



**HAL**  
open science

## Phase transitions under differential stress: Deviatoric stresses or pressure?

Sebastian Cionoiu, Lucie Tajcmanova, Evangelos Moulas, Holger Stünitz

### ► To cite this version:

Sebastian Cionoiu, Lucie Tajcmanova, Evangelos Moulas, Holger Stünitz. Phase transitions under differential stress: Deviatoric stresses or pressure?. 20th EGU General Assembly, 2018, Vienne, Austria. pp.18504. hal-03552809

**HAL Id: hal-03552809**

**<https://hal.science/hal-03552809v1>**

Submitted on 2 Feb 2022

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License



## Phase transitions under differential stress: Deviatoric stresses or pressure?

Sebastian Cionoiu (1), Lucie Tajcmanova (1), Evangelos Moulas (2), Holger Stünitz (3,4)

(1) Department of Earth Sciences, ETH Zürich, Switzerland (sebastian-ci@erdw.ethz.ch), (2) Institut des Sciences de la Terre (ISTE), University of Lausanne, Switzerland, (3) Department of Geology, University of Tromsø, Norway, (4) Institut des Sciences de la Terre d'Orléans (ISTO), Université d'Orléans, France

We performed deformation experiments for the Calcite-Aragonite system in a (Griggs-Type) solid medium deformation apparatus, using different sample geometries. The confining pressure ( $\sigma_3$ ) was maintained below the Calcite-Aragonite transition while the first principal stress ( $\sigma_1$ ) exceeded the transition pressure, changing with sample strength. Thus, the bulk sample pressure,  $P_{3D} = (\sigma_1 + 2\sigma_3)/3$  was closely varying around the transition pressure.

Light microscopy, Raman spectroscopy and electron backscatter diffraction (EBSD) show systematic, strongly heterogeneous patterns in the distribution of the phases, grain-sizes and deformation. These result from stress, strain and pressure variations within the sample. To better understand different mechanisms contributing to the phase transition and grain size reduction, the local distribution of first order parameters as pressure, stress and strain must be known.

We performed numerical modelling in order to quantify the stress, pressure and strain distribution within the deforming sample. The numerical results are compared to the stress distribution, as inferred from grain size, and finite strain. These show a good fit with modelling results using a viscous power law rheology. As the distribution of stress and strain in the numerical model matches the experiments, the modelled pressure is expected to depict the experimental conditions as well.

Finally, patterns of modelled pressure, stresses and strain are compared to experimentally produced phase-transition patterns. From this comparison the confining stress ( $\sigma_3$ ) can be excluded as being a driving force for the phase transition. However, the discrimination between local  $\sigma_1$  and local pressure (defined like  $P_{3D}$ ) still needs better quantification regarding experimental measurements.