

Detector-electrode for alpha spectrometry in water sample, numerical and early feasibility investigation toward thermocompression bonding assembly process

N. Schmitt, S. Colas, N. Saurel, F. Bassignot, B. Vuillemin, Jean-Emmanuel

Groetz

► To cite this version:

N. Schmitt, S. Colas, N. Saurel, F. Bassignot, B. Vuillemin, et al.. Detector-electrode for alpha spectrometry in water sample, numerical and early feasibility investigation toward thermocompression bonding assembly process. Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment, 2020, 953, pp.163270. 10.1016/j.nima.2019.163270. hal-03549016

HAL Id: hal-03549016 https://hal.science/hal-03549016

Submitted on 11 Feb2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Detector-electrode for alpha spectrometry in water sample, numerical and early feasibility investigation toward thermocompression bonding assembly process

N. Schmitt^{a,b}, S. Colas^b, N. Saurel^b, F. Bassignot^c, B. Vuillemin^d, J.E. Groetz^a

^aLaboratoire Chrono-Environnement, UMR CNRS 6249, Université de Bourgogne Franche-Comté, F-25030 Besançon, France ^bCEA/Valduc, F-21120 Is-sur-Tille, France ^cFEMTO Engineering, 15B avenue des Montboucons, F-25030 Besançon, France ^dInstitut Carnot de Bourgogne, UMR CNRS 6303, Université de Bourgogne Franche-Comté, F-21078 Dijon, France

Abstract

This study focuses on the feasibility of a detector-electrode for direct alpha measurement in aqueous samples. Such a device could be made by adding a boron doped diamond electrode on top of a standard silicon detector, with bonding and insulating layers. The impact of these different layers has been investigated by Monte-Carlo simulation (MCNP6), to find a compromise between alpha detection of the silicon, electrode and shielding properties of the diamond. The assembly process involving thermocompression between both substrates was successfully achieved under a clean room conditions.

Keywords: Alpha particle spectrometry, CVD diamond, Electrode, Monte-Carlo simulation, Thermocompression bonding, Silicon detector

1 1. Introduction

In the field of nuclear measurement, alpha particle spectrometry is used to identify radionuclides, mainly actinides, and to quantify them through their activity. It is carried out usually by using two different methods which require several chemical processes for the separation of radionuclides, in order to generate a radioactive source. A common method involves deposition of radionuclides of interest on a disc, whose the identification

Preprint submitted to Elsevier

December 2, 2019

⁷ and quantification are measured in a vacuum chamber. The radioactive source should be ⁸ as thin as possible in order to prevent energy loss and straggling by self-absorption and to ⁹ identify alpha-emitting radionuclides by energy discrimination in the energy spectrum. ¹⁰ This identification is performed through the centroid of peaks and the area beneath ¹¹ the peaks corresponds to the total activity of radionuclides. As a second method, al-¹² pha counting could be performed with organic liquid scintillators, with a high counting ¹³ efficiency [1].

In the family of semiconductor detectors, the passivated planar detectors are the most 14 used for alpha activity monitoring and radionuclides identification in the environment. 15 The PIPS[®] detectors (Passivated Ion implant Planar Silicon) used in alpha spectrometry 16 can not withstand an aggressive chemical and acid solution such as in nuclear effluents, 17 making these detectors unappropriated for a direct measurement in situ [2], which would 18 require to overlay the $PIPS^{\textcircled{R}}$ with a protective material [3]. As a potential candidate, 19 diamond materials exhibit radiation hardness and chemical inertness properties. These 20 properties made it possible for polycrystalline diamond to be successfully used as an 21 immersed detector of alpha particles in a concentrated plutonium solution in nitric acid 22 $(2 \text{ mol } l^{-1})$, with a ²³⁹Pu concentration ranging from 10 to 100 mg l⁻¹ [4]. In this 23 configuration, the path length of the alpha track before reaching the detector corresponds 24 to the thickness of the liquid crossed by alpha particles, limited by their range in water, 25 i.e. a few tens of micrometer. This technique can only measure active material close to 26 the detector. In particular, it is suitable for real-time extremely high activity levels but 27 not for monitoring low activities in water. 28

After this early success, diamond detector was improved by the use of synthetic di-29 amond as an active alpha-detector [5–9]. High quality diamond film can be produced 30 by chemical vapor deposition (CVD) from a carbon precursor such as CH_4 or CO_2 and 31 hydrogen. The carbon species and hydrogen must be activated since at low pressure, 32 graphite is thermodynamically stable, and without activation, only graphite would be 33 formed. Activation can be obtained at high temperature with a hot filament heated up 34 to 2600 K, then atomic hydrogen is formed and the carbon species become activated 35 in the vicinity of the hot metal. This method made possible the formation of poly-36 crystalline CVD diamond on large areas. Nucleation is a critical phase in the diamond 37

deposition process due to its strong influence on film roughness and pinhole formation. The substrate surface must be treated before deposition to achieve a high nuclei density. As the temperature of the process is around 800-900°C and high nuclei density is easier to achieve on carbide-forming material, diamond films are generally deposited on silicon-based material (Si, SiC, Si₃N₄) or refractory metals and alloys [10].

In order to perform direct alpha spectrometry in a liquid sample, a preliminary work 43 suggested combining the detection properties of a $PIPS^{\textcircled{R}}$ detector with the diamond 44 shielding and electrode properties to develop an hybrid Si-diamond detector electrode. 45 This type of detector has been manufactured by de Sanoit et al. with a process of 46 boron doped diamond chemical vapor deposition on the surface of a commercial PIPS[®] 47 detector [11, 12]. The process consists in depositing actinides using an electrochemical 48 technique directly onto the surface of the boron doped entrance window of the alpha 49 detector, acting as a cathode [13, 14]. Then, the alpha activity of the deposited actinides 50 could be measured by the $PIPS^{(\mathbb{R})}$ detector. 51

However, the high temperature required in the diamond CVD process on silicon substrates could significantly reduce the detection properties of the PIPS[®] diode. The diffusion of implanted ions in silicon increases the dead zone of the diode, which could impact on the path of alpha particles before reaching the active part of the detector. In order to prevent this major drawback, it is imperative to avoid thermal processes and another method should be investigated.

The use of a metal layer such gold or indium as a bonding layer between two materials 58 could be an attractive alternative [15]. The application of such an innovative process will 59 be a real breakthrough to the fabrication of a detector electrode. For this aim, the 60 impact of the different layers and the feasibility of bonding a diamond electrode on a 61 silicon detector has been studied. Monte-Carlo simulation has been used to design the 62 new silicon detector by investigating the influence of the shielding, bonding, insulating 63 and source layers on the detection properties over a range of alpha particle energies from 64 4 to 5.5 MeV. Afterwards, a preliminary feasibility study has been realised, followed by 65 the development of the fabrication process using boron doped diamond electrode and 66 silicon detector. 67

68 2. Material, device and simulation

69 2.1. Material

70 2.1.1. Electroprecipitation and source deposition

In order to carry out in situ direct measurements in effluents, the surface of the detector should be in contact with the liquid sample containing the radionuclides. In this configuration, an actinide electroprecipitation can be realised to form a radioactive source on the detector surface. Such a method involves the use of a potential gradient between two electrodes to materialise an hydroxide layer on the cathode surface, which is the required condition for the actinide precipitation (Fig. 1).



Figure 1: Diagram of the actinide electroprecipitation between two working electrodes.

76

In aqueous solution, the range of potential is limited by the electrochemical water 77 decomposition (through the system H_2/H^+ on the reduction side and the system H_2O/O_2 78 on the oxidation side). Performing the electrochemical reduction of dissolved oxygen 79 in water (or water reduction) locally modifies the pH near the surface of the working 80 electrode, that results in the formation of a base layer (pH 10-11 for the dissolved oxygen 81 reduction, pH 12-13 for the water reduction). Increasing the pH of an aqueous solution 82 containing actinide ions changes the structure of these ions: protons are expelled from 83 the internal hydration sphere. In this way, the actinide ions accumulate hydroxyl ions 84 (OH⁻) in the internal sphere and form monohydroxo or polynuclear complexes. As final 85 products, solid hydroxides precipitate on the cathode surface if the solubility product is 86 exceeded [12–14]. As a preliminary study, such a process on a diamond electrode has 87 been performed in a sodium sulfate aqueous solution (0.3 mol l^{-1} , pH= 4) for a thorium 88

concentration of 10⁻³ mol l⁻¹. The experiment was carried out inside a laminar mixing cell of 300 ml, using chronoamperometry method with an applied voltage of -1 V during 20 hours. After drying, a yellow deposition was observed on the surface of the diamond electrode (Fig 2, left) and the thorium was identified by gamma spectrometry through its progenies, ²²⁸Ac, ²¹²Pb and ²⁰⁸Tl (Fig. 2, right).

It should be noted that this deposition was obtained after stopping the electroprecipitation and evaporating the solution. So, the exact composition of the actinide gel formed at the electrode surface in the solution is not very well known, together with its thickness and density. Therefore, the radioactive source was supposed homogeneous, with a density similar to that of water.



Figure 2: Left: Thorium deposited on the surface of a boron doped diamond electrode. Right: Gamma spectrum of thorium deposited on the boron doped diamond electrode.

99 2.1.2. Detector

¹⁰⁰ The detector investigated has been approximated by a slab of pure silicon with a ¹⁰¹ 2.54-cm diameter and 300- μ m thickness with a density of 2.33 g cm⁻³, on top of which ¹⁰² a diamond electrode of the same area is bonded with a Ti-Au layer. This bonding layer ¹⁰³ is composed of:

• 100 nm of gold with a density of 19.30 g cm⁻³,

• 50 nm of titanium with a density of 4.51 g cm⁻³. The use of Ti in the bonding
 layer facilitates Au adhesion on Si and SiO₂.

¹⁰⁷ In the assembly process, this bonding layer is deposited on both parts, i.e. on the PIPS[®]

¹⁰⁸ detector and on the diamond electrode.

109 110

As for the diamond electrode, it is made up of two different layers:

- the electrical insulating layer : silicon oxide SiO_2 with 500-nm thick with a density of 2.65 g cm⁻³, and a silicon nitride Si_3N_4 with 200-nm thick with a density of 3.44 g cm⁻³, used in the diamond chemical vapor deposition process to strengthen the adhesion of the diamond layer on SiO_2 .
- the shielding layer is a polycrystalline diamond electrode with a density of 3.52 g cm⁻³ and doped with boron at around 8000 ppm, with an optimal thickness which
 was investigated by Monte-Carlo simulation.

¹¹⁸ Without information on the energy resolution, the simulated detector is supposed ¹¹⁹ ideal.

Fig. 3 shows the stacking of all different layers, corresponding to the different configurations of the detector:

• bare Si diode, corresponding to the $PIPS^{(i)}$ detector,

- PIPS[®] detector with the electrical insulating layer (SiO_2/Si_3N_4)
- PIPS[®] detector with the insulating layer and the shielding layer in CVD diamond.
 This configuration would correspond to the growth of CVD diamond on the PIPS[®]
 detector, which was the first process investigated by de Sanoit et al.
- PIPS[®] detector with the bonding layer of Ti/Au for the assembly with the insulating layer and the CVD diamond. The last diagram corresponds to this project with a gold layer for the bonding of the diamond electrode on the Si diode. The use of Ti in the bonding layer facilitates Au adhesion on Si and SiO₂.

131 2.2. Monte-Carlo simulation

The MCNP6 (Monte-Carlo N-Particle) code [18] was used to model the detector with the different added layers and a radioactive water source, with a density of 1 g cm^{-3} , in accordance with section 2.1.1. The considered case uses an aqueous solution



Figure 3: Diagram (not to scale) of the different slabs used to study the impact of the coating layers on alpha particle measurement.

containing diluted ²⁴¹Am (5.49 MeV) for a mono energetic source, as well as ²⁴¹Am, 135 ²³⁹Pu (5.16 MeV) and ²³⁵U (4.40 MeV) for a multi energetic source. Alpha particles 136 were generated randomly throughout the volume of the simulated source, with an energy 137 cut-off lowered to 1 keV. The observable or estimator, called tally in MCNP6, used for 138 modelling the detector response is the F8 tally, which provides the pulse height created by 139 alpha particles in the detector. Each run used 10^6 alpha particle as histories (one history 140 is the complete description for one particle of its transport and interactions through 141 matter) and the uncertainties on the number of counts were about 1.1%. 142

Energy loss for alpha particles is directly linked to the stopping power in every material (Fig. 4). Monte-Carlo simulation enables to take into account the energy and range straggling, behind the spread of energies after the monoenergetic alpha particles pass through a given thickness of material slab [1].

¹⁴⁷ 3. Simulation results

¹⁴⁸ 3.1. Influence of the diamond layer thickness

The constraints for the thickness of the diamond layer concern mainly the limitation of the particle energy attenuation, the modification of peak shape (width and height) and the conservation of the diamond properties as electrode. The thickness for the diamond layer investigated ranges from 0.1 to 1 μ m, corresponding to the minimal deposited thickness achievable by the industrial partner, NeoCoat (La Chaux-de-Fonds, Switzerland), and an arbitrary maximum source thickness until total attenuation of alpha particles by the diamond layer.



Figure 4: Stopping power of water, gold, silicon and silicon dioxide normalized to their densities [17].

As Fig. 5 shows, the peak shape does not change from 0.1 to 1 μ m, but the energy of the incident alpha particle shifts linearly to the lower energies and the height of the peak decreases. During its path in diamond, the energy of the incident alpha particle is attenuated. The thicker the diamond, the more the particle loses energy during its crossing. Increasing the thickness of the diamond layer broadens the peak. For such a thickness, the surface roughness, typically between 10 and 30 nm, could have a weak influence on the detection.



Figure 5: Plot of the simulated alpha spectra as a function of CVD diamond thickness.

162 163

Another parameter to take into account is the resistivity of the electrode: according

to the boron doping level, a diamond electrode of 100 nm has approximately a resistivity of 20 m Ω cm, while a thicker diamond electrode of 1 μ m could reach a resistivity of 5 m Ω cm, due to the effect of grain boundary. So our choice must be a compromise between the lower thickness and the best conductivity. In this way, optimal working electrode properties requires a thickness between 0.3 and 0.4 μ m. For these thicknesses, decrease in full height was between 31.8% and 42.8%.

¹⁷⁰ 3.2. Attenuation by insulating, shielding and bonding layers

Fig. 6 shows the initial alpha peak on the Si-diode, then with the presence of different slabs (insulating, bonding and diamond shielding, as shown in Fig. 3). Table 1 gives some parameter values characterizing the alpha peaks: the centroid, the full height (FH), the full width at half maximum (FWHM) and the area.

For an arbitrarily chosen source thickness of 100 nm, the identification of the most 175 attenuating layer is possible. In this configuration, the insulating layer shifts the centroid 176 2.73% to lower energies and attenuates the intensity (FH) 82.45% from those values of 177 the incident alpha particle in the Si-diode. The shielding and bonding layers provide 178 respectively an attenuation of 5.85% and 2.21% of the initial FH peak (FH_{Si}). The 179 insulating layer increases the FWHM from 0.02 MeV to 0.13 MeV, whereas the shielding 180 layer increases it only from 0.13 to 0.18 MeV and the bonding layer from 0.18 to 0.22 MeV 181 (Table 1). Attenuations due to the bonding and shielding layers are negligible compared 182 to the attenuation due to the insulating layer. 183

Layer	Centroid	FH	FWHM	Normalized	$\Delta FH/FH_{Si}$
	(MeV)	(Normalized counts)	(MeV)	peak area	(%)
Detector (Si $-300 \ \mu m$)	5.49	$1.36.10^{-1}$	0.02	$4.96.10^{-1}$	_
Insulating $(SiO_2 + Si_3N_4 - 700 \text{ nm})$	5.34	$2.39.10^{-2}$	0.13	$4.74.10^{-1}$	82.45
Shielding (Diamond -374 nm)	5.26	$1.59.10^{-2}$	0.18	$4.61.10^{-1}$	5.85
Bonding $(Au+Ti - 150 nm)$	5.19	$1.29.10^{-2}$	0.22	$4.51.10^{-1}$	2.21

Table 1: Characteristics for the simulated alpha peaks according to the different layers.

184 3.3. Source self absorption

¹⁸⁵ Controlling the source thickness on the detector surface could be interesting to limit

the self absorption of alpha particles in order to perform a real identification of radionu-

187 clides in the liquid solution. In this way, a limit of source thickness could be defined,



Figure 6: MCNP6 simulation of the alpha spectra for the different detector configurations shown in Fig. 3. The source is 0.1 μ m thick and it is composed of water containing ²⁴¹Am, with a density of 1 g cm⁻³.

¹⁸⁸ beyond which the apparent activity remains constant (due to a source thickness greater
¹⁸⁹ than alpha particle path), but mainly because the radionuclide identification could not
¹⁹⁰ be performed in the absence of distinctive peaks.

In this configuration, the detector is composed of four different layers described in section 2.1.2 and Fig. 3, with the CVD diamond slab thickness set to 300 nm.

¹⁹³ 3.3.1. Mono-energetic source

For this aim, a mono energetic source, containing ²⁴¹Am (5.49 MeV), has been simulated. The investigated thickness for the source layer, from 0.1 μ m to 10 μ m, corresponds to an ideal source and a more realistic one. The source thickness depends on the time required by actinide electroprecipitation process as well as the hydrodynamics conditions inside the cell. The upper thickness corresponds to the alpha particle range at 5.49 MeV in water and is achievable by micro-fluidic cell or actinide electrodeposition.

Fig. 7 and Table 2 show the impact of the source thickness on the peak shape. As the thickness of the source increases, the height of the peak decreases, also with a growing broadening. With a thickness between 0.1 and 2 μ m, the peak shape appears to be well defined. For thicker sources, beyond 2 μ m, the widening of the peak prevents the identification of its centroid, which is a major concern for alpha spectrometry. However,
the simulated activity decreases linearly as the thickness of the source increases. Such
results suggest that the measurement of the global activity could be performed with this
kind of detector without a complete source formation process.



Figure 7: MCNP6 simulation of the impact of the source thickness on alpha measurement.

Source thickness	Centroid	FH	FWHM	Normalized
(μm)	(MeV)	(Normalized counts)	(MeV)	peak area
0.10	5.18	$1.22.10^{-2}$	0.21	$4.63.10^{-1}$
0.50	5.12	$1.08.10^{-2}$	0.25	$4.59.10^{-1}$
1.00	5.05	$8.47.10^{-3}$	0.31	$4.53.10^{-1}$
2.00	4.93	$5.85.10^{-3}$	0.5	$4.43.10^{-1}$
5.00	4.44	$2.70.10^{-3}$	1.23	$4.11.10^{-1}$
10.00	_	$1.36.10^{-3}$	_	$3.55.10^{-1}$

Table 2: Characteristics of the simulated spectra according to the source thickness.

208 3.3.2. Multi energetic source

In the following case, a multi energetic source, containing ²⁴¹Am (5.49 MeV) ²³⁹Pu (5.16 MeV) and ²³⁵U (4.40 MeV) with the same volumetric activity, has been simulated to investigate the limits for the energy discrimination in such an experimental setup. Fig. 8 shows the influence of source thickness on the shape of peaks related to the three actinides. For a source thickness between 0.1 and 1 μ m, the three peaks remain identifiable. The thicker the source, the wider the peaks and the centroids of the peaks shift to lower energies. At 2 μ m thick, the peak height is truncated, the discrimination between the peaks of ²³⁹Pu and ²⁴¹Am begins to be problematic due to the widening of the FWHM. For a higher source thickness, the distinction between ²³⁵U, ²³⁹Pu and ²⁴¹Am could not be performed, due to the strong self absorption of the source.



Figure 8: MCNP6 alpha particle spectra for a detector-electrode with different source thicknesses containing 241 Am, 239 Pu and 235 U.

219 4. Feasibility study for assembly

220 4.1. Assembly process

Thermocompression bonding at room temperature $(15 - 25^{\circ}C)$ was studied to assemble a boron doped diamond (BDD) electrode on the surface of the silicon detector. This process involves a clean room technique developed by F. Bassignot *et al* [15]: a thin layer of titanium/gold was first deposited by sputtering on the surface of both substrates. These substrates were then pre-bonded by mechanical compression of their metallized surfaces into a wafer bonding machine (EVG 501). Once the pre-bonding was done, the bonding process was completed by applying a strong pressure to the stack with an hydraulic press. This last step should remove most of gluing defects due to surface flatness,
total thickness variation and bow/wrap defects.

For this method, polished surfaces of optical quality were necessary to assure the bonding of the different substrates. A surface roughness of 30 nm and 1 nm were respectively measured for the diamond layer and the silicon detector. The measured surface roughness for both materials is lower than the limit of 80 nm required for a successful thermocompression bonding.

We remind that the electrode structure is composed of a boron doped diamond on a Si₃N₄/SiO₂ layer on a pure silicon wafer, with a total thickness around 1 μ m. Due to the impossibility of handling such a thin material, the simplest way was to deposit on the free diamond surface an insulating layer, eroding the SiO₂ layer to have a surface roughness of 10 nm and then depositing the bonding layer of Ti/Au. Finally, the initial layers SiO₂/Si₃N₄ and Si wafer, on which the CVD diamond growth was made, had to be removed (section 4.3).

242 4.2. Bonding tests

Separate preliminary adhesion tests of the thermocompression bonding layer were 243 performed, for a diamond electrode and a pure silicon wafer (electrode-Si wafer), for 244 a PIPS[®] detector and a pure silicon wafer (detector-Si wafer). The strength of the 245 bonding was investigated by performing tearing test on the silicon layer with a tweezer 246 and mechanical engraving. The electrode-Si wafer bonding was good enough to resist 247 mechanical engraving. The detector-Si wafer bonding was not satisfying, due to the 248 convexity of the detector surface. Removing the detector from its casing reduced the 240 pressure applied on it and also its convexity, which enabled a satisfactory bonding on a 250 silicon wafer (Fig. 9). 251

The final test was for the assembly of the electrode and the PIPS[®] detector (electrodedetector). Sticking the BDD on the detector surface required the deposition of a Si_3N_4/SiO_2 layer on the BDD surface, then mechanically polished to clear surface defects of the electrode. After this intermediate step, the detector-electrode bonding was successfully achieved (Fig. 10).



Figure 9: BDD electrode bonded on top of a silicon wafer (left) and silicon wafer bonded on top of the detector (right)



Figure 10: BDD electrode bonded on top of a PIPS[®] detector with gold (100 nm) and titanium (50 nm). Left: After mechanical etching. Middle: After etching with KOH. Right: After etching with HF-HNO₃.

257 4.3. Surface etching

Once the bonding completed, the etching process of the electrode surface layer was 258 necessary to release the detector active aperture from the original silicon substrate of the 259 BDD. The first step used a mechanical etching to lower the high purity silicon substrate 260 of the BDD electrode to 50 μ m thick. Then the detector has been immersed in a KOH 261 solution, to remove the silicon substrate without damage on the silica layer. This etching 262 step has been followed by a mixed solution of hydrofluoric acid (HF) and nitric acid 263 (HNO_3) to remove the silica and silicone nitride layers above the electrode. Fig. 10 shows 264 that the KOH did not remove successfully the silicon substrate and that the hydrofluoric 265 acid was too aggressive and strongly altered the detector surface, the bonding area and 266 the diamond electrode. Hydrofluoric acid behaves like a gas, the presence of defects at 267 the interface gold-material or Au-Au promotes its diffusion through the material, thus 268 resulting in these unwanted damages (Fig. 10). 269

270 5. Discussion

A 0.3 to 0.4 μ m thin shielding layer of diamond material on top of a standard PIPS[®] detector would have a limited impact with regard to the alpha spectrometry properties

of the device (Fig. 5). However, the insulating layer, required to perform both actinide 273 electro-chemically assisted precipitation and alpha spectrometry, reduces the detection 274 properties of the detector-electrode (Fig. 6). In those cases, a 0.1 μ m thick source has 275 been simulated, that corresponds to an ideal source. The thickness of the source could 276 impact significantly the quality of the alpha spectra, since a ²⁴¹Am source emits alpha 277 particles that are detected at energies below 4 MeV (Fig. 7). Below a source thickness 278 of 2 μ m, the peaks from ²³⁵U, ²³⁹Pu and ²⁴¹Am could be identifiable for an alpha multi-279 energetic source. Above 2 μ m, signals from ²³⁵U and ²³⁹Pu are completely hidden by 280 the signal from 241 Am (Fig. 8). 281

The feasibility study revealed that the detector and the electrode surfaces are not perfectly flat. The thermal process used to produce CVD diamond and silicon detector was responsible of this significant drawback. On the other hand, the pressure applied in its case was causing the convexity of the detector. Opening the case of the PIPS[®] detector allowed to reduce this problem and successfully perform thermocompression bonding with a Ti-Au layer between diamond electrode and silicon detector (Fig. 9).

The surface etching with hydrofluoric acid was too aggressive for the detector (Fig. 10). To prevent this, a dry etching process was chosen and a plasma etching process is thus under study. It allowed to reach a remaining thickness of 1.6 μ m on the surface of the diamond electrode.

292 6. Conclusion

The feasibility of a new alpha detector-electrode, combining Si diode and CVD di-293 amond assembled by thermocompression, and its impact on detection properties were 294 investigated. To preserve the boron doped diamond shielding and electrode properties 295 with a low attenuation of the incident alpha particle energy, the diamond thickness should 296 be kept between 300 nm and 400 nm. The insulating layer is responsible for most of the 297 attenuation in the energy of alpha particles. On the other hand, the contribution of 298 the bonding layer on attenuation could be neglected. For an ideal detector in terms of 299 resolution and an homogeneous source thinner than 2 μ m, the identification of alpha 300 emitters with similar volumetric activities could be performed. Such conditions could be 301 achieved by performing actinides electrodeposition in a well-mixed aqueous solution. 302

303 Concerning the assembly process, thermocompression of diamond electrode on the sili-

³⁰⁴ con detector surface was successfully achieved without visible damage on both substrates.

³⁰⁵ However, this process requires a roughness under 10 nm and can only be performed in

³⁰⁶ clean room conditions. As releasing the active window is still under development, other

³⁰⁷ experiments are focused on the dry attack of the diamond substrates.

308 Aknowledgement

- ³⁰⁹ The authors would like to thank Christophe Provent and David Rats from NeoCoat,
- ³¹⁰ La Chaux-de-Fonds Switzerland (http://www.neocoat.ch), for the valuable discussions
- ³¹¹ and supplying the diamond electrodes.

312 **References**

- 1] G.F. Knoll, Radiation Detection and Measurement, 4th edition, Wiley, Hoboken (NJ), 2010.
- [2] F. Amoudry, P. Burger. Determination of the ²³⁹Pu/²⁴⁰Pu isotopic ratio by high resolution alpha spectrometry, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research 232 (2) (1984) 360 367.
- [3] T.R. Garcia, B. Reinke, W. Windl, T.E. Blue, Alpha spectroscopy for *in-situ* liquid radioisotope
 measurements, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. A 780 (2015) 119-126.
- [4] S.F. Kozlov, E.A. Konorova, M.I. Krapivi, V.A. Nadein, V.G. Yudina, Usage of diamond detectors as immersed alpha-counters, IEEE Transactions on Nuclear Science 24 (1977) 242-243.
- [5] E.-K. Souw, R.J. Meilunas. Response of CVD diamond detectors to alpha radiation. Nucl. Instrum.
 Methods Phys. Res. A 400 (1997) 69-86.
- [6] P. Bergonzo, F. Foulon, A. Brambilla, D. Tromson, C. Jany, S. Haan. Corrosion hard CVD diamond
 alpha particle detectors for nuclear liquid source monitoring, Diamond and Related Materials 9 (3)
 (2000) 1003-1007.
- F. Schirru, D. Chokheli, M. Kiš. Thin single crystal diamond detectors for alpha particle detection.
 Diamond and Related Materials 49 (2014) 96-102.
- [8] J.A. Dueñas, J. de la Torre Pérez, A. Martín Sánchez, I. Martel. Diamond detector for alpha-particle
 spectrometry. Applied Radiation and Isotopes 90 (2014) 177-180.
- [9] Z. Zhang, J. Huang, Y. Xi, X. Zhou, K. Tang, Y. Xia, Y. Lu, CVD diamond film detectors for
 alpha particles with a new electrode structure of reduced graphene oxide/Au, Materials Science in
 Semiconductor Processing 91 (2019) 260-266.
- [10] NeoCoat SA, Diamond coating and CVD technologies, available at http://www.neocoat.ch (ac cessed on June 18th, 2019).
- [11] J. de Sanoit, C. Mer-Calfati, M. Pomorski. Détecteur alpha électrochimique assité pour la mesure
 nucléaire en milieu liquide et procédé associé. FR2965936-A1, 2010.
- [12] J. de Sanoit, T. Q. Tran, M. Pomorski, S. Pierre, C. Mer, P. Bergonzo. Design of an electrochemically
 assisted radiation sensor for alpha-spectrometry of actinides traces in water. Applied Radiation and
 Isotopes 80 (2013) 32-41.
- [13] Q. T. Tran, M. Pomorski, de Sanoit. Optimization of Actinides Trace Precipitation on Diamond/Si
 PIN Sensor for Alpha-Spectrometry in Aqueous Solution. IEEE Transactions on Nuclear Science
 61 (2014) 2081-2089.
- I14] J. de Sanoit, C. Mer-Calfati, M. Pomorski. Detection method using an electrochemically-assisted
 alpha detector for nuclear measurement in liquid medium. EP2625457 B1, 2015.
- F. Bassignot, E. Courjon, G. Ulliac, S. Ballandras, J-M. Lesage, R. Petit. Acoustic resonator based
 on periodically poled transducers: Fabrication and characterization. Journal of Applied Physics
 112, 074108 (2012).
- A. Diener, C. Wilhelm. Test of a novel sensor for alpha-spectrometry in drinking water matrices.
 Applied Radiation and Isotopes 103 (2015) 151-159.

- [17] M.J. Berger, J.S. Coursey, M.A. Zucker and J. Chang. Stopping-Power & Range Tables for Electrons, Protons, and Helium Ions. NIST Standard Reference Database 124. Last Update to Data Content: July 2017 NISTIR 4999.
 [17] D.D. H. LUCKER, M.A. Zucker and J. Chang. Stopping-Power & Range Tables for Electrons, Protons, and Helium Ions. NIST Standard Reference Database 124. Last Update to Data Content: July 2017 NISTIR 4999.
- 18] D.B. Pelowitz, (Ed.), Los Alamos National Laboratory Report LA-CP-11-01708, Los Alamos (2011).