

An experimental view on shape coexistence in nuclei

Paul E. Garrett, Magda Zielińska, Emmanuel Clément

▶ To cite this version:

Paul E. Garrett, Magda Zielińska, Emmanuel Clément. An experimental view on shape coexistence in nuclei. Progress in Particle and Nuclear Physics, 2022, 124, pp.103931. 10.1016/j.ppnp.2021.103931 . hal-03584676

HAL Id: hal-03584676 https://hal.science/hal-03584676v1

Submitted on 10 Mar 2022 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

An Experimental View on Shape Coexistence in Nuclei

Paul E. Garrett

Department of Physics, University of Guelph, Guelph, ON N1G2W1 Canada

Magda Zielińska

IRFU/DPhN, CEA Saclay, Université Paris-Saclay, 91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France

Emmanuel Clément

Grand Accélérateur National d'Ions Lourds (GANIL), CEA/DRF-CNRS/IN2P3, 14076 Caen Cedex 05, France

Abstract

Nuclear shape coexistence is the phenomenon in which distinct shapes occur within the same nucleus and at a similar energy. In this work, we provide an overview of the experimental investigations of shape coexistence, focusing on those regions of the nuclear chart that have been the most actively investigated within the past decade. In particular, we focus on coexistence phenomena at low angular momentum and on the new experimental information, placed within the context of previous results. We first give a summary of the experimental signatures that can be used for assessing shape coexistence, and then discuss the evidence for shape coexistence from experimental results, with particular attention paid to regions where its presence has been suggested along isotopic or isotonic chains, and in regions where "islands" of such structures have been proposed. We conclude with an overview, with an emphasis on the emerging regions where indications for multiple shape coexistence exist.

Keywords: nuclear shapes, shape coexistence, collective excitations, nuclear spectroscopy

Contents

5

1 Introduction							
2	Basi	c concepts and experimental fingerprints of shape coexistence	5				
	2.1	Nuclear deformation and level energies	6				
	2.2	Quadrupole moments	9				
	2.3	Magnetic moments	10				
	2.4	Kumar-Cline sum rules	11				

Email addresses: pgarrett@physics.uoguelph.ca(Paul E. Garrett), magda.zielinska@cea.fr(Magda Zielińska), clement@ganil.fr(Emmanuel Clément)

		2.5	Two-state mixing model	13
		2.6	E0 transition strengths	14
10		2.7	Single-particle content via single-nucleon transfer reactions	15
		2.8	Probing pairing content via two-nucleon transfer reactions	16
	3	Rece	ent progress in experimental shape-coexistence studies	17
		3.1	Shape coexistence in light nuclei	17
			3.1.1 Light $N \simeq Z$ nuclei	17
15			3.1.2 Shape coexistence and the "island of inversion" at $N=20$	19
			3.1.3 Highly deformed structures around ⁴⁰ Ca	22
			3.1.4 Shape coexistence around the "island of inversion" at $N = 28$	27
		3.2	Shape coexistence around $Z=28$	29
			3.2.1 Vicinity of 56 Ni	29
20			3.2.2 Vicinity of 68 Ni	30
			3.2.3 Co isotopes	34
			3.2.4 Vicinity of 78 Ni	38
		3.3	Neutron-deficient nuclei with $N \approx Z$	39
		3.4	Shape coexistence in $A \approx 100, Z \approx 40$ nuclei	46
25			3.4.1 Even-even nuclei with $Z \leq 40$	47
			3.4.2 Odd-mass and odd-odd nuclei with $Z \approx 40$	55
			3.4.3 Mo isotopes	59
			3.4.4 Ru isotopes	61
			3.4.5 Configuration mixing in the $Z \approx 40$ region	64
30		3.5	Shape coexistence around $Z = 50$	64
			3.5.1 Cd isotopes	64
			3.5.2 Sn isotopes	71
			3.5.3 Te isotopes	74
			3.5.4 Pd isotopes	76
35		3.6	Shape coexistence near $N=90$	79
		3.7	Shape coexistence around $Z = 82$	82
			3.7.1 Even-even Hg nuclei	82
			3.7.2 Even-even Pb and Po nuclei	87
			3.7.3 Even-even Pt nuclei	92
40			3.7.4 Odd-mass Au, Tl and Bi nuclei	94
	4	Con	clusions	98

1. Introduction

The atomic nucleus, composed of an ensemble of protons and neutrons, can be viewed as a macroscopic object in a way that is analogous to a liquid drop. Using a set of coordinates that defines the nuclear surface, rather than describing the motions of the individual particles, provides a natural way of defining a nuclear shape. In atomic systems, their spherical shape arises

2

from the 1/r potential that the electrons experience due to the electromagnetic force originating from the point-like nucleus. In contrast, the nucleus can take a variety of shapes that include spherical, prolate, oblate, etc., that emerge as a consequence of the more complicated nucleon-

- ⁵⁰ nucleon interaction. The shape of a nuclear state depends on the particularities of the microscopic wave function, and thus it may change not only as a function of the number of nucleons, but it may also vary from one state to another within the same nucleus. This latter possibility, referred to as shape coexistence, was first suggested by Morinaga [1] nearly 60 years ago for the 6.05-MeV 0⁺ state in ¹⁶O that was deformed while the ground state possessed a spherical shape. What
- ⁵⁵ began as a rare and exotic phenomenon is now suggested to be widespread and perhaps lurking in nearly every nucleus [2]. Establishing shape coexistence is challenging and requires highly-refined experimental techniques, but provides one of the most demanding and stringent tests of modern theories and models of the nuclear structure. It is for this reason that studies of shape coexistence are at the forefront of nuclear structure research.
- ⁶⁰ There is no strict, universal, definition of shape coexistence. The earliest examples of shape coexistence, starting with ¹⁶O and expanded upon in the light-mass region, were interpreted within a view of well-developed minima in the potential energy surface of the nucleus. The early calculations often explicitly incorporated deformed 2p - 2h and 4p - 4h configurations that arose from promotion of particles across a closed major shell, as these kinds of solutions resulted from
- ⁶⁵ Hartree-Fock calculations [3]. The simple picture of states residing in a particular potential well that is separated by a significant barrier from other minima has guided much of our thinking. Figure 1 shows the results of beyond-mean-field calculations for ¹⁸⁶Pb [4]. In this work, the Skyrme interaction SLy6 was used for the Hartree-Fock-Bogoliubov mean-field calculation, and a variational calculation was performed following particle-number and angular momentum projections
- ⁷⁰ of the mean-field wave functions in order to mix configurations with different deformation β_2 (defined in Sect. 2). The states depicted in Fig. 1 reveal four unique sets that can be classified as a spherical ground state and 2⁺ state, a prolate band with a band head with $\beta_2 \approx 0.32$, an oblate band with a band head with $\beta_2 \approx -0.2$, and another prolate band with a band head with $\beta_2 \approx 0.45$. An eigenstate does not necessarily "reside" in a particular potential well, but rather at an average deformation given by the weights associated with the mean-field states.

Poves [5] states "Shape coexistence is a very peculiar nuclear phenomenon consisting in the presence in the same nuclei, at low excitation energy, and within a very narrow energy range, of two or more states (or bands of states) which: (a) have well defined and distinct properties, and, (b) which can be interpreted in terms of different intrinsic shapes." In the context of the present work, we use a definition of shape coexistence that is closely aligned to this and also the intent stated by Wood and co-workers [6] "The meaning that we assign to coexistence here is to

- imply in general, coexisting collective structures and, in particular, coexisting shapes." The first part of this statement eliminates the trivial cases of assigning, for example, shape coexistence to single-particle states near closed shells possessing different quadrupole moments that arise from
- ⁸⁵ harmonic oscillator wave functions. The difficulty arises in the definition of "distinct" or "different intrinsic" shapes. While it may be somewhat straightforward to cite coexistence when it involves spherical states, like those at or near closed shells, and deformed configurations that are obvious due to the observation of rotational bands, large quadrupole moments, etc., it becomes very subtle when it involves different deformed configurations. For example, we would claim coexistence



Figure 1: States predicted in beyond-mean-field calculations for ¹⁸⁶Pb for the parity and angular momentum, I^{π} , indicated and with projection K = 0 as a function of the quadrupole deformation parameter β_2 . The states are plotted with their excitation energy relative to the lowest 0⁺ state predicted, and are located on the horizontal axis at the effective mean deformation. The curves are the calculated particle-number- and angular-momentum-projected potential energies, with the lowest for $I^{\pi} = 0^+$, and increasing with spin. The large energy gain for the states compared to the potential curves arises from the mixing of the configurations. Figure taken from Ref. [4].

⁹⁰ when it involves states (or set of states) that might possess the same magnitude of deformation $|\beta_2|$, but would have different and distinct values of the shape parameter γ , as in the above example in ¹⁸⁶Pb of oblate and prolate states. A less clear demarcation line occurs, however, when dealing with states of the same type of shape, e.g. prolate, but different values of β_2 , or similar values of β_2 and different values of γ that do not lie at the extremes of prolate ($\gamma = 0^\circ$) or oblate ($\gamma = 60^\circ$). A similar ambiguity arises in the use of excitation energies as a guide; a state at an excitation energy of 6.05 MeV, as it is the case for the first excited state in ¹⁶O, might be considered as a high-energy state in heavy nuclei. We thus avoid a hard definition of shape coexistence, and leave it fluid so that it can be adapted to the structures observed in each region of the nuclear chart.

A common feature behind all examples of shape coexistence, and indeed the reason behind the existence of competing shapes within a narrow energy window, is the tremendous gain in energy that arises from the correlations amongst the particles. One of the common mechanisms for generating shape coexistence is due to the promotion of multiple particles (for example protons) across shell gaps, thereby increasing the number of valence particles and holes that can interact with valence particles of the other type (neutrons in this example). The correlation energies that arise from both pairing *and* quadrupole-quadrupole interactions largely offset, and sometimes over-

come, the energy cost of promoting particles from one orbital to another. The fact that the nucleus re-organizes into configurations that possess a different deformation from the original "normal-

ordered" state (i.e., without the multi-particle multi-hole excitation) may impact many nuclear properties, perhaps even the location of the so-called driplines [7]. The study of the properties of shape-coexisting states enables us to investigate the correlations of particles under different conditions, i.e., deformation, within the same nucleus.

There have been a number of reviews of shape coexistence, and here we mention a few only. Some of the earlier reviews by Heyde *et al.* [3] on odd-mass nuclei, and Wood and co-workers [6] on even-even nuclei, remain as definitive studies that provide a broad view of the background

115 m a th

110

material. Approximately a decade ago, a further detailed review by Heyde and Wood [2] gave a comprehensive update of the tremendous progress of the field in the intervening decades since the earlier reviews. This was complemented by a special issue (see the introduction by Wood and Heyde [8]) of J. Phys. G that contained submissions from a number of authors reviewing particular aspects of shape coexistence. In the present contribution, we describe the recent progress on the

- 120 experimental evidence for shape coexistence for regions where it has been recently suggested to emerge, as well as new results for those regions where it has been well established. For the latter, we attempt to place the new measurements in the context of previous results in order to provide a more complete picture. We do not intend to present an over-arching review on all aspects of shape coexistence – for example, we generally do not discuss superdeformed structures
- at high spin, nor clustering phenomena, nuclear halos, molecular states, etc. but our focus is on shape coexistence at low spin, and ideally those that manifest at spin 0. We also do not treat the theoretical progress that has been achieved, or possible future developments, as we could not do these subjects proper justice, but instead direct the reader to the other sources (e.g., articles contained within Ref. [8] and also Refs. [2, 9–11], for example). Further, we do not discuss those
 regions where shape coexistence has been established, but for which there have been no, or very

few, recent experimental studies. The reflections herein are biased to our own interests, and we hope our colleagues will forgive us if we do not explicitly mention their work.

2. Basic concepts and experimental fingerprints of shape coexistence

Shape coexistence can be studied via a variety of experimental probes. The first indication of nuclear deformation can be obtained from the level energies, or alternatively from the electromagnetic transition strengths. In particular, observation of low-lying 0⁺ states in even-even nuclei is usually interpreted as a hint of shape coexistence. The observation of rotational bands evidences an enhanced deformation, which can be estimated from their moments of inertia.

For odd-mass and odd-odd nuclei, the spectroscopic quadrupole moment of the ground state ¹⁴⁰ can be measured using laser spectroscopy, bringing direct information on the charge distribution in the nucleus. If, however, a different microscopic configuration appears low in excitation energy, those simple observables may be influenced by mixing of the wave functions corresponding to different configurations. These methods are also obviously not suited to investigate properties of short-lived non-yrast states built on different microscopic configurations. Therefore a more sophis-

ticated approach is mandatory, involving determination of complete sets of electromagnetic transition rates between low-lying excited states, and static quadrupole moments. Those can be further analysed in terms of quadrupole invariants resulting from the Kumar-Cline sum rules [12, 13] yielding model-independent information on shape parameters of individual states. Measurements of E0 transition strengths bring invaluable complementary data on configuration mixing, and mi-

150

croscopic components of the wave functions can be deduced from nucleon-transfer cross sections. Consideration of all of the observables is important when assigning configurations and making conclusions about the structure of states.

In the following sections, we present a brief introduction to observables related to experimental shape-coexistence studies including basic formulas relating them to nuclear deformation.

155 2.1. Nuclear deformation and level energies

If we begin with the usual assumption that nucleus can be modelled as a liquid drop, which is an underlying concept of the Bohr model [14, 15], the nuclear surface can be described as a series of spherical harmonics. The most important contribution to departure from a spherical shape comes from quadrupole deformation, and thus a general expression can be reduced to

$$R(\theta,\phi) = R_0 \left(1 + \sum_{\mu} \alpha_{2\mu}^* Y_{2\mu}(\theta,\phi) \right),\tag{1}$$

where R_0 is the radius of the nuclear surface in the spherical configuration, $Y_{2\mu}$ are the spherical harmonics of degree 2, order μ , and $\alpha_{2\mu}$ are the expansion parameters describing the deformation of the nuclear surface. This equation describes the nuclear shape with an arbitrary orientation in space. A more natural description can be achieved by applying a transformation into the principal-axis frame, using the Wigner rotation matrices, $D_{\nu\mu}^J(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)$, via

$$a_{2\mu} = \sum_{\nu} D_{\nu\mu}^2(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \alpha_{2\nu}$$
⁽²⁾

with the Euler angles (α, β, γ) chosen such that $a_{2\pm 1} = 0^1$. The commonly used deformation parameters are defined as

$$a_{20} = \beta_2 \cos \gamma, \quad a_{22} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \beta_2 \sin \gamma$$
 (3)

and the radii along the principal-axis directions are

$$R_k = R_0 + \delta R_k \tag{4}$$

with

$$\delta R_k = \sqrt{\frac{5}{4\pi}} R_0 \beta_2 \cos\left(\gamma - \frac{2\pi k}{3}\right). \tag{5}$$

Figure 2 displays the nuclear shapes calculated for $\beta_2 = 0.35$ and $\gamma = 0^\circ$ (prolate) and $\gamma = 60^\circ$ (oblate).

With the breaking of rotational symmetry, deformed systems can possess rotational excitations built on each intrinsic state of the nucleus, which are the familiar rotational bands observed in the

¹The principal-axis frame here is analogous to that described for the inertia tensor in mechanics such that the products of inertia vanish, leaving only the moments about the principal axis directions.



Figure 2: Shapes calculated with $\beta_2 = 0.35$ for $\gamma = 0^\circ$, or prolate (a), and for $\gamma = 60^\circ$, or oblate (b).

majority of nuclei. The rotational states can be described via moments of inertia, and provided that the underlying intrinsic state does not change, they evolve smoothly as a function of the angular momentum. With the assumption of axial symmetry, only rotations about an axis perpendicular to the symmetry axis are permitted, and it is possible to define a moment of inertia, \mathcal{J} , via the relationship

$$E = \frac{\hbar^2}{2\mathcal{J}}I(I+1). \tag{6}$$

Using the definition of the magnitude of the angular momentum as $J = \sqrt{I(I+1)}$, we can extract a *kinematic* moment of inertia via

$$\frac{\mathcal{J}^{(1)}}{\hbar^2} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{dE}{dJ^2}\right)^{-1}.$$
(7)

Taking into account the discrete nature of the level energies, such that $\Delta E = E(I) - E(I-2) = E_{\gamma}$, results in

$$\frac{\mathcal{J}^{(1)}}{\hbar^2} \approx \frac{2I-1}{E_{\gamma}}.$$
(8)

While this is valid for large angular momenta, we adopt this definition for all values of spin *I*.

The identification of shape coexistence often begins through the observation of states at exci-

165

tation energies where they are not expected, or from trends observed in energy systematics. The former implies that we have a high degree of confidence in our knowledge of the structure of the ground state so as to predict the excitation spectrum. An example of this are the "additional" 0⁺ and 2⁺ states that appeared in the vicinity of the presumed two-phonon vibrational triplet in ^{112,114}Cd that were identified as problematic in the early 1960s [16, 17]. The use of excitation energy systematics is typically far more reliable than focusing on individual states in nuclei, al-though the conjectures must be followed with detailed investigation to provide proof, for example by measurements of quadrupole moments or other quantities that are sensitive to the nuclear shape.

170

In the vicinity of closed shells or sub-shells, the excitation energies of shape-coexisting states are often observed to present a parabolic-like behaviour as a function of neutron number when traversing an isotopic chain (in principle, it is possible to have a similar parabolic behaviour for an isotonic chain, although identifying such structures has proven to be difficult, see, e.g., Urban *et al.* [18] for suggested neutron intruder excitations in the Sr - Sn region). This behaviour is stemming



Figure 3: Schematic of the contributions to the energy of a 2p - 2h excitation, specifically for those in the Z = 82 region. Figure taken from Ref. [2].

from the mechanism believed to underlie shape coexistence in these nuclei, which is illustrated, in the case for medium to heavy nuclei, in Fig. 3. An estimation of the energy of the lowest 0^+ state formed from a proton (π) 2p - 2h configuration is [2]

$$E_i = 2(\epsilon_{j_\pi} - \epsilon_{j_\pi'}) - \Delta E_{pair} + \Delta E_M + \Delta E_Q \tag{9}$$

where the term $(\epsilon_j - \epsilon_{j'})$ is the energy needed to promote a particle from an orbital with energy $\epsilon_{j'}$ to an orbital with energy ϵ_j . The term ΔE_{pair} is the contribution to the pairing energy, defined as the difference in the pairing energy of the ground state and the 2p - 2h state. The ΔE_M term is the change in the proton-neutron monopole interaction energy, and ΔE_Q is the change in the proton-neutron energy. As shown in Ref. [19], an expression for the quadrupole interaction energy is given by

$$\Delta E_Q \simeq 4\kappa N_\nu \sqrt{\Omega_\pi - N_\pi} \sqrt{\Omega_\nu - N_\nu} \tag{10}$$

where N_{π} (N_{ν}) is the number of valence proton (neutron) pairs outside the closed shells, Ω_{π} (Ω_{ν}) are the degeneracies of the proton (neutron) orbitals involved, and κ is the strength parameter for the residual quadrupole-quadrupole force $\kappa \hat{Q}_{\pi} \cdot \hat{Q}_{\nu}$. Is it this term that is mainly responsible for the parabolic-like behaviour of the energies of the so-called "intruder" states.

In many cases, identification of shape-coexisting states is based on the observation of deformed structures appearing at low excitation energy in nuclei that have spherical or weakly deformed

175

ground states, which is related to a simple fact: it is far easier to identify rotational-like structures in nearly spherical nuclei that have a low level density than *vice versa*.

180 2.2. Quadrupole moments

The spectroscopic electric quadrupole moment Q_s of a state of spin *I* is defined as the diagonal matrix element of the $\mu = 0$ component of the $\mathcal{M}(E2,\mu)$ quadrupole operator, with states of maximum *M* value:

$$eQ_{s} = \sqrt{\frac{16\pi}{5}} \langle I, M = I | \mathcal{M}(E2, \mu = 0) | I, M = I \rangle,$$

= $\sqrt{\frac{16\pi}{5}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2I+1}} \langle I, I, 2, 0 | I, I \rangle \langle I | | \mathcal{M}(E2) | | I \rangle,$ (11)

where $\langle I || \mathcal{M}(E2) || I \rangle$ is the reduced diagonal matrix element of the $\mathcal{M}(E2, \mu)$ operator. In order to relate the intrinsic quadrupole moment Q_0 , defined in the principal-axis frame, and the spectroscopic quadrupole moment Q_s , the transformation as used in Eq. 2 must be applied. For example, for an axially symmetric rotor the reduced matrix elements of the $\mathcal{M}(E2, \mu)$ operator can be related to Q_0 as follows:

$$\langle KI_f \| \mathcal{M}(E2) \| KI_i \rangle = \sqrt{(2I_i + 1)} (I_i, K, 2, 0 | I_f, K) \sqrt{\frac{5}{16\pi}} eQ_0, \tag{12}$$

where K denotes the projection of the nuclear spin I on the symmetry axis of the nucleus. Combining Eqs. 11 and 12 yields the following relation between Q_s and Q_0 :

$$Q_s = (I, K, 2, 0|I, K)(I, I, 2, 0|I, I)Q_0 = \frac{3K^2 - I(I+1)}{(I+1)(2I+3)}Q_0$$
(13)

185

The spectroscopic quadrupole moment vanishes for spins of 0 and 1/2, even if Q_0 is nonzero, i.e., for a state that is deformed, since they have I = K. Thus, the ground states for all even-even nuclei, and odd-A nuclei with I = 1/2, have $Q_s = 0$.

The electric quadrupole operator can be expressed as

$$\mathcal{M}(E2,\mu=0) = \int \hat{\rho}(\vec{r}) r^2 Y_{20}(\theta,\phi) \, d^3r \tag{14}$$

and in order to proceed, a model of the charge density must be adopted. For simplicity, usually a constant density is assumed (we only consider the use of collective variables, as defined above, rather than using single-particle coordinates), and thus

$$\mathcal{M}(E2) = \frac{3Z}{4\pi R_0^3} \int \int_0^R r^4 \, dr \, Y_{20}(\theta,\phi) \, \sin\theta \, d\theta \, d\phi = \frac{3Z}{4\pi R_0^3} \int \frac{1}{5} R^5 Y_{20}(\theta,\phi) \, \sin\theta \, d\theta \, d\phi. \tag{15}$$

Using the expression for the radius as

$$R(\theta,\phi) \approx R_0 \Big(1 + \beta_2 Y_{20}(\theta,\phi) \Big)$$
(16)

which does not define the shape of an ellipsoid, leads to the relation

$$Q_{0} = \frac{3}{\sqrt{5\pi}} Z R_{0}^{2} \beta_{2} \left(1 + \frac{2}{7} \sqrt{\frac{5}{\pi}} \beta_{2} + \dots \right)$$
$$\approx \frac{3}{\sqrt{5\pi}} Z R_{0}^{2} \beta_{2} \left(1 + 0.36 \beta_{2} \right).$$
(17)

An alternative expression found in the literature is

$$Q_{0} = \frac{3}{\sqrt{5\pi}} Z R_{0}^{2} \beta_{eff} \left(1 + \frac{1}{8} \sqrt{\frac{5}{\pi}} \beta_{eff} \right)$$

$$\approx \frac{3}{\sqrt{5\pi}} Z R_{0}^{2} \beta_{eff} \left(1 + 0.16 \beta_{eff} \right)$$
(18)

that arises from the definition of the quadrupole moment of a symmetric ellipsoid, and with β_{eff} defined as the difference between the semi-major and semi-minor axis lengths

$$\beta_{eff} = \frac{4}{3} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{5}} \frac{\Delta R}{R}.$$
(19)

Herein, we will use the definition of β_2 from Eq. 17.

The intrinsic quadrupole moment Q_0 can be calculated, under model assumptions, from the measured spectroscopic quadrupole moment Q_s or, alternatively, from the measured B(E2) values. Equations 13 and 12 provide the necessary relations for the most common approximation of an axially symmetric rotor. An intrinsic quadrupole moment derived from a B(E2) value via Eq. 12 is commonly referred to as transitional quadrupole moment Q_t .

2.3. Magnetic moments

195

A comprehensive discussion of various aspects of nuclear magnetic moments and their experimental studies can be found, for example, in Refs. [20, 21]. Here we briefly present the basic definitions and aspects important for shape-coexistence studies.

The magnetic dipole moment of a nuclear state with spin I, $\mu(I)$, is defined as the diagonal matrix element of the z component of the $\hat{\mu}$ magnetic dipole operator, with states of maximum M value, and can be further related to the nuclear gyromagnetic factor g:

$$\mu(I) = \langle I, M = I | \mu_z | I, M = I \rangle = g I \mu_N$$
(20)

where μ_N is the nuclear magneton.

Since $\hat{\mu}$ is a one-body operator, it can be written as a sum of single-particle operators, each of them acting on a specific valence particle. Moreover, one can consider orbital and spin gyromagnetic factors separately, which results in the following expression for the magnetic dipole moment μ :

$$\mu = \sum_{i} \mu_{i} = \sum_{i} g_{l}^{i} l_{i} + g_{s}^{i} s_{i}$$
(21)
10

The orbital gyromagnetic factors are $g_l^{\pi} = 1$ for protons and $g_l^{\nu} = 0$ for neutrons. The spin gyromagnetic factors, obtained experimentally for free protons and neutrons, are $g_s^{\pi} = 5.5845$ and $g_s^{\nu} = -3.8263$, respectively.

The single-particle magnetic moment for a valence nucleon coupled to an inert core is expressed as follows:

$$\mu = \begin{cases} \left(j - \frac{1}{2}\right)g_l + \frac{1}{2}g_s & \text{for } j = l + 1/2\\ \frac{j}{j+1}\left(\left(j + \frac{3}{2}\right)g_l - \frac{1}{2}g_s\right) & \text{for } j = l - 1/2, \end{cases}$$
(22)

which assuming the experimental values for gyromagnetic factors leads to the following expressions for the so-called Schmidt values:

$$\mu = \begin{cases} j + 2.293 & \text{for } j = l + 1/2 \\ \frac{j}{j+1}(j-1.293) & \text{for } j = l - 1/2 \end{cases}$$
(23)

for protons and

$$\mu = \begin{cases} -1.913 & \text{for } j = l + 1/2 \\ -1.913 \frac{j}{j+1} & \text{for } j = l - 1/2 \end{cases}$$
(24)

for neutrons. These expressions, while providing limiting values, reflect the important differences between the values obtained for wave functions that are dominated by valence protons or neutrons. The deviations from Schmidt values, commonly observed, are due to the influence of other nucleons via meson-exchange currents as well as core polarisation [21], and therefore the single-particle

205

200

moments are usually calculated using effective proton and neutron g factors. Nonetheless, nuclear magnetic moments remain very sensitive to the specific orbitals which are occupied by the valence nucleons, especially in the case of unpaired nucleons. The sensitivity to the number of nucleon pairs occupying a given orbital is much lower, and the influence of nucleon-pair excitations on the magnetic moments is referred to as second-order core polarisation.

210 2.4. Kumar-Cline sum rules

The electric quadrupole operator is a rank-2 spherical tensor, and thus transforms in a similar manner as the shape coordinates shown in Eq. 2. In the principal-axis frame, this tensor, $\mathcal{M}'(E2)$, can be expressed using two parameters:

$$\mathcal{M}'(E2,\mu=0) = Q\cos\delta$$
$$\mathcal{M}'(E2,\mu=\pm 2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}Q\sin\delta.$$
(25)

By definition, in this frame of reference the $\mathcal{M}'(E2, \mu = \pm 1)$ components vanish. The parameters Q and δ are analogous to the deformation parameters β_2 and γ , but instead of the mass distribution they represent the quadrupole charge distribution. The electromagnetic matrix elements measured in the laboratory frame and the deformation parameters defined by Eq. 25 can be linked via Kumar-Cline sum rules [12, 13]. The products of the *E*2 operators coupled to zero angular momentum

are rotationally invariant and thus their expectation values can be expressed by the Q and δ on one hand, and by products of $\langle I_j || \mathcal{M}(E2) || I_i \rangle E2$ matrix elements on the other hand, as shown below for the lowest-order invariant:

$$\frac{(-1)^{2I_i}}{\sqrt{2I_i+1}} \sum_j \langle I_i || \mathcal{M}(E2) || I_j \rangle \langle I_j || \mathcal{M}(E2) || I_i \rangle \begin{cases} 2 & 2 & 0\\ I_i & I_i & I_j \end{cases}$$
$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{5} (2I_i+1)} \sum_j \langle I_j || \mathcal{M}(E2) || I_i \rangle^2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \langle Q^2 \rangle$$
(26)

where {} is a 6*j* symbol. The sum formally extends over all states I_j that can be reached from the state in question I_i via a single *E*2 transition, however, typically only a few key states contribute to it. In particular, for the ground state of even-even nuclei, the sum is generally dominated by the coupling to the 2_1^+ state, which contributes well over 90% of the total. Thus

$$\langle Q_{0_1^+}^2 \rangle \approx \left| \langle 2_1^+ \| \mathcal{M}(E2) \| 0_1^+ \rangle \right|^2 \tag{27}$$

leading to the well-known expression linking β_2 and $B(E2; 0^+_1 \rightarrow 2^+_1)$:

$$\sqrt{\langle \beta_2^2 \rangle} \approx \frac{4\pi}{3ZR_0^2} \sqrt{B(E2; 0_1^+ \to 2_1^+)/e^2}.$$
 (28)

Products of three quadrupole tensors coupled to angular momentum zero yield

$$\frac{(-1)^{2I_i}}{2I_i+1}\sum_{jk}\langle I_i||\mathcal{M}(E2)||I_j\rangle\langle I_j||\mathcal{M}(E2)||I_k\rangle\langle I_k||\mathcal{M}(E2)||I_i\rangle\left\{\begin{array}{l}2 & 2 & 2\\I_k & I_i & I_j\end{array}\right\} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{35}}\langle Q^3\cos 3\delta\rangle.$$
(29)

For states with an axial prolate shape $\langle \cos 3\delta \rangle = 1$; for axial oblate states $\langle \cos 3\delta \rangle = -1$; for maximally triaxial or spherical states $\langle \cos 3\delta \rangle \approx 0$. Often, in evaluating experimental data, the approximation is made

$$\langle Q^3 \cos 3\delta \rangle \approx \langle Q^2 \rangle^{3/2} \langle \cos 3\delta \rangle.$$
 (30)

The evaluation of the parameter $\cos 3\delta$ requires knowledge of a more extensive set of matrix elements, including their relative signs. If we consider a 0_1^+ ground state, the expression in Eq. 29 reduces to

$$\langle Q^3 \cos 3\delta \rangle = -\sqrt{\frac{7}{10}} \sum_{jk} \langle 0_1^+ || \mathcal{M}(E2) || 2_j^+ \rangle \langle 2_j^+ || \mathcal{M}(E2) || 2_k^+ \rangle \langle 2_k^+ || \mathcal{M}(E2) || 0_1^+ \rangle.$$
(31)

To a very good approximation, the terms $\langle 0_1^+ || \mathcal{M}(E2) || 2_1^+ \rangle \langle 2_1^+ || \mathcal{M}(E2) || 2_1^+ \rangle \langle 2_1^+ || \mathcal{M}(E2) || 0_1^+ \rangle$ and ²¹⁵ $\langle 0_1^+ || \mathcal{M}(E2) || 2_1^+ \rangle \langle 2_1^+ || \mathcal{M}(E2) || 2_2^+ \rangle \langle 2_2^+ || \mathcal{M}(E2) || 0_1^+ \rangle$ dominate the sum for even-even nuclei [22], thus

$$\langle \cos 3\delta \rangle \approx -\sqrt{\frac{7}{10}} \langle Q_{0_1^+}^2 \rangle^{-3/2} \left(\left| \langle 0_1^+ \| \mathcal{M}(E2) \| 2_1^+ \rangle \right|^2 \langle 2_1^+ \| \mathcal{M}(E2) \| 2_1^+ \rangle + 2 \langle 0_1^+ \| \mathcal{M}(E2) \| 2_1^+ \rangle \langle 2_1^+ \| \mathcal{M}(E2) \| 2_2^+ \rangle \langle 2_2^+ \| \mathcal{M}(E2) \| 0_1^+ \rangle \right).$$

$$(32)$$

Assuming identical charge and mass distributions, one can relate the Q^2 and δ quantities to the deformation parameters β_2 and γ by [23]

$$\langle Q^2 \rangle = q_0^2 \langle \beta_2^2 \rangle \tag{33}$$

and

$$\langle Q^3 \cos 3\delta \rangle = q_0^3 \langle \beta_2^3 \cos 3\gamma \rangle \tag{34}$$

with $q_0 = \frac{3}{4\pi} ZeR_0^2$ and $R_0 = 1.2A^{1/3}$ fm. The expressions depend upon the convention chosen for the collective variables; for example, using the lengths of the semi-axes leads to the formulas given in the appendix of Ref. [24].

A finite value of $\langle Q^2 \rangle$ may result from both a static deformation, β_{stat} , which would be the case for well-deformed rotational nuclei, as well as a dynamic deformation, β_{dyn} , that would arise for vibrational or non-collective systems. These can be distinguished based on the fluctuations in $\langle Q^2 \rangle$ that can be determined via

$$\sigma \langle Q^2 \rangle = \sqrt{\langle Q^4 \rangle - \langle Q^2 \rangle^2} ; \qquad (35)$$

220

however, in order to obtain the $\langle Q^4 \rangle$ invariant, products of four matrix elements need to be considered, which requires a level of detail that is difficult to achieve experimentally. Similarly, fluctuations in $\langle Q^3 \cos 3\delta \rangle$ can be evaluated, requiring even more extensive experimental data. Another measure that can be used to infer if the deformation is static or dynamic is the magnitude of the spectroscopic quadrupole moment; values close to 0 imply a spherical, maximally triaxial or completely γ -soft shape, whereas for more axially symmetric nuclei large values imply static deformation.

225

2.5. Two-state mixing model

The phenomenological two-state mixing model is based on the assumption that the observed physical states with the same spin-parity $I_{1,2}^{\pi}$ can be expressed as linear combinations of two unperturbed states $I_{A,B}^{\pi}$:

$$|I_{1}^{\pi}\rangle = +\cos\theta_{I} |I_{A}^{\pi}\rangle + \sin\theta_{I} |I_{B}^{\pi}\rangle$$

$$|I_{2}^{\pi}\rangle = -\sin\theta_{I} |I_{A}^{\pi}\rangle + \cos\theta_{I} |I_{B}^{\pi}\rangle$$
(36)

where $\cos \theta_I$, $\sin \theta_I$ are the mixing amplitudes, and θ_I is referred to as the mixing angle. The transitions between states belonging to different unperturbed structures are usually assumed to be forbidden. If the structures 1 and 2 form rotational bands, it is possible to deduce the mixing angles θ_I from the perturbation of level energies with respect to a rigid-rotor behaviour. They can also be obtained from e.g. *E*0 (Sec. 2.6) or *E*2 transition strengths. In the latter case, Eq. 36 applied to an example of $0^+_{1,2}$ and $2^+_{1,2}$ states yields the following relations between physical and unperturbed matrix elements:

$$\langle 2_{1}^{+} || E2 || 0_{1}^{+} \rangle \equiv M_{11} = \sin \theta_{0} \sin \theta_{2} \langle 2_{B}^{+} || E2 || 0_{B}^{+} \rangle + \cos \theta_{0} \cos \theta_{2} \langle 2_{A}^{+} || E2 || 0_{A}^{+} \rangle$$

$$\langle 2_{1}^{+} || E2 || 0_{2}^{+} \rangle \equiv M_{12} = \cos \theta_{0} \sin \theta_{2} \langle 2_{B}^{+} || E2 || 0_{B}^{+} \rangle - \sin \theta_{0} \cos \theta_{2} \langle 2_{A}^{+} || E2 || 0_{A}^{+} \rangle$$

$$\langle 2_{2}^{+} || E2 || 0_{1}^{+} \rangle \equiv M_{21} = \sin \theta_{0} \cos \theta_{2} \langle 2_{B}^{+} || E2 || 0_{B}^{+} \rangle - \cos \theta_{0} \sin \theta_{2} \langle 2_{A}^{+} || E2 || 0_{A}^{+} \rangle$$

$$\langle 2_{2}^{+} || E2 || 0_{2}^{+} \rangle \equiv M_{22} = \cos \theta_{0} \cos \theta_{2} \langle 2_{B}^{+} || E2 || 0_{B}^{+} \rangle + \sin \theta_{0} \sin \theta_{2} \langle 2_{A}^{+} || E2 || 0_{A}^{+} \rangle.$$

$$(37)$$

Solving for θ_0 , θ_2 yields

$$\tan \theta_{0} = R \pm \sqrt{R^{2} + 1}$$

$$\tan \theta_{2} = \frac{M_{11} \tan \theta_{0} + M_{12}}{M_{21} \tan \theta_{0} + M_{22}}$$
(38)

where

$$R = \frac{M_{11}^2 + M_{21}^2 - M_{12}^2 - M_{22}^2}{2(M_{11}M_{12} + M_{21}M_{22})}$$

Assuming a weak mixing between the $2^+_{A,B}$ states (i.e., $\theta_2 = 0$), which is a reasonable approximation for e.g. $N \approx 60$ nuclei described in Sec. 3.4.1, Eq. 38 can be simplified to

$$\tan \theta_0 = \frac{\langle 0_2^+ || E2 || 2_1^+ \rangle}{\langle 0_1^+ || E2 || 2_1^+ \rangle}.$$
(39)

240

The two-level mixing model can be also applied to two-nucleon transfer cross sections, see Sec. 2.7, or α -decay hindrance factors (Sec. 3.7); in such cases it is assumed that the unperturbed configurations are the same in projectile and ejectile, or mother and daughter nuclei. Despite its simplicity, the two-state mixing model can describe remarkably well the experimental data for numerous nuclei, as demonstrated in the following sections. In some cases a satisfactory reproduction of all observables required its extension to three-level mixing, and such examples are presented in Sec. 3.1.2 and Sec. 3.1.3.

245 2.6. E0 transition strengths

E0 transitions, that are allowed only for $\Delta J = 0$ decays, are sensitive to the changes in the nuclear charge-squared radii since the E0 operator has the form

$$\mathcal{M}(E0) = \sum_{i} e_{i} r_{i}^{2} \tag{40}$$

where the sum extends over the A bodies in the nucleus with their charges e_i and radial positions r_i . It can also can be expressed in terms of the collective variables from the Bohr model [26]:

$$\mathcal{M}(E0) = \frac{3Z}{4\pi} \left(\frac{4\pi}{5} + \beta_2^2 + \frac{5\sqrt{5}}{21\sqrt{\pi}} \beta_2^3 \cos\gamma \right).$$
(41)

The usual quantity quoted when referring to E0 transitions are the $\rho^2(E0)$ values, defined via

$$\Gamma(E0) = \frac{1}{\tau(E0)} = \rho^2(E0) \sum_j \Omega_j(Z, \Delta E)$$
(42)

where $\Gamma(E0)$ is the partial width for the decay, $\tau(E0)$ the partial lifetime, and $\Omega(Z, \Delta E)$ the electronic factor that depends on the atomic number Z and the energy of the transition ΔE . The nuclear-structure information is contained in the $\rho(E0)$ quantity, defined by

$$\rho(E0) = \frac{1}{eR^2} \left\langle I_f \left| \mathcal{M}(E0) \right| I_i \right\rangle.$$
(43)

14

The *E*0 transition strengths depend on the mixing of configurations that have different meansquare charge radii [25]. In a two-level mixing solution,

$$\rho^{2}(E0) = \frac{Z^{2}}{R^{4}} \cos^{2}\theta \, \sin^{2}\theta \left(\langle r^{2} \rangle_{A} - \langle r^{2} \rangle_{B} \right)^{2} \tag{44}$$

where $\langle r^2 \rangle_A = \langle \Psi_A | r^2 | \Psi_A \rangle$ is the mean charge-squared radius for the wave function $|\Psi_A\rangle$, and similarly for $\langle r^2 \rangle_B$. Using the operator expressed in the collective variables, and with the deformation parameters for the two states defined as (β_A, γ_A) and (β_B, γ_B) , the *E*0 strength is characterized by [25, 26]

$$\rho^2(E0) = \left(\frac{3Z}{4\pi}\right)^2 \cos^2\theta \,\sin^2\theta \cdot \left[\left(\beta_A^2 - \beta_B^2\right) + \frac{5\sqrt{5}}{21\sqrt{\pi}} \left(\beta_A^3 \cos\gamma_A - \beta_B^3 \cos\gamma_B\right)\right]^2. \tag{45}$$

As the determination of a $\rho^2(E0)$ value requires not only the observation of an E0 transition and a determination of its relative intensity but also the level lifetime, the experimental information of this kind is still rather limited. Additionally, the $\rho^2(E0; I \rightarrow I)$ values for $I \neq 0$ are often affected by large uncertainties since precise intensities for the contribution of the M1 and E2 multipolarity conversion electrons to the transition must be known.

250

Using shell-model harmonic-oscillator wave functions, the r^2 operator only depends on the principal quantum number N and within a major shell, the E0 transitions should vanish. Thus, E0 transitions must involve configurations from different harmonic oscillator shells. In Ref. [27], important contributions to the E0 strength were shown to arise from the core polarization.

255 2.7. Single-particle content via single-nucleon transfer reactions

Single-nucleon transfer reactions, by definition, probe the single-particle composition of the nuclear wave functions. The initial ground-state wave function $|\Psi_{I_i}\rangle$ may correspond to a quasiparticle vacuum (even-even nuclei), one-quasiparticle state (odd-mass nuclei), or two-quasiparticle state (odd-odd nuclei), or a multi-particle (*mp*) or multi-hole state (*mh*)². Single-nucleon transfer reactions give information on the overlap of the wave functions of the initial and the final state, where the final state is of the form

$$\Phi_{I_f M_f} = \sum_{M_i, m} a_{jm}^{\dagger} \left| \Psi_{I_i M_i} \right\rangle \left(I_i M_i jm \left| I_f M_f \right) \right).$$
(46)

The spectroscopic factor S_{jl} (or alternatively written as C^2S , where C refers to an isospin Clebsch-Gordan coefficient) is defined as

$$S_{jl} = \left| \left\langle \Psi_{I_f M_f} \middle| \Phi_{I_f M_f}(j, I_l) \right\rangle \right|^2 = \frac{\left| \left\langle \Psi_{I_f} \right\| \left. a_j^{\dagger} \right\| \Psi_{I_l} \right\rangle \right|^2}{2I_f + 1}$$
(47)

²The language of quasiparticles is appropriate for nuclei in the so-called superfluid or superconducting regime, where the pairing matrix elements exceed the spacing of the single-particle energy levels, as is typical for deformed nuclei. In contrast, the *mh* or *mp* terminology is better suited for nuclei in the "normal" phase, where the single particle spacing greatly exceeds the pairing matrix elements, which is the case near closed shells or in light nuclei. The pairing phase may be different for neutrons and protons, depending on the density of states near the Fermi level.

where l is the orbital angular momentum of the single-particle orbital into which the particle is transferred. This orbital angular momentum can be determined from the angular distribution of the ejectiles in the reaction for the population of a specific final state, whereas j is often inferred from knowledge of the available orbitals near the Fermi surface.

260

In the following, we focus our attention on the initial and final states of the nuclei under investigation, and we assume that the collision partners in the process have simple structures that are well understood, as is the case for light nuclei up to α particles. The spectroscopic strength can be extracted through a comparison of the experimental angular distributions of the ejectiles of the reaction with those predicted with a distorted-wave Born approximation (DWBA) calculation.

- The spectroscopic factors are excellent tools to locate the single-particle strength in odd-A nuclei, and can also be used to determine the orbital occupancies in even-even nuclei. Shape-coexisting states can be populated if a component of their wave function has a significant overlap with the initial ground-state wave function. If we consider a reaction in which the nucleon is removed, for example, from an initial state with a *mp* configuration, it can populate final states with the
- configuration of (m 1)p or mp-1h. An analogous situation can arise in reaction in which a nucleon is added to an initial state with a mh configuration it can populate final states with (m 1)h or mh-1p configurations.

Sum rules for transfer reactions provide a powerful tool to determine nucleon occupancies. For a specific j transfer, the number of holes h and particles p can be found from (assuming a spin 0 for the initial state)

$$h = \sum_{i} (2j+1)S^{i}_{adding}, \quad p = \sum_{i} S^{i}_{removing}$$
(48)

with the summation extending over all states for the same values of the transferred angular momentum j and l. The spectroscopic factors are defined as above for the addition of nucleons, such as a (d, p) stripping reaction, and the removal of nucleons, as in a (d, t) pickup reaction. When these reactions are performed using the same initial nucleus, e.g., the same target used for the (d, p) and (d, t) reactions, the numbers of particles and holes sum to (2j + 1).

2.8. Probing pairing content via two-nucleon transfer reactions

280

275

Since shape coexistence manifests itself via strong correlations in the wave functions, experiments that probe these correlations are of utmost importance. Among the most important are the pairing correlations, which can be described as the binding of two nucleons in $J^{\pi} = 0^+$ states, and the correlation effect can be expressed in terms of a pair field that is responsible for creating and annihilating two particles in time-reversed orbits. Reactions that involve the transfer of two alike particles, such as the (p, t) two-neutron transfer or $({}^{3}\text{He}, n)$ two-proton transfer reactions, specifically probe such pairing correlations.

285

For the two-nucleon transfer process, the spectroscopic amplitudes are defined by

$$B(I_f; [j_1 j_2]J, I_i) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \delta_{j_1 j_2}}} \left\langle \Psi_{I_f M_f} \middle| \left[\left[a_{j_1}^{\dagger} \otimes a_{j_2}^{\dagger} \right]_J \otimes \Psi_{I_i} \right]_{I_f M_f} \right\rangle$$
(49)

where the two nucleons, with single-particle angular momenta j_1 and j_2 that couple to angular momentum J, couple to the initial angular momentum I_i resulting in the final angular momentum

290

295

 I_f . To a very high degree of accuracy, when the two transferred nucleons are both of the same type, they couple to total spin S = 0, so J = L. Unlike single-nucleon transfer reactions, the spectroscopic amplitudes must be added with the final sum squared, so that there may be interference effects. In general, multiple pairs of particles that can couple to J can contribute to the sum, depending on the availability of the orbitals near the Fermi surface. The cross-section angular distributions are sensitive to the L value, and while the magnitude of the cross section is sensitive to the *j* components, the shapes of the angular distributions generally are not. Thus spectroscopic strengths for the transfer of a particular pair cannot be extracted from the experimental data, and often the ratios of cross sections are used to infer the nature of the final state. When available, two-nucleon amplitudes from shell-model calculations can be used to construct the spectroscopic amplitudes and combined with a reaction code to predict the cross sections.

The two-nucleon transfer cross section for the $0_{gs}^+ \rightarrow 0_{gs}^+$ transition typically dominates over all other transitions, and in situations where excited 0^+ states are two-particle or two-quasiparticle 300 states, their population cross sections are a few percent of the ground-state cross section. Exceptions to this may arise when the excited states also possess significant pairing correlations similar to those of the ground state, for example when they are mixed with the ground state, or when they have the form of pairing vibrations. Enhancements in the cross sections to excited 0^+ states may

also occur when the normal pairing regime has broken down, for example as the structure evolves 305 from that near closed shells (described as the "normal" pairing phase) to open-shell well-deformed nuclei (described as a "superfluid" pairing phase). The large two-nucleon transfer cross sections that amount to tens of percent or more relative to the cross section for population of the ground state thus signify a highly collective transition and consequently indicate that the final 0^+ state has a very special character.

310

3. Recent progress in experimental shape-coexistence studies

3.1. Shape coexistence in light nuclei

3.1.1. Light $N \simeq Z$ nuclei

inertia than the band built on 0^+_2 .

Shape coexistence in the light $N \simeq Z$ nuclei is often closely connected to the discussion of clustering aspects in nuclei, especially those of α -particle clusters. The high binding energy of 315 the α particle naturally led to it being considered as a sub-unit on which to build nuclear states that are pictured as geometric arrangements of the clusters in much the same way that molecules are geometrical arrangements of atoms. The experimental evidence for cluster states has been outlined in a number of excellent reviews (see, e.g., Refs. [28–30]). Since the field of clusters in nuclei has been recently reviewed by Freer et al. [30], and we could not do it proper justice, we 320 refer the reader instead to the reviews listed above for the recent results in this very active area. As an example, even in the well-studied nucleus ¹²C, recent measurements (see, e.g., Refs. [31–35]) resulted in the assignment of additional rotational states built on the 0^+_2 (the so-called Hoyle) state and identification of the $K^{\pi} = 3^{-}$ rotational band [36] that has a significantly different moment of



The superdeformed band in ²⁴Mg was suggested [2] to include the states at 6.433 MeV (0_2^+) , 7.349 MeV (2_3^+) , and 8.439 MeV (4_3^+) . In much earlier work, Warburton *et al.* [38] had proposed instead the 4_4^+ state at 9.301 MeV to be the third member of this band. The $2_3^+ \rightarrow 0_2^+$ transition



Figure 4: Partial level scheme for ²⁸Si showing the oblate ground-state band, the K = 0 prolate band built on the 6.691-MeV 0⁺₂ state, and the candidate superdeformed band with the 2⁺ member at 9.796 MeV. The transitions are labelled with B(E2) values in W.u. The values highlighted in the yellow boxes for decay from the 2⁺ member of the proposed superdeformed band are derived assuming 0.01 W.u for the transition to the ground state. Figure taken from Ref. [41].

is unobserved, and both 4^+ candidates decay to the 2^+_3 level with enhanced B(E2) values. There

330

are several conflicting results for the 4⁺ states in question. In the evaluated data [39], the 4⁺ state at 8.439 MeV has a lifetime $\tau = 5.5(16)$ fs, whereas Warburton *et al.* [38] report 26(10) fs. The potential 4⁺ state at 9.301 MeV proposed by Warburton et al. [38] is not adopted in the evaluated data, and the situation is rather confused by the potential presence of a triplet of levels at 9.3 MeV. Using the values from Ref. [38] for consistency, the $B(E2; 4^+_{3,4} \rightarrow 2^+_3)$ values are 11(5) W.u. and 15(6) W.u., respectively. Since the 8.439-MeV state is populated in the decay of the K = 4335 ²⁴Al ground state with a log *ft* value of 3.9, this state is favoured as a K = 4 band head [38]. Regardless of the assignment of the band members, the 0^+_2 state has been determined to be highly deformed. Its E0 decay was recently observed [40] to proceed with one of the largest E0 strengths observed across the entire nuclear chart, namely $10^3 \times \rho^2(E0; 0^+_2 \rightarrow 0^+_1) = 380(70)$. A two-level mixing calculation, assuming a maximum mixing scenario, leads to $\Delta(\beta_2^2) \gtrsim 0.43$. The ground-340 state band $\beta_2 = 0.497(2)$, extracted from the $B(E2; 2_1^+ \rightarrow 0_1^+)$ value via Eq. 28, implies that the superdeformed band in ²⁴Mg has $\beta_2(0_2^+) \simeq 1$ [40]. In view of the conflicting results regarding

the 4^+ states discussed above, the spectroscopy of the potential members of the 0^+_2 band should be re-investigated, in particular the decay branches of the $4^+_{3,4}$ states and their lifetimes, and the unobserved $2_3^+ \rightarrow 0_2^+$ transition. The understanding of the shape of the 0_2^+ state, in particular, is of 345 prime importance.

A candidate superdeformed band was also recently proposed in ²⁸Si [41]. An oblate shape

350

- is assigned to the ground-state band based on a Coulomb-excitation measurement [42], which yielded a spectroscopic quadrupole moment of the 2_1^+ state of Q = 0.17(5) *eb*. A band built on the 0_2^+ state, with transitional quadrupole moments of $|Q_t| = 0.876^{+0.110}_{-0.085}$ *eb*, was identified by Glatz *et* al. [43] and suggested to have a prolate shape. The recent work [41] proposed a superdeformed band as shown in Fig. 4, and noted that its 4^+ and 6^+ members were strongly populated in α -particle transfer reactions, and, most remarkably, that the 6⁺ member was populated with a significant $g_{9/2}$ component in the ${}^{12}C({}^{20}Ne,\alpha){}^{28}Si$ reaction [44]. Thus, ${}^{28}Si$ potentially possesses three distinct deformed shapes, but further work is required to characterize them. A key question is the location 355 of the potential 0⁺ band head of the superdeformed band that in principle could be determined through particle transfer reactions such as ${}^{30}Si(p,t)$ or ${}^{26}Mg({}^{3}He,n)$. Lifetime measurements of the suggested rotational band members for the prolate and superdeformed structures would also be of great interest.
- 3.1.2. Shape coexistence and the "island of inversion" at N=20360

Already in 1970s, mass measurements demonstrated that the binding energies of ^{31,32}Na [45] and ^{31,32}Mg [46] are unexpectedly large and do not present a decrease that usually follows a shell closure. Combined with a large increase in mean-square charge radii observed in ^{29,30,31}Na [47], this suggested a sudden onset of deformation, further supported by the observation of a low-lying

- 2_1^+ state [48] and an enhanced $B(E2; 2_1^+ \rightarrow 0_1^+)$ transition strength in ³²Mg [49–55]. This region, commonly referred to as an "island of inversion", is now understood to include nuclei whose collective ground-state properties result from an inversion of the spherical ground-state configurations with deformed intruder states related to excitations across the N = 20 shell gap. Strong experimental evidence exists for coexistence of these structures and their different deformations.
- In particular, direct reactions and laser spectroscopy have been extensively used to pin down the 370 underlying microscopic configurations. A recent review [56] summarizes the existing experimental data on N = 19 and N = 21 isotones, with a particular focus on conclusions that can be drawn from the properties of ground and isomeric states. To complement this evaluation, we focus in the following on short-lived excited states and their decay properties.
- The deformed character of the ground state in ${}^{32}Mg$, suggested by the lowering of the 2^+_1 375 energy (886 keV, with respect to 1483 keV in ³⁰Mg) was supported by identification of the 4⁺ and 6⁺ members of the rotational ground-state band [57, 58]. The observed evolution of the moment of inertia was tentatively attributed to the admixture of a 4p - 4h configuration increasing with spin [58]. The $B(E2; 2_1^+ \rightarrow 0_1^+)$ values resulting from several intermediate-energy Coulomb-excitation studies [49–54] as well as a fast-timing measurement following β decay of ³²Na [55] display a 380 rather large spread, but all of them point to a significant deformation of at least $\beta_2 = 0.38$.

The excited 0_2^+ state in ${}^{32}Mg$ was identified at 1058 keV in a ${}^{30}Mg(t, p){}^{32}Mg$ two-neutron transfer study [59] performed in inverse kinematics. A strong reduction of the number of observed γ -ray decays of this state with respect to the number of corresponding protons detected was attributed to the decay of the recoiling ³²Mg occurring far away from the reaction target, leading to the conclusion that the 0^+_2 lifetime is longer than 10 ns. On the other hand, an upper limit for this lifetime, 38 ns, was deduced by combining the upper limit for the cross section to populate the 0_2^+ state via two-proton removal [60] with the number of its observed decays in flight following the same reaction [61]. These lifetime constraints correspond to the $B(E2; 2_1^+ \rightarrow 0_2^+)$ value in the

19

range between 28 and 122 e^2 fm⁴, a precision that is insufficient to draw meaningful conclusions regarding the structure of the 0_2^+ state.

The $B(E2; 2_1^+ \rightarrow 0_1^+)$ value in ³⁰Mg was measured in low- [62] and intermediate-energy Coulomb excitation [50, 52], with the result of Ref. [52] being considerably larger than the other two. All three values correspond to $\beta_2 \ge 0.35$, and a comparison of evaluated $B(E2; 2_1^+ \rightarrow$ 0_1^+) values in ³⁰Mg and ³²Mg [63, 64] suggests an increase of collectivity in the latter, in line 395 with the decreasing 2_1^+ excitation energy. The ground-state band was extended to spin 4^+ in a $^{14}C(^{18}O,2p)^{30}Mg$ reaction study [65], and the $E(4^+_1)/E(2^+_1)$ energy ratio of 2.3 is far from the value expected for an axial rotor. A long lifetime of 3.9(4) ns was measured for the 1789-keV state in ³⁰Mg [55], leading to a tentative 0⁺ spin-parity assignment, which was later confirmed by a direct observation of its E0 decay to the ground state [66] and γ -ray angular correlations [67]. The measured $10^3 \times \rho^2(E0; 0^+_2 \to 0^+_1)$ transition strength of 26.2(75) [66] is consistent with weak mixing between the wave functions of the $0^+_{1,2}$ states. The (2⁺) state at 2.467 MeV was proposed to be a member of the band built on 0^+_2 [55], while the sequence of (2⁺) and 3⁺ states at 3.543 MeV and 4.695 MeV, respectively, was interpreted as the γ band and linked to significant triaxiality of ³⁰Mg [67]. 405

It was shown [68, 69] that the measured ${}^{30}Mg(t, p){}^{32}Mg$ reaction cross sections [59] as well as evaluated $B(E2; 2_1^+ \rightarrow 0_1^+)$ values in ${}^{30,32}Mg$ [63, 64] and $\rho^2(E0; 0_2^+ \rightarrow 0_1^+)$ in ${}^{30}Mg$ [66] can be reproduced assuming three-level mixing of normal-order, 2p - 2h and 4p - 4h configurations. The conclusion of this analysis is that the ground state in ${}^{30}Mg$ is dominated by the normal-

order configuration, while the ground state in ³²Mg has a predominantly intruder configuration, involving both 2p - 2h and 4p - 4h excitations. This is also consistent with the measured cross sections of one-neutron removal in this mass region. For example, some admixture of the intruder configuration to the ground state in ³⁰Mg is necessary to explain the population of negative-parity states in ²⁹Mg [70]. Moreover, a study of one-neutron removal from ³¹Mg yielded a spectroscopic

factor of 0.20 ± 0.04 for the 0_2^+ state in ³⁰Mg [71], which is lower than what would be expected for a pure 2p - 2h configuration, and hence was interpreted as resulting from an important admixture of the 4p - 4h configuration to this state. A consequence of the three-level mixing is the prediction that a 0_3^+ , at approximately 2.2 MeV, is predominately the 0p - 0h state [68, 69], however the twoproton knockout reaction failed to locate the required strength, indicating that either the reaction is strongly quenched, or that the 0p - 0h configuration is highly fragmented or even above the

420

neutron separation energy [61].

There exists strong experimental evidence that the ground state in ³¹Mg is dominated largely by the 2p-2h intruder configuration, which includes its g factor [72] and an observation of a deformed rotational band built on the ground state, discussed below. Configurations of several states in

- ³¹Mg can also be inferred from their selective population in direct reactions. The measured cross sections of one-nucleon removal reactions leading to ³¹Mg are presented in Fig. 5. One-neutron knockout from ³²Mg is expected to favour the deformed intruder states, and this reaction [70] populated strongly the ground state, as well as the levels at 220 keV and 461 keV (with tentative spin assignments of $(3/2^{-})$ and $(7/2^{-})$, respectively). Additionally, population of the states at 945
- ⁴³⁰ keV and 2.244 MeV was observed. In contrast, one-proton knockout from ³²Al [73], having a normal-order configuration of the ground state, preferentially populated the states at 673 keV and 2015 keV (assigned as 3/2⁺ and 5/2⁺, respectively, in Ref. [74]), which consequently were



Figure 5: Cross sections for the population of states in ³¹Mg in one-nucleon removal reactions. The bars in the top panel represent the cross sections measured in one-neutron knockout from ³²Mg [70], and those in the bottom panel are from one-proton knockout from ³²Al [73]. The former favours deformed intruder states, i.e. the ground state and the $(3/2^{-})$ and $(7/2^{-})$ states at 220 keV and 461 keV, respectively, and the latter the normal-ordered $3/2^{+}$ and $5/2^{+}$ states at 673 keV and 2015 keV, respectively. Note different cross-section scales used in the two panels.

suggested to have normal-order configurations. A negligible population of the ³¹Mg ground state in this reaction is consistent with its nearly pure intruder configuration.

The decay scheme of ³¹Mg has been extended and spins and parities of several states firmly 435 assigned in β - γ spectroscopy following β decay of spin-polarised ³¹Na [74, 75]. As the ground state of the parent has a predominantly intruder character, this decay preferentially feeds intruder states in the daughter nucleus. Two rotational bands dominated by the intruder configuration were proposed: a $K = 1/2^+$ deformed band based on the ground state and including, among others, the

- $5/2^+$ state at 945 keV, and a $K = 1/2^-$ deformed band, for which the lowest members are the states 440 at 220 keV and 461 keV. Additionally, the 2.244-MeV level (with a firm spin-parity assignment of $1/2^+$) was strongly populated, in line with its proposed intruder configuration, which is further supported by its decay pattern favouring states in the $K = 1/2^+$ band. For each of the two proposed deformed bands, one in-band B(E2) value is known. Both the $B(E2; 5/2^+ \rightarrow 1/2^+)$ value of 61(7)
- e^{2} fm⁴, or 10.5(12) W.u. (K = 1/2⁺ band) measured in low-energy Coulomb excitation [76] and 445 $B(E2;(7/2^-) \rightarrow (3/2^-)) = 67(6) e^2 \text{fm}^4$, or 11.6(10) W.u. $(K = 1/2^- \text{ band})$ obtained from fast timing [55] are comparable with the $B(E2; 2^+_1 \rightarrow 0^+_1)$ values in ${}^{30,32}\text{Mg}$ and indicate collective enhancements. In contrast, a considerably lower $B(E2; 3/2^+ \rightarrow 1/2^+) = 10(4) e^2 \text{fm}^4$ was obtained for the decay of the level at 673 keV to the ground state [76], in line with different configurations of the initial and final states. 450
 - A large g factor of the ground state in 34 Al was interpreted as resulting from an important 2p 2h intruder admixture to the normal-order configuration [77]. A very different g factor obtained for the low-lying 1⁺ isomer in ³⁴Al led to its association with a 1p - 1h intruder configuration [78]. The absolute value of the quadrupole moment of this state is about 50% larger than that of the

normal-order ground state in ³²Al [78], evidencing an enhanced deformation due to the particle-455

hole excitation across the N = 20 shell gap. Based on $\beta - \gamma$ and $\beta - \gamma - \gamma$ coincidences observed in the β decay of ³⁴Mg, the 1⁺ isomer was shown to be located only 47 keV above the normal-order ground state in ³⁴Al, which identifies ³⁴Al as a crossing point of the normal-order and intruder configurations [79].

460

465

485

- Detailed experimental data also reveal coexistence of normal-order and intruder structures in ³⁴Si. An intermediate-energy Coulomb-excitation study [80] identified the 2_1^+ state in ³⁴Si at 3.3 MeV. The observed hindrance of the $B(E2; 2_1^+ \rightarrow 0_1^+)$ value, equal to 17(7) e²fm⁴, was interpreted as resulting from a small overlap between the 2p - 2h wave function of the 2_1^+ state and the normal-order configuration of the ground state [80]. A candidate for a 2⁺ state based on the normal-order configuration was observed at 5.33 MeV in the ³⁶S(¹¹B,¹³N)³⁴Si two-proton pickup [81] and in the ³⁴P(⁷Li, ⁷Be)³⁴Si charge-exchange reaction [82]. This supports its proton character, which is expected for a normal-order configuration. This state is probably identical to that
- observed at 5.348 MeV in a recent β -decay study [83], which was found to be fed weakly by the decay of the 1⁺ intruder isomer in ³⁴Al, consistent with its normal-order character.
- ⁴⁷⁰ The excited 0_2^+ state at 2.719(3) MeV was populated in β decay of ³⁴Al [84] and from the measured $10^3 \times \rho^2(E0; 0_2^+ \to 0_1^+) = 13(1)$ a rather weak mixing of the 0^+ states of $\cos^2 \theta_0 = 0.78(9)$ was estimated [84]. The measured branching ratio for the 0_2^+ decay resulted in a $B(E2; 2_1^+ \to 0_2^+)$ value of 61(40) e²fm⁴ [84], while its later remeasurement [83] led to the reduction of the $B(E2; 2_1^+ \to 0_2^+)$ value 0_2^+ value to 47(19) e²fm⁴, with the uncertainty of this value dominated by the precision of the
- ⁴⁷⁵ $B(E2; 2_1^+ \rightarrow 0_1^+)$ measurement [80]. The corresponding β_2 deformation of the deformed configuration, 0.27(5), is lower than those of the ground-state structures in ^{30,32}Mg. Finally, a 2_2^+ state at 4.519 MeV was proposed in a β -decay study [85] and based on its decay properties and excitation energy with respect to that of the 2_1^+ state, the importance of triaxiality in the structure of ³⁴Si was discussed. While this state has been confirmed by a subsequent β -decay study [83], contrary to the
- findings of Ref. [85] its decay branch to the 0_2^+ state has not been observed, and the corresponding upper limit points to it being much weaker than what is expected for a triaxial state.

The information on shape coexistence in lighter $N \approx 20$ nuclei is scarce due to their proximity to the neutron dripline, but one should note that multiple multiparticle-multihole configurations in ³⁰Na were identified via a combination of one-proton, one-neutron and one-proton–one-neutron removal reactions [86].

3.1.3. Highly deformed structures around ⁴⁰Ca

Highly deformed structures were identified in ⁴⁰Ca [87], ⁴²Ca [88], ⁴⁴Ti [89] and ³⁸Ar [90] using particle spectroscopy following single- and multi-nucleon transfer reactions. A compilation of these results can be found in Ref. [6]. In particular, two bands interpreted as based on 4p - 4h and 8p - 8h configurations were populated in ⁴⁰Ca via a ³²S(¹²C, α)⁴⁰Ca cluster-transfer reaction [87]. Figure 6 shows the lowest-lying levels assigned to the 0_2^+ (4p - 4h) and 0_3^+ (8p - 8h) structures in ⁴⁰Ca, together with the observed γ band. Somewhat later, advancements in high-resolution γ -ray spectroscopy enabled extending these bands to high spin, and their strongly deformed rotational character was confirmed by the measured moments of inertia and in-band *B*(*E*2) values [91–95].

⁴⁹⁵ Table 1 lists β_2 deformation parameters obtained from the transitional quadrupole moments measured for the known deformed structures in ⁴⁰Ca [91], ³⁶Ar [92], ³⁸Ar [93], ⁴⁰Ar [94] and ³⁵Cl [96], assuming axial symmetry, via Eq. 17. The existing experimental information for the analo-

Figure 6: Selected levels in ⁴⁰Ca and ⁴²Ca, labelled with their I^{π} values and excitation energies in keV. Rust coloured arrows indicate transitions with *E*2 multipolarity and are labelled with *B*(*E*2) values in W.u., and *E*0 transitions are represented as green coloured and labelled with their $10^3 \times \rho^2(E0)$ values, with uncertainties in parentheses (note that in cases of asymmetric uncertainties, they have been averaged for simplicity of display). For ⁴⁰Ca, rotational bands built on the 0^+_2 and 0^+_3 states as well as the *K*=2 band are presented, with all excited states drawn lowered by 2 MeV. For ⁴²Ca, the states built on the ground-state configuration are shown in addition to the 0^+_2 rotational band and the presumed *K*=2 bandhead. The measured quadrupole moments of the 2^+_1 and 2^+_2 states, expressed in *e*b, are given in italics below the levels. Data are taken from Refs. [39, 97, 102].

- ⁵⁰⁵ less deformed states in the bottom of the band, and also not affected by a reduction of collectivity at higher spin observed when approaching band termination. It is worth noting that in the study of ³⁸Ar [93] various fit methods and feeding hypotheses have been tested and the obtained lifetimes were found to depend very strongly on the assumptions made. In a later measurement on ⁴⁰Ca [98], instead of a common fit of all lineshapes in the entire band built on the 0⁺₃ state, two separate
- fits limited to its top and bottom sections were performed, yielding transitional quadrupole moments of $1.81^{+0.41}_{-0.26}\pm 0.21$ *eb* and $1.18^{+0.06}_{-0.05}\pm 0.13$ *eb*, respectively, where the first uncertainty listed is statistical and the second is systematic. The important reduction of collectivity at low spin was attributed to an admixture of configurations involving fewer nucleon pairs promoted through the *N*, *Z*=20 shell gaps.
- ⁵¹⁵ For comparison, for each of the nuclei in question, Tab. 1 provides the β_2 deformation parameters for the ground states, calculated via Eq. 17 from the transitional quadrupole moments for the $2_1^+ \rightarrow 0_1^+$ transitions in even-even nuclei [99] and for the $11/2^- \rightarrow 7/2^-$ transition in ³⁵Cl [96]. One can see that while the β_2 parameters deduced for the highly deformed structures are distinctly larger than those of the ground states, they span a rather broad range, starting from around 0.3 and reaching values observed elsewhere only for superdeformed bands in the $A \sim 150$, $A \sim 190$ and $A \sim 230$ regions. However, contrary to those, the highly deformed structures in the $A \sim 40$ region are linked to less deformed states by intense γ -ray transitions, suggesting an important configuration mixing.
- The mixing of the ground-state band members with more deformed states is also supported ⁵²⁵ by the important population of the latter in single-nucleon transfer (e.g., ${}^{41}Ca(d, p){}^{42}Ca$ [88]), the observed enhancement of quadrupole moments of the 2_1^+ states in Ca isotopes, see Tab. 2, as well as by the small positive values of g factors measured for these states in ${}^{42,44}Ca$ [100]. Since for the normal-order configuration of the ground states, with valence neutrons occupying the $f_{7/2}$ orbital, large negative values would be expected, this result suggests a substantial admixture of a multiparticle-multihole configuration in the 2_1^+ states, at a level close to 50% [100]. The mixing
- of the ground and 0_2^+ states can be estimated from the measured *E*0 transition strengths, which are known for 40,42,44,48 Ca and 38 Ar as listed in Tab. 2. One should note here a significant difference between the $\rho^2(E0)$ values obtained for 42,44 Ca from measurements of e^+-e^- pair production and those resulting from electron scattering studies, with the latter being lower by about one third
- [101, 102]. Assuming, whenever available, β_2 values from Tab. 1 for the deformed 0⁺ state and a spherical shape for the normal-order configuration of the ground state, one can deduce the mixing angles $\cos^2\theta_0$ using Eq. 45, which are listed in Tab. 2. The differences between the values obtained for individual nuclei are rather large, but they all seem to point to rather limited mixing of the 0⁺ states, in contrast to the findings of Ref. [100] for the 2⁺ states in ^{42,44}Ca. It is interesting to note
- that a particularly low mixing observed for the 0⁺ states in ³⁸Ar coincides with a measurement for the neighbouring ⁴⁰Ar of a spectroscopic quadrupole moment of the 2⁺₁ state consistent with its $(d_{3/2})^{-2}$ character, which suggests a very limited mixing of the 2⁺ states. The *g* factors measured for the 2⁺₁ states in ^{36,38}Ar [103], ⁴⁰Ar [104] and ⁴⁴Ti [105] can also be explained without taking into account multiparticle-multihole excitations across the *N*, *Z* = 20 shell gap. On the other hand, the
- recently remeasured lifetime of the 2_1^+ state in ⁴⁴Ti [106] cannot be reproduced without accounting for those. It is clear that the existing information on the mixing of spherical and deformed configurations in the $A \sim 40$ region is incomplete and it is difficult to make firm conclusions about its

Table 1: Deformation parameters, β_2 , of ground-state and excited bands in nuclei around ⁴⁰Ca exhibiting shape coexistence, obtained from experimental B(E2) values, or, in the case of ⁴²Ca, from $\langle Q^2 \rangle$ invariant quantities. Dominant configurations ascribed to the deformed structures are also given, as well as bandhead energies. When two uncertainties are listed, the first one is statistical and the second one systematic.

	ground-state band	deformed structure					
isotope	β_2	β_2	dominant	bandhead			
			configuration	energy [MeV]			
⁴⁰ Ca	0.12(1)[99]	$0.59^{+0.14}_{-0.11}$ [91]	8p - 8h	5.2			
		$0.59^{+0.11}_{-0.07} \pm 0.06 \ ^{a}[98]$					
		$0.40 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.05$ ^b [98]					
		0.27 ± 0.05 [91]	4p - 4h	3.4			
⁴² Ca	0.23(1)[99]	$0.43(4) (0^+_2) [97]$	6p - 4h	1.8			
		$0.45(4) (2^{+}_{2}) [97]$					
³⁶ Ar	0.20(1)[99]	0.46 ± 0.03 [92]	4p - 8h	4.3			
³⁸ Ar	0.135(5)[99]	$0.42^{+0.11}_{-0.08}$ ^c [93]	4p - 6h	3.4			
		>0.68 [93]	4p - 6h	4.7			
⁴⁰ Ar	0.21(1)[99]	$0.53^{+0.20}_{-0.13} \pm 0.06$ [94]	4p - 4h	2.1			
⁴⁴ Ti	0.28(3)[99]	-	8p - 4h	1.9 [95]			
³⁵ Cl	0.12(2)[96]	0.34(2)[96]	3p - 3h	6.6			

^{*a*} Q_t deduced from the decay of the 12⁺, 14⁺ and 16⁺ states

^b Q_t deduced from the decay of the 6⁺, 8⁺ and 10⁺ states

^c Different assumptions in the analysis lead to higher Q_t values

evolution with N and Z without systematic measurements of g factors, spectroscopic quadrupole moments and E0 and E2 transition strengths.

550

The most detailed evidence for shape coexistence in the $A \sim 40$ mass region comes from a recent Coulomb-excitation experiment of ⁴²Ca [97, 107] that provided magnitudes and relative signs of numerous *E*2 matrix elements coupling the low-lying states in this nucleus, which were further interpreted in terms of quadrupole invariants for the $0^+_{1,2}$ and $2^+_{1,2}$ states. In particular, the spectroscopic quadrupole moment of the 2^+_2 state was measured for the first time, and its value

- of -0.42(12) *eb* corresponds to $\beta_2 = 0.48(16)$. The low-lying levels in ⁴²Ca, relevant for this study, are shown in Fig. 6, together with the measured B(E2) and $\rho^2(E0)$ transition rates. The $\langle Q^2 \rangle$ quadrupole invariants for the $0^+_{1,2}$ and $2^+_{1,2}$ states in ⁴²Ca [97, 107] are large and constant within the deformed band, while those for the ground-state band are considerably lower, with an important increase observed between the 0^+_1 and the 2^+_1 states. This effect can be attributed to the mixing of
- the 2⁺ states. From the analysis of $\langle Q^2 \rangle$ fluctuations (defined by Eq. 35) one can conclude that the ground state exhibits a considerable softness, while the 0_2^+ state is more rigid [107]. This is consistent with the value of the $\langle Q^3 \cos 3\delta \rangle$ invariant for the 0_1^+ state being close to zero, which is interpreted as resulting from a γ -soft character of this state (averaging over all possible quadrupole shapes ranging from prolate to oblate yields a γ parameter of 30°).

Table 2: $\rho^2(E0; 0_2^+ \to 0_1^+)$ transition strengths (taken from Ref. [102]) and spectroscopic quadrupole moments of the 2_1^+ states in nuclei around ⁴⁰Ca (from Ref. [97] for ⁴²Ca and evaluated values from Ref. [39] elsewhere). The latter are also normalised to the $Q_s(2_1^+)$ values calculated from the experimental $B(E2; 2_1^+ \to 0_1^+)$ values assuming axial rotor model, i.e., using Eq. 12 ($Q_s(2_1^+)^{rot}$). Mixing angles of the 0⁺ states are also given, which are deduced from the $\rho^2(E0; 0_2^+ \to 0_1^+)$ values assuming the β_2 deformation parameters for the deformed structure listed in Tab. 1.

isotope	$Q_s(2_1^+) [eb]$	$Q_s(2_1^+)/Q_s(2_1^+)^{rot}$	$10^3 \times \rho^2(E0; 0_2^+ \to 0_1^+)$	$\cos^2\theta_0$
⁴⁰ Ca	-	-	25.6(7)	0.70(18)
⁴² Ca	$-0.12^{+0.07}_{-0.02}$	$0.66^{+0.38}_{-0.11}$	140(12)	0.77(6) $0.83(6)^1$
⁴⁴ Ca	-0.14(7)	0.70(35)	140(50)	-
³⁶ Ar	+0.11(6)	0.7(4)	-	-
³⁸ Ar	-	-	18(3)	$0.97^{+0.02}_{-0.04}$
⁴⁰ Ar	+0.01(4)	0.06(24)	-	-

¹ Value obtained taking into account the γ deformation of the 0_2^+ state deduced from the quadrupole invariant [97].

- In Ref. [107], an attempt was made to apply the two-state mixing model to the measured *E*2 matrix elements coupling the $0^+_{1,2}$ and $2^+_{1,2}$ states in ⁴²Ca. The resulting mixing angle for the 0^+ states, $\cos^2\theta_0=0.88(4)$, is consistent with the value of 0.83(6) determined using the measured $\rho^2(E0; 0^+_2 \rightarrow 0^+_1)$ transition strength and deformation parameters for the 0^+_2 state obtained with the quadrupole sum rules approach, see Tab. 2. However, the $\cos^2\theta_2=0.39(8)$ value obtained from the *E*2 matrix elements shows that the two-level mixing model cannot be applied to the $2^+_{1,2}$ states in
- ⁵⁷⁰ E2 matrix elements shows that the two-level mixing model cannot be applied to the $2^+_{1,2}$ states in ⁴²Ca, as it suggests that the 2^+_1 state has a 61% admixture of the deformed configuration, while the 2^+_2 state is dominated by the spherical one, at odds with their measured quadrupole moments. One can note here that the cross sections to populate the $2^+_{1,2}$ states in ⁴²Ca in one-neutron transfer [88] were almost identical, which suggests the same admixture of the $(f_{7/2})^2$ configuration to both states. On the other hand, their quadrupole moments are different, which means that other
- configurations must contribute to these states in different proportions, and this in turn implies that the two-level mixing model is overly simplified in this case.

The importance of triaxiality in this mass region is an open question. The $\langle Q^3 \cos 3\delta \rangle$ invariant determined for the 0_2^+ state in ⁴²Ca suggests a small deviation from an axially symmetric shape $(\gamma=13(5)^\circ)$. The few lowest members of K = 2 bands were identified in ⁴⁴Ti and ⁴⁰Ca [89, 91], and these structures were interpreted as γ bands associated with the deformed configurations (8p - 4hand 4p - 4h, respectively). A 2_3^+ state, linked by intense transitions to both the ground-state and deformed bands, is also known in ⁴²Ca (see Fig. 6 for decay properties of the proposed K = 2structures in ^{40,42}Ca). Extension of this band to higher spin, as well as the identification of its counterparts in other $A \sim 40$ nuclei exhibiting shape coexistence, remains a challenge for γ -ray spectroscopy.

Shape coexistence has also been suggested for a number of odd-mass nuclei in this mass region, as shown in Fig. 7. The experimental data in support of this scenario are mostly limited to level energies and B(E2) values, as discussed in Refs. [2, 3]. Early measurements, summarized

- in Ref. [3], revealed evidence for the presence of intruder states, in particular through the use of 590 transfer reactions, but often were unaccompanied by complementary data that would support shape coexistence. Remarkably, there have been few recent studies that have advanced this view. Specifically, the quadrupole moment of the excited $3/2^+$ state in ⁴⁵Sc, of the intruder proton $d_{3/2}^{(-1)} f_{7/2}^2$ configuration, was measured to be $Q_s = +0.28(5) eb$ [108], in comparison with $Q_s = -0.22(1)$ eb for the ground state [39]. Given the recent work on the neighbouring even-even nuclei, the
- 595

odd-mass nuclei would appear to be ripe for re-investigation with modern spectrometers.

3.1.4. Shape coexistence around the "island of inversion" at N = 28

The development of an "island of inversion" south of ⁴⁸Ca is linked to the coexistence of spherical and deformed configurations in $N \approx 28$ nuclei. The most important body of evidence has been obtained for ⁴⁴S, using γ -ray and electron spectroscopy following fragmentation or particle 600 knockout from intermediate-energy stable and radioactive beams [109–113]. An isomeric 0_2^+ state at 1365(1) keV in ⁴⁴S was observed only 36 keV above the 2_1^+ state [109], and its *E*0 decay branch corresponds to $10^3 \times \rho^2(E0; 0^+_2 \to 0^+_1) = 8.7(7)$ [110]. The mixing of the two 0⁺ states can be estimated from the B(E2; $0_2^+ \rightarrow 2_1^+)/B(E2; 2_1^+ \rightarrow 0_1^+)$ ratio via Eq. 39, yielding $\cos^2 \theta_0$ equal to 0.88. This, combined with the measured E0 transition strength, points to the deformations of the 605 two unperturbed 0⁺ states differing by $\Delta\beta_2 \approx 0.27$. Compared with $\beta_2=0.25$ estimated from the

- $B(E2; 2_1^+ \rightarrow 0_1^+)$ value [114], it suggests that the deformation of the 0_2^+ state is close to zero. A 2_2^+ level at 2156(49) keV was subsequently identified and assigned to the spherical configuration on the basis of a comparison with shell-model calculations [111]. A different candidate for the second
- member of the band built on the 0_2^+ state was proposed in Ref. [112], again with a support from 610 theory calculations. This work also proposed a (2⁺) level at 3248 keV, and the observed 1891-keV γ ray, not seen in coincidence with the $2_1^+ \rightarrow 0_1^+$ decay, was attributed to the decay of the level at 3248 keV to the 0^+_2 state. Finally, a recent lifetime measurement yielded a $B(E2; 4^+_1 \rightarrow 2^+_1)$ value of 0.61(19) W.u. [113], indicating a drastically reduced collectivity with respect to the $B(E2; 2_1^+ \rightarrow$
- 0_1^+) of 7(2) W.u. This suggests that the 4⁺ member of the ground-state rotational band is non-yrast 615 and has not been identified yet, and that its mixing with the observed 4_1^+ state is limited. It is possible, as proposed by Ref. [113], that the 4_1^+ state represents a third different configuration appearing at low excitation energy, but the existing experimental information is insufficient to firmly state that a triple shape coexistence is present in ⁴⁴S.
- A g-factor measurement of the 321-keV 7/2⁻ isomer in ⁴³S [115] suggested that this state 620 has a normal-order $1f_{7/2}$ neutron-hole configuration. Its measured lifetime [115, 116] implies a quadrupole character of its decay to the ground state, which leads to the attribution of the $3/2^{-}$ spin to the latter, consistent with a neutron $2p_{3/2}$ intruder character. This configuration of the ground state was also supported by spectroscopic factors measured in one-neutron knockout reaction from
- ⁴⁴S [117]. The spectroscopic quadrupole moment of the $7/2^{-1}$ isomer was found to be larger than 625 expected for a single-particle state, suggesting an admixture of the intruder configuration [118]. A level at 971(6) keV was proposed as a member of the rotational band based on the deformed ground state, on the basis of an enhanced B(E2) value for its population from the ground state, $B(E2; 3/2_{gs}^{-} \rightarrow (7/2_{2}^{-}))=19(8)$ W.u., deduced from an intermediate-energy Coulomb-excitation
- study [119] and a reduced cross section for its population in intermediate-energy single-neutron 630 knockout [120]. Recently measured lifetimes and γ - γ coincidence information obtained via single-

⁴⁰ Ti	⁴¹ Ti	⁴² Ti	⁴³ Ti	⁴⁴ Ti	⁴⁵ Ti	⁴⁶ Ti	⁴⁷ Ti	⁴⁸ Ti	⁴⁹ Ti	⁵⁰ Ti	level energies
³⁹ Sc	⁴⁰ Sc	⁴¹ Sc	⁴² Sc	⁴³ Sc	⁴⁴ Sc	⁴⁵ Sc	⁴⁶ Sc	⁴⁷ Sc	⁴⁸ Sc	⁴⁹ Sc	E2 strengths
³⁸ Ca	³⁹ Ca	⁴⁰ Ca	⁴¹ Ca	⁴² Ca	⁴³ Ca	⁴⁴ Ca	⁴⁵Ca	⁴⁶ Ca	⁴⁷ Ca	⁴⁸ Ca	E0 strengths transfer cross sections
³⁷ K	³⁸ K	³⁹ K	⁴⁰ K	⁴¹ K	⁴² K	⁴³ K	⁴⁴ K	⁴⁵ K	⁴⁶ K	⁴⁷ K	quadrupole moments
³⁶ Ar	³⁷ Ar	³⁸ Ar	³⁹ Ar	⁴⁰ Ar	⁴¹ Ar	⁴² Ar	⁴³ Ar	⁴⁴ Ar	⁴⁵ Ar	⁴⁶ Ar	quadrupole invariants
³⁵ CI	³⁶ CI	³⁷ Cl	³⁸ CI	³⁹ CI	⁴⁰ CI	⁴¹CI	⁴² CI	⁴³ Cl	⁴⁴ Cl	⁴⁵ CI	
³⁴ S	³⁵ S	³⁶ S	³⁷ S	³⁸ S	³⁹ S	⁴⁰ S	⁴¹ S	⁴² S	⁴³ S	⁴⁴ S	
³³ P	³⁴ P	³⁵ P	³⁶ P	³⁷ P	³⁸ P	³⁹ P	⁴⁰ P	⁴¹ P	⁴² P	⁴³ P	Ĩ
³² Si	³³ Si	³⁴ Si	³⁵ Si	³⁶ Si	³⁷ Si	³⁸ Si	³⁹ Si	⁴⁰ Si	⁴¹ Si	⁴² Si	
³¹ AI	³² AI	³³ AI	³⁴ AI	³⁵ AI	³⁶ AI	³⁷ AI	³⁸ AI	³⁹ AI	40AI	⁴¹ AI	Î
³⁰ Mg	³¹ Mg	³² Mg	³³ Mg	³⁴ Mg	³⁵ Mg	³⁶ Mg	³⁷ Mg	³⁸ Mg	³⁹ Mg	⁴⁰ Mg	
²⁹ Na	³⁰ Na	³¹ Na	³² Na	³³ Na	³⁴ Na	³⁵ Na	³⁶ Na	³⁷ Na			-

²⁹Na ³⁰Na ³¹Na ³²Na ³³Na ³⁴Na ³⁵Na ³⁶Na ³⁶Na ³⁷Na ³⁷Na ³⁷Na ³⁶Na ³⁷Na ³⁶Na ³⁷Na ³⁶Na ³⁷Na ³⁶Na ³⁷Na ³⁶Na ³⁶Na ³⁷Na ³⁶Na ³⁷Na ³⁶Na ³⁶Na ³⁷Na ³⁶Na ³⁷Na ³⁶Na ³⁶Na ³⁶Na ³⁷Na ³⁶Na ³⁶Na ³⁷Na ³⁶Na ³⁶Na ³⁶Na ³⁷Na ³⁶Na ³⁶Na ³⁷Na ³⁶Na ³⁶Na ³⁷Na ³⁶Na ³⁶Na ³⁶Na ³⁶Na ³⁷Na ³⁶Na ³⁶Na ³⁶Na ³⁷Na ³⁶Na ³⁶Na ³⁷Na ³⁶Na ³⁶Na ³⁷Na ³⁶Na ³⁶Na ³⁶Na ³⁷Na ³⁶Na ³⁶Na ³⁷Na ³⁶Na ³

635

feeds not the ground state, but a 184-keV state with a tentative spin assignment of $(1/2^{-})$. The measured lifetime of the state decaying via the ~970-keV γ -ray transition is consistent with its collective character (16(5) W.u.). The ordering of the two transitions proposed by Ref. [121] was, however, not confirmed by the results of one-neutron knockout from ⁴⁴S [117], which also casts some doubt on the lifetime obtained in Ref. [121]. A cascade of two coincident γ rays of 628 keV and 1159 keV, assigned by Ref. [121] as the $(5/2_3^-) \rightarrow (3/2_2^-) \rightarrow 3/2_{gs}^-$ transitions based on a comparison with shell-model calculations, was confirmed and extended by Ref. [117].

proton knockout from ⁴⁴Cl [121] suggest that the ~970-keV γ ray observed in Refs. [119, 120]

⁶⁴⁰ While it has been suggested that the band-like structure built on the $(3/2_2^-)$ state corresponds to a different intrinsic shape [121], further experimental evidence would be necessary to verify this interpretation (currently only the $B(E2; 3/2_{gs}^- \rightarrow (3/2_2^-))$ of 1.0(7) W.u. is known [122]). Finally, a candidate for a state built on the top the $7/2_1^-$ isomer was recently proposed [117] and the energy of its decay to the isomer (1532 keV) supports weak deformation of the latter. Interestingly, a recent intermediate-energy Coulomb-excitation study [122] yielded a $B(E2; 3/2_{gs}^- \rightarrow (7/2_2^-))$ value of

10(2) W.u., much lower than that of Ref. [119], and a comparable $B(E2; 7/2_1^- \rightarrow (9/2^-))$ value of 11(4) W.u. for the population of the state built on the $7/2_1^-$ isomer, suggesting a similar collectivity of the two structures.

The contradictions between the existing data demonstrate the challenges of experimental studies in this mass region. Shape coexistence is expected to persist inside the "island of inversion", 650 but there is very little experimental evidence for it. One can mention here the direct population of the 2150(13)-keV state in ⁴²Si observed in one-proton knockout from ⁴³P [123], which excluded the previous 4^+ assignment of this state [124] and suggested instead that it may be a low-lying 0^+_2 state predicted by various theoretical calculations. Outside the border of the "island of inversion" the experimental information on shape coexistence is also very limited; while these nuclei are less 655 exotic and thus more accessible experimentally, the presumed intruder configurations appear at much higher excitation energies than the normal-order states in S and Si nuclei. Notably, a 0^+_2 state was identified at 3695 keV in ⁴⁶Ar following the ⁴⁴Ar(t, p)⁴⁶Ar two-neutron transfer reaction, and its spin-parity was unambiguously assigned based on the shape of the differential cross section [125]. 660

Figure 7 displays a portion of the chart of the nuclides highlighting the Na - Ti nuclei, with a colour coding indicating the available data which provide evidence for shape coexistence. The lack of colour coding for other nuclei does not imply that these quantities are unknown, but are insufficient to substantiate a claim for shape coexistence. For some nuclei, like ⁴²Ca, the evidence

- is so strong that they provide anchors for systematics in the region the energy patterns, B(E2)665 values, E0 strengths, transfer cross sections, quadrupole moments and quadrupole invariants all point to coexisting shapes. For other nuclei, the suggestion or assignment of shape coexistence is based on the energy patterns or systematics only, and further studies are definitely required. Many of the odd-mass nuclei fall into this category. Figure 7 reveals a clustering effect of studies; in the
- Ar Sc region a wealth of data exists for stable nuclei, while experimental information on shape 670 coexistence in neutron-deficient isotopes is very limited, even though it is suggested by theoretical calculations. The Mg and Si isotopes, on the other hand, are hard to access experimentally due to their neutron-rich character and it has only been the advent of radioactive beam facilities that has enabled the wide variety of studies to elucidate their structure, with detailed transfer studies worth mentioning in particular.

675

3.2. Shape coexistence around Z=28

3.2.1. Vicinity of ⁵⁶Ni

Very similar to the observations in the immediate vicinity of Z = N = 20, highly deformed structures are also present near $Z = N = 28^{56}$ Ni. For example, studies using fusion-evaporation reactions populated states in ⁵⁶Ni at moderate-to-high spin, and identified two well-deformed ro-680 tational bands [126]. One of these bands, built on the 0_3^+ state at 5.003 MeV, is explained as a 4p - 4h excitation, with proton 2p - 2h contributions revealed by its population in the (³He,n) reaction (under the assumption that the ground state wave function of 54 Fe has a 2*h* character) [127]. The second band, observed to be built on the spin (5) state at 8.890 MeV, was also inter-

preted as a 4p - 4h excitation with one particle in the $g_{9/2}$ orbital [126], and lifetimes extracted 685 from DSAM measurements were consistent with an average transitional quadrupole moment \bar{Q}_t 690

formed structures were also observed in the neighbouring isotope ⁵⁸Ni, with a negative-parity band having $\bar{Q}_t = 2.4(3)$ eb identified in Ref. [130]. The suggested configuration involved two neutrons and one proton occupying the 1/2[440] Nilsson orbital, and a proton hole in either the 1/2[321] or the 5/2[312] orbital. The spectroscopy of ⁵⁸Ni was studied in detail in Ref. [131], where nine rotational band structures were observed built on states at moderate spins. In addition to the above neutron two-particle and proton particle-hole configuration, other configurations that emerged from the cranked-Nilsson-Strutinsky calculations [131] were similar to the configurations assigned in ⁵⁶Ni and included two neutrons in the $g_{9/2}$ orbital coupled to a 4p - 4h configuration in the pf shell. A deformed rotational band with $Q_t = 2.2^{+1.1}_{-0.8}$ eb was also identified in ⁶²Ni [129], and structures with similar moments of inertia are also known in odd-mass Ni isotopes, ⁵⁷Ni [132, 133], ⁵⁹Ni [134] and ⁶³Ni [135]. While the uncertainties are often large, the extracted β_2 values for these structures are in the range of 0.3–0.45 [135].

similar to that for the highly deformed band in ⁵⁸Cu that had $\bar{Q}_t = 2.0(3) eb$ [128]. Highly de-

- The states at 3531 keV (0_3^+) in ⁵⁸Ni, 3319 keV (0_3^+) in ⁶⁰Ni, and 3519 keV (0_4^+) in ⁶²Ni were 700 observed to be populated strongly in the two-proton-transfer (${}^{3}\text{He},n$) reactions [136–138] or the (⁶Li,d) or (¹⁶O,¹²C) α -particle transfer reactions, and were identified as the T = 1, T = 2, and T = 3 proton pairing vibration states [136, 139]. What is remarkable is that the 0_3^+ states in ^{58,60}Ni have very strong E0 transitions to the ground state, with $10^3 \times \rho^2(E0)$ values of 80(30) and
- 77_{-42}^{+66} [102], respectively, whereas it is the 0_2^+ level in 62 Ni, that was not observed in the proton-pair 705 transfer reactions, which decays with a similarly enhanced E0 strength with $10^3 \times \rho^2(E0) = 130^{+60}_{-70}$ [140]. These $\rho^2(E0)$ values are some of the largest known between 0⁺ states outside of the shapetransition regions at N = 60 and N = 90 [25, 102]. Strongly enhanced $\rho^2(E0)$ values have also been observed for the $2_2^+ \rightarrow 2_1^+$ transitions in 58,60,62 Ni [140, 141]. In 58,60 Ni, the excitation
- energies of the 2^+_2 states are lower than those of the 0^+_2 states, and thus cannot be easily described 710 as members of a shape-coexisting 0⁺ band. Figure 8 summarizes some of the spectroscopic data for the lowest 0^+ and 2^+ excitations in the even-even Ni isotopes. The quadrupole moments of the 2_1^+ states are consistent with a spherical shape, and the large $\rho^2(E0)$ values could naively be interpreted as indicating deformed states. The lack of known rotational structures built on these
- levels, however, is curious, and perhaps indicates that the origin of the E0 strength is related to 715 dynamic, rather than static, deformation. In Ref. [27], calculations were performed for several nuclei including ⁵⁸Ni, exploring the origin of the enhancement of E0 transitions, and found that important contributions to the E0 strength arise from the core polarization. More work is required to characterize the nature of these states in the mid-shell Ni isotopes.
- 3.2.2. Vicinity of ⁶⁸Ni 720

725

A new region of deformed nuclei with Z < 28 and $N \approx 40$ has been identified and extensively investigated in the recent years. The yeast bands of the even-even Ni isotopes beyond N = 40are expected to show a seniority character with neutrons occupying the $vg_{9/2}$ shell, similar to the N = 50 isotones with protons dominantly confined to the $\pi g_{9/2}$ shell. Isomeric 8⁺ states arising from the alignment of a broken neutron pair with seniority v = 2 were observed in ^{68,70}Ni [146]. The v = 2 seniority multiplet should consist of pure neutron states, i.e., decaying with low E2 transition probabilities, as shown in Fig. 10 for 68 Ni. In 70 Ni, the 8^+_1 and 6^+_1 states present such character, while the enhanced $B(E2; 2_1^+ \rightarrow 0_1^+)$ value of 10.0(17) W.u., together with a trend

Figure 8: Spectroscopic data for low-lying 0⁺ and 2⁺ states in ⁵⁶⁻⁶²Ni. The arrows represent *E*0 transitions and are labelled with their $10^3 \times \rho^2(E0)$ values. The values under the 2⁺₁ levels are the spectroscopic quadrupole moments Q_s in *e*b. The values, in bold, under the 0⁺ states are the population strengths, expressed in percent, relative to the ground state for the (⁶Li,*d*) reactions (red, left) and the (³He,*n*) reactions (blue, right). For ⁶⁰Ni, the two-proton-transfer strength of 150% is attributed to the 3588-keV 0⁺₄ state in Refs. [136, 137], and to the 3318-keV 0⁺₃ state in Ref. [138]. As the α -transfer strength is definitely listed for the 0⁺₃ state in Ref. [139], it is likely that the (³He,*n*) strength should also be associated with the 0⁺₃ state. The 5004- and 5350-keV levels in ⁵⁶Ni have been assigned as the lowest-spin members of the superdeformed band. Data are taken from the National Nuclear Data Center database [39] and Refs. [102, 140, 141] (*E*0), [139] (⁶Li,*d*), and [127, 136–138] (³He,*n*).

observed in the Zn isotopes, was interpreted as reflecting a strong polarization of the Z = 28 core [147]. It was speculated that the underlying cause was a reduction of the Z = 28 proton shell gap in the immediate vicinity of ⁶⁸Ni leading to deformation [147, 148].

730

735

The first suggestions of shape coexistence at N = 40 followed the identification of a lowlying 0_2^+ state in ⁶⁸Ni [149, 150]. This level, being the first excited state in ⁶⁸Ni, was initially measured to have an excitation energy of 1.77 MeV [149, 150] with later studies placing it at 1604 keV [151]. Its interpretation is that of primarily a neutron excitation, with two particles promoted from the *pf* orbitals to the $g_{9/2}$ orbital [2, 151, 152]. Pauwels *et al.* [152] emphasize the

similarity of ⁶⁸Ni and ⁹⁰Zr, and suggest that the lowering of the ground-state energy, resulting in an artificially high 2_1^+ excitation energy, is due to the role of pairing and the mixing of 0⁺ states from the $v(p_{1/2})^2$ and $v(g_{9/2})^2$ configurations [2]. Two-neutron transfer cross sections measured in the ⁶⁶Ni(*t*, *p*)⁶⁸Ni reaction showed a strong population of the 0_1^+ state and a weak population of the 0_2^+ state, consistent with the $v(g_{9/2})^2$ interpretation of the latter [153, 154]. The 2_1^+ and 0_2^+ states were suggested to form a band based on the the measured $B(E2; 2_1^+ \rightarrow 0_2^+) = 8.9(28)$ W.u. [155] (compared to 3.2(7) W.u. [156] for the 2_1^+ decay to the ground state) and their oblate

character has been proposed [155, 157]. However, the strong population of the 2_1^+ state in the

two-neutron transfer reaction was shown to be inconsistent with shell-model calculations having a

Figure 9: Energy systematics of selected positive-parity excited states in even-even ⁵⁶⁻⁷⁸Ni isotopes, showing yrast bands, three lowest 0⁺ excited states and bands postulated to be built on them. The normal-order states are marked with black squares. The $\pi(2p-2h)$ states are shown with blue circles; for those observed to be populated strongly in proton-transfer reactions dark blue is used, whereas those assigned based on calculations (which predict prolate shapes) are plotted with light-coloured circles and dotted lines. The superdeformed 4p - 4h state in ⁵⁶Ni is denoted with a magenta triangle. The 0^+ states presumed to be oblate and related to neutron excitations are shown with red triangles, and the presumed spherical 0^+ states with green diamonds. The states, for which the existing information is insufficient to characterize them as a specific configuration are marked with yellow stars. Data are taken from the National Nuclear Data Center database [39] and Refs. [142-145].

dominant $v(g_{9/2})^2$ configuration, indicating a more complex wave function that must involve twoparticle components of low-*i* orbitals [154]. These two interpretations are illustrated in Fig. 10, highlighting that there remains uncertainty regarding the interpretation of the low-lying excited states as belonging to a deformed configuration, or as seniority or broken-pair structures.

750

A state at 2511 keV, tentatively assigned as (0_3^+) , was observed in the β decay of a low-spin isomer in ⁶⁸Co [158] and its spin has recently been confirmed by an angular correlation measurement [159]. Limits on its E0 decay strengths were also extracted, with $10^3 \times \rho^2(E0; 0_3^+ \rightarrow 0_1^+) \le 5$ and $10^3 \times \rho^2(E0; 0^+_3 \to 0^+_2) \le 26$ [155, 157]. Placed in the context of the large $\rho^2(E0)$ values observed in the lighter Ni isotopes, these $\rho^2(E0)$ values are remarkably small, revealing either a similar deformation of these states or small mixing of the respective wave functions (or both). A 755 2_2^+ state at 2743 keV excitation energy has been proposed as a member of the 0_3^+ band [142, 157]; the energy spacing would imply $\beta_2 \sim 0.45$, i.e., twice that of the purported 0^+_2 band. The excitation energy of the 2511-keV 0_3^+ state is compatible with the shell-model predictions for an intruder state corresponding to a 2p - 2h proton excitation across the Z = 28 shell [155], and also with estimates using the locations of the $\pi(1p-2h)$ and $\pi(2p-1h)$ states in ⁶⁷Co and ⁶⁹Cu, respectively 760 [152]. If the assignments of Ref. [155] are correct, however, it would imply that the spherical 2⁺

32

Figure 10: Partial level scheme of ⁶⁸Ni. The transitions are labelled with their B(E2) values in W.u. (rust-coloured arrows) or $10^3 \times \rho^2(E0)$ values (green-coloured arrows). The levels are organized into deformed bands as assigned in Ref. [142, 155] (coloured levels on the left-hand side), and Refs. [142, 146, 152, 154] (black-coloured levels on the right-hand side) where they were suggested to have significant components of the labelled configurations. The two-neutron transfer cross sections measured [154] for the 0_2^+ and 2_1^+ states were shown to be consistent with $\nu(g_{9/2})^2$ and low-*j* neutron-pair structures, respectively.

state has yet to be observed, and also would (likely) have a very high excitation energy.

Similar deformed configurations of a proton character were postulated in ⁶⁴Ni [144], ⁶⁶Ni [145, 160, 161] and ⁷⁰Ni [162–164]. The systematics of low-lying excited states in ^{56–78}Ni, including yrast bands and the three lowest 0⁺ states, are presented in Fig. 9. The prolate-deformed proton intruder states are postulated to be the 0_4^+ states in ^{64,66}Ni, the 0_3^+ state in ⁶⁸Ni, and possibly the 0_2^+ level in ⁷⁰Ni, with the other low-lying 0⁺ states suggested to be of a neutron nature and likely weakly-to-moderately deformed [144, 145, 160–164]. This leads to a triple shape-coexistence scenario proposed for these nuclei, and an open question of the natures of the excited 0⁺ states in the lighter Ni isotopes, and the existence of low-lying deformed 0⁺ states in ^{72,74}Ni close to the neutron mid-shell.

770

765

A delayed 168(1)-keV γ -ray transition in ⁶⁸Ni was observed in a two-proton transfer reaction ²³⁸U(⁷⁰Zn,⁶⁸Ni)²⁴⁰Pu [165], and was interpreted as the decay of another 0⁺ state at 2202(1) keV

775

780

to the 2_1^+ state. This new isomer was not confirmed by Ref. [159]. The comparison with the ⁷⁰Zn(¹⁴C,¹⁶O)⁶⁸Ni data remains, however, puzzling. In the experiments of Refs. [149, 150], the 0^+_2 and 2^+_1 states were proposed to be located at 1770 and 2200 keV, respectively. Further measurements changed these excitation energies to 1604 and 2033 keV, respectively. This represents differences of 166 and 167 keV, very close in energy to the delayed 168(1)-keV transition observed uniquely in Ref. [165]. This systematic shift is intriguing and gives room for a possible explanation of the origin of the 168(1)-keV transition. One could speculate that the study of Ref. [165] populated a third excited 0^+ state similar to those in 64,66 Ni.

The systematics of the 2_1^+ excitation energy in the Fe isotopes (Z = 26) shows a continuous decrease from N = 36 to N = 46, which is accompanied by an increase of the corresponding reduced transition probabilities [166] clearly indicating collectivity, and suggesting that the ground states are deformed. The reproduction of the lifetimes of the 2_1^+ and 4_1^+ states in 64,66 Fe required a 785 significant occupancy of the $vg_{9/2}$ and $vd_{5/2}$ orbitals coupled to the proton holes [167, 168]. One can speculate that there may be a similarity of configurations between the deformed ground states in the Fe nuclei and the deformed excited states in the Ni isotopes, as well as between the expected

weakly deformed excited states in the former and the ground states in the latter. Shell-model calculations [169] predict indeed that the 0^+_2 state in ⁶⁶Fe would be almost spherical, with 790 nearly identical proton occupancies in the pf shell, and less important role of neutron (mp - mh)excitations across N = 40, compared to the 0^+_1 state.

A 0_2^+ state at 1414 keV has been identified in ⁶⁶Fe following β decay of ⁶⁶Mn [161, 169, 170] and its excitation energy agrees very well with the theoretical prediction of Ref. [169]. Additional work is required to characterize the nature of the states in the Fe isotopes, however, and the 795 presence of shape coexistence is not yet based on firm experimental evidence.

In 61 Fe, a $(9/2^+)$ isomeric state at 861 keV was reported. Its quadrupole moment has been measured and corresponds to a moderately deformed shape, which indicates its possible $g_{9/2}$ intruder character. This state coexists with a weakly deformed ground-state band consisting of $1/2^{-}$, $3/2^{-}$ and $5/2^{-}$ states, compatible with the coupling of a single neutron to the 0_{1}^{+} and 2_{1}^{+} states in the even-even ⁶⁰Fe core [171]. In ⁶³Fe, a (9/2⁺) state is also proposed, but unplaced in the level 800 scheme [172]. The $1/2_{gs}^{-}$, $3/2_{1}^{-}$, and $5/2_{1}^{-}$ states in ⁶⁵Fe, populated in the β decay of ⁶⁵Mn, are compatible with the coupling of the 0^+ ground state and the 2^+_1 state in 64 Fe with a single neutron in the pf shell. Low-lying $9/2^+$ and $5/2^+$ states have also been identified and associated to the intruder gd orbitals, supporting their importance just below N = 40, as evidenced also by the collective 805 properties of ^{64,66}Fe [173]. No experimental evidence for shape coexistence, however, has yet been reported, and the spectroscopy of ⁶⁷Fe is very incomplete.

3.2.3. Co isotopes

The Co isotopes (Z = 27) may also be expected to exhibit shape coexistence. Unfortunately, due to its chemical properties, cobalt is almost impossible to extract rapidly from a thick ISOL 810 target, and therefore laser spectroscopy of the ground state was achieved only for stable or very long-lived Co isotopes, whereas the key nuclei, near N = 40, have millisecond lifetimes that make their spectroscopy difficult to perform. In the last decade, several experimental programs have tried to address the expected shape coexistence in Co isotopes via in-beam γ -ray spectroscopy,

 β -decay studies, and measurements of lifetimes of states to enable the determination of transition 815

Nucleus	I _i	\mathbf{I}_{f}	Excitation energy	$B(E2; I_i \rightarrow I_f)$ [W.u.]	$B(M1; I_i \rightarrow I_f)$ [W.u.]
		·	[keV]	(assuming pure E2)	(assuming pure M1)
⁶³ Co	(3/2-)	7/2-	995	3.7(4) [177]	-
	(9/2-)	$7/2^{-}$	1383	12(5) [177]	0.013(4) [177]
	$(11/2^{-})$	$7/2^{-}$	1674	4(1) [168, 179]	-
⁶⁴ Ni	2^{+}	0^+	1345	9.04(28)	-
⁶⁵ Co	(3/2-)	7/2-	882	17(16) [178]	-
	(9/2 ⁻ , 11/2 ⁻)	$7/2^{-}$	1642	-	-
	(9/2-, 11/2-)	7/2-	1479	6(2) [179]	-
⁶⁶ Ni	2+	0^+	1424.8	7.6(13)	-

Table 3: Measured $B(\lambda \mu; I_i \rightarrow g.s.)$ values in odd-mass Co isotopes. The corresponding $B(E2; 2_1^+ \rightarrow 0_1^+)$ values in the even-even Ni cores are also given.

probabilities. The natures of the ground states in the Co isotopes were inferred through systematic verifications of the "core-coupling" model (for details, see, e.g., [174]). Spherical or weakly deformed excited states in Co nuclei should exhibit the characteristics of core-coupled states (weak coupling scheme), i.e., the barycentre of the lowest-lying core-coupled multiplet should be located at the 2_1^+ energy of the even-even Ni core, and the B(E2) values for the decay of core-coupled states to the ground state should equal the $B(E2; 2^+_1 \rightarrow 0^+_1)$ value for the core. In contrast, the deformed states should possess rotational bands with moments of inertia and B(E2) values similar to those of the deformed states in the even-even Fe cores.

820

The lowest-lying weak-coupled states result from the $f_{7/2}^{-1} \otimes 2_{Ni}^{+}$ coupling that yields the set $3/2^{-}$, $5/2^{-}$, $7/2^{-}$, $9/2^{-}$, and $11/2^{-}$. Candidate levels for members of this multiplet have been 825 suggested [175, 176] in 63,65,67 Co with excitation energies similar to those of the 2_1^+ states in the corresponding Ni cores, although their identification in many cases is tentative due to uncertain

a core-coupled character, which would support a weakly deformed character of the ground state, was tested by lifetime measurements [177, 178], and Tab. 3 presents the resulting B(E2) values 830 for their decay to the ground state, compared to the $B(E2; 2_1^+ \rightarrow 0_1^+)$ value in the even-even core. The $B(E2; (3/2_1^-) \rightarrow 7/2_1^-)$ and $B(E2; (11/2_1^-) \rightarrow 7/2_1^-)$ values in ⁶³Co are similar, as expected from the coupling scheme, but they are both significantly lower than the $B(E2; 2_1^+ \rightarrow 0_1^+)$ value in ⁶⁴Ni. The remaining values displayed in Tab. 3 agree within uncertainties with the values for

spin-parity assignments. The hypothesis that the first $(3/2^{-}), (9/2^{-}), (11/2^{-})$ states in ^{63.65}Co have

the Ni cores. The systematics of $(9/2^{-})$ states was extended beyond N = 40 in 69,71,73 Co, and the 835 energies of the tentative $(9/2^-) \rightarrow (7/2^-)$ transitions are similar to those of the $2^+_1 \rightarrow 0^+_1$ transitions in the corresponding 70,72,74 Ni cores [180]. It should be emphasized that the uncertainties of the B(E2) values are large and the $\delta(E2/M1)$ mixing ratios are, mostly, not measured. Thus, more precise measurements are needed to determine possible deviations from the weak-coupling model to probe the influence of the deformed configurations.

840

A (1/2⁻) state was reported at 1095 keV in ⁶⁵Co and interpreted, in a comparison with shellmodel calculations, as a deformed proton state involving excitation across the Z = 28 shell gap [178]. While there is no unambiguous evidence to affirm this interpretation, a $1/2^{-}$ state cannot belong to the $\pi f_{7/2}^{-1} \otimes 2_{1Ni}^+$ multiplet, and a single-particle $p_{1/2}$ state at such a low excitation energy


Figure 11: Dominant configurations and presumed shapes of low-lying states in N = 40 isotones ⁶⁶Fe, ⁶⁷Co and ⁶⁸Ni, resulting from calculations of Refs. [161, 169]. The vertically stretched ellipses denote prolate deformation, horizontally stretched ones oblate, and circles nearly spherical shapes. Purple shades denote proton components in the wave function, green ones neutron components, and the intensity of the color is related to the number of nucleons promoted across a shell gap according to the calculations of Refs. [161, 169].

- would be surprising. A higher-lying $(3/2^{-})$ state at 1223 keV has a significant branch to the 845 $(1/2^{-})$ state. While lifetimes for these states have been measured [178], the lack of knowledge of mixing ratios prevents the determination of the B(E2) values. These states have been suggested to arise from the $\pi(f_{7/2}^{-2}p_{3/2}^1)$ deformed configuration, i.e., the 1/2⁻[321] orbital [152, 176, 178]. A $(1/2^{-})$ isomeric state at 491 keV excitation energy is reported in ⁶⁷Co and interpreted as a deformed intruder state also. Based on this energy and that of the 7/2⁻ isomer in ⁶⁹Cu (1711 keV), interpreted 850 as the $\pi(2p-1h)$ configuration, one can expect that the $\pi(2p-2h)$ 0⁺ deformed state in ⁶⁸Ni should appear at 491+1711 = 2202 keV, i.e., close to the energy of the 2511-keV 0_3^+ state, supporting its present interpretation [152]. One could speculate that with additional neutrons added to the $vg_{9/2}$ orbital, the $(1/2^{-})$ intruder state could become the ground state in the Co isotopes beyond N = 40, however, their ground-state spins and parities remain unknown. In ⁶⁹Co [181], an isomeric state 855 with an unknown excitation energy, tentatively assigned as having $I^{\pi} = (1/2^{-})$, is proposed as a candidate for a proton intruder state. A possible spin-parity inversion of the Co ground states from $7/2^{-}$ to $1/2^{-}$ should be investigated, and possible members of a rotational band built on the $(1/2^{-})$
- state should be sought. Combining the observed states in the N = 40 isotones with the expected p - h excitations, a picture as presented in Fig. 11 emerges. In this picture, the 0_3^+ state in ⁶⁸Ni corresponds to the $\pi(2p - 2h)$ configuration, the 491 keV state in ⁶⁷Co the $\pi(1p - 2h)$ configuration, and the ground state in ⁶⁶Fe has a $\pi(2h)$ configuration. The "normal" configuration, corresponding to the ground state in ⁶⁸Ni, the $\pi(1h)$ ground state in ⁶⁷Co, and the $\pi(2h)$ state in ⁶⁶Fe, may then appear as a low-
- ⁸⁶⁵ lying 0⁺ state in the latter. Further away from Z = 28, low-lying 0⁺ states of a similar configuration were also predicted in ^{62,64}Cr (Z = 24), and a candidate in ⁶²Cr was observed experimentally [182].



Figure 12: Schematic presentation of normal-order (e.g., 0_2^+ in ⁶⁸Ni, 0_2^+ in ⁶⁶Fe) and intruder configurations (i.e., 0_3^+ in ⁶⁸Ni, 0_1^+ in ⁶⁶Fe) of shape-coexisting states around N = 40.

It is stressed that while these states are labelled by their proton p-h content, all of them will involve neutron mp-mh contributions. In particular, both the ground and the 0^+_2 state in ⁶⁶Fe are predicted to have important v(mp - mh) contributions [169], which are larger for the former, leading to its deformed nature. This particular region suffers from lack of experimental data as well as some inconsistencies between the results, but the overall reproduction of the available data by shellmodel calculations provides confidence in the predicted shapes for these excitations. Experiments aimed at testing these predictions will be crucial, although challenging.

A low-spin isomer, with unknown excitation energy, is also reported in ⁶⁸Co [158]. Several spin-parity assignments were proposed for this isomer based on the states in ⁶⁸Ni that are populated by its β decay [157, 158, 183]. The spin assignments proposed in Refs. [157, 183] support its interpretation as a deformed proton state. A direct measurement of its excitation energy and, more importantly, of its spin and parity will provide an important experimental constraint on the

microscopic configuration of the 0^+_3 state in ⁶⁸Ni.

		evel en	ergies		E2 stren	gths	E	transfer cross sections						
⁵⁶ Ni	⁵⁷ Ni	⁵⁸ Ni	⁵⁹ Ni	⁶⁰ Ni	⁶¹ Ni	⁶² Ni	⁶³ Ni	⁶⁴ Ni	⁶⁵ Ni	⁶⁶ Ni	⁶⁷ Ni	⁶⁸ Ni	⁶⁹ Ni	⁷⁰ Ni
⁵⁵ Co	⁵⁶ Co	⁵⁷ Co	⁵⁸ Co	⁵⁹ Co	⁶⁰ Co	⁶¹ Co	⁶² Co	⁶³ Co	⁶⁴ Co	⁶⁵ Co	⁶⁶ Co	⁶⁷ Co	⁶⁸ Co	⁶⁹ Co
⁵⁴ Fe	⁵⁵ Fe	⁵⁶ Fe	⁵⁷ Fe	⁵⁸ Fe	⁵⁹ Fe	⁶⁰ Fe	⁶¹ Fe	⁶² Fe	⁶³ Fe	⁶⁴ Fe	⁶⁵ Fe	⁶⁶ Fe	⁶⁷ Fe	⁶⁸ Fe

Figure 13: Summary of experimental data for nuclei exhibiting shape coexistence in the Fe-Co-Ni region. Red bars indicate that shape-coexisting structures were proposed based on their level energies (e.g., observation at low excitation energy of presumed 0^+ states in even-even nuclei, or isomers in odd-mass ones, or rotational structures with very different moments of inertia), orange bars mean that additional information was obtained from *E*2 transition strengths, yellow bars mean that information on *E*0 transition strengths is known, and green bars mean measured cross sections to populate the coexisting configurations in direct reactions. Stable nuclei are indicated with a darker shade.

880

870

Figure 13 summarizes the quantities that have been measured in the Fe – Ni isotopes that are used to support shape-coexistence assignments. We stress here that large $\rho^2(E0)$ strengths were

measured for the decay of low-lying 0^+ and 2^+ states in ^{58,60,62}Ni, and although these nuclei satisfy many of the criteria for shape coexistence, no rotational-like bands built on these states have been identified. While rich and diverse data are now available for ⁶⁸Ni, considerable uncertainty remains regarding the assignments of its first two excited states, which, together with the recent suggestions of shape coexistence in the ^{64,66}Ni isotopes, provides strong motivation for further studies.

3.2.4. Vicinity of ⁷⁸Ni The first and most direct evidence for shape coexistence in the immediate vicinity of ⁷⁸Ni was

890

885

obtained through the measurement of a large isomer shift for the $1/2^+$ isomer in ⁷⁹Zn [184]. The excitation energy of this isomer was determined in a 78 Zn(d, p) 79 Zn study to be 1.10(15) MeV [185]. The ground-state β_2 deformation of ⁷⁹Zn, estimated from its measured spectroscopic quadrupole moment [184], is equal to 0.15(2), which is consistent with the values of 0.15(2) and 0.14(2) obtained from the measured $B(E2; 2_1^+ \rightarrow 0_1^+)$ values in ⁷⁸Zn and ⁸⁰Zn, respectively [186]. If the measured isomer shift is entirely attributed to an increase of deformation, it leads to an estimate of $\beta_2 \approx 0.22$ for the isomer [184]. Based on the measured g factor of the isomer [184] one can assign

to it a 2h - 1p intruder configuration related to neutron excitation across the N = 50 shell gap. Similar conclusions can be drawn from a recent ${}^{80}\text{Ge}(d, p){}^{81}\text{Ge}$ study [187], which deduced strong $3s_{1/2}$ and $2d_{5/2}$ components in 679-keV $1/2^+$ and 711-keV $5/2^+$ states, respectively, thus linking them to neutron promotion across the N = 50 shell gap. Low-lying states of a 2h - 1p intruder configuration were also identified in N = 49 isotones ⁸³Se [188], ⁸⁵Kr [189], and ⁸⁷Sr [190] via

(d, p) transfer reactions.

Two recent measurements used β decay of ⁸⁰Ga to perform electron-conversion spectroscopy of ⁸⁰Ge [191, 192]. A conversion-electron peak at 628 keV was reported in Ref. [191], which was attributed to the decay of a 0^+_2 state at 639 keV, located just below the first excited 2^+_1 state at 659 keV and interpreted as a neutron 2p - 2h intruder excitation across N = 50. However, a later study

[192] did not confirm the state at 639 keV and the question of possible shape coexistence in ⁸⁰Ge remains open.

Two excited 0⁺ states were identified in ⁸²Ge at 2.3 MeV and 3.1 MeV, respectively, and their decay patterns were observed to be different [193]. The $0_2^+ \rightarrow 2_1^+ \gamma$ -ray transition seems to be hindered with respect to the decay of the 2^+_2 state feeding the 0^+_2 state, while no such effect is 910 observed for the $0_3^+ \rightarrow 2_1^+$ decay. This difference was interpreted as due to an enhanced E0 $0_2^+ \rightarrow 0_1^+$ branch, which would suggest different deformations of the 0_1^+ and 0_2^+ states and their significant mixing. In contrast, a weak $E0 0^+_3 \rightarrow 0^+_1$ decay implies that either the deformations of the 0_1^+ and 0_3^+ states are more similar, or the mixing between these two states weaker.

915

In more exotic nuclei at and beyond N = 50, the hints of shape coexistence come from the observation of non-yrast states. Their spin assignments and interpretation usually result from comparisons with theoretical calculations. Notably, a sequence of 1067-keV and 2910-keV transitions feeding the ground state in ⁷⁸Ni was observed following the 80 Zn(p, 3p)⁷⁸Ni reaction and attributed to the decay of a 4_2^+ state at 3.98 MeV and a 2_2^+ state at 2.91 MeV, respectively (for comparison, the energy of the first excited state in ⁷⁸Ni is 2.6 MeV) [143]. These non-yrast states were interpreted as belonging to a deformed intruder configuration [143]. In a study of ⁸²Zn via

a ⁸³Ga $(p, 2p)^{82}$ Zn reaction, in addition to the strong $4^+_1 \rightarrow 2^+_1 \rightarrow 0^+_1$ cascade, a weaker transition was observed and tentatively assigned to the decay of a possible 0^+_2 state at 987 keV [194]. A candidate for a 0^+_2 or 2^+_2 state was found at 2.99 MeV in ⁷⁶Ni in a β -decay study [195].

⁸² Se	⁸³ Se	⁸⁴ Se	⁸⁵ Se	⁸⁶ Se	level energies
⁸¹ As	⁸² As	⁸³ As	⁸⁴ As	⁸⁵ As	decay properties
⁸⁰ Ge	⁸¹ Ge	⁸² Ge	⁸³ Ge	⁸⁴ Ge	transfer cross sections charge radii
⁷⁹ Ga	⁸⁰ Ga	⁸¹ Ga	⁸² Ga	⁸³ Ga	
⁷⁸ Zn	⁷⁹ Zn	⁸⁰ Zn	⁸¹ Zn	⁸² Zn	
⁷⁷ Cu	⁷⁸ Cu	⁷⁹ Cu	⁸⁰ Cu		
⁷⁶ Ni	⁷⁷ Ni	⁷⁸ Ni			

Figure 14: Summary of experimental data for nuclei exhibiting shape coexistence in the vicinity of 78 Ni. Red bars indicate that shape-coexisting structures were proposed based on their level energies (e.g. observation at low excitation energy of presumed 0⁺ states in even-even nuclei, or isomers in odd-mass ones, or rotational structures with very different moments of inertia), orange bars mean that additional information was obtained from electromagnetic decay, green bars mean measured cross sections to populate the coexisting configurations in direct reactions, light blue bars indicate that charge radii of both configurations were measured. Stable nuclei are indicated with a darker shade.

$_{325}$ 3.3. Neutron-deficient nuclei with $N \approx Z$

The neutron-deficient nuclei close to the N = Z line, between the Ge and Zr isotopic chains, have been known for a long time to exhibit shape coexistence. The support for this scenario has been obtained via a variety of probes, as illustrated in Fig. 15, and a thorough review of experimental data available before 2011 has been published in Ref. [2].

930

The low excitation energies of the 0_2^+ states in $^{70-76}$ Ge, descending even below the 2_1^+ state in 72 Ge, motivated a multitude of single-nucleon and multinucleon transfer studies aimed at extracting the microscopic configurations of ground and excited states in these nuclei. These results are reviewed in Ref. [2] and point to important mixing of both proton and neutron components of the wave functions of the $0_{1,2}^+$ states, with a maximum observed for 72 Ge. This is consistent with the mixing of advant defense the measured E^2 metric elements accurately below the mixing

- the mixing angles deduced from the measured *E*2 matrix elements assuming a two-level mixing model. By applying Eq. 38 to the most recent set of $\langle 2_i^+ || E2 || 0_j^+ \rangle$ matrix elements for ⁷²Ge [196], $\cos^2(\theta_0) = 0.52(4)$ was obtained, while the same procedure applied to ^{70,74,76}Ge [198] yielded admixtures of 5%, 4% and 3%, respectively. While the unperturbed $\langle 2_{A,B}^+ || E2 || 0_{A,B}^+ \rangle$ matrix elements resulting from this analysis are very similar for ^{72,74,76}Ge and indicate that in these nuclei de-
- ⁹⁴⁰ formed ground-state configurations coexist with spherical excited ones, their values obtained for ⁷⁰Ge would rather correspond to two deformed configurations, a prolate and an oblate one. Interestingly, the $10^3 \times \rho^2(E0; 0_2^+ \rightarrow 0_1^+)$ values measured for ^{70,72}Ge are rather similar (5.2(4) and 9.18(2), respectively [102]), which suggests that if the mixing in ⁷⁰Ge is indeed much lower than

	⁷³ Sr	⁷⁴ Sr	⁷⁵ Sr	⁷⁶ Sr	⁷⁷ Sr	⁷⁸ Sr	⁷⁹ Sr	⁸⁰ Sr	⁸¹ Sr	⁸² Sr	level energies
⁷¹ Rb	⁷² Rb	⁷³ Rb	⁷⁴ Rb	⁷⁵ Rb	⁷⁶ Rb	⁷⁷ Rb	⁷⁸ Rb	⁷⁹ Rb	⁸⁰ Rb	⁸¹ Rb	E2 strengths
⁷⁰ Kr	⁷¹ Kr	⁷² Kr	⁷³ Kr	⁷⁴ Kr	⁷⁵ Kr	⁷⁶ Kr	⁷⁷ Kr	⁷⁸ Kr	⁷⁹ Kr	⁸⁰ Kr	E0 strengths transfer cross sections
⁶⁹ Br	⁷⁰ Br	⁷¹ Br	⁷² Br	⁷³ Br	⁷⁴ Br	⁷⁵ Br	⁷⁶ Br	⁷⁷ Br	⁷⁸ Br	⁷⁹ Br	quadrupole moments
⁶⁸ Se	⁶⁹ Se	⁷⁰ Se	⁷¹ Se	⁷² Se	⁷³ Se	⁷⁴ Se	⁷⁵ Se	⁷⁶ Se	⁷⁷ Se	⁷⁸ Se	quadrupole invariants
⁶⁷ As	⁶⁸ As	⁶⁹ As	⁷⁰ As	⁷¹ As	⁷² As	⁷³ As	⁷⁴ As	⁷⁵ As	⁷⁶ As	⁷⁷ As	
⁶⁶ Ge	⁶⁷ Ge	⁶⁸ Ge	⁶⁹ Ge	⁷⁰ Ge	⁷¹ Ge	⁷² Ge	⁷³ Ge	⁷⁴ Ge	⁷⁵ Ge	⁷⁶ Ge	
⁶⁵ Ga	⁶⁶ Ga	⁶⁷ Ga	⁶⁸ Ga	⁶⁹ Ga	⁷⁰ Ga	⁷¹ Ga	⁷² Ga	⁷³ Ga	⁷⁴ Ga	⁷⁵ Ga	
⁶⁴ Zn	⁶⁵ Zn	⁶⁶ Zn	⁶⁷ Zn	⁶⁸ Zn	⁶⁹ Zn	⁷⁰ Zn	⁷¹ Zn	⁷² Zn	⁷³ Zn	⁷⁴ Zn	

Figure 15: Summary of experimental data for nuclei exhibiting shape coexistence in the light Sr-Zn region. Red bars indicate that shape-coexisting structures were proposed based on their level energies (e.g., observation of a low-lying 0^+ state, or rotational structures with very different moments of inertia), orange bars mean that additional information was obtained from E2 transition probabilities, yellow bars mean that information on E0 transition strengths is known, green bars mean measured cross sections to populate the coexisting configurations in direct reactions, light blue bars indicate that quadrupole moments of both configurations were measured, and dark blue bars correspond to quadrupole invariants. Stable nuclei are indicated with a darker shade.

in ⁷²Ge, the difference between the shapes of the two underlying configurations should be considerably larger in the former. This picture would be consistent with the multi-nucleon transfer 945 results [2]. These observations are further supported by the systematics of quadrupole invariants obtained in Coulomb-excitation studies [196–200]. The $\langle Q^2 \rangle$ values of the ground states in ^{70–76}Ge have similar values of about 0.2 e^2b^2 , while those of the 0^+_2 states evolve considerably, from being consistent with zero in ^{74,76}Ge [199, 200], almost identical with that of the ground state in ⁷²Ge [196, 197]), to a value of 0.64(26) e^2b^2 in ⁷⁰Ge [198]). The recent detailed Coulomb-excitation 950 studies of ⁷²Ge [196] and ⁷⁶Ge [201] have also confirmed a rotational character of the ground-state bands in these nuclei, with the $\langle Q^2 \rangle$ values being remarkably constant up to spin 8. The only significant deviation from this pattern is a slight reduction observed for the 0_1^+ state in ⁷²Ge, which may be attributed to the strong mixing with a less deformed configuration.

Triaxiality plays an important role in the structure of Ge nuclei. Gamma bands were identified 955 in all Ge isotopes with $66 \le A \le 78$, and the level staggering observed in the γ band in ⁷⁶Ge [202], as well as the decay pattern with enhanced $\Delta I = 1$ transitions for that in ⁷⁸Ge [203], were discussed in the context of rigid triaxial deformation. Quadrupole invariants $\langle Q^3 \cos 3\delta \rangle$ were obtained for multiple states in both ground-state and γ bands in ⁷²Ge [196] and ⁷⁶Ge [201]. The corresponding $\langle \cos 3\delta \rangle$ values appear to be rather constant with spin and correspond to almost 960

maximum triaxiality. Similar observations were made for the ground-state band in ⁷⁴Ge, although the experimental information is limited only to its two lowest members [199]. The deduced fluctuations of $\langle Q^3 \cos 3\delta \rangle$ (see Sec. 2.4) for the 0_1^+ , 2_1^+ and 2_2^+ states in ⁷⁶Ge are consistent with rigid triaxial deformation [201]. The $\langle \cos 3\delta \rangle$ values obtained for the 0_1^+ and 0_2^+ states in ⁷²Ge are very similar, in line with their strong mixing [196].

965

A Coulomb-excitation study of ⁶⁶Zn [204] yielded a $\langle Q^3 \cos 3\delta \rangle$ invariant for the ground state corresponding to an average δ of 44(8)°, demonstrating that triaxiality is also important for this nucleus. A similar conclusion was reached for ⁶⁸Zn [205] based on the strongly reduced $Q_s(2_1^+)$ value of +0.09(3) *e*b. Low-lying 0_2^+ states were observed in ⁶²⁻⁷²Zn, and the excitation energies of those in ⁶⁶⁻⁷²Zn display a characteristic parabolic pattern with a minimum for ⁷⁰Zn. The 2_3^+ state in ⁶⁸Zn was proposed to be a member of a deformed band built on the 0_2^+ state, based on a strong $B(E2; 2_3^+ \rightarrow 0_2^+)$ value of 17.4(14) W.u. [205], which is nonetheless comparable with the $B(E2; 2_1^+ \rightarrow 0_1^+)$ value (15.6(10) W.u.). While Ref. [204] reports that the $\langle Q^2 \rangle$ invariant for the 0_2^+ state in ⁶⁶Zn is considerably smaller than that for the ground state, the authors stress that the former may be strongly underestimated, as it includes contributions from only two lowest 2⁺ states, and the 2⁺ member of the band built on the 0_2^+ state has not been identified yet. Similarity between the deformations of the 0_2^+ states in ⁶⁴⁻⁷⁰Zn and those of the respective ground states would also be consistent with the measured $10^3 \times \rho^2(E0)$ strengths, which are in all cases below 10. Thus, while there are hints of shape coexistence in the Zn isotopes, perhaps unsurprisingly given their proximity to the Ge isotopes, the data are as yet insufficient to make a firm claim.

Low-lying 0_2^+ states were identified in ^{72,74,76}Se, while ^{68,70}Se present two rotational bands with $\Delta I = 2$, characterised by different moments of inertia: one built on the ground state and the other on a low-lying 2_2^+ state. The spectroscopic quadrupole moments measured for the 2_1^+ states in ^{76,78,80,82}Se [206, 207] suggest that these states are prolate deformed, and the level energies and

- B(E2) values within the ground-state bands are consistent with their rotational character. The 2⁺ and 4⁺ members of the band built on the 0_2^+ state in ⁷⁶Se were recently identified in an inelastic neutron scattering study [208], and the B(E2) values within this band were shown to be smaller than those in the ground-state band (e.g., $B(E2; 2_3^+ \rightarrow 0_2^+) = 31(5)$ W.u. versus $B(E2; 2_1^+ \rightarrow 0_1^+)$) = 44(1) W.u.). A β -decay study of ⁷⁴Se [209] has identified a 0_3^+ state at 1.675 MeV, and on
- ⁹⁹⁰ the basis of the measured branching ratios suggested that together with the 2_3^+ state it may form a strongly deformed band, while the 0_2^+ state was interpreted as related to the vibration of the weaklydeformed ground state. While the spectroscopic quadrupole moment of the 2_1^+ state in ⁷⁴Se has not been unambiguously determined, the moment of inertia of the ground-state band is similar to those in the heavier Se isotopes, see Fig. 16, pointing to its prolate deformation. The similarity of
- ⁹⁹⁵ these structures is further supported by the results of a ⁷⁶Se(p, t)⁷⁴Se study [210], which observed no substantial strength to the excited 0⁺ states in ⁷⁴Se. The moments of inertia in the ground-state band in ⁷²Se resemble those for ^{74,76}Se, although the perturbation of excitation energies of its lowspin members is more significant than in the heavier Se nuclei, which may be due to the mixing of the coexisting configurations. The $B(E2; 2_2^+ \rightarrow 0_2^+)$ value of 36(3) W.u. significantly exceeds its counterpart in the ground state hand (22(2) Wu).
- its counterpart in the ground-state band (23(2) W.u.), suggesting that the structure built on the 0_2^+ state is more deformed [211]. The intense $2_2^+ \rightarrow 2_1^+$ transition of 75(5) W.u. [211] suggests that the two configurations may strongly mix, in line with the observed evolution of the moments of inertia. On the other hand, the integrated cross section to populate the 0_2^+ state in ⁷²Se via the (*t*, *p*)

two-neutron pickup reaction was found to be 11% of that to the ground state [212], which limits the mixing amplitude of the 0⁺ states, $\cos(\theta_0)$, to less than 0.3 assuming a two-state mixing model. A negative spectroscopic quadrupole moment was measured for the 2⁺₁ state in ⁷²Se, supporting its prolate character [213].



Figure 16: Kinematic moments of inertia for yrast states in 68,70,72,74 Se, and the bands built on the 2^+_2 state in 68,70 Se. Data are taken from the National Nuclear Data Center database [39].

Despite many experimental efforts, no excited 0^+ states are known at low excitation energy in 68,70 Se. The evolution of the moments of inertia in the band built on the 2_2^+ state in 70 Se is remarkably close to that observed for the ground-state band in 72 Se, as shown in Fig. 16. In 1010 contrast, the moments of inertia of the ground-state band in ⁷⁰Se evolve in a very irregular manner, which has been interpreted as due to a transition from an oblate shape at low spin to a prolate one at higher excitation energy, with a strong mixing of the two configurations [214]. An attempt has been made to measure the quadrupole moment of the 2^+_1 state in this nucleus by combining an integrated low-energy Coulomb-excitation cross section to populate this state [215] and its 1015 high-precision lifetime measurement [216]. Unfortunately, the precision of the former was not sufficient to firmly determine the sign of the quadrupole moment, although an oblate shape seems to be favoured. The moments of inertia in the ground-state band of ⁶⁸Se evolve smoothly with excitation energy, but remain much lower than those in the ground-state bands of heavier Se nuclei, which was attributed to the oblate shape of the former [217]. In contrast, the moments of inertia 1020 obtained for states of spin 8 and above in the second rotational structure in ⁶⁸Se closely follow those for the high-spin members of the ground-state band in ⁷⁰Se, while their irregular behaviour observed at lower spin may be linked to a strong mixing of the two configurations.

The existing data consistently point to shape coexistence in $^{68-76}$ Se, with an important mixing of the coexisting configurations. In particular, a change of the ground-state configuration, with

respect to the heavier Se isotopes, seems to take place in ⁷⁰Se. A more precise measurement of the spectroscopic quadrupole moment of the 2^+_1 state in this nucleus would bring a definite proof of this scenario.

In the ^{72,74,76}Kr isotopes, the moments of inertia of the ground-state bands were observed to be perturbed at low spin, as readily seen in Fig. 17. Similarly, the reduced transition probabili-1030 ties show a reduction of collectivity at low spin that has been attributed to shape mixing [218]. The mixing was first quantified, within the two-state mixing model, from the perturbation of the excitation energies of low-spin members of the ground-state rotational bands with respect to an extrapolation of energies of their high-spin members [219]. This analysis yielded an admixture of 27% of the 0_B^+ configuration (see Eq. 36) to the ⁷⁶Kr ground state. From this analysis, the mixing of the 0⁺ states reaches a maximum of 50% in ⁷⁴Kr, where also a maximum value of 1035 $10^3 \times \rho^2(E0; 0^+_2 \to 0^+_1) = 85(19)$ and minimum excitation energy of the 0^+_2 state are observed. In 72 Kr, the 0_2^+ excitation energy increases, and the mixing deduced from level energies is reduced to 10% [219]. The observed parabolic trend of the 0^+_2 excitation energy suggests that the two configurations cross at ⁷⁴Kr, where they are almost degenerate and maximally mixed [219]. The initial 1040 conclusions on the sign of the deformation, i.e., prolate or oblate, were derived from a comparison

- with theoretical models. For instance, by comparing the measured $B(E2; 2_1^+ \rightarrow 0_1^+)$ value with theoretical predictions, Iwasaki et al. [220] concluded that the first 2⁺ state in ⁷²Kr has an oblate shape, which in turn suggested prolate shapes for the ground-state bands in heavier isotopes. Similarly, the enhancement of the $B(E2; 2_1^+ \rightarrow 0_1^+)$ in ⁷⁰Kr with respect to its mirror nucleus ⁷⁰Se was 1045
- interpreted as resulting from a substantial difference between their shapes [221]. Direct evidence for different shapes of the two configurations came from low-energy Coulomb-excitation studies of ⁷⁴Kr and ⁷⁶Kr [222], which yielded spectroscopic quadrupole moments of the short-lived $2_{1,2,3}^+$ and 4_1^+ states in these nuclei, providing an experimental proof of prolate deformation in the ground-state bands and oblate deformation of the structures built on the 0_2^+ states. The mixing of

1050 the two configurations extracted from the measured E2 matrix elements via Eq. 38 was found to be consistent with the conclusions of the level-energy analysis for ⁷⁴Kr, while the discrepancies observed for ⁷⁶Kr were attributed to a significant mixing with the 2^+_{2} bandhead of the γ band. Comparisons with beyond-mean-field calculations led to a conclusion that allowing for the triaxial degree of freedom is required for the theoretical description of these isotopes [222–224]. 1055

Experimental data on the neutron-deficient Sr isotopes are more scarce. In ⁸⁰Sr, the state at 1-MeV excitation energy was assigned 0⁺ spin-parity from the angular distribution measured in the two-proton transfer reaction 78 Kr(3 He,n) 80 Sr, and its strong population in this study was associated with shape coexistence [225]. No excited states built on this 0^+_2 level are known. Large deformations with $\beta_2 \simeq 0.4$ can be deduced for the ground states of 76,78,80 Sr from the measured 1060 B(E2) values in the ground-state bands [226, 227] via Eq. 28. The level energies in these bands, contrary to those in ^{72,74}Kr, do not display strong perturbations at low spin, see Fig. 17.

The correlation between the $R_{42}=4_1^+/2_1^+$ excitation-energy ratio and the $B(E2; 2_1^+ \rightarrow 0_1^+)$ value expressed in W.u. and normalized to A [227], as shown in Fig. 18, suggests a similarity between 76,78,80 Sr and 72,74,76 Kr, with these $N \sim Z$ nuclei exhibiting a different behaviour than the heavier 1065 $Z \approx 40$ or $Z \approx 60$ isotopes. While the observed effect can be attributed to strong mixing in ^{72,74,76}Kr, there is currently no evidence for it in the Sr isotopes. Indeed, the excitation energies of the 2_1^+ and 4_1^+ states in 76,78,80 Sr are much lower than their counterparts in the Kr nuclei. Under the



Figure 17: Kinematic moments of inertia, up to spin 10, for yrast states in ^{72,74,76}Kr and ^{74,76,78,80}Sr. Data are taken from the National Nuclear Data Center database [39].

assumption of a similar mixing strength as in the Kr isotopes [227], the increase of energy spacing between the ground state and the 2_1^+ state due to mixing is of the same order of magnitude as the 1070 2_1^+ excitation energy in 76,78 Sr, making the R_{42} ratio much more sensitive to small mixing than, e.g., in the Kr isotopes. This calls for more spectroscopic data, such as the excitation energy of the 0_2^+ states in ^{76,78}Sr, currently unknown, and their decay properties. One should also note that the moments of inertia measured for ⁷⁴Sr [228] closely follow those for its mirror nucleus ⁷⁴Kr, which hints at a presence of a shape-coexisting state strongly mixed with the ground state, in analogy to 1075 ⁷⁴Kr.

Laser spectroscopy of Rb isotopes (Z=37) revealed large isomer shifts for ⁸¹Rb [229] and ⁸⁵Rb [230]. There exists also an extensive set of ground-state spectroscopic quadrupole moments measured by laser spectroscopy for the odd-proton and odd-neutron isotopes between Z = 32and Z = 41 [231], which complements those of the 2^+_1 states in even-even nuclei resulting from 1080 Coulomb-excitation studies. For even-even N = 40 isotones, one can observe an increase in the quadrupole moments, and hence deformation, when moving away from the closed shells and approaching the N = Z nuclei (⁷²Ge: $Q_s(2_1^+) = -0.13(6)$ eb, ⁷⁴Se: $Q_s(2_1^+) = -0.36(7)$ eb, ⁷⁴Kr: $Q_s(2_1^+)$ = -0.7(2) eb). The spin-parities of the ground states in odd-mass $N \sim Z$ nuclei resulting from laser spectroscopy, together with their proposed Nilsson configurations, are presented in Fig. 19. For 1085 some of them, spectroscopic quadrupole moments are also known [231], and the corresponding shape (prolate or oblate) is indicated in the figure. From this overview, one can see that there is no convincing experimental evidence for oblate ground states, in particular in the vicinity of the N = Z line. Except for the $N = 39^{75}$ Kr and ⁷⁷Sr isotopes, all ground-state configurations involve orbitals arising from the *pf* shells. One should note here that although many spectroscopic



Figure 18: The correlation between the $R_{42}=4_1^+/2_1^+$ excitation-energy ratio and the $B(E2; 2_1^+ \rightarrow 0_1^+)$ values expressed in W.u. and normalized to A, for Kr, Sr, Zr (Z = 36, 38, 40) and Sm, Gd, Dy, Er, Yb isotopes (Z = 62, 64, 66, 68, 70). Figure from Ref. [227].



Figure 19: Ground-state spin-parity assignments resulting from laser spectroscopy in odd-mass N~Z nuclei, and proposed Nilsson configurations. The shape indicated next to the configuration is deduced from the measured ground-state Q_s value [231].

quadrupole moments have been measured, a proper determination of the deformation in the intrinsic frame of reference would require assumptions with regard to the axial symmetry. Strong evidence exists, however, that the triaxial degree of freedom plays a major role in this mass region, and its importance evolves rapidly as a function of nucleon number, which would render such extractions of β_2 questionable.

1095

3.4. Shape coexistence in $A \approx 100$, $Z \approx 40$ nuclei

1100

The evolution of two-neutron separation energies shows that the binding energy of Rb, Sr, Y and Zr isotopes suddenly increases in the vicinity of N = 60 [232]. At the same neutron number, the systematics of the $\delta \langle r^2 \rangle$, presented in Fig. 20, shows an abrupt increase of nuclear radii. These features have been interpreted as a consequence of dramatic increases of the ground-state deformations. The low-Z border of this phenomenon was established in the Kr isotopes by means of mass measurements, which do not show any deviation at N=60 from the prevailing trend toward the dripline [233]. The localized character of this effect suggests that it originates from the interaction between specific proton and neutron orbitals.



Figure 20: Changes in the mean-square-charge radii, $\delta \langle r^2 \rangle$, as a function of the neutron number for the ground states of the Kr–Ru isotopes. An arbitrary displacement of 0.8 fm² at N = 52 has been introduced between the isotopic chains. Data are taken from Refs. [234–236].

1105

The abrupt ground-state deformation change at N = 60 is now clearly established. It is unique in the nuclear chart: it occurs at exactly N=60 for several elements (Rb, Sr, Y and Zr); it is sudden (from spherical N=58 isotones to highly-deformed N=60 isotones); for elements lighter than Rb, or heavier than Zr, the change in deformation is more gradual. In contrast, while shape coexistence occurs throughout this mass region, the information on it is incomplete, and in particular direct ¹¹¹⁰ measurements of deformation of excited and ground states are scarce. New results have been obtained in the recent years, notably with the development of post-accelerated radioactive ion beams. The types of available data used to establish shape coexistence are shown in Fig. 21.

⁹⁵ Ru	⁹⁶ Ru	⁹⁷ Ru	⁹⁸ Ru	⁹⁹ Ru	¹⁰⁰ Ru	¹⁰¹ Ru	¹⁰² Ru	¹⁰³ Ru	¹⁰⁴ Ru	¹⁰⁵ Ru	level energies
⁹⁴ Tc	⁹⁵ Tc	⁹⁶ Tc	⁹⁷ Tc	⁹⁸ Tc	⁹⁹ Tc	¹⁰⁰ Tc	¹⁰¹ Tc	¹⁰² Tc	¹⁰³ Tc	¹⁰⁴ Tc	 E2 strengths E0 strengths
⁹³ Mo	⁹⁴ Mo	⁹⁵ Mo	⁹⁶ Mo	⁹⁷ Mo	⁹⁸ Mo	⁹⁹ Mo	¹⁰⁰ Mo	¹⁰¹ Mo	¹⁰² Mo	¹⁰³ Mo	transfer cross sections
⁹² Nb	⁹³ Nb	⁹⁴ Nb	⁹⁵ Nb	⁹⁶ Nb	⁹⁷ Nb	⁹⁸ Nb	⁹⁹ Nb	¹⁰⁰ Nb	¹⁰¹ Nb	¹⁰² Nb	quadrupole invariants
⁹¹ Zr	⁹² Zr	⁹³ Zr	⁹⁴ Zr	⁹⁵ Zr	⁹⁶ Zr	⁹⁷ Zr	⁹⁸ Zr	⁹⁹ Zr	¹⁰⁰ Zr	¹⁰¹ Zr	
⁹⁰ Y	⁹¹ Y	⁹² Y	⁹³ Y	⁹⁴ Y	⁹⁵ Y	⁹⁶ Y	⁹⁷ Y	⁹⁸ Y	⁹⁹ Y	¹⁰⁰ Y	
⁸⁹ Sr	⁹⁰ Sr	⁹¹ Sr	⁹² Sr	⁹³ Sr	⁹⁴ Sr	⁹⁵ Sr	⁹⁶ Sr	⁹⁷ Sr	⁹⁸ Sr	⁹⁹ Sr	

Figure 21: Summary of experimental data for nuclei exhibiting shape coexistence in the $A \approx 100$ region. Red bars indicate that shape-coexisting structures were proposed based on their level energies (e.g. observation of a low-lying 0⁺ state, or rotational structures with very different moments of inertia), orange bars mean that additional information was obtained from *E*2 transition probabilities, yellow bars mean that information on *E*0 transition strengths is known, green bars mean measured cross sections to populate the coexisting configurations in direct reactions, light blue bars indicate that quadrupole moments of both configurations were measured, and dark blue bars correspond to quadrupole invariants. Stable nuclei are indicated with a darker shade.

3.4.1. Even-even nuclei with $Z \leq 40$

The systematics of 2_1^+ excitation energies in the Sr and Zr isotopic chains show a sudden drop at N = 60, see Fig. 22 (left) and, by applying a simple geometrical model, one can relate it to a change of deformation from $\beta_2 = 0.1$ to $\beta_2 = 0.4$. In contrast, a more gradual evolution is observed for both lighter (i.e., Kr) and heavier nuclei (i.e., Mo, Ru). Similar conclusions can be reached from the $B(E2; 2_1^+ \rightarrow 0_1^+)$ values. Their systematics, recently extended by the measurements of Refs. [237, 239–242], are presented in Fig. 22 (right), where the B(E2) values expressed in W.u. are normalized to the mass A for each isotope. This representation illustrates schematically the fraction of nucleons that contribute to the collectivity: if this ratio equals unity, all nucleons participate in the collective behaviour. The values for the Sr and Zr isotopes with $N \le 60$ are very small, as expected for spherical nuclei. For 96,98 Zr, the local maximum of the 2_1^+ excitation energy (see left panel of Fig. 22), observed when the valence neutrons are filling the $s_{1/2}$ orbital,

corresponds to a local minimum in the right panel of Fig. 22. At N = 60 and beyond, the ratio saturates at 1, consistent with an almost perfect rigid-rotor character. The evolution observed for Kr, Mo and Ru isotopes is again much more gradual.



Figure 22: Left panel: Systematics of observed 2_1^+ excitation energies in Kr, Sr, Zr, Mo and Ru isotopes as a function of the neutron number. Right panel: Systematics of the $B(E2; 2_1^+ \rightarrow 0_1^+)$ values in Weisskopf units, normalized to the mass *A*, for Kr, Sr, Zr, Mo and Ru isotopes. Data are from the National Nuclear Data Center database [39] and Refs. [237–244]. For ⁹⁸Zr, the weighted average of the $B(E2; 2_1^+ \rightarrow 0_1^+)$ values from Refs. [237, 238] is plotted.

Low-lying 0^+ states, indicating possible shape coexistence, were identified in the Zr and Sr chains and, similar to the 2_1^+ state, a drop of the 0_2^+ energy is observed at N = 60, as can be seen in Fig. 23. A shape-coexistence scenario was therefore proposed where the 0_2^+ states for N < 60 correspond to a deformed configuration, which then becomes the ground state at N = 60, while the spherical configuration of the ground state for N < 60 becomes non-yrast.

1135

There exist extensive experimental data on excited states in neutron-rich Sr isotopes. The spectroscopic quadrupole moment of the 2_1^+ state in 96 Sr, -22_{-31}^{+33} efm², is compatible with zero, and combined with a moderate $B(E2; 2_1^+ \rightarrow 0_1^+)$ value of 7.8(4) W.u. is consistent with a vibrational character of the 2_1^+ state and a nearly spherical shape of the ground state [247–249]. Two low-lying 0⁺ states at 1229 and 1465 keV were established by Jung *et al.* [250] and interpreted as candidates for a deformed band head, supporting the shape-coexistence scenario. A highly enhanced electric monopole transition of $10^3 \times \rho^2(E0) = 185(50)$ was observed between these two states [251, 252], indicating both the presence of a sizeable difference in deformation and mixing of the configurations; the proximity in energy of the states limits the mixing matrix element to < 120 keV. The E0 decays of the $0_{2,3}^+$ states to the ground state have not been observed. The 2_2^+ and 2_3^+ states are very close in energy to the 0_2^+ and 0_3^+ states, respectively, and can be considered as candidates for rotational band members. The 4_2^+ , 6_2^+ and 8_2^+ states form a rotational band, but it is not clear to which band head they should be assigned. The lifetimes of the 6_2^+ and 8_2^+ states were measured

1145

[253] and indicate a rather weak deformation.

1140

In ⁹⁸Sr, the ground-state band has a rotational character, and the large B(E2) values between the excited states, deduced from lifetime measurements [267–273] and Coulomb excitation [247],

Isotope	$\langle Q^2(0^+_1)\rangle[e^2{\rm b}^2]$	$\langle Q^2(0^+_2)\rangle[e^2{\rm b}^2]$	$\langle Q^2(0^+_3)\rangle \left[e^2 b^2\right]$	Ref.
⁹⁶ Sr	0.22(4)			[248]
⁹⁸ Sr	1.30(4)	0.33(3)		[248]
⁹⁴ Zr	0.116(5)	0.34(3)		[254]
⁹⁶ Zr	0.033(4)	0.56(14)		[255]
⁹⁶ Mo	0.286(7)	0.069(9)		[256]
⁹⁸ Mo	0.292(19)	0.257(25)		[256]
¹⁰⁰ Mo	0.47(3)	0.62(3)		[23]
100 Ru	0.527(7)	0.63(13)		
102 Ru	0.613(33)	0.35(6)		[257]
104 Ru	0.870(9)	0.52(12)		[258]
¹⁰⁶ Pd	0.63(3)	0.87(4)		[259]
108 Pd	0.77(11)	1.22(13)		[259]
110 Pd	0.86(4)	1.58(16)		[259]
110 Cd	0.44(1)	0.51(8)		[260]
112 Cd	0.502(4)	1.24(21)	0.73(13)	[261]
114 Cd	0.524(14)	1.12(9)	1.26(16)	[262]
¹¹⁶ Cd	0.598(21)		1.04(37)	
114 Sn	0.183(11)	< 0.8		
¹¹⁶ Sn	0.211(6)	0.83(5)	1.68(14)	[263]
118 Sn	0.209(4)	1.03(33)		
¹¹⁸ Te	0.57(1)	1.2(4)		[264, 265]
¹²⁴ Te	0.59(1)	0.44(6)		[266]

Table 4: Quadrupole invariant quantities $\langle Q^2 \rangle$ defined as in Eq. 26, which reduces to $\sum_j B(E2; 0_i^+ \to 2_j^+)$, for 0_i^+ states of nuclei in the Z = 38-52 region. The $\langle Q^2 \rangle$ quantities for excited 0^+ states should be considered as lower limits. The data used to obtain the $\langle Q^2 \rangle$ quantities are taken from Ref. [39] and/or from the references listed in the right column.



Figure 23: Systematics of selected excited states in $50 \le N \le 62$ Zr (left panel) and Sr isotopes (right panel). States belonging to the ground-state bands in isotopes with nearly spherical ground states are denoted with black squares, those built on the deformed ground states with blue triangles, and 0^+_{23} states with red circles. Data are taken from the National Nuclear Data Center database [39] and Refs. [245, 246].

1150

are consistent with a deformed character of the ground state. A low-lying 0^+_2 state at 215.3 keV was established by Schussler et al. [274] and interpreted as the band head of a presumably spherical structure. A strong electric monopole transition of $10^3 \times \rho^2(E0) = 53(5)$ was measured between the 0_2^+ and 0_1^+ states, again supporting the shape-coexistence scenario [274, 275]. The spectroscopic quadrupole moments of states in the ground-state band, measured via low-energy Coulomb excitation, are large and negative [247–249], indicating a prolate deformation with $\beta_2=0.5(1)$. While those for spin 4⁺ and above are consistent with values deduced from the corresponding B(E2)1155 strengths assuming the rigid-rotor model, a reduction of the quadrupole moment is observed for the 2_1^+ state, which has tentatively been attributed to its significant triaxiality [248]. As there is no candidate for a low-lying γ band in ⁹⁸Sr, the role of triaxiality in this nucleus remains an open question. (A candidate γ band has been suggested [276] in the isotone ¹⁰⁰Zr with a bandhead at 1292 keV.) The spectroscopic quadrupole moment of the 2^+_2 state, $2^{+13}_{-12}efm^2$, is compatible with zero, 1160 and the $B(E2; 2_2^+ \rightarrow 0_2^+)$ value of 7.4(3) W.u. is identical to the $B(E2; 2_1^+ \rightarrow 0_1^+)$ value in ⁹⁶Sr. The striking similarity of these two structures is further supported by the similarity of the quadrupole invariants $\langle Q^2 \rangle$ for the 0_1^+ state in 96 Sr and the 0_2^+ state in 98 Sr [248], as listed in Table 4. Together,

1165

ogy between the 0_1^+ state in 96 Sr and the 0_2^+ state in 98 Sr. Very recently, information on the single-particle nature of excited states in 96 Sr was obtained

from a study of the 95 Sr(d, p) 96 Sr neutron-transfer reaction [277, 278]. The 95 Sr ground state is well described as a $vs_{1/2}$ spherical state, and thus the (d, p) reaction favours the population of spherical

these results provide firm experimental evidence for coexistence of a well-deformed ground-state band with a weakly deformed structure built on the 0^+_2 state in 98 Sr, and strongly support the anal-

- states in ⁹⁶Sr of the form $vs_{1/2} \otimes vl_j$. The 0⁺ states in ⁹⁶Sr were populated with spectroscopic factors of $C^2S = 0.19(3)$, 0.22(3), and 0.33(12) for the 0⁺₁, 0⁺₂, and 0⁺₃ states, respectively. The small occupancy of the $s_{1/2}$ orbital in the ⁹⁶Sr ground-state wave function disagreed with shell model calculations, and was interpreted as possible evidence for a weakly oblate or triaxial shape [277]. While the uncertainty on the spectroscopic quadrupole moment of the 2⁺₁ state measured
- via Coulomb excitation [247] does not exclude such a scenario, a weak prolate deformation seems to be favoured. The larger transfer strengths observed for the 0_2^+ and 0_3^+ states were interpreted within a two-state mixing model. Combined with the known $\rho^2(E0; 0_3^+ \rightarrow 0_2^+)$ value and under the assumption that the unperturbed 0_{def}^+ deformed state is not directly populated, the wave function of the 0_2^+ level was determined to have a 40% admixture of the spherical component [277, 278].
- It was suggested that ⁹⁶Sr may exhibit triple shape coexistence, with a weakly deformed ground state, and two excited 0⁺ configurations a deformed and a spherical one which undergo strong mixing.

The existing experimental data for the Zr isotopes, summarized in Fig. 24, also point to configuration coexistence, with the types data used to support it shown in Fig. 21. In ⁹⁴Zr, the g-factor measurements indicate a neutron dominance in the wave function of the 2^+_1 state and proton dom-1185 inance in that of the 2^+_2 state [279]. In addition, the 2^+_2 level at 1671 keV is populated an order of magnitude weaker than the 2_1^+ state in two-neutron pickup, 96 Zr $(p, t)^{94}$ Zr [280], and two-neutron stripping, 92 Zr(t, p) 94 Zr [281] reactions, consistent with a difference between the neutron configurations of these two states. Furthermore, from a comparison of deformation parameters obtained for the 2^+_2 state in inelastic proton scattering [282] and extracted from its electromagnetic decay, 1190 one can deduce $\beta_n/\beta_p \approx 0.83$, consistent with its proton character. The observation of the strongly enhanced $2_2^+ \rightarrow 0_2^+ E2$ transition of 19(2) W.u. [283] suggests that the 2_2^+ level belongs to a deformed band built on the 0_2^+ state. The $\langle Q^2 \rangle$ invariants, listed in Tab. 4, show that the 0_2^+ state in ⁹⁴Zr possesses greater deformation than the ground state. In ⁹⁶Zr, the $B(E2; 2_2^+ \rightarrow 0_1^+)$ value was recently measured using electron scattering [255]. The deduced $B(E2; 2_2^+ \rightarrow 0_2^+)$ value of 1195 36(11) W.u., compared to the $B(E2; 2_1^+ \rightarrow 0_1^+)$ value of 2.3(3) W.u., demonstrates that an excited deformed configuration coexists with a nearly spherical ground state. From the $\langle Q^2 \rangle$ value for the former (Tab. 4) $\beta_2 \simeq 0.24$ can be estimated. In both ⁹⁴Zr and ⁹⁶Zr, there are strong *M*1 transitions observed between the 2_2^+ and 2_1^+ levels of $B(M1) \approx 0.15 \,\mu_N^2$, which was suggested to be due to a mixed-symmetry component present in the 2^+_2 wave function [279, 284–286]. 1200

The lifetimes of excited states in ⁹⁸Zr (N = 58), measured following fission [237] and twoneutron transfer [238], suggest coexistence of two or three structures differing in deformation. The 2⁺₃ level at 1745 keV is interpreted as built on the spherical ground state, consistent with its weak *E*2 decay to the ground state, as shown in Fig. 24. The 0⁺₂ and 2⁺₁ states seem to form a moderately deformed band, with $\beta_2 = 0.20(2)$ or $\beta_2 = 0.12^{+0.02}_{-0.01}$ deduced from the $B(E2; 2^+_1 \rightarrow 0^+_2)$ values of 28(6) W.u. [237] and 11^{+3}_{-2} W.u. [238], respectively. The important differences between the lifetimes of the 4⁺₁ state reported in Refs. [237, 238] and the fact that the $B(E2; 4^+_1 \rightarrow 2^+_2)$ and $B(E2; 4^+_1 \rightarrow 2^+_1)$ values are comparable, make it difficult to conclude on the nature of remaining low-spin states in ⁹⁸Zr. Shown in Fig. 24 are two scenarios for the assignment of structures in ¹²¹⁰ ⁹⁸Zr. P. Singh *et al.* [237] postulate a second, more deformed structure to be built on the 0⁺₃ level at 1436 keV, consisting of the 2⁺₂ state at 1590 keV, the 4⁺₁ level at 1843 keV, and the 6⁺₁ level at 2491 keV. With their measured lifetimes [237], values of $\beta_2 \approx 0.25$ and $\beta_2 \approx 0.29$ are deduced for 1215

the 4_1^+ and 6_1^+ states, respectively. In contrast, Ref. [238] reports much longer lifetimes generally, resulting in significantly smaller B(E2) values, most notably of the $B(E2; 4_1^+ \rightarrow 2_2^+)$ value of 38_{-13}^{+26} W.u. In an alternative interpretation shown in Fig. 24, suggested by Karayonchev *et al.* [238], the 0_3^+ , 2_2^+ , 4_1^+ states form a two-quasiphonon triplet of weakly deformed states, and the 6_1^+ is a three-quasiphonon state, which is consistent with the $B(E2; 6_1^+ \rightarrow 4_1^+)/B(E2; 4_1^+ \rightarrow 2_2^+)$ ratio

resulting from this study, and the enhanced $B(E2; 2_2^+ \rightarrow 2_1^+)$ value of 46_{-14}^{+35} W.u. On the other hand, in the shape-coexistence scenario the latter would suggest a strong mixing of the two deformed

1220

structures in ⁹⁸Zr, in line with the important mixing between the 0_2^+ and 0_3^+ states deduced for the N = 58 ⁹⁶Sr isotone from the ⁹⁵Sr(d, p)⁹⁶Sr neutron-transfer results [277, 278]. Moreover, the observation of a large $\rho^2(E0; 0_3^+ \rightarrow 0_2^+) = 0.076(6)$ value [102] demonstrates both a substantial change in deformation $\Delta\beta_2$ and mixing. A higher-lying 0⁺ state, the 0_4^+ level at 1859 keV, decays with an enhanced $\rho^2(E0; 0_1^+ \rightarrow 0_2^+) = 0.061(8)$ [102] indicating a change in deformation β_2

with an enhanced $\rho^2(E0; 0_4^+ \rightarrow 0_3^+) = 0.061(8)$ [102], indicating a change in deformation β_2 also between the 0_3^+ and 0_4^+ states. The energy spectrum of ⁹⁸Zr and the measured B(E2) values reasonably agree with the results of MCSM calculations [237] that suggest that the nearly spherical ground state coexists with two structures built on $0_{2,3}^+$ states, a moderately deformed and a strongly deformed one. The calculated proton and neutron occupancies for the 0_2^+ and 0_3^+ deformed states

are very similar, and only minor differences between them lead to a change in deformation. A similarly good reproduction of the electromagnetic properties of ⁹⁸Zr has been achieved within a framework of the interacting boson model with configuration mixing (IBM-CM) [238], that interpreted the 0_1^+ and 2_3^+ levels as spherical single-particle states, and the remaining low-lying states were suggested to have an intruder character and be nearly spherical or weakly deformed and arranged in multiphonon structures. Consequently, the two models predict the $B(E2; 2_2^+ \rightarrow 0_3^+)$ values differing by one order of magnitude: in MCSM it is enhanced, being related to an inband transition in a deformed structure, while in IBM-CM it is a hindered transition between two members of the two-quasiphonon triplet. Combining the lifetime of the 2_2^+ state [238] with the branching ratio for the $2_2^+ \rightarrow 0_3^+$ transition [287] yields an unphysical $B(E2; 2_2^+ \rightarrow 0_3^+)$ value of over 500 W.u., and consequently it is pertinent to remeasure these two values. A measurement of the quadrupole moments of the 2^+ states in ⁹⁸Zr would also likely be able to discriminate between

the two theoretical interpretations.

Insight into the nature of the 0⁺ states in the Zr isotopes can be ascertained from observations from transfer reactions. In a series of (t, p) reactions, the excited 0⁺₂ states in ⁹²Zr and ⁹⁴Zr were weakly populated, with cross sections relative to the ground state of 5% and 4%, respectively, and no strength was observed to the 0⁺₂ state in ⁹⁸Zr. In contrast, the 0⁺₂ state in ⁹⁶Zr was populated with 39% of the ground-state cross section [288], suggestive of a neutron-pairing-vibration interpretation as might be expected due to the strong $d_{5/2}$ subshell closure. In the (p, t) reaction [280], significant strength was observed to high-lying states in ⁹⁰Zr, consistent with a neutron-pairingvibration interpretation, but the 0⁺₂ states in ^{92,94}Zr were weakly populated with relative strengths < 5%. The enhanced population of the 0⁺₂ state in ⁹⁶Zr was also observed in the $(d, {}^{6}Li)$ reaction,

¹²⁵⁰ < 5%. The enhanced population of the 0_2^+ state in ⁹⁰Zr was also observed in the (*d*, ⁰Li) reaction, where it was populated stronger by a factor 2.2 than the ground state, and much stronger than excited 0⁺ states in other Zr isotopes [289]. From an analysis of the (³He,*d*) and (*d*, ³He) reactions [290, 291], the fullness factors for the $\pi p_{1/2}$ orbital in the Zr ground states were deduced. The average of the two results yield ground-state wave functions with admixtures, *a*², for the $\pi (p_{1/2})^2$ component of 0.6, 0.5, 0.65, and 0.9 for ^{90,92,94,96}Zr, respectively. It was found that a qualita-

⁹⁶Zr 3142 (6^+) ⁹⁴Zr 2857 4+ ¹⁰⁰Zr 16(9) 56(32) 2330 4+ 2226 2^{+} (5^+) 2208 1962 6+ 34(14) 0.14(5)13(6) 1856 4 36(11) 1750 1671 2+ 1582 0^{+} 0.15(2) 19(2) 1415 4+ (3^{+}) 1398 0^{+} (2^{+}) 1196 (2+) 1196 9.3(4) 1062 0.26(8) 2^{+} 919 2+ 0+ 879 829 2.3(3)130(29) 7.57(14) 3.9(3) 11.5(11) 565 4.9(3) 101(9) 0^{+} 331 2-213 108(19) 67(6) 77(2) 0 0^{+} 0^+ 0 0^{+} 0 ⁹⁸Zr Singh et al. Karayonchev et al. 6+ 2491 2491 6+ 103(36) 103(36) $\begin{array}{c}
1843 \\
1590 \\
126 \\
0^{+} \\
0^{+}
\end{array}$ 1843 1745 2^{+} ³⁸(20) 1590 25(11)43(14) 1436 1436 0^{+} 46(25) 1223 2+ 1223 51(5) 76(6) 11(3)₈₅₄ 51(5) 76(6) 28(6) 1.8(10) 0^{+} 854 0^{+} 0.14(8)2.9(6)1.1(3) 0.26(14) 11.2(12)

3772

 6^{+}

Figure 24: Properties of the low-lying states observed in the even-even Zr isotopes. The E2 transitions (rust coloured) are labelled with their B(E2) values in W.u., the E0 transitions (green) with their $10^3 \times \rho^2(E0)$ values, and the M1 transitions (purple) with their B(M1) values in μ_N^2 . The levels are colour-coded according to assigned configurations. For ⁹⁸Zr, dashed gray lines are used to connect the same energy levels in the proposed band structures resulting from the two interpretation scenarios outlined in the text. For ¹⁰⁰Zr, the (2⁺) state at 1196 keV has been alternatively suggested as a member of the 0_3^+ band (blue) [2], or as the head of the γ band (green) [276]. Data are taken from the National Nuclear Data Center database [39] and Refs. [102, 237, 238, 255, 276, 283].

 0^{+}

0

11.2(12)

tive explanation of the $(d, {}^{6}\text{Li})$ cross sections could be obtained with modelling of the 0_{1}^{+} state as $a\pi(p_{1/2})^{2} + b\pi(g_{9/2})^{2}$ with an orthogonal combination adopted for the 0_{2}^{+} state, where the amplitude *a* is derived from the above admixture factors. These results demonstrated the role that the proton configuration was playing in the $(d, {}^{6}\text{Li})$ reaction – a result confirmed in the two-proton pickup (${}^{6}\text{Li}, {}^{8}\text{B}$) reaction performed on targets of 92,94,96,98,100 Mo [292]. In the ${}^{98}\text{Mo}({}^{6}\text{Li}, {}^{8}\text{B}){}^{96}\text{Zr}$ reaction, an enhanced cross section to the 0_{2}^{+} state in ${}^{96}\text{Zr}$ was observed, where it was populated with a cross section equal to that for the ground state, and in ${}^{98}\text{Zr}$ the cross section to populate the 0_{2}^{+} state was found to exceed that for the ground state by a factor of 2.1. This was taken as evidence that the $\pi(p_{1/2})^{2}$ component in the ground-state wave function increased to 96\%, and that of the $\pi(g_{9/2})^{2}$ component was 4% [292]. We note that this is in qualitative agreement with the MCSM results for ${}^{98}\text{Zr}$ [237, 293], although the calculated wave functions are far more complex than those of the simple analysis performed in Refs. [289, 292].

1270

1265

1260

The similarity in the structures of ⁹⁸Sr and ¹⁰⁰Zr, with the 0_2^+ states decaying via enhanced *E*0 transitions to the ground state, and the structures built on them having much smaller moments of inertia compared to the ground-state band, was shown in Ref. [2]. Measurements on ¹⁰⁰Zr have been thus far mostly constrained to those using fission sources or β decay. Recent measurements [273] for ¹⁰⁰Zr, obtained from ²⁴⁸Cm fission, have confirmed the lifetimes of states in the ground state band of the 8⁺ – 12⁺ band members. Direct timing measurements [241], with ¹⁰⁰Zr obtained from ²³⁵U and ²⁴¹Pu fission, were in agreement with the previously established lifetime of the 2⁺₁ level, but gave a somewhat shorter lifetime for the 4⁺₁ state. To date, no measurements of lifetimes have been performed for the rotational states in the 0⁺₂ band.





Figure 25: Orbitals relevant for the description of the A = 100 mass region in the spherical shell model. Their ordering follows the calculations of Refs. [237, 255, 293]. The energy spacing is arbitrary (see text for details).

1280

Figure 25 shows the orbitals relevant for the present discussion and a schematic picture of the normal-ordered and intruder configurations as deduced from the studies of the even-even Zr and Sr isotopes presented in this section. In the calculations of Refs. [255, 293], the normal configuration corresponds to the 0_1^+ and 2_1^+ excitation in 96 Zr, with the 2_2^+ state as an intruder configuration, and these assignments are consistent with the experimental data. The 0_{23}^+ and 2_{12}^+ states in 98 Zr [237]

also are calculated to have intruder character, with proton p - h excitations from the pf shell into the $g_{9/2}$ orbital and neutron excitations from the $d_{5/2}$ orbital into higher-lying shells, even including the $h_{11/2}$ orbital.

¹²⁸⁵ 3.4.2. Odd-mass and odd-odd nuclei with $Z \approx 40$

In odd-mass isotopes, the spin and parity of the ground state and long-lived isomers give strong indication of the involved Nilsson orbitals. Figure 26 shows the systematics of ground and isomeric states in Zr, Y and Sr isotopes, highlighting those that have measured quadrupole moments. Isotones are presented in the same column and a colour code indicates the element.



Figure 26: Systematics of shape-coexisting states in Zr (red), Y (blue) and Sr (green) isotopes with $56 \le N \le 63$. The horizontally stretched ellipses denote oblate deformation, vertically stretched ones prolate or triaxial, and circles nearly spherical shapes. Filled symbols are used if the deformation was extracted from spectroscopic quadrupole moments measured in laser spectroscopy or Coulomb excitation. Patterned symbols are used if the deformation was deduced from level energies or a comparison with model calculations. The dashed lines connect the levels if there are arguments for their configurations being similar. The arrow between the 1229-keV and 1465-keV states in ⁹⁶Sr denotes their strong mixing, with the deformed unperturbed configuration resembling that of the ground state in ⁹⁸Sr (see text for details). The interpretation used for ⁹⁸Zr is that from Ref. [237]; Fig. 24 displays an alternative interpretation from Ref. [238].

Even-neutron odd-proton nuclei. The spins and parities of the ground states of odd-mass yttrium isotopes with $48 \le N \le 58$ are $I^{\pi} = 1/2^{-}$, and the large single-proton pickup strengths from the

even-even Zr targets [290, 294] demonstrate that these ground states have a normal-order configuration with a single proton in the $2p_{1/2}$ orbital. The odd-A Y isotopes, ${}^{85-97}$ Y, also possess $I^{\pi} = 9/2^+$ isomers that can be characterized as (predominately) $\pi g_{9/2}$ single-particle excitation. Spectroscopic quadrupole moments have been measured for many of these isomeric states [295], and they vary from $Q_s = -0.43(6) eb$ in 89 Y to -0.76(8) eb for 97 Y. This latter value can be interpreted as favouring an oblate shape for the isomeric state that can be considered as $\pi g_{9/2} \otimes {}^{96}$ Sr(g.s.), and it has been suggested [296] that the weak-coupled states involving the $13/2^+ \pi g_{9/2} \otimes {}^{96}$ Sr(2_1^+), and $17/2^+ \pi g_{9/2} \otimes {}^{96}$ Sr(4_1^+) lie at 1657 keV and 2559 keV, respectively.

¹³⁰⁰ The low-lying states in the N = 58 isotones above Z = 40 appear as spherical, with the exception of ¹⁰¹Tc. The most extensive assignments of the single-particle states are for ⁹⁹Nb populated with single-proton transfer reactions on ¹⁰⁰Mo [294], and favour a spherical shape, in agreement with the lack of rotational bands. In ¹⁰¹Tc, on the other hand, rotational-like bands appear that have been described as arising from its asymmetric rotor character [297], and they display a strong similarity to the ground-state bands of ¹⁰⁰Mo and ¹⁰²Ru, both of which have a triaxial nature [23, 254].

At N = 60, the ⁹⁹Y ground state changes structure compared to the lighter Y isotopes and has $I^{\pi} = 5/2^+$ with a rotational band observed (see, e.g., Ref. [298]) that is assigned as the $\pi 5/2$ [422] configuration. As shown in Fig. 27, the 5/2[422] orbital also forms the ground-state configurations of ¹⁰¹Nd and ¹⁰³Tc, and has been shown to persist in the heavier Y and Nb isotopes [301]. The deformed nature of ⁹⁹Y is supported by the value of $\beta_2 \simeq 0.4$ extracted from both laser spec-

troscopy [295] and in-beam γ -ray spectroscopy [299–301]. Lifetimes in the 5/2[422] ground-state rotational bands were also measured in ¹⁰¹Y and ^{101,103,105}Nb [301], and they were shown to be consistent with deformations of $\beta_2 = 0.35 - 0.4$. It should be noted that the $B(E2; 2_1^+ \rightarrow 0_1^+) =$ $0.259(8) e^2b^2$ in ⁹⁸Sr [247] is similar to the measured $B(E2; 9/2^+ \rightarrow 5/2^+) = 0.25(2) e^2b^2$ for the 5/2[422] rotational band in ⁹⁹Y [301], strongly supporting comparable collectivity. There is no firm evidence for the appearance of spherical states in the N = 60 isotones shown in Fig. 27. In ⁹⁷Rb, an isomeric state at 77 keV was shown to have negative parity [302], and comparison to calculations favoured $I^{\pi} = 1/2$ or $3/2^-$ values for the level that could arise from either an oblate or a prolate shape. The ground-state band in ⁹⁷Rb, based on the 3/2[431] orbital, was determined

to have a prolate shape with a large transitional quadrupole moment $Q_t = 2.95(5) eb$ [303].

Even-proton odd-neutron nuclei. The ground-state spins of the odd-mass Zr isotopes with N > 50 follow the expected pattern for neutrons above the N = 50 shell gap, with $I^{\pi} = 5/2^+$ for 91,93,95 Zr. The magnetic moments have been measured for the ground states with values of $\mu = -1.30362(2)$ μ_N for 91 Zr [304], and slightly less $|\mu| = 1.103(23) \mu_N$ for 95 Zr [305]. The very strong populations

of the 91,93,95 Zr ground states in single-neutron transfer reactions are consistent with a singleparticle $vd_{5/2}$ configuration. In 97 Zr, the ground state has $I^{\pi} = 1/2^+$ with a measured magnetic moment of $\mu = -0.937(2)_{stat}(3)_{sys} \mu_N$, and was strongly populated in the 96 Zr(d, p) reaction with L = 0 and a spectroscopic factor of S = 1 [306], consistent with a single-particle $vs_{1/2}$ assignment.

1330

1295

1310

Measurements of isotope shifts for the Zr isotopes, shown in Fig. 20, [307] demonstrate a sudden increase in the mean-square charge radii occurring at N = 60 (¹⁰⁰Zr). The ground state of ⁹⁹Zr, with $I^{\pi} = 1/2^+$, has a measured magnetic moment of $\mu = -0.930(1)_{stat}(3)_{sys} \mu_N$, nearly identical to that of ⁹⁷Zr. The apparent lack of a rotational band associated with the ground state,



Figure 27: Low-lying non-rotational states in the N = 60 isotones ⁹⁷Rb, ⁹⁹Y, ¹⁰¹Nb, and ¹⁰³Tc. The ellipses denote prolate deformation, filled symbols are used if the deformation was extracted from spectroscopic quadrupole moments measured in laser spectroscopy, and patterned symbols if it was deduced from level energies or a comparison with model calculations. The dashed lines connect the levels if there are arguments for their configurations being similar.

1335

together with the trend observed in the isotope shifts and the magnetic moment, strongly favour a spherical or very weakly deformed shape for the ground state of ⁹⁹Zr. In contrast, the structure observed in ¹⁰¹Zr, with a clear rotational band built on the $I^{\pi} = 3/2^+$ ground state, and the measured spectroscopic quadrupole moment of $Q_s = +0.812(56)$ eb [307], is consistent with a deformed nature of the ground state. The lack of observed rotational bands built on the $3/2^+$ and $7/2^+$ states in ⁹⁹Zr favours a spherical shape interpretation for those levels, and hence they are given the vl_j labels in Fig. 28. However, very recent measurements of the magnetic moments of the $3/2_1^+$ and $7/2_1^+$ states in ⁹⁹Zr [308] of $\mu = +0.42(6)$ and $|\mu| = 2.31(4)$, respectively, were interpreted 1340 within interacting boson-fermion model (IBFM) calculations. The wave functions were described as mixtures of the $d_{5/2}$, $g_{7/2}$, $s_{1/2}$, and $d_{3/2}$ spherical orbitals, as would be expected for deformed states. As pointed out in Ref. [308] the g-factor for the $7/2^+$ state exceeds the Schmidt limit (Eq. 24) for a single-particle $g_{7/2}$ state. The apparent disagreement over the interpretation of 1345

these low-lying levels has been pointed out in Refs. [309, 310], and emphasize the need for more experimental information on the excitations in ⁹⁹Zr.

There are rotational bands in ⁹⁹Zr, the lowest built on the state at 576 keV assigned as a mixture of the v3/2[411] and v3/2[422] orbitals, followed by the v3/2[541] configuration at 614 keV, and the v9/2[404] configuration at 1039 keV [311]. These states are also shown in Fig. 28. Very recent 1350 lifetime measurements [312] for the v3/2[411] and v3/2[541] band members result in transitional quadrupole moments consistent with deformations of $\beta_2 = 0.32(3)$ and $\beta_2 = 0.34(1)$. The band based on the 9/2[404] orbital has been identified in ¹⁰¹Zr, as well as in the N = 59 isotone ⁹⁷Sr,

and the isomeric nature of the band head has been attributed to the hindrance of the γ -ray decays due to the $\Delta K = 3$ required (one degree of K forbiddenness for E2 transitions) to the lower-1355 lying $K^{\pi} = 3/2^+$ orbital [313]. It should be noted that this demonstrates that K is already a good quantum number, and that the γ -ray transitions are hindered in spite of the allowed $g_{9/2} \rightarrow d_{5/2}$ single-particle transition that could occur between the spherical-basis orbitals that are dominant in the 9/2[404] and 3/2[411] configurations, respectively. The low-lying level structure of ⁹⁷Sr has a strong similarity to that of ⁹⁹Zr, possessing a $I^{\pi} = 1/2^+$ ground state, with a $3/2^+$ state at 1360 167 keV and a $7/2^+$ state at 308 keV that are analogous to the spherical states in ⁹⁹Zr, as well as the $3/2^{+}[411]$, $3/2^{-}[541]$, and $9/2^{+}[404]$ configurations with their associated rotational bands. Lifetime measurements [314, 315] for the spherical states and some rotational band members in ⁹⁷Sr and ⁹⁹Zr reinforced the previous determinations of the transitional quadrupole moments and are consistent with the assumed shapes. The $1/2^+$, $3/2^+$ and $7/2^+$ states in ⁹⁷Sr were populated in 1365 the (d, p) reaction [278] with strengths considerably lower than expected for spherical shell model states, but this was attributed to the complicated ⁹⁶Sr ground-state wave function as mentioned above. The most interesting result for 97 Sr was the observation of the L = 2 transfer to the 522-keV $(1/2^+)$ level, which lead to its re-assignment as $(3/2,5/2)^+$, with the $5/2^+$ spin-parity favoured due to the observation of such a state in ⁹⁵Sr [278]. It might be expected that the low-1370 lying states in the N = 59 isotone ¹⁰¹Mo would resemble those in ⁹⁷Sr and ⁹⁹Zr, but the data are inconclusive. The ¹⁰¹Mo ground state has a $vs_{1/2}$ assignment, as revealed from the singleneutron transfer reaction [316]. The L = 2 transfer strength in the ¹⁰⁰Mo(d, p) reaction is highly fragmented, with the strongest transitions to the $5/2^+$ state at 57 keV and the $3/2^+$ state at 352 keV. This may favour their assignments as the $d_{5/2}$ and $d_{3/2}$ states, but the spectroscopic strengths 1375 are not sufficiently large to provide a convincing assignment. Further, the $3/2^+$ state at 13 keV, weakly populated in the single-neutron transfer reaction, may be a candidate for the $3/2^{+}[411]$ state, but the associated rotational band has not been identified (we note that the 57-keV $5/2^+$ state decays with $B(E2; 5/2^+ \rightarrow 3/2^+) = 33(19)$ W.u. [39]). This difficulty to assign spherical and deformed states may be due to the triaxial deformation of the ¹⁰⁰Mo ground state [23], in contrast 1380 to the spherical ground states for 96 Sr and 98 Zr. Thus, shape coexistence has been established in 97 Sr and 99 Zr, but cannot yet be claimed in 101 Mo. It is to be noted that in the N = 59 isotone

1385

higher-lying rotational bands are yet to be discovered. On the other side of the shape transition at N = 60, the N = 61 isotones of Sr, Zr, and Mo might also be expected to exhibit shape coexistence. The low-lying states are shown in Fig. 28 (right) with their Nilsson classifications. Since the spherical structures beyond N = 60 are no longer expected to form the ground states, if they exist they would be located within a background of deformed and rotational states, making their identification extremely difficult. To date, the spectroscopic knowledge is insufficient to ascertain shape coexistence at N = 61 and beyond.

⁹⁵Kr, the three lowest excited states also bear a strong resemblance to those in ⁹⁷Sr and ⁹⁹Zr, but

1390

Odd-odd nuclei. In N = 57 ⁹⁶Y, the properties of the structures built on the 0⁻ ground state and the 8⁺ isomer point to their nearly spherical shapes. The spectroscopic quadrupole moment of the 8⁺, 9.6-s isomer, $-98(11) efm^2$, corresponds to a weak β_2 deformation of -0.16(2) [295]. A rotational band built on the (6⁺), 181(9)-ns isomeric state at 1655 keV was recently identified, and its moment of inertia suggests an oblate deformation [317]. The non-observation of transitions between



Figure 28: Low-lying non-rotational states in the (left) N = 59 isotones 97 Sr, 99 Zr, and 101 Mo and (right) N = 61 isotones 99 Sr, 101 Zr, and 103 Mo. The ellipses denote prolate deformation, and circles nearly spherical shapes. Filled symbols are used if the deformation was extracted from spectroscopic quadrupole moments measured in laser spectroscopy, and patterned symbols if the deformation was deduced from level energies or a comparison with model calculations. The dashed lines connect the levels if there are arguments for their configurations being similar. The states in 101 Mo are difficult to classify, as explained in the text.

this band and the structure identified above the 8^+ , 9.6-s isomer, despite similar spins, is consistent with a large structural difference between the two configurations. In $N = 59^{98}$ Y, detailed spectroscopy of the isomers [318] shows the coexistence of several deformed bands, including intruder configurations, as well as the presence at low excitation energy of the neutron v9/2[404] Nilsson orbital and a (8^+) spherical configuration similar to the 8^+ isomeric state in 96 Y.

The stable Mo isotopes have provided an excellent laboratory in which to study shape coex-

1400

3.4.3. Mo isotopes

1405

istence, as they span from the closed N = 50 shell ⁹²Mo to N = 58 ¹⁰⁰Mo. The systematics of the low-lying states in the even-even Mo isotopes are shown in Fig. 29, which include the recently discovered 0_2^+ states in ^{108,110}Mo [319]. The energy of the 0_2^+ state drops dramatically with increasing neutron number: at the closed neutron shell it is found at 2.5 MeV, then becomes the first excited state in N = 56 ⁹⁸Mo at 735 keV, and finally reaches a minimum in ¹⁰⁰Mo at 695 keV. It should be noted that the 2_1^+ state in ⁹⁸Mo does not have the high energy encountered in ⁹⁶Zr, but rather mirrors the trend observed in the Sr isotopes as shown in Fig. 22. Unlike Sr and Zr, however, the 2_1^+ energies do not undergo a sudden drop in energy when proceeding from N = 58to N = 60, and the 0_2^+ energies are somewhat constant from ⁹⁸Mo to ¹⁰²Mo before rising slightly to approximately 1 MeV in ¹⁰⁴Mo. Detailed Coulomb-excitation studies of the stable ^{96,98,100}Mo

1415

1420

- isotopes yielded quadrupole invariants for the ground and 0_2^+ states [23, 256, 320] as listed in Tab. 4. As shown in Fig. 30, the $\langle Q^2 \rangle$ values, calculated using Eq. 26, are vastly different for the 0_1^+ and 0_2^+ states in ⁹⁶Mo, with that for the 0_2^+ state possessing a very small value consistent with an approximately spherical shape. It is, in fact, difficult to identify the 2⁺ state associated with the 0_2^+ state, as very similar B(E2) values of < 1 W.u are measured for the transitions to the 0_2^+ level from each of the 2_2^+ , 2_3^+ , and 2_4^+ states [256]. The ground-state band, on the other hand, has definite collectivity with enhanced transitions and $B(E2; 2_1^+ \rightarrow 0_1^+) = 20.7(4)$ W.u. Unfortunately, the quadrupole moment for the 2_1^+ state has considerable uncertainty, with values ranging from +0.04(8) or -0.20(8) *eb* [304], or -0.33(4) *eb* [256]. In the case of ⁹⁸Mo, both the 0_1^+ and 0_2^+ states have approximately the same values of $\langle Q^2 \rangle$; however, the values of $\langle \cos 3\delta \rangle$ (Eq. 29) indicate that the ground state is triaxial, whereas the 0_2^+ state is much closer to a prolate shape. The 2_3^+ state in ⁹⁸Mo appears to be associated with the 0_2^+ level with $B(E2; 2_3^+ \rightarrow 0_2^+) = 7.2(3)$ W.u. [320]. An *E*0 decay with $10^3 \times \rho^2(E0; 0_2^+ \rightarrow 0_1^+) = 27.3(11)$ [102] confirms the difference in shape between the two states. The invariant quantities $\langle Q^2 \rangle$ extracted for both the 0_1^+ and 0_2^+ states in ¹⁰⁰Mo are
- significantly greater than those for ⁹⁸Mo, with that for the 0_2^+ state being much larger, and the $\langle \cos 3\delta \rangle$ values repeat the pattern as in ⁹⁸Mo that the 0_2^+ state is prolate, and the 0_1^+ state is triaxial.



Figure 29: Energy systematics of positive-parity excited states in molybdenum isotopes with $50 \le N \le 68$, with ground-state structures denoted with black squares, 0_2^+ states and members of the bands built on them with red circles, and the 2_2^+ states (band heads of the K = 2 bands) with green crosses. Data are taken from the National Nuclear Data Center database [39] and Ref. [319].

The 2⁺ member of the 0_2^+ band is well established as the 2_3^+ state with $B(E2; 2_3^+ \rightarrow 0_2^+) = 19.1(6)$ W.u. [23]. The E0 transition also becomes more enhanced, with $10^3 \times \rho^2(E0; 0^+_2 \rightarrow 0^+_1) = 36(6)$ 1430 [102]. For the $N = 60^{102}$ Mo, the invariant quantities are not determined, but if we use the approximation that the 2_1^+ state exhausts most of the E2 strength to the ground state, $\langle Q^2 \rangle = 1.05(13)$ $e^{2}b^{2}$ can be estimated. The largest E0 transition in the Mo isotopes is observed in ¹⁰²Mo, with $10^3 \times \rho^2(E0; 0_2^+ \rightarrow 0_1^+) = 120(50)$ [102], definitely indicating that the 0_2^+ state possesses a significantly different deformation from that of the ground state.





Figure 30: Quadrupole invariant quantities $\langle Q^2 \rangle$ and $\langle \cos 3\delta \rangle$ determined for the ^{96–100}Mo isotopes. Data are from Refs. [23, 256, 320].

1440

The proton vacancies and neutron occupancies (see Sect. 2.7) for ⁹⁸Mo and ¹⁰⁰Mo were extracted in an extensive series of single-proton and single-neutron transfer reactions on targets of ^{98,100}Mo and ^{100,102}Ru [321], and are shown in Fig. 31. The changes in the neutron occupancy between ⁹⁸Mo and ¹⁰⁰Mo reflect the additional two neutrons which are predominately in the $g_{7/2}$ and $h_{11/2}$ orbitals. Interestingly, one also sees a change in the proton occupancy, with a rearrangement from the $p_{1/2}$ and the $f_{5/2}$ orbital to the $g_{9/2}$ orbital. These changes in occupancy are reflected in the changes in deformation of the ground state, as outlined above. With these results, the Mo isotopes, specifically ^{98,100}Mo, offer the rare opportunity for understanding structure in mediumheavy nuclei in that detailed information on the shapes, through the sum-rule invariants, and the microscopic components of the wave functions are known to high precision.

1445

3.4.4. Ru isotopes

The Ru isotopes, located adjacent to the Mo isotopes with their well-established examples of shape-coexisting structures, might be considered as candidates for shape coexistence as well, but the evidence in support of this has been sparse. The energy systematics of the 2^+_1 states,



Figure 31: Occupancies extracted from results of transfer reactions on targets of 98,100 Mo [321] for the $\pi p_{1/2}$, $\pi g_{9/2}$, and $\pi f_{5/2}$ orbitals (left) and for the $\nu s_{1/2}$, $\nu d_{5/2} + d_{3/2}$, $\nu g_{7/2}$, and $\nu h_{11/2}$ orbitals (right). The proton occupancies have been deduced from the listed vacancies in Ref. [321]. The uncertainties on the total occupancies were estimated to be in the 0.2-0.3 range.

and the ratios $E(4_1^+)/E(2_1^+)$ have been used to suggest that the ground-state shape evolves from 1450 spherical for the lighter Ru isotopes, 96,98 Ru, to γ -soft and deformed for the heavier Ru isotopes ^{106,108}Ru, and this evolution has often been modeled using the IBM (see, e.g., Refs. [322–326]). Because of this evolution of the shape, it has been difficult to use the typical indicators of shape coexistence, such as the energy systematics of the 0^+_2 state for example. The best evidence for shape coexistence to date has been inferred from Coulomb excitation. The results from a Coulomb-1455 excitation study of ¹⁰⁴Ru, performed by Stachel *et al.* [327], were used to suggest that the 0^+_2 state was not the first excited 0^+ state predicted by collective models, but rather was built on an "intruder" configuration with a smaller deformation and a higher degree of triaxiality than the ground state. The large number of matrix elements obtained in the Coulomb-excitation study of ¹⁰⁴Ru performed by Srebrny et al. [258] permitted several sum-rule invariant quantities to be 1460 determined, including both the $\langle Q^2 \rangle$ (Eq. 26) and $\langle \cos 3\delta \rangle$ (Eq. 29) for the ground state and 0^+_2 state, as listed in Tab. 4. The $\langle Q^2 \rangle$ values for the ground-state band were approximately constant at 0.9 e^2b^2 up to spin 8⁺, which corresponds to $\beta_2 \approx 0.28$, and the dispersion in this value was $\sigma(Q^2) \approx 0.22(6) e^2 b^2$ (Eq. 35) [258], indicating some softness but far from vibrational. For the 0^+_2 state, the $\langle Q^2 \rangle \approx 0.52(12) e^2 b^2$ corresponds to $\beta_2 \approx 0.21$, significantly less than that of the ground 1465 state. The $\langle \cos 3\delta \rangle$ value for the ground state was approximately 0.38(12), which is equivalent to the shape parameter $\gamma \approx 25^{\circ}$, and that for the 0^+_2 state 0.1(3), which indicates, within uncertainty, a maximally triaxial shape. The gradual change in the shape of the ground state of the Ru isotopes, in contrast to the rapid change observed in Sr and Zr isotopes, was suggested [328] as due to the occupation of protons in the $g_{9/2}$ orbital that block the promotion of protons from the lower pf

orbitals.

A study of ¹⁰²Ru by Urban *et al.* [329], using the (n, γ) reaction, assigned the band built on the 0_2^+ state. Based on the energy systematics, beginning at $N = 52^{96}$ Ru, it was suggested that the

¹⁴⁷⁰



Figure 32: Observed excitation energies in the even-even Ru isotopes from A = 94 to A = 112 of states assigned to 0⁺ bands, and the 2^+_2 state.Data taken from the National Nuclear Data Center database [39] and Ref. [254].

ground state in the lighter Ru isotopes was nearly spherical in shape, and it crossed with the 0_2^+ configuration in the region of 100,102 Ru to become the 0_2^+ state. The 0_2^+ state in 96 Ru was also suggested to possess a low deformation, but with increasing neutron number the deformation increased. The mixing in the crossing region resulted in both states taking on deformed characteristics.

1480

A recent study of ⁹⁸Ru [254] has revealed the presence of bands built on the 0_2^+ and 2_2^+ states. Shown in Fig. 32 are the systematics of the ground-state band, the " γ " band, and the 0_2^+ band in the chain of Ru isotopes from ⁹⁶Ru to ¹⁰⁶Ru. It was noted that the $0^+ - 2^+ - 4^+$ level spacing of the ground-state band in ⁹⁸Ru matched that of the 0_2^+ band in ¹⁰²Ru, and the spacing in the 0_2^+ band in ⁹⁸Ru matched that of the ground-state band in ¹⁰²Ru. Focusing on the behaviour of the bands, rather than only the 0⁺ band heads, it was suggested [254] that the crossing of the configurations occurs between ⁹⁸Ru and ¹⁰⁰Ru. The $\langle Q^2 \rangle$ quantities for ^{100,102,104}Ru for the 0_1^+ and 0_2^+ states, listed in Tab. 4, show a trend that the ground-state deformation is increasing with neutron number. The $\langle Q^2 \rangle$ invariant for the 0_2^+ state in ¹⁰⁰Ru is slightly greater than that of its ground state. In contract, in both ^{102,104}Ru the $0_2^+ \langle Q^2 \rangle$ values are substantially lower than those of the ground states, consistent with the picture of crossing configurations.

3.4.5. Configuration mixing in the $Z \approx 40$ region

Experimental data, in particular *E*2 matrix elements, can be used to calculate the mixing amplitudes, $\cos^2\theta_I$, between the two pure (unperturbed) configurations. Following the method described in Ref. [222], using the complete set of *E*2 matrix elements in ⁹⁸Sr small mixing angles of $\cos^2\theta_0 = 0.82(2)$ and $\cos^2\theta_2 = 0.99(1)$ were extracted for the 0⁺ and 2⁺ wave functions, respectively [247–249]. An evaluation of the mixing angles for the 0⁺ and 2⁺ states requires a large set of *E*2 matrix elements, which is not available for many nuclei in this mass region. Assuming a negligible mixing between the 2⁺ states, however, one can extract an approximate mixing angle for the 0⁺ states from the $\langle 0_2^+ || E2 || 2_1^+ \rangle$ and $\langle 0_1^+ || E2 || 2_1^+ \rangle$ matrix elements using Eq. 39. The $\cos^2\theta_0$ values obtained in this way for N = 58, 60 Sr, Zr, Mo, Ru and Pd nuclei are presented in Tab. 5. In general, a rather weak mixing between the ground state and the excited configuration is observed for nuclei in this mass region, both inside and outside the region of enhanced ground-state deformation.

Table 5: Values of $\cos^2 \theta_0$ for the mixing angles between the 0⁺ states in N = 58,60 nuclei extracted using Eq. 39. The second and fourth column show the *B*(*E*2) values used for the calculations.

Element	<i>N</i> = 58		N = 60	
	$B(E2; 2^+_1 \to 0^+_1)/B(E2; 0^+_2 \to 2^+_1)$	$\cos^2 \theta_0$	$B(E2; 2_1^+ \to 0_1^+)/B(E2; 0_2^+ \to 2_1^+)$	$\cos^2 \theta_0$
	$[e^2b^2]$		$[e^2b^2]$	
Pd	0.10(5) / 0.038(3)[330]	0.93(8)	0.13(3) / 0.10(2)[334]	0.86(6)
Ru	0.127(1) / 0.010(1) [332]	0.984(2)	0.168(3) / 0.072(8) [258, 330]	0.92(1)
Mo	0.095(3) / 0.26(1) [23]	0.64(2)	0.209(25) / 0.19(8) [332]	0.84(7)
Zr	0.007(2) / 0.38(11)[237]	0.90(5)	0.206(11) / 0.037(3) [331]	0.965(5)
Sr	$0.045^{+0.011}_{-0.008}$ / 0.040(4) [247, 333]	0.85(5)	0.259(8) / 0.25(2)[247]	0.84(2)

3.5. Shape coexistence around Z = 50

1505

1515

The nuclei in the immediate vicinity of Z = 50, especially the Cd and Sn isotopes, have provided well-studied examples of shape coexistence (see, e.g., Ref. [6]). An advantage that this region offers is that the even-Z species have many stable isotopes, and thus a wide variety of probes have been used in their study. This is reflected in Fig. 33, which demonstrates the richness of experimental data supporting shape coexistence in specific nuclei from Pd through Te. Ironically, while long-recognized in the Cd and Sn isotopes, shape coexistence is less well established (or accepted) in the Te or Pd isotopes, and it is not widely observed in the odd-A isotopes.

1510 3.5.1. Cd isotopes

The discovery of shape coexistence in the Cd isotopes is intimately linked with their suggestion as excellent examples of nearly harmonic vibrational motion. Very early studies [16, 17] identified additional 0⁺ and 2⁺ levels in the vicinity of the two-phonon triplet, which were suggested [335, 336] to belong to shape-coexisting structures. The shape-coexistence interpretation remained speculative until Meyer and Peker [337], using γ -ray spectroscopy following β -decay

📕 level energies 📕 E2 str				rengths	E	0 strenç	yths 📒	trans	fer cros	s section	ons	quad	rupole i	noment	is 📃	quadru	pole inv	ariants
¹⁰⁸ Te	¹⁰⁹ Te	¹¹⁰ Te	¹¹¹ Te	¹¹² Te	¹¹³ Te	¹¹⁴ Te	¹¹⁵ Te	¹¹⁶ Te	¹¹⁷ Te	¹¹⁸ Te	¹¹⁹ Te	¹²⁰ Te	¹²¹ Te	¹²² Te	¹²³ Te	¹²⁴ Te	¹²⁵ Te	¹²⁶ Te
¹⁰⁷ Sb	¹⁰⁸ Sb	¹⁰⁹ Sb	¹¹⁰ Sb	¹¹¹ Sb	¹¹² Sb	¹¹³ Sb	¹¹⁴ Sb	¹¹⁵ Sb	¹¹⁶ Sb	¹¹⁷ Sb	¹¹⁸ Sb	¹¹⁹ Sb	¹²⁰ Sb	¹²¹ Sb	¹²² Sb	¹²³ Sb	¹²⁴ Sb	¹²⁵ Sb
¹⁰⁶ Sn	¹⁰⁷ Sn	¹⁰⁸ Sn	¹⁰⁹ Sn	¹¹⁰ Sn	¹¹¹ Sn	¹¹² Sn	¹¹³ Sn	¹¹⁴ Sn	¹¹⁵ Sn	¹¹⁶ Sn	¹¹⁷ Sn	¹¹⁸ Sn	¹¹⁹ Sn	¹²⁰ Sn	¹²¹ Sn	¹²² Sn	¹²³ Sn	¹²⁴ Sn
¹⁰⁵ ln	¹⁰⁶ In	¹⁰⁷ ln	¹⁰⁸ ln	¹⁰⁹ ln	¹¹⁰ In	¹¹¹ In	¹¹² In	¹¹³ In	¹¹⁴ In	¹¹⁵ In	¹¹⁶ ln	¹¹⁷ In	¹¹⁸ In	¹¹⁹ ln	¹²⁰ In	¹²¹ In	¹²² In	¹²³ In
¹⁰⁴ Cd	¹⁰⁵ Cd	¹⁰⁶ Cd	¹⁰⁷ Cd	¹⁰⁸ Cd	¹⁰⁹ Cd	¹¹⁰ Cd	¹¹¹ Cd	¹¹² Cd	¹¹³ Cd	¹¹⁴ Cd	¹¹⁵ Cd	¹¹⁶ Cd	¹¹⁷ Cd	¹¹⁸ Cd	¹¹⁹ Cd	¹²⁰ Cd	¹²¹ Cd	¹²² Cd
¹⁰³ Ag	¹⁰⁴ Ag	¹⁰⁵ Ag	¹⁰⁶ Ag	¹⁰⁷ Ag	¹⁰⁸ Ag	¹⁰⁹ Ag	¹¹⁰ Ag	¹¹¹ Ag	¹¹² Ag	¹¹³ Ag	¹¹⁴ Ag	¹¹⁵ Ag	¹¹⁶ Ag	¹¹⁷ Ag	¹¹⁸ Ag	¹¹⁹ Ag	¹²⁰ Ag	¹²¹ Ag
¹⁰² Pd	¹⁰³ Pd	¹⁰⁴ Pd	¹⁰⁵ Pd	¹⁰⁶ Pd	¹⁰⁷ Pd	¹⁰⁸ Pd	¹⁰⁹ Pd	¹¹⁰ Pd	¹¹¹ Pd	¹¹² Pd	¹¹³ Pd	¹¹⁴ Pd	¹¹⁵ Pd	¹¹⁶ Pd	¹¹⁷ Pd	¹¹⁸ Pd	¹¹⁹ Pd	¹²⁰ Pd

Figure 33: Summary of experimental data available for nuclei exhibiting shape coexistence in the Pd-Te region. Red bars indicate that shape-coexisting structures were proposed based on their level energies (e.g., observation of a low-lying 0^+ state, or rotational structures with very different moments of inertia), orange bars mean that additional information was obtained from *E*2 transition probabilities, yellow bars mean that information on *E*0 transition strengths is known, green bars mean measured cross sections to populate the coexisting configurations in direct reactions, light blue bars indicate that quadrupole moments of both configurations were measured, and dark blue bars correspond to quadrupole invariants. Stable nuclei are indicated with a darker shade.

of ¹¹⁰In, observed a band-like structure built on the 0_2^+ state at 1473 keV in ¹¹⁰Cd. Nearly simultaneously, Fielding *et al.* [338] reported very strong population of this state (amounting to 42% of the ground-state population) with the (³He,*n*) two-proton-transfer reaction, as shown in Fig. 34. Also shown in Fig. 34 are the data for the ¹¹⁰Pd(³He,*n*)¹¹²Cd reaction, where the 0_2^+ state is strongly populated with 55% of the ground-state cross section. These can be contrasted with the recent ¹¹⁴Cd(*p*, *t*) two-neutron-transfer results [339] that populated the 0_2^+ state with only 1.7% of the ground-state cross section. It was suggested [337] that the configuration for the 0_2^+ state and the deformed band built on it was based on proton 2p - 4h intruder configurations such as $\pi(g_{9/2})^{-4}(d_{5/2})^2$ or $\pi(g_{9/2})^{-4}(g_{7/2})^2$, an interpretation expanded upon by Heyde and co-workers [340, 341]. The two-proton stripping results, combined with the non-observation [342] of any excited states in ¹¹⁰Cd in the (*d*,⁶Li) transfer reaction, reveals that the intruder configuration possesses the characteristic signature of a proton pairing vibration.

1530

The shape-coexisting intruder states in the ^{110,112,114}Cd isotopes were well studied in the 1980s and early 1990s, and these early data are summarized in the review by Wood *et al.* [6]. The systematics of the intruder bands in mid-neutron-shell Cd isotopes was expanded using in-beam γ -ray spectroscopy following light-ion fusion-evaporation reactions [343–348]. Candidates for the intruder band heads were also suggested in the heavier Cd isotopes, ^{116–120}Cd, as well as in the lighter isotopes ^{106,108}Cd (see e.g. Refs.[343, 344]). The intruder band in ¹⁰⁸Cd was extended by Gade *et al.* [349] using a combination of β^+ /EC decay and light-ion reactions, and those in ^{114,116}Cd by Juutinen *et al.* [350] using heavy-ion collisions. Thorslund *et al.* [351, 352] suggested that a sequence of states in ¹⁰⁸Cd involving the 4⁺ at 2239 keV, the 6⁺ at 2994 keV, and the 8⁺ at 3861



Figure 34: Time-of-flight spectra of neutrons emitted following the ¹⁰⁸Pd(³He,n)¹¹⁰Cd and ¹¹⁰Pd(³He,n)¹¹²Cd reactions using 25-MeV ³He beams. Figure reproduced from data in Fielding et al. [338].

keV, belonged to a deformed intruder band, but having a predominant $v(h_{11/2})^2$ structure rather than the proton 2p - 4h configuration. Studies of ¹⁰⁶Cd [353], ¹¹⁰Cd [354–356], ¹¹²Cd [357–359], ¹¹⁴Cd [360], and ¹¹⁶Cd [361] using the $(n, n'\gamma)$ reaction elucidated the level schemes, and provided crucial level lifetimes determined via the Doppler-shift attenuation method (DSAM) [362].

Very recently, detailed spectroscopy following the β^+/EC decay of ¹¹⁰In, and the β decay of ^{110,112}Ag, enabled the observation of very weak low-energy transitions between highly non-yrast states in ¹¹⁰Cd and ¹¹²Cd. From the known level lifetimes, the B(E2) values for the in-band transitions were determined, and in many cases they corresponded to a highly collective nature [261, 363], leading to interpretation of these states as forming rotational-like bands. With the 1545 aid of beyond-mean-field calculations, it was suggested that multiple shape coexistence occurs in ^{110,112}Cd. Figure 35 shows the levels assigned to the 0_2^+ and 0_3^+ bands in ^{110,112}Cd [261, 363] based on the presence of enhanced B(E2) values.

1550

1540

The 0^+ states in neighbouring Cd isotopes that have the same decay pattern as the 0^+ band heads assigned in ^{110,112}Cd [261, 363] may be considered candidates for analogous shape-coexisting structures. Shown in Fig. 36 are the properties of the 0_2^+ and 0_3^+ states in the Cd isotopes, showing the $B(E2; 0^+ \rightarrow 2^+)$ and $\rho^2(E0)$ values, where known, as well as the (³He,n) population relative to the ground state if measured. A feature of the decay of the original "intruder" band head is an enhanced transition rate for its decay to the 2_1^+ state; this is seen in ^{112,114}Cd [39, 261, 262]. For ¹¹⁰Cd, only a lower limit for the lifetime of the 0^+_2 level is known that results in an upper limit of 1555 40 W.u. for the $0_2^+ \rightarrow 0_1^+$ transition [356]. Recent results from Coulomb excitation [260] show that the $\langle Q^2 \rangle$ value for the 0^+_2 state is 0.51(8) e^2b^2 , slightly larger than that of the ground state with 0.44(1) e^2b^2 , as listed in Tab. 4. We contrast the decay of the 0^+_2 states in ^{110,112,114}Cd with those of the 0_3^+ states that clearly favour the decay to the 2_2^+ state. This pattern is also observed for 106,108 Cd, strongly suggesting that the natures of the 0_3^+ states in these nuclei are very similar.



Figure 35: Portions of the low-lying level schemes of 110,112 Cd. The transitions are labelled with their B(E2) values in W.u., with those in brackets displaying the relative B(E2) values, normalized to 100 units for the in-band transition. Data are taken from the National Nuclear Data Center database [39], and Refs. [261, 356, 359, 363].

Moreover, for nuclei where the absolute value of the $0_3^+ \rightarrow 2_2^+$ transition rate has been measured, i.e., ^{112,114}Cd, it is highly enhanced. In the heavier Cd isotopes, the natures of the 0_2^+ and 0_3^+ states seem to interchange in ¹¹⁶Cd, where the 0_2^+ state strongly favours the decay to the 2_2^+ state, and the 0_3^+ has an enhanced decay rate to the 2_1^+ state. The pattern becomes more confusing in ¹¹⁸Cd. The intruder band head was assigned by Aprahamian *et al.* [364, 365] as the 0_3^+ state. An upper limit for the observation of the $0_3^+ \rightarrow 2_2^+$ decay was established, corresponding to the $B(E2; 0_3^+ \rightarrow 2_2^+)/B(E2; 0_3^+ \rightarrow 2_1^+)$ ratio lower than 19. The lifetime measurements by Mach *et al.* [366] provided an upper limit for the 0_3^+ level, leading to a lower limit of $B(E2; 0_3^+ \rightarrow 2_1^+) > 1.2$ W.u., and determined the lifetime for the 0_2^+ state corresponding to $B(E2; 0_2^+ \rightarrow 2_1^+) = 5.3(8)$ W.u. It thus appears that at ¹¹⁸Cd and beyond, the nature of the $0_{2,3}^+$ bands changes, perhaps dramatically.

1570

1575

1565

The energy systematics for the even-even Cd isotopes are shown in Fig. 37. The BMF calculations reported in Refs. [261, 363] suggested that the 0_2^+ states in ^{110,112}Cd possess triaxial shapes, with the wave-function distributions having mean values of $(\beta_2, \gamma) = (0.4, 20^\circ)$. The 0_3^+ states in these nuclei were suggested to be oblate deformed. The oblate and the triaxial states may interchange their positions at ¹¹⁶Cd. In reality, it is very likely that the degree of deformation of the states shifts dramatically as a function of the neutron number. Indicators of this are the kinematic moments of inertia, displayed in Fig. 38. We note some clear trends that can be observed from these plots. Firstly, the loci of points for the ground-state band begin with E_{γ} in the range of 0.5-0.65 MeV, and with $J^{(1)} \approx 5 \hbar^2/MeV$. The intruder bands, by contrast, begin with loci of points



Figure 36: Properties of the low-lying 0^+ states observed in the mid-neutron-shell Cd isotopes. The widths of the arrows are proportional to the B(E2) values (rust colour) and $10^3 \times \rho^2(E0)$ (green). The transitions are labelled with the absolute B(E2) values in W.u. with uncertainties in parenthesis, or relative B(E2) values in square brackets. The E0 transitions are labeled with their $10^3 \times \rho^2(E0)$ values, or the X(E0/E2) value for the 0^+_3 state in ¹⁰⁸Cd relative to the E2 to the 2_1^+ level. The numbers inside boxes attached to the levels are the ratios (in %) of the (³He,n) transfer cross sections to those of the ground state. Quantities contained within the brackets are the $\langle Q^2 \rangle e^2 b^2$ values; those for excited states, especially, should be considered as lower limits. Data are taken from the National Nuclear Data Center database [39] and Refs. [102, 260-262, 338, 353, 361, 363].

that span a wide range, with E_{γ} values from approximately 0.25 MeV to 0.575 MeV, and with $J^{(1)}$ 1580 ranging from 5 to 13 \hbar^2 /MeV. In particular, we see that ¹¹⁴Cd presents the smallest E_{γ} value, and then ^{112,116}Cd, ^{110,118}Cd, and ^{108,120}Cd form approximate pairs. Isotopes that have well-established intruder bands, namely 110,112,114 Cd, have $J^{(1)}$ moments that possess a concave shape and turn over at E_{γ} of approximately 0.4 MeV – in ¹¹⁰Cd this is more gradual. The intruder band in ¹¹⁶Cd is a notable exception. It is also be to noted that ¹¹⁸Cd has a much steeper slope of $J^{(1)}$ vs. E_{γ} , perhaps 1585 indicating that its band assignment is in error. One should also mention that there is considerable uncertainty in the assignment of the 0^+_2 level in ¹²⁰Cd. The 883-keV transition feeding the 2^+_1 level, attributed [367, 368] to the decay of a 0^+ state at 1389 keV, was shown to be a doublet and these γ rays were placed elsewhere in the level scheme by Batchelder *et al.* [369]. This latest study, however, placed a previously unobserved transition of 630 keV feeding the 2^+_1 state, and suggested 1590



Figure 37: Observed excitation energies in the even-even Cd isotopes of states assigned to 0⁺ bands, and the 2_2^+ state. The BMF calculations of Refs. [261, 363] predicted that the ground states in ^{110,112}Cd possessed prolate-deformed shapes with $\beta_2 \approx 0.2$, the "intruder" 0_2^+ states were suggested to have triaxially deformed shapes with $(\beta_2, \gamma) = (0.4, 20^\circ)$, and the 0_3^+ states had oblate shapes with $\beta_2 \approx 0.3$. The states in neighbouring isotopes suggested to have similar shapes are shown in black (prolate), blue (triaxial), and oblate (red). For states that have tentative shape assignments, dotted lines and lighter colours are used. The 2_2^+ state, labelled as the " γ "-band head, is shown in green, and the calculations for ^{110,112}Cd suggest that it has a very similar β_2 to the ground state, and is maximally triaxial [261, 363]. Data taken from the National Nuclear Data Center database [39] and Ref. [369].

that a new level at 1136 keV was the 0_2^+ state. This latter assignment is adopted in Fig. 37.

1595

1600

Finally, the 0_4^+ states were postulated to be the heads of prolate bands in Refs. [261, 363]. Shown in Fig. 39 are data highlighting the $E2 \gamma$ -ray decays of the $0_{2,3,4}^+$ states in ^{110,112,114}Cd, that clearly show the dominance of the 0_4^+ decays to the 2_3^+ levels, i.e. to members of the intruder bands built on the 0_2^+ states. The corresponding transition rate has been measured in ¹¹⁴Cd, and found to be enhanced with $B(E2; 0_4^+ \rightarrow 2_3^+) = 18(6)$ W.u. [262]. Interestingly, the *higher* energy *E*2 transitions to the 2_2^+ levels are unobserved in these isotopes, with limits on the ratio of $B(E2; 0_4^+ \rightarrow 2_3^+)/B(E2; 0_4^+ \rightarrow 2_3^+)$ values of < 0.65% in ¹¹⁰Cd, and < 9.5% in ¹¹²Cd [356, 370]. In ¹¹²Cd only there are band members suggested for a band built on the 0_4^+ state, namely the 2156-keV 2_5^+ state with $B(E2; 2_5^+ \rightarrow 0_4^+) = 34(15)$ W.u., and the 2711-keV 4_6^+ state with $B(E2; 4_6^+ \rightarrow 2_5^+) = 77(30)$ W.u. [261, 363].

The recent investigations of the odd-A Cd nuclei have been a series of high-spin studies of the neutron-deficient ^{105,107,109}Cd isotopes, mainly investigating the so-called "shears" bands, band



Figure 38: Kinematic moments of inertia of the low-lying states in the (a) ground-state bands and (b) intruder bands of the even-even Cd isotopes. Note the different horizontal scales used in the plots. The moments of inertia for the intruder bands are rather symmetric in their behaviour with respect to the neutron mid-shell, whereas those for the ground-state bands shift towards lower E_{γ} with increasing neutron number reaching a minimum at ¹¹⁸Cd.

1605

termination, anti-magnetic rotational bands, etc. (see, for example, Refs. [371–373]). Studies of 117,119 Cd following spontaneous fission of 252 Cf [374] and detailed *g*-factor measurements in 111,113 Cd [375, 376] should also be mentioned. The results of these studies were consistent with a deformed character of the cores. Shape coexistence at low spin has been difficult to establish in the odd-A Cd isotopes, primarily because of the high level density compared with the even-



Figure 39: Summary of the observed decays of the 0_2^+ , 0_3^+ , and 0_4^+ levels in ^{110,112,114}Cd. The widths of the arrows are proportional to the B(E2) values, and the transitions are labeled with the absolute B(E2) values in W.u. with uncertainties in parenthesis, or relative B(E2) values in square brackets. The data show the enhanced decay of the 0^+_{2} intruder band heads to the 2^+_1 levels, the enhanced decay of the 0^+_3 states to the $2^+_2 \gamma$ -band heads, and the preferred decay of the 0_4^+ levels to the 2_3^+ intruder band members. Figure taken from Ref. [261].

1610

even isotopes. Furthermore, the identification of single-particle states coupled to the intruder configuration of the even-even core can be complicated because of the suggested triaxial nature of the cores. To date, no firm examples of low-spin shape-coexisting states in the odd-A Cd isotopes have been identified.

3.5.2. Sn isotopes

1615

The first evidence for proton 2p - 2h intruder excitations in the Sn isotopes resulted from enhanced cross sections to excited 0^+ states measured in the Cd(³He,*n*) reactions [338]. The very large populations observed for the 0_2^+ states in 114,116,118 Sn – comparable to, or greater than the ground-state cross sections - were strongly suggestive of a proton-pairing vibration and were not recognized immediately as evidence for shape coexistence. In ^{108,112}Sn, the ground-state and excited-state (3 He,*n*) populations are much larger than in the heavier isotopes, and they are also more fragmented, with larger cross sections to 0^+ states in the excitation energy range of 3.5–5 1620 MeV [338]. These very large populations of the excited 0^+ states in the (³He,*n*) reactions are in stark contrast to their weak populations (of typically a few percent) observed in the (p, t) twoneutron transfer reactions [380–385]. Those data for isotopes where both the $({}^{3}\text{He},n)$ and the (p, t) cross sections have been measured, namely ^{112,114,116,118}Sn, are shown in Fig. 40. (In the (t, p) reaction, on the other hand, relatively stronger populations of 7% and 10% of the ground-1625 state cross section were observed to the 0_2^+ and 0_3^+ states in ¹¹⁴Sn [386], respectively, whereas in ^{118,120,126}Sn, their weak populations were observed [386, 387].) While the resolutions achieved in the (³He,*n*) measurements were insufficient to resolve the 0_2^+ and 0_3^+ states, the data presented in Fig. 40 favour that it is the 0^+_2 state that is populated, and we make that assignment here. These data clearly show that the 0^+_2 states involve correlated proton-pair excitations. 1630

A short time after the $({}^{3}\text{He},n)$ study [338], Bron *et al.* [388] used the $(\alpha, 2n\gamma)$ reactions to study states in 112,114,116,118 Sn. Rotational-like sequences built on the 0_{2}^{+} states were observed up
1645

to $I^{\pi} = 12^+$. The intrinsic configurations of the intruder bands were suggested to be mixtures of the $\pi(1/2[431])^2$ and $\pi(9/2[404])^2$ configurations, consistent with the observation of these Nilsson orbitals in the low excitation-energy regions in the neighbouring In and Sb isotopes (see, e.g., Ref. [2]). Shown in Fig. 41 are the excitation energy systematics of the intruder bands in the eveneven Sn isotopes, showing a characteristic minimum near the neutron mid-shell (i.e., for ¹¹⁶Sn). Also shown on the plot are the energies of the 0^+_3 states, which display a similar parabolic trend. The minimum at the neutron mid-shell is in contrast to the trends observed for the normal states. The trend of the 0^+_3 energy is suggestive of shape coexistence, but the evidence from the (³He,*n*) reaction indicates that its structure may be different than that of the 0^+_2 state which seems to result from a coherent $\pi(2p - 2h)$ configuration.

Further studies, employing heavy-ion fusion-evaporation reactions, have assigned the high-spin states based on proton $\pi(g_{9/2})^{-2}(g_{7/2})^2$ configurations in even-even ^{106–118}Sn nuclei [389–393].

In a study of ¹⁰⁸Sn that measured lifetimes employing the DSAM, the transitional quadrupole moments were used to deduce the deformation of states up to spin 26 \hbar , and found that the intruder states above 12 \hbar , involving the alignment of a pair of $h_{11/2}$ neutrons coupled to the intruder $\pi(g_{9/2})^{-2}(g_{7/2})^2$ configuration, possess a moderate quadrupole deformation of $\beta_2 \approx 0.20$ [394]. Above spin 26, the deformation appears to drop dramatically as the band-termination point is



Figure 40: Cross sections for the population of excited 0^+ states normalised to those of the ground state. The bars on the left-hand side of the plots represent the ratios observed in the (³He,*n*) reaction, and those on the right-hand side are for the (*p*, *t*) reactions. The insets on the right-hand sides of the plots display a ratio scale expanded to 0.03 (3%) to make the data more clearly observable. Large enhancements for population of excited 0^+ states are observed for the (³He,*n*) reaction, whereas those for the (*p*, *t*) reaction rarely exceed a few percent. The data are taken from Refs. [338, 381–384].



Figure 41: Observed excitation energies in the even-even Sn isotopes of states assigned to ground-state and 0_2^+ bands, and the 0_3^+ state. Data taken from the National Nuclear Data Center database [39].

reached [394]. A similar result was found for ¹¹²Sn, where the intruder band was observed to spin-parity 26⁺ by Ganguly *et al.* [398]. From lifetime measurements via DSAM, the transitional quadrupole moments were used to deduce the deformation of $\beta_2 \approx 0.18$ for states with $I \geq 12\hbar$. Recoil-distance Doppler-shift (RDDS) measurements in ¹¹⁴Sn [395], where the intruder band based on the 0⁺₂ state was observed to spin 30 \hbar , determined the lifetimes for the deformed states up to spin (26⁺), and a transitional quadrupole moment of $Q_t = 3.50(7) eb$ was deduced for states below the backbend at spin 12⁺, referred to as the intruder-g band. Assuming an axiallysymmetric rotor model, the deformation parameter $\beta_2 = 0.26$ was determined.

Lifetimes of low-spin states in ^{112,114}Sn were recently measured by Spieker *et al.* [397] using the $(p, p'\gamma)$ reaction. In ¹¹²Sn, the new measurements result in $B(E2; 2_3^+ \rightarrow 0_2^+) = 28(7)$ W.u., and $B(E2; 4_3^+ \rightarrow 2_3^+) = 46(9)$ W.u. The lifetime of the 6⁺ member, measured by Ganguly *et al.* [398], yields $B(E2; 6_3^+ \rightarrow 4_3^+) = 185(63)$ W.u. In ¹¹⁴Sn, the corresponding values are < 44 W.u., 62(25) W.u., and 97(5) W.u., respectively [397]. The above $B(E2; 4_2^+ \rightarrow 2_2^+)$ values are in approximate agreement with results from a measurement [399] of the 4₂⁺ lifetime in ¹¹⁶Sn, performed with the fast-timing technique, that leads to $B(E2; 4_2^+ \rightarrow 2_2^+) = 40(13)$ W.u. The new results for ¹¹⁴Sn raised a possibility that the 0₃⁺ level should be considered as the intruder band head; however, IBM calculations of Ref. [397] suggested that the 0₂⁺ and 0₃⁺ states were highly mixed, which prevented a definitive assignment. The 0⁺ intruder band head in ¹¹⁶Sn was re-assigned by Pore *et al.* [263] as

the 0_3^+ state rather than the 0_2^+ level, based on the observation of the previously postulated [400], but unobserved, 85-keV $2_2^+ \rightarrow 0_3^+ \gamma$ ray. The branching ratio of this transition was significantly revised with respect to the previous estimate [400], leading to $B(E2; 2_2^+ \rightarrow 0_3^+) = 99.7(84)$ W.u., much greater than $B(E2; 2_2^+ \rightarrow 0_2^+) = 44(5)$ W.u. in this nucleus. Using a two-state mixing model introduced in Sec. 2.5, these B(E2) values can be reproduced assuming a $\sin^2\theta_0 = 0.31$ admixture of the normal-order wave function in the 0_3^+ state. Finally, it should also be noted that a recent high-statistics β -decay study [401] of ¹¹⁸In found no evidence to support the reassignment of the natures of the 0_2^+ and 0_3^+ states in ¹¹⁸Sn. These recent studies indicate that the structure of the shape-coexisting states in the Sn isotopes is more complicated than previously assumed.

1680

1685

1675

Figure 42 displays the properties of the 0_2^+ and 0_3^+ states in the Sn isotopes. Strong similarities across many of the Sn isotopes can be observed with regard to the 0_2^+ states (¹²⁴Sn appears as a possible exception); strong two-proton transfer populations, weak two-neutron transfer populations, the appearance of rotational-like bands built upon them, and enhanced *E*2 transition rates to the 2_1^+ state. Where measured, the 0_3^+ states decay via a favoured *E*0 transition to the 0_2^+ state rather than to the ground state, which indicates clearly shape changes and mixing of the 0_2^+ and 0_3^+ states. In some cases, such as recently argued in ^{114,116}Sn [263, 397], the two excited 0^+ states may be so strongly mixed that assigning their character becomes difficult. The energy systematics presented in Fig. 41 uses the "traditional" assignments of the 0_2^+ states as the shape-coexisting band heads.

3.5.3. Te isotopes

Shape-coexisting states at low spin in the Te isotopes have been difficult to identify, primarily because the detailed spectroscopy has not been performed to the same extent as in the Cd or Sn isotopes. The experimental information on the even-even Te isotopes was summarized by Rikovska et al. [403], and through a comparison with IBM2 calculations it was argued, based on 1690 energies and the few known transition rates, that intruder states existed in their low-lying level schemes. Recent studies have begun to observe the key in-band γ -ray transitions. In ¹¹⁸Te, a study using the ¹¹⁵Sn(α , $n\gamma$) reaction [264] firmly established the spin-parity of 2⁺ for the 1482-keV level, and measured its lifetime that permitted the determination of $B(E2; 2^+_2 \rightarrow 0^+_2) = 60^{+30}_{-17}$ W.u. Thus, evidence was provided supporting the 1482-keV 2⁺ level as a member of a band built on the 1695 957-keV 02 state. The 1976-keV 4+ and 2517-keV 6+ states were also tentatively assigned to the 0_2^+ band, but their in-band decays have yet to be observed The study of ¹²⁰Te, performed by Vanhoy et al. [404], employed the ¹¹⁸Sn(α , 2n γ) reaction, as well as the β decay of ¹²⁰I, and postulated an intruder band with the 1103-keV 0_2^+ , 1535-keV 2_3^+ , 1924-keV 4_3^+ , and the 2520-keV 6_3^+ levels, with the assignments for the two latter states rather speculative due to the lack of observed in-band 1700 transitions. ¹²²Te has been studied using both the $(n, n'\gamma)$ reaction, where lifetimes or limits for many low-spin states were established [405], and the 119 Sn($\alpha, n\gamma$) reaction [406]. The lifetime of the 2_3^+ level at 1752 keV was extracted [405], leading to $B(E2; 2_3^+ \rightarrow 0_2^+) = 194_{-24}^{+26}$ W.u. The degree of collectivity implied by the $B(E2; 2_3^+ \rightarrow 0_2^+)$ value is difficult to understand in view of the corresponding B(E2) values in the region. Hicks *et al.* [405] suggested the 4⁺ intruder-band 1705 member was the state at 2041 keV, but we note that its energy is somewhat lower than would be expected from the $2_3^+ \rightarrow 0_2^+$ energy spacing; the (4_4^+) level at 2448-keV may be a more suitable candidate, but the lack of observation of in-band transitions hampers firm conclusions.

For ¹²⁴Te, detailed spectroscopy was performed by von Egidy *et al.* [407] using the (n, γ)



Figure 42: Properties of the low-lying 0⁺ states observed in the mid-neutron-shell Sn isotopes. The widths of the arrows are proportional to the B(E2) (rust colour) and $10^3 \times \rho^2(E0)$ values (green), and the transitions are labeled with the absolute B(E2) values in W.u. with uncertainties in parenthesis, or relative B(E2) values in square brackets, with a similar convention for the $\rho^2(E0)$ values. The data show an enhanced decay of the 0^+_2 intruder band heads to the 2^+_1 levels in $^{114-120}$ Sn, in contrast to the much lower $B(E2; 0^+_3 \rightarrow 2^+_1)$ values. The numbers inside boxes attached to the levels are the ratios (in %) of the (³He,*n*) transfer cross sections to those of the ground state. Data are taken from the National Nuclear Data Center database [39] and Refs. [102, 263, 338, 397, 401].

¹⁷¹⁰ capture reaction that expanded upon the earlier work [408]. A transition feeding the 0_2^+ state at 1657 keV from the 2_3^+ state at 2039 keV was placed, and the lifetime of the 2_3^+ state was measured via the GRID method [409], and confirmed using the $(n, n'\gamma)$ reaction [266]. The resulting



Figure 43: Observed excitation energies of states assigned to 0^+ bands, and the 2^+_2 state in the even-even Te isotopes. Data are taken from the National Nuclear Data Center database [39].

 $B(E2; 2_3^+ \rightarrow 0_2^+) = 20(3)$ W.u. provided support for the identification of the 2_3^+ level as a member of a band built on the 0^+_2 state.

1715

Figure 43 displays the energy systematics of the levels in the ground-state bands as well as those suggested to belong to the shape-coexisting intruder structures built on the 0^+_2 state in the even-even Te isotopes. The presumed intruder states follow the typical parabolic pattern observed for the intruder states in the Cd and Sn isotopes. Figure 44 displays the properties of the 0^+_2 and 0_3^+ states observed in ^{118–126}Te, and as observed in the Cd isotopes (Fig. 36) and the Sn isotopes (Fig. 42), the 0_2^+ levels have enhanced B(E2) values for the decays to the 2_1^+ levels. Further 1720 evidence in favour of a shape-coexisting character of these states comes from the presence of favoured E0 transitions between the 0_3^+ and 0_2^+ states, with X(E0/E2) factors varying from 4.6(15) to 51(10) from ¹¹⁸Te to ¹²²Te [102], and enhanced two-proton transfer cross sections observed in the $Pd(^{3}He,n)$ reactions [338].

3.5.4. Pd isotopes 1725

> Guided by the observation of the 2p - 2h and 2p - 4h intruder states in the Sn and Cd isotopes, respectively, and the presence of deformed bands in the odd-A Ag and Rh isotopes (see, e.g., Refs. [411–415]), suggestions were made that such structures appear in the Pd isotopes as well [416–418]. Recent studies [419] of ¹⁰⁶Pd using the $(n, n'\gamma)$ reaction have measured a large number

of level lifetimes and significantly expanded the level scheme. The levels were arranged into a 1730 series of rotational bands, as shown in Fig. 45. The measured lifetimes [420] were used to deduce the $\rho^2(E0)$ values from previously determined X(E0/E2) values [421]. The $\rho^2(E0)$ values were



Figure 44: Properties of the low-lying 0⁺ states observed in the mid-neutron-shell Te isotopes. The widths of the arrows are proportional to the B(E2) (rust colour) and $10^3 \times \rho^2(E0)$ values (green). The transitions are labeled with the absolute B(E2) values in W.u. with uncertainties in parenthesis, or relative B(E2) values in square brackets. The E0 transitions are labeled with their $10^3 \times \rho^2(E0)$ values, or the X(E0/E2) values. The numbers inside boxes attached to the levels are the ratios (in %) of the (³He,*n*) transfer cross sections to those of the ground state; for ¹²²Te, the value is represented by a horizontal line at the centroid of the observed peak. Data are taken from the National Nuclear Data Center database [39] and Refs. [102, 338].

moderate in strength for the *E*0 decays of the $K^{\pi} = 0_{2}^{+}$ band members to the ground-state band. In contrast, a large *E*0 strength of $10^{3} \times \rho^{2}(E0) = 96^{+43}_{-61}$ from the 2^{+}_{5} state to the lowest $K^{\pi} = 2^{+}$ band head was determined, which lead to a suggestion that the 2^{+}_{5} state is a K = 2 excitation built on the

 0_2^+ state. It was also suggested that the 2_4^+ state at 1910 keV is a member of a band built on the 0_3^+ state at 1706 keV. This would yield a moment of inertia more than twice that of the ground-state band, implying that the 0_3^+ band is highly deformed. The 0_3^+ level decays to the 2_2^+ state with an enhanced *E*2 transition rate of $15.1_{-3.0}^{+4.2}$ W.u. [259, 419]. These findings in ¹⁰⁶Pd are consistent with the results of previous Coulomb-excitation work

1740

These findings in ¹⁰⁶Pd are consistent with the results of previous Coulomb-excitation work [13, 259, 422] on ^{106,108,110}Pd that provided a large number of matrix elements such that the invariant quantities $\langle Q^2 \rangle$ and $\langle Q^3 \cos 3\delta \rangle$ could be determined for a number of states in the three



Figure 45: Partial level scheme of ¹⁰⁶Pd displaying levels arranged into rotational bands as assigned in Ref. [419]. The widths of the arrows are proportional to the B(E2) values (rust colour) labeled with the absolute values in W.u. (only shown for the band heads, with weak transitions omitted for clarity) and $10^3 \times \rho^2(E0)$ (green), with uncertainties in parenthesis. Numbers in brackets written above the 0⁺ levels are the $\langle Q^2 \rangle$ values (expressed in e^2b^2) from Ref. [259]. Members of the band built on the 0⁺₃ level at 1706 keV have not been firmly assigned; shown above this level are two possible 2⁺ candidates that have not yet been assigned to other structures. Asymmetric uncertainties have been averaged. Data are taken from the National Nuclear Data Center database [39] and Refs. [102, 419, 420].

nuclei. The $\langle Q^2 \rangle$ values increase nearly linearly with neutron number, 0.63(3) e^2b^2 , 0.77^{+0.10}_{-0.11} e^2b^2 , and 0.86^{+0.02}_{-0.06} e^2b^2 for the 0⁺₁ state in ^{106,108,110}Pd, respectively, and 0.87(4) e^2b^2 , 1.22^{+0.12}_{-0.14} e^2b^2 , and 1.58^{+0.11}_{-0.22} e^2b^2 for the 0⁺₂ state. In the axially-symmetric rotor model, $Q^2 \propto \beta_2^2$, and thus these could imply that, for example, in ¹¹⁰Pd the deformation of the 0⁺₂ state is approximately 40% larger ($\beta_2 \simeq 0.35$) than that of the ground state ($\beta_2 \simeq 0.25$). The $\langle \cos 3\delta \rangle$ quantities favour a prolate shape for the ground state and 0⁺₂ state, but a triaxial one for the 0⁺₃ state that has a similar $\langle Q^2 \rangle$ value to the ground state [13]. The combined information on the invariant quantities $\langle Q^2 \rangle$ and $\langle \cos 3\delta \rangle$, and the $\rho^2(E0)$ values, strongly supports shape coexistence in the mid-neutron-shell Pd isotopes.

1750

Figure 46 displays the properties of the 0_2^+ and 0_3^+ states in $^{102-110}$ Pd. As has been seen in the other nuclei in the vicinity of the Z = 50 closed shell, the 0_2^+ state has an enhanced decay to the 2_1^+ level, with weak-to-moderate E0 strengths for the decay to the ground state, and the 0_3^+ level favours the decay to the 2_2^+ state rather than the 2_1^+ state. A trend observed, using the data available from Coulomb excitation [259], is that the $B(E2; 0_2^+ \rightarrow 2_1^+)$ values become increasingly enhanced as the neutron number increases, indicating substantial structural changes in the levels correlated

1755



Figure 46: Properties of the low-lying 0^+ states observed in the mid-neutron-shell Pd isotopes. The widths of the arrows are proportional to the B(E2) (rust colour) and $10^3 \times \rho^2(E0)$ values (green). The transitions are labeled with the absolute B(E2) values in W.u. with uncertainties in parenthesis, or relative B(E2) values in square brackets. The E0 transitions are labeled with their $10^3 \times \rho^2(E0)$ values. Data are taken from the National Nuclear Data Center database [39] and Refs. [102, 259, 423].

with the increasing degree of deformation.

3.6. Shape coexistence near N=90

1760

For isotopic chains near Z = 64 and N = 90, rapid changes in the energies of the 2^+_1 state, and in the $B(E2; 2^+_1 \rightarrow 0^+_1)$ values, are observed that are very similar to those found in nuclei near Z = 40and N = 60. Additionally, the discontinuities in the isotope shifts and two-neutron separation energies are strongly localized at N = 90, just as they are at N = 60. Very early studies [424– 430] with two-neutron transfer reactions were interpreted in terms of shape coexistence, with the presence of "spherical" states in 152 Sm, populated strongly in the (t, p) reaction, and "deformed" states in ¹⁵⁰Sm, populated strongly in the (p, t) reaction. Using both (p, t) and (t, p) reactions, a 1765 consistent picture for the populations of the 0_2^+ and 0_3^+ states was established as well in the Nd, Gd, and Dy isotopes [431–439]. Figure 47 compares the ratios of the cross sections to excited 0^+_2 and 0_3^+ states, relative to the ground-state cross section. In all cases, very strong populations of the 0_2^+ states were observed in the two-neutron pickup reactions populating the N = 88 nuclei. Similarly,



Figure 47: Cross sections for the population of excited 0_2^+ states (solid fill) and 0_3^+ states (patterned fill) in N=88,90,92 Nd, Sm, Gd and Dy isotopes, normalised to those of the ground state. The bars on the left-hand side of the plots represent the ratios observed in the (t, p) reactions, and those on the right-hand side are from the (p, t) reactions. The bar for the N = 90 Sm (p, t) cross section ratio for the 0^+_3 state represents an upper limit. Question marks imply that the experiment has not been performed. Data are taken from Refs. [425, 427, 431, 432, 434–439].

strong populations to the 0^+_3 states were also observed. Where known, the two-neutron stripping 1770 reactions populating N = 88 isotones observed much weaker strengths for populating the 0^+_2 states, and no observable strength to the 0_3^+ states. The two-neutron pickup reactions on N = 92 targets populate the 0^+_2 and 0^+_3 states in the N = 90 isotones with strengths, while significant, much smaller than those observed on the N = 90 targets. Conversely, the 0^+_2 and 0^+_3 states in the N = 90 isotones are very strongly populated in the stripping reactions. These population patterns are shown in 1775 Fig. 47. Support for the interpretation of shape coexistence came from the assignment of the 2^+ rotational band member at 1417 keV based on the 1256-keV 0_3^+ state in ¹⁵⁰Sm with a 2⁺ to 0⁺ separation of 161 keV, very close to the value of 122 keV in the ¹⁵²Sm ground-state band. The 4⁺ band member was suggested [427] to be the 1819-keV state, which has a favoured relative E2 γ ray decay to the 1417-keV 2⁺ state, although further members of the band have not been assigned. 1780 The 0_3^+ states in the other N = 88 isotones, however, do not have candidates for band structures that would possess a significantly different moment of inertia from that of the ground-state or 0^+_2 bands in those nuclei. Recent in-beam γ -ray spectroscopy studies [440, 441] have failed to report a band based on the 0^+_3 state, which would be surprising if such a band had a large moment of inertia extending to moderate-to-high spin.

1785

The rapid change in observables, such as the quadrupole moment and E_4^+/E_2^+ ratio, has lead to the suggestion [442-444] that a phase transition occurs in the shape degree of freedom across neutron number N = 90. Motivated by interacting boson model calculations, these ideas were further developed, and it was suggested that ¹⁵²Sm lay at the critical point of a phase transition

1790

[445, 446], with several nearby N = 90 isotones close to the critical point. An unsettled question, however, is whether N = 90 nuclei represent a critical point of a phase transition or if they involve a complex shape coexistence. The distinction between the two descriptions was outlined by Heyde and co-workers [447]; in a phase-transition picture, the states of one limit of the Hamiltonian will spread out and eventually become the eigenstates of the other limit as determined by a suitable control parameter; for shape coexistence, one has a complete set of states, which arise from distinct Hilbert spaces, built on each shape.

1795

1800

The introduction of solutions to the Bohr model for the critical point of a phase transition motivated a large number of experimental studies. Quantum phase transitions of nuclear shapes, and the critical points in particular, are reviewed in Ref. [448]. Detailed spectroscopy, in particular through β decay [449–451], found that collective models failed to described the data well. Many of these studies focused on the properties of the 0^+_2 state and its associated rotational band, since one of the main successes of the critical-point solution is that it predicted, in a parameter-free manner, the energy ratio of $E(0_2^+)/E(2_1^+) = 5.67$, which is a nearly perfect match to the experimental energies (e.g. 5.57 in 152 Sm). As shown in Fig. 48, the moments of inertia for the 0_1^+ bands in

- 152 Sm and 154 Gd are nearly identical and significantly smaller than those of the 0^+_2 bands (it should 1805 be noted that the plots terminate at spin $10\hbar$ where the 0^+_2 band is crossed by another structure assigned as the S band (alignment of $(i_{13/2})^2$ neutrons). This suggests that the 0^+_1 band has a smaller underlying deformation than that of the 0^+_2 band. As outlined in Ref. [2], the $\rho^2(E0)$ values for the E0 transitions connecting the 0_1^+ and 0_2^+ bands in the N = 90 isotones ¹⁵²Sm, ¹⁵⁴Gd, and ¹⁵⁶Dy are highly enhanced, and in many cases are very precisely known. Using two-band-mixing 1810
- calculations, Kulp et al. [452] were able to reproduce for ¹⁵²Sm the experimental level energies, $\rho^2(E0)$ values, B(E2) values, and the isomer shift of the 2^+_1 state to within $\approx 10\%$ precision, using for the "unperturbed" 0_A^+ band energies those of ground-state band of ¹⁴⁸Ce, and for the deformed 0_B^+ band those of the ground-state band of ¹⁵⁴Sm. The mixing amplitudes determined were close to the strong-mixing limit, and are consistent with both the single-proton transfer [453] and single-1815 neutron transfer reaction [454] results.

1820

The detailed spectroscopic studies performed on ¹⁵²Sm and ¹⁵⁴Gd revealed the presence of weakly deformed bands based on the 0_3^+ states that were assigned as "pairing isomers"³, a concept which had been introduced decades earlier [455]. As shown in Fig. 48, the 0^+_3 bands, while not known to high spin, have significantly lower moments of inertia. Elucidating these band structures is extremely challenging, and in spite of the high-statistics in-beam studies performed for ¹⁵⁶Dy

(see, e.g. Refs. [456, 457]), the band associated with the 0^+_3 state has not been found.

The pairing isomer "second vacuum" has been advocated by Sharpey-Schafer and co-workers

³The pairing isomers are states constructed from a subset of Nilsson orbitals that are described as "oblate" (i.e. those with negative intrinsic quadrupole moments) which have pairing matrix elements G_{oo} amongst themselves that are approximately equal to the prolate-orbital pairing matrix elements G_{pp} , but for which the oblate-prolate pairing matrix elements G_{op} are very small. This results in an approximate decoupling of the subsets of single-particle states, and one can construct, in effect, two ground states. Depending on the relative distribution of oblate and prolate orbitals around the Fermi level, the usual BCS-like ground state coexists with an excited state with a distorted V^2 distribution of orbitals. In some extreme cases, the excited state can take the form of the ground state of the A - 2 system with the existence of two real particles (i.e., $V_i^2 \approx 1$).



Figure 48: Kinematic moments of inertia of the low-lying states in the ground-state bands and bands built on the 0_2^+ and 0_3^+ states in the $N = 90^{152}$ Sm, ¹⁵⁴Gd isotones.

[456, 458–461] as an explanation for the 0_2^+ states in the N = 90 isotones. In this interpretation, the second-vacuum state has a nearly full two-quasiparticle v11/2[505] oblate orbital. A consequence of this is that in the adjacent odd-A N = 91 isotones, states of the form $v11/2[505] \otimes 0_2^+$ should not exist due to Pauli blocking. This appears to be the case; studies using in-beam fusion-evaporation reactions that should have observed such structures in ¹⁵³Sm [462], ¹⁵⁵Gd [460], and ¹⁵⁷Dy [463] proved negative. The study of ¹⁵⁵Gd, in particular, specifically searched for this structure and while it located the $v11/2[505] \otimes 2_{\gamma}^+$ coupling, for example, and many other rotational bands, it concluded that the $v11/2[505] \otimes 0_2^+$ configuration was nonexistent due to blocking [460].

In a recent review of evidence for vibrational states in deformed nuclei [461], it was pointed out that if the second-vacuum concept was valid, it might be expected that the excitations observed to be built on the ground state would also be present based on the 0_2^+ state, provided that they would not be dominated by contributions from the v11/2[505] orbital. Earlier studies have indeed suggested a pattern of repeated excitations in ¹⁵²Sm [464], and the data for ¹⁵⁴Gd also support the repetition of states [459, 461].

3.7. Shape coexistence around Z = 82

3.7.1. Even-even Hg nuclei

1840

1835

The first indication of shape coexistence in the $Z \approx 82$ region came from studies of the optical hyperfine structure in neutron-deficient mercury isotopes. A huge and unexpected isotope shift, corresponding to a $\Delta\beta_2^2$ deformation change exceeding 0.1, was observed between ¹⁸⁵Hg and ¹⁸⁷Hg [465], and initially interpreted as related to the possible existence of a new region of strong quadrupole deformation. Follow-up laser spectroscopy studies of ^{184,186,188}Hg [466] revealed a distinct odd-even staggering of mean-square charge radii of Hg isotopes, as shown in Fig. 49a.

1845

This staggering, unique in the nuclear chart, has recently been shown [467, 468] to persist down to A = 179 (N = 99), where the nucleus returns to sphericity in its ground state. Additionally, while isotope shifts measured for the low-lying $13/2^{-1}$ isomers in ^{187–191}Hg closely follow those for the ground states, a large difference is observed between those for ^{185m}Hg and ¹⁸⁵Hg, again corresponding to a $\Delta\beta_2^2$ deformation change of more than 0.1 [469].

1850

1855

In-beam γ -ray spectroscopy studies of ¹⁸⁶Hg [470] and ¹⁸⁴Hg [471] have demonstrated that the weakly-deformed ground-state bands are crossed at low spin by a more deformed configuration, and the elongation of these two structures could be estimated from the moments of inertia as $\beta_2 \approx 0.1$ and $\beta_2 \approx 0.3$, respectively. The band heads of the more deformed structures were soon identified to have $I^{\pi} = 0^+$ and to decay to the ground state via enhanced *E*0 transitions [472–475]. Level systematics reveals a parabolic trend of their energies, centered at N = 104, as displayed in Fig. 49b. Currently such structures, crossing the weakly deformed ground-state band, are known down to ¹⁷⁶Hg [476], although in ^{176,178}Hg the low-spin non-yrast members of the deformed band have not been observed.

The microscopic configurations of the two coexisting structures in light Hg nuclei were inferred via systematic α -decay studies [477, 478]. In general, the α decays of the ^{186,188,190}Pb isotopes to the 0_2^+ states in ^{182,184,186}Hg are strongly hindered compared to the decays proceeding directly to the ground state, and the observed hindrance factors are of similar magnitude (\approx 10-20) for all three isotopes. This was interpreted as resulting from the normal-order configuration of the ground states of Pb and Hg nuclei and an intruder nature of the 0_2^+ states in ^{182,184,186}Hg: the population of an intruder state in the α decay of a normal-configuration state involves the removal of a proton pair from an orbital below the Z = 82 gap and the promotion of a proton pair across this gap. Consequently, the ground states of all even Pb and Hg nuclei in the vicinity of N = 104 were assumed to have similar configurations corresponding to normal-order shell occupation, while the 0_2^+ states in the Hg isotopic chain were interpreted as predominantly $\pi(2p - 2h)$ intruders.

The measured hindrance factors for the Hg \rightarrow Pt α decay, combined with the information on mixing in the Pt daughter nuclei obtained from the perturbation of energies in the rotational bands [479], were used to estimate the mixing of normal-order and intruder 0⁺ states in ^{180,182,184}Hg. This analysis yielded a 3% admixture of the deformed configuration in the ground state of ¹⁸⁰Hg, while mixing of 16% and 18% was obtained for ¹⁸²Hg and ¹⁸⁴Hg, respectively [478]. Together with the admixtures deduced from the $\rho^2(E0; 0^+_2 \rightarrow 0^+_1)$ value for ¹⁸⁸Hg and its upper limit for ¹⁸⁶Hg [480], these values display a parabolic behavior as a function of neutron number with maximum mixing observed at the N = 104 mid-shell, where the intruding structure comes closest in energy to the ground state. The mixing of coexisting structures in Hg isotopes was also investigated because hand winiting a true hand winiting and the parabolic behavior R_{18} because R_{18} and $R_{$

¹⁸⁸⁰ by applying a two-band mixing model to level energies in the observed rotational bands [479, 481]. The most recent data on energies of yrast and non-yrast states were used as an input for the analysis performed in Ref. [481], which yielded a considerably lower mixing between the 0⁺ states than the values deduced from the α -decay work of Ref. [478], but still with a maximum around N = 102 - 104 as shown in Fig. 50. In this context, measurements of $\rho^2(E0; 0_2^+ \rightarrow 0_1^+)$ in Hg isotopes with $A \le 186$ are called for, as they would provide a direct measure of the degree of their mixing.

Different conclusions are reached if such analysis is applied to the 2^+ states [481]. The obtained mixing strengths suggest an inversion of configurations of the 2^+_1 state between ¹⁸²Hg and



Figure 49: (Top) Changes in mean-square charge radii, relative to N = 126, as a function of the neutron number for Po (orange triangles), Pb (red circles) and Hg (magenta squares) isotopic chains, normalized to the difference in charge radius between N = 122 and N = 124. The data for Pt are also plotted (blue triangles) with the point for ¹⁹⁶Pt normalised to the value measured for ²⁰⁰Pb to facilitate direct comparison. Filled symbols denote ground states and open symbols isomeric states. The values are taken from Refs. [234, 468]. (Bottom) Energy systematics of positiveparity excited states in the neutron-deficient even-even mercury isotopes, showing the assumed intruder (red circles) and ground-state bands (black squares). Other known low-lying 0⁺ states are marked with blue triangles. Data are taken from the National Nuclear Data Center database [39].

 184 Hg, with a nearly maximum mixing strength (51%) for the 2_1^+ state in the mid-shell 184 Hg nu-



Figure 50: Admixtures of the intruder configuration to the wave functions of the (a) 0_1^+ and (b) 2_1^+ states in ^{180–188}Hg deduced from level energies [481] (magenta squares), $\rho^2(E0)$ values (red circles) and α -decay hindrance factors [478] (blue triangles). The value corresponding to the lower limit for $\rho^2(E0; 0_2^+ \rightarrow 0_1^+)$ in ¹⁸⁶Hg is marked with an arrow. The differences of mean-square charge radii between the two configurations, necessary to extract the mixing coefficients from *E*0 transition strengths, were inferred from the odd-even staggering in the isotope shifts, see Fig. 49, following the procedure of Ref. [25]. As it not possible to determine from *E*0 data which configuration dominates the wave function of a specific state, in order to facilitate the comparison it was decided to adopt the scenario resulting from the level-energy analysis. The parabola is to guide the eye through $\rho^2(E0)$ and α -decay hindrance data in analogy to Fig. 28 in Ref. [25].

cleus. The configurations of the 2^+ states become more pure when moving away from N = 104, 1890 both towards lighter and heavier nuclei, as it was observed also for the 0⁺ states. The enhanced $\rho^2(E0; 2_2^+ \rightarrow 2_1^+)$ transition strengths measured for ¹⁸⁰Hg [482], ¹⁸²Hg [483], ¹⁸⁴Hg [483] and ¹⁸⁶Hg [484, 485] support the importance of configuration mixing in the structure of 2⁺ states in neutron-deficient Hg nuclei. These experimental data yield a consistent picture of two distinct configurations, weakly deformed oblate and strongly deformed prolate, that contribute in varying 1895 proportions to the observed low-lying states in ^{182–188}Hg. The mixing of these two configurations gives rise to almost flat behaviour of the energy of the first excited 2⁺ state, as shown in Fig. 49b, and of the $B(E2; 2_1^+ \rightarrow 0_1^+)$ values in ^{182–188}Hg, even though the structures of 2_1^+ states are very different: while the intruder configuration dominates in ¹⁸²Hg, the contributions of both configurations are almost equal in ¹⁸⁴Hg, and finally the normal-order configuration prevails for ^{186,188}Hg. 1900 The mixing coefficients reported in Ref. [481] can be combined with the information on electromagnetic transition probabilities in ^{180–188}Hg in order to obtain information on the pure normal-

order and intruder configurations. Lifetimes of excited states were measured applying Dopplershift and fast-timing techniques to the ^{180,182}Hg [486, 487] and ^{184,186,188}Hg nuclei [471, 480, 481, 488–490] populated in fusion-evaporation reactions. While these studies were mostly limited to yrast states, they yielded lifetimes of states in both coexisting bands since the intruder band becomes yrast at low spin (see Fig. 49b). Finally, a low-energy Coulomb-excitation study with post-accelerated ^{182–188}Hg beams provided magnitudes and signs of the reduced *E*2 matrix elements between the low-lying states of spin 0⁺, 2⁺ and 4⁺ [491, 492]. As extensively discussed in Ref. [490], various assumptions were made when applying the two-band mixing approach to

the measured B(E2) values or E2 matrix elements, even though multiple works relied on mixing coefficients of Ref. [481]. While in Ref. [481] the unperturbed transitional quadrupole moments depended both on spin and A, Ref. [490] assumed that the transitional quadrupole moments are constant within each band, and Ref. [492] postulated that the unperturbed configurations are the same in each of the ^{182–188}Hg isotopes. As shown in Fig. 51, this leads to different conclusions concerning the overall deformation of the two unperturbed structures, which range from $\beta_2 \approx 0.22$ to $\beta_2 \approx 0.33$ for the intruder band and from $\beta_2 \approx 0.13$ to $\beta_2 \approx 0.22$ for the normal-order configuration. To complicate matters further, the $\rho^2(E0; 2^+_2 \rightarrow 2^+_1)$ value obtained for ¹⁸⁴Hg from a combination of Coulomb-excitation [492] and β -decay data [483] corresponds to considerably lower mixing than that deduced from the level energies, while a good agreement is observed for ¹⁸²Hg. The mixing of 2⁺ states deduced from level energies in ¹⁸⁶Hg also agrees well with the result of an in-beam spectroscopic study [485] combined with the lifetime reported in Ref. [480], see Fig. 50.

1920

1915



Figure 51: Transitional quadrupole moments for the normal-order (solid lines and filled squares) and intruder (dashed lines and open squares) configurations, obtained by applying the two-band mixing model to the measured B(E2) values under different assumptions. TBM-1 [481] assumes that the unperturbed transitional quadrupole moments depend both on spin and A, TBM-3 [490] considers a dependence on A, and in TBM-2 [492] the unperturbed transitional quadrupole moments were both spin and mass independent. Figure adapted from Ref. [490].

1925

The lack of consensus regarding the rotational character of unperturbed structures in ^{180–188}Hg and their evolution with N, resulting in the differences between the deformation parameters extracted under various assumptions, seems to be due to the paucity of experimental information on the decay of non-yrast states, since in most cases only one transition probability is known in the ground-state band. While Ref. [490] yielded lifetimes of the 4⁺ states in both coexisting structures, the precision is not sufficient to draw meaningful conclusions. The same is true for most matrix elements involving the 2^+_2 state, determined in Ref. [492]. Moreover, it is not clear to what extent the two-band mixing model is applicable to ^{180–188}Hg. For example, for the higher-spin states in ^{180–188}Hg, the analysis of Ref. [481] shows that their mixing decreases with spin and it no longer

1930

1940

1945

follows a parabolic trend as a function of neutron number, but rather displays a monotonic increase with mass (e.g., the admixtures in the 4⁺ states increase from 2% in ¹⁸⁰Hg to 20% in ¹⁸⁸Hg). This unexpected behaviour calls for experimental verification. An independent extraction of mixing coefficients from a large set of *E*2 matrix elements (see Eq. 38), as it was done for example in Ref. [222] for ^{74,76}Kr, or from $\rho^2(E0; I \rightarrow I)$ values measured for states with $I \ge 4$ would verify the validity of this approach. Similarly, measurements of spectroscopic quadrupole moments of low-lying states would also bring independent information on the deformation of the coexisting structures in ^{180–188}Hg, their evolution with spin and their mixing. Currently, only the spectroscopic quadrupole moment of the 2⁺₁ state in ¹⁸⁸Hg is known [492], but its precision is insufficient to draw conclusions.

Interestingly, a recent measurement of yrast-state lifetimes in ¹⁷⁸Hg [493] found that those of the states belonging to the deformed structure correspond to a β_2 deformation exceeding 0.4, considerably higher than those deduced for the excited structures in the heavier Hg isotopes. While the prevalent interpretation of the 0⁺₂ states in ^{180–186}Hg is that they result from two-proton excitations across the Z = 82 shell, the authors of Ref. [493] attribute the observed increase of the deformation for the corresponding structure in ¹⁷⁸Hg to the neutron contribution, in line with MCSM calculations [467], which successfully describe the even-odd shape staggering in ^{177–187}Hg.

A recent study of ¹⁸⁸Hg [490], yielded, for the first time, lifetimes of the 14_1^+ and 16_1^+ ex-¹⁹⁵⁰ cited states above the 12_1^+ 154-ns isomer. They translate into transitional quadrupole moments significantly lower than those observed for lower-spin states (2.7(3) *e*b and 1.2(2) *e*b, respectively, compared to $\approx 3-5$ *e*b and $\approx 6-10$ *e*b for the two structures observed at low spin, see Figs. 51 and 60). This suggests that these states belong to a different, almost spherical structure, which is consistent with the conclusions of Ref. [494], attributing a $\nu(i_{13/2})^2$ character to this band. Moreover, the spectroscopic quadrupole moment of the 12_1^+ state was determined to be 0.91(11) *e*b [495], which, assuming K = 12, corresponds to Q₀ of 1.16(14)*e*b, consistent with the Q_t value determined from $B(E2; 16_1^+ \rightarrow 14_1^+)$. Together, these results form a strong body of evidence for multiple shape coexistence in ¹⁸⁸Hg.

Numerous low-lying 0⁺ states were identified in stable Hg nuclei, in particular by means of ¹⁹⁶⁰ two-neutron transfer reaction studies. The lowest three such states in each nucleus are plotted in blue in Fig. 49b (only those with firm spin assignments are taken into account). The natures of these states are unclear, although the 0_2^+ states were suggested as arising from neutron pairing vibrations [496]. The enhanced cross section to populate the 0_2^+ state in ²⁰⁰Hg in the (*p*, *t*) transfer reaction (12% relative to that for the ground state [496, 497]) was explained [496] as arising from the gap in the neutron single-particle spectrum at N = 120 present for oblate shapes. Identification of bands constructed on these states, and measurements of transition probabilities within such structures, would be of great interest.

3.7.2. Even-even Pb and Po nuclei

1970

The level of detail and precision concerning the coexisting structures in Z = 82 Pb and Z = 84Po nuclei is lower compared to the Hg isotopes since in these isotopic chains the N=104 mid-shell lies considerably further from β stability. This is reflected in the types of available data supporting shape-coexisting structures as shown in Fig. 52. However, a consistent identification of normalorder and intruder states and an estimate of the mixing between them could be obtained from

				¹⁸⁶ Po	¹⁸⁷ Po	¹⁸⁸ Po	¹⁸⁹ Po	¹⁹⁰ Po	¹⁹¹ Po	¹⁹² Po			
			¹⁸⁴ Bi	¹⁸⁵ Bi	¹⁸⁶ Bi	¹⁸⁷ Bi	¹⁸⁸ Bi	¹⁸⁹ Bi	¹⁹⁰ Bi	¹⁹¹ Bi			
¹⁸⁰ Pb	¹⁸¹ Pb	¹⁸² Pb	¹⁸³ Pb	¹⁸⁴ Pb	¹⁸⁵ Pb	¹⁸⁶ Pb	¹⁸⁷ Pb	¹⁸⁸ Pb	¹⁸⁹ Pb	¹⁹⁰ Pb	 level energies E2 strengths 		
¹⁷⁹ TI	¹⁸⁰ TI	¹⁸¹ TI	¹⁸² TI	¹⁸³ TI	¹⁸⁴ TI	¹⁸⁵ TI	¹⁸⁶ TI	¹⁸⁷ TI	¹⁸⁸ TI	¹⁸⁹ TI	E0 strengths		
¹⁷⁸ Hg	¹⁷⁹ Hg	¹⁸⁰ Hg	¹⁸¹ Hg	¹⁸² Hg	¹⁸³ Hg	¹⁸⁴ Hg	¹⁸⁵ Hg	¹⁸⁶ Hg	¹⁸⁷ Hg	¹⁸⁸ Hg	 transfer cross sections charge radii 		
¹⁷⁷ Au	¹⁷⁸ Au	¹⁷⁹ Au	¹⁸⁰ Au	¹⁸¹ Au	¹⁸² Au	¹⁸³ Au	¹⁸⁴ Au	¹⁸⁵ Au	¹⁸⁶ Au	¹⁸⁷ Au	alpha decay		
¹⁷⁶ Pt	¹⁷⁷ Pt	¹⁷⁸ Pt	¹⁷⁹ Pt	¹⁸⁰ Pt	¹⁸¹ Pt	¹⁸² Pt	¹⁸³ Pt	¹⁸⁴ Pt	¹⁸⁵ Pt	¹⁸⁶ Pt			

¹⁹³ Po	¹⁹⁴ Po	¹⁹⁵ Po	¹⁹⁶ Po	¹⁹⁷ Po	¹⁹⁸ Po	¹⁹⁹ Po	²⁰⁰ Po	²⁰¹ Po	²⁰² Po	²⁰⁰ Po	²⁰⁴ Po
¹⁹² Bi	¹⁹³ Bi	¹⁹⁴ Bi	¹⁹⁵ Bi	¹⁹⁶ Bi	¹⁹⁷ Bi	¹⁹⁸ Bi	¹⁹⁹ Bi	²⁰⁰ Bi	²⁰¹ Bi	²⁰² Bi	²⁰³ Bi
¹⁹¹ Pb	¹⁹² Pb	¹⁹³ Pb	¹⁹⁴ Pb	¹⁹⁵ Pb	¹⁹⁶ Pb	¹⁹⁷ Pb	¹⁹⁸ Pb	¹⁹⁹ Pb	²⁰⁰ Pb	²⁰¹ Pb	²⁰² Pb
¹⁹⁰ TI	¹⁹¹ TI	¹⁹² TI	¹⁹³ TI	¹⁹⁴ TI	¹⁹⁵ TI	¹⁹⁶ TI	¹⁹⁷ TI	¹⁹⁸ TI	¹⁹⁹ TI	²⁰⁰ TI	²⁰¹ TI
¹⁸⁹ Hg	¹⁹⁰ Hg	¹⁹¹ Hg	¹⁹² Hg	¹⁹³ Hg	¹⁹⁴ Hg	¹⁹⁵ Hg	¹⁹⁶ Hg	¹⁹⁷ Hg	¹⁹⁸ Hg	¹⁹⁹ Hg	²⁰⁰ Hg
¹⁸⁸ Au	¹⁸⁹ Au	¹⁹⁰ Au	¹⁹¹ Au	¹⁹² Au	¹⁹³ Au	¹⁹⁴ Au	¹⁹⁵ Au	¹⁹⁶ Au	¹⁹⁷ Au	¹⁹⁸ Au	¹⁹⁹ Au
¹⁸⁷ Pt	¹⁸⁸ Pt	¹⁸⁹ Pt	¹⁹⁰ Pt	¹⁹¹ Pt	¹⁹² Pt	¹⁹³ Pt	¹⁹⁴ Pt	¹⁹⁵ Pt	¹⁹⁶ Pt	¹⁹⁷ Pt	¹⁹⁸ Pt

Figure 52: Summary of experimental data available for nuclei exhibiting shape coexistence in the light Pt-Po region. Red bars indicate that shape-coexisting structures were proposed based on their level energies (e.g. observation of a low-lying 0^+ state, or rotational structures with very different moments of inertia), orange bars mean that additional information was obtained from *E*2 transition probabilities, yellow bars mean that information on *E*0 transition strengths is known, green bars mean measured cross sections to populate the coexisting configurations in direct reactions, light blue bars indicate that charge radii of both configurations were measured, and magenta bars denote information from α -particle decay. Stable nuclei are indicated with a darker shade.

systematic studies of the α -decay hindrance [477, 498, 499]. The α decay of ^{194,196,198}Po proceeds preferentially to the ground states of the Pb daughter nuclei, but the competing decay to the intruder states in Pb becomes more probable when going toward N = 104. This trend persists for lighter

shell was observed to be a factor of 3 to 4 times faster than that involving the removal of two protons from below the gap [501]. Thus, the observed gradual increase of probability of decays feeding the intruder states in Pb was explained by an admixture of the intruder configuration in the ground states of Po. This admixture would increase with decreasing *N* and eventually become dominant, which is consistent with the results of charge-radii measurements in the Po isotopic chain [502, 503], showing a monotonic increase of the ground-state deformation starting as early as at $A \le 199$ ($N \le 115$), see Fig. 49a.

Po nuclei, with the ground-state-to-ground-state transitions becoming increasingly hindered [477, 500]. The α decay that involves the removal of two protons from orbitals above the Z = 82 closed

1985

The observation of the fine structure of the α decay of ¹⁹⁰Po allowed identification of two 0⁺ states in ¹⁸⁶Pb [498]. On the basis of α -decay hindrance factors, the 532(21) keV 0⁺₂ state was associated with a mainly $\pi(2p - 2h)$ configuration. The decay to the 650(23) keV 0⁺₃ state was observed to be more hindered than those to the 0⁺_{1,2} states, which supports a $\pi(4p - 4h)$ character of the former. Indeed, a transition from the normal-occupation component ($\pi 2p$) of the ground state in Po to a $\pi(4p - 4h)$ state in Pb would involve a removal of two protons with the simultaneous promotion of a proton pair across the Z = 82 gap, leading to its significant hindrance. Similarly, a transition from the intruder admixture to the ground state in Po to a $\pi(4p - 4h)$ state in Pb would imply a removal of two protons from below the Z = 82 gap, while the decay to a $\pi(2p - 2h)$ configuration would be related to the removal of protons from above the closed proton shell and consequently it would proceed faster, as discussed above.

Two regular rotational bands were observed in ¹⁸⁶Pb [504, 505], see Fig. 53, which may be based on the two excited 0⁺ states identified in the α -decay study [498]. However, the transitions between the observed 2⁺ band heads of both bands and the 0⁺_{2,3} states have not yet been identified. The intensity limits [505] correspond to $B(E2; 2^+_2 \rightarrow 0^+_2)$ and $B(E2; 2^+_2 \rightarrow 0^+_3)$ values exceeding that of $B(E2; 2^+_2 \rightarrow 0^+_1)$ by factors of 5 and 25, respectively. For comparison, the $B(E2; 2^+_2 \rightarrow 0^+_2)/B(E2; 2^+_2 \rightarrow 0^+_1)$ ratio in the neighbouring ¹⁸⁴Hg isotone is equal to 7(4) [492].

Low-lying 0⁺ states in ¹⁸⁸Pb were observed in conversion-electron spectroscopy [506] and α decay [500, 507, 508] but the results are not fully consistent. Notably, a 0⁺₃ state was postulated at ²⁰⁰⁵ 767(12) keV (Ref. [508]) and then at 725(2) keV (Ref. [506]). A later α -decay study [500] ruled out the former and put the latter in doubt, as a hindrance factor larger than 50 would be necessary to explain its non-observation in α decay. Again, no γ -ray transitions linking those states with the known 2⁺ states were observed. On the other hand, the rotational structures on top of the 2⁺_{1,2} states were identified and their moments of inertia were shown to be different [509], as shown in Fig. 54. Experimental information for ¹⁹⁰Pb is more detailed, but the low-energy spectrum is domi-

nated by the weakly deformed structures, including microsecond 11⁻ and 12⁺ isomers assigned to different configurations, although a candidate prolate band was proposed [510]. An excited 0⁺ state was identified in α -decay studies [511] at 658 keV, but no γ -ray transitions feeding this state are known. Similar low-lying 0⁺ states, appearing below the first excited 2⁺ state, were observed in ¹⁹²Pb and ¹⁹⁴Pb, and their *E*0 decay to the ground states corresponded to considerably lower monopole transition strengths ($10^3 \times \rho^2(E0; 0^+_2 \rightarrow 0^+_1) \approx 1$ [511]) than those measured for ^{186,188}Hg. While there are candidates for members of bands built on the 0⁺_2 states in ^{192,194}Pb, as indicated on Fig. 53, no transition probabilities within these bands are known.

On the other side of the N = 104 mid shell, a single rotational band was identified in each of the

- ²⁰²⁰ ¹⁸⁰Pb [512], ¹⁸²Pb [513] and ¹⁸⁴Pb [514] nuclei. The moments of inertia of these band structures were found to be similar to those related to the deformed $\pi(4p - 4h)$ configuration in the heavier Pb isotopes. Moreover, a low-lying 0⁺₂ state in ¹⁸⁴Pb was observed to be preferentially fed in α decay of ¹⁸⁸Po [499]. Based on its excitation energy, this state was tentatively interpreted as the band-head of the observed rotational band. The parabolic pattern of the assumed $\pi(4p - 4h)$ states is evident, as can be seen in Fig. 53, and strongly resembles that observed for the intruder states in
- 202





Figure 53: Energy systematics of positive-parity excited states in the neutron-deficient even-even lead isotopes, showing presumed spherical states (black squares), deformed $\pi(2p - 2h)$ configuration (red circles), and deformed $\pi(4p - 4h)$ configuration (blue triangles). Data are taken from the National Nuclear Data Center database [39]. For clarity, some points are slightly offset on the x axis.

Lifetimes in ^{186,188}Pb are known for the few lowest yrast states [515]. A strong reduction of transitional quadrupole moments, Q_t , deduced from the $B(E2; 2_1^+ \rightarrow 0_1^+)$ values, with respect to those extracted from $B(E2; I+2 \rightarrow I)$, $I \ge 2$ was attributed to different configurations of the ground state (spherical) and 4_1^+ , 6_1^+ , 8_1^+ (prolate deformed with $\beta_2=0.29(5)$). Moreover, a comparison of the $B(E2; 4_1^+ \rightarrow 2_1^+)$ value with those measured for the decay of higher-spin states reveals that the 2_1^+ state in ¹⁸⁶Pb has a pure deformed character, while for the 2_1^+ state in ¹⁸⁸Pb, assuming the two-state mixing model, one obtains a prolate contribution of 40%.

Three high-spin and high-*K* isomers have been identified in ¹⁸⁸Pb. Based on their decay properties, structures of bands observed on top of them, and *g*-factor measurements, they were assigned $9/2[624] \otimes 7/2[514]$ two-quasineutron, $9/2[505] \otimes 13/2[606]$ two-quasiproton and neutron $(i_{13/2})^2$ configurations, respectively [509, 516, 517]. In Ref. [516], the isomeric states were associated with three different potential wells corresponding to prolate, oblate and spherical shapes, respectively, suggesting that the coexistence of three distinct shapes extends to lower-spin states built in each well. This, for the moment, has not been experimentally confirmed. The 12⁺ isomer in ¹⁸⁸Pb seems to be an analogue of the 12⁺ isomer in ¹⁸⁸Hg. In the latter, however, lifetime measurements have demonstrated that the lower-spin states present higher deformation that those built on top of the spherical 12^+ isomer, which supports their assignment to different configurations.

2045

2040

The similarity of deformed structures in Hg and Pb nuclei is further evidenced by a comparison of the kinematic moments of inertia, $J^{(1)}$, plotted for yrast states in these nuclei as a function of γ ray energy, as shown in Fig. 54. From spin 6⁺ upwards, the yrast bands in ¹⁸⁸Pb and ¹⁸⁶Hg present a strikingly similar, regular behaviour. The irregular pattern of kinematic moments of inertia at lower spin can be related to the crossing and mixing of the two configurations characterised by different deformations. Interestingly, the moments of inertia obtained for ¹⁹⁰Po follow this pattern very closely, while those for ^{192,194}Po, which evolve smoothly, are considerably lower. In the absence 2050 of data on non-yrast states in these isotopes, it may still be concluded from this observation that a weakly deformed configuration forms the yrast states for $N \ge 108$ (i.e., in ¹⁹²Po and the heavier Po isotopes), and in ¹⁹⁰Po (N = 106) the prolate-deformed intruder states of spin 2⁺ and above have descended in energy below their weakly deformed counterparts. This is consistent with the strong hindrance of the ground-state-to-ground-state α decay from ¹⁸⁸Po to ¹⁸⁴Pb [499], which suggests their different configurations, i.e., the intruding prolate-deformed 0⁺ state becoming the ground state in ¹⁸⁸Po. In this context, a measurement of the charge radii in ^{188,190}Po would be of much interest.





Figure 54: Kinematic moments of inertia for yrast states in ¹⁸⁶Hg, ¹⁸⁸Pb and ^{190,192,194,196}Po, and the band built on the 0_2^+ state in ¹⁸⁸Pb. For clarity, only states up to spin 10^+ are plotted. Data are taken from the National Nuclear Data Center database [39].

The level systematics in even-even ^{190–210}Po isotopes reveals another intruding structure. The

- low-lying yrast levels in ^{200–210}Po can be attributed to a spherical j = 9/2 seniority structure, and their energies evolve smoothly until they drop sharply in ¹⁹⁸Po, as shown in Fig. 55. This drop in energy is accompanied by the appearance of low-lying 0⁺ states, and candidates for low-spin members of bands built on them, which were identified in ^{196–202}Po in α - and β -decay studies [518] as well as in γ -ray spectroscopy following fusion-evaporation reactions [519]. Their energies follow
- a similar parabolic behaviour as the yrast levels in ^{190–198}Po. This was interpreted [518, 519] as an intrusion of an oblate $\pi(4p - 2h)$ configuration, which mixes with the spherical states and pushes them down in energy. The levelling off of the level energies around ¹⁹²Po was suggested to be due to the intruder structure becoming the ground state [520]. The regularity of the kinematic moments of inertia for ^{192,194}Po and the fact that the $|Q_t|$ values for the 4⁺ \rightarrow 2⁺ and 2⁺ \rightarrow 0⁺ transitions in ¹⁹⁴Po are identical [515] support the picture of the ground states in ^{192,194}Po being dominated by
- ²⁰⁷⁰ ¹⁹⁴Po are identical [515] support the picture of the ground states in ^{192,194}Po being dominated by the intruder configuration. On the other hand, lower moments of inertia are observed for low-spin states in ¹⁹⁶Po, as shown in Fig. 54, which can be attributed to the strong contribution of the spherical configuration to their structure. The observed [477, 500] gradual increase, with decreasing *N*, of hindrance factors for the ground-state-to-ground-state α decays of Po isotopes is also consistent with this scenario. Moreover, the spectroscopic quadrupole moments of the 2⁺₁ states evolve from values compatible with zero for ^{200,202}Po to positive values for ^{196,198}Po [521], in line with the yrast states changing character from spherical seniority structures to weakly deformed intruder ones. One should note here that the $|Q_t|$ values in ¹⁹⁴Po correspond to a deformation parameter $|\beta_2| \approx 0.17(3)$ [515], similar to those of the normal-order configuration in the Hg isotopes, and that the moment of inertia of the structure built on the 0⁺₂ state in ¹⁸⁸Pb is similar to those observed for the ground-state bands in ^{192,194}Po, as shown in Fig. 54.

²⁰⁸⁵ Unfortunately, there is little information about the non-yrast states in the light Po isotopes apart from their energies. The 0^+_2 and 2^+_2 states in ^{196,198}Po were populated in a Coulomb-excitation study [521], and the *E*2 matrix elements related to their decay were determined, although with a rather low precision. An attempt to explain the measured *E*2 matrix elements within a two-state mixing model assuming the same unperturbed structures for ^{194–202}Po [521] was less successful than the same procedure applied to the ^{182–188}Hg nuclei in Ref. [492]; notably, deviations are observed for ¹⁹⁸Po. The spectroscopic quadrupole moments of the two unperturbed structures were 1.1 *e*b and -0.3 *e*b, supporting the scenario of a weakly deformed structure mixing with a nearly spherical one. However, given that the structure of light Po nuclei is likely to be influenced also by the more deformed prolate configuration descending to the ground state in ¹⁹⁰Po, it is not clear to what extent the two-state mixing model is applicable, which may explain the observed discrepancies.

The *E*0 transition strengths in light Po nuclei were estimated from missing γ -ray intensities in γ - γ coincidences [519, 523, 524] or X-ray intensities in Coulomb excitation [521]. Unfortunately,

due to large uncertainties and conflicting values, no conclusions can be drawn from these data.

3.7.3. Even-even Pt nuclei

Moments of inertia of yrast bands in ^{178–186}Pt display a similar, rather regular behaviour, consistent with their well-deformed character, see Fig. 56. The anomalies observed at low spin for ^{176,178}Pt were attributed [525, 526] to the change of configuration of the ground and lowest yrast states, which are less deformed than higher-spin states. A significantly lower moment of inertia is also determined for the yrast band in ¹⁸⁸Pt. A configuration change occurring between ¹⁷⁶Pt and

2100



Figure 55: Energy systematics of positive-parity excited states in the neutron-deficient even-even polonium isotopes, showing ground-state bands (black squares) and non-yrast states (green circles). Data are taken from the National Nuclear Data Center database [39] and Ref. [522].

¹⁷⁸Pt is supported by the sudden increase of the hindrance factor for the α decay feeding the excited states that occurs for ¹⁷⁶Pt [477]. Since the ground states in Hg isotopes have a predominantly weakly deformed normal-order configuration, it implies that the same is true for the ground state in ¹⁷⁶Pt, while those in heavier Pt isotopes have a deformed intruder configuration. The resulting interpretation of energy spectra in Pt isotopes in terms of coexisting normal-order and intruder configuration is presented in Fig. 57.

Considerable progress has recently been achieved in the measurements of transition probabilities in neutron-deficient Pt isotopes [528–534]. Interestingly, many new lifetime measurements correspond to E2 transition strengths significantly larger compared to older data, which the authors 2110 tentatively attribute to a better treatment of side feeding. The transitional quadrupole moments deduced from lifetimes of the first four yrast states in ^{176–194}Pt nuclei are presented in Fig. 58. They display a roughly trapezoid pattern, particularly evident for higher-spin states, with a plateau at about 7 *eb* stretching from A = 178 to A = 186, and a gradual decrease on its both sides. The values obtained for ¹⁹⁰⁻¹⁹⁴Pt are again nearly constant with Q_t about 4 eb, similar to the values 2115 observed for the weakly deformed states in Hg isotopes. In the region of the plateau, where the yrast bands are supposed to be dominated by the deformed intruder configuration, it is usually observed that the transitional quadrupole moments increase with spin up to spin 4^+ or 6^+ , and then stabilise. It is tempting to attribute this behaviour to the mixing of the two configurations, which



Figure 56: Kinematic moments of inertia for yrast states up to spin 10 in even-even ^{174–188}Pt nuclei. Data are taken from the National Nuclear Data Center database [39].

decreases with spin, although, surprisingly, such an effect is not present for ¹⁸⁰Pt.

The importance of mixing in the structures of the light Pt isotopes is supported by an almost constant behaviour of the *g* factors of the 2_1^+ states in ${}^{180-198}$ Pt [535–537]. In particular, the 70-80% mixing obtained for the 2^+ states in ${}^{184-188}$ Pt from the two-band mixing model applied to level energies was in good agreement with the measured *g* factors [535].

2125 3.7.4. Odd-mass Au, Tl and Bi nuclei

Due to the complexity of the excitation spectra, involving many multiplets, and low excitation energies of key states, the information on shape coexistence in odd-mass nuclei in this mass region is more limited compared to the even-even neighbours. Low-lying $9/2^-$ intruder states in the Tl isotopes (related to the proton $1h_{9/2}$ configuration) as well as the proton $3s_{1/2}$ hole states were identified via α -decay spectroscopy [538, 539]. Unhindered α -decay branches were observed 2130 between ^{187–195}Bi 9/2⁻ ground states and ^{183–191}Tl 9/2⁻ intruder states, and between the ^{187–195}Bi $1/2^+$ intruder states and the ¹⁸³⁻¹⁹¹Tl $1/2^+$ ground states [538]. The excitation energies of the $9/2^{-}$ intruder states in the Tl isotopes display a characteristic parabolic behaviour as a function of neutron number and follow a similar pattern as the $1/2^+$ intruder states in the odd-mass Bi isotopes for $N \ge 110$. For $N \le 108$, the trend in the energies of the $1/2^+$ intruder states in the Bi isotopes 2135 deviates from those of the $9/2^-$ states. Interestingly, the decay of the $1/2^+$ state in ¹⁸⁵Bi (N = 102) to the ¹⁸¹Tl ground state was found to be hindered (HF=14(3) [540]), which may indicate a change of configuration of the $1/2^+$ state between ¹⁸⁵Bi and the heavier Bi isotopes. The g factors of the 9/2⁻ intruder states in ¹⁸³⁻¹⁹⁷Tl and those of the ¹⁸⁷⁻¹⁹⁷Bi are very similar across a wide range of N, as shown in Fig. 59b, pointing to their similar microscopic configurations. 2140

Measurements of the charge radii for both ground and isomeric states have been performed for a wide range of Bi and Tl isotopes. As displayed in Fig. 59a, dramatic differences are observed



Figure 57: Energy systematics of positive-parity states in the neutron-deficient even-even platinum isotopes, plotted relative to the energy of the 0^+ state of normal-order configuration. Intruder states are marked with red circles and normal-order states with black squares. For clarity, γ bands are not plotted. Data are taken from the National Nuclear Data Center database [39] and Ref. [527].

2150

[544] for the $\delta \langle r^2 \rangle$ values for the 9/2⁻ isomer in the Tl nuclei *versus* the 1/2⁺ ground state, with the latter following closely those for the spherical Pb nuclei. Combined with the measured electric quadrupole moments, these results point to an oblate deformation of the 9/2⁻ states with $|\beta_2|$ of about 0.17. For the Bi isotopes, the β_2 deformation of the ground state starts to gradually deviate from sphericity below $N = 110^{197}$ Bi, while that of the 1/2⁺ isomers in ¹⁹³⁻¹⁹⁷Bi is considerably larger and more constant [543]. The pattern of ground-state charge radii in light Bi isotopes resembles that observed for the Po chain (see Fig. 49), suggesting that a more deformed configuration may mix with that of the ground state when approaching the neutron mid-shell. Finally, the charge radii in the Au isotopic chain increase dramatically between N = 108 and N = 107. This is accompanied by a ground-state spin change from $1/2^+$ in ¹⁸⁷Au (normal-order configuration) to $5/2^-$ in ¹⁸⁵Au (intruder configuration).

2155

There are multiple suggestions in Tl and Bi nuclei of rotational bands interpreted as resulting from coupling of the odd proton particle or hole to the normal-order or intruder configuration of the even-even core. For example, the bands built on 13/2⁺ isomers in ^{187,189}Bi were shown to share important similarities with those in ^{186,188}Hg associated to prolate shapes [546], while their analogues in ^{191,193}Bi have a strongly coupled character, indicating that they are associated instead with a weakly deformed core configuration [547, 548]. Based on the similarity of the moments



Figure 58: Transitional quadrupole moments Q_t calculated from lifetimes of 2_1^+ , 4_1^+ , 6_1^+ and 8_1^+ states in even-even ^{176–194}Pt nuclei. For clarity, some points are slightly offset on the horizontal axis. Data are taken from the National Nuclear Data Center database [39] and Refs. [528–534].

of inertia between the rotational band built on the ground state of ¹⁹³Bi and the yrast band in ¹⁹⁴Po, the former was interpreted as resulting from coupling of a $1h_{9/2}$ proton to a deformed oblate configuration in ¹⁹²Pb [547]. The characteristics of the bands built on the $1/2^+$ intruder states are different for ¹⁹¹Bi and ¹⁹³Bi, with the latter having a similar moment of inertia as the yrast bands in ^{188,190}Hg (indicating a weakly deformed oblate structure), and the former being significantly more deformed [548]. It would be interesting to investigate if this effect is visible in the isomer shift of the $1/2^+$ state in ¹⁹¹Bi, and, as there is no significant difference in α -decay hindrance between the two Bi isotopes, in the charge radii of the ground state of the ^{187,189}Tl daughter nuclei.

Strongly-coupled bands constructed on the 9/2⁻ isomer were observed in even-neutron ^{183–189}Tl isotopes [550–552] and their properties are consistent with the weak oblate deformation of the band head determined from the isomer shift. Similar bands associated with the $i_{13/2}$ intruder configuration were also seen in ¹⁸⁷Tl [550], ¹⁸⁹Tl [551] and the heavier Tl isotopes. Decoupled bands due to $i_{13/2}$, $h_{9/2}$ and $f_{7/2}$ intruders were identified in ^{183,185,187}Tl [550, 552] and from their spectroscopic properties they were associated to prolate deformation. Lifetime measurements in ¹⁸⁷Tl [553] and ¹⁸⁹Tl [554] confirmed that the transitional quadrupole moments in the structures identified as prolate and oblate strongly differ, as can be seen in Fig. 60. Interestingly, the transitional quadrupole moments for the prolate $i_{13/2}$ structures in odd-mass Tl and Au nuclei appear, on average, larger than those for the prolate $h_{9/2}$ bands, and closer to those obtained for ¹⁸⁸Pb than for the ^{186,188}Hg isotones. At the same time, the quadrupole moments for the oblate structures in odd-mass Tl nuclei are significantly lower than those measured for the oblate states in the Hg isotones.

In odd-mass Au nuclei both proton-particle and proton-hole configurations were observed, the former resulting from coupling to even-even Pt cores, while the latter involve coupling to even-even Hg cores. For example in ¹⁸⁷Au, structures resulting from coupling of the $1h_{11/2}$ proton hole to 0_1^+ and 0_2^+ states in ¹⁸⁸Hg were identified (i.e., $\pi(3h)$ and $\pi(2p-5h)$), as well as those where a $1h_{9/2}$ proton couples to 0_1^+ and 0_2^+ states in ¹⁸⁶Pt (i.e., $\pi(3p-6h)$ and $\pi(1p-4h)$) [556]. Similar structures



Figure 59: (Top) Changes in mean-square charge radii, relative to N=126, as a function of the neutron number for Tl (magenta squares) and Bi (orange triangles) isotopic chains, normalized to the difference in charge radius between N=122 and N=124, and compared to the data for Pb (gray dotted line). The data for Au isotopes are also plotted (blue triangles), with the point for ¹⁹⁷Au normalised to the value measured for ²⁰⁰Pb to facilitate direct comparison. Filled symbols denote ground states and open symbols isomeric states. For clarity, only the values for odd-mass Tl and Bi isotopes are plotted, and they are slightly shifted on the horizontal axis. The values are taken from Refs. [234, 541, 542]. (Bottom) g factors for $9/2_1^-$ states in Bi (orange), Tl (magenta) and Au (blue). For clarity, values for Tl and Bi isotopes are slightly shifted on the horizontal axis. Data are from Refs. [542–545] and references therein.

were also observed in ¹⁸⁵Au, and transitions between them were shown to have *E*0 components, consistent with their different deformations [557]. A large isomer shift was measured for the $9/2^-$, $t_{1/2} = 2.3$ s state in ¹⁸⁷Au, as shown in Fig. 59a. Interestingly, the *g* factor determined for this state is considerably lower [542] than those measured for the $9/2^-_1$ states in Tl and Bi nuclei, as seen in Fig. 59b.

Recently, a regular rotational band was observed built on the second $11/2^{-}$ state in ¹⁷⁷Au [558]. The head of this band, interpreted as formed by the coupling of a $1h_{11/2}$ proton hole to the 0_{2}^{+} state in ¹⁷⁸Hg, presents a very different decay pattern than that observed for the analogous structure in ¹⁸⁷Au, as shown in Fig. 61. This suggests an important difference between the 0_{2}^{+} structures in ¹⁷⁸Hg and ¹⁸⁶Hg, in line with the results of the lifetime measurements in ¹⁷⁸Hg [493] that yield a β_{2} value of about 0.4 for the deformed configuration in ¹⁷⁸Hg, which is significantly larger than

those estimated for the deformed structures in heavier Hg isotopes.

2200

Almost constant excitation energies of the states associated with coupling of a $1h_{11/2}$ proton hole with the ground state of the Hg core are observed over the Au isotopic chain, which is similar to the behaviour of the 2_1^+ states in Hg isotopes. In contrast, the lowest states corresponding to the intruder configuration, $9/2^-$, display a parabolic trend with a minimum at N = 104 [559], as shown in Fig. 64. Recent measurements for ^{181,183}Au [560, 561] provided more data on the variety of normal-order and intruder states in these nuclei. In particular, in ¹⁸³Au an E0 transition feeding the first $3/2^-$ state of the $2d_{3/2} \otimes 3s_{1/2}$ proton-hole configuration was reported in Ref. [561]. Such an E0 decay has no counterparts in the neighbouring Au nuclei, suggesting that it may proceed from a deformed state of a configuration that has not been observed before. Identification of rotational states built on top of it would help to elucidate its character.

2205

4. Conclusions

There has been tremendous progress in shape-coexistence studies in the past several decades that has been driven by a variety of factors. The data now available have resulted from the drive towards detailed spectroscopic studies. Especially noteworthy in this regard are the very high-



Figure 60: Transitional quadrupole moments Q_t calculated from lifetimes measured for ^{185,187}Au, ^{186,188}Hg, ^{187,189}Tl and ¹⁸⁸Pb (N = 106, 108), revealing a variety of structures differing in deformation. For odd-mass nuclei, full symbols denote $h_{9/2}$ states, while open symbols are used for $i_{13/2}$ structures. The points are labeled with the spin and parity of the de-exciting state. Data are from Refs. [481, 490, 515, 549, 553–555].



Figure 61: Partial level schemes of ¹⁷⁷Au and ¹⁸⁷Au displaying states associated with coupling of a $1h_{11/2}$ proton hole with 0_1^+ and 0_2^+ states in Hg cores, giving rise to nearly spherical and strongly deformed structures, respectively. Figure taken from Ref. [558].

statistics β -decay studies that enable the observation of low-energy transitions from non-yrast states, recoil-decay tagging that makes possible the separation and observation of nuclei with very low production cross sections, and also highly sensitive Coulomb-excitation experiments that provide large sets of electromagnetic matrix elements including quadrupole moments of short-lived excited states. The development of high-resolution and high-efficiency instrumentation for these 2215 studies, from particle detectors and recoil separators to HPGe γ -ray spectrometers, has enabled an unprecedented sensitivity. The wealth of data has also benefited tremendously from the availability of a variety of radioactive beam species, and the increasing intensity of such beams has opened new avenues of research, not only in regions of the nuclear chart previously inaccessible for detailed studies, but also enabling, for less exotic nuclei, the high-statistics measurements mentioned 2220 above. There have been theoretical advancements, including developments in beyond-mean-field calculations, large-scale and Monte-Carlo shell-model calculations, the widespread application of three-body forces and accounting for the tensor interactions, and the guidance for the calculations resulting from the underlying symmetries. The level of accuracy obtained in reproducing the experimental data using globally-derived interactions, rather than local fitting, has resulted in an 2225 increase in the level of confidence in interpreting the natures of the structures observed. The status of the field, from a unified experimental and theoretical viewpoint, was reviewed by Heyde and Wood [2] in 2011. Following in the footsteps of their earlier reviews, it emphasized the need for comprehensive spectroscopic studies of nuclei suggested to possess shape-coexisting structures, including measurements that provide absolute B(E2) values, $\rho^2(E0)$ values, single- and multinucleon transfer cross sections, etc. It further suggested that the presence of shape-coexisting

2230

structures in nuclei may be the norm, and that we might expect them in nearly all nuclei except perhaps the very lightest. The role of multiparticle-multihole excitations was highlighted, and also

that of the "multishells", which made the large deformations observed near closed shells to be expected. Finally, the growth in the community directly involved in such studies, and the willingness to re-examine both open problems and previously understood structures for new insights, has created a vibrant atmosphere for collaboration and provided a diversity of perspectives. The confluence of these factors has made the present era of shape-coexistence studies perhaps the most exciting and rapidly developing in its history. In the following, we present a brief overview of the regions we have explored in the present work.

In the light-mass nuclei, many of the shape-coexisting structures display a multiparticle-multihole character. As has been shown in many calculations, states involving 2p - 2h, 4p - 4h, etc., configurations can be present at low excitation energies, and even become the ground states. The

2240

- N = 20 "island-of-inversion" region is an excellent example of the latter. Measurements performed on ³²Mg are consistent with the ground state having a mixed 2p - 2h and 4p - 4h structure, and 2245 the normal-order $0p - 0h 0^+$ state has yet to be located. Such multiparticle-multihole structures can reach large deformations, as do those that have been known to exist at low energies in the Ca isotopes, with the superdeformed 0_3^+ state in ⁴⁰Ca involving a 8p - 8h configuration, and the 0_2^+ state dominated by the 4p-4h excitations. The recent Coulomb-excitation study of ⁴²Ca measured
- the $\langle Q^2 \rangle$ and $\langle Q^3 \cos 3\delta \rangle$ quantities for both the ground state and the $6p 4h 0^+_2$ state, the first such 2250 direct determination for a superdeformed structure. A candidate superdeformed band has also been suggested in ²⁸Si, coexisting with a normal-deformed oblate ground state, and the observed E0 decay of the 0_2^+ state in ²⁴Mg is consistent with its β_2 deformation of about 1. At the double shell closure Z, N = 28, a highly-deformed 4p - 4h structure is observed in
- ⁵⁶Ni, similar to those present in the $A \approx 40$ region. Low-lying proton pairing vibration states 2255 were postulated in ⁵⁸⁻⁶²Ni based on their enhanced population in proton-transfer reactions, with those in ^{58,60}Ni also possessing enhanced E0 transitions to the ground states. An explanation of these large E0 strengths, recently complemented by similarly large $\rho^2(E0)$ values for the $2^+_2 \rightarrow 2^+_1$ transitions in ^{58,60,62}Ni, remains elusive. An intense experimental effort in the last five years has clarified greatly the level schemes and spin-parity assignments for nuclei in the neighbourhood of 2260 ⁶⁸Ni. However, few relevant transition probabilities are currently known and no measurements of spectroscopic quadrupole moments have been performed. There are suggestions of triple shape coexistence in ^{64,66,68}Ni based on comparisons with theoretical calculations, with excited prolate and oblate states coexisting with spherical ground states. Two protons below Ni, the neutron-rich Fe isotopes have deformed ground states, and an observation of a low-lying 0^+_2 state in ⁶⁶Fe hints 2265 at shape coexistence. The properties of the ground-state bands in the Co isotopes with N < 40point to their nearly spherical character, while those of the $(1/2^{-})$ states identified at low excitation energy in ^{65,67}Co provide strong evidence for shape coexistence. In both the Ni and Co isotopes, the evolution of these configurations beyond N = 40, i.e., when the $vg_{9/2}$ is filled, remains an open question. Finally, in the vicinity of ⁷⁸Ni, first observations of low-lying deformed states involving 2270 neutron excitation across the N = 50 gap have been reported in, e.g., $N = 49^{-79}$ Zn and ⁸¹Ge. At N = 50, low-lying deformed 0⁺ states in ⁸²Ge and non-yrast deformed states in ⁷⁸Ni have been proposed, while an observation of a non-yrast state in 82 Zn, tentatively assigned as 0^+_2 , represents

2275

rise to coexistence of deformed and spherical configurations along its borders, analogous to those observed, e.g., at N = 20.

Coulomb-excitation and lifetime measurements. The results from those studies provided firm evidence for prolate and oblate shapes in ^{74,76}Kr, as well as indications for prolate-oblate shape coexistence in some of the Se and Ge isotopes. There is evidence for strong mixing of the configurations, and large E0 strengths were measured in this mass region, with $10^3 \times \rho^2(E0; 0^+_2 \rightarrow 0^+_1)$

A consistent picture of shape coexistence in the $N \approx Z \approx 40$ nuclei has been obtained by combining high-resolution γ -ray and electron spectroscopy, with key results obtained in recent

2280

2285

values approaching 100 in the Kr isotopic chain. The E0 transition rates correlate with Z, with $10^3 \times \rho^2(E0; 0_2^+ \rightarrow 0_1^+)$ values of approximately 30 measured for the Se isotopes, while those for ^{70,72}Ge are close to 10. By combining the mixing angles deduced from level energies with the measured E0 strengths, the difference of deformation $\Delta \beta_2^2$ can be extracted, which is equal to 0.06–0.1 for ^{72,74,76}Kr, and 0.02–0.06 for ^{70,72}Ge. Another notable feature of this mass region is the importance of the triaxial degree of freedom. Structures interpreted as γ bands are observed at low 2290 excitation energy, and their band heads strongly mix with 2^+ states built on the shape-coexisting configurations. The systematics of the 2_1^+ excitation energies and $B(E2; 2_1^+ \rightarrow 0_1^+)$ values for N = Z nuclei, presented in Fig. 62, show a rise in collectivity between ⁶⁸Se and ⁷²Kr followed by a more rapid increase between ⁷²Kr and ⁷⁶Sr. Beyond-mean-field calculations [563] predict a gradual transition along the N = Z line from weakly deformed γ -soft ⁶⁰Zn and ⁶⁴Ge to well-deformed prolate 2295 ⁷⁶Sr, passing through moderately deformed triaxial shapes. The observed increase of deformation was thus suggested to be related to a gradual reduction of the importance of the triaxial degree of freedom, in connection with a decrease of the configuration mixing. Systematic measurements

2300

In the $A \approx 100$ region, an impressive number of experimental results, contributing greatly towards understanding of shape coexistence, have been reported over the last decade. For these studies, state-of-the-art experimental devices and techniques were used, with the nuclei of interest produced via spontaneous fission or induced fission of actinides. The availability of intense radioactive beams for a variety of species has also increased dramatically, enabling measurements in this mass region that were not previously possible. Lifetime measurements of excited states and Coulomb-excitation experiments yielded rich sets of transitional and diagonal E2 matrix elements, enabling detailed comparisons with state-of-the-art theoretical models. In the Sr and Zr isotopes, where the spectroscopic data are most abundant, coexistence of nearly spherical normal-order states and deformed intruder states has been experimentally confirmed. Below N = 60, further 2310 studies are required to pin down the structure of low-lying 0^+ states. Multiple shape coexistence has been proposed in $N = 58^{98}$ Zr and ⁹⁶Sr based on combined data on E0 and E2 transition strengths and neutron-transfer spectroscopic factors, but the recent conflicting measurements of lifetimes in ⁹⁸Zr highlight the difficulty of studies in this region. Interestingly, the mixing of wave

of spectroscopic quadrupole moments and quadrupole sum-rule invariants in these nuclei would

provide a verification of such an interpretation.

functions between the ground state and the excited configurations in ⁹⁶Sr appears to be small, while 2315 the excited configurations seem to be strongly mixed. At N = 60, evidence of a nearly spherical excited configuration was reported in ⁹⁸Sr, while the data for ¹⁰⁰Zr are less detailed and therefore less conclusive. The sudden onset of deformation in the Zr isotopes has been reproduced for the first time, in terms of both level energies and transition probabilities, with the Monte-Carlo shell



Figure 62: Systematics of the (a) $B(E2; 2_1^+ \rightarrow 0_1^+)$ values and (b) 2_1^+ excitation energies for N = Z nuclei. Data are taken from the National Nuclear Data Center database [39] and Ref. [564].

model. These calculations suggested that multiple shape coexistence occurs in the Zr isotopes, with spherical, prolate, and oblate shapes present and their ordering evolving as a function of *N*. An experimental verification of this scenario is necessary. A multitude of low-lying deformed states with collective structures built on top of them were reported in odd-mass $A \approx 100$ nuclei from Kr to Ru, permitting the mapping of the different Nilsson orbitals before and after the shape transition at N = 60. While in odd-mass isotopes with N < 60 spherical ground states are observed

to coexist with deformed bands, no evidence for low-lying spherical states beyond $N \ge 60$ has yet been obtained.

A compilation of quadrupole deformation parameters β_2 deduced from the measured spectroscopic quadrupole moments for odd-neutron Kr, Sr, Zr, Mo and Ru nuclei, and for odd-proton Rb, Y, Nb and Tc isotopes [231] is shown in Fig. 63. The β_2 systematics were extended by including the spectroscopic quadrupole moments of the 2_1^+ states in ^{94,96}Kr [243, 565], ^{96,98,100}Mo [23, 256, 320]

2330

spectroscopic quadrupole moments of the 2_1^+ states in 94,96 Kr [243, 565], 96,98,100 Mo [23, 256, 320] and 102,104 Ru [39, 258], extracted from low-energy Coulomb-excitation experiments. They follow consistently the trend observed in laser spectroscopy.

Between N = 50 and 58, as the $d_{5/2}$ and $s_{1/2}$ orbitals are filled, the deformation is uniformly low ($\langle \beta_2 \rangle \sim 0.1$) for all elements from Kr to Tc. The data resulting from both experimental approaches consistently point to an onset of deformation for nuclei from Rb to Nb when two neutrons are added to N = 58, i.e., the addition of neutrons to the $g_{7/2}$ orbital. Due to a large uncertainty, no conclusion can be drawn for ⁹⁶Kr, while the reduction of the quadrupole moment of the 2_1^+ state in ⁹⁸Sr has been tentatively attributed to its triaxial shape. Unfortunately, there is no experimental

information for ¹⁰²Mo, but the β_2 deformation obtained for ¹⁰⁴Ru is considerably lower than the average $\langle \beta_2 \rangle \sim 0.4$ for the $N \ge 60$ nuclei.



Figure 63: Quadrupole deformation parameter β_2 as a function of the neutron number in the Kr – Ru isotopic chains. The β_2 values are calculated, under assumption of axial symmetry, from the spectroscopic quadrupole moments of the ground states measured with laser spectroscopy, and from the diagonal *E*2 matrix elements of 2_1^+ states obtained via low-energy Coulomb excitation of even-even nuclei. Unfortunately, quadrupole moments for the ground states of 90,92 Rb ($I^{\pi} = 0^-$), ${}^{91,93,95-98}$ Y ($I^{\pi} = 0^-$, $1/2^-$) and 99,101 Mo ($I^{\pi} = 1/2^+$) cannot be measured and those for ${}^{94-98,100}$ Nb have not been measured yet. The spectroscopic quadrupole moments of the 2_1^+ states in 88,90,92 Kr, 90,92,94 Sr and ${}^{92,94,96-100}$ Zr are currently unknown. In 94 Mo and 98,100 Ru the quadrupole moments of the 2_1^+ states were measured using the reorientation effect with light-ion beams and the analysis of Coulomb-excitation data yielded two alternative solutions, leading to different conclusions on the deformation. These data points are therefore not displayed. Shown schematically are the spherical orbitals at the Fermi level for neutrons. The dashed lines are to guide the eye. For clarity, some points are slightly offset on the horizontal axis.

The systematics presented in Fig. 63 highlights a particular behaviour at N = 59. While the onset of deformation is abrupt for all elements from Z = 37 to 41 when adding a pair of neutrons, at N = 59 a gradual evolution as function of the proton number emerges. The ${}^{96}_{37}$ Rb₅₉ deformation is estimated as $\beta_2 \sim 0.12$, close to the mean value for $N \le 58$ and well below that for $N \ge 60$. For ${}^{103}_{44}$ Ru₅₉, the β_2 deformation approaches 0.3, which is slightly lower than the $N \ge 60$ systematics. Therefore, a more gradual shape change seems to take place when only one neutron is added to the $g_{7/2}$ orbital and when the number of protons in the pf shell increases. Unfortunately, measurements of the ground-state quadrupole moments in the N = 59 isotones between Sr and Tc are impossible due to their 1/2 spins.

2350

2355

Even if the ground-state shape transition at N = 60 seems to be well understood, there are open questions related to the structure of the non-yrast configurations. The rather smooth increase of deformation with the neutron number, observed for the neutron-rich Kr isotopes, requires further investigation, also in the context of possible shape coexistence, which has been predicted but never experimentally confirmed. Theoretical calculations indicate the importance of the triaxial degree of freedom in the Kr and Se isotopes, which also requires an experimental verification [566]. The experimental information on the neutron-rich Se isotopes around N = 60 is scarce due to their very exotic character, reflected in production rates at radioactive beam facilities, making

experiments using them currently prohibitive. With the first indications of shape coexistence in the very neutron-rich Ge isotopes at N = 50, the possible bridge between these two regions of 2360 deformation and shape coexistence should be addressed in the future.

A considerable number of new results in the $Z \approx 50$ region have been obtained over the past decade resulting in a substantial re-interpretation of the structure of many nuclei, foremost that of the Cd isotopes. While the shape-coexisting $\pi(2p-2h)$ intruder excitations have been known for some time, recent results [261, 363] have suggested the presence of multiple shape coexistence 2365 with the appearance of triaxial, oblate, and prolate structures. The key spectroscopic data for the 0_2^+ and 0_3^+ states were presented in Figs. 36 (Cd), 42 (Sn), 44 (Te), and 46 (Pd). Generally, the 0_2^+ levels have enhanced decays to the 2_1^+ states, and, where measured, are populated strongly in the (³He,n) reaction. The 0_3^+ levels have enhanced decays to the 2_2^+ levels, and weak E2 transition strength to the 2_1^+ states. As outlined in Sect. 3.5.1, in the Cd isotopes it was suggested that the 0_2^+ 2370 and 0_3^+ states had different shapes. The high degree of similarity of the decay properties of the 0_2^+ states in Pd through Te is suggestive of a similar underlying configuration of enhanced deformation based on proton excitations. In Cd, Pd, and Te, the 0^+_3 states generally have enhanced decays to the 2_2^+ states, labelled as the head of a " γ " band, and a very weak decay to the 2_1^+ state, also suggesting a structural similarity. If multiple shape coexistence is firmly established in the Cd isotopes, these 2375 similarities of the decay patterns in other nuclei in the region provide strong motivation to explore their shapes as well through, for example, detailed Coulomb-excitation studies.

2380

2385

The $Z \approx 64$, $N \approx 90$ region continues to present a challenge to understand its structure, but also an opportunity to explore exotic pairing degrees of freedom. While it is clear that there is a rapid change in the shape progressing across N = 90, the question on the nature of the excited states remains open. The traditional interpretation involving shape-coexisting 0^+_3 states and lowlying $0^+_2 \beta$ -vibrational states has largely been refuted. Recent work has focused on pairing isomers and the important role of the $\nu 11/2[505]$ Nilsson orbital in both the 0^+_2 , often referred to as the "second vacuum", and 0_3^+ states. The apparent absence of the $\nu 11/2[505] \otimes 0_2^+$ coupling in the adjacent odd-mass isotopes is consistent with this interpretation, and this observation emphasizes the importance of configuration-dependent pairing properties that are often overlooked.

The body of evidence concerning shape coexistence at and near Z = 82 seems the most extensive in the entire nuclear chart. Although this region is centered on the neutron mid-shell at N = 104, located far from the line of β stability, the neutron-deficient character of these nuclei allowed for efficient use of fusion-evaporation reactions to study their properties. Unique infor-2390 mation on the microscopic character of low-lying 0^+ states could also be obtained from α -decay studies. In even- and odd-mass nuclei from Pt (Z = 78) to Po (Z = 84), normal-order structures were observed to be crossed at low excitation energies by a more deformed configuration dominated by 2p-2h excitations across the Z = 82 shell gap. As evidenced by the observed perturbation of energy levels, α -decay hindrance factors and the measured E2 and E0 transition strengths, the 2395 two coexisting structures undergo strong mixing. The systematics of the lowest intruder states in Pt, Au, Hg, Tl, Pb, Bi and Po isotopes, presented in Fig. 64, shows that while they display similar parabolic patterns, the exact minima shift from one isotopic chain to another, and the shape of the parabola also changes. This suggests that the microscopic configurations of these intruding structures, while similar, are not identical, involving different orbitals and/or a contribution from 2400 excitation of several pairs across the Z = 82 shell gap. This is supported, for example, by the

104

observation that the g factor of the $9/2^{-}$ state in ¹⁸⁷Au is different than those measured for its counterparts in N = 108 Tl and Bi isotones, as was shown in Fig. 59. While convincing *circumstantial* evidence is available for the existence of multiple shapes in this mass region, few examples of direct evidence have been provided to date, such as that obtained from measurements of quadrupole moments or invariant sum-rules from Coulomb excitation. The Hg isotopes provide some of the best data in this regard, but there still remains considerable uncertainty, as the discussion on the extraction of the mixing amplitudes in Sec. 3.7.1 indicates.



Figure 64: Systematics of excitation energies of lowest intruder structures in Pt (Z=78), Au (Z=79), Hg (Z=80), Tl (Z = 81), Pb (Z = 82), Bi (Z = 83) and Po (Z = 84) isotopes with even neutron number. The energies of $\pi h_{9/2}$ structures in Au isotopes are given relative to the $\pi(s_{1/2})^{-1}$ states, and those of intruder 0⁺ states in Pt isotopes relative to the normal-order 0⁺ states.

2410

2405

A thread that is beginning to emerge in the field, as highlighted above, is that of multiple shape coexistence. While there were suggestions of this for decades, the famous example of ¹⁸⁶Pb was published only 21 years ago. Within the past several years there have been many additional candidates suggested for nuclei possessing multiple shapes. These candidates appear throughout the nuclear chart, to name as examples the Si, Ca, Ni, Zr, Cd, Hg, Pb and Au isotopes, and arise from a combination of experimental observations and theoretical calculations that have reached a highdegree of accuracy in their predictions. Some of the best candidates for multiple shapes are stable, 2415 and thus should be amenable for detailed studies. Future priority measurements should include detailed Coulomb-excitation studies to firmly establish the shapes through the use of sum-rule quantities, such as $\langle Q^2 \rangle$ and $\langle \cos 3\delta \rangle$. Experiments that probe the microscopic composition of the states,

2430

single-nucleon and especially multi-nucleon transfer, should be pursued. A further key ingredient is the combined approach for structure studies, where coordinated measurements are undertaken that provide required data for precise and reliable level schemes. Highly-sensitive β -decay measurements, for example, can be used to observe very weak, but important in terms of transition probability, decay branches for non-yrast levels. Conversion-electron measurements to extract *E*0 branches for $I \rightarrow I$ transitions rely on accurate and precise intensities for the competing *M*1 and

 2425 E2 transitions, and the determination of $\rho^2(E0)$ branches requires level lifetime measurements. These, in turn, provide crucial inputs and stringent constraints to analyses of Coulomb-excitation data.

The shape-coexistence phenomenon in atomic nuclei is one of the most impressive features of the field of nuclear structure, and, in a larger perspective, of a finite many-body quantum system. It is the manifestation of diverse quantum configurations having different spatial organisation competing for the ground-state energy. As stated in the introduction, the presence of shape coexistence permits the study of the contributions to the correlation energy within the same nucleus from different sources, in this case that associated with the quadrupole shape degree of freedom. The correlation energies arise from multiple sources, but chief among them are the pairing energies and the proton pautron racidual quadrupole interaction. Over the last decade, while we have

gies and the proton-neutron residual quadrupole interaction. Over the last decade, while we have gained much knowledge on shape-coexisting structures, many questions remain, and new regions and opportunities for exploration have opened.

Acknowlegdements

2440

2445

2455

This work was supported in part by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council
 (NSERC), Canada. MZ acknowledges discussions with K. Wrzosek-Lipska within the framework of the Polish (COPIN) – French (CNRS) International Research Project (IRP): The Collaboration COPIN-GANIL on physics of exotic nuclei – COPIGAL.

References

- [1] H. Morinaga, Phys. Rev. 101, 254 (1956).
- [2] K. Heyde and J.L. Wood, Rev. Mod. Phys. 83, 1467 (2011). doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.83.1467
- [3] K. Heyde, P. Van Isacker, M. Waroquier, J.L. Wood, and R.A. Meyer, Phys. Rep. 102, 291 (1983).
- [4] T. Duguet, M. Bender, P. Bonche, and P.-H. Heenen, Phys. Lett. **B559**, 201 (2003).
- [5] A. Poves, J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. 43, 020401 (2016).
- [6] J.L. Wood, K. Heyde, W. Nazarewicz, M. Huyse, and P. Van Duppen, Phys. Rep. 215, 101 (1992).
- [7] N. Tsunoda, T. Otsuka, K. Takayanagi, N. Shimizu, T. Suzuki, Y. Utsuno, S. Yoshida, and H. Ueno, Nature 587, 66 (2020). doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2848-x
 - [8] J.L. Wood and K. Heyde, J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. 43, 020402 (2016).
 - [9] L.M. Robledo, T.R. Rodriguez, and R.R. Rodriguez-Guzmán, J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. 46, 013001 (2018).
 - [10] T. Otsuka, Y. Tsunoda, T. Abe, N. Shimizu, and P. Van Duppen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 123, 222502 (2019).
 - [11] T. Otsuka, A. Gade, O. Sorlin, T. Suzuki, and Y. Utsuno, Rev. Mod. Phys. 92, 015002 (2020)
 - [12] K. Kumar, Phys. Rev. Lett. 28, 249 (1972).
 - [13] D. Cline, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 36, 683 (1986).
 - [14] A. Bohr and B.R. Mottelson, *Nuclear Structure*, Vols. I & II, World Scientific, Singapore (1998).
- [15] J.M. Eisenberg and W. Greiner, *Nuclear Theory: Nuclear Models*, North-Holland Physics Publishing, Amsterdam, Netherlands, (1987).

- [16] H.T. Motz, Phys. Rev. 104, 1353 (1956).
- [17] B.L. Cohen and R.E. Price, Phys. Rev. 118, 1582 (1960).
- [18] W. Urban, T. Rząca-Urban, J. Wiśniewski, I. Ahmad, A.G. Smith, and G.S. Simpson, Phys. Rev. C 99, 064325 (2019).
- [19] K. Heyde, J. Jolie, J. Moreau, J. Ryckebusch, M. Waroquier, P. Van Duppen, M. Huyse, J.L. Wood, Nucl. Phys. A 466, 189 (1987).
 - [20] G. Neyens, Rep. Prog. Phys. 66, 633 (2003).
 - [21] B. Castel and I.S. Towner, *Modern Theories of Nuclear Moments*, Oxford Studies in Nuclear Physics, Clarendon Press, 1990.
- ²⁴⁷⁰ [22] W. Andrejtscheff and P. Petkov, Phys. Lett. B 329, 1 (1994).
 - [23] K. Wrzosek-Lipska, L. Próchniak, M. Zielińska, J. Srebrny, K. Hadyńska-Klęk, J. Iwanicki, M. Kisieliński, M. Kowalczyk, P.J. Napiorkowski, D. Piętak, and T. Czosnyka, Phys. Rev. C 86, 064305 (2012). doi:10. 1103/PhysRevC.86.064305
 - [24] J. Srebrny and D. Cline, Int. J. Mod. Phys. E 20, 422 (2011).
- ²⁴⁷⁵ [25] J.L. Wood, E.F. Zganjar, C. de Coster, and K. Heyde, Nucl. Phys. A 651, 323 (1999).
 - [26] J.P. Davidson, Rev. Mod. Phys. 37, 105 (1965).
 - [27] B.A. Brown, A.B. Garnsworthy, T. Kibédi, and A.E. Stuchbery, Phys. Rev. C 95, 011301(R) (2017).
 - [28] W. von Oertzen, M. Freer, and Y. Kanada-En'yo, Phys. Rep. 432, 43 (2006).
 - [29] M. Freer, Rep. Prog. Phys. 70 2149 (2007).
- [30] M. Freer, H. Horiuchi, Y. Kanada-En'yo, D. Lee, and U.-G. Meißner, Rev. Mod. Phys. 90, 035004 (2018).
 - [31] M. Itoh, H. Akimune, M. Fujiwara, U. Garg, H. Hashimoto, T. Kawabata, K. Kawase, S. Kishi, T. Murakami, K. Nakanishi, Y. Nakatsugawa, B.K. Nayak, S. Okumura, H. Sakaguchi, H. Takeda, S. Terashima, M. Uchida, Y. Yasuda, M. Yosoi, and J. Zenihiro, Nucl. Phys. A738, 268 (2004).
- [32] M. Itoh, H. Akimune, M. Fujiwara, U. Garg, N. Hashimoto, T. Kawabata, K. Kawase, S. Kishi, T. Murakami,
 ²⁴⁸⁵ K. Nakanishi, Y. Nakatsugawa, B. K. Nayak, S. Okumura, H. Sakaguchi, H. Takeda, S. Terashima, M. Uchida,
 Y. Yasuda, M. Yosoi, and J. Zenihiro, Phys. Rev. C 84, 054308 (2011).
 - [33] M. Freer, H. Fujita, Z. Buthelezi, J. Carter, R.W. Fearick, S.V. Förtsch, R. Neveling, S.M. Perez, P. Papka, F.D. Smit, J.A. Swartz, and I. Usman, Phys. Rev. C 80, 041303(R) (2009).
 - [34] W.R. Zimmerman, N.E. Destefano, M. Freer, M. Gai, and F.D. Smit, Phys. Rev. C84, 027304(R) (2011).
- [35] W.R. Zimmerman, M.W. Ahmed, B. Bromberger, S.C. Stave, A. Breskin, V. Dangendorf, Th. Delbar, M. Gai, S.S. Henshaw, J.M. Mueller, C. Sun, K. Tittelmeier, H.R. Weller, and Y.K. Wu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110, 152502 (2013).
 - [36] D.J. Marín-Lámbarri, R. Bijker, M. Freer, M. Gai, Tz. Kokalova, D.J. Parker, and C. Wheldon, Phys. Rev. Lett. 113, 012502 (2014).
- ²⁴⁹⁵ [37] Y. Kanada En'yo, Prog. Theor. Phys. **117**, 655 (2007).
 - [38] E.K. Warburton, C.J. Lister, D.E. Alburger, and J.W. Olness, Phys. Rev. C 23, 1242 (1981).
 - [39] https://www.nndc.bnl.gov/ensdf/
 - [40] J.T.H. Dowie, T. Kibédi, D.G. Jenkins, A.E. Stuchbery, A. Akber, H.A. Alshammari, N. Aoi, A. Avaa, L.J. Bignell, M.V. Chisapi, B.J. Coombes, T.K. Eriksen, M.S.M. Gerathy, T.J. Gray, T.H. Hoang, E. Ideguchi, P. Jones, M. Kumar Pain, G. L. Lana, P.P. McCormick, L. L. McKia, A. L. Mitchell, N.J. Spinke, P.P.E. Tao, Phys.
- Jones, M. Kumar Raju, G.J. Lane, B.P. McCormick, L.J. McKie, A.J. Mitchell, N.J. Spinks, B.P.E. Tee, Phys. Lett. **B811**, 135855 (2020).
 - [41] D.G. Jenkins, C.J. Lister, M.P. Carpenter, P. Chowdury, N.J. Hammond, R.V.F. Janssens, T.L. Khoo, T. Lauritsen, D. Seweryniak, T. Davinson, P.J. Woods, A. Jokinen, H. Penttila, F. Haas, and S. Courtin, Phys. Rev. C 86, 064308 (2012). doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.86.064308
- [42] O. Häusser, T.K. Alexander, D. Pelte, B.W. Hooton, and H.C. Evans, Phys. Rev. Lett. 23, 320 (1969).
 - [43] F. Glatz, P. Betz, J. Siefert, F. Heidinger, and H. Röpke, Phys. Rev. Lett. 46, 1559 (1981).
 - [44] S. Kubono, K. Morita, M.H. Tanaka, A. Sakaguchi, M. Sugitani, and S. Kato, Phys. Rev. C 33, 1524 (1986).
 - [45] C. Thibault, R. Klapisch, C. Rigaud, A.M. Poskanzer, R. Prieels, L. Lessard, and W. Reisdorf, Phys. Rev. C 12, 644 (1975).
- [46] C. Détraz, M. Langevin, M.C. Goffri-Kouassi, D. Guillemaud, M. Epherre, G. Audi, C. Thibault, and F. Touchard, Nucl. Phys. A 394, 378 (1983).
- [47] G. Huber, F. Touchard, S. Büttgenbach, C. Thibault, R. Klapisch, H.T. Duong, S. Liberman, J. Pinard, J.L. Vialle, P. Juncar, and P. Jacquinot, Phys. Rev. C 18, 2342 (1978).
- [48] C. Détraz, D. Guillemaud, G. Huber, R. Klapisch, M. Langevin, F. Naulin, C. Thibault, L.C. Carraz, and F. Touchard, Phys. Rev. C 19, 164 (1979).
- [49] T. Motobayashi, Y. Ikeda, Y. Ando, K. Ieki, M. Inoue, N. Iwasa, T. Kikuchi, M. Kurokawa, S. Moriya, S. Ogawa, H. Murakami, S. Shimoura, Y. Yanagisawa, T. Nakamura, Y. Watanabe, M. Ishihara, T. Teranishi, H. Okuno, and R.F. Casten, Phys. Lett. B 346, 9 (1995).
- [50] B.V. Pritychenko, T. Glasmacher, P.D. Cottle, M. Fauerbach, R.W. Ibbotson, K.W. Kemper, V. Maddalena, A. Navin, R. Ronningen, A. Sakharuka, H. Scheit, and V.G. Zelevinsky, Phys. Lett. B 461, 322 (1999).
- [51] H. Iwasaki, T. Motobayashi, H. Sakurai, K. Yoneda, T. Gomi, N. Aoi, N. Fukuda, Zs. Fülöp, U. Futakami, Z. Gacsi *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B 522, 227 (2001).
- [52] V. Chisté, A. Gillibert, A. Lépine-Szily, N. Alamanos, F. Auger, J. Barrette, F. Braga, M.D. Cortina-Gil, Z. Dlouhy, V. Lapoux *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B 514, 233 (2001).
- [53] J.A. Church, C.M. Campbell, D.-C. Dinca, J. Enders, A. Gade, T. Glasmacher, Z. Hu, R.V.F. Janssens, W.F. Mueller, H. Olliver, B.C. Perry, L.A. Riley, and K.L. Yurkewicz, Phys. Rev. C 72, 054320 (2005).
 - [54] K. Li, Y. Ye, T. Motobayashi, H. Scheit, P. Doornenbal, S. Takeuchi, N. Aoi, M. Matsushita, E. Takeshita, D. Pang, and H. Sakurai, Phys. Rev. C 92, 014608 (2015).
 - [55] H. Mach, L.M. Fraile, O. Tengblad, R. Boutami, C. Jollet, W.A. Plóciennik, D.T. Yordanov, M. Stanoiu, M.J.G. Borge, P.A. Butler *et al.*, Eur. Phys. J. A 25, 105 (2005).
 - [56] G. Neyens, J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. 43, 024007 (2016).
 - [57] S. Takeuchi, N. Aoi, T. Motobayashi, S. Ota, E. Takeshita, H. Suzuki, H. Baba, T. Fukui, Y. Hashimoto, K. Ieki et al., Phys. Rev. C 79, 054319 (2009).
- [58] H.L. Crawford, P. Fallon, A.O. Macchiavelli, A. Poves, V.M. Bader, D. Bazin, M. Bowry, C.M. Campbell,
 M.P. Carpenter, R.M. Clark *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C 93, 031303(R) (2016).
 - [59] K. Wimmer, T. Kröll, R. Kručken, V. Bildstein, R. Gernhäuser, B. Bastin, N. Bree, J. Diriken, P. Van Duppen, M. Huyse *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 105, 252501 (2010).
 - [60] D. Bazin, B.A. Brown, C.M. Campbell, J.A. Church, D.C. Dinca, J. Enders, A. Gade, T. Glasmacher, P.G. Hansen, W.F. Mueller, H. Olliver, B.C. Perry, B.M. Sherrill, J.R. Terry, and J.A. Tostevin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 012501 (2003).
 - [61] R. Elder, H. Iwasaki, J. Ash, D. Bazin, P.C. Bender, T. Braunroth, B.A. Brown, C.M. Campbell, H.L. Crawford, B. Elman *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C 100, 041301(R) (2019).
 - [62] O. Niedermeier, H. Scheit, V. Bildstein, H. Boie, J. Fitting, R. von Hahn, F. Köck, M. Lauer, U.K. Pal, H. Podlech *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94 172501 (2005).
- 2545 [63] M.Shamsuzzoha Basunia, Nucl. Data Sheets 111, 2332 (2010).
 - [64] C. Ouellet, B. Singh, Nucl. Data Sheets 112, 2199 (2012).
 - [65] A.N. Deacon, J.F. Smith, S.J. Freeman, R.V.F. Janssens, M.P. Carpenter, B. Hadinia, C.R. Hoffman, B.P. Kay, T. Lauritsen, C.J. Lister, D. O'Donnell, J. Ollier, T. Otsuka, D. Seweryniak, K.-M. Spohr, D. Steppenbeck, S.L. Tabor, V. Tripathi, Y. Utsuno, P.T. Wady, and S. Zhu, Phys. Rev. C 82, 034305 (2010).
- [66] W. Schwerdtfeger, P.G. Thirolf, K. Wimmer, D. Habs, H. Mach, T.R. Rodriguez, V. Bildstein, J.L. Egido, L.M. Fraile, R. Gernhäuser *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 012501 (2009).
 - [67] H. Nishibata, K. Tajiri, T. Shimoda, A. Odahara, S. Morimoto, S. Kanaya, A. Yagi, H. Kanaoka, M.R. Pearson, C.D.P. Levy, M. Kimura, N. Tsunoda, and T. Otsuka, Phys. Rev. C 102, 054327 (2020).
 - [68] A.O. Macchiavelli, H.L. Crawford, C.M. Campbell, R.M. Clark, M. Cromaz, P. Fallon, M.D. Jones, I.Y. Lee, M. Salathe, B.A. Brown, and A. Poves, Phys. Rev. C 94, 051303(R) (2016).
- 2555
- [69] A.O. Macchiavelli and H.L. Crawford, Phys. Scr. 92, 064001 (2017).
- [70] J.R. Terry, B.A. Brown, C.M. Campbell, J.M. Cook, A.D. Davies, D.-C. Dinca, A. Gade, T. Glasmacher, P.G. Hansen, B.M. Sherrill, H. Zwahlen, D. Bazin, K. Yoneda, J.A. Tostevin, T. Otsuka, Y. Utsuno, and B. Pritychenko, Phys. Rev. C 77, 014316 (2008).
- [71] B. Fernandez-Dominguez, B. Pietras, W.N. Catford, N.A. Orr, M. Petri, M. Chartier, S. Paschalis, N. Patterson, J.S. Thomas, M. Caamaño *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B 779, 124 (2018).
 - [72] G. Neyens, M. Kowalska, D. Yordanov, K. Blaum, P. Himpe, P. Lievens, S. Mallion, R. Neugart, N. Vermeulen,

2540

2515

Y. Utsuno, and T. Otsuka, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 022501 (2005).

- [73] D. Miller, P. Adrich, B.A. Brown, V. Moeller, A. Ratkiewicz, W. Rother, K. Starosta, J.A. Tostevin, C. Vaman, and P. Voss, Phys. Rev. C 79, 054306 (2009).
- [74] H. Nishibata, T. Shimoda, A. Odahara, S. Morimoto, S. Kanaya, A. Yagi, H. Kanaoka, M.R. Pearson, C.D.P. Levy, and M. Kimura, Phys. Lett. B 767, 81 (2017).
- [75] H. Nishibata, S. Kanaya, T. Shimoda, A. Odahara, S. Morimoto, A. Yagi, H. Kanaoka, M.R. Pearson, C.D.P. Levy, M. Kimura, N. Tsunoda, and T. Otsuka, Phys. Rev. C 99, 024322 (2019).
- [76] M. Seidlitz, D. Mücher, P. Reiter, V. Bildstein, A. Blazhev, N. Bree, B. Bruyneel, J. Cederkäll, E. Clément, T. Davinson *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B 700, 181 (2011)
- [77] P. Himpe, G. Neyens, D. Balabanski, G. Bélier, J. Daugas, F. de Oliveira Santos, M.D. Rydt, K. Flanagan, I. Matea, P. Morel *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B 658, 2003 (2008).
- [78] Z.Y. Xu, H. Heylen, K. Asahi, F. Boulay, J.M. Daugas, R.P. de Groote, W. Gins, O. Kamalou, A. Koszorús, M. Lykiardopoulou, T.J. Mertzimekis, G. Neyens, H. Nishibata, T. Otsuka, R. Orset, A. Poves, T. Sato, C. Stodel, J.C. Thomas, N. Tsunoda, Y. Utsuno, M. Vandebrouck, and X.F. Yang, Phys. Lett. B 782, 619 (2018).
 - [79] R. Lică, F. Rotaru, M.J.G. Borge, S. Grévy, F. Negoiță, A. Poves, O. Sorlin, A.N. Andreyev, R. Borcea, C. Costache *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C 95, 021301(R) (2017).
- [80] R.W. Ibbotson, T. Glasmacher, B.A. Brown, L. Chen, M.J. Chromik, P.D. Cottle, M. Fauerbach, K.W. Kemper,
 D.J. Morrissey, H. Scheit, and M. Thoennessen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 2081 (1998).
 - [81] L.K. Fifield, C.L. Woods, R.A. Bark, P.V. Drumm, and M.A.C. Hotchkis, Nucl. Phys. A 440, 531 (1985).
 - [82] R.G.T. Zegers, R. Meharchand, Y. Shimbara, Sam M. Austin, D. Bazin, B.A. Brown, C.Aa. Diget, A. Gade, C.J. Guess, M. Hausmann *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 104, 212504 (2010).
 - [83] R. Lică, F. Rotaru, M.J.G. Borge, S. Grévy, F. Negoiță, A. Poves, O. Sorlin, A.N. Andreyev, R. Borcea et al., Phys. Rev. C 100, 034306 (2019).
 - [84] F. Rotaru, F. Negoita, S. Grévy, J. Mrazek, S. Lukyanov, F. Nowacki, A. Poves, O. Sorlin, C. Borcea, R. Borcea et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 109, 092503 (2012).
 - [85] R. Han, X.Q. Li, W.G. Jiang, Z.H. Li, H. Hua, S.Q. Zhang, C.X. Yuan, D.X. Jiang, Y.L. Ye, J. Li *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B 772, 529 (2017).
- [86] M. Petri, P. Fallon, A.O. Macchiavelli, S. Heil, E. Rodriguez-Vieitez, D. Bazin, C.M. Campbell, R.M. Clark, M. Cromaz, A. Gade, T. Glasmacher, I.Y. Lee, S. Malbrunot-Ettenauer, S. Paschalis, A. Ratkiewicz, J.R. Terry, D. Weisshaar, and M. Wiedeking, Phys. Lett. B 748, 173 (2015).
 - [87] R. Middleton, J.D. Garrett and H.T. Fortune, Phys. Lett. 39B, 339 (1972).
- [88] C. Ellegaard, J.R. Lien, O. Nathan, G. Sletten, F. Ingebretsen, E. Osnes, P.O. Tjøm, O. Hansen and R. Stock, Phys. Lett. **40B**, 641 (1972).
 - [89] J.J. Simpson, W.R. Dixon, and R.S. Storey, Phys. Rev. Lett. 31, 947 (1973).
 - [90] E.R. Flynn, O. Hansen, R.F. Casten, J.D. Garrett and F. Ajunberg-Selove, Nucl. Phys. A 246, 117 (1975).
 - [91] E. Ideguchi, D.G. Sarantites, W. Reviol, A.V. Afanasjev, M. Devlin, C. Baktash, R.V.F. Janssens, D. Rudolph, A. Axelsson, M.P. Carpenter *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 222501 (2001).
- ²⁶⁰⁰ [92] C.E. Svensson, A.O. Macchiavelli, A. Juodagalvis, A. Poves, I. Ragnarsson, S. Aberg, D.E. Appelbe, R.A.E. Austin, C. Baktash, G.C. Ball *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 2693 (2000).
 - [93] R.A.E. Austin, *Lifetimes of Superdeformed States in* ³⁸*Ar*, Ph.D. thesis, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada (2004).
 - [94] E. Ideguchi, S. Ota, T. Morikawa, M. Oshima, M. Koizumi, Y. Toh, A. Kimura, H. Harada, K. Furutaka, S. Nakamura, et al., Phys. Lett. B 686, 18 (2010).
 - [95] C.D. O'Leary, M.A. Bentley, B.A. Brown, D.E. Appelbe, R.A. Bark, D.M. Cullen, S. Ertürk, A. Maj, and A.C. Merchant, Phys. Rev. C 61, 064314 (2000).
 - [96] Abhijit Bisoi, M. Saha Sarkar, S. Sarkar, S. Ray, M. Roy Basu, Debasmita Kanjilal, Somnath Nag, K. Selvakumar, A. Goswami, N. Madhavan, *etal.*, Phys. Rev. C 88, 034303 (2013).
- [97] K. Hadyńska-Klek, P.J. Napiorkowski, M. Zielińska, J. Srebrny, A. Maj, F. Azaiez, J.J. Valiente Dobon, M. Kicińska-Habior, F. Nowacki, H. Naidja, *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 117, 062501 (2016).
 - [98] C.J. Chiara, E. Ideguchi, M. Devlin, D.R. LaFosse, F. Lerma, W. Reviol, S.K. Ryu, D.G. Sarantites C. Baktash, A. Galindo-Uribarri *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C 67, 041303(R) (2003).

2565

2570

2575

2585

[99] S. Raman, C.W Nestor and P. Tikkanen, At. Data Nucl. Data Tables **78**, 1 (2001).

- ²⁶¹⁵ [100] S. Schielke, D. Hohn, K.-H. Speidel, O. Kenn, J. Leske, N. Gemein, M. Offer, J. Gerber, P. Maier-Komor, O. Zell *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B 571, 29 (2003).
 - [101] H.D. Gräf, H. Feldmeier, P. Manakos, A. Richter, E. Spamer, D. Strottman, Nucl. Phys. A 295, 319 (1978).
 - [102] T. Kibédi, R.H. Spear, At. Data Nucl. Data Tables 89, 77 (2005).
 - [103] K.-H. Speidel, S. Schielke, J. Leske, J. Gerber, P. Maier-Komor, S.J.Q. Robinson, Y.Y. Sharon and L. Zamick, Phys. Lett. B 632, 207 (2006).
 - [104] E.A. Stefanova, N. Benczer-Koller, G.J. Kumbartzki, Y.Y. Sharon, L. Zamick, S.J.Q. Robinson, L. Bernstein, J.R. Cooper, D. Judson, M.J. Taylor *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C 72 014309 (2005).
 - [105] S. Schielke, K.-H. Speidel, O. Kenn, J. Leske, N. Gemein, M. Offer, Y.Y. Sharon, L. Zamick, J. Gerber, and P. Maier-Komor, Phys. Lett. B 567, 153 (2003).
- [106] K. Arnswald, T. Braunroth, M. Seidlitz, L. Coraggio, P. Reiter, B. Birkenbach, A. Blazhev, A. Dewald, C. Fransen, B. Fu *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B 772, 599 (2017).
 - [107] K. Hadyńska-Klęk, P.J. Napiorkowski, M. Zielińska, J. Srebrny, A. Maj, F. Azaiez, J.J. Valiente Dobon, M. Kicińska-Habior, F. Nowacki, H. Naidja, *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C 97, 024326 (2018).
 - [108] M. Avgoulea, Yu.P. Gangrsky, K.P. Marinova, S.G. Zemlyanoi, S. Fritzsche, D. Iablonskyi, C. Barbieri, E.C. Simpson, P.D. Stevenson, J. Billowes *et al.*, J. Phys. G 38, 025104 (2011).
 - [109] S. Grévy, F. Negoita, I. Stefan, N.L. Achouri, J.C. Angelique, B. Bastin, R. Borcea, A. Buta, J.M. Daugas, F. De Oliveira *et al.*, Eur. Phys. J. A 25, 111 (2005).
 - [110] C. Force, S. Grévy, L. Gaudefroy, O. Sorlin, L. Caceres, F. Rotaru, J. Mrazek, N.L. Achouri, J.C. Angelique, F. Azaiez *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 105, 102501 (2010).
- [111] L. Caceres, D. Sohler, S. Grévy, O. Sorlin, Zs. Dombradi, B. Bastin, N.L. Achouri, J.C. Angelique, F. Azaiez, D. Baiborodin, *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C 85, 024311 (2012).
 - [112] D. Santiago-Gonzalez, I. Wiedenhöver, V. Abramkina, M.L. Avila, T. Baugher, D. Bazin, B.A. Brown, P.D. Cottle, A. Gade, T. Glasmacher *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C 83, 061305(R) (2011).
- [113] J.J. Parker IV, I. Wiedenhöver, P.D. Cottle, J. Baker, D. McPherson, M.A. Riley, D. Santiago-Gonzalez, A. Volya, V.M. Bader *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 118, 052501 (2017)
 - [114] T. Glasmacher, B.A. Brown, M.J. Chromik, P.D. Cottle, M. Fauerbach, R.W. Ibbotson, K.W. Kemper, D.J. Morrissey, H. Scheit, D.W. Sklenicka, and M. Steiner, Phys. Lett. B 395, 163 (1997).
 - [115] L. Gaudefroy, J.M. Daugas, M. Hass, S. Grévy, Ch. Stodel, J.C. Thomas, L. Perrot, M. Girod, B. Rossé, J.C. Angelique *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 102, 092501 (2009).
- [116] F. Sarazin, H. Savajols, W. Mittig, F. Nowacki, N.A. Orr, Z. Ren, P. Roussel-Chomaz, G. Auger, D. Baiborodin, A.V. Belozyorov *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 5062 (2000).
 - [117] S. Momiyama, K. Wimmer, D. Bazin, J. Belarge, P. Bender, B. Elman, A. Gade, K.W. Kemper, N. Kitamura, B. Longfellow *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C 102, 034325 (2020).
- [118] R. Chevrier, J.M. Daugas, L. Gaudefroy, Y. Ichikawa, H. Ueno, M. Hass, H. Haas, S. Cottenier, N. Aoi, K. Asahi *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 162501 (2012).
 - [119] R.W. Ibbotson, T. Glasmacher, P.F. Mantica, and H. Scheit, Phys. Rev. C 59, 642 (1999).
 - [120] L.A. Riley, P. Adrich, T.R. Baugher, D. Bazin, B.A. Brown, J.M. Cook, P.D. Cottle, C.Aa. Diget, A. Gade, D.A. Garland *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C 80, 037305 (2009).
 - [121] T. Mijatović, N. Kobayashi, H. Iwasaki, D. Bazin, J. Belarge, P.C. Bender, B.A. Brown, A. Dewald, R. Elder, B. Elman *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 121, 012501 (2018).
 - [122] B. Longfellow, D. Weisshaar, A. Gade, B.A. Brown, D. Bazin, K.W. Brown, B. Elman, J. Pereira, D. Rhodes, and M. Spieker, Phys. Rev. Lett. 125, 232501 (2020).
 - [123] A. Gade, B.A. Brown, J.A. Tostevin, D. Bazin, P.C. Bender, C.M. Campbell, H.L. Crawford, B. Elman, K.W. Kemper, B. Longfellow, E. Lunderberg, D. Rhodes, and D. Weisshaar, Phys. Rev. Lett. 122, 222501 (2020).
- 2660

2655

- [124] S. Takeuchi, M. Matsushita, N. Aoi, P. Doornenbal, K. Li, T. Motobayashi, H. Scheit, D. Steppenbeck, H. Wang, H. Baba, *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 109, 182501 (2012).
- [125] K. Nowak, K. Wimmer, S. Hellgartner, D. Mücher, V. Bildstein, J. Diriken, J. Elseviers, L.P. Gaffney, R. Gernhäuser, J. Iwanicki *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C 93, 044335 (2016).

2620

2630

- [126] D. Rudolph, C. Baktash, M.J. Brinkman, E. Caurier, D.J. Dean, M. Devlin, J. Dobaczewski, P.-H. Heenen, 2665 H.-Q. Jin, D.R. LaFosse, W. Nazarewicz, F. Nowacki, A. Poves, L.L. Riedinger, D.G. Sarantites, W. Satula, and C.-H. Yu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 3763 (1999).
 - [127] W. Bohne, H. Fuchs, K. Grabisch, D. Hilscher, U. Jahnke, H. Kluge, T.G. Masterson, and H. Morgenstern, Nucl. Phys. A245, 107 (1975).
- [128] D. Rudolph, C. Baktash, J. Dobaczewski, W. Nazarewicz, W. Satula, M.J. Brinkman, M. Devlin, H.-Q. Jin, 2670 D.R. LaFosse, L.L. Riedinger, D.G. Sarantites, and C.-H. Yu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 3018 (1998).
 - [129] M. Albers, S. Zhu, A.D. Ayangeakaa, R.V.F. Janssens, J. Gellanki, I. Ragnarsson, M. Alcorta, T. Baugher, P.F. Bertone, M.P. Carpenter, C.J. Chiara, P. Chowdhury, H.M. David, A.N. Deacon, B. DiGiovine, A. Gade, C.R. Hoffman, F.G. Kondev, T. Lauritsen, C.J. Lister, E.A. McCutchan, C. Nair, A.M. Rogers, and D. Seweryniak, Phys. Rev. C 94, 034301 (2016).
 - [130] D. Rudolph, C. Baktash, M. Devlin, D.R. LaFosse, L.L. Riedinger, D.G. Sarantites, and C.-H. Yu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 1450 (2001).
 - [131] E.K. Johansson, D. Rudolph, I. Ragnarsson, L.-L. Andersson, D.A. Torres, C. Andreoiu, C. Baktash, M.P. Carpenter, R.J. Charity, C.J. Chiara, J. Ekman, C. Fahlander, O.L. Pechenaya, W. Reviol, R. du Rietz, D.G. Sarantites, D. Seweryniak, L.G. Sobotka, C.H. Yu, and S. Zhu, Phys. Rev. C 80, 014321 (2009).
 - [132] W. Reviol, D. Sarantites, R. Charity, V. Tomov, D. Rudolph, R. Clark, M. Cromaz, P. Fallon, A. Macchiavelli, M. Carpenter, D. Seweryniak, and J. Dobaczewski, Nucl. Phys. A682, 28c (2001).
 - [133] D. Rudolph, I. Ragnarsson, W. Reviol, C. Andreoiu, M.A. Bentley, M.P. Carpenter, R.J. Charity, R.M. Clark, M. Cromaz, J. Ekman, C. Fahlander, P. Fallon, E. Ideguchi, A.O. Macchiavelli, M.N. Mineva, D.G. Sarantites, D. Seweryniak, and S.J. Williams, J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. 37, 075105 (2010).
 - [134] C.-H. Yu, C. Baktash, J.A. Cameron, M. Devlin, J. Eberth, A. Galindo-Uribarri, D.S. Haslip, D. R. LaFosse, T.J. Lampman, I.-Y. Lee, F. Lerma, A.O. Macchiavelli, S.D. Paul, D.C. Radford, I. Ragnarsson, D. Rudolph, D.G. Sarantites, C.E. Svensson, J.C. Waddington, J.C. Wells, and J.N. Wilson, Phys. Rev. C 65, 061302(R) (2002).
- [135] M. Albers, S. Zhu, R.V.F. Janssens, J. Gellanki, I. Ragnarsson, M. Alcorta, T. Baugher, P.F. Bertone, M.P. Car-2690 penter, C.J. Chiara, P. Chowdhury, A. Deacon, A. Gade, B. DiGiovine, C.R. Hoffman, F.G. Kondev, T. Lauritsen, C.J. Lister, E.A. McCutchan, D.S. Moerland, C. Nair, A.M. Rogers, and D. Seweryniak, Phys. Rev. C 88, 054314 (2013).
 - [136] D. Evers, W. Assmann, K. Rudolph, S.J. Skorka and P. Sperr, Nucl. Phys. A198, 268 (1972).
 - [137] D. Evers, W. Assmann, K. Rudolph, S.J. Skorka, and P. Speer, Nucl. Phys. A230, 109 (1974).
 - [138] W.P. Alford, R.A. Lindgren, D. Elmore and R.W. Boyd, Nucl. Phys. A243, 269 (1975).
 - [139] N. Stein, J.W. Sunier, and C.W. Woods, Phys. Rev. Lett. 38, 587 (1977).
 - [140] L.J. Evitts, A.B. Garnsworthy, T. Kibédi, J. Smallcombe, M.W. Reed, A.E. Stuchbery, G.J. Lane, T.K. Eriksen, A. Akber, B. Alshahrani, M. de Vries, M.S.M. Gerathy, J.D. Holt, B.Q. Lee, B.P. McCormick, A.J. Mitchell, M. Moukaddam, S. Mukhopadhyay, N. Palalani, T. Palazzo, E.E. Peters, A.P.D. Ramirez, T. Tornyi, and
 - S.W. Yates, Phys. Rev. C 99, 024306 (2019). [141] L.J. Evitts, A.B. Garnsworthy, T. Kibédi, J. Smallcombe, M.W. Reed, A.E. Stuchbery, G.J. Lane, T.K. Eriksen, A. Akber, B. Alshahrani, M. de Vries, M.S.M. Gerathy, J.D. Holt, B.Q. Lee, B.P. McCormick, A.J. Mitchell,
 - M. Moukaddam, S. Mukhopadhyay, N. Palalani, T. Palazzo, E.E. Peters, A.P.D. Ramirez, T. Tornyi, and S.W. Yates, Phys. Lett. B779, 396 (2018).
 - [142] F. Recchia, C.J. Chiara, R.V.F. Janssens, D. Weisshaar, A. Gade, W.B. Walters, M. Albers, M. Alcorta, V.M. Bader, T. Baugher et al., Phys. Rev. C 88, 041302 (2013). doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.88.041302
 - [143] R. Taniuchi, C. Santamaria, P. Doornenbal, A. Obertelli, K. Yoneda, G. Authelet, H. Baba, D. Calvet, F. Chateau, A. Corsi et al., Nature 569, 53 (2019).
- [144] N. Mărginean, D. Little, Y. Tsunoda, S. Leoni, R.V.F. Janssens, B. Fornal, T. Otsuka, C. Michelagnoli, L. Stan, 2710 F.C.L. Crespi *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 125, 102502 (2020). doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.125.102502
 - [145] S. Leoni, B. Fornal, N. Mărginean, M. Sferrazza, Y. Tsunoda, T. Otsuka, G. Bocchi, F.C.L. Crespi, A. Bracco, S. Aydin et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 118, 162502 (2017). doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.118.162502
- [146] R. Grzywacz, R. Béraud, C. Borcea, A. Emsallem, M. Glogowski, H. Grawe, D. Guillemaud-Mueller, M. Hjorth-Jensen, M. Houry, M. Lewitowicz et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 766 (1998). doi:10.1103/ 2715
 - 111

2675

2685

2695

2700

PhysRevLett.81.766

2720

2735

2745

2750

- [147] O. Perru, O. Sorlin, S. Franchoo, F. Azaiez, E. Bouchez, C. Bourgeois, A. Chatillon, J.M. Daugas, Z. Dlouhy, Zs. Dombrádi *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 232501 (2006). doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.232501
- [148] A.F. Lisetskiy, B.A. Brown, M. Horoi, and H. Grawe, Phys. Rev. C 70, 044314 (2004). doi:10.1103/ PhysRevC.70.044314
- [149] M. Girod, Ph. Dessagne, M. Bernas, M. Langevin, F. Pougheon, and P. Roussel, Phys. Rev. C 37, 2600 (1988). doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.37.2600
- [150] M. Bernas, Ph. Dessagne, M. Langevin, J. Payet, F. Pougheon, and P. Roussel, Phys. Lett. B 113, 279 (1982). doi:10.1016/0370-2693(82)90039-9
- [151] S. Suchyta, S.N. Liddick, Y. Tsunoda, T. Otsuka, M.B. Bennett, A. Chemey, M. Honma, N. Larson, C.J. Prokop, S.J. Quinn, N. Shimizu, A. Simon, A. Spyrou, V. Tripathi, Y. Utsuno, and J.M. VonMoss, Phys. Rev. C 89, 021301(R) (2014).
 - [152] D. Pauwels, J.L. Wood, K. Heyde, M. Huyse, R. Julin, and P. Van Duppen, Phys. Rev. C 82, 027304 (2010). doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.82.027304
- [153] J. Elseviers, Probing the semi-magicity of ${}^{68}Ni$ via the ${}^{66}Ni(t, p){}^{68}Ni$ two-neutron transfer reaction in inverse kinematics, Ph.D. thesis, KU Leuven, Belgium (2014).
 - [154] F. Flavigny, J. Elseviers, A.N. Andreyev, C. Bauer, V. Bildstein, A. Blazhev, B.A. Brown, H. De Witte, J. Diriken, V.N. Fedosseev *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C 99, 054332 (2019). doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.99.054332
 - [155] B. Crider, C.J. Prokop, S.N. Liddick, M. Al-Shudifat, A.D. Ayangeakaa, M.P. Carpenter, J.J. Carroll, J. Chen, C.J. Chiara, H.M. David *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B 763, 108 (2016). doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2016.10.020
 - [156] N. Bree, I. Stefanescu, P.A. Butler, J. Cederkäll, T. Davinson4, P. Delahaye, J. Eberth, D. Fedorov, V.N. Fedosseev, L.M. Fraile *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C 78, 047301 (2008).
 - [157] F. Flavigny, D. Pauwels, D. Radulov, I.J. Darby, H. De Witte, J. Diriken, D.V. Fedorov, V.N. Fedosseev, L.M. Fraile, M. Huyse *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C 91, 034310 (2015). doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.91.034310
- [158] W.F. Mueller, B. Bruyneel, S. Franchoo, M. Huyse, J. Kurpeta, K. Kruglov, Y. Kudryavtsev, N.V.S.V. Prasad, R. Raabe, I. Reusen *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C 61, 054308 (2000). doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.61.054308
 - [159] C.J. Chiara, R. Broda, W.B. Walters, R.V.F. Janssens, M. Albers, M. Alcorta, P.F. Bertone, M.P. Carpenter, C.R. Hoffman, T. Lauritsen *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C 86, 041304 (2012). doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.86.041304
 - [160] B. Olaizola, L.M. Fraile, H. Mach, A. Poves, F. Nowacki, A. Aprahamian, J.A. Briz, J. Cal-González, D. Ghita,
 - U. Köster, W. Kurcewicz, S.R. Lesher, D. Pauwels, E. Picado, D. Radulov, G.S. Simpson, and J.M. Udías, Phys. Rev. C 95, 061303 (2017). doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.95.061303
 - [161] M. Stryjczyk, Y. Tsunoda, I.G. Darby, H. De Witte, J. Diriken, D.V. Fedorov, V.N. Fedosseev, L.M. Fraile, M. Huyse, U. Köster *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C 98, 064326 (2018). doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.98.064326
 - [162] C.J. Chiara, D. Weisshaar, R.V.F. Janssens, Y. Tsunoda, T. Otsuka, J.L. Harker, W.B. Walters, F. Recchia, M. Albers, M. Alcorta *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C 91, 044309 (2015). doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.91.044309
 - [163] C.J. Prokop, B.P. Crider, S.N. Liddick, A.D. Ayangeakaa, M.P. Carpenter, J.J. Carroll, J. Chen, C.J. Chiara, H M. David, A.C. Dombos *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C 92, 061302 (2015). doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.92.061302
 - [164] A.I. Morales, G. Benzoni, H. Watanabe, Y. Tsunoda, T. Otsuka, S. Nishimura, F. Browne, R. Daido, P. Doornenbal, Y. Fang *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B 765, 328 (2017). doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2016.12.025
- [165] A. Dijon, E. Clément, G. de France, G. de Angelis, G. Duchene, J. Dudouet, S. Franchoo, A. Gadea, A. Gottardo, T. Hüyük *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C 85, 031301 (2012). doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.85.031301
 - [166] W. Rother, A. Dewald, H. Iwasaki, S.M. Lenzi, K. Starosta, D. Bazin, T. Baugher, B.A. Brown, H.L. Crawford, C. Fransen, A. Gade, T.N. Ginter, T. Glasmacher, G.F. Grinyer, M. Hackstein, G. Ilie, J. Jolie, S. McDaniel, D. Miller, P. Petkov, Th. Pissulla, A. Ratkiewicz, C.A. Ur, P. Voss, K.A. Walsh, D. Weisshaar, and K.-O. Zell, Phys. Rev. Lett. 106, 022502 (2011).
 - [167] J. Ljungvall, A. Görgen, A. Obertelli, W. Korten, E. Clément, G. de France, A. Bürger, J.-P. Delaroche, A. Dewald, A. Gadea et al., Phys. Rev. C 81, 061301(R) (2010). doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.81.061301
 - [168] M. Klintefjord, J. Ljungvall, A. Görgen, S.M. Lenzi, F.L. Bello Garrote, A. Blazhev, E. Clément, G. de France, J.-P. Delaroche, P. Désesquelles *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C 95, 024312 (2017). doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.95.024312
- [169] B. Olaizola, L.M. Fraile, H. Mach, A. Poves, A. Aprahamian, J.A. Briz, J. Cal-González, D. Ghita, U. Köster, W. Kurcewicz, S.R. Lesher, D. Pauwels, E. Picado, D. Radulov, G.S. Simpson, and J.M. Udías, J. Phys. G: Nucl.
 - 112

Part. Phys. 44, 125103 (2017). doi:10.1088/1361-6471/aa915e

- [170] S.N. Liddick, B. Abromeit, A. Ayres, A. Bey, C.R. Bingham, B.A. Brown, L. Cartegni, H.L. Crawford, I.G. Darby, R. Grzywacz, *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C 87, 014325 (2013). doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.87.014325
- [171] N. Vermeulen, S.K. Chamoli, J.M. Daugas, M. Hass, D.L. Balabanski, J.P. Delaroche, F. de Oliveira-Santos, G. Georgiev, M. Girod, G. Goldring *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C 75, 051302(R) (2007). doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.75.051302
 - [172] S. Lunardi, S.M. Lenzi, F. Della Vedova, E. Farnea, A. Gadea, N. Marginean, D. Bazzacco, S. Beghini, P.G. Bizzeti, A.M. Bizzeti-Sona *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C 76, 034303 (2007). doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.75.051302
- [173] B. Olaizola, L.M. Fraile, H. Mach, A. Aprahamian, J.A. Briz, J. Cal-González, D. Ghita, U. Köster, W. Kurcewicz, S.R. Lesher, D. Pauwels, E. Picado, A. Poves, D. Radulov, G.S. Simpson, and J.M. Udias, Phys. Rev. C 88, 044306 (2013). doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.88.044306
 - [174] A. Shalit, I. Talmi, *Nuclear Shell Theory*, Courier Dover Publications (1967).
 - [175] D. Pauwels, O. Ivanov, N. Bree, J. Büscher, T.E. Cocolios, M. Huyse, Yu. Kudryavtsev, R. Raabe, M. Sawicka, J. Van de Walle, and P. Van Duppen, Phys. Rev. C 79, 044309 (2009). doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.79.044309
 - [176] D. Pauwels, O. Ivanov, N. Bree, J. Büscher, T.E. Cocolios, J. Gentens, M. Huyse, A. Korgul, Yu. Kudryavtsev, R. Raabe, M. Sawicka, I. Stefanescu, J. Van de Walle, P. Van den Bergh, P. Van Duppen, and W.B. Walters, Phys. Rev. C 78, 041307 (2008).doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.78.041307
 - [177] A. Dijon, E. Clément, G. de France, P. Van Isacker, J. Ljungvall, A. Görgen, A. Obertelli, W. Korten, A. Dewald, A. Gadea *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C 83, 064321 (2011). doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.83.064321
 - [178] B. Olaizola, L.M. Fraile, H. Mach, F. Nowacki, A. Poves, A. Aprahamian, J.A. Briz, J. Cal-González, D. Ghita, U. Köster, W. Kurcewicz, S.R. Lesher, D. Pauwels, E. Picado, D. Radulov, G.S. Simpson, and J.M. Udías, Phys. Rev. C 99, 024321 (2019). doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.99.024321
 - [179] V. Modamio, J.J. Valiente-Dobón, S. Lunardi, S.M. Lenzi, A. Gadea, D. Mengoni, D. Bazzacco, A. Algora,
 - P. Bednarczyk, G. Benzoni *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C 88, 044326 (2013). doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.88.044326
 - [180] T. Lokotko, S. Leblond, J. Lee, P. Doornenbal, A. Obertelli, A. Poves, F. Nowacki, K. Ogata, K. Yoshida, G. Authelet *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C 101, 034314 (2020). doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.101.034314
 - [181] S.N. Liddick, W.B. Walters, C.J. Chiara, R.V.F. Janssens, B. Abromeit, A. Ayres, A. Bey, C.R. Bingham, M.P. Carpenter, L. Cartegni, J. Chen et al., Phys. Rev. C 92, 024319 (2015). doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.92.024319
- [182] A. Gade, R.V.F. Janssens, D. Bazin, P. Farris, A.M. Hill, S.M. Lenzi, J. Li, D. Little, B. Longfellow, F. Nowacki, A. Poves, D. Rhodes, J.A. Tostevin, and D. Weisshaar *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C 103, 014314 (2021). doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.103.014314
 - [183] S.N. Liddick, B. Abromeit, A. Ayres, A. Bey, C.R. Bingham, M. Bolla, L. Cartegni, H.L. Crawford, I.G. Darby, R. Grzywacz *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C 85, 014328 (2012). doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.85.014328
- ²⁸⁰⁰ [184] X.F. Yang, C. Wraith, L. Xie, C. Babcock, J. Billowes, M.L. Bissell, K. Blaum, B. Cheal, K.T. Flanagan, R.F. Garcia Ruiz *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 116, 182502 (2016).
 - [185] R. Orlandi, D. Mücher, R. Raabe, A. Jungclaus, S.D. Pain, V. Bildstein, R. Chapman, G. de Angelis, J.G. Johansen, P. Van Duppen *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B 740, 298 (2015).
 - [186] J. Van de Walle, F. Aksouh, T. Behrens, V. Bildstein, A. Blazhev, J. Cederkäll, E. Clément, T.E. Cocolios, T. Davinson, P. Delahaye *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C 79, 014309 (2009).
 - [187] S. Ahn, D.W. Bardayan, K.L. Jones, A.S. Adekola, G. Arbanas, J.C. Blackmon, K.Y. Chae, K.A. Chipps, J.A. Cizewski, S. Hardy *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C 100, 044613 (2019).
 - [188] L.A. Montestruque, M.C. Cobian-Rozak, G. Szaloky, J.D. Zumbro, S.E. Darden, Nucl. Phys. A 305, 29 (1978)
 - [189] N.A. Detorie, P.L. Jolivette, C.P. Browne, and A.A. Rollefson, Phys. Rev. C 18, 991 (1978).
- [190] B.L. Burks, R.E. Anderson, T.B. Clegg, E.J. Ludwig, B.C. Karp, and Y. Aoki, Nucl. Phys. A 457, 337 (1986).
 - [191] A. Gottardo, D. Verney, C. Delafosse, F. Ibrahim, B. Roussière, C. Sotty, S. Roccia, C. Andreoiu, C. Costache, M.-C. Delattre *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 116, 182501 (2016).
 - [192] F.H. Garcia, C. Andreoiu, G.C. Ball, A. Bell, A.B. Garnsworthy, F. Nowacki, C.M. Petrache, A. Poves, K. Whitmore, F.A. Ali *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 125, 172501 (2020).
- [193] J.K. Hwang, J.H. Hamilton, A.V. Ramayya, N.T. Brewer, Y.X. Luo, J.O. Rasmussen, and S.J. Zhu, Phys. Rev. C 84, 024305 (2011).
 - [194] C. Shand, Zs. Podolyák, M. Górska, P. Doornenbal, A. Obertelli, F. Nowacki, T. Otsuka, K. Sieja, J.A. Tostevin,

2785

2790

2805

Y. Tsunoda et al., Phys. Lett. B 773, 492 (2017).

- [195] P.-A. Söderström, S. Nishimura, Z.Y. Xu, K. Sieja, V. Werner, P. Doornenbal, G. Lorusso, F. Browne, G. Gey, H.S. Jung *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C 92, 051305(R) (2015).
- [196] A.D. Ayangeakaa, R.V.F. Janssens, C.Y. Wu, J.M. Allmond, J.L. Wood, S. Zhu, M. Albers, S. Almaraz-Calderon, B. Bucher, M.P. Carpenter *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B 754, 254 (2016).
- [197] B. Kotliński, T. Czosnyka, D. Cline, J. Srebrny, C.Y. Wu, A. Bäcklin, L. Hasselgren, L. Westerberg, C. Baktash, and S.G. Steadman, Nucl. Phys. A 519, 646 (1990).
- [198] M. Sugawara, Y. Toh, T. Czosnyka, M. Oshima, T. Hayakawa, H. Kusakari, Y. Hatsukawa, J. Katakura, N. Shinohara, M. Matsuda, T. Morikawa, A. Seki, and F. Sakata, Eur. Phys. J. A 16, 409 (2003).
 - [199] Y. Toh, T. Czosnyka, M. Oshima, T. Hayakawa, H. Kusakari, M. Sugawara, Y. Hatsukawa, J. Katakura, N. Shinohara, and M. Matsuda, Eur. Phys. J. A 9, 353 (2000).
 - [200] Y. Toh, T. Czosnyka, M. Oshima, T. Hayakawa, H. Kusakari, A. Osa, M. Su-gawara, M. Koizumi, Y. Hatsukawa, J. Katakura, N. Shinohara, M. Matsuda, J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. 27, 1475 (2001).
 - [201] A.D. Ayangeakaa, R.V.F. Janssens, S. Zhu, D. Little, J. Henderson, C.Y. Wu, D.J. Hartley, M. Albers, K. Auranen, B. Bucher *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 123, 102501 (2019).
 - [202] Y. Toh, C.J. Chiara, E.A. McCutchan, W.B. Walters, R.V.F. Janssens, M.P. Carpenter, S.Zhu, R. Broda, B. Fornal, B.P. Kay et al., Phys. Rev. C 87, 041304(R) (2013).
- [203] A.M. Forney, W.B. Walters, C.J. Chiara, R.V.F. Janssens, A.D. Ayangeakaa, J. Sethi, J. Harker, M. Alcorta, M.P. Carpenter, G. Gürdal *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 120, 212501 (2018).
 - [204] M. Rocchini, K. Hadyńska-Klęk, A. Nannini, A. Goasduff, M. Zielińska, D. Testov, T. R. Rodriguez, A. Gargano, F. Nowacki *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C 103, 014311 (2021).
 - [205] M. Koizumi, A. Seki, Y. Toh, A. Osa, Y. Utsuno, A. Kimura, M. Oshima, T. Hayakawa, Y. Hatsukawa, J. Katakura, M. Matsuda *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. A 730, 46 (2004).
 - [206] T. Hayakawa, Y. Toh, M. Oshima, A. Osa, M. Koizumi, Y. Hatsukawa, Y. Utsuno, J. Katakura, M. Matsuda, T. Morikawa, M. Sugawara, H. Kusakari, and T. Czosnyka, Phys. Rev. C 67, 064310 (2003). doi:10.1103/ PhysRevC.67.064310
 - [207] A.E. Kavka, C. Fahlander, A. Bäcklin, D. Cline, T. Czosnyka, R.M. Diamond, D. Disdier, W.J. Kernan, L. Kraus, I. Linck *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. A 593, 177 (1995). doi:10.1016/0375-9474(95)00327-W
 - [208] S. Mukhopadhyay, B.P. Crider, B.A. Brown, S.F. Ashley, A. Chakraborty, A. Kumar, M.T.McEllistrem, E.E. Peters, F.M. Prados-Estévez, and S.W. Yates, Phys. Rev. C 99, 014313 (2019).
 - [209] E.A. McCutchan, C.J. Lister, T. Ahn, V. Anagnostatou, N. Cooper, M. Elvers, P. Goddard, A. Heinz, G. Ilie, D. Radeck, D. Savran, and V. Werner, Phys. Rev. C 87, 014307 (2013).
- [210] S.J. Freeman, J.P. Schiffer, A.C.C. Villari, J.A. Clark, C. Deibel, S. Gros, A. Heinz, D. Hirata, C.L. Jiang, B.P. Kay *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C 75, 051301(R) (2007).
 - [211] E.A. McCutchan, C.J. Lister, T. Ahn, R.J. Casperson, A. Heinz, G. Ilie, J. Qian, E. Williams, R. Winkler, and V. Werner, Phys. Rev. C 83, 024310 (2011).
 - [212] H. Orihara, M. Takahashi, K. Murakami, Y. Ishizaki, T. Suehiro, K. Miura, Y. Hiratate, and H. Yamaguchi, University of Tokyo, Annual Report No. 3, 4 (1977).
 - [213] J. Henderson, C.Y. Wu, J. Ash, P.C. Bender, B. Elman, A. Gade, M. Grinder, H. Iwasaki, E. Kwan, B. Longfellow, T. Mijatovic, D. Rhodes, M. Spieker, and D. Weisshaar, Phys. Rev. Lett. 121, 082502 (2018). doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.121.082502
 - [214] G. Rainovski, H. Schnare, R. Schwengner, C. Plettner, L. Käubler, F. Dönau, I. Ragnarsson, J. Eberth, T. Steinhardt, O. Thelen *et al.*, J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. 28, 2617 (2002).
 - [215] A.M. Hurst, P.A. Butler, D.G. Jenkins, P. Delahaye, F. Wenander, F. Ames, C.J. Barton, T. Behrens, A. Bürger, J. Cederkäll et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 072501 (2007). doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.98.072501
 - [216] J. Ljungvall, A. Görgen, M. Girod, J.-P. Delaroche, A. Dewald, C. Dossat, W. Korten, B. Melon, R. Menegazzo, A. Obertelli, R. Orlandi, P. Petkov, T. Pissulla, S. Siem, R.P. Singh, J. Srebrny, Ch. Theisen, C.A. Ur, J.J. Valiente-Dobon, K.O. Zell, and M. Zielińska, Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 102502 (2008).
 - [217] S.M. Fischer, D.P. Balamuth, P.A. Hausladen, C.J. Lister, M.P. Carpenter, D. Seweryniak, and J. Schwartz, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 4064 (2000).
 - [218] A. Görgen, E. Clément, A. Chatillon, A. Dewald, W. Korten, Y. Le Coz, N. Marginean, B. Melon,

2820

2830

2840

2845

2855

2860

R. Menegazzo, O. Möller, Ch. Theisen, D. Tonev, C.A. Ur, and K.O. Zell, Eur. Phys. J. A 26, 153 (2005).

- [219] E. Bouchez, I. Matea, W. Korten, F. Becker, B. Blank, C. Borcea, A. Buta, A. Emsallem, G. de France, J. Genevey et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 082502 (2003). doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.90.082502
 - [220] H. Iwasaki, A. Lemasson, C. Morse, A. Dewald, T. Braunroth, V.M. Bader, T. Baugher, D. Bazin, J.S. Berryman, C.M. Campbell *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 112, 142502 (2014). doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.142502
- [221] K. Wimmer, W. Korten, T. Arici, P. Doornenbal, P. Aguilera, A. Algora, T. Ando, H. Baba, B. Blank, A. Boso et al., Phys. Lett. B 785, 441 (2018). doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2018.07.067
- [222] E. Clément, A. Görgen, W. Korten, E. Bouchez, A. Chatillon, J.-P. Delaroche, M. Girod, H. Goutte, A. Hürstel, Y. Le Coz *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C 75, 054313 (2007). doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.75.054313
- [223] M. Girod, J.-P. Delaroche, A. Görgen, and A. Obertelli, Phys. Lett. B 676, 39 (2009). doi:10.1016/j. physletb.2009.04.077
- ²⁸⁸⁰ [224] M. Bender, P. Bonche, and P.-H. Heenen, Phys. Rev. C 74, 024312 (2006). doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.74. 024312
 - [225] W.P. Alford, R.E. Anderson, P.A. Batay-Csorba, R.A. Emigh, D.A. Lind, P.A. Smith, and C.D. Zafiratos, Nucl. Phys. A 330, 77 (1979). doi:10.1016/0375-9474(79)90538-4
 - [226] J. Heese, K.P. Lieb, S. Ulbig, B. Wörmann, J. Billowes, A.A. Chishti, W. Gelletly, C.J. Lister, and B.J. Varley, Phys. Rev. C 41, 603 (1990).
 - [227] A. Lemasson, H. Iwasaki, C. Morse, D. Bazin, T. Baugher, J.S. Berryman, A. Dewald, C. Fransen, A. Gade, S. McDaniel, A. Nichols, A. Ratkiewicz, S. Stroberg, P. Voss, R. Wadsworth, D. Weisshaar, K. Wimmer, and R. Winkler, Phys. Rev. C 85, 041303 (2012). doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.85.041303
 - [228] J. Henderson, D.G. Jenkins, K. Kaneko, P. Ruotsalainen, P. Sarriguren, K. Auranen, M.A. Bentley, P.J. Davies, A. Görgen, T. Grahn *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C 90, 051303(R) (2014).
 - [229] C. Thibault, F. Touchard, S. Büttgenbach, R. Klapisch, M. de Saint Simon, H.T. Duong, P. Jacquinot, P. Juncar, S. Liberman, P. Pillet, J. Pinard, J.L. Vialle, A. Pesnelle, and G. Huber, Phys. Rev. C 23, 2720 (1981). doi: 10.1103/PhysRevC.23.2720
 - [230] J. Mackin, R.R. Dasari, C.H. Holbrow, J.T. Hutton, D.E. Murnick, M. Otteson, W.W. Quivers, Jr., G. Shimkaveg, and M.S. Feld, Phys. Rev. Lett. 66, 1681 (1991). doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.66.1681
 - [231] N. Stone, At. Data Nucl. Data Tables 111-112, 1 (2016). doi:10.1016/j.adt.2015.12.002
 - [232] G. Audi, M. Wang, A.H. Wapstra, F.G. Kondev, M. MacCormick, X. Xu, and B. Pfeiffer, Chin. Phys. C 36, 1287 (2012).
 - [233] S. Naimi, G. Audi, D. Beck, K. Blaum, Ch. Böhm, Ch. Borgmann, M. Breitenfeldt, S. George, F. Herfurth, A. Herlert, M. Kowalska, S. Kreim, D. Lunney, D. Neidherr, M. Rosenbusch, S. Schwarz, L. Schweikhard, and K. Zuber, Phys. Rev. Lett. 105, 032502 (2010). doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.032502
 - [234] I. Angeli and K.P. Marinova, At. Data Nucl. Data Tables 99, 69 (2013).
 - [235] E. Mané, A. Voss, J.A. Behr, J. Billowes, T. Brunner, F. Buchinger, J E. Crawford, J. Dilling, S. Ettenauer, C.D.P. Levy, O. Shelbaya, and M.R. Pearson, Phys. Rev. Lett. 107, 212502 (2011).
- [236] D.H. Forest, R.A. Powis, E.C.A. Cochrane1, J.A.R. Griffith, and G. Tungate, J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. 41, 025106 (2014).
 - [237] P. Singh, W. Korten, T.W. Hagen, A. Görgen, L. Grente, M.-D. Salsac, F. Farget, E. Clément, G. de France, T. Braunroth *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 121, 192501 (2018). doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.121.192501
 - [238] V. Karayonchev, J. Jolie, A. Blazhev, A. Dewald, A. Esmaylzadeh, C. Fransen, G. Häfner, L. Knafla,
 - J. Litzinger, C. Müller-Gatermann, J.-M. Régis, K. Schomacker, A. Vogt, N. Warr, A. Leviatan, and N. Gavrielov, Phys. Rev. C 102, 064314 (2020).
 - [239] J.-M. Régis, J. Jolie, N. Saed-Samii, N. Warr, M. Pfeiffer, A. Blanc, M. Jentschel, U. Köster, P. Mutti, T. Soldner et al., Phys. Rev. C 90, 067301 (2014). doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.90.067301
 - [240] J.-M. Régis, J. Jolie, N. Saed-Samii, N. Warr, M. Pfeiffer, A. Blanc, M. Jentschel, U. Köster, P. Mutti, T. Soldner et al., Phys. Rev. C 95, 054319 (2017). doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.95.054319
 - [241] S. Ansari, J.-M. Régis, J. Jolie, N. Saed-Samii, N. Warr, W. Korten, M. Zielińska, M.-D. Salsac, A. Blanc, M. Jentschel, U. Köster, P. Mutti, T. Soldner, G.S. Simpson, F. Drouet, A. Vancraeyenest, G. de France, E. Clément, O. Stezowski, C.A. Ur, W. Urban, P.H. Regan, Zs. Podolyák, C. Larijani, C. Townsley, R. Carroll, E. Wilson, H. Mach, L.M. Fraile, V. Paziy, B. Olaizola, V. Vedia, A.M. Bruce, O.J. Roberts, J.F. Smith, M. Scheck,

2890

2895

2900

2910

2915

2885

2870

2875

- 2920 T. Kröll, A.-L. Hartig, A. Ignatov, S. Ilieva, S. Lalkovski, N. Marginean, T. Otsuka, N. Shimizu, T. Togashi, and Y. Tsunoda, Phys. Rev. C 96 054323 (2017).
 - [242] B. Elman, A. Gade, D. Weisshaar, D. Barofsky, D. Bazin, P.C. Bender, M. Bowry, M. Hjorth-Jensen, K.W. Kemper, S. Lipschutz, E. Lunderberg, N. Sachmpazidi, N. Terpstra, W.B. Walters, A. Westerberg, S.J. Williams, and K. Wimmer, Phys. Rev. C 96, 044332 (2017).
- [243] M. Albers, N. Warr, K. Nomura, A. Blazhev, J. Jolie, D. Mücher, B. Bastin, C. Bauer, C. Bernards, L. Bettermann *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 062701 (2012). doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.062701
 - [244] F. Flavigny, P. Doornenbal, A. Obertelli, J.-P. Delaroche, M. Girod, J. Libert, T.R. Rodriguez, G. Authelet, H. Baba, D. Calvet *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 118, 242501 (2017). doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.118.242501
 - [245] Z.M. Wang, A.B. Garnsworthy, C. Andreoiu, G.C. Ball, P.C. Bender, V. Bildstein, D.S. Cross, G. Demand,
 R. Dunlop, L.J. Evitts *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C 93, 054301 (2016).
 - [246] S. Cruz, K. Wimmer, S.S. Bhattacharjee, P.C. Bender, G. Hackman, R. Krücken, F. Ames, C. Andreoiu, R.A.E. Austin, C.S. Bancroft *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C 102, 024335 (2020).
 - [247] E. Clément, M. Zielińska, A. Görgen, W. Korten, S. Péru, J. Libert, H. Goutte, S. Hilaire, B. Bastin, C. Bauer et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 116, 022701 (2016). doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.022701
- [248] E. Clément, M. Zielińska, S. Péru, H. Goutte, S. Hilaire, A. Görgen, W. Korten, D.T. Doherty, B. Bastin, C. Bauer *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C 94, 054326 (2016). doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.94.054326
 - [249] E. Clément, M. Zielińska, S. Péru, H. Goutte, S. Hilaire, A. Görgen, W. Korten, D.T. Doherty, B. Bastin, C. Bauer et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 117, 099902 (2016). doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.099902
- [250] G. Jung, B. Pfeiffer, L.J. Alquist, H. Wollnik, P. Hungerford, S.M. Scott, and W.D. Hamilton, Phys. Rev. C 22, 252 (1980). doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.22.252
 - [251] K. Kawade, G. Battistuzzi, H. Lawin, H.A. Selic, K. Sistemich, F. Schussler, E. Monnand, J.A. Pinston, B. Pfeiffer, and G. Jung, Z. Phys. A 304, 293 (1982). doi:10.1007/BF01421511
 - [252] G. Lhersonneau, B. Pfeiffer, K.-L. Kratz, T. Enqvist, P.P. Jauho, A. Jokinen, J. Kantele, M. Leino, J.M. Parmonen, H. Penttilä, and J. Äystö, Phys. Rev. C 49, 1379 (1994). doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.49.1379
- [253] W. Urban, J.L. Durell, A.G. Smith, W.R. Phillips, M.A. Jones, B.J. Varley T. Rząca-Urban, I. Ahmad, L.R. Morss, M. Bentaleb, and N. Schulz, Nucl. Phys. A 689, 605 (2001). doi:10.1016/S0375-9474(00) 00612-6
 - [254] P.E. Garrett, L. Makhathini, R.A. Bark, T.R. Rodríguez, S. Valbuena, V. Bildstein, T.D. Bucher, C. Burbadge, R. Dubey, T. Faestermann, R. Hertenberger, M. Kamil, E.A. Lawrie, K.G. Leach, A.D. MacLean, C. Mehl,
- S.H. Mthembu, N.J. Mukwevho, C. Ngwetsheni, S.S. Ntshangase, J.C. Nzobadila Ondze, J.N. Orce, B. Rebeiro,
 B. Singh, S. Triambak, E.C. Vyfers, H.-F. Wirth, Phys. Lett. 809, 135762 (2020).
 - [255] C. Kremer, S. Aslanidou, S. Bassauer, M. Hilcker, A. Krugmann, P. von Neumann-Cosel, T. Otsuka, N. Pietralla, V.Yu. Ponomarev, N. Shimizu, M. Singer, G. Steinhilber, T. Togashi, Y. Tsunoda, V. Werner, and M. Zweidinger, Phys. Rev. Lett. 117, 172503 (2016), arXiv:1606.09057v1 [nucl-ex], doi:https: //doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.172503
 - [256] M. Zielińska, Ph.D thesis, University of Warsaw (2006), unpublished.
 - [257] P.E. Garrett, M. Zielińska, A. Bergmaier, T.R. Rodriguez, H. Bidaman, V. Bildstein, C. Burbadge, A. Diaz Varela, D. Doherty, T. Faestermann *et al.*, to be published.
 - [258] J. Srebrny, T. Czosnyka, Ch. Droste, S.G. Rohoziński, L. Próchniak, K. Zając, K. Pomorski, D. Cline, C.Y. Wu,
- A. Bäcklin, L. Hasselgren, R.M. Diamond, D. Habs, H.J. Körner, F.S. Stephens, C. Baktash, and R.P. Kostecki, Nucl. Phys. A 766, 25 (2006). doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2005.11.013
 - [259] L.E. Svensson, C. Fahlander, L. Hasselgren, A. Bäcklin, L. Westerberg, D. Cline, T. Czosnyka, C.Y. Wu, R.M. Diamond, and H. Kluge, Nucl. Phys. A584, 547 (1995).
- [260] K. Wrzosek-Lipska, L. Próchniak, P.E. Garrett, S.W. Yates, J.L. Wood, P.J. Napiorkowski, T. Abraham,
 J.M. Allmond, F.L. Bello Garrote, H. Bidaman, V. Bildstein, C. Burbadge, M. Chiari, A. Diaz Varela, D.T. Doherty, S. Dutt, K. Hadynska-Klek, M. Hlebowicz, J. Iwanicki, B. Jigmeddorj, M. Kisielinski, M. Komorowska,
 M. Kowalczyk, R. Kumar, T. Marchlewski, M. Matejska-Minda, B. Olaizola, F. Oleszczuk, M. Palacz,
 E. Pasquali, E.E. Peters, M. Rocchini, E. Shin, M. Saxena, J. Srebrny, A. Tucholski, Acta Phys. Pol. B51, 789 (2020).
- [261] P.E. Garrett, T.R. Rodriguez, A. Diaz Varela, K.L. Green, J. Bangay, A. Finlay, R.A.E. Austin, G.C. Ball,

D.S. Bandyopadhyay, V. Bildstein, S. Colosimo, D.S. Cross, G.A. Demand, P. Finlay, A.B. Garnsworthy, G.F. Grinver, G. Hackman, B. Jigmeddorj, J. Jolie, W.D. Kulp, K.G. Leach, A.C. Morton, J.N. Orce, C.J. Pearson, A.A. Phillips, A.J. Radich, E.T. Rand, M.A. Schumaker, C.E. Svensson, C. Sumithrarachchi, S. Triambak, N. Warr, J. Wong, J.L. Wood, and S.W. Yates, Phys. Rev. C 101, 044302 (2020). doi.org/10.1103/

2975

2985

3000

- PhysRevC.101.044302
 - [262] C. Fahlander, A. Bäcklin, L. Hasselgren, A. Kavka, V. Mittal, L.E. Svensson, B. Varnestig, D. Cline, B. Kotlinski, H. Grein, E. Grosse, R. Kulessa, C. Michel, W. Spreng, H.J. Wollersheim, J. Stachel, Nucl. Phys. A485, 327 (1988).
- [263] J.L. Pore, D.S. Cross, C. Andreoiu, R. Ashley, G.C. Ball, P.C. Bender, A.S. Chester, A. Diaz Varela, G.A. Demand, R. Dunlop, A.B. Garnsworthy, P.E. Garrett, G. Hackman, B. Hadinia, B. Jigmeddorj, A.T. Laffoley, 2980 A. Liblong, R. Kanungo, B. Noakes, C.M. Petrache, M.M. Rajabali, K. Starosta, C.E. Svensson, P.J. Voss, Z.M. Wang, J.L. Wood, and S.W. Yates, Eur. Phys. J. A53, 27 (2017).
 - [264] C. Mihai, A.A. Pasternak, S. Pascu, D. Filipescu, M. Ivaşcu, D. Bucurescu, G. Căta-Danil, I. Căta-Danil, D. Deleanu, D.G. Ghiță, T. Glodariu, N. Mărginean, R. Mărginean, A. Negret, T. Sava, L. Stroe, G. Suliman, and N.V. Zamfir, Phys. Rev. C 83, 054310 (2011).
 - [265] A.A. Pasternak, J. Srebrny, A.D. Efimov, V.M. Mikhajlov, E.O. Podsvirova, Ch. Droste, T. Morek, S. Juutinen, G.B. Hagemann, M. Piiparinen, S. Törmänen and A. Virtanen, E. Phys. J. A13, 435 (2002).
 - [266] S.F. Hicks, J.R. Vanhoy, P.G. Burkett, B.R. Champine, S.J. Etzkorn, P.E. Garrett, S.W. Yates, and Minfang Yeh, Phys. Rev. C 95, 034322 (2017).
- [267] H. Mach, F.K. Wohn, G. Molnár, K. Sistemich, J.C. Hill, M. Moszyński, R.L. Gill, W. Krips, and D.S. Brenner, 2990 Nucl. Phys. A 523, 197 (1991). doi:10.1016/0375-9474(91)90001-M
 - [268] H. Mach, M. Moszyński, R.L. Gill, F.K. Wohn, J.A. Winger, J.C. Hill, G. Molnár, K. Sistemich, Phys. Lett. B 230, 21 (1989). doi:10.1016/0370-2693(89)91646-8
 - [269] H. Ohm, G. Lhersonneau, K. Sistemich, B. Pfeiffer, and K.-L. Kratz, Z. Phys. A 327, 483 (1987).
- [270] H. Mach, R.L. Gill, M. Moszyński, Nucl. Instrum. Methods A 280, 49 (1989). doi:10.1016/0168-9002(89) 2995 91272-2
 - [271] G. Lhersonneau, B. Pfeiffer, R. Capote, J.M. Quesada, H. Gabelmann, and K.-L. Kratz, Phys. Rev. C 65, 024318 (2002). doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.65.024318
 - [272] A.G. Smith, J.L. Durell, W.R. Phillips, M.A. Jones, M. Leddy, W. Urban, B.J. Varley, I. Ahmad, L.R. Morss,
 - M. Bentaleb, A. Guessous, E. Lubkiewicz, N. Schulz, and R. Wyss, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 1711 (1996). doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.77.1711
 - [273] A.G. Smith, J.L. Durell, W.R. Phillips, W. Urban, P. Sarriguren, and I. Ahmad, Phys. Rev. C 86, 014321 (2012). doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.86.014321
 - [274] F. Schussler, J.A. Pinston, E. Monnand, A. Moussa, G. Jung, E. Koglin, B. Pfeiffer, R.V.F. Janssens, and J. van Klinken, Nucl. Phys. A 339, 415 (1980). doi:10.1016/0375-9474(80)90024-X
 - [275] J. Park, A.B. Garnsworthy, R. Krücken, C. Andreoiu, G.C. Ball, P.C. Bender, A. Chester, A. Close, P. Finlay, P.E. Garrett, J. Glister, G. Hackman, B. Hadinia, K.G. Leach, E.T. Rand, S. Sjue, K. Starosta, C.E. Svensson, and E. Tardiff, Phys. Rev. C 93, 014315 (2016). doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.93.014315
- [276] W. Urban, T. Rzaca-Urban, J. Wiśniewski, A.G. Smith, G.S. Simpson, and I. Ahmad, Phys. Rev. C 100, 014319 (2019). 3010
 - [277] S. Cruz, P.C. Bender, R. Krücken, K. Wimmer, F. Ames, C. Andreoiu, R.A.E. Austin, C.S. Bancroft, R. Braid, T. Bruhn et al., Phys. Lett. B 786, 94 (2018). doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2018.09.031
 - [278] S. Cruz, K. Wimmer, P.C. Bender, R. Krücken, G. Hackman, F. Ames, C. Andreoiu, R.A E. Austin, C.S. Bancroft, R. Braid et al., Phys. Rev. C 100, 054321 (2019). doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.100.054321
- [279] V. Werner, N. Benczer-Koller, G. Kumbartzki, J.D. Holt, P. Boutachkov, E. Stefanova, M. Perry, N. Pietralla, 3015 H. Ai, K. Aleksandrova et al., Phys. Rev. C 78, 031301(R) (2008).
 - [280] J.B. Ball, R.L. Auble, and P.G. Roos, Phys. Rev. C 4, 196 (1971).
 - [281] E.R. Flynn, J.G. Beery, A.G. Blair, Nucl. Phys. A 218, 285 (1974).
 - [282] J.K. Dickens, E. Eichler, and G.R. Satchler, Phys. Rev. 168, 1355 (1968).
- [283] A. Chakraborty, E.E. Peters, B.P. Crider, C. Andreoiu, P.C. Bender, D.S. Cross, G.A. Demand, A.B. Garnswor-3020 thy, P.E. Garrett, G. Hackman et al., Phys. Rev. Lett 110, 022504 (2013).

- [284] E. Elhami, J.N. Orce, S. Mukhopadhyay, S.N. Choudry, M. Scheck, M.T. McEllistrem, and S.W. Yates, Phys. Rev. C 75, 011301(R) (2007).
- [285] E. Elhami, J.N. Orce, M. Scheck, S. Mukhopadhyay, S.N. Choudry, M.T. McEllistrem, S.W. Yates, C. Angell, M. Boswell, B. Fallin, C.R. Howell, A. Hutcheson, H.J. Karwowski, J.H. Kelley, Y. Parpottas, A.P. Tonchev, and W. Tornow, Phys. Rev. C 78, 064303 (2008).
- [286] E. Elhami, J.N. Orce, S. Mukhopadhyay, S.N. Choudry, M. Scheck, M.T. McEllistrem, and S.W. Yates, Phys. Rev. C 88, 029902 (2013).
- [287] K. Becker, G. Jung, K.-H. Kobras, H. Wollnik, and B. Pfeiffer, Z. Phys. A 319, 193 (1984).
- ³⁰³⁰ [288] E.R. Flynn, J.G. Beery, and A.G. Blair, Nucl. Phys. A218, 285 (1973).
 - [289] A. Saha, G.D. Jones, L.W. Put, and R.H. Siemssen, Phys. Lett. B82, 208 (1978).
 - [290] B.M. Preedom, E. Newman, and J.C. Hiebert, Phys. Rev. 166, 1156 (1968).
 - [291] M.R. Cates, J.B. Ball, and E. Newman, Phys. Rev. 187, 1682 (1969).
 - [292] R.S. Tickle, W.S. Gray, and R.D. Bent, Nucl. Phys. A376, 309 (1981).
- ³⁰³⁵ [293] T. Togashi, Y. Tsunoda, T. Otsuka, and N. Shimizu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 117, 172502 (2016). doi:10.1103/ PhysRevLett.117.172502
 - [294] E.R. Flynn, R.E. Brown, F. Ajzenberg-Selove, and J.A. Cizewski, Phys. Rev. C 28, 575 (1983).
- [295] B. Cheal, M.D. Gardner, M. Avgoulea, J. Billowes, M.L. Bissell, P. Campbell, T. Eronen, K.T. Flanagan, D.H. Forest, J. Huikari *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B 645, 133 (2007). doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.
 2006.12.053
 - [296] G. Lhersonneau, D. Weiler, P. Kohl, H. Ohm, K. Sistemich, and R.A. Meyer, Z. Phys. A323, 59 (1986).
 - [297] F. Hoellinger, N. Schulz, S. Courtin, B.J.P. Gall, M.-G. Porquet, I. Deloncle, A. Wilson, T. Kutsarova, A. Minkova, J. Duprat, H. Sergolle, C. Gautherin, and R. Lucas, Eur. Phys. J. A4, 319 (1999).
- [298] Y.X. Luo, J.O. Rasmussen, I. Stefanescu, A. Gelberg, J.H. Hamilton, A.V. Ramayya, J.K. Hwang, S.J. Zhu,
 P.M. Gore, D. Fong, E.F. Jones, S.C. Wu, I.Y. Lee, T.N. Ginter, W.C. Ma, G.M. Ter-Akopian, A.V. Daniel,
 M.A. Stoyer, and R. Donangelo, J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. 31, 1303 (2005).
 - [299] E. Monnand, J.A. Pinston, F. Schussler, B. Pfeiffer, H. Lawin, G. Battistuzzi, K. Shizuma, and K. Sistemich, Z. Phys. A 306, 183 (1982). doi:10.1007/BF01415489
 - [300] F.K. Wohn, J.C. Hill, R.F. Petry, H. Dejbakhsh, Z. Berant, and R.L. Gill, Phys. Rev. Lett. 51, 873 (1983). doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.51.873
 - [301] T.W. Hagen, A. Görgen, W. Korten, L. Grente, M.-D. Salsac, F. Farget, I. Ragnarsson, T. Braunroth, B. Bruyneel et al., Phys. Rev. C 95, 034302 (2017). doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.95.034302
 - [302] M. Rudigier, G.S. Simpson, J.M. Daugas, A. Blazhev, C. Fransen, G. Gey, M. Hackstein, J. Jolie, U. Köster, T. Malkiewicz, T. Materna, M. Pfeiffer, M. Ramdhane, J.-M. Régis, W. Rother, T. Thomas, N. Warr, D. Wilmsen, J. Le Bloas, and N. Pillet, Phys. Rev. C 87, 064317 (2013).
 - [303] C. Sotty, M. Zielińska, G. Georgiev, D.L. Balabanski, A.E. Stuchbery, A. Blazhev, N. Bree, R. Chevrier, S. Das Gupta, J.M. Daugas, T. Davinson, H. De Witte, J. Diriken, L.P. Gaffney, K. Geibel, K. Hadyńska-Klęk, F.G. Kondev, J. Konki, T. Kröll, P. Morel, P. Napiorkowski, J. Pakarinen, P. Reiter, M. Scheck, M. Seidlitz, B. Siebeck, G. Simpson, H. Törnqvist, N. Warr, and F. Wenander, Phys. Rev. Lett. 115, 172501 (2015).
- 3060 [304] N.J. Stone, Atom. Data Nucl. Data Tables 90, 75 (2005).
 - [305] I. Berkes, M. De Jesus, B. Hlimi, M. Massaq, E.H. Sayouty, and K. Heyde, Phys. Rev. C 44, 104 (1991).
 - [306] C.R. Bingham and G.T. Fabian, Phys. Rev. C 7, 1509 (1973).
 - [307] H.L. Thayer, J. Billowes, P. Campbell, P. Dendooven, K.T. Flanagan, D.H. Forest, J.A.R. Griffith, J. Huikari, A. Jokinen, R. Moore, A. Nieminen, G. Tungate, S. Zemlyanoi, and J. Äystö, J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. 29, 2247 (2003).
- [308] F. Boulay, G.S. Simpson, Y. Ichikawa, S. Kisyov, D. Bucurescu, A. Takamine, D.S. Ahn, K. Asahi, H. Baba, D.L. Balabanski, T. Egami, T. Fujita, N. Fukuda, C. Funayama, T. Furukawa, G. Georgiev, A. Gladkov, M. Hass, K. Imamura, N. Inabe, Y. Ishibashi, T. Kawaguchi, T. Kawamura, W. Kim, Y. Kobayashi, S. Kojima, A. Kusoglu, R. Lozeva, S. Momiyama, I. Mukul, M. Niikura, H. Nishibata, T. Nishizaka, A. Odahara, Y. Ohtomo,
 - D. Ralet, T. Sato, Y. Shimizu, T. Sumikama, H. Suzuki, H. Takeda, L.C. Tao, Y. Togano, D. Tominaga, H. Ueno, H. Yamazaki, X.F. Yang, and J.M. Daugas, Phys. Rev. Lett. **124**, 112501 (2020).
 - [309] P.E. Garrett, Phys. Rev. Lett. 127, 169201 (2021).

0040

3050

3055

3065

- [310] F. Boulay, G.S. Simpson, Y. Ichikawa, S. Kisyov, D. Bucurescu, A. Takamine, D.S. Ahn, K. Asahi, H. Baba, D.L. Balabanski, T. Egami, T. Fujita, N. Fukuda, C. Funayama, T. Furukawa, G. Georgiev, A. Gladkov, M. Hass, K. Imamura, N. Inabe, Y. Ishibashi, T. Kawaguchi, T. Kawamura, W. Kim, Y. Kobayashi, S. Kojima, A. Kusoglu, R. Lozeva, S. Momiyama, I. Mukul, M. Niikura, H. Nishibata, T. Nishizaka, A. Odahara, Y. Ohtomo, D. Ralet, T. Sato, Y. Shimizu, T. Sumikama, H. Suzuki, H. Takeda, L.C. Tao, Y. Togano, D. Tominaga, H. Ueno, H. Yamazaki, X.F. Yang, and J.M. Daugas, Phys. Rev. Lett. **127**, 169202 (2021).
- [311] C.Y. Wu, H. Hua, D. Cline, A.B. Hayes, R. Teng, R.M. Clark, P. Fallon, A. Goergen, A.O. Macchiavelli, and K. Vetter, Phys. Rev. C **70**, 064312 (2004).
 - [312] P. Spagnoletti, G. Simpson, S. Kisyov, D. Bucurescu, J.-M. Régis, N. Saed-Samii, A. Blanc, M. Jentschel, U. Köster, P. Mutti, T. Soldner, G. de France, C.A. Ur, W. Urban, A.M. Bruce, C. Bernards, F. Drouet, L.M. Fraile, L.P. Gaffney, D.G. Ghitą, S. Ilieva, J. Jolie, W. Korten, T. Kröll, S. Lalkovski, C. Larijarni, R. Lica, H. Mach, N. Marginean, V. Paziy, Zs. Podolyák, P.H. Regan, M. Scheck, J.F. Smith, G. Thiamova, C. Townsley, A. Vancraeyenest, V. Vedia, N. Warr, V. Werner, and M. Zielińska, Phys. Rev. C 100, 014311 (2019).
- [313] W. Urban, J.A. Pinston, J. Genevey, T. Rząca-Urban, A. Złomaniec, G. Simpson, J.L. Durell, W.R. Phillips, A.G. Smith, B.J. Varley, I. Ahmad, and N. Schulz, Eur. Phys. J. A 22, 241 (2004). doi:10.1140/epja/ i2004-10037-5
- [314] A.G. Smith, J.L. Durell, W.R. Phillips, W. Urban, P. Sarriguren, and I. Ahmad, Phys. Rec. C 86, 014321 (2012).
- [315] A. Esmaylzadeh, J.-M. Régis, Y.H. Kim, U. Köster, J. Jolie, V. Karayonchev, L. Knafla, K. Nomura, L.M. Robledo, and R. Rodríguez-Guzmán, Phys. Rev. C 100, 064309 (2019).
 - [316] S.J. Freeman, D.K. Sharp, S.A. McAllister, B.P. Kay, C.M. Deibel, T. Faestermann, R. Hertenberger, A.J. Mitchell, J.P. Schiffer, S.V. Szwec, J.S. Thomas, and H.-F. Wirth, Phys. Rev. C **96**, 054325 (2017).
 - [317] Ł.W. Iskra, S. Leoni, B. Fornal, C. Michelagnoli, F. Kandzia, N. Marginean, M. Barani, S. Bottoni, N. Cieplicka-Oryńczak, G. Colombi *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C 102, 054324 (2020).
 - [318] W. Urban, M. Czerwiński, J. Kurpeta, T. Rząca-Urban, J. Wiśniewski, T. Materna, Ł.W. Iskra, A.G. Smith, I. Ahmad, A. Blancet al., Phys. Rev. C 96, 044333 (2017). doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.96.044333
 - [319] J. Ha, T. Sumikama, F. Browne, N. Hinohara, A.M. Bruce, S. Choi, I. Nishizuka, S. Nishimura, P. Doornenbal et al., Phys. Rev. C 101, 044311 (2020). doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.101.044311
- [320] M. Zielińska, T. Czosnyka, J. Choiński, J. Iwanicki, P.J. Napiorkowski, J. Srebrny, Y. Toh, M. Oshima, A. Osa, Y. Utsuno *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. A 712, 3 (2002). doi:10.1016/S0375-9474(02)01169-7
 - [321] S.J. Freeman, D.K. Sharp, S.A. McAllister, B.P. Kay, C.M. Deibel, T. Faestermann, R. Hertenberger, A.J. Mitchell, J.P. Schiffer, S.V. Szwec, J.S. Thomas, and H.-F. Wirth, Phys. Rev. C 96, 054325 (2017).
 - [322] J. Stachel, P. Van Isacker and K. Heyde, Phys. Rev. C 25, 650 (1982).
- [323] I. Stefanescu, A. Gelberg, J. Jolie, P. Van Isacker, P. von Brentano, Y.X. Luo, S.J. Zhu, J.O. Rasmussen, J.H. Hamilton, A.V. Ramayya, X.L. Che, Nucl. Phys. A 789, 125 (2007).
 - [324] A. Giannatiempo, A. Nannini, P. Sona, D. Cutoiu, Phys. Rev. C 52, 2969 (1995).
 - [325] J.L.M. Duarte, T. Borello-Lewin, G. Maino, L. Zuffi, Phys. Rev. C 57, 1539 (1998).
 - [326] H. Duckwitz, M. Pfeiffer, M. Albers, C. Bernards, C. Fransen, J. Jolie, P. Petkov, D. Radeck, T. Thomas, and K.O. Zell, Nucl. Phys. A903, 18 (2013).
 - [327] J. Stachel, N. Kaffrell, E. Grosse, H. Emling, H. Folger, R. Kulessa, and D. Schwalm, Nucl. Phys. A383, 429 (1982).
 - [328] M. Sugita and A. Arima, Nucl. Phys. A515, 77 (1990).
- [329] W. Urban, M. Jentschel, R.F. Casten, J. Jolie, Ch. Bernards, B. Maerkisch, Th. Materna, P. Mutti, L. Próchniak,
 T. Rząca-Urban, G.S. Simpson, V. Werner, and S. Ahmed, Phys. Rev. C 87, 031304(R) (2013).
 - [330] J. Blachot, Nucl. Data Sheets 108, 2035 (2007).
 - [331] B. Singh, Nucl. Data Sheets 109, 297 (2008).
 - [332] D. De Frenne, Nucl. Data Sheets 110, 1745 (2009).
 - [333] H. Mach, F.K. Wohn, G. Molnár, K. Sistemich, John C. Hill, M. Moszyński, R.L. Gill, W. Krips, and D.S. Brenner, Nucl. Phys. A 523, 197 (1991). doi:10.1016/0375-9474(91)90001-M
 - [334] D. De Frenne, Nucl. Data Sheets 109, 943 (2008).
 - [335] L.K. Peker and M.E. Voichansky, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser Fiz. 32, 892 (1968).
 - [336] G. Gneuss and W. Greiner, Nucl. Phys. A171, 449 (1971).

3085

3075

3095

3110

- [337] R. Meyer and L. Peker, Z. Phys. A283, 379 (1977).
- [338] H.W. Fielding, R.E. Anderson, C.D. Zafiratos, D.A. Lind F.E. Cecil, H.H. Wieman, and W.P. Alford, Nucl. 3125 Phys. A281, 389 (1977).
 - [339] D.K. Sharp, S.J. Freeman, B.D. Cropper, P.J. Davies, T. Faestermann, T.M. Hatfield, R. Hertenberger, S.J.F. Hughes, P.T. MacGregor, and H.-F. Wirth, Phys. Rev. C 100, 024329 (2019).
 - [340] K. Heyde, P. Van Isacker, M. Waroquier, G. Wenes, and M. Sambataro, Phys. Rev. C25, 3160 (1982).
- [341] K. Heyde, P. Van Isacker, R.F. Casten, and J.L. Wood, Phys. Lett. 155B, 303 (1985). 3130
 - [342] P. Martin, J.B. Viano, J.M. Loiseaux, and Y. Le Chalony, Nucl. Phys. A212, 304 (1973).
 - [343] J. Kumpulainen, R. Julin, J. Kantele, A. Passoja, W.H. Trzaska, E. Verho, and J. Vaaramaki, Z. Phys. A335, 109 (1990).
 - [344] J. Kumpulainen, R. Julin, J. Kantele, A. Passoja, W.H. Trzaska, E. Verho, J. Vaaramaki, D. Cutoiu, and M. Ivascu, Phys. Rev. C 45, 640 (1992).
 - [345] J. Kern, A. Bruder, S. Drissi, V.A. Ionescu, and D. Kusnezov, Nucl. Phys. A512, 1 (1990).
 - [346] M. Délèze, S. Drissi, J. Kern, P.A. Tercier, J.-P. Vorlet, J. Rikovska, T. Otsuka, S. Judge, and A. Williams, Nucl. Phys. A551, 269 (1993).
 - [347] M. Délèze, S. Drissi, J. Jolie, J. Kern, and J.-P. Vorlet, Nucl. Phys. A554, 1 (1993).
- [348] S. Drissi, P.A. Tercier, H.G. Börner, M. Délèze, F. Hoyler, S. Judge, J. Kern, S.J. Mannanal, G. Mouze, 3140 K. Schreckenbach, J-.P. Vorlet, N. Warr, A. Williams, and C. Ythier, Nucl. Phys. A614, 137 (1997).
 - [349] A. Gade, A. Fitzler, C. Fransen, J. Jolie, S. Kasemann, H. Klein, A. Linnemann, V. Werner, P. von Brentano, Phys. Rev. C 66, 034311 (2002).
 - [350] S. Juutinen, R. Julin, P. Jones, A. Lampinen, G. Lhersonneau, E. Mäkelä, M. Piiparinen, A. Savelius, and

S. Törmänen, Phys. Lett. B386, 80 (1996).

- [351] I. Thorslund, C. Fahlander, J. Nyberg, S. Juutinen, R. Julin, M. Piiparinen, R. Wyss, A. Lampinen, T. Lönnroth, D. Müller, S. Törmänen, A. Virtanen, Nucl. Phys. A564, 285 (1993).
- [352] I. Thorslund, C. Fahlander, J. Nyberg, M. Piiparinen, R. Julin, S. Juutinen, A. Virtanen, D. Müller, H. Jensen, and M. Sugawara, Nucl. Phys. A568, 306 (1994).
- [353] A. Linnemann, Ph.D. thesis, Universität zu Köln, 2005 (unpublished). 3150
 - [354] F. Corminboeuf, T.B. Brown, L. Genilloud, C.D. Hannant, J. Jolie, J. Kern, N. Warr, and S.W. Yates, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 4060 (2000).
 - [355] F. Corminboeuf, T.B. Brown, L. Genilloud, C.D. Hannant, J. Jolie, J. Kern, N. Warr, and S.W. Yates, Phys. Rev. C 63, 014305 (2000).
- [356] P.E. Garrett, J. Bangay, A. Diaz Varela, G.C. Ball, D.S. Cross, G.A. Demand, P. Finlay, A.B. Garnswor-3155 thy, K.L. Green, G. Hackman, C.D. Hannant, B. Jigmeddorj, J. Jolie, W.D. Kulp, K.G. Leach, J.N. Orce, A.A. Phillips, A.J. Radich, E.T. Rand, M.A. Schumaker, C.E. Svensson, C. Sumithrarachchi, S. Triambak, N. Warr, J. Wong, J.L. Wood, and S.W. Yates, Phys. Rev. C 86, 044304 (2012).
 - [357] H. Lehmann, P.E. Garrett, J. Jolie, C.A. McGrath, Minfang Yeh, and S.W. Yates, Phys. Lett. B 387, 259 (1996).
 - [358] P.E. Garrett, H. Lehmann, J. Jolie, C.A. McGrath, M. Yeh, W. Younes, and S.W. Yates, Phys. Rev. C 64, 024316 (2001).
 - [359] P.E. Garrett, K.L. Green, H. Lehmann, J. Jolie, C.A. McGrath, M. Yeh, and S.W. Yates, Phys. Rev. 75, 054310 (2007).
 - [360] D. Bandyopadhyay, S.R. Lesher, C. Fransen, N. Boukharouba, P.E. Garrett, K.L. Green, M.T. McEllistrem, and S.W. Yates, Phys. Rev. C 76, 054308 (2007).
 - [361] M. Kadi, N. Warr, P.E. Garrett, J. Jolie, and S.W. Yates, Phys. Rev. C 68, 031306(R) (2003).
 - [362] T.Belgya, G.Molnár, and S.W.Yates, Nucl. Phys. A607, 43 (1996).
 - [363] P.E. Garrett, T.R. Rodriguez, A. Diaz Varela, K.L. Green, J. Bangay, A. Finlay, R.A.E. Austin, G.C. Ball, D.S. Bandyopadhyay, V. Bildstein, S. Colosimo, D.S. Cross, G.A. Demand, P. Finlay, A.B. Garnsworthy,
- G.F. Grinyer, G. Hackman, B. Jigmeddorj, J. Jolie, W.D. Kulp, K.G. Leach, A.C. Morton, J.N. Orce, C.J. Pearson, A.A. Phillips, A.J. Radich, E.T. Rand, M.A. Schumaker, C.E. Svensson, C. Sumithrarachchi, S. Triambak, N. Warr, J. Wong, J.L. Wood, and S.W. Yates, Phys. Rev. Lett. 123, 142502 (2019).
 - [364] A. Aprahamian, D.S. Brenner, R.F. Casten, R.L. Gill, A. Piotrowski, and K. Heyde, Phys. Lett. B140, 22 (1984).

3170

3160

3165

3135

- [365] A. Aprahamian, D.S. Brenner, R.F. Casten, R.L. Gill, and A. Piotrowski, Phys. Rev. Lett. 59, 535 (1987).
 [366] H. Mach, M. Moszyński, R.F. Casten, R.L. Gill, D.S. Brenner, J.A. Winger, W. Krips, C. Wesselborg,
 - M. Büscher, F.K. Wohn, A. Aprahamian, D. Alburger, A. Gelberg, and A. Piotrowski, Phys. Rev. Lett. 63, 143 (1989).
 - [367] K. Kitao, Y. Tendow and A. Hashizume, Nucl. Data Sheets 96, 241 (2002).
- [368] Y. Wang, S. Rinta-Antila, P. Dendooven, J. Huikari, A. Jokinen, V.S. Kolhinen, G. Lhersonneau, A. Nieminen, S. Nummela, H. Penttilä, K. Peräjärvi, J. Szerypo, J.C. Wang, and J. Äystö, Phys. Rev. C 67, 064303 (2003).
 - [369] J.C. Batchelder, N.T. Brewer, R.E. Goans, R. Grzywacz, B.O. Griffith, C. Jost, A. Korgul, S.H. Liu, S.V. Paulauskas, E.H. Spejewski, and D.W. Stracener, Phys. Rev. C 86, 064311 (2012).
 - [370] K.L. Green, P.E. Garrett, R.A.E. Austin, G.C. Ball, D.S. Bandyopadhyay, S. Colosimo, D. Cross, G.A. Demand, G.F. Grinyer, G. Hackman, W.D. Kulp, K.G. Leach, A.C. Morton, C.J. Pearson, A.A. Phillips, M.A.
 - Schumaker, C.E. Svensson, J. Wong, J.L. Wood, S.W. Yates, Phys. Rev. C 80, 032502 (2009).
 - [371] Deepika Choudhury, A.K. Jain, M. Patial, N. Gupta, P. Arumugam, A. Dhal, R.K. Sinha, L. Chaturvedi, P.K. Joshi, T. Trivedi, R. Palit, S. Kumar, R. Garg, S. Mandal, D. Negi, G. Mohanto, S. Muralithar, R.P. Singh, N. Madhavan, R.K. Bhowmik, and S.C. Pancholi, Phys. Rev. C 82, 061308(R) (2010).
- [372] M. Kumar Raju, D. Negi, S. Muralithar, R.P. Singh, J.A. Sheikh, G.H. Bhat, R. Kumar, Indu Bala, T. Trivedi, A. Dhal, K. Rani, R. Gurjar, D. Singh, R. Palit, B.S. Naidu, S. Saha, J. Sethi, R. Donthi, and S. Jadhav, Phys. Rev. C 91, 024319 (2015).
 - [373] Deepika Choudhury, A.K. Jain, G. Anil Kumar, Suresh Kumar, Sukhjeet Singh, P. Singh, M. Sainath, T. Trivedi, J. Sethi, S. Saha, S.K. Jadav, B.S. Naidu, R. Palit, H.C. Jain, L. Chaturvedi, and S.C. Pancholi, Phys. Rec. 87, 034304 (2013).
 - [374] Y.X. Luo, J.O. Rasmussen, C.S. Nelson, J.H. Hamilton, A.V. Ramayya, J.K. Hwang, S.H. Liu, C. Goodin, N.J. Stone, S.J. Zhu, N.T. Brewer, Ke Li, I.Y. Lee, G.M. Ter-Akopian, A.V. Danielag, M.A. Stoyer, R. Donangelo, W.C. Ma, and J.D. Cole, Nucl. Phys. A874, 32 (2012).
 - [375] A.E. Stuchbery, S.K. Chamoli, and T. Kibédi, Phys. Rev. C 93, 031302(R) (2016).
- [376] B.J. Coombes, A.E. Stuchbery, A. Blazhev, H. Grawe, M.W. Reed, A. Akber, J.T.H. Dowie, M.S.M. Gerathy, T.J. Gray, T. Kibedi, A.J. Mitchell, T. Palazzo, Phys. Rev. C 100, 024322 (2019).
 - [377] J. Meyer-ter-Vehn, Nucl. Phys. A249, 111 (1975).
 - [378] J. Meyer-ter-Vehn, Nucl. Phys. A249, 141 (1975).
 - [379] J. Gizon, A. Gizon, and J. Meyer-ter-Vehn, Nucl. Phys. A277, 464 (1977).
- [380] P. Guazzoni, L. Zetta, A. Covello, A. Gargano, B.F. Bayman, G. Graw, R. Hertenberger, H.-F. Wirth, and M. Jaskóla, Phys. Rev. C 74, 054605 (2006).
 - [381] P. Guazzoni, L. Zetta, A. Covello, A. Gargano, B.F. Bayman, G. Graw, R. Hertenberger, H.-F. Wirth, T. Faestermann, and M. Jaskóla Phys. Rev. C 85, 054609 (2012).
- [382] P. Guazzoni, L. Zetta, A. Covello, A. Gargano, G. Graw, R. Hertenberger, H.-F. Wirth, and M. Jaskóla Phys. Rev. C **69**, 024619 (2004).
 - [383] P. Guazzoni, L. Zetta, A. Covello, A. Gargano, B.F. Bayman, T. Faestermann, G. Graw, R. Hertenberger, H.-F. Wirth, and M. Jaskóla Phys. Rev. C 83, 044614 (2011).
 - [384] P. Guazzoni, L. Zetta, A. Covello, A. Gargano, B.F. Bayman, T. Faestermann, G. Graw, R. Hertenberger, H.-F. Wirth, and M. Jaskóla Phys. Rev. C 78, 064608 (2008).
- [385] P. Guazzoni, M. Jaskóla, L. Zetta, A. Covello, A. Gargano, Y. Eisermann, G. Graw, R. Hertenberger, A. Metz, F. Nuoffer, and G. Staudt, Phys. Rev. C 60, 054603 (1999).
 - [386] J.H. Bjerregaard, O. Hansen, O. Nathan, R. Chapman, and S. Hinds, Nucl. Phys. A131, 481 (1969).
 - [387] J.H. Bjerregaard, O. Hansen, O. Nathan, R. Chapman, and S. Hinds, Nucl. Phys. A110, 1 (1968).
 - [388] J. Bron, W.H.A. Hesselink, A. Vanpoelgest, J.J.A. Zalmstra, M.J. Uitzinger, H. Verheul, K. Heyde, M. Waro-
- quier, H. Vincx, P. Van Isacker, Nucl. Phys. A318, 335 (1979).
 - [389] R. Wadsworth, H.R. Andrews, C.W. Beausang, R.M. Clark, J. DeGraaf, D.B. Fossan, A. Galindo-Uribarri, I.M. Hibbert, K. Hauschild, J.R. Hughes, V.P. Janzen, D.R. LaFosse, S.M. Mullins, E.S. Paul, L. Persson, S. Pilotte, D.C. Radford, H. Schnare, P. Vaska, D. Ward, J.N. Wilson, I. Ragnarsson, Phys. Rev. C 50 483 (1994).
- 3225 [390] R. Wadsworth, H.R. Andrews, R.M. Clark, D.B. Fossan, A. Galindo-Uribarri, J.R. Hughes, V.P. Janzen,

D.R. Lafosse, S.M. Mullins, E.S. Paul, D.C. Radford, H. Schnare, P. Vaska, D. Ward, J.N. Wilson, R. Wyss, Nucl. Phys. A559, 461 (1993).

- [391] M. Wolińska-Cichocka, J. Kownacki, W. Urban, E. Ruchowska, W.A. Płóciennik, B. Bekman, Ch. Droste, W. Gast, R. Lieder, W. Męczyński, M. Kisieliński, A. Kordyasz, M. Kowalczyk, P. Kowina, J. Iwanicki, T. Morek, J. Perkowski, J. Srebrny, A. Stolarz, and J. Styczeń, Eur. Phys. J. A24, 259 (2005).
- [392] H. Harada, T. Murakami, K. Yoshida, J. Kasagi, T. Inamura, T. Kubo, Phys. Lett. **B207**, 17 (1988).
- [393] M. Schimmer, S. Albers, A. Dewald, A. Gelberg, R. Wirowski, P. von Brentano, Nucl. Phys. A539, 527 (1992).
- [394] R. Wadsworth, R.M. Clark, J.A. Cameron, D.B. Fossan, I.M. Hibbert, V.P. Janzen, R. Krücken, G.J. Lane,
- I.Y. Lee, A.O. Macchiavelli, C.M. Parry, J.M. Sears, J.F. Smith, A.V. Afanasjev, I. Ragnarsson, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 1174 (1998).
- [395] J. Gableske, A. Dewald, H. Tiesler, M. Wilhelm, T. Klemme, O. Vogel, I. Schneider, R. Peusquens, S. Kasemann, K.O. Zell, P. von Brentano, P. Petkov, D. Bazzacco, C. Rossi Alvarez, S. Lunardi, G. de Angelis, M. de Poli, C. Fahlander, Nucl. Phys. A691, 551 (2001).
- [396] A. Bäcklin, N.G. Jonsson, R. Julin, J. Kantele, M. Lountama, A. Passoja, and T. Poikolainen, Nucl. Phys. A351, 490, (1981).
 - [397] M. Spieker, P. Petkov, E. Litvinova, C. Müller-Gatermann, S.G. Pickstone, S. Prill, P. Scholz, and A. Zilges, Phys. Rev. C 97, 054319 (2018).
 - [398] S. Ganguly, P. Banerjee, I. Ray, R. Kshetri, R. Raut, S. Bhattacharya, M. Saha-Sarkar, A. Goswami, S. Mukhopadhyay, A. Mukherjee, G. Mukherjee, S.K. Basu, Nucl. Phys. A789, 1 (2007).
- [399] C.M. Petrache, J.-M. Régis, C. Andreoiu, M. Spieker, C. Michelagnoli, P.E. Garrett, A. Astier, E. Dupont, F. Garcia, S. Guo, G. Häfner, J. Jolie, F. Kandzia, V. Karayonchev, Y.-H. Kim, L. Knafla, U. Köster, B.F. Lv, N. Marginean, C. Mihai, P. Mutti, K. Ortner, C. Porzio, S. Prill, N. Saed-Samii, W. Urban, J.R. Vanhoy, K. Whitmore, J. Wisniewski, and S.W. Yates, Phys. Rev. C 99, 024303 (2019).
 - [400] N.-G. Jonsson, A. Bäcklin, R. Julin, J. Kantele, M. Migahed, Institute of Physics, University of Uppsala, UUIP-1000 report (1979).
 - [401] K. Ortner, C. Andreoiu, M. Spieker, G.C. Ball, N. Bernier, H. Bidaman, V. Bildstein, M. Bowry, D.S. Cross, M.R. Dunlop, R. Dunlop, F.H. Garcia, A.B. Garnsworthy, P.E. Garrett, J. Henderson, J. Measures, B. Olaizola, J. Park, C.M. Petrache, J.L. Pore, K. Raymond, J.K. Smith, D. Southall, C.E. Svensson, M. Ticu, J. Turko, K. Whitmore, and T. Zidar, Phys. Rev. C 102, 024323 (2020).
 - [402] T. Togashi, Y. Tsunoda, T. Otsuka, N. Shimizu, and M. Honma, Phys. Rev. Lett. 121, 062501 (2018).
 - [403] J. Rikovska, N.J. Stone, P.M. Walker and W.B. Walters, Nucl. Phys. A505, 145 (1989).
 - [404] J.R. Vanhoy, R.T. Coleman, K.A. Crandell, S.F. Hicks, B.A. Sklaney, M.M. Walbran, N.V. Warr, J. Jolie, F. Corminboeuf, L. Genilloud, J. Kern, J.-L. Schenker, P.E. Garrett, Phys. Rev. C 68, 034315 (2003).
 - [405] S.F. Hicks, G.K. Alexander, C.A. Aubin, M.C. Burns, C.J. Collard, M.M. Walbran, J.R. Vanhoy, E. Jensen, P.E. Garrett, M. Kadi, A. Martin, N. Warr, and S.W. Yates, Phys. Rev. C 71, 034307 (2005).
 - [406] C. Mihai, A.A. Pasternak, D. Filipescu, M. Ivaşcu, D. Bucurescu, G. Căta-Danil, I. Căta-Danil, D. Deleanu, D.G. Ghiţă, T. Glodariu, Yu.N. Lobach, N. Mărginean, R. Mărginean, A. Negret, S. Pascu, T. Sava, L. Stroe, G. Suliman, and N.V. Zamfir, Phys. Rev. C 81, 034314 (2010).
 - [407] T. von Egidy, H.-F. Wirth, I. Tomandl, and J. Honzátko, Phys. Rev. C 74, 034319 (2006).
- [408] R. Georgii, T. von Egidy, J. Klora, H. Lindner, U. Mayerhofer, J. Ott, W. Schauer, P. von Neumann-Cosel, A. Richter, C. Schlegel, R. Schulz, V.A. Khitrov, A.M. Sukhovoj, A.V. Vojnov, J. Berzins, V. Bondarenko, P. Prokofjevs, L.J. Simonova, M. Grinberg, Ch. Stoyanov, Nucl. Phys. A592, 307 (1995).
 - [409] C. Doll, H. Lehmann, H.G. Börner, and T. von Egidy, Nucl. Phys. A672, 3 (2000).
 - [410] M. Matoba, M. Hyakutake, K. Yagi, and Y. Aoki, Nucl. Phys. A237, 260 (1975).
- [411] N. Kaffrell, P. Hill, J. Rogowski, H. Tetzlaff, N. Trautmann, E. Jacobs, P. De Gelder, D. De Frenne, K. Heyde, G. Skarnemark, J. Alstad, N. Blasi, M.N. Harakeh, W.A. Sterrenburg, K. Wolfsberg, Nucl. Phys. A460, 437 (1986).
 - [412] N. Kaffrell, P. Hill, J. Rogowski, H. Tetzlaff, N. Trautmann, E. Jacobs, P. de Gelder, D. de Frenne, K. Heyde, S. Börjesson, G. Skarnemark, J. Alstad, N. Blasi, M.N. Harakeh, W.A. Sterrenburg, K. Wolfsberg, Nucl. Phys. A470, 141 (1987).
 - [413] J. Rogowski, N. Kaffrell, D. De Frenne, K. Heyde, E. Jacobs, M.N. Harakeh, J.M. Schippers, S.Y. van der

3230

3235

3250

3255

3260

Werf, Phys. Lett. **207B**, 125 (1988).

- [414] J. Rogowski, J. Alstad, M.M. Fowler, D. De Frenne, K. Heyde, E. Jacobs, N. Kaffrell, G. Skarnemark, N. Trautmann, Z. Phys. A337, 233 (1990).
- [415] G. Lhersonneau, B. Pfeiffer, J. Alstad, P. Dendooven, K. Eberhardt, S. Hankonen, I. Klöck, K.-L. Kratz, A. Nähler, R. Malmbeck, J.P. Omtvedt, H. Penttilä, S. Schoedder, G. Skarnemark, N. Trautmann, J. Äystö, Eur. Phys. J. A1, 285 (1998).
 - [416] J. Äystö, C.N. Davids, J. Hattula, J. Honkanen, K. Honkanen, P. Jauho, R. Julin, S. Juutinen, J. Kumpulainen, T. Lönnroth, A. Pakkanen, A. Passoja, H. Penttilä, P. Taskinen, E. Verho, A. Virtanen, M. Yoshii, Nucl. Phys. A480, 104 (1988).
 - [417] G. Lhersonneau, J.C. Wang, S. Hankonen, P. Dendooven, P. Jones, R. Julin, J. Äystö, Phys. Rev. C 60, 014315 (1999).
 - [418] G. Lhersonneau, Y. Wang, R. Capote, J. Suhonen, P. Dendooven, J. Huikari, K. Peräjärvi, and J.C. Wang, Phys. Rev. C 67, 024303 (2003).
- [419] F.M. Prados-Estévez, E.E. Peters, A. Chakraborty, M.G. Mynk, D. Bandyopadhyay, N. Boukharouba, S.N. Choudry, B.P. Crider, P.E. Garrett, S.F. Hicks, A. Kumar, S.R. Lesher, C.J. McKay, M.T. McEllistrem, S. Mukhopadhyay, J.N. Orce, M. Scheck, J.R. Vanhoy, J.L. Wood, S.W. Yates, Phys. Rev. C 95, 034328 (2017).
 - [420] E.E. Peters, F.M. Prados-Estévez, A. Chakraborty, M.G. Mynk, D. Bandyopadhyay, N. Boukharouba, S.N. Choudry, B.P. Crider, P.E. Garrett, S.F. Hicks, A. Kumar, S.R. Lesher, C.J. McKay, M.T. McEllistrem,
 - S. Mukhopadhyay, J.N. Orce, M. Scheck, J.R. Vanhoy, J.L. Wood, and S.W. Yates, Eur. Phys. J. A52, 96 (2016). [421] G.G. Colvin, F. Hoyler, S.J. Robinson, J. Phys. G 13, 191 (1987).
 - [422] L.E. Svensson, Ph.D. thesis, Coulomb excitation of vibrational nuclei, Uppsala University, unpublished (1989).
 - [423] M. Luontama, R. Julin, J. Kantele, A. Passoja, W. Trzaska, A. Backlin, N.-G. Jonsson, L. Westerberg, Z. Phys. A324, 317 (1986).
- ³³⁰⁰ [424] S. Hinds, J.H. Bjerregaard, O. Hansem, and O. Nathan, Phys. Lett. **14**, 48 (1965).
 - [425] J.H. Bjerregaard, O. Hansen, O. Nathan, and S. Hinds, Nucl. Phys. 86, 145 (1966).
 - [426] P. Debenham and N.M. Hintz, Phys. Rev. Lett. 25, 44 (1970).
 - [427] P.Debenham and N.M.Hintz, Nucl. Phys. A195, 385 (1972).
 - [428] W. McLatchie, J.E. Kitching and W. Darcey, Phys. Lett. **B30**, 529 (1969).
- 3305 [429] W. McLatchie, J.E. Kitching and W. Darcey, Nucl. Phys. A159, 615 (1970).
 - [430] W. Oelert, G. Lindström and V. Reich, Nucl. Phys. A233, 237 (1974).
 - [431] J.R. Maxwell, G.M. Reynolds, and N.M. Hintz, Phys. Rev. 151, 1000 (1966).
 - [432] R. Chapman, W. McLatchie and J.E. Kitching, Nucl. Phys. A186, 603 (1972).
 - [433] Th.W. Elze, J.S. Boyno and J.R. Huizenga, Nucl. Phys. A187, 473 (1972).
- [434] D.G. Fleming, C. Günther, G. Hagemann, B. Herskind and P.O. Tjøm, Phys. Rev. C 8, 806 (1973).
 - [435] M.A.M. Shahabuddin, D.G. Burke, I. Nowikow and J.C. Waddington, Nuci. Phys. A340, 109 (1980).
 - [436] G. Løvhøiden, T.F. Thorsteinsen, E. Andersen, M.F. Kiziltan and D.G. Burke, Nucl. Phys. A494, 157 (1989).
 - [437] J.J. Kolata and M. Oothoudt, Phys. Rev. C 15, 1947 (1977).
 - [438] D.G. Burke, G. Løvhøiden, and T.F. Thorsteinsen, Nucl. Phys A483, 221 (1988).
- ³³¹⁵ [439] Tao Qu and D.G. Burke, Can. J. Phys. **70**, 1236 (1992).
- [440] S.P. Bvumbi, J.F. Sharpey-Schafer, P.M. Jones, S.M. Mullins, B.M. Nyakó, K. Juhász, R.A. Bark, L. Bianco, D. M. Cullen, D. Curien, P.E. Garrett, P.T. Greenlees, J. Hirvonen, U. Jakobsson, J. Kau, F. Komati, R. Julin, S. Juutinen, S. Ketelhut, A. Korichi, E.A. Lawrie, J.J. Lawrie, M. Leino, T.E. Madiba, S.N.T. Majola, P. Maine, A. Minkova, N.J. Ncapayi, P. Nieminen, P. Peura, P. Rahkila, L.L. Riedinger, P. Ruotsalainen, J. Saren, C. Scholey, J. Sorri, S. Stolze, J. Timar, J. Uusitalo, and P.A. Vymers, Phys. Rev. C 87, 044333 (2013).
- [441] S.N.T. Majola, Z. Shi, B.Y. Song, Z.P. Li, S.Q. Zhang, R.A. Bark, J.F. Sharpey-Schafer, D.G. Aschman, S.P. Bvumbi, T.D. Bucher, D.M. Cullen, T.S. Dinoko, J.E. Easton, N. Erasmus, P. T. Greenlees, D.J. Hartley, J. Hirvonen, A. Korichi, U. Jakobsson, P. Jones, S. Jongile, R. Julin, S. Juutinen, S. Ketelhut, B.V. Kheswa, N.A. Khumalo, E.A. Lawrie, J.J. Lawrie, R. Lindsay, T.E. Madiba, L. Makhathini, S.M. Maliage, B. Maqabuka, K.L.
- Malatji, P.L. Masiteng, P.I. Mashita, L. Mdletshe, A. Minkova, L. Msebi, S.M. Mullins, J. Ndayishimye, D. Negi, A. Netshiya, R. Newman, S.S. Ntshangase, R. Ntshodu, B.M. Nyakó, P. Papka, P. Peura, P. Rahkila, L.L. Riedinger, M.A. Riley, D.G. Roux, P. Ruotsalainen, J.J. Saren, C. Scholey, O. Shirinda, M.A. Sithole, J. Sorri,

3295

M. Stankiewicz, S. Stolze, J. Timár, J. Uusitalo, P. A. Vymers, M. Wiedeking, and G.L. Zimba, Phys. Rev. C 100, 044324 (2019).

- [442] F. Iachello et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 1191 (1998). 3330
 - [443] N.V. Zamfir et al., Phys. Rev. C 60, 054312 (1999).
 - [444] R.F. Casten et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 5000 (1999).
 - [445] F. Iachello, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 052502 (2001).
 - [446] R.F. Casten et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 052503 (2001)
- [447] K. Heyde et al., Phys. Rev. C 69, 054304 (2004). 3335
 - [448] P. Cejnar, J. Jolie, and R.F. Casten, Rev. Mod. Phys. 82, 2155 (2010).
 - [449] W.D. Kulp, J.L. Wood, K.S. Krane, J. Loats, P. Schmelzenbach, C.J. Stapels, R.-M. Larimer, and E.B. Norman, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 102501 (2003).
 - [450] W.D. Kulp, J.L. Wood, K.S. Krane, J. Loats, P. Schmelzenbach, C.J. Stapels, R.-M. Larimer, and E.B. Norman, Phys. Rev. C 69, 064309 (2004).
 - [451] W. D. Kulp, J.L. Wood, J.M. Allmond, J. Eimer, D. Furse, K.S. Krane, J. Loats, P. Schmelzenbach, C.J. Stapels, R.-M. Larimer, E.B. Norman, and A. Piechaczek, Phys. Rev. C 76, 034319 (2007).
 - [452] W.D. Kulp et al., arXiv:0706.4129 (2007).
 - [453] C.R. Hirning and D.G. Burke, Can. J. Phys. 55, 77 (1977).
- [454] D.E. Nelson, D.G. Burke, J.C. Waddington, and W.B. Cook, Can. J. Phys. 51, 2000 (1973). 3345
 - [455] I. Ragnarsson and R.A. Broglia, Nucl. Phys. A263, 315 (1976).
- [456] S.N.T. Majola, Z. Shi, B.Y. Song, Z.P. Li, S.Q. Zhang, R.A. Bark, J.F. Sharpey-Schafer, D.G. Aschman, S.P. Bvumbi, T.D. Bucher, D.M. Cullen, T.S. Dinoko, J.E. Easton, N. Erasmus, P.T. Greenlees, D.J. Hartley, J. Hirvonen, A. Korichi, U. Jakobsson, P. Jones, S. Jongile, R. Julin, S. Juutinen, S. Ketelhut, B.V. Kheswa, N.A. Khumalo, E.A. Lawrie, J.J. Lawrie, R. Lindsay, T.E. Madiba, L. Makhathini, S.M. Maliage, B. Maqabuka, K.L. 3350 Malatji, P.L. Masiteng, P.I. Mashita, L. Mdletshe, A. Minkova, L. Msebi, S.M. Mullins, J. Ndayishimye, D. Negi, A. Netshiya, R. Newman, S.S. Ntshangase, R. Ntshodu, B.M. Nyako, P. Papka, P. Peura, P. Rahkila, L.L. Riedinger, M.A. Riley, D.G. Roux, P. Ruotsalainen, J.J. Saren, C. Scholey, O. Shirinda, M.A. Sithole, J. Sorri, M. Stankiewicz, S. Stolze, J. Timar, J. Uusitalo, P.A. Vymers, M. Wiedeking, G.L. Zimba, Phys. Rev. C 100, 044324 (2019).

3340

- 3355
 - [457] D.J. Hartley, L.L. Riedinger, R.V.F. Janssens, S.N.T. Majola, M.A. Riley, J.M. Allmond, C.W. Beausang, M.P. Carpenter, C.J. Chiara, N. Cooper, D. Curien, B.J.P. Gall, P.E. Garrett, F.G. Kondev, W.D. Kulp, T. Lauritsen, E.A. McCutchan, D. Miller, S. Miller, J. Piot, N. Redon, J.F. Sharpey-Schafer, J. Simpson, I. Stefanescu, X. Wang, V. Werner, J.L. Wood, C.-H. Yu, S. Zhu, J. Dudek, Physl Rev. C 95, 014321 (2017).
- [458] J.F.Sharpey-Schafer, R.A.Bark, S.P.Bvumbi, E.A.Lawrie, J.J.Lawrie, T.E.Madiba, S.N.T.Majola, A.Minkova, 3360 S.M.Mullins, P.Papka, D.G.Roux, J.Timar, Nucl. Phys. A834, 45c (2010).
 - [459] J.F. Sharpey-Schafer, S.M. Mullins, R.A. Bark, J. Kau, F. Komati, E.A. Lawrie, J.J. Lawrie, T.E. Madiba, P. Maine, A. Minkova, S.H.T. Murray, N.J.Ncapayi, P.A.Vymers, Eur. Phys. J. A47, 5 (2011).
 - [460] J.F. Sharpey-Schafer, T.E. Madiba, S.P. Bvembi, E.A. Lawrie, J.J. Lawrie, A. Minkova, S.M. Mullins, P. Papka, G.D. Roux, and J. timar, Eur. Phys. J. A47, 6 (2011).
 - [461] J.F. Sharpey-Schafer, R.A. Bark, S.P. Bvumbi, T.R.S. Dinoko, and S.N.T. Majola, Eur.Phys.J. A 55, 15 (2019). [462] T. Hayakawa, M. Oshima, Y. Hatsukawa, J. Katakura, H. Iimura, M. Matsuda, N. Shinohara, Y. Toh, S. Mitarai, T. Shizuma, M. Sugawara, and H. Kusakari, Eur. Phys. J. A9, 153 (2000).
- [463] S.N.T. Majola, R.A. Bark, L. Bianco, T.D. Bucher, S.P. Bvumbi, D.M. Cullen, P.E. Garrett, P.T. Greenlees, D. Hartley, J. Hirvonen, U. Jakobsson, P.M. Jones, R. Julin, S. Juutinen, S. Ketelhut, B.V. Kheswa, A. Korichi, 3370 E.A. Lawrie, P.L. Masiteng, B. Maqabuka, L. Mdletshe, A. Minkova, J. Ndayishimye, P. Nieminen, R. Newman, B.M. Nyakó, S.S. Ntshangase, P. Peura, P. Rahkila, L.L. Riedinger, M. Riley, D. Roux, P. Ruotsalainen, J. Saren,
 - J.F. Sharpey-Schafer, C. Scholey, O. Shirinda, A. Sithole, J. Sorri, S. Stolze, J. Timár, J. Uusitalo, and G. Zimba, Phys. Rev. C 100, 034322 (2019).
- [464] P.E. Garrett, W.D. Kulp, J.L. Wood, D. Bandyopadhyay, S. Choudry, D. Dashdorj, S.R. Lesher, M.T. McEl-3375 listrem, M. Mynk, J.N. Orce, and S.W. Yates, Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 062501 (2009).
 - [465] J. Bonn, G. Huber, H. Kluge, L. Kluger, and E. Otten, Phys. Lett. B 38, 308 (1972).
 - [466] T. Kühl, P. Dabkiewicz, C. Duke, H. Fischer, H.-J. Kluge, H. Kremmling, and E.-W. Otten, Phys. Rev. Lett. 39,

180 (1977).

- ³³⁸⁰ [467] B. Marsh, T. Day Goodacre, S. Sels, Y. Tsunoda, B. Andel, A.N. Andreyev, N.A. Althubiti, D. Atanasov, A.E. Barzakh, J. Billowes *et al.*, Nature **14**, 1163 (2018).
 - [468] S. Sels, T. Day Goodacre, B.A. Marsh, A. Pastore, W. Ryssens, Y. Tsunoda, N. Althubiti, B. Andel, A.N. Andreyev, D. Atanasov *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C 99, 044306 (2019).
 - [469] P. Dabkiewicz, F. Buchinger, H. Fischer, H.-J. Kluge, H. Kremmling, T. Kühl, A.C. Müller, and H.A. Schuessler, Phys. Lett. B 82, 199 (1979).
 - [470] D. Proetel, R.M. Diamond, P. Kienle, J.R. Leigh, K.H. Maier, and F.S. Stephens Phys. Rev. Lett. 31, 896 (1973).
 - [471] N. Rud, D. Ward, H.R. Andrews, R.L. Graham, J.S. Geiger, Phys. Rev. Lett. 31, 1421 (1973).
 - [472] R. Béraud, C. Bourgeois, M. Desthuilliers, P. Kilcher, J. Letessier, J. Phys. Colloques 36, C5-101 (1975).
- ³³⁹⁰ [473] C. Bourgeois, M. Bouet, A. Caruette, A. Ferro, R. Foucher, J. Fournet, A. Höglund, L. Kotfila, G. Landois, C. Liang *et al.*, J. Phys. France **37**, 49 (1976).
 - [474] J.D. Cole, J.H. Hamilton, A.V. Ramayya, W.G. Nettles, H. Kawakami, E.H. Spejewski, M.A. Ijaz, K.S. Toth, E.L. Robinson, K.S.R. Sastry *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **37**, 1185 (1976).
 - [475] J.H. Hamilton, A.V. Ramayya, E.L. Bosworth, W. Lourens, J.D. Cole, B. Van Nooijen, G. Garcia-Bermudez, B. Martin, B.N.S. Rao, H. Kawakami *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **35**, 562 (1975).
 - [476] M. Muikku, J.F.C. Cocks, K. Helariutta, P. Jones, R. Julin, S. Juutinen, H. Kankaanää, H. Kettunen, P. Kuusiniemi, M. Leino et al., Phys. Rev. C 58, R3033(R) (1998).
 - [477] J. Wauters, N. Bijnens, P. Dendooven, M. Huyse, Han Yull Hwang, G. Reusen, J. von Schwarzenberg, P. Van Duppen, R. Kirchner *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 72, 1329 (1994).
- ³⁴⁰⁰ [478] J. Wauters, N. Bijnens, H. Folger, M. Huyse, H.Y. Hwang, R. Kirchner, J. von Schwarzenberg, P. Van Duppen, Phys. Rev. C **50**, 2768 (1994).
 - [479] G.D. Dracoulis, Phys. Rev. C 49, 3324 (1994).
 - [480] P. Joshi, E. Zganjar, D. Rupnik, S. Robinson, P. Mantica, H. Carter, J. Kormicki, R. Gill, W. Walters, C. Bingham et al., Int. J. Mod. Phys. E 3, 757 (1994).
- [481] L.P. Gaffney, M. Hackstein, R.D. Page, T. Grahn, M. Scheck, P.A. Butler, P.F. Bertone, N. Bree, R.J. Carroll, M.P. Carpenter *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C 89, 024307 (2014).
 - [482] R.D. Page, A.N. Andreyev, D.R. Wiseman, P.A. Butler, T. Grahn, P.T. Greenlees, R.D. Herzberg, M. Huyse, G.D. Jones, P.M. Jones *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C 84, 034308 (2011).
 - [483] E. Rapisarda, A.N. Andreyev, S. Antalic, A. Barzakh, T.E. Cocolios, I.G. Darby, R.D. Groote, H.D. Witte, J. Diriken, J. Elseviers *et al.*, J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. **44**, 074001 (2017).
 - [484] J.D. Cole, A.V. Ramayya, J.H. Hamilton, H. Kawakami, B. van Nooijen, W.G. Nettles, L.L. Riedinger, F.E. Turner, C.R. Bingham, H.K. Carter *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C 16, 2010 (1977).
 - [485] M. Scheck, P.A. Butler, L.P. Gaffney, N. Bree, R.J. Carrol, D. Cox, T. Grahn, P.T. Greenlees, K. Hauschild, A. Herzan *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C 83, 037303 (2011).
- [486] T. Grahn, A. Petts, M. Scheck, P.A. Butler, A. Dewald, M.B. Gomez Hornillos, P.T. Greenlees, A. Görgen, K. Helariutta, J. Jolie *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C 80, 014324 (2009).
 - [487] M. Scheck, T. Grahn, A. Petts, P.A. Butler, A. Dewald, L.P. Gaffney, M.B. Gomez Hornillos, A. Görgen, P.T. Greenlees, K. Helariutta *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C 81, 014310 (2010).
 - [488] W. Ma, A. Ramayya, J. Hamilton, S. Robinson, J. Cole, E. Zganjar, E. Spejewski, R. Bengtsson, W. Nazarewicz, J.Y. Zhang, Phys. Lett. B 167, 277 (1986).
 - [489] B. Olaizola, A.B. Garnsworthy, F.A. Ali, C. Andreoiu, G.C. Ball, N. Bernier, H. Bidaman, V. Bildstein, M. Bowry, R. Caballero-Folch *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C 100, 024301 (2019).
 - [490] M. Siciliano, I. Zanon, A. Goasduff, P.R. John, T.R. Rodriguez, S. Péru, I. Deloncle, J. Libert, M. Zielińska, D. Ashad *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C 102, 014318 (2020).
- [491] N. Bree, K. Wrzosek-Lipska, A. Petts, A. Andreyev, B. Bastin, M. Bender, A. Blazhev, B. Bruyneel, P.A. Butler, J. Butterworth *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **112**, 162701 (2014).
 - [492] K. Wrzosek-Lipska, K. Rezynkina, N. Bree, M. Zielińska, L.P. Gaffney, A. Petts, A. Andreyev, B. Bastin, M. Bender, A. Blazhev *et al.*, Eur. Phys. J. A 55, 130 (2019).
 - [493] C. Müller-Gatermann, A. Dewald, C. Fransen, K. Auranen, H. Badran, M. Beckers, A. Blazhev, T. Braunroth,

3420

3410

3395

- 3430 D.M. Cullen, G. Fruet *et al.* Phys. Rev. C 99, 054325 (2019).
 - [494] K. Hardt, Y.K. Agarwal, C. Günther, M. Guttormsen, R. Kroth, J. Recht, F.A. Beck, T. Byrski, J.C. Merdinger, A. Nourredine, D.C. Radford, J.P. Vivien and C. Bourgeois, Z. Phys. A 312, 251 (1983).
 - [495] G.D. Dracoulis, T. Lonnroth, S. Vajda, O.C. Kistner, M.H. Rafailovich, E. Dafni, and G. Schatz, Phys. Lett. B 149, 311 (1984).
- [496] M. Vergnes, G. Berrier-Ronsin, G. Rotbard, J. Skalski, and W. Nazarewicz, Nucl. Phys. A 514, 381 (1990).
 - [497] C. Bernards, R.F. Casten, V. Werner, P. von Brentano, D. Bucurescu, G. Graw, S. Heinze, R. Hertenberger, J. Jolie, S. Lalkovski *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C 87, 064321 (2013).
 - [498] A.N. Andreyev, M. Huyse, P. Van Duppen, L. Weissman, D. Ackermann, J. Gerl, F.P. Hessberger, S. Hofmann, A. Kleinböhl, G. Münzenberg *et al.*, Nature **405**, 430 (2000).
- [499] A.N. Andreyev, D. Ackermann, P. Cagarda, J. Gerl, F. Hessberger, S. Hofmann, M. Huyse, A. Keenan, H. Kettunen, A. Kleinböhl *et al.*, Eur. Phys. J. A 6, 381 (1999).
 - [500] K. Van de Vel, A.N. Andreyev, D. Ackermann, H.J. Boardman, P. Cagarda, J. Gerl, F.P. Hessberger, S. Hofmann, M. Huyse, D. Karlgren *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C 68, 054311 (2003).
 - [501] J. Wauters, P. Dendooven, M. Huyse, G. Reusen, P. Van Duppen, P. Lievens, Phys. Rev. C 47, 1447 (1993).
- ³⁴⁴⁵ [502] T.E. Cocolios, W. Dexters, M.D. Seliverstov, A.N. Andreyev, S. Antalic, A.E. Barzakh, B. Bastin, J. Büscher, I.G. Darby, D.V. Fedorov *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 106, 052503 (2011).
 - [503] M.D. Seliverstov, T.E. Cocolios, W. Dexters, A.N. Andreyev, S. Antalic, A.E. Barzakh, B. Bastin, J. Büscher, I.G. Darby, D.V. Fedorov *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **719**, 362 (2013).
- [504] W. Reviol, C.J. Chiara, O. Pechenaya, D.G. Sarantites, P. Fallon, and A.O. Macchiavelli, Phys. Rev. C 68, 054317 (2003).
 - [505] J. Pakarinen, I.G. Darby, S. Eeckhaudt, T. Enqvist, T. Grahn, P.T. Greenlees, V. Hellemans, K. Heyde, F. Johnston-Theasby, P. Jones, *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C 72, 011304(R) (2005).
 - [506] Y. Le Coz, F. Becker, H. Kankaanpää, W. Korten, E. Mergel, P.A. Butler, J.F.C. Cocks, O. Dorvaux, D. Hawcroft, K. Helariutta *et al.*, Eur. Phys. J. Direct A 3, 1 (1999).
- ³⁴⁵⁵ [507] N. Bijnens, I. Ahmad, A.N. Andreyev, J.C. Batchelder, C.R. Bingham, D. Blumenthal, B.C. Busse, X.S. Chen, L.F. Conticchio, C.N. Davids *et al.*, Z. Phys. A 356, 3 (1996).
 - [508] R.G. Allatt, R.D. Page, M. Leino, T. Enqvist, K. Eskola, P.T. Greenlees, P. Jones, R. Julin, P. Kuusiniemi, W.H. Trzaska *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B 437, 29 (1998).
- [509] G.D. Dracoulis, G.J. Lane, A.P. Byrne, T. Kibedi, A.M. Baxter, A.O. Macchiavelli, P. Fallon, and R.M. Clark, Phys. Rev. C 69, 054318 (2004).
 - [510] G.D. Dracoulis, A.P. Byrne, and A.M. Baxter, Phys. Lett. B 432, 37 (1998).
 - [511] P. Dendooven, P. Decrock, M. Huyse, G. Reusen, P. Van Duppen, and J. Wauters, Phys. Lett. B 226, 27 (1989).
 - [512] P. Rahkila, D.G. Jenkins, J. Pakarinen, C. Gray-Jones, P.T. Greenlees, U. Jakobsson, P. Jones, R. Julin, S. Juutinen, S. Ketelhut *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C 82, 011303(R) (2010).
- [513] D.G. Jenkins, M. Muikku, P.T. Greenlees, K. Hauschild, K. Helariutta, P.M. Jones, R. Julin, S. Juutinen, H. Kankaanpää, N.S. Kelsall *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C 62, 021302(R) (2000).
 - [514] J.F. Cocks, M. Muikku, W. Korten, R. Wadsworth, S. Chmel, J. Domscheit, P.T. Greenlees, K. Helariutta, I. Hibbert *et al.*, Eur. Phys. J. A 3, 17 (1998).
 - [515] T. Grahn, A. Dewald, O. Möller, R. Julin, C.W. Beausang, S. Christen, I.G. Darby, S. Eeckhaudt, P.T. Greenlees, A. Görgen *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 062501 (2006).
 - [516] G.D. Dracoulis, Phys. Scr. 2000, 54 (2000).
 - [517] G.D. Dracoulis, A.P. Byrne, A.M. Baxter, P.M. Davidson, T. Kibedi, T.R. McGoram, R.A. Bark, and S.M. Mullins, Phys. Rev. C 60, 014303 (1999).
 - [518] N. Bijnens, P. Decrock, S. Franchoo, M. Gaelens, M. Huyse, H.-Y. Hwang, I. Reusen, J. Szerypo, J. von
 - Schwarzenberg, J. Wauters et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 75, 4571 (1995).
 - [519] D. Alber, R. Airier, C.E. Bach, D.B. Fossan, H. Grawe, H. Kluge, M. Lach, K.H. Maier, M. Schramm, R. Schubart *et al.*, Z. Phys. A 339, 225 (1991).
 - [520] R. Julin, K. Helariutta, and M. Muikku, J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. 27, R109 (2001).
- [521] N. Kesteloot, B. Bastin, L.P. Gaffney, K. Wrzosek-Lipska, K. Auranen, C. Bauer, M. Bender, V. Bildstein, A. Blazhev, S. Bönig *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C 92, 054301 (2015).
 - 126

- [522] B. Andel, A.N. Andreyev, S. Antalic, F.P. Hessberger, D. Ackermann, S. Hofmann, M. Huyse, Z. Kalaninova, B. Kindler, I. Kojouharov *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C 93, 064316 (2016).
- [523] L.A. Bernstein, J.A. Cizewski, H.-Q. Jin, W. Younes, R.G. Henry, L.P. Farris, A. Charos, M.P. Carpenter, R.V.F. Janssens, T.L. Khoo *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C 52, 621 (1995).
- 3485 [524] K. Helariutta, J.F.C. Cocks, T. Enqvist, P.T. Greenlees, P. Jones, R. Julin, S. Juutinen, P. Jämsen, H. Kankaanpää, H. Kettunen *et al.*, Eur. Phys. J. A 6, 289 (1999).
 - [525] B. Cederwall, R. Wyss, A. Johnson, J. Nyberg, B. Fant, R. Chapman, D. Clarke, F. Khazaie, J. C. Lisle, J.N. Mo *et al.*, Z. Phys. A 337, 283 (1990).
 - [526] G.D. Dracoulis, A.E. Stuchbery, A.P. Byrne, A.R. Poletti, S.J. Poletti, J. Gerl, and R.A. Bark, J. Phys. G: Nucl. Phys. 12, L97 (1986).
 - [527] P.R. John, J.J. Valiente-Dobon, D. Mengoni, V. Modamio, S. Lunardi, D. Bazzacco, A. Gadea, C. Wheldon, T.R. Rodriguez, T. Alexander *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C 95, 064321 (2017).
 - [528] C. Fransen, F. Mammes, R. Bark, T. Braunroth, Z. Buthelezi, A. Dewald, T. Dinoko, S. Förtsch, M. Hackstein, J. Jolie *et al.*, EPJ Web of Conferences 223, 01016 (2019).
- [529] C. Müller-Gatermann, A. Dewald, C. Fransen, T. Braunroth, J. Jolie, J. Litzinger, J.M. Régis, F. von Spee, N. Warr, K.O. Zell, T. Grahn *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C 97, 024336 (2018).
 - [530] C. Müller-Gatermann, F. von Spee, A. Goasduff, D. Bazzacco, M. Beckers, T. Braunroth, A. Boso, P. Cocconi, G. de Angelis, A. Dewald *et al.*, Nucl. Inst. Meth. Phys. Res. A 920, 95 (2019).
 - [531] C.B. Li, F.Q. Chen, X.G. Wu, C.Y. He, Y. Zheng, G.S. Li, Q.M. Chen, Z.C. Gao, Q.L. Xia, W.P. Zhou *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C 90, 047302 (2014).
 - [532] K.A. Gladnishki, P. Petkov, A. Dewald, C. Fransen, M. Hackstein, J. Jolie, T. Pissulla, W. Rother, and K. Zell, Nucl. Phys. A 877, 19 (2012).
 - [533] J.C. Walpe, U. Garg, S. Naguleswaran, J. Wei, W. Reviol, I. Ahmad, M.P. Carpenter, and T.L. Khoo, Phys. Rev. C 85, 057302 (2012).
- ³⁵⁰⁵ [534] Aman Rohilla, C.K. Gupta, R.P. Singh, S. Muralithar, S. Chakraborty, H.P. Sharma, A. Kumar, I.M. Govil, D.C. Biswas, and S.K. Chamoli, Eur. Phys. J. A 53, 64 (2017).
 - [535] A.E. Stuchbery, S.S. Anderssen, A.P. Byrne, P.M. Davidson, G.D. Dracoulis, and G.J. Lane, Phys. Rev. Lett. 76, 2246 (1996).
 - [536] S.S. Anderssen, A.E. Stuchbery, S. Kuyucak, Nucl. Phys. A 593, 212 (1995).

3500

3520

- [537] F. Brandolini, N.H. Medina, A.E. Stuchbery, S.S. Anderssen, H.H. Bolotin, D. Bazzacco, D. De Acuña, M. De Poli, R. Menegazzo, P. Pavan *et al.*, Eur. Phys. J. A 3, 129 (1998).
 - [538] E. Coenen, K. Deneffe, M. Huyse, P. Van Duppen, and J.L. Wood, Phys. Rev. Lett. 54, 1783 (1985).
 - [539] H. Kettunen, T. Enqvist, T. Grahn, P.T. Greenlees, P. Jones, R. Julin, S. Juutinen, A. Keenan, P. Kuusiniemi, M. Leino *et al.*, Eur. Phys. J. A 17, 537 (2003).
- [540] A.N. Andreyev, D. Ackermann, F.P. Hessberger, K. Heyde, S. Hofmann, M. Huyse, D. Karlgren, I. Kojouharov, B. Kindler, B. Lommel *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C 69, 054308 (2004).
 - [541] A.E. Barzakh, A.N. Andreyev, T.E. Cocolios, R.P. de Groote, D.V. Fedorov, V.N. Fedosseev, R. Ferrer, D.A. Fink, L. Ghys, M. Huyse *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C 95, 014324 (2017).
 - [542] A.E. Barzakh, D. Atanasov, A.N. Andreyev, M. Al Monthery, N.A. Althubiti, B. Andel, S. Antalic, K. Blaum, T.E. Cocolios, J.G. Cubiss *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C 101, 064321 (2020).
 - [543] A.E. Barzakh, D.V. Fedorov, V.S. Ivanov, P.L. Molkanov, F.V. Moroz, S.Yu. Orlov, V.N. Panteleev, M D. Seliverstov, and Yu.M. Volkov, Phys. Rev. C 95, 044324 (2017).
 - [544] A.E. Barzakh, L.Kh. Batist, D.V. Fedorov, V.S. Ivanov, K.A. Mezilev, P.L. Molkanov, F.V. Moroz, S.Yu. Orlov, V.N. Panteleev, and Yu.M. Volkov, Phys. Rev. C 88, 024315 (2013).
- [545] A.E. Barzakh, D.V. Fedorov, V.S. Ivanov, P.L. Molkanov, F.V. Moroz, S.Yu. Orlov, V.N. Panteleev, M D. Seliverstov, and Yu.M. Volkov, Phys. Rev. C 94, 024334 (2016).
 - [546] A. Hürstel, Y. LeCoz, E. Bouchez, A. Chatillon, A. Görgen, P.T. Greenlees, K. Hauschild, S. Juutinen, H. Kettunen et al., Eur. Phys. J. A 21, 365 (2004).
 - [547] P. Nieminen, S. Juutinen, A.N. Andreyev, J.F.C. Cocks, O. Dorvaux, K. Eskola, P.T. Greenlees, K. Hauschild, K. Helariutta, M. Huyse *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C 69, 064326 (2004).
 - [548] M. Nyman, S. Juutinen, D.M. Cullen, I. Darby, S. Eeckhaudt, T. Grahn, P.T. Greenlees, A. Herzan, U. Jakobs-

son, P. Jones et al., Eur. Phys. J. A 51, 31 (2015).

[549] J.C. Walpe, U. Garg, S. Naguleswaran et al., Acta Phys. Pol. B 26, 279 (1995).

- [550] G.J. Lane, G.D. Dracoulis, A.P. Byrne, P.M. Walker, A.M. Baxter, J.A. Sheikh, and W. Nazarewicz, Nucl. Phys. A 586, 316 (1995).
- [551] J. Sauvage, J. Genevey, B. Roussière, S. Franchoo, A.N. Andreyev, N. Barré, J.-F. Clavelin, H. De Witte, D.V. Fedorov, V.N. Fedoseyev *et al.*, Eur. Phys. J. A 39, 33 (2009).
- [552] P.M. Raddon, D.G. Jenkins, C.D. O'Leary, A.J. Simons, R. Wadsworth, A.N. Andreyev, R.D. Page, M.P. Carpenter, F.G. Kondev, T. Enqvist *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C 70, 064308 (2004).
- 3540 [553] S.K. Chamoli, P. Joshi, A. Kumar, Rajesh Kumar, R.P. Singh, S. Muralithar, R.K. Bhowmik, and I.M. Govil, Phys. Rev. C 71, 054324 (2005).
 - [554] S.K. Chamoli, Rajesh Kumar, I.M. Govil, P. Joshi, R.P. Singh, S. Muralithar, and R.K. Bhowmik, Phys. Rev. C 75, 054323 (2007).
- [555] P. Joshi, A. Kumar, I.M. Govil, R.P. Singh, G. Mukherjee, S. Muralithar, R.K. Bhowmik, and U. Garg, Phys. Rev. C 69, 044304 (2004).
 - [556] D. Rupnik, E.F. Zganjar, J.L. Wood, P.B. Semmes, and P.F. Mantica, Phys. Rev. C 58, 771 (1998).
 - [557] C.D. Papanicolopulos, M.A. Grimm, J.L. Wood, E.F. Zganjar, M.O. Kortelahti, J.D. Cole, and H.K. Carter, Z. Phys. A 330, 371 (1988).
 - [558] M. Venhart, F.A. Ali, W. Ryssens, J.L. Wood, D.T. Joss, A.N. Andreyev, K. Auranen, B. Bally, M. Balogh, M. Bender *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C 95, 061302(R) (2017).
 - [559] M. Venhart, A.N. Andreyev, J.L. Wood, S. Antalic, L. Bianco, P.T. Greenlees, U. Jakobsson, P. Jones, R. Julin, S. Juutinen *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B 695, 82 (2011).
 - [560] M. Venhart, J.L. Wood, M. Sedlak, M. Balogh, M. Birova, A.J. Boston, T.E. Cocolios, L.J. Harkness-Brennan, R.-D. Herzberg, L. Holub *et al.*, J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. 44, 074003 (2017).
- [561] M. Sedlak, M. Venhart, J.L. Wood, V. Matousek, M. Balogh, A.J. Boston, T.E. Cocolios, L.J. Harkness-Brennan, R.-D. Herzberg, D.T. Joss *et al.*, Eur. Phys. J. A 56, 161 (2020).
 - [562] C. Santamaria, C. Louchart, A. Obertelli, V. Werner, P. Doornenbal, F. Nowacki, G. Authelet, H. Baba, D. Calvet, F. Château *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 115, 192501 (2015). doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.192501
- [563] A. Obertelli, T. Baugher, D. Bazin, J.-P. Delaroche, F. Flavigny, A. Gade, M. Girod, T. Glasmacher, A. Görgen,
 G.F. Grinyer, W. Korten, J. Ljungvall, S. McDaniel, A. Ratkiewicz, B. Sulignano, and D. Weisshaar, Phys. Rev. C 80, 031304 (2009). doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.80.031304
 - [564] R.D.O. Llewellyn, M.A. Bentley, R. Wadsworth, H. Iwasaki, J. Dobaczewski, G. de Angelis, J. Ash, D. Bazin, P.C. Bender, B. Cederwall *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 124, 152501 (2020).
 - [565] M. Albers, K. Nomura, N. Warr, A. Blazhev, J. Jolie, D. Mücher, B. Bastin, C. Bauer, C. Bernards, L. Bettermann et al., Nucl. Phys. A 899, 1 (2013). doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2013.01.013
 - [566] T.R. Rodríguez, Phys. Rev. C 90, 034306 (2014). doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.90.034306

3550

3565