

How can we improve our practices in obstetric anal sphincter injury prevention, diagnosis, and management of symptomatic women?

Bertrand Gachon, Estelle Becam, Marie-Line Barussaud, Caroline Carlier-Guerin, Xavier Fritel

▶ To cite this version:

Bertrand Gachon, Estelle Becam, Marie-Line Barussaud, Caroline Carlier-Guerin, Xavier Fritel. How can we improve our practices in obstetric anal sphincter injury prevention, diagnosis, and management of symptomatic women?. Journal of Gynecology Obstetrics and Human Reproduction, 2021, 50 (9), pp.102183. 10.1016/j.jogoh.2021.102183. hal-03589561

HAL Id: hal-03589561

https://hal.science/hal-03589561

Submitted on 2 Aug 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



1 Title: How can we improve our practices in obstetric anal sphincter injury prevention, diagnosis, and 2 management of symptomatic women? 3 4 **Abstract: 137 words** Main text: 2.401words **Update** paper 5 6 **Keywords:** delivery, obstetric anal sphincter injury, anal incontinence, counselling. 7 **Authors:** 8 Bertrand Gachon MD, PhD Student 1,2,3 9 Estelle Becam MD 4 10 Marie-Line Barussaud MD 5 11 Caroline Carlier-Guerin MD ¹ Xavier Fritel MD, PhD 1,2 12 13 14 **Affiliations:** 15 1 – Poitiers University Hospital, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Poitiers, France 16 2 – INSERM CIC-P 1402, Poitiers University Hospital, Poitiers, France 17 3 - Nantes University, Movement - Interactions - Performance, MIP, EA4334, Nantes, France 18 4 - Poitiers University Hospital, Department of Hepatology and Gastroenterology, Poitiers, France 19 5 - Poitiers University Hospital, Department of General and Digestive Surgery, Poitiers, France 20 21 **Conflict of interest:** None of the authors have any conflict of interest to disclose. 22 23 **Author's participation in the manuscript** 24 BG - main text writing 25 EB – revision of each version especially gastroenterology considerations 26 MLB – revision of each version especially digestive surgery considerations 27 CCG – revision of each version especially gynecological considerations 28 XF – revision of each version of the manuscript 29 30 31 32 **Corresponding author** 33 Dr Bertrand GACHON 34 Poitiers University Hospital, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology 35 2, rue de la Milétrie – 86000 Poitiers, France

Fax: +33 5 49 44 39 10

Email: bertrand.gachon@gmail.com

36

Tel: + 33 5 49 44 39 45

UNSTRUCTURED ABSTRACT: 137 words Obstetric anal sphincter injury (OASI) is strongly associated with a major negative impact on women's health. Due to the consequences of an undiagnosed and therefore unrepaired OASI, it is essential to prevent or at least diagnose OASI at childbirth. We need to promote training of professionals to improve OASI screening at childbirth. High-risk situations such as operative delivery must be identified and preventive strategies such as the choice of a less traumatic instrument (vacuum) and mediolateral episiotomy should be considered. For a woman with OASI and/or symptoms, postnatal consultation with a specialist on pelvic floor disorders is essential to correctly orient her toward an adequate care pathway and to eventually identify occult or underestimated OASI. More data are required on therapeutic approaches for symptomatic women, primarily including physical therapy, sacral neuromodulation, delayed sphincter repair and palliative devices. Title: How can we improve our practices in obstetric anal sphincter injury prevention, diagnosis, and

management of symptomatic women?

MAIN TEXT: 2.401 words

INTRODUCTION

Obstetric Anal Sphincter Injuries (OASI) are a group of severe perineal tears involving at least a superficial rupture of the external anal sphincter (third degree) and at worst a complete rupture of both the external and internal anal sphincters and an opening of the rectal mucosa (fourth degree) [1, 2]. Incidence of perineal trauma is reported in the literature at 0.5% to 5%, with nulliparity and operative vaginal delivery being the most important risk factors. This complication of childbirth may have a major impact on women's health with a risk of anal incontinence, perineal pain, sexual dysfunction, and postnatal depression [3-5]. There is also an important medico-legal impact insofar as it represents the fourth largest number of maternity claims in the United Kingdom [6, 7]. A major issue is represented by "occult" OASI, meaning anal sphincter rupture that remains undiagnosed/missed or underestimated during perineal assessment following a vaginal delivery. In this situation, women are exposed to a high risk of pelvic floor disorders and without the help of a specialist, other underreported symptoms and suboptimal postnatal management [2].

Following a case report illustrating suboptimal care of a complete external anal sphincter rupture, we will present a narrative review of the ways for optimizing the detection and protect women from OASI, and how best to inform them about the consequences and improve their management.

A brief and too common CASE REPORT

- A 26-year-old woman delivered her first child, who weighed 3.060g, by an operative vaginal delivery using vacuum and then forceps.
 - A superficial OASI (3a tear) was diagnosed and repaired with only one absorbable stitch. At postnatal consultation (at 6 weeks), the woman reported anal incontinence, but the registrar considered it as usual after a vaginal delivery. Two years later, during her second pregnancy she was referred to a urogynecologist: she reported severe fecal incontinence (a 17/20 Wexner score with use of anal plugs) and the specialist found a clinically evident complete rupture of the external anal sphincter. Given her negative recollection experience of the first delivery, the woman requested and received elective cesarean section. Endoanal ultrasound found complete rupture of the external anal sphincter by 45°. No significant improvement was achieved by

61 physiotherapy, and 8 months after the cesarean section delayed external anal sphincter reparation was carried out

which improved the symptoms. Three months after surgery her anal leakage is limited to gas and liquid stool.

63 She no longer wears an anal shutter and has resumed her sports, social and professional activities.

Can we improve diagnosis efficiency?

Several guidelines recommend that the perineum should be examined immediately after a vaginal delivery to look for OASI occurrence [1, 2, 8]. The point that needs improvement is the training of obstetricians and midwives to perform this screening correctly and effectively diagnose OASI. There are several data reports showing that the rate of OASI diagnosis increased after specific training [9, 10]. The United Kingdom implements a Care Bundle to improve OASI prevention and sensitize practitioners to the importance of training for improvement of their diagnosis performance [7, 11]. The other way of improving OASI diagnosis that deserves our attention consists of ultrasound scan in the labor room. In a randomized controlled trial (Endoanal ultrasound versus no Endoanal ultrasound at delivery) the ultrasound group reported reduced anal incontinence at 3 months (3.3 versus 8.7%) and one year after the delivery (3.3 versus 6.7%) [12]. Despite these encouraging results, it would seem difficult to generalize Endoanal scans in all labor rooms. However, it appears legitimate, in a very high-risk situation such as the one we have described, to propose ultrasound screening for OASI or a second opinion/examination by a specialist in pelvic floor disorders. It appears essential in this context to emphasize the importance of training in perineal examination for all birth professionals.

Can we prevent OASI occurrence in high-risk situations such as operative vaginal delivery?

79 The prevalence of OASI in cases of non-instrumental delivery is lower to date, but perhaps under-diagnosed.

Even when considering this limitation, compared to spontaneous vaginal delivery, operative vaginal delivery is

the most important risk factor for OASI occurrence [1, 2, 13-16]. Considering this, it appears intuitive that most

missed or underestimated OASI cases would occur in this situation. In this context, one major challenge is to

promote strategies for reducing this risk and preventing OASI occurrence. With this in mind, choice of

instrument and mediolateral episiotomy may have a substantial impact.

The role of the instrument

There is abundant literature suggesting that compared to vacuum delivery, operative vaginal delivery using

forceps is associated with a higher risk of OASI occurrence [1, 8, 13, 14, 17]. One explanatory hypothesis is that

a forceps placed on the fetal head increases the fetal cephalic perimeter, particularly its transverse diameter,

thereby inducing major stress on the perineum [17]. One limitation to this interpretation is that for most of research teams reporting a higher morbidity in case of forceps, the vacuum has become the first-line instrument, while the forceps is restricted to the most difficult cases [1, 8, 13, 14, 17].

This may reflect an indication bias, which may be associated with overestimation of the negative impact of the forceps. Considering the data of the literature and these possible limitations, several guidelines indicate that obstetricians should be aware that the use of the forceps appears associated with increased maternal morbidity, and that should be considered in the choice of instrument for operative vaginal delivery [1, 2, 13]. French guidelines indicate that when all instruments can be used, it is preferentially recommended to use a vacuum to protect a woman's perineum [1].

The role of mediolateral episiotomy

Findings with a high level of evidence show that in spontaneous vaginal delivery, mediolateral episiotomy is not protective of OASI occurrence [1, 2, 8, 18] and in cases of operative delivery, its role in OASI prevention is highly debatable [15]. That said, most of the literature reports an apparently protective effect of mediolateral episiotomy, especially in the event of forceps-assisted delivery [15, 17, 19]. However, many of the studies have only a low or moderate level of evidence, which is why none of the existing guidelines are stronger than "episiotomy should be considered" [1, 2, 8]. It is likely that in a high-risk situation such as the one reported here, which involved sequential instrumentation in a nulliparous woman, mediolateral episiotomy would have been protective. A large-scale French prospective one-year study including 15000 nulliparous women in 129 maternities will begin in 2021 and investigate the effect of episiotomy during operative vaginal delivery in nulliparous women using a propensity score (INSTRUMODA study; https://clinicaltrials.gov; NCT 04446780). It is expected that this study will yield appropriate antenatal information about episiotomy during operative delivery and provide practitioners with usable data.

Another point of importance is the modality of the episiotomy. Indeed, it is widely reported in international

literature and guidelines that when an episiotomy is performed it is recommended to use a mediolateral one with a 60° angle section [1, 2, 8]. It is likely that in case of midline episiotomy and/or in case of episiotomy with a cutting angle getting closer to the midline there is a risk of extension into the anal sphincter. One problematic is that there is discordance between the subjective perception of the cutting angle and the effective angle into the perineum [20]. The importance of a correct cutting angle is also supported by data reporting that the risk of OASI appears reduced in case of episiotomy performed using Episcissors-60 [21].

Can we detect missed or underestimated diagnosis at postnatal visit?

The importance of accurate OASI's diagnosis in the labor room is underlined by the fact that women will subsequently be referred to a specialist in postnatal pelvic floor disorders. Without this diagnosis, the postnatal visit will be addressed by a non-specialist practitioner who may not know how to effectively manage these situations. This is highlighted in our case report, with a postnatal consultation having been performed by a registrar who considered anal incontinence as usual in postpartum, even though it should be considered as a warning sign in the event of OASI. It is likely that a more specialized practitioner would have more deeply assessed anal incontinence symptoms, screened for pelvic floor disorders other than anal incontinence, performed a focused physical examination and probably examined the woman using anal sphincter ultrasound and anorectal manometry [4]. Pelvic floor disorders and anal incontinence are under-reported and underscreened symptoms due to their social representation and context within which a mother is supposed to be happy after her delivery about having giving birth to her baby. Specific consultations for women having undergone OASI during their delivery appear useful as a means of providing them with adequate screening for symptoms and of orienting toward an appropriate care pathway [4]. A specialist is likely to suspect an OASI in the event of abnormal symptoms or related physical signs (short perineal body, absence of sphincter contraction, etc...). Targeted consultation after OASI is recommended by the RCOG (Royal college of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists) [2].

How can we manage a subsequent pregnancy and discuss the future mode of delivery?

The issue of a second or third delivery after OASI of major importance, especially insofar as it is frequently a source of anxiety for women. It has been repeatedly reported that women who having had OASI for their first delivery have an increased risk of OASI in subsequent deliveries [16, 22]. Available literature about the risk of anal incontinence in case of vaginal delivery after OASI in the population of third-degree OASI and asymptomatic women is mainly reassuring. A recent randomized trial comparing planned cesarean section and intention to choose vaginal delivery in this situation did not report any benefit for the former [23]. Moreover, in this situation the existing guidelines do not recommend cesarean section for asymptomatic women as a means of preventing anal incontinence [1, 2]. With symptomatic women, on the other hand, discussion is much more difficult and the sole recommendation that should be issued is to provide individual counselling to these women regarding the risks of recurrent OASI and anal incontinence, as well as the morbidity associated with planned cesarean section. While there are no data reporting a benefit for systematic ultrasound assessment of anal

sphincter and anorectal manometry, these examinations are often useful as a means of providing pregnant women with objective data. With this in mind, the RCOG guidelines are favorable to the use of ultrasound in women with an history of OASI for discussing the mode of subsequent delivery [2]. As a counter-argument anal incontinence is a multifactorial outcome which is not only associated with anal sphincter rupture [23]. In the EPIC randomized controlled trial, it was not observed a protective effect of cesarean section on anal incontinence in the subgroup with severe anal sphincter ruptures [23]. This considered, making the decision of the mode of delivery based on ultrasound considerations for predicting anal incontinence might be inadequate. Symptomatic women should be counselled regarding the option of elective cesarean section after having been given clear and individualized information on the different modes of delivery that they may choose [2]. In this case report, given the experience of her first childbirth, the severity of her symptoms and her desire for elective cesarean section, the latter was considered as a plausible option.

Can we improve symptoms in women with symptomatic OASI?

There exist no specific guidelines for the management of symptomatic women following OASI. A first point is that it is a major issue to offer women an adequate diagnosis of anal incontinence. Indeed, anal incontinence is a symptom with an important negative social connotation, and which is shameful for most of patients. This considered it is likely that the prevalence of anal incontinence might be underestimated due to a lack of report [16, 24]. One possibility for optimizing the detection of anal incontinence is to use self-questionnaires that the women can fill at home before her consultation. the most common questionnaires are the Wexner score, the Pescatori score and the Pelvic floor distress inventory [16, 24]. These questionnaires also offer the possibility to estimate the consequences of the symptoms in the women's quality of life which is, finally, the main outcome. One of the most frequent treatments for postnatal anal incontinence is physical therapy. A recent Cochrane metaanalysis reported that data are not sufficient to draw conclusions about the potential benefit of physical therapy and to recommend future clinical trials [25]. The most frequently employed techniques are manual physical therapy and /or biofeedback without data permitting strong recommendation of one or the other [25]. Transit and stool consistency are keys to management, especially in case of diarrhoea. Another option would be posterior tibial nerve stimulation, particularly if associated urinary incontinence appears. Once again, however, high-level evidence is lacking. While some studies have reported improved anal incontinence symptoms in women with OASI, findings with a high level of evidence are insufficient to recommend its widespread use in routine clinical practice [26]. In our experience, neuromodulation (posterior tibial or sacral nerve modulation) is considered as an option for women with moderate but persistent symptoms and/or women without any improvement of their symptoms after physical therapy.

Another option is to offer to propose delayed anal sphincter repair to highly symptomatic women with a complete rupture of the external anal sphincter. We commonly weigh the interest of this option during the year after OASI occurrence and in women clearly informed about the uncertain benefit and the potential complications of this type of operation. Given the complete rupture of the external anal sphincter and the massive impact of the symptoms on our patient's daily life, this option was considered in our case report. Lastly, in the event of persistent symptoms following failed previous treatment, and/or if it is the woman's choice, palliative solutions such as anal plugs or colostomy are possible alternatives.

CONCLUSION

The all-too-common situation of underdiagnosed OASI, which constitutes an instance of failure of care with major and long-lasting repercussions, underlines the crucial importance of identifying high-risk situations for OASI occurrence and the need for specialized training aimed at providing accurate diagnosis of OASI immediately after childbirth. Postpartum management of OASI should also be improved by means of specific postnatal consultation and individualized discussion about the modalities of subsequent delivery. Future studies are required to specify the respective impacts of preventive strategies (episiotomy), alternative strategies for diagnosis (ultrasound) and postnatal management of symptomatic women.

<u>Title:</u> How can we improve our practices in obstetric anal sphincter injury prevention, diagnosis, and management of symptomatic women?

205 <u>REFERENCES</u>

- 206 1. Ducarme G, Pizzoferrato AC, de Tayrac R et al. Perineal prevention and protection in obstetrics:
- 207 CNGOF clinical practice guidelines. J Gynecol Obstet Hum Reprod. 2019;48:455-60.
- 208 2. Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists. Green-top Guideline No. 29. The management of
- 209 third- and fourth-degree perineal tears. 2015. Available at:
- 210 https://www.rcog.org.uk/globalassets/documents/guidelines/gtg-29.pdf (last consultation on the
- 211 22/10/2020)
- 212 3. Fritel X, Gachon B, Saurel-Cubizolles MJ et al. Postpartum psychological distress associated with anal
- incontinence in the EDEN mother-child cohort. BJOG. 2020;127:619-27.
- 4. Wan OYK, Taithongchai A, Veiga SI et al. A one-stop perineal clinic: our eleven-year experience. Int
- 215 Urogynecol J. 2020;31:2317-26.
- 216 5. Desseauve D, Proust S, Carlier-Guerin C et al. Evaluation of long-term pelvic floor symptoms after an
- obstetric anal sphincter injury (OASI) at least one year after delivery: A retrospective cohort study of
- 218 159 cases. Gynecol Obstet Fertil. 2016;44:385-90.
- 219 6. NHS Ligitation Authority. Maternity claims; information sheet 13 perineal trauma. Ten years of
- 220 maternity claims an analysis of NHS ligitation authority data. London: National Health Service (NHS),
- 221 2012. Avalaible at: https://resolution.nhs.uk/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/Ten-years-of-Maternity-
- 222 Claims-Final-Report-final-2.pdf (last consultation on the 22/10/2020).
- 223 7. Bidwell P, Thakar R, Gurol-Urganci I et al. Exploring clinicians' perspectives on the 'Obstetric Anal
- Sphincter Injury Care Bundle' national quality improvement programme: a qualitative study. BMJ
- Open. 2020;10:e035674.
- World Health Organization. Intrapartum care for a positive childbirth experience. 2019. available at:
- 227 https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789241550215 (last consultation on the 22/10/2020).
- 228 9. McPherson KC, Beggs AD, Sultan AH et al. Can the risk of obstetric anal sphincter injuries (OASIs) be
- predicted using a risk-scoring system? BMC Res Notes. 2014;7:471.
- 230 10. Cornell K, De Souza A, Tacey M et al. The effect of implementing a new guideline and operative pro
- forma on the detection and management of third- and fourth-degree perineal tears. Int J Women Health.
- 232 2016;8:131-5.

- 233 11. Bidwell P, Thakar R, Sevdalis N et al. A multi-centre quality improvement project to reduce the
- incidence of obstetric anal sphincter injury (OASI): study protocol. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth.
- 235 2018;18:331.
- 236 12. Faltin DL, Boulvain M, Floris LA et al. Diagnosis of anal sphincter tears to prevent fecal incontinence:
- a randomized controlled trial. Obstet Gynecol. 2005;106:6-13.
- 238 13. Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists. Green-top Guideline No. 26: Assisted Vaginal
- Delivery. 2020. Available at: https://obgyn.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1111/1471-0528.16092
- 240 (last consultation on the 22/10/2020).
- 241 14. Pergialiotis V, Vlachos D, Protopapas A and al. Risk factors for severe perineal lacerations during
- childbirth. Int J Gynaecol Obstet. 2014;125:6-14.
- 243 15. Sultan AH, Thakar R, Ismail KM et al. The role of mediolateral episiotomy during operative vaginal
- delivery. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol. 2019;240:192-6.
- 245 16. Thubert T, Cardaillac C, Fritel X et al. Definition, epidemiology and risk factors of obstetric anal
- sphincter injuries: CNGOF Perineal Prevention and Protection in Obstetrics Guidelines. Gynecol Obstet
- 247 Fertil Senol. 2018;46:913-21.
- 248 17. Gachon B, Fradet Menard C, Pierre F et al. Does the implementation of a restrictive episiotomy policy
- for operative deliveries increase the risk of obstetric anal sphincter injury? Arch Gynecol Obstet.
- 250 2019;300:87-94.
- 251 18. Jiang H, Qian X, Carroli G et al. Selective versus routine use of episiotomy for vaginal birth. Cochrane
- 252 Database Syst Rev. 2017;2:CD000081.
- 253 19. van Bavel J, Hukkelhoven C, de Vries C et al. The effectiveness of mediolateral episiotomy in
- preventing obstetric anal sphincter injuries during operative vaginal delivery: a ten-year analysis of a
- national registry. Int Urogynecol J. 2018;29:407-13.
- 256 20. Bechard F, Geronimi J, Vieille P et al. Are we performing episiotomies correctly? A study to evaluate
- French technique in a high-risk maternity unit. J Gynecol Obstet Hum Reprod. 2018;47:331-8.
- 258 21. Cole J, Lacey L, Bulchandani S. The use of Episcissors-60 to reduce the rate of Obstetric Anal
- 259 Sphincter Injuries: A systematic review. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol. 2019;237:23-7.
- 260 22. Jango H, Langhoff-Roos J, Rosthoj S et al. Mode of delivery after obstetric anal sphincter injury and
- the risk of long-term anal incontinence. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2016;214:733.e1-733.e13.

- Abramowitz L, Mandelbrot L, Bourgeois Moine A et al Caesarean section in the second delivery to prevent anal incontinence after asymptomatic obstetric anal sphincter injury: the EPIC multicentre
- randomised trial. BJOG. 2021;128:685-693.

2020;5:CD007471.

269

272

- 24. Fritel X, Gachon B, Desseauve D et al. Anal incontinence and obstetrical anal sphincter injuries,
 epidemiology and prevention. Gynecol Obstet Fertil Senol. 2018;46:419-26.
- Woodley SJ, Lawrenson P, Boyle R et al. Pelvic floor muscle training for preventing and treating urinary and faecal incontinence in antenatal and postnatal women. Cochrane Database Syst Rev.
- 270 26. Sanagapalli S, Harrington S, Zarate-Lopez N et al. Posterior Tibial Nerve Stimulation for the Treatment
 271 of Fecal Incontinence Following Obstetric Anal Sphincter Injury. Neuromodulation. 2018;21:688-93.