

Human septins organize as octamer-based filaments and mediate actin-membrane anchoring in cells

Carla Silva Martins, Cyntia Taveneau, Gerard Castro-Linares, Mikhail Baibakov, Nicolas Buzhinsky, Mar Eroles, Violeta Milanovic, Shizue Omi, Jean-Denis Pedelacq, Francois Iv, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Carla Silva Martins, Cyntia Taveneau, Gerard Castro-Linares, Mikhail Baibakov, Nicolas Buzhinsky, et al.. Human septins organize as octamer-based filaments and mediate actin-membrane anchoring in cells. Journal of Cell Biology, 2023, 222 (3), pp.e202203016. 10.1083/jcb.202203016. hal-03588456v2

HAL Id: hal-03588456 https://hal.science/hal-03588456v2

Submitted on 3 Dec 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 Title

Human septins organize as octamer-based filaments and mediate actin-membrane anchoring in cells

4

Carla Silva Martins^{1,10}, Cyntia Taveneau², Gerard Castro-Linares³, Mikhail Baibakov¹, Nicolas
Buzhinsky⁴, Mar Eroles⁴, Violeta Milanović⁵, Shizue Omi¹, Jean-Denis Pedelacq⁶, Francois Iv¹,
Léa Bouillard¹, Alex Llewellyn¹, Maxime Gomes¹, Mayssa Belhabib¹, Mira Kuzmić⁷, Pascal
Verdier-Pinard^{7,#}, Stacey Lee⁸, Ali Badache^{7,#}, Sanjay Kumar⁸, Cristel Chandre⁹, Sophie
Brasselet¹, Felix Rico⁴, Olivier Rossier⁵, Gijsje H. Koenderink³, Jerome Wenger¹, Stéphanie
Cabantous^{10,*}, Manos Mavrakis^{1,*}

- 11
- ¹ Institut Fresnel, CNRS UMR7249, Aix Marseille Univ, Centrale Marseille, 13013 Marseille, France
- ² Biomedicine Discovery Institute, Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Monash University,
 Clayton, Australia
- ¹⁵ ³Department of Bionanoscience, Kavli Institute of Nanoscience Delft, Delft University of Technology, 2629
- 16 HZ Delft, The Netherlands
- 17 ⁴ Aix-Marseille Univ, CNRS, INSERM, LAI, Turing centre for living systems, 13009 Marseille, France
- ⁵ University Bordeaux, CNRS, Interdisciplinary Institute for Neuroscience, IINS, UMR, Bordeaux, France
- 19 ⁶ Institut de Pharmacologie et de Biologie Structurale (IPBS), Université de Toulouse, CNRS, Université
- 20 Toulouse III Paul Sabatier (UPS), Toulouse, France
- 21 ⁷ Centre de Recherche en Cancérologie de Marseille (CRCM), INSERM, Institut Paoli-Calmettes, Aix
- 22 Marseille Univ, CNRS, 13009 Marseille, France
- ⁸ Department of Bioengineering, University of California, Berkeley, CA, 94720, USA; Department of
- 24 Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering, University of California, Berkeley, CA, 94720, USA
- 25 ⁹ CNRS, Aix Marseille Univ, I2M, 13009 Marseille, France
- 26 ¹⁰ Centre de Recherche en Cancérologie de Toulouse (CRCT), INSERM, Université de Toulouse, UPS,
- 27 CNRS, 31037 Toulouse, France
- 28
- 29 *Current address: Aix Marseille Univ, INSERM, MMG, U1251, Marseille, France
- 30
- 31 *Corresponding authors:
- 32 Manos Mavrakis ; ORCID ID 0000-0002-7980-1841 ; manos.mavrakis@univ-amu.fr ; mailing address:
- 33 Institut Fresnel, Campus St Jérôme, 52 avenue Escadrille Normandie-Niemen, 13013 Marseille, France
- 34 Stéphanie Cabantous ; ORCID ID 0000-0002-8406-9421 ; stephanie.cabantous@inserm.fr ; mailing
- address: Centre de Recherche en Cancérologie de Toulouse (CRCT), 2 avenue Hubert Curien, 31037
 Toulouse, France
- 37

38 Summary

- 39 Martins et al. show that all septins associated with actin stress fibers organize as octamer-
- 40 based filaments that mediate actin-membrane anchoring. Depleting octamers or
- 41 preventing septins from polymerizing leads to a partial loss of stress fibers and
- 42 compromised cell mechanics.
- 43
- 44 Abstract

Septins are cytoskeletal proteins conserved from algae and protists to mammals. A 45 46 unique feature of septins is their presence as heteromeric complexes that polymerize into 47 filaments in solution and on lipid membranes. Although animal septins associate 48 extensively with actin-based structures in cells, whether septins organize as filaments in 49 cells and if septin organization impacts septin function is not known. Customizing a 50 tripartite split-GFP complementation assay, we show that all septins decorating actin 51 stress fibers are octamer-containing filaments. Depleting octamers or preventing septins 52 from polymerizing leads to a loss of stress fibers and reduced cell stiffness. Super-53 resolution microscopy revealed septin fibers with widths compatible with their 54 organization as paired septin filaments. Nanometer-resolved distance measurements and 55 single-protein tracking further showed that septin filaments are membrane-bound and largely immobilized. Finally, reconstitution assays showed that septin filaments mediate 56 57 actin-membrane anchoring. We propose that septin organization as octamer-based 58 filaments is essential for septin function in anchoring and stabilizing actin filaments at the 59 plasma membrane.

60

61 Introduction

62 Septins comprise a family of cytoskeletal proteins conserved from algae and protists to 63 mammals (Cao et al., 2007; Momany et al., 2008; Nishihama et al., 2011; Pan et al., 64 2007). Septins were discovered in budding yeast as mutants that result in cytokinesis 65 defects (Hartwell, 1971; Hartwell et al., 1970), and later shown to be also required for animal cell division (Echard et al., 2004; Estey et al., 2010; Founounou et al., 2013; 66 67 Kechad et al., 2012: Kinoshita et al., 1997: Neufeld and Rubin, 1994: Surka et al., 2002). 68 However, septins are expressed in practically all human tissues, including non-dividing 69 neurons (Karlsson et al., 2021). Septins play roles in a wide range of biological processes 70 in non-dividing cells and tissues, including cell motility, sperm integrity, neuron 71 development, tissue morphogenesis, and host-pathogen interactions (Fares et al., 1995; 72 Finger et al., 2003; Gilden et al., 2012; Ihara et al., 2005; Kim et al., 2010; Kissel et al., 73 2005; Kuo et al., 2012; Mostowy et al., 2010; Mostowy et al., 2011; Nguyen et al., 2000; 74 Shindo and Wallingford, 2014; Steels et al., 2007; Tada et al., 2007; Tooley et al., 2009; 75 Xie et al., 2007). The embryonic lethality of mouse and Drosophila septin knock-outs 76 (Adam et al., 2000; Fuchtbauer et al., 2011; Menon et al., 2014; Roseler et al., 2011) 77 emphasizes their essential contribution to animal physiology, yet the precise molecular 78 basis of septin function remains elusive.

Biochemical isolation of native septins revealed that septins exist as stable heteromeric complexes that can polymerize into filaments (Field et al., 1996; Frazier et al., 1998; Hsu et al., 1998; Kim et al., 2011; Kinoshita et al., 2002; Sellin et al., 2011). The isolation of recombinant septin complexes established that septin complexes are palindromes, with each septin in two copies and in a specific position within the complex. Each monomer interacts with its neighbors by alternating interfaces, named NC (from the N- and C-terminal domains) and G (from the GTP-binding domain) (Bertin et al., 2008;

DeRose et al., 2020; Farkasovsky et al., 2005; Garcia et al., 2011; Huijbregts et al., 2009; 86 87 Iv et al., 2021; John et al., 2007; Kinoshita et al., 2002; Kumagai et al., 2019; Mavrakis et al., 2014; Mendonca et al., 2019; Rosa et al., 2020; Sala et al., 2016; Sirajuddin et al., 88 89 2007; Soroor et al., 2021; Versele and Thorner, 2004). Human septins are classified in 90 four homology groups, namely the SEPT2 group (SEPT1, 2, 4, and 5), SEPT6 group 91 (SEPT6, 8, 10, 11, and 14), SEPT7 group (SEPT7), and SEPT3 group (SEPT3, 9, and 92 12) (Kinoshita, 2003). Cell-isolated human septins exist as stable hexamers and octamers 93 (Kim et al., 2011; Sellin et al., 2011; Sellin et al., 2014), with hexamers composed of 94 septins from the SEPT2, SEPT6, SEPT7 groups, and octamers containing additional 95 septins from the SEPT3 group (Fig. 1A).

96 The most convincing evidence that septins form filaments *in vivo* and thereby 97 contribute to cell viability comes from electron microscopy and functional data in budding 98 yeast (Bertin et al., 2012; Byers and Goetsch, 1976; McMurray et al., 2011; Ong et al., 99 2014: Rodal et al., 2005). The conservation of septins and the ability of mammalian septin 100 hexamers and octamers (hereafter referred to as protomers) to form filaments in solution 101 and on lipid membranes (DeRose et al., 2020; lv et al., 2021; Leonardo et al., 2021; 102 Soroor et al., 2021; Szuba et al., 2021) has led to the assumption that human septins also 103 organize as filaments in cells, but formal evidence for this is scarce. Immunogold electron 104 microscopy has shown septins closely apposed to cortical actin filaments and to the 105 plasma membrane organizing in linear arrays (Hagiwara et al., 2011; Kinoshita et al., 106 1997). Septin protomers along actin filaments or the membrane would, however, result in a similar pattern. It is reasonable to assume that septin rings and fiber-looking segments 107 108 that form in the cytoplasm of mammalian cells upon actin depolymerization correspond 109 to septin filaments or bundles thereof (Joo et al., 2007; Kim et al., 2011; Kinoshita et al., 110 2002; Schmidt and Nichols, 2004). However, it is unknown if these fibers originate from 111 direct end-to-end septin polymerization. Whether all septins in cells function as filaments, 112 and how hexamers and octamers contribute to septin filament formation and function is 113 not known.

114 Actin-binding domains on septins have not yet been identified. It is hence unclear 115 if actin-septin binding involves direct interactions or if it occurs through myosin-II (Joo et 116 al., 2007; Mostowy et al., 2010) or Borg proteins (Calvo et al., 2015; Farrugia et al., 2020; 117 Joberty et al., 2001; Liu et al., 2014; Salameh et al., 2021). Similarly, although mammalian 118 septins bind lipid membranes (Bridges et al., 2016; Dolat and Spiliotis, 2016; Szuba et 119 al., 2021; Tanaka-Takiguchi et al., 2009; Yamada et al., 2016), whether there is direct 120 septin-membrane binding in cells has not been shown; the identification of the membrane-121 binding site of septins is a matter of debate (Cavini et al., 2021). It is thus not known if 122 septin-decorated actin fibers and membranes in cells reflect membrane-bound septins.

To elucidate the interplay between human septin organization and function in nondividing cells, we used actin stress fibers in U2OS cells as a model system. Septins in mammalian cells have been reported to decorate stress fibers in multiple studies (Calvo et al., 2015; Connolly et al., 2011; Dolat et al., 2014; Joo et al., 2007; Kim et al., 2011;

127 Kinoshita et al., 2002; Kinoshita et al., 1997; Liu et al., 2014; Salameh et al., 2021; 128 Schmidt and Nichols, 2004; Surka et al., 2002; Verdier-Pinard et al., 2017; Xie et al., 1999; Zhang et al., 1999). Subsets of stress fibers are lost upon septin disruption or septin 129 130 relocalization to microtubules (Calvo et al., 2015; Kinoshita et al., 2002; Kuzmic et al., 131 2022; Salameh et al., 2021; Schmidt and Nichols, 2004; Targa et al., 2019) suggesting 132 an essential, yet still unclear, role of septins in stress fiber integrity. To test how septins 133 organize in cells, we combined a tripartite split-GFP complementation assay with mutants 134 disrupting specific septin-septin interfaces to selectively perturb hexamers or octamers, 135 or abolish polymerization altogether. Atomic force microscopy nanoindentation was used 136 to assess the specific contribution of hexamers vs. octamers to cell stiffness. We 137 employed super-resolution structured illumination microscopy to decipher the higher-138 order assembly of septin filaments. Moreover, to determine if septin filaments are 139 membrane-bound and if they can bridge membrane-actin interactions, we combined 140 nanometer-resolved distance measurements and single protein tracking in cells with cell-141 free reconstitution assays using supported lipid bilayers. Our findings demonstrate that 142 all actin-associated septins in cells organize as paired membrane-bound filaments whose 143 integrity and function depend on octamers.

144

145 **Results**

146 Septins associate with contractile stress fibers. Whether septins associate 147 preferentially with specific types of SFs and if septin organization differs among SFs is 148 not known. To answer these questions, we examined how septins distribute in U2OS 149 cells, with respect to peripheral, dorsal and ventral SFs, transverse arcs and the 150 perinuclear actin cap (Fig. 1A). Given that SFs are classified based on their subcellular 151 localization and their anchoring at one or both ends by focal adhesions (FAs) (Tojkander 152 et al., 2012), we co-stained for septins, actin filaments and the FA protein, paxillin. We 153 examined the distribution of SEPT2 and SEPT7, which are common to both hexamers 154 and octamers, and SEPT9, which is specific to octamers (Fig. 1A,B and Fig. S1). U2OS 155 cells express two SEPT9 isoforms, SEPT9 i1 and SEPT9 i3 (Kuzmic et al., 2022), both 156 of which are detected by our SEPT9 antibodies. Both septin immunostainings and live 157 imaging of septin-GFP fusions showed identical distributions of all three septins with 158 respect to SFs. They all decorated myosin-II containing contractile SFs (Fig. 1Bi-iii; Fig. 159 S1Ai-ii, iv; Fig. S1Bi-v), but not the non-contractile dorsal ones (Fig. 1Biii,b; Fig. S1Aiii; 160 Fig. S1Biv,a). Although septins decorated contractile SFs throughout their length, they 161 were systematically excluded from FAs (Fig. 1Bi,a; Fig. S1Ai,c; Fig. S1Bi,c). Septins 162 localized to peripheral and ventral SFs, transverse arcs and perinuclear actin caps, and 163 also associated with geodesic actin nodes on the ventral plasma membrane and with 164 actin nodes in transverse arcs. Actin nodes were enriched in F-actin and α -actinin, while 165 actin filaments interconnecting nodes were decorated by septins and myosin-II in an 166 aster-like pattern (Fig. S1C,D).

167 The presence of SEPT9 on SFs does not exclude that septin hexamers are also 168 present. Furthermore, the diffraction-limited optical resolution of our setup cannot 169 distinguish septin protomers from filaments. Septin decoration of SFs may therefore 170 reflect the presence of protomers (hexamers and/or octamers) or/and of filaments (with 171 hexamers and octamers forming separate or hybrid filaments) (Fig. 1A).

172

173 Septins organize as filaments on contractile SFs. Both protomers have an exposed 174 SEPT2 NC interface at their termini (Fig. 1A) (lv et al., 2021; Mendonca et al., 2019; 175 Soroor et al., 2021). To determine if septins are present as filaments, we therefore 176 designed a tripartite split-GFP complementation assay for probing SEPT2-SEPT2 177 interactions as a molecular readout of end-to-end septin polymerization in situ in living 178 cells (Fig. 1C). This protein-protein interaction assay involves the fusion of the proteins of 179 interest to the two last beta-strands of GFP, β 10 and β 11: in the presence of specific 180 protein-protein interactions in cells expressing GFP1-9 (GFP strands β 1- β 9), the GFP 181 barrel is reconstituted leading to fluorescence (Cabantous et al., 2013) (see methods and 182 Fig. S2A-C for the assay design). We generated β 10- and β 11-strand fusions with SEPT2 183 that we co-expressed using an inducible bidirectional vector in U2OS cells constitutively expressing GFP1-9 (Fig. 1C; Fig. S2D). To minimize the risk of not detecting SEPT2-184 185 SEPT2 interactions due to endogenous untagged SEPT2 and given that the expression 186 levels of SEPT2-B10/B11 fusions were kept low to minimize overexpression artifacts (Fig. 187 S2E), we consistently knocked down endogenous SEPT2 in all subsequent experiments 188 (Fig. S2F).

189 Confocal imaging revealed the presence of the reconstituted GFP (rGFP) on 190 peripheral and ventral SFs, transverse arcs and perinuclear actin caps (Fig. 1D,E), with 191 the rGFP distribution closely resembling endogenous SEPT2 immunostainings and SEPT2-GFP distribution (Fig. S1A). The presence of SEPT2-SEPT2 rGFP on SFs was 192 193 further detected in fixed wild-type U2OS cells co-expressing SEPT2-β10 and SEPT2-β11 194 upon incubation with recombinant purified GFP1-9 (Fig. 1F), confirming the presence of 195 SEPT2-SEPT2 interactions in situ on SFs. Given that recombinant animal septins form 196 both single and paired filaments (Szuba et al., 2021), we generated structure models to 197 examine GFP complementation both from direct SEPT2-SEPT2 interactions within a 198 filament (Fig. 2A), and from SEPT2 facing another SEPT2 in apposed protomers of a 199 paired filament (Fig. 2B). Examination of the distances and the flexibility of the SEPT2 C-200 termini and the linkers showed that GFP reconstitution could occur either way.

The structural models highlighted that paired protomers would lead to GFP reconstitution whether they polymerize or not. Hence to test if SEPT2-SEPT2 rGFP originates from direct SEPT2-SEPT2 interactions, we designed a double point SEPT2 NC interface mutant (SEPT2 F20D, V27D, hereafter SEPT2NCmut) to prevent end-to-end association and thereby abolish polymerization (Fig. S3A) (Kuzmic et al., 2022; Sirajuddin et al., 2007). Reconstitution assays using purified recombinant protomers bearing these mutations confirmed that this mutant abolishes polymerization, although it can still bind

208 actin filaments in vitro (Fig. 2C,D and Fig. S3B,C). Native PAGE in cell lysates expressing 209 SEPT2NCmut confirmed that protomers were intact: the expression of either wild-type 210 SEPT2 or SEPT2NCmut in SEPT2 knockdown cells rescues equally well the hexamer 211 and octamer distribution in control cells (Fig. 2E). Strikingly, using this mutant in the 212 context of the split SEPT2-SEPT2 assay completely abolished SF localization, as 213 indicated by purely diffuse cytosolic fluorescence (Fig. 2F,G) (hereafter referred to as 214 "diffuse cytosolic"). Given that wild-type SEPT2-SEPT2 rGFP was occasionally found as 215 diffuse cytosolic, we quantified the distribution of diffuse cytosolic and non-diffuse 216 phenotypes in cells expressing wild-type SEPT2- vs SEPT2NCmut- β 10/ β 11 fusions. 217 While 95% of wild-type SEPT2-SEPT2 rGFP localized to SFs and only 5% was diffuse cytosolic, 100% of SEPT2NCmut-SEPT2NCmut rGFP was diffuse cytosolic (Fig. 2F,G). 218 219 Thus direct end-to-end septin polymerization is required for septin localization to SFs. We 220 attribute the fact that the split-GFP assay with the NC mutant still produced fluorescence 221 to the plasticity of septins, which are able to use both NC and G interfaces when either 222 one is compromised (Kim et al., 2012). Our native PAGE shows the presence of 223 SEPT2NC homodimers (Fig. 2E) which we speculate are G-homodimers, thus enabling 224 GFP complementation.

225

226 Septin protomers do not associate with SFs. The presence of septin filaments does 227 not exclude that septin protomers are also present on SFs. To test if septin protomers 228 associate with SFs, we examined the cellular distribution of SEPT2NCmut fused to full-229 length GFP. Cells expressing this mutant exhibited a diffuse cytosolic localization, 230 demonstrating that this mutant does not bind SFs (Fig. 2H,I). Wild-type SEPT2-GFP 231 fusions also showed diffuse cytosolic localization in addition to SF localization (Fig. 232 S1A.v). However, while SEPT2-GFP was diffuse cytosolic in only ~50% of cells, 100% of 233 the cells expressing SEPT2NCmut showed this phenotype (Fig. 2H,I). This result showed 234 that septin protomers in cells do not associate with SFs, meaning that all septins 235 decorating SFs are filamentous.

236

237 SF-associated septin filaments contain predominantly octamers. As SEPT2 is 238 common to both protomers (Fig. 1A), the results described above did not inform us on the 239 composition of septin filaments. Recombinant hexamers and SEPT9-containing octamers 240 have the capacity to co-polymerize in vitro (Fig. S3D and (Soroor et al., 2021)). To 241 explicitly visualize the presence of octamers on SFs, we probed SEPT7-SEPT9 and 242 SEPT9-SEPT9 interactions as molecular signatures of octamers (Fig. 3A,B). Expression 243 levels of all $\beta 10/\beta 11$ fusions were kept low to minimize overexpression artifacts (Fig. S2E), 244 and endogenous SEPT7 and SEPT9 were consistently knocked down in all subsequent 245 experiments (Fig. S2G,H).

As expected, rGFP from SEPT9_i3-SEPT9_i3 localized to contractile SFs (Fig. 3C), similarly to SEPT9 immunostainings (Fig. S1B), confirming that septin filaments contain SEPT9_i3-octamers. Split-GFP assays probing SEPT7-SEPT9_i3 interactions

249 confirmed these findings (Fig. 3D), with rGFP additionally labeling cytoplasmic rings of 250 ~0.9 µm in diameter (Fig. 3Dii, E). To confirm that SF-localized rGFP from SEPT7-251 SEPT9 i3 and SEPT9 i3-SEPT9 i3 reflect direct interactions, we designed a double 252 point SEPT9 i3 NC interface mutant (SEPT9 i3 M263D, I270D, hereafter 253 SEPT9 i3NCmut), a double point SEPT9 i3 G interface mutant (SEPT9 i3 W502A, 254 H512D, hereafter SEPT9 i3Gmut) and a double point SEPT7 G interface mutant (SEPT7 255 W269A, H279D, hereafter SEPT7Gmut1) (Fig. S3A) (Kuzmic et al., 2022; Sirajuddin et 256 al., 2007; Zent et al., 2011). Native PAGE in cells expressing these mutants confirmed 257 that SEPT9_i3NCmut completely disrupts octamers (Fig. 4A), whereas SEPT7Gmut1 258 completely disrupts octamers and hexamers (Fig. 4B). Split-GFP assays using these mutants completely abolished SF localization (Fig. 4C-H), confirming that SF localization 259 260 requires intact SEPT7-SEPT9_i3 and SEPT9_i3-SEPT9_i3 interfaces. All above assays 261 gave identical results for SEPT9_i1, confirming the presence of both SEPT9_i1- and 262 SEPT9 i3-containing octamers in SF-associated septin filaments (Fig. 3F, Fig. 4A,H; Fig. 263 S4A,B).

264 To test if hexamers are also present on SFs, we probed SEPT7-SEPT7 265 interactions. Strikingly, rGFP from SEPT7-SEPT7 interactions was unexpectedly difficult 266 to detect on SFs: although it localized to SFs (Fig. 5Ai, Bi), the majority was found on 267 ectopic short, needle-like bundles (Fig. 5Aii, Bii), similar to the localization of full-length 268 GFP-SEPT7 fusions (Fig. 5C,D). These ectopic bundles did not localize to SFs (Fig. 5Aii, 269 D) and contained SEPT2 but not SEPT9 (Fig. 5C). These bundles thus most likely consist 270 of hexamers, in line with the capacity of recombinant hexamers to form septin filament 271 bundles in vitro (DeRose et al., 2020; lv et al., 2021; Kinoshita et al., 2002; Leonardo et 272 al., 2021). The presence of rGFP on the ectopic bundles thus showed that the split 273 SEPT7-SEPT7 assay readily detects SEPT7-SEPT7 interactions originating from 274 hexamers.

275 An observation that could explain the difficulty to detect SEPT7-SEPT7 on SFs 276 was the dependence of SEPT7 localization on SEPT9 expression levels. We consistently 277 detected ectopic bundles when we exogenously expressed only SEPT7, either GFP-278 SEPT7 or split SEPT7-SEPT7 (Fig. 5A-D), but not when we co-expressed SEPT9 (Fig. 279 5E,F). We reasoned that in the absence of exogenous SEPT9, the slightest excess of 280 SEPT7 leads to ectopic hexamer-based bundles, also reducing the availability of SEPT7 281 for forming octamers to bind SFs. Exogenous co-expression of SEPT9, on the other hand, 282 would cause incorporation of the exogenous SEPT7 into octamers, thus preventing the formation of ectopic hexamer bundles. Consistent with this hypothesis, SEPT7-SEPT7 283 284 rGFP was readily detectable on SFs under conditions of exogenous SEPT9 co-285 expression (Fig. 5F). Furthermore, it was difficult to find SEPT9-decorated SFs in cells 286 also displaying ectopic hexamer-based bundles (Fig. 5C). These observations raised the possibility that septin filaments on SFs contain mostly, if not exclusively, octamers. 287

To explore the origin of SEPT7-SEPT7 rGFP on SFs, we generated structure models of septin protomers in order to examine GFP complementation from SEPT7290 SEPT7 interactions within one hexamer (Fig. 5G), as well as from SEPT7 facing another 291 SEPT7 in apposed hexamers or octamers in a paired filament (Fig. 5H). Examination of 292 the distances and the flexibility of the SEPT7 N-termini and the linkers showed that GFP 293 reconstitution can occur either way.

294 To identify the sources of the SEPT7-SEPT7 rGFP signal on SFs, we aimed at 295 perturbing hexamers while preserving octamers. To this end, we generated a single point 296 SEPT7 G interface mutant (SEPT7 H279D, hereafter SEPT7Gmut2) (Fig. S3A) that 297 should destabilize the SEPT7-SEPT7 G-interface when present in both SEPT7 subunits, 298 but preserve the SEPT7-SEPT9 G-interface if SEPT7 is mutated but SEPT9 is wild-type. 299 In line with these predictions, native PAGE showed that octamers are not affected by the 300 expression of SEPT7Gmut2 (Fig. 4B), and rGFP from SEPT7Gmut2-SEPT9 i3 301 recapitulated normal septin localization on SFs (Fig. 6A). Importantly, rGFP from 302 SEPT7Gmut2-SEPT7Gmut2 localized to SFs but did not show any ectopic bundles (Fig. 303 6B) indicating that SEPT7Gmut2 completely abolished SEPT7-SEPT7 interactions within 304 hexamers in the bundles. Thus our findings show that the SF-localized rGFP from 305 SEPT7Gmut2-SEPT7Gmut2 originates from paired octamers (Fig. 5H).

These observations altogether strongly suggest that the detected rGFP from SEPT7-SEPT7 on SFs originates from paired octamers. Split-GFP assays using the SEPT7Gmut1 mutant resulted in diffuse cytosolic distributions (Fig. 4D,H; Fig. 6C-E), confirming that SF localization requires intact SEPT7 G interfaces. We conclude that septins on SFs organize as paired filaments containing mostly, or even exclusively, octamers.

312

313 Polymerization-competent septin octamers, but not hexamers, are essential for the integrity and function of SF-associated septin filaments. To further test the 314 315 contribution of hexamers vs. octamers to septin filament formation, we examined septin 316 filaments under three conditions: (a) the presence of hexamers and octamers (control 317 condition), (b) the absence of octamers, by knocking down SEPT9, and (c) the presence 318 of octamers only, by expressing SEPT7Gmut2. As a readout of septin filaments, we 319 examined rGFP from SEPT2-SEPT2 in live cells while imaging stress fibers (Fig. 7A-C). 320 To assess the effects of the perturbations, we quantified the distribution of non-diffuse vs. 321 diffuse cytosolic phenotypes and calculated Pearson and Manders correlation coefficients 322 for actin-septin co-localization (Fig. 7D,E). Strikingly, removing octamers by knocking 323 down SEPT9 entirely removed the SEPT2-SEPT2 rGFP signal from all SFs, leaving 324 behind a punctate pattern not localizing to SFs, suggesting that filamentous septin 325 integrity depends entirely on octamers. On the other hand, preserving octamers in the 326 absence of hexamers preserved septin filaments on SFs, showing that the absence of 327 hexamers does not compromise septin filament integrity.

To test the functional contribution of octamers and hexamers to SFs, we quantified the number of cells presenting ventral SFs in cells containing hexamers and octamers, hexamers only, octamers only, and containing hexamers and octamers that cannot polymerize. Knocking down SEPT9 removed both SEPT9 and SEPT7 from all ventral
SFs (compare Fig. 8A and B). Importantly, whereas 82% of control cells, and 81% of cells
with octamers only, contained ventral SFs, only 44% of cells in the absence of octamers,
and 46% of cells with hexamers and octamers that could not polymerize, presented
ventral SFs (Fig. 8B,C). Total actin levels did not change among these conditions (Fig.
8D). Thus polymerization-competent septin octamers are essential for the integrity of SFs.

337 To further question the functional contribution of protomers, we turned to atomic 338 force microscopy (AFM) nanoindentation for measuring cell stiffness. Septin depletion 339 has been shown to reduce cell stiffness, using AFM, in cultured mammalian cells 340 (Mostowy et al., 2011), but the specific contribution of hexamers vs octamers was not 341 explored. To address this question, we indented cells containing hexamers and octamers, 342 hexamers only and octamers only with an AFM cantilever tip and determined the elastic 343 modulus (E_0) and the fluidity (β) of the cells by fitting the experimental force-indentation 344 curves to a viscoelastic model (Fig. 8E, see methods). While removing hexamers did not 345 have any effect, the depletion of octamers resulted in a statistically significant decrease 346 in cell stiffness and a corresponding increase in cell fluidity (Fig. 8E). Cells with 347 significantly reduced ventral SFs in the absence of octamers are predicted to generate 348 less prestress and thus lower stiffness (Chowdhury et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2002). We 349 conclude that polymerization-competent octamers are essential for their function in cell 350 mechanics through the generation or/and maintenance of SFs.

351

352 Super-resolution microscopy reveals septin fibers running longitudinally along 353 and around SFs and interconnecting SFs. Having shown that all septins associated 354 with SFs are filamentous, we aimed at visualizing how septin filaments organize on the 355 different types of SFs. We employed super-resolution structured illumination (SIM) 356 microscopy in cells co-stained for SEPT7 (as a pan-septin filament marker), actin 357 filaments, and α -actinin or non-muscle myosin heavy chain IIA (NMIIA). We examined 358 septin filament organization on perinuclear actin caps, transverse arcs, including at arc 359 nodes, on ventral SFs and at ventral actin nodes (Fig. 9A-E). Regardless of the type of 360 SF, we noticed that septin filament morphology was very different from that of the 361 corresponding actin filament bundles. While actin filament bundles typically appeared as 362 straight, rigid fibers, septin fibers consistently appeared less straight and with lower orientational persistence (Note: we choose to use septin "fibers" instead of "filaments" in 363 364 this section to avoid confusion with single or paired septin filaments or bundles thereof; 365 we discuss the composition of septin fibers below). Unlike core SF components like myosin and α -actinin, which displayed a sarcomere-like punctate distribution (Fig. 9Ei), 366 367 septin fibers were distinctly separate from SFs, organizing in three manners: (a) septin 368 fibers running longitudinally along SFs, either on the side of SFs with their signal 369 segregated from the F-actin signal, or overlapping with SFs with the septin and F-actin 370 signals merging (Fig. 9Aa; Ei; Eiii,a,b), (b) septin fibers running longitudinally along SFs 371 and diagonally across their width, as if wrapping around the SFs (Fig. 9Ab; Eii,a,b), and

(c) septin fibers running longitudinally along segments of SFs while interconnecting
 different SFs and connecting to other septin fibers (Fig. 9C; D; Eiv,b). Interconnecting
 septin fiber segments in between SFs frequently colocalized with F-actin signal, but in
 many instances there was no detectable F-actin signal along these segments.

376 Regardless of the type of SFs, the majority of septin fibers appeared thinner than 377 their associated SFs. Septin fibers were often thicker on the SF segments adjacent to 378 FAs (Fig. 9Eiii,b; Eiv,a), but thicker septin fibers were also found on arcs, caps and ventral 379 SFs. Thicker septin fibers did not exceed the width of the associated SF, and appeared 380 either as single thick fibers, or what looked like two closely-apposed thin fibers (dashed 381 rectangle in the SEPT7 channel of Fig. 9Eiii). To compare septin fiber thicknesses across 382 the different SF types we measured the width of septin fibers for each SF type. The full 383 width at half maximum (FWHM) was calculated from fluorescence intensity line profiles 384 (Fig. 9F). Widths of the thick septin fibers found on ventral SFs were plotted separately. 385 All thin septin fiber populations had median FWHM values in a narrow range of 123-137 nm, whereas the thick ones showed an almost 2-fold higher median FWHM value of 231 386 387 nm (Fig. 9F,H). There was no statistically significant difference between thin septin fiber 388 widths on caps, asters, arcs and ventral SFs (Fig. 9F).

389 To determine if septin fibers are single or paired filaments ("double septin 390 filaments"), or bundles thereof, we checked the FWHM values of microtubules (MTs) in 391 the same cells. MTs are 25-nm wide tubes and are routinely used as the gold standard 392 for assessing the performance of super-resolution microscopy techniques. MTs were 393 stained using whole primary and fluorophore-coupled secondary IgG antibodies, just like 394 for septin stainings, leading to an estimated real MT width of ~60 nm (Fig. 9I) (Weber et 395 al., 1978). We found an average FWHM value of 115 nm for the MT width in our cells, in 396 line with reported values (Hamel et al., 2014; Wegel et al., 2016), given that the lateral 397 resolution of SIM is roughly half of the diffraction limit, i.e., ~110 nm. Given that the 398 observed size in our images is the convolution of the real object size with the point spread 399 function (PSF) of the SIM microscope, we simulated the predicted image size as a 400 function of the real fiber size (Fig. 9G). The comparison of the estimated real widths of 401 primary and secondary IgG-decorated septins, assumed to organize as single or as 402 paired filaments with either narrow (~5 nm) or wide (~20 nm) spacing (Leonardo et al., 403 2021) (Fig. 9I), with the widths predicted from our FWHM measurements of 404 immunostained septins (Fig. 9H), suggests that the thin septin fiber widths are compatible 405 with single or paired septin filaments, whereas the thick septin fibers could correspond to 406 two single or two double septin filaments. We note that these estimations assume that 407 septin filaments in cells are present as single filaments with flexible coiled-coils, or paired 408 filaments mediated by coiled-coil pairing (Fig. 9I) in line with published literature (Cavini 409 et al., 2021). The flexibility of coiled-coils combined with the presence of primary and 410 secondary antibodies suggests that septin fibers cannot correspond to more than a few 411 filaments even if septins interact directly through their GTP-binding domains, a condition 412 previously observed only in the absence of coiled-coils (Bertin et al., 2010; Szuba et al.,413 2021).

We also wondered about the length of the SF-associated septin fibers. Short septin fibers associated with and interconnecting actin nodes had lengths on the order of 0.5-3.5 μ m, whereas septin fibers on arcs, actin caps and ventral SFs were as short as 0.5-1 μ m and as long as 10-15 μ m (Fig. 9J). We note that these values provide an upper bound since, given the lateral resolution limit of SIM, we cannot be sure that what appears as continuous fiber signal originates from a single fiber or from adjacent fibers overlapping at their ends.

421

422 All microtubule-associated septins organize as filaments containing 423 predominantly octamers. To examine if our results on SF-associated septin filaments 424 also hold for MT-associated septins, which contain specifically SEPT9 i1 (Kuzmic et al., 425 2022), we probed SEPT9 i1-SEPT9 i1, SEPT7-SEPT9 i1, and SEPT2-SEPT2 426 interactions using the split-GFP assay. Our results confirmed that MT localization requires 427 intact SEPT7-SEPT9 i1 and SEPT9 i1-SEPT9 i1 interfaces and direct SEPT2-SEPT2 428 interactions (Fig. S4A-F and Fig. 4H), allowing us also to visualize septin filaments on 429 MTs in situ in cells (Fig. S4F). We further tested the importance of hexamers for septin-430 MT association. In line with our results on exogenously expressed SEPT7, it was difficult 431 to find SEPT9-decorated MTs in cells also displaying ectopic hexamer-based bundles 432 (Fig. S4G). rGFP from SEPT7-SEPT7 was, however, readily detected on MTs upon 433 exogenous SEPT9_i1 co-expression (Fig. S4H), suggesting that the rGFP signal may 434 originate from paired filaments (Fig. 5H). rGFP from SEPT7Gmut2-SEPT7Gmut2, in the 435 presence of exogenous SEPT9 i1, and SEPT7Gmut2-SEPT9 i1 were readily detected 436 on MTs (Fig. S4I,J), reflecting SEPT7-SEPT7 rGFP from paired octamers. Finally, SIM 437 imaging revealed thin septin fibers running along MTs over several micrometers (Fig. 438 S4K). Different from the presence of both thin and thick actin-associated septin fibers, all 439 MT-associated septin fibers appeared homogeneous in their width. FWHM 440 measurements (Fig. 9G, Fig. S4L) predicted MT and septin fiber widths in the ranges of 65-135 nm and 85-130 nm, respectively, compatible with MT-associated septins 441 442 organizing as paired septin filaments (Fig. 9I). Our findings reveal that all MT- associated 443 septins are exclusively in the form of octamers and filamentous, consistent with septins 444 on actin SFs.

445

446 **SF-associated septin filaments are closely apposed to the plasma membrane.** 447 Having shown that all SF-associated septins are filamentous, we wondered how septin 448 function relates to septins being filamentous. Recombinant human septins can bind and 449 cross-link actin filaments, but can also bind lipid membranes, raising the hypothesis that 450 septin filaments in cells anchor SFs to the plasma membrane. A first indication that 451 septins might be membrane-bound came from live cell extraction experiments. While 452 extracting the plasma membrane after fixation entirely preserved septin localization to 453 SFs, live-cell extraction removed septins from all SFs while preserving myosin on SFs
454 (Fig. 10A). Septins thus did not behave like core components of SFs, and their sensitivity
455 to the detergent suggested they might be bound to the membrane.

456 To test if septins on SFs are close to the plasma membrane of the U2OS cells, we 457 employed a metal-induced energy transfer (MIET) assay (Chizhik et al., 2014). In MIET, 458 the fluorescence lifetime is dependent on the distance of fluorophores from a metal layer, 459 allowing us to deduce the axial distance of fluorophores from a gold-coated coverslip 460 surface with an axial resolution of a few nanometers (Fig. 10B). We hypothesized that 461 septins could either associate with the plasma membrane while interacting with SFs, or 462 that septins interact with SFs in the absence of any septin-membrane association. To 463 distinguish these scenarios, we compared distances of the fluorescent protein, mApple, 464 in three conditions: (a) mApple N-terminally fused to the 20 N-terminal residues of 465 neuromodulin/GAP43 that contains palmitoylated cysteines (GAP43-mApple); as a 466 reference for fluorophores localizing directly at the plasma membrane, (b) SEPT9 i3mApple as a reference for ventral SF-associated septin octamers, and (c) SEPT9 i3-467 468 mApple-CAAX as a reference for septins targeted to the plasma membrane through the 469 H-Ras CAAX motif which functions as a membrane targeting signal. Representative 470 lifetime decay traces are shown in Fig. 10C and Fig. S5A,B. Strikingly, the distance of 471 mApple from the metal surface, derived from the lifetime-distance dependence curve (Fig. 472 10D), was the same for SF-associated septins, membrane-bound mApple, and 473 membrane-bound septins, meaning that septins are closely apposed to the plasma membrane (Fig. 10E). Lifetime measurements of AF568-phalloidin bound to ventral SFs 474 475 under the same conditions placed SFs significantly further away, by ~25 nm, from the 476 plasma membrane (Fig. 10E). MIET assays being limited to probing interactions within 477 200 nm from the metal surface, it was not feasible to probe septin populations on 478 transverse arcs and perinuclear actin caps, which are localized further away.

479

480 Septin filaments anchor actin filaments to lipid membranes. Since SF-associated 481 septins are closely apposed to the membrane, we wondered if septin filaments could 482 function to anchor stress fibers to the plasma membrane. In the absence of available 483 septin membrane-binding and actin-binding mutants, we turned to reconstitution assays 484 on supported lipid bilayers (SLBs), comparing only phosphatidylcholine- (PC) vs 485 phosphatidylinositol((4,5)-bisphosphate (PI($(4,5)P_2$)-containing membranes (Fig. 10F), 486 PI(4,5)P₂ being a septin-interacting lipid (Szuba et al., 2021). To image only truly 487 membrane-associated structures, we used total internal reflection fluorescence (TIRF) 488 microscopy in the absence of crowding agents. Actin filaments alone did not bind lipid 489 membranes, whereas septin octamers alone specifically bound PI(4,5)P₂-containing 490 membranes (Fig. S5C,D), in line with previous reports for mammalian septin hexamers 491 (Szuba et al., 2021). To test if septins can anchor actin to membranes, we either 492 preformed actin-septin bundles in solution and then added them to SLBs, co-polymerized 493 septins and actin on SLBs, or added actin to preassembled septin filaments on SLBs. In

all cases, and specifically on PI(4,5)P₂-containing membranes but not on membranes
composed only of PC, actin filaments and actin filament bundles were anchored to the
lipid bilayers (Fig. 10F; Fig. S5E,F), showing that septin filaments can indeed at the same
time bind membranes and actin and thus mediate membrane-actin anchoring.

498

499 Single protein tracking reveals that septins are immobilized on actin stress fibers.

500 To determine if the molecular dynamics of septins at the plasma membrane are consistent 501 with a SF-anchoring function, we combined photoactivated localization microscopy 502 (PALM) with live-cell single protein tracking of SEPT9_i3 and actin fused to 503 photoswitchable mEos fluorescent proteins using sptPALM (Manley et al., 2008; Rossier 504 et al., 2012). Cells were co-transfected with mEos-fused proteins and EYFP-paxillin as a 505 FA reporter, or GFP-actin as a SF and FA reporter. Using TIRF microscopy, we detect 506 and track sparse photo-activated proteins within 200 nm above the coverslip surface at 507 high-frequency (50Hz acquisition), allowing us to reconstruct thousands of protein 508 trajectories (Fig. 10G,H,I). For trajectories lasting at least 260 ms, we compute the mean 509 square displacement (MSD). We then sort trajectories according to their diffusion modes 510 (immobile, confined, free-diffusive), and extract diffusion coefficients (Ddiff, Dconf) (Fig. 511 10J,K; Fig. S5G,H; see methods). We first looked at the dynamic behavior of mEos2-actin 512 in SFs labelled with GFP-actin (Fig. 10G). mEos2-actin was found inside FAs and also 513 linearly organised along SFs between FAs, as expected. Actin mostly displayed 514 immobilized and confined behaviors, as illustrated by the large fractions of immobilization 515 and confined diffusion (Fig. 10G.K: immobile: $88.5 \pm 0.5\%$, confined: $6.8 \pm 0.3\%$, mean \pm 516 SEM) and a distribution of diffusion coefficients centered around 1.5-2.5.10⁻³ µm².s⁻¹ (Fig. 517 10J). In line with septin immunostainings, single SEPT9 i3-mEos3.2 molecules were 518 rarely found inside FAs, but were linearly organized between FAs decorating SFs (Fig. 519 10H). Like actin, also SEPT9 i3-mEos3.2 was found to be primarily immobilized and 520 confined (Fig. 10H,K; immobile: $70.0 \pm 1.9\%$, confined: $13.9 \pm 0.8\%$, mean \pm SEM). 521 Contrary to actin, however, SEPT9_i3-mEos3.2 also displayed a significant freely 522 diffusing population (Fig. 10H,K; diffusive: 16.1 ± 1.3%, mean ± SEM). However, septin 523 free-diffusion was very slow (Fig. 10J; Fig. S5G) with a diffusion constant $D_{diff} = 0.087 \pm$ 524 0.001 μ m².s⁻¹ (mean ± SEM) that is comparable to that of free diffusing transmembrane 525 proteins (integrins: (Rossier et al., 2012)) or of a lipid-anchored protein bound to the 526 plasma membrane by its PH domain (kindlin: (Orre et al., 2021). Confined SEPT9_i3-527 mEos3.2 also diffused very slowly (Fig. S5H) with a diffusion constant $D_{conf} = 0.044 \pm$ 528 0.001 μ m².s⁻¹, comparable to that of mEos2-actin (0.057 ± 0.003 μ m².s⁻¹; means ± SEM). 529 Overall these results suggest that septins, when immobilized and confined, could indeed 530 be anchoring actin SFs to the plasma membrane, while the free-diffusing septins display 531 a diffusivity that is consistent with them being membrane-anchored.

532 Similarly to the MIET experiments, we used SEPT9_i3-mEos3.2-CAAX as a 533 reference for septins targeted to the plasma membrane. SEPT9_i3-mEos3.2-CAAX did 534 not localize specifically to SFs but decorated the whole plasma membrane (Fig. 10I). In 535 comparison with the behavior of SEPT9_i3-mEos3.2, SEPT9_i3-mEos3.2-CAAX 536 displayed a smaller immobilized fraction (Fig. 10I, J, K; immobile: 32.6 ± 0.5%) but increased free diffusion and confined diffusion fractions with an increased diffusion 537 538 constant (D_{diff} : 0.328 ± 0.002 μ m².s⁻¹ ; D_{conf} : 0.202 ± 0.002 μ m².s⁻¹, mean ± SEM) (Fig. 539 S5G,H). Interestingly, being stably anchored to the plasma membrane allowed 540 SEPT9 i3-mEos3.2-CAAX to diffuse inside FAs (Fig. 10I). The much lower diffusion 541 coefficient of SEPT9_i3-mEos3.2 compared to that of SEPT9_i3-mEos3.2-CAAX is in line 542 with freely diffusing SEPT9_i3 being fully incorporated into septin filaments and could 543 reflect hop diffusion of septin filaments alternating between SFs and the plasma 544 membrane.

545

546 Septins can associate with actin filaments in cells in a myosin-ll independent 547 manner. Although septins decorate myosin-II containing SFs in cells, reconstituted septin 548 filaments can mediate membrane-actin anchoring in the absence of myosin-II. To address 549 if myosin-II is required for binding septins to SFs, we examined the distribution of F-actin, 550 septins and the two most abundant non-muscle myosin heavy chain isoforms IIA (NMIIA) 551 and IIB (NMIIB) in wild-type U2OS cells, in CRISPR-mediated NMIIA and NMIIB knock-552 out (KO) U2OS lines (Kage et al., 2022), as well as in NMIIA KO cells treated with NMIB 553 siRNA to remove both isoforms. Both NMIIA and NMIIB were present on septin-decorated 554 SFs in wild-type cells (Fig. S5I i,ii). In NMIIA KO cells, NMIIB still associated with septin-555 decorated SFs (Fig. S51 iii), and NMIIA still associated with septin-decorated SFs in NMIIB 556 KO cells (Fig. S5I iv). Thus septin association with SFs does not depend specifically on 557 NMIIA or on NMIIB. However, there is 78% identity among NMIIA and NMIIB, including 558 within the coiled-coil region previously implicated in septin binding (Joo et al., 2007), 559 raising the possibility that a shared septin-binding sequence could bind septins to SFs. 560 To assess septin-SF binding in the absence of myosin-II, we turned to NMIIA KO cells 561 treated with NMIIB siRNA (Fig. S5J,K). NMIIA- and NMIIB-depleted cells showed a loss 562 of SFs with dramatic cell shape changes, including "C" and dendritic shapes previously 563 reported upon NMII inhibition or silencing (Cai et al., 2010). Interestingly, F-actin 564 appeared as a dense mesh of loosely cross-linked filaments as well as arrays of asters, 565 with septin filaments associating extensively with actin filaments in the meshes and asters 566 (Fig. S5K). Septins are thus able to associate with actin filaments in cells in a myosin-II 567 independent manner.

568

569 **Discussion**

570 Employing a tripartite split-GFP complementation assay to probe SEPT2-SEPT2 571 interactions as a molecular readout for end-to-end septin polymerization, we showed that 572 all septins on SFs organize as filaments. Mutants that disrupt specifically the SEPT7-573 SEPT7 interface allowed us to distinguish the contributions of hexamers vs. octamers. 574 Our results showed that septin filaments on SFs contain exclusively octamers, which are 575 essential for the integrity of septin filaments and the integrity of SFs. Septin fiber widths 576 measured by SIM microscopy are compatible with their organization as paired septin 577 filaments. Nanometer-resolved distance measurements and single-protein tracking 578 showed that septin filaments are closely apposed to the plasma membrane and largely 579 immobilized on SFs. Finally, reconstitution assays showed that septin filaments mediate 580 actin-membrane anchoring. We propose that septin filaments anchor and stabilize actin 581 fibers at the plasma membrane (working model in Fig. 10L).

582 Whether septins organize as filaments in a specific cellular context can now be 583 tested using the tools we have developed in this study, including in genetic animal model 584 systems. Our mutants and split-GFP assays are easily adapted for other septins within 585 the SEPT2 group when required by cell- and tissue-specific expression (Karlsson et al., 586 2021; Uhlen et al., 2015). Split-GFP assays can also be used as readouts for filament 587 formation in the context of studies aiming to identify regulators or inhibitors of septin 588 polymerization, or septin mutants related to disease.

589 An unexpected result of our study is that human septin filament integrity in cells 590 depends entirely on octamers, questioning the functional importance of hexamers. We 591 speculate that it is the SEPT3 group septin, which is absent from hexamers, that dictates 592 septin function. The observation that SEPT7 assembly is most sensitive to SEPT7 and 593 SEPT9 expression levels, and the fact that SEPT7-SEPT7 interactions are stronger than 594 SEPT7-SEPT9 ones (Rosa et al., 2020) suggest that SEPT9, and possibly the other 595 SEPT3 group septins, may help prevent SEPT7 from forming ectopic bundles. It is 596 intriguing that *Drosophila* does not have any SEPT3 group septins and thus contains only 597 hexamers (Field et al., 1996). Interestingly, Drosophila septins occasionally form 598 cytoplasmic bundles devoid of Anillin (Hickson and O'Farrell, 2008). Such bundles also 599 form in the absence of Anillin's septin-binding domain that recruits septins to the plasma 600 membrane (Kechad et al., 2012). We speculate that the formation of these bundles is 601 analogous to the ectopic hexamer bundles we observed, forming at limiting amounts of a 602 physiological partner.

603 Our findings suggest that actin-associated septin filaments in mammalian cells 604 organize as paired septin filaments, with thicker septin fibers consisting of 2-3 double 605 septin filaments. One single-molecule localization microscopy study of SF-associated 606 septins has reported septin bundles with 25-150 filaments (Vissa et al., 2019), but this 607 study assumed septin GTP-binding domains associating laterally without considering the 608 presence of coiled-coils and the spacing occupied by coiled-coil pairing (Leonardo et al., 609 2021), so the number of filaments could be overestimated. We thus hypothesize that 610 human septins in cells, like budding yeast septins, organize in a rather narrow range of 611 assembly geometries. We speculate that the wide range of assembly geometries found for reconstituted septins in solution reflects their plasticity, but that the presence of a 612 613 physiological partner leads to their native assembly into paired filaments (Bertin et al., 614 2010; Ong et al., 2014; Szuba et al., 2021).

615 Mammalian septins are distinct from other membrane-bound actin-binding and -616 crosslinking proteins in that they form filaments. Their capacity to polymerize, catalyzed 617 by membrane binding (Szuba et al., 2021) and coupled with their ability to bind and cross-618 link actin filaments (lv et al., 2021; Mavrakis et al., 2014), provides them with the unique 619 potential to stabilize actin filament bundles and meshes at the plasma membrane over 620 considerable distances. We propose that septins function by stabilizing ventral SFs, 621 transverse arcs and perinuclear actin caps at the respective ventral and dorsal plasma 622 membrane, participating in their generation or/and maintenance. It will be interesting to 623 explore if cortical actin meshworks are also membrane-attached via septins (Vadnjal et 624 al., 2022). Septin enrichment adjacent to FAs lets us propose that they also contribute a 625 stabilization function at the connection between FAs and SFs and thus impact FA 626 maturation indirectly (Calvo et al., 2015; Dolat et al., 2014; Kang et al., 2021) by affecting 627 the accumulation of mechanical tension on SFs.

628 The findings of this study lead to several remaining open questions. Whether 629 septins in cells bind membranes and actin filaments directly or indirectly remains to be 630 shown. Our data show that septins can associate with actin fibers in cells in the absence of myosin-II, supporting the possibility of direct septin-actin interactions in the context of 631 632 SFs. The fact that septins are found only on contractile SFs suggests that myosin-II 633 related signaling might be involved in their recruitment to SFs. Also what regulates septin 634 polymerization in cells is still unknown. Cell-free reconstitution approaches and animal 635 model systems promise to provide important further insights into the link between animal 636 septin organization and function. 637

638 Figure legends

639 Figure 1. A tripartite split-GFP complementation assay detects SEPT2-SEPT2 640 interactions on septin-decorated actin stress fibers in U2OS cells. (A) The scheme 641 on the left depicts septin-decorated stress fibers (SFs) in a mammalian cell. Septins 642 (green) decorate different types of actin SFs (red), notably peripheral and ventral SFs (i), 643 perinuclear actin caps (ii) and transverse arcs (iii), shown in the respective panels (i-iii) in 644 (B). The schematics on the right show human septin octamers and hexamers associating 645 with SFs either as single protomers (top), as octamer and hexamer-driven filaments 646 (middle), or as hybrid filaments from octamer and hexamer co-polymerization (bottom). 647 Single protomers (top) could co-exist with filaments (middle and bottom). Septins can 648 associate exclusively with SFs or also with the plasma membrane. (B) Representative 649 confocal micrographs of SEPT7 immunostainings showing examples of SEPT7 localizing 650 (i) to ventral (a,b) and peripheral (c) SFs and excluded from focal adhesions (FA) (a), (ii) 651 to perinuclear actin caps (a,b), and (iii) to transverse arcs (a) and excluded from dorsal 652 SFs (b). Cells are co-stained for F-actin (phalloidin) and the FA protein paxillin. (C) 653 Schematic representation of the tripartite split-GFP complementation assay for probing 654 SEPT2-SEPT2 interactions. The transparency of the SEPT7 subunits is used to suggest 655 that the polymerizing protomers can be hexamers or/and octamers. (D-E) Representative 656 confocal micrographs of SEPT2-SEPT2 reconstituted GFP (rGFP) distribution in fixed 657 cells (D) co-stained for F-actin (phalloidin) and in live cells (E). Examples in fixed cells

show rGFP localizing (i) to ventral SFs (a,b) and (ii) to actin caps (a,b). Examples in live cells show rGFP localizing (i) to peripheral (a) and ventral (b) SFs, (ii) to transverse arcs (a,b), and (iii) to actin caps (a,b). **(F)** Representative confocal micrograph of SEPT2-SEPT2 rGFP in fixed cells using recombinant purified GFP1-9. The example shows rGFP localizing to ventral SFs (a,b). Scale bars in large fields of views, 10 μ m. Scale bars in insets, 2 μ m.

664

665 Figure 2. All septins on SFs organize as filaments. (A-B) Structure models of rGFP via direct SEPT2-SEPT2 interactions of two polymerizing septin protomers within a filament 666 (A) or from SEPT2 in two apposed protomers (B). Only the end-to-end interacting halves 667 668 of the protomers (hexamers or/and octamers) are shown in (A) for simplicity. SEPT6 and 669 SEPT7 coiled-coils are not shown in (B) for simplicity. The transparency of the terminal 670 SEPT2 subunits in (B) is used to suggest that the paired protomers could be found within a filament. B10 and B11 strands are shown in yellow and orange, respectively. Linker 671 672 sequences between septins and the β -strands, delimited by arrowheads, are shown in 673 dark grey. The colors of septin subunits in the structure models correspond to the ones 674 in the color-coded sphere representation of hexamers and octamers. The second half of 675 the octamer is not shown in the rotated filament pair in (B) for the sake of simplicity. (C) 676 Representative spinning disk fluorescence images of septin filament assembly upon 677 polymerization of octamers-9 i3 in solution at the indicated final protomer concentration. 678 Protomers contained either wild-type SEPT2 (left panel) or SEPT2NCmut (right panel). 679 Images use an inverted grayscale. (D) Representative spinning disk fluorescence images of reconstituted actin filaments, polymerizing in the presence of septin octamers in 680 681 solution. Protomers contained either wild-type SEPT2 (left panel) or SEPT2NCmut (right panel). Actin filaments are visualized with AlexaFluor568-conjugated phalloidin, and 682 683 septins with SEPT2-msfGFP. One example of large fields of view are shown for each 684 condition, depicting cross-linking of actin filaments; only actin labeling is shown. Insets on 685 the bottom show higher magnifications of selected regions of interest on the top (dashed 686 squares in red). Two regions of interest (a,b for wild-type SEPT2 and c,d for SEPT2NCmut) are shown in each case, depicting both the actin (top row) and septin 687 (bottom row) signals. Scale bars in all large fields of views, 10 µm. Scale bars in all insets, 688 5 µm. (E) Western blot following native PAGE of U2OS cell lysates probed with anti-689 690 SEPT7 (left) and anti-SEPT2 (right) antibodies upon treatment with siRNAs targeting 691 LacZ (siCtrl), SEPT2 (siSEPT2), and targeting SEPT2 while expressing wild-type SEPT2-692 msfGFP (WT) or SEPT2NCmut-msfGFP (NCmut). Molecular weight markers are shown 693 on the left. The overexpression of the msfGFP fusions leads to SEPT2 monomers and 694 dimers in addition to hexamers and octamers (arrowheads). (F) Violin plots depicting the 695 distribution of diffuse cytosolic (red datapoints) vs. non-diffuse (green datapoints) 696 phenotypes as a function of the intensity of the rGFP signal in GFP1-9 cells co-expressing 697 wild-type SEPT2-B10 and -B11 or SEPT2NCmut-B10 and -B11. Data points are from a 698 total of 40 cells each for wild-type and mutant SEPT2 distributed among the two

699 phenotypes. (G) Representative example of a GFP1-9 cell co-expressing SEPT2NCmut-700 β 10 and $-\beta$ 11 and co-stained for F-actin (phalloidin) showing a diffuse cytosolic 701 phenotype. Scale bar, 10 µm. (H) Violin plots depicting the distribution of diffuse cytosolic 702 (red datapoints) vs. non-diffuse (green datapoints) phenotypes as a function of the 703 intensity of the msfGFP signal in cells expressing wild-type SEPT2-msfGFP or 704 SEPT2NCmut-msfGFP. Data points are from a total of 90 cells each for wild-type and 705 mutant SEPT2 distributed among the two phenotypes. (I) Representative example of a 706 cell expressing SEPT2NCmut-msfGFP and co-stained for F-actin (phalloidin) showing a 707 diffuse cytosolic phenotype. Scale bar, 10 µm.

708

709 Figure 3. SF-associated septin filaments contain octamers. (A-B) Schematic (top) and 710 respective structure model (bottom) of rGFP via SEPT9-SEPT9 interactions (A) and 711 SEPT7-SEPT9 interactions (B) within an octamer. The transparency of the terminal 712 SEPT2 subunits is used to suggest that the protomers are found within a filament. β 10 713 and β11 strands are shown in yellow and orange, respectively. Linker sequences between 714 septins and the β -strands, delimited by arrowheads, are shown in dark grey. The colors 715 of septin subunits in the structure models match the ones in the color-coded sphere 716 representation of octamers. (C) Representative confocal micrographs of SEPT9_i3-717 SEPT9_i3 rGFP distribution in fixed cells (left and middle columns) co-stained for F-actin 718 (phalloidin) and in live cells (right column). Examples of rGFP in fixed cells localizing (i) 719 to ventral (a) and peripheral (b) SFs and (ii) to transverse arcs (b) and excluded from 720 dorsal SFs (a). Examples in live cells show rGFP localizing (iii) to ventral SFs (a,b) and 721 (iv) to actin caps (a,b). Scale bars in large fields of views, 10 µm. Scale bars in insets, 2 722 um, (D) Representative confocal micrographs of SEPT7-SEPT9 i3 rGFP distribution in 723 fixed cells (left and middle columns) co-stained for F-actin (phalloidin) and in live cells 724 (right column). Example of rGFP in fixed cells localizing (i) to ventral SFs (a,b). Example 725 in live cells showing rGFP localizing (ii) to transverse arcs (a,b). The arrowhead points to 726 a ring. Such cytoplasmic rings were ~0.5-1.6 μ m in diameter (0.9 μ m on average from 19 727 measured rings). Scale bars in large fields of views, 10 µm. Scale bars in insets, 2 µm. 728 (E) Additional examples of rings (arrowheads) in GFP1-9 cells co-expressing β11-SEPT7 729 and SEPT9 i3-β10. Scale bar, 2 μm. (F) Representative confocal micrographs of SEPT7-730 SEPT9 i1 rGFP distribution in fixed cells (left and middle columns) co-stained for F-actin 731 (phalloidin) and in live cells (right column). Examples in fixed and live cells show rGFP 732 localizing to ventral SFs (a,b). Scale bars in large fields of views, 10 µm. Scale bars in 733 insets, 2µm.

734

735 Figure 4. Intact SEPT9 NC and G interfaces are required for septin localization to

736 SFs. (A) Western blot following native PAGE of U2OS cell lysates probed with anti-

737 SEPT9 (left and middle) and anti-SEPT7 (right) antibodies upon treatment with siRNAs

738 targeting LacZ (siCtrl), SEPT9 (siSEPT9), and targeting SEPT9 while expressing wild-

739 type SEPT9-msfGFP (WT) or SEPT9NCmut-msfGFP (NCmut) for both SEPT9 i1 and 740 SEPT9_i3. The SEPT9 blot is also shown saturated on purpose for displaying weaker 741 bands. Arrowheads point to the sizes of the indicated complexes. The asterisks point to 742 SEPT9 degradation. Molecular weight markers are shown on the left. (B) Western blot 743 following native PAGE of U2OS cell lysates probed with anti-SEPT9 (left) and anti-SEPT7 744 (right) antibodies upon treatment with siRNAs targeting LacZ (siCtrl), SEPT7 (siSEPT7), 745 and targeting SEPT7 while expressing wild-type msfGFP-SEPT7 (WT), msfGFP-746 SEPT7Gmut1 (Gmut1), or msfGFP-SEPT7Gmut2 (Gmut2). Arrowheads point to the sizes 747 of the indicated complexes. Molecular weight markers are shown on the left. (C) 748 Representative example of a GFP1-9 cell co-expressing SEPT9 i3NCmut- β 10 and - β 11, 749 co-stained for F-actin (phalloidin), showing a diffuse cytosolic phenotype. Scale bar, 10 750 μm. (D) Representative examples of a fixed (i) and a live (ii) GFP1-9 cell co-expressing 751 β 11-SEPT7Gmut1 and SEPT9 i3Gmut- β 10 showing a diffuse cytosolic phenotype. The 752 fixed cell is co-stained for F-actin (phalloidin). Scale bar, 10 µm. (E) Violin plots depicting 753 the distribution of diffuse cytosolic (red datapoints) vs. non-diffuse (green datapoints) phenotypes as a function of the intensity of the msfGFP signal in cells expressing wild-754 755 type SEPT9_i3-msfGFP or SEPT9_i3NCmut-msfGFP. Data points are from a total of 90 756 cells each for wild-type and mutant SEPT9 distributed among the two phenotypes. (F) 757 Representative example of a cell expressing SEPT9 i3NCmut-msfGFP, co-stained for F-758 actin (phalloidin), showing a diffuse cytosolic phenotype. Scale bar, 10 µm. (G) Violin 759 plots depicting the distribution of diffuse cytosolic (red datapoints) vs. non-diffuse (green 760 datapoints) phenotypes as a function of the intensity of the rGFP signal in GFP1-9 cells 761 co-expressing wild-type SEPT9 i3-B10 and -B11 or SEPT9 i3NCmut-B10 and -B11. Data 762 points are from a total of 40 cells each for wild-type and mutant SEPT9 distributed among 763 the two phenotypes. (H) Violin plots depicting the distribution of diffuse cytosolic (red 764 datapoints) vs. non-diffuse (green datapoints) phenotypes as a function of the intensity of 765 the rGFP signal in GFP1-9 cells co-expressing the indicated combinations of β11-SEPT7 766 and SEPT9-B10 fusions. Data points are from a total of 40 cells each for each 767 combination, distributed among the two phenotypes.

768

769 Figure 5. Exogenous SEPT7 and SEPT9 expression affect SEPT7 distribution. (A) 770 Representative confocal micrographs of SEPT7-SEPT7 rGFP distribution in fixed cells 771 co-stained for F-actin (phalloidin) localizing (i) to ventral (a,b) SFs and (ii) to ectopic 772 bundles devoid of phalloidin staining (a,b). Scale bars in large fields of views, 10 μ m. 773 Scale bars in insets, 2 µm. (B) Representative confocal micrographs of SEPT7-SEPT7 774 rGFP distribution in live cells localizing (i) to transverse arcs (a,b) and (ii) to ectopic 775 bundles (a,b). Scale bars in large fields of views, 10 μ m. Scale bars in insets, 2 μ m. (C) Examples of cells expressing msfGFP-SEPT7 and co-stained for SEPT2 (i) or for SEPT9 776 777 (ii). msfGFP-SEPT7 localizing to ectopic bundles contained SEPT2 (i;a,b) but not SEPT9 778 (ii;a). A non-transfected cell in (ii) shows SEPT9-stained SFs (b). Scale bars in large fields 779 of views, 10 µm. Scale bars in insets, 2 µm. (D) Representative confocal micrograph of a

780 cell expressing msfGFP-SEPT7 co-stained for F-actin (phalloidin) localizing to ventral 781 SFs (a) and to ectopic bundles devoid of phalloidin staining (b). Scale bars in large fields 782 of views, 10 µm. Scale bars in insets, 2 µm. (E) Representative example of a cell (top 783 right) co-expressing msfGFP-SEPT7 and SEPT9 i3-mApple and labeled for F-actin (SiR-784 actin). Example shows msfGFP-SEPT7 localizing to ventral SFs (b). A cell expressing 785 only msfGFP-SEPT7 (bottom left) in (ii) shows msfGFP-SEPT7 localizing to ectopic 786 bundles that are devoid of F-actin (a). Scale bars in large fields of views, 10 µm. Scale 787 bars in insets, 2 μ m. (F) Representative examples of GFP1-9 cells co-expressing β 10-788 and β11-SEPT7, SEPT9 i3-mApple and labeled for F-actin (SiR-actin). Example in (i) 789 shows rGFP localization to ventral SFs. Example in (ii) shows rGFP localization to 790 peripheral (a), ventral SFs (b) and transverse arcs (c). Scale bars in large fields of views, 791 10 µm. Scale bars in insets, 2 µm. (G-H) Schematic (top) and respective structure model 792 (bottom) of rGFP via SEPT7-SEPT7 interactions within a hexamer (G) or from SEPT7 in 793 two apposed octamers within a paired filament (H). The transparency of the terminal 794 SEPT2 subunits is used to suggest that the protomers are found within a filament. β 10 795 and β 11 strands are shown in yellow and orange, respectively. Linker sequences between 796 septins and the β -strands, delimited by arrowheads, are shown in dark grey. The colors 797 of septin subunits correspond to the ones in the color-coded sphere representation of 798 hexamers and octamers. The second half of the octamer is not shown in the rotated 799 filament pair in (H) for the sake of simplicity. Only SEPT7 subunits are shown in the zoom-800 in of the reconstituted GFP barrel in G for the sake of simplicity.

801

802 Figure 6. Intact SEPT7 G interfaces are required for septin localization to SFs. (A) 803 Representative examples of fixed (i,ii) and live (iii-vi) GFP1-9 cells co-expressing β 11-804 SEPT7Gmut2 and SEPT9 i3- β 10. Fixed cells are co-stained for F-actin (phalloidin). 805 Examples shows rGFP localizing (i,ii) to ventral SFs (a,b), (iii) to perinuclear actin caps 806 (a,b), (iv) to ventral SFs (a,b), and (v-vi) rings (arrowheads). Scale bars in large fields of 807 views, 10 μ m. Scale bars in insets, 2 μ m. (B) Representative examples of GFP1-9 cells (i-iv) co-expressing B10- and B11-SEPT7Gmut2. The fixed cell is co-stained for F-actin 808 809 (phalloidin). Examples show diffuse cytosolic phenotypes (i,iii) of the rGFP and rGFP 810 localizing to SFs (ii, iv). Scale bars in large fields of views, 10 μm. Scale bars in insets, 2 811 μm. (C) Violin plots depicting the distribution of diffuse cytosolic (red datapoints) vs. non-812 diffuse (green datapoints) phenotypes as a function of the intensity of the msfGFP signal in cells expressing wild-type msfGFP-SEPT7 or msfGFP-SEPT7NCmut. Data points are 813 814 from a total of 71 cells for wild-type, 68 cells for SEPT7Gmut1 and 90 cells for 815 SEPT7Gmut2 distributed among the two phenotypes. (D) Violin plots depicting the 816 distribution of diffuse cytosolic (red datapoints) vs. non-diffuse (green datapoints) 817 phenotypes as a function of the intensity of the rGFP signal in GFP1-9 cells co-expressing 818 wild-type B10- and B11-SEPT7, B10- and B11-SEPT7Gmut1, or B10- and B11-SEPT7Gmut2. Data points are from a total of 40 cells for wild-type, 33 cells for B10- and 819

820 β 11-SEPT7Gmut1 and 29 cells for β 10- and β 11-SEPT7Gmut2 distributed among the two821phenotypes. (E) Representative examples of GFP1-9 cells (i,ii) co-expressing β 10- and822 β 11-SEPT7Gmut1 showing a diffuse cytosolic phenotype. The fixed cell is co-stained for823F-actin (phalloidin). Scale bar, 10 µm.

824

825 Figure 7. Septin octamers are essential for the integrity of SF-associated septin 826 filaments. (A-C) Representative confocal micrographs of SEPT2-SEPT2 rGFP 827 distribution in live cells co-labeled for F-actin (SiR-actin). Cells were treated with siRNA 828 targeting SEPT2 (A), with siRNAs targeting both SEPT2 and SEPT9 (B), or with siRNA 829 targeting both SEPT2 and SEPT7 and co-transfected with mApple-SEPT7Gmut2 (C). 830 Examples in (A) and (C) show rGFP localizing to ventral SFs (a,b). Scale bars in large fields of views, 10 µm. Scale bars in insets, 2 µm. (D) Scatter dot plots depicting the 831 832 distribution of diffuse cytosolic (red datapoints) vs. non-diffuse (green datapoints) 833 phenotypes in cells under the same conditions as in (A-C), also shown as pie graphs. 834 Data points are from a total of 59 cells for wild-type and 60 cells for each perturbation 835 condition, distributed among the two phenotypes. (E) Scatter dot plots (mean \pm SD) 836 depicting the distributions of calculated Pearson (left) and Manders (right) correlation 837 coefficients for actin-septin colocalization in cells under the same conditions as in (A-C). 838 Data points for each plot, from left to right, are from a total of 30, 37 and 46 cells, 839 respectively. Kruskal-Wallis test; *** P<0.001, **** P<0.0001.

840

841 Figure 8. Ventral SFs are significantly reduced in the absence of octamers or upon 842 impairing septin polymerization. (A) Representative confocal micrograph of cells co-843 stained for SEPT7, SEPT9 and F-actin (phalloidin). Examples show septins localizing to 844 ventral SFs (a,b). (B) Representative confocal micrographs of wild type U2OS cells 845 treated with siRNA targeting SEPT9 and co-stained for SEPT7, SEPT9 and F-actin (phalloidin). Example (i) depicts cells with no ventral SFs, whereas example (ii) shows 846 847 cells that have ventral SFs that are devoid of septins (a,b) (see methods for classification). 848 (C) Box plots showing the frequency of ventral SF presence in wild-type cells treated with 849 siRNA targeting LacZ (6mer+8mer), siRNA targeting SEPT9 (6mer), siRNA targeting 850 SEPT7 and co-transfected with msfGFP-SEPT7Gmut2 (8mer), and siRNA targeting 851 SEPT2 and co-transfected with SEPT2NCmut-msfGFP (no filaments). The data points 852 are plotted on top of the respective box plots; each data point corresponds to one round 853 of experiments. On each box, the central mark indicates the median, and the bottom and 854 top edges of the box indicate the 25th and 75th percentiles, respectively. The whiskers 855 extend to the minimum and maximum values. The number of cells analyzed per 856 experiment in each box plot, from left to right, is n = 46; 87; 104; 152; 18; 31; 26; 12 857 (6mer+8mer), n = 51; 83; 117; 165 (6mer), n = 66; 79; 84; 82 (8mer), and n = 99; 60; 67; 858 84; 51 (no filaments). The respective median frequencies are 82% (6mer+8mer), 44% 859 (6mer), 81% (8mer), and 46% (no filaments). One-way ANOVA: ns=not significant: *** 860 P<0.001, **** P<0.0001. (D) Top, Western blots of cell lysates probed with anti-actin and 861 anti- α -tubulin antibodies under the same conditions as in (C). Molecular weight markers 862 are shown on the left. Bottom, respective quantification of actin protein levels (mean+SD). Mean values (normalized to 1 for 6mer+8mer) are from 3-5 independent experiments. 863 864 One-way ANOVA; ns=not significant. (E) Atomic force microscopy nanoindentation on cells under the conditions '6mer+8mer', '6mer' and '8mer' as described in (C). Left, 865 866 Example of an experimental force-indentation curve. Right and left arrows correspond to 867 the approach and retraction curves, respectively. The solid red lines represent the fits to 868 the viscolelastic model (see methods). The inset depicts the indentation of the cell, also 869 showing ventral and dorsal SFs in red. The image on the right shows the cantilever tip 870 indenting the dorsal membrane of a micropatterned wild-type cell. Right, box plots 871 showing the distributions of cell stiffness (E_0) and cell fluidity (β). E_0 values are plotted on 872 a log scale. The data points are plotted on top of the respective box plots; each data point 873 corresponds to one cell. On each box, the central mark indicates the median, and the 874 bottom and top edges of the box indicate the 25th and 75th percentiles, respectively. The 875 whiskers extend to the minimum and maximum values. The number of measurements in 876 each box plot, from left to right, is n = 31, 29, 23. The respective median cell stiffness 877 values are 656 Pa, 479 Pa, and 719 Pa, and the respective median cell fluidity values are 878 0.21, 0.23, and 0.19. One-way ANOVA for log(E_0) and for β ; ns=not significant; ** P<0.01.

879

880 Figure 9. Super-resolution structured illumination (SIM) microscopy of septin 881 filaments on SFs. (A-E) Representative SIM micrographs of SEPT7 immunostained cells 882 co-stained for F-actin (phalloidin) (A-E), and additionally for α -actinin (C,D) or non-muscle 883 myosin heavy chain IIA (NMIIA) (E). Examples show septin filament localization to 884 perinuclear actin caps (A), arcs (B) and arc nodes (C), ventral nodes (D), and ventral SFs 885 (E, cells i-iv). The insets adjacent to the full field-of-views depict regions of interest (solid 886 outlined boxes) shown at high magnification. Dashed outlined boxes and their respective 887 insets show specific features at higher magnification. Scale bars in all large fields of views, 888 10 µm. Scale bars in insets, 1 or 2 µm as indicated. (F-I) Fiber width measurements and 889 real size estimations from SIM images. Box plots in (F) depict the distributions of 890 measured widths, as the full width at half maximum (FWHM), of microtubules (MT) (inset 891 shows an example SIM image of MTs) and septins associated with peripheral SFs 892 ("plasma membrane"), perinuclear actin caps ("cap"), arc and ventral actin nodes 893 ("aster"), arcs and ventral SFs; widths from thin and thick ventral septin fibers were plotted 894 separately. The data points are plotted on top of the respective box plots; data points 895 correspond to width measurements at multiple positions along MT and septin fibers and 896 in multiple MT and septin fibers per cell in a total of 10 cells for MT and 10 cells for septin 897 fiber measurements. On each box, the central mark indicates the median, and the bottom 898 and top edges of the box indicate the 25th and 75th percentiles, respectively. The 899 whiskers extend to the minimum and maximum values. The number of measurements in 900 each box plot, from left to right, is n = 180, 123, 175, 88, 184, 330, 114. The respective 901 median values are 115 nm, 123 nm, 137 nm, 131 nm, 133 nm, 134 nm, and 231 nm.

902 Kruskal-Wallis test; ns=not significant; **** P<0.0001. (G) Numerical simulations of the 903 expected FWHM in SIM images ("image diameter") as a function of the real fiber diameter. 904 The curve was generated from the convolution of a Gaussian point spread function (PSF) 905 of 115 nm with an increasing fiber size. Fiber sizes above ~200 nm scale linearly with the 906 image sizes. These simulations were used together with FWHM measurements in SIM 907 images (F) to estimate an upper width limit for septin fibers associated with the different 908 types of SFs (H). These estimations were then compared to the real width ranges one 909 expects from IgG antibody-decorated septins organizing as single or double filaments (I). 910 Primary and fluorophore (cyan asterisk)-conjugated secondary antibodies are depicted in 911 green and magenta, respectively. The primary SEPT7 antibody used in our 912 immunostainings binds the very C-terminus of SEPT7. The narrow and wide spacings of 913 paired filaments, the presence of homodimeric coiled coils for SEPT2, SEPT6 and 914 SEPT7, and of heterodimeric coiled coils for SEPT6 and SEPT7 are based on 915 experimental evidence from (de Almeida Margues et al., 2012; Leonardo et al., 2021; Low 916 and Macara, 2006; Sala et al., 2016). (J) Scatter dot plots of length distributions for septin 917 fibers on the indicated types of SFs. Bars depict median values. The number of 918 measurements in each plot, from left to right, is n = 151, 97, 227, 249. The respective 919 median values are 2.8 μ m, 1.3 μ m, 2.0 μ m, and 3.8 μ m.

920

921 Figure 10. Septin filaments are closely apposed to the plasma membrane, are 922 largely immobilized on actin stress fibers, and can mediate actin-membrane 923 anchoring. (A) Representative confocal micrographs of SEPT7 immunostained cells co-924 stained for F-actin and non-muscle myosin heavy chain IIA (NMIIA). Cells were either 925 extracted after fixation (left panel) or were live-extracted right before fixation (right panel). 926 Scale bars, 10 µm. (B) Schematic of the metal-induced energy transfer (MIET) assay in 927 cells for probing fluorophore (mApple or AlexaFluor 568) distances from a gold-coated 928 coverslip using fluorescence lifetime measurements. (C-E) C depicts representative 929 examples of lifetime decay traces for SEPT9_i3-mApple on glass and in the presence of 930 gold (left) and for SEPT9_i3-mApple and SEPT9_i3-mApple-CAAX in the presence of 931 gold (right). The solid lines represent the numerical fits, showing the lifetime reduction 932 due to the MIET process. The calculated lifetime-distance dependence for SEPT9 i3-933 mApple (D, see methods) was used to calculate the distance of SEPT9 i3-fused mApple, 934 with or without the CAAX lipid anchor, from the coverslip (E). Lifetime decay traces and 935 lifetime-distance dependence curves for GAP43-mApple (plasma membrane) and 936 AF568-phalloidin (F-actin) are shown in Fig. S5A, B. Box plots in (E) depict the 937 distributions of calculated distances for SEPT9 i3-mApple-CAAX, SEPT9 i3, GAP43-938 mApple (plasma membrane, PM) and AF568-phalloidin (F-actin). The data points are 939 plotted on top of the respective box plots; each data point corresponds to one cell. On 940 each box, the central mark indicates the median, and the bottom and top edges of the 941 box indicate the 25th and 75th percentiles, respectively. The whiskers extend to the 942 minimum and maximum values. The number of measurements in each box plot, from left 943 to right, is n = 13, 11, 8, 8. The respective median values are 90 nm, 88 nm, 86 nm, and 944 115 nm. One-way ANOVA; ns=not significant; *** P<0.001. (F) TIRF images of SEPT2-945 msfGFP 8mer-9_i1 (top row) and F-actin (bottom row), either co-polymerized on top of a 946 supported lipid bilayer (SLB), or co-polymerized in solution to form preformed bundles 947 that were then flushed onto the supported lipid bilayer. The supported lipid bilayer was 948 composed either of 5% of PI(4,5)P₂, a septin-interacting lipid, and 95% DOPC (left 949 panels), or 100% DOPC (right panels). Due to the shallow penetration depth (~100 nm) 950 of TIRF together with the absence of crowding agents, only truly membrane-associated 951 structures are visible. Scale bar, 5µm. (G-K) Septins are primarily immobilized and 952 confined on actin stress fibers but also undergo very slow lateral free diffusion in the 953 vicinity of the plasma membrane. (G-I) Left: Super-resolution PALM intensity images of 954 mEos2-Actin (G), SEPT9 i3-mEos3.2 (H) and SEPT9 i3-mEos3.2-CAAX (I) in mouse 955 embryonic fibroblasts obtained from a sptPALM sequence (50 Hz, >80 s). Insets: low 956 resolution images of GFP-actin (G) or EYFP-paxillin (H-I), which were co-expressed for 957 FA labelling. Scale bars, 3 µm. Right: color-coded trajectories overlaid on FAs labelled by EYFP-paxillin or on FAs and SFs labelled by GFP-actin (grayscale) show the diffusion 958 959 modes: free diffusion (yellow), confined diffusion (green) and immobilization (red). (J) 960 Distributions of the diffusion coefficient D computed from the trajectories of mEos2-actin 961 (blue), SEPT9_i3-mEos3.2 (magenta) and SEPT9_i3-CAAX-mEos3.2 (light magenta) 962 obtained outside FAs, are shown in a logarithmic scale. The gray area including D values 963 inferior to 0.011 µm².s⁻¹ corresponds to immobilized proteins. Values represent the 964 average of the distributions obtained from different cells. (K) Fraction of mEos2-actin 965 (blue), SEPT9_i3-mEos3.2 (magenta) and SEPT9_i3-mEos3.2-CAAX (light magenta) 966 undergoing free diffusion, confined diffusion or immobilization outside FAs. Values 967 represent the average of the fractions obtained from different cells (error bars: SEM). 968 Results for SEPT9 i3-mEos3.2 (14 cells) correspond to pooled data from two 969 independent experiments with n, the number of trajectories analyzed: SEPT9_i3-970 mEos3.2 nsept9_i3 = 72,720. Results for mEos2-actin (9 cells) and SEPT9_i3-mEos3.2-971 CAAX (5 cells) correspond each to data from one experiment with n, the number of 972 trajectories analyzed: mEos2-actin n_{actin} = 34,715; SEPT9_i3-mEos3.2-CAAX n_{SEPT9_i3}-973 CAAX = 37,339. Statistical significance in (K) was obtained using two-tailed, non-parametric 974 Mann–Whitney rank sum test. The different conditions were compared to the SEPT9 i3mEos3.2 condition. The resulting P values are indicated as follows: *** P<0.001; **** 975 976 P<0.0001. (L) Working model supported by the results of this study. Septins in cells 977 organize as paired, octamer-based filaments mediating actin-membrane anchoring.

- 978
- 979 Materials and methods
- 980

981 **Design of septin fusions for the tripartite split-GFP complementation assay.** For the 982 tripartite complementation assay to report SEPT-SEPT interactions with stringency, the 983 amino acid linker length between SEPT and the β 10- and β 11-strands should not be too

984 short in order to allow for the necessary proximity and flexibility for the B10- and B11-985 strands to orient in an antiparallel fashion for complementing GFP1-9, but it should be 986 short enough to minimize reporting longer-range interactions. We used fluorescence 987 imaging to test the dependence of split-GFP complementation on the linker length and on 988 the position of the β 10- and β 11-tags by screening different homo- and hetero-septin 989 combinations as shown in Fig. S2A-C. All the combinations we tested resulted in 990 fluorescence, reflecting the inherent flexibility of the N- and C-termini of SEPT2, 7 and 9. 991 To allow for the most stringent complementation, we chose to use C-terminal fusions with 992 14-residue linkers for SEPT2- β 10- and - β 11 tags and for SEPT9- β 10- and - β 11 tags, and 993 N-terminal fusions with 14-residue linkers for β 10- and β 11-SEPT7 tags. This short linker 994 is comparable in length to the 10-residue-long β 10- and β 11-strands and thus long 995 enough to allow the antiparallel arrangement of the latter. Protein structure models of 996 human septin hexamers and octamers bearing full-length β 10- and β 11-tagged septins 997 (see method section "Modeling of human septin complexes") confirmed the efficiency of 998 GFP complementation for the final chosen linker length and $\beta 10/\beta 11$ -tag positioning (Fig. 999 2A,B; Fig. 3A,B; Fig. 5G,H).

1000

1001 Plasmids and cloning. Septin and msfGFP cDNAs were as described in (lv et al., 2021). 1002 mApple and sfCherry2 cDNAs were PCR-amplified from Addgene plasmids #54862 and 1003 #83031, respectively. Three types of mammalian expression plasmids were used in this 1004 study. A pCMV backbone (Clontech) was used for the expression of full-length fluorescent 1005 protein (msfGFP/mApple) fusions. A pcDNA3.1 backbone (ThermoFisher Scientific), also 1006 with a CMV promoter, was used for the expression of β 10- or β 11-tagged septins. Finally, 1007 a pTRIP TRE Bi vector, modified from pTRE-Tight-BI (Takara-Bio) (Koraichi et al., 2018), 1008 bearing a bidirectional tetracycline response element (TRE) promoter and an IRES-1009 TagBFP cassette downstream β10-tagged septins, was used for the doxycycline-1010 inducible co-expression of \$10- and \$11-tagged septins (Fig. S2D,E). pCMV and pTRIP 1011 TRE Bi plasmids were used for all results presented in the figures. The pcDNA3.1 1012 plasmids were used only for the initial screening (Fig. S2A-C).

1013 All pCMV plasmids, SEPT2 constructs in pTRIP TRE Bi plasmids and all interface 1014 mutants in pTRIP TRE Bi plasmids were cloned using seamless cloning (In-Fusion HD 1015 Cloning Plus Kit from Takara Bio, 638910). All pcDNAs and all wild-type SEPT7 and 1016 SEPT9-containing constructs in pTRIP TRE Bi plasmids were generated with classical 1017 cloning. In this latter case, DNA fragments were amplified by PCR using the PCR Master Mix from ThermoFisher Scientific (K0171), TagFast DNA polymerase (Applied Biological 1018 1019 Materials G277) or Phusion High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase (New England Biolabs 1020 M0530S) and ligated into double digested plasmids with the Rapid DNA Ligation Kit from 1021 ThermoFisher Scientific (K1422). pCMV constructs were cloned into a Nhel/BamHI 1022 linearized vector. pcDNA constructs were cloned into a Nhel/Xbal linearized vector. 1023 pTRIP TRE Bi constructs were cloned in two steps: first the β10-tagged septins were 1024 cloned into a SacII/Nhel digested vector, then the B11-tagged septins were cloned into a 1025 Ndel/Xbal digested vector carrying the β10-tagged septin. The starting methionine of 1026 septin sequences is included in the N-terminal β10- and β11-tagged versions.

1027 Bacterial expression plasmids for generating wild-type SEPT2-msfGFP hexamers and 1028 octamers-9_i3 were described in (lv et al., 2021) and are available through Addgene 1029 (#174492, 174498, 174499, 174501). pnEA-vH plasmids for the bacterial expression of 1030 SEPT2NCmut-msfGFP and SEPT2-sfCherry2 were generated using seamless cloning 1031 following the same strategy described in (Iv et al., 2021). All primers for seamless cloning 1032 were Cloning Oligo (<60 bp) or EXTREmer (>60 bp) synthesis and purification quality 1033 from Eurofins Genomics, Germany and are listed in Table S1. All restriction enzymes 1034 were FastDigest enzymes from Thermo Scientific or from New England Biolabs. All 1035 plasmids were verified by sequencing (Eurofins Genomics, Germany) after each cloning 1036 step. We have deposited all plasmids with the nonprofit repository Addgene. Note that 1037 the SEPT9 i1NCmut in this study is the same as the SEPT9 i1NCmut2 in (Kuzmic et al., 1038 2022). Plasmid mCherry-SEPT9 i1 was from Addgene (#71622).

1039

1040 Cell lines, cell culture and transfection. U2OS osteosarcoma cells for the expression 1041 of full-length fluorescent protein septin fusions were from ATCC (HBT-96). For the 1042 inducible co-expression of β 10- and β 11-tagged septins in the context of the tripartite 1043 split-GFP complementation system, we generated an inducible U2OS-Tet-On-GFP1-9 1044 cell line which expresses constitutively a GFP1-9 fragment and an anti-GFP VHH 1045 intrabody that enhances split-GFP fluorescence. To generate this cell line, U2OS cells 1046 were successively transduced with lentiviruses encoding rtTA. GFP1-9 (Addgene 1047 #130271) and anti-GFP VHH G4 (Addgene #182236) and tested for complementation 1048 efficiency using transient expression of a GFP10-zipper-GFP11 domain (Koraïchi et al., 1049 2018). One additional round of transduction with GFP1-9 lentivirus led to an optimized 1050 U2OS-Tet-On-GFP1-9 cell line that showed 80% GFP positive cells upon expression of 1051 the GFP10-zipper-GFP11 domain. An IRES-TagBFP cassette downstream β10-tagged 1052 septins was used for monitoring septin expression. Cells were maintained in McCoy's 1053 medium (Gibco 16600082) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Dominique 1054 Dutscher S181H), 100 U/mL penicillin and 100 µg/mL streptomycin antibiotics (P4333, 1055 Sigma) in a humidified atmosphere at 37°C containing 5% CO₂.

1056 Transfections with pcDNAs, for the screening of β 10- and β 11-tag combinations (Fig. 1057 S2A-C), were performed 16 h prior to immunostainings using jetPRIME (PolyPlus 1058 101000015). To obtain single cells for imaging, 50x10³ U2OS-Tet-On-GFP1-9 cells were 1059 typically grown on 18 mm coverslips (Knittel Glass MS0010), previously cleaned by 1060 sonication in 70% ethanol, and placed into a 12-well plate a day prior to the day of 1061 transfection, for allowing an optimal number of cells to attach and spread. A total of 0.4 1062 μ g of DNA and a 4:1 ratio of jetPRIME (μ L) : DNA (μ g) were used per reaction. To 1063 minimize septin overexpression artifacts, the total amount of DNA was composed by 30 1064 ng of β 10-septin, 30 ng of β 11-septin and 340ng of empty vector.

1065 Transfections with either pCMV or pTRIP TRE Bi plasmids and siRNAs were performed 1066 through electroporation using the Neon Transfection System (Thermo Fisher Scientific 1067 MPK5000). For pCMVs, a single 100-µL reaction using 1.8x10⁶ U2OS cells, 300 pmol of 1068 each siRNA and 6 µg of each DNA was electroporated within the dedicated tip (Thermo 1069 Fisher Scientific, MPK10096). Electroporation parameters consisted in 4 pulses of 10 ms 1070 width and a voltage of 1230 V. The electroporated cells were then inoculated in 5 mL of 1071 culture medium without antibiotics, and immediately divided for native-PAGE, SDS-1072 PAGE/western blots and immunostaining as follows: 3 mL in a 6-cm dish containing 2 mL 1073 of medium without antibiotics, 2 mL in a 6-cm dish containing 3 mL of medium without 1074 antibiotics, and 100 µL in the well of a 12-well plate containing a 18-mm coverslip in 900 1075 µL of medium without antibiotics, respectively. A satisfactory septin knockdown efficiency 1076 was achieved within 48-96 h after electroporation. Typically, immunostaining and protein 1077 extraction were performed 72h post electroporation.

- 1078 For pTRIP TRE Bi plasmids, a single 100-µL reaction using 1.8x10⁶ U2OS-Tet-On-GFP1-1079 9 cells, 300 pmol of each siRNA and 6 µg of each DNA was electroporated within the 1080 dedicated tip using the same electroporation parameters described previously. The 1081 electroporated cells were then inoculated in 5.5 mL of culture medium without antibiotics 1082 and immediately divided for SDS-PAGE/western blots, immunostaining and live cell 1083 imaging as follows: 5 mL in a 6-cm dish, 400 µL in the well of a 12-well plate containing 1084 a 18-mm coverslip in 600 µL of medium without antibiotics, and 200 µL in the well of a 1085 24-well glass bottom plate (Cellvis, P24-1.5H-N) containing 800 µL of medium without 1086 antibiotics, respectively. After either 48 h, for samples intended for live cell imaging or 1087 immunostainings, or 72 h, for samples for biochemical analysis, protein expression was 1088 induced using 1 µg/mL of doxycycline (Sigma, D9891) for 16 h.
- 1089

1090 Septin mutant phenotype classification. The diffuse cytosolic vs non-diffuse 1091 phenotype classification analysis for mutant characterization with pCMV plasmids (Fig. 1092 2H; Fig. 4E; Fig. 6C; Fig. S4A) was done from 3 independent experiments. Transfected 1093 cells were fixed and co-stained for actin and α -tubulin. Each round of experiments was 1094 composed of the 30 first fluorescent cells found randomly in the sample, with the 1095 exception of one round containing 11 cells for msfGFP-SEPT7 and 8 cells for msfGFP-1096 SEPT7Gmut1. Acquired images were classified as "diffuse cytosolic" in the presence of 1097 purely diffuse cytosolic signal or as "non-diffuse" in the presence of structure-like signal; 1098 no differentiation was applied for SF-, microtubule-, membrane-like or punctate signals in 1099 the latter case. The violin graphs representing the phenotype distributions show the mean 1100 intensity distribution calculated on the whole field of view from maximum intensity 1101 projections of all z-planes. The phenotype classification for reconstituted split-GFP 1102 fluorescence distribution (Fig. 2F; Fig. 4G,H; Fig. 6D; Fig. S4B) was identical, in terms of 1103 the used criteria and graph display, but the data was generated from 2 independent 1104 experiments, with each experimental round composed of the 20 first fluorescent cells, in 1105 live cell imaging, with the exception of one round containing 13 cells for SEPT7Gmut1SEPT7Gmut1 and 9 cells for SEPT7Gmut2-SEPT7Gmut2. For the septin-actin colocalization analysis, the diffuse cytosolic vs non-diffuse phenotype sorting is displayed both as scatter dot plots and as a pie graph to highlight the diffuse cytosolic vs non-diffuse proportion from each condition. Bars in scatter dot plots depict means and error bars SD. Violin plots, scatter dot plots and pie graphs were prepared using GraphPad Prism. The number of cells used to assess the phenotypes for each condition is indicated in the respective legends.

1113

1114 **RNA interference.** Control synthetic small interfering RNA (siRNA) targeting the coding region of LacZ (5'-GCGGCUGCCGGAAUUUACC-3') and siRNA targeting the 3'UTR 1115 1116 region of all SEPT9 mRNA variants (5'-GGAUCUGAUUGAGGAUAAA-3') were 1117 previously validated (Verdier-Pinard et al., 2017). The siRNA sequences targeting the 1118 3'UTR regions of SEPT2 and SEPT7 were 5'-ACACUUUCCUGGAUAAAAA-3' and 5'-1119 GCAUUUAGCUGUAUUCAUA-3', respectively. All siRNAs were designed to hybridize 1120 with 19-bp sequences in the 3'UTR regions of septin genes, thus knocking down 1121 endogenous septins while allowing the expression of the transfected plasmids. 21mer 1122 siRNAs, 20 nmol each, were synthesized with dTdT overhangs by Eurofins, and delivered 1123 as annealed and ready-to-use siRNA duplexes. siRNAs targeting the coding regions of 1124 non-muscle myosin heavy chain IIA (NMIIA) (5'-1125 GCCACGCCCAGAAGAACGAGAAUGC-3') and non-muscle myosin heavy chain IIB (NMIIB) (5'-UCAAUAAAGCUCUGGAUAGGACCAA-3') were previously validated (Kage 1126 1127 et al., 2022). 20 nmol of these 25mer siRNAs without overhangs were synthesized by 1128 Eurofins, and delivered as annealed and ready-to-use siRNA duplexes.

1129

1130 SDS-PAGE and western blotting of cell lysates. The dish containing the cells was placed on ice and the cells were washed twice with PBS, without Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺, before 1131 1132 being detached with 40 µL of ice-cold lysis buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 148.5 mM 1133 NaCl, 0.5 mM EDTA, 0.5% NP-40, 1x PhosSTOP Roche, 5x cOmplete protease inhibitor 1134 cocktail Roche, 1 mM DTT) using a cell scraper (TPP 99003). The lysate was collected 1135 in a 1.5 mL tube and incubated on ice for 30 min. The lysates were then centrifuged at 1136 20,000 g for 20 minutes at 4°C for removing cell debris. An aliquot of 6 µL was collected 1137 for protein quantification using the BCA Protein Assay (ThermoFisher Scientific 23227) and the remaining clarified lysates were kept at -20°C until SDS-PAGE analysis. 1138

1139 The lysates were analyzed by 4-20% SDS-PAGE using Mini-PROTEAN TGX[™] Precast 1140 Protein Gels (BioRad 4561095). Molecular mass markers were Precision Plus Protein All 1141 Blue Standards (BioRad 1610373) or Amersham ECL Rainbow Marker (Cytiva RPN800E). For the western blot, the gel, the PVDF Immobilon-P^{SQ} membrane (MERCK 1142 ISEQ85R), filter pads and filter papers were all incubated in transfer buffer (25 mM Tris, 1143 1144 192 mM glycine and 20% of methanol) for 15 min before assembly in the Mini Trans-Blot 1145 transfer cell (BioRad 1703935). The transfer was done at 4°C for 16 h at 110 mA constant 1146 current. The transfer efficiency was checked by Ponceau S staining (Sigma P7170). The

1147 membrane was then blocked in a 3% w/v dry milk TBS-T solution (20 mM Tris-HCl pH7.5, 1148 200 mM NaCl and 0.1% v/v Tween20) for 90 min under constant agitation. Primary and 1149 secondary antibodies were diluted in the same blocking solution and incubated over the 1150 membrane for 90 and 60 min, respectively. In between antibody incubations, membranes 1151 were washed three times for 10 min with TBS-T, and the very last wash right before ECL 1152 detection was done only with TBS.

1153 The loaded amount of extracted protein in the gels was adapted depending on the 1154 expression promoter and the analyzed septin. For pCMV plasmids used to assess the 1155 knockdown efficiency (Fig. S2F-H), a total of 4 µg of extracted protein was used for detecting endogenous SEPT2, 8 µg for endogenous SEPT7, and 4 µg for endogenous 1156 SEPT9. For pTRIP TRE Bi plasmids, a total of 8 µg of extracted protein was used for all 1157 1158 analysis. To detect specific septins, we used rabbit anti-SEPT2 (1:2500, Sigma 1159 HPA018481), rabbit anti-SEPT7 (1:200, Santa Cruz Biotechnology sc-20620) and rabbit 1160 anti-SEPT9 (1:4000, Proteintech 10769-1-AP). For detecting \beta10- and \beta11-tag expression, we used rabbit anti- β 10 (1:5,000) and rabbit anti- β 11 (1:5,000) (Koraichi et 1161 1162 al., 2018). For detecting tubulin as a loading control, we used mouse anti-a-tubulin 1163 (1:2,500, Sigma T9026). For total actin level quantification (Fig. 8D), we used mouse anti-1164 actin (1:1000, AC-40, Abcam ab11003). Secondary HRP-conjugated antibodies were either anti-rabbit-IgG (1:10,000, Cytiva GENA934) or anti-mouse-IgG (1:10,000, Cytiva 1165 1166 GENA931). Chemiluminescent detection was performed with an Amersham ImageQuant 1167 800 imager (Cytiva 29399481) using Amersham ECL Select Western Blotting Detection 1168 Reagent (Cytiva RPN2235) diluted five times in Milli-Q water. The membrane was 1169 incubated with the diluted reagent for 30 s, and washed for 10 s in TBS right before image 1170 acquisition. Images were collected in time series mode every 10 s, for a total of 50 images, 1171 and processed with ImageQuantTL software for quantification of the band intensities to 1172 measure expression levels. Expression quantification graphs for assessing septin 1173 knockdown efficiency were prepared using GraphPad Prism and are shown as mean 1174 values (normalized to 1 for siCtrl) with the error bar representing the standard deviation. 1175 Data are from at least 3 independent siRNA treatments. Data distribution for total actin 1176 level quantification (Fig. 8D) was assumed to be normal but this was not formally tested.

1177

1178 Native PAGE and western blotting of cell lysates. The dish containing the cells was 1179 placed on ice and the cells were washed twice with PBS, without Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺, before 1180 being detached with 40 µL of ice-cold native lysis buffer (80 mM PIPES pH 6.9, 2 mM 1181 MgCl₂, 4 mM EGTA, 0.2% saponin, 5x cOmplete protease inhibitor cocktail Roche). The lysate was collected in 1.5 mL tube and incubated on ice for 10 min. The lysates were 1182 1183 then centrifuged at 14,000 g for 10 min at 4°C for removing cell debris. To prevent septin 1184 polymerization, clarified lysates were supplemented with NaCl, adding 10 µL of NaCl 5 M 1185 for each 100 µL of lysate. After 15 min of incubation on ice, the lysates were clarified in a second centrifugation step of 10 min, 14,000 g at 4°C. An aliquot of 12 µL was collected 1186

1187 for protein quantification using the BCA Protein Assay (ThermoFisherScientific 23227), 1188 and the remaining clarified lysates were kept at -20°C until Native PAGE analysis.

1189 The lysates were analyzed by 4-16% Native PAGE using precast Bis-Tris Mini Protein 1190 Protein Gels (Invitrogen BN1003BOX) following the manufacturer's instructions. The molecular mass marker was NativeMark[™] Unstained Protein Standard (Invitrogen, 1191 LC0725). For the western blot, the gel, the PVDF Immobilon-P^{SQ} membrane, filter pads 1192 1193 and filter papers were all incubated in NuPAGE transfer buffer for 15 min before 1194 assembly. The transfer was done at 4°C for 16 h at 20 V constant voltage. The transfer 1195 efficiency was checked by destaining the membrane with an aqueous solution containing 1196 25% of methanol and 10% of acetic acid. The protein marker was identified and the 1197 membrane completely destained with pure methanol for 3 min. The membrane was then 1198 blocked and stained with the respective antibodies as described for SDS-PAGE western 1199 blots.

1200 The loaded amount of extracted protein in the gels was again adapted depending on the 1201 analyzed septin. A total of 10 µg of extracted protein was used for detecting endogenous 1202 or exogenously expressed SEPT2 and SEPT7, and 10 or 4 µg for endogenous or 1203 exogenously expressed SEPT9, respectively. To detect specific septins, we used mouse 1204 anti-SEPT2 (1:7,500, Proteintech 60075-1), rabbit anti-SEPT7 (1:200, Santa Cruz 1205 Biotechnology sc-20620) and rabbit anti-SEPT9 (1:2,000, Proteintech 10769-1-AP). 1206 Secondary HRP-conjugated antibodies were either anti-rabbit IgG (1:10,000, Cytiva 1207 GENA934) or anti-mouse IgG (1:10,000, Cytiva GENA931). Chemiluminescent detection 1208 was done with an Amersham ImageQuant 800 imager (Cytiva 29399481) using 1209 Amersham ECL Select Western Blotting Detection Reagent (Cytiva RPN2235) as 1210 described previously for SDS-PAGE western blot.

1211

1212 Immunofluorescence. Cells were fixed for 15 min with 4% paraformaldehyde (Electron 1213 Microscopy Sciences 15714) in 37°C-prewarmed cytoskeleton buffer (10 mM MES pH 1214 6.1, 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM EGTA, 5 mM MgCl₂, 5 mM glucose), followed by 2 x 5 min wash 1215 steps in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) solution, and a subsequent permeabilization 1216 and blocking step with PBS containing 0.1% saponin and 1% IgG-free/protease free 1217 bovine serum albumin (BSA) (Jackson ImmunoResearch 001-000-161) for 1 h at RT. 1218 Cells were incubated successively with primary antibodies for 16 h at 4°C in a humidified 1219 chamber, followed by secondary Alexa Fluor-conjugated IgG antibodies combined with 1220 0.165 µM Alexa Fluor 647-phalloidin (ThermoFisher Scientific A22287) for 2 h at RT. 1221 Antibody solutions were prepared in PBS containing 0.1% saponin and 1% BSA, and 3 x 1222 10 min wash steps in the same buffer were performed in between antibody incubations. 1223 Coverslips with stained cells were washed 2 x 5 min in PBS and then mounted with 15 1224 µL Fluoromount (Sigma F4680) for image acquisition. Primary antibodies were rabbit anti-1225 SEPT2 (1:500, Sigma HPA018481), rabbit anti-SEPT7 (1:500, IBL 18991), rabbit anti-1226 SEPT9 (1:200, Proteintech 10769-1-AP), mouse anti- α -tubulin (1:10,000, Sigma T9026), 1227 mouse anti-paxillin (1:500, Merck Millipore 05-417). Secondary antibodies were donkey 1228 AlexaFluor488-conjugated anti-rabbit IgGs (1:500, Thermo Fisher Scientific A10037) and 1229 donkey AlexaFluor568-conjugated anti-mouse IgGs (1:500, Thermo Fisher Scientific 1230 A21206). For F-actin, SEPT9, and SEPT7 co-stainings, performed for the quantification 1231 of the number of ventral SFs in cells (see methods section "Quantification of the frequency 1232 of ventral SF presence upon septin perturbation"), rabbit anti-SEPT9 (1:200, Proteintech 1233 10769-1-AP) was combined with rat anti-SEPT7 (1:150, clone 10A7, described in (Kuzmic 1234 et al., 2022)). Secondary antibodies in this case were donkey AlexaFluor488-conjugated 1235 anti-rabbit IgGs (1:500, Thermo Fisher Scientific A10037) and goat AlexaFluor647-1236 conjugated anti-rat IgGs (1:500, Thermo Fisher Scientific A21247), and were incubated 1237 together with 0.165 µM Atto590-phalloidin (ATTO-TEC AD 590-81).

1238

1239 Immunostaining after live-cell extraction vs after extraction post-fixation. To live-1240 extract cells (Fig. 10A), we incubated cells in 37°C-prewarmed cytoskeleton buffer 1241 containing 0.1% v/v Triton X-100 for 1 min, then replaced immediately with 37°C-1242 prewarmed cytoskeleton buffer containing 4% paraformaldehyde (Alfa Aesar 43368) and 1243 fixed cells for 15 min. Cells were rinsed with PBS and incubated in a 1244 permeabilization/blocking solution of PBS containing 0.1% saponin and 5% goat serum 1245 (ThermoFisher Scientific 16210064) overnight at 4°C. Cells were incubated successively 1246 with primary antibodies for 2 h at RT in a humidified chamber, followed by secondary 1247 Alexa Fluor-conjugated IgG antibodies combined with 0.165 µM Alexa Fluor 546-1248 phalloidin (ThermoFisher Scientific A22283) for 1 h at RT. Antibody solutions were 1249 prepared in PBS containing 0.1% saponin and 5% goat serum, and 3 x 10 min wash steps 1250 in the same buffer were performed in between antibody incubations. Coverslips with 1251 stained cells were washed 2 x 5 min in PBS and then mounted with Fluoromount-G 1252 (Southern Biotech 0100-01) for image acquisition. Primary antibodies were rabbit anti-1253 SEPT7 (1:400, IBL 18991) and mouse anti-non-muscle myosin heavy chain IIA (NMIIA) 1254 (1:200, abcam ab55456). Secondary antibodies were goat AlexaFluor488-conjugated anti-mouse IgGs (1:400, Thermo Fisher Scientific A11001) and goat AlexaFluor633-1255 1256 conjugated anti-rabbit IgGs (1:400, Thermo Fisher Scientific A21070). The respective 1257 control experiment, i.e. extracting cells post-fixation (Fig. 10A), involved fixing cells in 37°C-prewarmed cytoskeleton buffer containing 4% paraformaldehyde for 15 min, then 1258 1259 extracting cells with PBS containing 0.5% Triton X-100 and 5% goat serum for 10 min 1260 before overnight permeabilization/blocking and antibody incubations as described above. 1261 This last protocol was also used for the immunostainings shown in Fig. 9 and Fig. S1C,D 1262 using the additional primary antibody mouse anti- α -actinin-1 (1:200, Thermo Scientific clone BM 75.2). Cells shown in Fig. 9, Fig. 10A and Fig. S1C,D were plated on fibronectin-1263 1264 coated coverslips and left to attach and spread for 6 h before immunostainings. Human plasma fibronectin was from Millipore (FC010) and was used at 20 µg/mL in 100 mM 1265 1266 bicarbonate buffer pH 8.5 for coating coverslips overnight at 4°C. 1267

1268 Split-GFP complementation in cells using recombinant purified GFP1-9. 1269 Recombinant GFP1-9 expression was achieved from a pET-28a (+) vector coding for 1270 GFP1–9 OPT (Cabantous et al., 2013) with an in-frame 6xHis coding sequence (Addgene 1271 #182240). For protein expression, the plasmid was transformed into Escherichia coli 1272 BL21(DE3) (New England Biolabs C2527). A 3 mL overnight culture was inoculated into 1273 50 mL of Luria-Bertani (LB) medium containing 35 μg/ml kanamycin and was grown at 1274 37°C to A_{600nm} ~0.6. The temperature was reduced to 25°C prior to induction with 0.1 mM 1275 isopropyl β-D-thiogalactoside (IPTG) for 24 h. Bacterial cultures were collected by 1276 centrifugation at 4,200 g for 10 min. Cell pellets were resuspended in 20 mL lysis buffer (150 mM NaCl, 150 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.2, 5% v/v glycerol, 0.2 mM TCEP, 10 mM 1277 1278 imidazole) and lysed by sonication on ice with a 0.5-inch diameter probe with 30 sec ON, 1279 30 sec OFF pulses for 4 min total. The lysate was centrifuged at 30,000 g for 20 min. The 1280 supernatant was loaded on a column with 1 mL of TALON® Metal Affinity Resin (Takara 1281 Bio 635503) that was equilibrated with the lysis buffer. After two washes with ten volumes 1282 of lysis buffer, recombinant GFP1-9 was eluted with the elution buffer (200 mM imidazole 1283 in the same buffer). Recombinant GFP1-9 fractions were pooled and dialyzed in TNG 1284 buffer (150 mM NaCl, 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.2, 5% v/v glycerol). Protein concentration was 1285 determined with the Bio-Rad Protein Assay (Bio-Rad 5000002).

- 1286 For split-GFP complementation in cells, wild type U2OS cells were treated with SEPT2 1287 siRNA and also co-transfected with SEPT2-B10- and SEPT2-B11-encoding pCMV 1288 plasmids, and plated on 18-mm coverslips as described above for immunostainings. 48 1289 h post-electroporation, cells were fixed and permeabilized as described for 1290 immunofluorescence, and incubated with the recombinant purified GFP1-9 solution (0.2 1291 mg/mL in TNG buffer) diluted 2-fold in the permeabilization buffer for 4h at RT followed 1292 by overnight incubation at 4°C. After 2 x 10 min wash steps, cells were stained with 0.165 1293 µM Alexa Fluor 647-phalloidin for 1h at RT. Fluorescence images of SEPT2-SEPT2 1294 reconstituted GFP in the phalloidin-stained cells were acquired as described in the 1295 confocal fluorescence microscopy methods section.
- 1296

1297 Myosin-II-dependence of septin recruitment to stress fibers. For septin costainings 1298 with non-muscle myosin heavy chain IIA (NMIIA) and non-muscle myosin heavy chain IIB 1299 (NMIIB), wild-type U2OS cells were treated with siLacZ siRNA and prepared for 1300 immunofluorescence as described in the respective sections. Primary antibodies were 1301 rabbit anti-NMIIA (1:400, BioLegend 909801), rabbit anti-NMIIB (1:200, Cell Signaling 1302 3404), and rat anti-SEPT7 (1:150, clone 10A7, described in (Kuzmic et al., 2022)), and 1303 were incubated for 4 h at RT. Secondary antibodies were donkey AlexaFluor488conjugated anti-rabbit IgGs (1:400, Thermo Fisher Scientific A10037) and goat 1304 AlexaFluor568-conjugated anti-rat IgGs (1:400, Thermo Fisher Scientific A11077), and 1305 1306 were incubated together with 0.165 µM Alexa Fluor 647-phalloidin (ThermoFisher 1307 Scientific A22287) for 1 h at RT.

To examine septins in the absence of a given NMII isoform, CRISPR-mediated NMIIA and NMIIB knock-out (KO) U2OS lines (Kage et al., 2022), a kind gift from Frieda Kage and Henry Higgs (Dartmouth College), were treated with siLacZ siRNA and immunostained for SEPT7, F-actin and the myosin isoform that is not knocked-out, i.e., for NMIIB in the NMIIA KO line and for NMIIA in the NMIIB KO line, using the same antibodies described in this section. NMIIA KO and NMIIB KO U2OS lines were cultured as wild-type U2OS cells.

1315 Given that NMIIA is by far the most abundant NMII in U2OS cells (Kage et al., 2022) and 1316 that the efficiency of knocking down NMIIB (~ 96-98%) was much higher than knocking 1317 down NMIIA in our hands (~ 60-76%), we chose to treat NMIIA KO cells with NMIIB siRNA 1318 to examine septins under conditions of minimal presence of NMII in U2OS cells. 1319 Treatment with NMIIB siRNA was performed as described in (Kage et al., 2022) but with 1320 electroporation. SDS-PAGE and western blotting in the NMIIA KO and NMIIB KO cell 1321 lysates was performed as described in the respective methods section using the same 1322 rabbit NMII antibodies described in this section at 1:1000 each, rabbit anti-SEPT7 (1:200,

- 1323 Santa Cruz Biotechnology sc-20620) and mouse anti- α -tubulin (1:2,500, Sigma T9026).
- 1324

1325 Quantification of the frequency of ventral SF presence upon septin perturbation. 1326 The frequency of ventral SF presence in wild type U2OS cells treated with siLacZ siRNA 1327 (6mer+8mer), with SEPT9 siRNA (6mer), with SEPT7 siRNA and also transfected with 1328 msfGFP-SEPT7Gmut2 (8mer), and in U2OS cells treated with SEPT2 siRNA and also 1329 transfected with SEPT2NCmut-msfGFP (no filaments), was guantified from at least 4 1330 independent experiments (Fig. 8C). Electroporated cells were either incubated with SiR-1331 actin for live cell imaging (2 independent experiments for 6mer+8mer, 6mer and 8mer 1332 conditions) or fixed and co-stained for F-actin, SEPT9 and SEPT7 (from 2 to 6 1333 independent experiments for 6mer+8mer, 6mer, 8mer and 'no filaments' conditions). 1334 Each round of experiments was composed of at least 20 and 10 fields of view for live and 1335 fixed cell imaging, respectively. Only cells with most of their surface in the acquired field 1336 of view were considered for the quantification, and were classified regarding the 1337 presence, in the case of at least one detected ventral SF, or absence of ventral SFs based 1338 on the phalloidin or SiR-actin signal; no differentiation was applied for the density/number 1339 of ventral SFs, or the presence of other SF subtypes, as no clear effect could be detected 1340 in the latter. Each dot in the scatter plots represents the percentage of cells presenting 1341 ventral SFs in a given round of experiments. Bars in scatter dot plots depict means and 1342 error bars SD. Scatter dot plots were prepared using GraphPad Prism. The number of 1343 cells used to assess the phenotypes for each condition is indicated in the respective 1344 legends. Data distribution was assumed to be normal but this was not formally tested. For 1345 the quantification of the percentage of SEPT9 siRNA-treated cells containing SEPT7 vs 1346 SEPT9-decorated SFs, two rounds of experiments using fixed cells were used. Only 2-1347 3% of SEPT9 siRNA-treated cells showed SEPT7-decorated SFs, and these same SFs

1348 contained also SEPT9, corresponding to the small percentage of cells where SEPT9 was1349 not knocked down.

1350

1351 Confocal fluorescence microscopy of cells and image processing. For live cell 1352 imaging, right before microscopy and due to the absence of CO₂ control on our 1353 microscope setup, the culture medium was exchanged by Leibovitz medium (Gibco 1354 21083027) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum and antibiotics. Cells were kept at 1355 37°C in a heating chamber (OkoLab H301-T-UNIT-BL). Fluorescence images of live or 1356 fixed cells were acquired using a spinning disk unit (CSU-X1-M1 from Yokogawa) 1357 connected to the side-port of an inverted microscope (Eclipse Ti2-E from Nikon 1358 Instruments) using a Nikon Plan Apo ×100/1.45 NA oil immersion objective lens, 488-1359 561- and 641-nm laser lines (Coherent) and an iXon Ultra 888 EMCCD camera 1360 (1024×1024 pixels, 13×13 µm pixel size, Andor, Oxford Instruments) resulting in an image pixel size of 65 nm. Z-stacks were acquired with a Δz interval of 0.4 μ m. Exposure times 1361 1362 were in the range of 0.5-3.0 s depending on the exact condition. For the non-diffuse vs 1363 diffuse cytosolic phenotype classification for septin mutant characterization, acquisition 1364 parameters were kept the same among imaging sessions. For actin or microtubule co-1365 labeling in live cells, cells were incubated for 30 min with 0.5 µM of SiR-actin or 60 min 1366 with 0.5 μM of SiR-tubulin and 10 μM of verapamil in culture medium (SiR Cytoskeleton 1367 Kit, Spirochrome SC006).

1368 Images were processed with the open-source image processing software ImageJ/Fiji. All 1369 shown images, except for the ones used for the septin-actin co-localization analysis that 1370 were acquired as single z-planes, are maximum intensity projections of two consecutive 1371 z-planes contrasted manually in order to optimize the image display. For septin-actin co-1372 localization measurements, acquired channels of single z-planes, for septin and actin, 1373 were individually processed as follows: images were subjected to automatic contrast 1374 enhancement, allowing 0.1% of saturated pixels, then to a blurring with a Gaussian filter 1375 of radius 1.0 and a subsequent background subtraction using a rolling ball radius of 7 1376 pixels. A manual intensity threshold was used when calculating Pearson and Manders 1377 co-localization coefficients, using the JACoP plugin for ImageJ (Bolte and Cordelières 1378 2006).

- Images shown in Fig. 10A and Fig. S1C,D were acquired on a Zeiss LSM 710 laser scanning confocal microscope using a PlanApochromat 100x/1.4 NA oil immersion objective lens, 488- 543- and 633-nm laser lines for excitation, with all channels at 1AU for the pinholes. Z-stacks were acquired with a Δz interval of 0.48 µm. All shown images are single z-planes and were processed with ImageJ/Fiji.
- 1384

1385 Super-resolution structured illumination microscopy.

1386 **Sample preparation and image acquisition.** Cells for super-resolution structured 1387 illumination (SIM) microscopy were plated on high precision ($170 \pm 5 \mu m$ thick) 18x18mm 1388 glass coverslips from Zeiss (474030-9000-000) and prepared for immunostainings as 1389 detailed in the section "Immunostaining after live-cell extraction vs after extraction post-1390 fixation"; all images shown in Fig. 9 and Fig. S4K employ extraction post-fixation. For 1391 microtubule stainings used for microtubule width measurements in Fig. 9F,H, cells were 1392 fixed with -20°C-prechilled methanol for 2 min at -20°C and rinsed with PBS before 1393 overnight permeabilization/blocking and antibody incubations as described in the above 1394 section. Primary antibodies were mouse tubulin (1:1,000, Sigma T9026) and rabbit anti-1395 SEPT7 (1:500, IBL 18991). Secondary antibodies were goat AlexaFluor488-conjugated 1396 anti-mouse IgGs (1:400, Thermo Fisher Scientific A11001) and goat AlexaFluor633-1397 conjugated anti-rabbit IgGs (1:400, Thermo Fisher Scientific A21070). Images in Fig. 9 1398 were acquired on a Zeiss Elyra PS.1 super-resolution microscope using an alpha 1399 PlanApochromat 100x/1.46 NA DIC M27 Elyra oil immersion objective lens, 488-, 561-, 1400 and 642-nm laser lines for excitation and respective BP495-550, BP570-620 and LP655 1401 emission filters. Z-stacks were acquired with a Δz interval of 0.101 μ m. Images were 1402 processed and channel-aligned with the Zeiss ZEN Black software. Images in Fig. S4K 1403 were acquired on a DeltaVision OMX SR (Leica Microsystems/Cytiva) super-resolution 1404 microscope using an Olympus PlanApo N 60x/1.42 NA oil immersion objective lens, 488-1405 and 640-nm laser lines for excitation and respective 528/48 and 683/40 emission filters. 1406 Z-stacks were acquired with a Δz interval of 0.125 µm. Images were processed and 1407 channel-aligned with the DeltaVision softWoRx 7.0.0 software. All shown images are 1408 single z-planes and were prepared with ImageJ/Fiji.

1409 Septin fiber diameter and length measurements. Full width at half maximum (FWHM) 1410 measurements for measuring the diameter of microtubules (MT) and septin fibers in SIM 1411 made with images (Fig. 9F.H) were а custom-generated Matlab code 1412 (FilamentAnalysis.mlx), the source code of which is available at 1413 gitHub.com/cchandre/Polarimetry. A line was drawn perpendicular to the axis of the MT 1414 or to the long axis of the septin fiber, and the FWHM was extracted from the intensity 1415 profile using the *findpeaks* Matlab function. We measured the width of MTs and septin fibers at multiple positions along their length and in multiple microtubules and multiple 1416 1417 septin fibers for each SF type per cell. Box plots depicting the distribution of FWHM 1418 measurements (Fig. 9F) were prepared using GraphPad Prism (one data point 1419 corresponds to one width measurement). The central mark indicates the median, and the 1420 bottom and top edges of the box indicate the 25th and 75th percentiles, respectively. The 1421 whiskers extend to the minimum and maximum values. The number of measurements 1422 per condition (MT or SF type) is indicated in the respective legend. A Kruskal-Wallis test 1423 followed by a multiple comparison test was used for comparing the distributions.

Length measurements were implemented in the same custom-generated code. A line was drawn parallel to the long axis of the septin fiber and the length extracted with the *curveLength* Matlab function. We measured the length of multiple septin fibers for each SF type per cell. Scatter dot plots depicting the distribution of length measurements (Fig. 9J) were prepared using GraphPad Prism (one data point corresponds to one length
measurement). The number of measurements per SF type is indicated in the respectivelegend. Bars depict median values.

1431

1432 Numerical simulations for fiber size estimation. Numerical simulations of the expected 1433 FWHM in SIM images ("image diameter" in Fig. 9G) as a function of the real fiber diameter 1434 ("fiber diameter" in Fig. 9G) were made with a custom-generated Matlab code 1435 (Convolution_1D.m), the source code which available of is at 1436 gitHub.com/cchandre/Polarimetry. A Gaussian point spread function (PSF) was used, 1437 and the curve was generated from the convolution of this PSF with an increasing fiber 1438 diameter size, using the conv Matlab function. Assuming a real antibody-decorated MT 1439 diameter size of ~60 nm (Weber et al., 1978), the convolution curve permits to deduce 1440 the PSF size from the measured FWHM in isolated microtubule fibers (the median value 1441 is used). This PSF size being linearly dependent on the emission wavelength, it is then 1442 rescaled to account for the wavelength difference used in MT vs septin imaging: MTs 1443 and septins were imaged at 488 and 642 nm, respectively, for SIM in Fig. 9, whereas MTs 1444 and septins for SIM in Fig. S4K were imaged at 640 and 488 nm, respectively. To predict 1445 the real width of the respective septin fiber diameters (Fig. 9H), the convolution curve was 1446 finally used for the estimated PSF, using as input the measured septin FWHM.

1447

1448 Production and purification of recombinant human septin complexes. Wild-type 1449 nonfluorescent and SEPT2-msfGFP hexamers and octamers-9_i3, SEPT2NCmut-1450 msfGFP hexamers and octamers-9 i3, and SEPT2-sfCherry2 octamers-9 i3 were 1451 produced and purified as follows. Plasmids expressing SEPT2, SEPT2-msfGFP or 1452 SEPT2NCmut-msfGFP, and plasmids co-expressing SEPT2, SEPT2-msfGFP or 1453 SEPT2NCmut-msfGFP and SEPT6, were co-transformed with plasmids co-expressing 1454 SEPT6 and SEPT7 (Addgene #174499), or SEPT7 and SEPT9_i3 (Addgene #174501), 1455 for generating recombinant nonfluorescent, SEPT2-msfGFP, or SEPT2NCmut-msfGFP 1456 hexamers and octamers-9_i3 (lv et al., 2021). Plasmids co-expressing SEPT2-sfCherry2 1457 and SEPT6 were co-transformed with plasmids co-expressing SEPT7 and SEPT9 i3 1458 (Addgene #174501) to generate recombinant SEPT2-sfCherry2 octamers-9 i3. The N-1459 terminus of SEPT2 is tagged with a His₆-tag, and the C-terminus of SEPT7 (for isolation 1460 of hexamers), or the C-terminus of SEPT9 (for isolation of octamers), is tagged with a 1461 Strep-tag. A purification scheme comprising a Strep-Tactin affinity column to capture 1462 Strep-tagged complexes, followed by a nickel affinity column to retain the Strep-tagged 1463 complexes that also bear His₆-tagged septins isolates hexamers and octamers (Iv et al., 2021). 1464

1465 Co-transformed *E. coli* BL21(DE3) were selected on LB agar plates with carbenicillin and 1466 spectinomycin each at 100 μ g/mL. A single colony was selected to prepare an overnight 1467 LB medium preculture at 37°C with antibiotics at 100 μ g/mL. Terrific broth with antibiotics 1468 at 50 μ g/mL, typically 3.5-5 L, was inoculated with the pre-culture and incubated at 37°C. 1469 Bacteria were left to grow to A_{600nm} ~ 0.6-0.8 before inducing expression with 0.5 mM IPTG for overnight expression at 17°C. The culture was stopped by centrifuging at 3,400
g for 15 min and 4°C, and the supernatants were pooled and further centrifuged at 5,000
g for 10 min and 4°C. Bacteria pellets were stored at -20°C until protein purification.
Bacteria expressing msfGFP- and sfCherry2-tagged septins yield yellow-greenish and
pink-reddish pellets, respectively.

- 1475 On the day of purification, the pellet was resuspended in ice-cold lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-1476 HCl pH 8, 300 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl₂, 0.25 mg/mL lysozyme, 1 mM PMSF, cOmplete™ 1477 protease inhibitor cocktail (1 tablet per 50 mL), 10 mg/L DNase I, 20 mM MgSO₄) and 1478 lysed on ice using a tip sonicator with 5 cycles of 30 s "ON", 15 s "OFF". The lysate was 1479 clarified by centrifugation for 30 min at 20,000 g and 4°C, and the supernatant loaded on 1480 a StrepTrap HP column. Strep-tag-II-containing septin complexes were eluted with 50 1481 mM Tris-HCl pH 8, 300 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl₂, and 2.5 mM desthiobiotin. The pooled 1482 fractions were then loaded to a HisTrap HP column, and His6-tag-containing complexes 1483 eluted with 50 mM Tris-HCl at pH 8, 300 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl₂, and 250 mM imidazole. 1484 Only the highest-concentration peak fractions were collected. Both affinity steps were 1485 performed on an ÄKTA pure protein purification system at 4°C (Cytiva). To remove imidazole, we either performed overnight dialysis or used a PD-10 column, also including 1486 1487 DTT in this last step. The final elution buffer, in which septins are stored, was 50 mM Tris-1488 HCl pH 8, 300 mM KCl, 5 mM MqCl₂, and 1 mM DTT. Protein concentration was assessed 1489 with absorbance measurements at 280 nm from the calculated extinction coefficients 1490 using ExPASy, and protein aliguots were flash-frozen in liguid nitrogen and stored at -1491 80°C until further use.
- 1492 Chemicals used for recombinant septin complex production and purification are as 1493 follows. E. coli BL21(DE3) from Agilent (200131). Carbenicillin (C3416), spectinomycin 1494 (S4014), LB broth medium (L3022), LB agar (L2897), SOC medium (S1797) from Sigma. 1495 Terrific Broth from MP Biomedicals (091012017). IPTG (EU0008-C) and lysozyme (5933) 1496 from Euromedex. Imidazole from Fisher Scientific (Fisher Chemical I/0010/53). PMSF 1497 (78830), cOmplete[™] Protease Inhibitor Cocktail Tablets (Roche, 11836145001), DNase 1498 I (Roche, 10104159001), d-Desthiobiotin (D1411), and DTT (D0632) from Sigma. HisTrap 1499 HP 1 mL columns (17524701) and StrepTrap HP 1 mL columns from Cytiva (28907546). 1500 20K MWCO Slide-A-Lyzer cassettes from Thermo Scientific (87735). PD-10 desalting 1501 columns from Cytiva (17085101).
- 1502
- 1503 Sample preparation for fluorescence microscopy of *in vitro* reconstituted actin and 1504 septins. To prepare flow cells, glass slides and coverslips were cleaned for 15 min in 1505 base-piranha solution (Milli-Q water, 30% ammonium hydroxide, 35% hydrogen peroxide 1506 at a 5:1:1 volume ratio), rinsed with Milli-Q water and stored in 0.1 M KOH up to one 1507 month. Right before assembling flow cells, slides and coverslips were rinsed with Milli-Q 1508 water and dried with synthetic air. Flow cells with ~10 μ L channels were assembled by 1509 sandwiching ~2-mm-wide and ~2.5-cm-long strips of Parafilm between a cleaned glass slide and coverslip and melting on a hot plate at 120°C. The resulting chambers were 1510

1511 passivated by incubating for 45 min with 1 M KOH, rinsing with actin polymerization buffer

1512 (5 mM Tris-HCl pH 8, 50 mM KCl, 1 mM MgCl₂, 0.2 mM Na₂ATP, 1 mM DTT), incubating

1513 for another 45 min with 0.2 mg/mL PLL-PEG, and rinsing with actin polymerization buffer.

1514 Flow cells were placed in a Petri-dish along with tissue paper soaked in water to prevent

1515 flow channels from drying during the incubation steps and until use.

- Lyophilized rabbit skeletal muscle G-actin was resuspended to 5 mg/mL (119 μ M) in Gbuffer (5 mM Tris-HCl pH 8, 0.2 mM Na₂ATP, 0.1 mM CaCl₂, 1 mM DTT), aliquots snapfrozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C. Frozen aliquots were thawed and centrifuged for 30 min at 120,000 g in a benchtop Beckman air-driven ultracentrifuge (Beckman Coulter Airfuge, 340401) to clear the solution from aggregates. Clarified Gactin was kept at 4°C and used within 3-4 weeks.
- 1522 For actin-septin reconstitution experiments, thawed septin aliquots were cleared for 15 1523 min at 120,000 g in a Beckman airfuge right before use. To polymerize G-actin in the 1524 presence of septins, we mixed G-actin, previously diluted with G-buffer to 5 µM, with 1525 septins, either nonfluorescent ones or msfGFP-labeled septins (at 20% msfGFP molar 1526 ratio for wild-type septins, and 100% GFP for SEPT2NC septins) to a final actin 1527 concentration of 1 μ M and a final septin concentration of 0.3 μ M, right before 1528 polymerization in actin polymerization buffer, additionally containing 1 mM Trolox, 2 mM 1529 protocatechuic acid (PCA), 0.1 µM protocatechuate 3,4-dioxygenase (PCD) and 0.1% 1530 w/v methylcellulose. To fluorescently label actin filaments, we polymerized G-actin in the 1531 presence of 1 µM Alexa Fluor 568-conjugated phalloidin.
- 1532 Actin-septin samples were prepared with a final volume of 10 µL, were loaded 1533 immediately into passivated flow channels upon mixing of the components to start 1534 polymerization, flow channels sealed with VALAP and were (1:1:1)1535 vasoline:lanoline:paraffin). The contributions of KCI and MqCl₂ from the septin elution 1536 buffer were taken into account to yield the same final composition of actin polymerization 1537 buffer. Actin-septin samples were incubated overnight at room temperature (RT) in the 1538 dark before observation. To polymerize septins in the absence of actin, we followed the 1539 same procedure as above, but replaced the G-actin solution with G-buffer. Septins were 1540 used at 20% msfGFP and 20% sfCherry2 molar ratio for wild-type septins and at 100% 1541 GFP for SEPT2NC septins.
- 1542 The sources and identifiers for proteins, materials and chemicals are as follows. Glass 1543 slides (26x76 mm) (AA00000102E01FST20) and glass coverslips (24x60 mm) 1544 (BB02400600A113FST0) from Thermo Scientific. Ammonium hydroxide solution (221228) and hydrogen peroxide solution (95299) from SIGMA. PLL-PEG from SuSoS 1545 1546 AG (PLL(20)-g[3.5]-PEG(2)). Rabbit skeletal muscle G-actin from Cytoskeleton, Inc. 1547 (AKL99). Alexa Fluor 568-phalloidin from Thermo Scientific (A12380). Methylcellulose 1548 (M0512), Trolox (238813), protocatechuic acid (03930590), protocatechuate 3,4-1549 dioxygenase (P8279) from Sigma.
- 1550

1551 Confocal fluorescence microscopy of reconstituted actin-septins and image 1552 processing. Reconstituted actin-septin assemblies were imaged on the same spinning 1553 disk microscope setup described for imaging cells using the same objective lens and 1554 camera. Images were acquired with an exposure time of 0.1 s. Actin-septin bundles were 1555 imaged close to the surface. Septin filament bundles were also found at the surface, but 1556 the clusters of interconnected filament bundles were observed floating in the bulk of the 1557 flow channels. To capture such clusters, z-stacks were acquired over 10-50 µm using a 1558 Δz interval of 0.5 µm. Images were processed with ImageJ/Fiji. Images of actin-septin 1559 bundles are from single planes. Images of septin filament bundles are from maximum-1560 intensity z projections. The contrast of all images shown was adjusted post-acquisition so 1561 that both dim and bright structures are visible without saturation. All images use an 1562 inverted grayscale, with bright signals appearing black in a white background.

1563

1564 Metal-induced energy transfer assays

1565 U2OS cells were transfected with SEPT9_i3-mApple, SEPT9_i3-mApple-CAAX, or 1566 GAP43-mApple with FuGeneHD (Promega E2311). 16h post-transfection, cells were 1567 plated on glass coverslips (for obtaining reference lifetime measurements, see below) 1568 and on gold-coated glass coverslips, previously cleaned with 70% ethanol. Cells were left 1569 to attach and spread for 24h, then fixed for 15 min using 4% paraformaldehyde (Electron 1570 Microscopy Sciences 15714) in cytoskeleton buffer (10 mM MES – pH 6.1 with NaOH, 1571 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM EGTA, 5 mM glucose, 5 mM MgCl₂). The excess of cytosolic protein 1572 content was washed out with a permeabilization/blocking step (0,1% saponin,1% BSA in 1573 PBS) for 1h at room temperature. Labelling of F-actin in U2OS cells was achieved with 1574 AlexaFluor568-phalloidin (Invitrogen A12380) at 165 nM in permeabilization/blocking 1575 solution for 1h. The samples were maintained in PBS until and throughout the 1576 measurements.

- 1577 Metal-induced energy transfer (MIET) was performed following the concept introduced by Enderlein and coworkers (Chizhik et al., 2014). Briefly, we measured the fluorescence 1578 1579 lifetime of emitters in the vicinity of a 18 nm-thick gold film. From the calibration of the 1580 fluorescence lifetime dependence with the distance to the gold film (Chizhik et al., 2014), 1581 the distance between the fluorophore and the metal is recovered. The MIET calibration 1582 curve was computed using the MIET-GUI Matlab code developed by the Enderlein group 1583 (https://projects.gwdg.de/projects/miet/repository/raw/MIET GUI.zip?rev=ZIP). For 1584 mApple, we used a peak emission wavelength at 610 nm and a quantum yield of 49%. 1585 For Alexa Fluor 568, the emission peak was 603 nm and the guantum yield 69%. Our 1586 calculation used the fluorescence lifetime of the dyes measured on a glass coverslip, in 1587 the absence of the metal layer, to account for the slight 0.1 ns lifetime change induced by 1588 the functionalization of the dye to septin, GAP43 or phalloidin. An isotropic orientation of 1589 the fluorophores is assumed (Chizhik et al., 2014). 1590 We used a gold film of 18 nm thickness deposited by electron-beam assisted evaporation
- 1591 of gold on a borosilicate glass coverslip (Bühler Syrus Pro 710). A 2 nm-thick chromium

layer is used to promote the adhesion of gold on the glass coverslip. For the MIET
calibration, the refractive indexes of the gold and chromium layers were taken from
(Rosenblatt et al., 2020) and (Johnson and Christy, 1974), respectively while the
refractive index of 1.52 for the borosilicate glass coverslip was provided by the supplier
(D 263 M glass by Schott AG).

1597 The fluorescence lifetime measurements were performed with a home built confocal 1598 microscope with a 557 nm iChrome-TVIS laser (Toptica GmbH, pulse duration 3 ps, 40 1599 MHz repetition rate) and a Zeiss C-Apochromat 63x, 1.2 NA water immersion objective. 1600 The excitation power remained below 2 μ W on the sample to avoid photobleaching during 1601 the measurement. The fluorescence light was collected by the same microscope objective 1602 and filtered using a dichroic mirror (ZT 405/488/561/640rpc, Chroma), long-pass filter 1603 (ET570LP, Chroma) and bandpass filter (ET595/50m, Chroma). The confocal pinhole 1604 diameter was 50 µm. The photon counting detection used an avalanche photodiode 1605 (MPD-5CTC, Picoquant) connected to a time correlated counting module (HydraHarp400, 1606 PicoQuant). The temporal resolution (full width at half maximum of the instrument 1607 response function) was measured to be 38 ps. The fluorescence lifetime histograms were 1608 fitted using SymPhoTime 64 software (PicoQuant GmbH) with a reconvolution taking into 1609 account the measured instrument response function. All the histograms were fitted using 1610 a biexponential function which provided a better fit to the intensity decay than a single 1611 exponential decay. About 20% of the total detected intensity corresponded to the short 1612 lifetime component (below 0.5 ns) which was not considered further for the analysis. The 1613 MIET distance measurements were taken on the long lifetime component which 1614 represented more than 80% of the total detected photons. The distribution of calculated 1615 distances from lifetime measurements for each condition is represented in box plots using GraphPad Prism (one data point per cell for each condition). The central mark indicates 1616 1617 the median, and the bottom and top edges of the box indicate the 25th and 75th 1618 percentiles, respectively. The whiskers extend to the minimum and maximum values. The 1619 number of cells per condition is indicated in the respective legend. One-way ANOVA 1620 followed by a multiple comparison test was used for comparing the distributions. Data 1621 distribution was assumed to be normal but this was not formally tested.

1622

1623 Supported lipid bilayer assays

1624 Small unilamellar vesicle formation. We used three types of lipids, 1,2-dioleoyl-sn-1625 glycero-3-phospho-(1'-myo-inositol-4',5'-bisphosphate) (ammonium salt) ($PI(4,5)P_2$) 1626 (Sigma 850155P), 1,2-dioleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine (DOPC) (Sigma 850375C), 1627 and 1,2-dioleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine-N-(Cyanine 5) (DOPE-Cy5) (Sigma 1628 810335C), all from Avanti Polar Lipids. The lipids were mixed in chloroform, or, in case 1629 $PI(4,5)P_2$ was present, in a 20:9:1 chloroform:methanol:water mixture in a glass vial. The organic solvent was then evaporated completely using a stream of N2 followed by 1630 1631 overnight incubation in a dessicator. The dried lipid film was resuspended in buffer to give 1632 a total lipid concentration of 0.25 mM. We used a sodium citrate buffer of pH 4.8 (50 mM

1633 citrate, made of equal molarity trisodium citrate and citric acid mixed in a 2:3 volume ratio, 1634 50 mM KCl. 0.1 mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid) in case $PI(4,5)P_2$ was present, and 1635 otherwise F-buffer of pH 7.4 (20 mM Tris-HCl, 2 mM MgCl₂, 50 mM KCl, 1 mM DTT). The 1636 lipids were dissolved by four cycles of 1 min vortexing and 5 min incubation. Finally, small 1637 unilamellar vesicles (SUVs) were obtained by sonicating the lipid solution using an 1638 Ultrasonic homogeniser series HD 2000.2 sonicator equipped with a BR30 cup resonator 1639 (Bandelin) at 10% amplitude for 30 minutes with pulses of 5s on and 5s off to avoid 1640 excessive heating.

- 1641 Protein preparation. Unlabelled septin octamers and SEPT2-msfGFP octamers were purified in house as previously reported (lv et al., 2021). The protein was stored in aliguots 1642 1643 in septin storage buffer (20 mM Tris HCl pH 7.4, 2 mM MgCl₂, 300 mM KCl, 1 mM DTT), 1644 at -80°C. Before each experiment, unlabelled and labelled septin octamers were mixed 1645 in a 9:1 molar ratio in septin storage buffer at a total concentration of 1800 nM. Lyophilized 1646 monomeric actin (G-actin) from rabbit skeletal muscle (Hypermol 8101-03) was resuspended following the manufacturer's instructions and dialyzed against G-buffer (5 1647 1648 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.8, 0.1 mM CaCl₂, 0.2 mM ATP, and 1 mM DTT) to remove residual 1649 disaccharides from the freeze-drying process. Protein aggregates were removed by 1650 centrifugation at 148,000 x g for 1h and the supernatant was snap-frozen and stored in 1651 aliquots at -80°C. Fluorescently tagged G-actin was prepared by covalent modification 1652 with Alexa Fluor™ 594 Carboxylic Acid (Thermo Fisher Scientific 15461054) (Alvarado 1653 and Koenderink, 2015). Before experiments, G-actin aliquots were thawed, and any 1654 aggregates were removed by leaving the protein on ice for at least 2h and subsequently 1655 centrifuging at 148,000 x g for 20 min. Unlabelled and fluorescent actin were mixed in a 1656 9:1 molar ratio in G-buffer at a total G-actin concentration of 5 µM.
- 1657 Sample preparation. Supported lipid bilayers (SLB) were formed in custom-made flow 1658 channels made of nr. 1 Menzel coverslips (Thermo Fisher Scientific 11961988) and glass 1659 slides (Thermo Fisher Scientific 11879022). The coverslips and glass slides were first 1660 cleaned in base piranha solution (5% hydrogen peroxide, 5% ammonium hydroxide) at 70°C for 10 minutes, extensively washed with Milli-Q water, and stored in Milli-Q water 1661 for a maximum of 5 days. Just before use, a coverslip and a slide were dried with a stream 1662 1663 of N₂ gas. Flow channels were prepared by sandwiching 2x20 mm parafilm strips 1664 separated by ~3 mm between the glass slide and the coverslip. The parafilm was then melted by placing the chambers on a hot plate at 120°C and gently pressing on top with 1665 1666 clean tweezers. After cooling down, an SUV solution (7-12 µL, depending on the distance 1667 between the parafilm strips) was pipetted into the channels and incubated in a humid 1668 chamber for at least 20 minutes to promote SUV rupture and SLB formation. Residual SUVs were removed by washing with 4 channel volumes of F-buffer for DOPC SLBs or 1669 1670 with 2 channel volumes of sodium citrate buffer followed by 2 channel volumes of F-buffer 1671 for 5% PI(4.5)P₂ SLBs. DOPC SLBs contained 99.7% DOPC and 0.3% DOPE-Cy5: 1672 5%PIP2 SLBs also contained 94.7% DOPC and 0.3% DOPE-Cv5.

1673 Septin octamers and actin were co-polymerized at room temperature in polymerization 1674 buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4, 2 mM MgCl₂, 50 mM KCl, 1 mM DTT, 0.5 mM ATP, 1 mM 1675 GTP) supplemented with 1 mM Trolox to suppress blinking, and an oxygen scavenging 1676 system composed of 1 mM protocatechuic acid and 0.05 µM of procatechuate 3,4-1677 dioxygenase to minimize photobleaching. We first prepared a 5x master buffer (100 mM 1678 Tris HCl pH 7.4, 10 mM MgCl₂, 5 mM DTT, 2.5 mM ATP, 5 mM GTP, 5 mM Trolox and 5 1679 mM protocatechuic acid). To prepare the sample, we mixed the master buffer (5-fold 1680 dilution), 0.05 µM of procatechuate 3,4-dioxygenase, the G-actin mix (5-fold dilution to 1681 give a final concentration of 1 µM), and the septin mix (6-fold dilution, to give a final 1682 concentration of 300 nM), in that order. The mixture was either immediately added to the 1683 flow channels containing the SLBs and incubated for 1h in a humid environment, or first 1684 incubated in the tube for 1h to promote septin-actin bundle formation and then added to the flow channels using a cut pipette tip to minimize bundle disruption. For the sequential 1685 1686 addition of septin octamers and actin, first septin octamers were diluted into the 1687 polymerization buffer, immediately added to the flow channels, and incubated for ~30 1688 minutes in a humid environment. Afterwards, the channels were washed with 1x channel 1689 volumes of F-buffer to remove unbound septin octamers; only 1x channel volume is used 1690 in this case to minimize disruption of the membrane-bound septin filament mesh. Finally, 1691 either pre-polymerized F-actin in polymerization buffer, or G-actin freshly added to 1692 polymerization buffer, was flushed into the flow channels with a cut tip to minimize actin 1693 filament disruption and incubated for 1h. The channels were then sealed with Dow 1694 Corning® high-vacuum silicone grease (Sigma Z273554) to avoid drying while imaging. 1695 Image acquisition. The samples were immediately imaged using a Nikon Ti2-E 1696 microscope complemented with a Gataca iLAS2 azimuthal TIRF illumination system. The

1697 sample was illuminated with 488-nm and 561-nm lasers (Gataca laser combiner iLAS2) 1698 to visualize the septin and the actin signals, respectively. The fluorescence signal was 1699 split with a Cairn Research Optosplit II ByPass containing a Chroma ZT 543 rdc dichroic 1700 mirror and filtered with either a 525/50 or a 600/50 chroma bandpass filter. The images 1701 were collected with a Nikon Apo TIRF 100x oil, NA 1.49 objective and recorded with an 1702 Andor iXon Ultra 897 EM-CCD camera using an exposure time of 50 ms. To check that 1703 the SLBs were uniform and free of defects, we examined DOPE-Cy5 distribution by 1704 illuminating with a 642-nm laser filtered with a 708/75 chroma bandpass filter and 1705 recorded using an exposure time of 20 ms. We checked SLB fluidity by fluorescence 1706 recovery after photobleaching of DOPE-Cy5.

1707

1708 Atomic force microscopy

Sample preparation. Measurements were made on cells plated on Y shapemicropatterned substrates to minimize variability due to size and shape differences among cells (Rigato et al., 2015). 12-mm glass coverslips were coated with 0.1 mg/mL PLL-PEG (PLL(20)-g[3.5]-PEG(2), Susos) before being illuminated with a deep-UV lamp through a quartz-chrome photomask bearing the micropattern features (Front Range 1714 Photomask) designed using AutoCAD (Autodesk). We used Y-shaped micropatterns with 1715 a spread area of ~1500 mm². Micropatterned coverslips were then incubated with 25 1716 µg/mL fibronectin and 5 µg/mL fibrinogen-GFP, the latter for visualizing micropatterns. 1717 Wild type U2OS cells treated with siLacZ siRNA (6mer+8mer), with SEPT9 siRNA (6mer), and U2OS cells treated with SEPT7 siRNA and also transfected with msfGFP-1718 1719 SEPT7Gmut2 (8mer) were seeded on fibronectin-coated micropatterns 48 h post-1720 electroporation. Cells were incubated for 5-7 h to attach and spread adopting a triangular 1721 shape. The expression of msfGFP-SEPT7Gmut2 for the 8mer condition was confirmed 1722 through the detection of fluorescence in each measured cell.

- Force Spectroscopy experiments and data analysis. Atomic force microscopy-force 1723 1724 spectroscopy (AFM-FS) was performed on the dorsal perinuclear region of individual cells 1725 at room temperature. We used a MLCT-Bio-DC (D) cantilever featuring a 4-sided regular 1726 pyramid with a semi-open angle of 35°. The spring constant of the cantilevers was 1727 determined in air using the Sader method (Sader et al., 2012) and the optical lever 1728 sensitivity from the thermal spectrum in liquid (Sumbul et al., 2020). Force-distance 1729 curves were acquired applying a maximum force of 0.8 nN with a ramp range of 5 µm, at 1730 the same approach and retract velocity of 5 µm/s on a Nanowizard 4 AFM microscope 1731 (JPK-Bruker). The indentation depth was on the order of 1 μ m. 31 cells, 29 cells and 23 1732 cells were probed for the 6mer+8mer, 6mer and 8mer condition, respectively. For each cell, about 15-30 force curves were acquired across 3 different contact points, resulting 1733 1734 in a total of 576, 630 and 501 force curves for the 6mer+8mer, 6mer and 8mer condition, 1735 respectively. To extract the cell viscoelastic properties, we fitted the Ting numerical 1736 viscoelastic model for a 4-sided regular pyramidal tip of semi-open angle (theta) to the 1737 experimental force-distance curves (Bilodeau, 1992; Efremov et al., 2017):
- 1738

1739
$$F(t,\delta(t)) = \begin{cases} \frac{3\tan\theta}{4(1-\nu^2)} \int_0^t E(t-\tau) \frac{\partial\delta^2}{\partial\tau} d\tau, 0 \le t \le t_m \\ \frac{3\tan\theta}{4(1-\nu^2)} \int_0^{t_1} E(t-\tau) \frac{\partial\delta^2}{\partial\tau} d\tau, t_m \le t \le t_{ind} \end{cases}$$

1740

where F is the applied force; δ is the indentation; t is the time since initial contact, t_m is the duration of approach trace, t_{ind} is the duration of complete indentation cycle, and t₁ determined by solving the equation

1744

1745
$$\int_{t_1(t)}^t E(t-\tau) \frac{\partial \delta(t)}{\partial \tau} d\tau = 0$$

1746

1747 We assumed that the time-dependent Young's modulus followed a power law 1748 relationship:

1749
$$E(t) = E_0 \left(\frac{t}{t_0}\right)^{-\beta}$$

- where E_0 is the elastic modulus at time t_0 , β is the fluidity of the cell and t_0 is the reference time, arbitrarily assumed 1s. A viscous drag force (F_d) proportional to the trace velocity (*v*) was also added to the force traces using a precalibrated value of the viscous drag
- 1753 coefficient ($b=5 \text{ pN} \cdot \text{s/}\mu\text{m}$), $F_d=b \cdot v$.
- 1754 The values of log10(E_0) and β extracted from each force measurement were pooled by 1755 cell and then averaged. The data was reproduced in 3 independent experiments and their 1756 distribution represented in box plots using GraphPad Prism (one data point per cell for 1757 each condition). The central mark indicates the median, and the bottom and top edges of 1758 the box indicate the 25th and 75th percentiles, respectively. The whiskers extend to the 1759 minimum and maximum values. The number of cells per condition is indicated in the respective legend. E₀ values (in Pa) were plotted on a log scale. One-way ANOVA 1760 1761 followed by a multiple comparison test was used for comparing the distributions of E_0 1762 values using the log10(E_0) values, given the log-normal distribution of E_0 .
- 1763

1764 Single particle tracking Photo-Activated Localization Microscopy (sptPALM)

- 1765 **Cell culture.** Mouse embryonic fibroblasts were cultured in DMEM (Gibco 10313-021) 1766 with 10% fetal calf serum (FCS, Eurobio scientific CVFSVF00-01). Transient transfections 1767 of plasmids were performed 2 days before experiments using the Amaxa nucleofector 1768 (Lonza VPD-1004). The cells were detached with trypsin/EDTA, the trypsin was 1769 inactivated using DMEM with 10% FCS, and the cells were washed and suspended in 1770 serum-free Ringer solution (150 mM NaCl, 5 mM KCl, 2 mM CaCl₂, 2 mM MgCl₂, 10 mM 1771 HEPES-Na pH 7.4, 2 g/L glucose), then incubated for 30 min in Ringer solution before 1772 plating on fibronectin-coated glass coverslips (human plasma fibronectin at 10 µg/ml, 1773 Roche 10838039001).
- 1774 **Plasmids.** SEPT9_i3-mEos3.2 and SEPT9_i3-mEos3.2-CAAX were cloned in a pCMV 1775 plasmid backbone with seamless cloning into a Nhel/BamHI linearized vector (primers in
- 1776 Table S1). EGFP-human β-actin was provided by A. Matus (Friedrich Miescher Institute 1777 for Diamodical Desceret, Switzerland). The mEas2 actin construct was generated from
- 1777 for Biomedical Research, Switzerland). The mEos2-actin construct was generated from 1778 EGFP-actin as described in (Rossier et al., 2012). EYFP-human paxillin (isoform alpha)
- 1779 was used as described in (Rossier et al., 2012).
- **Optical setup and image acquisition.** sptPALM acquisitions were steered by MetaMorph software (Molecular Devices) with an inverted motorized microscope (Nikon Ti) equipped with a temperature control system (The Cube, The Box, Life Imaging Services), a Nikon CFI Apo TIRF 100x oil, NA 1.49 objective and a Perfect Focus System, allowing long acquisition in TIRF illumination mode.
- Imaging was performed at least 3 hours after seeding the cells on fibronectin-coated coverslips mounted in a Ludin chamber (Life Imaging Services). For photoactivation localization microscopy, cells expressing mEos2 and mEos3.2 tagged constructs were photoactivated using a 405 nm laser (Omicron) and the resulting photoconverted single molecule fluorescence was excited with a 561 nm laser (Cobolt Jive™). Both lasers illuminated the sample simultaneously. Their respective power was adjusted to keep the

1791 number of the stochastically activated molecules constant and well separated during the 1792 acquisition. Fluorescence was collected by the combination of a dichroic and emission 1793 filters (D101-R561 and F39-617 respectively, Chroma) and a sensitive EMCCD (electron-1794 multiplying charge-coupled device, Evolve, Photometric). The acquisition was performed 1795 in streaming mode at 50 Hz. Either EYFP-paxillin or GFP-actin were imaged using a 1796 conventional GFP filter cube (ET470/40, T495LPXR, ET525/50, Chroma). Using this filter 1797 cube does not allow spectral separation of the unconverted pool of mEos from the GFP 1798 fluorescent signal. However, with all of the constructs used, whether the mEos signal was 1799 highly or poorly enriched in FAs, we were still able to detect FAs.

- 1800 Single molecule segmentation and tracking. A typical sptPALM experiment leads to a 1801 set of at least 4000 images per cell, analyzed in order to extract molecule localization and 1802 dynamics. Single molecule fluorescent spots were localized and tracked over time using 1803 a combination of wavelet segmentation and simulated annealing algorithms (Izeddin et 1804 al., 2012; Racine et al., 2006; Racine et al., 2007). Under the experimental conditions described above, the resolution of the system was quantified to 59 nm (Full Width at Half 1805 1806 Maximum, FWHM). This spatial resolution depends on the image signal to noise ratio and 1807 the segmentation algorithm (Cheezum et al., 2001) and was determined using fixed 1808 mEos2 samples. We analyzed 130 2D distributions of single molecule positions belonging 1809 to long trajectories (>50 frames) by bi-dimensional Gaussian fitting, the resolution being 1810 determined as 2.3 s_{xy} , where s_{xy} is the pointing accuracy.
- For the trajectory analysis, FAs ROIs were identified manually from EYFP-paxillin or GFPactin images. The corresponding binary mask was used to sort single-molecule data analyses to specific regions. We analyzed trajectories lasting at least 260 ms (\geq 13 points) with a custom Matlab routine analyzing the mean squared displacement (MSD), which describes the diffusion properties of a molecule, computed as (Eq. 1):
- 1816
- 1817 1818

$$MSD(t = n \cdot \Delta t) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N-n} (x_{i+n} - x_i)^2 + (y_{i+n} - y_i)^2}{N-n}$$
 Eq. 1

1819

1820 where x_i and y_i are the coordinates of the label position at time $I \times \Delta t$. We defined the 1821 measured diffusion coefficient *D* as the slope of the affine regression line fitted to the *n*=1 1822 to 4 values of the MSD($n \times \Delta t$). The MSD was computed then fitted on a duration equal 1823 to 80% (minimum of 10 points, 200 ms) of the whole stretch by (Eq. 2):

1824

MSD(t) =
$$\frac{4r_{\text{conf}}^2}{3}(1 - e^{-t/\tau})$$
 Eq. 2

1825 1826

1827 where r_{conf} is the measured confinement radius and τ the time constant $\tau = (r_{conf}^2 / 3D_{conf})$. 1828 To reduce the inaccuracy of the MSD fit due to downsampling for larger time intervals,

1829 we used a weighted fit. Trajectories were sorted in 3 groups: immobile, confined diffusion

- and free diffusion. Immobile trajectories were defined as trajectories with D<0.011 μ m².s⁻
- ¹831 ¹, corresponding to molecules which explored an area inferior to the one defined by the
- image spatial resolution $\sim (0.05 \mu m)^2$ during the time used to fit the initial slope of the MSD
- 1833 (Rossier et al., 2012) (4 points, 80 ms): $D_{\text{threshold}}=(0.059 \ \mu\text{m})^2/(4x4x0.02s)\sim0.011 \ \mu\text{m}^2.\text{s}^{-1}$.
- 1834 To separate trajectories displaying free diffusion from confined diffusion, we used the time
- 1835 constant calculated τ for each trajectory. Confined and free diffusion events were defined 1836 as trajectories with a time constant respectively inferior and superior to half the time
- 1837 interval used to compute the MSD (100 ms). Statistical significance tests were prepared
- 1838 using GraphPad Prism.
- 1839

1840 Modeling of human septin complexes

1841 Models of full-length human septin complexes were built for analyzing and interpreting 1842 split-GFP experiments. The septin GTP-binding domains (GBDs) used as templates for 1843 the SEPT2, 6 and 7 models using SWISS-MODEL homology modeling software 1844 (Waterhouse et al., 2018) were from PDB 7M6J (Leonardo et al., 2021), the most 1845 complete human septin hexamer structure to date, which includes α 0 helices for SEPT6 and 7. As solved in its integrity, the SEPT6 GBD remained unchanged and was used as 1846 1847 is. The SEPT7 GBD structure was completed using SWISS-MODEL. As the use of the 1848 SEPT2 GBD from 7M6J for modeling SEPT2 led to clashes in the modeled SEPT2-1849 SEPT2 NC interface, the SEPT2 GBD subunit was modeled using the SEPT7 GBD 1850 structure from 7M6J as a template. The lack of structural information for the short N-1851 terminal extensions of SEPT2, 6, and 7 prompted us to model them as disordered 1852 segments using Phyre2 (Kelley et al., 2015). The C-terminal domains of SEPT2, 6 and 7 1853 were modeled with CCFold (Guzenko and Strelkov, 2018) for the coiled-coil (CC) parts 1854 and Phyre2 for the flexible parts, as detailed in (lv et al., 2021). The homodimeric parallel 1855 SEPT2CC was used unaltered with respect to (lv et al., 2021). The previously modeled SEPT6 and 7 helices in the SEPT6-SEPT7 parallel coiled-coil in (lv et al., 2021) were 1856 repositioned slightly after comparison with the only parallel septin CC structure to date 1857 1858 (PDB 6WCU) (Leonardo et al., 2021). GBDs, N- and C-terminal extensions were then 1859 combined with PyMOL open-source software. When necessary, the disordered segments 1860 were manually modified to avoid steric clashes and to adjust distances. The SEPT9 i3 1861 model used was the one built for (lv et al., 2021) and included already N- and C-terminal 1862 extensions. Hexameric SEPT2-SEPT6-SEPT7-SEPT6-SEPT2 and octameric 1863 SEPT2-SEPT6-SEPT7-SEPT9-SEPT7-SEPT6-SEPT2 complexes were built by 1864 fitting the modeled structures to the hexamer from the PDB 7M6J.

To analyze and interpret the split-GFP experiments, the entire constructs used in the assays, including β 10- and β 11-tagged septins and the reconstituted GFP, were modeled. To this aim, the split GFP structure (PDB 4KF5) was added to the modeled septin complexes. The flexible linkers linking the reconstituted GFP to the septin of interest were built manually using PyMOL; their straight-ish appearance in the models is due to the polypeptide chains being built as linear structures. To mimic paired septin filaments with 1871 narrow spacing (Leonardo et al., 2021), mediated by homodimeric SEPT2 antiparallel
1872 CCs (Fig. 5H), septin complexes were duplicated and placed parallel to each other with
1873 a gap of ~5 nm. The bent conformation of the septin was built by rotating the CC domain
1874 manually by 90 degrees relative to the GBD. The helices within the homodimeric SEPT2
1875 antiparallel CC were positioned using the antiparallel SEPT4CC structure from PDB
1876 6WB3 as a reference (Leonardo et al., 2021). All manual interventions were realized using
1877 PyMOL.

1878

1879 Statistics and reproducibility. The distributions of measurements, or of phenotypes in 1880 the case of septin mutant characterization, are represented with GraphPad Prism using box plots, violin plots and scatter dot plots as indicated in the respective methods sections 1881 1882 and legends. Bars, error bars (SD or SEM) and box plot features are as indicated in the 1883 respective figure legends. The number of measurements in each plot and the numbers of 1884 experiments are indicated in the respective figure legend or methods. Statistical 1885 significance tests were performed with with GraphPad Prism. The tests applied and the 1886 obtained P values are mentioned in the respective figure legend. Experiments were 1887 repeated at least three times independently to ensure reproducibility. Experiments from 1888 Fig. 1F; Fig. 2C,D; Fig. 10C-F; Fig 10H; Fig. S3B,C; Fig. S5I-K were performed twice. 1889 Experiments from Fig. 10G,I were performed once. No data were excluded from the 1890 analyses.

1891

Data availability. All data supporting the findings of this study are available within the article and its supporting information files. The source datasets generated and analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

1896

Code availability. The source codes for the custom-generated Matlab codes for measurements of fiber diameter (FWHM) and length, and for numerical simulations of expected fiber diameter (FWHM) from SIM images has been deposited to Github. The respective links are mentioned in the relevant methods sections.

1901

1902 Supplemental material

Fig. S1 shows examples of SEPT2, SEPT7 and SEPT9 distribution in cells from immunostainings and live imaging of GFP fusions. Fig. S2 contains details on the design of the tripartite split-GFP complementation assay for probing septin organization. Fig. S3 depicts the mutants used in this study, as well as examples of cell-free reconstitution of actin-septin assembly. Fig. S4 contains data that support that all septins on microtubules organize as octamer-based filaments. Fig. S5 contains data that support that septin filaments can mediate actin-membrane anchoring in the absence of myosin-II.

1910

1911 Acknowledgements

1912 We thank Josette Perrier and Cendrine Nicoletti (iSm2) for hosting protein purification. 1913 The authors thank Artemis Kosta, Hugo Le Guenno and the Microscopy Core Facility of 1914 IMM for SIM microscopy. We thank R. Sterling for technical assistance and the IINS Cell 1915 culture facility, especially E. Verdier and N. Retailleau for technical help (IINS Cell Biology 1916 Facility, grant no. ANR-10-LABX-43). We also thank J. B. Sibarita (IINS) for support with 1917 sptPALM analysis. This research received funding from the Agence Nationale de la 1918 Recherche (ANR grants ANR-17-CE13-0014 SEPTIMORF to M.M.; ANR-17-CE09-0026-1919 01 AntennaFRET to J.W.; ANR-20-CE42-0003 3DPolariSR to V.M. and O.R.), the 1920 Fondation ARC pour la recherche sur le cancer (grant ARCDOC42020010001242 to 1921 C.S.M.), and from the Cancéropôle PACA, Institut National du Cancer and Conseil 1922 Régional PACA (Bourse mobilité to M.M.). We further acknowledge financial support from 1923 the French Ministry of Research, CNRS and the Conseil Régional Nouvelle-Aquitaine 1924 (grant MechanoStem to O.R. and V.M.). We acknowledge the France-Biolmaging 1925 infrastructure supported by the French National Research Agency (ANR-10-INBS-04). 1926 This project has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the 1927 European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreements 1928 No 723241 to J.W. and No 772257 to F.R.). This project has received funding from the 1929 European Union's Horizon 2020 research and Innovation programme under the H2020-1930 MSCA-ITN-2018 Grant Agreement n. 812772. This work was supported in part by the 1931 National Institutes of Health (R01GM122375 to S.K.). Confocal microscopy with the Zeiss 1932 LSM710 microscope and SIM with the Zeiss Elyra PS.1 microscope was performed at the 1933 UC Berkeley Biological Imaging Facility, which was supported in part by the National 1934 Institutes of Health S10 program under award numbers 1S10RR026866-01 and 1935 1S10OD018136-01. G.C.L. and G.H.K. gratefully acknowledge financial support by the 1936 Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (NWO/OCW) through the 'BaSyC-1937 Building a Synthetic Cell' Gravitation grant (024.003.019).

1938

1940

1939 The authors declare no competing interests.

1941 Author contributions: C. S. Martins: investigation, conceptualization, methodology, 1942 funding acquisition, writing – original draft, writing – review & editing; C. Taveneau, G. 1943 Castro-Linares: investigation, writing – original draft, writing – review & editing; M. Baibakov, N. Buzhinsky, M. Eroles, V. Milanović, S. Omi, J.-D. Pedelacq, F. Iv, L. 1944 1945 Bouillard, A. Llewellyn, M. Gomes, M. Belhabib, M. Kuzmić, S. Lee: investigation; P. 1946 Verdier-Pinard, A. Badache: investigation, writing – review & editing; S. Kumar: 1947 supervision, funding acquisition, resources; C. Chandre: software; S. Brasselet: software, 1948 writing - original draft; F. Rico: supervision, funding acquisition, writing - original draft, 1949 writing - review & editing; O. Rossier, J. Wenger: investigation, supervision, funding 1950 acquisition, writing – original draft; G. H. Koenderink: supervision, funding acquisition, 1951 writing - review & editing; S. Cabantous, M. Mavrakis: investigation, conceptualization,

- 1952 methodology, funding acquisition, project administration, supervision, writing original
- 1953 draft, writing review & editing
- 1954

1955 **References**

- Adam, J.C., J.R. Pringle, and M. Peifer. 2000. Evidence for functional differentiation
 among Drosophila septins in cytokinesis and cellularization. *Molecular biology of the cell*. 11:3123-3135.
- Alvarado, J., and G.H. Koenderink. 2015. Reconstituting cytoskeletal contraction events
 with biomimetic actin-myosin active gels. *Method Cell Biol.* 128:83-103.
- Bertin, A., M.A. McMurray, P. Grob, S.S. Park, G. Garcia, 3rd, I. Patanwala, H.L. Ng, T.
 Alber, J. Thorner, and E. Nogales. 2008. Saccharomyces cerevisiae septins:
 supramolecular organization of heterooligomers and the mechanism of filament
 assembly. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States*of America. 105:8274-8279.
- Bertin, A., M.A. McMurray, J. Pierson, L. Thai, K.L. McDonald, E.A. Zehr, G. Garcia, 3rd,
 P. Peters, J. Thorner, and E. Nogales. 2012. Three-dimensional ultrastructure of
 the septin filament network in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Molecular biology of the cell*. 23:423-432.
- Bertin, A., M.A. McMurray, L. Thai, G. Garcia, 3rd, V. Votin, P. Grob, T. Allyn, J. Thorner,
 and E. Nogales. 2010. Phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate promotes budding
 yeast septin filament assembly and organization. *J Mol Biol*. 404:711-731.
- Bilodeau, G.G. 1992. Regular Pyramid Punch Problem. J Appl Mech-T Asme. 59:519523.
- Bridges, A.A., M.S. Jentzsch, P.W. Oakes, P. Occhipinti, and A.S. Gladfelter. 2016.
 Micron-scale plasma membrane curvature is recognized by the septin cytoskeleton. *The Journal of cell biology*. 213:23-32.
- Byers, B., and L. Goetsch. 1976. A highly ordered ring of membrane-associated filaments
 in budding yeast. *The Journal of cell biology*. 69:717-721.
- Cabantous, S., H.B. Nguyen, J.D. Pedelacq, F. Koraichi, A. Chaudhary, K. Ganguly, M.A.
 Lockard, G. Favre, T.C. Terwilliger, and G.S. Waldo. 2013. A new protein-protein interaction sensor based on tripartite split-GFP association. *Sci Rep.* 3:2854.
- Cai, Y., O. Rossier, N.C. Gauthier, N. Biais, M.A. Fardin, X. Zhang, L.W. Miller, B. Ladoux,
 V.W. Cornish, and M.P. Sheetz. 2010. Cytoskeletal coherence requires myosin IIA contractility. *Journal of cell science*. 123:413-423.
- Calvo, F., R. Ranftl, S. Hooper, A.J. Farrugia, E. Moeendarbary, A. Bruckbauer, F.
 Batista, G. Charras, and E. Sahai. 2015. Cdc42EP3/BORG2 and Septin Network
 Enables Mechano-transduction and the Emergence of Cancer-Associated
 Fibroblasts. *Cell Rep.* 13:2699-2714.
- 1990Cao, L., X. Ding, W. Yu, X. Yang, S. Shen, and L. Yu. 2007. Phylogenetic and evolutionary1991analysis of the septin protein family in metazoan. FEBS Lett. 581:5526-5532.
- Cavini, I.A., D.A. Leonardo, H.V.D. Rosa, D. Castro, H. D'Muniz Pereira, N.F. Valadares,
 A.P.U. Araujo, and R.C. Garratt. 2021. The Structural Biology of Septins and Their
 Filaments: An Update. *Front Cell Dev Biol.* 9:765085.
- 1995 Cheezum, M.K., W.F. Walker, and W.H. Guilford. 2001. Quantitative comparison of 1996 algorithms for tracking single fluorescent particles. *Biophys J.* 81:2378-2388.
- 1997 Chizhik, A.I., J. Rother, I. Gregor, A. Janshoff, and J. Enderlein. 2014. Metal-induced 1998 energy transfer for live cell nanoscopy. *Nat Photonics*. 8:124-127.

- 1999 Chowdhury, F., B. Huang, and N. Wang. 2021. Cytoskeletal prestress: The cellular
 2000 hallmark in mechanobiology and mechanomedicine. *Cytoskeleton (Hoboken)*.
 2001 78:249-276.
- 2002 Connolly, D., Z. Yang, M. Castaldi, N. Simmons, M.H. Oktay, S. Coniglio, M.J. Fazzari,
 2003 P. Verdier-Pinard, and C. Montagna. 2011. Septin 9 isoform expression,
 2004 localization and epigenetic changes during human and mouse breast cancer
 2005 progression. *Breast cancer research : BCR*. 13:R76.
- de Almeida Marques, I., N.F. Valadares, W. Garcia, J.C. Damalio, J.N. Macedo, A.P. de
 Araujo, C.A. Botello, J.M. Andreu, and R.C. Garratt. 2012. Septin C-terminal
 domain interactions: implications for filament stability and assembly. *Cell biochemistry and biophysics*. 62:317-328.
- DeRose, B.T., R.S. Kelley, R. Ravi, B. Kokona, J. Beld, E.T. Spiliotis, and S.B. Padrick.
 2011 2020. Production and analysis of a mammalian septin hetero-octamer complex.
 2012 *Cytoskeleton (Hoboken)*. 77:485-499.
- Dolat, L., J.L. Hunyara, J.R. Bowen, E.P. Karasmanis, M. Elgawly, V.E. Galkin, and E.T.
 Spiliotis. 2014. Septins promote stress fiber-mediated maturation of focal adhesions and renal epithelial motility. *The Journal of cell biology*. 207:225-235.
- Dolat, L., and E.T. Spiliotis. 2016. Septins promote macropinosome maturation and traffic
 to the lysosome by facilitating membrane fusion. *The Journal of cell biology*.
 2018 214:517-527.
- Echard, A., G.R. Hickson, E. Foley, and P.H. O'Farrell. 2004. Terminal cytokinesis events
 uncovered after an RNAi screen. *Curr Biol.* 14:1685-1693.
- Efremov, Y.M., W.H. Wang, S.D. Hardy, R.L. Geahlen, and A. Raman. 2017. Measuring
 nanoscale viscoelastic parameters of cells directly from AFM force-displacement
 curves. *Sci Rep.* 7:1541.
- Estey, M.P., C. Di Ciano-Oliveira, C.D. Froese, M.T. Bejide, and W.S. Trimble. 2010.
 Distinct roles of septins in cytokinesis: SEPT9 mediates midbody abscission. *The Journal of cell biology*. 191:741-749.
- Fares, H., M. Peifer, and J.R. Pringle. 1995. Localization and possible functions of Drosophila septins. *Molecular biology of the cell*. 6:1843-1859.
- Farkasovsky, M., P. Herter, B. Voss, and A. Wittinghofer. 2005. Nucleotide binding and
 filament assembly of recombinant yeast septin complexes. *Biol Chem.* 386:643 656.
- Farrugia, A.J., J. Rodriguez, J.L. Orgaz, M. Lucas, V. Sanz-Moreno, and F. Calvo. 2020.
 CDC42EP5/BORG3 modulates SEPT9 to promote actomyosin function, migration, and invasion. *The Journal of cell biology*. 219.
- Field, C.M., O. al-Awar, J. Rosenblatt, M.L. Wong, B. Alberts, and T.J. Mitchison. 1996.
 A purified Drosophila septin complex forms filaments and exhibits GTPase activity.
 The Journal of cell biology. 133:605-616.
- Finger, F.P., K.R. Kopish, and J.G. White. 2003. A role for septins in cellular and axonal migration in C. elegans. *Developmental biology*. 261:220-234.
- Founounou, N., N. Loyer, and R. Le Borgne. 2013. Septins Regulate the Contractility of
 the Actomyosin Ring to Enable Adherens Junction Remodeling during Cytokinesis
 of Epithelial Cells. *Developmental cell*. 24:242-255.
- Frazier, J.A., M.L. Wong, M.S. Longtine, J.R. Pringle, M. Mann, T.J. Mitchison, and C.
 Field. 1998. Polymerization of purified yeast septins: evidence that organized
 filament arrays may not be required for septin function. *The Journal of cell biology*.
 143:737-749.

- Fuchtbauer, A., L.B. Lassen, A.B. Jensen, J. Howard, S. Quiroga Ade, S. Warming, A.B.
 Sorensen, F.S. Pedersen, and E.M. Fuchtbauer. 2011. Septin9 is involved in septin filament formation and cellular stability. *Biol Chem.* 392:769-777.
- Garcia, G., 3rd, A. Bertin, Z. Li, Y. Song, M.A. McMurray, J. Thorner, and E. Nogales.
 2051 2011. Subunit-dependent modulation of septin assembly: budding yeast septin
 Shs1 promotes ring and gauze formation. *The Journal of cell biology*. 195:993 1004.
- Gilden, J.K., S. Peck, Y.C. Chen, and M.F. Krummel. 2012. The septin cytoskeleton
 facilitates membrane retraction during motility and blebbing. *The Journal of cell biology*. 196:103-114.
- Guzenko, D., and S.V. Strelkov. 2018. CCFold: rapid and accurate prediction of coiled coil structures and application to modelling intermediate filaments. *Bioinformatics*.
 34:215-222.
- Hagiwara, A., Y. Tanaka, R. Hikawa, N. Morone, A. Kusumi, H. Kimura, and M. Kinoshita.
 2061 2011. Submembranous septins as relatively stable components of actin-based
 membrane skeleton. *Cytoskeleton (Hoboken)*. 68:512-525.
- Hamel, V., P. Guichard, M. Fournier, R. Guiet, I. Fluckiger, A. Seitz, and P. Gonczy. 2014.
 Correlative multicolor 3D SIM and STORM microscopy. *Biomed Opt Express*.
 5:3326-3336.
- Hartwell, L.H. 1971. Genetic control of the cell division cycle in yeast. IV. Genes
 controlling bud emergence and cytokinesis. *Experimental cell research*. 69:265 2068 276.
- Hartwell, L.H., J. Culotti, and B. Reid. 1970. Genetic control of the cell-division cycle in
 yeast. I. Detection of mutants. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 66:352-359.
- Hickson, G.R., and P.H. O'Farrell. 2008. Rho-dependent control of anillin behavior during
 cytokinesis. *The Journal of cell biology*. 180:285-294.
- Hsu, S.C., C.D. Hazuka, R. Roth, D.L. Foletti, J. Heuser, and R.H. Scheller. 1998. Subunit
 composition, protein interactions, and structures of the mammalian brain sec6/8
 complex and septin filaments. *Neuron*. 20:1111-1122.
- Huijbregts, R.P., A. Svitin, M.W. Stinnett, M.B. Renfrow, and I. Chesnokov. 2009.
 Drosophila Orc6 facilitates GTPase activity and filament formation of the septin complex. *Molecular biology of the cell*. 20:270-281.
- Ihara, M., A. Kinoshita, S. Yamada, H. Tanaka, A. Tanigaki, A. Kitano, M. Goto, K. Okubo,
 H. Nishiyama, O. Ogawa, C. Takahashi, S. Itohara, Y. Nishimune, M. Noda, and
 M. Kinoshita. 2005. Cortical organization by the septin cytoskeleton is essential for
 structural and mechanical integrity of mammalian spermatozoa. *Developmental cell*. 8:343-352.
- Iv, F., C.S. Martins, G. Castro-Linares, C. Taveneau, P. Barbier, P. Verdier-Pinard, L.
 Camoin, S. Audebert, F.C. Tsai, L. Ramond, A. Llewellyn, M. Belhabib, K.
 Nakazawa, A. Di Cicco, R. Vincentelli, J. Wenger, S. Cabantous, G.H. Koenderink,
 A. Bertin, and M. Mavrakis. 2021. Insights into animal septins using recombinant
 human septin octamers with distinct SEPT9 isoforms. *J Cell Sci.* 134.
- Izeddin, I., J. Boulanger, V. Racine, C.G. Specht, A. Kechkar, D. Nair, A. Triller, D.
 Choquet, M. Dahan, and J.B. Sibarita. 2012. Wavelet analysis for single molecule
 localization microscopy. *Opt Express*. 20:2081-2095.
- Joberty, G., R.R. Perlungher, P.J. Sheffield, M. Kinoshita, M. Noda, T. Haystead, and I.G.
 Macara. 2001. Borg proteins control septin organization and are negatively
 regulated by Cdc42. *Nature cell biology*. 3:861-866.

- John, C.M., R.K. Hite, C.S. Weirich, D.J. Fitzgerald, H. Jawhari, M. Faty, D. Schlapfer, R.
 Kroschewski, F.K. Winkler, T. Walz, Y. Barral, and M.O. Steinmetz. 2007. The
 Caenorhabditis elegans septin complex is nonpolar. *The EMBO journal*. 26:3296 3307.
- Johnson, P.B., and R.W. Christy. 1974. Optical constants of transition metals: Ti, V, Cr, Mn, Fe, Co, Ni, and Pd. *Physical Review B*. 9:5056-5070.
- 2101 Joo, E., M.C. Surka, and W.S. Trimble. 2007. Mammalian SEPT2 is required for 2102 scaffolding nonmuscle myosin II and its kinases. *Developmental cell*. 13:677-690.
- Kage, F., M. Vicente-Manzanares, B.C. McEwan, A.N. Kettenbach, and H.N. Higgs. 2022.
 Myosin II proteins are required for organization of calcium-induced actin networks
 upstream of mitochondrial division. *Molecular biology of the cell*. 33:ar63.
- Kang, N., T.S. Matsui, S. Liu, and S. Deguchi. 2021. ARHGAP4-SEPT2-SEPT9 complex
 enables both up- and down-modulation of integrin-mediated focal adhesions, cell
 migration, and invasion. *Molecular biology of the cell*. 32:ar28.
- Karlsson, M., C. Zhang, L. Mear, W. Zhong, A. Digre, B. Katona, E. Sjostedt, L. Butler, J.
 Odeberg, P. Dusart, F. Edfors, P. Oksvold, K. von Feilitzen, M. Zwahlen, M. Arif,
 O. Altay, X. Li, M. Ozcan, A. Mardinoglu, L. Fagerberg, J. Mulder, Y. Luo, F.
 Ponten, M. Uhlen, and C. Lindskog. 2021. A single-cell type transcriptomics map
 of human tissues. *Sci Adv.* 7.
- Kechad, A., S. Jananji, Y. Ruella, and G.R. Hickson. 2012. Anillin acts as a bifunctional
 linker coordinating midbody ring biogenesis during cytokinesis. *Curr Biol.* 22:197 203.
- Kelley, L.A., S. Mezulis, C.M. Yates, M.N. Wass, and M.J. Sternberg. 2015. The Phyre2
 web portal for protein modeling, prediction and analysis. *Nat Protoc.* 10:845-858.
- Kim, M.S., C.D. Froese, M.P. Estey, and W.S. Trimble. 2011. SEPT9 occupies the
 terminal positions in septin octamers and mediates polymerization-dependent
 functions in abscission. *The Journal of cell biology*. 195:815-826.
- Kim, M.S., C.D. Froese, H. Xie, and W.S. Trimble. 2012. Uncovering principles that control septin-septin interactions. *J Biol Chem*. 287:30406-30413.
- Kim, S.K., A. Shindo, T.J. Park, E.C. Oh, S. Ghosh, R.S. Gray, R.A. Lewis, C.A. Johnson,
 T. Attie-Bittach, N. Katsanis, and J.B. Wallingford. 2010. Planar cell polarity acts
 through septins to control collective cell movement and ciliogenesis. *Science*.
 329:1337-1340.
- Kinoshita, M. 2003. Assembly of mammalian septins. *Journal of biochemistry*. 134:491496.
- Kinoshita, M., C.M. Field, M.L. Coughlin, A.F. Straight, and T.J. Mitchison. 2002. Self and actin-templated assembly of Mammalian septins. *Developmental cell*. 3:791 802.
- Kinoshita, M., S. Kumar, A. Mizoguchi, C. Ide, A. Kinoshita, T. Haraguchi, Y. Hiraoka, and
 M. Noda. 1997. Nedd5, a mammalian septin, is a novel cytoskeletal component
 interacting with actin-based structures. *Genes & development*. 11:1535-1547.
- Kissel, H., M.M. Georgescu, S. Larisch, K. Manova, G.R. Hunnicutt, and H. Steller. 2005.
 The Sept4 septin locus is required for sperm terminal differentiation in mice.
 Developmental cell. 8:353-364.
- Koraichi, F., R. Gence, C. Bouchenot, S. Grosjean, I. Lajoie-Mazenc, G. Favre, and S.
 Cabantous. 2018. High-content tripartite split-GFP cell-based assays to screen for
 modulators of small GTPase activation. *J Cell Sci.* 131.

- Kumagai, P.S., C.S. Martins, E.M. Sales, H.V.D. Rosa, D.C. Mendonca, J.C.P. Damalio,
 F. Spinozzi, R. Itri, and A.P.U. Araujo. 2019. Correct partner makes the difference:
 Septin G-interface plays a critical role in amyloid formation. *Int J Biol Macromol.*133:428-435.
- Kuo, Y.C., Y.H. Lin, H.I. Chen, Y.Y. Wang, Y.W. Chiou, H.H. Lin, H.A. Pan, C.M. Wu,
 S.M. Su, C.C. Hsu, and P.L. Kuo. 2012. SEPT12 mutations cause male infertility
 with defective sperm annulus. *Hum Mutat*. 33:710-719.
- Kuzmic, M., G. Castro Linares, J. Leischner Fialova, F. Iv, D. Salaun, A. Llewellyn, M.
 Gomes, M. Belhabib, Y. Liu, K. Asano, M. Rodrigues, D. Isnardon, T. Tachibana,
 G.H. Koenderink, A. Badache, M. Mavrakis, and P. Verdier-Pinard. 2022. Septinmicrotubule association via a motif unique to isoform 1 of septin 9 tunes stress
 fibers. *Journal of cell science*. 135.
- Leonardo, D.A., I.A. Cavini, F.A. Sala, D.C. Mendonca, H.V.D. Rosa, P.S. Kumagai, E.
 Crusca, Jr., N.F. Valadares, I.A. Marques, J. Brandao-Neto, C.E. Munte, H.R.
 Kalbitzer, N. Soler, I. Uson, I. Andre, A.P.U. Araujo, H. D'Muniz Pereira, and R.C.
 Garratt. 2021. Orientational Ambiguity in Septin Coiled Coils and its Structural
 Basis. *J Mol Biol.* 433:166889.
- Liu, Z., Q.P. Vong, C. Liu, and Y. Zheng. 2014. Borg5 is required for angiogenesis by regulating persistent directional migration of the cardiac microvascular endothelial cells. *Mol Biol Cell*. 25:841-851.
- Low, C., and I.G. Macara. 2006. Structural analysis of septin 2, 6, and 7 complexes. *J* Biol Chem. 281:30697-30706.
- Manley, S., J.M. Gillette, G.H. Patterson, H. Shroff, H.F. Hess, E. Betzig, and J. Lippincott Schwartz. 2008. High-density mapping of single-molecule trajectories with
 photoactivated localization microscopy. *Nat Methods*. 5:155-157.
- Mavrakis, M., Y. Azou-Gros, F.C. Tsai, J. Alvarado, A. Bertin, F. Iv, A. Kress, S. Brasselet,
 G.H. Koenderink, and T. Lecuit. 2014. Septins promote F-actin ring formation by
 crosslinking actin filaments into curved bundles. *Nature cell biology*. 16:322-334.
- McMurray, M.A., A. Bertin, G. Garcia, 3rd, L. Lam, E. Nogales, and J. Thorner. 2011.
 Septin filament formation is essential in budding yeast. *Developmental cell*.
 20:540-549.
- Mendonca, D.C., J.N. Macedo, S.L. Guimaraes, F.L. Barroso da Silva, A. Cassago, R.C.
 Garratt, R.V. Portugal, and A.P.U. Araujo. 2019. A revised order of subunits in mammalian septin complexes. *Cytoskeleton (Hoboken)*. 76:457-466.
- Menon, M.B., A. Sawada, A. Chaturvedi, P. Mishra, K. Schuster-Gossler, M. Galla, A.
 Schambach, A. Gossler, R. Forster, M. Heuser, A. Kotlyarov, M. Kinoshita, and M.
 Gaestel. 2014. Genetic deletion of SEPT7 reveals a cell type-specific role of
 septins in microtubule destabilization for the completion of cytokinesis. *PLoS*genetics. 10:e1004558.
- Momany, M., F. Pan, and R.L. Malmberg. 2008. Evolution and conserved domains of the
 septins. *In* The Septins. P.A. Hall, S.E.H. Russell, and J.R. Pringle, editors. John
 Wiley & Sons, Ltd. 35-45.
- Mostowy, S., M. Bonazzi, M.A. Hamon, T.N. Tham, A. Mallet, M. Lelek, E. Gouin, C.
 Demangel, R. Brosch, C. Zimmer, A. Sartori, M. Kinoshita, M. Lecuit, and P.
 Cossart. 2010. Entrapment of intracytosolic bacteria by septin cage-like structures. *Cell host & microbe*. 8:433-444.
- Mostowy, S., S. Janel, C. Forestier, C. Roduit, S. Kasas, J. Pizarro-Cerda, P. Cossart, and F. Lafont. 2011. A role for septins in the interaction between the Listeria

- 2190 monocytogenes INVASION PROTEIN InIB and the Met receptor. *Biophysical* 2191 *journal.* 100:1949-1959.
- Neufeld, T.P., and G.M. Rubin. 1994. The Drosophila peanut gene is required for
 cytokinesis and encodes a protein similar to yeast putative bud neck filament
 proteins. *Cell*. 77:371-379.
- Nguyen, T.Q., H. Sawa, H. Okano, and J.G. White. 2000. The C. elegans septin genes,
 unc-59 and unc-61, are required for normal postembryonic cytokineses and
 morphogenesis but have no essential function in embryogenesis. *Journal of cell science*. 113 Pt 21:3825-3837.
- Nishihama, R., M. Onishi, and J.R. Pringle. 2011. New insights into the phylogenetic
 distribution and evolutionary origins of the septins. *Biological chemistry*. 392:681 687.
- Ong, K., C. Wloka, S. Okada, T. Svitkina, and E. Bi. 2014. Architecture and dynamic
 remodelling of the septin cytoskeleton during the cell cycle. *Nature communications*. 5:5698.
- Orre, T., A. Joly, Z. Karatas, B. Kastberger, C. Cabriel, R.T. Bottcher, S. Leveque-Fort,
 J.B. Sibarita, R. Fassler, B. Wehrle-Haller, O. Rossier, and G. Giannone. 2021.
 Molecular motion and tridimensional nanoscale localization of kindlin control
 integrin activation in focal adhesions. *Nat Commun.* 12:3104.
- Pan, F., R.L. Malmberg, and M. Momany. 2007. Analysis of septins across kingdoms
 reveals orthology and new motifs. *BMC evolutionary biology*. 7:103.
- Racine, V., A. Hertzog, J. Jouanneau, J. Salamero, C. Kervrann, and J.B. Sibarita. 2006.
 Multiple-target tracking of 3D fluorescent objects based on simulated annealing. *I S Biomed Imaging*:1020-+.
- Racine, V., M. Sachse, J. Salamero, V. Fraisier, A. Trubuil, and J.B. Sibarita. 2007.
 Visualization and quantification of vesicle trafficking on a three-dimensional cytoskeleton network in living cells. *J Microsc*. 225:214-228.
- Rigato, A., F. Rico, F. Eghiaian, M. Piel, and S. Scheuring. 2015. Atomic Force
 Microscopy Mechanical Mapping of Micropatterned Cells Shows Adhesion
 Geometry-Dependent Mechanical Response on Local and Global Scales. ACS
 Nano. 9:5846-5856.
- Rodal, A.A., L. Kozubowski, B.L. Goode, D.G. Drubin, and J.H. Hartwig. 2005. Actin and septin ultrastructures at the budding yeast cell cortex. *Mol Biol Cell*. 16:372-384.
- Rosa, H.V.D., D.A. Leonardo, G. Brognara, J. Brandao-Neto, H. D'Muniz Pereira, A.P.U.
 Araujo, and R.C. Garratt. 2020. Molecular Recognition at Septin Interfaces: The
 Switches Hold the Key. *Journal of molecular biology*. 432:5784-5801.
- Roseler, S., K. Sandrock, I. Bartsch, A. Busse, H. Omran, N.T. Loges, and B. Zieger.
 2011. Lethal phenotype of mice carrying a Sept11 null mutation. *Biol Chem.*392:779-781.
- Rosenblatt, G., B. Simkhovich, G. Bartal, and M. Orenstein. 2020. Nonmodal Plasmonics:
 Controlling the Forced Optical Response of Nanostructures. *Phys Rev X*. 10.
- Rossier, O., V. Octeau, J.B. Sibarita, C. Leduc, B. Tessier, D. Nair, V. Gatterdam, O.
 Destaing, C. Albiges-Rizo, R. Tampe, L. Cognet, D. Choquet, B. Lounis, and G.
 Giannone. 2012. Integrins beta1 and beta3 exhibit distinct dynamic nanoscale
 organizations inside focal adhesions. *Nature cell biology*. 14:1057-1067.
- Sader, J.E., J.A. Sanelli, B.D. Adamson, J.P. Monty, X.Z. Wei, S.A. Crawford, J.R. Friend,
 I. Marusic, P. Mulvaney, and E.J. Bieske. 2012. Spring constant calibration of
 atomic force microscope cantilevers of arbitrary shape. *Rev Sci Instrum*. 83.

- Sala, F.A., N.F. Valadares, J.N. Macedo, J.C. Borges, and R.C. Garratt. 2016.
 Heterotypic Coiled-Coil Formation is Essential for the Correct Assembly of the
 Septin Heterofilament. *Biophysical journal*. 111:2608-2619.
- Salameh, J., I. Cantaloube, B. Benoit, C. Pous, and A. Baillet. 2021. Cdc42 and its
 BORG2 and BORG3 effectors control the subcellular localization of septins
 between actin stress fibers and microtubules. *Curr Biol.* 31:4088-4103 e4085.
- 2244 Schmidt, K., and B.J. Nichols. 2004. Functional interdependence between septin and 2245 actin cytoskeleton. *BMC cell biology*. 5:43.
- 2246 Sellin, M.E., L. Sandblad, S. Stenmark, and M. Gullberg. 2011. Deciphering the rules 2247 governing assembly order of mammalian septin complexes. *Molecular biology of* 2248 *the cell*. 22:3152-3164.
- Sellin, M.E., S. Stenmark, and M. Gullberg. 2014. Cell type-specific expression of SEPT3 homology subgroup members controls the subunit number of heteromeric septin
 complexes. *Molecular biology of the cell*. 25:1594-1607.
- 2252 Shindo, A., and J.B. Wallingford. 2014. PCP and septins compartmentalize cortical 2253 actomyosin to direct collective cell movement. *Science*. 343:649-652.
- Sirajuddin, M., M. Farkasovsky, F. Hauer, D. Kuhlmann, I.G. Macara, M. Weyand, H.
 Stark, and A. Wittinghofer. 2007. Structural insight into filament formation by
 mammalian septins. *Nature*. 449:311-315.
- Soroor, F., M.S. Kim, O. Palander, Y. Balachandran, R.F. Collins, S. Benlekbir, J.L.
 Rubinstein, and W.S. Trimble. 2021. Revised subunit order of mammalian septin
 complexes explains their in vitro polymerization properties. *Mol Biol Cell*. 32:289 300.
- Steels, J.D., M.P. Estey, C.D. Froese, D. Reynaud, C. Pace-Asciak, and W.S. Trimble.
 2007. Sept12 is a component of the mammalian sperm tail annulus. *Cell motility* and the cytoskeleton. 64:794-807.
- 2264 Sumbul, F., N. Hassanpour, J. Rodriguez-Ramos, and F. Rico. 2020. One-Step 2265 Calibration of AFM in Liquid. *Front Phys-Lausanne*. 8.
- Surka, M.C., C.W. Tsang, and W.S. Trimble. 2002. The mammalian septin MSF localizes
 with microtubules and is required for completion of cytokinesis. *Mol Biol Cell*.
 13:3532-3545.
- Szuba, A., F. Bano, G. Castro-Linares, F. Iv, M. Mavrakis, R.P. Richter, A. Bertin, and
 G.H. Koenderink. 2021. Membrane binding controls ordered self-assembly of
 animal septins. *Elife*. 10.
- Tada, T., A. Simonetta, M. Batterton, M. Kinoshita, D. Edbauer, and M. Sheng. 2007.
 Role of Septin cytoskeleton in spine morphogenesis and dendrite development in neurons. *Curr Biol.* 17:1752-1758.
- Tanaka-Takiguchi, Y., M. Kinoshita, and K. Takiguchi. 2009. Septin-mediated uniform bracing of phospholipid membranes. *Curr Biol*. 19:140-145.
- Targa, B., L. Klipfel, I. Cantaloube, J. Salameh, B. Benoit, C. Pous, and A. Baillet. 2019.
 Septin filament coalignment with microtubules depends on SEPT9_i1 and tubulin polyglutamylation, and is an early feature of acquired cell resistance to paclitaxel.
 Cell Death Dis. 10:54.
- Tojkander, S., G. Gateva, and P. Lappalainen. 2012. Actin stress fibers--assembly, dynamics and biological roles. *J Cell Sci*. 125:1855-1864.
- Tooley, A.J., J. Gilden, J. Jacobelli, P. Beemiller, W.S. Trimble, M. Kinoshita, and M.F.
 Krummel. 2009. Amoeboid T lymphocytes require the septin cytoskeleton for
 cortical integrity and persistent motility. *Nature cell biology*. 11:17-26.

- Uhlen, M., L. Fagerberg, B.M. Hallstrom, C. Lindskog, P. Oksvold, A. Mardinoglu, A.
 Sivertsson, C. Kampf, E. Sjostedt, A. Asplund, I. Olsson, K. Edlund, E. Lundberg,
 S. Navani, C.A. Szigyarto, J. Odeberg, D. Djureinovic, J.O. Takanen, S. Hober, T.
 Alm, P.H. Edqvist, H. Berling, H. Tegel, J. Mulder, J. Rockberg, P. Nilsson, J.M.
 Schwenk, M. Hamsten, K. von Feilitzen, M. Forsberg, L. Persson, F. Johansson,
 M. Zwahlen, G. von Heijne, J. Nielsen, and F. Ponten. 2015. Proteomics. Tissuebased map of the human proteome. *Science*. 347:1260419.
- Vadnjal, N., S. Nourreddine, G. Lavoie, M. Serres, P.P. Roux, and E.K. Paluch. 2022.
 Proteomic analysis of the actin cortex in interphase and mitosis. *Journal of cell science*. 135.
- Verdier-Pinard, P., D. Salaun, H. Bouguenina, S. Shimada, M. Pophillat, S. Audebert, E.
 Agavnian, S. Coslet, E. Charafe-Jauffret, T. Tachibana, and A. Badache. 2017.
 Septin 9_i2 is downregulated in tumors, impairs cancer cell migration and alters
 subnuclear actin filaments. *Sci Rep.* 7:44976.
- Versele, M., and J. Thorner. 2004. Septin collar formation in budding yeast requires GTP
 binding and direct phosphorylation by the PAK, Cla4. *The Journal of cell biology*.
 164:701-715.
- Vissa, A., M. Giuliani, C.D. Froese, M.S. Kim, F. Soroor, P.K. Kim, W.S. Trimble, and
 C.M. Yip. 2019. Single-molecule localization microscopy of septin bundles in
 mammalian cells. *Cytoskeleton (Hoboken)*. 76:63-72.
- Wang, N., I.M. Tolic-Norrelykke, J. Chen, S.M. Mijailovich, J.P. Butler, J.J. Fredberg, and
 D. Stamenovic. 2002. Cell prestress. I. Stiffness and prestress are closely
 associated in adherent contractile cells. *American journal of physiology. Cell physiology*. 282:C606-616.
- Waterhouse, A., M. Bertoni, S. Bienert, G. Studer, G. Tauriello, R. Gumienny, F.T. Heer,
 T.A.P. de Beer, C. Rempfer, L. Bordoli, R. Lepore, and T. Schwede. 2018. SWISS MODEL: homology modelling of protein structures and complexes. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 46:W296-W303.
- Weber, K., P.C. Rathke, and M. Osborn. 1978. Cytoplasmic microtubular images in
 glutaraldehyde-fixed tissue culture cells by electron microscopy and by
 immunofluorescence microscopy. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 75:1820-1824.
- Wegel, E., A. Gohler, B.C. Lagerholm, A. Wainman, S. Uphoff, R. Kaufmann, and I.M.
 Dobbie. 2016. Imaging cellular structures in super-resolution with SIM, STED and
 Localisation Microscopy: A practical comparison. *Sci Rep.* 6:27290.
- Xie, H., M. Surka, J. Howard, and W.S. Trimble. 1999. Characterization of the mammalian
 septin H5: distinct patterns of cytoskeletal and membrane association from other
 septin proteins. *Cell motility and the cytoskeleton*. 43:52-62.
- Xie, Y., J.P. Vessey, A. Konecna, R. Dahm, P. Macchi, and M.A. Kiebler. 2007. The GTP binding protein Septin 7 is critical for dendrite branching and dendritic-spine
 morphology. *Curr Biol.* 17:1746-1751.
- Yamada, S., T. Isogai, R. Tero, Y. Tanaka-Takiguchi, T. Ujihara, M. Kinoshita, and K.
 Takiguchi. 2016. Septin Interferes with the Temperature-Dependent Domain
 Formation and Disappearance of Lipid Bilayer Membranes. *Langmuir*. 32:12823 12832.
- Zent, E., I. Vetter, and A. Wittinghofer. 2011. Structural and biochemical properties of
 Sept7, a unique septin required for filament formation. *Biological chemistry*.
 392:791-797.

Zhang, J., C. Kong, H. Xie, P.S. McPherson, S. Grinstein, and W.S. Trimble. 1999.
 Phosphatidylinositol polyphosphate binding to the mammalian septin H5 is
 modulated by GTP. *Curr Biol.* 9:1458-1467.

Supplementary Figure legends

Figure S1. SEPT2, SEPT7 and SEPT9 distribution on different types of stress fibers in U2OS cells. (A) Representative confocal micrographs of SEPT2 immunostained cells (i-iii) and cells expressing SEPT2-msfGFP (iv-v). SEPT2 immunostained cells are costained for F-actin (phalloidin) and the FA protein paxillin. Examples show SEPT2 localizing (i) to peripheral (a) and ventral (b,c) SFs and excluded from focal adhesions (FA) (c), (ii) to peripheral (a) and perinuclear actin caps (b), (iii) to transverse arcs (b) and excluded from dorsal SFs (a,b), (iv) to transverse arcs (a,b) and excluded from dorsal SFs (a), and (v) showing a diffuse cytosolic phenotype. (B) Representative confocal micrographs of SEPT9 immunostained cells (i-iii) and cells expressing SEPT9_i3msfGFP (iv-v). SEPT9 immunostained cells are co-stained for F-actin (phalloidin) and the FA protein paxillin. Examples show SEPT9 localizing (i) to peripheral (a) and ventral (b,c) SFs and excluded from focal adhesions (FA) (c), (ii) to perinuclear actin caps (a,b), (iii) to transverse arcs (a) and ventral SFs (b), (iv) to transverse arcs (a) and excluded from dorsal SFs (a) and to ventral SFs (b), and (v) to peripheral (a) and perinuclear actin caps (b). (C-D) Representative confocal micrographs of SEPT7 immunostainings showing SEPT7 localizing to ventral actin nodes. Cells are co-stained for F-actin (phalloidin) and non-muscle myosin heavy chain IIA (NMIIA) (C) or α -actinin (D). Yellow arrowheads point to two actin nodes in each example. Scale bars in large fields of views, 10 µm. Scale bars in insets, 2 µm (A and B) and 5 µm (C,D). Related to Fig. 1B.

Figure S2. Design of the tripartite split-GFP complementation assay for probing septin organization. (A) Sequences of the β -10 and β 11-tags used for all split assays and of the linker sequences tested in screening experiments (B,C); 14-residue linkers were used throughout this study. (B) Schematic of N- and C-terminal B-10 and B11-tag septin fusions tested in screening experiments (C) using short or long linkers (A). (C) Schematic of β-10 and β11-septin fusion combinations for screening tripartite split GFP complementation. Combinations with the same pattern were considered to be equivalent (for example, SEPT2-14-B10 / B11-14-SEPT2 and SEPT2-14-B11 / B10-14-SEPT2). The combinations in green are the ones tested experimentally. (D) Schematic of the pTRIP TRE Bi vector bearing a bidirectional tetracycline response element (TRE) promoter for the doxycycline-inducible co-expression of β 10- and β 11-tagged septins. An IRES-TagBFP cassette was used for monitoring septin expression. Restriction sites used for subcloning are indicated (see methods for details). (E) Left, Western blots of U2OS-Tet-On-GFP1-9 cell line lysates probed with anti-SEPT7, anti- β 10 and anti- α -tubulin antibodies upon treatment with siRNAs targeting LacZ (siCtrl), SEPT7 (siSEPT7), and targeting SEPT7 while co-expressing wild-type β 10- and β 11-SEPT7 (WT), β 10- and β 11-SEPT7Gmut1 (Gmut1), and β 10- and β 11-SEPT7Gmut2 (Gmut2). Yellow and orange arrowheads point to bands correspond to β 10- and β 11-fusions. The SEPT7 and β 10 blots are also shown saturated on purpose for displaying weaker bands. Molecular weight

markers are shown on the left. Middle, Western blots of U2OS-Tet-On-GFP1-9 cell line lysates probed with anti-SEPT2, anti- β 10, anti- β 11 and anti- α -tubulin antibodies upon treatment with siRNAs targeting LacZ (siCtrl), SEPT2 (siSEPT2), and targeting SEPT2 while co-expressing wild-type SEPT2- β 10 and - β 11 (WT) or SEPT2NCmut- β 10 and - β 11 (NCmut). Yellow and orange arrowheads point to bands correspond to B10- and B11fusions. The SEPT2 blot is also shown saturated on purpose for displaying weaker bands. Right, Western blots of U2OS-Tet-On-GFP1-9 cell line lysates probed with anti-SEPT9, anti- β 10, anti- β 11 and anti- α -tubulin antibodies upon treatment with siRNAs targeting LacZ (siCtrl), SEPT9 (siSEPT9), and targeting SEPT9 while co-expressing wild-type SEPT9-B10 and -B11 (WT) or SEPT9NCmut-B10 and -B11 (NCmut) for both SEPT9_i1 and SEPT9 i3. Yellow and orange arrowheads point to bands correspond to β 10- and β11-fusions. The SEPT9 blot is also shown saturated on purpose for displaying weaker bands. Asterisks point to SEPT9 degradation products. (F) Western blot of U2OS cell lysates probed with anti-SEPT2 and anti- α -tubulin antibodies upon treatment with siRNAs targeting LacZ (siCtrl) or SEPT2 (siSEPT2). Molecular weight markers are shown on the left. Bottom, respective quantification of SEPT2 protein levels (mean+SD). Mean values (normalized to 1 for siCtrl) are from 3 independent siCtrl and 9 independent siSEPT2 treatments. SEPT2 was knocked down on average by 92%. (G) Same as (F) for SEPT9. The asterisk points to a SEPT9 degradation product. Mean values (normalized to 1 for siCtrl) are from 3 independent siCtrl and 3 independent siSEPT9 treatments. SEPT9 was knocked down on average by 92%. (H) Same as (F) for SEPT7. Mean values (normalized to 1 for siCtrl) are from 3 independent siCtrl and 12 independent siSEPT7 treatments. SEPT7 was knocked down on average by 86%.

Figure S3. Septin interface mutants used in this study and cell-free reconstitution of septin assembly. (A) Left, Top, conserved residues in the SEPT2-SEPT2 NC interface are shown in the crystal structure of human SEPT2 homodimers (PDB 2QA5) (Sirajuddin et al., 2007). The backbone structure is displayed as a cartoon representation in PyMOL, with critical residues represented as sticks (deep blue and red for nitrogen and oxygen atoms, respectively). Residues F20 from the hook-loop of one SEPT2 subunit (orange) interact with the hydrophobic cleft formed by V86, I88, V93, and I281 of the adjacent SEPT2 subunit (blue). The importance of this phenylalanine in anchoring the $\alpha 0$ helix at the NC interface was emphasized only recently (Cavini et al., 2021). The blue subunit's surface representation highlights the complementary of shape between the two SEPT2 subunits in this interface. The interaction between the $\alpha 0$ helices of each subunit is also stabilized via a hydrophobic interaction between their respective V27. Left, Middle, sequence alignment of the regions including the residues shown in the NC interface structure for SEPT2 and SEPT9_i3. The structural elements (α 0, β 2, α 5) related to these residues are underlined and shown above the sequences. The consensus symbols are from ClustalW alignments of all human septins (*, fully conserved residue; colon, conservation between residues with strongly similar physicochemical properties; period,

conservation between residues with weakly similar physicochemical properties). We note that the residues described above are strictly or physicochemically conserved (except for V86), highlighting their importance in stabilizing the SEPT2-SEPT2 NC interface. Left, Bottom, NC interface mutants used in this study. A mutation of F20D/I263D is expected to destabilize the hydrophobic pocket depicted above, whereas a V27D/M270D is expected to destabilize the $\alpha 0$ helices interface. Importantly, a strictly conserved aspartate (SEPT2 E90, corresponding to SEPT6 E90 and SEPT7 E102 which are well defined in the cryo-EM structure of the SEPT6-SEPT7 NC interface (Mendonca et al., 2021)) in the loop connecting β 2 and β 3 is pointing to the hydrophobic cleft where the phenylalanine resides. The F20D mutation is thus expected to result in a repulsion between the aspartate and glutamate and contribute further to the destabilization of the NC interface. Right, Top, conserved residues in the SEPT7-SEPT7 G interface are shown in the crystal structure of human SEPT7 homodimers (PDB 6N0B) (Brognara et al., 2019). The backbone structure is displayed as a cartoon representation in PyMOL, with critical residues represented as sticks (deep blue and red for nitrogen and oxygen atoms, respectively). Residues W269 of one SEPT7 subunit (yellow) interact with residues W269, A272 and H279 in the adjacent SEPT7 subunit (green) (Sirajuddin et al., 2007; Zent et al., 2011). W269 from adjacent subunits interact via a water molecule bridge through hydrogen bonds. In addition, each W269 is engaged in π - π interactions with H279 and CH- π interactions with A272 of the opposite subunit. Right, Middle, sequence alignment of the regions including the residues shown in the G interface structure for SEPT7 and SEPT9 i3. The structural elements (β 7, β 8) related to these residues are underlined and shown above the sequences. The consensus symbols are from ClustalW alignments of all human septins (*, fully conserved residue; colon, conservation between residues with strongly similar physicochemical properties). Notice that W269 and H279 are both strictly conserved, showing their importance in stabilizing this interface. Right, Bottom, G interface mutants that were used in this study. The presence of both mutations W269A and H279D in SEPT7 and SEPT9 is expected to destabilize the SEPT7-SEPT7 and SEPT7-SEPT9 G-interfaces. The loss of the aromatic cycle properties in the mutant W269A does not allow the abovementioned critical interactions mediated by the wild-type Trp. W269A is expected to destabilize H279 and potentially change its orientation. In addition, the much smaller size of the alanine will poorly mimic the hydrophobic interaction between W269 and H279, weakening the G-interface. Note that W269 is in the vicinity of Tyr267 of the same subunit. This tyrosine interacts with the nucleotide buried within the G-interface. Consequently, any mutations destabilizing W269 could dramatically destabilize the overall G-interface because of a domino effect. Similarly, H279D is expected to preclude hydrophobic interactions with W269 and thus destabilize the latter. The single mutation H279D in SEPT7 is expected to destabilize the SEPT7-SEPT7 Ginterface when present in both SEPT7 subunits, but not the SEPT7-SEPT9 interface with wild-type SEPT9. (B) Representative spinning disk fluorescence images of septin filament assembly upon polymerization of hexamers in solution at the indicated final protomer

concentration. Protomers contained either wild-type SEPT2 (top panel) or SEPT2NCmut (bottom panel). Images use an inverted grayscale. Related to Fig. 2C. (C) Representative spinning disk fluorescence images of reconstituted actin filaments, polymerizing in the presence of septin hexamers in solution. Protomers contained either wild-type SEPT2 or SEPT2NCmut. Actin filaments are visualized with AlexaFluor568-conjugated phalloidin, and septins with SEPT2-msfGFP. One example of large fields of view are shown for each condition, depicting cross-linking of actin filaments; only actin labeling is shown. The image for actin in the presence of wild-type hexamers is contrast-enhanced on purpose in order to saturate the actin bundles so that weaker-intensity single actin filaments are also visible. Insets on the bottom show higher magnifications of selected regions of interest on the top (dashed squares in red). Two regions of interest (a,b for wild-type SEPT2 and c,d for SEPT2NCmut) are shown in each case, depicting both the actin and septin signals. Scale bars in all large fields of views, 10 µm. Scale bars in all insets, 5 µm. (D) Representative spinning disk fluorescence images of septin filament assembly upon co-polymerization of hexamers containing SEPT2-msfGFP and octamers-9 i3 containing SEPT2-sfCherry2 at the indicated final protomer concentration. Images use an inverted gravscale. Scale bars in all large fields of views, 10 µm.

Figure S4. All septins on microtubules organize as octamer-based filaments. (A) Violin plots depicting the distribution of diffuse cytosolic (red datapoints) vs. non-diffuse (green datapoints) phenotypes as a function of the intensity of the msfGFP signal in cells expressing wild-type SEPT9_i1-msfGFP or SEPT9_i1NCmut-msfGFP. Data points are from a total of 90 cells each for wild-type and mutant SEPT9 distributed among the two phenotypes. (B) Violin plots depicting the distribution of diffuse cytosolic (red datapoints) vs. non-diffuse (green datapoints) phenotypes as a function of the intensity of the rGFP signal in GFP1-9 cells co-expressing wild-type SEPT9 i1- β 10 and - β 11 or SEPT9 i1NCmut- β 10 and - β 11. Data points are from a total of 40 cells each for wild-type and mutant SEPT9 distributed among the two phenotypes. (C) Representative confocal micrograph of SEPT9_i1-SEPT9_i1 rGFP distribution in fixed cells co-stained for microtubules (α -tubulin). (D) Representative example of a GFP1-9 cell co-expressing β 11-SEPT7 and SEPT9 i1- β 10 and co-stained for α -tubulin, with rGFP localizing to microtubules. (E) Representative example of GFP1-9 cell co-expressing β 11-SEPT7Gmut1 and SEPT9 i1Gmut- β 10 co-stained for α -tubulin showing a diffuse cytosolic phenotype. (F) Representative confocal micrograph of SEPT2-SEPT2 rGFP distribution in a live cell co-expressing mCherry-SEPT9 i1 (not shown) and labeled for microtubules (SiR-tubulin). (G) Representative example of a cell (bottom left) coexpressing msfGFP-SEPT7 and mCherry1-SEPT9_i1 and labeled for microtubules (SiRtubulin) showing msfGFP-SEPT7 localizing to microtubules (b). A cell expressing only msfGFP-SEPT7 (top right) shows msfGFP-SEPT7 localizing to ectopic bundles not colocalizing with microtubules (a). Scale bars in large fields of views, 10 µm. Scale bars in insets, 2 µm. Related to Fig. 5E. (H) Representative confocal micrograph of SEPT7SEPT7 rGFP distribution in a live cell co-expressing mCherry-SEPT9_i1 (not shown) and labeled for microtubules (SiR-tubulin). (I) Representative examples of GFP1-9 cells coexpressing β 11-SEPT7Gmut2 and SEPT9_i1- β 10 with rGFP localizing to microtubules. (J) Representative example of a GFP1-9 cell co-expressing *β*10- and *β*11-SEPT7Gmut2 co-expressing mCherry-SEPT9_i1 (not shown) and labeled for microtubules (SiRtubulin). (C-J) Scale bars in large fields of views, 10 μm. Scale bars in insets, 2 μm. (K) Representative SIM micrographs of cells (i, ii) expressing mCherry-SEPT9_i1 (not shown) co-stained for SEPT7 and α -tubulin. Scale bars in large fields of views, 10 μ m. Scale bars in insets, 2 µm. (L) Box plots depict the distributions of measured widths, as the full width at half maximum (FWHM), of microtubules (MT) and MT-associated septins (SEPT7). The data points are plotted on top of the respective box plots; data points correspond to width measurements at multiple positions along MT and septin fibers and in multiple MT and septin fibers per cell in a total of 8 cells. On each box, the central mark indicates the median, and the bottom and top edges of the box indicate the 25th and 75th percentiles. respectively. The whiskers extend to the minimum and maximum values. The number of measurements is n = 128 and 112 for MTs and septins, respectively. The respective median values are 128 nm and 119 nm for MTs and septins, respectively.

Figure S5. Septin filaments are closely apposed to the plasma membrane, are immobilized on actin stress fibers, and can mediate actin-membrane anchoring in the absence of myosin-II. (A-B) depict representative examples of lifetime decay traces for GAP43-mApple (A, left) and AF568-phalloidin (F-actin) (B, left) on glass and in the presence of gold. The solid lines represent the numerical fits, showing the lifetime reduction due to the MIET process. The respective calculated lifetime-distance dependences, used to calculate the distances of the fluorophores from the coverslip (Fig. 10E) are shown in the respective right panels. Related to Fig. 10B-E. (C-D) TIRF images of SEPT2-msfGFP 8mer-9_i1 alone (left) or F-actin alone (right) on top of a supported lipid bilayer (SLB) composed of 100% DOPC (C) or 5% PI(4,5)P2 and 95% DOPC (D). Scale bar, 5 µm. Related to Fig. 10F. (E) TIRF images of SEPT2-msfGFP 8mer-9 i3 and F-actin, either co-polymerized on top of an SLB, or co-polymerized in solution to form preformed bundles that were then flushed onto the supported lipid bilayer. The supported lipid bilayer was composed of 5% of PI(4,5)P₂, a septin-interacting lipid, and 95% DOPC. Scale bar, 5µm. Related to Fig. 10F. (F) TIRF images of SEPT2-msfGFP 8mer-9_i1 prepolymerized on top of a supported lipid bilayer (SLB), with actin added in a second step to the membrane-bound septin filaments, either as prepolymerized actin filaments or as G-actin. The supported lipid bilayer was composed of 5% PI(4,5)P2 and 95% DOPC. Scale bar, 5µm. Related to Fig. 10F. (G-H) Box plots displaying the median (notch) and mean (square) \pm percentile (25–75%) of diffusion coefficients corresponding to the free diffusion (D_{diff}) (G) and confined diffusion (D_{conf}) (H) trajectories outside FAs from sptPALM. Related to Fig. 10G-K. Statistical significance was obtained using two-tailed, non-parametric Mann–Whitney rank sum test. The different conditions were compared to

the SEPT9_i3-mEos3.2 condition. The resulting P values are indicated as follows: ** P<0.01; **** P < 0.0001. (I) Representative confocal micrographs of U2OS cells costained for F-actin (phalloidin), SEPT7 and non-muscle myosin heavy chain isoforms IIA (NMIIA) or IIB (NMIIB). Wild-type U2OS cells were co-stained for either NMIIA (i) or NMIIB (ii). NMIIB knock-out (KO) U2OS cells were co-stained for NMIIB (iii). NMIIB KO U2OS cells were co-stained for NMIIB (iii). NMIIB KO U2OS cells were co-stained for NMIIA (iv). (J) Western blot of NMIIA KO and NMIIB KO U2OS cell lysates probed with anti- α -tubulin, anti-SEPT7, anti-NMIIA and anti-NMIIB antibodies. NMIIA KO cells were treated either with LacZ or NMIIB siRNA. NMIIB KO cells were treated either with LacZ or NMIIB siRNA. NMIIB KO cells were treated with the red rectangle corresponds to the images shown in panel (K). (K) Representative confocal micrographs of NMIIA KO U2OS cells (i-iii) treated with NMIIB siRNA and co-stained for F-actin (phalloidin), SEPT7 and NMIIB. Scale bars in large fields of views, 10 μ m. Scale bars in insets, 2 μ m.



Martins et al Fig 1





SEPT9_i3-SEPT9_i3





SEPT9_i3-SEPT9_i3 rGFP (live)



F-actin

D

ľ



F











SEPT7-SEPT9_i3 rGFP (live)









SEPT7-SEPT9_i1 rGFP (live)





Martins et al Fig 4

not diffuse





Martins et al Fig 6





Martins et al Fig 8




paired octameric septin filament









NT SEPT



Full length FP fusions in pCMV	D.:	N uluu u
Construct name	Primer name	Primer sequence
	NheinSept2FOR	
SEPT2-msfGFP	hSept2stGFPFOR	
	hSept2stGFPREV	III GGACACCACA I GGI GGCCGAGAGC
	BamHIsfGFPREV	TAGATCCGGTGGATCCTTACTTGTACAGCTCATCCATGCC
msfGFP-SEPT7	NhelmsfGFPFOR	CGTCAGATCCGCTAGCATGGTGTCCAAGGGCGAGG
	msfGFPSept7i1FOR	GTACAAGTCGGTCAGTGCGAGATCCG
	msfGFPSept7i1REV	CTGACCGACTTGTACAGCTCATCCATGCCCAG
	BamHISept7i1REV	
SEPT9_i3-mApple	NhelSept9_i3FOR	CGTCAGATCCGCTAGCATGGAGAGGGACCGGATCTC
	mAppleFOR	GTGAGCAAGGGCGAGGAG
	mAppleSep9REV	
	BamHImAppleREV	TAGATCCGGTGGATCCTCACTTGTACAGCTCGTCCATGCC
GAP43-mApple	NheIGAP43mAppleFOR	CGTCAGATCCGCTAGCATGCTGTGCTGTATGCGAAGAACCAAACAGGTTGAAAAAAATGATGAGGACCAAA AGATTGTGAGCAAGGGCGAGGAG
	BamHImAppleREV	TAGATCCGGTGGATCCTCACTTGTACAGCTCGTCCATGCC
	NhelSept9_i3FOR	CGTCAGATCCGCTAGCATGGAGAGGGACCGGATCTC
	mAppleFOR	GTGAGCAAGGGCGAGGAG
SEPT9_i3-mApple-CAAX	mAppleSep9REV	CTCGCCCTTGCTCACCATCTCTGGGGCTTCTGGC
		TAGATCCGGTGGATCCTTAGGAGAGCACACACTTGCAGCTCATGCAGCCGGGGCCACTCTCATCAGGAGG
	BamHICAAXSIGFPREV	GTTCAGCTTCTTGTACAGCTCATCCATGCC
	NdelCMVpromoterFOR	ATCAAGTGTATCATATGCCAAGTACGCCCCCTATTGACGTCAATGACG
	Sept2FDFOR	CAAATCTTCCCAATCAAGATCACCGAAAATCAGTGAAGAAGGGGTTCG
SEP12NCmut-mstGFP	Sept2FDREV	GATTGGGAAGATTTGCATCTCCAACATAGCCAGGAGTTTCTGG
	BamHIsfGFPREV	TAGATCCGGTGGATCCTTACTTGTACAGCTCATCCATGCC
	NhelSept9 i3FOR	CGTCAGATCCGCTAGCATGGAGAGGGACCGGATCTC
SEPT9_i3NCmut-msfGFP	Sept9MDFOR	CTCCATCCTGGAGCAGGATCGCCGGAAGGCCATGAAGC
	Sept9IDREV	TGCTCCAGGATGGAGTCATCCCCCACGTAGCCGAAGTCC
	BamHIsfGFPREV	TAGATCCGGTGGATCCTTACTTGTACAGCTCATCCATGCC
SEPT9_i3Gmut-msfGFP	NhelSept9 i3FOR	CGTCAGATCCGCTAGCATGGAGAGGGACCGGATCTC
	Sept9i1H530DFOR	GGTACCATCGAAGTTGAAAAACACCACAGATTGTGAGTTTGCCTACCTGCGG
	Sept9i1W520AREV	AACTTCGATGGTACCCGCCTTGGTCTTCCTCCCAAGGATCC
	BamHIsfGFPREV	TAGATCCGGTGGATCCTTACTTGTACAGCTCATCCATGCC
	NhelmsfGFPFOR	CGTCAGATCCGCTAGCATGGTGTCCAAGGGCGAG
msfGFP-SEPT7Gmut1	Sept7H260DFOR2	GGTGTTGCTGAAGTTGAAAATGGTGAAGATTGTGATTTTACAATCCTAAGAAATATGTTGATAAGAACACAC
	Sept7W250AREV2	AACTTCAGCAACACCCGCAGGATACTGCCTTCCTCTGACC
	BamHISept7i1REV	TAGATCCGGTGGATCCTTAAAAGATCTTCCCTTTCTTCTTGTTCTTTCCAAGG
	NhelmsfGFPFOR	CGTCAGATCCGCTAGCATGGTGTCCAAGGGCGAG
msfGFP-SEPT7Gmut2	Sept7H260DFOR	TGAAGATTGTGATTTTACAATCCTAAGAAATATGTTGATAAGAACACAC
	Sept7H260DREV	AAATCACAATCTTCACCATTTTCAACTTCAGCAACACC
	BamHISept7i1REV	TAGATCCGGTGGATCCTTAAAAGATCTTCCCTTTCTTCTTGTTCTTTCCAAGG
mApple-SEPT7Gmut2	NhelmEGFPFOR	CGTCAGATCCGCTAGCATGGTGAGCAAGGGCGAG
	msfGFPSept7i1FOR	GATGAGCTGTACAAGTCGGTCAGTGCGAGATCCG
	mAppleREV	CTTGTACAGCTCGTCCATGCCGCCG
	BamHISept7i1REV	TAGATCCGGTGGATCCTTAAAAGATCTTCCCTTTCTTCTTGTTCTTTCCAAGG
	NhelSept9_i3FOR	CGTCAGATCCGCTAGCATGGAGAGGGACCGGATCTC
SEPT9_i3-12-mEos3.2	Sept9linker12REV	CACCACCTCCAGAGCCACCGCCACCCATCTCTGGGGCTTCTGGCTC
	12-mEos3.2FOR	GGCTCTGGAGGTGGTGGGTCCTCCGGAAGTGCGATTAAGCCAGACATGAAG
	BamHimFos3 2RFV	TAGATCCGGTGGATCCTTATCGTCTGGCATTGTCAGGC
SEPT9 13-12-mEos3 2-CAAX	NhelSept9 i3FOR	CGTCAGATCCGCTAGCATGGAGAGGGACCGGATCTC
	Sept9linker12REV	CACCACCTCCAGAGCCACCGCCACCCATCTCTGGGGCTTCTGGCTC
	12-mEos3 2FOR	GGCTCTGGAGGTGGTGGGTCCTCCGGAAGTGCGATTAAGCCAGACATGAAG
	BamHICAAXmEos3.2	
		GTTCAGCTTTCGTCTGGCATTGTCAGGC

Construct name	Primer name	Primer sequence
	NdelhSept2FOR – InF	AATTCACCGGTCATATGATGTCTAAGCAACAACCAACTCAGTTTATAAATCC
		ATTATGATCCTCTAGATTAGGAGGCGTCGGTGATGCCGGCGGCGGTCACGTACTCCAGCAGCACCATGTGG
	XbalGFP11hSept2REV – InF	TCGCGCTTCTCTCCGGAGGACCCACCACCTCCAGAGCCACCGCCACCATCGATCACATGGTGGCCGAGA GC
SEP12-14-GFP11_SEP12-14-GFP10	SacIIhSept2FOR – InF	TTCGAGCTCGGTACCGCGGATGTCTAAGCAACAACCAACTCAGTTTATAAATCC
	NhelGFP10hSept2REV_InF	GGAACTATTAGCTAGCTTAGTTCAGGTCCTTGCTCAGGATGGTCGGGGGGGACAGGTAGTGGTCGTCGGGC AGGTCGCCCATTCCGGAGGACCCACCACCACCAGAGCCACCGCCACCATCGATCACATGGTGGCCGAGA
GFP11-14-SEPT7_GFP10-14-SEPT7		GC
	NdelGFP11FOR	
	XbalSept/TIREV	
	SacilGFP10FOR	
	NhelSept/i1REV	
	NdelSept9i1FOR	
SEPT9_i1-14-GFP11_SEPT9_i1-14-GFP10	XbalGFP11REV	AATCCTCTAGATTAGGAGGCGTCGGTGATGCCG
	SacIISept911FOR	AGATCCCCCGCGATGAAGAGTCTTACTCAGGAGGCACG
	NheiGFP10REV	AATCCGCTAGCTTAGTTCAGGTCCTTGCTCAGGATGGTC
	NdelGFP11FOR	CA IA IACA IA IGA IGGAGAAGCGCGACCACA IGG
GEP11-14-SEPT7 SEPT9 i1-14-GEP10	XbalSept7i1REV	AATCCTCTAGATTAAAAGATCTTCCCTTTCTTCTTGTCTTTTCCAAGG
	SacIISept9i1FOR	AGATCCCCGCGGATGAAGAAGTCTTACTCAGGAGGCACG
	NhelGFP10REV	AATCCGCTAGCTTAGTTCAGGTCCTTGCTCAGGATGGTC
	NdelSept9i3FOR	CATATACATATGATGGAGAGGGACCGGATCTCAGC
SEPT9 i3-14-GEP11 SEPT9 i3-14-GEP10	XbalGFP11REV	AATCCTCTAGATTAGGAGGCGTCGGTGATGCCG
0000000000	SacIISept9i3FOR	CATATACCGCGGATGGAGAGGGACCGGATCTCAG
	NhelGFP10REV	AATCCGCTAGCTTAGTTCAGGTCCTTGCTCAGGATGGTC
	NdelGFP11FOR	CATATACATATGATGGAGAAGCGCGACCACATGG
GEP11-14-SEPT7 SEPT9 i3-14-GEP10	XbalSept7i1REV	
	SacIISept9i3FOR	CATATACCGCGGATGGAGAGGGACCGGATCTCAG
	NhelGFP10REV	AATCCGCTAGCTTAGTTCAGGTCCTTGCTCAGGATGGTC
GFP10/GFP11 fusions in pTRIP TRE Bi (mutants)		
Construct name	Primer name	Primer sequence
	NdelhSept2FOR – InF	AATTCACCGGTCATATGATGTCTAAGCAACAACCAACTCAGTTTATAAATCC
	XbalGFP11hSept2REV – InF	ATTATGATCCTCTAGATTAGGAGGCGTCGGTGGTGCCGGCGGCGGTCACGTACTCCAGCAGCACCATGTGG TCGCGCTTCTCTCCGGAGGACCCACCACCTCCAGAGCCACCGCCACCATCGATCACATGGTGGCCGAGA GC
SEPT2 NCmut-14-GFP11_SEPT2 NCmut-14-GFP10	SacIIhSept2FOR – InF	TTCGAGCTCGGTACCGCGGATGTCTAAGCAACAACCAACTCAGTTTATAAATCC
	· · ·	GGAACTATTAGCTAGCTTAGTTCAGGTCCTTGCTCAGGATGGTCTGGGTGGACAGGTAGTGGTCGTCGGGC
	NhelGFP10hSept2REV_InF	AGGTCGCCCATTCCGGAGGACCCACCACCTCCAGAGCCACCGCCACCATCGATCACATGGTGGCCGAGA GC
	NdelGFP11Sept7i1FOR - InF	AATTCACCGGTCATATGATGGAGAAGCGCGACCACATGGTGCTGCTGGAGTACGTGACCGCCGCCGGCAT CACCGACGCCTCCATCGATGGTGGCGGTGGCTCTGGAGGTGGTGGGTCCTCCGGAATGTCGGTCAGTGCG AGATCC
CED11 14 SEDT7 Cmut1 CED10 14 SEDT7 Cmut1	XbalSept7i1REV – InF	ATTATGATCCTCTAGATTAAAAGATCTTCCCTTTCTTGTTCTTTTCC
	SacIIGFP10Sept7i1FOR - InF	TTCGAGCTCGGTACCGCGGATGGGCGACCTGCCCGACGACCACTACCTGTCCACCCAGACCATCCTGAG
		CAAGGACCTGAACATCGATGGTGGCGGTGGCTCTGGAGGTGGTGGGTCCTCCGGAATGTCGGTCAGTGCG AGATCC
	NhelSept7i1REV – InF	GGAACTATTAGCTAGCTTAAAAGATCTTCCCTTTCTTCTTGTTCTTTTCC
	NdelSept9i1FOR – InF	AATTCACCGGTCATATGATGAAGAAGTCTTACTCAGGAGGCACG
	XbalGFP11Sept9REV - InF	ATTATGATCCTCTAGATTAGGAGGCGTCGGTGATGCCGGCGGCGGTCACGTACTCCAGCAGCACCATGTGG TCGCGCTTCTCCCGGAGGACCCACCACCTCCAGAGCCACCGCCACCATCGATCATCTCTGGGGCTTCTG
PT9 i1 NCmut-14-GEP11 SEPT9 i1 NCmut-14-GEP10		GC

	r	
	NhelGFP10Sept9REV - InF	GGAACTATTAGCTAGCTTAGTTCAGGTCCTTGCTCAGGATGGTCTGGGTGGACAGGTAGTGGTCGTCGGGC AGGTCGCCCATTCCGGAGGACCCACCACCTCCAGAGCCACCGCCACCATCGATCATCTCTGGGGCTTCTG GC
	NdelGFP11Sept7i1FOR - InF	AATTCACCGGTCATATGATGGAGAAGCGCGACCACATGGTGCTGCTGGAGTACGTGACCGCCGCCGGCAT CACCGACGCCTCCATCGATGGTGGCGGTGGCTCTGGAGGTGGTGGGTCCTCCGGAATGTCGGTCAGTGCG AGATCC
	XbalSept7i1REV – InF	ATTATGATCCTCTAGATTAAAAGATCTTCCCTTTCTTCTTGTTCTTTTCC
GFF11-14-SEF17 GIIU(1_SEF19_11 GIIU(-14-GFF10	SacIISept9i1FOR – InF	TTCGAGCTCGGTACCGCGGATGAAGAAGTCTTACTCAGGAGGCACG
	NhelGFP10Sept9REV - InF	GGAACTATTAGCTAGCTTAGTTCAGGTCCTTGCTCAGGATGGTCTGGGTGGACAGGTAGTGGTCGTCGGGC AGGTCGCCCATTCCGGAGGACCCACCACCTCCAGAGCCACCGCCACCATCGATCATCTCTGGGGCTTCTG GC
SEDTO 12 Nomine 14 CED44, SEDTO 12 Nomine 44 CED40	NdelSept9i3FOR – InF	AATTCACCGGTCATATGATGGAGAGGGACCGGATCTCAGC
	XbalGFP11Sept9REV - InF	ATTATGATCCTCTAGATTAGGAGGCGTCGGTGATGCCGGCGGCGGTCACGTACTCCAGCAGCACCATGTGG TCGCGCTTCTCTCCGGAGGACCCACCACCTCCAGAGCCACCGCCACCATCGATCATCTCTGGGGCTTCTG GC
3EF 19_13 NCIIIUL-14-GFF 11_3EF 19_13 NCIIIUL-14-GFF 10	SacIISept9i3FOR – InF	TTCGAGCTCGGTACCGCGGATGGAGAGGGACCGGATCTCAGC
	NhelGFP10Sept9REV - InF	GGAACTATTAGCTAGCTTAGTTCAGGTCCTTGCTCAGGATGGTCTGGGTGGACAGGTAGTGGTCGTCGGGC AGGTCGCCCATTCCGGAGGACCCACCACCTCCAGAGCCACCGCCACCATCGATCATCTCTGGGGCTTCTG GC
	NdelGFP11Sept7i1FOR - InF	AATTCACCGGTCATATGATGGAGAAGCGCGACCACATGGTGCTGCTGGAGTACGTGACCGCCGCCGGCAT CACCGACGCCTCCATCGATGGTGGCGGTGGCTCTGGAGGTGGTGGGTCCTCCGGAATGTCGGTCAGTGCG AGATCC
CEP11 14 SEPT7 Cmut1 SEPT9 i2 Cmut 14 CEP10	XbalSept7i1REV – InF	ATTATGATCCTCTAGATTAAAAGATCTTCCCTTTCTTCTTGTTCTTTTCC
GFF11-14-SEF17 GIIU(1_SEF19_13 GIIU(-14-GFF10	SacIISept9i3FOR – InF	TTCGAGCTCGGTACCGCGGATGGAGAGGGACCGGATCTCAGC
	NhelGFP10Sept9REV - InF	GGAACTATTAGCTAGCTTAGTTCAGGTCCTTGCTCAGGATGGTCTGGGTGGACAGGTAGTGGTCGTCGGGC AGGTCGCCCATTCCGGAGGACCCACCACCTCCAGAGCCACCGCCACCATCGATCATCTCTGGGGCTTCTG GC
GFP10-14-SEPT7 Gmut2_GFP11-14-SEPT7 Gmut2	NdelGFP11Sept7i1FOR - InF	AATTCACCGGTCATATGATGGAGAAGCGCGACCACATGGTGCTGCTGGAGTACGTGACCGCCGCCGGCAT CACCGACGCCTCCATCGATGGTGGCGGTGGCTCTGGAGGTGGTGGGTCCTCCGGAATGTCGGTCAGTGCG AGATCC
	XbalSept7i1REV – InF	ATTATGATCCTCTAGATTAAAAGATCTTCCCTTTCTTCTTGTTCTTTTCC
	SacIIGFP10Sept7i1FOR - InF	TTCGAGCTCGGTACCGCGGATGGGCGACCTGCCCGACGACCACTACCTGTCCACCCAGACCATCCTGAG CAAGGACCTGAACATCGATGGTGGCGGTGGCTCTGGAGGTGGTGGGTCCTCCGGAATGTCGGTCAGTGCG AGATCC
	NhelSept7i1REV – InF	GGAACTATTAGCTAGCTTAAAAGATCTTCCCTTTCTTCTTGTTCTTTCC
SEPT9_i1-14-GFP10 _GFP11-14-SEPT7 Gmut2	NdelGFP11Sept7i1FOR - InF	AATTCACCGGTCATATGATGGAGAAGCGCGACCACATGGTGCTGCTGGAGTACGTGACCGCCGCCGGCAT CACCGACGCCTCCATCGATGGTGGCGGTGGCTCTGGAGGTGGTGGGTCCTCCGGAATGTCGGTCAGTGCG AGATCC
	XbalSept7i1REV – InF	
SEPT9_i3-14-GFP10_GFP11-14-SEPT7 Gmut2	NdelGFP11Sept7i1FOR - InF	AATTCACCGGTCATATGATGGAGAAGCGCGACCACATGGTGCTGCTGGAGTACGTGACCGCCGCCGGCAT CACCGACGCCTCCATCGATGGTGGCGGTGGCTCTGGAGGTGGTGGGTCCTCCGGAATGTCGGTCAGTGCG AGATCC
	XbalSept7i1REV – InF	ATTATGATCCTCTAGATTAAAAGATCTTCCCTTTCTTCTTGTTCTTTTCC
pnEA-vH plasmids for bacterial expression		
Construct name	Primer name	Primer sequence
His-TEV-SEPT2 NCmut-msfGFP,		ATCATCACAGCAGCGGTACCGGCAGCGGCGAAAACCTTTACTTCCAGGGCCAtATGTCTAAGCAACAACCA
His-TEV-SEPT2 NCmut-msfGFP SEPT6	hSept2KpnIFOR	ACTCAGTTTATAAATC
His-TEV-SEPT2 NCmut-msfGFP_SEPT6	hSept2KpnIFOR NhelsfGFPREV	ACTCAGTTTATAAATC ATCTCCTAGGGCTAGCTCTAGACTATTAGGATCcTTACTTGTACAGCTCATCCATGCCCAG
His-TEV-SEPT2 NCmut-msfGFP_SEPT6	hSept2KpnIFOR NhelsfGFPREV KpnISept2FOR	ACTCAGTTTATAAATC ATCTCCTAGGGCTAGCTCTAGACTATTAGGATCcTTACTTGTACAGCTCATCCATGCCCAG ATCATCACAGCAGCGGTACCG
His-TEV-SEPT2 NCmut-msfGFP_SEPT6	hSept2KpnIFOR NhelsfGFPREV KpnISept2FOR hSept2sfCherry2	ACTCAGTTTATAAATC ATCTCCTAGGGCTAGCTCTAGACTATTAGGATCcTTACTTGTACAGCTCATCCATGCCCAG ATCATCACAGCAGCGGTACCG GTTGTCCTCCTCCATCACATGGTGGCCGAGAGC
His-TEV-SEPT2 NCmut-msfGFP_SEPT6 His-TEV-SEPT2-sfCherry2_SEPT6	hSept2KpnIFOR NhelsfGFPREV KpnISept2FOR hSept2sfCherry2 sfCherry2FOR	ACTCAGTTTATAAATC ATCTCCTAGGGCTAGCTCTAGACTATTAGGATCcTTACTTGTACAGCTCATCCATGCCCAG ATCATCACAGCAGCGGTACCG GTTGTCCTCCTCCATCACATGGTGGCCGAGAGC ATGGAGGAGGACAACATGGC