



HAL
open science

Transfer of 7 organic UV filters from sediment to the ragworm *Hediste diversicolor*: bioaccumulation of benzophenone-3 and further proof of octocrylene metabolism

Fanny Clergeaud, Sonja Fagervold, Alice M S Rodrigues, Evane Thorel, D. Stien, Philippe Lebaron

► To cite this version:

Fanny Clergeaud, Sonja Fagervold, Alice M S Rodrigues, Evane Thorel, D. Stien, et al.. Transfer of 7 organic UV filters from sediment to the ragworm *Hediste diversicolor*: bioaccumulation of benzophenone-3 and further proof of octocrylene metabolism. *Pollutants*, 2022, 2, pp.23-31. 10.3390/pollutants2010004 . hal-03557790

HAL Id: hal-03557790

<https://hal.science/hal-03557790v1>

Submitted on 4 Feb 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 Article

2 **Transfer of 7 organic UV filters from sediment to the ragworm**
3 ***Hediste diversicolor*: bioaccumulation of benzophenone-3 and**
4 **further proof of octocrylene metabolism**

5 Fanny Clergeaud, Sonja K. Fagervold, Alice M. S. Rodrigues, Evane Thorel, Didier Stien and Philippe Lebaron*

6 Sorbonne Université, CNRS, Laboratoire de Biodiversité et Biotechnologies Microbiennes, USR3579, Observa-
7 toire Océanologique, 66650, Banyuls-sur-mer, France8 * Correspondence: author: Pr. Philippe Lebaron, Sorbonne Université, Laboratoire de Biodiversité et Biotech-
9 nologies Microbiennes, USR3579, Observatoire Océanologique, 66650, Banyuls-sur-mer, France, E-mail: [le-](mailto:lebaron@obs-banyuls.fr)
10 [baron@obs-banyuls.fr](mailto:lebaron@obs-banyuls.fr).11 **Abstract:** Organic UV filters are continuously released in aquatic ecosystems due to their wide-
12 spread use, especially in touristic coastal environments. Generally, organic UV filters are poorly
13 soluble in water and tend to accumulate in the sediment compartment. This represents a conceiv-
14 able risk for sediment-dwelling organisms and a potential for transfer of the UV filters up the food
15 chain. This study aimed to assess the potential transfer of 7 UV filters benzophenone-3 (BP3), bis-
16 ethylhexyloxyphenol methoxyphenyl triazine (BEMT), butyl methoxydibenzoylmethane (BM),
17 methylene bis-benzotriazolyl tetramethylbutylphenol (MBBT), 2-ethylhexyl salicylate (ES),
18 diethylhexyl butamido triazone (DBT) and octocrylene (OC) from artificial spiked sediment (10
19 $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}$ dry weight) to sediment-dwelling worms. All UV filters were detected in the worms after 28
20 days of exposure, but only BP3 was apparently bioaccumulated, with a biota sediment accumula-
21 tion factor (BSAF) of 12.38 ± 4.65 . However, metabolomic profiling revealed that OC was metabo-
22 lized by the worms into 11 fatty acid conjugates, demonstrating that OC did also accumulate in
23 the worms in the form of OC-fatty acid conjugates. Here, the sole quantification of the parent
24 organic UV filter underestimated the accumulation factor and the exposure of organisms. In gen-
25 eral, it is therefore important to pair the conventional method (BSAF calculus) with other tech-
26 niques, such as metabolomics, to assess the actual potential for bioaccumulation of xenobiotics
27 including transformed xenobiotics.Citation: Clergeaud, F.; Fagervold, S.K.; Alice M. S. Rodrigues; Thorel, E.; Stien, D.; Lebaron, P. Transfer of 7 organic UV filters from sediment to the ragworm *Hediste diversicolor*: bioaccumulation of benzophenone-3 and further proof of octocrylene metabolism. *Pollutants* **2021**, *1*, x. <https://doi.org/10.3390/xxxxx>Received: date
Accepted: date
Published: date28 **Keywords:** *Hediste diversicolor*; bioaccumulation; UV filters; marine sediments; emerging pollu-
29 tants30
31 **Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.32
33
34
35 **Copyright:** © 2021 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).36
37
38
39
40
41
42 **1. Introduction**Organic UV filters are commonly used in cosmetic products to protect skin against solar radiation (UV-A 320–400 nm and UV-B 280–320 nm). Although the presence of these compounds is essential for health reasons, their impact on marine ecosystems has recently become a concern due to potential toxic effects of certain filters and their bioaccumulative character [1,2]. Further, since organic UV filters are generally poorly soluble in water ($\log P > 3$), they can accumulate in carbon-rich compartments, especially sediments [3,4]. For example, diethylhexyl butamido triazone (DBT), bis-ethylhexyloxyphenol methoxyphenyl triazine (BEMT), and methylene bis-benzotriazolyl tetramethylbutylphenol (MBBT) were found in the Villeneuve de la Raho Lake (France) sediments at 652.6, 115.0, and 75.2 $\text{ng}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}$ dry weight, respectively [4]. These same UV filters are also found in the surface microlayer, with maximum concentrations of 43.3 ng/L for DBT, 5625.4 ng/L for BEMT, and 45.6 ng/L for MBBT. While these filters are

poorly dispersed in the water column at maximum concentrations of 9.9 ng/L for DBT, 18.4 ng/L for BEMT, and below the detection limits for MBBT. Thus, organisms that spend at least a part of their life cycle in sediments could therefore be exposed to, and potentially accumulate these UV filters, increasing the risk for the transfer of these compound up the food chain. Indeed, organic UV filters have been detected in a large diversity of marine animals such as bivalves [5,6], cephalopods [7,8], corals [9,10], fishes [11,8], mammals [12], and even bird eggs from a preserved area [13].

To fully assess the environmental risk of organic UV filters, it is necessary to study the transfer of these compounds from the sediment to sediment-dwelling organisms. *Hediste diversicolor*, the common ragworm, is a polychaete worm of the *Nereididae* family. It plays a key role in estuarine ecosystems, particularly for the biogeochemistry of sediments and element cycling [14]. Worms are highly exposed to sediment contaminants since they are burrowers and ingest large amounts of matter. They are prey for many marine animals (fish, birds, shrimp, crabs, etc.), and are an essential link in the food web [15]. Thus, sediment worms are among the basal links between sediment contamination and the bioaccumulation of contaminants up the food chain. Several studies have reported the accumulation of many toxic compounds, such as benzo[a]pyrene [16], silver nanoparticles [17], polychlorinated dibenzo-*p*-dioxins and dibenzofurans [18], metals and PCBs [19], in *H. diversicolor*, but the bioaccumulation rate of many emerging contaminants including sunscreens have never been studied.

In this study, we assessed the transfer of 7 common organic UV filters benzophenone-3 (BP3), butyl methoxydibenzoylmethane (BM), 2-ethylhexyl salicylate (ES), octocrylene (OC), MBBT, DBT and BEMT from sediment to worms. To do this, concentrations of the UV filters in spiked sediments were measured and compared to the concentrations in worms after 28 days of exposure. The metabolome of the worms exposed to OC was also studied to examine the presence of any OC fatty acid conjugates.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Chemicals

The UV filters BP3, BEMT, BM and MBBT were purchased from Sigma–Aldrich (Saint-Quentin Fallavier, France). ES, DBT and OC were provided by Pierre Fabre Laboratories (Toulouse, France). The physicochemical properties of the UV filters used in this study are shown in Table 1. Analytical-grade dichloromethane (DCM), methanol (MeOH), formic acid (FA, 98%), vanillin (99%), sulfuric acid (97.5%) and phosphoric acid (85%) were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich. Pure water for chemical analysis was obtained from an Elga Purelab Flex System (Veolia LabWater STI, Antony, France). Glassware was cleaned and calcined at 400°C for 2 hours to remove all traces of organic matter.

Table 1. Structures and physicochemical properties of the 7 UV filters.

Abbr. ^a	COSING names (alternative names)	CAS number	logP ^b	Formula	MW ^c (g.mol ⁻¹)
BEMT	bis-Ethylhexyloxyphenol methoxyphenyl triazine (Bemotrizinol)	187393-00-6	10.627	C ₃₈ H ₄₉ N ₃ O ₅	627.8
BM	Butyl methoxydibenzoylmethane (Avobenzone)	70356-09-1	5.499	C ₂₀ H ₂₂ O ₃	310.4
BP3	Benzophenone-3 (Oxybenzone)	131-57-7	3.514	C ₁₄ H ₁₂ O ₃	228.8
DBT	Diethylhexyl butamido triazone (Iscotrizinol)	154702-15-5	12.004	C ₄₄ H ₅₉ N ₇ O ₅	766.0
ES	2-Ethylhexyl salicylate (Octyl salicylate, Octisalate)	118-60-5	5.335	C ₁₅ H ₂₂ O ₃	250.3
MBBT	Methylene bis-benzotriazolyl tetramethylbutylphenol (Bisocotrizole)	103597-45-1	15.451	C ₄₁ H ₅₀ N ₆ O ₂	658.9
OC	Octocrylene	6197-30-4	7.083	C ₂₄ H ₂₇ NO ₂	361.5

^a abbreviation; ^b from Reaxys (<https://www.reaxys.com/>). For BM, the major tautomer (enol) logP is reported; ^c Molecular weight.

2.2. Artificial sediment preparation and spiking

Artificial sediment was produced, as already described [21], and was composed of sand with a particle size of 0.2-0.5 mm (sable de Loire SCALARE, Aquastore, France, 92.5 weight-%), kaolin clay (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany, 5 weight-%), and sphagnum blond peat (Florentaise, Saint-Mars-du-Désert, France, 2.5 weight-%). Homogenized sediments were spiked with a UV filter to a concentration of 10 $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}$ dry weight by adding 1 mg of UV filter diluted in DCM to 100 g dry sediment in a 600 mL beaker. The sediment was covered by DCM and was left to dry for at least 24 h while stirring the sediment to ensure that the compounds were homogeneously distributed.

2.3. Supply and acclimatization of worms

Hediste diversicolor specimens were ordered from Sustainable Feeds Ltd. (Brenkley Way, Seaton Burn, Newcastle upon Tyne, NE13 6DS, UK). The worms were sent overnight at 4 °C and were received approximately 24 h after shipment. The worms were transferred to 40 L glass tanks with artificial sediment and artificial seawater (Tropic Marin®, 34 g.L⁻¹ in osmosis water). The tanks were equipped with an aeration pump and a ceramic bead filter enriched with microorganisms. The room temperature was kept at 16 °C, and the photoperiod was 16:8 h day:night at 250 lux. The worms were fed twice a week with seawater containing crushed TabiMin® (62.5 mg TabiMin® per 10 worms). All organisms were acclimated to these conditions for 2 weeks before they were used in exposure experiments.

2.4. Bioaccumulation test in a spiked water-sediment system

The exposure conditions and experimental setup were inspired by the OECD testing protocol for the “Sediment-Water *Lumbriculus* Toxicity Test Using Spiked Sediment” [22], and “Bioaccumulation in Sediment-dwelling Benthic Oligochaetes” [23]. Briefly, exposure tests were performed for 28 days in beakers each with 10 worms and in triplicate for each UV filter tested, in addition to negative controls.

Artificial seawater was added to 100 g of spiked sediment until the 400 mL mark in 600 mL beakers. This water level was kept constant throughout the incubation period and was oxygenated with an aeration pump and a plunging Pasteur pipette (2-4 bubbles per second). Water was added 24 hours before the addition of worms to allow for equilibration of the UV filters between the sediment and the water. On the day of the start of the exposure (D0), the water was decanted off, and “day 0” sediment samples were collected in 3 different places in the beaker. The beaker was then filled with water again. The worms were allowed to depurate on wet tissue paper for approximately 3 hours before the start of the test. Ten worms of similar sizes were added to each test beaker, and the worms were closely monitored for any avoidance behavior and to ensure that they were all burrowed within the first 24 hours. The room temperature was kept at 16 °C, and the photoperiod was 16:8 h day:night at 250 lux. The water was changed (50%) twice a week. The water characteristics (NO_3 , NO_2 , NH_4 , O_2 , T, pH, and salinity) were closely monitored. The worms were fed twice a week with seawater containing crushed TabiMin® (62.5 mg for 10 worms).

At the end of the exposure (D28), the water was decanted off, and sediment samples were carefully collected at 6 different places in the beaker. The worms were then picked from each beaker and transferred on wet tissue paper to depurate for approximately 3 hours. Then, the worms were counted and weighed before being transferred to a glass jar. They were then frozen at -80 °C and freeze-dried.

The potential transfer of UV filters from sediments to worms was estimated by assessing the Biota to Sediment Accumulation Factor (BSAF), which is defined by:

$$Eq. (1) : \quad BSAF = \frac{C_w/LP}{C_s/TOC} \quad [23]$$

where C_w is the concentration of the UV filter in worms at day 28 ($\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}$ dry weight of the whole body) which is then normalized by their lipid content (LP, in μg dw), and C_s is the concentration of the UV filter in sediment at day 28 ($\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}$ dry weight) which is then normalized by the total organic carbon (TOC, in μg dw). We assumed that the TOC content of the sediment remained constant at 5%.

2.5. Extraction and quantification of UV filters

Sediment samples were frozen and lyophilized, followed by homogenization by grinding with a mortar and pestle. Sediment samples (200 mg) were extracted with DCM:MeOH 8:2 (1 mL) acidified with 0.1% FA. The suspensions were vortexed, subjected to ultrasound for 10 minutes and centrifuged. The supernatant was collected, and the extraction was repeated. The mixed supernatants were dried in an HT-4X centrifugal vacuum evaporator. The residues were dissolved in acidified (0.1% FA) DCM:MeOH 8:2 (500 μL) for quantification of UV filters as described in [4] in which all analytical data including LODs and LOQs are reported.

Worms were also frozen and lyophilized, then inert sand was added to the dry worms. The mass of added sand was twice the dry weight of the pool of worms per condition. The mixture was homogenized using a mortar and pestle. A portion of the resulting powder (100 mg) was suspended in an acidified (0.1% FA) mixture of chloroform/methanol (2:1) in a glass tube. The slurry was vortexed and subjected to ultrasound for 20 minutes. The supernatant was transferred to a new tube, and the process was repeated once. A final centrifugation was performed to ensure that no solid material was suspended. The final supernatant was collected and dried in an HT-4X centrifugal vacuum evaporator (Genevac). Dried samples were dissolved in 500 μL of acidified (0.1% FA) DCM:MeOH (8:2) for injection (5 μL injected) into HPLC-UV for UV filter quantification. The UV filter concentrations were measured using an Ultimate 3000™ HPLC system equipped with a diode array detector (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and a Phenomenex Kinetex Biphenyl 2.6 μm , 150 \times 4.6 mm column, as described previously [4,24].

2.6. Lipid quantification

The quantification of worm lipids was based on a sulfo-phospho-vanillic reaction, as already described [25]. The method was then adapted using a 96-well format [26] and involved generating and analyzing calibration standards (cholesterol in chloroform:MeOH (2:1)) each time a measurement was performed.

Worm powder was weighed in 3 different tubes (30 mg each, 2/3 inert sand and 1/3 ground lyophilized worms) and extracted as described above except the solvents were not acidified. 100 μL of samples and standards were placed in a heating block set at 90 °C to allow the solvent to evaporate. Sulfuric acid (180 μL) was then added to each tube. After 10 min of incubation at 90 °C, the tubes were allowed to cool to room temperature before adding 500 μL of vanillin reagent (31 mg of vanillin, 12.5 mL of hot water, and 50 mL of 85% phosphoric acid) and vortexing. Finally, 200 μL from each tube was transferred into a 96-well plate. Lipid quantification was performed by measuring the absorbance at 530 nm on a Paradigm microplate reader (Beckman Coulter, Villepinte, France).

$$Eq. (2) : \quad Total\ lipids = (Abs_{530\ nm} \times Calibration) \times 0.8 \times \frac{15}{10} \quad [25]$$

The total lipid content can be calculated by converting the reading absorbance into a cholesterol concentration with the standard curve. Then, we applied a 0.8 coefficient (80 μg of cholesterol absorbed as 100 μg of total lipids [25]). We multiplied by 15 to reach the total volume of the extract and divided by 10 mg of dry worms we had from the start.

2.7. UHPLC-HRMS profiling and research of OC metabolites

Ground lyophilized worm powder was extracted twice with 2 mL of DCM:MeOH 8:2, vortexed and ultrasonicated. The organic phases were gathered and dried. The 3 batches of worms exposed to OC were extracted in duplicate and analyzed. Chemical analyses were performed on samples diluted in DCM:MeOH 8:2 as already described for the coral *P. damicornis* [20]. Data were analyzed with Thermo Freestyle 1.6. OC-fatty acid conjugate sodium adducts collision-induced fragmentation yielded 2 typical products at m/z 272.0682 and 382.1778 (Figure 1). The corresponding ion chromatograms were extracted from the MS² trace. Where peaks were detected, the parent ion was designated as a putative OC derivative and was annotated by examination of mass spectrometry data as reported by Stien et al. [20].




Figure 1. OC-fatty acid conjugates common products in collision-induced fragmentation of sodiated molecular ions

2.8. Statistical analyses

The t test was performed to compare the concentrations of UV filters in the sediments between days 0 and 28 using software R 4.0.3. The significance level was set at $p < 0.05$.

3. Results and discussion

H. diversicolor was exposed to different UV filters for 28 days in a water sediment system. The worms did not show any avoidance behavior toward the spiked sediments and all the worms had burrowed after 24 h of sediment contact. This suggests that all organisms were exposed to the contaminated sediment in the same way. Additionally, UV filters did not affect the survival of worms (data not shown). To assess the potential bioaccumulation of the UV filters, we measured the concentration of each of the UV filters in the sediment on day 0 and day 28, as well as the UV filter concentration in the exposed worms (Table 2). The lipid content of each batch of worms was also measured.

For 6 UV filters, there was no significant difference between the sediment concentrations at D0 and D28 (t-test, $p > 0.05$) (Table 2). For BP3 we observed a 24.7 ± 6.5 % loss from D0 to D28 (t-test, $p = 5.27 \cdot 10^{-4}$). One reason might be the higher water solubility of BP3. Further, BP3 accumulated in the worms, a phenomenon that could also be responsible for the lower sediment concentration at D28 (Table 2).

Table 2 shows UV filter concentrations in the worms after 28 days of exposure. Concentrations ranged from 0.43 ± 0.07 $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}$ dw for BM to 33.90 ± 10.09 $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}$ dw for BP3. All UV filters were able to migrate from the sediment to the biotic compartment but, except for BP3, we observed no bioaccumulation of the UV filters after 28 days of exposure (BSAF < 1). The BSAF for BP3 was 12.38 ± 4.65 . This is in line with Blüthgen et al. [27], in which the BP3 bioconcentration factor in zebrafish was between 19 and 94. Additionally, it was also demonstrated in the literature, a potential transfer of BP3 in the food web with a trophic magnification factor of 1.23 [28]. Since marine worms are prey for many marine animals, these results show that BP3 may represent a risk for the health of aquatic ecosystems. Indeed, BP3 was shown to disrupt the endocrine system by inducing estrogenic activity in an *in vitro* gene assay of zebrafish [29] and to induce a vitellogenin protein in male Japanese rice fish [30]. BP3 can also cause developmental

neurotoxicity in zebrafish [31]. The genotoxic potential of this UV filter was also demonstrated in the coral *Stylophora pistillata* [32].

Table 2. Concentration of UV filters found in sediments at day 0 and day 28 ($\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}$ dry weight), lipid content in worms at day 28 (μg dry weight), concentrations of UV filters in *Hediste diversicolor* at the end of the 28-day exposure ($\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}$ dry weight), and Biota-Sediment Accumulation Factor (BSAF) calculated for each UV filter. The percentage of total organic carbon (% TOC) used for calculation of BSAF was 5%.

	$C_{\text{sed}}/D0^a$	$C_{\text{sed}}/D28^a$	Lipid cont. ^b	$C_{\text{worms}}/d28^c$	BSAF
BEMT	6.7 ± 1.1	6.7 ± 1.3	130.9 ± 11.2	2.5 ± 0.8	0.2 ± 0.0
BM	2.9 ± 0.5	2.9 ± 0.7	81.8 ± 8.9	0.4 ± 0.1	0.1 ± 0.0
BP3	5.0 ± 1.2	2.5 ± 0.5	62.7 ± 8.6	33.9 ± 10.0	12.4 ± 4.6
DBT	7.0 ± 3.3	6.4 ± 1.4	104.7 ± 8.8	4.5 ± 0.8	0.3 ± 0.0
ES	4.0 ± 1.8	3.6 ± 2.2	88.4 ± 3.8	1.6 ± 0.3	0.3 ± 0.1
MBBT	6.4 ± 1.6	5.5 ± 2.9	75.7 ± 1.8	1.3 ± 1.1	0.1 ± 0.0
OC	6.1 ± 1.6	7.2 ± 1.0	64.7 ± 3.5	2.2 ± 0.3	0.2 ± 0.0

^aConcentration in sediment at day 0 and day 28, expressed in $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}$ dw; ^bLipid content in worms at day 28, expressed in μg dw; ^cConcentration in worms at day 28, expressed in $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}$ dw.

Based on this standard protocol for measuring BSAF, OC does not appear to bioaccumulate. However, in previous work in which we measured the effect of OC on the coral *Pocillopora damicornis*, we demonstrated that OC was transformed in the coral and concluded that measuring the concentration of unmodified OC was not sufficient to assess its actual BSAF [20]. Since similar OC derivatives have been detected in human urine [33,34], questions as to whether this process of oxidation and esterification of OC in animals might be wide spread and may thus result in the global underestimation of OC contamination and bioaccumulation arose. Therefore, the extracts of the OC-exposed worms were analyzed by LC-MS/MS to search for possible OC derivatives. We were able to annotate 11 OC-fatty acid conjugates in the worms exposed to OC (Figure 2, Table 3). Six of the OC metabolites were identical to those already described from the metabolome of *Pocillopora damicornis* coral exposed to OC [20]. Another 5 compounds bearing somewhat more saturated fatty acids than those with the same chain lengths from the coral were new (C18:0, C20:1, C20:2, C22:2). Compounds 1-11 analytical data are provided in the Supporting Information. Clearly, as for the coral, these compounds were biosynthesized by the worms by oxidation of the ethylhexyl side chain and subsequent esterification of the hydroxyl group with fatty acids originating from the pool of fatty acids available in the worms. This result is all the more disturbing because in the case of this marine worm, the OC derivatives peak integrations were between 50 and 1800 times larger than the untransformed OC peak integration. Similarly, we have previously found peaks up to 100 times higher than OC chromatographic peak integration in corals, and concluded that the actual OC accumulation rate in the ocean food chain should be re-evaluated and that future titrations should include OC fatty acid conjugates [20]. *H. diversicolor* is known to be an active metabolizer of organic contaminants such as benzo[a]pyrene as reported by Driscoll & McElroy [16]. This may explain why the OC derivatives were detected in such a high proportion in worm tissues. The sum of OC derivative relative integrations is 5869, indicating that the quantification of OC alone may underestimate the overall OC derivative concentration by a factor of several thousand while when calculated with OC alone, the BSAF was 0.23 (Table 2). Hence it is reasonable to conclude that OC actually bioaccumulates in worms in the form of OC-fatty acid conjugates.




Figure 2. General structure of OC-fatty acid conjugates. The substitution site is undetermined, and the R group is a saturated or unsaturated linear alkyl chain (see Table 3).

Table 3. OC-fatty acid conjugates annotated in *H. diversicolor* worms exposed to OC.

Cmpd. #	–O ₂ CR	t _R (min)	Exp. m/z ^a	Rel. area ^b
1	C16:0	15.44	638.4177	543
2	C18:0	16.46	666.4500	553
3	C18:1	15.52	664.4338	713
4	C18:1	15.67	664.4330	384
5	C20:1	16.51	692.4647	1767
6	C20:2	15.67	690.4496	676
7	C20:2	15.77	690.4495	749
8	C20:3	14.99	688.4339	120
9	C20:5	13.71	684.4025	47
10	C22:2	16.63	718.4806	263
11	C22:6	14.05	710.4181	54

^a[M+Na]⁺ ion; ^bAverage peak area relative to average OC peak area, on 3 replicates.

4. Conclusions

We show that all 7 UV filters tested in this study can disperse in a complex medium and migrate to the biotic compartment. However, only BP3 was demonstrated to bioaccumulate (BSAF > 1). The use of this UV filter represents a potential risk to marine ecosystems because BP3 might be biomagnified and exert many direct and indirect toxic effects through the food web.

Although parent OC did not appear to accumulate in worms (BSAF < 1), we detected 11 more lipophilic metabolites in the fatty tissues of the exposed worms. The very high relative integration of OC derivative peaks suggests that OC might in fact accumulate and that the total OC derivative BSAF might be very high. Our results further demonstrate that the study of any parent organic UV filters alone may largely underestimate the exposure of organisms to xenobiotics and that xenobiotic metabolites should be annotated and included in the measurement of BSAF to better assess the risk for bioaccumulation of xenobiotics in living beings.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, P.L.; data curation, E.T., S.K.F., F.C., D.S.; formal analysis, D.S., A.M.S.R.; funding acquisition, P.L.; project administration, P.L.; investigation, F.C., S.K.F., E.T.; methodology, F.C., S.K.F., E.T.; Roles/writing-original draft, F.C.; writing-review & editing, D.S., P.L.

Funding: The work reported in this article was financed in the context of the Pierre Fabre Skin Protect Ocean Respect action (Grant Number 2018-02108). It was neither supervised nor audited by Pierre Fabre Laboratories. The interpretation and views expressed in this manuscript are not those of the company.

Acknowledgments: We thank the BIO2MAR platform from the Observatoire Océanologique de Banyuls-sur-Mer for providing technical support and access to instrumentation.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

- Lozano, C.; Givens, J.; Stien, D.; Matallana-Surget, S.; Lebaron, P. Bioaccumulation and toxicological effects of UV-filters on marine species. *Sunscreens in Coastal Ecosystems: Occurrence, Behavior, Effect and Risk*. The Handbook of Environmental Chemistry, 94, pp. 85-130.
- Huang, Y.; Law, J. C.; Lam, T. K.; Leung, K. S. Risks of organic UV filters: a review of environmental and human health concern studies. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2021**, *755*, 142486.

- 304 3. Ramos, S.; Homem, V.; Alves, A.; Santos, L. Advances in analytical methods and occurrence of or-
305 ganic UV-filters in the environment — A review. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2015**, *526*, 278-311.
- 306
- 307 4. Fagervold, S. K.; Rodrigues, A. M. S.; Rohée, C.; Roe, C.; Bourrain, M.; Stien, D.; Lebaron P. Oc-
308 currence and environmental distribution of 5 UV filters during the summer season in different water
309 bodies. *Water Air Soil Pollut.* **2019**, *230*, 172.
- 310
- 311 5. Sang, Z. and Leung, K. S.-Y. Environmental occurrence and ecological risk assessment of organic
312 UV filters in marine organisms from Hong-Kong coastal waters. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2016**, *566*, 489-
313 498.
- 314
- 315 6. He, K.; Hain, E.; Timm, A.; Tarnowski, M.; Blaney, L. Occurrence of antibiotics, estrogenic hor-
316 mones, and UV-filters in water, sediment, and oyster tissue from the Chesapeake Bay. *Sci. Total En-
317 viron.* **2019**, *650*, 3101-3109.
- 318
- 319 7. Peng, X.; Fan, Y.; Jin, J.; Xiong, S.; Liu, J.; Tang, C. Bioaccumulation and biomagnification of ul-
320 traviolet absorbents in marine wildlife of the Pearl River Estuarine, South China Sea. *Environ.
321 Pollut.* **2017**, *225*, 55-65.
- 322
- 323 8. Cunha, S. C.; Trabalón, L.; Jacobs, S.; Castro, M.; Fernandez-Tejedor, M.; Granby, K.; Verbeke, W.;
324 Kwadijk, C.; Ferrari, F.; Robbens, J.; Sioen, I.; Pocurull, E.; Marques, A.; Fernandes, J. O.; Domin-
325 go, J. L. UV-filters and musk fragrances in seafood commercialized in Europe Union: occurrence,
326 risk and exposure assessment. *Environ. Res.* **2018**, *161*, 399-408.
- 327
- 328 9. Tsui, M. M. P.; Lam, J. C. W.; Ng, T. Y.; Ang, P. O.; Murphy, M. B.; Lam, P. K. S. Occurrence, dis-
329 tribution, and fate of organic UV filters in coral communities. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2017**, *5*, 4182-
330 4190.
- 331
- 332 10. Mitchelmore, C. L.; He, K.; Gonsior, M.; Hain, E.; Heyes, A.; Clark, C.; Younger, R.; Schmitt-
333 Kopplin, P.; Feerick, A.; Conway, A.; Blaney, L. Occurrence and distribution of UV-filters and other
334 anthropogenic contaminants in coastal surface water, sediment, and coral tissue from Hawaii. *Sci.
335 Total Environ.* **2019**, *670*, 398-410.
- 336
- 337 11. Langford, K. H.; Reid, M. J.; Fjeld, E.; Øxnevad, S.; Thomas, K. V. Environmental occurrence and
338 risk of organic UV filters and stabilizers in multiple matrices in Norway. *Environ. Int.* **2015**, *80*, 1-7.
- 339
- 340 12. Gago-Ferrero, P.; Alonso, M. B.; Bertozzi, C. P.; Marigo, J.; Barbosa, L.; Cremer, M.; Secchi, E. R.;
341 Domit, C.; Azevedo, A.; Lailson-Brito, Jr. J.; Torres, J. P. M.; Malm, O.; Eljarrat, E.; Díaz-Cruz, M.
342 S.; Barceló, D. First Determination of UV Filters in Marine Mammals. Octocrylene Levels in
343 Franciscana Dolphins. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2013**, *47*, 5619-5625.
- 344
- 345 13. Molins-Delgado, D.; Máñez, M.; Andreu, A.; Hiraldo, F.; Eljarrat, E.; Barceló, D.; Díaz-Cruz, M. S.
346 A Potential New Threat to Wild Life: Presence of UV Filters in Bird Eggs from a Preserved Area.
347 *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2017**, *51*, 10983-10990.
- 348
- 349 14. Davey, J. T. The architecture of the burrow of *Nereis diversicolor* and its quantification in relation to
350 sediment-water exchange. *J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol.* **1994**, *179*, 115-129.
- 351
- 352 15. Scaps, P. A review of the biology, ecology and potential use of the common ragworm *Hediste*
353 *diversicolor* (O. F. Müller) (Annelida: Polychaeta). *Hydrobiol.* **2002**, *470*, 203-218.
- 354

- 355 16. Driscoll, S. K. & McElroy, A. E. Bioaccumulation and metabolism of benzo(a)pyrene in three spe-
356 cies of polychaete worms. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* **1996**, *15*, 1401-1410.
- 357
- 358 17. Cong, Y.; Banta, G. T.; Selck, H.; Berhanu, D.; Valsami-Jones, E.; Forbes, V. E. Toxicity and bioac-
359 cumulation of sediment-associated silver nanoparticles in the estuarine polychaete, *Nereis (Hediste)*
360 *diversicolor*. *Aquat. Toxicol.* **2014**, *156*, 106-115.
- 361
- 362 18. Picone, M.; Delaney, E.; Tagliapietra, D.; Guarneri, I.; Ghirardini, A. V. Bioaccumulation of poly-
363 chlorinated dibenzo-p-Dioxins (PCDDs) and dibenzofurans (PVDFs) in *Hediste diversicolor*
364 (polychaeta: nereididae). *Front. Ecol. Evol.* **2020**, *8*, 235.
- 365
- 366 19. Ruus, A.; Schaanning, M.; Øxnevad, S.; Hylland, K. Experimental results on bioaccumulation of
367 metals and organic contaminants from marine sediments. *Aquat. Toxicol.* **2005**, *72*, 273-292.
- 368
- 369 20. Stien, D.; Clergeaud, F.; Rodrigues, A. M. S.; Lebaron, K.; Pillot, R.; Romans, P.; Fagervold, S.;
370 Lebaron P. Metabolomics reveal that octocrylene accumulates in *Pocillopora damicornis* tissues as
371 fatty acid conjugates and triggers coral cell mitochondrial dysfunction. *Anal. Chem.* **2019**, *91*, 990–
372 995.
- 373
- 374 21. Le Bihanic, F.; Perrichon, P.; Landi, L.; Clérandeau, C.; Le Menach, K.; Budzinski, H.; Cousin, X.;
375 Cachot, J. Development of a reference artificial sediment for chemical testing adapted to the MELA
376 sediment contact assay. *Environ. Sci. Pollut.* **2014**, *21*, 13689–13702.
- 377
- 378 22. *Test No. 225: Sediment-Water Lumbriculus Toxicity Test Using Spiked Sediment*, OECD Guidelines
379 for the Testing of Chemicals, Section 2, Éditions OCDE, Paris, 2007.
- 380
- 381 23. *Test No. 315: Bioaccumulation in Sediment-dwelling Benthic Oligochaetes*, OECD Guidelines for
382 the Testing of Chemicals, Section 3, Éditions OCDE, Paris, 2008.
- 383
- 384 24. Rodrigues, A. M. S.; Lebaron, P.; Downs, C. A.; Stien, D. Optimization method for titrating sun-
385 screen organic ultraviolet filters in coastal sands. *J. Sep. Sci.* **2021**, *44*, 3338-3347.
- 386
- 387 25. Barnes, H. & Blackstock, J. Estimation of lipids in marine animals and tissues: detailed investigation
388 of the sulphophosphanillin method for ‘total’ lipids. *J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol.* **1973**, *12*, 103-118.
- 389
- 390 26. Inouye, L. S. & Lotufo, G. R. Comparison of macro-gravimetric and micro-colorimetric lipid deter-
391 mination methods. *Talanta* **2006**, *70*, 584-587.
- 392
- 393 27. Blüthgen, N.; Zucchi, S.; Fent, K. Effects of the UV-filter benzophenone-3 (oxybenzone) at low con-
394 centrations in zebrafish (*Danio rerio*). *Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol.* **2012**, *263*, 184-194.
- 395
- 396 28. Yang, H.; Lu, G.; Yan, Z.; Liu, J.; Dong, H.; Bao, X.; Zhang, X.; Sun, Y. Residues, bioaccumulation,
397 and trophic transfer of pharmaceuticals and personal care products in highly urbanized rivers affect-
398 ed by water diversion. *J. Hazard. Mater.* **2020**, *391*, 122245.
- 399
- 400 29. Schreurs, R.; Lanser, P.; Seinen, W.; van der Burg, B. Estrogenic activity of UV-filters determined
401 by an in vitro reporter gene assay and an in vivo transgenic Zebrafish assay. *Arch. Toxicol.* **2002**, *76*,
402 257-261.
- 403
- 404

- 405 30. Schneider, S. L. & Lim, H. W. Review of environmental effects of oxybenzone and other sunscreen
406 active ingredients. *J. Am. Acad. Dermatol.* **2019**, *80*, 266–271.
- 407
- 408 31. Tao, J.; Bai, C.; Chen, Y.; Zhou, H.; Liu, Y.; Shi, Q.; Pan, W.; Dong, H.; Li, L.; Xu, H.; Tanguay,
409 R.; Huang, C.; Dong, Q. Environmental relevant concentrations of benzophenone-3 induced devel-
410 opmental neurotoxicity in zebrafish. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2020**, *721*, 137686.
- 411
- 412 32. Downs, C.A.; Kramarsky-Winter, E.; Segal, R.; Fauth, J.; Knutson, S.; Bronstein, O.; Ciner, F.R.;
413 Jeger, R.; Lichtenfeld, Y.; Woodley, C.M.; Pennington, P.; Cadenas, K.; Kushmaro, A.; Loya, Y.
414 Toxicopathological effects of the sunscreen UV filter, oxybenzone (benzophenone-3), on coral
415 planulae and cultured primary cells and its environmental contamination in Hawaii and the U.S. Vir-
416 gin Islands. *Arch. Environ. Contam.* **2016**, *70*, 265–288.
- 417
- 418 33. Bury, D.; Belov, V.; Yulin, Q.; Hayen, H.; Volmer, D. A.; Brüning, T.; Koch, H. M. Determination
419 of urinary metabolites of the emerging UV filter octocrylene by online-SPE-LC-MS/MS. *Anal.*
420 *Chem.* **2018**, *90*, 944–951.
- 421
- 422 34. Bury, D.; Modick-Biermann, H.; Leibold, E.; Brüning, T.; Koch H. M. Urinary metabolites of the
423 UV filter octocrylene in humans as biomarkers of exposure. *Arch. Toxicol.* **2019**, *93*, 1227–1238.
- 424