Multifilter photometry of Solar System objects from the SkyMapper Southern Survey?

A. V. Sergeyev^{[1](#page-0-0)[,7](#page-0-1)} \bullet [,](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-4569-016X) B. Carry¹ \bullet , C. A. Onken^{[2](#page-0-2)[,3](#page-0-3)} \bullet , H. A. R. Devillepoix^{[4](#page-0-4)} \bullet , C. Wolf^{2,3} \bullet , and S.-W. Chang^{2[,5](#page-0-5)[,6](#page-0-6)}

¹ Université Côte d'Azur, Observatoire de la Côte d'Azur, CNRS, Laboratoire Lagrange, France e-mail: alexey.sergeyev@oca.eu

² Research School of Astronomy and Astrophysics, Australian National University, Canberra 2611, Australia

³ Centre for Gravitational Astrophysics, College of Science, The Australian National University, ACT 2601, Australia
 $\frac{4}{3}$ Sebacl of Ferth and Planetary Sciences, Curtin University, Berth WA 6845, Australia

⁴ School of Earth and Planetary Sciences, Curtin University, Perth WA 6845, Australia

⁵ SNU Astronomy Research Center, Seoul National University, 1 Gwanak-rho, Gwanak-gu, Seoul 08826, Korea

⁶ Astronomy program, Dept. of Physics & Astronomy, SNU, 1 Gwanak-rho, Gwanak-gu, Seoul 08826, Korea
⁷ M.N. Karazin Kharkiy National University 4 Sysbody Sa. Kharkiy 61022, Ukraina

⁷ V. N. Karazin Kharkiv National University, 4 Svobody Sq., Kharkiv 61022, Ukraine

Received 23 August 2021 / Accepted 20 October 2021

ABSTRACT

Context. The populations of small bodies of the Solar System (asteroids, comets, and Kuiper Belt objects) are used to constrain the origin and evolution of the Solar System. Their orbital distribution and composition distribution are both required to track the dynamical pathway from their formation regions to their current locations.

Aims. We aim to increase the sample of Solar System objects (SSOs) that have multifilter photometry and compositional taxonomy. Methods. We searched for moving objects in the SkyMapper Southern Survey. We used the predicted SSO positions to extract photometry and astrometry from the SkyMapper frames. We then applied a suite of filters to clean the catalog from false-positive detections. We finally used the near-simultaneous photometry to assign a taxonomic class to objects.

Results. We release a catalog of 880 528 individual observations, consisting of 205 515 known and unique SSOs. The catalog completeness is estimated to be about 97% down to $V = 18$ mag and the purity is higher than 95% for known SSOs. The near-simultaneous photometry provides either three, two, or a single color that we use to classify 117 356 SSOs with a scheme consistent with the widely used Bus-DeMeo taxonomy.

Conclusions. The present catalog contributes significantly to the sample of asteroids with known surface properties (about 40% of main-belt asteroids down to an absolute magnitude of 16). We will release more observations of SSOs with future SkyMapper data releases.

Key words. minor planets, asteroids: general – catalogs

1. Introduction

The small bodies of our Solar System (asteroids, comets, Kuiperbelt objects) are the remnants of the building blocks that accreted to form the planets. Their orbital and compositional distributions hold the record of the events that shaped our planetary system [\(Levison et al.](#page-9-0) [2009;](#page-9-0) [DeMeo & Carry](#page-9-1) [2014;](#page-9-1) [Morbidelli et al.](#page-9-2) [2015;](#page-9-2) [Clement et al.](#page-9-3) [2020\)](#page-9-3).

While the number of known Solar System objects (hereafter SSOs) has increased to over a million, the fraction of SSOs with known composition remains limited. Spectroscopy in the visible and near-infrared has been used for decades to assert the composition, but the sample remains small (several thousands, e.g., [Bus & Binzel](#page-9-4) [2002;](#page-9-4) [DeMeo et al.](#page-9-5) [2009;](#page-9-5) [Fornasier et al.](#page-9-6) [2014;](#page-9-6) [Devogèle et al.](#page-9-7) [2019;](#page-9-7) [Binzel et al.](#page-9-8) [2019\)](#page-9-8). On the other hand, multifilter photometry can be used to classify SSOs into broad compositional groups, providing fewer detail but large samples (up to several hundreds of thousands, e.g., Ivezić et al. [2001;](#page-9-9) [Popescu et al.](#page-9-10) [2016;](#page-9-10) [Sergeyev & Carry](#page-9-11) [2021\)](#page-9-11).

We analyze here the images from the SkyMapper Southern Survey (Minor Planet Centre (MPC) observatory code *Q55*), which uses a suite of filters that is well adapted to asteroid spectral characterization (Fig. [1\)](#page-1-0), similar to those of the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS, the main source of compositional information over almost two decades; [Szabó et al.](#page-9-12) [2004;](#page-9-12) [Nesvorný et al.](#page-9-13) [2005;](#page-9-13) [Carvano et al.](#page-9-14) [2010;](#page-9-14) [DeMeo & Carry](#page-9-15) [2013;](#page-9-15) [DeMeo et al.](#page-9-16) [2014,](#page-9-16) [2019;](#page-9-17) [Parker et al.](#page-9-18) [2008;](#page-9-18) [Graves et al.](#page-9-19) [2018\)](#page-9-19). While the SDSS completed its imaging survey in 2009, SkyMapper has started its operations in 2014 and is currently active.

The present article aims to increase the number of asteroids with multifilter photometry and taxonomy by identifying known SSOs in the SkyMapper source catalog. The article is organized as follows. In Sect. [2](#page-1-1) we summarize the characteristics of the SkyMapper Southern Survey. In Sect. [3](#page-1-2) we describe how we extract SSOs observations from the SkyMapper pointsource catalog, and we detail the filters we applied to the sample to reject false-positive sources in Sect. [4.](#page-1-3) The completeness and purity of the catalog is estimated in Sect. [5.](#page-3-0) We present the catalog of SSO colors in Sect. [6](#page-3-1) and use it to classify the SSOs consistently with the [DeMeo et al.](#page-9-5) [\(2009\)](#page-9-5) taxonomic classification in Sect. [7.](#page-6-0) We present our plan for future releases in Sect. [8.](#page-8-0) Finally, we summarize the released asteroid sample in Sect. [9.](#page-8-1)

^{*} The catalogs are only available at the CDS via anonymous ftp to cdsarc.u-strasbg.fr ([130.79.128.5](ftp://130.79.128.5)) or via [http://cdsarc.](http://cdsarc.u-strasbg.fr/viz-bin/cat/J/A+A/658/A109) [u-strasbg.fr/viz-bin/cat/J/A+A/658/A109](http://cdsarc.u-strasbg.fr/viz-bin/cat/J/A+A/658/A109)

Fig. 1. Reflectance spectra of A, C, D, S, and V asteroid classes from [DeMeo et al.](#page-9-5) [\(2009\)](#page-9-5) normalized at 550 nm. SkyMapper filters (transmission curves for a normalized quantum efficiency of the CCD are shown in SM panel) are well adapted to spectral characterization. We also report SDSS filters for comparison.

2. SkyMapper **survey**

The SkyMapper Southern Survey (SMSS) is producing a homogeneous multiband atlas of the whole southern hemisphere in u, v, q, r, i, z filters [\(Wolf et al.](#page-9-20) [2018\)](#page-9-20). Observations were carried out with a 1.35 m telescope located at Siding Spring Observatory (IAU code Q55). The telescope has an f/4.8 focal ratio and is equipped with a mosaic CCD camera with 268 million pixels. The third data release (DR3) covers an area of more than 24000 deg^2 and contains over 200000 images with over eight billion individual source detections (see the SkyMapper website^{[1](#page-1-4)} and the DR2 release article for details: [Onken et al.](#page-9-21) [2019\)](#page-9-21). The data in DR3 were obtained between March 2014 and October 2019. Individual deep exposures can reach magnitudes of 20 in $u, v, z, 21$ in *i*, and 22 in *g*, $r(10\sigma$ detections) in the AB system (Oke $\&$ Gunn [1983\)](#page-9-22). The median seeing ranges from 3.3⁰ in *u* to $2.5''$ in z.

The SMSS covers each field on the sky in three primary modes: a shallow six-filter sequence with exposure times between 5 and 40 s that reaches depths of 18 ABmag, a deep 10 image sequence of *uv*g*ruvizuv* with 100-s exposures, and pairs of deep exposures in g*r* and *iz*. This observing strategy, in conjunction with the enhanced sensitivity of q, r , gives rise to a predominance of g−*r* colors in the results presented in Sect. [6,](#page-3-1) but almost always leads to the measurement of at least one photometric color obtained with ≤ 2 min between exposure midpoints in the two filters.

The work presented here adopts a single photometric measurement associated with each SkyMapper image, although for objects with significant motion during the exposure, additional information about shape and rotation parameters may be available from a more detailed analysis (e.g., the SkyMapper observations of the Earth-impacting 2018 LA, [Jenniskens et al.](#page-9-23) [2021\)](#page-9-23). We present a typical suite of images illustrating the apparent motion of SSOs in SkyMapper frames (Fig. [2\)](#page-2-0).

3. Extracting candidate SSOs

For each of the 208 860 images contained in SMSS DR3, we compiled all known SSOs that might be present in the images by performing a search with SkyBoT [\(Berthier et al.](#page-9-24) [2006,](#page-9-24) [2016\)](#page-9-25), a Virtual Observatory Web Service providing a cone-search utility for SSOs. The 2◦ cone-search radius we used is slightly larger than the 1.7◦ center-to-corner size of the SkyMapper camera and returned 43 501 635 predicted SSO locations with no initial filtering with a magnitude limit. Of these, 16 915 791 are predicted within the field of view (FoV). The smaller number in the number of SSO-predicted locations is explained by the search and difference in FoV area.

For each predicted SSO position, we extracted all sources listed in the SMSS DR3 photometry table^{[2](#page-1-5)} within a radius of twice the SkyBoT-reported position uncertainty (with a floor of 5"). We obtained a list of 9367950 SkyMapper sources that are associated with the 2 047 588 predicted SSO positions.

4. Rejecting false-positive sources

The fraction of interlopers among the 9 367 950 sources extracted above is large. First, multiple SkyMapper sources may be located by mere chance in close vicinity to predicted SSO positions. Second, many SSOs are too faint to have been imaged by SkyMapper, and the extracted sources correspond to spurious associations. We thus applied two filters to the extracted sources to reject false-positive SSOs associations.

4.1. Comparison with Gaia

We first identify stationary sources (stars, unresolved galaxies) by comparison with the catalog of sources with *Gaia* DR2 [\(Brown et al.](#page-9-26) [2018\)](#page-9-26). We find 8 203 916 *Gaia* sources within 2" (smaller than the median SkyMapper seeing, Sect. [2\)](#page-1-1) of the extracted sources. Although this certainly includes some real associations with observed SSOs, we removed them from the catalog to avoid introducing biases in the measured photometry.

4.2. Comparison with the expected photometry

We present in Fig. [3](#page-2-1) a comparison of the predicted *V* magnitudes of SSOs with SkyMapper-measured magnitudes. These magnitudes are in almost all cases the point spread function (PSF) photometry. However, owing to the apparent motion of SSOs during an exposure, the PSF photometry may underestimate the true magnitude of the observed SSO. We computed this underestimation as a function of exposure time and SSO apparent velocity. We report PSF magnitudes for most SSO observations, only reporting Petrosian magnitudes for observed SSOs with an apparent velocity of more than $50''$ h and image exposure time of 100 s. PSF photometry was preferred over Petrosian overall because its accuracy in the case of nontrailed sources is higher.

Many contaminants are still present and are easily identified by their large magnitude difference with respect to the predicted magnitudes. These contaminants correspond to SSOs that are too faint to have been detected and where erroneously associated with stationary sources.

See <https://skymapper.anu.edu.au>

The SMSS photometry table contains the per-image measurements, as opposed to the averaged quantities in the master table.

A. V. Sergeyev et al.: Multifilter photometry of Solar System objects from the SkyMapper Southern Survey

Fig. 2. SkyMapper multicolor observations of SSOs, here the asteroid (4365) Ivanova. The time interval between each frame and the first one (here *v*) is reported above each image. North is up and east is left.

Fig. 3. Measured SkyMapper magnitude in each filter compared with the predicted *V* magnitude of SSOs (colored dots). The light gray dots correspond to rejected sources, spuriously associated to SSOs that are too faint to have been detected (see text).

As these contaminants tend to be located at large angular separation from the predicted SSO position, we rejected all sources farther than $5''$ from SkyBoT prediction (which also implies a high SkyBoT position uncertainty). The next cleaning procedure was based on the SkyBoT and SkyMapper magnitude difference. We compared visual magnitudes as predicted by SkyBoT with the SkyMapper sample and calculated regression slopes and offsets for each filter, which are presented in Table [1.](#page-2-2) Then we removed sources showing a difference in magnitude minus the photometry magnitude error larger than 1.0 mag. A few observed SSOs appear to have saturated (g,*r*,*i* filters), with magnitudes ≤ 10 in shallow images or ≤ 13.8 , 13.8, and 13 in deeper images, and the latter condition removes them as well.

Finally, whenever several SkyMapper sources remained associated with a SSO, we selected the closest and rejected the others. After these filtering steps, we obtained a list of 880 528 measurements of 205 515 individual SSOs. We present their distribution on the sky in Fig. [4.](#page-2-3)

The mean difference between predicted and measured SSO positions is −0.014 and −0.075 arcsec with standard deviations of 0.15 and 0.11 arcsec for RA and Dec respectively (Fig. [5\)](#page-3-2),

Fig. 4. Sky distribution (equatorial frame) of 880 528 SkyMapper SSO observations in each filter. The black curve represents the ecliptic plane.

Table 1. Average values of the slope and magnitude offset between SkyBoT SSOs visual magnitude and each SkyMapper band magnitude.

Filter	Slope	Offset	$#_{SSOs}$
\boldsymbol{u}	0.927	-0.776	2850
ν	0.937	-0.550	5302
q	0.976	0.133	129789
r	0.973	0.571	151809
i	0.971	0.814	125395
Z.	0.968	0.837	62774

which is well below the typical seeing of SkyMapper images. Although the mean number of observations for an individual SSO is about four, hundreds of asteroids have dozens of observations, while most of them were observed only once or twice: Fig. [6.](#page-3-3) We present the distribution of measurements of the dynamical classes in Table [2](#page-3-4) and describe the catalog of measurements in Appendix [A.](#page-10-0)

Fig. 5. Distribution of the coordinate difference between SkyMapper sources and predicted SkyBoT SSO positions.

Fig. 6. SkyMapper distribution of asteroid observations.

Table 2. Number of observations (N_{obs}) of N_{obj} unique objects, sorted by dynamical classes.

Dynamical class	N_{obj}	$N_{\rm obs}$
NEA > Aten	39	103
NEA>Apollo	282	822
NEA > Amor	348	1289
Mars-Crosser	2487	9807
Hungaria	4035	13803
MBA>Inner	65231	287664
MBA>Middle	71 677	301935
$MBA >$ Outer	57 721	245 664
MBA>Cybele	811	4481
MBA>Hilda	829	4298
Trojan	1928	10 10 3
Centaur	24	127
KBO	37	189
Comet	65	226
Planet	1	17
Total	205 515	880528

5. Purity and completeness

The completeness indicates the fraction of reported SSO observations with respect to how many SSOs were present in the FoV. The purity indicates the fraction of contamination among the released observations. For both estimators, the closer to unity the better. We estimated the completeness by comparing the number

Fig. 7. Completeness as a function of predicted *V* magnitude for the whole catalog (SkyMapper), and for each filter.

of SSO observations predicted by SkyBoT with the number of sources after filtering.

At face value (16 915 791 versus 880 528), the completeness is only 5%. Most nondetected SSOs, however, are simply those beyond the SkyMapper FoV or are too faint to be detected. Thus the completeness of the sources inside the CCD field of view for *V* between 11 and 18 mag is above 97%. (Fig. [7\)](#page-3-5)

We estimated the purity through a visual inspection of frames centered on SSO positions in both SkyMapper and Panoramic Survey Telescope And Rapid Response System (Pan-STARRS) archives. We generated cutout frames using the SkyMapper image cutout service^{[3](#page-3-6)}, and downloaded Pan-STARRS cutout stacked images at the same coordinates [\(Chambers et al.](#page-9-27) [2016\)](#page-9-27). The Pan-STARRS survey has a significantly deeper limiting magnitude. Thus, all stationary SkyMapper sources should be visible in Pan-STARRS images. As the Pan-STARRS survey did not image the sky below a declination pf −30◦ , we tested the purity for sources located north of this declination only.

We inspected all available 189 Kuiper belt objects (KBO) observations, 1683 near-earth asteroids (NEA), and 1000 randomly selected main belt asteroids (MBA) observations with a declination greater than −30 deg. The purity of the SkyMapper SSOs survey is about 100% down to magnitude $V \approx 20$, where it begins to drop (Table [3\)](#page-4-0).

6. Computation of colors

We built the colors of the detected SSOs for compositional (taxonomic) purposes. Owing to the intrinsic photometric variability of asteroids caused by their irregular shape [\(Szabó et al.](#page-9-12) [2004;](#page-9-12) [Popescu et al.](#page-9-10) [2016;](#page-9-10) [Carry](#page-9-28) [2018\)](#page-9-28), color indices have to be calculated from near-simultaneous observations. We only considered observations taken within a maximum of 20 minutes of each other. Although this threshold may appear large, it mainly affects *u* and *v* filter combinations. The majority (95+%) of $q-r$ and $i-z$ colors were acquired within 2 min, and *r*–*i* colors were obtained in 6 min (Fig. [8\)](#page-4-1).

[Szabó et al.](#page-9-12) [\(2004\)](#page-9-12) analyzed the color variability of asteroids from the 4th SDSS Moving Object Catalog (MOC4). With conservative assumptions, they showed that 5-min time differences have an effect on the color of less than 0.03 mag. Therefore the typical 2-min difference in our $g - r$ and $i - z$ data will not have a significant effect on the color estimation.

See <https://skymapper.anu.edu.au/how-to-access/>

Table 3. Purity (expressed in percent) of MBAs, NEAs, and KBOs as a function of their apparent *V* magnitude.

V	$N_{\rm MBA}$	$N_{\rm NEA}$	$N_{\rm KBO}$	MBA	NEA	KBO
(mag)				$(\%)$	$(\%)$	$(\%)$
10	0	0	0			
11	2	5	0	100	100	
12	2	0	0	100		
13	4	23	0	100	100	
14	6	5	θ	100	100	
15	14	23	49	100	100	100
16	40	83	0	100	99	
17	105	247	0	100	100	
18	174	321	14	100	100	100
19	289	421	29	100	100	100
20	298	418	54	99	99	100
21	63	133	30	94	93	97
22	2	4	4	50	100	75
23	0	0	0			

Notes. We also list the number of sources (N) that we visually verified in each magnitude bin.

Fig. 8. Cumulative histogram of the time difference between filters.

We provide the catalog of 669 545 measured colors of 139 220 SSOs, specifying the time difference between each acquisition. We describe the catalog elements in Appendix [A.](#page-10-0)

Some asteroid colors were measured multiple times. We therefore calculated the weighted mean color value of individual SSOs, taking the magnitude uncertainty and the time difference between observations and set weights into account as $1/mag_{err} + 0.1/\Delta d$, where Δd is the time difference in days while $\text{mag}_{\text{err}} = \sqrt{\text{mag}_{\text{err}}^2 + \text{mag}_{\text{err}}^2}$ is the color uncertainty of two photometry measurements mag1, mag2. If the SSO had multiple color measurements the error was computed as the weighted mean value of the color uncertainties. We also computed 13 783 colors that were not directly observed, but are combinations of measured colors: for instance, we computed $r - z$ from $q - r$ and $q - z$.

As a result, we constructed a catalog of 139 220 SSOs which contain at least one measured color. The total number of unique SSO colors is 388 943, both observed and derived (Table [4\)](#page-4-2).

In order to determine the time-lag effect on the SkyMapper asteroids colors, we estimated the dependence of the brightness variability on the asteroid rotation period. We downloaded

Table 4. Number of measured colors (N_{mes}) for each pair of filters, associated with *N*obj unique SSOs.

Color	$N_{\rm mes}$	N_{obj}	N_{comp}
$q - r$	201910	117356	205
$i-z$	130065	57 735	186
$r - i$	86 518	58626	1425
$q - i$	74 693	54759	2737
$r-z$	55 560	36689	3383
$q-z$	48 290	34333	4066
$u - v$	12028	2628	26
$v - q$	9963	4316	211
$v - r$	9813	4317	245
$v - z$	9461	4241	306
$v - i$	9396	4254	331
$u - q$	5620	2432	139
$u - r$	5551	2434	148
$u-z$	5363	2410	176
$u - i$	5314	2413	199
Total	669 545	388943	13783

Notes. We also report the number of colors computed from linear combination of colors $(N_{\text{conn}}$, see text).

Fig. 9. g−*r* (blue), g−*i* (green), and *i*−*z* (orange) colors from the SDSS compared with SkyMapper for a wide range of stellar classes. Red dots represent the colors of the Sun [\(Holmberg et al.](#page-9-29) [2006\)](#page-9-29). The colored horizontal lines illustrate the range of colors for the SDSS asteroid taxonomy [\(Sergeyev & Carry](#page-9-11) [2021\)](#page-9-11).

the latest data release from the [Asteroid Lightcurve Database](https://minplanobs.org/MPInfo/php/lcdb.php)^{[4](#page-4-3)}, which contains periods and amplitudes of more than 30 000 known SSOs. Then we compared SkyMapper data and selected joint asteroids. We obtained samples of more than 12 000 asteroids with $q - r$ and $i - z$ colors and almost 8000 asteroids with g − *i* colors. For each asteroid in the samples, we calculated the maximum expected color change arising from asteroid rotation between the SkyMapper imaging epochs (still limited to a 20 min window) as the product of the photometric amplitude and the number of half-periods represented by the SkyMapper time difference.

The magnitude difference in our samples from the rotation effect was less than the color uncertainties for 96, 97, and 87% of asteroids in g−*r*, *i*−*z*, and g−*i* colors. We therefore consider the overall impact of rotation on the colors we report to be small.

See <https://minplanobs.org/MPInfo/php/lcdb.php>

Fig. 10. Taxonomy of 29779 SkyMapper asteroids with a probability higher than 0.2 with three colors. Boxes show the boundaries of the taxonomic classes. Color points mark individual asteroids.

Fig. 11. Pseudo-reflectance spectra of asteroids based on their $g - r$, $g - i$, and $i - z$ colors. We indicate the average wavelength of each filter in the lower plots. The distribution of values for each band is represented by whiskers (95% extrema, and the 25, 50, and 75% quartiles). For each class, we also represent the associated template spectra of the Bus-DeMeo taxonomy [\(DeMeo et al.](#page-9-5) [2009\)](#page-9-5).

Fig. 12. Orbital distribution of the SSOs, color-coded by taxonomic class based on their g − *r*, g − *i*, and *i* − *z* colors.

Fig. 13. Confusion matrix of the taxonomy of 1697 obtained by spectra asteroids and from Skymapper observations based on their $q - r$, $q - i$, and *i* − *z* colors. The values are reported in percent.

7. Taxonomy

We used multicolor photometry to classify asteroids into a scheme consistent with the widely used Bus-DeMeo taxonomy [\(DeMeo et al.](#page-9-5) [2009\)](#page-9-5), in which asteroid color values are used to define a certain taxonomy complex. Following our recent work with the SDSS [\(Sergeyev & Carry](#page-9-11) [2021\)](#page-9-11), we used a modified version of the approach of [DeMeo & Carry](#page-9-15) [\(2013\)](#page-9-15), in which a decision tree based on colors is used to assign the taxonomic class. Where, for the each asteroid, we calculate the probability of it being associated with each taxonomic broad complex $(A, B, C, D, K, L, O, S, V, and X)$. We computed the intersection between the volume occupied by each taxonomy complex and the color(s) of the asteroid, represented as a *n*-dimensional Gaussian probability density function based on color values and its uncertainties. [\(Sergeyev & Carry](#page-9-11) [2021\)](#page-9-11).

7.1. SkyMapper taxonomy boundaries

We first converted the color ranges of each taxonomic class [\(DeMeo & Carry](#page-9-15) [2013\)](#page-9-15) from SDSS into SkyMapper filters. We used the color coefficients of [Casagrande et al.](#page-9-30) [\(2019\)](#page-9-30) and [Huang et al.](#page-9-31) [\(2019\)](#page-9-31). We compared the $q-r$, $q-i$, and $i-z$ values from SkyMapper with those from the SDSS for a wide range of stellar classes (Fig. [9,](#page-4-4) data from [Pickles](#page-9-32) [1998\)](#page-9-32). We fit the colorcolor dependence by linear regression using the [Siegel](#page-9-33) [\(1982\)](#page-9-33) approximation. These linear coefficients were used to convert the SDSS color boundaries into the SkyMapper photometric system.

7.2. Multicolor based taxonomy

Owing to the survey strategy, not all SSOs have the same suite of colors (Table [4\)](#page-4-2). We therefore adapted our approach [\(Sergeyev & Carry](#page-9-11) [2021\)](#page-9-11) to handle both three-color $(q - r, q - i,$ *i* − *z*) and two-color – $(g - r, i - z)$, $(g - i, i - z)$, or $(r - i, i - z)$ cases. We restricted the list of pairs of colors to those containing *i* − *z*: it probes the 1 µm absorption band which is one of the most characteristic spectral features in asteroid taxonomies [\(Chapman et al.](#page-9-34) [1975\)](#page-9-34). We also shrank the boundaries to more stringent ranges for the cases with two colors only.

Fig. 14. g−*r* color distribution of SkyMapper asteroids (black) were fit by the sum of two normal distributions (orange) that could be associated with C_1 (opaque-rich, blue) and S_1 (mafic-silicate rich, red) asteroid complexes.

For each observation, we computed the volume it occupies in the color space (either 2D or 3D) based on the corresponding Gaussian distribution, whose σ were set to color uncertainties. We then computed a score for each class, P_k , based on the volume of the intersection between the volume of each observation and the space occupied by each taxonomic complex (Fig. [10\)](#page-5-0), normalized by the volume of the Gaussian:

$$
V_{\sigma} = \prod_{j=1}^{N} \left(\text{erf}\left[\frac{b_j - \mu_j}{\sqrt{2}\sigma_j}\right] - \text{erf}\left[\frac{a_j - \mu_j}{\sqrt{2}\sigma_j}\right] \right),\tag{1}
$$

where erf(*z*) is the error function, erf(*z*) = $\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^z e^{-t^2} dt$, the index *j* indicates the colors (with $N \in \{2, 3\}$), a_j and b_j are the color boundaries of the complexes, and μ_j and σ_j are the color and uncertainty of the SSO. Hence, for a given observation, the volumes of all intersections sum to one. These normalized volumes correspond to the probabilities P_k of pertaining to each taxonomic class.

We then assigned to each object its most probable class. The only exception to this rule is the unknown class (labeled U), which was assigned only if its probability was strictly equal to 1. Otherwise, whenever U is the most probable but not equal to unity, we assigned the second most-probable class. As an example, we present in Fig. [10](#page-5-0) the distribution in the threecolor space of 29 779 asteroids for which the probability is above

Fig. 15. Orbital distribution of the SkyMapper SSOs, color-coded by taxonomic class based on g–*r* color only.

0.2 (out of 32 776 asteroids with three colors). Their pseudoreflectrance spectra in Fig. [11](#page-5-1) (computed using the solar colors of [Holmberg et al.](#page-9-29) [2006,](#page-9-29) adapted to SkyMapper) agree well with the template spectra of taxonomic classes [\(DeMeo et al.](#page-9-5) [2009\)](#page-9-5).

We illustrate the potential of this taxonomic classification in Fig. [12.](#page-5-2) The photometric classes show clear concentrations in the orbital parameters for different asteroid families. For example, asteroids of the Vesta family (light green points) are concentrated in the inner belt, which is otherwise dominated by asteroids of S complex (red points). C and B complexes dominate in the outer belt region, but the Koronis family at 2.9 AU distance shows a clear S-complex taxonomy. It is interesting to note that X-type asteroids (indicated by gray points) are a mixture of P, M, and E asteroid types in the Tholen classification [\(Tholen](#page-9-35) [1989\)](#page-9-35), and are concentrate in the outer belt region associated with the Eos family.

We tested this classification by comparing the SkyMapper classes against previously reported taxonomy from spectroscopy (e.g., [Bus & Binzel](#page-9-4) [2002;](#page-9-4) [Lazzaro et al.](#page-9-36) [2004;](#page-9-36) [Binzel et al.](#page-9-8) [2019;](#page-9-8) [Fornasier et al.](#page-9-37) [2004,](#page-9-37) [2014\)](#page-9-6). We found 1,683 SSOs in common and compare their classes in Fig. [13\)](#page-6-1). As expected, rare and peculiar classes such as A, K, L, and Q may be underestimated here and may be misclassified as S. Similarly, there is some confusion between C/B and C/X classes, which only differ by spectral slope. The values, however, are concentrated on the diagonal of the confusion matrix, showing an overall agreement.

We repeated the same exercise for each SSO with two colors among $(q - r, i - z)$, $(q - i, i - z)$, or $(r - i, i - z)$, accounting for 39 063, 33 299, and 35 498 SSOs, respectively. We present the statistic for all these taxonomic classes in Table [5.](#page-6-2)

7.3. Single-color-based taxonomy

A large number of 117 356 SSOs in SkyMapper have only one color: g−*r*. A detailed classification cannot be achieved, but this color can still be used to split asteroids into mafic-silicate-rich and opaque-rich objects. Similarly to the work by [\(Erasmus et al.](#page-9-38) [2020\)](#page-9-38), we thus classified the asteroids into S-like and C-like objects (hereafter labeled S_1 and C_1). The $g-r$ colors of asteroids

Fig. 16. Confusion matrix of C and S taxonomy complexes of 1129 joint asteroids with taxonomy known from spectra and SkyMapper taxonomy based on g–*r* colors only.

clearly present a bimodal distribution that is well represented by two normal distributions (Fig. [14\)](#page-6-3) corresponding to the *C*¹ (bluer) and S_1 (redder) classes.

From these two normal distributions, we assigned a probability of belonging to each complex to each asteroid. We then assigned to each object its most probable complex. In this way, we classified 71 822 asteroids as a S_1 type and 40 590 as C_1 type, with the exception of the 4944 asteroids whose probability did not differ by more than 10%. The distribution of S_1 and C_1 asteroids also reproduces the known distribution of compositions in the asteroid belt (Fig. [15\)](#page-7-0).

It is interesting to note that even this simplistic taxonomy criterion provides a very good agreement with spectral observations (Fig. [16\)](#page-7-1).

A. V. Sergeyev et al.: Multifilter photometry of Solar System objects from the SkyMapper Southern Survey

Fig. 17. Comparison of the number of SSOs with either spectra, SDSS colors, or SkyMapper colors, compared with the total number of SSO (taken from astorb) for each of the NEA, Mars-crossers, MBA, and KBO dynamical populations.

8. Future work

The present catalog represents the first release of SSOs observed by the SkyMapper Southern Survey, based on the data from its third data release (DR3). These data extend the multifilter photometry provided by the SDSS, which completed its imaging survey in 2009 (although it is still used by the community, see, e.g., [Sergeyev & Carry](#page-9-11) [2021;](#page-9-11) [Beck & Poch](#page-9-39) [2021\)](#page-9-39). SkyMapper is still operating and we plan to release observations of SSOs in upcoming data releases. SkyMapper near-simultaneous acquisition of different filters provides instantaneous determination of colors, which can be used by the community even with the start of operations of the upcoming Legacy Survey of Space and Time (LSST) by the Vera C. Rubin observatory, which will require years to build the phase functions needed to determine SSO colors [\(Jones et al.](#page-9-40) [2009;](#page-9-40) [Mahlke et al.](#page-9-41) [2021\)](#page-9-41).

SkyMapper multifilter observations are rather unique as of today. The observatories that submitted most data to the Minor Planet Center so far this year (2021) are the Catalina Sky Survey, ATLAS (Asteroid Terrestrial-impact Last Alert System), and Pan-STARRS. While Catalina observed the most, it only has a wide optical filter. ATLAS uses two filters and its observing cadence allows for phase functions to be constructed [\(Mahlke et al.](#page-9-41) [2021\)](#page-9-41), and a single color can be used for rough taxonomic classification (see Sect. [7.3](#page-7-2) and [Erasmus et al.](#page-9-38) [2020\)](#page-9-38). Pan-STARRS has lately contributed to most NEA discoveries, but has relied on g, *r*, *i*, and a wide *w* filter, precluding spectral classification as the *z* filter probes the 1 µm band (the most characteristic spectral feature in all major taxonomies since [Chapman et al.](#page-9-34) [\(1975\)](#page-9-34).

Finally, considering the limiting magnitude of SkyMapper, it is unlikely that a large number of unknown SSOs may be discovered in its images. We plan to proceed with the extraction of known SSOs only in future releases.

9. Conclusion

We extracted known SSOs from SkyMapper Southern Survey DR3 images. We applied a suite of filters to minimize contamination. We release a catalog of 880 528 individual observations, consisting of 205 515 unique known SSOs. The catalog contains the SkyMapper identification, astrometry, photometry, SSO identification, geometry of observation, and taxonomy. Its content is fully described in Appendix [A.](#page-10-0)

⋖	3	1	0	0	3	10	θ	32	1	$\overline{2}$
\mathbf{a}	1	64	38	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	11
Ü	$\overline{0}$	145	137	$\overline{0}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	3	$\overline{0}$	160
≏	0	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{2}$	36	10	33	$\mathbf{1}$	29	1	42
K SDSS	θ	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	5	1	$\overline{0}$	20	$\overline{0}$	19
د	$\overline{4}$	$\overline{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	9	13	53	$\boldsymbol{0}$	104	$\overline{2}$	22
\sim	θ	$\overline{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	1	$\overline{0}$
S	37	13	7	18	72	149	7	1707 104		145
\triangleright	$\overline{2}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	6	6	67	388	10
×	0	29	74	1	10	6	$\overline{0}$	18	$\overline{2}$	359
	А	B	C	D	K	L	Q	S	V	X
	SkyMapper									

Fig. 18. Confusion matrix of 6965 asteroid taxonomy from the SDSS and SkyMapper with a probability accuracy of more than 0.2

The catalog completeness is estimated to be about 97% down to $V = 18$ mag, and the purity is estimated to be almost 100% down to $V = 20$ mag. The present catalog contains photometry of 669 near-Earth asteroids, 2487 Mars-crossers, 196 269 MBAs, and 1928 Jupiter trojans.

The SDSS observed 100 005 asteroids previously [\(Sergeyev & Carry](#page-9-11) [2021\)](#page-9-11). Combined, the SDSS and SkyMapper data sets account for almost 80% of all MBAs with an absolute magnitude (*H*) between 10 and 16, each data set contributing equally. As most of the brighter asteroids $(H < 10)$ have spectra, the present SkyMapper release represents a major step toward a completeness of the taxonomic classification of asteroids in the main belt (Fig. [17\)](#page-8-2). The situation is somewhat similar for NEAs and Mars-crossers, with a completeness above 80% of these two populations down to an absolute magnitude of 16, although spectral surveys contributed more (e.g., [Perna et al.](#page-9-42) [2018;](#page-9-42) [Devogèle et al.](#page-9-7) [2019;](#page-9-7) [Binzel et al.](#page-9-8) [2019\)](#page-9-8). The distant KBOs are the population with the lowest completeness of color characterization, below 50% (caveat: we did not attempted to

compile spectra of KBOs here). As SkyMapper is an ongoing survey, this completeness will increase with future releases.

We compared the taxonomy classification of 6965 asteroids in common with the recent SDSS release [\(Sergeyev & Carry](#page-9-11) [2021\)](#page-9-11) and the present SkyMapper catalog, considering only SSOs with a taxomony based on three colors and a probability above 0.2. The confusion matrix presented in Fig. [18](#page-8-3) shows a good agreement for the most common complexes such as S, C, B, and V. Some confusion is present between less prominent classes, in particular, between K, L, A and S. This highlights the strength and the limitation of taxonomic classification based on broadband colors alone.

Acknowledgements. This research has been conducted within the NEOROCKS project, which has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No. 870403. CAO was supported by the Australian Research Council (ARC) through Discovery Project DP190100252. SWC acknowledges support from the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant, No.2020R1A2C3011091, funded by the Korea government (MSIT). We thank J. Berthier, F. Spoto, M. Mahlke for discussions related to the present article. The national facility capability for SkyMapper has been funded through ARC LIEF grant LE130100104 from the Australian Research Council, awarded to the University of Sydney, the Australian National University, Swinburne University of Technology, the University of Queensland, the University of Western Australia, the University of Melbourne, Curtin University of Technology, Monash University and the Australian Astronomical Observatory. SkyMapper is owned and operated by The Australian National University's Research School of Astronomy and Astrophysics. The survey data were processed and provided by the SkyMapper Team at ANU. The SkyMapper node of the All-Sky Virtual Observatory (ASVO) is hosted at the National Computational Infrastructure (NCI). Development and support of the SkyMapper node of the ASVO has been funded in part by Astronomy Australia Limited (AAL) and the Australian Government through the Commonwealth's Education Investment Fund (EIF) and National Collaborative Research Infrastructure Strategy (NCRIS), particularly the National eResearch Collaboration Tools and Resources (NeCTAR) and the Australian National Data Service Projects (ANDS). The Pan-STARRS1 Surveys (PS1) and the PS1 public science archive have been made possible through contributions by the Institute for Astronomy, the University of Hawaii, the Pan-STARRS Project Office, the Max-Planck Society and its participating institutes, the Max Planck Institute for Astronomy, Heidelberg and the Max Planck Institute for Extraterrestrial Physics, Garching, The Johns Hopkins University, Durham University, the University of Edinburgh, the Queen's University Belfast, the Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, the Las Cumbres Observatory Global Telescope Network Incorporated, the National Central University of Taiwan, the Space Telescope Science Institute, the National Aeronautics and Space Administration under Grant No. NNX08AR22G issued through the Planetary Science Division of the NASA Science Mission Directorate, the National Science Foundation Grant No. AST-1238877, the University of Maryland, Eotvos Lorand University (ELTE), the Los Alamos National Laboratory, and the Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation. This research made use of the cross-match service provided by CDS, Strasbourg [\(Pineau et al.](#page-9-43) [2017\)](#page-9-43), the IMCCE's SkyBoTand Skybot3D VO tools [\(Berthier et al.](#page-9-24) [2006,](#page-9-24) [2016\)](#page-9-25), the JPL Horizons system [\(Giorgini et al.](#page-9-44) [1996\)](#page-9-44), the SVO Filter Profile Service (<http://svo2.cab.inta-csic.es/theory/fps/>) supported from the Spanish MINECO through grant AYA2017-84089 [\(Rodrigo et al.](#page-9-45) [2012\)](#page-9-45), and TOP-CAT/STILTS [\(Taylor](#page-9-46) [2005\)](#page-9-46). Thanks to the developers.

References

Beck, P., & Poch, O. 2021, [Icarus, 365](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202142074/1)

- Berthier, J., Carry, B., Vachier, F., Eggl, S., & Santerne, A. 2016, [MNRAS, 458,](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202142074/2) [3394](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202142074/2)
- Berthier, J., Vachier, F., Thuillot, W., et al. 2006, Astronomical Data Analysis Software and Systems XV, C. Gabriel, C. Arviset, D. Ponz, & S. Enrique, [ASP Conf. Ser., 458, 3394](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202142074/3)
- Binzel, R. P., DeMeo, F. E., Turtelboom, E. V., et al. 2019, [Icarus, 324, 41](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202142074/4)
- Brown, A. G. A., Vallenari, A., Prusti, T., et al. 2018, [A&A, 616, A1](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202142074/5)
- Bus, S. J., & Binzel, R. P. 2002, [Icarus, 158, 146](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202142074/6)
- Carry, B. 2018, [A&A, 609, A113](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202142074/7)
- Carvano, J. M., Hasselmann, P. H., Lazzaro, D., & Mothé-Diniz, T. 2010, [A&A,](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202142074/8) [510, A43](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202142074/8)
- Casagrande, L., Wolf, C., Mackey, A. D., et al. 2019, [MNRAS, 482, 2770](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202142074/9)
- Chambers, K.C., Magnier, E.A., Metcalfe, N., et al. 2016, ArXiv e-prints [arXiv:[1612.05560](https://arxiv.org/abs/1612.05560)]
- Chapman, C. R., Morrison, D., & Zellner, B. H. 1975, [Icarus, 25, 104](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202142074/11)
- Clement, M. S., Morbidelli, A., Raymond, S. N., & Kaib, N. A. 2020, [MNRAS,](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202142074/12) [492, L56](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202142074/12)
- DeMeo, F. E., & Carry, B. 2013, [Icarus, 226, 723](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202142074/13)
- DeMeo, F., & Carry, B. 2014, [Nature, 505, 629](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202142074/14)
- DeMeo, F., Binzel, R. P., Slivan, S. M., & Bus, S. J. 2009, [Icarus, 202, 160](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202142074/15)
- DeMeo, F., Binzel, R. P., Carry, B., Polishook, D., & Moskovitz, N. A. 2014, [Icarus, 229, 392](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202142074/16)
- DeMeo, F. E., Polishook, D., Carry, B., et al. 2019, [Icarus, 322, 13](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202142074/17)
- Devogèle, M., Moskovitz, N., Thirouin, A., et al. 2019, [AJ, 158, 196](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202142074/18)
- Erasmus, N., Navarro-Meza, S., McNeill, A., et al. 2020, [ApJS, 247, 13](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202142074/19)
- Fornasier, S., Dotto, E., Marzari, F., et al. 2004, [Icarus, 172, 221](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202142074/20)
- Fornasier, S., Lantz, C., Barucci, M. A., & Lazzarin, M. 2014, [Icarus, 233, 163](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202142074/21)
- Giorgini, J. D., Yeomans, D. K., Chamberlin, A. B., et al. 1996, AAS/[Div. Planet.](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202142074/22) [Sci. Meeting Abstracts, 28, 25.04](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202142074/22)
- Graves, K., Minton, D., Hirabayashi, M., DeMeo, F., & Carry, B. 2018, [Icarus,](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202142074/23) [304, 162](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202142074/23)
- Holmberg, J., Flynn, C., & Portinari, L. 2006, [MNRAS, 367, 449](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202142074/24)
- Huang, Y., Chen, B. Q., Yuan, H. B., et al. 2019, [ApJS, 243, 7](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202142074/25)
- Ivezić, Ž., Tabachnik, S., Rafikov, R., et al. 2001, [AJ, 122, 2749](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202142074/26)
- Jenniskens, P., Gabadirwe, M., Yin, Q.-Z., et al. 2021, [Meteorit. Planet. Sci., 56,](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202142074/27) [844](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202142074/27)
- Jones, R. L., Chesley, S. R., Connolly, A. J., et al. 2009, [Earth Moon Planets,](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202142074/28) [105, 101](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202142074/28)
- Lazzaro, D., Angeli, C. A., Carvano, J. M., et al. 2004, [Icarus, 172, 179](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202142074/29)
- Levison, H. F., Bottke, W. F., Gounelle, M., et al. 2009, [Nature, 460, 364](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202142074/30)
- Mahlke, M., Carry, B., & Denneau, L. 2021, [Icarus, 354](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202142074/31)
- Morbidelli, A., Walsh, K. J., O'Brien, D. P., Minton, D. A., & Bottke, W. F. 2015, [The Dynamical Evolution of the Asteroid Belt, 493](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202142074/32)
- Nesvorný, D., Jedicke, R., Whiteley, R. J., & Ivezić, Ž. 2005, [Icarus, 173, 132](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202142074/33)
- Oke, J. B., & Gunn, J. E. 1983, [ApJ, 266, 713](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202142074/34)
- Onken, C. A., Wolf, C., Bessell, M. S., et al. 2019, [PASA, 36](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202142074/35)
- Parker, A., Ivezić, Ž., Jurić, M., et al. 2008, [Icarus, 198, 138](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202142074/36)
- Perna, D., Barucci, M. A., Fulchignoni, M., et al. 2018, [Planet Space Sci., 157,](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202142074/37) [82](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202142074/37)
- Pickles, A. J. 1998, [PASP, 110, 863](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202142074/38)
- Pineau, F. X., Derriere, S., Motch, C., et al. 2017, [A&A, 597, A89](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202142074/39)
- Popescu, M., Licandro, J., Morate, D., et al. 2016, [A&A, 591, A115](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202142074/40)
- Rodrigo, C., Solano, E., Bayo, A., & Rodrigo, C. 2012, [SVO Filter Profile](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202142074/41) [Service Version 1.0, Tech. rep.](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202142074/41)
- Sergeyev, A. V., & Carry, B. 2021, [A&A, 652, A59](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202142074/42)
- Siegel, A. F. 1982, [Biometrika, 69, 242](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202142074/43)
- Szabó, G. M., Ivezić, Ž., Jurić, M., Lupton, R., & Kiss, L. L. 2004, *MNRAS*, [348, 987](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202142074/44)
- Taylor, M. B. 2005, Astronomical Data Analysis Software and Systems XIV, P. Shopbell, M. Britton, & R. Ebert, [ASP Conf. Ser., 347, 29](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202142074/45)
- Tholen, D. J. 1989, Asteroids II, R. P. Binzel, T. Gehrels, & M. S. Matthews, 1139
- Wolf, C., Onken, C. A., Luvaul, L. C., et al. 2018, [PASA, 35, 10](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202142074/47)

Appendix A: Description of catalogs

We describe here the three catalogs of SSOs we release. The detection catalog (Table [A.1\)](#page-10-1) contains all the information for each observation. (mid-observing time, coordinates, etc.). The color catalog contains all the measured SSO colors (Table [A.2\)](#page-10-2), while the object catalog (Table [A.3\)](#page-10-3) contains a single entry per SSOs, with its average colors.

Table [A.4](#page-10-4) contains the estimated taxonomy and orbital elements of asteroids. The most probable taxonomy depends on the color priority in the follow sequence: g−*r*, g−*i*, *i*−*z* colors have the first priority, two colors $(g - r, i - z)$, $(g - i, i - z)$, $(r - i, i - z)$ have the priority 2, 3, and 4, and consequently $q - r$, have the color priority 5.

Table A.1. Description of the SkyMapper catalog of SSO observations.

ID	Name	Unit	Description
1	source		Source unique identifier
2	frame		Image unique identifier
3	JD	day	Julian Date of observation
4	filter		Filter name (u, v, q, r, i, z)
5	exptime	S	Exposure time
6	ra	deg	J2000 Right Ascension
7	dec	deg	J2000 Declination
8	psfMag	mag	PSF magnitude
9	psfMagErr	mag	PSF magnitude uncertainty
10	petroMag	mag	Petrosian magnitude
11	petroMagErr	mag	Petrosian magnitude uncertainty
12	number		SSO IAU number
13	name		SSO IAU designation
14	dynclass		SSO dynamical class
15	ra rate	$^{\prime\prime}/h$	RAcos(DEC) rate of motion
16	dec rate	$^{\prime\prime}/h$	DEC rate
17		mag	Predicted visual magnitude

Table A.2. Description of the color catalog extracted from all SkyMapper SSO observations.

Table A.3. Description of the catalog of measured weighted mean SSO colors.

m	Name	Unit	Description
	number		SSO IAU number
\mathfrak{D}	name		SSO IAU designation
4	color		Name of color (e.g., $q - r$)
5	wdmag	mag	Weighted value of the color
6	var	mag	Weighted uncertainty of the color measurements
	n		Number of color measurements, 0 - computed
6	emag	mag	Mean value of the color uncertainties
7	dmjd	day	Mean time difference between color measurements

Table A.4. Description of individual SkyMapper SSO color catalog (measured and computed) and their taxonomy.

∗ color list: u-v,u-g,u-r,u-i,u-z,v-g,v-r,v-i,v-z,g-r,g-i,i-z,g-z,r-i,r-z

Appendix B: Multi-color taxonomy

We present here the color distribution, pseudo-reflectance, confusion matrix, orbital distribution, and taxonomy boundaries of asteroids with two colors.

Fig. B.1. Grouped by taxonomic class pseudo-reflectance spectra of asteroids based on two-color taxonomy. Top: g − *r*, *i* − *z* colors, middle: g − *i*, *i* − *z* colors, and bottom: *r* − *i*, *i* − *z* colors. We indicate the average wavelength of each filter in the lower plots. The distribution of values for each band is represented by whiskers (95% extrema, and the 25, 50, and 75% quartiles). For each, we also represent the associated template spectra of the Bus-DeMeo taxonomy [\(DeMeo et al.](#page-9-5) [2009\)](#page-9-5).

Fig. B.2. Taxonomy of SkyMapper asteroids with a probability value more than 0.2 with two (top: $g - r$, $i - z$, middle: $g - i$, $\overline{i} - z$, and bottom: $r - i$, $i - z$) colors. Boxes show the boundaries of the taxonomic classes. Color points mark an individual asteroid.

Fig. B.3. Confusion matrices of asteroids taxonomy based on (top: g−*r*, *i*−*z*, middle: g−*i*, *i*−*z*, and bottom: *r*−*i*, *i*−*z*) SkyMapper color opposite taxonomy from published spectral observations. The values are reported in percent.

A B C D K L Q S V X SkyMapper, (r-i vs. i-z)

 0 0 0 0 0 22 0 7 0 18 14 9 42 17 22 55 5 9 1 0 0 0 0 33 1 85 0 25 49 13 15 25 0 4 1 69

 \circ \mathcal{L} \sim \times

Fig. B.4. Orbital distribution of the SkyMapper SSOs, color-coded by taxonomic class based on their (top: g − *r*, *i* − *z*, middle: g − *i*, *i* − *z*, and bottom: $r - i$, $i - z$) colors.

A. V. Sergeyev et al.: Multifilter photometry of Solar System objects from the SkyMapper Southern Survey

Table B.1. Boundaries of taxonomy complexes in g-r, g-i, i-z color Table B.3. Boundaries of taxonomy complexes in g-i, i-z color space. space.

complex			gr_{min} gr_{max} iz_{min}	iz_{max}	gl_{min}	$q_{l_{max}}$
C		0.220 0.350	0.000	0.120	0.360	0.50
S	0.370		$0.530 - 0.200$	0.000	0.620	0.73
B	0.250		$0.356 - 0.094$	0.001	0.288	0.46
L	0.391	0.527	0.015	0.120	0.650	0.78
D	0.400	0.500	0.105	0.210	0.650	0.80
K	0.370		$0.430 - 0.015$	0.020	0.503	0.68
X		0.280 0.400	0.000	0.080	0.400	0.62
О	0.400		$0.425 - 0.300 - 0.150 0.530$			0.62
V	0.350	0.600	$-0.550 - 0.230$		0.520	0.75
	0.459		$0.595 - 0.250 - 0.050$		0.780	0.83

complex g*imin* g*imax izmin izmax* $\begin{array}{cccccc}\n\text{C} & 0.360 & 0.500 & 0.000 & 0.120 \\
\text{S} & 0.520 & 0.720 & -0.200 & 0.000\n\end{array}$ S 0.520 0.720 -0.200 0.000
B 0.288 0.460 -0.094 0.001 B 0.288 0.460 -0.094 0.001
L 0.640 0.740 0.005 0.120 L 0.640 0.740 0.005 0.120
D 0.580 0.780 0.105 0.210 D 0.580 0.780 0.105 0.210
K 0.503 0.680 -0.025 0.050 K 0.503 0.680 -0.025 0.050
X 0.417 0.658 0.020 0.080 X 0.417 0.658 0.020 0.080
Q 0.530 0.620 -0.300 -0.150
V 0.600 0.750 -0.550 -0.300 -0.300 -0.150
 -0.550 -0.300 V 0.600 0.750 -0.550 -0.300
A 0.780 0.830 -0.250 -0.050

Table B.2. Boundaries of taxonomy complexes in g-r, i-z color space.

complex	qr_{min}	qr_{max}	iz_{min}	iz_{max}
C	0.220	0.391	0.000	0.120
S	0.370	0.530	-0.200	0.000
B	0.250	0.356	-0.094	0.001
L	0.391	0.527	0.015	0.100
D	0.400	0.500	0.125	0.190
K	0.356	0.411	-0.025	0.020
X	0.309	0.391	0.020	0.080
Q	0.400	0.425	-0.300	-0.150
v	0.400	0.550	-0.480	-0.300
А	0.530	0.600	-0.300	-0.100

Table B.4. Boundaries of taxonomy complexes in r-i, i-z color space.

 -0.250

