

Light-induced in situ chemical activation of a fluorescent probe for monitoring intracellular G-quadruplex structures

Marco Deiana, Maëlle Mosser, Tangui Le Bahers, Elise Dumont, Marta Dudek, Sandrine Denis-Quanquin, Nasim Sabouri, Chantal Andraud, Katarzyna Matczyszyn, Cyrille Monnereau, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Marco Deiana, Maëlle Mosser, Tangui Le Bahers, Elise Dumont, Marta Dudek, et al.. Light-induced in situ chemical activation of a fluorescent probe for monitoring intracellular G-quadruplex structures. Nanoscale, 2021, 13, pp.13795 - 13808. 10.1039/d1nr02855c . hal-03590673

HAL Id: hal-03590673 https://hal.science/hal-03590673

Submitted on 28 Feb 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

Nanoscale

PAPER

Check for updates

Cite this: Nanoscale, 2021, 13, 13795

Received 5th May 2021, Accepted 5th July 2021 DOI: 10.1039/d1nr02855c

rsc.li/nanoscale

Introduction

Besides the fundamental role of genomic double-stranded (ds-) DNA in genetic information storage, expression, replication and transmission, there is growing evidence that other non-canonical forms of DNA structures also play major regulatory roles in the cell life cycle and metabolism. In particular, G-quadruplex (G4) structures, consisting of short singlestranded sequences self-organized into folded guanine-rich tetrameric units,^{1,2} are increasingly seen as components of prime importance in the regulation of senescent cells' replication.^{3–5} G4s are particularly prominent in oncogene promoters as well as in telomeres, and these regions have been

Light-induced *in situ* chemical activation of a fluorescent probe for monitoring intracellular G-quadruplex structures[†]

Marco Deiana, (**b***‡^a Maëlle Mosser, ‡^b Tangui Le Bahers, (**b**^b Elise Dumont, (**b**^b Marta Dudek, (**b**^c Sandrine Denis-Quanquin, ^b Nasim Sabouri, (**b**^a Chantal Andraud, ^b Katarzyna Matczyszyn, (**b**^c Cyrille Monnereau (**b***^b and Laure Guy (**b***^b)

Light-activated functional materials capable of remote control over duplex and G-quadruplex (G4) nucleic acids formation at the cellular level are still very rare. Herein, we report on the photoinduced macrocyclisation of a helicenoid quinoline derivative of binaphthol that selectively provides easy access to an unprecedented class of extended heteroaromatic structures with remarkable photophysical and DNA/RNA binding properties. Thus, while the native bisquinoline precursor shows no DNA binding activity, the new *in situ* photochemically generated probe features high association constants to DNA and RNA G4s. The latter inhibits DNA synthesis by selectively stabilizing G4 structures associated with oncogenic promoters and telomere repeat units. Finally, the light sensitive compound is capable of *in cellulo* photoconversion, localizes primarily in the G4-rich sites of cancer cells, competes with a well-known G4 binder and shows a clear nuclear co-localization with the quadruplex specific antibody BG4. This work provides a benchmark for the future design and development of a brand-new generation of light-activated target-selective G4-binders.

identified as attractive targets for anticancer therapeutics. While a large number of organic and coordination compounds have been reported to target duplex and G4 structures with different levels of selectivity, there are very few reports of small molecules that can bind to DNA only after activation by an external stimulus.6-12 Prominent recent examples of such active and dynamic G4-binders include a metal salphen complex that is activated in the presence of bio-reductants¹¹ and a metal-mediated conformational switching of a G4binder based on a pyridodicarboxamide unit.⁶ Among the various possible triggers, photon irradiation offers distinct advantages because of its higher spatiotemporal precision, tunable energetic resolution, remote action and absence of waste chemical products. Yet, the development of photoresponsive G4-ligands has received scant attention to date: a molecular photoswitch based on an azobenzene unit,7 stilbene- and dithienvlethene-derived ligands^{12,13} and (photo) caged G4-binders9,10 constitute rare examples of such molecules and translation of their activity into relevant physiological media has been rarely reported.^{10,12}

In a recent series of papers, we have described a low-cost and high-yielding, gram-scale synthetic procedure that afforded enantiopure binaphthol-like compounds featuring extended quinoline moieties, a motif quite commonly found in bioactive molecules.^{14–16} In the present paper, we describe



View Article Online

^aDepartment of Medical Biochemistry and Biophysics, Umeå University, 90187 Umeå, Sweden. E-mail: marco.deiana@umu.se

^bUniv Lyon, ENS de Lyon, CNRS UMR 5182, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1,

Laboratoire de Chimie, F69342 Lyon, France. E-mail: cyrille.monnereau@ens-lyon.fr, laure.guy@ens-lyon.fr

^cAdvanced Materials Engineering and Modelling Group, Faculty of Chemistry,

Wroclaw University of Science and Technology, Wyb. Wyspianskiego 27, 50-370 Wroclaw, Poland

[†]Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Synthesis, NMR spectra, HPLC, theoretical studies, spectra associated with the study of the photochemical reactions, G4 binding studies, cell imaging and quantification. See DOI: 10.1039/d1nr02855c

[‡]These authors contributed equally to this work.

Scheme 1 Synthetic pathway of the precursors and photogenerated products. Chemical structures and synthetic procedures of 1, 1' and 2.

how, upon UV light irradiation of **1**, selective conversion to a new emissive photoproduct **2** is achieved where the intramolecular macrocyclization of the individual quinoline moieties occurs with significant extension of the aromatic skeleton of the molecule (Scheme 1 and Fig. 1A). This π -extended dicationic chromophore that remains soluble in physiological media structurally belongs to the class of compounds sometimes referred to as "azonia",¹⁷ a class of molecules with remarkable photophysical properties^{18,19} that we study in detail through a combined spectroscopic study and computational modeling.

Given the well-known affinity of flat, extended poly(hetero) aromatic structures for DNA in general and the reported specific affinity of some, *i.e.*, extended quinolizinium derivatives or other crescent shaped analogues for G4 in particular,^{20–23} we then evaluate the properties of compound **2** as a light-activated G4 reporter/stabilizer.

We show that, while the native compound **1** displays no or very weak affinity towards duplex and G4 DNAs, the photochemically synthesized probe **2** binds both duplex and quadruplex structures, with a preference for G4s. The polymerase stop assay shows that **2** inhibits the progression of the Taq-DNA polymerase by selectively stabilizing the G4 in the DNA template. Finally, we demonstrate that photoconversion from **1** to **2** can operate under mild irradiation in cancer cells.

Results and discussion

Molecular design, structural characterization and spectroscopy

The water-soluble bisquinoline **1** is obtained in one step by O-pegylation of its previously described phenol precursor¹⁴ according to Scheme **1** (see the ESI for the full synthetic procedure, and NMR spectra in Fig. S1–S3†). The two PEG chains confer good water solubility to the synthesized compounds $(7.6 \times 10^{-4} \text{ M} \text{ in deionized water})$. The absorption spectrum of **1** in water features a classical π – π * band, centered at \approx 340 nm (Fig. 1A, blue curve). TD-DFT calculations confirm the localized nature of the intense band measured at 340 nm and predict a large oscillator strength, while the tail measured in the visible part is due to a series of charge transfer transitions characterized by very low oscillator strengths <0.04 a.u. (Fig. S18†). Only weak fluorescence is observed, with an apparent QY < 0.001 in agreement with the TD-DFT computed exist-



Fig. 1 Light-driven generation of 2 and the corresponding theoretical kinetic model. (A) UV/Vis spectral evolution of 1 (in deionized H_2O) upon irradiation at 355 nm. The absorption spectra were recorded at 5 min intervals. The black arrows show the emergence of isosbestic points. (B) Evolution of the fluorescence recorded at 480 nm during irradiation at 355 nm.

ence of weakly emissive first singlet excited states (Table S2 and Fig. S18 and S19[†]).

Upon prolonged irradiation in the π - π^* band (355 nm), compound **1** is progressively converted into an extended π -conjugated compound **2** as shown in Scheme **1**. Fig. 1A shows the accompanying evolution of the UV/Vis spectrum of **1**. It undergoes a progressive marked change, mainly characterized by the arising of a 420 nm centered broad band, and the simultaneous disappearance of the initial 340 nm centered transition. Throughout the whole transformation process, three isosbestic points are observed at 273, 318 and 361 nm, characteristic of a clean conversion process from the starting material to a single photo-generated product.

This conversion occurs with a very marked enhancement of the photoluminescence efficiency, which reaches 0.12 for the product. Meanwhile, the position and shape of the luminescence band are left unmodified by the transformation, strongly suggesting that the newly formed product was already present as traces in the initial sample (Fig. S23†), which was confirmed by the measurement of the excitation spectrum of

Nanoscale

the latter (not shown). In order to assess the kinetics of this transformation, changes in the luminescence intensity (480 nm) were monitored upon prolonged irradiation of the sample as shown in Fig. 1B. The irradiation wavelength was set near the isosbestic point of the reactant/product system (*ca.* 355 nm), in order to maintain a constant absorbance value throughout the whole experiment.

A sigmoidal curve was obtained, with an initial onset stage characterized by a slow increase in luminescence, followed by a much faster growth to reach a quasi-plateau value (Fig. 1B).

This evolution indicates that the reaction may occur either through an autocatalyzed photoinduced process²⁴ (where the photogenerated product catalyzes the reaction by which it is generated) or through several successive reactions.

According to the literature,²⁵ we were able to discriminate between both hypotheses by studying the slope of the tangent at the origin (t = 0) for the conversion of **1** in different initial system compositions (Fig. S24†) which enabled us to conclude in favor of a mechanism based on successive reactions.

The structure of **2**, as photogenerated in a spectrometer cell as a low concentration solution in D₂O, was elucidated *in situ* using 1 GHz NMR (structure of **2** is featured in Scheme 1, for complete NMR study, attribution, and printouts of the NMR spectra, see Fig. S6–S12†). Additional 2D NMR experiments and DOSY measurements confirmed the removal of the PEG chains in the course of the macrocyclization step. Meanwhile, HRMS analyses supported the existence of compound **2**, which was found at m/z = 229.0896 (z = 2, M^{2+}).

The DFT computed NMR signature for molecule 2 matched the experimental data (Table S1†), with a correlation factor above 0.99 (Fig. S16 and S17†). Moreover, absorption and emission spectra modelized by TD-DFT evidenced a good match between the positions (with a systematic error <0.1 eV) and relative intensities of the computed and experimental spectra for both the reactant and photogenerated product (Tables S2, S3 and Fig. S18–S22†).

While the aforementioned synthetic strategy is remarkably efficient in achieving almost quantitative *in situ* photoconversion of **1** to **2** in a physiological environment, we were not able to isolate the target compound **2** with scales and analytical purity compatible with a detailed and reliable evaluation of its binding properties with DNA/RNA molecules. In order to tackle this issue, we developed a new procedure starting from an alternative precursor (**1**', see Scheme 1 and the ESI† for the detailed synthetic procedure). Taking advantage of the difference in the solubility of precursor **1**' in water, we managed to isolate **2** as its chloride salt in water by simple extraction of the dichloromethane reaction medium with a NaCl (30 μ M) solution. The purity (as confirmed by ¹H NMR, Fig. S13, ¹³C NMR, Fig. S14, and HPLC, Fig. S15†) and the scale are compatible with the subsequent use of **2** in biological tests.

Evaluation of the duplex/G4-interactive binding properties of 1 and 2

1 and 2 were then separately involved in affinity measurements with ds-DNA and G4s (the oligo sequences used in this study

are provided in Table S4[†] and the morphological characterization of the studied G4 sequences in Fig. S29–S32[†]) after having ascertained a clean photoconversion of **1** to **2** in the buffered conditions used for the DNA association measurements (Fig. S33[†]). While significant spectral changes were observed in both cases for **2** (Fig. 2 and S34–S39[†]), no significant changes in the absorption band of **1** were observed under



Fig. 2 Photoactivated G4 binding process. (A) UV/Vis absorption spectra of 1 (dashed lines) and 2 (solid lines) in the presence of c-MYC Pu22 (C_1 = 3.8 μ M, C_2 = 3.6 μ M, C_{c-MYC} Pu22 = 0 to 15 μ M, C_{KCl} = 100 mM, and C_{Tris} = 50 mM pH 7.4). The gray dotted line shows the photoconversion of 1 into 2 in the presence of c-MYC Pu22 under λ_{exc} = 350 nm for 60 min. The arrows indicate the isosbestic points. Inset: nonlinear fitting procedure obtained by using a global 1:1 and noncooperative 2:1 binding model. The dashed black and the vertical green lines aim to show the inflection point supporting a 2:1 binding stoichiometry. (B) Emission spectra of 2 in the presence of c-MYC Pu22 ($C_2 = 3.6 \mu M$, $C_{c-MYC Pu22} = 0$ to 15 μ M, $C_{KCl} = 100$ mM, and $C_{Tris} = 50$ mM pH 7.4, λ_{exc} = 328 nm) and associated naked-eye detection of 2 in the absence (I: bluish cuvette) and presence of the G4 structure (II: colorless cuvette) under 312 nm UV light exposure. Inset: nonlinear fitting procedure obtained by using a global noncooperative 2:1 binding model. The dashed black and the vertical green lines indicate the inflection point supporting a 2:1 binding stoichiometry.

similar titration conditions, indicating no or very weak and unspecific binding interactions of **1** for DNA molecules, both in double-stranded and G4 conformations (Fig. 2A and S34, S36 and S38[†]).

In particular, upon addition of c-MYC Pu22, a well-characterized parallel G4 structure which constitutes a major reference for G4-interactive binding studies,²⁶⁻²⁹ the absorption bands of 2 underwent a marked hypochromic shift along with a bathochromic shift (Fig. 2A). The presence of isosbestic points characterized a well-defined 2-c-MYC Pu22 complex.²¹ A qualitatively similar evolution pattern was observed with other G4 structures (Fig. S34 and S36[†]), suggesting that 2 is not a sequence or topology-specific G4 binder. Interestingly, titration of 2 in the presence of the complementary c-MYC Pu22 single-stranded (ss-) C-rich sequence, under neutral pH conditions, led to very weak optical changes indicating a good binding selectivity for G4 structures (Fig. S40[†]). Under acidic pH conditions (pH 5), this C-rich sequence is also capable of forming an intercalated motif (i-motif) structure as probed by electronic circular dichroism (ECD) spectroscopy (Fig. S41[†]).³⁰ The luminescence properties of 2 were not modified upon the addition of the i-motif structure, further supporting the binding selectivity of 2 for G4s (Fig. 3).

Next, we investigated the possibility of inducing *in situ* the photoswitching G4-binding properties of **1** in the presence of *c-MYC* Pu22. As depicted in Fig. 2A (dotted gray line), photoconversion of **1** into **2** in the presence of the G4 structure eventually led to a spectral signature of the mixture similar to that



Fig. 3 Comparison of the binding profiles of 2 complexed with G4 and non-G4 structures derived by fluorimetric titrations. The ligand's fraction bound (F_{bound} , *i.e.* $L_{\text{total}} - L_{\text{free}}$) over the total ligand concentration (L_{total}) is plotted as a function of oligonucleotide concentration. Titrations involving G4s, ds-DNA and ss-DNA were performed in 50 mM Tris buffer (pH 7.4) and 100 mM KCl. Titration involving i-motifs was done in 50 mM cacodylate buffer (pH 5.0) and 100 mM KCl to maintain the same ionic strength condition.

obtained upon complexation of **2** with the same G4, thereby confirming the ability of this probe to behave as a "smart" photo-activated G4-binder.

Steady-state fluorescence titrations were conducted in buffered aqueous solutions. In order to avoid excitation artifacts resulting from the decrease in molar extinction coefficient at the absorption maximum in the complexed systems, all samples were excited at 328 nm, close to one of the isosbestic points of the system. Evolution of the fluorescence spectrum of 2 upon the addition of G4s (Fig. 2B and S35 and S37[†]) or ds-DNA (Fig. S39[†]) resulted in a steady decrease in the photo-luminescence with an almost complete quenching upon full complexation with parallel and hybrid DNA G4s. This evolution pattern brings evidence of the effective interaction between the fluorophores and the different DNA motifs. Furthermore, the very efficient quenching of the emission intensity of molecule 2 that accompanies G4 binding greatly facilitates visual monitoring of the complexation process (inset Fig. 2B). The qualitatively similar optical changes exhibited by 2 in the presence of duplex or quadruplex structures can be expected by considering the di-cationic nature of the ligand which may facilitate long-range interactions with the negatively charged phosphate groups distributed along the DNA duplex grooves.³¹⁻³³ Off-target binding interactions are also reported for the benchmark cationic G4stabilizer Phen-DC₃.^{34,35}

In order to gain further insights into the binding mode and quantitatively compare the affinity of **2** with different DNA architectures, a global nonlinear curve fitting procedure was carried out on both spectrophotometric and fluorimetric titrations.^{36,37}

The plot of the relative absorption changes or luminescence intensity variations vs. duplex/G4 concentration resulted in classical hyperbolic binding curves (Fig. 2 and S34-S39†). The binding constants determined from the nonlinear global fitting analysis showed that 2 displayed higher binding affinities towards noncanonical DNA structures (c-MYC Pu22 and Tel-22) than DNA duplex (note that the molecular size of the templates is comparable) (Table 1). Indeed, the output data derived from the 2-c-MYC Pu22 and 2-Tel-22 systems could be only fitted with great accuracy exclusively by using a 2:1 binding model. In this model, two molecules of 2 coordinate with a single G4 molecule, and a global association constant (K_{global}) with units in M^{-2} is provided by multiplying K_{11} $(M^{-1}) \times K_{21} (M^{-1})$.³⁸ Since the physical units describing a 1:1 and a 2:1 binding model are different, no direct quantitative comparison of the data can be made. However, with two molecules of compound 2 complexed to a single G4 template, the resulting association constants derived for 2-c-MYC Pu22 or 2-Tel-22 systems are likely to be much higher compared to the K value calculated for the 2-ds-DNA system. Altogether, all collected data point out a very efficient binding process of 2 to G4 structures (c-MYC Pu22 and Tel-22), with good selectivity over ds-DNA binding, thus confirming the relevance of this newly described class of compounds as specific G4 binders. The fluorimetric data supporting the interactive binding properties

| Table 1 Association constants (K) derived for 2-G4/duplex systems by using the 1:1 and noncooperative 2:1 binding |) models |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|

| | 1:1 fitting | | | 2:1 fitting | | | | |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|-----------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|
| System | $\overline{K^{a}\left(\mathrm{M}^{-1} ight)}$ | $\text{Cov}_{(\text{Fit})}$ | Error (%) | $\overline{K_{11}^{b}\left(\mathrm{M}^{-1}\right)}$ | $K_{21}^{\ b} (M^{-1})$ | $K_{\text{Global}}^{c} (\mathrm{M}^{-2})$ | $\text{Cov}_{(\text{Fit})}$ | Error (%) |
| 2-c-MYC Pu22 (UV/Vis) | $2.3 	imes 10^7$ | 0.0500 | 47.7 | $2.5 	imes 10^6$ | $6.2 	imes 10^5$ | $1.5 	imes 10^{12}$ | 0.0071 | 2.9 |
| 2-c-MYC Pu22 (emission) | $N.D.^d$ | | _ | 3.8×10^{6} | 9.5×10^{5} | 3.6×10^{12} | 0.0044 | 2.2 |
| 2-Tel-22 (UV/Vis) | 1.3×10^{7} | 0.0242 | 22.9 | $1.4 	imes 10^6$ | $3.5 	imes 10^5$ | 4.9×10^{11} | 0.0027 | 1.4 |
| 2-Tel-22 (emission) | $N.D.^d$ | | _ | $2.1 	imes 10^6$ | 5.2×10^5 | 1.1×10^{12} | 0.0009 | 0.8 |
| 2-Bom17 (UV/Vis) | 2.9×10^{6} | 0.0080 | 5.2 | _ | _ | _ | | _ |
| 2-Bom17 (emission) | 1.7×10^{6} | 0.0014 | 1.2 | _ | _ | _ | | _ |
| 2-ds-DNA (UV/Vis) | 9.0×10^{5} | 0.0057 | 2.1 | _ | _ | _ | | _ |
| 2-ds-DNA (emission) | $4.8 	imes 10^5$ | 0.0018 | 0.7 | _ | _ | _ | — | _ |

 ${}^{a}K$ = association constant derived by using a global 1:1 fitting model obtained with BindFit by using the Nelder–Mead method. ${}^{b}K_{11}$ = association constant derived by using a global 2:1 noncooperative fitting model through two identical binding sites (*i.e.* K_{11} = 4 K_{21}) obtained with BindFit by using the Nelder–Mead method. ${}^{c}K_{Global}$ = global association constant defined as K_{Global} = $K_{11} \times K_{21}$. ${}^{d}N.D.$ = not determined, very poor fit with uncertainties >100%.

of 2 toward DNA G4s and non-G4 structures are shown in Fig. 3.

The recognition mechanism exerted by 2 toward G4 structures was explored by unbiased all-atom molecular dynamic (MD) simulations. MD simulations corroborated a stable endstacking interaction mode of 2 with *c-MYC* Pu22 (Fig. 4, Table S5 and additional ESI† for details) with π -stacking above and below the plane of 2 (with G-quads and flanking nucleobases A, T or G) and proximity to the phosphate groups providing a stabilizing environment by electrostatic complementarity. MD simulations performed using telomeric G4s (hybrid I, hybrid II, hybrid III and antiparallel) having different folding patterns showed heterogeneous ligand binding models depending on the G4 structure considered with mainly 2 either end-stacked or lying along the G4's grooves (Fig. S64– S67†).

In order to attempt to identify the specific site localization of our probe on the *c-MYC* Pu22 template, we performed 1D ¹H NMR titration experiments. As shown in Fig. S42,† *c-MYC* Pu22 formed a highly defined G4 conformation as indicated by the well-resolved imino proton peaks appearing between 10 and 12 ppm. Upon addition of **2** to the G4 template, a line



Fig. 4 Molecular dynamics simulations. Representative structures of 2 in the presence of c-MYC Pu22 in (A) side view and (B) top view, obtained after 200 ns of all-atom molecular dynamics simulations. Two molecules of 2 coordinate with the c-MYC Pu22 template at the terminal G-tetrad ends.

broadening of all the signals in the imino-region of c-MYC Pu22 was observed. On comparing the chemical shift of all imino protons, we found that those corresponding to the G-tetrad ends, especially at the 5'-end, were the most perturbed. The line broadening of the signals is indicative of fast on-off rates between free and bound ligand states which confirms that 2 strongly binds to the c-MYC Pu22 G4 structure. Similar findings were recently reported for a number of G4ligands.^{39,40} In order to confirm that the observed line broadening was an effect of exchange processes caused by a strong binding rather than a compound-induced unfolding of the G4 structure, we performed ECD spectroscopy. ECD was used to assess the morphological changes occurring on the c-MYC Pu22 and telomeric G4 structures in the presence of 2 (Fig. S43 and S44[†]). ECD spectra showed that the secondary structures of both c-MYC Pu22 and Tel-22 were fully conserved upon the addition of 2. Therefore, in spite of their high association constants, complexation with 2 did not induce any marked topological changes on the G4 scaffolds, a highly important feature in the design of ideal in vivo G4 fluorescent probes. The lack of any well-resolved induced circular dichroism (ICD) signals further corroborates the hypothesis of a π -stacking binding mode.⁴¹⁻⁴³ The latter was also confirmed by fluorescence displacement assay using the G4 end-stacker, Phen-DC₃,⁴⁴ which was able to replace 2 from the external G-tetrads of c-MYC Pu22 indicating competition of the two molecules for the same binding site (Fig. S45†).

Interestingly, MD simulations using DNA duplex as a template showed 2 lying along the groove (Fig. 5A and B). These findings can be expected by considering the large π -conjugated distorted structure of 2 which can prevent the molecule from intercalating among the duplex nucleobases.

Experimental support for this hypothesis was provided by ECD experiments performed by titrating ds-DNA into a fixed concentration of **2**. As depicted in Fig. 5C, a positive ICD signal that matches the linear absorption of the ligand is observed upon duplex addition. The ICD band results from the coupling of the electric transition moments of **2** and the DNA bases.⁴⁵ Positive ICD signals are usually observed for DNA



Fig. 5 (A) Molecular dynamics simulations for 2 bound to the DNA duplex's groove. (B) Top-view image of 2 lying along the DNA groove. (C) ECD spectra of 2 complexed with ds-DNA. The emergence of a positive ICD signal in the 2-ds-DNA complex supports the groove binding mode of 2. ($C_2 = 10.0 \ \mu$ M, $C_{c-MYC \ Pu22} = 0$, 2.5, 5.0, 7.5 and 10.0 μ M, and $C_{Tris} = 10 \ m$ M pH 7.4).

groove binders and the absence of exciton coupling with the characteristic bi-signate shape ruled out the formation of dimer or higher order complexes.

G4-selective stabilization effects

In order to further confirm the ability of compound 2 to selectively stabilize G4s over duplex structures, we performed ECDbased thermal assays. A high level of thermal stabilization $(\Delta T_{\rm m})$ on the G4 templates upon complexation with 2 was observed (Table 2, Fig. 6 and S46†). Depending on the G4 structure considered, this stabilization reached up to ~30 °C (large enough to exclude unfolding of the G4 structure) after the addition of 4 equivalents of 2. Conversely, under the same experimental conditions used to probe G4 stabilization, very weak or no stabilizing effects on the duplex templates were observed (Fig. 6). Overall, these data indicate that 2 can specifically stabilize G4 structures over duplex conformations.

As G4 formation can stall DNA replication causing genomic instability, stabilization of these noncanonical DNA structures could play important roles in view of cancer therapeutic applications. Therefore, we evaluated **1** and **2** for their ability to affect the progression of the Taq DNA polymerase on two different G4 DNA templates, *c-MYC* and telomeric G4 DNA, and loaded these reactions on denaturing polyacrylamide gels.

Table 2 Thermal stabilization (ΔT_{m}) induced by 2 to G4 and duplex templates

| System | T _m (G4/duplex) | $T_{\rm m}$ (2-G4/duplex) | $\Delta T_{\rm m}$ |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|
| 2 - <i>c</i> - <i>MYC</i> Pu22 | 68 | >80 ^b | >12 ^b |
| 2-Tel-22 | 47 | 72 | 25 |
| 2- Bom17 | 36 | 61 | 25 |
| $2-4G_3U_3$ | 59 | 89 | 30 |
| 2-ds-DNA | 57 | 59 | 2 |
| 2-scr ds-DNA | 48 | 49 | 1 |
| 2-GC-rich ds-DNA | 47 | 51 | 4 |
| 2-ds-RNA | 57 | 55 | -2 |

 ${}^{a}\Delta T_{\rm m}$ values are calculated as: $T_{\rm m}$ (2-G4/duplex) – $T_{\rm m}$ (G4/duplex). ^b These values are an estimation because of the high thermal stability of the 2-*c*-MYC Pu22 complex.



Fig. 6 Results of the ECD-based thermal assays performed on a panel of DNA/RNA G4s or duplexes. (A) The radar graph shows the extent of thermal stabilization induced by **2** on G4 and duplex templates. (B and C) **2** exerts negligible thermal stabilization effects on the RNA duplex but largely stabilized the RNA G4 structure. ($C_2 = 8.0 \mu M$, $C_{ds-RNA/4G_3U_3} = 2.0 \mu M$, $C_{KCL} = 5 mM$, and $C_{Tris} = 10 mM pH 7.4$).

We systematically compared these results with those obtained using a non-G4 control sequence to determine the specificity of the molecules (Fig. 7A).⁴⁶ Selective stabilization of the G4 structure by 1 and/or 2 should enhance the pausing/arrest of the DNA polymerase on the G4 DNA template, resulting in lower amounts of full-length products (Fig. S47†). Similarly, unspecific stabilization of the non-G4 control template by 1 and/or 2 would also result in reduced amounts of replicated full-length products.

The conditions used in these experiments allowed multiple cycles/attempts to replicate each template by the Taq DNA polymerase, therefore only highly stable pausing sites are visible in the gels. The Taq polymerase stop assays performed with different concentrations of 2 (obtained after photoconversion of 1) showed a clear concentration-dependent G4 stabilization effect (Fig. 7D and E). 2 displayed a clear-cut binding preference for the G4 DNA sequences than for the non-G4 template, as no changes of full-length products were detected on this control template (Fig. 7D and E). In agreement with the spectroscopic data, 1 did not show any stabilization effects on either G4 or the non-G4 templates, an essential feature for a photoactivable prodrug (Fig. 7B and C). Next, the stabilization efficiency of 2 was compared with that of CX-5461, a very potent G4 stabilizer that is now tested in clinical trials for breast cancer patients.47 We found that 2 and CX-5461 exerted similar levels of G4 stabilization effects on both G4 templates (Fig. S48[†]). These results clearly shed light on the possible therapeutic potential of this molecule and may open novel (photo)therapeutic strategies that harness photophysical properties that have long been known but seldom combined in a single molecular scaffold.

Remote-light controlled intracellular duplex/G4 recognition

Molecules capable of binding nucleic acids with high affinity are known to efficiently inhibit most DNA/RNA-associated processes (replication, transcription, translation, and repair) with

- A) Non-G4 DNA:
 - 5'-GAGACCATTCAAAAGGATAATGTTTGTCATTTAGTATATGCCCCTGCTCGTCGTCCTCCCTGCTCG**CGGACGCTCGACGCCATTAATAATGTTTTCA**-3'

*** 5'-ATATATATATAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGGTTAGGGGATATATATATCGGACGCTCGACGCCATTAATAATGTTTTCA-3



Fig. 7 G4-stabilization through 1-to-2 photoconversion resolved by Taq-DNA polymerase stop assays. (A) Sequences of non-G4 DNA, *c-MYC* Pu24T and Tel-22 templates used in this assay. The region for the primer annealed to the complementary template DNA is marked in bold. The Gs forming the G4 structures are indicated in red. Asterisks represent the pausing sites detected in (B) and (D). (B) Taq-DNA polymerase stop assay with 1. (C) Relative quantification of full-length products of the gels in (B) normalized to the control sample ($C_1 = 0 \mu M$). (D) Taq-DNA polymerase stop assay with 2. (E) Relative quantification of full-length products of the gels in (D) normalized to the control sample ($C_2 = 0 \mu M$). Non-G4 DNA, *c-MYC* Pu24T and Tel-22 templates were tested for 1 and photoconverted 2. Concentrations of compounds used were ($C_{1/2} = 0.0, 0.05, 0.15, 0.25, 0.5, 1.5, 2.5, 3.5$ and 5 μM). Black arrows indicate the start of the reaction (non-extended primer); red arrows represent full-length products; asterisks represent pausing sites. Error bars represent standard deviations for 3 independent experiments.

sometimes disastrous adverse effects,⁴⁸ but also with many potential applications in medicine, and most predominantly in cancer therapy.⁴⁹ In this context, molecules whose binding affinities specifically can be triggered *in situ* from a "passive" to an "active" form using light present many advantages in view of designing target-specific photochemotherapeutic agents.⁵⁰

Recently, the intracellular localization of duplex/G4 turn-off probes^{51,52} including the benchmark G4-interactive compound

Phen-DC₃ was reported.⁵³ For instance, Phen-DC₃ is a weak fluorophore and its associated emission intensity was further quenched in the presence of DNA/RNA G4s (turn-off). Conversely, in cells, Phen-DC₃ showed a bright and welldefined fluorescence signal that was used to track DNA/RNA G4 formation. Even more recently, Di Antonio and co-workers reported on a G4-specific fluorescent probe that enabled single-molecule detection of DNA G4 structures in cells.⁵⁴ The authors were able to provide an estimation of the total DNA G4

nuclear concentration on a single U2OS cell of ~500 nM. Since the concentration of the fluorophores commonly used to detect G4 structures in cells by confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM) is within the micromolar range, it turns out that an excess of ligand compared to the total number of G4s is used. Under these conditions, the emissive properties of the fluorophore are only slightly modified (turned-off) by the intracellular G4 sites. Indeed, the large excess of the ligand may lead to the formation of multiple and heterogeneous ligand : G4 adducts having different stoichiometries/affinities and fluorescence quantum yields. This aspect dramatically differs from the *in vitro* data, in which a well-defined complex is usually formed at equimolar concentration of G4 and ligands.

These findings prompted us to evaluate the cellular emission fingerprint of 1 and 2 in fixed HeLa cells by CLSM. Firstly, we tested the *in cellulo* photoconversion of 1 into 2 (Fig. 8 and S49[†]). The cells were incubated with 1 and then either left in the dark (no UV light) or irradiated for 1, 2, 5 and 10 minutes at 312 nm before imaging. 1'-Treated cells in the absence of UV light showed no detectable fluorescence signal (Fig. 8 and S49[†]). However, upon 1 minute irradiation, mostly cytoplasmic staining was observed (Fig. 8 and S49[†]). After 2 minutes of irradiation, the staining pattern was dramatically changed and a punctate emission confined mostly within the nucleolar sites was observed (Fig. 8 and S49[†]). Increasing the time of exposure to UV light (5 and 10 minutes) resulted in an even brighter and well-defined nuclear signal (Fig. 8 and S49†). Based on these data, we can conclude that the fluorescence signal observed in the cells arose from the photoconversion of 1 into 2 along with subsequent re-localisation of the photoproduct from the cytoplasm to the nucleus and its binding to DNA/ RNA structures.

In order to confirm that the staining pattern of the photogenerated compound was independent of the synthetic protocol used (*i.e.* **2** photogenerated *in cellulo* from **1** or separately isolated as a salt from **1**'), we performed CLSM with the isolated pure form of **2**.

The cells treated with 2 revealed an intense fluorescence signal in the nuclear and G4-rich nucleolar compartments (Fig. 9A, S50 and S51†) in strong agreement with the aforementioned results using 1 *in cellulo* as a precursor.^{51,53,55–58} Therefore, we decided to use the isolated form of 2 in subsequent cell studies.

The localization of **2** in the nucleoli (dense multifunctional domains in which ribosome biogenesis begins) is relevant for future target applications, as the nucleoli are enriched with both DNA and RNA G4 structures.⁵⁹

To get a first hint about the possible interaction of 2 with DNA in the cells, we compared its staining pattern with that of TMPyP4, a porphyrin-based fluorescent duplex/G4 binder. The TMPyP4-treated cell showed, similar to 2, an intense fluorescence signal localized mainly in the nucleus and nucleoli, suggesting a high level of co-localization between the two probes (Fig. 9A and S50†). In order to confirm the nature of the main binding targets of 2, we then treated the MeOH-fixed



Fig. 8 In cellulo photoconversion of compound 1. Confocal fluorescence images of MeOH-fixed HeLa cells stained with 1 (10 μ M) along with the corresponding bright field (BF) and overlay images. The cells were either nonirradiated or irradiated with a 312 nm UV lamp for 1, 2, 5 and 10 minutes. Scale bar = 5 μ m. Experimental settings: a 405 nm diode laser was used to image 1 λ_{exc} = 405 nm, λ_{em} 430–630 nm.

cells with either RNase or DNase before incubating with 2. RNase pretreatment largely modified the nucleolar staining pattern of 2 indicating the possible binding of 2 to RNA molecules (Fig. 9B and S52A†). The almost complete disappearance of the 2-associated fluorescence signal in the nucleoli upon RNase treatment prevents the possibility to perform quantitative data analysis. The interactive binding interactions of 2 toward RNA G4 were investigated by *in vitro* fluorimetric titrations and indicated a relatively good binding affinity of 2 to the RNA G4 ($K = 2.6 \times 10^6 \text{ M}^{-1}$) (Fig. S53†).

To further examine the RNA binding properties of 2, we used Thioflavin-T (ThT) as a control. ThT is a fluorescent G4 probe with high affinity to RNA G4s. Thus, we expected that RNase pretreatment before incubating the cells with ThT would reduce the levels of the detected RNA G4 structures, similar to what was seen for $2.^{60}$ Indeed, we observed that the nucleolar ThT signal is reduced after RNase treatment (Fig. 9B, S52B and S54†). Together, these data suggest that



Fig. 9 G4 recognition in cancer cells. (A) Confocal fluorescence images of MeOH-fixed HeLa cells stained with 2 (10 μ M) or TMPyP4 (10 μ M) along with the corresponding bright field (BF) and overlay images. (B) Fluorescence images of fixed HeLa cells stained with 2 (10 μ M) before and after treatment with RNase (upper panel). Fluorescence images of ThT (5 μ M) before and after treatment with RNase (lower panel). (C) Fluorescence images of fixed HeLa cells stained with 2 (10 μ M) before and after treatment with DNase (upper panel). Fluorescence images of Hoechst 33342 (5 μ M) before and after treatment with DNase (lower panel). (D) Fluorescence displacement assay between 2 (10 μ M) and BRACO-19 (10 μ M). (E) Confocal fluorescence images of PFA-fixed HeLa cells stained with 2 (10 μ M) and BG4. Merged fluorescence images demonstrate the nuclear co-localization between 2 and BG4. No fluorescence signal was observed for the control cells that were not treated with BG4 but treated with the secondary antibodies. Scale bar = 5 μ m. Experimental settings (A–D): a 405 nm diode laser was used for 2 and Hoechst 33342 λ_{exc} = 405 nm, λ_{em} 430–630 nm for 2 and λ_{em} 430–530 nm for Hoechst 33342; an argon laser was used for TMPyP4 visualization λ_{exc} = 514 nm, λ_{em} = 550–700 nm; the argon laser was used for TMPyP4 visualization λ_{exc} = 405 nm, λ_{em} 430–480 nm; a white light laser (WLL) was used for BG4 λ_{exc} = 598 nm, λ_{em} 620–750 nm.

part of the nucleoli-signal detected by **2** and ThT are from RNA G4 structures.

Treatment of the fixed HeLa cells by DNase before incubating the cells with 2 showed a different staining pattern (Fig. 9C and S55A†). The DNase treatment strongly reduced the nuclear and nucleolar fluorescence signal associated with the presence of 2 (Fig. 9C, S55A and S56†). These data suggest that the fluorescence signal of 2 in the nucleus stems from duplex/G4 DNA binding. These conclusions were confirmed by the minor groove binder Hoechst 33342, showing that pre-treatment with DNase does indeed affect the nuclear signal (Fig. 9C and S55B†). Together, these last sets of experiments indicate that 2 mainly binds to DNA in the nucleus and to DNA/RNA in the nucleoli of HeLa cells. Finally, we performed a competition displacement assay using the G4-binder BRACO-19 to determine if BRACO-19 and 2 compete for the same binding sites in the cells.⁶¹ *In vitro* experiments showed that BRACO-19 could efficiently replace 2 from the *c-MYC* Pu22 template (Fig. S57†). In cells, BRACO-19 almost completely displaced 2 from the nuclear and nucleoli regions, showing that the compounds share the same binding sites (Fig. 9D, S58 and S59†). Replacement of 2 by BRACO-19 may be further explained by the ability of BRACO-19 to bind to both duplex and G4 structures as observed by photometric titrations (Fig. S60–S62†).

These results are expected, considering the poly-cationic nature of BRACO-19 which can easily establish electrostatic interactions with the phosphate groups of the DNA duplex.

Finally, we used the highly specific G4 antibody BG4 in immunofluorescence experiments to compare the localization of BG4 with 2 (Fig. 9E and S63[†]).⁶²⁻⁶⁴ As expected, 2 mainly stained the nuclei of HeLa cells. On the other hand, BG4 showed punctate nuclear and cytoplasmic staining in agreement with its ability to target both DNA and RNA G4s, respectively. The punctate fluorescence foci associated with BG4 staining usually differ from the less localized optical output observed for G4 sensors. This is expected by considering the different molecular sizes between BG4 and small optical reporters. Fluorogenic dyes can easily diffuse and accumulate within different cellular compartments providing spatially heterogeneous distributions. By merging both the individual fluorescent channels of 2 and BG4, we could observe that our probe fairly well co-localized with the G4-specific antibody mostly at the nuclear level. Importantly, in the absence of the primary BG4 antibody, no detectable fluorescent signal was observed supporting the targeting and visualization of DNA/ RNA G4s in HeLa cells by BG4 (Fig. 9E). Collectively, the results described above support the potential of 2 to bind and detect G4 structures in cells.

Conclusions

In this paper, we described the synthesis and detailed study of a (diazonia)tetrabenzonaphtacene compound **2** that combines relevant spectroscopic properties with compelling photo-triggerable binding properties to G4s.

The photochemical transformation associated with the conversion of 1 in water (or its closely related derivative 1' in organic solvent) takes place with high efficiency and selectivity, making it a very interesting new protocol in the toolbox of chemical synthesis of extended poly(hetero)aromatic compounds.^{65–67}

Spectroscopic features were fully addressed and rationalized on the basis of DFT and TD-DFT calculations.

In striking contrast with its parent helicoidal compound 1, polycyclic compound 2 undergoes efficient association with both duplex and quadruplex structures. In all cases, association results in marked changes in the absorption spectrum and in an almost quantitative quenching of the 460 nm centered luminescence enabling G4 naked eye detection. ¹H NMR titration studies, displacement assays and MD simulations supported the binding of 2 to *c-MYC* Pu22 through the end-stacking mode. ECD-based thermal measurements and Taq polymerase stop assays clearly showed the ability of 2 to impart a strong G4 stabilization effect to an extent that is comparable to one of the most potent G4 binders reported so far. Finally, 2 preferentially targeted both DNA in the nuclei and DNA/RNA in the nucleoli of cancer cells.

This last feature, combined with the possible *in cellulo* photoconversion of 1 to 2 that was clearly evidenced in this

paper, make this new class of molecules very appealing candidates in view of G4 targeted cancer therapeutic approaches, based on the *in cellulo* photoactivation of a prodrug.

Experimental

Materials

Solvents, reagents, chemicals and biological templates were purchased from commercial suppliers (Sigma-Aldrich and Eurofins Genomics) and used without further modifications unless otherwise stated. Oligonucleotides were diluted with ultrapure water (DNase and RNase free) to a concentration of 1 mM and stored at 5 °C. The exact oligonucleotide concentration was determined by UV/Vis spectroscopy using the molar extinction coefficients (ε_{260}) provided in Table S4† and calculated by using an oligo analyzer on the IDT website. An aqueous stock solution (1 M) of TRIS buffer was prepared by dissolving tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane in water and the pH was then adjusted to 7.4.

Oligonucleotide annealing and morphological characterization

Oligonucleotides were heated at 95 °C for 5 min in the presence of 100 mM KCl and then slowly allowed to reach room temperature overnight. I-motif formation was induced by using 50 mM cacodylate buffer at pH 5.0. The list of the oligonucleotides used in this study is provided in Table S4.† Evidence for most of the G4 folding sequences used in this study was provided by electronic circular dichroism (ECD) measurements and isothermal difference spectra (IDS), and the latter is defined as the difference between unfolded and prefolded normalized UV/Vis spectra. ECD spectra were normalized to $\Delta \varepsilon$ (M⁻¹ cm⁻¹) = $\theta/(32980 \times c \times l)$ based on G-quadruplex strand concentration, where θ is the ECD ellipticity in millidegrees, *c* is the DNA concentration in mol L⁻¹, and *l* is the path length in cm.

General procedures

The absorption spectra were recorded on a Hitachi U-2900 spectrophotometer (at WUST), on a T90+ UV/Vis spectrometer (PG Instruments Ltd, at Umeå University) or on a Jasco V-650 spectrophotometer (at ENS de Lyon). Steady-state emission spectra were recorded with a Hitachi F-7000 fluorescence spectrophotometer (at WUST), with a Jasco FP-6500 spectro-fluorometer equipped with a JascoPeltier-type temperature controller (ETC2736, at Umeå University) or with a Horiba Jobin-Ivon Fluorolog-3 fluorimeter (at ENS de Lyon). 1.0 cm path length quartz cells were used throughout these measurements.

Spectra of 2 (procedure A) recorded at 1 GHz for the structure elucidation

NMR spectra (¹H, ¹³C) of 2 were recorded at 298 K on a Bruker Avance III 1000 spectrometer at CRMN operating at 1000.3 MHz and 251.53 MHz for ¹H and ¹³C, respectively, at CRMN, Villeurbanne. A 5 mm TCI H-C/N-D cryoprobe

Nanoscale

equipped with a z-gradient coil was used. The temperature was calibrated with a tube containing 40 mm of 99.8% MeOH-d₄. Data were listed in parts per million (ppm) and were reported relative to tetramethylsilane. Residual solvent peaks were used as internal standards. ¹H NMR spectra were recorded with a single pulse sequence with a 30° pulse angle, and 16 scans were collected (relaxation delay, 1 s). A 0.3 Hz line broadening was applied before Fourier transformation and a baseline correction was performed on spectra before integration with Bruker software. ¹H chemical shifts are referenced to D₂O (4.7 ppm). The gCOSY experiments were performed with 8192 data points with 64 scans for each of 256 t1 increments being acquired with spectral widths of 13 369 Hz in F2 and F1. The required acquisition time was 306 ms. A recycle delay of 2 s was used. The gHSQC experiments were performed with 8192 data points with 16 scans for each of 256 t1 increments being acquired with spectral widths of 16 026 Hz in F2 and 41 505 Hz in F1. The required acquisition time was 256 ms. A recycle delay of 5 s was used. The gHMBC experiments were performed with 8192 data points with 128 scans for each of 256 t1 increments being acquired with spectral widths of 13 021 Hz in F2 and 55 868 Hz in F1. The required acquisition time was 315 ms. A recycle delay of 2 s was used. The gNOESY experiments were performed with 6144 data points with 64 scans for each of 256 t1 increments being acquired with spectral widths of 14 098 Hz in F2 and F1. The required acquisition time was 218 ms. A mixing time of 2 s and a recycle delay of 2 s were used. ¹H DOSY 2D experiments were acquired using a LED experiment. Gradients were linearly sampled in 32 to 40 points. 16 to 128 scans were acquired on 16k data points, with a recycle time of 3 s. The gradient pulse length was d/2 =1.2 ms and the D diffusion delay was 50 ms. A Fourier transform was applied in F2 with 1 Hz exponential broadening. The DOSY spectra were obtained by fitting independently all columns of the F2 transformed spectrum, using a Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm embedded into the commercial software BRUKER TopSpin[™].

Proton and carbon chemical shift assignments are provided in Table S1.[†]

Spectra of 2 (procedure B)

NMR spectra (¹H and ¹³C) of 2 following procedure B via 1' (Fig. S4 and S5^{\dagger} for ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra) are reported in the ESI.^{\dagger}

HPLC of 1' to 2 conversion

HPLC/MS were recorded on an Agilent Poroshell SB-C18 column \emptyset i.3 × L.100 mm using CH₃CN/H₂O (10 mM ammonium formate), flow rate 1 mL min⁻¹, detection UV at 254 nm, and 10 µL injected. Chromatograms are provided in Fig. S15.†

Theoretical studies: NMR chemical shift calculations

DFT/GIAO (Gauge independent atomic orbitals) calculations have been performed at the PBE0/6-311G(d,p)//PBE0/6-31 $^+$ G(d) level of theory, in order to corroborate the assignments

(Table S1[†]) of the experimental peaks for ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra. An implicit solvation scheme was used, the integral equation formalism polarizable continuum model (IEFPCM) to account for the solvation in water. The agreement between experimental *vs.* calculated ¹H and ¹³C NMR chemical shifts, using tetramethylsilane as a reference, is shown in Fig. S16 and S17.[†]

Kinetic experiments and fitting models

Spectra associated with the study of the photochemical reaction along with the applied kinetic equations and models are provided in the ESI (Fig. S23–S28†).

Spectrophotometric and fluorimetric titrations

A solution of **1** (3.8 μ M) or **2** (3.6 μ M) was prepared by diluting the stock solution in a suitable amount of buffered water (C_{KCI} = 100 mM, TRIS buffer 50.0 mM, pH = 7.4). The freshly prepared solutions were then titrated with the annealed oligonucleotide buffered solutions and left to equilibrate for several minutes before recording the UV/Vis or emission spectra.

Nonlinear analysis of the saturation curves

Quantification of the association constants (K, K_{11} and K_{21}) between 2 and canonical and noncanonical DNA structures was carried out by using a global nonlinear curve fitting procedure. The variation in the absorption and fluorescence signals of 2 was monitored upon the addition of incremental duplex and quadruplex concentrations and provides typical saturation binding curves. Binding constants were obtained with Bindfit by using multiple global fitting methods (Nelder-Mead method) on both the UV/Vis data in the range of 365–475 nm and fluorescence data in the range 445–545 nm. Dilution corrections were included in the fitting option.

Fluorescence displacement assays

Fluorescence displacement assays were performed by titrating the 2-*c*-MYC Pu22 binary mixture by increasing the concentration of the well-known G4-binders Phen-DC₃ or BRACO-19 until no change in the 2' fluorescence intensity was observed.

Electronic circular dichroism (ECD) spectra and ECD-based thermal melting assays

ECD spectra were recorded with a Jasco J-720 spectropolarimeter equipped with a JascoPeltier-type temperature controller (PTC-423L) and are presented as the sum of 4 accumulations by using a dual path length cuvette. ECD spectra were recorded in the range of 225–575 nm. Before use, the optical chamber of the CD spectrometer was deoxygenated with dry nitrogen and was held under a nitrogen atmosphere during the measurements. Appropriate references were subtracted from the obtained ECD spectra. CD melting data were acquired by increasing the temperature from 20 to 95 °C and monitoring the characteristic CD peaks of each sequence used. The G4 folding of these sequences, under the experimental conditions used for thermal assays (*i.e.* 5 mM KCl for *c-MYC* Pu22, Tel-22, and $4G_3U_3$ and 15 mM KCl for Bom17), is reported in

Fig. S29–S32.[†] Melting values were estimated by fitting the normalized melting curves with a dose response function using OriginPro 2015 software.

¹H NMR G4 titration studies

c-MYC Pu22 and **2** were dissolved in 10 mM potassium phosphate buffer (K_2 HPO₄/KH₂PO₄, pH = 7.4) and 35 mM KCl. 10% D₂O was added to the solutions. All spectra were recorded at 298 K on a Bruker 850 MHz Avance III HD spectrometer equipped with a 5 mm TCI cryoprobe. Excitation sculpting was used in the ¹H NMR titration experiments, and 256 scans were recorded. Processing was performed in Topspin 3.6 (Bruker Biospin, Germany).

Molecular dynamics simulations of 2 interacting with ds-DNA and G4s

All-atom explicit solvent molecular dynamics simulations were performed to probe the interaction mode of **2** with a ds-DNA sequence, telomeric G4s, and *c-MYC* Pu22 and details are provided in the ESI.[†]

DNA polymerase stop assays

Reactions containing 40 nM template DNA (G4 or non-G4) annealed to a TET-labeled primer were incubated with different concentrations of **1**, photoconverted **2** and CX-5461 in the presence of 50 mM KCl. The control reaction contained no ligands. 0.625 U μ L⁻¹ Taq-DNA polymerase was used in the reactions. Reactions with Taq-DNA polymerase were incubated for 30 minutes at 50 °C. The following oligonucleotide sequences were used:

Primer 5'-3':

TET-TGAAAACATTATTAATGGCGTCGAGCGTCCG

c-MYC Pu24T 5'-3':

ATATATATATTGAGGGTGGTGAGGGTGGGGAAGGATATATATA TCGGACGCTCGACGCCATTAATAATGTTTTCA

Tel-22 5'-3':

ATATATATATAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGATATATATATCG GACGCTCGACGCCATTAATAATGTTTTCA

Non-G4 5'-3':

GAGACCATTCAAAAGGATAATGTTTGTCATTTAGTATATGCCC CTGCTCGTCTTCCCCTTCTCCGGACGCTCGACGCCATTAATAATG TTTTCA

Fluorescence microscopy

HeLa cells (cervical cancer cell line) were cultured at 37 °C (5% CO_2) in a DMEM high glucose medium (Gibco) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum and penicillin–streptomycin. For fixed cell imaging, the cells were seeded on glass-bottomed microwell dishes (MaTek Corp.), fixed with cold MeOH for 10 min at -20 °C and permeabilized with PBST (phosphate buffered saline supplemented with 0.1% Triton X-100). For the *in cellulo* photoconversion (Fig. 8 and S49†), cells treated with 1 (10 μ M) for 30 minutes were either kept in the dark or irradiated by using a 312 nm UV light for 1, 2, 5 and 10 minutes before recording the fluorescence images. In the cellular experiments reported in Fig. 9 and S50, S52, S55, S58 and

S63,[†] the cells were treated with the isolated form of 2 (10 μ M) for 30 min at room temperature. For RNA degradation, the MeOH fixed cells were treated with 1 mg ml⁻¹ RNase A (Thermo Fisher) and incubated for 2 hours at 37 °C. For DNA degradation, the MeOH fixed cells were treated with 50 U μ L⁻¹ DNase I (Thermo Fisher) and incubated for 2 hours at 37 °C. For the fluorescence competition assay 2 (10 µM) was incubated with BRACO-19 (10 µM) for 30 min at room temperature. Images were acquired using a Leica SP8 FALCON (FAst Life time CONtrast) confocal microscope using an HC PL APO 63×/ 1.20 Water motCORR CS2 objective. Maximum intensity projection of Z-stack images was used for data presentation. Quantitative data analysis was performed by selecting the regions of interest and measuring the average fluorescence signal from the selected areas. All data were processed by using ImageJ software available at https://imagej.nih.gov/ij/.

Immunofluorescence experiments

BG4 with a FLAG-tag (Ab00174-30.126) was purchased from Absolute Antibody Ltd (Oxford, UK). 50 000 cells were seeded the day before treatment on glass-bottomed microwell dishes (MatTek Corp.). The cells were fixed with 4% PFA for 15 min and then permeabilized in 0.1% Triton X-100 for 20 min at room temperature. The cells were blocked with 2% nonfat milk dissolved in 1× PBS buffer and incubated for 1 h at 37 °C. BG4 (dilution: 1:1000) was dissolved in 1% tween-20/1× PBS supplemented with 5% goat serum (Sigma Merck, G9023) and the cells treated with BG4 were incubated for 1 h at 37 °C. The cells were washed with 1× PBS and incubated with Rabbit anti-Flag (Cell Signaling, cat. num 14793) (dilution: 1:800) dissolved in 1% tween-20/1× PBS supplemented with 5% goat serum for 1 h at 37 °C. Then, the cells were washed with 1× PBS and incubated with goat anti-rabbit IgG (H + L) Alexa Fluor 594 (Life Technologies, cat. num. A11012) (dilution 1:1000) dissolved in 1% tween-20/1× PBS supplemented with 5% goat serum for 1 h at 37 °C. Finally, the cells were washed multiple times with $1 \times PBS$ and incubated with 2 (10 μ M) for 30 min at room temperature. Control experiments were also performed in the absence of the primary BG4 antibody. Images were acquired using a Leica SP8 FALCON confocal microscope. Maximum intensity projection of Z-stack images was used for data presentation. All data were processed by using ImageJ software.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the Centre de RMN à Haut Champs, CRMN, FR CNRS 3050, for the access to their 1 GHz NMR facilities. MM, TLB, ED, SDQ, CA, CM and LG thank the SYSPROD project and AXELERA Pôle de Compétitivité for financial support (PSMN Data Center). NS and MDe thank the Biochemical Imaging Center at Umeå University, the National Microscopy Infrastructure (VR-RFI 2016-00968) and NMR for Life (http://www.nmrforlife.se), for NMR spectroscopy support. MM, TLB, ED, SDQ, CA, CM and LG thank the "fond recherche" of the Ecole Normale Supérieure de Lyon for funding, in the framework of the DiazBioLic project. Work in Sabouri's lab was supported by the Knut and Alice Wallenberg Foundation (KAW2015-0189), Cancerfonden (2019/126) and the Swedish Research Council (VR-MH 2018-02651). MDe was supported by a fellowship from the MIMS Excellence by Choice Postdoctoral Programme. Work in Matczyszyn's lab was supported by the NCN Opus grant (UMO-2019/35/B/ST4/ 03280).

Notes and references

- 1 J. R. Williamson, Annu. Rev. Biophys. Biomol. Stuct., 1994, 23, 703-730.
- 2 G. N. Parkinson, M. P. H. Lee and S. Neidle, *Nature*, 2002, 417, 876–880.
- 3 M. L. Bochman, K. Paeschke and V. A. Zakian, *Nat. Rev. Genet.*, 2012, **13**, 770.
- 4 J.-L. Mergny, J.-F. Riou, P. Mailliet, M.-P. Teulade-Fichou and E. Gilson, *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 2002, **30**, 839–865.
- 5 J. W. Shay, Cancer Discovery, 2016, 6, 584-593.
- 6 D. Monchaud, P. Yang, L. Lacroix, M.-P. Teulade-Fichou and J.-L. Mergny, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2008, 47, 4858– 4861.
- 7 X. Wang, J. Huang, Y. Zhou, S. Yan, X. Weng, X. Wu, M. Deng and X. Zhou, *Angew. Chem.*, *Int. Ed.*, 2010, 49, 5305–5309.
- 8 M. L. Di Pietro, F. Puntoriero, F. Tuyéras, P. Ochsenbein,
 P. P. Lainé and S. Campagna, *Chem. Commun.*, 2010, 46, 5169–5171.
- 9 T. Nakamura, K. Iida, M. Tera, K. Shin-ya, H. Seimiya and K. Nagasawa, *ChemBioChem*, 2012, **13**, 774–777.
- P. Murat, M. V. Gormally, D. Sanders, M. D. Antonio and S. Balasubramanian, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, 49, 8453–8455.
- 11 S. Bandeira, J. Gonzalez-Garcia, E. Pensa, T. Albrecht and R. Vilar, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, 57, 310–313.
- 12 M. P. O'Hagan, J. Ramos-Soriano, S. Haldar, S. Sheikh, J. C. Morales, A. J. Mulholland and M. C. Galan, *Chem. Commun.*, 2020, 56, 5186–5189.
- 13 M. P. O'Hagan, S. Haldar, M. Duchi, T. A. A. Oliver, A. J. Mulholland, J. C. Morales and M. C. Galan, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2019, **58**, 4334–4338.
- 14 A. Bensalah-Ledoux, D. Pitrat, T. Reynaldo, M. Srebro-Hooper, B. Moore, J. Autschbach, J. Crassous, S. Guy and L. Guy, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2016, 22, 3333–3346.
- 15 L. Jierry, S. Harthong, C. Aronica, J.-C. Mulatier, L. Guy and S. Guy, Org. Lett., 2012, 14, 288–291.
- 16 S. Speed, F. Pointillart, J.-C. Mulatier, L. Guy, S. Golhen, O. Cador, B. Le Guennic, F. Riobé, O. Maury and L. Ouahab, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2017, 2017, 2100–2111.

- 17 D. Sucunza, A. M. Cuadro, J. Alvarez-Builla and J. J. Vaquero, J. Org. Chem., 2016, 81, 10126–10135.
- 18 X. Liu, M. Li, T. Han, B. Cao, Z. Qiu, Y. Li, Q. Li, Y. Hu, Z. Liu, J. W. Y. Lam, X. Hu and B. Z. Tang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, **141**, 11259–11268.
- 19 Y. Chen, X.-R. Wei, R. Sun, Y.-J. Xu and J.-J. Ge, Anal. Methods, 2019, 11, 3523–3531.
- 20 H. Bertrand, A. Granzhan, D. Monchaud, N. Saettel, R. Guillot, S. Clifford, A. Guédin, J.-L. Mergny and M.-P. Teulade-Fichou, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2011, 17, 4529–4539.
- 21 A. Granzhan and H. Ihmels, *Synlett*, 2016, 1775–1793.
- 22 A. Granzhan, H. Ihmels and K. Jäger, *Chem. Commun.*, 2009, 1249–1251.
- 23 A. Marchand, A. Granzhan, K. Iida, Y. Tsushima, Y. Ma, K. Nagasawa, M.-P. Teulade-Fichou and V. Gabelica, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, 137, 750–756.
- 24 W. Luo, C. Zhu, S. Su, D. Li, Y. He, Q. Huang and C. Fan, *ACS Nano*, 2010, **4**, 7451–7458.
- 25 R. Schaal, in *Chemical Kinetics of Homogeneous Systems*, ed.R. Schaal, Springer, Dordrecht, Holland, 1974.
- 26 A. T. Phan, Y. S. Modi and D. J. Patel, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2004, 126, 8710–8716.
- 27 E. Hatzakis, K. Okamoto and D. Yang, *Biochemistry*, 2010, 49, 9152–9160.
- 28 R. D. Gray, J. O. Trent, S. Arumugam and J. B. Chaires, J. Phys. Chem. Lett., 2019, 10, 1146–1151.
- 29 K.-B. Wang, M. S. A. Elsayed, G. Wu, N. Deng, M. Cushman and D. Yang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2019, 141, 11059–11070.
- 30 Z. Dvoráková, D. Renciuk, I. Kejnovská, P. Školáková, K. Bednárová, J. Sagi and M. Vorlícková, *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 2018, 46, 1624–1634.
- 31 M. Deiana, B. Mettra, K. Matczyszyn, D. Pitrat, J. Olesiak-Banska, C. Monnereau, C. Andraud and M. Samoc, *Biomacromolecules*, 2016, 17, 3609–3618.
- 32 M. Dudek, M. Deiana, Z. Pokladek, P. Mlynarz, M. Samoc and K. Matczyszyn, *Nanoscale*, 2018, 10, 11302–11306.
- 33 M. Deiana, B. Mettra, L. Martinez-Fernandez, L. M. Mazur,
 K. Pawlik, C. Andraud, M. Samoc, R. Improta,
 C. Monnereau and K. Matczyszyn, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2017, 8, 5915–5920.
- 34 E. Largy, N. Saettel, F. Hamon, S. Dubruille and M. P. Teulade-Fichou, *Curr. Pharm. Des.*, 2012, 18, 1992– 2001.
- 35 E. Largy, F. Hamon and M.-P. Teulade-Fichou, *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.*, 2011, **400**, 3419–3427.
- 36 L. K. S. von Krbek, C. A. Schalley and P. Thordarson, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2017, 46, 2622–2637.
- 37 P. Thordarson, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2011, 40, 1305–1323.
- 38 A. Marchand, D. Strzelecka and V. Gabelica, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2016, 22, 9551–9555.
- 39 W. Liu, Y.-F. Zhong, L.-Y. Liu, C.-T. Shen, W. Zeng, F. Wang, D. Yang and Z.-W. Mao, *Nat. Commun.*, 2018, 9, 3496.
- 40 M. P. O'Hagan, P. Peñalver, R. S. L. Gibson, J. C. Morales and M. C. Galan, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2020, **26**, 6224–6233.
- 41 V. Grande, F. Doria, M. Freccero and F. Würthner, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 7520–7524.

- 42 M. Deiana, K. Chand, J. Jamroskovic, I. Obi, E. Chorell and N. Sabouri, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2020, 59, 896–902.
- 43 V. Grande, C.-A. Shen, M. Deiana, M. Dudek, J. Olesiak-Banska, K. Matczyszyn and F. Würthner, *Chem. Sci.*, 2018, 9, 8375–8381.
- 44 W. J. Chung, B. Heddi, F. Hamon, M.-P. Teulade-Fichou and A. T. Phan, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2014, **53**, 999–1002.
- 45 N. C. Garbett, P. A. Ragazzon and J. B. Chaires, *Nat. Protoc.*, 2007, **2**, 3166–3172.
- 46 H. Han, L. H. Hurley and M. Salazar, *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 1999, 27, 537-542.
- 47 H. Xu, M. Di Antonio, S. McKinney, V. Mathew, B. Ho,
 N. J. O'Neil, N. D. Santos, J. Silvester, V. Wei, J. Garcia,
 F. Kabeer, D. Lai, P. Soriano, J. Banáth, D. S. Chiu, D. Yap,
 D. D. Le, F. B. Ye, A. Zhang, K. Thu, J. Soong, S.-C. Lin,
 A. H. C. Tsai, T. Osako, T. Algara, D. N. Saunders, J. Wong,
 J. Xian, M. B. Bally, J. D. Brenton, G. W. Brown, S. P. Shah,
 D. Cescon, T. W. Mak, C. Caldas, P. C. Stirling, P. Hieter,
 S. Balasubramanian and S. Aparicio, *Nat. Commun.*, 2017,
 8, 14432.
- 48 L. R. Ferguson and W. A. Denny, *Mutat. Res., Fundam. Mol. Mech. Mutagen.*, 2007, 623, 14–23.
- 49 J. W. Nial, R. B. Craig, J. G. Collins, K. Sharon and R. A.-W. Janice, *Mini-Rev. Med. Chem.*, 2007, 7, 627–648.
- 50 Y. Yang, J. Mu and B. Xing, Wiley Interdiscip. Rev.: Nanomed. Nanobiotechnol., 2017, 9, e1408.
- 51 O. Domarco, C. Kieler, C. Pirker, C. Dinhof, B. Englinger, J. M. Reisecker, G. Timelthaler, M. D. García, C. Peinador, B. K. Keppler, W. Berger and A. Terenzi, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2019, 58, 8007–8012.
- 52 S. A. Archer, A. Raza, F. Dröge, C. Robertson, A. J. Auty, D. Chekulaev, J. A. Weinstein, T. Keane, A. J. H. M. Meijer, J. W. Haycock, S. MacNeil and J. A. Thomas, *Chem. Sci.*, 2019, **10**, 3502–3513.
- 53 M. Deiana, J. Jamroskovic, I. Obi and N. Sabouri, *Chem. Commun.*, 2020, **56**, 14251–14254.

- 54 M. Di Antonio, A. Ponjavic, A. Radzevičius, R. T. Ranasinghe, M. Catalano, X. Zhang, J. Shen, L. M. Needham, S. F. Lee, D. Klenerman and S. Balasubramanian, *Nat. Chem.*, 2020, **12**, 832–837.
- 55 J. Li, X. Yin, B. Li, X. Li, Y. Pan, J. Li and Y. Guo, *Anal. Chem.*, 2019, **91**, 5354–5361.
- 56 F. Doria, M. Nadai, M. Zuffo, R. Perrone, M. Freccero and S. N. Richter, *Chem. Commun.*, 2017, **53**, 2268–2271.
- 57 J. Jamroskovic, M. Doimo, K. Chand, I. Obi, R. Kumar, K. Brännström, M. Hedenström, R. Nath Das, A. Akhunzianov, M. Deiana, K. Kasho, S. Sulis Sato, P. L. Pourbozorgi, J. E. Mason, P. Medini, D. Öhlund, S. Wanrooij, E. Chorell and N. Sabouri, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2020, **142**, 2876–2888.
- 58 M. Deiana, K. Chand, J. Jamroskovic, R. N. Das, I. Obi, E. Chorell and N. Sabouri, *Nanoscale*, 2020, **12**, 12950–12957.
- 59 J. Lefebvre, C. Guetta, F. Poyer, F. Mahuteau-Betzer and M.-P. Teulade-Fichou, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2017, 56, 11365–11369.
- 60 S. Zhang, H. Sun, H. Chen, Q. Li, A. Guan, L. Wang, Y. Shi, S. Xu, M. Liu and Y. Tang, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*, 2018, 1862, 1101–1106.
- 61 E. Ruggiero and S. N. Richter, *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 2018, 46, 3270–3283.
- 62 G. Biffi, D. Tannahill, J. McCafferty and S. Balasubramanian, *Nat. Chem.*, 2013, 5, 182–186.
- 63 G. Biffi, M. Di Antonio, D. Tannahill and S. Balasubramanian, *Nat. Chem.*, 2014, **6**, 75–80.
- 64 S. Zhang, H. Sun, L. Wang, Y. Liu, H. Chen, Q. Li, A. Guan, M. Liu and Y. Tang, *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 2018, 46, 7522–7532.
- 65 M. Rickhaus, M. Mayor and M. Juríček, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2016, **45**, 1542–1556.
- 66 R. Rieger and K. Müllen, J. Phys. Org. Chem., 2010, 23, 315–325.
- 67 M. Stępień, E. Gońka, M. Żyła and N. Sprutta, *Chem. Rev.*, 2017, **117**, 3479–3716.