

# Differential equations properties and $\psi$ function W Oukil

#### ▶ To cite this version:

W Oukil. Differential equations properties and  $\psi$  function. 2022. hal-03581978v7

# HAL Id: hal-03581978 https://hal.science/hal-03581978v7

Preprint submitted on 14 Apr 2022 (v7), last revised 26 Nov 2023 (v25)

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

## Differential equations properties and $\psi$ function

#### W. Oukil

Laboratory of Dynamical Systems, Faculty of Mathematics. University of Science and Technology Houari Boumediene, BP 32 EL ALIA 16111 Bab Ezzouar, Algiers, Algeria.

April 14, 2022

#### Abstract

Using the differential equations properties, we study the function  $\psi$  which is a function defined by an integral. The particular case of this function coincide with the function  $s\mapsto \zeta(s)/s$ , where  $\zeta$  is the Riemann Zeta function. In the end of the paper, we find that  $\frac{\zeta(s)}{s}\neq -\frac{\zeta(1-s)}{1-s}$  for every  $\Re(s)\in(0,\frac{1}{2})$  and  $\Im(s)>0$ . Thanks to the Riemann functional equation, we deduce that any *non-trivial zero* of  $\zeta$  is of real part equal to  $\frac{1}{2}$ .

**Keywords:** Linear differential equation, Zeta function, Riemann hypothesis.

### 1 Main result

Let be  $\eta: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}$  be a bounded function. We study the function  $\psi[\eta]: (0,1) \times \mathbb{R}_+^* \to \mathbb{C}$  defined as

$$\forall x \in (0,1), \ \forall \tau > 0: \quad \psi[\eta](x,\tau) := \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{\eta(t)}{t^{1+x+i\tau}} dt,$$
 (1)

We focus about the function  $\eta: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}$  satisfying the following hypotheses

 $H_1: \exists \omega > 0: 0 \le \eta(t) < \omega \text{ for all } t \ge 0,$ 

 $H_2$ : there exists an at most countable set  $A \subset \mathbb{R}_+$  such that  $\eta$  is  $C^1$  on  $\mathbb{R}_+/A$ .

We prove in this paper the following Main result

W. Oukil

**Main Result.** Consider the function  $\psi$  defined by Equation (1). Then, for every function  $\eta: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}$  that satisfies the hypotheses  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  we have

$$\|\psi[\eta](x,\tau) - \psi[\eta](1-x,\tau)\| \neq 0, \quad \forall x \in (0,\frac{1}{2}), \ \forall \tau > 0.$$

## 2 Main proposition

In this Section we study the function  $\psi$ , defined in Equation (1), by transforming the problem to some second order differential equation.

**Notation 1.** Let  $\eta : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}$  be a function that satisfies  $H_1$  and  $H_2$ . For every  $r \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\tau > 0$  define the functions  $t \mapsto h_r(\eta, t)$  as

$$h_r(\eta, t) := 2\exp(-\frac{1}{2}t)[\eta(\exp(t)) + 1]\sinh(rt), \quad \forall t \ge 0.$$

In order to simplify the notation we denote  $h_r(t) := h_r(\eta, t)$  for all  $t \ge 0$ .

Use the change of variable,  $t \to \exp(u)$ , in Equation (1), we remark that

$$\|\psi[\eta](\frac{1}{2} - r, \tau) - \psi[\eta](\frac{1}{2} + r, \tau)\| = \|\int_0^{+\infty} h_r(s) \exp(i\tau s) ds\|,$$
$$\forall r \in (0, \frac{1}{2}), \ \forall \tau > 0.$$

The aim is to study the behavior of the function

$$t \mapsto \int_0^t h_r(s) \exp(i\tau s) ds, \quad t \ge 0,$$

and prove that its limit is not equal to zero. In the following Proposition we study the differential equation of solution the function

$$t \mapsto \tau \sin(\tau t) \int_0^t \sin(\tau u) h_r(u) du + \tau \cos(\tau t) \int_0^t \cos(\tau u) h_r(u) du.$$

In order to simplify the notation, denote

$$\alpha_r := \lim_{t \to +\infty} \int_0^t h_r(s) ds.$$

**Proposition 2.** Let  $\eta: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}$  be a function that satisfies  $H_1$  and  $H_2$ . Then for every  $r \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$  and every  $\tau > 0$  the unique solution  $t \mapsto \delta_{\tau,r}(t)$  of the following differential equation

$$\frac{d}{dt}\delta_{\tau,r}(t) = -\tau^2 \int_0^t \delta_{\tau,r}(u)du + \tau h_r(t),$$

$$t \in \mathbb{R}/\ln(A), \ \delta_{\tau,r}(0) = 0,$$
(2)

satisfies

$$\liminf_{t \to +\infty} \delta_{\tau,r}(t) < 0.$$

**Lemma 3.** For every  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $r \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$  and every  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}^*$  let  $t \mapsto \Delta_{\tau,r}(\epsilon, t)$  be the function defined as

$$\Delta_{\tau,r}(\epsilon,t) = \frac{1}{\tau} \Big[ \alpha_r \Big( 1 - \cos(\tau t) \Big) - \epsilon \sin(\tau t) \Big], \ \forall t \ge 0.$$

Then  $\Delta_{\tau,r}(\epsilon,t)$  is the unique  $C^{\infty}$  solution of the following differential equation

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} \Delta_{\tau,r}(\epsilon, t) = -\tau^2 \Delta_{\tau,r}(\epsilon, t) + \tau \alpha_r, 
\Delta_{\tau,r}(\epsilon, 0) = 0, \quad \frac{d}{dt} \Delta_{\tau,r}(\epsilon, T) = -\epsilon, \quad t \ge 0.$$
(3)

In addition,

$$\forall \epsilon > 0, \ \forall r \in (0, \frac{1}{2}), \ \forall \tau > 0: \quad \liminf_{t \to +\infty} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \Delta_{\tau, r}(\epsilon, t) < 0.$$

Proof of the Proposition 2. Let be  $r \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$  and  $\tau > 0$ . The strategy to prove the present Proposition is to shows, for some  $\epsilon > 0$ , that

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} \Delta_{\tau,r}(\epsilon,t) > \delta_{\tau,r}(t), \quad \forall t \ge 0.$$

Using the hypothesis  $H_2$ , the fact  $\delta_{\tau,r}$  is the continuous solution and the fact  $\delta_{\tau,r}(0) = 0$ , by Equation (2), we get

$$\delta_{\tau,r}(t) = -\tau^2 \int_0^t \int_0^s \delta_{\tau,r}(u) du ds + \tau \int_0^t h_r(u) du.$$

Then

$$\frac{d}{dt}\delta_{\tau,r}(t) = \tau^4 \int_0^t \int_0^v \int_0^s \delta_{\tau,r}(u) du ds dv - \tau^3 \int_0^t \int_0^s h_r(u) du ds + \tau h_r(t).$$

W. Oukil

In order to simplify the notation, denote

$$\theta_{\tau,r}(t) := \int_0^t \int_0^s \delta_{\tau,r}(u) du ds, \quad \forall t \ge 0.$$

Then for every  $t \in ln(A)$  we get

$$\frac{d^3}{dt^3}\theta_{\tau,r}(t) = \tau^4 \int_0^t \theta_{\tau,r}(u) du - \tau^3 \int_0^t \int_0^s h_r(u) du ds + \tau h_r(t).$$

Let be  $t\mapsto \Delta_{\tau,r}(\epsilon,t)$  as defined in Lemma 3. We have

$$\frac{d^3}{dt^3} \Delta_{\tau,r}(\epsilon,t) = \tau^4 \int_0^t \Delta_{\tau,r}(\epsilon,t) - \tau^3 \alpha_r t + [\tau^2 \epsilon + \tau \alpha_r]$$

**Implies** 

$$\frac{d^3}{dt^3} \left[ \Delta_{\tau,r}(\epsilon,t) - \theta_{\tau,r}(t) \right] = \tau^4 \int_0^t \left[ \Delta_{\tau,r}(\epsilon,u) - \theta_{\tau,r}(u) \right] du$$

$$- \tau^3 \int_0^t \left[ \alpha_r - \int_0^s h_r(u) du \right] ds$$

$$+ \tau \left[ \tau \epsilon + \alpha_r - h_r(t) \right]$$
(4)

Choose  $\epsilon_{\tau,r} > 0$  such that

$$\epsilon_{\tau,r} > \max_{t \ge 0} \left[ \tau \int_0^t \left[ \alpha_r - \int_0^s h_r(u) du \right] ds - \frac{1}{\tau} [\alpha_r - h_r(t)] \right]$$

Since  $\frac{d^2}{dt^2}\Delta_{\tau,r}(\epsilon_{\tau,r},0) = \tau\alpha_r > 0$  and  $\frac{d^2}{dt^2}\theta_{\tau,r}(0) = 0$ , then there exists  $\eta > 0$  such that

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} \Delta_{\tau,r}(\epsilon_{\tau,r}, t) > \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \theta_{\tau,r}(t), \quad \forall t \in [0, \eta].$$

Define

$$T := \sup \Big\{ t > 0 : \quad \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \Delta_{\tau,r}(\epsilon_{\tau,r},s) > \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \theta_{\tau,r}(s), \quad \forall s \in [0,t] \Big\}.$$

Prove that  $T=+\infty$ . By contradiction, suppose that  $T<+\infty$ . Then

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} \Delta_{\tau,r}(\epsilon_{\tau,r}, T) = \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \theta_{\tau,r}(T). \tag{5}$$

By Equation (4), we get

$$\frac{d^3}{dt^3} \left[ \Delta_{\tau,r}(\epsilon_{\tau,r}, T) - \theta_{\tau,r}(T) \right] > -\tau^3 \int_0^T \left[ \alpha_r - \int_0^s h_r(u) du \right] ds 
+ \tau \left[ \tau \epsilon_{\tau,r} + \alpha_r - h_r(T) \right] > 0.$$

There exists s < T close enough to T such that  $\frac{d^2}{dt^2} \Delta_{\tau,r}(\epsilon_{\tau,r},s) < \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \theta_{\tau,r}(s)$ . Contradiction with Equation (5). Then  $T = +\infty$  and

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} \Delta_{\tau,r}(\epsilon_{\tau,r}, t) > \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \theta_{\tau,r}(t) = \delta_{\tau,r}(t), \quad \forall t \ge 0.$$

By Lemma 3, we deduce that

$$\liminf_{t \to +\infty} \delta_{\tau,r}(t) < 0.$$

#### 3 Proof of the Main results

Proof of the Main result. Let be  $r \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$  and  $\tau > 0$ . Consider the function  $\delta_{\tau,r}$  solution of Equation (2). We have,

$$\delta_{\tau,r}(t) = \tau \sin(\tau t) \int_0^t \sin(\tau u) h_r(u) du$$
$$+ \tau \cos(\tau t) \int_0^t \cos(\tau u) h_r(u) du.$$

Use the change of variable,  $t \to \exp(u)$ , in Equation (1), we obtain

$$\delta_{\tau,r}(t) = \tau \sin(\tau t) \Im \left[ \psi[\eta](\frac{1}{2} - r, \tau) - \psi[\eta](\frac{1}{2} + r, \tau) \right] + \tau \cos(\tau t) \Re \left[ \psi[\eta](\frac{1}{2} - r, \tau) - \psi[\eta](\frac{1}{2} + r, \tau) \right].$$

By the Main Proposition 2 we have  $\|\psi[\eta](\frac{1}{2}-r,\tau)-\psi[\eta](\frac{1}{2}+r,\tau)\|\neq 0$  for every  $r\in(0,\frac{1}{2})$  and  $\tau\in\mathbb{R}^*$ .

## 4 Discussion about the Riemann hypothesis

Consider the representation of the Riemann Zeta function  $\zeta$  defined by the Abel summation formula [[1], page 14 Equation 2.1.5] as

$$\zeta(s) := -s \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{\{t\}}{t^{1+s}} dt, \quad \Re(s) \in (0,1), \quad \Im(s) \in \mathbb{R}^*, \tag{6}$$

and where  $\{t\}$  is the fractional part of the real t. Define the non-trivial zeros of the function  $\zeta$  in the following sense

W. Oukil

**Definition 4.** Let be  $s \in \mathbb{C}$ . We say that s is a non-trivial zero of the function  $\zeta$  if

$$\zeta(s) = 0$$
 and  $\Re(s) \in (0, 1), \Im(s) > 0.$ 

Denote  $\eta_*(t) := \{t\}$ . For every  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}^*$  and every  $x \in (0,1)$  we have

$$\psi[\eta_*](x,\tau) = \frac{\zeta(s)}{s}$$
 and  $\psi[\eta_*](1-x,\tau) = -\frac{\zeta(1-s)}{1-s}$ ,  $s := x + i\tau$ .

Since

$$0 \le \eta_*(t) \le 1, \quad \forall t \ge 0,$$

Then  $\eta_*$  satisfies the hypotheses  $H_1$ . The function  $\eta_*$  is  $C^1$  on  $\mathbb{R}_+/\mathbb{N}$ . Then  $\eta_*$  satisfies the hypotheses  $H_2$ . By the Riemann functional equation [[1], page 13 Theorem 2.1], the non-trivial zeros of the function  $\zeta$  are symmetric about the line  $\Re(z) = \frac{1}{2}$ . By the Main result, the non-trivial zeros  $s \in \mathbb{C}$  symmetric about the line  $\Re(z) = \frac{1}{2}$  satisfies  $\Re(s) = \frac{1}{2}$ .

#### References

[1] E.C. Titchmarsh, The Theory of the Riemann Zeta-Function (revised by D.R. Heath-Brown), Clarendon Press, Oxford. (1986).