



HAL
open science

Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev and related inequalities: stability

Jean Dolbeault, Maria J. Esteban

► **To cite this version:**

Jean Dolbeault, Maria J. Esteban. Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev and related inequalities: stability. Anniversary volume for the 90th birthday of Elliott H. Lieb, In press. hal-03559431v2

HAL Id: hal-03559431

<https://hal.science/hal-03559431v2>

Submitted on 1 Mar 2022 (v2), last revised 16 May 2022 (v3)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev and related inequalities: stability

J. Dolbeault, M. J. Esteban

Abstract. The purpose of this text is twofold. We present a review of the existing stability results for Sobolev, Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev (HLS) and related inequalities. We also contribute to the topic with some observations on constructive stability estimates for (HLS).

It is with great pleasure that we dedicate this paper to Elliott Lieb on the occasion of his 90th birthday.

1 A short review of some functional inequalities

Functional inequalities play a very important role in various fields of mathematics, ranging from geometry, analysis, and probability theory to mathematical physics. For many problems, the precise value of the best constants matters and was actively studied, often in relation with the explicit knowledge of the optimizers. A standard scheme goes as follows: by rearrangement and symmetrisation, optimality is reduced to a smaller class of functions, for instance, to radial functions. After proving that the equality case is achieved, the Euler-Lagrange equations are solved by ODE techniques, which allows to classify the optimal functions and compute the best constants. This is the strategy of E.H. Lieb in [72] for the *Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality* which can be written as

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} f(x) |x - y|^{-\lambda} g(y) dx dy \leq C_{p,\lambda,n} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|g\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad (\text{HLS}_\lambda)$$

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 49J40; 35B40; 49K20; 49K30; 35J20; Secondary 26D10; 46E35; 35K55.

Keywords. Sobolev inequality, Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality, Gagliardo-Nirenberg-Sobolev inequalities, Bianchi-Egnell method, concentration-compactness method, spectral gap, Legendre duality, stability, fast diffusion equation.

for all $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $g \in L^t(\mathbb{R}^n)$, with $1 < p$, $t < +\infty$ such that $1/p + 1/t + \lambda/n = 2$ and $0 < \lambda < n$. By duality he also obtained a new and simple proof of the *fractional Sobolev inequality*, which goes as follows. If $\alpha \in (0, n/2)$ and $q = 2n/(n - 2\alpha)$, then

$$\|(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \geq S_{n,\alpha} \|f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \quad (\text{S}_\alpha)$$

for any smooth and compactly supported function f . We refer to [73, Chapter 5] for considerations on the domain \mathcal{D}_α of $(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}$ based on Fourier transforms: it is the space of all tempered distributions u which vanishes at infinity and such that $(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}u$ is in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. According to [73, 5.9(1) and 5.10(2)], we have

$$\|(-\Delta)^{-\alpha/2} f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 = \frac{c_{n-2\alpha}}{c_{2\alpha}} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{f(x)f(y)}{|x-y|^{n-2\alpha}} dx dy \quad \text{with} \quad c_\alpha = \Gamma(\alpha)/\pi^{\alpha/2}$$

for any $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, so that

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{f(x)f(y)}{|x-y|^{n-2\alpha}} dx dy = \frac{c_{2\alpha}}{c_{n-2\alpha}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(-\Delta)^{-\alpha} f dx.$$

Taking the Legendre transform of both sides of (HLS_λ) written for $p = 2n/(n + 2\alpha)$ and $\lambda = n - 2\alpha$, we realize that (HLS_λ) and (S_α) are equivalent because $q = 2n/(n - 2\alpha)$ is the Hölder conjugate of p . Moreover, the optimal constants are such that

$$S_{n,\alpha} = \frac{c_{2\alpha}}{c_{n-2\alpha}} \frac{1}{C_{p,\lambda,n}} \quad \text{with} \quad \lambda = n - 2\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad p = \frac{2n}{n + 2\alpha}.$$

See [72] and [16] for further details on duality issues. Both (S_α) and (HLS_λ) inequalities are invariant by scaling and conformally invariant.

The classical case of *Sobolev's inequality* corresponds to (S_α) with $\alpha = 1$. If $n \geq 3$, the inequality can be written with $S_n = S_{n,1}$ as

$$\|\nabla f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \geq S_n \|f\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \quad (\text{S})$$

for all functions $f \in L^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\nabla f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Inequality (S) has a long history. An early computation of the best constant and the optimizers for the Sobolev inequality among radial functions can be found in [9] (also see [81] for the linear stability of the radial optimizers). Without symmetry, the inequality is proved in [83, 84] and the computation of the constant and the optimal functions is classically attributed to T. Aubin [4] and G. Talenti [87], even if it seems that a first complete proof goes back to E. Rodemich's seminar [80] (see [61, p. 158] for a quote). The value of the best constant S_n was found to be

$$S_n = \pi n(n-2) \left(\frac{\Gamma(n/2)}{\Gamma(n)} \right)^{2/n}$$

and equality is achieved in (S) if and only if f is in the $(d + 2)$ -dimensional manifold \mathcal{M}_S of the *Aubin-Talenti functions*

$$h_{\mu, x_0, \sigma}(x) := \mu \left(1 + \sigma |x - x_0|^2\right)^{-(n-2)/2} \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

parametrised by $(\mu, x_0, \sigma) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d \times (0, +\infty)$. In terms of duality, it is straightforward to check that the (HLS $_\lambda$) inequality corresponding to (S) is given by the particular choice $\lambda = n - 2$ and $p = t$ of the parameters, and can be written as

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f (-\Delta)^{-1} f \, dx \leq C_n \|f\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n+2}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \quad \text{with} \quad C_n = \frac{1}{S_n} = \frac{1}{\pi n(n-2)} \left(\frac{\Gamma(n)}{\Gamma(n/2)}\right)^{\frac{2}{n}}. \quad (\text{HLS})$$

This case is important as it encodes the L^p smoothing properties of $(-\Delta)^{-1}$ on \mathbb{R}^n .

The (HLS $_\lambda$) inequality was introduced by G.H. Hardy and J.E. Littlewood [64–66] on \mathbb{R} and generalised by S.L. Sobolev [83, 84] to \mathbb{R}^N . The proof of the sharp (HLS $_\lambda$) inequality is due to E.H. Lieb in [72] with a more detailed proof in [73]. This proof uses rearrangement techniques. The first proof of existence of an optimal function without symmetrisation is due to P.-L. Lions in [74, Theorem 2.1] and relies on the concentration-compactness method. This proof is useful for generalizations involving non-symmetric convolution kernels, but does not allow to identify the optimal functions. Also see [86, Section II.4] for the application to sharp Sobolev inequalities. The concentration-compactness method is currently used, see for instance [10, 27, 79, 89], although most papers on the topic focus on the fractional Sobolev inequality rather than on the corresponding (HLS $_\lambda$) inequalities. A new rearrangement-free proof was provided by R.L. Frank and E.H. Lieb in [57–59].

For the sake of simplicity, we restrict this presentation to few results and give sketches of the proofs only in the case of (S) and (HLS). Without pretending to any exhaustivity, we also list some results for (S $_\alpha$), (HLS $_\lambda$) and some related inequalities.

Gagliardo-Nirenberg-Sobolev inequalities refer to the two famous papers [60, 78]. Within this class of inequalities, the inequality

$$\|u\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{1-\theta} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^\theta \quad (\text{GNS})$$

holds for all smooth compactly supported functions, and by density, for all functions $u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\nabla u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We shall assume here that the parameters p and q are such that $2 \leq p < q < 2^*$ where $2^* = +\infty$ if $n = 1$ or 2 , and $2^* = 2n/(n-2)$ if $n \geq 3$. The exponent $\theta = \frac{2n(q-p)}{q(2d-p)(d-2)}$ is uniquely determined by the scaling properties of the inequalities. Optimality in (GNS) is achieved among radial functions but there are only few cases for which the best constants and the minimizers are known, for instance if $q = 2(p-1)$ (see [26, 28, 63]) or if $d = 1$.

Optimality results for the *logarithmic Sobolev* (log-Sobolev) inequality, the *logarithmic Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev* (log-HLS) inequality, the *Onofri* inequality or

the *Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg* inequalities, among many other functional inequalities, have attracted a lot of attention over the years and we may refer for instance to [15, 36, 62] for some key papers and to [12, Chapter 1, bibliographical comments] for a short review. Functional inequalities in bounded domains or on manifolds will not be discussed here, except for a few results on the sphere \mathbb{S}^n which are related to inequalities on \mathbb{R}^n by the stereographic projection.

Once optimal constants are known and the set of optimising functions has been characterised, the next question is to understand *stability*: which kind of distance is measured by the *deficit*, that is, the difference of the two terms in the functional inequality, written with the optimal constant. A variety of answers has been obtained during the last 30 years and this is what we are now going to review, mostly in the case of (S) and (HLS).

2 Quantitative stability results

In the celebrated paper [14], H. Brezis and E.H. Lieb raise the question of the quantitative stability for Sobolev inequalities and gave an answer in bounded domains. A related result is proved by H. Egnell, F. Pacella, and M. Tricarico in [44]. In the case of the Sobolev inequality in the whole Euclidean space, the first quantitative stability result is obtained by G. Bianchi and H. Egnell in [7]. They prove that

$$\|\nabla f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 - S_n \|f\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \geq \kappa_S \inf_{h \in \mathcal{M}_S} \|\nabla f - \nabla h\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \quad (2.1)$$

for some positive constant κ_S whose value is not known, as they argue by compactness using the concentration-compactness method and by contradiction. Stability results are of course not limited to (S). In recent years, the problem of proving stability for various sharp inequalities related to (S) in analysis and geometry, such as the isoperimetric inequality, the Brunn-Minkowski inequality, the logarithmic Sobolev inequality, *etc.*, has been widely studied: see for instance [49–52]. The stability of the Sobolev inequality in the case of an L^q norm of the gradient with $q \neq 2$ is proved by A. Cianchi, N. Fusco, F. Maggi and A. Pratelli in [24] using mass transportation, and improved recently by A. Figalli, R. Neumayer and Y. R.-Y. Zhang in [53, 54, 76].

The proof in [7] uses the Hilbertian nature of $H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$. When the Hilbertian nature of the underlying spaces is lost, as this is the case for the Gagliardo-Nirenberg-Sobolev inequalities, the same argument cannot be used. To circumvent this difficulty two approaches are used for (GNS). The first approach relies on a trick due to D. Bakry, that can be found in [5] (see a detailed computation in [12, Section 1.3.1.2]): the (GNS) inequality with $q = 2(p - 1)$, whose optimal functions are generated by

$$u_q(x) := (1 + |x|^2)^{-1/(q-1)} \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad (2.2)$$

is rewritten as a Sobolev inequality in a higher, artificial, dimension such that the optimal functions appear as Aubin-Talenti functions. This idea is used first by E. Carlen and A. Figalli in [18] and later expanded by F. Seuffert in [82] and V.H. Nguyen in [77]. Constants are anyway non-constructive as they rely on [7]. Starting with [85], another approach (which will not be reviewed here) has been developed for studying the stability of the critical points of (S_α) in H^{-1} : see [3, 25, 30, 48, 56, 88]. Results are again non-constructive and we are not aware of any counterpart in the case of (HLS_λ) .

There is a different line of thought which relies on entropy methods: see [12, Chapter 1] for results based on the calculus of variations. Notice here that stability is measured in terms of a relative Fisher information, which is not the same notion of distance as in (2.1) but via the Pinsker-Csiszár-Kullback inequality it controls a distance equivalent to $\| |f|^p - |h|^p \|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}$. Up to minor restrictions, these estimates can be made constructive and will be listed in the next section.

The Bianchi-Egnell method is not limited to the case $\alpha = 1$ of (S_α) . In [22, Theorem 1] S. Chen, R.L. Frank and T. Weth prove a stability result for (S_α) : for all $f \in \mathcal{D}_\alpha \subset L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $q = 2n/(n - 2\alpha)$ and $\alpha \in (0, n/2)$,

$$\|(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 - S_{n,\alpha} \|f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \geq \kappa_{S,\alpha} \inf_{h \in \mathcal{M}_{S,\alpha}} \|(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} (f - h)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \quad (S_\alpha)$$

where the manifold $\mathcal{M}_{S,\alpha}$ of optimal functions is generated from $h(x) = (1 + |x|^2)^{\frac{2\alpha-n}{2}}$ by multiplications by a constant, translations and scalings. The computation of the spectrum of the linearized problem uses a reformulation on the sphere which will be illustrated in Section 5. The dual counterpart for (HLS_λ) inequalities is [16, Theorem 1.5] due to E. Carlen, which goes as follows.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $n \geq 2$. For all $\alpha \in (0, n/2)$, there is a constant $\kappa_{HLS,\alpha} > 0$ depending only on n and α such that for all $f \in L^{2n/(n+2\alpha)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,*

$$\|f\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n+2\alpha}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 - S_{n,\alpha} \|(-\Delta)^{-\alpha/2} f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \geq \kappa_{HLS,\alpha} \inf_{h \in \mathcal{M}_{HLS,\alpha}} \|f - h\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n+2\alpha}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2. \quad (2.3)$$

Here $\mathcal{M}_{HLS,\alpha}$ is the manifold of all optimal functions, which is generated from $h(x) = (1 + |x|^2)^{-(n+2\alpha)/2}$ by multiplication by a constant, translations and scalings. Using a duality argument to relate (2.1) with (2.3) is not as straightforward as proving the equivalence of (S_α) with (HLS_λ) when $p = t$ and $\lambda = n - 2\alpha$. The interplay between stability bounds and quantitative convexity estimates is crucial. This is analysed in [16], with the additional motivation of giving theoretical grounds for the stability bound associated with the Keller-Lieb-Thirring inequality. This inequality estimates the fundamental eigenvalue $\lambda(V)$ of a Schrödinger operator $-\Delta + V(x)$ with $\|V\|_{L^t(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ for an appropriate t , and can be seen as the Legendre transform of (GNS) written with $p = 2$: see [19] for further details. Coming back to Theorem 2.1, an interesting consequence of the method is that $\kappa_{HLS,\alpha}$ is explicitly computable in terms of κ_S . However,

none of the two constants is known nor has been given an explicit estimate for them. Notice that a scheme of a direct proof based on a result of M. Christ in [23] has been proposed in [75] by H. Liu and A. Zhang in the case of the Heisenberg group.

We refer to [47] for consequences of stability results. The remainder term in (2.1) is quadratic and as R. Frank points out in [55] (see also [7] for a comment on this), the power two is optimal since it is not possible to bound it from below with terms like $\|\nabla f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{2-\alpha} \|\nabla f - \nabla h\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^\alpha$ for some $\alpha < 2$. Similar issues are addressed for instance in [24, 52–54, 76]. In the same spirit, on the sphere \mathbb{S}^n with uniform probability measure $d\mu$, R.L. Frank shows in [55, Theorem 2] that the optimal value for which there exists some $\kappa > 0$ for which

$$\|\nabla f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{S}^n)}^2 - \frac{d}{q-2} \left(\|f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{S}^n)}^2 - \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{S}^n)}^2 \right) \geq \kappa \frac{\left(\|\nabla f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{S}^n)}^2 - \frac{d}{q-2} \left(\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{S}^n)}^2 - \bar{f}^2 \right) \right)^{\frac{q}{2}}}{\left(\|\nabla f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{S}^n)}^2 + \frac{d}{q-2} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{S}^n)}^2 \right)^{\frac{\alpha-2}{2}}}$$

with $\bar{f} := \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} f d\mu$, is $\alpha = 4$. Here we use the notation $\|f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{S}^n)} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^n} |f|^q d\mu \right)^{1/q}$. Optimal remainder terms are also found to be quartic on the non-trivial manifold $\mathbb{S}^1(1/\sqrt{n-2}) \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ as the minimizer is degenerate in the sense that there is a zero mode of the Hessian of the minimisation problem that does not come from symmetries of the set of minimizers. Notice that other results can be obtained for different choices of the distance on the sphere: see, e.g., [37].

Summarizing, in quantitative stability results obtained from methods *à la* Bianchi-Egnell, the exponent in the distance term is at least partially understood. Essentially nothing is known on the value of the constant κ_S and similar constants in related stability inequalities, or even how to get such *constructive* estimates for strong notions of distances. There are some results for weaker notions of distance and this is what we shall expose in the next sections.

3 Stability results for (HLS) by parabolic methods

E. Carlen, J.A. Carrillo and M. Loss prove in [17] that

$$C_n \|f\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n+2}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)(-\Delta)^{-1} f(x) dx = \frac{8}{n+2} \int_0^{+\infty} e^{\beta t} \mathcal{D} \left[u^{\frac{n-1}{n+2}}(\cdot, e^{\beta t}) \right] dt,$$

where $u = u(t, x)$ is the solution of the *fast diffusion* equation

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \Delta u^m \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n, \quad t \geq 0,$$

with exponent $m = n/(n+2)$ and initial datum $u(0, x) = f(x)$. The deficit is measured by

$$\mathcal{D}[g] := C_n \frac{n(n-2)}{(n-1)^2} \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \|g\|_{L^{p+1}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{2(p-1)} - \|g\|_{L^{2p}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{2p}$$

for any function $g \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where $p = (n + 1)/(n - 1)$. By [28], it turns out that $\mathcal{D}[g] \geq 0$ is a sharp form of (GNS) inequalities, with equality if and only if $g(x) = (1 + |x|^2)^{1/(p-1)}$ up to a multiplication by a constant, a translation and a scaling. The proof of the equality case can be achieved either by symmetrisation, variational methods and ODE techniques, or using the *carré du champ* method adapted to the fast diffusion equation (see [70] for detailed justifications and references). This result provides us with a proof of the sharp (HLS₁) inequality, identifies the optimal function in terms of a Barenblatt profile and provides an integral deficit term. Moreover, the *carré du champ* method allows to bypass symmetrisation techniques.

Another fast diffusion equation, now with exponent $m = (n - 2)/(n + 2)$, provides us with similar results. If $n \geq 3$, a formal computation shows that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(C_n \|f\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n+2}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)(-\Delta)^{-1} f(x) dx \right) = 2 \|f\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n+2}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{\frac{4}{n+2}} \mathcal{D}[v]$$

where $v = u^m$ and, for any $g \in L^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\nabla g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\mathcal{D}[g] := \|\nabla g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 - S_n \|g\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2.$$

In the spirit of the *carré du champ* method, it can be shown that the inequality $\mathcal{D}[g]$ is monotone non-increasing with limit 0, so that $\mathcal{D}[g] \geq 0$ is in fact the standard Sobolev inequality, with optimal constant $S_n = 1/C_n$. In practice the solution of the fast diffusion equation with $m = (n - 2)/(n + 2)$ vanishes for some finite time $T > 0$ and justifications require some additional care. For instance, it is convenient to use the inverse stereographic projection and rewrite the evolution equation on the unit sphere: see [31, 39, 69] for details. Altogether, we may conclude that

$$C_n \|f\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n+2}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)(-\Delta)^{-1} f(x) dx = 2 \int_0^T \mathcal{D}[u^m(t, \cdot)] dt.$$

In both cases associated with $m = n/(n + 2)$ and $m = (n - 2)/(n + 2)$, it is not known how to express the deficit of the inequality in terms of the initial datum of the evolution equation.

4 Constructive stability results

Few constructive stability results are known so far. In [52], A. Figalli, F. Maggi and A. Pratelli use mass transportation and rearrangements to prove constructive stability results for the 1-Sobolev anisotropic inequalities for functions of bounded variation. The initial idea to prove those results comes from a previous work of N. Fusco, F. Maggi and A. Pratelli, who prove a non-constructive stability result for the isotropic 1-Sobolev inequality for functions of bounded variation.

As a straightforward consequence of the duality approach, an explicit stability bound for (S_α) is easily obtained where the distance to the Aubin-Talenti manifold $\mathcal{M}_{S,\alpha}$ is measured in terms of the deficit in (HLS_λ) with $\lambda = n - 2\alpha$. Let us give some details. We consider the case of (HLS_λ) with the particular choice $\lambda = n - 2\alpha$ and $p = t = 2n/(n + 2\alpha)$ of the parameters. The optimal function for (HLS_λ) is, up to a multiplication by a constant, a translation and a scaling, given by

$$g_\star(x) := (1 + |x|^2)^{\frac{4}{2}-n} \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

We know from [72] and [16, Theorem 1.2] that the optimal functions for (S_α) is g_\star^r with $r = \frac{n-2\alpha}{n+2\alpha}$, up to multiplications by a constant, translations and scalings. By a standard trick used for instance in [31, Theorem 1.2], [69, Theorem 1.4] and [68, Theorem 1, (i)], the expansion of the square in

$$0 \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \|f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{\frac{4\alpha}{n-2\alpha}} \nabla(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}} f - S_{n,\alpha} \nabla(-\Delta)^{-\frac{1+\alpha}{2}} g \right|^2 dx,$$

with $g^r = f$ so that $\|f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 = \|g\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{2r}$ if $q = 2n/(n - 2\alpha)$, shows that

$$\begin{aligned} S_{n,\alpha} \left(\|g\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 - S_{n,\alpha} \|(-\Delta)^{-\alpha/2} g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \right) \\ \leq \|f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{\frac{8\alpha}{n-2\alpha}} \left(\|(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 - S_{n,\alpha} \|f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Slightly better results are obtained using flow methods as in [31, 39, 68] which have not been detailed in Section 3. Notice that the expansion of the square applies to logarithmic inequalities corresponding to the limit cases as $\alpha \rightarrow n/2$. See [38, Theorem 10] for further considerations in the case $\alpha = 1$ and $n = 2$. The main drawback is that, in all these approaches, the stability is controlled only in a weaker norm.

The situation is slightly better for subcritical (GNS) inequalities. Constructive results are obtained by M. Bonforte *et al.* in [8, 11] in a very small neighborhood of the manifold of the Aubin-Talenti functions and were later improved in [40, 41]. The global result of [41] is explicit but sub-optimal as the remainder term is of the order of the square of the entropy while one expects a linear dependence in view of [11, 40]. It is true also in the logarithmic case as explained in [43] through scaling properties. Notice that stability results in logarithmic Sobolev inequalities is a widely studied question, with various results in L^1 and in Wasserstein distances: see [45, 46, 67, 71] and references therein. The proof of [41] is based on the use of the remainder terms in the *carré du champ* method and has an already long history in the framework of entropy methods for linear diffusion equations: see [1, 2]. A remarkable property of the *carré du champ* method is that it also applies to nonlinear flows of fast diffusion type [20, 21, 35, 41, 42], eventually with a non-trivial metric (see [5] and references

therein), on the Euclidean space or on manifolds: see for instance [29, 34]. As a consequence, let us give some examples on the sphere, which are taken from [32, 33, 37]. Using the uniform probability measure $d\mu$ as in Section 2, for any $q \in (1, 2) \cup (2, 2^*)$ and any $u \in H^1(\mathbb{S}^d)$,

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{S}^n)}^2 - \frac{d}{q-2} \left(\|u\|_{L^q(\mathbb{S}^n)}^2 - \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{S}^n)}^2 \right) \geq d \psi \left(\frac{\|u\|_{L^q(\mathbb{S}^n)}^2 - \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{S}^n)}^2}{(q-2) \|u\|_{L^q(\mathbb{S}^n)}^2} \right) \|u\|_{L^q(\mathbb{S}^n)}^2$$

holds for an explicit, strictly convex C^2 function ψ such that $\psi(0) = \psi'(0) = 0$ (see [32] for details). Here equality is achieved only by constant functions, but optimality constants are determined by perturbations involving the spherical harmonics associated with the first eigenvalue of the Laplace-Beltrami operator on \mathbb{S}^n . By requesting additional orthogonality constraints, which discard these spherical harmonics, the constant d in the left-hand side can be improved (with $\psi = 0$). See [37, Section 5] and [32]. The corresponding *improved entropy – entropy production* inequality can be reinterpreted as a stability result. The constant κ in front of the distance term is obtained through a classical minimisation problem. The value of the minimum is not explicitly known, except in the limit case of the logarithmic Sobolev inequality as $q \rightarrow 2$ or if additional symmetry assumptions are imposed.

Recent work by M. Bonforte *et al.* provides the first quantitative and constructive stability result for (GNS) and Sobolev inequalities on the Euclidean space, under some constraints. Using entropy methods and fast diffusion flows, the authors prove in [12] (also see [13] for a scheme of the proof and further considerations on the method) that stability for initial data can be deduced from improved decay rates of relative entropies in rescaled variables when the rescaling is chosen in order to match the solution with the best matching Barenblatt profile among all Barenblatt self-similar solutions: the stability is then measured by a relative Fisher information and takes the form

$$\inf_{\varphi \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{GNS}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| (q-1) \nabla u + u^q \nabla \varphi^{1-q} \right|^2 dx,$$

where \mathcal{M}_{GNS} is the manifold generated from u_q defined in (2.2) by multiplications by a constant, translations and scalings. The result holds for any of the inequalities (GNS) with $q = 2(q-1) \in (1, 2^*)$ and also for the Sobolev inequality (S) when $q = 2^*$, $d \geq 3$. The method relies on regularisation properties of the fast diffusion flows which introduce an integral decay condition on the initial data. Regularity and properties of the entropy are then used to extend the asymptotic stability results into the *initial time layer*, where nonlinear evolution takes place and the evolution of the entropy is controlled using the nonlinear *carré du champ* method by backward in time estimates. The core of the method is a constructive Harnack inequality based on J. Moser's methods and a fully quantitative global Harnack Principle for the nonlinear flow. This quantifies the *threshold time* after which the solution is in the *asymptotic regime* and

the convergence is governed by an improved Hardy-Poincaré inequality, based on a spectral analysis. Improved decay rates are then extended to the initial time layer, thus proving an improved entropy – entropy production inequality for the solution, which is also valid for the initial data. The improved inequality is rephrased as a stability result while Barenblatt profiles are transformed into the Aubin-Talenti type functions u_q . The whole method relies on entropies, which requires to work with functions with a finite second moment, and on a global Harnack Principle, which provides us with a uniform threshold time if and only if the tails of the initial data have sufficient decay. These limitations are the price to pay in order to get a constructive stability estimate with an explicit constant.

Establishing constructive stability results for (HLS) is so far an open question.

5 Two local stability results for (HLS)

5.1 A local stability result in the norm of relative uniform convergence

In the spirit of [8, 39], we first establish a *constructive* stability result of (HLS) in a neighbourhood of the optimal functions, with respect to the very strong topology of relative uniform convergence. See [12] for an illustration of the interest of this framework. The price to pay is that the result applies in a neighbourhood of $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{M}_{\text{HLS}_1}$ in a topology stronger than the natural topology.

Equality in (HLS) is achieved by the function

$$u_{\star}(x) = (1 + |x|^2)^{-(n+2)/2} \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Let us define the functions

$$f_0 := u_{\star}^{\frac{n-2}{n+2}} = (1 + |x|^2)^{-\frac{n-2}{2}}, \quad f_i := \frac{x_i}{1 + |x|^2} f_0, \quad f_{n+1} := \frac{1 - |x|^2}{1 + |x|^2} f_0,$$

and the norm

$$\|v\|_{\star}^2 := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_{\star}^{-\frac{4}{n+2}} v(x)^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} v(x)^2 (1 + |x|^2)^2 dx. \quad (5.1)$$

For perturbations $u_{\star} + \varepsilon u_{\star}^{\frac{4}{n+2}} g$ of u_{\star} such that

$$\left\| u_{\star}^{-\frac{n-2}{n+2}} g \right\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq 1, \quad (5.2)$$

there is a stability result for (HLS) which is uniform in $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough. Notice that (5.2) can be rewritten as

$$(1 - \varepsilon) u_{\star} \leq u_{\varepsilon} := u_{\star} + \varepsilon u_{\star}^{\frac{4}{n+2}} g \leq (1 + \varepsilon) u_{\star}.$$

This means that u_ε/u_\star is ε -close to 1, *i.e.*, u_ε is close to u_\star in the topology of *relative uniform convergence* (see [8, 12]) associated with the norm $v \mapsto \|v/u_\star\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}$.

Theorem 5.1. *Let $n \geq 3$. If g fulfils (5.2) and the orthogonality conditions*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{g f_i}{(1 + |x|^2)^2} dx = 0 \quad \forall i = 0, 1, \dots, n+1, \quad (5.3)$$

then for any $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, the function $u_\varepsilon = u_\star + \varepsilon u_\star^{\frac{4}{n+2}} g$ satisfies

$$C_n \|u\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n+2}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u (-\Delta)^{-1} u dx \geq \kappa_n \|u - u_\star\|_{L^2}^2$$

with $\kappa_n := \frac{8(n+1)}{3n(n+2)^2(n+4)}$.

Proof. Let $p = 2n/(n+2)$. Using the fact that u_\star is optimal, inequality (HLS) can be written as $\mathcal{H}[u] \geq 0$ with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}[u] := C_n \left(\|u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 - \|u_\star\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 - 2 \|u_\star\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{\frac{4}{n+2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_\star^{\frac{n-2}{n+2}} (u - u_\star) dx \right) \\ - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (u - u_\star) (-\Delta)^{-1} (u - u_\star) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Assume that g satisfies (5.2) and (5.3). We notice that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_\star^{\frac{n-2}{n+2}} (u - u_\star) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_0 (u - u_\star) dx = 0,$$

and as a consequence

$$\mathcal{H}[u] = C_n \left(\|u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 - \|u_\star\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \right) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (u - u_\star) (-\Delta)^{-1} (u - u_\star) dx.$$

By a Taylor-Lagrange expansion, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |u|^p - u_\star^p - p u_\star^{p-1} (u - u_\star) - \frac{1}{2} p (p-1) u_\star^{p-2} (u - u_\star)^2 \\ = \frac{1}{6} p (p-1) (p-2) |\xi|^{p-4} \xi (u - u_\star)^3 \end{aligned}$$

for some intermediate value ξ between u_\star and u . With $p = 2n/(n+2) \in (1, 2)$, $u_\star > 0$ and $|u - u_\star| < \varepsilon u_\star$ for some $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, we know that ξ is positive. In that case, either $u < u_\star$ and the right-hand side is positive, or $u \geq u_\star$ and

$$|\xi|^{p-4} \xi (u - u_\star)^3 \leq u_\star^{p-3} (u - u_\star)^3.$$

Using $|g| \leq u_\star^{\frac{n-2}{n+2}}$ by (5.2), the expansion applied with $u = u_\varepsilon$ shows that

$$\frac{1}{6} p(p-1)(p-2) |\xi|^{p-4} \xi (u - u_\star)^3 \geq -\frac{4n(n-2)}{3(n+2)^3} \varepsilon^3 u_\star^{\frac{4}{n+2}} g^2.$$

After taking into account (5.3) and $u_\star^{\frac{4}{n+2}}(x) = (1 + |x|^2)^{-2}$, we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u_\varepsilon|^p dx \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_\star^p dx + \frac{n(n-2)}{(n+2)^2} \varepsilon^2 \left(1 - \frac{4\varepsilon}{3(n+2)}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_\star^{\frac{4}{n+2}} g^2 dx.$$

By a Taylor-Lagrange expansion again, we know that $s^q \geq s_\star^q + q s_\star^{q-1} (s - s_\star)$ if $q > 1$ and $s > s_\star > 0$. Applied with $q = 1 + 2/n$, $s = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u_\varepsilon|^p dx$ with $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ so that $\frac{4\varepsilon}{3(n+2)} < 1$, and $s_\star = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_\star^p dx$, we infer that

$$\|u_\varepsilon\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 - \|u_\star\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \geq \left(1 - \frac{4\varepsilon}{3(n+2)}\right) \varepsilon^2 \mathcal{M}[g]$$

where

$$\mathcal{M}[g] := \frac{n-2}{n+2} \|u_\star\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{\frac{4}{n+2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{g(x)^2}{(1 + |x|^2)^2} dx.$$

With

$$\mathcal{D}[g] := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{g(x)}{(1 + |x|^2)^2} (-\Delta)^{-1} \frac{g(x)}{(1 + |x|^2)^2} dx,$$

we know that

$$\mathcal{H}[u_\varepsilon] \geq C_n \mathcal{M}[g] \varepsilon^2 \left(1 - \frac{4\varepsilon}{3(n+2)} - \frac{\mathcal{D}[g]}{C_n \mathcal{M}[g]}\right).$$

Finally, we study the quotient $\mathcal{D}[g]/\mathcal{M}[g]$ among functions which satisfy the orthogonality conditions (5.3). Let us consider the orthonormal basis of functions of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, (1 + |x|^2)^{-2} dx)$ made of the spherical harmonics on \mathbb{S}^n mapped into \mathbb{R}^n via the stereographic projection. They satisfy the equations

$$-\Delta g_k = \mu_k \frac{g_k}{(1 + |x|^2)^2}, \quad \mu_k = 4k(k+n-1) + n(n-2)$$

and as a consequence, we have

$$\mathcal{D}[g_k] = \frac{1}{\mu_k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|g_k(x)|^2}{(1 + |x|^2)^2} dx.$$

Under condition (5.3), $g = \sum_{k \geq 2} a_k g_k$ is such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{g(x)^2}{(1 + |x|^2)^2} dx = \sum_{k \geq 2} |a_k|^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{D}[g] = \sum_{k \geq 2} \frac{|a_k|^2}{\mu_k}.$$

Since $(\mu_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an increasing sequence, it follows that

$$\frac{\mathcal{D}[g]}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{g(x)^2}{(1+|x|^2)^2} dx} \leq \frac{1}{\mu_2} = \frac{1}{(n+2)(n+4)} \quad (5.4)$$

Taking into account the fact that $\|u_\star\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}^p = 2^{1-n} \pi^{\frac{n+1}{2}} / \Gamma(\frac{n+1}{2})$ and the expression of C_n in (HLS), we obtain

$$\mathcal{H}[u_\varepsilon] \geq \frac{4\varepsilon^2}{n(n+2)} \left(\frac{1}{n+4} - \frac{\varepsilon}{3(n+2)} \right) \geq \kappa_n \varepsilon^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{g(x)^2}{(1+|x|^2)^2} dx,$$

because $\varepsilon < 1$. This completes the proof of Theorem 5.1. \blacksquare

A stability result similar to Theorem 5.1 can be established for (HLS $_\lambda$) with the particular choice $\lambda = n - 2\alpha$ and $p = t = 2n/(n - 2\alpha)$ of the parameters, $\alpha \in (0, n/2)$, by adapting the computations of [68, Section 4].

Let us consider on $H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the norm $\|f\| = \|\nabla(-\Delta)^{-1}f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ such that

$$\|f\|^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(-\Delta)^{-1}f dx = \pi^{2-\frac{n}{2}} \Gamma(n-2) \iint_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{f(x)f(y)}{|x-y|^{n-2}} dx dy.$$

Here we consider again the case $\lambda = n - 2$ of (HLS $_\lambda$), with $p = t = 2n/(n + 2)$, and recall that $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. For any $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and any $h \in \mathcal{M} := \mathcal{M}_{\text{HLS},1}$, let us define the quotient

$$\mathcal{Q}[f, h] := \frac{\|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 - S_n \|(-\Delta)^{-1/2}f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2}{\|f - h\|^2}.$$

Our goal is to prove that for some $\kappa > 0$

$$\inf_{h \in \mathcal{M}} \mathcal{Q}[f, h] \geq \kappa \quad (5.5)$$

for all functions in a small neighbourhood of \mathcal{M} , to be defined. Theorem 5.1 is already a result of this type: if $g = \varepsilon^{-1} (f - u_\star) u_\star^{-4/(n+2)}$ satisfies (5.2) for some $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, using (5.4), we obtain a first *local stability result* with $\kappa = (n+2)(n+4)\kappa_n$. An important drawback is that the topology of the *relative uniform convergence* is very strong so that the eligible functions f is a small set in the natural function space.

5.2 A local stability result in a weighted norm

Here we want to work in the more natural framework of the norm defined by (5.1). We recall that $p = 2n/(n + 2)$. By Hölder's inequality, we can write

$$\|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \leq \|u_\star\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{\frac{4}{n+2}} \|f\|_\star^2, \quad (5.6)$$

which means that $\|f\|_{\star}^2$ is a stronger norm than $\|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2$. Equality holds in (5.6) for $f > 0$ such that $\|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \|u_{\star}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ if and only if $f = u_{\star}$ so that $\|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 - \|u_{\star}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{4/(n+2)} \|f\|_{\star}^2$ measures the distance of f to u_{\star} . This can be made precise using $(1+s)^{2/p} \geq 1+2s/p$ for any $s \geq 0$ and, according to the generalised Pinsker-Csiszár-Kullback inequality (see e.g., [6, Proposition 1.1]) as follows: for any function $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 - \|u_{\star}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \right) &\geq \frac{2}{p} \|u_{\star}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{2-p} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f|^p dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_{\star}^p dx \right) \\ &\geq 2^{1-\frac{2}{p}} (p-1) \| |f| - u_{\star} \|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

For simplicity, we shall assume again that $\alpha = 1$, so that $\lambda = n - 2$ as in Section 5.1. The extension to $\alpha \neq 1$ is left to the reader. Since u_{\star} is a critical point of $\mathcal{H}[f]$, we can write that

$$1 + \mathcal{Q}[f, u_{\star}] = C_n \frac{\|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 - \|u_{\star}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 - 2 \|u_{\star}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{\frac{4}{n+2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_{\star}^{\frac{n-2}{n+2}} (f - u_{\star}) dx}{\| |f| - u_{\star} \|^2}$$

Theorem 5.2. *Let $n \geq 3$. Let $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be a nonnegative function such that the orthogonality conditions (5.3) are fulfilled by $g = (f - u_{\star}) u_{\star}^{-4/(n+2)}$. If*

$$\frac{n+4}{3(n+2)} (1+\eta) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_{\star}^{p-3} |f - u_{\star}|^3 dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_{\star}^{p-2} |f - u_{\star}|^2 dx \quad (5.7)$$

for some $\eta > 0$, then (5.5) holds with $\kappa = \frac{4\eta}{n(1+\eta)}$.

Proof. Let us consider h such that

$$f - u_{\star} = \varepsilon h \quad \text{with} \quad \varepsilon := \| |f| - u_{\star} \|$$

and define

$$X := \|h\|_{\star}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_{\star}^{p-2} h^2 dx \quad \text{and} \quad Y := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_{\star}^{p-3} |h|^3 dx.$$

A Taylor-Lagrange expansion shows that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f|^p dx \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_{\star}^p dx + \frac{n(n-2)}{(n+2)^2} \varepsilon^2 X - \frac{4n(n-2)}{3(n+2)^3} \varepsilon^3 Y.$$

Using $(1+s)^{2/p} \geq 1+2s/p$ for any $s \geq 0$, we obtain

$$\|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 - \|u_{\star}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \geq K_0 \varepsilon^2 \left(\frac{n(n-2)}{(n+2)^2} X - \frac{4n(n-2)}{3(n+2)^3} \varepsilon Y \right)$$

with

$$K_0 := \frac{2}{p} \|u_\star\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{2-p} = \pi \frac{n+2}{n} \left(\frac{\Gamma(n/2)}{\Gamma(n)} \right)^{\frac{2}{n}} = \frac{1}{n^2} \frac{n+2}{n-2} \frac{1}{C_n}.$$

On the other hand, Inequality (5.4) applied to $g = (1 + |x|^2)^2 (f - u_\star)$ shows that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (f - u_\star) (-\Delta)^{-1} (f - u_\star) dx \leq \frac{\|f - u_\star\|_\star^2}{(n+2)(n+4)} = \frac{\varepsilon^2 X}{(n+2)(n+4)}. \quad (5.8)$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}[f] &= C_n \left(\|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 - \|u_\star\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \right) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (f - u_\star) (-\Delta)^{-1} (f - u_\star) dx \\ &\geq \frac{1}{n^2} \frac{n+2}{n-2} \varepsilon^2 \left(\frac{n(n-2)}{(n+2)^2} X - \frac{4n(n-2)}{3(n+2)^3} \varepsilon Y \right) - \frac{\varepsilon^2 X}{(n+2)(n+4)} \\ &= \frac{4\varepsilon^2}{n(n+2)} \left(\frac{X}{n+4} - \frac{\varepsilon Y}{3(n+2)} \right) \geq \frac{4\varepsilon^2}{n(n+2)(n+4)} \frac{\eta}{1+\eta} X. \end{aligned}$$

By (5.8), we have $X \geq (n+2)(n+4)$, which concludes the proof. \blacksquare

With the notations of the proof of Theorem 5.2, Condition (5.7) can be rewritten as $X - \mathcal{H} Y \geq 0$ with $\mathcal{H} = \frac{n+4}{3(n+2)} \varepsilon (1 + \eta)$. This somewhat unusual condition has two implications:

- (1) the function $h \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is bounded in the stronger norm $\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_\star^{p-3} |h|^3 dx \right)^{1/3}$, which is however a much weaker condition than (5.2),
- (2) the function h is limited to an explicit neighbourhood of 0, in strong norms.

A detailed statement goes as follows.

Proposition 5.3. *If h is a function in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_\star^{p-3} |h|^3 dx < +\infty$, which satisfies*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_\star^{p-2} h^2 dx - \mathcal{H} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_\star^{p-3} |h|^3 dx \geq 0 \quad (5.9)$$

for some $\mathcal{H} > 0$, then h also has the following properties:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_\star^{p-2} h^2 dx \leq \left(\mathcal{H}^{-1} \|h\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{\frac{p}{2-p}} \right)^{2-p}, \quad (5.10a)$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_\star^{p-3} |h|^3 dx \leq \mathcal{H}^{-1} \left(\mathcal{H}^{-1} \|h\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{\frac{p}{2-p}} \right)^{2-p}, \quad (5.10b)$$

$$\|h\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{\frac{p}{2-p}} \leq \left(\mathcal{H}^{-1} \|u_\star\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right)^{\frac{p}{2-p}}. \quad (5.10c)$$

Proof. With $\mathfrak{a} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |h|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2-p}}$, $\mathfrak{X} := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_{\star}^{p-2} h^2 dx$, $\mathfrak{Y} := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_{\star}^{p-3} |h|^3 dx$ as in the proof of Theorem 5.2, the inequality

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_{\star}^{p-2} h^2 dx \leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_{\star}^{p-3} |h|^3 dx \right)^{\frac{2-p}{3-p}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |h|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{3-p}} = \left(\mathfrak{a} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_{\star}^{p-3} |h|^3 dx \right)^{\frac{2-p}{3-p}},$$

can be rephrased as

$$\mathfrak{X} \leq (\mathfrak{a} \mathfrak{Y})^{\frac{2-p}{3-p}} \quad \text{or} \quad \mathfrak{Y} \geq \frac{1}{\mathfrak{a}} \mathfrak{X}^{\frac{3-p}{2-p}}.$$

The stability assumption (5.9) means that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq \mathfrak{X} - \mathcal{K} \mathfrak{Y} &\leq \mathfrak{X} - \frac{\mathcal{K}}{\mathfrak{a}} \mathfrak{X}^{\frac{3-p}{2-p}} = \mathfrak{X} \left(1 - \frac{\mathcal{K}}{\mathfrak{a}} \mathfrak{X}^{\frac{1}{2-p}} \right), \\ 0 \leq \mathfrak{X} - \mathcal{K} \mathfrak{Y} &\leq (\mathfrak{a} \mathfrak{Y})^{\frac{2-p}{3-p}} - \mathcal{K} \mathfrak{Y} = \mathfrak{Y}^{\frac{2-p}{3-p}} \left(\mathfrak{a}^{\frac{2-p}{3-p}} - \mathcal{K} \mathfrak{Y}^{\frac{1}{3-p}} \right), \end{aligned}$$

which proves $\mathfrak{X} \leq (\mathfrak{a} \mathcal{K}^{-1})^{2-p}$ and $\mathfrak{Y} \leq \mathcal{K}^{-1} (\mathfrak{a} \mathcal{K}^{-1})^{2-p}$, i.e., (5.10a) and (5.10b).

By Hölder's inequality, we obtain

$$\mathfrak{a}^{2-p} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |h|^p dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(u_{\star}^{\frac{p-2}{2}} |h| \right)^p u_{\star}^{\frac{2-p}{2}} dx \leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_{\star}^{p-2} h^2 dx \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_{\star}^p dx \right)^{1-\frac{p}{2}}$$

with $\mathfrak{b} := \|u_{\star}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}$. After taking into account (5.10a), this amounts to $\mathfrak{a} \geq \left(\frac{\mathfrak{b}}{\mathcal{K}}\right)^{\frac{p}{2-p}}$, which concludes the proof of (5.10c). ■

Acknowledgements. The authors thank R.L. Frank for pointing [80] to them. They also thank an anonymous referee for very relevant and precise remarks.

Funding. This research has been partially supported by the projects *EFI* ANR-17-CE40-0030 (J.D.) and *molQED* (M.J.E.) of the French National Research Agency (ANR).

© 2022 by the authors. This paper may be reproduced, in its entirety, for non-commercial purposes.

Bibliography

- [1] A. Arnold, J.-P. Bartier, and J. Dolbeault, Interpolation between logarithmic Sobolev and Poincaré inequalities. *Communications in Mathematical Sciences* **5** (2007), no. 4, 971–979
- [2] A. Arnold and J. Dolbeault, Refined convex Sobolev inequalities. *J. Funct. Anal.* **225** (2005), no. 2, 337–351

- [3] S. Aryan, Stability of Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality under bubbling. Preprint [arXiv: 2109.12610](https://arxiv.org/abs/2109.12610), 2021
- [4] T. Aubin, Problèmes isopérimétriques et espaces de Sobolev. *J. Differential Geometry* **11** (1976), no. 4, 573–598
- [5] D. Bakry, I. Gentil, and M. Ledoux, *Analysis and geometry of Markov diffusion operators*. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences] 348, Springer, Cham, 2014
- [6] J.-P. Bartier, J. Dolbeault, R. Illner, and M. Kowalczyk, A qualitative study of linear drift-diffusion equations with time-dependent or degenerate coefficients. *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.* **17** (2007), no. 3, 327–362
- [7] G. Bianchi and H. Egnell, A note on the Sobolev inequality. *J. Funct. Anal.* **100** (1991), no. 1, 18–24
- [8] A. Blanchet, M. Bonforte, J. Dolbeault, G. Grillo, and J. L. Vázquez, Asymptotics of the fast diffusion equation via entropy estimates. *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis* **191** (2009), no. 2, 347–385
- [9] G. A. Bliss, An integral inequality. *Journal of the London Mathematical Society* **1** (1930), no. 1, 40–46
- [10] J. F. Bonder, N. Saintier, and A. Silva, The concentration-compactness principle for fractional order Sobolev spaces in unbounded domains and applications to the generalized fractional brezis–nirenberg problem. *Nonlinear Differential Equations and Applications NoDEA* **25** (2018), no. 6
- [11] M. Bonforte, J. Dolbeault, G. Grillo, and J. L. Vázquez, Sharp rates of decay of solutions to the nonlinear fast diffusion equation via functional inequalities. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **107** (2010), no. 38, 16459–16464
- [12] M. Bonforte, J. Dolbeault, B. Nazaret, and N. Simonov, Stability in Gagliardo-Nirenberg-Sobolev inequalities. Preprint [hal-02887010](https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-02887010) and [arXiv: 2007.03674](https://arxiv.org/abs/2007.03674)
- [13] M. Bonforte, J. Dolbeault, B. Nazaret, and N. Simonov, Constructive stability results in interpolation inequalities and explicit improvements of decay rates of fast diffusion equations. Preprint [hal-03581542](https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-03581542) and [arXiv: 2202.09693](https://arxiv.org/abs/2202.09693), 2022, [2202.09693](https://arxiv.org/abs/2202.09693)
- [14] H. Brezis and E. H. Lieb, Sobolev inequalities with remainder terms. *J. Funct. Anal.* **62** (1985), no. 1, 73–86
- [15] E. Carlen and M. Loss, Competing symmetries, the logarithmic HLS inequality and Onofri’s inequality on \mathbb{S}^n . *Geometric and Functional Analysis* **2** (1992), no. 1, 90–104
- [16] E. A. Carlen, Duality and stability for functional inequalities. *Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math. (6)* **26** (2017), no. 2, 319–350
- [17] E. A. Carlen, J. A. Carrillo, and M. Loss, Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequalities via fast diffusion flows. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **107** (2010), no. 46, 19696–19701
- [18] E. A. Carlen and A. Figalli, Stability for a GNS inequality and the log-HLS inequality, with application to the critical mass Keller–Segel equation. *Duke Mathematical Journal* **162** (2013), no. 3, 579–625
- [19] E. A. Carlen, R. L. Frank, and E. H. Lieb, Stability estimates for the lowest eigenvalue of a Schrödinger operator. *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **24** (2014), no. 1, 63–84
- [20] J. A. Carrillo and G. Toscani, Asymptotic L^1 -decay of solutions of the porous medium equation to self-similarity. *Indiana University Mathematics Journal* **49** (2000), no. 1, 113–142

- [21] J. A. Carrillo and J. L. Vázquez, Fine asymptotics for fast diffusion equations. *Communications in Partial Differential Equations* **28** (2003), no. 5-6, 1023–1056
- [22] S. Chen, R. L. Frank, and T. Weth, Remainder terms in the fractional Sobolev inequality. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **62** (2013), no. 4, 1381–1397
- [23] M. Christ, A sharpened Hausdorff-Young inequality. Preprint [arXiv: 2003.04037](https://arxiv.org/abs/2003.04037), 2014, [1406.1210](https://arxiv.org/abs/2003.04037)
- [24] A. Cianchi, N. Fusco, F. Maggi, and A. Pratelli, The sharp Sobolev inequality in quantitative form. *Journal of the European Mathematical Society* (2009), 1105–1139
- [25] G. Ciraolo, A. Figalli, and F. Maggi, A quantitative analysis of metrics on \mathbb{R}^n with almost constant positive scalar curvature, with applications to fast diffusion flows. *International Mathematics Research Notices* **2018** (2017), no. 21, 6780–6797
- [26] D. Cordero-Erausquin, B. Nazaret, and C. Villani, A mass-transportation approach to sharp Sobolev and Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities. *Adv. Math.* **182** (2004), no. 2, 307–332
- [27] A. de Pablo, F. Quirós, and A. Ritorto, Extremals in Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequalities for stable processes. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* **507** (2022), no. 1, 125742
- [28] M. Del Pino and J. Dolbeault, Best constants for Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities and applications to nonlinear diffusions. *Journal de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées. Neuvième Série* **81** (2002), no. 9, 847–875
- [29] J. Demange, Improved Gagliardo-Nirenberg-Sobolev inequalities on manifolds with positive curvature. *Journal of Functional Analysis* **254** (2008), no. 3, 593–611
- [30] B. Deng, L. Sun, and J. Wei, Sharp quantitative estimates of Struwe’s decomposition. Preprint [arXiv: 2103.15360](https://arxiv.org/abs/2103.15360), 2021
- [31] J. Dolbeault, Sobolev and Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequalities: duality and fast diffusion. *Math. Res. Lett.* **18** (2011), no. 06, 1037–1050
- [32] J. Dolbeault and M. J. Esteban, Improved interpolation inequalities and stability. *Advanced Nonlinear Studies* **20** (2020), no. 2, 277–291
- [33] J. Dolbeault, M. J. Esteban, M. Kowalczyk, and M. Loss, Improved interpolation inequalities on the sphere. *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems Series S (DCDS-S)* **7** (2014), no. 4, 695–724
- [34] J. Dolbeault, M. J. Esteban, and M. Loss, Nonlinear flows and rigidity results on compact manifolds. *Journal of Functional Analysis* **267** (2014), no. 5, 1338 – 1363
- [35] J. Dolbeault, M. J. Esteban, and M. Loss, Interpolation inequalities, nonlinear flows, boundary terms, optimality and linearization. *Journal of elliptic and parabolic equations* **2** (2016), 267–295
- [36] J. Dolbeault, M. J. Esteban, and M. Loss, Rigidity versus symmetry breaking via nonlinear flows on cylinders and Euclidean spaces. *Inventiones Mathematicae* **206** (2016), no. 2, 397–440
- [37] J. Dolbeault, M. J. Esteban, and M. Loss, Interpolation inequalities on the sphere: linear vs. nonlinear flows (inégalités d’interpolation sur la sphère : flots non-linéaires vs. flots linéaires). *Annales de la faculté des sciences de Toulouse Sér. 6* **26** (2017), no. 2, 351–379
- [38] J. Dolbeault, R. L. Frank, and L. Jeanjean, Logarithmic estimates for mean-field models in dimension two and the Schrödinger-Poisson system. *Comptes Rendus. Mathématique* **359** (2022), no. 10, 1279–1293

- [39] J. Dolbeault and G. Jankowiak, Sobolev and Hardy–Littlewood–Sobolev inequalities. *J. Differential Equations* **257** (2014), no. 6, 1689–1720
- [40] J. Dolbeault and G. Toscani, Fast diffusion equations: matching large time asymptotics by relative entropy methods. *Kinetic and Related Models* **4** (2011), no. 3, 701–716
- [41] J. Dolbeault and G. Toscani, Improved interpolation inequalities, relative entropy and fast diffusion equations. *Annales de l’Institut Henri Poincaré (C) Non Linear Analysis* **30** (2013), no. 5, 917 – 934
- [42] J. Dolbeault and G. Toscani, Nonlinear diffusions: Extremal properties of Barenblatt profiles, best matching and delays. *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications* **138** (2016), 31–43
- [43] J. Dolbeault and G. Toscani, Stability results for logarithmic Sobolev and Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* (2016), no. 2, 473–498
- [44] H. Egnell, F. Pacella, and M. Tricarico, Some remarks on Sobolev inequalities. *Nonlinear Anal.* **13** (1989), no. 6, 671–681
- [45] M. Fathi, E. Indrei, and M. Ledoux, Quantitative logarithmic Sobolev inequalities and stability estimates. *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems* **36** (2016), no. 12, 6835–6853
- [46] F. Feo, E. Indrei, M. R. Posteraro, and C. Roberto, Some remarks on the stability of the Log-Sobolev inequality for the Gaussian measure. *Potential Analysis* **47** (2016), no. 1, 37–52
- [47] A. Figalli, Stability in geometric and functional inequalities. In *European Congress of Mathematics*, pp. 585–599, Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2013
- [48] A. Figalli and F. Glaudo, On the sharp stability of critical points of the Sobolev inequality. *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis* **237** (2020), no. 1, 201–258
- [49] A. Figalli and E. Indrei, A sharp stability result for the relative isoperimetric inequality inside convex cones. *J. Geom. Anal.* **23** (2013), no. 2, 938–969
- [50] A. Figalli and D. Jerison, Quantitative stability for the Brunn-Minkowski inequality. *Adv. Math.* **314** (2017), 1–47
- [51] A. Figalli, F. Maggi, and A. Pratelli, A mass transportation approach to quantitative isoperimetric inequalities. *Invent. Math.* **182** (2010), no. 1, 167–211
- [52] A. Figalli, F. Maggi, and A. Pratelli, Sharp stability theorems for the anisotropic Sobolev and log-Sobolev inequalities on functions of bounded variation. *Adv. Math.* **242** (2013), 80–101
- [53] A. Figalli and R. Neumayer, Gradient stability for the Sobolev inequality: the case $p \geq 2$. *Journal of the European Mathematical Society* **21** (2018), no. 2, 319–354
- [54] A. Figalli and Y. R.-Y. Zhang, Sharp gradient stability for the Sobolev inequality. Preprint [arXiv: 2003.04037](https://arxiv.org/abs/2003.04037), Duke Math. J., to appear, 2020
- [55] R. L. Frank, Degenerate stability of some Sobolev inequalities. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire*, to appear, Preprint [arXiv: 2003.04037](https://arxiv.org/abs/2003.04037), 2021
- [56] R. L. Frank, T. König, and H. Tang, Reverse conformally invariant Sobolev inequalities on the sphere. *J. Funct. Anal.* **282** (2022), no. 4, 1–27, Paper No. 109339
- [57] R. L. Frank and E. H. Lieb, Spherical reflection positivity and the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality. In *Concentration, functional inequalities and isoperimetry*, pp. 89–102, Contemp. Math. 545, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2011

- [58] R. L. Frank and E. H. Lieb, A new, rearrangement-free proof of the sharp Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality. In *Spectral theory, function spaces and inequalities*, pp. 55–67, Oper. Theory Adv. Appl. 219, Birkhäuser/Springer Basel AG, Basel, 2012
- [59] R. L. Frank and E. H. Lieb, Sharp constants in several inequalities on the Heisenberg group. *Ann. of Math. (2)* **176** (2012), no. 1, 349–381
- [60] E. Gagliardo, Proprietà di alcune classi di funzioni in più variabili. *Ricerche Mat.* **7** (1958), 102–137
- [61] D. Gilbarg and N. S. Trudinger, *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order*. Classics in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001
- [62] L. Gross, Logarithmic Sobolev inequalities. *Amer. J. Math.* **97** (1975), no. 4, 1061–1083
- [63] J. Gunson, *Inequalities (Birmingham, 1987)*, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math. 129, chap. Inequalities in mathematical physics, pp. 53–79. Dekker, New York, 1991
- [64] G. H. Hardy and J. E. Littlewood, Some properties of fractional integrals. I. *Math. Z.* **27** (1928), no. 1, 565–606
- [65] G. H. Hardy and J. E. Littlewood, Notes on the theory of series (XII): on certain inequalities connected with the calculus of variations. *J. London Math. Soc.* **5** (1930), no. 1, 34–39
- [66] G. H. Hardy and J. E. Littlewood, Some properties of fractional integrals. II. *Mathematische Zeitschrift* **34** (1932), no. 1, 403–439
- [67] E. Indrei and D. Kim, Deficit estimates for the logarithmic Sobolev inequality. *Differential and Integral Equations* **34** (2021), no. 7/8, 437 – 466
- [68] G. Jankowiak and V. H. Nguyen, Fractional Sobolev and Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequalities. Preprint [arXiv: 1404.1028](https://arxiv.org/abs/1404.1028), 2014
- [69] T. Jin and J. Xiong, A fractional Yamabe flow and some applications. *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik (Crelles Journal)* **2014** (2014), no. 696
- [70] A. Jüngel, *Entropy methods for diffusive partial differential equations*. SpringerBriefs in Mathematics, Springer, [Cham], 2016
- [71] D. Kim, Instability results for the logarithmic Sobolev inequality and its application to related inequalities. Preprint [arXiv: 1805.06272](https://arxiv.org/abs/1805.06272), 2021
- [72] E. H. Lieb, Sharp constants in the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev and related inequalities. *Ann. of Math. (2)* **118** (1983), no. 2, 349–374
- [73] E. H. Lieb and M. Loss, *Analysis*. Second edn., Graduate Studies in Mathematics 14, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2001
- [74] P.-L. Lions, The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variations. The limit case, Part 2. *Revista Matemática Iberoamericana* (1985), 45–121
- [75] H. Liu and A. Zhang, Remainder terms for several inequalities on some groups of Heisenberg-type. *Sci. China Math.* **58** (2015), no. 12, 2565–2580
- [76] R. Neumayer, A note on strong-form stability for the Sobolev inequality. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* **59** (2020), no. 1, Paper No. 25, 8
- [77] V. H. Nguyen, The sharp Gagliardo-Nirenberg-Sobolev inequality in quantitative form. *J. Funct. Anal.* **277** (2019), no. 7, 2179–2208
- [78] L. Nirenberg, On elliptic partial differential equations. *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (3)* **13** (1959), 115–162

- [79] G. Palatucci and A. Pisante, Improved Sobolev embeddings, profile decomposition, and concentration-compactness for fractional Sobolev spaces. *Calculus of Variations and Partial Differential Equations* **50** (2013), no. 3-4, 799–829
- [80] E. Rodemich, The Sobolev inequalities with best possible constants. In *Analysis seminar at California institute of technology*, pp. 1–25, 1966
- [81] G. Rosen, Minimum value for c in the Sobolev inequality $\|\phi^3\| \leq c \|\nabla\phi\|^3$. *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* **21** (1971), 30–32
- [82] F. Seuffert, An extension of the Bianchi-Egnell stability estimate to Bakry, Gentil, and Ledoux’s generalization of the Sobolev inequality to continuous dimensions. *J. Funct. Anal.* **273** (2017), no. 10, 3094–3149
- [83] S. L. Soboleff, Sur un théorème d’analyse fonctionnelle. *Rec. Math. Moscou, n. Ser. 4* (1938), no. 46 (3), 471–497
- [84] S. L. Sobolev, On a theorem of functional analysis. Translated by J. R. Brown. *Transl., Ser. 2, Am. Math. Soc.* **34** (1963), 39–68
- [85] M. Struwe, A global compactness result for elliptic boundary value problems involving limiting nonlinearities. *Math. Z.* **187** (1984), no. 4, 511–517
- [86] M. Struwe, *Variational methods*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990
- [87] G. Talenti, Best constant in Sobolev inequality. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4)* **110** (1976), 353–372
- [88] J. Wei and Y. Wu, Stability of Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequality. Preprint [arXiv: 2106.09253](https://arxiv.org/abs/2106.09253), 2021
- [89] S. Zhang and Y. Han, Extremal problems of Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequalities on compact Riemannian manifolds. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* **495** (2021), no. 2, 124750