

# Neogene to Quaternary evolution of carbonate and mixed carbonate-siliciclastic systems along New Caledonia's eastern margin (SW Pacific)

E. Tournadour, S.J. Jorry, S. Etienne, J. Collot, M. Patriat, M.K. Boudagher-Fadel, F. Fournier, B. Pelletier, P. Le Roy, G. Jouet, et al.

# ▶ To cite this version:

E. Tournadour, S.J. Jorry, S. Etienne, J. Collot, M. Patriat, et al.. Neogene to Quaternary evolution of carbonate and mixed carbonate-siliciclastic systems along New Caledonia's eastern margin (SW Pacific). Marine Geology, 2021, 438, pp.106524. 10.1016/j.margeo.2021.106524. hal-03578617

# HAL Id: hal-03578617 https://hal.science/hal-03578617v1

Submitted on 13 Jun2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

# 1 Neogene to Quaternary evolution of carbonate and mixed

# 2 carbonate-siliciclastic systems along New Caledonia's eastern

# 3 margin (SW Pacific)

4 E. Tournadour<sup>1,2</sup>, S.J. Jorry<sup>1</sup>, S. Etienne<sup>2</sup>, J. Collot<sup>2</sup>, M. Patriat<sup>1</sup>, M.K. BouDagher-Fadel<sup>3</sup>, F.

5

Fournier<sup>4</sup>, B. Pelletier<sup>5</sup>, P. Le Roy<sup>6</sup>, G. Jouet<sup>1</sup>, P. Maurizot<sup>2</sup>

6 1. IFREMER, Unité Géosciences Marines, 29280 Plouzané, France

7 2. Service Géologique de la Nouvelle-Calédonie, DIMENC, B.P. 465, 98845 Nouméa, New Caledonia

8 3. University College London, Earth Science, 2 Taviton St, London WC1H 0BT, UK

9 4. Aix Marseille Univ, CNRS, IRD, INRAE, Coll France, CEREGE, case 67, 3, Place Victor Hugo 13331 Marseille 10 cedex 03, France

11 5. Géosciences Azur (UMR 6526), IRD, 101 Promenade R. Laroque, BP A5, 98800 Nouméa, New Caledonia

12 6. Université Européenne de Bretagne Occidentale, UMR-6538 Domaines Océaniques, IUEM/CNRS, Place

13 Copernic, 29280 Plouzané, France.

14

# 15 **ABSTRACT**

16 Neogene and Ouaternary shallow-water carbonate records surrounding New Caledonia main island, Grande Terre, provide a good example for understanding the stratigraphic 17 18 architecture of tropical mixed carbonate-siliciclastic systems. Due to a southeastern tilt of the 19 eastern margin, the eastern shelf of Grande Terre has been better preserved from erosion than 20 the western part, favouring the development and preservation of shallow-water carbonates. 21 Based on the integration of bathymetric and seismic data, along with paleoenvironmental and 22 biostratigraphic constraints derived from dredged carbonate rocks, a comprehensive 23 geomorphological and architectural characterization of the offshore eastern margin of Grande 24 Terre has been made. During the Mio-Pliocene, a wide, up to 750 m-thick carbonate build-up 25 developed and extended over at least 350 km from north to south. This Mio-Pliocene build-up, 26 currently lying at 300 to 600 m water depths, is overlain by a Pleistocene-Holocene barrier reef-27 lagoon complex and associated slope deposits. The switch from aggrading Neogene carbonate 28 banks to backstepping Quaternary platforms likely reflects an increase in accommodation due to 29 a high subsidence rate or to relative sea-level rise, and/or results from a switch in carbonate

30 producers associated with global environmental changes. The internal architecture of the 31 Quaternary barrier reef-lagoon complex is highlighted, especially the development of lowstand 32 siliciclastic prisms alternating with transgressive shallow-water carbonate sequences. This 33 pattern agrees with the reciprocal sedimentation model typically invoked for mixed sedimentary 34 systems. This stratigraphic pattern is well developed in front of the Cap Bayes inlet in the north 35 of our study area, yet it is not observed southward along the eastern margin. This difference 36 suggests that other factors than relative sea-level variations directed the architecture of the 37 margin, such as low terrigenous inputs, lagoon paleo-drainage networks or sediment by-pass 38 towards deep basins.

39

40 **Keywords:** mixed carbonate-siliciclastic system, reciprocal sedimentation, tropical carbonates,

41 terrigenous inputs, New Caledonia, SW Pacific.

# 42 **1. INTRODUCTION**

43 Mixed carbonate-siliciclastic depositional systems are characterized by a high variability in 44 facies and architectures resulting from several factors, such as relative sea-level change, tectonic 45 motions, carbonate production, terrigenous inputs, sediment transfer and hydrodynamic 46 conditions, complicating the sequence stratigraphy interpretation (Droxler and Jorry, 2013; 47 Zeller et al., 2015). According to the classical "reciprocal sedimentation" model (Wilson, 1967), 48 mixed systems in the tropical realm have been commonly subdivided into alternating temporal 49 phases where siliciclastic deposits would prevail during low sea-level periods whereas 50 carbonates would dominate during transgressions and highstands. This reciprocal concept is yet 51 relevant for several ancient and some modern cases studies (Kerans & Tinker, 1999; Toomey et 52 al., 2016), but has been shown to be inadequate in describing several others examples. This 53 model appears not applicable for some mixed cool-water carbonate platforms, where 54 sandstones can be deposited when wave abrasion depth rises above the seafloor during 55 transgressions, whereas shell-beds formed during lowstands (Brachert et al., 2003). Another 56 example is the Great Barrier Reef, where siliciclastic deposits are released to the slope and basin 57 during late transgression, possibly due to the reworking of significant amounts of fine-grained terrigenous sediment stored on the shelf during lowstand (Wallace et al., 2002; Dunbar and 58 Dickens, 2003; Mitchell et al., 2007; Harper et al., 2015). In addition, wave and/or current 59 energy might prevent the infill of the lagoon during highstands, during which carbonate 60 61 production is thought to be maximal, inducing, thus, an "empty bucket" pattern (Schlager, 1989; Purdy and Gischler, 2005; Zinke et al., 2001; Weij et al., 2019). This variability in the 62 63 sedimentological response of mixed systems to relative sea-level changes demonstrates that other controlling parameters should also be considered in order to improve the prediction of 64 65 their complex depositional architectures.

Around the main island of New Caledonia, "Grande Terre", Neogene to Quaternary shallowwater carbonate systems occur coeval with high terrigenous fluxes derived from the erosion of

68 rugged mountain ranges located all across the island and primarily composed of obduction-69 related thrust sheets. The oldest known Neogene shallow-water carbonates on Grande Terre are 70 lower Miocene mixed carbonate-siliciclastic series cropping out in the Népoui area, on the western part of the island, close to the present day coastline (Fig. 1). These outcrops were 71 72 interpreted as reflecting sediment deposition on Aquitanian and Burdigalian ramps where 73 seagrass-related and scleractinian carbonate production occurred simultaneously to strong 74 fluvio-deltaic terrigenous inputs (Maurizot et al., 2016; Tournadour et al., 2020). However, the 75 offshore extent of this Miocene mixed system remains unknown.

76 At the present day, Grande Terre is surrounded by one of the largest modern barrier reef in the world. This barrier reef has been drilled on the western margin and past studies (Coudray, 77 78 1975; Cabioch et al., 2008b; Montaggioni et al., 2011) showed that it initiated at 400 cal kyr B.P. 79 or Marine Isotope Stage 11 (MIS 11), over non-reefal shallow water carbonates that were 80 deposited as of ca. 1.2 Ma ago on a carbonate ramp or non-rimmed platform (Montaggioni et al., 81 2011). The inner lagoon, in turn, started its infill only since 200 cal kyr, B.P. or Marine Isotope 82 Stage 7 (MIS7) (Le Roy et al., 2008). This points to the fact that the southwestern shelf of New 83 Caledonia does not follow a classic reciprocal sedimentation pattern but constitutes a unique 84 mixed carbonate-siliciclastic tropical system with a strong temporal and spatial partitioning 85 between the outer coral plateau and the inner lagoon depression where terrigenous clastic 86 sediments prevail (Le Roy et al., 2019).

87 In contrast, the Neogene to Quaternary shallow-water mixed systems of the eastern margin 88 of Grande Terre are much less documented than their western counterparts, largely because of a 89 lack of boreholes, rare outcrops onshore and few acoustic data offshore. However, this margin is 90 thought to have a very different tectonic history than the western margin, probably a higher 91 subsidence that would have resulted in a better preservation of shallow-water systems on the 92 shelf, thus allowing to improve our understanding of the onset of Neogene carbonate systems 93 and the transition to Quaternary barrier reef lagoon in the regional tectonic context. In addition, 94 those sedimentary records allow to discuss the potential controlling factors determining the 95 stratigraphic architectures along the margin, such as terrigenous inputs or paleo-drainage 96 networks. With that aim, we have compiled both existing and newly acquired geophysical data 97 and dredged carbonate rock samples from Ponérihouen to Antigonia seamount (Fig. 1) to 98 perform a comprehensive analysis of slope morphologies and to reconstruct the depositional 99 environments and ages of the main terraces and seismic units observed along the eastern 100 margin.

## 101 **2. GENERAL SETTINGS**

## 102 **2.1.** Geography

103 New Caledonia is a remote archipelago located in the South West Pacific (Fig.1). Its main 104 island, Grande Terre, is a 50 to 80 km wide and approximatively 400 km long land stripe 105 oriented in a N140° direction. Highest summits are ca. 1600 m high. Because of dominant 106 southeastward trade winds (N110-120°) its eastern margin is positioned on the windward side, 107 whereas the western margin is the leeward side. Its eastern part is typified by steep reliefs, 108 deeply incised valleys and short coastal plains, whereas the western part has more extended 109 valleys, wider alluvial lowlands and large coastal plains. This landform dissymmetry impacts the 110 spatial distribution of rainfall, with the eastern windward coast receiving twice as much 111 precipitation than the western leeward coast. Such differences induce a one-and-a-half-time 112 higher river discharge along the eastern coast compared to the western coast (Terry & Wolting, 113 2011).

## 114 **2.2.** *Tectonics*

Grande Terre marks the northeastern tip of Zealandia (Mortimer et al., 2017), a mostly submerged fragment of continental crust isolated from Gondwana during the Late Cretaceous to Paleocene due to regional rifting followed by seafloor spreading in the Tasman Sea (Hayes & Ringis, 1973; Gaina et al., 1998). During the Eocene Grande Terre underwent a convergence 119 phase that led to the NE-SW emplacement of several tectonic nappes and finally, in the late 120 Eocene-early Oligocene, to the obduction of a prominent kilometres-thick ophiolite mostly 121 constituted of serpentinized peridotites (Paris, 1981; Maurizot et al., 2020). Once obduction 122 terminated, extensional tectonics prevailed all over Grande Terre (Lagabrielle et al., 2005; 123 Chardon & Chevillotte, 2006; Lagabrielle & Chauvet, 2008). During this post-obduction 124 extensional phase, that may still be active today, widespread normal faulting affected both island 125 margins. The assymetric morphology of Grande Terre is likely the result of these obduction and 126 post-obduction tectonics. Drivers of the extension are either attributed to a plate tectonic 127 divergent phase (i.e. far-field stresses related to initiation of east-verging subduction of the 128 Australian plate beneath the Pacific Plate, Chardon & Chevilotte, 2006) and/or to post-orogenic 129 collapse, dismantling and combined isostasic rebound (Lagabrielle et al. 2005, Lagabrielle & 130 Chauvet, 2008; Moretti & Turcotte 1985; Collot et al., 2017). In this second hypothesis, unroofing 131 the ridge of dense allochthonous mantle material and loading the adjacent basins resulted in 132 subsidence of the basins and uplift of the ridge. The latter uplift is thus interpreted as being at 133 the origin of the steepening of both the western and eastern margins of Grande Terre. The deep 134 structure of the eastern margin has not been imaged by seismic data but is interpreted to be 135 structured by a series of normal faults (see simplified geological cross-section of Fig. 1 and Collot 136 et al. (1987)). Timing and amplitudes of these extensional events and vertical motions over the 137 post-obduction period are not constrained as no continuous Oligocene to present day geological 138 records exist. The Neogene to Quaternary carbonates that are the focus of this paper have 139 developed on these structures. Offshore, towards the south, major listric normal faults bordering 140 the obducted mantle sheets are imaged by seismic data along Pines Ridge (Chardon & 141 Chevillotte, 2006; Flamand, 2006; Chardon et al., 2008; Patriat et al., 2018) (Fig.1). Apart from 142 post-obduction extension, since the late Miocene, the southern part of Grande Terre and the 143 Loyalty Islands are the foreland area of the Vanuatu Subduction Zone where the Australian Plate 144 subducts beneath the Pacific Plate. A lithospheric flexure associated to this process is observed 145 and results in the uplifts of the Loyalty Islands, the southern tip of Grande Terre and the Isles of Pines. As a result, Quaternary 125 ka fringing reefs around Yaté and Isle of Pines are uplifted and
now are positioned 10 to 20 m above present-day sea level (Cabioch et al., 1996).

## 148 **2.3.** *Miocene mixed carbonate siliciclastic systems*

149 On land, the post-obduction geology is characterized by an Oligocene sedimentary hiatus 150 and the oldest known marine sediments that overlie allochthonous units are Miocene mixed 151 carbonate-siliciclastic sedimentary rocks only cropping out in a restricted nearshore area 152 located west of Grande Terre, in the region of Népoui (Coudray, 1975; Maurizot et al., 2016; 153 Tournadour et al., 2020). These successions have been interpreted to reflect deposition of an 154 Aquitanian carbonate ramp dominated by seagrass-related carbonate production, overlain by 155 Burdigalian fan delta deposits that laterally evolve towards a carbonate ramp dominated by 156 seagrass meadows and small-sized coral bioconstructions (Tournadour et al., 2020).

157 Offshore, despite a lack of drill core data, a few dredged carbonate samples were 158 recovered from the outer slope of the eastern margin of Grande Terre (Chardon et al., 2008; 159 Yamano et al., 2015) and close to *Munida* and *Crypthelia* seamounts (Daniel et al., 1976; Bitoun 160 and Recy, 1982) (Fig. 2). These samples, collected between 400 m and 800 m water depths, are 161 the only evidences of Miocene shallow-water carbonate deposits along the eastern margin of 162 Grande Terre and Pines Ridge. Based on the interpretation of seismic profiles along Grande 163 Terre's southeastern margin, Chardon et al. (2008) identified several normal faults that they 164 interpret as being related to Late Miocene extensional tectonics. These authors also interpreted 165 two planar surfaces as post-obduction erosional lateritic land surfaces resulting from 166 weathering processes overlain by shallow-water carbonate deposits.

## 167 2.4. Quaternary carbonate systems

168 Our knowledge on the nature, structure and chronology of the New Caledonian 169 Quaternary carbonate systems primarily comes from coring investigations carried out through 170 the western parts of the New Caledonian barrier system (Coudray, 1976, Cabioch et al., 2008b; 171 Montaggioni et al., 2011). Four cores, 120 to 226 m-long, reached the upper Cretaceous and 172 Eocene bedrock and allowed to characterize the Quaternary development history of the western 173 carbonate shelf margin. The recovered carbonate sequences result from stacking of about ten 174 sedimentary carbonate units that were deposited during successive transgressive and high sea-175 level stands corresponding to interglacial periods (Cabioch et al., 2008b). These units are 176 separated from each other by unconformities formed during sea-level drops in glacial periods. 177 The succession of depositional events was reconstructed using lithostratigraphy, 178 magnetostratigraphy, uranium-series dating and nannofossil stratigraphy (Cabioch et al., 2008b; 179 Montaggioni et al., 2011). Carbonate sediment production was initiated prior to 1.2 Ma within an 180 open shallow-water shelf margin, which acted as a carbonate ramp system until 0.48 Ma. 181 Corresponding deposits forming the lower units recovered in boreholes (red dots on Fig. 1) 182 include grainstone, packstone and wackstone rich in corals, coralline algae, encrusting 183 foraminifera with locally thick rodoliths accumulations (Montaggioni et al., 2011). The ramp 184 system is assumed to have evolved into a rimmed, reef platform as of 0.40 Ma. The initiation of 185 coral reef tracts and the associated reef-rimmed platform are thus considered to have begun 186 after MIS 11 (Montaggioni et al., 2011), i.e. the Mid-Brunhes Event. Corresponding sedimentary 187 units are made up of stacked *poritid*-rich framework beds correlated to reef-flat environments 188 with moderate to lower-water energy, and coralgal frameworks partly including arborescent 189 acroporids suggesting deposition in a protected reef-flat setting (Montagggioni et al., 2011). 190 Complementary studies of the Quaternary evolution of the south-west lagoon obtained seismic, 191 bathymetric and coring data (Le Roy et al., 2008; Le Roy et al., 2019). Results showed that infill 192 is composed of two or three 100 ka sedimentary sequences with a first significant flooding of the 193 lagoon assumed to have started during MIS7, at 220 cal kyr B.P. The disparate ages of the lagoon 194 and reefs can be reconciled with the fact that the first reefs were probably initially fringing 195 structures without a significant lagoon that has expanded later in response to subsidence of the 196 margin and reef growth (Le Roy et al., 2018). Offshore, the outer barrier reef slopes of Grande 197 Terre are marked by five marine terraces located between ca. 20 and ca. 120 m water depths

that Flamand (2006) tentatively correlated to the five reefal lithological sequences cored on the western barrier reef and interpreted as the morphological expressions of Quaternary interglacials. However, a younger, last deglacial origin for these terraces located above 120 m water depth cannot be ruled out. Note that these Quaternary marine terraces are located in the area indicated by the blue arrow on bathymetrical and seismic data (Figs. 5 to 8).

#### 203 2.5. Quaternary subsidence rates

204 Based on cores of the Quaternary barrier reef of the western margin of Grande Terre, subsidence 205 rates are estimated to range between 0.03 to 0.20 mm.yr<sup>-1</sup> since the last 400 ka (Coudray, 1975; 206 Cabioch et al., 1996; Flamand, 2006; Frank et al., 2006) and display mean rates of ≤0.08 mm.yr<sup>-1</sup> 207 over the past 1 Ma (Montaggioni et al., 2011). Such values possibly reflect a long-term 208 subsidence of the western margin of Grande Terre suggesting that post-obduction extensional 209 tectonics are still active and allowing sufficient accommodation space to record most Quaternary 210 sea-level highstands. Unfortunately, the lack of core data on the eastern margin does not allow 211 reconstructing any Neogene to Quaternary vertical motions.

# 212 **3. DATA AND METHODS**

213 Our morphological and stratigraphic analyses are based on the integration of existing and 214 newly acquired bathymetrical and 2D seismic reflection data supplemented by dredged rock 215 samples (Fig. 2). Existing bathymetrical data are derived from four datasets. The former covers 216 the lagoon of Grande Terre and was essentially acquired during hydrographic surveys of the 217 French Navy (SHOM), compiled by the New Caledonia Government within a 25 m resolution 218 grid. The second dataset corresponds to data acquired between 2002 and 2006 onboard RV Alis 219 (EM1002 multibeam echosounder) along all the outer slopes of Grande Terre and Loyalty 220 islands, as well as on seamounts of the Pines Ridges (down to ca. 1000 m of water depth), in the framework of the ZoNéCo program (e.g. Pelletier et al., 2002, 2004, 2012; Perrier et al., 2004a, 221 222 2004b, 2004c, 2005) and IRD research projects (e.g. Cabioch et al., 2002a, 2002b). The third

223 dataset consists of data acquired in the 90's and 2000's in deeper waters (>1000 m of water 224 depth), such as in the South Loyalty Basin, onboard RV L'Atalante (EM12D multibeam 225 echosounder), again through ZoNéCo (eg. ZoNéCo-1, Pautot et al., 1993; ZoNéCo-2, Lafoy et al., 226 1994; and ZoNéCo-3; Missègue et al., 1996). These two multibeam datasets were compiled in 227 2009 in 25 m (EM1002) and 100 m (EM12D) resolution grids by New Caledonia Government 228 (Juffroy, 2009) and included in the 2012 atlas of New Caledonia (Pelletier et al., 2012). The 229 fourth dataset is the global seafloor topography derived from satellite altimetry and ship depth 230 soundings (Smith and Sandwell, 1997). Newly acquired bathymetrical data corresponds to those 231 gathered by the KANACONO cruise onboard R/V Alis (Puillandre & Samadi, 2016).

232 The seismic dataset mainly consists of published multichannel seismic reflection profiles 233 located on the upper slope of the eastern margin of Grande Terre, acquired onboard R/V Alis 234 during the NEOMARGES cruise (Chardon et al., 2007), using a 24 channel streamer and a 20 235 cubic-inch air gun source. The high-resolution images have a maximum penetration of 0.25 s two 236 way time (twt) (Table 1) and were reinterpreted in this study through detailed line drawings. 237 Seismic profiles 206-04 (Lafoy et al. 1998) and AUS-104 (Bitoun & Recy et al. 1982), which are 238 publicly available in the Tasman Frontier seismic database (Sutherland et al., 2012), were also 239 interpreted. These profiles were acquired using lower frequency seismic devices (see Table 1) 240 and hence have a lower resolution but a higher penetration, reaching 3 s twt in the offshore 241 basins. Seismic stratigraphic analysis was performed on these profiles including identification of 242 seismic facies, unconformities and sequences following Mitchum et al. (1977). To estimate the 243 thickness of the carbonate units on the Pines Ridge, we assumed that they are composed of 244 shallow-water carbonates with a low-porosity, affected by early compaction and dissolution, for which we attributed a mean velocity of 3000 m.s<sup>-1</sup> (Anselmetti & Eberli, 2001). 245

Sedimentary facies determinations were made on 17 carbonate rock samples dredged during the DR-2005-NC and KANACONO cruises onboard R/V *Alis* (Pelletier et al., 2006; Puillandre & Samadi, 2016; respectively) in water depths between 250 and 900 m (Table 2). Samples were described from large thin sections in order to identify textures and main components and ultimately reconstruct depositional environments. Biostratigraphic datings and
paleoenvironmental reconstructions were based on the interpretation of foraminiferal
assemblages (larger benthic and planktonic foraminifera). In our definitions of stratigraphic
ranges, the planktonic foraminiferal zonal scheme of BouDagher-Fadel (2015, 2018a) is used.
This scheme is tied to the time scale of Gradstein et al. (2012) and the revision by Cohen et al.
(2017).

# **4. PHYSIOGRAPHY, STRUCTURE AND STRATIGRAPHY OF THE**

#### 257 EASTERN MARGIN

#### 258 **4.1.** Lagoon

259 The eastern lagoon, extending from the shoreline to the external barrier reef, is 10-15 260 km wide between Poindimié and Yaté with an average water depth of 40 m, whereas the 261 western lagoon does not exceed a width of 5-10 km with an average water depth of 20 m, except 262 in its southern part where it is deeper and wider (Le Roy et al., 2008, 2019). Another difference 263 with the western lagoon, which is bounded by continuous barrier reefs, is that the eastern 264 lagoon is typified by a discontinuous external barrier reef with drowned segments in its 265 southern part, off Yaté (Cabioch et al., 1996; Andrefouet et al., 2009) (Fig. 2). The eastern lagoon 266 can be divided into three morphological domains: (1) the shallow-water coastal zone, (2) the 267 median lagoon and (3) the external barrier reef (Fig. 3B).

The shallow-water coastal zone is particularly well-developed between Ponérihouen to Thio, where coastal bays are shallow and have gentle slopes, due to terrigenous deltas located at river and estuarine mouths (Fig.3). The deltaic deposits extend for 2.5 to 7 km and can reach the central part of the lagoon. Between Côte Oubliée and Yaté, deltas are very restricted and do not exceed 2 km in length (Fig.3A). Previous studies on unconsolidated seafloor sediments revealed that these deltas are mainly composed of terrigenous sediments (Chevillon, 1997). The deeper median lagoon, is relatively flat in its central part and contains isolated patch reefs as well as sandy islets aligned parallel to the coastline (Fig.3A). Southeast of the East Ngoé Pass, the median lagoon deepens (with an average water depth of 60-70 m) and contains a meandering channel that runs parallel to the coastline (Fig. 3A). This channel extends over 30 km, incises the lagoon up to 40 m deep and is connected to the Kouakoué Pass, where it runs perpendicular to the coastline thanks to a *ca*. 90° bend (Fig.3A).

The barrier reef domain comprises the reef crest, close to sea level, as well as a back-reef and reef flats within a 5 km-wide shallow-water area (20 to 40 m water depths). The latter is dominated by carbonate sediments of heterogeneous grain size, ranging from fine-grained sands to gravels (Chevillon, 1997). The barrier reef domain is interrupted by numerous passes (ie. inlets) connected to lagoonal channels that cross-cut the back-reef domain and are oriented roughly perpendicular to the coastline and the reef crest (Fig.3).

#### 286 **4.2.** Outer slope

#### 287

# 4.2.1 Overall slope profile

288 The outer slope morphologies of Grande Terre were previously described by Bitoun and 289 Récy (1982), Rigolot (1989), Flamand (2006) and Pelletier et al. (2012). The outer slope of the 290 western margin is very steep with values up to 20° between 0 to 2000 m water depths. This 291 margin is very abrupt as the upper part of the slope is also very steep (see W-01 and W-02 292 profiles Fig.4). In comparison, the outer slope of the eastern margin, from Poindimié to Yaté, is 293 smoother and extends over 15 to 20 km from the platform edge to the toe of slope at a water 294 depth of approximately 2200 m (Fig. 4). It is composed of 3 domains: (1) the upper slope, 295 characterized by a slope gradient lower than 3°, between 100 to approximately 500 m water 296 depths and extending up to 20 km in areas preserved from the erosion (e.g. offshore Côte 297 Oubliée and Yaté, see E-01 profile Fig.4). On the contrary, some areas are devoid of an upper 298 slope domain and canyon heads are in direct contact with the external barrier reef (e.g. offshore 299 Houaïlou, see E-02 profile Fig.4); (2) the middle slope shows a slope gradient of up to  $10^{\circ}$ 300 between 400-500 m to approximately 2200 m water depth; (3) the lower slope and toe-of-slope 301 domain shows a gentle slope gradient ranging from 0.5 to 1°. This slope section starts 302 approximately at 2200 m water depth, which corresponds with the transition to the Loyalty 303 Basin floor. The slope section contains numerous erosional by-pass features such as submarine 304 canyons that incise the slope up to a depth of 200 m. Backscatter imagery reveals depositional 305 lobes at canyon mouths, offshore Thio and Yaté, as previously reported by Cotillon et al. (1989, 306 1990). The cut off angles are intended to characterize the morphology of the slope and have no 307 universal value. Even in the case of a Gaussian slope angle evolution (sensu Adams and Kenter, 308 2013) angle values may vary between different carbonate systems.

309

# 4.2.2 Physiography of the upper slope

310 The upper slope is delimited by a major scarp located at 300-400 m water depth close to 311 the Cap Bayes Pass (Fig. 5A) and the Nakéti Pass (Fig. 6A), at 400-500 m water depth in front of 312 Côte Oubliée (Fig. 7A) and at 500-600 m water depths close to the Yaté Pass. The low-angle 313 upper slope is only 3 to 4 km wide close to Nakéti Pass and widens southward to reach a width 314 of 20 km in the vicinity of Yaté (Fig. 3A). Arcuate scars occur along the scarp, suggesting slope 315 failure processes along the upper slope (Fig.5A and 6A). In front of Côte Oubliée, the 5-6 km 316 wide upper slope is incised by low sinuosity, U-shaped, 40 to 80 m deep gullies (Fig.7A). Gullies 317 are of variable extension along the upper slope, some start at 150 m water depth close to the 318 outer reef slope, whereas others, shorter, gullies start at 300 m water depth, 3-4 km away from 319 the shelf edge. The majority of gullies are located in front of Kouakoué Pass through which they 320 are connected to the meandering lagoonal channel (Fig.3A).

321

#### 4.2.3 Stratigraphy of the upper slope

Seismic profiles covering the platform edge to the upper slope region reveal two main seismic units, U1 and U2 separated by unconformity S1 (Fig. 5, 6 and 7). These profiles also show that U1 is exposed on the seafloor along a major scarp (see red arrows on maps and profiles of Fig. 5, 6 and 7). U1 comprises wavy reflections and is delimited at its top by erosional truncations and toplap terminations at bounding surface S1. The latter is overlain by unit 2 (U2) which shows well-layered reflections downlapping onto S1 (Figs. 5, 6 and 7). The maximal thickness of U2 varies between 0.1 to 0.25 s twt. In front of Côte Oubliée, on profile NM-13, at the mouth of the Kouakoué Pass, U2 is twice as thick than on profile NM-12b located 20 km further north at distance from any pass (Fig. 7).

331 Seismic profile NM1 at the mouth of the Cap Bayes Pass displays a well-developed unit 332 U2 which forms a 0.2-0.25 s twt thick sedimentary prism (Fig. 5) composed of five stratigraphic 333 sequences each composed of retrogradational sets (in pink on Fig. 5) overlain by progradational 334 clinoform foresets (in beige on Fig. 5), noted 1 to 5. The slope break of the youngest (5<sup>th</sup>) 335 clinoform foreset (annotated "Clinoform slope break" on Fig. 5) is located at 150 m water depth. 336 Retrogradational sets contain low-amplitude, mound-shaped seismic reflections that are only 337 observed in topsets. Progradational sets show high-amplitude sigmoidal oblique reflections that 338 downlap onto the underlying unit and are mainly located in the distal part of the sedimentary 339 prism.

#### 340 **4.3.** Pines Ridge

The Pines Ridge corresponds to the structural extension of the eastern margin of Grande
Terre towards the South (Fig. 2). It is a NNW-SSE trending structure extending from the Isle of
Pines to the Cook Fracture Zone.

344

345

# 4.3.1 Basement structure and first-order seismic stratigraphy of the Pines Ridge

The Pines Ridge is a horst of peridotite bounded by normal faults (Bitoun & Recy, 1982; Patriat et al., 2018) and is the southern offshore extension of the Peridotite Nappe cropping out onland (Patriat et al., 2018). In this paper, we have studied the area comprised between the Isle of Pines and Antigonia seamount, where Pines Ridge is the shallowest (Fig. 8A). Seismic profile 350 AUS-104 (Fig. 8B) reveals that the Pines Ridge comprises at its top high-amplitude subparallel 351 reflections between 0.7 and 0.9 s twt, which contrast with the transparent seismic character of 352 the underlying acoustic basement. Along the eastern flank of the Pines Ridge, the acoustic 353 basement crops out on the seafloor at 2000 m water depth and was sampled by dredge GEO-I-354 3D (Daniel et al., 1976; Bitoun & Recy, 1982) (Fig. 8). The samples comprised altered basalt 355 pebbles within a carbonate mud matrix containing planktonic foraminifera from the late 356 Oligocene – earliest Miocene (Daniel et al., 1976). At that location, the acoustic basement forms a 357 slightly tilted plateau located between 2.5 and 2.7 s twt, interpreted as an erosional weathering 358 surface on top of peridotites by Bitoun and Recy (1982). This peridotite platform constitutes the 359 western edge of the South Loyalty Basin (Figs. 8B and 8C). The peridotite basement is covered 360 by a seismic unit composed of discontinuous low-amplitude subparallel reflections that is about 361 0.2 s twt thick at the crest of the ridge and up to 0.6 s twt-thick on its eastern flank. This unit is 362 interpreted as a post-obduction sedimentary sequence (Bitoun & Recy, 1982; Patriat et al., 363 2018). The unit is incised by several submarine canyons along the eastern slope that extend 364 from the Pines Ridge to the South Loyalty Basin (Fig. 8).

365

#### 4.3.2 Physiography of the Pines Ridge

366 Along the northern part of the Pines Ridge, on the upper slope, the terrace identified on 367 the eastern outer slopes of Grande Terre is continuously present between 300 to 600 m water depths (red arrows on Fig. 8A). East of the Isle of Pines, this terrace is affected by arcuate scarps 368 369 most likely corresponding to submarine gravity collapses. Towards the Antigonia seamount, the 370 top of the Pines Ridge is capped by this terrace (Fig. 2). Indeed, between the Banc de la Torche 371 and Antigonia seamounts, the ridge's top remains positioned at 400-500 m water depth and its 372 eastern slope is again characterized by a steep gradient and collapse features (red arrows on Fig. 373 8A). In turn, the Banc de la Torche and Antigonia display flat tops in 30 m to 60 m water depths 374 (blue arrows on Fig. 8A). These two submerged isolated banks are surrounded by two terraces 375 at 80-90 m and 120 m water depth.

376 The isolated Crypthelia and Munida seamounts are delimited by a main scarp at 400-500 377 m water depths (Figs.2 and 8). Their tops are located at 194 m and 93 m water depths, 378 respectively. The Crypthelia seamount is 3 km wide and 12 km long elongated in a N160° 379 direction (Fig. 9A and 9B). Three fault scarps located approximatively in 250, 350 and 500 m 380 water depth affect the seamount across its entire length. Fault scarp heights are comprised 381 between 30 m and 100 m (see map and cross section of Fig. 9B). In the northern part, the 382 eastern fault scarp is associated with a channel probably formed by bottom currents circulating 383 along the footwall of the fault (Fig. 9A and 9B). The southern edge of the seamount is marked by 384 two 2-3 km wide failure scars, evidenced by arcuate headscarps located between 350 to 600 m 385 water depth. The Munida seamount, located further to the northeast, extends over 8 km wide 386 and 18 km long with a N60° direction (Fig. 9C). Its edges are bordered by N60° and N160° 387 oriented fractures. On its southern flank, a terrace (M1) bordered by fault scarps is identified 388 between 400 m and 600 m water depth. Above 200 m water depth, the top of the seamount (M2) 389 is relatively flat (Fig. 9C) but still shows terraces at 100 m and 120-130 m water depth.

390

# 4.3.3 Stratigraphy of the Pines Ridge

391 The internal architecture of the post-obduction sedimentary sequence overlying 392 basement of Pines Ridge and Munida seamount are imaged by seismic profile 206-04 (Fig.10). 393 Over the Pines Ridge, the capping sedimentary unit reaches up to 0.5 s twt thick, i.e. 394 approximately 750 m thick considering a velocity of 3000 m.s<sup>-1</sup> for a 30% porosity limestones 395 according to Geldart (2004). In details, this interval is composed of 3 units, UP1 to UP3 (Fig.10C 396 and 10D). Unit UP1 mainly developed on the SW edge of the ridge and is composed of low-397 amplitude reflections northeastwardly downlapping on basement. Unit UP2 downlaps basement 398 on the eastern edge of the ridge with very low-amplitude reflections that form mounded 399 morphologies. To the west, the seismic character of UP2 laterally evolves into low-amplitude, 400 wavy to horizontal parallel reflections onlapping onto UP1 towards the southwest. Unit UP3 is 401 typified by low-amplitude, sub-parallel and nearly horizontal reflections with low relief, 402 mounded morphologies on the eastern edge of the ridge. Because seismic line 206-04 runs along 403 the south-eastern slope of Munida Seamount (Fig. 9C), seismic imaging is poor due to 3D lateral 404 effects. However, the profile intersects a part of the seamount with less slope that reveals that 405 the sedimentary sequence has a minimum thickness of 0.4 s twt, i.e. approximately 600 m-thick 406 (Fig.10A and 10B). It is composed of two distinct seismic units, UM1 and UM2 (Fig.10). Unit UM1 407 overlies the basement and comprises low-amplitude subparallel mounded reflections with 408 downlapping and onlapping terminations. Unit UM2 overlies UM1 and comprises high-409 amplitude subparallel reflections with downlapping terminations.

410 4.4. Lithologies and biostratigraphic ages

#### 411

### 4.4.1 Eastern margin of Grande Terre

412 Seven carbonate rock samples have been collected along the upper slope of the eastern 413 margin (Table 3, see location on Fig. 2). DR44 and DR45 were recovered on scarps located at 414 400 m and 600 m water depths, at the seafloor exposure of seismic unit U1 (see location on Figs. 415 6A and 6B). DR44 is a micritic packstone with recrystallised algae, large benthic foraminifera 416 (LBF) of Serravallian age (PZ N12, Tf2, 13.82 Ma to 12.00 Ma) (see BouDagher-Fadel, 2018b) 417 and corals. These elements are reworked within a pelagic mud dominated by plancktonic 418 foraminifera (Table 4) that comprise *Globigerinoides quadrilobatus* (Fig.11A, b.), 419 Dentoglobigerina altispira (Fig.11B, b.), Globorotalia menardii (Fig.11B, c.), Globigerinoides 420 conglobatus (Fig.11B, d.), Globigerinoides ruber (Fig.11B, e.), Truncorotalia crassaformis, Orbulina 421 universa, Globorotalia plesiotumida (Fig.11C, a), Sphaeroidinella dehiscens of Early Pliocene age 422 (N19-N20a, 5.3 Ma to 3.6 Ma). DR45 comprises ultramafic pebbles and gravels encrusted by 423 algae incorporated in a micritic packstone similar to that of DR44 and also includes 424 Lepidocyclina sp. (Fig. 11D) and Alveolinella praequoyi, with the same Serravallian age. DR46, 425 DR47 and DR48 were collected on the edge of the terrace located between 200 to 400 m water 426 depths near the Nakéti Pass (Figs. 6A and 6C). These three samples consist of micritic 427 wackestone/packstones with patches of reworked pelagic micritic facies. DR46 assemblages are 428 dominated by planktonic foraminifera such as Truncorotalia crassaformis (Fig, 11E, a.) T.

429 truncatulinoides, Globorotalia inflata, Globorotalia menardii and Orbulina univesa of Pleistocene 430 age (N22, 1.8 Ma to 0.12 Ma). DR47 sample shows numerous terrigenous grains (quartz, altered 431 serpentine and undifferentiated clasts). Planktonic foraminifera are common and include 432 Globoquadrina dehiscens (Fig. 11F, a.), Globigerinoides quadrilobatus, Globigerinoides trilobus, 433 Globigerinoides ruber, Globorotalia tumida, Globigerinoides spp., and Pulleniatina obliquiloculata 434 of Late Pliocene age (N20a, 3.8 Ma to 3.6 Ma). DR48 contains numerous recrystallized algae and 435 reworked LBF, such as *Alveolinella praequoyi* (Fig. 12A, a.) of Serravallian age (see Adams, 1984; BouDagher-Fadel and Banner, 1999; BouDagher-Fadel, 2018b), planktonic foraminifera of 436 437 Pleistocene in age (N22, 1.8 Ma to 0.12 Ma) such as Pulleniatina obliquiloculata (Fig. 12A, b.), P. 438 primalis and Neogloboquadrina dutertrei. DR49 sample was collected along the scarp at 500 m 439 water depth, near the East Ngoé pass. The lower part of this scarp corresponds to the top of 440 seismic unit U1 (Table 3, see location Figs.7A and 7B). Recovered samples comprise a micritic 441 packstone with algae and LBF of Serravallian age, such as Alveolinella praequoyi (Fig. 12B, a.) 442 and planktonic foraminifera such as, Globorotalia tumida (Fig12C, a.), Sphaeroidinellopsis 443 subdehiscens, Globorotalia menardii and Globorotalia inflata of Early Pliocene age (N19b, 4.2 Ma 444 to 3.8 Ma) after BouDagher-Fadel (2018a). DR53 is located further south, in front of the Yaté 445 Pass, in ca. 280 m water depth, and is a micritic packstone containing planktonic foraminifera such as Pulleniatina primalis (Fig.12D, a.), Prosphaeroidinella parkerae, Pulleniatina praecursor, 446 447 Globigerinoides obliguus and Truncorotalia crassaformis of Late Miocene to Early Pliocene age 448 (Table 3, see location Fig.2).

449

# 4.4.2 Pines Ridge

Seven carbonate rocks were sampled along the Pines Ridge (Table 3, see location Fig. 2 and Fig.8A). These samples are mainly micritic wackestones/packstones with planktonic foraminifera and algae. Samples DW4737-B, DW4745-B, DW4746-B, DW4747-B1 and DW4747-X have ages ranging from Pliocene to Late Pleistocene (N19 to N22, 5.33 Ma to 0.12 Ma). Planktonic foraminifera assemblages of these samples include *Neogloboquadrina pachyderma*, *Sphaeroidinella dehiscens, Truncorotalia truncatulinoides, Truncorotalia tosaensis,* and *Pulleniatina obliquiloculata* and LBF, such as *Alanlordia* sp. (Fig.12E, a.), are found reworked
together with Pliocene planktonic foraminifera into the younger assemblages. Further south,
samples DW4757-A and DW4782-A were collected along the eastern slope of Pines Ridge (Table
3, see location Fig.8A and Fig.10). DW4757-A includes Oligocene to earliest middle Miocene LBF
such as *Lepidocyclina* sp. and *Planorbulinella solida* (Fig.12F, a.), while DW4782-A comprises late
Miocene to Pleistocene (N17-N20, 8.6 Ma to 3.4 Ma) planktonic foraminifera such as *Neogloboquadrina humerosa, Sphaeroidinellopsis seminulina, Sphaeroidinellopsis subdehiscens*.

463

#### 4.4.3 Munida seamount

464 Three carbonate rock samples have been collected along the edges of Munida (Table 3, 465 see location on Fig. 8A and Fig. 9C). Samples from DW4770, located on the northeast flank of the 466 seamount, comprise micritic and sparitic packstones composed of algae and planktonic 467 foraminifera, such as Truncorotalia truncatulinoides (Fig.12F, a) and Truncorotalia tosaensis (Fig.12F, b) of Pleistocene age (N22, 1.8 Ma to 0.12 Ma). DR4772-A and DR4773-A samples, 468 469 located on the southern flank, are grainstones cemented by sparite, with planktonic 470 foraminiferal assemblages including Sphaeroidinellopsis subdehiscens, Sphaeroidinella dehiscens, 471 Truncorotalia tosaensis and Pulleniatina obliquiloculata of Pliocene to Pleistocene age (N21-N22, 472 3.4 Ma to 0.12 Ma). These samples also reveal many reworked Miocene and Pliocene planktonic 473 foraminifera.

#### 474 4.5. Paleoenvironmental interpretations

475 The assemblages of DR44 and DR45 are dominated by Early Pliocene planktonic 476 foraminiferal assemblages (e.g. Sphaeroidinellopsis paenedehiscens, Globoquadrina dediscens, 477 Orbulina universa, Globorotalia plesiotumida, G. tumida and Dentoglogigerina altispira). These 478 mixed, globular and heavily keeled planktonic foraminifera are indicative of inner to outer 479 neritic environments (BouDagher-Fadel, 2015). Miocene larger benthic foraminifera (e.g. 480 Lepidocyclina sp., Katacycloclypeus martini, Cycloclypeus sp.) and fragments of corals and 481 rodophyte species are also frequently reworked within the deeper Early Pliocene platform. 482 These larger benthic foraminifera are flat in shape and are associated with symbiont-bearing 483 diatoms (Leutenegger 1984; Romero et al. 2002). They are common in forereef environment 484 where they adapted to light attenuation with increasing habitat depth (Hottinger, 1983; Hallock 485 and Schlager, 1986; Hohenegger, 1995; 2005; Yordanova & Hohenegger, 2002; 2007; 486 BouDagher-Fadel, 2018b).

487 The Late Pliocene to Pleistocene deposits of DR46, DR47 and DR48 contain mainly 488 planktonic foraminifera of mixed assemblages of globular forms (e.g. Globigerinoides 489 quadrilobatus, Orbulina universa) and keeled globorotalids (e.g. Truncorotalia truncatulinoides, 490 *Globorotolia tumida).* These assemblages are indicative of an inner to outer neritic environment 491 (see BouDagher-Fadel, 2015). Micritic patches of older Miocene deposits with mainly globular 492 small globigerinids (e.g. Catapsydrax cf. dissimilis, Globigerina praebulloides) are also present 493 indicating the reworking of an inner neritic Miocene platform within the deeper deposits of the 494 Pliocene.

495 DR 49 is interpreted as being deposited in an Early Pliocene inner to outer neritic 496 platform. It contains planktonic foraminifera assemblages dominated by globular forms (e.g. 497 Globorotalia menardii, G. inflata, Globigerinoides trilobus, Gldes quadrilobatus) with occasional 498 occurrences of keeled forms (e.g., Globorotalia tumida, G. menardii) and thickly coated forms in a 499 thick, smooth cortex of calcite, Sphaeroidinerlla dehiscens. The latter is an extant thermocline 500 dweller found rarely in subsurface, tropical, and subtropical waters (Chaisson and Ravelo, 1997; 501 BouDagher-Fadel, 2018b). Reworked reefal Miocene larger benthic foraminifera (e.g., 502 Alveolinella praequoyi, Lepidocyclina sp., Planorbulina larvata, Amphistegina lessonii, 503 Operculinoides spp., Gypsina sp.) are also present. The presence of the large fusiform miliolid, A. 504 *praequoyi* indicates the reworking of shallow reefal facies into the deeper Early Pliocene neritic 505 environments.

506 The assemblages of DR53 are dominated by Late Miocene to Early Pliocene globular 507 planktonic foraminifera species (e.g., Neogloboquadrina pachyderma, N. acostaensis, Orbulina 508 universa, Prosphaeroidinella parkerae, Pulleniatina praecursor, P. primalis, Globigerinoides 509 obliquus). Occasional keeled forms (e.g., Truncorotalia crassaformis, Globorotalia menardii, 510 Globorotalia tumida) are also present. Larger benthic foraminifera such as Amphistegina spp. and 511 Sphaerogypsina spp. are also found. The extant Amphistegina has adapted to high energy 512 conditions, however, it is also found in mud free sands in areas of sea grass or coralline algae 513 and in reefal areas down to depths of 35m (McKee et al, 1959), while Sphaerogypsina is 514 generally common in shallow-water reefal environments (Nebelsick et al. 2001). These 515 assemblages are interpreted as being deposited in an inner to outer neritic platform.

All samples from Pines Ridge point to inner to outer neritic settings, except DW4757-A which is typified by a forereef environment because of the occurrence of larger benthic foraminifera, such as *Amphistegina lessonii*, *Lepidocyclina sp., and Planorbulinella solida*. All samples from Munida seamount contain mixed assemblages of globular and keeled planktonic foraminifera (e.g., *Sphaeroidinellopsis subdehiscens, Sphaeroidinella dehiscens, Truncorotalia tosaensis, Pulleniatina obliquiloculata, Globorotalia inflata*) and are thought to reflect inner to outer neritic settings.

523

# 524 5. CARBONATE SYSTEM EVOLUTION ON THE EASTERN MARGIN OF 525 NEW CALEDONIA

#### 526 **5.1 Mio-Pliocene carbonate banks**

527 Carbonate rocks sampled on the upper slope scarp along the eastern margin contain 528 algae, benthic foraminifera and coral fragments evidencing shallow-water carbonate production 529 as early as the middle Miocene (Serravalian) and up to the Pliocene (Table 3, Fig. 13). This scarp 530 corresponds to the top of seismic unit U1, which is bounded by the gently downslope dipping 531 surface S1 (Figs. 5, 6 and 7). Because of its planar character, Chardon et al., (2008) interpreted 532 this surface, topping a clastic continental wedge, as a lateritic land surface formed by weathering 533 during emersion. Because none of the dredges that sampled this scarp (and thus U1) contained 534 any traces of lateritic deposits, we propose an alternative interpretation where seismic unit U1 535 corresponds to a Mio-Pliocene carbonate bank, presently located at 300-600 m water depth. 536 This important subsidence cannot be explained only by eustatism and we suggest that the post-537 obduction normal faulting that affected the Peridotite Nappe is likely the main driver of the 538 subsidence. Surface S1 is interpreted as the top of the carbonate bank characterized by low-539 inclination features (Fig. 13A and B). The numerous ultrabasic pebbles/gravels and quartz 540 grains within the carbonate matrix of samples DR45 and DR47 suggest coeval siliciclastic input 541 with the carbonate buildup development. A similar mixed carbonate-siliciclastic system also 542 occurs along the coastal domain of the western margin of Grande Terre in the well-constrained 543 Burdigalian Népoui system (Tournadour et al., 2020) (Fig.13B). The onset of these mixed 544 systems indicates that both margins of Grande Terre experienced shallow marine conditions 545 during the Miocene. However, their current positions, up to 20 m above present day sea level for 546 the Lower Miocene Népoui outcrops of the western margin and up to 500 m water depth for the 547 middle Miocene samples of the eastern margin, suggest a contrasted tectonic evolution of the 548 margins.

549 Our results suggest that the Mio-Pliocene carbonate platform (Table 3, Fig.13) extended 550 southward along the Pines Ridge, over peridotite horsts, which were at that time located in 551 shallow-water (Fig.13A and B). Within this sedimentary succession, unit UP1 is interpreted as an 552 attached carbonate platform developing on the western edge of the ridge. The eastward 553 thickening of unit UP2, which unconformably overlies unit UP1 (Fig.10D), suggests deposition 554 simultaneous or subsequent to the eastward tectonic tilting of Pines Ridge. The aggrading 555 mounded reflections of the lower part of UP2 on the eastern edge of the ridge, may be 556 interpreted as shallow-water, reefal bioconstructions (e.g. Miocene from the Browse basin, 557 Australia: Belde et al., 2017), or as an oligo-mesophotic bank with dominant algal and 558 foraminiferal production (e.g. Lower Miocene from Myanmar: Teillet et al., 2020a and 2020b). 559 However, in the upper part of UP2, the upward change from mounded to flat-topped 560 morphologies on the eastern margin strongly suggests the development of reef-flat 561 environments aggrading up to sea-level. Finally, subunit UP3 is also characterized by a slight 562 overgrowth along the eastern edge as evidenced by low-relief mounded reflectors (Fig.10). Such 563 an asymmetric feature could be explained by the continuation of an eastward tectonic tilt or 564 could be related to eastward winds driving carbonate growth (Fig.10).

565 Based on seismic interpretation, two stages of carbonate growth are identified on the 566 Munida seamount (seismic units UM1 and UM2). A carbonate sample, collected 15 km away 567 from the seamount (GEO-I-13D; location on Fig.2), contains benthic foraminifera indicative of shallow-water environment, that can be dated Early Miocene (Daniel et al., 1976; Bitoun and
Recy, 1982). This sample suggests a first stage of carbonate growth (UM1) of Miocene age coeval
with carbonate platforms from Pines Ridge (UP1 and/or UP2) (Fig.13A and B). A Miocene
carbonate platform also likely developed on the Crypthelia seamount as suggested by a Middle
to Late Miocene carbonate sample exhibiting forereef facies (GEO-I-9D, see location Fig.2 and
Fig.9A) (Daniel et al., 1976; Bitoun and Recy, 1982).

574 Based on aforementioned observations, we propose the following palaeogeographical 575 reconstruction of the distribution of Mio-Pliocene shallow-water carbonate systems along the 576 margin of New Caledonia (Fig. 13B). The Mio-Pliocene carbonate systems extend for about 350 577 km, along the southeastern margin to Antigonia Seamount. On the western margin, the 578 Quaternary carbonate reef-lagoon system directly overlies Eocene allochthonous units (see 579 Fig.1). At that location, the absence of Miocene shallow-water deposits could be explained by a 580 non-deposition or by erosion in relation to the uplift of the western margin. The thickness of the 581 Miocene ramp is a least 200 m on the Nepoui area (Maurizot et al., 2016; Tournadour et al., 582 2020), but remains unknown along the eastern margin. However, it can be estimated to be 583 around 750 m on the Pines Ridge (Fig.10B). Similar Miocene carbonate growth rates have been 584 reported for the southwestern Pacific suggesting that, in addition to local tectonic control 585 (subsidence) allowing significant volumes of sediments to accumulate, larger-scale 586 oceanographic or global factors favoured a sufficiently high carbonate production to fill the 587 created accommodation. For example, the 600 m-thick Marion Plateau platforms, northeast of 588 Australia, result from robust carbonate growth through early and middle Miocene up to its 589 terminal demise in the late Miocene (Ehrenberg et al., 2008). Along the northwestern shelf of 590 Australia, seismic profiles reveal a giant middle Miocene prograding barrier reef that 591 backstepped in the late Miocene (McCaffrey et al., 2020). Finally, the Gulf of Papua is also 592 structured by large-scale isolated long-lived carbonate platforms during the late Oligocene-early 593 Miocene and which demised during late Miocene-early Pliocene (Tcherepanov et al., 2008b). In 594 our study, the prolific Mio-Pliocene carbonate accumulation is favoured by the subsidence of the

shelves of New Caledonia and Pines Ridge, most likely in relation to post-obduction extensional
tectonics (Lagabrielle and Chauvet, 2008; Patriat et al., 2018).

## 597 **5.2 Transition from Mio-Pliocene to Quaternary platforms**

Along the eastern margin of Grande Terre, the Quaternary rimmed platform is thought to have backstepped onto a Mio-Pliocene carbonate bank (Fig. 13A and 13C). Southward, along the Pines Ridge and associated seamounts, the Mio-Pliocene carbonate banks are drowned but several Quaternary flat-topped isolated platforms survived and aggraded.

602 In the vicinity of the study area, the carbonate platform of Maré, on the Loyalty Ridge, 603 records a significant change in the nature of carbonate production which is rhodalgal-dominated 604 during the late Miocene, and coralgal-dominated during the Pliocene (McNeill and Pisera, 2010; 605 Maurizot et al., 2020). These authors explain the switch of carbonate production by the trend of 606 decreased coralline red algae species richness (Aguirre et al., 2000) combined with the global-607 scale Zanclean Flood Event (McKenzie et al., 1999). The exposure of these carbonate records 608 would result from the local tectonic uplift of the Loyalty Ridge related to Pliocene-Pleistocene 609 lithospheric flexure associated with the New Hebrides subduction (Dubois et al., 1974 and 610 1975).

Along the western margin of Grande Terre, the coral reef flourished from 400 ky (MIS-11) considered as a period of luxuriant reef expansion in the southwest Pacific (Cabioch et al., 2008b). Nevertheless, during the Quaternary, non-reefal carbonates were identified prior to MIS-11 as early as 1.4 Ma, overlapping the Eocene allochtonous units and could form the foundation of the Quaternary rimmed platform (Cabioch et al., 2008b; Montaggioni et al., 2011).

Along the eastern margin, the occurrence of a Mio-Pliocene platform below the eastern barrier reef-lagoon suggests that an older carbonate platform developed similarly to what is observed in Maré Island. The common occurrence of normal faults suggests that the eastern margin and Pines Ridge were dominated by tectonic subsidence that would have promoted 620 accommodation for Neogene carbonate deposition and preservation, by opposition to the 621 western margin where the Quaternary carbonates are found on top of Eocene peridotites. 622 However, high subsidence rates could also explain the demise of the Mio-Pliocene carbonate 623 systems along the Pines Ridge and the backstepping of Quaternary platforms. After 125 ky, the 624 southeastern margin is slightly uplifted in the Yaté area and the Isle of Pines (Launay, 1985; 625 Cabioch et al., 1996). This uplift could be due to the isostatic rebound of the central part of 626 Grande Terre (Cabioch et al., 1996; Lagabrielle and Chauvet, 2008) or alternatively, could be 627 associated with the lithospheric bulge of the New Hebrides subduction which is known to have a 628 regional impact from the Loyalty Islands to the Isle of Pines (Dubois et al., 1974 and 1975; 629 Cabioch et al., 1996). Hence, both margins of New Caledonia seem to have been affected by long-630 term subsidence during the Quaternary which, together with high-amplitude eustatic sea-level 631 variations, allowed the aggradation and preservation of the reef-lagoon successions.

## 632 **5.3 Quaternary carbonate platform**

#### 633

634

# 5.3.1 Late Quaternary mixed carbonate siliciclastic systems along the eastern margin

635 At the mouth of the Cap Bayes Pass, seismic unit U2 forms a 200 m-thick prism 636 comprising five stratigraphic sequences (Fig. 5). In detail, the internal geometries of these 637 parasequences are characterized by successive sets of aggrading to retrograding mounded 638 reflections and progradational inclined reflections. We interpret these parasequences as mixed 639 carbonate-siliciclastic prims that developed at the mouth of the pass, with aggrading and 640 retrograding shallow-water carbonate sequences that developed during a transgression (pink 641 colour on Fig. 5C), deposited during the last glacial-interglacial Quaternary cycles. This 642 interpretation is consistent with core data collected on the western barrier reef (see location of 643 Fig. 1), which revealed that the barrier reef itself consist of four to five lithological sequences 644 deposited during successive transgressions and highstands in sea level since the Mid-Brunhes, 645 each transgressive reefal units being separated by subaerial unconformities (subaerial exposures) (Cabioch et al., 2008b; Montaggioni et al., 2011). The prograding seismic patterns
(yellow colour on Fig.5C) thus can be interpreted as lowstand siliciclastic wedges that formed
during Late Quaternary glacial lowstands.

649 Siliciclastics might have developed contemporaneously with the Quaternary barrier reef 650 bordering the eastern lagoon (Figs. 5, 6B and 7). This observation is consistent with the 651 reciprocal sedimentation concept traditionally developed for carbonate-siliciclastic mixed 652 systems (see review by Chiarella et al., 2017). According to this concept, siliciclastic deposits 653 prevailed on the upper slope during sea-level lowstands and at the beginning of the shelf 654 reflooding, whereas carbonate facies dominate during transgressions and highstand periods. 655 This configuration is currently observed on the platform edge of Quaternary mixed carbonate-656 siliciclastic systems such as the Australia and Papua New Guinea Reef (Tcherepanov et al., 657 2008a; 2008b; 2010; Harper et al., 2015; Mallarino et al., 2021) or the Belize Barrier Reef (Esker 658 et al., 1998; Ferro et al., 1999; Gischler et al., 2010; Droxler and Jorry, 2013). However, the 659 reciprocal pattern is not expressed everywhere along the upper slope of the eastern margin. 660 Near the Côte Oubliée, seismic unit U2 is not characterized by sedimentary prograding 661 clinoforms but rather by a downlapping aggrading wedge with a maximum thickness of 200 m in 662 front of the Kouakoué Pass (Fig.7). The lack of prograding features associated with the lowstand 663 clastic wedge could be explained by low terrigenous sedimentation rates as suggested by small 664 deltas restricted to the coastal domain (Fig. 3A). Moreover, the southeastern part of the lagoon is 665 characterized by a meandering channel network parallel to the coast and to the barrier reef, 666 suggesting an alongshore transport which can partly intercept outgoing sedimentary flux from 667 lagoon (Fig. 3A). In addition, the numerous gullies cutting the upper slope suggest high off-bank 668 sediment transport toward the deep basin and thus the accumulation of sediments along the 669 upper slope (Fig. 7A). This off-bank sediment transport could result from density cascading 670 processes driven by seasonal meteorological conditions (Wilson and Roberts, 1992, 1995). The 671 alongslope heterogeneity of the eastern margin upper slope deposits clearly shows that the 672 behaviour of a mixed carbonate-siliciclastic margin is difficult to predict and is not only

dependent of relative sea-level changes, as mentioned previously (Chiarella et al., 2017;
O'Connell et al., 2020).

675

# 5.3.2 Quaternary isolated flat-topped banks of Pines Ridge and seamounts

676 Along the Pines Ridge, dredged carbonate rock samples show that shallow-water 677 carbonate deposition occurred on the Banc de la Torche and Antigonia seamounts during the 678 Quaternary (Fig.13A and C). The two marine terraces at 80-90 m and 120 m water depth might 679 evidence reef backstepping during the last deglacial sea-level rise, as reported around the Great 680 Barrier Reef (Webster et al., 2018), the Gulf of Mexico (Khanna et al. 2017), the Maldives 681 (Fürstenau et al., 2010; Rovere et al., 2018), the SW Indian Ocean (Jorry et al., 2016), the 682 Marquesas Island (Cabioch et al., 2008a) and in Tahiti (Camoin et al., 2012). Carbonate rock 683 samples dated at the youngest from the Pleistocene and collected on the flat-top of the Munida 684 seamount that is currently submerged in 93 m water depth, are thought to be representative of 685 seismic unit UM-2 which would correspond to the last stage of the carbonate platform's growth. 686 Similarly to the Banc de la Torche and Antigonia, the flat-top of the eastern part of the Munida 687 seamount is currently located in the photic zone which suggests continuous carbonate 688 aggradation from the Miocene to the Quaternary. Such a continuous deposition was possibly 689 favoured by a slower subsidence at that location compared to other seamounts (Fig.13). The 690 Crypthelia seamount that is submerged at 194 m water depth is affected by three N160°E 691 normal faults scarps, leading to an overall eastward deepening of the seamount topography 692 along several stepped terraces at ca 200 m, 300 m and 350 m water depths (Fig.9A). The lack of 693 samples on these stepped terraces does not allow us to determine if the carbonate factory was 694 active during the Quaternary and when the isolated carbonate platform was drowned (Fig.13A).

695

#### 696 **6. CONCLUSIONS**

697 The eastern margin of Grande Terre records the evolution of a shallow-water mixed
698 carbonate-siliciclastic system, with the successive development an aggrading Mio-Pliocene
699 carbonate bank and a backstepping Quaternary barrier reef.

- A Mio-Pliocene shallow-water carbonate platform, presently drowned at 300 to 600 m water
depth, extends about 350 km from Ponerihouen to Antigonia seamount and can be up to 750 m
thick along the Pines Ridge.

- In front of Grande Terre, the Mio-Pliocene carbonate sediments are mixed with quartz grains
and ultrabasic pebbles, which document terrigenous inputs resulting from high relief of the
island topography dismantling coeval with carbonate production as early as the Serravalian.

The transition between the aggrading Mio-Pliocene carbonate bank and the backstepping
Quaternary carbonate platforms along the eastern margin could be explained by the regional
subsidence context driven by an extensional tectonic regime or by global climate change
associated with Late Quaternary high-amplitude sea-level variations and/or changes of
carbonate producers through time.

711 - The stratigraphic architectures of mixed carbonate-siliciclastic systems, represented by the 712 Quaternary reef-lagoon complex along the upper slope, vary widely from north to south. In front 713 of the Cap Bayes Pass, this contribution evidences for the first time in New Caledonia the 714 presence of a lowstand terrigenous prism alternating with transgressive shallow-water 715 carbonate sequence, typical to reciprocal sedimentation models. Nevertheless, this configuration 716 is not observed southward, probably because other control parameters prevailed such as low 717 terrigenous inputs, the particular morphology of the paleo-drainage network, which appears 718 parallel to the coastline, or the high by-pass sediment transport toward the deep basin.

719

# 720 7. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

721 We thank Editor M. Rebesco, reviewer John J.G. Reijmer and an anonymous reviewer for their

722 constructive comments on an early version of this paper. We are also grateful to John Butcher

723 (IRD Nouméa) who provided access to samples.

## 724 **REFERENCES**

- Adams, C.G., 1984. Neogene larger foraminifera, evolutionary and geological events in the context of datum planes, in:
   Ikebe, N., Tsuchi, R. (Eds.), Pacific Neogene Datum Planes. Tokyo, pp. 47–67.
- Adams, E., Kenter, J.A.M., 2013. So different, yet so similar: comparing and contrasting siliciclastic and carbonate
  slopes, in: Verwer, K., Playton, T.E., Harris, P.M. (Eds.), Deposits, Architecture and Controls of Carbonate Margin,
  Slope and Basinal Settings, SEPM Special Publication. Tulsa, Oklahoma, pp. 14–25.
- Aguirre, J., Riding, R., Braga, J.C., 2000. Diversity of coralline red algae: origination and extinction patterns from the
  Early Cretaceous to the Pleistocene. Paleobiology 26, 651–667. https://doi.org/10.1666/00948373(2000)026<0651:DOCRAO>2.0.C0;2
- Andréfouët, S., Cabioch, G., Flamand, B., Pelletier, B., 2009. A reappraisal of the diversity of geomorphological and
   genetic processes of New Caledonian coral reefs: a synthesis from optical remote sensing, coring and acoustic
   multibeam observations. Coral Reefs 28, 691–707. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00338-009-0503-y
- Anselmetti, F.S., Eberli, G.P., 2001. Sonic Velocity in Carbonates— A Combined Product of Depositional Lithology and
   Diagenetic Alterations, in: Ginsburg, R.N. (Ed.), Subsurface Geology of a Prograding Carbonate Platform Margin,
   Great Bahama Bank: Results of the Bahamas Drilling Project. SEPM Society for Sedimentary Geology, p. 0.
   https://doi.org/10.2110/pec.01.70.0193
- Belde, J., Back, S., Bourget, J., Reuning, L., 2017. Oligocene and Miocene carbonate platform development in the Browse
  basin, Australian northwest shelf. Journal of Sedimentary Research 87, 795–816.
  https://doi.org/10.2110/jsr.2017.44
- Bitoun, G., Recy, J., 1982. Origine et évolution du bassin des Loyauté et de ses bordures après la mise en place de la série ophiolitique de Nouvelle Calédonie, in: Contribution à l'étude Géodynamique Du Sud-Ouest Pacifique, Travaux et Documents ORSTOM. pp. 505–540.
- Boudagher-Fadel, M.K., 2018a. Evolution and Geological Significance of Larger Benthic Foraminifera, Second edition.
   ed. UCL Press.
- Boudagher-Fadel, M.K., 2018b. Revised diagnostic first and last occurrences of Mesozoic and Cenozoic planktonic
   foraminifera. UCL Office of the Vice-Provost Research, Professional Papers Series, UCL Press 1–5.
- Boudagher-Fadel, M.K., 2015. Biostratigraphic and geological of planktonic foraminifera, Updated second edition. ed.
   UCP Press.
- Boudagher-Fadel, M.K., Banner, F.T., 1999. Revision of the stratigraphic significance of the oligocene-miocene "Letter Stages." Revue de Micropaléontologie 42, 93–97. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0035-1598(99)90095-8
- Brachert, T.C., Forst, M.H., Pais, J.J., Legoinha, P., Reijmer, J.J.G., 2003. Lowstand carbonates, highstand sandstones?
   Sedimentary Geology 155, 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0037-0738(02)00329-9
- Cabioch, G., Montaggioni, L., Frank, N., Seard, C., Sallé, E., Payri, C., Pelletier, B., Paterne, M., 2008a. Successive reef
  depositional events along the Marquesas foreslopes (French Polynesia) since 26 ka. Marine Geology 254, 18–34.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.margeo.2008.04.014

- Cabioch, G., Montaggioni, L., Thouveny, N., Frank, N., Sato, T., Chazottes, V., Dalamasso, H., Payri, C., Pichon, M., Sémah,
   A.-M., 2008b. The chronology and structure of the western New Caledonian barrier reef tracts. Palaeogeography,
   Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology 268, 91–105. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.palaeo.2008.07.014
- Cabioch, G., Pelletier, B., Boré, J.-M., Panché, J.-Y., Perrier, J., 2002a. Campagne Boisalis 1, Cartographie multifaisceaux et dragages des pentes du récif barrière Est (Poindimié) et Sud-Est (Goro) de Nouvelle-Calédonie. Transport et débarquement du matériel de forage sur l'îlot Bayes. (No. 44). Rapports de missions. Sciences de la Terre, Géologie Géophysique, Centre IRD de Nouméa.
- Cabioch, G., Pelletier, B., Perrier, J., Régnier, M., Varillon, D., 2002b. Campagne Boisalis 2, cartographie multifaisceaux et
  dragages des pentes du récif barrière Sud-Est (Goro) et cartographie des passes de Mato et Boulari, NouvelleCalédonie. (No. 45). Rapports de missions. Sciences de la Terre, Géologie Géophysique, Centre IRD de Nouméa.
- Cabioch, G., Recy, J., Jouannic, C., Turpin, L., 1996. Controle climatique et tectonique de l'edification recifale en
   Nouvelle-Caledonie au cours du Quaternaire terminal. Bulletin de la Société Géologique de France 167, 729–742.
- Camoin, G.F., Seard, C., Deschamps, P., Webster, J.M., Abbey, E., Braga, J.C., Iryu, Y., Durand, N., Bard, E., Hamelin, B.,
  Yokoyama, Y., Thomas, A.L., Henderson, G.M., Dussouillez, P., 2012. Reef response to sea-level and environmental
  changes during the last deglaciation: Integrated Ocean Drilling Program Expedition 310, Tahiti Sea Level.
  Geology 40, 643–646. https://doi.org/10.1130/G32057.1
- Chaisson, W.P., Ravelo, A.C., 1997. Changes in upper water-column structure at Site 925, late MiocenePleistocene:planktonic foraminifer assemblage and isotopic evidence, in: Shackleton, N.J., Curry, W.B., Richter, C.,
  Bralower, T.J. (Eds.), Proceedings of the Ocean Drilling Program, Scientific Results, Ocean Drilling Program.
  College Station, TX, pp. 255–268.
- Chardon, D., Austin, J.A., Cabioch, G., Pelletier, B., Saustrup, S., 2007. NEOMARGES, Imagerie sismique du lagon et des pentes des marges de la ride de Nouvelle-Calédonie à travers le récif barrière de la Grande Terre, 12-21 décembre 2006 (Rapport de missions, Sciences de la Terre, Géologie-Géophysique No. 72). IRD. https://doi.org/10.17600/6100140
- Chardon, D., Austin, J.A., Cabioch, G., Pelletier, B., Saustrup, S., Sage, F., 2008. Neogene history of the northeastern New
   Caledonia continental margin from multichannel reflection seismic profiles. Comptes Rendus Geoscience 340,
   68–73. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crte.2007.09.017
- Chardon, D., Chevillotte, V., 2006. Morphotectonic evolution of the New Caledonia ridge (Pacific Southwest) from post-obduction tectonosedimentary record. Tectonophysics 420, 473–491.
   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2006.04.004
- Chevillon, C., 1997. Sédimentologie descriptive et cartographie des fonds meubles du lagon de la côte Est de Nouvelle Calédonie, in: Les Fonds Meubles Des Lagons de Nouvelle-Calédonie (Sédimentologie, Benthos), Etudes et
   Thèses, ORSTOM. pp. 7–30.
- Chiarella, D., Longhitano, S.G., Tropeano, M., 2017. Types of mixing and heterogeneities in siliciclastic-carbonate
   sediments. Marine and Petroleum Geology 88, 617–627. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2017.09.010
- Cluzel, D., Aitchison, J.C., Picard, C., 2001. Tectonic accretion and underplating of mafic terranes in the Late Eocene intraoceanic fore-arc of New Caledonia (Southwest Pacific): geodynamic implications. Tectonophysics 340, 23– 59. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0040-1951(01)00148-2
- Cluzel, D., Bosch, D., Paquette, J.-L., Lemennicier, Y., Montjoie, P., Ménot, R.-P., 2005. Late Oligocene post-obduction
  granitoids of New Caledonia: A case for reactivated subduction and slab break-off. Island Arc 14, 254–271.
  https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1440-1738.2005.00470.x
- 800 Cohen, K.M., Harper, D.A.T., Gibbard, P.L., 2017. ICS International Chronostratigraphic Chart 2017/02.
- Collot, J., Patriat, M., Etienne, S., Rouillard, P., Soetaert, F., Juan, C., Marcaillou, B., Palazzin, G., Clerc, C., Maurizot, P.,
  Pattier, F., Tournadour, E., Sevin, B., Privat, A., 2017. Deepwater Fold-and-Thrust Belt Along New Caledonia's
  Western Margin: Relation to Post-obduction Vertical Motions. Tectonics 36, 2108–2122.
  https://doi.org/10.1002/2017TC004542

- Collot, J.Y., Malahoff, A., Recy, J., Latham, G., Missegue, F., 1987. Overthrust emplacement of New Caledonia Ophiolite:
   Geophysical evidence. Tectonics 6, 215–232. https://doi.org/10.1029/TC006i003p00215
- Cotillon, P., Coustillas, F., Gaillard, C., Laurin, B., Liu, D.-J., Pannetier, W., Rigolot, P., Pascal, A., Pascal, F., 1990. Grands
  traits de la sedimentation actuelle et recente sur les pentes et dans les bassins au large de la Nouvelle Caledonie
  (SW Pacifique): Resultats geologiques de la campagne Biocal Cotillon P, Coustillas F, Gaillard C, Laurin B, Liu D-J,
  Pannetier W, Rigolot P, Pascal A, Pascal F. Oceanologica Acta, Special issue (0399-1784) Actes du Colloque Tour
  du monde Jean Charcot, Paris (France), 2-3 Mar 1989.
- 812 Cotillon, P., Liu, J.D., Gaillard, C., Evin, J., 1989. Evolution du taux de sedimentation au cours des derniers 30 000 ans
  813 aux abords de la Nouvelle-Caledonie (SW Pacifique); resultats de datations au radiocarbone et par la courbe de
  814 l'oxygene 18. Bulletin de la Société Géologique de France V, 881–884. https://doi.org/10.2113/gssgfbull.V.4.881
- 815 Coudray, J., 1976. Recherches sur le Neogene et le Quaternaire marins de la Nouvelle-Calédonie; contribution de
  816 l'étude sédimentologique a la connaissance de l'histoire géologique post-Eocene, in: Expédition Française Sur
  817 Les Récifs Nouvelle-Calédonie, Fond. Singer-Polignac. Paris, pp. 1–276.
- 818 Coudray, J., 1975. Recherches sur le Néogène et le Quaternaire marin de la Nouvelle-Calédonie. Contribution de l'étude
   819 sédimentologique à la connaissance de l'histoire géologique post-éocène (Thèse de Doctorat). Université des
   820 Sciences et Techniques du Languedoc.
- Baniel, J., Dugas, F., Dupont, J., Jouannic, C., Launay, J., Monzier, M., Recy, J., 1976. La zone charnière Nouvelle-Calédonie
   ride de Norfolk (S.W. Pacifique) : résultats de dragages et interprétation. Cahiers ORSTOM série Géologie 8, 95–
   105.
- Broxler, A.W., Jorry, S.J., 2013. Deglacial Origin of Barrier Reefs Along Low-Latitude Mixed Siliciclastic and Carbonate
   Continental Shelf Edges. Annu. Rev. Mar. Sci. 5, 165–190. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-marine-121211 172234
- Bubois, J., Launay, J., Recy, J., 1975. Some new evidence on lithospheric bulges close to island arcs. Tectonophysics 26,
   189–196. https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-1951(75)90089-X
- Bubois, J., Launay, J., Recy, J., 1974. Uplift movements in New Caledonia-Loyalty Islands area and their plate tectonics
   interpretation. Tectonophysics 24, 133–150. https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-1951(74)90134-6
- Bunbar, G.B., Dickens, G.R., 2003. Massive siliciclastic discharge to slopes of the Great Barrier Reef Platform during sea level transgression: constraints from sediment cores between 15°S and 16°S latitude and possible explanations.
   Sediment. Geol. 162, 141–158. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0037-0738(03)00216-1
- Ehrenberg, S.N., McArthur, J.M., Thirlwall, M.F., 2006. Growth, Demise, and Dolomitization of Miocene Carbonate
   Platforms on the Marion Plateau, Offshore NE Australia. Journal of Sedimentary Research 76, 91–116.
   https://doi.org/10.2110/jsr.2006.06
- 837 Esker, D.E., Eberli, G.P., McNeill, D.F., 1998. The Structural and Sedimentological Controls on the Reoccupation of
  838 Quaternary Incised Valleys, Belize Southern Lagoon. AAPG Bull. 82, 2075–2109.
  839 https://doi.org/10.1306/00AA7BE4-1730-11D7-8645000102C1865D
- Ferro, C.E., Droxler, A.W., Anderson, J.B., Mucciarone, D., 1999. Late Quaternary shift of mixed siliciclastic-carbonate
  environments induced by glacial eustatic sea-level fluctuations in Belize. In: Advances in carbonate sequence
  stratigraphy: Application to reservoirs, outcrops and models (Eds P.M. Harris, A.H. Saller and T. Simo), SEPM
  Special Publication v. 63, pp. 385-411. SEPM (Society for Sedimentary Geology), Tulsa.
- Flamand, B., 2006. Les pentes externes du récif barrière de la Grande Terre de Nouvelle-Calédonie : morphologie,
  lithologie, contrôle de la tectonique et de l'eustatisme. Université de Bretagne occidentale, Brest, France.
- Frank, N., Turpin, L., Cabioch, G., Blamart, D., Tressens-Fedou, M., Colin, C., Jean-Baptiste, P., 2006. Open system U-series ages of corals from a subsiding reef in New Caledonia: Implications for sea level changes, and subsidence rate. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 249, 274–289. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2006.07.029
- Fürstenau, J., Lindhorst, S., Betzler, C., Hübscher, C., 2010. Submerged reef terraces of the Maldives (Indian Ocean).
   Geo-Mar Lett 30, 511–515. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00367-009-0174-2

- Gaina, C., Müller, D.R., Royer, J.-Y., Stock, J., Hardebeck, J., Symonds, P., 1998. The tectonic history of the Tasman Sea: A
  puzzle with 13 pieces. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth 103, 12413–12433.
  https://doi.org/10.1029/98JB00386
- Geldart, L.P., Sheriff, R.E., 2004. Problems in Exploration Seismology and their Solutions. Society of Exploration
   Geophysicists. https://doi.org/10.1190/1.9781560801733
- Gischler, E., Ginsburg, R.N., Herrle, J.O., Prasad, S., 2010. Mixed carbonates and siliciclastics in the Quaternary of
   southern Belize: Pleistocene turning points in reef development controlled by sea-level change. Sedimentology
   57, 1049–1068. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3091.2009.01133.x
- Gradstein, F.M., Ogg, J.G., Schmitz, M.D., Ogg, G.M. (Eds.), The Geologic Time Scale. Elsevier, Boston, pp. ix-xi.
   https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-59425-9.10003-4
- Hallock, P., Schlager, W., 1986. Nutrient Excess and the Demise of Coral Reefs and Carbonate Platforms. PALAIOS 1,
   389. https://doi.org/10.2307/3514476
- Harper, B.B., Puga-Bernabéu, Á., Droxler, A.W., Webster, J.M., Gischler, E., Tiwari, M., Lado-Insua, T., Thomas, A.L.,
  Morgan, S., Jovane, L., Röhl, U., 2015. Mixed Carbonate–Siliciclastic Sedimentation Along the Great Barrier Reef
  Upper Slope: A Challenge To the Reciprocal Sedimentation Model. Journal of Sedimentary Research 85, 1019–
  1036. https://doi.org/10.2110/jsr.2015.58.1
- 867 Hayes, D.E., Ringis, J., 1973. Seafloor Spreading in the Tasman Sea. Nature 243, 454–458. 868 https://doi.org/10.1038/243454a0
- Hohenegger, J., 2005. Estimation of environmental paleogradient values based on presence/absence data: a case study
   using benthic foraminifera for paleodepth estimation. Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol. 217, 115–130.
   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.palaeo.2004.11.020
- Hohenegger, J., 1995. Depth estimation by proportions of living larger foraminifera. Mar. Micropal. 26, 31-47.
   https://doi.org/10.1016/0377-8398(95)00044-5
- Hottinger, L., 1983. Processes determining the distribution of larger foraminifera in space and time. Utrecht Micropal.
   Bull. 30, 239–253.
- 876 Jorry, S.J., Camoin, G.F., Jouet, G., Roy, P.L., Vella, C., Courgeon, S., Prat, S., Fontanier, C., Paumard, V., Boulle, J., Caline, B., 877 Borgomano, J., 2016. Modern sediments and Pleistocene reefs from isolated carbonate platforms (Iles Eparses, 878 SW Indian 129-143. Ocean): А preliminary study. Acta Oecologica 72, 879 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actao.2015.10.014
- B80 Juffroy, F., 2009. Atlas bathymétrique de la Nouvelle-Calédonie. Rapport du Service de la Géomatique et de la
   B81 Télédétection du Gouvernement de la Nouvelle-Calédonie.
- Kerans, C., Tinker, S.W., 1999. Extrinsic Stratigraphic Controls on Development of the Capitan Reef Complex, in: Saller,
   A.H., Harris, P.M. (Mitch), Kirkland, B.L., Mazzullo, S.J. (Eds.), Geologic Framework of the Capitan Reef. SEPM
   Society for Sedimentary Geology, p. 0.
- Khanna, P., Droxler, A.W., Nittrouer, J.A., Tunnell Jr, J.W., Shirley, T.C., 2017. Coralgal reef morphology records
  punctuated sea-level rise during the last deglaciation. Nature Communications 8, 1046.
  https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-00966-x
- Lafoy, Y., Missègue, F., Cluzel, D., Voisset, M., Saget, P., Lenoble, J.-P., Rigaut, F., Lanckneus, J., Lehodey, P., Bouniot, E.,
  Cornec, J., De Souza, K., Gallois, F., Garioud, N., Grenard, P., N'Diaye, M., Perchoc, Y., Perrier, J., 1994. Campagne
  ZoNéCo 2 (2 au 22 août 1994), RV L'Atalante.
- Lafoy, Y., Van de Beuque, S., Missègue, F., Nercessian, A., Bernardel, G., 1998. Campagne de sismique multitraces entre
   la marge Est Australienne et le Sud de l'Arc des Nouvelles-Hébrides Rapport de la campagne RIG SEISMIC 206
   (21 avril 24 mai 1998), Programme FAUST (French Australian Seismic Transect). Programme ZoNéCo.
- Lagabrielle, Y., Chauvet, A., 2008. The role of extensional tectonics in shaping Cenozoic New-Caledonia. Bulletin de la
   Société Géologique de France 179, 315–329. https://doi.org/10.2113/gssgfbull.179.3.315

- Lagabrielle, Y., Maurizot, P., Lafoy, Y., Cabioch, G., Pelletier, B., Régnier, M., Wabete, I., Calmant, S., 2005. Post-Eocene
   extensional tectonics in Southern New Caledonia (SW Pacific): Insights from onshore fault analysis and offshore
   seismic data. Tectonophysics 403, 1–28. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2005.02.014
- Launay, J., 1985. Paléoniveaux marins et néotectonique à l'île des Pins (Nouvelle -Calédonie). Géologie de la France 1,
  77–81.
- Le Roy, P., Cabioch, G., Monod, B., Lagabrielle, Y., Pelletier, B., Flamand, B., 2008. Late Quaternary history of the Nouméa
   lagoon (New Caledonia, South West Pacific) as depicted by seismic stratigraphy and multibeam bathymetry: A
   modern model of tropical rimmed shelf. Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology 270, 29-45.
   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.palaeo.2008.08.012
- Le Roy, P., Jorry, S., Jouet, G., Ehrhold, A., Michel, G., Gautier, V., Guérin, C., 2019. Late Pleistocene evolution of the mixed
   siliciclastic and carbonate southwestern New Caledonia continental shelf/lagoon. Palaeogeography,
   Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology 514, 502–521. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.palaeo.2018.10.014
- 908Mallarino, G., Francis, J.M., Jorry, S.J., Daniell, J.J., Droxler, A.W., Dickens, G.R., Beaufort, L., Bentley, S.J., Opdyke, B.N.,909Peterson, L.C., 2021. Timescale dependent sedimentary record during the past 130 kyr from a tropical mixed910siliciclastic-carbonate shelf edge and slope: Ashmore Trough (southern Gulf of Papua). Sedimentology911sed.12867. https://doi.org/10.1111/sed.12867
- Maurizot, P., Cabioch, G., Fournier, F., Leonide, P., Sebih, S., Rouillard, P., Montaggioni, L., Collot, J., Martin-Garin, B.,
   Chaproniere, G., Braga, J.C., Sevin, B., 2016. Post-obduction carbonate system development in New Caledonia
   (Népoui, Lower Miocene). Sedimentary Geology 331, 42–62. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sedgeo.2015.11.003
- 915 Maurizot, P., Collot, J., Cluzel, D., Patriat, M., 2020. Chapter 6 The Loyalty Islands and Ridge, New Caledonia.
   916 Geological Society, London, Memoirs 51, 131. https://doi.org/10.1144/M51-2017-24
- 917 Maurizot, P., Vendé-Leclerc, M., 2009. New Caledonia geological map, scale 1/500000. Direction de l'Industrie, des
   918 Mines et de l'Energie-Service de la Géologie de Nouvelle-Calédonie, Bureau de Recherches Géologiques et
   919 Minières.
- McCaffrey, J.C., Wallace, M.W., Gallagher, S.J., 2020. A Cenozoic Great Barrier Reef on Australia's North West shelf.
   Global and Planetary Change 184, 103048. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloplacha.2019.103048
- 922 McKee, E.D., Oriel, S.S., Ketner, K.B., MacLachlan, M.E., Goldsmith, J.W., MacLachlan, J.C., Mudge, M.R., 1959.
   923 Paleotectonic maps of the Triassic System. Miscellaneous Geologic Investigations Map.
- McKenzie, J.A., Spezzaferri, S., Isern, A., 1999. The Miocene-Pliocene boundary in the Mediterranean Sea and Bahamas;
   implications for a global flooding event in the earliest Pliocene. Memorie della Società Geologica Italiana 54, 93–
   108.
- McNeill, D.F., Pisera, A., 2010. Neogene Lithofacies Evolution on a Small Carbonate Platform in the Loyalty Basin, Mare,
   New Caledonia, in: Morgan, W.A., George, A.D., Harris, P.M. (Mitch), Kupecz, J.A., Sarg, J.F. (Rick) (Eds.), Cenozoic
   Carbonate Systems of Australasia. SEPM Society for Sedimentary Geology, p. 0.
- Missègue, F., Saget, P., Desrus, M., Le Suavé, R., Lafoy, Y., 1996. Mission ZoNéCo 3 (30 Aout au 20 Septembre 1996), RV
   L'Atalante. https://doi.org/10.17600/96010070
- Mitchell, J.K., Holdgate, G.R., Wallace, M.W., Gallagher, S.J., 2007. Marine geology of the Quaternary Bass Canyon system,
  southeast Australia: A cool-water carbonate system. Mar. Geol. 237, 71–96.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.margeo.2006.10.037
- Mitchum, R.M., Vail, P.R., Sangree, J.B., 1977. Seismic stratigraphy and global changs of sea-level, part 6: stratigraphic
   interpretation of seismic reflectionpatterns in depositional sequences, part 6, in: Payton, C.E. (Ed.), Seismic
   Stratigraphy: Applications to Hydrocarbon Exploration, AAPG Memoir. pp. 117–133.
- Montaggioni, L.F., Cabioch, G., Thouveny, N., Frank, N., Sato, T., Sémah, A.-M., 2011. Revisiting the Quaternary
   development history of the western New Caledonian shelf system: From ramp to barrier reef. Marine Geology
   280, 57–75. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.margeo.2010.12.001
- 941 Moretti, I., Turcotte, D.L., 1985. A model for erosion, sedimentation, and flexure with application to New Caledonia.
   942 Journal of Geodynamics 3, 155–168. https://doi.org/10.1016/0264-3707(85)90026-2

- 943 Mortimer, N., Campbell, H., Tulloch, A.J., King, P.R., Stagpoole, V.M., Wood, R.A., Rattenbury, M.S., Sutherland, R., Adams,
   944 C.J., Collot, J., Seton, M., 2017. Zealandia: Earth's Hidden Continent. The Geological Society of America 27, 27–35.
- 945 Nebelsick, J.H., Stingl, V., Rasser, M., 2001. Autochthonous facies and allochthonous debris flows compared: Early
  946 oligocene carbonate facies patterns of the Lower Inn Valley (Tyrol, Austria). Facies 44, 31.
  947 https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02668165
- O'Connell, B., Dorsey, R.J., Hasiotis, S.T., Hood, A.V.S., 2021. Mixed carbonate-siliciclastic tidal sedimentation in the
   Miocene to Pliocene Bouse Formation, palaeo-Gulf of California. Sedimentology 68, 1028-1068.
   https://doi.org/10.1111/sed.12817
- Paquette, J.-L., Cluzel, D., 2007. U-Pb zircon dating of post-obduction volcanic-arc granitoids and a granulite-facies
   xenolith from New Caledonia. Inference on Southwest Pacific geodynamic models. International Journal of Earth
   Sciences 96, 613–622. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00531-006-0127-1
- 954 Paris, J.P., 1981. Géologie de la Nouvelle-Calédonie, Un essai de synthèse, Mémoire du BRGM. Orléans, France.
- Patriat, M., Collot, J., Etienne, S., Poli, S., Clerc, C., Mortimer, N., Pattier, F., Juan, C., Roest, W.R., VESPA scientific voyage
   team, 2018. New Caledonia Obducted Peridotite Nappe: Offshore Extent and Implications for Obduction and
   Postobduction Processes. Tectonics 37, 1077–1096. https://doi.org/10.1002/2017TC004722
- Pautot, G., Lafoy, Y., Dupont, J., Le Suavé, R., 1993. Campagne ZoNéCo 1 (25 juin au 16 juillet 1993), RV L'Atalante.
   https://doi.org/10.17600/93000130
- Pelletier, B., Butscher, J., Panché, J.-Y., Perrier, J., Maloune, A., 2002. Cartographie au sondeur multifaisceaux des pentes
  externes du récif barrière de la Province Nord de la Nouvelle-Calédonie. Campagne Province Nord 1, côte Est de
  la passe de Thio à la passe de Balade (24 juillet au 1er août 2002). (No. 48). Rapports de missions, Sciences de la
  Terre, Géologie-Géophysique, Centre IRD Nouméa.
- Pelletier, B., Cabioch, G., Chardon, D., Yamano, H., 2006. Lithologie des pentes externes du récif barrière de NouvelleCalédonie. Campagne de dragages « 2005-NC-DR » du N.O. Alis (30 mai 7 juin 2005). (No. 68). Rapports de missions, Sciences de la Terre, Géologie Géophysique, Centre IRD de Nouméa.
- Pelletier, B., Juffroy, F., Flamand, B., Perrier, J., 2012. La bathymétrie des marges de la Grande Terre et des îles Loyauté.
  Planche 5., in: Bonvallot, J., Gay, J.C., Habert, E. (Eds.), Atlas de La Nouvelle Calédonie. Nouméa : IRD ; Congrès de la Nouvelle-Calédonie, Marseille (FRA), pp. 33–36.
- Pelletier, B., Perrier, J., Juffroy, F., Flamand, B., Panché, J.-Y., Gallois, F., 2004. Cartographie systématique par sondeur
  multifaisceaux des pentes externes du récif barrière de la Grande Terre et des Iles Loyauté, Nouvelle-Calédonie.
  Assises de la Recherche Française dans le Pacifique, 24-27 aout 2004, Nouméa, Nouvelle-Calédonie. Résumés
  des communications scientifiques, p.271-272. Poster. Presented at the Assises de la Recherche Française dans le
  Pacifique, 24-27 aout 2004, Nouméa, Nouvelle-Calédonie.
- 975 Perrier, J., Flamand, B., Juffroy, F., Panché, J.-Y., Le Houarno, H., 2004a. Cartographie au sondeur multifaisceaux de la 2006 côtière de la Province Sud. Campagne Province Sud 1, N.O. Alis (2-5 février et 11-20 février 2004). (No. 62).
  977 Rapports de missions, Sciences de la Terre, Géologie-Géophysique, Centre IRD Nouméa.
- 978 Perrier, J., Flamand, B., Robineau, B., Panché, J.-Y., Le Houarno, H., 2004b. Cartographie au sondeur multifaisceaux de la 2004 côte
  979 zone côtière de la Province Sud, Campagne Province Sud 2. N.O. Alis du 23 septembre au 2 octobre 2004. Côte
  980 Ouest: de la passe de Boulari à la passe Koko; Sud: Corne Sud. (No. 63), Rapports de missions, Sciences de la 981 Terre, Géologie-Géophysique, Centre IRD de Nouméa.
- Perrier, J., Panché, J.-Y., Juffroy, F., Barazer, J.-F., 2005. Cartographie au sondeur multifaisceaux de la zone côtière de la
  Province Sud. Campagne Province Sud 4, NO Alis. 21 au 24 septembre 2005. Hauts fonds à l'extrémité sud de la
  Grande Terre : Banc 93, Banc Antigonia, Mont 1 (No. 65). Rapports de missions Sciences de la Terre. Géologie
  Géophysique, Centre IRD de Nouméa.
- Perrier, J., Pelletier, B., Panché, J.-Y., Barazer, J.-F., Juffroy, F., 2004c. Cartographie au sondeur multifaisceaux de la zone
  côtière de la Province Sud. Campagne Province Sud 3, N.O. Alis du 26 novembre au 30 novembre 2004. Côte Sud
  Est : de la passe de la Sarcelle à la terminaison sud de l'île des Pins (banc de la Torche). (No. 64). Rapports de
  missions, Sciences de la Terre, Géologie-Géophysique, Centre IRD de Nouméa.

- 990 Puillandre, N., Samadi, S., 2016. Kanacono cruise, RV Alis,. https://doi.org/10.17600/16003900
- 991Purdy, E.G., Gischler, E., 2005. The transient nature of the empty bucket model of reef sedimentation. Sedimentary992Geology 175, 35-47. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sedgeo.2005.01.007
- 893 Rigolot, P., 1989. Origine et évolution du "système" ride de Nouvelle-Calédonie/Norfolk (Sud -Ouest Pacifique):
  994 synthèse des données de géologie et de géophysique marine. Etude des marges et bassins associés. UBO, Brest.
- Rovere, A., Khanna, P., Bianchi, C.N., Droxler, A.W., Morri, C., Naar, D.F., 2018. Submerged reef terraces in the Maldivian
   Archipelago (Indian Ocean). Geomorphology 317, 218–232. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2018.05.026
- Schlager, W., 1989. Drowning Unconformities on Carbonate Platforms, in: Controls on Carbonate Platforms and Basin
   Development, SEPM SPECIAL PUBLICATION.
- Sevin, B., Maurizot, P., Cluzel, D., Tournadour, E., Etienne, S., Folcher, N., Jeanpert, J., Collot, J., Iseppi, M., Meffre, S.,
   Patriat, M., 2020. Chapter 7 Post-obduction evolution of New Caledonia. Geological Society, London, Memoirs
   51, 147. https://doi.org/10.1144/M51-2018-74
- Smith, W.H.F., Sandwell, D.T., 1997. Global Sea Floor Topography from Satellite Altimetry and Ship Depth Soundings.
   Science 277, 1956. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.277.5334.1956
- Sutherland, R., Viskovic, G.P.D., Bache, F., Stagpoole, V.M., Collot, J., Rouillard, P., Hashimoto, T., Hackney, R., Rollet, N.,
   Patriat, M., Roest, W.R., 2012. Compilation of seismic reflection data from the Tasman Frontier region, southwest
   Pacific (GNS Sciense Report No. 2012/01).
- Tcherepanov, E.N., Droxler, A.W., Lapointe, P., Dickens, G.R., Bentley, S.J., Beaufort, L., Peterson, L.C., Daniell, J., Opdyke,
   B.N., 2008a. Neogene evolution of the mixed carbonate-siliciclastic system in the Gulf of Papua, Papua New
   Guinea. J. Geophys. Res. 113, F01S21. https://doi.org/10.1029/2006JF000684
- Tcherepanov, E.N., Droxler, A.W., Lapointe, P., Mohn, K., 2008b. Carbonate seismic stratigraphy of the Gulf of Papua
   mixed depositional system: Neogene stratigraphic signature and eustatic control. Basin Res. 20, 185–209.
   https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2117.2008.00364.x
- Tcherepanov, E.N., Droxler, A.W., Lapointe, P., Mohn, K., Larsen, O.A., 2010. Siliciclastic influx and burial of the Cenozoic
   carbonate system in the Gulf of Papua. Marine and Petroleum Geology 27, 533–554.
   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2009.09.002
- 1016Teillet, T., Fournier, F., Borgomano, J., Hong, F., 2020a. Origin of seismic reflections in a carbonate gas field, Lower1017Miocene, offshore Myanmar. Marine and Petroleum Geology 113, 104110.1018https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2019.104110
- 1019Teillet, T., Fournier, F., Montaggioni, L.F., BouDagher-Fadel, M., Borgomano, J., Braga, J.C., Villeneuve, Q., Hong, F.,10202020b. Development patterns of an isolated oligo-mesophotic carbonate buildup, early Miocene, Yadana field,1021offshoreMyanmar.MarineandPetroleumGeology111,440-460.1022https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2019.08.039
- Terry, J.P., Wotling, G., 2011. Rain-shadow hydrology: Influences on river flows and flood magnitudes across the
   central massif divide of La Grande Terre Island, New Caledonia. Journal of Hydrology 404, 77–86.
   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2011.04.022
- 1026Toomey, M.R., Woodruff, J.D., Donnelly, J.P., Ashton, A.D., Perron, J.T., 2016. Seismic evidence of glacial-age river1027incision into the Tahaa barrier reef, French Polynesia. Marine Geology 380, 284–289.1028https://doi.org/10.1016/j.margeo.2016.04.008
- Tournadour, E., Fournier, F., Etienne, S., Collot, J., Maurizot, P., Patriat, M., Sevin, B., Morgans, H.E.G., Martin-Garin, B.,
   Braga, J.C., 2020. Seagrass-related carbonate ramp development at the front of a fan delta (Burdigalian, New
   Caledonia): Insights into mixed carbonate-siliciclastic environments. Marine and Petroleum Geology 121,
   104581. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2020.104581
- Wallace, M.W., Holdgat, G.R., Daniels, J., Gallagher, S.J., Smith, A., 2002. Sonic velocity, submarine canyons, and burial
   diagenesis in Oligocene-Holocene cool-water carbonates, Gippsland Basin, southeast Australia. AAPG Bull. 86,
   1593–1607\_https://doi.org/10.1306/61EEDD14-173E-11D7-8645000102C1865D

- 1036 Webster, J.M., Braga, J.C., Humblet, M., Potts, D.C., Iryu, Y., Yokoyama, Y., Fujita, K., Bourillot, R., Esat, T.M., Fallon, S.,
  1037 Thompson, W.G., Thomas, A.L., Kan, H., McGregor, H.V., Hinestrosa, G., Obrochta, S.P., Lougheed, B.C., 2018.
  1038 Response of the Great Barrier Reef to sea-level and environmental changes over the past 30,000 years. Nature
  1039 Geoscience 11, 426–432. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-018-0127-3
- Weij, R., Reijmer, J.J.G., Eberli, G.P., Swart, P.K., 2019. The limited link between accommodation space, sediment thickness, and inner platform facies distribution (Holocene-Pleistocene, Bahamas). The Depositional Record 5, 400-420. https://doi.org/10.1002/dep2.50
- Wilson, J.L., 1967. Cyclic and Reciprocal Sedimentation in Virgilian Strata of Southern New Mexico. GSA Bulletin 78,
   805–818. https://doi.org/10.1130/0016-7606(1967)78[805:CARSIV]2.0.C0;2
- Wilson, P.A., Roberts, H.H., 1995. Density cascading; off-shelf sediment transport, evidence and implications, Bahama
   Banks. Journal of Sedimentary Research 65, 45–56. https://doi.org/10.1306/D426801D-2B26-11D7 8648000102C1865D
- Wilson, P.A., Roberts, H.H., 1992. Carbonate-periplatform sedimentation by density flows: A mechanism for rapid off bank and vertical transport of shallow-water fines. Geology 20, 713–716. https://doi.org/10.1130/0091 7613(1992)020<0713:CPSBDF>2.3.C0;2
- Yamano, H., Cabioch, G., Pelletier, B., Chevillon, C., Tachikawa, H., Lefêvre, J., Marchesiello, P., 2015. Modern carbonate
   sedimentary facies on the outer shelf and slope around New Caledonia. Island Arc 24, 4–15.
   https://doi.org/10.1111/iar.12085
- Yordanova, E.K., Hohenegger, J., 2007. Studies on settling, traction and entrainment of larger benthic foraminiferal
   tests: implications for accumulation in shallow marine sediments. Sedimentology 54, 1273–1306.
   https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3091.2007.00881.x
- Yordanova, E.K., Hohenegger, J., 2002. Taphonomy of larger foraminifera: Relationships between living individuals and
   empty tests on flat reef slopes (Sesoko Island, Japan). Facies 46, 169–203. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02668080
- Zeller, M., Verwer, K., Eberli, G.P., Massaferro, J.L., Schwarz, E., Spalletti, L., 2015. Depositional controls on mixed
   carbonate-siliciclastic cycles and sequences on gently inclined shelf profiles. Sedimentology 62, 2009–2037.
   https://doi.org/10.1111/sed.12215
- Zinke, J., Reijmer, J.J.G., Thomassin, B.A., 2001. Seismic architecture and sediment distribution within the Holocene
   barrier reef-lagoon complex of Mayotte (Comoro archipelago, SW Indian Ocean). Palaeogeography,
   Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology 175, 343–368. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0031-0182(01)00379-0

1065

1066

# 1067 FIGURE AND TABLE CAPTIONS

1068

1069 Figure 1: A. Regional location map of the study area. B. Simplified geological map of Grande 1070 Terre, New Caledonia (modified after Maurizot and Vendé-Leclerc, 2009) and shaded 1071 bathymetric map of surrounding offshore areas with the southern extensions of the Peridotite 1072 Nappe and metamorphic belt (Pines and Félicité ridges, respectively; after Patriat et al., 2018). 1073 Drill sites of the Quaternary barrier reef (Coudray, 1975; Cabioch et al., 2008; Montaggioni et al., 1074 2011) and outcrops of the Lower Miocene mixed carbonate siliciclastic systems of Népoui are 1075 also indicated (Maurizot et al., 2016; Tournadour et al., 2020). C. Simplified SW to NE oriented 1076 geological cross-section of Grande Terre (modified after Lagabrielle et al., 2005 and Collot et al., 1077 1987). N: Nouméa ; Pn: Ponérihouen ; Th: Thio ; Yt: Yaté ; IP: Isle of Pines ; T : Banc de la Torche ; 1078 S: Stylaster seamount; B: Brachiopod seamount; A: Antigonia seamount; C: Crypthelia 1079 seamount; M : Munida seamount.

Figure 2: Bathymetric map of the eastern margin of Grande Terre, from Poindimié to the Pines
Ridge with location of the dataset used in this study including seismic profiles AUS-104 (Bitoun
& Recy, 1982), 206-04 (dashed black lines), NEOMARGES (Chardon, 2006; Chardon et al. 2008,
black lines), and dredged carbonate samples (yellow circles). T : Banc de la Torche ; S : Stylaster
seamount ; B : Brachiopod seamount ; A : Antigonia seamount ; C : Crypthelia seamount ; M :
Munida seamount.

**Figure 3: A.** Bathymetric map of the eastern lagoon of Grande Terre from Ponérihouen to Yaté. On the outer slope, note the terrace mapped in orange between 300 to 600 m water depths. **B.** Bathymetrical profile across the lagoon from the coast of Houailou to the outer barrier reef (see location on Figure A) showing three main morphobathymetric zones: 1) a shallow-water coastal zone dominated by fine-grained terrigenous sediments; 2) a deeper median lagoon with aligned islands and a coast-parallel channel network in front of Cote Oubliée; 3) a barrier reef domain cross-cut by passes, dominated by coarse-grained carbonate sedimentation. D: Deltas; D\*: 1093 Restricted deltas; SI: Sandy Islets; PR: Patch Reefs. Red lines are positions of seismic profiles.
1094 Yellow circles are positions of dredged carbonate samples.

1095 **Figure 4:** Typical bathymetric profiles of the outer slopes of Grande Terre (location on Fig.2) 1096 highlighting the very steep character of the western margin (dashed green lines; W-01 and W-1097 02) contrasting with the more gently inclined eastern margin (solid blue lines; E-01 and E-02). 1098 The latter illustrate the main morpho-bathymetric domains of the eastern margin slope, which 1099 can be divided into a low gradient (2-3°) upper slope mostly preserved from erosion, a middle 1100 slope affected by numerous submarine canyons and a lower slope to to-of-slope region. The 1101 hatched area shows the elevation difference between the southern and central parts of the 1102 eastern margin (E-01 and E-02, respectively), highlighting that the slope is better preserved 1103 from retrogressive erosion by slope canyons processes towards the south.

1104 Figure 5: A. 3D bathymetrical map of the outer slope in front of Cap Bayes Pass with location of 1105 dip-oriented seismic profile NM-1. B. Seismic profile NM-1 profile with location of quaternary 1106 terraces (blue arrow, see details in Flamand, 2006), clinoform slope break of U2 prism (green 1107 arrow) and the bathymetrical scarp associated with the top of U1 seismic unit (red arrow). C. 1108 Interpretation of seismic profile NM-1 highlighting five sub-units inside U2, interpreted as 1109 parasequences (numbered from 1 to 5). Each parasequence is typified by an alternation of 1110 lowstand forced regressive wedges (in beige) and aggrading to retrograding shallow-water 1111 carbonate transgressive sequences (in pink), consistent with the pattern of reciprocal 1112 sedimentation model.

Figure 6: A. 3D bathymetrical map of the outer slope in front of Canala with location of seismic profiles NM-4 and NM-9 and dredged carbonate rocks (see Table 3). B. Uninterpreted seismic profile NM-4. C. Interpreted seismic profile NM-4. D. Uninterpreted seismic profile NM-9. E. Interpretation of seismic profile NM-9. Both profiles show U1 seismic unit overlain by downlapping U2 seismic unit interpreted as external slope deposits derived from the quaternary barrier reef. Figure 7: A. 3D bathymetrical map of the outer slope in front of Côte Oubliée with location of seismic profiles NM-12B and NM-13 and dredged carbonate rock DR-49 (see Table 3). B. Uninterpreted seismic profile NM-12B. C. Interpretation of seismic profile NM-12B. D. Uninterpreted seismic profile NM-13. E. Interpretation of seismic profile NM-13. The upper slope is characterized by a thick downlapping U1 sedimentary unit incised by numerous gullies suggesting significant off-bank transport from the lagoon towards the basin.

1125 Figure 8: A. 3D bathymetrical map of the southeastern slope of Grande Terre and Pines Ridge 1126 with location of AUS-104 and 206-04 seismic profiles. T: Banc de la Torche; S: Stylaster seamount; B: Brachiopod seamount; A: Antigonia seamount; C: Crypthelia seamount; M: 1127 1128 Munida seamount. B. Seismic profile AUS-14 across the Loyalty Basin bordered by the Loyalty 1129 and Pines ridges. C. Line drawing interpretation of profile AUS-14 showing spectacular normal 1130 faults affecting the peridotite nappe overlain by post-obduction sedimentary units. This study 1131 focuses on shallow-water carbonates that cover peridotite horsts of the Pines Ridge and which 1132 are currently at 300-400 m water depths.

**Figure 9:** Bathymetric map (**A**) and profile (**B**) of Crypthelia seamount located from 200 to 800 m water depth and marked by N160° oriented faults (f) associated with a channel (Ch) and large collapses on its southern edge. Bathymetric map of Munida seamount (**C**) marked by a southern terrace noted M1, located between 400 to 600 m water depth, and by a relatively flat top above 200 m water depth, noted M2.

**Figure 10: A.** Seismic profile 206-04 through the Pines Ridge and Munida seamount (see location on Fig.8A and 10C). **B.** Interpretation of profile 206-04 showing the normally faulted geometry the Peridotite Nappe and HP-LT Metamorphic complex resulting from post-obduction extensional tectonics (Patriat et al., 2018). Associated flat-topped horsts are capped by a shallow water carbonate ramp interpreted as being of Mio-Pliocene age based on biostratigraphic analysis of DW-4757 and DW-4782-A dredged samples (see Table 3). **C.** Close-up view on seismic profile 206-04 on the Pines Ridge. **D**. Detailed line drawing interpretation of C. showing 3 subunits UP1 to UP3 and an overall asymmetric configuration suggesting a tilt of Pines Ridge
before and during deposition of the Mio-Pliocene carbonate ramp. The eastern part of UP2
subunit is characterized by buid-up geometries that could be interpreted as aggrading platform.

Figure 11: A. DR44 sample, (a.) *Katacycloclypeus martini*, (b.) *Globigerinoides quadrilobatus*. B.
DR44 sample, (a.) *small rotaliid* in reworked micrite, (b.) *Dentoglobigerina altispira*, (c.) *Globorotalia menardii*, (d.) *Globigerinoides conglobatus*, (e.) *Globigerinoides ruber*. C. DR44
sample, (a.) *Globorotalia plesiotumida*. D. DR45 sample, (a.) *Lepidocyclina sp.*. E. DR46 sample, *Truncorotalia crassaformis*. F. DR47 sample, (a.) *Globoquadrina dehiscens*. G. DR47 sample, *Globorotalia tumida*.

Figure 12: A. DR48 sample, (a.) *Alveolinella praequoyi* (b.) *Pulleniatina obliquiloculata*. B. DR49
sample, (a.) *Alveolinella praequoyi*. C. DR49 sample, (a.) *Globorotalia tumida* D. DR53 sample,
(a.) *Pulleniatina primalis* E. DW4737-B sample, (a.) *Alanlordia sp.* F. DW4757-A sample, (a.) *Planorbulinella solida*. G. DW4770 sample, (a.) *Truncorotalia truncatulinoides* (d'Orbigny) (b.) *Truncorotalia tosaensis* (Takayanagi and Saito).

**Figure 13: A.** Schematic cross-sections showing the geometry and evolution of shallow water post-obduction systems on the eastern margin of Grande Terre in the vicinity of Poindimié (1), north of Pines Ridges along Banc de la Torche (2), south of Pines Ridge (3), and over Crypthelia (4) and (5)Munida seamounts. (location in Fig. 13C). **B.** Paleogeographical reconstruction and spatial distribution of Mio-Pliocene carbonate banks. **C.** Paleogeographical reconstruction and spatial distribution of Quaternary barrier reef of Grande Terre and submerged isolated platforms along Pines Ridges and seamounts.

- 1166 **Table 1:** Characteristics of the seismic acquisition devices.
- 1167 **Table 2:** List of carbonate rock samples analysed in this study

- **Table 3:** Table summarizing microfacies description and interpretation of depositional
  environment, age of *in-situ* components and age of reworked components (identified in red in
  Table 4)
- **Table 4:** List of the component occurrence with identification of reworked elements (red cross).















U1

MIO-PLIOCENE CARBONATE BANK















































SEISMIC PROFILE	Figure in this study	CRUISE	STREAMER LENGHT (KM)	NUMBER OF CHANNELS	SOURCE TYPE	SOURCE VOLUME (CU)	SOURCE BAND WIDTH (Hz)	SHOT INTERVAL (m)
NM-1	Fig. 5	NEOMARGES, 2006	0.072	24	Airgun Bolt 600BT	20	50 to 500	5,5 to 8
NM-4	Fig. 6B							
NM-9	Fig. 6C							
NM-12B	Fig. 7B							
NM-13	Fig. 7C							
AUS-104	Fig. 8B	AUSTRADEC-1, 1972	_	12 or 24	Flexichoc source	_	_	_
206-04	Fig. 10	FAUST-1, 1998	3.3	264	Systems HG Sleeve guns	3000	50-60	50

SAMPLE NAME	CRUISE	SITE	WATER DEPTH (m)	LATITUDE	LONGITUDE
DR44	2005-NC-DR	Eastern margin of Grande Terre	550 to 680	-21.276	166.020
DR45	2005-NC-DR	Eastern margin of Grande Terre	300 to 410	-21.295	166.023
DR46	2005-NC-DR	Eastern margin of Grande Terre	295 to 380	-21.404	166.170
DR47	2005-NC-DR	Eastern margin of Grande Terre	295 to 380	-21.405	166.183
DR48	2005-NC-DR	Eastern margin of Grande Terre	290 to 400	-21.426	166.220
DR49	2005-NC-DR	Eastern margin of Grande Terre	400 to 500	-21.701	166.598
DR53	2005-NC-DR	Eastern margin of Grande Terre	280	-22.168	167.092
DW4737-B	KANACONO	Southern margin of Isle of Pines	387 to 456	-22.716	167.709
DW4746-B	KANACONO	Southern margin of Isle of Pines	494 to 508	-22.975	167.693
DW4745-B	KANACONO	Southern margin of Isle of Pines	310 to 403	-22.918	167.636
DW4747-B1	KANACONO	Southern margin of Isle of Pines	550 to 590	-23.015	167.722
DW4747-X	KANACONO	Southern margin of Isle of Pines	550 to 590	-23.015	167.722
DW4757-A	KANACONO	Southern margin of Isle of Pines	800 to 850	-23.142	168.096
DW4782-A	KANACONO	Southern margin of Isle of Pines	845 to 856	-23.000	167.903
DW4770	KANACONO	Munida seamount	455 to 470	-22.975	168.350
DR4772-A	KANACONO	Munida seamount	230 to 795	-23.051	168.336
DR4773-A	KANACONO	Munida seamount	230 to 400	-23.035	168.334

SAMPLES	SITE	DEPTH	CRUISE	MICROFACIES	DEPOSITIONAL ENVIRONNEMENT	ZONE and AGE	ZONE and AGE of reworked components (in red Table.4)
DR44		550 to 680 m	2005-NC-DR	Micritic pelagic packstone with reworked micritic patches of planktonic foraminifera, recrystallised algae and larger benthic foraminifera	Forereef environment LBF reworked into inner to outer neritic environment	N19-N20a (5.3 Ma to 3.6 Ma) Early Pliocene	N12 (13.82 Ma to 12.00 Ma) Serravallian
DR45	-Eastern Margin, in front of Canala (Fig.6)	300 to 410 m	2005-NC-DR	Micritic pelagic packstone with reworked micritic patches of planktonic foraminifera, recrystallised algae and larger benthic foraminifera	Forereef environment LBF reworked into inner to outer neritic environment	N19-N20a (5.33 Ma to 3.6 Ma) Early Pliocene	N12-N13 (13.82 Ma to 11.63 Ma) Serravallian
DR46		295 to 380 m	2005-NC-DR	Micritic packstone of planktonic foraminifera with reworked patches of reworked pelagic micrite	Inner to outer neritic	N22a (1.8 Ma to 1.00 Ma) Pleistocene	N4-N17a (23.03-7.2Ma) Miocene
DR47	Eastern Margin, in front of Nakéti Pass (Fig.6)	295 to 380 m	2005-NC-DR	Micritic wackestone of recrystallised algae with reworked patches of reworked pelagic micrite	Inner to outer neritic	N20a (3.8 Ma-3.6 Ma) Late Pliocene	N4-N17a (23.03-7.2Ma) Miocene
DR48		290 to 400 m	2005-NC-DR	Micritic packstone of algae with reworked patches of reworked pelagic micrite	Forereef environment LBF reworked into inner to outer neritic environment	N22 (1.8 Ma to 0.12 Ma) Pleistocene	N12-N13 (13.82 Ma to 11.63 Ma) Serravallian
DR49	Eastern Margin, in front of Ngoé Pass (Fig.7)	400 to 500 m	2005-NC-DR	Micritic packstone of algae and larger benthic foraminifera reworked into pelagic micrite	Forereef environment LBF reworked into inner to outer neritic environment	N19 (5.3 Ma to 3.8 Ma) Early Pliocene	N12-N13 (13.82 Ma to 11.63 Ma) Serravallian
DR53	Eastern Margin, in front of Yaté (Fig.8)	280 m	2005-NC-DR	Micritic packstone of planktonic foraminifera	Inner to outer neritic	N18-N21a (5.8 Ma to 2.5 Ma) Late Miocene (Messinian) to Early Pliocene (Zanclean))	Serravallian to Pliocene, N12-N21
DW4737-B	SE Isle of Pines (Fig.8)	387 to 456 m	KANACONO	Micritic wackestone of foraminifera and fragments of algae	Inner to outer neritic	N20b-N21 (3.6 Ma to 2.5 Ma) Pliocene	
DW4745-B		310 to 403 m	KANACONO	Micritic packsotne of foraminifera and algae	Inner to outer neritic	N22-Recent (1.8 Ma to Recent) Pleistocene - Holocene	
DW4746-B	West of Pines Ridge (Fig.8)	494 to 508 m	KANACONO	Grainstone cemented by sparite of planktonic foraminifera with reworked micritic patches	Inner to outer neritic	N22 (1.8 Ma to 0.12 Ma) Pleistocene	N19-N21 (5.33 Ma to 1.8 Ma) Pliocene
DW4747-B1		550 to 590 m	KANACONO	Micritic packsotne of foraminifera and algae	Inner to outer neritic	N19-N22 (5.33 Ma to 0.12 Ma) Pliocene - Pleistocene	
DW4747-X		550 to 590 m	KANACONO	Micritic wackestone of foraminifera	Inner to outer neritic	N21-N22 (2.5 Ma to 0.12 Ma) Late Pliocene - Pleistocene	
DW4757-A		800 to 850 m	KANACONO	Micritic wackestone of foraminifera	Forereef environment	N4-N12 (23.03 Ma to 12 Ma) Early Miocene	
DW4782-A	East of Pines Ridges (Fig.8)	845 to 856 m	KANACONO	Micritic wackestone of foraminifera	Inner to outer neritic	N17-N20 (8.6 Ma to 3.4 Ma) Late Miocene - Early Pliocene	
DW4770		455 to 470 m	KANACONO	Micritic/sparitic packstone of	Inner to outer neritic	N22 (1.8 Ma to 0.12 Ma) Pleistocope	
DR4772-A	Munida seamount (Fig.8 and Fig.9)	320 to 795 m	KANACONO	Grainstone cemented by sparite of planktonic foraminifera with reworked micritic patches	Inner to outer neritic	N21-N22 (3.4 Ma to 0.12 Ma) Late Pliocene - Pleistocene	N4-N17a Miocene
DR4773-A		230 to 400 m	KANACONO	Grainstone cemented by sparite of planktonic foraminifera with reworked micritic patches	Inner to outer neritic	N21-N22 (3.4 Ma to 0.2 Ma) Late Pliocene - Pleistocene	N4-N17a (23.03-7.2Ma) Miocene

			Planktonic foraminiferra												Benthic Foraminifera												Other component																																	
	Beella sp. Catapsvdrax cf. dissimilis	Catapsydrax sp.	Dentoglogigerina altispira	Globoquadrina dediscens Globoquadrina so	Globorotalia plesiotumida	Globorotalia tumida	Globorotalia menardii Globorotalia inflata	Globorotalia scitula	Globorotalia miocenica	Globorotalia ungulata Globorotalia sn	Globigerina bulloides	Globigerina praebulloides	Globigerina spp Globigerinaides quadrilobatus	Globigerinoides conglobatus	Globigerinoides ruber	Globigerinoides trilobus	Giobigerinoides obliguus Globigerinoides spp.	Neogloboquadrina pachyderma	Neogloboquadrina humerosa	Neogloboquadrina dutertrei Neogloboquadrina acostaensis	Neogloboguadrina spp.	Orbulina universa	Prosphaeroidinella parkerae Pulleniatina oblicuiloculata	Pulleniatina primalis	Pulleniatina praecursor	Pulleniatina sp.	Sphaeroidinella dehiscens Sobaaroidinallonsis subdahiscans	Sphaeroidinellopsis paenedehiscens	Sphaeroidinellopsis seminulina	Truncorotalia crassaformis Truncorotalia truncatulinoides	Truncorotalia crassula	Truncorotalia tosaensis	Acervulina sp. Alanlordia sp.,	Alveolinella praequoyi	Alveolinella sp. Amnhistegina tuherculata	Amphistegina tuber culata Amphistegina lessonii	Amphistegina spp	Baculogypsina sp.	Brizalina sp.	Carpenteria sp.	Cycloclypeus spp. Desvelad son	Elphidium sp	Gypsina sp.	Katacycloclypeus martini	Quasirotalia guamensis	Lepidocyclina sp. Lenticulina sp.	Marginopora sp.	Small miliolids Nodosaria sp.	Operculina spp.	Operculinoides spp.	Paragloborotalia kugleri Planorbulinella larvata	Planorbulinella solida	Planorbulina sp.	Sphaerogypsına sp. Textularia sp.	and states and	Gastropod spp.	Rodophyte spp.	Halimeda sp. Echinoid spp.	Bryozoa spp.	Ostracod sp. Coral spp.
DR44			x	x x	х	X	x x						Х	( X	х		х					х					х	х		х			ĸ				х	>	<b>K</b>		X	х		x	)	×							>	X	)	< x	x		x	X
DR45			2	x		х							x x	(							х								х					x	х		х		х						)	x			х				x			х	x	x		
DR46	X					X	x x					x	Х	(				х	x	(		х	х							x x							х	>	<	х						х		х	х		х					х		х		х
DR47			2	x		х	х	х				x	x x	(	х	х	х	х			х	х	х			х											х	>	<							х		x x						х	>	< x	х	х		х
DR48													Х	(		х	х	х	>	(	х	х	х	х			х						ĸ	x	х	(		>	<	x	×	(				х	x	x x			x			х		х	X	x x		X
DR49						X	x x			x	(		×	(		x	х										×	(						x		x						х	х, <mark>х</mark>		)	x		х		x	x					х	х	x		
DR53						x	x x				x		×	(		;	x	x		x		x	x	х	x					x		Π	x x				x	x >	<									х					)	x		х	x	x		
DW4737-B									~						x		x		x												x	П	x				x								x		x						T			x	x	x		×
DW4745-B									x				x				x						x							x		Π					x																				x	x		
DW4746-B	v		v		×	x	v						×		v	,	X	v							v í	` v						v					~								x		v						+				x	X		
DW4747-B1	~				~	~				x			x		x	(		~				x			x							~								x	x				<u></u>	x	~		x			×	x x			x	x	x	x	x
DW4747-X																																x					x			x																		x		х
DW4757-A																																				x									x							x					x	x		x
DW4782-A		x											x				x					x					×		x			П						x											x								~			
DW4770				x									x				x	† ť	x			^	x							x		x														x							+				x	x		
DR4772-A							х					x			x	(																x																		×		$\uparrow \uparrow$	+				x	x		
DR4773-A							х					x x	(				х	,	κ				х			x	x					x					х					x	х				x x	x	x				x	x		х		х		