

Development and comparison of three analytical systems for detection and quantification of formaldehyde and other aldehydes for process, indoor and ambient air emissions

Mathilde Mascles, Audrey Grandjean, Stéphane Le Calvé, Damien Bazin,

Franck Amiet

▶ To cite this version:

Mathilde Mascles, Audrey Grandjean, Stéphane Le Calvé, Damien Bazin, Franck Amiet. Development and comparison of three analytical systems for detection and quantification of formaldehyde and other aldehydes for process, indoor and ambient air emissions. 14ème Congrès de l'Association Francophone des Sciences Séparatives (AFSEP) Paris, Sep 2021, Paris, France. hal-03577223

HAL Id: hal-03577223 https://hal.science/hal-03577223v1

Submitted on 16 Feb 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Development and comparison of three analytical systems for detection and quantification of formaldehyde and other aldehydes for process, indoor and ambient air emissions

M. Mascles^{1*}, A. Grandjean^{1,2}, S. Le Calvé², D. Bazin¹, F. Amiet¹



² ICPEES – UMR 7515 (CNRS/University of Strasbourg) – Group of Atmospheric Physical-Chemistry – 25 rue Becquerel 67087 Strasbourg Cedex France *Corresponding author email address: mathilde.mascles@chromatotec.com

INTRODUCTION

Among indoor air pollutants, aldehydes are of particular interest due to their impact on human health. Formaldehyde is often the most abundant aldehyde in the air and also the most studied due to its potential role in allergic diseases and its carcinogenic properties. French regulations recommend a limit of 10 µg/m³ for chronic exposure to formaldehyde by 2023¹. US EPA method for quantification of formaldehyde requires an 8h sampling on DNPH cartridges. However, given the importance of providing results at higher frequency, there is a need of faster and reliable methods for the quantification of formaldehyde at low ppb levels. In this work, we compare 3 different systems: an Automatic Gas chromatograph equipped with thermodesorption unit and flame ionization detector (auto-TD-GC-FID), a transportable microdevice based on the derivatization of formaldehyde for continuous fluorimetric detection and a transportable high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) system using 2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazine (DNPH) sampling tubes

Auto-TD-GC-FID

The Auto-TD-GC-FID (Fig 1) is a system allowing continuous monitoring of formaldehyde and some other low molecular weight compounds in ambient air. This system is designed to avoid coelution and carry over. Figure 2 shows the different steps for the measurement of formaldehyde:

- Sampling of the analytes on a specific trap. Separation of heavy compounds in the pre-
- column. iii.
- CO2 by-passes the methanizer. iv. Methanization of formaldehyde and FID detection.





Pre-column Metallic column

m

Figure 1: Description of the Auto-TD-GC-FID for the formaldehyde detection and measurement.

This microanalyzer is a portable and compact instrument weighing less than 4 This device (Fig 5). operates kg according to 3 steps (Fig 6)2:

- i. Uptake of gaseous formaldehyde into an aqueous acetylacetone solution. Chemical reaction between formaldehyde and acetylacetone
- Hantzsch mechanism). iii. Fluorescence detection of reaction product (DDL).



Figure 6: Operating principle of the microanalyzer3

Field Measurements during the MERMAID project^{3,4}:

the

Field campaign in a classroom located in a low energy school. Formaldehyde (and other aldehydes) monitoring for 2 weeks, according to the ventilation periods.
Figure 7 shows the variations of gaseous formaldehyde during a typical day. Results are compared to those obtained with the ISO 16000-3 reference method (i.e. active sampling on DNPH cartridges).

Microfluidic Device



Figure

This microfluidic analytical method is sensitive, accurate and precise and allows a near real-time measurement of formaldehyde. Its MDL is around 1 ppb which is well adapted to the monitoring of formaldehyde in indoor and outdoor air



One of our analyzer is located in an university campus in Beijing, China for the monitoring of outdoor ambient air. Figure 3 presents the trend of the formaldehyde concentration over 5 days. Figure 4 (A) and (B) show the chromatograms obtained respectively for an ambient air analysis and for an internal calibration.

0000 08/00 15/00 08/00 15/00 08/00 16/00 08/00 16/00 00/00 30/06/001 18/09/2021 19/09/2021 20/06/2021 22/09/2021 23/09/2021 24/09/2021

onitoring in outdoor air from 09/18/2021 to 09/24/2021 Figure 3: Fo



Figure 4: (A) Chromatogram obtained for an ambient air analysis. - (B) Internal calibration with a formaldehyde permeation tube

This device allows the continuous monitoring of formaldehyde in near real-time thanks to a short cycle time of 15 minutes. The method detection limit (MDL) is around 1 ppb which makes it very suitable for indoor and outdoor measurements. Performances and calibration of the analyzer are easily checked thanks to internal calibration with a certified formaldehyde permeation tube.

UHPLC DNPH method

This work is based on the reference method for the measurement of aldehydes, i.e., DNPH-tube sampling, coupled to an offline HPLC/UV analysis. The goal is to adapt this reference method on a transportable, online analyzer. Two types of methods are being studied and developped:

High pressure method: formaldehyde and other aldehydes are separated in isocratic mode. Figure 8 shows the chromatogram obtained for the separation of the aldehydes-DNPH derivates at high pressure

Data3 2021-09-02_Etakor_real_meth-	ada vo nacito 3	1_mg_129U.kd	POA Extract Second	1							Ter	= 16.755 ki	ben.	- 9
Injection	1 2	4.5 .									M	OH/H	0 75:2	25
		Minh	7					-				:	395 ba	rs
0 2.5 5.0	7.5	10.0 1	2.5 15.0	17.5	20.0	22.5	25.0	27.5	30.0	32.5	35.0	375	40.0	min

1. Formaldehyde 2. Acetaldehyde 3. Acrolein 4. Acetone 5. Propionaldehyde 6. Butyraldehyde 7. Benzaldehyde Figure 8: Separation of various aldehvdes at high pressur

Simplified, low pressure method: the separation of aldehydes is studied at low pressure, in isocratic mode. Figure 9 presents the chromatogram obtained at low pressure (< 50 bars):

Injection	7 ,4,5	MeOH/H_O 75:25
injection	6 7	40 hore

1. Formaldehyde 2. Acetaldehyde 3. Acrolein 4. Acetone 5. Propionaldehyde 6. Butyraldehyde 7. Benzaldehyde Figure 9: Separation of various aldehydes at low pressure

These two methods (high and low pressure) are directly adaptable on our transportable HPLC system (Fig 10 (A) and (B)):



10: Transportable HPLC system: (A) Analytical system inside its transp

The reference DNPH-based method can thus be adapted onto transportable systems. As shown in the chromatograms, these systems allows the measurement of formaldehyde as well as other aldehydes and can be used at high and low pressure, depending on the need.

CONCLUSION AND PERSPECTIVES

Three different systems have been studied and compared for the analysis of formaldehyde. First, the Auto-TD-GC-FID allows a continuous, on-line and near real-time monitoring of formaldehyde thanks to a short cycle time of **15 minutes per analysis**. The MDL around **1 ppb** makes it suitable for the measurement of formaldehyde in outdoor and indoor air. This system is equipped with an **internal calibration** which enables to check the stability of the system and make adjustments if necessary. This method only needs power supply and does not require any solvent for its operation which is also a huge advantage compared to the reference method. The microfluidic device can also be used for a **fast**, **sensitive**, **accurate** and **precise** measurement of formaldehyde in outdoor or indoor air. This system has also the advantage of being **portable** (compact and light). In parallel of these two systems, developments on **transportable HPLC** based on the reference method (i.e. DNPH-tube sampling coupled to an offline HPLC/UV analysis) are being made. Two systems are under elaboration for the analysis of various aldehydes; high and low pressure.

Finally, the three methods presented here all have their own advantages. Because of their complementarities, the proposed solutions can cover a very broad spectrum of applications and customer needs

REFERENCES: ¹French Decree. Modalités de surveillance de la qualité de l'air intérieur dans certains établissements recevant du public. Journal Officiel de la République Française, 190, 14456, 2015; ²Becker A. et al., On-line gaseous formaldehyde detection by a microfluidic analytical method based on passive uptake through a microporous tube, Atmosphere 10, 763, 2019; ³ Trocquet C. et al., Near Real-Time Monitoring of Formaldehyde in a Low-Energy School Building, Atmosphere, 10(12), 763, 2019; 4 Trocquet C. et al., Continuous aldehydes monitoring in primary schools in France: evaluation of emission sources and ventilation practices over 5 weeks, Atmospheric Pollution Research, 12 (1), 340-351, 2021.