



HAL
open science

Large, Global Solutions To The Three-Dimensional The Navier-Stokes Equations Without Vertical Viscosity

Isabelle Gallagher, Alexandre Yotopoulos

► **To cite this version:**

Isabelle Gallagher, Alexandre Yotopoulos. Large, Global Solutions To The Three-Dimensional The Navier-Stokes Equations Without Vertical Viscosity. 2022. hal-03585502

HAL Id: hal-03585502

<https://hal.science/hal-03585502>

Preprint submitted on 23 Feb 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

LARGE, GLOBAL SOLUTIONS TO THE THREE-DIMENSIONAL THE NAVIER-STOKES EQUATIONS WITHOUT VERTICAL VISCOSITY

ISABELLE GALLAGHER AND ALEXANDRE YOTOPOULOS

ABSTRACT. The three-dimensional, homogeneous, incompressible Navier-Stokes equations are studied in the absence of viscosity in one direction. It is shown that there are arbitrarily large initial data generating a unique global solution, the main feature of which is that they are slowly varying in the direction where viscosity is missing. The difficulty arises from the complete absence of a regularising effect in this direction. The special structure of the nonlinear term, joint with the divergence-free condition on the velocity field, is crucial in obtaining the result.

1. INTRODUCTION AND ÉNONCÉ DU RÉSULTAT

The incompressible homogeneous Navier-Stokes equations in d space dimensions are written as

$$(NS) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t u + u \cdot \nabla u - \Delta u = -\nabla p \\ \operatorname{div} u = 0 \\ u|_{t=0} = u_0, \end{cases}$$

where $p = p(t, x)$ and $u = (u^1, \dots, u^d)(t, x)$ are respectively the pressure and the velocity field of a viscous incompressible fluid. The viscosity has been set to 1 for simplicity. We are interested here in the case where the viscosity of the fluid is strongly anisotropic: the Laplacian acts only in the horizontal coordinates. By defining

$$\Delta_h := \partial_1^2 + \partial_2^2,$$

the system of equations writes

$$(NS)_h \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t u + u \cdot \nabla u - \Delta_h u = -\nabla p & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^+ \times \Omega \\ \operatorname{div} u = 0 \\ u|_{t=0} = u_0. \end{cases}$$

The spatial domain Ω will be in the following $\mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{T}^3 , where $\mathbb{T}^d := (\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^d$ is the d -dimensional torus. The assumption of zero vertical viscosity originates from the study of geophysical fluids, notably the oceans where the viscosity, known as “turbulent”, is often much weaker in the vertical variable [9].

Before presenting the results obtained in this article concerning $(NS)_h$, let us recall some known results on these equations starting with the case of (NS), where the viscosity is isotropic (we refer for example to [2, 25, 26] for details and more references). In the case where the Laplacian acts in the three directions of space it is well known since the work of J. Leray [27] that for any initial data u_0 in the space $L^2(\Omega)$ there exists a global distributional solution u to (NS), of finite energy in the sense that

$$\forall t \geq 0, \quad \frac{1}{2} \|u(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \int_0^t \|\nabla u(t')\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 dt' \leq \frac{1}{2} \|u_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2.$$

Let us recall that in dimension two space J. Leray shows in [28] the uniqueness of this finite energy solution (and it verifies the energy equality). Uniqueness in the three dimensional

Key words and phrases. Navier-Stokes equations ; anisotropy; Besov spaces.

case is an open problem, although recent results (see [20] for numerical evidence, [6] for distributional solutions and [1] in the presence of forcing) tend to indicate that the Leray solution to (NS) may well be non unique.

In the anisotropic context of $(\text{NS})_h$, on the other hand, the absence of compactness in the vertical direction makes the proof of [27] inoperative and the global existence of weak solutions is not known.

Concerning the existence of unique solutions in the isotropic framework, the Cauchy theory is, as often for evolution PDEs, related to the scale invariance of the equation: for all $\lambda > 0$, if u is a solution of (NS) associated to the data u_0 then $\lambda u(\lambda^2 t, \lambda x)$ is a solution of (NS) associated to the data $\lambda u_0(\lambda x)$. This scale invariance remains for $(\text{NS})_h$. Let us give some examples of scale invariant spaces for the initial data: first we recall the definition of homogeneous Sobolev spaces $H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$, given by the norm (for $s < d/2$)

$$\|f\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)} := \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\widehat{f}(\xi)|^2 |\xi|^{2s} d\xi \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

where \widehat{f} is the Fourier transform of f . In the case of periodic or hybrid boundary conditions considered in this article, the definition becomes

$$\|f\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T}^3)} := \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}^3} |\widehat{f}(n)|^2 |n|^{2s} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \text{and} \quad \|f\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R})} := \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\widehat{f}(n, \xi)|^2 (|n|^2 + |\xi|^2)^s d\xi \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

The spaces $H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Omega)$ and $L^3(\Omega)$ are scale invariant. The existence of unique solutions to (NS), in short time (globally in time under a smallness condition on the initial data), is known for an initial data in the space $H^{\frac{1}{2}}$ since H. Fujita and T. Kato [16], in L^3 since [18, 22, 33]. In the framework of Besov spaces $B_{p,\infty}^{-1+\frac{3}{p}}$ for $p < \infty$ (see Definition 1.1 below) we know that a global solution exists for small data since [32]. The best result in this context is due to H. Koch and D. Tataru [23]: these authors prove by a fixed point argument (as it is the case for all the uniqueness results mentioned above) that (NS) is globally wellposed under a smallness condition on

$$\|u_0\|_{\text{BMO}^{-1}} := \sup_{t>0} t^{\frac{1}{2}} \|e^{t\Delta} u_0\|_{L^\infty} + \sup_{\substack{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \\ R>0}} \frac{1}{R^{\frac{3}{2}}} \left(\int_{[0,R^2] \times B(x,R)} |(e^{t\Delta} u_0)(t, y)|^2 dy dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where $B(x, R)$ is the ball centred at x with radius R . The space BMO^{-1} , like the other spaces mentioned above, is invariant by the change of scale of the equation. Note that the norm $\sup_{t>0} t^{\frac{1}{2}} \|e^{t\Delta} u_0\|_{L^\infty}$ which appears above is equivalent to the Besov norm $\|u_0\|_{B_{\infty,\infty}^{-1}}$ (see (1.1) for the equivalence). This space $B_{\infty,\infty}^{-1}$ is in fact the space into which any Banach space of scale-invariant tempered distributions is embedded, see [29] — if we want to define a notion of “large” initial data for (NS), it is thus in $B_{\infty,\infty}^{-1}$ that it should be measured; we refer the reader to the Appendix for more information on these spaces, whose definition we recall below – as well as that of the anisotropic Besov spaces which are used in this article and which are modelled on them. We note $\xi = (\xi_h, \xi_3) = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3)$ with $\xi_h \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ and $\xi_3 \in \mathbb{R}$ the Fourier variables on $\mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ (and $\xi_3 \in \mathbb{Z}$ if $\Omega = \mathbb{T}^3$).

Definition 1.1 (Isotropic Besov spaces). *Let χ be a radial function in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\chi(t) = 1$ for $|t| \leq 1$ and $\chi(t) = 0$ for $|t| > 2$. For all $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ we define the frequency truncation operators*

$$\widehat{S_q f}(\xi) := \chi(2^{-q}|\xi|) \widehat{f}(\xi) \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta_q := S_{q+1} - S_q.$$

For any p, r in $[1, \infty]$ and any s in \mathbb{R} , with $s < 3/p$ (or $s \leq 3/p$ if $r = 1$), the homogeneous Besov space $B_{p,r}^s$ is the space of tempered distributions f such that

$$\|f\|_{B_{p,r}^s} := \left\| 2^{qs} \|\Delta_q f\|_{L^p} \right\|_{\ell^r} < \infty.$$

The Sobolev space H^s corresponds to the choice $p = r = 2$. It is well-known (see [2] for instance) that the Besov norm has an equivalent formulation via the heat flow

$$(1.1) \quad \forall s < 0, \quad f \in \|f\|_{B_{p,r}^s} \iff \left\| t^{-\frac{s}{2}} \|e^{t\Delta} f\|_{L^p} \right\|_{L^r(\frac{dt}{t})} < \infty.$$

The anisotropic Besov spaces used in this text are of two types, defined below.

Definition 1.2 (Anisotropic Besov spaces). *With the notations of Definition ??, the horizontal frequency truncation operators are defined for $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ by*

$$\widehat{S}_j^h f(\xi) := \chi(2^{-j}|\xi_h|) \widehat{f}(\xi) \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta_j^h := S_{j+1}^h - S_j^h,$$

and the vertical frequency truncations for $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ by

$$\widehat{S}_q^v f(\xi) := \chi(2^{-q}|\xi_3|) \widehat{f}(\xi) \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta_q^v := S_{q+1}^v - S_q^v.$$

For all $s \leq 2/p$ and $s' \leq 1/p$, the Besov space $\mathcal{B}^{s,s'}$ is the space of tempered distributions f such that

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^{s,s'}} := \sum_{j,q} 2^{j(s+s')} \|\Delta_j^h \Delta_q^v f\|_{L^2} < \infty,$$

and the Besov space $B^{0,s}$ is the space of tempered distributions f such that

$$\|f\|_{B^{0,s}} := \sum_q 2^{qs} \|\Delta_q^v f\|_{L^2} < \infty.$$

Let us notice that $\mathcal{B}^{0,s}$ is continuously embedded in $B^{0,s}$, since

$$(1.2) \quad \sum_q 2^{qs} \|\Delta_q^v f\|_{L^2} \leq \sum_{j,q} 2^{qs} \|\Delta_j^h \Delta_q^v f\|_{L^2}.$$

Note that the anisotropic Besov space $B^{0,\frac{1}{2}}$ appears naturally here because it is modelled on the space $L^2(\mathbb{T}^2; \dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}))$ – which is a natural space in the context of $(\text{NS})_h$ since $L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$ is associated with the two-dimensional equation and $\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is scale-invariant in dimension three – while being a Banach space (unlike $\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R})$ for example, and thus $L^2(\mathbb{T}^2; \dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}))$). This space was introduced in this context by M. Paicu in [30], who showed the global existence in time of solutions to $(\text{NS})_h$ for small data (local in time for any data) in $B^{0,\frac{1}{2}}$. He also showed the uniqueness of solutions in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+, B^{0,\frac{1}{2}})$ whose horizontal gradient is in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^+, B^{0,\frac{1}{2}})$.

In the present work we seek, in the spirit of the works [10, 11, 12] for example, to exhibit initial data which may be arbitrarily large but for which there is a unique associate global solution to $(\text{NS})_h$. The natural context, following these works, is to consider initial data varying slowly in one direction, and the specificity of this paper is to assume that this direction is the same as the one in which there is no viscosity (the vertical one for instance). This work thus follows a series of works concerning either the slowly varying case or the anisotropic equation (see for example [3, 4, 8, 10, 11, 12, 14, 15, 19, 21, 30, 31]). To our knowledge, this is the first time that the slowly variable character in one direction, which allows to obtain global solutions without any smallness assumption on the initial data, is mixed with the absence of vertical viscosity in the equation. This leads to be particularly careful in the estimates since no regularising effect is possible in the vertical direction. In particular the special structure of the nonlinear term, joint with the condition that the velocity field is divergence free, will be crucial in the analysis. The result is as follows.

Theorem 1. *Let $u_0^h = (u_0^1, u_0^2)$ and $w_0 = (w_0^1, w_0^2, w_0^3)$ be two divergence free vector fields with (u_0^h, w_0^3) belonging to $\mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}} \cap \mathcal{B}^{-1, \frac{5}{2}}$. Let, for all $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$,*

$$(1.3) \quad u_0^\varepsilon(x) := (u_0^h + \varepsilon w_0^h, w_0^3)(x_1, x_2, \varepsilon x_3).$$

For ε small enough, there is a unique global solution u^ε to $(\text{NS})_h$ associated to the initial data (1.3), in the space $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+, B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})$ and such that $\nabla^h u^\varepsilon$ belongs to $L^2(\mathbb{R}^+, B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})$.

Remark 1.3. *It is shown in [10] that a function of the form*

$$h^\varepsilon(x) = f(x_h)g(\varepsilon x_3)$$

with f and g in the Schwartz class, verifies

$$\|h^\varepsilon\|_{B_{\infty, \infty}^{-1}} \geq \frac{1}{4} \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty, \infty}^{-1}} \|g\|_{L^\infty}$$

so can be as large as desired in $B_{\infty, \infty}^{-1}$.

Remark 1.4. *The periodic character of the horizontal variable allows us to obtain good regularity estimates on w^h from estimates on w^3 via the identity*

$$w^h = -\nabla^h (\Delta_h)^{-1} \partial_3 w^3, \quad \nabla^h := (\partial_1, \partial_2),$$

because $\partial_3 w^3$ has a zero horizontal mean (see Section 3). In the case where $w_0 \equiv 0$, the proof of Theorem 1 shows that we can assume indifferently that the horizontal variable is in \mathbb{R}^2 or in \mathbb{T}^2 .

From now on we note

$$[f]_\varepsilon(x) := f(x_h, \varepsilon x_3).$$

The method of proving the Theorem 1 consists in looking for the solution u^ε , and the associated pressure p^ε , in the form

$$(1.4) \quad u^\varepsilon = u_{\text{app}}^\varepsilon + R^\varepsilon, \quad p^\varepsilon = p_{\text{app}}^\varepsilon + q^\varepsilon$$

with

$$u_{\text{app}}^\varepsilon = [u^h + \varepsilon w^h, w^3]_\varepsilon, \quad p_{\text{app}}^\varepsilon = [p_0 + \varepsilon p_1]_\varepsilon$$

where for all y_3 , $u^h(\cdot, y_3)$ is a solution of the two-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations with initial data $u_0^h(\cdot, y_3)$:

$$(\text{NS2D})_{y_3} \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t u^h + u^h \cdot \nabla^h u^h - \Delta_h u^h = -\nabla^h p_0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{T}^2 \\ \text{div}_h u^h = 0 \\ u^h|_{t=0} = u_0^h(\cdot, y_3), \end{cases}$$

and w is a solution of the linear equation

$$(\text{T}) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t w + u^h \cdot \nabla^h w - \Delta_h w = -(\nabla^h p_1, 0) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^+ \times \Omega \\ \text{div } w = 0 \\ w|_{t=0} = w_0. \end{cases}$$

Since $u_0^h(\cdot, y_3)$ belongs to $L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$, then given y_3 there is a unique global solution u^h to $(\text{NS2D})_{y_3}$, in the energy space $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+; L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^+; \dot{H}^1(\mathbb{T}^2))$. The vector field w also exists uniquely for all times (this will be clarified in Section 3), and the main part of the work consists therefore in solving globally in time, for ε sufficiently small, the (perturbed anisotropic Navier-Stokes) equation verified by R^ε .

The plan of the article is as follows. In Section 2 we show that for ε sufficiently small, the equation verified by R^ε has a global solution: this proof relies on a priori estimates on the approximate solution $u_{\text{app}}^\varepsilon$ which are derived in Section 3 from estimates on $(\text{NS2D})_{y_3}$ and on (T). The Appendix is devoted to the recollection of classical results concerning the

functional spaces appearing in the present work, as well as two important trilinear estimates which can be found in the literature.

Throughout this article and unless otherwise stated, we will note by C a universal constant, in particular independent of ε , which can change from one line to another. We will sometimes note $a \lesssim b$ if $a \leq Cb$. We will note $q \sim q'$ if $q \in [q' - C, q' + C]$. We will note generically by $(s_q)_{q \in \mathbb{Z}}$ a sequence of positive real numbers such that $\sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} s_q^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq 1$ and by $(d_q)_{q \in \mathbb{Z}}$ a sequence of positive real numbers such that $\sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} d_q \leq 1$. Finally, if X is a function space on \mathbb{T}^2 and Y a function space on \mathbb{R} , we write $X_h Y_v := X(\mathbb{T}^2; Y(\mathbb{R}))$ and similarly $Y_v X_h := Y(\mathbb{R}; X(\mathbb{T}^2))$.

2. PROOF OF THE THEOREM

2.1. Main steps of the proof. Recalling the notation (1.4), let us write the system of equations verified by R^ε . We have

$$(2.1) \quad \partial_t R^\varepsilon + R^\varepsilon \cdot \nabla R^\varepsilon + u_{\text{app}}^\varepsilon \cdot \nabla R^\varepsilon + R^\varepsilon \cdot \nabla u_{\text{app}}^\varepsilon - \Delta_h R^\varepsilon = -\nabla q_\varepsilon + \varepsilon F^\varepsilon$$

with

$$F^\varepsilon := \varepsilon \left[\left(w^h \cdot \nabla^h(w^h, 0) + w^3 \partial_3(w^h, 0) \right) \right]_\varepsilon + \left[\left(w \cdot \nabla(u^h, w^3) \right) \right]_\varepsilon + (0, [\partial_3(p_0 + \varepsilon p_1)]_\varepsilon),$$

and $R^\varepsilon|_{t=0} = 0$.

To prove the global existence of R^ε we write an a priori estimate on $\|R^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+; B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}$ and $\|\nabla^h R^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^+; B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}$ (the definition of these spaces is recalled in the Appendix) and omit the classical step of regularization of the system to justify the estimates. Moreover, as recalled in the introduction, only the global existence of solutions has to be proved since the uniqueness for $(\text{NS})_h$ in our functional framework is a consequence of [30].

In order to absorb the linear terms in (2.1) we use a Gronwall-type argument, but in the context of \tilde{L}^p spaces in time (see the Appendix for a definition of these spaces). One strategy (see [17] for example) is to write a partition of \mathbb{R}^+ into time intervals

$$(2.2) \quad \mathbb{R}^+ = \bigcup_{k=0}^{K-1} [t_k, t_{k+1}[$$

as in Proposition 3.1 below, which depends on a constant \bar{C} which will be fixed at the end. We then write, following [30], an energy estimate in L^2 on $\Delta_q^v R^\varepsilon$ and it comes after integration on a time interval $[t_k, t_{k+1}]$

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \|\Delta_q^v R^\varepsilon(t_{k+1})\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} \|\nabla^h \Delta_q^v R^\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2}^2 dt \leq \frac{1}{2} \|\Delta_q^v R^\varepsilon(t_k)\|_{L^2}^2 \\ & + \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} |(\Delta_q^v(R^\varepsilon \cdot \nabla R^\varepsilon) | \Delta_q^v R^\varepsilon)_{L^2}(t)| dt \\ & + \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} \left(|(\Delta_q^v(u_{\text{app}}^\varepsilon \cdot \nabla R^\varepsilon) | \Delta_q^v R^\varepsilon)_{L^2}(t)| + |(\Delta_q^v(R^\varepsilon \cdot \nabla u_{\text{app}}^\varepsilon) | \Delta_q^v R^\varepsilon)_{L^2}(t)| \right) dt \\ & + \varepsilon \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} |(\Delta_q^v F^\varepsilon | \Delta_q^v R^\varepsilon)_{L^2}(t)| dt. \end{aligned}$$

We note that $R^\varepsilon(t_0) = R^\varepsilon(0) = 0$. Let us introduce the notation

$$\tilde{L}_k^r X := \tilde{L}^r([t_k, t_{k+1}]; X).$$

From (A.7) we know that

$$(2.4) \quad \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} |(\Delta_q^v(R^\varepsilon \cdot \nabla R^\varepsilon) | \Delta_q^v R^\varepsilon)_{L^2}(t)| dt \lesssim 2^{-q} s_q \|\nabla^h R^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_k^2 B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^2 \|R^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_k^\infty B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}$$

and from (A.8)

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} |(\Delta_q^v(u_{\text{app}}^\varepsilon \cdot \nabla R^\varepsilon) | \Delta_q^v R^\varepsilon)_{L^2}(t)| dt \lesssim 2^{-q} s_q \|R^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_k^\infty B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^h R^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_k^2 B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}} \\ & \times \left(\|\nabla^h R^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_k^2 B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|u_{\text{app}}^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_k^\infty B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^h u_{\text{app}}^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_k^2 B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|\nabla^h u_{\text{app}}^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_k^2 B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}} \|R^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_k^\infty B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

by recalling that $(s_q)_{q \in \mathbb{Z}}$ denotes generically a sequence of positive real numbers verifying

$$\sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} s_q^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq 1.$$

Thanks to Young's inequality

$$(2.5) \quad ab \lesssim a^p + b^{p'}, \quad \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$$

this last inequality can also be written

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} |(\Delta_q^v(u_{\text{app}}^\varepsilon \cdot \nabla R^\varepsilon) | \Delta_q^v R^\varepsilon)_{L^2}(t)| dt \leq 2^{-q} s_q \left(\frac{1}{4} \|\nabla^h R^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_k^2 B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^2 \right. \\ & \left. + C \|R^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_k^\infty B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^2 \|\nabla^h u_{\text{app}}^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_k^2 B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^2 (1 + \|u_{\text{app}}^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_k^\infty B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^2) \right). \end{aligned}$$

The end of the proof of the theorem relies on the following two lemmas, which are proved respectively in Paragraph 2.2 and in Section 3.

Lemma 2.1. *There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that under the hypotheses of Theorem 1, there exists a sequence $(s_q)_{q \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of positive real numbers verifying $\sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} s_q^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq 1$ and such that*

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} |(\Delta_q^v(R^\varepsilon \cdot \nabla u_{\text{app}}^\varepsilon) | \Delta_q^v R^\varepsilon)_{L^2}(t)| dt \leq 2^{-q} s_q \left(\frac{1}{4} \|\nabla^h R^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_k^2 B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^2 \right. \\ & \left. + C \|R^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_k^\infty B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^2 (\|u_{\text{app}}^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_k^2 \mathcal{B}^{1, \frac{1}{2}}}^2 (1 + \|u_{\text{app}}^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_k^\infty B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^2) + \|\partial_3 u_{\text{app}}^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_k^1 \mathcal{B}^{1, \frac{1}{2}}}) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.2. *There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that under the hypotheses of Theorem 1, there exists a sequence $(s_q)_{q \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of positive real numbers verifying $\sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} s_q^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq 1$ and such that*

$$(2.8) \quad 2^q \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} |(\Delta_q^v F^\varepsilon | \Delta_q^v R^\varepsilon)_{L^2}(t)| dt \leq C s_q \|R^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_k^\infty B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}.$$

Let us return to (2.3). By gathering (2.4), (2.6), (2.7) and (2.8) we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{2^q}{2} \|\Delta_q^v R^\varepsilon(t_{k+1})\|_{L^2}^2 + 2^q \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} \|\nabla^h \Delta_q^v R^\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2}^2 dt \leq \frac{2^q}{2} \|\Delta_q^v R^\varepsilon(t_k)\|_{L^2}^2 \\ & + C s_q \|\nabla^h R^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_k^2 B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^2 \|R^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_k^\infty B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}} + C \varepsilon s_q \|R^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_k^\infty B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{s_q}{2} \|\nabla^h R^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_k^2 B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^2 \\ & + C s_q \|R^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_k^\infty B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^2 (\|u_{\text{app}}^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_k^2 \mathcal{B}^{1, \frac{1}{2}}}^2 (1 + \|u_{\text{app}}^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_k^\infty B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^2) + \|\partial_3 u_{\text{app}}^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_k^1 \mathcal{B}^{1, \frac{1}{2}}}). \end{aligned}$$

We used the fact, recalled in (A.4), that

$$\|\nabla^h a\|_{B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}} \lesssim \|a\|_{\mathcal{B}^{1, \frac{1}{2}}}.$$

By taking the square root of the two sides of the equation and summing over $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \|R^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_k^\infty B^{0,\frac{1}{2}}} + \|\nabla^h R^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_k^2 B^{0,\frac{1}{2}}} &\leq \|R^\varepsilon(t_k)\|_{B^{0,\frac{1}{2}}} \\ &+ \|R^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_k^\infty B^{0,\frac{1}{2}}}^{\frac{1}{2}} (\|\nabla^h R^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_k^2 B^{0,\frac{1}{2}}} + C\sqrt{\varepsilon}) \\ &+ C\|R^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_k^\infty B^{0,\frac{1}{2}}} \left(\|u_{\text{app}}^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_k^2 B^{1,\frac{1}{2}}} (1 + \|u_{\text{app}}^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_k^\infty B^{0,\frac{1}{2}}}) + \|\partial_3 u_{\text{app}}^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_k^1 B^{1,\frac{1}{2}}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

It is then sufficient to choose the partition (2.2) thanks to Proposition 3.1 so that

$$\|u_{\text{app}}^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_k^2 B^{1,\frac{1}{2}}} (1 + \|u_{\text{app}}^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_k^\infty B^{0,\frac{1}{2}}}) \leq \frac{1}{4C} =: \frac{1}{C}$$

and to choose, still thanks to Proposition 3.1, ε small enough so that

$$\|\partial_3 u_{\text{app}}^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_k^1 B^{1,\frac{1}{2}}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \frac{1}{4C}.$$

Then the above inequality becomes

$$\frac{1}{2}\|R^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_k^\infty B^{0,\frac{1}{2}}} + \|\nabla^h R^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_k^2 B^{0,\frac{1}{2}}} \leq C\|R^\varepsilon(t_k)\|_{B^{0,\frac{1}{2}}} + C\|R^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_k^\infty B^{0,\frac{1}{2}}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^h R^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_k^2 B^{0,\frac{1}{2}}} + C\varepsilon.$$

Let now T^ε be the maximum time for which

$$\forall t \leq T^\varepsilon, \quad \|R^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}^\infty([0,t];B^{0,\frac{1}{2}})}^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \frac{1}{2C}.$$

Then as long as $t_{k+1} \leq T^\varepsilon$ we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\|R^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_k^\infty B^{0,\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla^h R^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_k^2 B^{0,\frac{1}{2}}} \leq C\|R^\varepsilon(t_k)\|_{B^{0,\frac{1}{2}}} + C\varepsilon$$

and as $\|R^\varepsilon(t_0)\|_{B^{0,\frac{1}{2}}} = 0$, by iterating K times this inequality we find (see [17, 4] for example) that $T^\varepsilon = \infty$ and that there exists a constant C_0 (depending on u_0^h and w_0 via Proposition 3.1) such that

$$\|R^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+;B^{0,\frac{1}{2}})} + \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla^h R^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^+;B^{0,\frac{1}{2}})} \lesssim \varepsilon \exp C_0.$$

This concludes the proof of Theorem 1. \square

2.2. Proof of Lemma 2.1. We begin by noting that since the divergence of R^ε is zero

$$-(\Delta_q^v(R^\varepsilon \cdot \nabla u_{\text{app}}^\varepsilon)|\Delta_q^v R^\varepsilon)_{L^2} = \sum_{\ell=1}^2 (\Delta_q^v(R^{\varepsilon,\ell} u_{\text{app}}^\varepsilon)|\partial_\ell \Delta_q^v R^\varepsilon)_{L^2} + (\Delta_q^v(R^{\varepsilon,3} u_{\text{app}}^\varepsilon)|\partial_3 \Delta_q^v R^\varepsilon)_{L^2}.$$

We set

$$I_q := \left| \sum_{\ell=1}^2 (\Delta_q^v(R^{\varepsilon,\ell} u_{\text{app}}^\varepsilon)|\partial_\ell \Delta_q^v R^\varepsilon)_{L^2} \right| \quad \text{and} \quad J_q := \left| (\Delta_q^v(R^{\varepsilon,3} u_{\text{app}}^\varepsilon)|\partial_3 \Delta_q^v R^\varepsilon)_{L^2} \right|.$$

Let us start by studying the contribution of I_q . There holds

$$\begin{aligned} (2.9) \quad 2^q \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} I_q(t) dt &\lesssim 2^{\frac{q}{2}} \|\Delta_q^v(R^{\varepsilon,h} u_{\text{app}}^\varepsilon)\|_{L_k^2 L^2} 2^{\frac{q}{2}} \|\Delta_q^v \nabla^h R^\varepsilon\|_{L_k^2 L^2} \\ &\lesssim 2^{\frac{q}{2}} \|\Delta_q^v(R^{\varepsilon,h} u_{\text{app}}^\varepsilon)\|_{L_k^2 L^2} d_q \|\nabla^h R^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_k^2 B^{0,\frac{1}{2}}} \end{aligned}$$

with the generic notation presented in the introduction: $(d_q)_{q \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a sequence of positive real numbers such that

$$\sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} d_q \leq 1.$$

We also recall the notation $\tilde{L}_k^r X = \tilde{L}^r([t_k, t_{k+1}]; X)$. We then use the Bony decomposition into paraproduct and remainder (A.5) which allows us to write

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.10) \quad 2^{\frac{q}{2}} \|\Delta_q^v(R^{\varepsilon, \ell} u_{\text{app}}^\varepsilon)\|_{L_k^2 L^2} &\lesssim 2^{\frac{q}{2}} \sum_{q' \sim q} \|S_{q'-1}^v R^\varepsilon\|_{L_k^4 L_h^4 L_v^\infty} \|\Delta_{q'}^v u_{\text{app}}^\varepsilon\|_{L_k^4 L_h^4 L_v^2} \\
&+ 2^{\frac{q}{2}} \sum_{q' \sim q} \|S_{q'-1}^v u_{\text{app}}^\varepsilon\|_{L_k^4 L_h^4 L_v^\infty} \|\Delta_{q'}^v R^\varepsilon\|_{L_k^4 L_h^4 L_v^2} \\
&+ 2^q \sum_{\substack{2^{q'} \gtrsim 2^q \\ q'' \sim q'}} \|\Delta_{q''}^v u_{\text{app}}^\varepsilon\|_{L_k^4 L_h^4 L_v^2} \|\Delta_{q'}^v R^\varepsilon\|_{L_k^4 L_h^4 L_v^2} \\
&=: T_{\text{qh}}^1 + T_{\text{qh}}^2 + R_{\text{qh}},
\end{aligned}$$

where we used Bernstein's inequality (A.1) in the last inequality:

$$\|\Delta_q^v(R^{\varepsilon, \ell} u_{\text{app}}^\varepsilon)\|_{L_k^2 L^2} \lesssim 2^{\frac{q}{2}} \|\Delta_q^v(R^{\varepsilon, \ell} u_{\text{app}}^\varepsilon)\|_{L_k^2 L_h^2 L_v^1}.$$

Let us estimate each of the terms in succession. For T_{qh}^1 we start by noting that for any function a and any $x_3 \in \mathbb{R}$ we have thanks to the Sobolev embedding $H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{T}^2) \subset L^4(\mathbb{T}^2)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|a(\cdot, x_3)\|_{L^4(\mathbb{T}^2)} &\lesssim \|a(\cdot, x_3)\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{T}^2)} \\
&\lesssim \|a(\cdot, x_3)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^h a(\cdot, x_3)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}}
\end{aligned}$$

so by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality in x_3 there holds

$$\|a\|_{L_k^2 L_h^4} \lesssim \|a\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^h a\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Using again Bernstein's inequality (A.1)

$$\|\Delta_{q''}^v R^\varepsilon\|_{L_k^4 L_h^4 L_v^\infty} \lesssim 2^{\frac{q''}{2}} \|\Delta_{q''}^v R^\varepsilon\|_{L_k^4 L_h^4 L_v^2}$$

and then Minkowski's inequality

$$\|\Delta_{q''}^v R^\varepsilon\|_{L_k^4 L_h^4 L_v^2} \leq \|\Delta_{q''}^v R^\varepsilon\|_{L_k^4 L_v^2 L_h^4}$$

we gather

$$\begin{aligned}
\|S_{q'-1}^v R^\varepsilon\|_{L_k^4 L_h^4 L_v^\infty} &\lesssim \sum_{2^{q''} \lesssim 2^{q'}} 2^{\frac{q''}{2}} \|\Delta_{q''}^v R^\varepsilon\|_{L_k^4 L_v^2 L_h^4} \\
&\lesssim \sum_{2^{q''} \lesssim 2^{q'}} 2^{\frac{q''}{2}} \|\Delta_{q''}^v R^\varepsilon\|_{L_k^\infty L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\Delta_{q''}^v \nabla^h R^\varepsilon\|_{L_k^2 L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\lesssim \|R^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_k^\infty B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^h R^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_k^2 B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^{\frac{1}{2}}
\end{aligned}$$

by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. Similarly

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\Delta_{q'}^v u_{\text{app}}^\varepsilon\|_{L_k^4 L_h^4 L_v^\infty} &\lesssim 2^{\frac{q'}{2}} \|\Delta_{q'}^v u_{\text{app}}^\varepsilon\|_{L_k^\infty L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\Delta_{q'}^v \nabla^h u_{\text{app}}^\varepsilon\|_{L_k^2 L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\lesssim d_{q'} \|u_{\text{app}}^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_k^\infty B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^h u_{\text{app}}^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_k^2 B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^{\frac{1}{2}}
\end{aligned}$$

hence finally

$$T_{\text{qh}}^1 \lesssim d_q \|R^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_k^\infty B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^h R^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_k^2 B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|u_{\text{app}}^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_k^\infty B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^h u_{\text{app}}^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_k^2 B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

and symmetrically

$$T_{qh}^2 \lesssim d_q \|R^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_k^\infty B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^h R^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_k^2 B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|u_{\text{app}}^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_k^\infty B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^h u_{\text{app}}^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_k^2 B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Finally R_{qh} can be estimated by an analogous argument. One has indeed

$$\begin{aligned} R_{qh} &= 2^q \sum_{\substack{2^{q'} \gtrsim 2^q \\ q'' \sim q'}} \|\Delta_{q''}^v u_{\text{app}}^\varepsilon\|_{L_k^4 L_h^4 L_v^2} \|\Delta_{q'}^v R^\varepsilon\|_{L_k^4 L_h^4 L_v^2} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{\substack{2^{q'} \gtrsim 2^q \\ q'' \sim q'}} 2^{q-q''} 2^{\frac{q''}{2}} \|\Delta_{q''}^v u_{\text{app}}^\varepsilon\|_{L_k^\infty L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\Delta_{q'}^v \nabla^h u_{\text{app}}^\varepsilon\|_{L_k^\infty L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} 2^{\frac{q''}{2}} \|\Delta_{q'}^v R^\varepsilon\|_{L_k^\infty L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\Delta_{q'}^v \nabla^h R^\varepsilon\|_{L_k^\infty L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

hence by Young's inequality for series

$$R_{qh} \lesssim d_q \|R^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_k^\infty B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^h R^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_k^2 B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|u_{\text{app}}^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_k^\infty B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^h u_{\text{app}}^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_k^2 B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

In conclusion, by returning to (2.9) we obtain

$$2^q \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} I_q(t) dt \lesssim s_q \|R^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_k^\infty B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^h R^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_k^2 B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^{\frac{3}{2}} \|u_{\text{app}}^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_k^\infty B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^h u_{\text{app}}^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_k^2 B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

and by Young's inequality (2.5) and (A.4) we get

$$\begin{aligned} (2.11) \quad 2^q \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} I_q(t) dt &\leq \frac{s_q}{100} \|\nabla^h R^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_k^2 B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^2 \\ &\quad + C s_q \|R^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_k^\infty B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^2 \|u_{\text{app}}^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_k^2 B^{1, \frac{1}{2}}}^2 \|u_{\text{app}}^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_k^\infty B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Let us now study the contribution of J_q . We notice that by (A.1)

$$\|\partial_3 \Delta_q^v R^\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \lesssim 2^q \|\Delta_q^v R^\varepsilon\|_{L^2}$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} (2.12) \quad 2^q \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} J_q(t) dt &\lesssim 2^{2q} \|\Delta_q^v (R^{\varepsilon, 3} u_{\text{app}}^\varepsilon)\|_{L_k^1 L^2} \|\Delta_q^v R^\varepsilon\|_{L_k^\infty L^2} \\ &\lesssim 2^{\frac{3q}{2}} \|\Delta_q^v (R^{\varepsilon, 3} u_{\text{app}}^\varepsilon)\|_{L_k^1 L^2} d_q \|R^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_k^\infty B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}, \end{aligned}$$

so we proceed as above by decomposing the first term into paraproduct and remainder :

$$\begin{aligned} (2.13) \quad 2^{\frac{3q}{2}} \|\Delta_q^v (R^{\varepsilon, 3} u_{\text{app}}^\varepsilon)\|_{L_k^1 L^2} &\lesssim 2^{\frac{3q}{2}} \sum_{q' \sim q} \|S_{q'-1}^v R^{\varepsilon, 3}\|_{L_k^\infty L_h^2 L_v^\infty} \|\Delta_{q'}^v u_{\text{app}}^\varepsilon\|_{L_k^1 L_h^\infty L_v^2} \\ &\quad + 2^{\frac{3q}{2}} \sum_{q' \sim q} \|S_{q'-1}^v u_{\text{app}}^\varepsilon\|_{L_k^2 L^\infty} \|\Delta_{q'}^v R^{\varepsilon, 3}\|_{L_k^2 L^2} \\ &\quad + 2^{2q} \sum_{\substack{2^{q'} \gtrsim 2^q \\ q'' \sim q'}} \|\Delta_{q''}^v u_{\text{app}}^\varepsilon\|_{L_k^1 L_h^\infty L_v^2} \|\Delta_{q'}^v R^{\varepsilon, 3}\|_{L_k^\infty L^2} \\ &=: T_{q3}^1 + T_{q3}^2 + R_{q3}. \end{aligned}$$

Note on the one hand that

$$\begin{aligned} T_{q3}^1 &\lesssim 2^{\frac{3q}{2}} \sum_{\substack{2^{q''} \lesssim 2^{q'} \\ q' \sim q}} 2^{\frac{q''}{2}} \|\Delta_{q''}^v R^\varepsilon\|_{L_k^\infty L^2} \|\Delta_{q'}^v u_{\text{app}}^\varepsilon\|_{L_k^1 L_h^\infty L_v^2} \\ &\lesssim \|R^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_k^\infty B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}} \sum_{q' \sim q} 2^{\frac{3q'}{2}} \|\Delta_{q'}^v u_{\text{app}}^\varepsilon\|_{L_k^1 L_h^\infty L_v^2} \end{aligned}$$

and thanks to (A.4) we therefore have

$$(2.14) \quad T_{q3}^1 \lesssim d_q \|R^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}^\infty B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}} \|\partial_3 u_{\text{app}}^\varepsilon\|_{L_k^1 \mathcal{B}^{1, \frac{1}{2}}}.$$

The second term of the decomposition can thus be estimated by (A.2):

$$\begin{aligned} T_{q3}^2 &\lesssim 2^{\frac{3q}{2}} \sum_{\substack{2^{q''} \lesssim 2^{q'} \\ q' \sim q}} 2^{\frac{q''}{2}} \|\Delta_{q''}^v u_{\text{app}}^\varepsilon\|_{L_k^2 L_h^\infty L_v^2} \|\Delta_{q'}^v R^{\varepsilon, 3}\|_{L_k^2 L^2} \\ &\lesssim 2^{\frac{q}{2}} \sum_{\substack{2^{q''} \lesssim 2^{q'} \\ q' \sim q}} 2^{\frac{q''}{2}} \|\Delta_{q''}^v u_{\text{app}}^\varepsilon\|_{L_k^2 L_h^\infty L_v^2} \|\Delta_{q'}^v \partial_3 R^{\varepsilon, 3}\|_{L_k^2 L^2} \\ &\lesssim \|u_{\text{app}}^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_k^2 \mathcal{B}^{1, \frac{1}{2}}} 2^{\frac{q}{2}} \sum_{q' \sim q} \|\Delta_{q'}^v \nabla^h R^\varepsilon\|_{L_k^2 L^2} \end{aligned}$$

as above and thanks to (A.4) and to the fact that $\partial_3 R^{\varepsilon, 3} = -\text{div}_h R^{\varepsilon, h}$. It follows that

$$(2.15) \quad T_{q3}^2 \lesssim d_q \|u_{\text{app}}^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_k^2 \mathcal{B}^{1, \frac{1}{2}}} \|\nabla^h R^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_k^2 B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}.$$

Finally for the remainder term we write, again by (A.2),

$$\begin{aligned} R_{q3} &\lesssim 2^{2q} \sum_{\substack{2^{q'} \gtrsim 2^{2q} \\ q'' \sim q'}} 2^{-q''} \|\Delta_{q''}^v \partial_3 u_{\text{app}}^\varepsilon\|_{L_k^1 L_h^\infty L_v^2} \|\Delta_{q'}^v R^\varepsilon\|_{L_k^\infty L^2} \\ (2.16) \quad &\lesssim \sum_{\substack{2^{q'} \gtrsim 2^{2q} \\ q'' \sim q'}} 2^{2(q-q'')} 2^{\frac{q''}{2}} \|\Delta_{q''}^v \partial_3 u_{\text{app}}^\varepsilon\|_{L_k^1 L_h^\infty L_v^2} 2^{\frac{q'}{2}} \|\Delta_{q'}^v R^\varepsilon\|_{L_k^\infty L^2} \\ &\lesssim d_q \|R^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_k^\infty B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}} \|\partial_3 u_{\text{app}}^\varepsilon\|_{L_k^1 \mathcal{B}^{1, \frac{1}{2}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Inserting (2.14)-(2.16) into (2.13) it follows that

$$2^{\frac{3q}{2}} \|\Delta_q^v (R^{\varepsilon, 3} u_{\text{app}}^\varepsilon)\|_{L_k^1 L^2} \lesssim d_q \left(\|R^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_k^\infty B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}} \|\partial_3 u_{\text{app}}^\varepsilon\|_{L_k^1 \mathcal{B}^{1, \frac{1}{2}}} + \|\nabla^h R^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_k^2 B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}} \|u_{\text{app}}^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_k^2 \mathcal{B}^{1, \frac{1}{2}}} \right)$$

hence returning to (2.12)

$$2^q \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} J_q(t) dt \lesssim s_q \left(\|R^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_k^\infty B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}} \|\partial_3 u_{\text{app}}^\varepsilon\|_{L_k^1 \mathcal{B}^{1, \frac{1}{2}}} + \|\nabla^h R^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_k^2 B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}} \|u_{\text{app}}^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_k^2 \mathcal{B}^{1, \frac{1}{2}}} \right) \|R^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_k^\infty B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}.$$

Finally we find

$$(2.17) \quad \begin{aligned} 2^q \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} J_q(t) dt &\leq \frac{s_q}{100} \|\nabla^h R^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_k^2 B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^2 \\ &\quad + C s_q \|R^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_k^\infty B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^2 \left(\|u_{\text{app}}^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_k^2 \mathcal{B}^{1, \frac{1}{2}}}^2 + \|\partial_3 u_{\text{app}}^\varepsilon\|_{L_k^1 \mathcal{B}^{1, \frac{1}{2}}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Gathering (2.11) and (2.17), Lemma 2.1 is proved. \square

3. PROOF OF LEMMA 2.2

3.1. Estimates on the approximate solution. In this section we prove some a priori estimates on $u_{\text{app}}^\varepsilon$, whose definition we recall:

$$u_{\text{app}}^\varepsilon = [u^h + \varepsilon w^h, w^3]_\varepsilon,$$

with u^h solution of (NS2D) $_{y_3}$ and w solution of (T) as defined in the introduction. These estimates were used in Section 2 to prove Theorem 1.

Proposition 3.1. *There is a constant $C_1 > 0$ depending on $\|(u_0^h, w_0)\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}$ and $C_2 > 0$ depending on $\|(u_0^h, w_0)\|_{\mathcal{B}^{-1, \frac{5}{2}} \cap \mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}$ such that*

$$\|u_{\text{app}}^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+; \mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}})} + \|u_{\text{app}}^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^+; \mathcal{B}^{1, \frac{1}{2}})} + \|\partial_3 u_{\text{app}}^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^+; \mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}})} + \|u_{\text{app}}^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^+; \mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}})} \leq C_1$$

and

$$\|\partial_3 u_{\text{app}}^\varepsilon\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^+; \mathcal{B}^{1, \frac{1}{2}})} \leq \varepsilon C_2.$$

Finally for any constant $\bar{C} > 0$ there is a constant $K > 1$ depending on $\|(u_0^h, w_0)\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}$ and on the times $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_K = \infty$ such that

$$\mathbb{R}^+ = \bigcup_{k=0}^{K-1} [t_k, t_{k+1}[\quad \text{and} \quad \forall \varepsilon \in]0, 1[, \quad \|u_{\text{app}}^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^+; \mathcal{B}^{1, \frac{1}{2}})} (1 + \|u_{\text{app}}^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+; \mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}) \leq \frac{1}{\bar{C}}.$$

Proof. By Proposition 3.1 of [3] we know that for any given $s \in]-2, 1[$ and for any $s' \geq \frac{1}{2}$ we have

$$(3.1) \quad \forall r \in [1, \infty[, \quad \|u^h\|_{\tilde{L}^r(\mathbb{R}^+; \mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{2}{r}, s'})} \lesssim C,$$

where C depends on $\|u_0^h\|_{\mathcal{B}^{s, s'} \cap \mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}$. On the other hand Proposition 3.5 of [3] implies that for all $s \in]-2, 0[$ and all $s' \geq \frac{1}{2}$

$$(3.2) \quad \|w^3\|_{\tilde{L}^r(\mathbb{R}^+; \mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{2}{r}, s'})} \lesssim C,$$

where C depends on the norms of u_0^h et w_0^3 in $\mathcal{B}^{s, s'} \cap \mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}$. Concerning w^h we note that

$$w^h = -\nabla^h(\Delta_h)^{-1} \partial_3 w^3.$$

As the horizontal average of $\partial_3 w^3$ is zero, for all $s \in]-2, 0[$, all $s' \geq -\frac{1}{2}$ and all $r \in [1, \infty[$ there holds

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \|w^h\|_{\tilde{L}^r(\mathbb{R}^+; \mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{2}{r}, s'})} &\lesssim \|\partial_3 w^3\|_{\tilde{L}^r(\mathbb{R}^+; \mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{2}{r}+1, s'})} \\ &\lesssim \|\partial_3 w^3\|_{\tilde{L}^r(\mathbb{R}^+; \mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{2}{r}, s'})} \\ &\leq C, \end{aligned}$$

where C depends on the norms of u_0^h and w_0^3 in $\mathcal{B}^{s, s'+1} \cap \mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}$. We used above that if a function f defined on \mathbb{T}^2 has zero horizontal mean, then

$$s_1 \geq s_2 \implies \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^{s_1, s'}} \leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^{s_2, s'}}.$$

The first estimate of Proposition 3.1 comes then simply from the fact that by definition of $u_{\text{app}}^\varepsilon$, for any $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\|u_{\text{app}}^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}^r(\mathbb{R}^+; \mathcal{B}^{\sigma, \frac{1}{2}})} = \|(u^h + \varepsilon w^h, w^3)\|_{\tilde{L}^r(\mathbb{R}^+; \mathcal{B}^{\sigma, \frac{1}{2}})},$$

along with the continuous embedding of $\mathcal{B}^{\sigma, \frac{1}{2}}$ into $\mathcal{B}^{\sigma, \frac{1}{2}}$ recalled in (1.2).

For the second estimate of the Proposition 3.1 we apply (3.1)-(3.3) to $s = -1$, $s' = 3/2$ and $r = 1$. From the definition of $u_{\text{app}}^\varepsilon$ we have indeed that

$$(3.4) \quad \|\partial_3 u_{\text{app}}^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}^1(\mathbb{R}^+; \mathcal{B}^{1, \frac{1}{2}})} = \varepsilon \|\partial_3(u^h + \varepsilon w^h, w^3)\|_{\tilde{L}^1(\mathbb{R}^+; \mathcal{B}^{1, \frac{1}{2}})}$$

by the same calculations as above, which completes the proof thanks to (3.1)-(3.3).

Finally, the last result of the proposition is simply that

$$\|u_{\text{app}}^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^+; \mathcal{B}^{1, \frac{1}{2}})} = \|(u^h + \varepsilon w^h, w^3)\|_{\tilde{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^+; \mathcal{B}^{1, \frac{1}{2}})}$$

and so the time integration interval can be sliced to make the time norms arbitrarily small, regardless of ε . The proposition is proved. \square

3.2. Estimates on the pressure.

Proposition 3.2. *There are two constants, C_3 depending on $\|u_0^h\|_{\mathcal{B}^{-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}} \cap \mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}$ and C_4 depending on $\|(u_0^h, w_0^3)\|_{\mathcal{B}^{-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{5}{2}} \cap \mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}$ such that the following holds: p_0 satisfies*

$$\|[\partial_3 p_0]_\varepsilon\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^+; \mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}})} \leq C_3,$$

and p_1 can be written under the form

$$p_1 = p_{1,h} + p_{1,3}$$

with

$$\|[\partial_3 p_{1,h}]_\varepsilon\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^+; \mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}})} + \|[\nabla^h p_{1,3}]_\varepsilon\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^+; \mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}})} \leq C_4.$$

Proof. By definition

$$\partial_3 p_0 = \partial_3 \sum_{i,j=1}^2 \partial_i \partial_j (-\Delta_h)^{-1} (u^i w^j).$$

We recall the product law (A.6)

$$\|ab\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^+; \mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}})} \lesssim \|a\|_{\tilde{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^+; \mathcal{B}^{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}})} \|b\|_{\tilde{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^+; \mathcal{B}^{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}})}$$

as well as the fact recalled in (1.2) that $\mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}$ embeds continuously in $B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}$. Since the operator $\partial_i \partial_j (-\Delta_h)^{-1}$ is a Fourier multiplier of order 0 if $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$, it follows from (3.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|[\partial_3 p_0]_\varepsilon\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^+; \mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}})} &= \|\partial_3 p_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^+; \mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}})} \\ &\lesssim \|\partial_3 u^h\|_{\tilde{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^+; \mathcal{B}^{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}})} \|u^h\|_{\tilde{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^+; \mathcal{B}^{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}})} \\ &\leq C, \end{aligned}$$

where C depends on $\|u_0^h\|_{\mathcal{B}^{-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}} \cap \mathcal{B}^{-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}}$. Furthermore by definition

$$p_1 = \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=1}^3 \partial_i \partial_j (-\Delta_h)^{-1} (u^i w^j)$$

and one sets

$$p_{1,h} := \sum_{i,j=1}^2 \partial_i \partial_j (-\Delta_h)^{-1} (u^i w^j) \quad \text{and} \quad p_{1,3} := \sum_{i=1}^2 \partial_i \partial_3 (-\Delta_h)^{-1} (u^i w^3).$$

The term $[\partial_3 p_{1,h}]_\varepsilon$ can be estimated exactly as $[\partial_3 p_0]_\varepsilon$ above thanks to (3.2) and (3.3), and similarly for $[\nabla^h p_{1,3}]_\varepsilon$ once noticed that for all $j \in \{1, 2\}$,

$$\partial_j p_{1,3} = \partial_3 \sum_{i=1}^2 \partial_i \partial_j (u^i w^3)$$

and using again (3.1)-(3.3). Proposition 3.2 is proved. \square

3.3. Proof of Lemma 2.2. recall that

$$F^\varepsilon := \varepsilon \left[\left(w^h \cdot \nabla^h(w^h, 0) + w^3 \partial_3(w^h, 0) \right) \right]_\varepsilon + \left[\left(w \cdot \nabla(w^h, w^3) \right) \right]_\varepsilon + (0, [\partial_3(p_0 + \varepsilon p_1)]_\varepsilon)$$

and let us start with the pressure terms, which are estimated by Proposition 3.2. One has indeed on the one hand

$$\begin{aligned} 2^q \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} |(\Delta_q^v [\partial_3(p_0 + \varepsilon p_{1,h})]_\varepsilon | \Delta_q^v R^\varepsilon)_{L^2}(t)| dt &\leq C \|R^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_k^\infty B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}} d_q 2^{\frac{q}{2}} \|\Delta_q^v [\partial_3(p_0 + \varepsilon p_{1,h})]_\varepsilon\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^+; L^2)} \\ &\leq C s_q \|R^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_k^\infty B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}} \|[\partial_3(p_0 + \varepsilon p_{1,h})]_\varepsilon\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^+; B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})} \\ &\leq C s_q \|R^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_k^\infty B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}} \end{aligned}$$

and on the other hand we notice that

$$\varepsilon \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} |(\Delta_q^v(0, [\partial_3 p_{1,3}]_\varepsilon) | \Delta_q^v R^\varepsilon)_{L^2}(t)| dt = \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} |(\Delta_q^v \partial_3 [p_{1,3}]_\varepsilon | \Delta_q^v R^{\varepsilon,3})_{L^2}| dt.$$

After an integration by parts we find therefore

$$\varepsilon \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} |(\Delta_q^v(0, [\partial_3 p_{1,3}]_\varepsilon) | \Delta_q^v R^\varepsilon)_{L^2}(t)| dt = \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} |(\Delta_q^v [p_{1,3}]_\varepsilon | \partial_3 \Delta_q^v R^{\varepsilon,3})_{L^2}| dt.$$

Since R^ε is divergence free, another integration by parts gives

$$\varepsilon \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} |(\Delta_q^v(0, [\partial_3 p_{1,3}]_\varepsilon) | \Delta_q^v R^\varepsilon)_{L^2}(t)| dt = \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} |(\Delta_q^v \nabla^h [p_{1,3}]_\varepsilon | \Delta_q^v R^{\varepsilon,h})_{L^2}| dt$$

and we conclude as above thanks to Proposition 3.2 that

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon 2^q \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} |(\Delta_q^v(0, [\partial_3 p_{1,3}]_\varepsilon) | \Delta_q^v R^\varepsilon)_{L^2}(t)| dt &= 2^q \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} |(\Delta_q^v \nabla^h [p_{1,3}]_\varepsilon | \Delta_q^v R^{\varepsilon,h})_{L^2}| dt \\ &\leq C s_q \|R^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_k^\infty B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}} \|\nabla^h [p_{1,3}]_\varepsilon\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^+; B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})} \\ &\leq C s_q \|R^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_k^\infty B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}. \end{aligned}$$

It remains to study the bilinear terms. Here again, the product laws recalled in (A.6) give the result easily since for any function a , thanks to the continuous embedding of $\mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}$ into $B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|w^h \cdot \nabla^h a\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^+; B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})} &\leq \|w^h \cdot \nabla^h a\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^+; \mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}})} \\ &\lesssim \|w^h\|_{\tilde{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^+; \mathcal{B}^{1, \frac{1}{2}})} \|\nabla^h a\|_{\tilde{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^+; \mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}})} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|w^3 \partial_3 a\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^+; B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})} &\leq \|w^3 \partial_3 a\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^+; \mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}})} \\ &\lesssim \|w^3\|_{\tilde{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^+; \mathcal{B}^{1, \frac{1}{2}})} \|a\|_{\tilde{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^+; \mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{3}{2}})}. \end{aligned}$$

We conclude thanks to (3.1)-(3.3). Lemma 2.2 is proved. \square

APPENDIX A. SOME TECHNICAL TOOLS

A.1. Anisotropic Besov spaces. In this Appendix we recall some useful properties on anisotropic Besov spaces, the definition of which is given in the introduction (see Definitions 1.1 and 1.2).

Let us first recall the anisotropic Bernstein inequalities (see [14, 30]).

- If the support of the Fourier transform of a function a defined on \mathbb{R} is included in $2^q \mathcal{B}$ where \mathcal{B} is a ball of \mathbb{R} then for all $1 \leq p_2 \leq p_1 \leq \infty$,

$$(A.1) \quad \|\partial_{x_3}^\alpha a\|_{L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim 2^{q(|\alpha| + (1/p_2 - 1/p_1))} \|a\|_{L^{p_2}(\mathbb{R})}.$$

- If the support of the Fourier transform of a function a defined on \mathbb{R} is included in $2^q\mathcal{C}$ where \mathcal{C} is a ring of \mathbb{R} centered at 0 then

$$(A.2) \quad \|a\|_{L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim 2^{-q} \|\partial_3 a\|_{L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R})}.$$

- If the support of the Fourier transform of a function a defined on \mathbb{T}^2 is included in $2^j\mathcal{B}$ where \mathcal{B} is a ball of \mathbb{R}^2 , then for all $1 \leq p_2 \leq p_1 \leq \infty$,

$$(A.3) \quad \|a\|_{L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^2)} \lesssim 2^{2j(1/p_2-1/p_1)} \|a\|_{L^{p_2}(\mathbb{R}^2)}.$$

It is then not difficult to show, using (A.3), that

$$(A.4) \quad \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{qs} \|\nabla^h \Delta_q^v a\|_{L^2} + \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{qs} \|\Delta_q^v a\|_{L_h^\infty L_v^2} \lesssim \|a\|_{\mathcal{B}^{1,s}}.$$

The spaces given by the following norm, introduced in [13], are used consistently in this text:

$$\|a\|_{\tilde{L}^r([0;T];\mathcal{B}^{\sigma,s})} := \sum_{j,q} 2^{js+qs'} \|\Delta_j^h \Delta_q^v f\|_{L^r([0;T];L^2)}$$

$$\|a\|_{\tilde{L}^r([0;T];\mathcal{B}^{0,s})} := \sum_q 2^{qs} \|\Delta_j^h \Delta_q^v f\|_{L^r([0;T];L^2)}.$$

Finally, let us present the paraproduct algorithm of J.-M. Bony [5] (in the vertical direction): the product of two distributions a, b , when defined, can decompose into

$$ab = S_{q-1}^v a \Delta_q^v b + S_{q-1}^v b \Delta_q^v a + \sum_{q \sim q'} \Delta_q^v a \Delta_{q'}^v b$$

and thus in particular

$$(A.5) \quad \Delta_q^v(ab) = \sum_{q' \sim q} S_{q'-1}^v a \Delta_{q'}^v b + \sum_{q' \sim q} S_{q'-1}^v b \Delta_{q'}^v a + \sum_{\substack{2^{q'} \geq 2^q \\ q'' \sim q'}} \Delta_{q''}^v a \Delta_{q'}^v b.$$

This decomposition, with (A.1), makes it possible to prove the following product laws (see for example [3]):

$$(A.6) \quad \forall s \geq 1/2, \quad \|ab\|_{\mathcal{B}^{1,s}} \lesssim \|a\|_{\mathcal{B}^{1,s}} \|b\|_{\mathcal{B}^{1,s}}$$

$$\|ab\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0,s}} \lesssim \|a\|_{\mathcal{B}^{\frac{1}{2},s}} \|b\|_{\mathcal{B}^{\frac{1}{2},s}}$$

$$\|ab\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0,s}} \lesssim \|a\|_{\mathcal{B}^{1,s}} \|b\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0,s}}.$$

A.2. Some useful trilinear estimates. We recall here for the convenience of the reader some estimates which were used in the course of the proofs.

First from [30, Section 4.1, Corollary 3] we have for any divergence free vector field u

$$(A.7) \quad \int_a^{t_{k+1}} |(\Delta_q^v(u \cdot \nabla u) | \Delta_q^v u)_{L^2}(t)| dt \lesssim 2^{-q} s_q \|\nabla^h u\|_{\tilde{L}_k^2 B^{0,\frac{1}{2}}}^2 \|u\|_{\tilde{L}_k^\infty B^{0,\frac{1}{2}}}$$

and from [30, Lemma from Section 5.1], for all v and for u divergence free

$$(A.8) \quad \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} |(\Delta_q^v(u \cdot \nabla v) | \Delta_q^v R^\varepsilon)_{L^2}(t)| dt \lesssim 2^{-q} s_q \|v\|_{\tilde{L}_k^\infty B^{0,\frac{1}{2}}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^h v\|_{\tilde{L}_k^2 B^{0,\frac{1}{2}}}$$

$$\times \left(\|\nabla^h v\|_{\tilde{L}_k^2 B^{0,\frac{1}{2}}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|u\|_{\tilde{L}_k^\infty B^{0,\frac{1}{2}}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^h u\|_{\tilde{L}_k^2 B^{0,\frac{1}{2}}}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|\nabla^h u\|_{\tilde{L}_k^2 B^{0,\frac{1}{2}}} \|v\|_{\tilde{L}_k^\infty B^{0,\frac{1}{2}}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)$$

where $(s_q)_{q \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is any sequence of positive real numbers satisfying

$$\sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} s_q^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq 1.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Albritton, E. Brué and M. Colombo, Non-uniqueness of Leray solutions of the forced Navier-Stokes equations, arxiv 2112:03116, 2021.
- [2] H. Bahouri, J.-Y. Chemin and R. Danchin, *Fourier Analysis and Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, **343**, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 2011.
- [3] H. Bahouri, J.-Y. Chemin and I. Gallagher, On the stability of global solutions to the three dimensional Navier-Stokes equations, *Journal de l'École polytechnique* **5** (2018), pages 843-911.
- [4] H. Bahouri and I. Gallagher, On the stability in weak topology of the set of global solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations, *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, **209**, 2013, pages 569-629.
- [5] J.-M. Bony, Calcul symbolique and propagation des singularités pour les équations aux dérivées partielles non linéaires, *Annales de l'École Normale Supérieure*, **14**, 1981, pages 209-246.
- [6] T. Buckmaster and V. Vicol, Nonuniqueness of weak solutions to the Navier-Stokes equation, *Ann. of Math. (2)*, **189**, pages 101-144, 2019.
- [7] J.-Y. Chemin, Remarques sur l'existence globale pour le système de Navier-Stokes incompressible, *SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis*, **23**, 1992, pages 20-28.
- [8] J.-Y. Chemin, B. Desjardins, I. Gallagher and E. Grenier, Fluids with anisotropic viscosity, *Modélisation Mathématique and Analyse Numérique*, **34**, 2000, pages 315-335.
- [9] J.-Y. Chemin, B. Desjardins, I. Gallagher and E. Grenier, *Mathematical geophysics. An introduction to rotating fluids and the Navier-Stokes equations*, Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and its Applications, **32** The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2006.
- [10] J.-Y. Chemin and I. Gallagher, Large, global solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations, slowly varying in one direction, *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* **362**, 2010, pages 2859-2873.
- [11] J.-Y. Chemin, I. Gallagher and C. Mullaert, The role of spectral anisotropy in the resolution of the three-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations, "Studies in Phase Space Analysis with Applications to PDEs", *Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and Their Applications* Birkhauser **84**, 2013, pages 53-79.
- [12] J.-Y. Chemin, I. Gallagher and P. Zhang, Sums of large global solutions to the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations, to appear in *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik*.
- [13] J.-Y. Chemin and N. Lerner. Flot de champs de vecteurs non lipschitziens and équations de Navier-Stokes, *Journal of Differential Equations*, **121**, 1995, pages 314-328.
- [14] J.-Y. Chemin and P. Zhang, On the global wellposedness to the 3-D incompressible anisotropic Navier-Stokes equations, *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, **272**, 2007, pages 529-566.
- [15] J.-Y. Chemin and P. Zhang, Remarks on the global solutions of 3-D Navier-Stokes system with one slow variable, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* **40**, 2015 no. 5, 878-896.
- [16] H. Fujita and T. Kato, On the Navier-Stokes initial value problem I, *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, **16**, 1964, pages 269-315.
- [17] I. Gallagher, D. Iftimie and F. Planchon, Asymptotics and stability for global solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations, *Annales de l'Institut Fourier*, **53**, 2003, pages 1387-1424.
- [18] Y. Giga and T. Miyakawa, Solutions in L^r of the Navier-Stokes initial value problem, *Archiv for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, **89** (1985), 267-281.
- [19] G. Gui and P. Zhang, Stability to the global large solutions of 3-D Navier-Stokes equations, *Advances in Mathematics* **225**, 2010, pages 1248-1284.
- [20] J. Guillod and V. Sverak, Numerical investigations of non-uniqueness for the Navier-Stokes initial value problem in borderline spaces, arXiv:1704.00560, 2017.
- [21] D. Iftimie, The resolution of the Navier-Stokes equations in anisotropic spaces, *Revista Matemática Iberoamericana* **15**, 1999, no. 1, 1-36.
- [22] T. Kato, Strong L^p -solutions of the Navier-Stokes equation in \mathbb{R}^m avec applications to weak solutions, *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, **187**, 1984, pages 471-480 .
- [23] H. Koch and D. Tataru, Well-posedness for the Navier-Stokes equations, *Advances in Mathematics*, **157**, 2001, pages 22-35.
- [24] O. Ladyzhenskaya, *The mathematical theory of viscous incompressible flow*. Second English edition, revised and enlarged. T Mathematics and its Applications, Vol. 2 Gordon and Breach, Science Publishers, New York-London-Paris 1969 xviii+224 pp.
- [25] P.-G. Lemarié-Rieusset, Recent developments in the Navier-Stokes problem, *Chapman and Hall/CRC Research Notes in Mathematics*, 2002.
- [26] P.-G. Lemarié-Rieusset, The Navier-Stokes problem in the 21st century, *Chapman and Hall/CRC Research Notes in Mathematics*, 2016.
- [27] J. Leray, Essai sur le mouvement d'un liquide visqueux emplissant l'espace, *Acta Mathematica*, **63**, 1933, pages 193-248.

- [28] J. Leray, Étude de diverses équations intégrales non linéaires et de quelques problèmes que pose l'hydrodynamique. *Journal de Mathématiques Pures and Appliquées*, **12**, 1933, pages 1-82.
- [29] Y. Meyer, *Wavelets, paraproducts and Navier-Stokes equations*, Current developments in mathematics, International Press, Boston, MA, 1997.
- [30] M. Paicu, Équation anisotrope de Navier-Stokes dans des espaces critiques, *Revista Matemática Iberoamericana*, **21**, 2005, pages 179-235.
- [31] M. Paicu and Z. Zhang, Global well-posedness for 3D Navier-Stokes equations with ill-prepared initial data, *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu*, **13**, 2014, no. 2, 395-411.
- [32] F. Planchon, Asymptotic behavior of global solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations in \mathbf{R}^3 , *Revista Matemática Iberoamericana*, **14**, 1998, pages 71-93.
- [33] F. Weissler, The Navier-Stokes Initial Value Problem in L^p , *Archiv for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, **74**, 1980, pages 219-230.

(I. Gallagher) DMA, ÉCOLE NORMALE SUPÉRIEURE, CNRS, PSL RESEARCH UNIVERSITY, 45 RUE D'ULM, 75005 PARIS, AND UNIVERSITÉ DE PARIS, 75006 PARIS, FRANCE

(A. Yotopoulos) UNIVERSITÉ DE PARIS AND SORBONNE UNIVERSITÉ, CNRS, IMJ-PRG, 75013 PARIS, FRANCE