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#### Abstract

We describe here an asymmetric aldol reaction based on the principle of Memory of Chirality. From $\alpha$-amino acids such as leucine and methionine, we have synthesized in 2 steps quaternary $\alpha$-amino acid derivatives with high diastereoselectivity and enantioselectivity, using the chirality of the initial $\alpha$-amino acid as the only chirality source. Furthermore, we were able to determine the relative and absolute configurations of the aldol products thanks to crystallographic structures and thus showed that the relative configuration depended on the aldehyde employed. We proposed a stereoselectivity explanation and obtained also quaternary $\beta$-hydroxy $\alpha$-amino acids after acidic hydrolysis.
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[^0]
## Introduction

Quaternary $\alpha$-amino acids are very interesting compounds for their proven or potential biological activities. Examples of biologically active quaternary $\alpha$-amino acids are antibiotics, such as peptaibols, ${ }^{[1]}$ antihypertensive drug such as methyIDOPA or among $\beta$-hydroxy $\alpha$-amino acids, ${ }^{[2]}$ lactacystin, kaitocephalin, calcaridine A or sphingofungins which have potent antifungal activities.... They are also found in peptide chemistry, because the presence of the quaternary center induces a conformational change, even a flexibility decrease of the structure, while increasing its metabolic stability. ${ }^{[3]}$ They can furthermore be used as reaction intermediates, as in the synthesis of (-)-Penibruguieramine A..$^{[4,5]}$ Because of their multiple interests, many ways to access quaternary $\alpha$-amino acids have been developed. ${ }^{[6-10]}$ Among these, methods based on the principle of memory of chirality (MOC) ${ }^{[11-24]}$ use as the only source of chirality the chirality of a natural $\alpha$ amino acid, the initial reactant, although it disappears transiently during the reaction. Our group has been interested for many years in the development of asymmetric syntheses based on this principle. We have developed a synthesis of quaternary $\alpha$-amino acids by alkylation in only 3 steps, ${ }^{[25,26]}$ a method that we have applied to the synthesis of methyldopa ${ }^{[27]}$ and to microflow reactors. ${ }^{[28]}$ We also extended this strategy to oxidative coupling of enolate ${ }^{[29]}$ and to the aldol reaction of alanine derivatives. ${ }^{[30]}$ In the latter case, we have been able to demonstrate a control of the second asymmetric center by the nature of the aromatic aldehyde used (Scheme 1). We wanted to know if this control was specific to the alanine derivative or was also valid for other amino acids. We present here our latest results concerning this MOC aldol reaction applied to other amino acids and thanks to some crystallographic structures of some major diastereomers, we were able to confirm the dependence of the configuration of the second center with the nature of the aldehyde.


Relative configuration for aldol reaction on alanine derivative

Scheme 1. Previous results for alkylation and aldol reactions by Memory of Chirality.

## Results and Discussion

We targeted mainly two amino acids, leucine, which showed good results in alkylation reactions and methionine, as an example of functionalized amino acid. According to our previous strategy, an oxazolidinone is formed without racemization in a single step from the sodium salt of the corresponding $\alpha$-amino acid, acetone and naphthoyl chloride. The presence of a tertiary aromatic amide function in the compound thus formed induces the creation of a dynamic axial
chirality (these compounds are not planar and possess two slow rotations, the Ar-CO and the N-CO rotations). The preferred orientation of the naphthyl group is on the same side as the amino acid residue (major ( $P$, cis) conformer) and it allows to preserve, at low temperature, the chiral information during the planar enolate formation step. This major conformer thus induces a stereoselective approach of the electrophile (whether it is an alkyl group or an aldehyde) by the face opposite to the naphthyl group, leading to a global retention of configuration for the initial asymmetric center (scheme 1). It should be noted here that the major ( $P$, cis) conformer is not the only one present but that we probably have a dynamic kinetic resolution.
We thus synthesized the corresponding oxazolidinones and used the reaction conditions developed for the aldol reaction of alanine: mixing of the aromatic aldehyde with the oxazolidinone (at room temperature or low temperature), then slow addition at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ of the base - KHMDS (over 2 minutes) - to avoid racemization due to heating of the medium; treatment of the reaction at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with a $5 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution to avoid hydrolysis of the oxazolidinone as well as retro-aldol reaction. For leucine derivative $\mathbf{1 - A}$, we tested two types of conditions: a sequential reaction (deprotonation for $t_{1}$ minutes and then addition of the aldehyde) and an in situ reaction (mixing the aldehyde with the oxazolidinone at room temperature, before lowering the temperature to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to perform the deprotonation). The results are collected in Table 1. It appears that the aldol reaction takes place in all cases with very good diastereomeric ratios, better than those observed for the alanine derivative (the assignments of the relative configuration were based on crystallographic structures of major diastereomer of some aldol products - see below for determination and stereoselectivity explanation). The enantiomeric excesses are higher than $70 \%$ in the optimized reactions and can go up to enantiopure compounds, as in the case of compound 3 (the enantiomeric excess can also be improved by recrystallization, entry 7). We did not need to replace THF with the ether/DME mixture that had been used for the alkylation reactions. In general, it is better to perform the reaction in situ, to obtain better enantiomeric excesses (entries 1 and 4 or 9 and 11). This probably limits the racemization of the enolate, which is trapped directly by the aldehyde. Some aldol products, such as the 3-pyridine compound have a tendency to degrade rapidly, so it is sometimes simpler to acetylate them for purification (yield are in this case calculated on compound $\mathbf{A c}-4$, on 2 steps). The change in the amount of THF does not have a great influence (entries 1-3) and the introduction of the aldehyde at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (entries 6 and 10) generally induces a decrease in yield, probably due to a decrease in its solubility and consequently to a less homogeneous mixture.

Table 1. Aldol reaction with Leucine oxazolidinone 1-A (in situ or sequential procedure) ${ }^{[\text {a] }}$


| Entry | ArCHO | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{t}_{1} / \mathrm{t}_{2} \\ & (\min .) \end{aligned}$ | Comp. | dr ${ }^{[b]}$ | $\mathrm{ee}^{[c]}(\%)$ | yield ${ }^{[d]}$ <br> (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $m-\mathrm{Br}-$ | 8/10 | 2 | >99:1 | 69/- | 92 |
|  | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CHO}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $2^{[e]}$ | $m-\mathrm{Br}-$ | 8/10 | 2 | >99:1 | 64/- | 63 |
|  | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CHO}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{[f]}$ | $m-\mathrm{Br}-$ | 8/10 | 2 | >99:1 | 59/- | 84 |
|  | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CHO}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | $m-\mathrm{Br}-$ | 0/18 | 2 | >99:1 | 72/- | 95 |


| 5 | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CHO}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2-pyridine- | 0/18 | $3^{[9]}$ | 4:96 | >99/>99 | 56 |
|  | CHO |  |  |  |  |  |
| $6^{[h]}$ | 3-pyridine- | 0/12 | Ac-4 | >99:1 | 48/- | $48^{[i]}$ |
|  | CHO |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 3-pyridine- | 0/12 | Ac-4 | >99:1 | $76^{[5]}$ | $55^{[i]}$ |
|  | CHO |  |  |  |  |  |
| $8^{[k]}$ | 3-pyridine- | 0/12 | Ac-4 | >99:1 | 80/- | $38^{[i]}$ |
|  | CHO |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | o-F-C6 $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CHO}$ | 8/10 | 5 | 97:3 | 67/59 | 60 |
| $10^{[h]}$ | o-F-C6 $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CHO}$ | 0/18 | $5{ }^{[1]}$ | >99:1 | 82/- | 61 |
| 11 | $o-\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CHO}$ | 0/18 | 5 | 96:4 | 82/86 | 81 |

[^1]On the methionine derivative 1-B, we also tested various aromatic aldehydes and the results are collected in Table 2. We applied only the in situ conditions, the aldehyde being introduced prior to the base, either at RT or at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ depending on the case. By default, the aldehyde was added at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. However, when the enantiomeric excesses were low, probably due to a lack of solubility of the aldehyde, it was then introduced at room temperature and the mixture cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (entries 1 and 2). The observed diastereomeric ratios are always higher than those of the alanine derivative. The enantiomeric excesses are generally higher than $70 \%$, except in the disappointing case of ortho-bromobenzaldehyde (entries 3-6). For the latter, replacing THF with ether or decreasing the number of aldehyde equivalents did not result in a better enantiomeric excess (entries 5 and 6). For 3-pyridine-carboxaldehyde, the enantiomeric excess could be improved by decreasing the amount of base employed and addition of the aldehyde at room temperature (entries 11 and 12). Changing the reaction time does not have a significant influence on the enantiomeric excess (entries 7 and 8, or 9 and 10). Again, the best enantiomeric excess was obtained with 2-pyridine carboxaldehyde ( $97 \%$ ee for the major diastereomer, entry 7).

Table 2. Aldol reaction with Methionine oxazolidinone 1-B (in situ procedure) ${ }^{[a]}$


| Entry | ArCHO | $\mathbf{T}^{[b]}$ <br> $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | $\begin{aligned} & t \\ & (\min .) \end{aligned}$ | Comp | $\mathrm{dr}^{[c]}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{e e}^{[d]} \\ & \text { (\%) } \end{aligned}$ | yield ${ }^{[e}$ <br> (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $m-\mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CHO}$ | -78 | 10 | 6 | >99:1 | 30/- | 42 |
| 2 | $m-\mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CHO}$ | RT | 10 | 6 | >99:1 | 73/- | 65 |
| 3 | O- $\mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CHO}$ | RT | 18 | 7 | 87:13 | 61/89 | $30^{[f]}$ |
| 4 | o- $\mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CHO}$ | RT | 12 | 7 | >99:1 | 60/- | 72 |
| $5^{[g]}$ | $0-\mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CHO}$ | RT | 18 | 7 | >99:1 | 60/- | 50 |
| $6^{[h]}$ | $0-\mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CHO}$ | RT | 12 | 7 | 98:2 | 50/- | 73 |
| 7 | 2-pyridine-CHO | -78 | 15 | $8^{[i]}$ | 15:85 | 82/97 | 65 |
| 8 | 2-pyridine-CHO | $-78$ | 12 | 8 | 17:83 | 89/98 | 65 |
| 9 | o-F-C66 $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CHO}$ | -78 | 18 | 9 | >99:1 | 85/- | 99 |
| 10 | O-F-C6 $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CHO}$ | $-78$ | 12 | 9 | >99:1 | 81/- | 92 |
| 11 | 3-pyridine-CHO | $-78$ | 12 | 10 | 98:2 | 47/- | 80 |
| $12^{[j]}$ | 3-pyridine-CHO | RT | 12 | 10 | 98:2 | 75/- | 88 |

${ }^{[a]}$ General procedure: to a stirred solution of oxazolidinone 1-B (100 mg) and aldehyde (5 equiv) in THF ( 1.8 mL ) at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added a solution of KHMDS (1.5 equiv) in THF dropwise (over 2 min .) and the mixture was stirred for $t$ min. at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{[b]}$ temperature at which the aldehyde is added to the oxazolidinone. Unless specified, absolute and relative configurations were assumed by analogy. ${ }^{[c]}$ ratio a: b determined by chiral stationary-phase HPLC on the crude product. ${ }^{[d]}$ ee of $\mathbf{a} / \mathbf{b}$ determined by chiral stationary-phase HPLC after purification. [e] combined yield of $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$. ${ }^{[f]}$ diastereomers are difficult to separate. ${ }^{[g]}$ diethyl ether was used instead of THF. ${ }^{[h]}$ Only 3 equiv of aldehyde were used. ${ }^{[i]}$ Absolute configuration of major diastereomer $\mathbf{8 b}$ was assigned by X-Ray crystallography. ${ }^{[j]}$ only 1 equiv of KHMDS was used.

Consequently, we wanted to test the aldol reaction with 2-pyridine carboxaldehyde on oxazolidinones derived from other amino acids. We thus tested the valine and phenyl alanine derivatives (Table 3). The valine derivative 1-C leads to the expected compound with high diastereomeric ratio and enantiomeric excess for the major diastereomer. In the case of phenylalanine 1-D, we directly acetylated the reaction product for ease of purification and product stability. The result was very disappointing, the diastereomeric ratio and enantiomeric excesses being both low. This derivative was the one that already gave the worst results in the alkylation reactions. The presence of the benzyl group disturbs the conformer proportions observed in NMR at low temperature (in THF, there was a ( $P$, cis)/( $M$, cis) conformer ratio of 100/50 for this derivative, compared to 100/29 for alanine and especially a cis/trans conformer ratio of 100/9 compared to 100/2 in the case of alanine) and this probably impairs the selectivity. ${ }^{[25]}$ This is likely to be even more pronounced for aldol reactions, as the aldehyde is an aromatic aldehyde, which can also interact with the benzyl group. We also tested 2 -fluorobenzaldehyde on phenylalanine but the enantiomeric excess was only $34 \%$ (compound 13 a was obtained with a very good diastereomeric ratio of $98: 2$ and a yield of $52 \%$ ). In all cases (except for the particular case of phenylalanine), the observed enantiomeric excesses are comparable to those obtained during alkylation reactions. When the enantiomeric excess is lower, this is probably due to a decrease in the reactivity of the aldehyde, as in the case of ortho-bromobenzaldehyde, which is likely
too hindered, or in the case of poorly soluble aldehydes added at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (entry 6, table 1 and entry 1 , table 2 ). Thus, the enantiomeric excess is presumably kinetically controlled and not compromised by retro aldol reaction and subsequent enolate racemization.

Table 3. Aldol reaction with oxazolidinones 1 with 2-pyridine carboxaldehyde ${ }^{[a]}$

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Entry | R | t (min.) | Comp. | $\mathrm{dr}^{[\mathrm{b}]}$ | $e e^{[c]}(\%)$ | yield ${ }^{[d]}$ (\%) |
| 1 | ${ }^{\text {i }}$ Uu | 18 | $3^{\text {[e] }}$ | 4:96 | >99/>99 | 56 |
| 2 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SMe}$ | 15 | $8{ }^{[\mathrm{e}]}$ | 15:85 | 82/97 | 65 |
| 3 | ${ }^{\text {i }}$ Pr | 18 | $11^{[f]}$ | 7:93 | 45/90 | 47 |
| 4 | $B n$ | 12 | Ac- $12^{[g]}$ | 56:44 | 29/29 | $44^{[h]}$ |

> | ${ }^{\text {[a] }}$ General procedure: to a stirred solution of oxazolidinone 1-A (100 mg) |
| :--- |
| and aldehyde (5 equiv) in THF ( 1.8 mL ) cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added a |
| solution of KHMDS (1.5 equiv) in THF dropwise (over 2 min.) and the |
| mixture was stirred fort $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ min. at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{\text {[b] }}$ ratio a: b determined by chiral |
| stationary-phase HPLC on the crude product. ${ }^{[c]}$ ee of a/b determined by |
| chiral stationary-phase HPLC after purification. ${ }^{[d]}$ combined yield of a |
| and b. ${ }^{\text {[e] }}$ Absolute configuration of major diastereomers $3 b$ and $8 b$ were |
| assigned by X-Ray crystallography. ${ }^{[f]}$ Absolute and relative configuration |
| of major diastereomer 11a were assumed by analogy. ${ }^{[g]}$ Relative |
| configuration of major diastereomer Ac-12a was assigned by X-Ray |
| crystallography. ${ }^{[h]}$ yield calculated after acetylation on 2 steps. |

Regarding the control of the relative configuration of the aldol product by the substrate, we were able to obtain crystallographic structures on major diastereomer of some aldol products and thus to have access to the relative and possibly absolute configuration (when the latter is accessible, we observe, as expected, the retention of configuration of the initial $\alpha$-amino acid). The crystallographic structure of the compounds $\mathbf{3 b}$ and $\mathbf{8 b}$ thus shows that the relative configuration is identical to that obtained in the case of the reaction of oxazolidinone derived from alanine with furan-2carboxaldehyde (we had not performed the aldol reaction of the alanine derivative with 2 -pyridine-carboxaldehyde). In the case of the phenylalanine derivative (crystallographic structure of acetylated major diastereoisomer Ac-12a), the relative configuration of the major diastereomer does not correspond to that observed for the leucine and methionine derivatives 3b and $\mathbf{8 b}$. However, this does not seem significant to us, as the diastereomeric ratio is close to $1: 1$. Thus, when $2-$ pyridinecarboxaldehyde is used, there is probably complexation of the potassium enolate by the pyridine nitrogen (pyridine, a good complexing agent, is regularly used to achieve a better control of the stereoselectivity ${ }^{[31]}$ ) as in transition state II (scheme 2). More surprisingly, the crystallographic structure of the acetylated compound Ac-5a is different from that observed for the major diastereomer in the reaction with the alanine derivative. Therefore, there is probably no complexation with fluorine in this case. It can consequently be assumed that for all compounds resulting from aldol
reaction with an aldehyde different from 2-pyridinecarboxaldehyde, the absolute configuration of the new asymmetric center created is identical (major diastereomer a). This corresponds to an aldol product controlled by a classical Zimmerman-Traxler transition state (transition state I, scheme 2). There is thus a control of the second asymmetric center by the aldehyde, phenomenon to our knowledge not described in the literature (except in our previous publication).





Figure 1. Crystallographic structures of compounds 3b (up left), Ac-5a (up right), 8b (bottom left), Ac-12a (bottom right, racemic).
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Scheme 2. Proposed transition state for stereoselectivity explanation: with most aldehydes (up) and with 2-pyridine carboxaldehyde (bottom).

The next step was the hydrolysis of the aldol products. This was performed on the products after acetylation, to avoid any problem of degradation of the aldol compounds. We applied the conditions developed previously in the laboratory (heating in a mixture of 6 N hydrochloric acid and acetic acid) and obtained for leucine derivatives Ac-4a and Ac-5a the expected amino acids hydrochloride 14 and 15 (scheme 3). On the other hand, for methionine derivatives (on the compound resulting from the reaction with 2 -fluorobenzaldehyde 9 a after acetylation), we observed the formation of a cyclic product 16, whose sulfur is de-methylated, which we were able to characterize by NMR (proton, carbon, HSOC) and mass, but which we were unfortunately unable to isolate in pure form. This type of cyclization has already been described in the past. ${ }^{[32]}$ We could consider deprotecting the methionine derivatives using milder conditions, as we described in the case of frozen chirality (basic hydrolysis of oxazolidinone, esterification of the acid, then reduction of the amide to imine by Schwartz's reagent and mild hydrolysis), ${ }^{[33,34]}$ in order to retain the methyl group. This is currently under investigation.



Scheme 3. Hydrolysis of diastereopure acylated aldol compounds.

## Conclusions

In conclusion, we extended the aldol reaction by Memory of Chirality that we had previously developed to other amino acids. We observed better diastereoselectivity and good enantiomeric excesses. In the best case, we were able to obtain the compound $\mathbf{3} \mathbf{b}$ in enantiopure form. We also showed that there was a control of the second asymmetric center which depended on the nature of the aldehyde employed. $\beta$-hydroxy $\alpha$-amino acids were synthesized efficiently from leucine. The application of this strategy to the total synthesis of active molecules is under study in our laboratory.

## Experimental Section

## General Information

Unless otherwise stated, all reactions were conducted in oven dried glassware under an atmosphere of dry argon gas. THF was distilled over sodium/benzophenone under argon. Acetone was purchased with water $<50 \mathrm{ppm}$. All other reagents were used as received. Potassium bis(trimethylsilyl)amide was used as a THF solution (1 M). Flash chromatography was performed on Kieselgel $60(35-70 \mu \mathrm{~m})$ silica gel. Infrared spectra were recorded as thin films on NaCl plates using an FT-IR spectrophotometer. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were measured at $250,300,360$ or 400 MHz using $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, ~ M e O D, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$, toluene, $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ or $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as solvent. Chemical shifts are reported in $\delta$ units to 0.01 ppm precision with coupling constants reported to 0.1 Hz precision using residual solvent as an internal reference. Multiplicities are reported as follows: $s=\operatorname{singlet}, \mathrm{d}=$ doublet, $\mathrm{t}=$ triplet, $\mathrm{q}=$ quadruplet, $\mathrm{m}=$ multiplet, $\mathrm{bs}=$ broad singlet, $\mathrm{bd}=$ broad doublet. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were measured at $62.5,75,90$ or 100 MHz using $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{MeOD}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ or $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as solvent. Chemical shifts are reported in $\delta$ units to 0.1 ppm precision using residual solvent as an internal reference. Mass spectra were measured on a ESI Q-Tof mass spectrometer Bruker at the Institut de Chimie Moléculaire et des Matériaux (ICMMO) Mass Spectrometry Laboratory. HPLC analyses were performed on Dionex instrument (Ultimate 3000) in our laboratory. This instrument is principally composed of gradient pump, a columns selector valve, Peltier effect column oven and Diodes array detector. All enantiomerics excesses were determined by normal phase HPLC analyses with four different chiral stationary phase columns:
-Daicel column, Chiralcel OJ-H ( $250 \mathrm{~mm} \times 4,6 \mathrm{~mm}$ id); part. size: $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
-Daicel column, Chiralpak AD-H ( $250 \mathrm{~mm} \times 4,6 \mathrm{~mm}$ id); part. size: $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
-Daicel column, Chiralpak IC ( $250 \mathrm{~mm} \times 4,6 \mathrm{~mm}$ id); part. size: $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
-Régis column Type "Pirkle", (S,S) Whelk-O 1 ( $250 \mathrm{~mm} \times 4,6 \mathrm{~mm}$ id); part. size: $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
Note: all the experimental procedures listed below apply to both racemic and enantiomerically pure material. All aldol reaction for racemic compounds were performed in 2 steps: first deprotonation with KHMDS at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 minutes and then addition of the aldehyde, in order to get both diastereomers. Only the preparation of chiral compounds will be reported.

For all the compounds, there exist at least two conformers and were reported for the proton or carbon nmr as major ( $M$ ) and the minor ( $m$ ).

## General procedure for oxazolidinone synthesis

Procedure A: In a solution of amino acid salt (1 eq) and molecular sieves $4 \AA$ (activated in the oven) in dry acetone at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under Ar was added, slowly, $\mathrm{BF}_{3} . \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( 0.06 eq ). The mixture was stirred 5 min at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and overnight at room temperature. Then, 1 -naphthoyl chloride ( 1 eq ) was added and the resulting solution was stirred 2 hours. The reaction was filtered through silica gel and washed twice with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The solvents were concentrated and the residue was dried under vacuum. After dilution in ether and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, the organic phase was washed with saturated solution of $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and water. The crude product was obtained after concentration.
Procedure B: In a solution of amino acid salt (1 eq) and molecular sieves $4 \AA$ (activated in the oven) in dry acetone at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under Ar was added, slowly, $\mathrm{AlMe}_{3}$ ( $1 \mathrm{eq}, 2 \mathrm{M}$ in tolvene). The mixture was stirred 5 min at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and overnight at room temperature. Then, 1-naphthoyl chloride ( 1 eq ) was added and the resulting solution was stirred 2 hours. The reaction was filtered through silica gel and washed twice with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The crude product was obtained after concentration.

## General procedure for aldol reaction of oxazolidinone with aldehydes

To a stirred solution of starting N -(1-naphthoyl)-oxazolidinone in THF ( 1.8 mL ) at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added 5 equivalents of the aldehyde (or in case of RT aldehyde addition, aldehyde was added prior to cooling). A solution of potassium bis(trimethylsilyl)amide ( 1 M in THF) was added dropwise over 2 minutes and the resulting mixture was stirred for desired time (total reaction time including the base addition) at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction was then quenched by the addition of 1.0 mL of saturated ammonium chloride solution and diluted with 10 mL diethyl ether and 10 mL of ethyl acetate. Excess ammonium chloride was washed with 5 mL of $5 \%$ sodium bicarbonate solution. The aqueous layer is back extracted with 5 mL ethyl acetate. Combined organic layers were washed with sat. NaCl , dried over sodium sulfate, filtered, and then concentrated to give the crude product which was then purified through column chromatography on silica gel to give the desired product.

## General procedure for the acetylation reaction

To a stirred solution of N -(1-naphthoyl)-oxazolidinone and 4 -(dimethylamino) pyridine in THF at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ were added acetic anhydride and triethylamine. The resulting mixture was stirred for the night at room temperature. The reaction was quenched by the addition of a 2 M of aqueous solution of hydrochloric acid. The mixture was washed with a saturated sodium bicarbonate solution and the aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate. The organic layer was dried over sodium sulfate, filtered, and then concentrated to give the crude product which was then purified through column chromatography on silica gel to give the desired product.

## General procedure for the hydrolysis of the aldol product

The acetylated aldol product was suspended in a mixture of acetic acid ( 0.5 mL ) and $6 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ and was heated to $125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 hours. After cooling, the reaction mixture was then diluted with water and washed with diethyl ether. The aqueous phase was concentrated to yield 2-amino-3-hydroxy-2-isobutyl-3-arylpropanoic acid derivatives as their hydrochloride salt.

## (S)-3-(1-naphthoyl)-4-isobutyl-2,2-dimethyl-oxazolidin-5-one 1-A

Following the procedure A , with sodium L -leucinate salt ( $2.20 \mathrm{~g}, 14.38 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{BF}_{3} . \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.06 \mathrm{eq}, 100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, 0.82 mmol ), and 1-naphthoyl chloride ( $1 \mathrm{eq}, 2.16 \mathrm{~mL}, 14.38 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (tolvene/ethyl acetate : $100 \%$ to $95 / 5$ ) to give ( S )-3-(1-naphthoyl)-4-isobutyl-2,2-dimethyl-oxazolidin-5-one 1-A ( 2.21 g , $49 \%$, ee>99\%). All spectroscopic data are in agreement with those previously reported. ${ }^{[25]}$

## (S)-3-(1-naphthoyl)-2,2-dimethyl-4-(2-(methylthio)ethyl)-oxazolidin-5-one 1-B

Following the procedure B, with sodium L-methioninate salt ( $2.46 \mathrm{~g}, 14.38 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{AlMe}_{3}$ ( $1 \mathrm{eq}, 7.2 \mathrm{~mL}$ ( 2 M in toluene), 14.38 mmol ), and 1-naphthoyl chloride ( $1 \mathrm{eq}, 2.16 \mathrm{~mL}, 14.38 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate/triethylamine: 90/10/1\%) to give (S)-3-(1-naphthoyl)-2,2-dimethyl-4-(2-(methylthio)ethyl)-oxazolidin-5-one 1-B ( $2.25 \mathrm{~g}, 45 \%$, ee>99\%). All spectroscopic data are in agreement with those previously reported. ${ }^{[25]}$

## (S)-3-(1-naphthoyl)-4-isopropyl-2,2-dimethyloxazolidin-5-one 1-C

Following the procedure A , with sodium L -valinate salt ( $2.00 \mathrm{~g}, 14.38 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{BF}_{3} . \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.06 \mathrm{eq}, 100 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.82 \mathrm{mmol})$, and 1naphthoyl chloride ( $1 \mathrm{eq}, 2.16 \mathrm{~mL}, 14.38 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (pentane/diethyl ether: 80/20) to give (S)-3-(1-naphthoyl)-4-isopropyl-2,2-dimethyl-oxazolidin-5-one 1-C ( $3.28 \mathrm{~g}, 75 \%$, ee>g9\%). All spectroscopic data are in agreement with those previously reported. ${ }^{[25]}$

## (S)-3-(1-naphthoyl)-4-benzyl-2,2-dimethyloxazolidin-5-one 1-D

Following the general procedure B, with sodium L-phenylalaninate salt ( $2.69 \mathrm{~g}, 14.38 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{AlMe}_{3}(1 \mathrm{eq}, 7.2 \mathrm{~mL}(2 \mathrm{M}$ in tolvene), 14.38 mmol ), and 1-naphthoyl chloride ( $1 \mathrm{eq}, 2.16 \mathrm{~mL}, 14.38 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate/triethylamine : 80/20/1\%) to give (S)-3-(1-naphthoyl)-4-benzyl-2,2-dimethyloxazolidin-5-one 1-D (3.14 g, 61\%, ee>99\%). All spectroscopic data are in agreement with those previously reported. ${ }^{[25]}$

## (4R)-3-(1-naphthoyl)-4-((R)-(3-bromophenyl)(hydroxy)methyl)-4-isobutyl-2,2-dimethyloxazolidin-5-one $2 a$

Following the general procedure, (S)-3-(1-naphthoyl)-4-isobutyl-2,2-dimethyl-oxazolidin-5-one 1-A ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.307 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 3 -bromobenzaldehyde ( $5 \mathrm{eq}, 1.53 \mathrm{mmol}, 180 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) and KHMDS ( $1.5 \mathrm{eq}, 0.460 \mathrm{mmol}, 460 \mu \mathrm{~L}(1 \mathrm{M}$ in THF) in THF gave ( $4 R$ )-3-(1-naphthoyl)-4-((S)-(3-bromophenyl)(hydroxy)methyl)-4-isobutyl-2,2-dimethyloxazolidin-5-one after 18 minutes. The crude product was then purified by column chromatography on silica gel (heptane/THF : 90/10 to 70/30) to give one diastereomer 2 a ( $150 \mathrm{mg}, 95 \%$, ee $=72 \%$ ). HPLC analysis: $(\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{S})$ Whelk-O 1 , hexane/ethanol: $90 / 10,1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, \mathrm{T}=25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \lambda=$ 222 nm ; retention times of racemic mixture: 12.2 min . (minor) and 17.3 min . (major $R R$ ) ee $=72 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(360 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): Conformer ratio major ( $M$ ):minor (m): 0.6: 0.4i ( $\delta, \mathrm{ppm}$ ): $0.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{Hm})$, $0.54(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{HM}), 0.99(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{HM}), 1.10(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{Hm}), 1.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{HM}), 1.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{Hm}), 1.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{HM}), 1.43(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{Hm}), 1.94-2.04(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{Hm})$, $2.09(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{Hm}), 2.13-2.18(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{HM}), 2.32(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=14.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{HM}), 2.90(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=$ $14.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{Hm}), 3.06(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=14.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{HM}), 5.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{HM}), 5.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{Hm}), 6.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{HM}), 6.53(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{Hm}), 7.28-7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{HM}+3 \mathrm{Hm}), 7.46-7.62(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{HM}+4 \mathrm{Hm}), 7.72-7.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{HM}+2 \mathrm{Hm}), 7.87-$ $7.96(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{HM}+2 \mathrm{Hm}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(62.9 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right):(\delta, \mathrm{ppm}): 22.6 \mathrm{M}, 22.9 \mathrm{~m}, 24.5 \mathrm{M}, 24.7 \mathrm{~m}, 25.4 \mathrm{~m}, 25.5 \mathrm{M}, 28.5 \mathrm{M}$, $28.6 M+m, 30.1 m, 40.7 m, 40.8 M, 75.8 M, 76.2 m, 78.4 M+m, 96.4 M, 96.5 m, 123.1 M, 123.2 m, 123.7 m, 124.2 M, 124.4 M, 125.5 m$, $125.6 \mathrm{M}, 126.0 \mathrm{~m}, 126.2 \mathrm{M}, 126.4 \mathrm{~m}, 126.8 \mathrm{M}, 126.9 \mathrm{~m}, 127.5 \mathrm{M}, 128.2 \mathrm{~m}, 128.6 \mathrm{~m}, 128.8 \mathrm{M}, 129.6 \mathrm{M}, 129.8 \mathrm{~m}, 129.9 \mathrm{M}, 130.0 \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{m}$, $130.3 M+m, 130.7 m, 131.6 M+m, 132.8 M, 132.9 m, 133.2 M, 133.5 m, 143.2 m, 143.4 M, 170.8 M, 171.3 m, 171.4 m, 171.6 M$. HRMS (electrospray): Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{BrNO}_{4}\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right): 510.1274$; found: 510.1259 Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{BrNO} \mathrm{Na}$ $\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right): 532.1094$; found: 532.1074.

## (4R)-3-(1-naphthoyl)-4-((R)-hydroxy(pyridin-2-yl)methyl)-4-isobutyl-2,2-dimethyloxazolidin-5-one 3b

Following the general procedure, (S)-3-(1-naphthoyl)-4-isobutyl-2,2-dimethyl-oxazolidin-5-one 1-A ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.307 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 2-pyridinecarboxaldehyde ( $5 \mathrm{eq}, 1.53 \mathrm{mmol}, 146 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) and KHMDS ( $1.5 \mathrm{eq}, 0.460 \mathrm{mmol}, 46 \mathrm{o} \mu \mathrm{L}(1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{in} \mathrm{THF}$ ) in THF gave (R)-3-(1-naphtoyl)-4-55R)-hydroxy(pyridin-2-yl)methyl)-4-isobutyl-2,2-dimethyloxazolidin-5-one after 18 minutes. The crude product ( $\mathrm{dr}=4 / 96$ ) was then purified by column chromatography on silica gel (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate : $85 / 15$ to $30 / 70$ ) to give diastereomer $\mathbf{3 b}$ ( $75 \mathrm{mg}, 56 \%$, ee > 99\%). HPLC analysis: Chiralpack AD-H, hexane/ethanol: $95 / 5,1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, \mathrm{T}=35$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \lambda=222 \mathrm{~nm}$; retention times of racemic mixture: major diastereomer 29.3 min . and 38.1 min . ( $R$ ) . $\mathrm{ee}=99 \%$ (minor
diastereomer 14.6 min . (minor) and 29.3 min . (major) ee $>99 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right.$, Toluene- $\mathrm{d}_{8}$ ): Conformer ratio major $(M)$ :minor $(m)$ : 1.0: $0.5 i(\delta, \mathrm{ppm})$ : $0.50(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{Hm}), 0.74(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{Hm}), 0.93(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{HM}), 1.10(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{HM}), 1.12-1.23(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{HM}+6 \mathrm{Hm})$, 2.10-2.17 (m, $1 \mathrm{H} M+1 \mathrm{Hm}), 2.36-2.44(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{HM}+1 \mathrm{Hm}), 3.12(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=13.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{Hm}), 3.28(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=14.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{HM}), 5.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{HM}), 5.19(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{Hm}), 5.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{HM}), 5.82(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{Hm}), 6.56-6.64(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{HM}+1 \mathrm{Hm}), 6.95^{-}$ $7.52(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H} M+8 \mathrm{Hm}), 7.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{HM}), 8.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{Hm}), 8.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{HM}), 8.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{Hm})$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : Conformer ratio major ( $M$ ):minor (m): 1.0: 0.5; ( $\delta, \mathrm{ppm}$ ): 0.89 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{Hm}$ ), 1.09 (d, J=6.7 Hz, $3 H M), 1.10(d, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{Hm}), 1.14(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{HM}), 1.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{Hm}), 1.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{HM}), 1.25(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{HM}), 1.52(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{Hm})$, 1.89-1.97 (m, 1Hm), 1.99-2.08(m, 1 HM ), 2.25-2.35 (m, $1 \mathrm{HM}+1 \mathrm{Hm}$ ), $3.01(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=14.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{Hm}), 3.18(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=12.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{HM}), 5.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{HM}), 5.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{Hm}), 5.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{HM}), 5.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{Hm}), 7.29-7.36(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H} M+1 \mathrm{Hm}), 7 \cdot 41-7.56(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{HM}+5 \mathrm{Hm}), 7.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{HM}), 7 \cdot 70-7.93(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{HM}+4 \mathrm{Hm}), 8.61-8.67(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{HM}+1 \mathrm{Hm}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): ( $\delta, \mathrm{ppm}$ ) : $22.3 M, 23.1 m, 24.8 M+m, 25.0 M+m, 28.7 m, 29.2 M, 29.4 M, 30.3 m, 40.1 m, 41.2 M$, $72.5 m, 72.8 M, 76.0 M, 76.4 m, 96.5 M+m, 123.4 M, 123.6 m, 123.8 M+m, 124.0 M+m, 125.0 M, 125.4 M, 125.6 m, 126.0 m$, $126.5 M+m, 127.3 M, 128.4 m, 128.6 M, 129.8 m, 130.0 M * 2+m * 2,133.3 m, 133.4 M, 134.1 M, 134.3 m, 136.4 M+m, 148.8 M+m$, $157.6 M, 158.2 m, 169.0 M+m, 172.4 m, 172.7 M$. HRMS (electrospray): Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right): 433.2122$; found: 433.2124 Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{NaO}_{4}\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right)$: 455.1941 ; found: 455.1946 .

## (R)-((R)-3-(1-naphthoyl)-4-isobutyl-2,2-dimethyl-5-oxooxazolidin-4-yl)(pyridin-3-yl)methyl acetate Ac-4a

Following the general procedure, (S)-3-(1-naphthoyl)-4-isobutyl-2,2-dimethyl-oxazolidin-5-one 1-A (100 mg, 0.307 mmol ), 3-pyridinecarboxaldehyde ( $5 \mathrm{eq}, 1.53 \mathrm{mmol}, 116 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) and $\operatorname{KHMDS}(1.5 \mathrm{eq}, 0.460 \mathrm{mmol}, 460 \mu \mathrm{~L}(1 \mathrm{M}$ in THF)) in THF gave (4R)-3-(1-naphthoyl)-4-((R)-hydroxy(pyridin-3-yl)methyl)-4-isobutyl-2,2-dimethyloxazolidin-5-one 4 after 12 minutes. Following the general procedure of acetylation, (4R)-3-(1-naphthoyl)-4-((R)-hydroxy(pyridin-3-yl)methyl)-4-isobutyl-2,2-dimethyloxazolidin-5-one (crude product), 4-(dimethylamino)pyridin ( $0.1 \mathrm{eq}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol}, 4 \mathrm{mg}$ ), acetic anhydride ( 5 eq , $1.53 \mathrm{mmol}, 145 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) and triethylamine ( $1 \mathrm{eq}, 0.307 \mathrm{mmol}, 410 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) in THF ( 3 mL ) gave ( $4 R$ )-(( $R$ )-3-(1-naphthoyl)-4-isobutyl-2,2-dimethyl-5-oxooxazolidin-4-yl)(pyridin-3-yl)methyl acetate after one night. The crude product was then purified by column chromatography on silica gel (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate: 60/40) to give diastereomer Ac-4a ( 80 mg , $55 \%$, ee $=$ $76 \%$, and $91 \%$ after recristallization). HPLC analysis: Chiralpak IC, hexane/ethanol: $80 / 20,1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, \mathrm{T}=25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \lambda=222 \mathrm{~nm}$; retention times of racemic mixture: 14.0 min . and 20.1 min . $(R S)$. ee $=76 \%{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(250 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ : Conformer ratio major $(M)$ :minor $(m)$ : $0.7: 0.3$ (second minor, not described 0.2 ); $(\delta, \mathrm{ppm}): 1.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{HM}), 1.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 H M), 1.85(d, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{Hm}), 1.91-1.94(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{Hm}), 1.93(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{HM}), 1.96(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{Hm}), 2.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{Hm}), 2.44(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{HM}), 2.51-2.64(\mathrm{~m}$, 1 HM ), 2.73-2.75 ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{Hm}$ ), $2.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{HM}), 2.93(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{HM}), 3.00(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{Hm}), 3.48(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=13.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{HM})$, $3.64(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=13.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{Hm}), 7.58(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{Hm}), 7.83(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{HM}), 8.09-8.38(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{HM}+6 \mathrm{Hm}), 8.66-8.79(3 \mathrm{HM}+3 \mathrm{Hm}), 9.29$ (dd, $J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{HM}+1 \mathrm{Hm}$ ), $9.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{HM}+1 \mathrm{Hm}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(62.9 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right):(\delta, \mathrm{ppm}):$ $22.7 M, 22.8 m, 23.4 m, 24.1 M, 26.0 M, 26.2 m, 26.4 M+m, 30.2 M, 30.7 m, 31.5 m, 31.9 M, 43.4 M, 44.6 m, 72.4 M, 72.8 m, 75.6 m$, $75.7 M, 98.7 M+m, 125.4 M+m, 126.0 m, 126.1 M, 126.2 M, 126.5 m, 127.1 M, 127.7 m, 128.7 m, 128.8 M, 129.4 M, 129.5 m, 130.7 M$, $130.8 m, 131.7 m, 131.9 M, 132.3 M, 132.4 m, 134.1 M+m, 135.2 M, 135.3 M, 135.4 m, 135.5 m, 139.0 M, 139.2 m, 151.1 M, 151.2 m$, $151.3 M+m, 171.5 M, 171.6 M, 171.7 m, 171.8 m, 171.9 M, 172.0 m$. HRMS (electrospray): Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ $\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right): 475.2227$; found: 475.2240 Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{Na}\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right): 497.2047$; found: 497.2046 .

## (4R)-3-(1-naphthoyl)-4-((R)-(2-fluorophenyl)(hydroxy)methyl)-4-isobutyl-2,2-dimethyloxazolidin-5-one 5a

Following the general procedure, (S)-3-(1-naphthoyl)-4-isobutyl-2,2-dimethyl-oxazolidin-5-one 1-A (100 mg, 0.307 mmol ), 2 -fluorobenzaldehyde ( $5 \mathrm{eq}, 1.53 \mathrm{mmol}, 160 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) and KHMDS ( $1.5 \mathrm{eq}, 0.460 \mathrm{mmol}, 460 \mu \mathrm{~L}(1 \mathrm{M}$ in THF) in THF gave (4R)-3-(1-naphthoyl)-4-((R)-(2-fluorophenyl)(hydroxy)methyl)-4-isobutyl-2,2-dimethyloxazolidin-5-one after 18 minutes. The crude product ( $\mathrm{dr}=96 / 4$ ) was then purified by column chromatography on silica gel (heptane/THF : 85/15) to give diastereomer 5 a ( $113 \mathrm{mg}, 81 \%$, ee $=82 \%$ ). HPLC analysis: $(\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{S})$ Whelk-O 1 , hexane/ethanol: $90 / 10,1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, \mathrm{T}=25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \lambda=$

222 nm ; retention times of racemic mixture: 10.6 min . (minor) and 24.9 min . (major) $e e=82 \%$ (minor diastereomer 12.4 min. (minor) and 16.4 min . (major) ee $=86 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : Conformer ratio major $\left(M_{1}\right)$ : major ( $M_{2}$ ): major $\left(M_{3}\right):$ minor $(m): 0.6: 0.6: 0.6: 0.1$ (not described); $(\delta, p p m): 0.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{HM}), 0.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{HM}), 0.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{HM})$, $0.99(\mathrm{bs}, 6 \mathrm{HM}), 1.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{HM}), 1.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{HM}), 1.18(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{HM}), 1.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{HM}), 1.54(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{HM})$, 1.80-1.67 (m, 4HM), 2.19-2.12 (m, 5HM), 2.30 (s, 3HM), 2.94 (dd, $\left.J=2.2 H z, J=14.3 H z, 1 H M_{1}\right), 3.17\left(d, J=12.5 H z, 1 H M M_{2}+\right.$ $1 \mathrm{H}_{3}$ ), $4.59\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H} M_{1}\right), 4.69\left(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H} M_{2}\right), 5.67\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H} M_{3}\right), 5.68\left(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H} M_{2}\right), 5.76\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H} M_{3}\right)$, $6.12\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{M} \mathrm{I}_{1}\right), 6.64(\mathrm{t}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{HM}), 8.01-7.00(\mathrm{~m}, 32 \mathrm{HM}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 3$ conformers 1 major $(M)$ and 2 minor, same proportion $(m)(\delta, \mathrm{ppm}): 21.7 m, 22.7 M, 23.2 m, 24.0 m, 24.6 m * 3,24.9 m, 25.2 M, 25.7 M, 27.0 m$, $28.6 m, 28.8 M, 28.9 M, 30.5 m, 39.9 m, 40.7 M, 41.4 m, 69.1 m, 70.4 m, 73.3 m, 74.6 m, 74.9 M, 77.5 M, 96.4 M, 96.5 m, 98.4 m$, $114.5 m(d, J=23 \mathrm{~Hz}), 115.7 m(\mathrm{~d}, J=23 \mathrm{~Hz}), 116.2 M(\mathrm{~d}, J=23 \mathrm{~Hz}), 123.4-134.1,159.6 m(\mathrm{~d}, J=249 \mathrm{~Hz}), 160.1 M(\mathrm{~d}, J=249 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $160.2 m\left(d, J=249 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$ ), $168.7 m, 170.1 M, 170.3 m, 170.5 m, 171.1 M+m$. HRMS (electrospray): Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{FNO}_{4}$ $\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right): 450.2075$; found: 450.2059 Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{FNO}_{4} \mathrm{Na}\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right): 472.1895$; found: 472.1879 .
(4R)-3-(1-naphthoyl)-4-((R)-(3-bromophenyl)(hydroxy)methyl)-2,2-dimethyl-4-(2-(methylthio)ethyl) oxazolidin-5-one 6a Following the general procedure, (S)-3-(1-naphthoyl)-2,2-dimethyl-4-(2-(methylthio)ethyl)-oxazolidin-5-one 1-B (100mg, 0.290 mmol ), 3-bromobenzaldehyde ( $5 \mathrm{eq}, 1.45 \mathrm{mmol}, 170 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) and KHMDS ( $1.5 \mathrm{eq}, 0.430 \mathrm{mmol}, 430 \mu \mathrm{~L}(1 \mathrm{M}$ in THF)) in THF gave (4R)-3-(1-naphthoyl)-4-((R)-(3-bromophenyl)(hydroxy)methyl)-2,2-dimethl-4-(2-(methylthio)ethyl)oxazolidin-5one after 10 minutes. The crude product (single diastereomer) was then purified by column chromatography on silica gel (PE/ethyl acetate : $100 \%$ to $70 / 30$ ) to give diastereomer 6 a ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 65 \%$, ee $=73 \%$ ). Following the general procedure, $(S)$ -3-(1-naphthoyl)-2,2-dimethyl-4-(2-(methylthio)ethyl)-oxazolidin-5-one 1-B (100mg, 0.290 mmol ), 3-bromobenzaldehyde ( $5 \mathrm{eq}, 1.45 \mathrm{mmol}, 170 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) and KHMDS (1.5 eq, $0.430 \mathrm{mmol}, 430 \mu \mathrm{~L}(1 \mathrm{M}$ in THF)) in THF gave ( $4 R$ )-3-(1-naphthoyl)-4-((R)-(3-bromophenyl)(hydroxy)methyl)-2,2-dimethl-4-(2-(methylthio)ethyl)oxazolidin-5-one after 10 minutes. The crude product (single diastereomer) was then purified by column chromatography on silica gel (PE/ethyl acetate : $100 \%$ to $70 / 30$ ) to give diastereomer 6a (100 mg, 65\%, ee $=73 \%$ ). HPLC analysis: $(S, S)$ Whelk-O 1, hexane/ethanol: 90/10 for 30 min. then with a gradient from $90 / 10$ to $80 / 20$ over 15 min . and a step of $35 \mathrm{~min} ., 1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, \mathrm{T}=25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \lambda=222 \mathrm{~nm}$; retention times of racemic mixture: 23.3 min . (minor) and 26.6 min . (major) ee $=73 \%{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(250 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : Conformer ratio major $(M): m i n o r(m): 0.7: 0.3 ;(\delta, \mathrm{ppm}): 0.44(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{Hm}), 0.56(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{HM}), 0.99(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{HM}), 1.47(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{Hm}), 2.25(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{Hm}), 2.31(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{HM}), 2.71-$ $3.03(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H} M+4 \mathrm{Hm}), 3.07-3.17(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{HM}), 5.37(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{HM}), 5.40(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=11.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{Hm}), 6.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{HM}), 6.71$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=11.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{Hm}), 7.25-7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H} M+3 \mathrm{Hm}), 7 \cdot 45-7.75(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H} M+6 \mathrm{Hm}), 7.86-7.99(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{HM}+2 \mathrm{Hm}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}(100.0$ $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 3$ conformers $M+2 m(\delta, \mathrm{ppm}): 14.6 m, 15.5 m, 15.6 M, 26.2 m, 27.0 m, 28.3 M+m, 28.7 m, 28.4 M+m, 28.9 M$, $30.4 m, 32.4 m, 32.9 M, 33.1 m, 56.5 M+2 m, 75.4 M, 75.6 m, 76.1 m, 96.7 M, 96.8 m, 98.2 m, 123.2-133.7,137.3 m, 142.8 m 142.9 M$, $169.9 M, 170.3 m * 2,170.6 m, 171.2 m, 171.5 M$. HRMS (electrospray): Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{BrNO}_{4} \mathrm{~S}\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$: 528.0839 ; found: 528.0823 Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{BrNNaO}_{4} \mathrm{~S}\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right)$: 550.0658 ; found: 550.0645 .
(4R)-3-(1-naphthoyl)-4-((R)-(2-bromophenyl)(hydroxy)methyl)-2,2-dimethyl-4-(2-methylthio)ethyl)oxazolidin-5-one 7a
Following the general procedure, (S)-3-(1-naphthoyl)-2,2-dimethyl-4-(2-(methylthio)ethyl)-oxazolidin-5-one 1-B (100 mg, 0.290 mmol ), 2-bromobenzaldehyde ( $5 \mathrm{eq}, 1.45 \mathrm{mmol}, 170 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) and KHMDS ( $1.5 \mathrm{eq}, 0.430 \mathrm{mmol}, 430 \mu \mathrm{~L}(1 \mathrm{M}$ in THF)) in THF gave (4R)-3-((R)1-naphthoyl)-4-((2-bromophenyl)hydroxy)methyl)-2,2-dimethyl-4-(2-methylthio)ethyl)oxazolidin-5one after 12 minutes. The crude product (single diastereomer) was then purified by column chromatography on silica gel (PE/ethyl acetate : $100 \%$ to $70 / 30$ ) to give diastereomer 7 a ( $110 \mathrm{mg}, 72 \%$, ee $=60 \%$ ). HPLC analysis: (S, S) Whelk-O 1, hexane/ethanol: 88/12 for 30 min . then with a gradient from $88 / 12$ to $80 / 20$ over 15 min . and a step of $40 \mathrm{~min} ., 1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, \mathrm{T}=$ $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \lambda=222 \mathrm{~nm}$; retention times of racemic mixture: 15.5 min . (minor) and 41.5 min . (major) ee $=60 \%$ (minor diastereomer 11.9 min . (minor) and 25.5 min . (major) - other trials). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ ): Conformer ratio major $(M)$ :minor $1\left(m_{1}\right)$ :minor $2\left(m_{2}\right): 0.4: 0.3: 0.2 ;(\delta, \mathrm{ppm}): 1.00\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{Hm} m_{1}\right), 1.19\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{Hm} m_{1}\right), 1.25\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H} m_{2}\right), 1.27\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{Hm} m_{2}\right)$,
$2.02(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{HM}), 2.03\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{Hm} m_{1}\right), 2.06\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{Hm} m_{2}\right), 2.19(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{HM}), 2.28(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{HM}), 2.37-2.88\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{HM}+3 \mathrm{Hm} m_{1}+3 \mathrm{Hm} m_{2}\right), 3.27-3.50(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.1 \mathrm{HM}+1 \mathrm{H} m_{1}+1 \mathrm{Hm}_{2}\right), 4.86\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H} m_{1}\right), 4.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{HM}), 6.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{Hm})_{1}, 6.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.1 \mathrm{H} m_{2}\right), 6.97-7.04(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H} M), 7.13-7.25\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H} M+1 \mathrm{Hm}_{1}+2 \mathrm{Hm}_{2}\right), 7 \cdot 36-7.66\left(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{HM}+6 \mathrm{H} m_{1}+6 \mathrm{H} m_{2}\right), 7.24-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{HM}+$ $\left.1 \mathrm{Hm}_{1}+1 \mathrm{Hm}_{2}\right), 7.85-7.98\left(3 \mathrm{HM}+3 \mathrm{Hm}_{1}+3 \mathrm{H} m_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(100.0 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right):(\delta, \mathrm{ppm}): 15.3 m_{21} 15.4 M+m_{1}, 24.8 M$, $27.2 M$, $28.1 m_{21}, 28.6 m_{11} 28.7 M$, 28.9 $m_{11}, 29.0 m_{11}, 29.4 m_{21} 30.3 m_{21} 30.6 m_{21} 32.2 m_{11} 33.1 M, 71.8 m_{11} 72.3 m_{21} 73.7 M_{1} 74.1 m_{21}$
 $170.3 m_{1}, 170.4 m_{2}$. HRMS (electrospray): Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{BrNO}_{4} \mathrm{~S}\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$: 528.0839; found: 528.0829 Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{BrNNaO}_{4} \mathrm{~S}\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right): 550.0658$; found: 550.0647 .

## (4R)-3-(1-naphthoyl)-4-(hydroxy(pyridin-2-yl)methyl)-2,2-dimethyl-4-(2-(methylthio)ethyl) oxazolidin-5-one 8

Following the general procedure, (S)-3-(1-naphthoyl)-2,2-dimethyl-4-(2-(methylthio)ethyl)-oxazolidin-5-one 1-B (100mg, 0.290 mmol ), 2-pyridinecarboxaldehyde ( $5 \mathrm{eq}, 1.45 \mathrm{mmol}, 140 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) and KHMDS ( $1.5 \mathrm{eq}, 0.430 \mathrm{mmol}, 430 \mu \mathrm{~L}(1 \mathrm{M}$ in THF)) in THF gave (4R)-3-(1-naphthoyl)-4-((R)-hydroxy(pyridin-2-yl)methyl)-2,2-dimethyl-4-(2-methylthio)ethyl)oxazolidin-5-one after 15 minutes. The crude product ( $\mathrm{dr}=15 / 85$ ) was then purified by column chromatography on silica gel (PE/ethyl acetate/ $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}: 80 / 20 / 1 \%$ to $60 / 40 / 1 \%$ ) to give minor diastereomer $8 \mathrm{a}(4 \mathrm{mg}, 3 \%$, ee $=82 \%$ ) and major diastereomer $\mathbf{8 b}$ ( 85 $\mathrm{mg}, 65 \%$, ee $=97 \%$ ). HPLC analysis: Chiralpack AD-H, hexane/ethanol: 95/5, $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, \mathrm{T}=38^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \lambda=222 \mathrm{~nm}$; retention times of racemic mixture: 62.1 min . (minor) and 67.8 min . (major) $e e=97 \%$ (isolated with ee $>99 \%$ ) (minor diastereomer 47.6 min . (minor) and 56.8 min . (major) $e e=82 \%$ ). Minor diastereomer $8 \mathrm{a}-{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ ): Conformer ratio major $(M)$ :minor $(m)$ : 1.0: $0.5 i(\delta, \mathrm{ppm})$ : $0.87(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{HM})$, $0.97(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{Hm}), 1.15(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{Hm}), 1.74(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{HM}), 2.25(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{HM}), 2.30(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{Hm}), 2.37-2.47(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{Hm}), 2.56-2.67(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{Hm}), 2.98-3.11(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{HM}), 3.15-3.34(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{Hm}), 5.53(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{Hm}), 5.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{HM}), 6.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{HM}), 6.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{Hm}), 6.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{HM}), 6.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{Hm}), 7.07-$ $7.13(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{HM}), 7 \cdot 31-7.98(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{HM}+9 \mathrm{Hm}), 8.57-8.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{HM}), 8.66-8.69(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{Hm}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(100.0 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right):(\delta$, $\mathrm{ppm}): 15.6 M, 15.7 m, 27.3 M, 28.0 M, 28.1 m, 28.6 m, 29.7 m, 30.4 M, 33.6 m, 34.5 M, 72.6 M, 73.3 m, 74.9 M, 76.1 m, 96.2 M+m$, $121.2 m, 121.4 M, 122.8 M, 123.0 m, 124.0 M+m, 124.4 M, 124.5 m, 124.7 M, 125.3 m, 126.5 M, 126.8 m, 127.1 M, 127.7 m, 128.3 M$, $128.7 m, 129.4 m, 130.0 M, 130.2 M+m, 132.7 m, 133.1 M+m, 133.2 M, 136.9 M+m, 148.3 M, 148.6 m, 160.1 m, 160.2 M, 169.6 M$, 170.om, 174.4M, 170.5m. HRMS (electrospray): Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right): 451.1686$; found: 451.1684 Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{NaO}_{4} \mathrm{~S}\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right)$: 473.1505; found: 473.1503. Major diastereomer $\mathbf{8 b}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(360 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right)$ : Conformer ratio major $(M)$ :minor $(m)$ : 1.0: $0.5 i(\delta, \mathrm{ppm})$ : $0.83(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{Hm}), 0.93(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{HM}), 1.22(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{HM}+3 \mathrm{Hm}), 2.04(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{Hm})$, $2.10(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{HM}), 2.78-2.91(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{Hm}), 2.95-3.15(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{HM}), 3.52-3.63(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{Hm}), 3.70-3.80(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{HM}), 5.33(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{HM})$, $5.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{Hm}), 5.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{HM}), 6.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{Hm}), 6.61-6.72(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H} M+1 \mathrm{Hm}), 7.02-7.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{HM}+$ $2 \mathrm{Hm}), 7.13-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H} M+3 \mathrm{Hm}), 7.24-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{HM}+1 \mathrm{Hm}), 7.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{HM}), 7 \cdot 53-7.74(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{HM}+2 \mathrm{Hm}), 7.96(\mathrm{bs}$, 1 HM ), $8.07(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{Hm}), 8.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{HM}), 8.40(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{Hm}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : Conformer ratio major $(M)$ :minor $(m): 0.7: 0.3 ;(\delta, p p m): 0.87(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{Hm}), 1.11(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{HM}), 1.28(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{HM}), 1.51(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{Hm}), 2.21(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{Hm}), 2.26(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{HM}), 2.56-2.651(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{Hm}), 2.70-2.83(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{HM}), 3 \cdot 20-3 \cdot 30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{Hm}), 3 \cdot 40-3 \cdot 50(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{HM}), 5.03(\mathrm{bs} 1 \mathrm{HM}+1 \mathrm{Hm}), 5.46$ (bs, ${ }_{1} \mathrm{HM}$ ) , $5.71(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{Hm}), 7.26-7.93(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{HM}+10 \mathrm{Hm}), 8.60-8.67(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{HM}+1 \mathrm{Hm}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(100.0 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right):(\delta$, $\mathrm{ppm}): 15.5 M+m, 28.4 m, 29.0 M, 29.6 m, 29.7 M * 2,30.5 m, 31.5 m, 32.4 M, 72.3 m, 73.2 M, 75.3 M+m, 96.7 M+m, 123.3 M, 123.6 m$, $123.8 M, 123.9 m, 124.1 m, 124.2 M, 124.8 M, 125.4 M, 125.6 m, 125.7 m, 126.4 m, 126.6 M, 126.7 m, 127.4 M, 128.4 m, 128.7 M$, $129.8 m, 129.9 M, 130.0 m, 130.2 M, 133.3 m, 133.4 M, 133.8 M, 134.1 m, 136.6 M+m, 148.9 M+m, 157.2 M, 158.0 m, 169.1 m, 169.3 M$, $171.4 M, 172.0 m$. HRMS (electrospray): Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right): 451.1686$; found: 451.1672 Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{NaO}_{4} \mathrm{~S}\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right)$: 473.1505 ; found: 473.1500 .
(4R)-3-(1-naphthoyl)-4-((R)-(2-fluorophenyl)(hydroxy)methyl)-2,2-dimethyl-4-(2-(methylthio)ethyl) oxazolidin-5-one 9a
Following the general procedure, (S)-3-(1-naphthoyl)-2,2-dimethyl-4-(2-(methylthio)ethyl)-oxazolidin-5-one 1-B (100mg, 0.290 mmol ), 2-fluorobenzaldehyde ( $5 \mathrm{eq}, 1.45 \mathrm{mmol}, 180 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) and KHMDS ( $1.5 \mathrm{eq}, 0.430 \mathrm{mmol}, 430 \mu \mathrm{~L}(1 \mathrm{M}$ in THF)) in THF
gave (4R)-3-(1-naphthoyl)-4-((R)-(2-fluorophenyl)(hydroxy)methyl)-2,2-dimethyl-4-(2-(methylthio)ethyl) oxazolidin-5-one after 18 minutes. The crude product (single diastereomer) was then purified by column chromatography on silica gel (heptane/THF : 90/10 to 75/25) to give one diastereomer ga ( $135 \mathrm{mg}, 99 \%$, ee $=85 \%$ ). HPLC analysis: (S, S) Whelk-O 1, hexane/ethanol $88 / 12$ for 30 min . then with a gradient from $88 / 12$ to $80 / 20$ over 15 min . and a step of 40 min ., $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, \mathrm{T}=$ $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \lambda=222 \mathrm{~nm}$; retention times of racemic mixture: 15.8 min . (minor) and 29.8 min . (major) ee $=85 \%$ (minor diastereomer 11.9 min . and 25.6 min . from racemic). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : Conformer ratio major ( M ):minor $(m): 0.6: 0.3 ;(\delta, p p m): 0.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{HM}), 0.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{Hm}), 0.98(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{HM}), 1.52(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{Hm}), 2.20(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{Hm}), 2.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{HM}), 2.54-2.63(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{Hm}), 2.72-3.08(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{Hm}+4 \mathrm{HM}), 5.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{Hm}), 5.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{HM}), 5.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{Hm}), 6.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{HM}), 7.06-7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{HM}+2 \mathrm{Hm}), 7 \cdot 34-7.74(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{HM}+6 \mathrm{Hm}), 7.06-7.95(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{HM}+3 \mathrm{Hm}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}(100.0 \mathrm{MHz}, 300$ $\left.\mathrm{K}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right):(\delta, \mathrm{ppm}): 15.4 m, 15.6 M, 28.2 m, 28.4 M, 28.5 M, 28.9 m, 29.0 M, 30.4 m, 32.2 m, 32.8 M, 70.6 m, 72.2 M, 74.0 M, 74.4 m$, $96.4 M+m, 115.7 m(d, J=22 \mathrm{~Hz}), 116.0 M(\mathrm{~d}, J=22 \mathrm{~Hz}), 124.1-133.4,159.6 \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{m}(\mathrm{d}, J=248 \mathrm{~Hz}), 169.0 \mathrm{M}, 169.5 \mathrm{~m}, 170.5 m$, 171.1 M. HRMS (electrospray): Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{FNO}_{4} \mathrm{~S}\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$: 468.1639 ; found: 468.1623 Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{FNNaO}_{4} \mathrm{~S}\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right): 490.1459$; found: 490.1451. Following the general procedure, (S)-3-(1-naphthoyl)-2,2-dimethyl-4-(2-(methylthio)ethyl)-oxazolidin-5-one 1-B (100 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.290 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 3-pyridinecarboxaldehyde ( 5 eq, $1.45 \mathrm{mmol}, 160 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) and KHMDS (1 eq, $0.290 \mathrm{mmol}, 290 \mu \mathrm{~L}(1 \mathrm{M}$ in THF)) in THF gave (4R)-3-(1-naphthoyl)-4-((R)-hydroxy(pyridin-3-yl)methyl)-2,2-dimethyl-4-(2-(methylthio)ethyl) oxazolidin-5-one after 12 minutes. The crude product ( $\mathrm{dr}=98 / 2$ ) was then purified by column chromatography on silica gel (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate: $60 / 40$ to $50 / 50$ ) to give diastereomer $\mathbf{1 0 a}$ ( 115 mg , $88 \%$, ee $=75 \%$ ). HPLC analysis: : Chiralpack IC, hexane/ethanol: $90 / 10,1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, \mathrm{T}=25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \lambda=222 \mathrm{~nm}$; retention times of racemic mixture: 30.1 min . (minor) and 34.0 min . (major) $e e=75 \%$ (minor diastereomer 38.3 min . (minor) and 41.3 min . (major)). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ ): Conformer ratio major ( $M$ ):minor (m): 0.7: 0.3; ( $\delta, \mathrm{ppm}$ ): $0.57(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{HM}+3 \mathrm{Hm})$, $0.97(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{HM}), 1.51(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{Hm}), 2.19(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{Hm}), 2.27(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{HM}), 2.56-3.11(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H} M+4 \mathrm{Hm}), 5.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{HM}), 5.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{Hm}), 6.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{Hm}), 6.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{HM}), 7.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{HM}), 7.37-7.76(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{HM}+6 \mathrm{Hm}), 7.82-7.94$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{HM}+3 \mathrm{Hm}), 8.64-8.66(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{HM}+1 \mathrm{Hm}), 8.72(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{HM}), 8.79(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{Hm}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(100.0 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right):(\delta, \mathrm{ppm}):$ $15.5 m, 15.6 M, 28.4 M, 28.6 M, 28.7 m, 28.9 M, 29.7 m, 30.3 m, 32.8 m, 32.9 M, 74.1 m, 74.8 m, 75.2 M, 75.5 M, 96.7 M, 96.8 m$, $123.2 m, 123.5 M, 123.9 m, 124.1 M+m, 124.2 M, 125.2 M, 125.6 m, 126.9 m, 127.0 M, 127.5 m, 127.8 M, 128.6 m, 128.9 M, 129.5 M$, $129.8 m, 130.5 M, 130.7 m, 132.4 M, 132.8 m, 133.1 M, 133.4 m, 134.7 M, 135.1 m, 135.7 m, 136.0 M, 148.5 M, 148.7 m, 149.7 m$, $149.9 M, 169.7 M, 170.3 m, 170.8 m, 171.4 M$. HRMS (electrospray): Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$: 451.1686; found: 451.1676 Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{NaO}_{4} \mathrm{~S}\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right): 473.1505$; found: 473.1492

## (4R)-3-(1-naphthoyl)-4-((R)-hydroxy(pyridin-2-yl)methyl)-4-isopropyl-2,2-dimethyloxazolidin-5-one 11b

Following the general procedure, (S)-3-(1-naphthoyl)-4-isopropyl-2,2-dimethyloxazolidin-5-one 1-C (100 mg, 0.320 mmol ), 2-pyridinecarboxaldehyde ( $5 \mathrm{eq}, 1.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 152 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) and KHMDS ( $1.5 \mathrm{eq}, 0.48 \mathrm{mmol}, 480 \mu \mathrm{~L}(1 \mathrm{M}$ in THF)) in THF gave ( $4 R$ )-3-(1-naphtoyl)-4-((R)-hydroxy(pyridin-2-yl)methyl)-4-isopropyl-2,2-dimethyloxazolidin-5-one after 18 minutes. The crude product ( $\mathrm{dr}=7 / 93$ ) was then purified by column chromatography on silica gel (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate $: 85 / 15$ to $30 / 70$ ) to give diastereomer ( $63 \mathrm{mg}, 47 \%$, ee= $90 \%$ ). HPLC analysis: Chiralpack AD-H, hexane/ethanol: $90 / 10,1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, \mathrm{T}=30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \lambda=$ 222 nm ; retention times of racemic mixture: 12.9 min . (minor) and 32.7 min . (major) ee $=90 \%$ (minor diastereomer 19.2 min. (minor) and 39.2 min . (major) $e e=45 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ ): Conformer ratio major ( $M$ ):minor ( $m$ ): 0.7: 0.3 ( $\delta, \mathrm{ppm}): 0.94(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{Hm}), 1.15(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{HM}), 1.28(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{HM}), 1.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{HM}), 1.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{Hm}), 1.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{Hm}$ ), $1.51(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{Hm}), 1.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{HM}), 3.40$ (sept, $J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{Hm}$ ), $3.70(\mathrm{sept}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{HM}), 5.74(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{HM}), 6.07$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{Hm}), 7 \cdot 23-7.56(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{HM}+6 \mathrm{Hm}), 7.67-7.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{HM}+2 \mathrm{Hm}), 7.82-7.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{HM}+3 \mathrm{Hm}), 8.56(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{HM}), 8.63(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{Hm}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(100.0 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right):(\delta, \mathrm{ppm}): 18.5 \mathrm{M}, 19.2 m, 19.9 m, 20.0 M, 29.0 M, 29.1 m, 29.7 M+m$, $29.8 M, 31.3 m, 72.3 M, 73.9 m, 76.1 m, 77.7 M, 96.1 m, 96.2 M, 122.8 M, 123.5 m, 123.6 m, 123.8 M, 123.9 M+m, 125.2 M, 125.7 M$, $125.8 m, 125.9 m, 126.4 M, 126.5 m, 126.7 m, 127.2 M, 128.4 m, 128.5 M, 129.9 M+m, 130.0 M, 130.1 m, 133.4 M+m, 134.5 M+m$,
$136.5 M+m, 148.1 M, 148.6 m, 157.0 M, 158.5 m, 169.6 M, 169.8 m, 170.3 M, 171.4 m$. HRMS (electrospray): Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right): 419.1965$; found: 419.1959 Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{NaO}_{4}\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right)$: 441.1785; found: 441.1777.

## ((R)-3-(1-naphtoyl)-4-benzyl-2,2-dimethyl-5-oxooxazolidin-4-yl)(pyridin-2-yl)methyl acetate Ac-12

Following the general procedure, (S)-3-(1-naphthoyl)-4-benzyl-2,2-dimethyloxazolidin-5-one 1-D (200 mg, 0.556 mmol), 2pyridinecarboxaldehyde ( $5 \mathrm{eq}, 2.78 \mathrm{mmol}, 264 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) and KHMDS ( $1.5 \mathrm{eq}, 0.834 \mathrm{mmol}, 834 \mu \mathrm{~L}(1 \mathrm{M}$ in THF)) in THF ( 3 mL ) gave (4R)-3-(1-naphthoyl)-4-benzyl-4-((2-pyridinyl)(hydroxy)methyl)-2,2-dimethyloxazolidin-5-one 12 after 12 minutes. Following the general procedure of acetylation, (4R)-3-(1-naphthoyl)-4-benzyl-4-(hydroxy(pyridin-2-yl)methyl)-2,2-dimethyloxazolidin-5-one 12 (crude product -452 mg ), 4 -(dimethylamino)pyridine ( $0.1 \mathrm{eq}, 0.056 \mathrm{mmol}, 7 \mathrm{mg}$ ), acetic anhydride ( $5 \mathrm{eq}, 2.78 \mathrm{mmol}, 260 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ), triethylamine ( $1.5 \mathrm{eq}, 0.834 \mathrm{mmol}, 116 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) in THF ( 5.5 mL ) gave ((R)-3-(1-naphthoyl)-4-benzyl-2,2-dimethyl-5-oxooxazolidin-4-yl)(pyridin-2-l)methyl acetate after one night. The crude product (dr 56/44) was then purified by column chromatography on silica gel (PE/ethyl acetate : 80/20 to 70/30) then $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /\right.$ ethyl acetate : 95/5 at 90/10) to give two diastereomers Ac-12 (125 mg : first diastereomer Ac-12a 54 mg , ee $=29 \%$, mixture 15 mg , second diastereomer Ac-12b 56 mg , ee $=29 \%, 44 \%$ in two steps). HPLC analysis: Chiralpack IC, hexane/ethanol: 90/10, 1 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}, \mathrm{T}=25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \lambda=222 \mathrm{~nm}$; retention times of racemic mixture: 26.5 min . (minor) and 28.2 min . (major) ee $=29 \%$ (minor diastereomer 35.0 min . (minor) and 55.6 min . (major), ee $=29 \%$ ). First diastereomer Ac-12a- ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): Conformer ratio major ( $M$ ):minor (m): 0.7: $0.3 ;(\delta, \mathrm{ppm})$ : $0.19(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{HM}), 0.29(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{Hm}), 0.33(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{HM}), 0.76(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{Hm})$, $2.28(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{Hm}), 2.29(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{HM}), 3.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{HM}), 3.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{Hm}), 4.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{HM}), 4.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{Hm}), 6.81(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{Hm}), 7.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{HM}), 7 \cdot 30-7.65(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{HM}+12 \mathrm{Hm}), 7.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{HM}), 7.77-7.86(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{HM}$ $+3 \mathrm{Hm}), 8.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{HM}), 8.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{HM}+1 \mathrm{Hm}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(100.0 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right):(\delta, \mathrm{ppm}): 21.1 m$, $21.2 M, 27.5 M, 28.5 m, 29.0 M+m, 37.4 M, 39.3 m, 73.1 M, 73.4 m, 76.0 M, 76.2 m, 96.4 M, 96.8 m, 123.4 m, 123.7 M, 123.8 M$, $123.9 m, 124.3 M, 125.1 M, 125.9 m, 126.2 m, 126.3 m, 126.5 M+m, 126.8 M, 127.9 m, 128.0 M, 128.1 M, 128.3 m, 128.6 M$, $129.0 M * 2+m * 2,129.7 m, 129.8 M+m, 130.4 m, 131.2 M * 2+m * 2,131.4 M, 133.1 M, 133.2 m, 134.0 M, 134.1 m, 135.4 m, 135.7 M$, $136.9 m, 137.0 M, 149.3 M, 149.5 m, 156.0 m, 156.1 M, 169.2 M, 169.4 m, 169.5 m, 169.7 M, 169.8 M, 170.5 m$. HRMS (electrospray): Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$: 509.2071 ; found: 509.2060 Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{NaO}_{5}$ $\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right): 531.1890$; found: 531.1878 . Second diastereomer $\mathrm{Ac}-\mathbf{1 2 b}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : Conformer ratio major $(M)$ :minor $(m)$ : o.8: o.2; ( $\delta, \mathrm{ppm}$ ): $0.38(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{Hm}), 0.51(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{HM}), 1.02(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{HM}), 1.38(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{Hm}), 2.27(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{HM}), 2.33(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 H m), 2.87(d, J=13.4 H z, 1 H M), 2.97(d, J=13.5 H z, 1 H m), 4.40(d, J=13.4 H z, 1 H M), 4.48(d, J=13.8 H z, 1 H m), 7.23-7.93$ $(\mathrm{m}, 16 \mathrm{HM}+17 \mathrm{Hm}), 8.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{HM}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(100.0 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right):(\delta, \mathrm{ppm})$ only M described: $21.3,28.3$, $28.6,37.7,73.0,75.8,96.6,122.7,123.5,124.0,124.6,124.7,126.6,127.3,127.9,128.5,128.8 * 2,129.9,130.7,131.1 * 2,133.2$, 133.8, 135.7, 136.2, 148.9, 155.3, 168.5, 169.1, 169.3. HRMS (electrospray): Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$: 509.2071; found: 509.2051 Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{NaO}_{5}\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right)$: 531.1890; found: 531.1872.

## (4R)-3-(1-naphthoyl)-4-benzyl-4-((R)-(2-fluorophenyl)(hydroxy)methyl)-2,2-dimethyloxazolidin-5-one 13a

Following the general procedure, (S)-3-(1-naphthoyl)-4-benzyl-2,2-dimethyloxazolidin-5-one 1-D (100 mg, 0.278 mmol ), 2fluorobenzaldehyde ( $5 \mathrm{eq}, 1.39 \mathrm{mmol}, 146 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) and KHMDS ( $1.5 \mathrm{eq}, 0.417 \mathrm{mmol}, 417 \mu \mathrm{~L}(1 \mathrm{M}$ in THF)) in THF gave ( $4 R$ )-3-(1-naphthoyl)-4-benzyl-4-((R)-(2-fluorophenyl)(hydrox)methyl)-2,2-dimethyloxazolidin-5-one after 15 minutes. The crude product ( $\mathrm{dr}=98 / 2$ ) was then purified by column chromatography on silica gel (PE/ethyl acetate : $100 \%$ to $80 / 20$ ) to give diastereomer 13 a (70 mg, 52\%, ee $=34 \%$ ). HPLC analysis: Chiralpack $I C$, hexane/ethanol: $90 / 10,1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, \mathrm{T}=25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \lambda=$ 222 nm ; retention times of racemic mixture: 6.5 min . (major) and 7.4 min . (minor) $e e=34 \%$ (minor diastereomer 13.0 min . and 15.3 min . from racemic). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : Conformer ratio major ( $M$ ):minor ( $m$ ): 1: 0.3; ( $\delta$, ppm): 0.29 $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{Hm}), 0.44(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{HM}), 0.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{HM}), 0.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{Hm}), 3.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{HM}), 3.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{Hm}), 4.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{HM}), 4.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{HM}), 4.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{Hm}), 5.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{Hm}), 5.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{Hm}), 6.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{HM}), 7.11-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{HM}+1 \mathrm{Hm}), 7.24-7.60(\mathrm{~m}, 11 \mathrm{HM}+11 \mathrm{Hm}), 7.69-7.92(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{HM}+4 \mathrm{Hm}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}(100.0 \mathrm{MHz}$,

300 K, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $(\delta, \mathrm{ppm}): 28.1 M, 28.3 m, 28.6 M, 28.7 m, 36.9 M, 38.5 m, 70.2 M, 72.9 m, 96.6 M+m, 115.6 M(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=22 \mathrm{~Hz}), 115.9 \mathrm{~m}$ $(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=22 \mathrm{~Hz}), 123.9 M+m, 124.0 M, 124.3 m, 125.3 M, 125.6 M, 125.8 m, 126.1 m, 126.5 M+m, 126.7 m, 126.8 M(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $127.0 M, 127.2 m(d, J=14 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 128.0M, 128.1m, $128.4 M, 128.7 m, 128.8 M * 2+m * 2,129.9 m, 130.1 M+m, 130.2 M, 130.3 M$, $130.4 m, 131.0 M *_{2}+m * 2,131.3 M, 133.3 m, 133.1 m, 133.3 M, 133.6 M+m, 135.3 m, 135.9 M, 160.1 M+m(d, J=248 \mathrm{~Hz}), 169.9 M$, $170.2 M, 170.3 m, 171.6 m$ (one carbon $M$ and $m$ are under $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ signal). HRMS (electrospray): Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{FNO}_{4}$ $\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$: 484.1919 ; found: $484.1908 ;$ Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{FNNaO}_{4}\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right)$: 506.1738; found: 506.1727.

## (R)-((R)-3-(1-naphtoyl)-4-isobutyl-2,2-dimethyl-5-oxooxazolidin-4-yl)(2-fluorophenyl)methyl acetate Ac-5a

To a stirred solution of (4R)-3-(1-naphthoyl)-4-((R)-(2-fluorophenyl)(hydroxy)methyl)-4-isobutyl-2,2-dimethyloxazolidin-5one 5 a ( $120 \mathrm{mg}, 0.222 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 4 -(dimethylamino)pyridine ( $0.01 \mathrm{eq}, 0.0022 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.27 \mathrm{mg}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2.2 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ were added acetic anhydride ( $1.2 \mathrm{eq}, 0.267 \mathrm{mmol}, 25 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) and triethylamine ( $1.2 \mathrm{eq}, 0.267 \mathrm{mmol}, 37 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ). The resulting mixture was stirred for 12 h at room temperature. The reaction was quenched by the addition of methanol. The mixture is washed with a 1 M HCl solution and water. The organic layer was dried over sodium sulfate, filtered, and then concentrated to give the crude product which was then purified through column chromatography on silica gel (PE/ethyl acetate: 100\% to 80/20) to give (R)-((R)-3-(1-naphthoyl)-4-isobutyl-2,2-dimethyl-5-oxooxazolidin-4-yl)(2-fluorophenyl)methyl acetate Ac-5a ( $75 \mathrm{mg}, 69 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(250 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ ): Conformer ratio major $(M)$ : minor $\left(m_{1}\right)$ : minor $\left(m_{2}\right): 0.5: 0.3: 0.2 ;(\delta, \mathrm{ppm}):-$ $\left.\left.0.30\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{Hm}_{2}\right), 0.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{Hm})_{2}, 0.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{HM}), 0.90(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{Hm})_{1}\right), 0.83-0.93(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{Hm})_{1}\right), 1.02(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $\left.\left.=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{Hm}_{1}\right), 1.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{HM}), 1.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{Hm})_{1}\right), 1.19(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{HM}), 1.22\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H} m_{2}\right), 1.33-1.45\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H} M+1 \mathrm{H} m_{2}\right)$, $1.67\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{Hm} m_{2}\right), 1.69(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{HM}), 1.77-1.89\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H} m_{1}+1 \mathrm{H} m_{2}\right), 2.06\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H} m_{1}\right), 2.09-2.20\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{HM}+1 \mathrm{H} m_{1}\right), 2.16(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{HM}), 2.21(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.\left.\left.\left.3 \mathrm{Hm}_{1}\right), 2.27(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{Hm})_{2}\right), 2.99(\mathrm{bd}, J=13.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{HM}), 3.13\left(\mathrm{bd}, J=13.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{Hm} m_{2}\right), 5.22(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{Hm})_{2}\right), 6.66(\mathrm{t}, J=9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{Hm})_{2}\right)$, $6.80-7.78\left(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H} M+10 \mathrm{Hm}_{1}+8 \mathrm{Hm}_{2}\right), 7.82-8.04\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{HM}+2 \mathrm{H} m_{1}+2 \mathrm{Hm}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(90 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right):(\delta, \mathrm{ppm}): 21.5 M$, $21.8 m, 23.0 M, 24.0 m, 24.6 m, 24.8 M, 27.0 m, 27.1 M, 28.9 M, 29.6 m, 30.1 m, 30.7 M, 39.2 M+m, 71.2 M, 72.1 m, 96.2 M, 98.2 m$, $115.5 M\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=22 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$ ), 124.0-134.2, 168.7m, 168.8M, 169.0 $M+m, 170.0 m, 170.1 M$ (one carbon $M$ and $m$ are under $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ signal). HRMS (electrospray): Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{FNO}_{5}\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right): 492.2181$; found: 492.2174 Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{FNO}_{4} \mathrm{Na}$ $\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right)$: 514.2000 ; found: 514.2003.

## (2R)-2-amino-2-((2-fluorophenyl)(hydroxy)methyl)-4-methylpentanoic acid hydrochloride 14

Following the general procedure, ((R)-3-(1-naphthoyl)-4-isobutyl-2,2-dimethyl-5-oxooxazolidin-4-yl)(2fluorophenyl)methyl acetate Ac-5a ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.061 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), acetic acid ( 0.5 mL ) and $6 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ gave ( 2 R )-2-amino-2-((2-fluorophenyl)(hydroxy)methyl)-4-methylpentanoic acid hydrochloride 14 after $24 \mathrm{~h}(10 \mathrm{mg}, 56 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(400 \mathrm{MHz}, 300$ $\left.\mathrm{K}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right)(\delta, \mathrm{ppm}): 0.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.71-1.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.19(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.09(\mathrm{t}, J=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{q}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}(100 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$, $\left.C D_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right)(\delta, \mathrm{ppm}): 22.7,24.9,25.2,42.2,68.8,71.0,116.0(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=23 \mathrm{~Hz}), 125.1,127.0(\mathrm{~d}, J=14 \mathrm{~Hz}), 131.3,131.5,161.5(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $244 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 171.2. HRMS (electrospray): Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{FNO}_{3}\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$: 256.1343; found: 256.1339; Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{FNNaO}_{3}\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right): 278.1163$; found: 278.1157 .

## (2R)-2-amino-2-((R)-hydroxy(pyridin-3-yl)methyl)-4-methylpentanoic acid hydrochloride 15

Following the general procedure, $(R)-((R)-3$-(1-naphthoyl)-4-isobutyl-2,2-dimethyl-5-oxooxazolidin-4-yl)(pyridin-3yl)methyl acetate Ac-4a ( $45 \mathrm{mg}, 0.095 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), acetic acid ( 0.5 mL ) and $6 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ gave ( $2 R$ )-2-amino-2-(( $R$ )-hydroxy(pyridin-3-yl)methyl)-4-methylpentanoic acid hydrochloride 15 after 24 h ( 26 mg , quantitative yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR ( 400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right)(\delta, \mathrm{ppm}): 0.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.69(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=14.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.82-1.96$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.21(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=14.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.28(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.14(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.90(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.96(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right)(\delta, \mathrm{ppm}): 22.3,24.8,25.1,43.2,68.1,73.8,127.9,140.9$, $142.7 * 2,147.2,171.0$.

## Supplementary Material

CCDC 2093028-2093030 \& 2094493 contain the supplementary crystallographic data for compounds $\mathbf{3} \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{A c} \mathbf{~} \mathbf{5 a}$, $\mathbf{8 b}$ and $\mathbf{A c}$ 12a. These data can be obtained free of charge from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/Communityl. Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/MS-number.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{\text { }}$ Current address: Aix Marseille University - CNRS, UMR7376, Laboratory of Environnemental Chemistry, 13331 Marseille, France.

[^1]:    ${ }^{[a]}$ General procedure: In situ - to a stirred solution of oxazolidinone 1-A (100 mg) and aldehyde ( 5 equiv) in THF ( 1.8 mL ) cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added a solution of KHMDS ( 1.5 equiv) in THF dropwise (over 2 min.) and the mixture was stirred for $\mathrm{t}_{2} \mathrm{~min}$. at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; sequential - Identical to in situ procedure, except that KHMDS is added first and aldehyde is then added after $t_{1}$ min. Unless specified, absolute and relative configurations were assumed by analogy. ${ }^{[b]}$ ratio a: b determined by chiral stationary-phase HPLC on the crude product. ${ }^{[c]}$ ee of $\mathbf{a} / \mathbf{b}$ determined by chiral stationaryphase HPLC after purification. ${ }^{[d]}$ combined yield of $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$. ${ }^{[e]}$ THF volume 1.2 mL instead of 1.8 mL . ${ }^{[f]} \mathrm{THF}$ volume 2.4 mL instead of 1.8 mL . ${ }^{[9]}$ Absolute configuration of major diastereomer 3b was assigned by X-Ray crystallography. ${ }^{[h]}$ aldehyde was added at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ instead of RT. ${ }^{[1]}$ yield calculated after acetylation on 2 steps. ${ }^{[j]} \mathrm{ee}=91 \%$ after recrystallization. ${ }^{[k]}$ only 1 equiv of KHMDS was used. ${ }^{[1]}$ Absolute configuration of major diastereomer $\mathbf{5 a}$ was assigned after acetylation by X-Ray crystallography.

