Effective Behavior of Elastic Bodies Containing Microcracks or
810 Microholes Localized on a Surface *International Journal of Damage Mechanics* 20(8):1151-
811 1177.
- 812 [22] J. Simon, Differentiation with respect to the domain in boundary value problems, *Numer.*
813 *Funct. and Optimiz.* **2(7-8)**, 649–687 (1980).
- 814 [23] J. Sokolowski and J.P. Zolesio, Introduction to Shape Optimization: Shape Sensitivity Analysis,
815 *Springer Series in Computational Mathematics* **10** Springer, Berlin (1992).
- 816 [24] K. Sturm, Mini-max Lagrangian approach to the differentiability of non-linear pde constrained

