

Generation of Gravity-Capillary Wind Waves by Instability of a Coupled Shear-Flow

Malek Abid, Christian Kharif, Hung-Chu Hsu, Yang-Yih Chen

To cite this version:

Malek Abid, Christian Kharif, Hung-Chu Hsu, Yang-Yih Chen. Generation of Gravity-Capillary Wind Waves by Instability of a Coupled Shear-Flow. Journal of Marine Science and Engineering, 2022, 10 (1) , pp.46. $10.3390/jmse10010046$. hal-03547954

HAL Id: hal-03547954 <https://hal.science/hal-03547954v1>

Submitted on 7 Mar 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

[Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

Article **Generation of gravity-capillary wind waves by instability of a coupled shear-flow**

Malek Abid 1,[∗] **[,](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0438-4182) Christian Kharif ¹ , Hung-Chu Hsu ² and Yang-Yih Chen ²**

- ¹ Aix Marseille Université, CNRS, Centrale Marseille, IRPHE UMR 7342, F-13384, Marseille, France; malek.abid@univ-amu.fr
- ² Department of Marine Environment and Engineering, National Sun Yat-sen University, Kaohsiung, Taiwan; hchsu@mail.nsysu.edu.tw
- ***** Correspondence: malek.abid@univ-amu.fr
- ¹ **Abstract:** The theory of surface wave generation, in viscous flows, is modified by replacing the
- ² linear-logarithmic shear velocity profile, in the air, with the model proposed by van Driest (1956)
- which links smoothly the linear and logarithmic layers through the buffer layer. This profile
- includes the effects of air flow turbulence using a damped mixing-length model. In the water, an
- ⁵ exponential shear velocity profile is used. It is shown that this modified and coupled shear-velocity
- ⁶ profile gives a better agreement with experimental data than the coupled linear-logarithmic, non
- smooth profile, (in the air)–exponential profile (in the water), widely used in the literature. We
- also give new insights on retrograde modes that are Doppler shifted by the surface velocity at
- the air-sea interface, namely on the threshold value of the surface current for the occurrence of a
- ¹⁰ second unstable mode discovered by Zeisel *et al* (2008).
- ¹¹ **Keywords:** Orr-Sommerfeld equation; wind waves; gravity; capillarity; shear flows; instability

¹² **1. Introduction**

 An important literature has been devoted to the generation of gravity-capillary waves at the sea surface under the action of a turbulent wind. The occurrence of gravity-capillary waves has been considered theoretically as the stability of a viscous parallel shear flow of air over water. Note that several studies have considered inviscid fluids, too. For a review on can refer to the paper by Young & Wolfe (2014). In the present paper we focus attention on viscous fluids and take into account the shear- induced flow (figure 1). Miles (1962) investigated the energy transfer from wind to short water waves through the viscous stress in the vicinity of the surface and neglected ²¹ the shear flow in the water. He suggested that the generation of the short waves is ²² due to a resonance mechanism between the water waves and the Tollmien-Schlichting waves occurring in the air shear flow. Within the framework of the Orr-Sommerfeld equation, Valenzuela (1976) investigated numerically the linear stability of the basic state composed of lin-log profiles in the water and in the air. He showed that the initial growth of the gravity-capillary wind waves is due to the linear instability of the ₂₇ parallel shear flow and that the water shear flow cannot be ignored. He found that the uncoupled model of Miles underestimates and overestimates the growth rate of waves of small and large wavenumbers, respectively. Kawai (1976) carried out experimentally and numerically the study of the same problem. He found that the frequency and the growth rate of the gravity-capillary waves depend on the air friction velocity and do 32 not depend on the fetch. The basic coupled shear flow, composed of the lin-log profile ³³ in the air and an analytic functional form for the velocity profile in the water initially proposed by Kunishi (1957), was investigated numerically by using the Orr-Sommerfeld equation. He claimed that the amplification of infinitesimal disturbances inevitably present in the flow is due the instability of the basic state. Larson & Wright (1975) used

Citation: Abid, M.; Kharif, C.; Hsu, H.; Chen, Y.Y. Generation of gravity-capillary wind waves by instability of a coupled shear-flow. *J. Mar. Sci. Eng.* **2021**, *1*, 0. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.3390/jmse1010000)

Received: Accepted: Published:

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Copyright: \odot 2021 by the authors. Submitted to *J. Mar. Sci. Eng.* for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:/[/](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [creativecommons.org/licenses/by/](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) $4.0/$).

³⁷ microwave backscatter based on the resonant Bragg scattering mechanism to measure ³⁸ experimentally at fixed fetch the growth rates of wind induced gravity-capillary waves.

They found the growth rate does not depend on fetch. Furthermore, they proposed a ⁴⁰ power law for the growth rate depending only the wavenumber of the water waves and ⁴¹ the friction velocity. van Gastel *et al.* (1985) tackled the problem of the linear stability of ⁴² the basic state using asymptotic methods to solve the Orr-Sommerfeld equation. They ⁴³ considered the lin-log velocity profile in the air and an exponential velocity profile in the water. They showed that the maximum growth rate is proportional to u_{*a}^3 where u_{*a} is the air friction velocity. Note that Kawai (1976) found that the maximum growth rate depends on *u* 3.5 ∗*a* ⁴⁶ . They concluded that the wave growth is mainly due to pressure 47 work resulting from normal pressure perturbation of the air on the surface. Wheless ⁴⁸ & Csanady (1993) used a compound matrix method to integrate the Orr-Sommerfeld ⁴⁹ equation. To avoid the unphysical discontinuity of the second derivative of the velocity ₅₀ profile in the air existing in the previous models, they considered a velocity profile in 51 the air with a smooth variation of the second derivative and an exponential velocity 52 profile in the water. Note that the presence of discontinuities in the velocity profile in ⁵³ the air may be troublesome computationally. They emphasized the influence of the ⁵⁴ second derivative of the velocity profile in the air on the growth rate. As van Gastel *et al.* ⁵⁵ (1985) they found that the growth rate of the gravity-capillary waves increases as u_{*a}^3 . ⁵⁶ Tsai & Lin (2004) extended numerically the stability of the coupled air-water shear flow ⁵⁷ investigated analytically by van Gastel *et al* (1985). They used a lin-log velocity profile in ⁵⁸ the air and an exponential velocity profile in the water. Zeisel *et al* (2008) investigated ⁵⁹ numerically the temporal and spatial growth rates of short waves. They extended the ⁶⁰ results of previous studies to larger wavelengths (up to 20 *cm*) and stronger winds (up **⁶¹** to u_{*a} ∼ 1 $m.s^{-1}$). Their main finding is the occurrence of a second unstable mode when ⁶² *u*∗_{*a*} > 0.5 *m*.*s*⁻¹. Our main purpose focuses on the occurrence condition of the second ⁶³ unstable mode discovered by Zeisel *et al* (2008). In the present study, the theory of surface ⁶⁴ wave generation, in viscous flows, is modified by replacing the linear-logarithmic shear ⁶⁵ velocity profile, in the air, with the model proposed by van Driest (1956) which links smoothly the linear and logarithmic layers through the buffer layer. This profile includes ⁶⁷ the effects of air flow turbulence using a damped mixing-length model. In the water, an

- ⁶⁸ exponential shear velocity profile is used. Note that Riley *et al.* (1982) used the model
- ⁶⁹ proposed by van Driest to study wave generation by wind, but in the context of Miles'
- ⁷⁰ theory, i.e. with inviscid flows and no current in the water. The paper is organized as
- τ_1 follows. The mathematical model is presented in section 2. Section 3 is devoted to the
- 72 presentation of the velocity profiles in the air and in the water. A particular attention is
- $₇₃$ paid to the velocity profile introduced by van Driest (1956). The developed numerical</sub>
- ⁷⁴ method and its validation are presented in section 4. Finally, we present our main results
- ⁷⁵ in section 5 and our conclusions are given in section 6.

⁷⁶ **2. Mathematical model**

- 77 We consider the stability of a basic state $(U_0(z), P_0(z))$ to small perturbations $\mathbf{u}(x, z, t) = (u, w)$ and $p(x, z, t)$ where $U_0(z)$ is the mean velocity profile, $P_0(z)$ the
- ⁷⁹ static pressure, *t* the time, *x* and *z* the horizontal and vertical coordinates, respectively.
- ⁸⁰ The continuity equation and the linearized Navier-Stokes equation read

$$
\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} = 0 \tag{1}
$$

$$
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + U_0 \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + w \frac{\partial U_0}{\partial z} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} + v \left(\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial z^2} \right)
$$
(2)

$$
\frac{\partial w}{\partial t} + U_0 \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial z} + \nu \left(\frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial z^2} \right)
$$
(3)

where *ρ* and *ν* are the density and kinematic viscosity, respectively. The solutions of the linearized problem are sought in the form

$$
(u, w, p) = (u_1(z), w_1(z), p_1(z)) \exp[i k(x - ct)]
$$

- ⁸¹ where *k* is the wavenumber of the perturbation and *c* its complex velocity. Note that the
- log growth rate of the perturbation is $ω_i = ℑ(kc)$ and the energy growth rate is $β = 2ω_i$.
- $\frac{1}{83}$ The system of equations (1)-(3) gives

$$
iku_1 + \frac{dw_1}{dz} = 0 \tag{4}
$$

$$
ikWu_1 + w_1\frac{dW}{dz} = -\frac{ikp_1}{\rho} + \nu(\frac{d^2u_1}{dz^2} - k^2u_1)
$$
\n(5)

$$
ikWw_1 = -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{dp_1}{dz} + v(\frac{d^2w_1}{dz^2} - k^2w_1)
$$
 (6)

where $W = U_0(z) - c$.

After simple algebraic calculations the system of equations (4)-(6) reduces to the following differential equation (Orr-Sommerfeld equation)

$$
iW(\frac{d^2}{dz^2} - k^2)w_1 - i\frac{d^2W}{dz^2}w_1 = \frac{\nu}{k}\frac{d^4w_1}{dz^4} - 2\nu k\frac{d^2w_1}{dz^2} + \nu k^3 w_1\tag{7}
$$

- Note that the left-hand side of equation (7) corresponds to the Rayleigh equation.
- The system of differential equations to sove is

$$
\frac{v_a}{k} \left(\frac{d^2}{dz^2} - k^2\right)^2 w_1 = iW\left(\frac{d^2}{dz^2} - k^2\right)w_1 - i\frac{d^2W}{dz^2}w_1\tag{8}
$$

$$
\frac{v_w}{k}(\frac{d^2}{dz^2} - k^2)^2 w_1 = iW(\frac{d^2}{dz^2} - k^2)w_1 - i\frac{d^2W}{dz^2}w_1
$$
\n(9)

where the subscripts a and w refer to the air and water, respectively.

At frst-order, the amplitude p_1 of the wave pressure can be derived from the horizontal component of the linearized Navier-Stokes equation

$$
p_1 = \frac{\rho}{ik}(W\frac{dw_1}{dz} - w_1\frac{dW}{dz}) + \frac{\mu}{k^2}\left(\frac{d^3w_1}{dz^3} - k^2\frac{dw_1}{dz}\right)
$$
(10)

where $\mu = \rho v$ is the dynamic viscosity.

Using a Taylor expansion about $z = 0$, the first-order continuity condition for the normal stress at the interface $\eta(x, t) = \eta_1 \exp[i k(x - ct)]$ reads

$$
p(x,0+,t) - p(x,0-,t) = 2\mu_a \frac{\partial w}{\partial z}(x,0+,t) - 2\mu_w \frac{\partial w}{\partial z}(x,0-,t) + T \frac{\partial^2 \eta}{\partial x^2} + (\rho_a - \rho_w)g\eta
$$
\n(11)

where *T* is the surface tension, *g* the acceleration due to gravity, $\mu_a = \rho_a \nu_a$ and $\mu_w =$ $\rho_{uv}v_{uv}$.

The linearized kinematic boundary condition about $z = 0$ is

$$
(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + U_s \frac{\partial}{\partial x})\eta = w
$$

where $U_s = U_0(0)$.

From this equation one can derive

$$
\eta_1 = \frac{w_1(0)}{ikW(0)}\tag{12}
$$

with $W(0) = U_s - c$.

Combining equations (10), (11) and (12) gives *in fine* the first-order continuity condition for the normal stress at the interface

$$
\rho_a \left\{ W(0) \Big(W(0) w_1'(0+) - W'(0+) w_1(0) \Big) + i v_a \frac{W(0)}{k} \Big(w_1'''(0+) - 3 k^2 w_1'(0+) \Big) - g w_1(0) \right\} =
$$

$$
\rho_w \left\{ W(0) \Big(W(0) w_1'(0-) - W'(0-) w_1(0) \Big) + i v_w \frac{W(0)}{k} \Big(w_1'''(0-) - 3 k^2 w_1'(0-) \Big) - G w_1(0) \right\}.
$$

(13)

The prime denotes d/dz and $G = g + Tk^2$. Note that we can use $w_1(0) = ikW(0)\eta_1$. Using a Taylor expansion about $z = 0$, the first-order continuity condition for the tangential stress at the interface reads

$$
\mu_a \left\{ \frac{\partial w}{\partial x}(x, 0+, t) + \frac{\partial}{\partial z}(u + \eta W')(x, 0+, t) \right\} = \mu_w \left\{ \frac{\partial w}{\partial x}(x, 0-, t) + \frac{\partial}{\partial z}(u + \eta W')(x, 0-, t) \right\}
$$
(14)

Using $u'_1 = -w''_1/(ik)$ the boundary condition at the interface for the tangentiel stress becomes n $\overline{ }$

$$
\mu_a \left\{ w_1(0)W''(0+) - W(0) \Big(w_1''(0+) + k^2 w_1(0) \Big) \right\} =
$$

$$
\mu_w \left\{ w_1(0)W''(0-) - W(0) \Big(w_1''(0-) + k^2 w_1(0) \Big) \right\}
$$
 (15)

- ⁸⁶ The continuity of the vertical and horizontal components of fluid velocity at the interface
- ⁸⁷ reads

$$
w(x,0+,t) = w(x,0-,t)
$$

$$
u(x,0+,t) + \eta W'(0+) = u(x,0-,t) + \eta W'(0-)
$$

⁸⁸ Using $u_1 = -w'_1/(ik)$ the fluid velocity continuity at the interface becomes

$$
w_1(0+) = w_1(0-) = w_1(0) \tag{16}
$$

$$
w_1'(0+)W(0) - w_1(0)W'(0+) = w_1'(0-)W(0) - w_1(0)W'(0-)
$$
\n(17)

Far from the interface all perturbations should vanish. Therefore

$$
\lim_{z \to \pm \infty} w_1(z) = 0. \tag{18}
$$

⁸⁹ **3. Velocity profiles**

The theoretical study of the generation of water waves by wind relies on the ⁹¹ hypothesis that the mean velocities in the turbulent boundary layers (in the air and in ⁹² the water) may be regarded as parallel shear flows (figure 1). It is also well known that ⁹³ the results of instability studies are sensitive to the velocity profile shape. In this section, details concerning the mean flows used, herein, in the air and in the water are given.

⁹⁵ *3.1. Air profiles*

⁹⁶ The exact mean turbulent profile above water waves is not well known. As infinitesimal waves are studied, it is a good approximation to consider mean flow profiles above

- ⁹⁸ flat plates. Two profiles have retained our attention, the lin-log profile and the damped
- mixing-length profile.

¹⁰⁰ 3.1.1. The lin-log profile

The widely used velocity profile, for water wave generation by wind, is called the lin-log profile. It is linear in a viscous sublayer and is asymptotically logarithmic far from the interface. The lin-log velocity profile, used in previous studies, reads (Zeisel *et al.* (2008)):

$$
U_a = \begin{cases} U_s + \frac{u_*^2}{v_a} z, & 0 \le z \le z_1, \\ U_s + m u_* + \frac{u_*}{\kappa} \left[\alpha - \tanh\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \right], & z \ge z_1 \end{cases}
$$
(19)

$$
\alpha = \sinh^{-1}(\beta), \quad \beta = \frac{2\kappa u_*}{v_a}(z - z_1), \ z_1 = \frac{m v_a}{u_*}, \ U_s = B u_*, \ m = 5, \ B = 0.5.
$$
 (20)

¹⁰¹ 3.1.2. The mixing-length profile

For a boundary layer in the air, according to the Prandtl mixing length hypothesis, the total shear stress near the air-sea interface is

$$
\frac{\tau_a(z)}{\rho_a} = \nu_a \frac{\partial U_a}{\partial z} + \nu_T \frac{\partial U_a}{\partial z},\tag{21}
$$

where ν_T is the turbulent viscosity given by $\nu_T = \ell_m \partial U_a / \partial z$ and $\ell_m(z)$ is the mixing length. Using the following normalisations:

$$
U_a^+ = U_a/u_*, \quad z^+ = u_* z/v_a \quad \tau^+ = \tau_a/(\rho_a u_*^2), \quad \ell_m^+ = u_* \ell_m/v_a, \tag{22}
$$

the total shear stress reads

$$
\frac{\tau_a(z)}{\tau_a^+} = \frac{\partial U_a^+}{\partial z^+} + (\ell_m \frac{\partial U_a^+}{\partial z^+})^2.
$$
\n(23)

The solution of this quadratic equation for $\partial U_a^+ / \partial z^+$ is

$$
\frac{\partial U_a^+}{\partial z^+} = \frac{2\tau_a/\tau_a^+}{1 + [1 + (4\tau_a/\tau_a^+)(\ell_m^+)^2]^{(1/2)}}.
$$
\n(24)

102 Near the air-sea interface, the ratio $\tau_a(z)/\tau_a^+$ is practically unity so that

$$
U_a^+(z^+) = \int_0^{z^+} \frac{2}{1 + \sqrt{1 + 4\ell_m^+(z')^2}} dz'.\tag{25}
$$

For large z^+ , where viscosity effect is small, the mixing length is $\ell_m^+ = \kappa z^+$ and the log law is recovered when constant terms in the integrand denominator are neglected in the \lim $z^+ \longrightarrow +\infty$. If the same specification for the mixing length is used near the air-sea interface (in the viscous sublayer) considered as rigid (a wall), the resulting turbulent stress *νT∂U_a/∂z* will increase as *z*², whereas the Reynolds stress $-$ *(uw)* increases more slowly, as z^3 (Pope (2000)). Therefore, the specification $\ell_m = \kappa z$ needs to be damped near the interface and van Driest (1956) proposed

$$
\ell_m^+(z^+) = \kappa \left[1 - \exp(-z^+/A^+) \right],\tag{26}
$$

where A^+ is a constant attributed the value $A^+ = 26$.

¹¹¹ The van Driest and the lin-log velocity profiles are compared in figure 2 together ¹¹² with experimental data from Eckelmann (1974) and direct numerical-simulation results 113 from Kim *et al.* (1987). The law of the wall is also shown. Normalised coordinate z^+ 114 and velocity U_a + are used. The different profiles are practically undistinguishable for *z* ¹¹⁵ z^+ < 10. However, It is clear that the van Driest profile fits better with experimental 116 data and numerical simulations than the lin-log profile for $z^+ > 10$. Furthermore, the 117 main advantage of the van Driest profile is the fact that it is infinitely derivable, i.e. 118 \mathcal{C}^{∞} , contrarily to the lin-log profile who is piecewise defined and hence not infinitely 119 derivable. This is shown in figure 3.

¹²⁰ *3.2. Water profile*

Many velocity profiles have been used in the water, in previous studies, and are reported in figure 1 of van Gastel *et al.* (1985). Among them the exponential profile has retained our attention because it resembles experimental observations (Kawai 1979) and because it allows for exact solution of the Rayleigh equation that will be used below to compute sheared gravity-capillary wave speeds. In the water, the current profile is (Zeisel *et al.* (2008))

$$
U_w(z) = U_s \exp\left(\frac{\rho_a u_*^2}{U_s \mu_w} z\right), \quad z < 0. \tag{27}
$$

¹²¹ **4. Numerical method and validation**

122 The differential equation (7) and boundary conditions (13) , (15) , (16) , (17) and (18) are transformed into an algebraic system of equations using a spectral Tau approximation method (Gottlieb and Orszag (1977)). This algebraic system of equations is solved 125 numerically for w_1 and c for a given wavenumber k . Note that the algebraic system is nonlinear in *c* due to the boundary condition (13). Therefore, the Levenberg-Marquardt method (Moré (1977)) is used and computations are stopped when the residual is *O*(10⁻¹²). We also used the Broyden method (Broyden (1965)). The boundary conditions 129 at infinity are enforced at $z_{\pm\infty} = \pm 1.6 \lambda$ where $\lambda = 2\pi/k$ is the reference wavelength 130 (Zeisel & al. (2008)). This choice corresponds to $kz_{\pm\infty} \simeq \pm 10$. We have checked that doubling *z*±∞ does not affect significantly the results. The obtained results are validated by (a) the self consistency of the results from Levenberg-Marquardt and Broyden methods and (b) by comparisons with already published results in the literature 134 obtained with the lin-log velocity profile in the air. The sum up of these comparisons is given in figure 4.

Figure 2. Colour on-line. Normalised velocity profiles, in the air, against the normalised *z* coordinate. Blue: direct numerical simulations of Kim *et al.* (1987). ◦ Eckelmann (1974) experimental data. Red: van Driest (1956) profile. Black: lin-log profile. Discontinuous line: law of the wall.

Figure 3. Colour on-line. Normalised derivatives of the van Driest velocity profile (in red) and the lin-log profile (in black), against the normalised *z* coordinate. Left: first derivative and right: the second derivative. Note the sharp discontinuity of the second derivative for the lin-log profile.

Figure 4. Color on line. Validation of the numerical method, for *u*[∗] = 24.8 *cm s*−¹ , using the lin-log velocity profile in the air and the exponential profile in the water. The energy growth rate *β* is plotted against the wavenumber *k*. Present results: solid line (red). Zeisel *et al.* (2008): \diamond . Kawai (1979): **■**. van Gastel *et al.* (1985): ∗. Tsai & Lin (2004): ◦.

¹³⁶ **5. Results**

¹³⁷ *5.1. Prograde unstable modes*

 Using the above described Orr-Sommerfeld equations, and the appropriate bound- ary conditions, we have computed the maximum energy growth rate, *β*, for wavenum-140 bers in the range $1 < k < 9$ (cm^{-1}), and for different values of the friction velocity u_* . In this paragraph waves travelling with the wind (waves with positive intrinsic phase speeds) are considered. The results are presented in figure 5 for the lin-log profile and the van Driest profile in the air and exponential profile in the water. The results from Kawai (1979) are also reported. The agreement using the van Driest profile is better than that obtained with the lin-log profile. This fact could be justified by inspection of the comparison of the different velocity profiles presented in figure 2. Indeed, the lin-log profile departs from the other profiles far from the air-water interface. Besides, the van Driest profile is infinitely derivable and this fact is an advantage for numerical computations.

¹⁵⁰ *5.2. Retrograde unstable modes (Second mode)*

Young & Wolfe (2014) have pointed out the existence of a second unstable mode, called rippling mode, in the context of inviscid fluids (using the Rayleigh equations). The maximum growth rate they obtained is $O(1 s^{-1})$ for $k = O(4 \text{ cm}^{-1})$. Noting that for these values $v_a k^2 = O(2.4 s^{-1})$, the second mode will be obviously damped by viscosity in the context of Orr-Sommerfeld equations. Zeisel *et al.* (2008) have also discovered a second mode in the context of viscous flows when the air friction velocity is larger than 50 *cm s*−¹ . In both cases, the second modes are retrograde modes (waves with negative intrinsic phase velocity) that are Doppler shifted by the surface velocity *U^s* in the wind direction (positive phase velocity). Therefore, a necessary condition for their occurrence is

$$
c_0 \ge 0 \text{ and } c_0 - U_s \le 0,
$$
\n
$$
(28)
$$

Figure 5. Colour on line. Comparison with experimental data. The energy growth rate *β* is plotted against the friction velocity u_* . ◦ Kawai (1979) experimental results realized with $u_*z_1/v_a = 5$, with z_1 the height of the viscous layer in the air. Red: Theoretical values obtained using the van Driest velocity-profile in the air and the exponential profile in the water. Black: Theoretical values obtained using the lin-log velocity profile in the air and the exponential profile in the water.

where c_0 should be the *sheared* gravity-capillary wave speed in the laboratory frame. Zeisel *et al.* have found a minimum value $u_* \simeq 50$ *cm* s⁻¹ corresponding to $\lambda \simeq 3$ *cm* for the occurrence of the second mode. However, they used the gravity-capillary wave speed

$$
c_0 = U_s \pm \sqrt{\frac{g}{k} + \gamma k}, \qquad \gamma = \frac{T}{\rho_a}, \qquad (29)
$$

and not the sheared gravity-capillary one, as it should be, to justify these values (in their figure 13). Retrograde waves correspond to the minus sign before the square root. In the present study, we use the *sheared* gravity-capillary wave speed given by equation (6.3) of Young & Wolfe (2014) to predict the critical values. Note that this equation presents a typo that we have corrected. The corrected equation reads (with $U_s > 0$ as it is the wind-induced surface drift),

$$
c_0 = U_s \left[1 - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{1 + (h_w k)^2}} \pm \sqrt{\frac{(gh_w)/U_s^2 + (\gamma/(h_w U_s)^2)(h_w k)^2}{\sqrt{1 + (h_w k)^2}} + \frac{1}{4(1 + (h_w k)^2)}} \right],
$$
(30)

and it is valid for gravity-capillary waves propagating on an exponential velocity profile in the water of the form $\exp(z/h_w)$, where h_w is the characteristic shear length. In the present study the characteristic shear length depends on air density, friction velocity (or equivalently on the surface velocity *Us*) and water viscosity. By inspection of equation (27) we have

$$
h_w(U_s)=\frac{\mu_w}{4\rho_a U_s}.
$$

Figure 6. Critical value of *u*∗ corresponding to the occurrence of the second mode is plotted against the wavelength *λ*. Continuous line: using the sheared gravity-capillary wave speed. The minimum value of $u_*,$ for the occurrence of the second mode, is approximately $u_* \simeq 160 \text{ cm s}^{-1}$ corresponding to a wavelength $\lambda \simeq 0.35$ *cm*. Dashed line: using the gravity-capillary wave speed in still water. The minimum value of *u*∗, for the occurrence of the second mode, is approximately $u_* \simeq 45$ *cm s*^{−1} corresponding to a wavelength $λ ≈ 1.74$ *cm*.

Therefore, we obtain the following *implicit* equation for *U^s* to satisfy the necessary condition of the occurrence of the second mode:

$$
U_s = \sqrt{\left(\frac{g}{k} + \gamma k\right) \left(\frac{4(\rho_a/\rho_w)U_s}{\nu_w k} + \sqrt{\left(1 + \left(\frac{4(\rho_a/\rho_w)U_s}{\nu_w k}\right)^2\right)}\right)},\tag{31}
$$

,

and the corresponding friction velocity is

$$
u_* = 2U_s
$$

151 with in cgs units: $g = 981;$ $\gamma = 75;$ $\mu_w = 10^{-2};$ $\rho_a = 1.23 \ 10^{-3}$. The Newton 152 method is used to solve the implicit equation (31) and the results are given in figure 6 using $\lambda = 2\pi/k$ where λ is the wavelength. By inspection of this figure, the minimum 154 friction velocity for the occurrence of the second mode is *u*[∗] \simeq 160 *cm s*^{−1} corresponding 155 to $\lambda \simeq 0.35$ *cm*. Indeed, using the van Driest velocity profile in the air and the exponential profile in the water, we have found no second mode for as high values of *u*[∗] as 124 *cm s*−¹ 156 157 (the highest value studied experimentally by Larson & Wright (1975)). Wheless & Csanady (1993) have emphasized the sensitivity of the growth rate to the curvature of ¹⁵⁹ the air velocity profile. Note that this fact does not call into question the existence of the ¹⁶⁰ second mode.

¹⁶¹ **6. Conclusions**

 We studied surface wave generation by wind in viscous flows. We focused on gravity-capillary waves. Our main purpose was to use air velocity profile that avoids the inherent discontinuity of the second derivative of the lin-log profile. That is why we have considered the van Driest profile which links smoothly through the buffer layer the linear and logarithmic layers. Our numerical computations of the rate of growth of 167 the gravity-capillary waves, with the van Driest profile, are in better agreement with the experimental data of Kawai (1976) than those calculated with the lin-log profile.

- ¹⁶⁹ Besides the unstable mode which occurs whatever the investigated values of the air ¹⁷⁰ friction velocity, Zeisel & *et al.* (2008) discovered a new additional unstable mode for strong winds when the air friction velocity is larger than approximately 50 *cm s*−¹ ¹⁷¹ . We have developed eigenvalue computations until 124 *cm s*−¹ ¹⁷² (the highest value studied ¹⁷³ experimentally by Larson & Wright (1975)) and did not find second mode instability ¹⁷⁴ using the van Driest profile. A theoretical approach, based on the linear dispersion
- ¹⁷⁵ relation of gravity-capillary waves travelling on an exponential current, showed that
- the threshold value is close to 160 *cm s*−¹ whereas it is close to 50 *cm s*−¹ ¹⁷⁶ for a constant
- ¹⁷⁷ current. This gap is probably due to the sensitivity of the growth rate to the profiles used
- 178 as mentioned by prvious authors.
- ¹⁷⁹ **Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, C.K. and Y.Y.C.; Methodology, C.K. and Y.Y.C.; Formal
- ¹⁸⁰ Analysis, C.K., M.A. and H.C.H.; Writing M.A. and C.K.; Software, M.A.; Validation, M.A.;
- ¹⁸¹ Investigation, M.A., C.K. and H.C.H; Visualization, M.A. All authors have read and agreed to the
- ¹⁸² published version of the manuscript.
- ¹⁸³ **Funding:** This research was funded by the Excellence Initiative of Aix-Marseille University—A*Midex, ¹⁸⁴ a French "Investissements d'Avenir programme" AMX-19-IET-010.
- ¹⁸⁵ **Data Availability Statement:** Data available on demand.
- ¹⁸⁶ **Conflicts of Interest:** "The authors declare no conflict of interest."

References

- 1. Broyden, C. G., A Class of Methods for Solving Nonlinear Simultaneous Equations. *Mathematics of Computation, American Mathematical Society* **1974**, *19 (92)*, 577–593.
- 2. Eckelmann H., The structure of the viscous sublayer and the adjacent wall region in a turbulent channel flow. *J. Fluid Mech* **1974**, *65*, 439–459.
- 3. Gottlieb D. and Orszag S. A. *Numerical Analysis of Spectral Methods: Theory and Applications*; Siam: Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, U. S. A., **1977**, 11–13.
- 4. Kawai S., Generation of initial wavelets by instability of a coupled shear flow and their evolution to wind waves. *J. Fluid Mech* **1979**, *93*, 661–703.
- 5. Kim J., Moin P. and Moser R., Turbulence statistics in fully developed channel flow at low Reynolds number. *J. Fluid Mech* **1987**, *177*, 133–166.
- 6. Kunishi H., Studies on wind waves with use of wind flume. (I) On the shearing flow in the surface boundary layer caused by wind stress. *Ann. Disas. Prev. Res. Inst., Kyoto Univ. 1*, 119–127.
- 7. Larson T. R. and Wright J. W., Wind-generated gravity-capillary waves: laboratory measurements of temporal growth rates using microwave backscatter. *J. Fluid Mech* **1975**, *70*, 417–436.
- 8. Miles J. W., On the generation of surface waves by shear flows. Part 4. *J. Fluid Mech* **1962**, *13*, 433–448.
- 9. Moré, J. J., The Levenberg-Marquardt Algorithm: Implementation and Theory, *Numerical Analysis*, ed. G. A. Watson, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 630, Springer Verlag, **1977**, 105-116.
- 10. Riley D. S., Donelan M. A., and Hui W. H., An extended Miles' theory for wave generation by wind. *Boundary Layer Methodology* **1982**, *22*, 209–225.
- 11. Tsai W-T and Lin M-Y, stability analysis on the initial surface-wave generation with an air-sea coupled shear flow. *J. Marine Sci. Tech.* **2004**, *597*, 200–208.
- 12. Valenzuela G. R., The growth of gravity-capillary waves in a coupled shear flow. *J. Fluid Mech* **1976**, *76*, 229–250.
- 13. van Driest E. R., On turbulent flow near a wall. *J. Aerospace Sci* **1956**, *23*, 1007–1011.
- 14. van Gastel K., Janssen P. A. E. M. and Komen G. J., On phase velocity and growth rate of wind-induced gravity-capillary waves. *J. Fluid Mech* **1985**, *161*, 199–216.
- 15. Wheless G. W. and Csanady G. T., Instability waves on the air-sea interface. *J. Fluid Mech* **1993**, *248*, 363–381.
- 16. Young W. R. and Wolfe C. L., Generation of surface waves by shear-flow instability. *J. Fluid Mech* **2014**, *739*, 276–307.
- 17. Zeisel A., Stiassnie M. and Agnon Y., Viscous effects on wave generation by strong winds. *J. Fluid Mech* **2008**, *597*, 343–369.