

# Some remarks on sharply 2-transitive groups and near-domains

Frank Olaf Wagner

### ▶ To cite this version:

Frank Olaf Wagner. Some remarks on sharply 2-transitive groups and near-domains. 2022. hal-03590818v3

## HAL Id: hal-03590818 https://hal.science/hal-03590818v3

Preprint submitted on 1 Jun 2022

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - NoDerivatives 4.0 International License

### SOME REMARKS ON SHARPLY 2-TRANSITIVE GROUPS AND NEAR-DOMAINS

#### FRANK O. WAGNER

ABSTRACT. A sharply 2-transitive permutation group of characteristic 0 whose point stabiliser has an abelian subgroup of finite index splits. More generally, a near-domain of characteristic 0 with a multiplicative subgroup of finite index avoiding all multipliers  $d_{a,b}$  must be a near-field. In particular this answers question 12.48 b) of the Kourovka Notebook in characteristic 0.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Recall that a permutation group G acting on a set X is sharply 2-transitive if for any two pairs (x, y) and (x', y') of distinct elements of X there is a unique  $g \in G$ with gx = x' and gy = y'. Then G has involutions, and either involutions have fixed points and G is of permutation characteristic 2, or the action on X is equivalent to the conjugation action on the set I of involutions. In that case, all translations, i.e. products of two distinct involutions, are also conjugate and have the same order p, which is either an odd prime or  $\infty$ ; the number p (or 0 if  $p = \infty$ ) is the permutation characteristic of G. We say that G splits if it has a regular normal subgroup N; in that case  $G = N \rtimes C_G(i)$  for any involution  $i \in I$ . Note that Tent, Rips and Segev have constructed non-split sharply 2-transitive permutation groups of characteristic 0 and 2.

V. D. Mazurov asked in the Kourovka Notebook (question 12.48):

Let G be a sharply 2-transitive permutation group.

- (1) Does G possess a regular normal subgroup if a point stabilizer is locally finite?
- (2) Does G possess a regular normal subgroup if a point stabilizer has an abelian subgroup of finite index?

We shall answer question (b) affirmatively in permutation characteristic 0. In fact, we shall show a more general result for near-domains.

Date: 28/2/2022.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 20B22.

#### FRANK O. WAGNER

#### 2. Near-domains and near-fields.

Instead of working with sharply 2-transitive groups, we shall work in the equivalent setting of near-domains.

**Definition 1.**  $(K, 0, 1, +, \cdot)$  is a *near-domain* if for all  $a, b, c \in K$ 

- (1) (K, 0, +) is a *loop*, i.e. a + x = b and y + a = b have unique solutions, with a + 0 = 0 + a = a;
- (2)  $(K \setminus \{0\}, 1, \cdot)$  is a group, and  $0 \cdot a = a \cdot 0 = 0$ ;
- (3) left distributivity holds:  $a \cdot (b+c) = a \cdot b + a \cdot c;$
- (4) for all  $a, b \in K$  there is  $d_{a,b} \in K$  such that  $a + (b + x) = (a + b) + d_{a,b} \cdot x$  for all x.

A near-domain is a *near-field* if addition is associative.

Hence a near-field is a skew field iff right distributivity holds.

**Fact 2** (Tits, Karzel). A sharply 2-transitive permutation group G is isomorphic to the group of affine transformations of some near-domain K, i.e. of the set of permutations  $\{x \mapsto a + bx : a, b \in K, b \neq 0\}$ ; the centraliser of any involution is isomorphic to the multiplicative group  $K^{\times}$ . It is split iff K is a near-field.

Let E be the set  $\{d \in K : 1 + d = d + 1\}$ . Since the additive loop of K is powerassociative, it is easy to see that 1 generates a subfield of K contained in E, which is either  $\mathbb{Q}$  or  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . Thus K has a characteristic, which is easily seen to be equal to the permutation characteristic of G. Note that in characteristic > 2 there is a unique maximal sub-near-field, which is equal to E.

**Fact 3** ([1]). For all  $a, b, c \in K$  we have:

(1) 
$$d_{a,a} = 1.$$
  
(2)  $d_{a,b}(b+a) = a+b.$   
(3)  $cd_{a,b}c^{-1} = d_{ca,cb}.$   
(4)  $d_{a,b} = d_{a,c}d_{c+a,-c+b}d_{-c,b}.$   
(5) If  $a, b \in E$  then  $(a+b) 2 \in E.$   
(6)  $|K^{\times} : C_{K^{\times}}(d_{a,b})| = \infty$  if  $d_{a,b} \neq 1.$ 

Let now A be any subgroup of finite index in  $K^{\times}$  which avoids all non-trivial coefficients  $d_{a,b}$  for  $a, b \in K$ . Kerby [1, Theorem 8.26] has shown that K must be a near-field in the following cases:

- (1) charK = 0 and  $|K^{\times} : A| = 2$ ,
- (2) charK = 2,  $|K^{\times} : A| = 2$  and |E| > 2,
- (3) charK = p > 2 and  $|K^{\times} : A| < |E|$ .

We shall adapt the proof of (3) to characteristic 0.

**Lemma 4.** Suppose  $d_{a,1/k} = 1$ . Then  $d_{a,n/k} = 1$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

*Proof.* By induction on n. This is clear for n = 0 and n = 1. So suppose it holds for n, and consider

$$\frac{n+1}{k} + a = \left(\frac{n}{k} + \frac{1}{k}\right) + a = \frac{n}{k} + \left(\frac{1}{k} + a\right) = \frac{n}{k} + \left(a + \frac{1}{k}\right)$$
$$= \left(\frac{n}{k} + a\right) + \frac{1}{k} = \left(a + \frac{n}{k}\right) + \frac{1}{k} = a + \left(\frac{n}{k} + \frac{1}{k}\right) = a + \frac{n+1}{k}. \quad \Box$$

**Proposition 5.** If  $A \leq K^{\times}$  is a subgroup of finite index avoiding all nontrivial  $d_{a,b}$  and char(K) = 0, then K is a near-field.

*Proof.* Recall that  $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq E$ . If K = E, then  $d_{a,b} = 1$  for all  $a, b \in K$  and K is a near-field. So assume  $E \subsetneq K^{\times}$ , and take  $a \in K \setminus E 2^{-1}$ . Let  $n = |K^{\times} : A|$ . Then there are distinct i > j in  $\{0, 1, 2, \ldots, n\}/n!$  with  $d_{a,i}A = d_{a,j}A$ ; since  $d_{-j,i} = 1$  we obtain

$$d_{a,i} = d_{a,j}d_{j+a,-j+i}d_{-j,i} = d_{a,j}d_{j+a,-j+i}.$$

Hence  $d_{j+a,-j+i} \in A$ , and  $d_{j+a,-j+i} = 1$  by assumption. Now  $d_{(i-j)^{-1}(j+a),1} = d_{j+a,-j+i} = 1$ , so  $(i-j)^{-1}(j+a) \in E$ . Since  $-(i-j)^{-1}j \in \mathbb{Q} \subseteq E$ , we have

$$\left[-(i-j)^{-1}j + (i-j)^{-1}(j+a)\right] 2 = (i-j)^{-1}a \, 2 \in E,$$

and  $d_{a2,i-j} = 1$ . But  $0 < (i-j) n! \le n$  is integer, and there is an integer k > 0 with  $i-j = \frac{1}{k}$ . By Lemma 4 we obtain  $d_{a2,1} = 1$  and  $a \ 2 \in E$ , a contradiction.

**Corollary 6.** Let G be a sharply doubly transitive permutation group of characteristic 0 whose point stabilizer is virtually abelian. Then G is split.

*Proof.* If K is the associated near-domain,  $K^{\times}$  has an abelian subgroup A of finite index. Now any non-trivial  $d_{a,b}$  has a centralizer of infinite index in  $K^{\times}$ , so  $d_{a,b} \notin A$ . We finish by Proposition 5.

#### References

[1] Kerby, William. On infinite sharply multiply transitive groups, Hamburger Mathematische Einzelschriften, Neue Folge, Heft 6. Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, Göttingen 1974.

INSTITUT CAMILLE JORDAN, UNIVERSITÉ LYON 1 Email address: wagner@math.univ-lyon1.fr