

Oral epithelial stem cells -implications in normal development and cancer metastasis

Silvana Papagerakis, Giuseppe Pannone, Li Zheng, Imad About, Nawar Taqi, Nghia P.T. Nguyen, Margarite Matossian, Blake Mcalpin, Angela Santoro, Jonathan Mchugh, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Silvana Papagerakis, Giuseppe Pannone, Li Zheng, Imad About, Nawar Taqi, et al.. Oral epithelial stem cells -implications in normal development and cancer metastasis. Experimental Cell Research, 2014, 325 (2), pp.111-129. 10.1016/j.yexcr.2014.04.021 . hal-03547655

HAL Id: hal-03547655

https://hal.science/hal-03547655

Submitted on 28 Jan 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Oral epithelial stem cells – implications in normal development and cancer metastasis Silvana Papagerakis^{a,b}, Giuseppe Pannone^c, Li Zheng^{a,d}, Imad About^e, Nawar Taqi^d, Nghia P.T. Nguyen^a, Margarite Matossian^a, Blake McAlpin^{a,d}, Angela Santoro^c, Jonathan McHugh^f, Mark E. Prince^a, Petros Papagerakis^{d, g, h} Affiliations:

- ^a Department of Otolaryngology, Medical School, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA
- ^b Department of Periodontics and Oral Medicine, School of Dentistry, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA
- ^c Department of Clinical and Experimental Medicine, University of Foggia, Italy
- ^d Department of Orthodontics and Pediatric Dentistry, School of Dentistry, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA
- ^e Faculté d'Odontologie, Université de la Méditerranée, Marseille, France 🔪
- ^f Department of Pathology, Medical School, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA
- ⁹ Center for Computational Medicine and Bioinformatics, School of Medicine, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA
- ^h Center for Organogenesis, School of Medicine, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA

Corresponding author:

¹Silvana Papagerakis, MD, MS, PhD Laboratory of Oral, Head and Neck Cancer Metastasis Kresge Hearing Research Institute Department of Otolaryngology - Head and Neck Surgery University of Michigan Ann Arbor 1150 W. Medical Center Drive Room 5434 Med Sci I Ann Arbor, MI 48109-5616

Phone: (734) 615-7085 Fax: (734) 764-0014

Email: silvanap@umich.edu

Keywords: Oral squamous cell carcinoma, epithelial stem cells, invasion, metastasis, cancer stem cells, oral mucosa.

Abstract

Oral mucosa is continuously exposed to environmental forces and has to be constantly renewed. Accordingly, the oral mucosa epithelium contains a large reservoir of epithelial stem cells necessary for tissue homeostasis. Despite considerable scientific advances in stem cell behavior in a number of tissues, fewer studies have been devoted to the stem cells in the oral epithelium. Most of oral mucosa stem cells studies are focused on identifying cancer stem cells (CSC) in oral squamous cell carcinomas (OSCCs) among other head and neck cancers. OSCCs are the most prevalent epithelial tumors of the head and neck region, marked by their aggressiveness and invasiveness. Due to their highly tumorigenic properties, it has been suggested that CSC may be the critical population of cancer cells in the development of OSCC metastasis. This review presents a brief overview of epithelium stem cells with implications in oral health, and the clinical implications of the CSC concept in OSCC metastatic dissemination.

Introduction

Oral mucosa has a remarkable regenerative potential [1]. Several stem cells markers are known to be expressed, mainly in the basal layers of oral mucosa. It has been proven that the expression of these markers is dysregulated in oral squamous cell carcinomas (OSCC), the most common cancer of the oral cavity [2]. There is a need for a better characterization of the oral stem cells in particularly of their cell behavior, tissue-specific regenerative potential and involvement in carcinogenesis. This review provides an overview of stem cells biological implications in oral mucosa with a special emphasis in OSCC.

Oral Mucosa

The epithelium on the inner surface of the lips, floor of the mouth, gingiva, cheeks and hard palate is derived from embryonic ectoderm, whereas the epithelium surrounding the tongue is derived from both endoderm and ectoderm [3-5]. The oral mucosa can be divided into: masticatory (hard palate and gingival), specialized (dorsal surface of the tongue) and lining (buccal mucosa, ventral surface of the tongue, soft palate, intra-oral surfaces of the lips and alveolar mucosa) [5]. Of the total surface of the oral lining, approximately 25% is keratinized resembling that of the epidermis covering the skin in regions subject to mechanical forces (masticatory mucosa of the gingiva and hard palate), 60% is the non-keratinized lining mucosa in the regions requiring flexibility to accommodate chewing, speech or swallowing (floor of the mouth, buccal regions, esophagus, etc), with the remaining 15% is the specialized mucosa (dorsum of the tongue) which can be represented as a mosaic of keratinized and non-keratinized epithelium [6]. Oral epithelium is a stratified squamous epithelium that consists in various layers: basal, spinous, granular and corneal layers for the keratinized area; basal, spinous, intermediate and superficial layers in the non-keratinized areas. The oral epithelium is in direct contact with an underlying, dense connective tissue (lamina propria) containing minor salivary glands, structural fibers, blood vessels, fibroblasts along with other cell types [6-11]. Its histological structure involves undulations of epithelium (rete ridges) protruding downwards into the lamina propria, with corresponding upward projections of lamina propria (dermal papillae) and thus provides increased surface contact, which prevent separation of the oral epithelium from the underlying lamina propria during mastication [12].

The squamous epithelium covering the oral mucosa relies on epithelial stem cells for tissue renewal [1]. It is unanimously accepted that normal tissue stem cells constitute a life-long reservoir of cells with active mechanisms for self-renewal. Cell division in oral mucosa epithelial cells takes place mainly in the basal layer which contains the stem cells compartment from which the oral mucosa is being regenerated [7]. After dividing, the committed cells undergo differentiation that leads to the expression of structural keratin proteins as cells move superficially, and eventually fall off the surface. In the oral epithelium, it takes 14-24 days for a stem cell to divide and the progeny to traverse through the entire thickness of the epithelium (turnover time) [8]. Expression of several stem cells markers including, CD44, Bmi1, Sox2, Keratin 14, have been described mainly in the basal layer (Fig. 1, Table 1), suggesting that it may contain a reservoir of stem cells [5, 9]. However, the mechanism of tissue maintenance and regeneration is still largely unknown for these cells. It is interesting to note that no many studies have focused on transient amplifying (TA) progenitor cells in the oral cavity and on their potential to provide a reservoir for would healing and homeostasis [2, 5, 8, 14]. TA cells

are slightly more differentiated than stem cells yet highly proliferative; they are derived from stem cells and continue to divide several times before undergoing terminal differentiation/maturation into the functional cells of the tissue; the size of the dividing transit population differs dramatically from tissue to tissue, the number of generations defining the degree of amplification that the transit population provides for each stem cells division seems to be related inversely to the frequency that stem cells will be found within the proliferating compartment [2,13].

Recent findings derived from various solid malignancies models show that cancer progenitor cells have the capacity to dedifferentiate and acquire a stem-like phenotype in response to either genetic manipulation or environmental cues, via implication of various complex molecular circuitries. These findings highlight the need for a better understanding of the dynamic, contextually regulated, equilibrium between cancer stem cells (CSCs) and cancer progenitor cells as a critical step for the development of therapeutic strategies to deplete tumors of their tumor-propagating and treatment-resistant cell subpopulations [14-15]. Better characterization of the CSCs and progenitor cells will contribute to a better understanding of normal and abnormal epithelial growth and tissues regeneration in the oral cavity.

Challenges to the integrity of the oral mucosa

The mucosal lining of the oral cavity is an environment challenged by a large variety of insults, and functions to protect the underlying tissues and organs against mechanical and chemical insults, including microorganisms and toxins, or ingested antigens and carcinogens [10]. The oral epithelium is constantly replaced with a rapid clearance of surface cells, which acts as a protective mechanism against various insults and its structure constitutes an effective barrier [10].

The turnover of the oral mucosa is faster in the lining than in the masticatory regions, thus challenges to the integrity of the oral mucosa will affect in particular the more rapidly proliferating areas and the lining regions will suffer first [16-18]. The dorsal surface of the tongue is composed of many small filiform papillae that have a very uniform shape and size, based on early detailed histological investigations and cell kinetic studies [19-20]. Each papilla is composed of four columns of cells, two dominant (anterior and posterior, also so-called tongue proliferative units, the functional group of proliferative basal cells derived from a single stem cell, together with the distally arranged functional differentiated cells) and two buttressing columns. The lineage characterizing this epithelium is similar to that seen in the dorsal epidermis of the mouse, self-replacing asymmetrically dividing stem cells, occurring at a specific position in the tissue, and producing a cell lineage that has approximately three generations. The stem cells here have a particularly pronounced circadian rhythm [13, 17, 18-21] suggesting an involvement of the circadian clock in stem cell equilibrum. Of interest, disrupting this clock equilibrium in the skin, through deletion of Bmal1 (also known as Arntl) or Per1/2, resulted in a progressive accumulation or depletion of dormant stem cells, respectively [22]. Stem cell arrhythmia also led to premature epidermal ageing, and a reduction in the development of squamous tumors. Clinically, the squamous cell carcinoma of the tongue is considered one of the most aggressive tumors of the oral cavity. The potential effects of circadian disruption in tongue stem cells behavior and tongue cancer development remain largely unexplored.

Despite continuous challenges imposed on the oral mucosa, normal human oral epithelial cells undergo constant cell division leading to regeneration of tissue, provided that the cells retain their ability to limit their replicative life span through cellular senescence, induce cell cycle arrest upon DNA damaged and repair the damaged DNA before resuming the cell cycle. It is known that DNA is most vulnerable during mitosis

and that mitotic activity can be affected by a number of factors, such as stress and inflammation, as well as circadian clock disruption [10, 17]. Preliminary findings from our laboratories have identified a strong expression of clock genes in areas rich in stem cells (basal layer) in oral tissues (unpublished observations). Furthermore, we also have been able to detect expression of clock genes and CD44 in the basal layer of oral mucosa surrounding the tooth root and salivary glands (**Fig. 1**; [23]). Of interest, expression of both stem cells markers and clock genes are found altered in oral squamous cell carcinomas [24], suggesting an implication of the circadian clock in stem cells behavior in carcinogenesis. Moreover, the expression of growth factors such as the Epithelial Growth Factor (EGF) may play a role in the oral epithelium differentiation and in CSC self-renewal in tumors originated in the head and neck area, particularly in the head and neck squamous cell carcinomas (HNSCC). EGF promotes acquisition of stem cell-like properties, increased cell proliferation and decreased sensitivity to cisplatin treatment in HNSCC [25].

CSCs and normal stem cells share similarities [8]. Normal adult stem cells have the ability to repopulate the cells that constitute the organ from which they are isolated and the capacity to propagate themselves; both processes are tightly regulated. Cancer stem cells reflect some of these same properties and are by definition able to sustain proliferation; however, the CSCs are not subject to the same genetic constraints to which normal stem cells are bound. The deregulation of pathways that control the selfrenewal of normal stem cells (e.g. Wnt, Notch, Hedgehog, etc) leads to tumorigenesis in rodent models and also plays an important role in human carcinogenesis including HNSCC [2, 26-31]. Being long-lived, both CSCs and stem cells of normal tissues can be the targets of environmental carcinogens leading to the accumulation of consecutive genetic changes although several protective mechanisms have evolved to ensure the genetic integrity of the stem cell compartment in any given tissue [32]. Evolution of DNA methylation has allowed cells to respond to environmental cues in a flexible, yet stable manner, by properly regulating the response at the molecular level. However, dysregulation of DNA methylation can lead to hyper- or hypo-methylation of the promoters of critical genes, contributing to various diseases including HNSCC. Several reports have identified hypermethylation or hypomethylation in the promoters of key genes involved in oral, head and neck cancer [33-36], and have also demonstrated unequivocally that the vast majority of human HNSCC tumors contain multiple mutations [37-38]. It has been shown that variability in DNA methylation exists within subtypes of HNSCC, and is influenced by environmental factors such as diet [39-40].

Oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC)

OSCC is the most prevalent and aggressive epithelial tumor of the head and neck region with the poorest outcome; in the United States alone approximately 100 new cases are daily diagnosed, while one person dies from oral cancer every hour of every day [41-44] (oralcancerfoundation.com). Worldwide, the problem is far greater with new cases annually exceeding 640,000 (oralcancerfoundation.com). Traditionally a men's illness, affecting six men for every woman, over the past 10 years that ratio has alarmingly become 2:1 also affecting younger patients [45]. Development of oral cancer proceeds through discrete molecular genetic changes that are acquired from the loss of genomic integrity after continued exposure to environmental risk factors. Predisposing risk factors such as tobacco use and alcohol consumption have a greater than multiplicative effect and account for the majority of the squamous cell carcinomas developed in the head and neck area [46]. However, these established risk factors do not account for about 40% of oral cavity cases. It has been suggested that yet unknown genetic, occupational, viral or

nutritional factors could influence risk particularly in this younger patients group [47]. A growing body of evidence implicates human oral bacteria (over 700 bacterial species inhabit the oral cavity) in the etiology of oral cancers and epidemiological studies consistently report increased risks of these cancers in patients with periodontal disease or tooth loss: furthermore oral bacteria may activate alcohol and smoking-related carcinogens locally or systematically through chronic inflammation [48]. Human Papillomavirus (HPV) has recently emerged as the primary etiologic factor particularly for tumors developed in the tongue and oropharynx that are also associated with younger age at diagnosis [49-50]. Consequently, unique pathologic profiles have emerged that are consistent with the changing incidence of HNSCC [51-52]. Patients with HPV(+) head and neck cancer have a distinct risk profile, associated with a less remarkable history of tobacco and alcohol use [53-54], a more beneficial micronutrient profile [55], improved cellular immunity [56] and improved survival compared to those with HPV(-) tumors [57-58]. Notably, a significant subset (20-30%) of HPV(+) tumors fails to respond, recur locally, or spread distantly. Studies conducted at the University of Michigan have made significant contributions to the understanding of the impact of HPV infection on the pathobiology of HNSCC and response to therapy [58-60]. However, the mechanisms involved in these processes are not fully understood, and given the evolving epidemiology there is a growing controversy over the optimal strategy for oropharynx cancer treatment [59-60].

OSCC continues to be a disfiguring and deadly disease, which displays a wide range of metastatic behavior that cannot be predicted by tumor size, standard histology, or even individual gene or protein expression/activity [61, 62]. Despite advances in treatment, the survival of patients with advanced OSCC has not improved significantly over the last 30 years and remains one of the lowest among the major cancer types [42]. Metastatic tumor behavior is a critical factor in patient survival being responsible for more than 90% of cancer associated mortality in these patients; if distant disease, the 5-year survival rate is three times less than those with nodal metastases, while survival of patients with nodal metastases is half that of similar stage patients without metastases [61, 63]. Younger (<40 years) patients with oral cancer have higher rate of distant failure [64, 65]). Therapeutic options for metastatic OSCC are limited and unsuccessful [66, 67]. Accurate prediction of metastasis in OSCC would have an immediate clinical impact through avoidance of unnecessary treatment of patients at low risk with appropriate direction of resources toward aggressive treatment of patients at high risk of having metastatic disease.

Approximately two thirds of oral cancers occur in the oral cavity (lip, tongue, floor of mouth, palate, gingival, alveolar and buccal mucosa), while the remainder occurs in the oropharynx [61]. Evidence indicates infection of oral epithelial stem cells by high-risk types of human papillomavirus (HPV); clinical observations shown early lymphatic metastasis in HPV-related HNSCC [68, 69]. The microenvironment is increasingly recognized as relevant in the process of metastasis as it is the immunity [70-72]. Studies indicated that OSCC is associated with alterations in the immune system [73-77] and that CSC may be immunologically silent or at least compromised in OSCC [79].

Clinical relevance of cancer stem cells in oral tumorigenesis

The identification of cancer stem cells (CSC) has created a new area of research with promising applications in the prognosis and therapeutics of human cancer [69, 78-91]. Accumulating evidence indicates that the CSCs also play a role in the pathogenesis and progression of carcinomas developed in the oral cavity.

To date, two models of tumor heterogeneity are unanimously accepted: the hierarchical model that assumes that CSCs represents a biologically distinct subset

within the total malignant cell population in contrast with the stochastic model that assumes that every cell within a tumor has the same potential to act as a CSC [7]. Work by Prince *et al.* in 2007 was the first to identify CSC in head and neck squamous cell carcinomas (HNSCC) based on their CD44 expression; these cells possessed the qualities of self-renewal, tumorigenesis and the ability to recapitulate a heterogeneous tumor [92]. Additional studies at the University of Michigan have identified various CSC markers in HNSCC (e.g. ALDH, CD44, Bmi-1; [93-96]. Other markers also have been proposed (e.g. CD133, Oct-4, Nanog, Sox2, CD24, Snail, Twist, etc, most of them in combination with CD44 or ALDH; [2, 5, 8, 69, 90, 97-102]). Currently, CD44 and ALDH are the most common markers used to identify CSC in HNSCC.

CD44 is a cell-surface glycoprotein involved in cell-cell interactions, cell migration, and adhesion with multiple isoforms (splice variants) known to be associated with cell transformation and tumor dissemination [103-106]; Clinically, overexpression of CD44 was associated with poor prognoses and decreased 5-year survival in HNSCC patients [107-110], although its clinical relevance seems dependent on the anatomical site where the cancer originates [106, 111-114]. The aldehyde dehydrogenase (ALDH) family of cytosolic enzymes catalyses the oxidation of aliphatic and aromatic aldehydes to carboxylic acids and ALDH1 is a family member that has a role in the conversion of retinol to retinoic acid, which is important for proliferation, differentiation and survival. ALDH1 activity seems to be responsible for the resistance of progenitor cells to chemotherapeutic agents [80, 90, 93, 115, 116]. Our group has shown that expression of ALDH and CD44 discriminates a highly tumorigenic cell subpopulation that can reconstitute the HNSCC heterogeneity; we performed ex vivo clonogenicity ("spheresforming") assays to measure the frequency with which these prospectively isolated cells form colonies ("orospheres") when placed at clonal density in non-adherent conditions [117]. More recently, we reported sialyl Lewis X as a marker that associates with the metastatic abilities of CSC in OSCC [95]. We are currently investigating other putative markers to better characterize oral epithelial stem cells and their metastatic abilities in OSCC, particularly markers that we previously found associated with tumor progression and dissemination in OSCC: Aurora B [118], Survivin [119], beta-catenin [120] that are expressed in the basal layer and invasive front (Figs. 1-2). Survivin is a promising candidate for targeted anti-cancer therapy as its expression associates with poor clinical outcome, aggressive clinic-pathologic features, and resistance to radiation and chemotherapy in OSCC among other HNSCCs [83, 121-123].

Implications of oral cancer stem cells in metastasis

Better purification of the stem-like cell population in oral carcinomas is necessary to clarify what metastatic characteristics are indeed unique to these cells. Such evidence would allow clinicians to exploit this particular set of attributes to target cancer stem cells that keep a tumor growing and allow it to spread. Our group has designed *in vitro* and *in vivo* models of metastasis to study the behavior of this unique tumor cell subpopulation in HNSCC. Our data showed that CSC possesses a greater capacity for tumor growth, increased mobility and invasive characteristics [85, 117]. Our data also has confirmed the greater metastatic potential of CSC compared to non-CSC, suggesting that CSC may be responsible for the development of metastasis in HNSCC [117]. Clinically, CSC enrichment is linked to treatment failure, tumor recurrence and metastasis in head and neck carcinomas [67, 124]. There is growing evidence that CSCs behavior is orchestrated *in vivo* in tissue-specific, "niche" microenvironments. Characterization of the microenvironment surrounding CSC suggest the existence of a perivascular niche that supports stem cells maintenance and resistance to anoikis, suggesting that targeting the

crosstalk between CSCs and other cells of their supportive niche may provide effective way to abrogate the tumorigenic function of these cells [72, 125].

The mechanism underlying the invasion of carcinoma cells leading to tumor dissemination involves the epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) of cells with high tumorigenic potential [126, 127]. It is also known that EMT endows epithelial cells with invasive and stem cell properties [128]. Normal stem cells and CSC may share a mesenchymal phenotype that enhances their ability to preserve stemness, to regain migratory properties, and to respond to different stimuli during the expansion and differentiation [69]. Cancer stem cells seem to localize at the invasive fronts of the HNSCC in close proximity with the blood vessels [129]. Of interest, emerging evidence including our findings reveal that the CSC cell populations in carcinomas originated in the oral cavity may be heterogeneous including various CSC subpopulations with distinct phenotypic and functional states: larger-size CSC with mesenchymal features and migratory abilities versus proliferative CSCs that retain epithelial characteristics (Fig. 2). Furthermore, in our spheres culture model, which is highly enriched in metastatic stem cells [95], we have observed that the majority of the cells are also highly enriched in epithelial markers, suggesting the existence of a predominant epithelial stem cells population (unpublished observations). It has been suggested that because the EMTassociated growth arrest, a re-differentiation into epithelial cells (so called Mesenchymal-Epithelial Transition, MET) may be necessary for the metastatic colonization [69, 130]. This evidence is suggestive of a new mechanism allowing metastatic colonization by uncoupling stemness from EMT and growth arrest, in favor of a parallel maintenance of stemness, proliferation phenotype and epithelial characteristics. However, it remains unclear how CSCs carry out the metastatic process in these carcinomas and how metastatic behavior of OSCC is modulated by CSC phenotypic characteristics.

Therapeutic relevance of stem cells research

Primitive stem cells capable of self-renewing proliferation and single or multiple cell lineage progeny generation have been identified in several human epithelial tissues. Although the biological characterization of various non-hematopoietic stem cells is still in its early stages in the laboratory, therapeutic experience with hematopoietic stem cells suggests that other stem cell types will likely have successful clinical applications. Better understanding of pluripotentiality, control of cellular differentiation and of epigenetic programming is critical to major future clinical applications. On the other hand, characterizing CSC subpopulations in oral, head and neck cancer will lead to a better understanding of cancer recurrence, metastasis, resistance to treatment, and should pave the way for more effective therapies for these types of cancer. In addition, the evaluation of the frequency of CSC, their molecular profiling and proliferative state, in a given tumor may be of prognostic value for the overall survival, response to therapy, risk of recurrence and metastasis. An overview of to date known anti-cancer therapies including those targeting CSC is presented in the Table 2. Current studies are conducted at the University of Michigan towards developing an autologous CSC-based therapeutic vaccine for clinical use in an adjuvant setting [131]. There are hopes that the near future will bring novel diagnostic and therapeutic approaches that will result in significant improvement of OSCC management and patient outcome.

Acknowledgements

This work was made possible by funding from the NCI NIDCR P50 CA 97248 (University of Michigan Head and Neck Cancer Specialized Program of Excellence in Research, SPORE) and the University of Michigan Undergraduate Research Opportunity Program

(UROP). The funding sources had no involvement in the study design, data collection and analysis, and writing of this review.

Disclosures: None.

Figure Legends

Figure 1. Markers of epithelial stem cells during normal development and in relation with poor clinical outcome in patients with OSCC.

Representative photomicrographs of primary human oral carcinomas immune-stained for markers that are associated with poor clinical outcome in patients with oral carcinomas: Aurora B (a-d, h), Survivin (e, i), beta-catenin (j-k), EGFR (l-m), Ki67 (f-g) and CD44 (np). a, Aurora B expression in mitotically active cells in the basal layer of severe oral dysplasia; note the limits with basal membrane outlined by star. b-c, increased and aberrant mitoses overexpressing Aurora B, in aggressive OSCC at invasive front; b1-c1. metaphases; **b2**, early anaphase; **c2**, late anaphase; **b3-c3**, late anaphase-cytokinesis; b4-c4, anomalous cytokineses. Aurora B overexpression is coupled with survivin upregulation in the invasion fronts of OSCC giving raise to aberrant mitoses (d, Aurora B; e, survivin). High proliferative index of aggressive and invasive cells with perivascular (f) and perineural (g) localization positive for Ki-67, is coupled with Aurora B (h) and Survivin (i) over-expression. Beta-catenin expression in the invasive front (j) and a metastatic embolus (k) of OSCC. EGFR expression in the invasive cells front (I-m). CD44 expression in the basal layer of normal human oral mucosa (n), salivary gland (o) and dental root epithelia (p). All the samples were obtained with the signed informed consent of patients under approved protocols by the Ethical Committees of the Universities of Foggia and Marseille.

Figure 2. Putative cancer stem cells markers in oral carcinomas.

Representative photomicrographs (magnification 20x) of human derived oral squamous cell carcinomas cells immune-stained for CD44 (**A**), Lgr5 (**B**), CD15 (**C**), beta-catenin (**D**), Bmi-1 (**E**) and Ki67 (**F**). Larger size mesenchymal-like CSC co-exist with normal size CSC that retain the epithelial characteristics (yellow arrow). DAPI (blue) identified nuclei.

Table 1. Markers for cancer stem cells (CSC) in head and neck squamous cell carcinomas (HNSCC).

CSC subpopulations of cells in HNCSS have been identified by the expression of specific markers (single or in combination) complemented by *in vivo* tumorigenic assay performed in immune-deficient mice and "sphere-forming" assays *in vitro* [92-117, 216-225].

Table 2. Anti-cancer therapies targeting the cancer cells and cancer stem cells.

References

- [1] R. Iglesias-Bartolome, J.L. Callejas-Valera, J.S. Gutkind, Control of the epithelial stem cell epigenome: the shaping of epithelial stem cell identity, Current opinion in cell biology 25 (2013) 162-169.
- [2] N. Sinha, S. Mukhopadhyay, D.N. Das, P.K. Panda, S.K. Bhutia, Relevance of cancer initiating/stem cells in carcinogenesis and therapy resistance in oral cancer, Oral oncology 49 (2013) 854-862.
- [3] T.A. Winning, G.C. Townsend, Oral mucosal embryology and histology, Clin Dermatol 18 (2000) 499-511.
- [4] M. Rothova, H. Thompson, H. Lickert, A.S. Tucker, Lineage tracing of the endoderm during oral development, Dev Dyn 241 (2012) 1183-1191.
- [5] K.B. Jones, O.D. Klein, Oral epithelial stem cells in tissue maintenance and disease: the first steps in a long journey, Int J Oral Sci 5 (2013) 121-129.\
- [6] L.M. Collins, C. Dawes, The surface area of the adult human mouth and thickness of the salivary film covering the teeth and oral mucosa, Journal of dental research 66 (1987) 1300-1302.
- [7] L.V. Nguyen, R. Vanner, P. Dirks, C.J. Eaves, Cancer stem cells: an evolving concept, Nature reviews. Cancer 12 (2012) 133-143.
- [8] V. Richard, M.R. Pillai, The stem cell code in oral epithelial tumorigenesis: 'the cancer stem cell shift hypothesis', Biochimica et biophysica acta 1806 (2010) 146-162.
- [9] A.A. Abdulmajeed, A.J. Dalley, C.S. Farah, Putative cancer stem cell marker expression in oral epithelial dysplasia and squamous cell carcinoma, Journal of oral pathology & medicine: official publication of the International Association of Oral Pathologists and the American Academy of Oral Pathology (2013).
- [10] C.A. Squier, M.J. Kremer, Biology of oral mucosa and esophagus, J Natl Cancer Inst Monogr (2001) 7-15.
- [11] C.A. Squier, N.W. Johnson, R.M. Hopps, Human oral mucosa: development, structure and function, Blackwell Scientific, Oxford, 1976.
- [12] T. Wu, X. Xiong, W. Zhang, Morphogenesis of rete ridges in human oral mucosa: a pioneering morphological and immunohistochemical study, Cell tissues organs 197 (2013) 239-248.
- [13] R. Lanza, Essential of stem cell bilogy. 2nd ed. Eds. R. Lanza, J. Gearhart, B. Hogan, D. Melton, R. Pedersen, E.D. Thomas, J. Thomson, Sir I. Wilmut, Elsevier Inc. Academic press, ISBN 978-0-12-374729-7.
- [14] P.H. Jones, B.D. Simons, F.M. Watt, Sic transit gloria: farewell to the epidermal transit amplifying cells? Cell stem cell 1 (2007) 371-381.
- [15] Y. Li, J. Laterra, Cancer stem cells: distinct entities or dynamically regulated phenotypes? Cancer research 72 (2012) 576-580.
- [16] C.A. Squier, N.W. Johnson, R.M. Hopps, Human oral mucosa: development, structure and function, Blackwell Scientific, Oxford, 1976.
- [17] G.A. Bjarnason, R.C. Jordan, R.B. Sothern, Circadian variation in the expression of cell-cycle proteins in human oral epithelium, The American journal of pathology 154 (1999) 613-622.
- [18] P.J. Thomson, C.S. Potten, D.R. Appleton, Mapping dynamic epithelial cell proliferative activity within the oral cavity of man: a new insight into carcinogenesis? The British journal of oral & maxillofacial surgery 37 (1999) 377-383.
- [19] W.J. Hume, C.S. Potten, The ordered columnar structure of mouse filiform papillae, J cell science 22 (1976) 149-160.
- [20] W.J. Hume, C.S. Potten, Proliferative units in startified squamous epithelium, Clin experimental dermatology 8 (1983) 95-106.

- [21] M. Kellett, W.J. Hume, C.S. Potten, A topographical study of the circadian rhythm in labelling index of mouse gingival and floor-of-mouth epithelium, including changes in labelling activity with individual cell position on the epithelial ridges, Arch oral biology 34 (1989) 321-328.
- [22] P. Janich, G. Pascual, A. Merlos-Suárez, E. Batlle, J. Ripperger, U. Albrecht, H.Y. Cheng, K. Obrietan, L. Di Croce, S.A. Benitah, The circadian molecular clock creates epidermal stem cell heterogeneity, Nature 480 (2011) 209-214.
- [23] L. Zheng, S. Papagerakis, S.D. Schnell, W.A. Hoogerwerf, P. Papagerakis, Expression of clock proteins in developing tooth, Gene expression patterns: GEP 11 (2011) 202-206.
- [24] M. Grimm, C. Renz, A. Munz, S. Hoefert, M. Krimmel, S. Reinert, Co-expression of CD44+/RANKL+ tumor cells in the carcinogenesis of oral squamous cell carcinoma, Odontology (2013).
- [25] E.L. Abhold, A. Kiang, E. Rahimy, S.Z. Kuo, J. Wang-Rodriguez, J.P. Lopez, K.J. Blair, M.A. Yu, M. Haas, K.T. Brumund, X. Altuna, A. Patel, R.A. Weisman, W.M. Ongkeko, EGFR kinase promotes acquisition of stem cell-like properties: a potential therapeutic target in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma stem cells, PloS one 7 (2012) e32459.
- [26] J. Du, G.G. Chen, et al, The nuclear localization of NFkappaB and p53 is positively correlated with HPV16 E7 level in laryngeal squamous cell carcinoma. J Histochem Cytochem 51 (2003) 533-539.
- [27] B.J. Nickoloff, B.A. Osborne, et al, Notch signaling as a therapeutic target in cancer: a new approach to the development of cell fate modifying agents. Oncogene 22 (2003) 6598-6608.
- [28] M. Al-Hajj, M.F. Clarke, Self-renewal and solid tumor stem cells. Oncogene 23 (2004) 7274-7282.
- [29] S.S. Karhadkar, G.S. Bova, et al. Hedgehog signalling in prostate regeneration, neoplasia and metastasis. Nature 431 (2004) 707-712.
- [30] M. Dolled-Filhart, A. McCabe, et al. Quantitative in situ analysis of beta-catenin expression in breast cancer shows decreased expression is associated with poor outcome. Cancer Res 66 (2006) 5487-5494.
- [31] A. Klaus, W. Birchmeier Wnt signalling and its impact on development and cancer. Nat Rev Cancer 8 (2008) 387-398.
- [32] K.M. Prise, A. Saran, Concise review: stem cell effects in radiation risk. Stem Cells 29 (2011) 1315-1321.
- [33] A.L. Carvalho, A. Chuang, et al. Deleted in colorectal cancer is a putative conditional tumor-suppressor gene inactivated by promoter hypermethylation in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. Cancer Res 66 (2006) 9401-9407.
- [34] H.K.Tan, P. Saulnier, et al. Quantitative methylation analyses of resection margins predict local recurrences and disease-specific deaths in patients with head and neck squamous cell carcinomas. Br J Cancer 99 (2008) 357-363.
- [35] W. Sun, D. Zaboli, et al. Detection of TIMP3 promoter hypermethylation in salivary rinse as an independent predictor of local recurrence-free survival in head and neck cancer. Clin Cancer Res 18 (2012) 1082-1091.
- [36] J.A. Colacino, D.C. Dolinoy, et al. Comprehensive analysis of DNA methylation in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma indicates differences by survival and clinicopathologic characteristics. PLoS One 8 (2013) e54742.
- [37] Agrawal, N., M. J. Frederick, et al. Exome sequencing of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma reveals inactivating mutations in NOTCH1. Science 333 (2011) 1154-1157.

- [38] N. Stransky, A.M. Egloff, et al. The mutational landscape of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. Science 333 (2011) 1157-1160.
- [39] M.A. Sartor, D.C. Dolinoy, et al. Genome-wide methylation and expression differences in HPV(+) and HPV(-) squamous cell carcinoma cell lines are consistent with divergent mechanisms of carcinogenesis. Epigenetics 6 (2011) 777-787.
- [40] J.A. Colacino, A.E. Arthur, et al. Pretreatment dietary intake is associated with tumor suppressor DNA methylation in head and neck squamous cell carcinomas. Epigenetics 7 (2012) 883-891.
- [41] S.J. Arbes, Jr., A.F. Olshan, D.J. Caplan, V.J. Schoenbach, G.D. Slade, M.J. Symons, Factors contributing to the poorer survival of black Americans diagnosed with oral cancer (United States), Cancer Causes Control 10 (1999) 513-523.
- [42] A. Jemal, F. Bray, M.M. Center, J. Ferlay, E. Ward, D. Forman, Global cancer statistics, CA: a cancer journal for clinicians 61 (2011) 69-90.
- [43] M.T. Canto, T.F. Drury, A.M. Horowitz, Oral cancer examinations among U.S. Hispanics in 1998, J Cancer Educ 18 (2003) 48-52.
- [44] A.M. Horowitz, H.S. Moon, H.S. Goodman, J.A. Yellowitz, Maryland adults' knowledge of oral cancer and having oral cancer examinations, Journal of public health dentistry 58 (1998) 281-287.
- [45] A.M. Eliassen, S.J. Hauff, A.L. Tang, D.H. Thomas, J.B. McHugh, H.M. Walline, J. Stoerker, J.H. Maxwell, F.P. Worden, A. Eisbruch, M.J. Czerwinski, S.M. Papagerakis, D.B. Chepeha, C.R. Bradford, D.A. Hanauer, T.E. Carey, M.E. Prince, Head and neck squamous cell carcinoma in pregnant women, Head & neck 35 (2013) 335-342.
- [46] G.D. Cruz, R.Z. Le Geros, et al. Oral cancer knowledge, risk factors and characteristics of subjects in a large oral cancer screening program. J Am Dent Assoc 133 (2002) 1064-1071.
- [47] M. Hashibe, P. Brennan, et al. Interaction between tobacco and alcohol use and the risk of head and neck cancer: pooled analysis in the International Head and Neck Cancer Epidemiology Consortium. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 18 (2009) 541-550.
- [48] J. Ahn, C.Y. Chen, et al. Oral microbiome and oral and gastrointestinal cancer risk. Cancer Causes Control 23 (2012) 399-404.
- [49] H. Hausen, Infections causing human cancer. Weinheim, Germany, Wiley-VCH Verlag. (2006).
- [50] G. Pannone, A. Santoro, et al. The role of human papillomavirus in the pathogenesis of head & neck squamous cell carcinoma: an overview. Infect Agent Cancer 6 (2011) 4.
- [51] L. Vidal, M. L. Gillison Human papillomavirus in HNSCC: recognition of a distinct disease type. Hematol Oncol Clin North Am 22 (2008) 1125-1142.
- [52] J. Chenevert, R.R. Seethala, et al. Squamous cell carcinoma metastatic to neck from an unknown primary: the potential impact of modern pathologic evaluation on perceived incidence of human papillomavirus-positive oropharyngeal carcinoma prior to 1970. Laryngoscope 122 (2012) 793-796.
- [53] K.M. Applebaum, C.S. Furniss, et al. Lack of association of alcohol and tobacco with HPV16-associated head and neck cancer. J Natl Cancer Inst 99 (2007) 1801-1810.
- [54] M.L. Gillison, G. D'Souza, et al. Distinct risk factor profiles for human papillomavirus type 16-positive and human papillomavirus type 16-negative head and neck cancers. J Natl Cancer Inst 100 (2008) 407-420.

- [55] A.E. Arthur, S.A. Duffy, et al. Higher micronutrient intake is associated with human papillomavirus-positive head and neck cancer: a case-only analysis. Nutr Cancer 63 (2011) 734-742.
- [56] D.E. Wansom, E. Light, et al. Infiltrating lymphocytes and human papillomavirus-16-associated oropharyngeal cancer. Laryngoscope 122 (2012) 121-127.
- [57] C. Fakhry, W.H. Westra, et al. Improved survival of patients with human papillomavirus-positive head and neck squamous cell carcinoma in a prospective clinical trial. J Natl Cancer Inst 100 (2008) 261-269.
- [58] J.H. Maxwell, B. Kumar, et al. Tobacco use in human papillomavirus-positive advanced oropharynx cancer patients related to increased risk of distant metastases and tumor recurrence. Clin Cancer Res 16 (2010) 1226-1235.
- [59] F.P. Worden, B. Kumar, et al. Chemoselection as a strategy for organ preservation in advanced oropharynx cancer: response and survival positively associated with HPV16 copy number. J Clin Oncol 26 (2008) 3138-3146.
- [60] B. Kumar, K. G. Cordell, et al. EGFR, p16, HPV Titer, Bcl-xL and p53, sex, and smoking as indicators of response to therapy and survival in oropharyngeal cancer. J Clin Oncol 26 (2008) 3128-3137.
- [61] J. Shah, N. Johnson, J. Batsakis, Oral Cancer, Martin Dunitz Press, London, 2003.
- [62] J. Myers, Oral cancer metastasis, Soringer Sciences, LLC, New York, NY, 2010.
- [63] R. de Bree, M. Haigentz, Jr., C.E. Silver, D. Paccagnella, M. Hamoir, D.M. Hartl, J.P. Machiels, V. Paleri, A. Rinaldo, A.R. Shaha, R.P. Takes, C.R. Leemans, A. Ferlito, Distant metastases from head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. Part II. Diagnosis, Oral oncology 48 (2012) 780-786.
- [64] W. Garavello, A. Ciardo, R. Spreafico, R.M. Gaini, Risk factors for distant metastases in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, Archives of otolaryngology--head & neck surgery 132 (2006) 762-766.
- [65] C.T. Liao, H.M. Wang, L.L. Hsieh, J.T. Chang, S.H. Ng, C. Hsueh, L.Y. Lee, C.H. Lin, I.H. Chen, C.J. Kang, S.F. Huang, T.C. Yen, Higher distant failure in young age tongue cancer patients, Oral oncology 42 (2006) 718-725.
- [66] M. Haigentz, Jr., D.M. Hartl, C.E. Silver, J.A. Langendijk, P. Strojan, V. Paleri, R. de Bree, J.P. Machiels, M. Hamoir, A. Rinaldo, D. Paccagnella, A.R. Shaha, R.P. Takes, A. Ferlito, Distant metastases from head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. Part III. Treatment, Oral oncology 48 (2012) 787-793.
- [67] S.B. Chinn, O.A. Darr, R.D. Peters, M.E. Prince, The role of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma cancer stem cells in tumorigenesis, metastasis, and treatment failure, Frontiers in endocrinology 3 (2012) 90.
- [68] P.C. Desai, M.V. Jaglal, P. Gopal, S.J. Ghim, D.M. Miller, H. Farghaly, A.B. Jenson, Human papillomavirus in metastatic squamous carcinoma from unknown primaries in the head and neck: a retrospective 7 year study, Experimental and molecular pathology 87 (2009) 94-98.
- [69] A.E. Albers, C. Chen, B. Koberle, X. Qian, J.P. Klussmann, B. Wollenberg, A.M. Kaufmann, Stem cells in squamous head and neck cancer, Critical reviews in oncology/hematology 81 (2012) 224-240.
- [70] S. Ostrand-Rosenberg, Immune surveillance: a balance between protumor and antitumor immunity, Current opinion in genetics & development 18 (2008) 11-18.
- [71] A.D. Rapidis, G.T. Wolf, Immunotherapy of head and neck cancer: current and future considerations, Journal of oncology 2009 (2009) 346345.
- [72] T.L. Whiteside, The tumor microenvironment and its role in promoting tumor growth, Oncogene 27 (2008) 5904-5912.

- [73] W.P. Accomando, J.K. Wiencke, E.A. Houseman, R.A. Butler, S. Zheng, H.H. Nelson, K.T. Kelsey, Decreased NK cells in patients with head and neck cancer determined in archival DNA, Clin Cancer Res 18 (2012) 6147-6154.
- [74] A. Duray, S. Demoulin, P. Hubert, P. Delvenne, S. Saussez, Immune suppression in head and neck cancers: a review, Clinical & developmental immunology 2010 (2010) 701657.
- [75] I. Kuss, B. Hathaway, R.L. Ferris, W. Gooding, T.L. Whiteside, Decreased absolute counts of T lymphocyte subsets and their relation to disease in squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck, Clin Cancer Res 10 (2004) 3755-3762.
- [76] I. Kuss, B. Hathaway, R.L. Ferris, W. Gooding, T.L. Whiteside, Imbalance in absolute counts of T lymphocyte subsets in patients with head and neck cancer and its relation to disease, Advances in oto-rhino-laryngology 62 (2005) 161-172.
- [77] S. Wulff, R. Pries, K. Borngen, T. Trenkle, B. Wollenberg, Decreased levels of circulating regulatory NK cells in patients with head and neck cancer throughout all tumor stages, Anticancer research 29 (2009) 3053-3057.
- [78] T. Lapidot, C. Sirard, J. Vormoor, B. Murdoch, T. Hoang, J. Caceres-Cortes, M. Minden, B. Paterson, M.A. Caligiuri, J.E. Dick, A cell initiating human acute myeloid leukaemia after transplantation into SCID mice, Nature 367 (1994) 645-648.
- [79] M. Al-Hajj, M.S. Wicha, A. Benito-Hernandez, S.J. Morrison, M.F. Clarke, Prospective identification of tumorigenic breast cancer cells, Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 100 (2003) 3983-3988.
- [80] C. Ginestier, M.H. Hur, E. Charafe-Jauffret, F. Monville, J. Dutcher, M. Brown, J. Jacquemier, P. Viens, C.G. Kleer, S. Liu, A. Schott, D. Hayes, D. Birnbaum, M.S. Wicha, G. Dontu, ALDH1 is a marker of normal and malignant human mammary stem cells and a predictor of poor clinical outcome, Cell Stem Cell 1 (2007) 555-567.
- [81] P.C. Hermann, S.L. Huber, T. Herrler, A. Aicher, J.W. Ellwart, M. Guba, C.J. Bruns, C. Heeschen, Distinct populations of cancer stem cells determine tumor growth and metastatic activity in human pancreatic cancer, Cell Stem Cell 1 (2007) 313-323.
- [82] S. Yin, J. Li, C. Hu, X. Chen, M. Yao, M. Yan, G. Jiang, C. Ge, H. Xie, D. Wan, S. Yang, S. Zheng, J. Gu, CD133 positive hepatocellular carcinoma cells possess high capacity for tumorigenicity, Int J Cancer 120 (2007) 1444-1450.
- [83] C. Li, J.-J. Wu, M. Hynes, J. Dosch, B. Sarkar, T.H. Welling, M. Pasca di Magliano, D.M. Simeone, c-Met is a marker of pancreatic cancer stem cells and therapeutic target, Gastroenterology 141 (2011) 2218-2227.
- [84] D. Beier, P. Hau, M. Proescholdt, A. Lohmeier, J. Wischhusen, P.J. Oefner, L. Aigner, A. Brawanski, U. Bogdahn, C.P. Beier, CD133(+) and CD133(-) glioblastoma-derived cancer stem cells show differential growth characteristics and molecular profiles, Cancer research 67 (2007) 4010-4015.
- [85] P. Dalerba, S.J. Dylla, I.-K. Park, R. Liu, X. Wang, R.W. Cho, T. Hoey, A. Gurney, E.H. Huang, D.M. Simeone, A.A. Shelton, G. Parmiani, C. Castelli, M.F. Clarke, Phenotypic characterization of human colorectal cancer stem cells, Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 104 (2007) 10158-10163.
- [86] S. Ma, K.W. Chan, T.K.-W. Lee, K.H. Tang, J.Y.-H. Wo, B.-J. Zheng, X.-Y. Guan, Aldehyde dehydrogenase discriminates the CD133 liver cancer stem cell populations, Mol Cancer Res 6 (2008) 1146-1153.
- [87] M. Todaro, M.P. Alea, A.B. Di Stefano, P. Cammareri, L. Vermeulen, F. Iovino, C. Tripodo, A. Russo, G. Gulotta, J.P. Medema, G. Stassi, Colon cancer stem

- cells dictate tumor growth and resist cell death by production of interleukin-4, Cell Stem Cell 1 (2007) 389-402.
- [88] Z.F. Yang, P. Ngai, D.W. Ho, W.C. Yu, M.N.P. Ng, C.K. Lau, M.L.Y. Li, K.H. Tam, C.T. Lam, R.T.P. Poon, S.T. Fan, Identification of local and circulating cancer stem cells in human liver cancer, Hepatology (Baltimore, Md.) 47 (2008) 919-928.
- [89] E.H. Huang, M.J. Hynes, T. Zhang, C. Ginestier, G. Dontu, H. Appelman, J.Z. Fields, M.S. Wicha, B.M. Boman, Aldehyde dehydrogenase 1 is a marker for normal and malignant human colonic stem cells (SC) and tracks SC overpopulation during colon tumorigenesis, Cancer research 69 (2009) 3382-3389.
- [90] Y.-C. Chen, Y.-W. Chen, H.-S. Hsu, L.-M. Tseng, P.-I. Huang, K.-H. Lu, D.-T. Chen, L.-K. Tai, M.-C. Yung, S.-C. Chang, H.-H. Ku, S.-H. Chiou, W.-L. Lo, Aldehyde dehydrogenase 1 is a putative marker for cancer stem cells in head and neck squamous cancer, Biochem Biophys Res Commun 385 (2009) 307-313.
- [91] P.F.Y. Cheung, C.K.C. Cheng, N.C.L. Wong, J.C.Y. Ho, C.W. Yip, V.C.H. Lui, A.N.Y. Cheung, S.T. Fan, S.T. Cheung, Granulin-epithelin precursor is an oncofetal protein defining hepatic cancer stem cells, PloS one 6 (2011).
- [92] M.E. Prince, R. Sivanandan, A. Kaczorowski, G.T. Wolf, M.J. Kaplan, P. Dalerba, I.L. Weissman, M.F. Clarke, L.E. Ailles, Identification of a subpopulation of cells with cancer stem cell properties in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 104 (2007) 973-978.
- [93] M.R. Clay, M. Tabor, J.H. Owen, T.E. Carey, C.R. Bradford, G.T. Wolf, M.S. Wicha, M.E. Prince, Single-marker identification of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma cancer stem cells with aldehyde dehydrogenase, Head & neck 32 (2010) 1195-1201.
- [94] S. Krishnamurthy, Z. Dong, D. Vodopyanov, A. Imai, J.I. Helman, M.E. Prince, M.S. Wicha, J.E. Nor, Endothelial cell-initiated signaling promotes the survival and self-renewal of cancer stem cells, Cancer research 70 (2010) 9969-9978.
- [95] M.J. Czerwinski, V. Desiderio, O. Shkeir, P. Papagerakis, M.C. Lapadatescu, J.H. Owen, M. Athanassiou-Papaefthymiou, L. Zheng, G. Papaccio, M.E. Prince, S. Papagerakis, In vitro evaluation of sialyl Lewis X relationship with head and neck cancer stem cells, Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 149 (2013) 97-9104.
- [96] S. Krishnamurthy, J.E. Nor, Orosphere assay: a method for propagation of head and neck cancer stem cells, Head & neck 35 (2013) 1015-1021.
- [97] D.J. Rodda, J.-L. Chew, L.-H. Lim, Y.-H. Loh, B. Wang, H.-H. Ng, P. Robson, Transcriptional regulation of nanog by OCT4 and SOX2, J Biol Chem 280 (2005) 24731-24737.
- [98] J. Yang, S.A. Mani, R.A. Weinberg, Exploring a new twist on tumor metastasis, Cancer research 66 (2006) 4549-4552.
- [99] S.-H. Chiou, C.-C. Yu, C.-Y. Huang, S.-C. Lin, C.-J. Liu, T.-H. Tsai, S.-H. Chou, C.-S. Chien, H.-H. Ku, J.-F. Lo, Positive correlations of Oct-4 and Nanog in oral cancer stem-like cells and high-grade oral squamous cell carcinoma, Clinical cancer research: an official journal of the American Association for Cancer Research 14 (2008) 4085-4095.
- [100] X.D. Wei, L. Zhou, L. Cheng, J. Tian, J.J. Jiang, J. Maccallum, In vivo investigation of CD133 as a putative marker of cancer stem cells in Hep-2 cell line, Head & neck 31 (2009) 94-9101.
- [101] M.A. St John, M. Dohadwala, J. Luo, G. Wang, G. Lee, H. Shih, E. Heinrich, K. Krysan, T. Walser, S. Hazra, L. Zhu, C. Lai, E. Abemayor, M. Fishbein, D.A.

- Elashoff, S. Sharma, S.M. Dubinett, Proinflammatory mediators upregulate snail in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, Clinical cancer research: an official journal of the American Association for Cancer Research 15 (2009) 6018-6027.
- [102] M. Vered, D. Dayan, R. Yahalom, A. Dobriyan, I. Barshack, I.O. Bello, S. Kantola, T. Salo, Cancer-associated fibroblasts and epithelial-mesenchymal transition in metastatic oral tongue squamous cell carcinoma, Int J Cancer 127 (2010) 1356-1362.
- [103] S. Goodison, V. Urquidi, D. Tarin, CD44 cell adhesion molecules, Molecular pathology: MP 52 (1999) 189-196.
- [104] D. Naor, S.B. Wallach-Dayan, M.A. Zahalka, R.V. Sionov, Involvement of CD44, a molecule with a thousand faces, in cancer dissemination, Semin Cancer Biol 18 (2008) 260-267.
- [105] S.J. Wang, G. Wong, A.M. de Heer, W. Xia, L.Y. Bourguignon, CD44 variant isoforms in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma progression, The Laryngoscope 119 (2009) 1518-1530.
- [106] M.C. de Jong, J. Pramana, J.E. van der Wal, M. Lacko, C.J. Peutz-Kootstra, J.M. de Jong, R.P. Takes, J.H. Kaanders, B.F. van der Laan, J. Wachters, J.C. Jansen, C.R. Rasch, M.-L.F. van Velthuysen, R. Grenman, F.J. Hoebers, E. Schuuring, M.W. van den Brekel, A.C. Begg, CD44 expression predicts local recurrence after radiotherapy in larynx cancer, Clinical cancer research: an official journal of the American Association for Cancer Research 16 (2010) 5329-5338.
- [107] S.-M. Maula, M. Luukkaa, R. Grenman, D. Jackson, S. Jalkanen, R. Ristamaki, Intratumoral lymphatics are essential for the metastatic spread and prognosis in squamous cell carcinomas of the head and neck region, Cancer research 63 (2003) 1920-1926.
- [108] J. Han, M. Kioi, W.S. Chu, J.L. Kasperbauer, S.E. Strome, R.K. Puri, Identification of potential therapeutic targets in human head & neck squamous cell carcinoma, Head & neck oncology 1 (2009) 27.
- [109] J.-T. Lin, T.-H. Chang, C.-S. Chang, W.-H. Wang, B.-W. Su, K.-D. Lee, P.-J. Chang, Prognostic value of pretreatment CD44 mRNA in peripheral blood of patients with locally advanced head and neck cancer, Oral oncology 46 (2010) 29-33.
- [110] L.-L. Kokko, S. Hurme, S.-M. Maula, K. Alanen, R. Grenman, I. Kinnunen, S. Ventela, Significance of site-specific prognosis of cancer stem cell marker CD44 in head and neck squamous-cell carcinoma, Oral oncology 47 (2011) 510-516.
- [111] C. Stoll, G. Baretton, F. Soost, H.J. Terpe, P. Domide, U. Lohrs, Prognostic importance of the expression of CD44 splice variants in oral squamous cell carcinomas, Oral oncology 35 (1999) 484-489.
- [112] F. Carinci, G. Stabellini, M. Calvitti, S. Pelucchi, L. Targa, A. Farina, F. Pezzetti, A. Pastore, CD44 as prognostic factor in oral and oropharyngeal squamous cell carcinoma, J Craniofac Surg 13 (2002) 85-89.
- [113] M.A. Gonzalez-Moles, J.A. Gil-Montoya, I. Ruiz-Avila, F. Esteban, M. Delgado-Rodriguez, A. Bascones-Martinez, Prognostic significance of p21WAF1/CIP1, p16INK4a and CD44s in tongue cancer, Oncol Rep 18 (2007) 389-396.
- [114] N. Uwa, T.R. Kataoka, I. Torii, A. Sato, T. Nishigami, M. Song, T. Daimon, N. Saeki, K. Sagawa, T. Mouri, T. Terada, M. Sakagami, T. Tsujimura, CD44 expression is related to poor prognosis of hypopharyngeal squamous cell carcinoma, Acta oto-laryngologica 131 (2011) 323-329.

- [115] M. Magni, S. Shammah, R. Schiro, W. Mellado, R. Dalla-Favera, A.M. Gianni, Induction of cyclophosphamide-resistance by aldehyde-dehydrogenase gene transfer, Blood 87 (1996) 1097-1103.
- [116] J. Douville, R. Beaulieu, D. Balicki, ALDH1 as a functional marker of cancer stem and progenitor cells, Stem cells and development 18 (2009) 17-25.
- [117] S.J. Davis, V. Divi, J.H. Owen, C.R. Bradford, T.E. Carey, S. Papagerakis, M.E. Prince, Metastatic potential of cancer stem cells in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, Archives of otolaryngology--head & neck surgery 136 (2010) 1260-1266.
- [118] G. Pannone, S.A. Hindi, A. Santoro, F. Sanguedolce, C. Rubini, R.I. Cincione, S. De Maria, S. Tortorella, R. Rocchetti, S. Cagiano, C. Pedicillo, R. Serpico, L. Lo Muzio, P. Bufo, Aurora B expression as a prognostic indicator and possible therapeutic target in oral squamous cell carcinoma, International journal of immunopathology and pharmacology 24 (2011) 79-88.
- [119] S. de Maria, L. Lo Muzio, A. Braca, P. Rega, A. Cassano, A. Vinella, R. Fumarulo, R. Serpico, E. Farina, V. Metafora, G. Pannone, G.P. Ravagnan, S. Metafora, C. Rubini, M. Carteni, M.A. Mariggio, Survivin promoter -31G/C polymorphism in oral cancer cell lines, Oncol Lett 2 (2011) 935-939.
- [120] P. Papagerakis, G. Pannone, A.H. Shabana, J. Depondt, A. Santoro, K. Ghirtis, A. Berdal, S. Papagerakis, Aberrant beta-catenin and LEF1 expression may predict the clinical outcome for patients with oropharyngeal cancer, International journal of immunopathology and pharmacology 25 (2012) 135-146.
- [121] R. Necochea-Campion, C.S. Chen, S. Mirshahidi, F.D. Howard, N.R. Wall, Clinico-pathologic relevance of Survivin splice variant expression in cancer, Cancer letters 339 (2013) 167-174.
- [122] R.S. Guindalini, M.C. Mathias Machado, B. Garicochea, Monitoring Survivin Expression in Cancer: Implications for Prognosis and Therapy, Molecular diagnosis & therapy (2013).
- [123] C. Li, J.J. Wu, M. Hynes, J. Dosch, B. Sarkar, T.H. Welling, M. Pasca di Magliano, D.M. Simeone, c-Met is a marker of pancreatic cancer stem cells and therapeutic target, Gastroenterology 141 (2011) 2218-2227 e2215.
- [124] K.M. Prise, A. Saran, Concise review: stem cell effects in radiation risk, Stem cells (Dayton, Ohio) 29 (2011) 1315-1321.
- [125] A. Adams, K. Warner, J.E. Nor, Salivary gland cancer stem cells. Oral Oncology 49 (2013) 845-853.
- [126] M. Iwatsuki, K. Mimori, T. Yokobori, H. Ishi, T. Beppu, S. Nakamori, H. Baba, M. Mori, Epithelial-mesenchymal transition in cancer development and its clinical significance, Cancer science 101 (2010) 293-299.
- [127] S. Papagerakis, G. Pannone, Epithelial-mesenchymal interactions in oral cancer metastasis., in: K.U. Ogbureke (Ed.), Oral Cancer, Tech, 2012, pp. 373-388.
- [128] S.A. Mani, W. Guo, M.J. Liao, E.N. Eaton, A. Ayyanan, A.Y. Zhou, M. Brooks, F. Reinhard, C.C. Zhang, M. Shipitsin, L.L. Campbell, K. Polyak, C. Brisken, J. Yang, R.A. Weinberg, The epithelial-mesenchymal transition generates cells with properties of stem cells, Cell 133 (2008) 704-715.
- [129] S. Krishnamurthy, J.E. Nor, Head and neck cancer stem cells, Journal of dental research 91 (2012) 334-340.
- [130] A. Biddle, X. Liang, L. Gammon, B. Fazil, L.J. Harper, H. Emich, D.E. Costea, I.C. Mackenzie, Cancer stem cells in squamous cell carcinoma switch between two distinct phenotypes that are preferentially migratory or proliferative, Cancer Research 71 (2011) 5317-5326.

- [131] Q. Li, L. Lu, H. Tao, C. Xue, S. Teitz-Tennenbaum, J.H. Owen, J.S. Moyer, M.E. Prince, A.E. Chang, M.S. Wicha, Generation of a novel dendritic-cell vaccine using melanoma and squamous cancer stem cells, Journal of Visualized Experiments 83 (2014):e50561.
- [132] T. Tsuruo, H. Iida, M. Nojiri, S. Tsukagoshi, Y. Sakurai. Circumvention of Vincristine and Adriamycin Resistance in Vitro and in Vivo by Calcium Influx Blockers. Cancer Research 43 (1983) 2905–2910.
- [133] H.M. Coley. Overcoming Multidrug Resistance in Cancer: Clinical Studies of P-Glycoprotein Inhibitors. Methods in Molecular Biology (Clifton, N.J.) 596 (2010) 341–358.
- [134] X. Zheng, D. Cui, S. Xu, G. Brabant, M. Derwahl. Doxorubicin fails to eradicate cancer stem cells derived from anaplastic thyroid carcinoma cells: characterization of resistant cells. International Journal of Oncology 37 (2010) 307-315.
- [135] P. Ruff, D.A. Vorobiof, J.P. Jordaan, G.S. Demetriou, S.D. Moodley, A.L. Nosworthy, I.D. Werner, J. Raats, L.J. Burgess. A randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blind phase 2 study of docetaxel compared to docetaxel plus zosuquidar (LY335979) in women with metastatic or locally recurrent breast cancer who have received one prior chemotherapy regimen. Cancer Chemotherapy Pharmacolology 64 (2009) 763-738.
- [136] D.M. Bradshaw, R.J. Arceci. Clinical relevance of transmembrane drug efflux as a mechanism of multidrug resistance. Journal of Clinical Oncology 16 (1998) 3674-3690.
- [137] Advani R, Lum BL, Fisher GA, Halsey J, Chin DL, Jacobs CD, Sikic BI. A phase I trial of liposomal doxorubicin, paclitaxel and valspodar (PSC-833), an inhibitor of multidrug resistance. Ann Oncol. 2005 Dec;16(12):1968-73. Epub 2005 Aug 26.
- [138] G.A. Fisher, B.I. Sikic. Clinical studies with modulators of multidrug resistance. Hematol Oncol Clin North Am. 9 (1995) 363-382.
- [139] M.H. Raaijmakers, E.P. de Grouw, B.A. van der Reijden, T.J. de Witte, J.H. Jansen, R.A. Raymakers. ABCB1 modulation does not circumvent drug extrusion from primitive leukemic progenitor cells and may preferentially target residual normal cells in acute myelogenous leukemia. Clinical Cancer Research 12 (2006) 3452-3458.
- [140] S. Zhang, X. Yang, M.E. Morris. Flavonoids Are Inhibitors of Breast Cancer Resistance Protein (ABCG2)-Mediated Transport. Molecular Pharmacology 65 (2005) 1208-1216.
- [141] J.M. Yuan. Cancer prevention by green tea: evidence from epidemiologic studies. American Journal of Clinical Nutrition 98 (2013) 1676S-1681S.
- [142] V. Mamaeva, J.M. Rosenholm, L.T. Bate-Eya, L. Bergman, E. Peuhu, A. Duchanoy, L.E. Fortelius. Mesoporous Silica Nanoparticles as Drug Delivery Systems for Targeted Inhibition of Notch Signaling in Cancer. Molecular Therapy□: The Journal of the American Society of Gene Therapy 19 (2011) 1538–1546.
- [143] K.K. Mukherjee, A. Bose, D. Ghosh, K. Sarkar, S. Goswami, S. Pal, J. Biswas, R. Baral. IFNα2b augments immune responses of cisplatin+5 fluorouracil treated tongue squamous cell carcinoma patients--a preliminary study. Indian Journal Medical Research 136 (2012) 54-59.
- [144] X. Liu, J. Lu, M.L. He, Z. Li, B. Zhang, L.H. Zhou, Q. Li, G. Li, L. Wang, W.D. Tian, Y. Peng, X.P. Li. Antitumor effects of interferon-alpha on cell growth and metastasis in human nasopharyngeal carcinoma. Curr Cancer Drug Targets. 12 (2012): 561-570.

- [145] M.R. Young, M.A. Wright, R. Pandit. Myeloid differentiation treatment to diminish the presence of immune-suppressive CD34+ cells within human head and neck squamous cell carcinomas. Journal of Immunology 159 (1997) 990-996.
- [146] L. Ling, R. Bhatia. Stem Cell Quiescence. Clinical Cancer Research ☐: An Official Journal of the American Association for Cancer Research 17 (2011) 4936–4941.
- [147] D.M. Lathers, Lubbers E, Wright MA, Young MR. Dendritic cell differentiation pathways of CD34+ cells from the peripheral blood of head and neck cancer patients. Journal of Leukocyte Biology 65 (1999) 623-628.
- [148] K.E. Hoffman, Pugh SL, James JL, Scarantino C, Movsas B, Valicenti RK, Fortin A, Pollock J, Kim H, Brachman DG, Berk LB, Bruner DW, Kachnic LA. The impact of concurrent granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor on quality of life in head and neck cancer patients: results of the randomized, placebo-controlled Radiation Therapy Oncology Group 9901 trial. Quality Life Research 2014 Feb 4.
- [149] K.J. Harrington, Hingorani M, Tanay MA, Hickey J, Bhide SA, Clarke PM, Renouf LC, Thway K, Sibtain A, McNeish IA, Newbold KL, Goldsweig H, Coffin R, Nutting CM. Phase I/II study of oncolytic HSV GM-CSF in combination with radiotherapy and cisplatin in untreated stage III/IV squamous cell cancer of the head and neck. Clinical Cancer Research 16(2010) 4005-4015.
- [150] S.J. Gros, Kurschat N, Drenckhan A, Dohrmann T, Forberich E, Effenberger K, Reichelt U, Hoffman RM, Pantel K, Kaifi JT, Izbicki JR. Involvement of CXCR4 chemokine receptor in metastastic HER2-positive esophageal cancer. PLoS One. 7(2012) e47287.
- [151] D. Uchida, Onoue T, Kuribayashi N, Tomizuka Y, Tamatani T, Nagai H, Miyamoto Y. Blockade of CXCR4 in oral squamous cell carcinoma inhibits lymph node metastases. European Journal of Cancer. 47 (2011) 452-459.
- [152] R.H. Stauber, Knauer SK, Habtemichael N, Bier C, Unruhe B, Weisheit S, Spange S, Nonnenmacher F, Fetz V, Ginter T, Reichardt S, Liebmann C, Schneider G, Krämer OH. A combination of a ribonucleotide reductase inhibitor and histone deacetylase inhibitors downregulates EGFR and triggers BIM-dependent apoptosis in head and neck cancer. Oncotarget 3 (2012) 31-43.
- [153] K. Chikamatsu, Ishii H, Murata T, Sakakura K, Shino M, Toyoda M, Takahashi K, Masuyama K. Alteration of cancer stem cell-like phenotype by histone deacetylase inhibitors in squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck. Cancer Science 104 (2013) 1468-1475.
- [154] C. Conti, E. Leo, G.S. Eichler, O. Sordet, M.M. Martin, A. Fan, M.I. Aladjem, Y. Pommier. Inhibition of Histone Deacetylase in Cancer Cells Slows Down Replication Forks, Activates Dormant Origins, and Induces DNA Damage." Cancer Research 70 (2010) 4470–4480.
- [155] R.M. Connolly, Nguyen NK, Sukumar S. Molecular pathways: current role and future directions of the retinoic acid pathway in cancer prevention and treatment. Clin Cancer Res. 19(2013)1651-1659.
- [156] F.R. Khuri, J.J. Lee, S.M. Lippman, E.S. Kim, J.S. Cooper, S.E. Benner et al. Randomized phase III trial of low-dose isotretinoin for prevention of second primary tumors in stage I and II head and neck cancer patients. Journal Natl Cancer Institute 98 (2006) 441–450.
- [157] W. Wei, M.-S. Chua, S. Grepper, S. K. So. Blockade of Wnt-1 Signaling Leads to Anti-Tumor Effects in Hepatocellular Carcinoma Cells. Molecular Cancer 8 (2009) 76.
- [158] A. Gurney, Axelrod F, Bond CJ, Cain J, Chartier C, Donigan L, Fischer M,Chaudhari A, Ji M, Kapoun AM, Lam A, Lazetic S, Ma S, Mitra S, Park IK,

- Pickell K, Sato A, Satyal S, Stroud M, Tran H, Yen WC, Lewicki J, Hoey T. Wnt pathway inhibition via the targeting of Frizzled receptors results in decreased growth and tumorigenicity of human tumors. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 109(2012)11717-11722.
- [159] S.A. Ettenberg, Charlat O, Daley MP, Liu S, Vincent KJ, Stuart DD, Schuller AG, Yuan J, Ospina B, Green J, Yu Q, Walsh R, Li S, Schmitz R, Heine H, Bilic S, Ostrom L, Mosher R, Hartlepp KF, Zhu Z, Fawell S, Yao YM, Stover D, Finan PM,Porter JA, Sellers WR, Klagge IM, Cong F. Inhibition of tumorigenesis driven by different Wnt proteins requires blockade of distinct ligand-binding regions by LRP6 antibodies. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 107 (2010) 15473-15478.
- [160] Y. Gong, Bourhis E, Chiu C, Stawicki S, DeAlmeida VI, Liu BY, Phamluong K, Cao TC, Carano RA, Ernst JA, Solloway M, Rubinfeld B, Hannoush RN, Wu Y, Polakis P, Costa M. Wnt isoform-specific interactions with coreceptor specify inhibition or potentiation of signaling by LRP6 antibodies. PLoS One. 5 (2010) e12682
- [161] T.D. King, Zhang W, Suto MJ, Li Y. Frizzled7 as an emerging target for cancer therapy. Cell Signaling 24 (2012) 846-851.
- [162] A. Fernandez, I.J. Huggins, L. Perna, D. Brafman, D. Lu, S. Yao, T. Gaasterland, D.A. Carson, K. Willert. The WNT Receptor FZD7 Is Required for Maintenance of the Pluripotent State in Human Embryonic Stem Cells. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 111 (2014) 1409–14.
- [163] J.E. Ring, VN Kolev, MV Padval, M Keegan, CM Vidal, AA Neill, IM Shapiro, JA Pachter, and Xu. The Cancer Stem Cell-Targeting Wnt Inhibitor VS-507 Reduces Breast Cancer Growth and Metastasis. Cancer Research 72 (2012) 1024.
- [164] L.-F. Chan, Sharon, R. W.-Y. Sun, M.-Y. Choi, Y. Zeng, L. Shek, S. Sin-Yin Chui, C.-M. Che. An Anti-Cancer Trinuclear Ruthenium(iii) Complex with 2-Thiosalicylate Ligands Attenuates Wnt-B-Catenin Signaling. Chemical Science 2 (2011) 1788.
- [165] H.-J.Chen, L.-S. Hsu, Y.-T. Shia, M.-W. Lin, C.-M. Lin. The B-catenin/TCF Complex as a Novel Target of Resveratrol in the Wnt/β-Catenin Signaling Pathway. Biochemical Pharmacology 84 (2012) 1143–1153.
- [166] J.H. Tsai, Hsu L.S., Lin C.L., Hong H.M., Pan M.H., Way T.D., Chen W.J. 3,5,4'-Trimethoxystilbene, a natural methoxylated analog of resveratrol, inhibits breast cancer cell invasiveness by downregulation of PI3K/Akt and Wnt/β-catenin signaling cascades and reversal of epithelial-mesenchymal transition. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 272 (2013) 746-756.
- [167] Y.J. Chen, Chen YY, Lin YF, Hu HY, Liao HF. Resveratrol inhibits alphamelanocyte-stimulating hormone signaling, viability, and invasiveness in melanoma cells. Evid Based Complement Alternat Med 2013 (2013) 632121.
- [168] Y.A. Shen, Lin CH, Chi WH, Wang CY, Hsieh YT, Wei YH, Chen YJ. Resveratrol Impedes the Stemness, Epithelial-Mesenchymal Transition, and Metabolic Reprogramming of Cancer Stem Cells in Nasopharyngeal Carcinoma through p53 Activation. Evid Based Complement Alternat Med 2013 (2013) 590393.
- [169] P.R. Pandey, Xing F, Sharma S, Watabe M, Pai SK, liizumi-Gairani M, Fukuda K, Hirota S, Mo YY, Watabe K. Elevated lipogenesis in epithelial stem-like cell confers survival advantage in ductal carcinoma in situ of breast cancer. Oncogene 32 (2013) 5111-5122.
- [170] B.T. Kawasaki, Hurt EM, Mistree T, Farrar WL. Targeting cancer stem cells with phytochemicals. Mol Interv 8 (2008) 174-184.

- [171] S.V. Singh, Singh K. Cancer chemoprevention with dietary isothiocyanates mature for clinical translational research. Carcinogenesis 33 (2012) 1833-1842.
- [172] E.J. Gang, Hsieh YT, Pham J, Zhao Y, Nguyen C, Huantes S, Park E, Naing K, Klemm L, Swaminathan S, Conway EM, Pelus LM, Crispino J, Mullighan CG, McMillan M, Müschen M, Kahn M, Kim YM. Small-molecule inhibition of CBP/catenin interactions eliminates drug-resistant clones in acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Oncogene 2013 Jun 3.
- [173] P. Wend, Fang L, Zhu Q, Schipper JH, Loddenkemper C, Kosel F, Brinkmann V,Eckert K, Hindersin S, Holland JD, Lehr S, Kahn M, Ziebold U, Birchmeier W. Wnt/β-catenin signalling induces MLL to create epigenetic changes in salivary gland tumours. EMBO J 32 (2013) 1977-1989.
- [174] R.M. Hallett, Kondratyev MK, Giacomelli AO, Nixon AM, Girgis-Gabardo A, Ilieva D, Hassell JA. Small molecule antagonists of the Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway target breast tumor-initiating cells in a Her2/Neu mouse model of breast cancer. PLoS One 7 (2012) e33976.
- [175] B. Chen, M.E. Dodge, W. Tang, J. Lu, Z. Ma, C.-W. Fan, S. Wei. Small molecule-mediated disruption of Wnt-dependent signaling in tissue regeneration and cancer. Nature Chemical Biology 5 (2009) 100–107.
- [176] X. Jiang, H.-X. Hao, J.D. Growney, S. Woolfenden, C. Bottiglio, N. Ng, B. Lu. Inactivating Mutations of RNF43 Confer Wnt Dependency in Pancreatic Ductal Adenocarcinoma. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 110 (2013) 12649–12654.
- [177] K.D. Proffitt, B. Madan, Z. Ke, V. Pendharkar, L. Ding, M.A. Lee, R.N. Hannoush, D.M. Virshup. Pharmacological inhibition of the Wnt acyltransferase PORCN prevents growth of WNT-driven mammary cancer. Cancer Research 73 (2013) 502–507.
- [178] J. Liu, Pan S., Hsieh MH, Ng N, Sun F, Wang T, Kasibhatla S, Schuller AG, Li AG, Cheng D, Li J, Tompkins C, Pferdekamper A, Steffy A, Cheng J, Kowal C, Phung V, Guo G, Wang Y, Graham MP, Flynn S, Brenner JC, Li C, Villarroel MC, Schultz PG, Wu X, McNamara P, Sellers WR, Petruzzelli L, Boral AL, Seidel HM, McLaughlin ME, Che J, Carey TE, Vanasse G, Harris JL. Targeting Wnt-driven cancer through the inhibition of Porcupine by LGK974. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 110 (2013) 20224-20229.
- [179] Y.C. Huang, Chao K.S., Liao HF, Chen YJ. Targeting sonic hedgehog signaling by compounds and derivatives from natural products. Evid Based Complement Alternative Medicine 2013 (2013) 748587.
- [180] S.B. Keysar, P.N. Le, R.T. Anderson, J.J. Morton, D.W. Bowles, J.J. Paylor, B.W. Vogler. Hedgehog signaling alters reliance on EGF receptor signaling and mediates anti-EGFR therapeutic resistance in head and neck cancer. Cancer Research 73 (2013) 3381–3392.
- [181] R. Olsauskas-Kuprys, A. Zlobin, C. Osipo. Gamma secretase inhibitors of Notch signaling. OncoTargets and Therapy 6 (2013) 943–955.
- [182] R. Yoshida, Nagata M, Nakayama H, Niimori-Kita K, Hassan W, Tanaka T, Shinohara M, Ito T. The pathological significance of Notch1 in oral squamous cell carcinoma. Lab Invest 93 (2013) 1068-1081.
- [182] S.M. Chen, Liu JP, Zhou JX, Chen C, Deng YQ, Wang Y, Tao ZZ. Suppression of the notch signaling pathway by γ-secretase inhibitor GSI inhibits human nasopharyngeal carcinoma cell proliferation. Cancer Letters 306 (2011) 76-84.
- [183] S. Yu, Zhang R, Liu F, Hu H, Yu S, Wang H. Down-regulation of Notch signaling by a γ-secretase inhibitor enhances the radiosensitivity of nasopharyngeal

- carcinoma cells. Oncology Reports 26 (2011) 1323-1328.
- [184] D. Subramaniam, Ponnurangam S, Ramamoorthy P, Standing D, Battafarano RJ, Anant S, Sharma P. Curcumin induces cell death in esophageal cancer cells through modulating Notch signaling. PLoS One 7 (2012) e30590.
- [185] V. Cecchinato, R. Chiaramonte, M. Nizzardo, B. Cristofaro, A. Basile, G.V. Sherbet, P. Comi. Resveratrol-induced apoptosis in human T-Cell acute lymphoblastic leukaemia MOLT-4 cells. Biochemical Pharmacology 74 (2007) 1568–1574.
- [186] S. Suman, Das TP, Damodaran C. Silencing NOTCH signaling causes growth arrest in both breast cancer stem cells and breast cancer cells. British Journal of Cancer 109 (2013) 2587-2596.
- [187] V. Koppaka, D.C. Thompson, Y. Chen, M. Ellermann, K.C. Nicolaou, R.O. Juvonen, D. Petersen, R.A. Deitrich, T.D. Hurley, V. Vasiliou. Aldehyde dehydrogenase inhibitors: a Comprehensive review of the pharmacology, mechanism of action, substrate specificity, and clinical application. Pharmacological Reviews 64 (2012) 520–539.
- [188] A.K. Croker, Allan AL. Inhibition of aldehyde dehydrogenase (ALDH) activity reduces chemotherapy and radiation resistance of stem-like ALDHhiCD44+ human breast cancer cells. Breast Cancer Research Treatment 133 (2012) 75-87.
- [189] P. Liu, Kumar IS, Brown S, Kannappan V, Tawari PE, Tang JZ, Jiang W, Armesilla AL, Darling JL, Wang W. Disulfiram targets cancer stem-like cells and reverses resistance and cross-resistance in acquired paclitaxel-resistant triple-negative breast cancer cells. British Journal of Cancer 109 (2013) 1876-1885.
- [190] R. Januchowski, Wojtowicz K, Zabel M. The role of aldehyde dehydrogenase (ALDH) in cancer drug resistance. Biomedical Pharmacotherapy 67 (2013) 669-680.
- [191] C.N. Landen, B. Goodman, A.A. Katre, A.D. Steg, A.M. Nick, R.L. Stone, L.D. Miller. Targeting Aldehyde Dehydrogenase Cancer Stem Cells in Ovarian Cancer. Molecular Cancer Therapeutics 9 (2010) 3186–3199.
- [192] A.S. Azmi, A. Bollig-Fischer, B. Bao, B.-J. Park, S.-H. Lee, G. Yong-Song, G. Dyson, C. Reddy, F.H. Sarkar, R.M. Mohammad. Systems analysis reveals a transcriptional reversal of the mesenchymal phenotype induced by SNAIL-inhibitor GN-25. BMC Systems Biology 7 (2013) 85.
- [193] A.B. Glick. The Role of TGFβ Signaling in Squamous Cell Cancer: Lessons from Mouse Models. Journal of Skin Cancer (2012) 249063.
- [194] T.K. Lee, R.T.P. Poon, J.Y. Wo, S. Ma, X.-Y. Guan, J.N. Myers, P. Altevogt, A.P. W. Yuen. Lupeol Suppresses Cisplatin-Induced Nuclear Factor-kappaB Activation in Head and Neck Squamous Cell Carcinoma and Inhibits Local Invasion and Nodal Metastasis in an Orthotopic Nude Mouse Model. Cancer Research 67 (2007) 8800–8809.
- [195] S. Prasad, J. Ravindran, B.B. Aggarwal. NF-kappaB and Cancer: How Intimate Is This Relationship. Molecular and Cellular Biochemistry 336 (2010) 25–37.
- [196] W.-L. Lo, Cheng-Chia Yu, Guang-Yuh Chiou, Yi-Wei Chen, Pin-I Huang, Chian-Shiu Chien, Ling-Ming Tseng, et al. MicroRNA-200c Attenuates Tumour Growth and Metastasis of Presumptive Head and Neck Squamous Cell Carcinoma Stem Cells. The Journal of Pathology 223 (2011) 482–95.
- [197] S. Saini, Sumit Arora, Shahana Majid, Varahram Shahryari, Yi Chen, Guoren Deng, Soichiro Yamamura, Koji Ueno, and Rajvir Dahiya. Curcumin Modulates microRNA-203-Mediated Regulation of the Src-Akt Axis in Bladder Cancer. Cancer Prevention Research (Philadelphia, Pa.) 4 (2011) 1698–1709.

- [198] M. Merlano, M. Occelli. Review of Cetuximab in the Treatment of Squamous Cell Carcinoma of the Head and Neck. Therapeutics and Clinical Risk Management 3 (2007) 871–876.
- [199] N.Y. Lee, Qiang Zhang, David G Pfister, John Kim, Adam S Garden, James Mechalakos, Kenneth Hu, et al. Addition of Bevacizumab to Standard Chemoradiation for Locoregionally Advanced Nasopharyngeal Carcinoma (RTOG 0615): A Phase 2 Multi-Institutional Trial. The Lancet Oncology 13 (2012) 172–180.
- [200] E. Cohen, Darren W Davis, Theodore G Karrison, Tanguy Y Seiwert, Stuart J Wong, Sreenivasa Nattam, Mark F Kozloff, et al. Erlotinib and Bevacizumab in Patients with Recurrent or Metastatic Squamous-Cell Carcinoma of the Head and Neck: A Phase I/II Study. The Lancet Oncology 10 (2009) 247–257.
- [201] J.M. Del Campo, R Hitt, P Sebastian, C Carracedo, D Lokanatha, J Bourhis, S Temam, et al. Effects of Lapatinib Monotherapy: Results of a Randomised Phase II Study in Therapy-Naive Patients with Locally Advanced Squamous Cell Carcinoma of the Head and Neck. British Journal of Cancer 105 (2011) 618–627.
- [202] B. Kutluk Cenik, Katherine T Ostapoff, David E Gerber, Rolf A Brekken. BIBF 1120 (nintedanib), a Triple Angiokinase Inhibitor, Induces Hypoxia but Not EMT and Blocks Progression of Preclinical Models of Lung and Pancreatic Cancer. Molecular Cancer Therapeutics 12 (2013) 992–1001.
- [203] S.K. Williamson, James Moon, Chao H Huang, Perry P Guaglianone, Michael LeBlanc, Gregory T Wolf, Susan G Urba. Phase II Evaluation of Sorafenib in Advanced and Metastatic Squamous Cell Carcinoma of the Head and Neck: Southwest Oncology Group Study S0420. Journal of Clinical Oncology ⊡: Official Journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology 28 (2010) 3330–3335.
- [204] A.G. Gilmartin, Maureen R Bleam, Arthur Groy, Katherine G Moss, Elisabeth A Minthorn, Swarupa G Kulkarni, Cynthia M Rominger, et al. GSK1120212 (JTP-74057) Is an Inhibitor of MEK Activity and Activation with Favorable Pharmacokinetic Properties for Sustained in Vivo Pathway Inhibition. Clinical Cancer Research □: An Official Journal of the American Association for Cancer Research 17 (2011) 989–1000.
- [205] A. Argiris, Ezra Cohen, Theodore Karrison, Benjamin Esparaz, Ann Mauer, Rafat Ansari, Stuart Wong, et al. A Phase II Trial of Perifosine, an Oral Alkylphospholipid, in Recurrent or Metastatic Head and Neck Cancer. Cancer Biology and Therapy 5 (2006) 766–770.
- [206] J.R. Garlich, Pradip De, Nandini Dey, Jing Dong Su, Xiaodong Peng, Antoinette Miller, Ravoori Murali, et al. A Vascular Targeted Pan Phosphoinositide 3-Kinase Inhibitor Prodrug, SF1126, with Antitumor and Antiangiogenic Activity. Cancer Research 68 (2008) 206–215.
- [207] C. Freudlsperger, Jeffrey R Burnett, Jay A Friedman, Vishnu R Kannabiran, Zhong Chen, and Carter Van Waes. EGFR-PI3K-AKT-mTOR Signaling in Head and Neck Squamous Cell Carcinomas: Attractive Targets for Molecular-Oriented Therapy. Expert Opinion on Therapeutic Targets 15 (2011) 63–74.
- [208] Z. Cai, Ke J, He X, Yuan R, Chen Y, Wu X, Wang L, Wang J, Lan P, Wu X. Significance of mTOR signaling and its inhibitor against cancer stem-like cells in colorectal cancer. Ann Surg Oncol. 21 (2014) 179-88.
- [209] Y.Y. Zaytseva, Joseph D Valentino, Pat Gulhati, and B Mark Evers. mTOR Inhibitors in Cancer Therapy. Cancer Letters 319 (2012) 1–7.
- [210] R.B. Erlich, Kherrouche Z, Rickwood D, Endo-Munoz L, Cameron S, Dahler A, Hazar-Rethinam M, de Long LM, Wooley K, Guminski A, Saunders NA. Preclinical evaluation of dual PI3K-mTOR inhibitors and histone deacetylase

- inhibitors in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. Br J Cancer 106 (2012) 107-115.
- [211] D. Roulin, Laurent Waselle, Anne Dormond-Meuwly, Marc Dufour, Nicolas Demartines, and Olivier Dormond. Targeting Renal Cell Carcinoma with NVP-BEZ235, a Dual PI3K/mTOR Inhibitor, in Combination with Sorafenib. Molecular Cancer 10 (2011) 90.
- [212] M. D'Ascenzo, Piacentini R, Casalbore P, Budoni M, Pallini R, Azzena GB, Grassi C. Role of L-type Ca2+ channels in neural stem/progenitor cell differentiation. Eur J Neurosci. 23 (2006) 935-944.
- [213] Y. Zhang, Crump M, Berge SA. Purging of contaminating breast cancer cells from hematopoietic progenitor cell preparations using activation enhanced cell death. Breast Cancer Res Treat 72 (2002) 265-278.
- [214] Y. Wu, Palad AJ, Wasilenko WJ, Blackmore PF, Pincus WA, Schechter GL, Spoonster JR, Kohn EC, Somers KD. Inhibition of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma growth and invasion by the calcium influx inhibitor carboxyamido-triazole. Clin Cancer Res 3 (1997) 1915-1921.
- [215] M. Cioce, S. Gherardi, G. Viglietto, S. Strano, G. Blandino, P. Muti et al. Mammosphere-forming cells from breast cancer cell lines as a tool for the identification of CSC-like- and early progenitor-targeting drugs. Cell Cycle 9 (2010) 2878–2887.
- [216] S.H. Chiou, Yu CC, Huang CY, Lin SC, Liu CJ, Tsai TH, Chou SH, Chien CS, Ku HH, Lo JF. Positive correlations of Oct-4 and Nanog in oral cancer stem-like cells and high-grade oral squamous cell carcinoma. Clin Cancer Res 14 (2008) 4085-4095.
- [217] M.H. Tabor, Clay MR, Owen JH, Bradford CR, Carey TE, Wolf GT, Prince ME. Head and neck cancer stem cells: the side population. The Laryngoscope 12 (2011) 527-533.
- [218] H. Chen, Zhou L, Dou T, Wan G, Tang H, Tian J. Bmi-1's maintenance of the proliferative capacity of laryngeal cancer stem cells. Head Neck 33 (2011): 1115-1125.
- [219] C.F. Huang, Xu X-R, Wu T-F, Sun Z-J, Zhang WF. Correlation of ALDH1, CD44, OCT4 and SOX2 in tongue squamous cell carcinoma and their association with disease progression and prognosis. Journal of Oral Pathology and Medicine 2014.
- [220] K. Laimer, Fong D, Gasti G, Obrist P, Kloss F, Tuli T, Spizzo G. EpCam expression in squamous cell carcinoma of the oral cavity: frequency and relationship to clinicopathologic features. Oral Oncology 44 (2008) 72-77.
- [221] B. Qiao, He B, Cai J, Yang W. The xpresion profile of Oct4 and Sox 2 in the carcinogenesis of oral mucosa. International Journal of Clinical and Experimental Pathology 7 (2014) 28-37.
- [222] J. Han, Fujisawa T, Husain SR, Puri RK. Identification and characterization of cancer stem cells in human head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. BioMed Central Cancer 11 (2014) 1471-2407.
- [223] K. Marynka-kalmani, treves S, Yafee M, Rachima H, Gafni Y, Cohen MA et al. The lamina propria of adult human oral mucosa harbors a novel stem cell population. Stem Cell 28 (2010) 984-995.
- [224] L.-F. Sheu, W-C Lee, H-S Lee, W-Y Kao, A. Chen. Co-expression of c-kit and stem cell factor in primary and metastatic nasopharyngeal carcinomas and nasopharyngeal epithelium. The Journal of Pathology 207 (2005) 216-223.
- [225] A. Biddle, Liang X. Gammon L, Fazil B, Harper LJ, Emich H, Costea DE, Mackenzie IC. Cancer stem cells in squamous carcinoma switch between two distinct phenotypes that are preferentially migratory or proliferative. Cancer Research 71 (2011) 5317-5326.

Highlights

- Oral epithelium contains a reservoir of stem cells
- Stem cell markers have been localized mainly in the basal layer
- Cancer stem cells have been localized in head and neck tumors
- Cancer stem cells play a key role in oral cancer dissemination and metastasis
- Targeting oral cancer stem cells may improve disease outcome



Figure Captions

Fig. 1 Markers of epithelial stem cells during normal development and in relation with poor clinical outcome in patients with OSCC. Representative photomicrographs of primary human oral carcinomas immune-stained for markers that are associated with poor clinical outcome in patients with oral carcinomas: Aurora B (a-d, h), Survivin (e, i), beta-catenin (j-k), EGFR (l-m), Ki67 (f-g) and CD44 (n-p). a, Aurora B expression in mitotically active cells in the basal layer of severe oral dysplasia; note the limits with basal membrane outlined by star. **b-c**, increased and aberrant mitoses overexpressing Aurora B, in aggressive OSCC at invasive front; **b1-c1**, metaphases; **b2**, early anaphase; c2, late anaphase; b3-c3, late anaphase-cytokinesis; b4-c4, anomalous cytokineses. Aurora B overexpression is coupled with survivin up-regulation in the invasion fronts of OSCC giving raise to aberrant mitoses (d. Aurora B; e, survivin). High proliferative index of aggressive and invasive cells with perivascular (f) and perineural (g) localization positive for Ki-67, is coupled with Aurora B (h) and Survivin (i) overexpression. Beta-catenin expression in the invasive front (i) and a metastatic embolus (k) of OSCC. EGFR expression in the invasive cells front (l-m). CD44 expression in the basal layer of normal human oral mucosa (n), salivary gland (o) and dental root epithelia (p). All the samples were obtained with the signed informed consent of patients under approved protocols by the Ethical Committees of the Universities of Foggia and Marseille.

Fig. 2 Putative cancer stem cells markers in oral carcinomas. Representative photomicrographs (magnification 20x) of human derived oral squamous cell carcinomas cells immune-stained for CD44 (**A**), Lgr5 (**B**), CD15 (**C**), beta-catenin (**D**), Bmi-1 (**E**) and Ki67 (**F**). Larger size mesenchymal-like CSC co-exist with normal size CSC that retain the epithelial characteristics (yellow arrow). DAPI (blue) identified nuclei.

Table 1 Markers for cancer stem cells (CSC) in head and neck squamous cell carcinomas (HNSCC).

(HNSCC).	
CD44	Also known as phagocytic glycoprotein-1 (Pgp-1) and the receptor for hyaluronate. It is a cell surface glycoprotein involved in cell adhesion, cell proliferation, migration, and angiogenesis that exist as a large number of different isoforms resulting from alternative RNA splicing. CD44 also acts as a ligand for E-selectin. Measured by fluoresce activated cell sorting (FACS) can also be reported as CD44 ^{high} (the 10 to 15% of cells with the highest CD44 expression) and CD44 ^{low}
	(the 10 to 15% with the lowest or no expression).
Aldehyde	ALDH gene superfamily encodes detoxifying enzymes; its
dehydrogenase	activity is known to enrich cells with increased stem like
(ALDH)	properties in solid malignancies including HNSCC. Measured
,	by FACS after staining of live cells with a non-immunologic enzymatic ALDEFLUOR kit.
EpCAM (ESA)	Epithelial cell adhesion glycosylated membrane protein involved in Wnt signaling and a cancer stem cell surface CD antigen (CD326) that can be measured by FACS. In HNSCC, two biologically distinct phenotypes of CSC have been reported based on ESA/CD44 expression: CD44(high)ESA(high) that are proliferative with epithelial characteristics (so called non–EMT CSC) versus CD44(high)ESA(low) that are migratory with mesenchymal traits (non-EMT CSC). EMT: epithelial-mesenchymal transition.
Side population (SP)	Defines cells able to efflux fluorescent DNA dye such as Hoechst 33342 and DyeCycle Violet; its phenotype depends on the concentration of ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transporters superfamily efflux pumps in the plasma membrane. In HNSCC, SP cells express high levels of Bmi-1, CD44, Oct-4 and are high in metastatic and aggressive HNSCC.
CD133	A 120 kDa glycoprotein with five transmembrane domains and
(protaminin-1)	two large extracellular loops with a role (through association with Src kinase) in the regulation of tumor initiating properties and the transition from an epithelial to a mesenchymal phenotype of head and neck carcinoma cells. In OSCC CD133+ stem-like cells possess higher clonogenicity, invasiveness and tumorigenesis as compared with CD133-; CD44+ cancer stem-like cells expressed higher CD133 levels than CD44- cells in HNSCC.

Bmi-1	A polycomb protein and proto-oncogenic chromatin regulator
	known to promote stem cells self-renewal by negatively
	regulating the expression of Ink4a and Arf tumor suppressors.
	In HNSCC Bmi-1 is highly enriched in CD133+ cells, induces
	the proliferation of these cells, and prevents apoptosis.
Oct-4, Nanog	Transcription factors that play essential roles in the
and Sox2	maintenance of pluripotency and self-renewal of embryonic
	stem cells. In human adult oral mucosa, stem cells were
	detected in the lamina propria based on their Oct4, Sox2 and
	Nanog expression. Triple positive Nanog/Oct-4/CD133
	expression predicted worst survival in HNSCC patients. The
	usefulness of these factors for the sorting of CSC by FACS
	followed by culture and implantation in animals is hindered by
	the fact that they are not expressed in the cell membrane.
CD117 (c-kit,	A transmembrane tyrosine-kinase receptor that is part of the
receptor of	platelet-derived growth-factor/colony stimulator factor 1
stem cell	receptor subfamily involved in cell survival, differentiation,
factor, SCF)	adhesion and chemotaxis. The co-expression of c-kit and SCF
	was observed in various solid tumors; this ligand/receptor
	system may have autocrine and paracrine effects on the
	regulation of tumor behavior (tumor growth and dissemination)
	in HNSCC.
CD24	A cell adhesion molecule commonly used as a CSC marker
	with CD44 in breast cancer, or with CD44 /ESA in pancreatic
	cancer. In HNSCC, CD24+/CD44+ cells possessed stemness
	characteristics of self-renewal and differentiation, showed
	higher in vitro invasiveness and made higher number of
	colonies in collagen gels and were more chemo-resistant
_ (C)	compared to CD24-/CD44+ cells. In addition, CD24+/CD44+
	HNSCC cells showed a tendency to generate larger tumors in
	nude mice than CD24-/CD44+ cell population.

CSC subpopulations of cells in HNCSS have been identified by the expression of specific markers (single or in combination) complemented by *in vivo* tumorigenic assay performed in immune-deficient mice and "sphere-forming" assays *in vitro* [91–116,215–224].

Table 2 Anti-cancer therapies targeting the cancer cells and cancer stem cells.

	Targets/Mechan	rapies targeting the cance Drugs	Туре	Results	Referen
	isms		of		ces
			study		
A	Pump targeting di	ugs	J		
	1.ATP-binding	1st generation PGP	In vivo	Enhanced the	[131–
	cassette (ABC)	inhibitors (calcium	and in	therapeutic	133]
	multidrug efflux	channel blockers:	vitro	effect of	
	pump	(e.g. verapamil),		chemotherapeu	
	(transporters)	cyclosporine,		tic drugs	
	inhibitors: P-	tamoxifen		(Vincristine,	
	glycoprotein			Adriamycin)	
	(PGP) inhibitors			circumventing	
				tumor	
				chemoresistanc	
				e (leukemia);	
				direct effects on	
			0>	cancer stem	
			•	cells in head	
				and neck	
				cancers	
		2nd generation:	Clinical	Ability to	[132,134
		valspodar (psc-833,	trial	modulate	-140]
		a cyclosporine		multidrug	
		analog)		resistance	
				(MDR) caused	
				by expression	
				of MDR1 gene,	
				which encodes	
				for the P-gp	
				multidrug	
				transporter,	
				and is a	
				determinant of	
				both intrinsic	
				and acquired	
				drug resistance	
				in many human	

decrease the clearance of several anticancer drugs. Usage in combination with anticancer cocktails showed limited benefits in patients with acute myeloid leukemia (AML) and metastatic cancer: non-small cell lung, gastro-intestinal, ovarian, mesothelioma, metastatic and recurrent head and neck 3rd generation: Clinical Reverses P-trial glycoprotein-mediated multidrug (MDR) resistance; Combined usage with Docetaxel to treat metastatic or locally recurrent carcinoma that have failed standard chemotherapy				cancers;	
clearance of several anticancer drugs. Usage in combination with anticancer cocktails showed limited benefits in patients with acute myeloid leukemia (AML) and metastatic cancer: non-small cell llung, gastro-intestinal, ovarian, mesothelioma, metastatic and recurrent head and neck 3rd generation: Clinical Reverses P-glycoprotein-mediated multidrug (MDR) resistance; Combined usage with Docetaxel to treat metastatic or locally recurrent carcinoma that have failed standard					
several anticancer drugs. Usage in combination with anticancer cocktails showed limited benefits in patients with acute myeloid leukemia (AML) and metastatic cancer: non- small cell lung, gastro- intestinal, ovarian, mesothelioma, metastatic and recurrent head and neck 3rd generation: Clinical Zosuquidar (LY335979) Clinical trial Reverses P- glycoprotein- mediated multi- drug (MDR) resistance; Combined usage with Docetaxel to treat metastatic or locally recurrent carcinoma that have failed standard					
anticancer drugs. Usage in combination with anticancer cocktails showed limited benefits in patients with acute myeloid leukemia (AML) and metastatic cancer: non- small cell lung, gastro- intestinal, ovarian, mesothelioma, metastatic and recurrent head and neck 3rd generation: Zosuquidar (LY335979) Clinical trial Reverses P- glycoprotein- mediated multi- drug (MDR) resistance; Combined usage with Docetaxel to treat metastatic or locally recurrent carcinoma that have failed standard					
drugs. Usage in combination with anticancer cocktails showed limited benefits in patients with acute myeloid leukemia (AML) and metastatic cancer: non-small cell lung, gastro-intestinal, ovarian, mesothelioma, metastatic and recurrent head and neck 3rd generation: Clinical recurrent head and neck Zosuquidar (LY335979) Clinical kreverses P-glycoprotein-mediated multidrug (MDR) resistance; Combined usage with Docetaxel to treat metastatic or locally recurrent carcinoma that have failed standard					
combination with anticancer cocktails showed limited benefits in patients with acute myeloid leukemia (AML) and metastatic cancer: non- small cell lung, gastro- intestinal, ovarian, mesothelioma, metastatic and recurrent head and neck 3rd generation: Clinical Reverses P- glycoprotein- mediated multi- drug (MDR) resistance; Combined usage with Docetaxel to treat metastatic or locally recurrent carcinoma that have failed standard					
with anticancer cocktails showed limited benefits in patients with acute myeloid leukemia (AML) and metastatic cancer: nonsmall cell lung, gastrointestinal, ovarian, mesothelioma, metastatic and recurrent head and neck 3rd generation: Clinical recurrent head and neck Zosuquidar (LY335979) Clinical glycoproteinmediated multidrug (MDR) resistance; Combined usage with Docetaxel to treat metastatic or locally recurrent carcinoma that have failed standard					
cocktails showed limited benefits in patients with acute myeloid leukemia (AML) and metastatic cancer: non- small cell lung, gastro- intestinal, ovarian, mesothelioma, metastatic and recurrent head and neck 3rd generation: Zosuquidar (LY335979) Clinical trial glycoprotein- mediated multi- drug (MDR) resistance; Combined usage with Docetaxel to treat metastatic or locally recurrent carcinoma that have failed standard					
showed limited benefits in patients with acute myeloid leukemia (AML) and metastatic cancer: non-small cell lung, gastro-intestinal, ovarian, mesothelioma, metastatic and recurrent head and neck 3rd generation: Zosuquidar (LY335979) Clinical trial glycoprotein-mediated multidrug (MDR) resistance; Combined usage with Docetaxel to treat metastatic or locally recurrent carcinoma that have failed standard					
benefits in patients with acute myeloid leukemia (AML) and metastatic cancer: nonsmall cell lung, gastrointestinal, ovarian, mesothelioma, metastatic and recurrent head and neck 3rd generation: Zosuquidar (LY335979) Clinical Reverses Pglycoproteinmediated multidrug (MDR) resistance; Combined usage with Docetaxel to treat metastatic or locally recurrent carcinoma that have failed standard					
patients with acute myeloid leukemia (AML) and metastatic cancer: nonsmall cell lung, gastrointestinal, ovarian, mesothelioma, metastatic and recurrent head and neck 3rd generation: Clinical Zosuquidar (LY335979) Clinical trial glycoproteinmediated multidrug (MDR) resistance; Combined usage with Docetaxel to treat metastatic or locally recurrent carcinoma that have failed standard					
acute myeloid leukemia (AML) and metastatic cancer: non-small cell lung, gastro-intestinal, ovarian, mesothelioma, metastatic and recurrent head and neck 3rd generation: Zosuquidar (LY335979) Clinical Reverses P-trial glycoprotein-mediated multidrug (MDR) resistance; Combined usage with Docetaxel to treat metastatic or locally recurrent carcinoma that have failed standard					
leukemia (AML) and metastatic cancer: non- small cell lung, gastro- intestinal, ovarian, mesothelioma, metastatic and recurrent head and neck 3rd generation: Zosuquidar (LY335979) Clinical trial glycoprotein- mediated multi- drug (MDR) resistance; Combined usage with Docetaxel to treat metastatic or locally recurrent carcinoma that have failed standard					
and metastatic cancer: non-small cell lung, gastro-intestinal, ovarian, mesothelioma, metastatic and recurrent head and neck 3rd generation: Zosuquidar (LY335979) Clinical Reverses P-trial glycoprotein-mediated multidrug (MDR) resistance; Combined usage with Docetaxel to treat metastatic or locally recurrent carcinoma that have failed standard					
cancer: non- small cell lung, gastro- intestinal, ovarian, mesothelioma, metastatic and recurrent head and neck 3rd generation: Zosuquidar (LY335979) Clinical trial glycoprotein- mediated multi- drug (MDR) resistance; Combined usage with Docetaxel to treat metastatic or locally recurrent carcinoma that have failed standard					
small cell lung, gastro- intestinal, ovarian, mesothelioma, metastatic and recurrent head and neck 3rd generation: Zosuquidar (LY335979) Clinical trial glycoprotein- mediated multi- drug (MDR) resistance; Combined usage with Docetaxel to treat metastatic or locally recurrent carcinoma that have failed standard					
gastro- intestinal, ovarian, mesothelioma, metastatic and recurrent head and neck 3rd generation: Zosuquidar (LY335979) Clinical Reverses P- glycoprotein- mediated multi- drug (MDR) resistance; Combined usage with Docetaxel to treat metastatic or locally recurrent carcinoma that have failed standard					
intestinal, ovarian, mesothelioma, metastatic and recurrent head and neck 3rd generation: Clinical Reverses P- Zosuquidar (LY335979) mediated multidrug (MDR) resistance; Combined usage with Docetaxel to treat metastatic or locally recurrent carcinoma that have failed standard				_	
ovarian, mesothelioma, metastatic and recurrent head and neck 3rd generation: Clinical Reverses P- glycoprotein- mediated multi- drug (MDR) resistance; Combined usage with Docetaxel to treat metastatic or locally recurrent carcinoma that have failed standard				•	
mesothelioma, metastatic and recurrent head and neck 3rd generation: Clinical Reverses P- Zosuquidar (LY335979) mediated multidrug (MDR) resistance; Combined usage with Docetaxel to treat metastatic or locally recurrent carcinoma that have failed standard				·	
metastatic and recurrent head and neck 3rd generation: Zosuquidar (LY335979) Clinical Reverses P-glycoprotein-mediated multidrug (MDR) resistance; Combined usage with Docetaxel to treat metastatic or locally recurrent carcinoma that have failed standard				·	
recurrent head and neck 3rd generation: Zosuquidar (LY335979) Clinical Reverses P- glycoprotein- mediated multi- drug (MDR) resistance; Combined usage with Docetaxel to treat metastatic or locally recurrent carcinoma that have failed standard					
and neck 3rd generation: Zosuquidar (LY335979) Clinical trial glycoprotein- mediated multi- drug (MDR) resistance; Combined usage with Docetaxel to treat metastatic or locally recurrent carcinoma that have failed standard					
3rd generation: Zosuquidar (LY335979) Clinical Reverses P- glycoprotein- mediated multi- drug (MDR) resistance; Combined usage with Docetaxel to treat metastatic or locally recurrent carcinoma that have failed standard					
Zosuquidar (LY335979) trial glycoprotein- mediated multi- drug (MDR) resistance; Combined usage with Docetaxel to treat metastatic or locally recurrent carcinoma that have failed standard		201	Ol: 1		
(LY335979) mediated multidrug (MDR) resistance; Combined usage with Docetaxel to treat metastatic or locally recurrent carcinoma that have failed standard					
drug (MDR) resistance; Combined usage with Docetaxel to treat metastatic or locally recurrent carcinoma that have failed standard		_	trial		
resistance; Combined usage with Docetaxel to treat metastatic or locally recurrent carcinoma that have failed standard		(LY335979)			
Combined usage with Docetaxel to treat metastatic or locally recurrent carcinoma that have failed standard					
usage with Docetaxel to treat metastatic or locally recurrent carcinoma that have failed standard				·	
Docetaxel to treat metastatic or locally recurrent carcinoma that have failed standard					
treat metastatic or locally recurrent carcinoma that have failed standard				_	
or locally recurrent carcinoma that have failed standard					
recurrent carcinoma that have failed standard					
carcinoma that have failed standard				•	
have failed standard					
standard					
chemotherapy					
				chemotherapy	

				(breast cancer)	
				or resistant	
				malignancies	
				(melanoma,	
				ovary, lung,	
				breast, sarcoma,	
				head and neck	
				cancer)	
		4th generation:	In vitro	MDR reversal;	
		Natural products:		blocking of	
		curcumin,		multiple	
		flavonoids:		pathways by	
		kaempferol,		which cancer	
		genistein, silymarin,		cells can	
		quercetin etc		survive (breast	
				cancer, head	
				and neck	
				cancer)	
	2. Nanoparticle	γ-secretase	In vivo	Targeted	[141]
	drug delivery	inhibitor-	and in	inhibition of	
		mesoporous silica	vitro	Notch signaling	
		nanoparticles (GSI-		in cancer stem	
		MSNPs)		cells	
В	Targeting stemnes				
	1. Targeting CSCs	dormancy/quiescence	e		
	1.1. stimulate	Interferon (INF) α	In vivo	Stimulate	[142–
	the cells to enter		and	dormant cells to	150]
	division cycle		Clinical	proliferate,	
	and to become		trial	used in	
	sensitive to			combination	
	chemotherapies			with imatinib to	
	_			treat chronic	
				myelogenous	
				leukemia	
				(CML); inhibits	
				tumor growth	
				and metastasis,	
				reduce	
				intratumoral	
				mu acumol al	

		mai amarra ===1	1
		microvessel	
		density,	
		increased cell	
		apoptosis and	
		induced	
		prolonged	
		survival in head	
		and neck	
		cancer; enhance	
		response to	
		standard	
		therapies and	
		successful	
		immunostimula	
		tion in patients	
	_	with head and	
		neck cancer	
Granulocyte colony-	Clinical	Stimulate	
stimulating factor	trial	dormant cells to	
(G-CSF)	0	proliferate,	
	•	used in	
		combination	
		with tyrosine	
40		kinase inhibitor	
		(imatinib) to	
		treat AML, CML;	
		dendritic cells	
		differentiation	
		in head and	
		neck cancer;	
		administration	
		of an oncolytic	
		herpes simplex	
		type-1 virus	
		encoding	
		human G-CSF in	
		combination	
		with standard	
		therapies	
<u> </u>		alciupico	

			improve loco- regional control and survival in patients with head and neck; however, subcutaneous administration of G-CSF concurrently with radiation did not improve the quality of life as reported	
	AMD3100	Clinical	by patients with head and neck cancer Mobilize stem	
	(plexixafor, a stromal cell-derived factor SDF-	trial; in vivo and in	cell in combination with G-CSF to	
	1/CXCL12-CXCR4 inhibitor)	vitro	treat non- Hodgkin's lymphoma and	
DCC6			multiple myeloma; potent anti- metastatic effect in head and neck cancer	
1.2. epigenetic therapy targeting chromatin acetylation and	Histone deacetylase inhibitors (HDACi: suberoylanilide hydroxamic acid); valproic acid	Clinical trial	a/ able to kill the cells in dormancy, eradicate the residual tumor	[145]
DNA replication			by inducing apoptosis in nonproliferatin g cancer cell	

In Vivo and in vitro b/ able to induce 153] In Vivo and in vitro replication-associated DNA damage without detectable alteration in cell cycle progression and unrelated to apoptosis; prevent aggressiveness of CSCs (colon cancer and breast cancer); induce apoptosis and cell cycle arrest, and alter the cancer stem cell phenotype in head and neck cancer; synergistic effects with standard chemotherapies in head and neck cancer; in combination with ribonuclease reductase inhibitor block efficiently		<u> </u>		11 011 1	,
In Vivo and in vitro induce replication-associated DNA damage without detectable alteration in cell cycle progression and unrelated to apoptosis; prevent aggressiveness of CSCs (colon cancer and breast cancer); induce apoptosis and cell cycle arrest, and alter the cancer stem cell phenotype in head and neck cancer; synergistic effects with standard chemotherapies in head and neck cancer; in combination with ribonuclease reductase inhibitor block					
and in vitro associated DNA damage without detectable alteration in cell cycle progression and unrelated to apoptosis; prevent aggressiveness of CSCs (colon cancer and breast cancer); induce apoptosis and cell cycle arrest, and alter the cancer stem cell phenotype in head and neck cancer; synergistic effects with standard chemotherapies in head and neck cancer; in combination with ribonuclease reductase inhibitor block				trial in CML	
and in vitro and in vitro replication- associated DNA damage without detectable alteration in cell cycle progression and unrelated to apoptosis; prevent aggressiveness of CSCs (colon cancer and breast cancer); induce apoptosis and cell cycle arrest, and alter the cancer stem cell phenotype in head and neck cancer; synergistic effects with standard chemotherapies in head and neck cancer; in combination with ribonuclease reductase inhibitor block					
vitro replication- associated DNA damage without detectable alteration in cell cycle progression and unrelated to apoptosis; prevent aggressiveness of CSCs (colon cancer and breast cancer); induce apoptosis and cell cycle arrest, and alter the cancer stem cell phenotype in head and neck cancer; synergistic effects with standard chemotherapies in head and neck cancer; in combination with ribonuclease reductase inhibitor block					_
associated DNA damage without detectable alteration in cell cycle progression and unrelated to apoptosis; prevent aggressiveness of CSCs (colon cancer and breast cancer); induce apoptosis and cell cycle arrest, and alter the cancer stem cell phenotype in head and neck cancer; synergistic effects with standard chemotherapies in head and neck cancer; in combination with ribonuclease reductase inhibitor block					153]
damage without detectable alteration in cell cycle progression and unrelated to apoptosis; prevent aggressiveness of CSCs (colon cancer and breast cancer); induce apoptosis and cell cycle arrest, and alter the cancer stem cell phenotype in head and neck cancer; synergistic effects with standard chemotherapies in head and neck cancer; in combination with ribonuclease reductase inhibitor block			vitro		
detectable alteration in cell cycle progression and unrelated to apoptosis; prevent aggressiveness of CSCs (colon cancer and breast cancer); induce apoptosis and cell cycle arrest, and alter the cancer stem cell phenotype in head and neck cancer; synergistic effects with standard chemotherapies in head and neck cancer; in combination with ribonuclease reductase inhibitor block				associated DNA	
alteration in cell cycle progression and unrelated to apoptosis; prevent aggressiveness of CSCs (colon cancer and breast cancer); induce apoptosis and cell cycle arrest, and alter the cancer stem cell phenotype in head and neck cancer; synergistic effects with standard chemotherapies in head and neck cancer; in combination with ribonuclease reductase inhibitor block				damage without	
cycle progression and unrelated to apoptosis; prevent aggressiveness of CSCs (colon cancer and breast cancer); induce apoptosis and cell cycle arrest, and alter the cancer stem cell phenotype in head and neck cancer; synergistic effects with standard chemotherapies in head and neck cancer; in combination with ribonuclease reductase inhibitor block				detectable	
progression and unrelated to apoptosis; prevent aggressiveness of CSCs (colon cancer and breast cancer); induce apoptosis and cell cycle arrest, and alter the cancer stem cell phenotype in head and neck cancer; synergistic effects with standard chemotherapies in head and neck cancer; in combination with ribonuclease reductase inhibitor block				alteration in cell	
unrelated to apoptosis; prevent aggressiveness of CSCs (colon cancer and breast cancer); induce apoptosis and cell cycle arrest, and alter the cancer stem cell phenotype in head and neck cancer; synergistic effects with standard chemotherapies in head and neck cancer; in combination with ribonuclease reductase inhibitor block				cycle	
unrelated to apoptosis; prevent aggressiveness of CSCs (colon cancer and breast cancer); induce apoptosis and cell cycle arrest, and alter the cancer stem cell phenotype in head and neck cancer; synergistic effects with standard chemotherapies in head and neck cancer; in combination with ribonuclease reductase inhibitor block				progression and	
prevent aggressiveness of CSCs (colon cancer and breast cancer); induce apoptosis and cell cycle arrest, and alter the cancer stem cell phenotype in head and neck cancer; synergistic effects with standard chemotherapies in head and neck cancer; in combination with ribonuclease reductase inhibitor block					
prevent aggressiveness of CSCs (colon cancer and breast cancer); induce apoptosis and cell cycle arrest, and alter the cancer stem cell phenotype in head and neck cancer; synergistic effects with standard chemotherapies in head and neck cancer; in combination with ribonuclease reductase inhibitor block					
aggressiveness of CSCs (colon cancer and breast cancer); induce apoptosis and cell cycle arrest, and alter the cancer stem cell phenotype in head and neck cancer; synergistic effects with standard chemotherapies in head and neck cancer; in combination with ribonuclease reductase inhibitor block					
of CSCs (colon cancer and breast cancer); induce apoptosis and cell cycle arrest, and alter the cancer stem cell phenotype in head and neck cancer; synergistic effects with standard chemotherapies in head and neck cancer; in combination with ribonuclease reductase inhibitor block					
cancer and breast cancer); induce apoptosis and cell cycle arrest, and alter the cancer stem cell phenotype in head and neck cancer; synergistic effects with standard chemotherapies in head and neck cancer; in combination with ribonuclease reductase inhibitor block					
breast cancer); induce apoptosis and cell cycle arrest, and alter the cancer stem cell phenotype in head and neck cancer; synergistic effects with standard chemotherapies in head and neck cancer; in combination with ribonuclease reductase inhibitor block				Y .	
induce apoptosis and cell cycle arrest, and alter the cancer stem cell phenotype in head and neck cancer; synergistic effects with standard chemotherapies in head and neck cancer; in combination with ribonuclease reductase inhibitor block					
apoptosis and cell cycle arrest, and alter the cancer stem cell phenotype in head and neck cancer; synergistic effects with standard chemotherapies in head and neck cancer; in combination with ribonuclease reductase inhibitor block			0>		
cell cycle arrest, and alter the cancer stem cell phenotype in head and neck cancer; synergistic effects with standard chemotherapies in head and neck cancer; in combination with ribonuclease reductase inhibitor block					
and alter the cancer stem cell phenotype in head and neck cancer; synergistic effects with standard chemotherapies in head and neck cancer; in combination with ribonuclease reductase inhibitor block					
cancer stem cell phenotype in head and neck cancer; synergistic effects with standard chemotherapies in head and neck cancer; in combination with ribonuclease reductase inhibitor block					
phenotype in head and neck cancer; synergistic effects with standard chemotherapies in head and neck cancer; in combination with ribonuclease reductase inhibitor block		4.01			
head and neck cancer; synergistic effects with standard chemotherapies in head and neck cancer; in combination with ribonuclease reductase inhibitor block					
cancer; synergistic effects with standard chemotherapies in head and neck cancer; in combination with ribonuclease reductase inhibitor block					
synergistic effects with standard chemotherapies in head and neck cancer; in combination with ribonuclease reductase inhibitor block					
in head and neck cancer; in combination with ribonuclease reductase inhibitor block					
in head and neck cancer; in combination with ribonuclease reductase inhibitor block					
in head and neck cancer; in combination with ribonuclease reductase inhibitor block					
in head and neck cancer; in combination with ribonuclease reductase inhibitor block					
neck cancer; in combination with ribonuclease reductase inhibitor block	—				
combination with ribonuclease reductase inhibitor block					
with ribonuclease reductase inhibitor block					
ribonuclease reductase inhibitor block					
reductase inhibitor block					
inhibitor block					
efficiently					
				efficiently	
tumor growth,				tumor growth,	

		l		
			induce tumor-	
			cell apoptosis	
			and induce	
			EGFR	
			downregulation	
			in head and	
			neck cancer	
1.3.	Vitamin A derivative	Clinical	Successful	[154,155
differentiation	(retinoids and their	trial	treatment of]
therapy	naturally		various	
	metabolized and		subtypes of	
	synthetic products		leukemia	
	e.g. all-trans retinoic		harboring	
	acid, 13- <i>cis</i> -retinoic		chromosomal	
	acid, bexarotene)		translocations;	
			limited success	
			in the	
			prevention and	
			treatment of	
		0	solid tumors	
		•	may relate to	
			the frequent	
			epigenetic	
	4.0		silencing of	
			retinoic acid	
	O		receptor beta	
			(RARbeta);	
			Limited effect	
A (G)			to prevent	
			progression and	
			recurrence of	
			head and neck	
			(oral,	
			pharyngeal)	
2 Tangating calf n	on avval and proliferat	ion signo	cancer	
	enewal and proliferat			Г156
2.1. Wnt	anti-Wnt antibody;	In vitro	The WNT	[156–
(Wingless type)	anti-Wnt receptors:	and in	receptor	160]
pathway	Frizzled antibody	vivo	Frizzled (FZD)7	

(0)	(D 10DE). I DD6		is essential for	1
	IP-18R5); LRP6			
	ibody (anti Wnt-		maintenance of	
	nd Wnt-3)		the pluripotent	
			state in human	
			embryonic stem	
			cells; Decrease	
			viability and	
			proliferation of	
			cancer cells;	
			decrease	
			growth and	
			tumorigenicity	>
			of human	
			tumors	
			(hepatocellular	
			carcinoma,	
			breast,	
			pancreatic,	
			lung, colon,	
		0	etc); exhibits	
			synergistic	
			activity with	
			standard-of-	
	. (3)		care	
			chemotherapeu	
			tic agents;	
			induced	
20			extended delay	
			in the re-	
			growth of	
			tumors	
			following	
			treatment with	
			high-dose	
			chemotherapy	
Wn	t protein	In vivo	Decrease	[162]
	ibitors (VS-507)		population of	
	(33.)		breast cancer	
			stem cell,	

			reduce cancer	
			grown and	
			metastasis	
	anti-nuclear	In vitro	Significantly	[163]
	complexes; new		attenuates the	
	compound		Wnt/beta-	
	(trinuclear		catenin	
	ruthenium complex		signaling at	
	[Ru ^{III} ₃ (TSA-		both	
	$H)_2(TSA)_4][NEt_4]$		transcriptomic	
	with the non-toxic		and proteomic	
	2-thiosalicylic acid		levels; Induce	>
	(TSA-H ₂) ligand)		apoptosis, and	
			reduces	
			transcription	
			and expression	
			of nuclear	
		.00	components;	
			head and neck	
		O [*]	(nasopharyngea	
		>	l) cancer	
	Phytochemicals:	In vitro	Inhibition β-	[164–
	Resveratrol	and in	catenin/TCF-	168]
	4.0	vivo	mediated	-
			transcriptional	
			activity; effects	
_ (are dose-	
			dependent;	
			reversal of	
			epithelial-	
			mesenchymal	
			transition	
			(EMT);	
			inhibition of	
			cancer cell	
			invasiveness:	
			colorectal,	
			melanoma,	
			breast cancer;	
			decrease cancer	

cancer stem

			anith alic!	
			epithelial-	
			mesenchymal	
			transition	
			(various solid	
			tumors:	
			ovarian, breast,	
			colon cancer,	
			pancreas etc)	
	small-molecule	In vivo	Alter both	[171–
	drugs that	and in	proliferation	173]
	antagonize	vitro	and	
	Wnt/beta-catenin		differentiation;	
	signaling pathway:		loss of self-	
	ICG-001, PKF118-		renewal	
	310		capacity,	
			decrease	
			chemoresistanc	
			e, down-	
			regulation of	
			survivin	
			expression	
			levels; reduce	
			tumor growth	
	*6		and overcome	
			tumor relapse;	
			chromatic	
			remodeling;	
			eradicate	
			tumor-initiating	
			cells (breast	
•			cancer; acute	
			lymphoblastic	
			leukemia; head	
			and neck	
			cancer)	
	small-molecule	In vitro,	decrease cell	[174–
	drugs that inhibit	in vivo,	and tumor	177]
	ligand-induced	clinical	growth;	
	Wnt/β-catenin	trial	decrease	
 I	1		L	

	signaling: Porcupine		expression of	
	inhibitor LGK974		Wnt target	
	(secretion of Wnt		genes (Axin 2)	
	protein requires		(pancreatic	
			adenocarcinom	
	Porcupine, a			
	membrane bound 0-		a, breast, and	
	acyltransferase		head and neck	
	dedicated to Wnt		cancer)	
	posttranslational			
	acylation)			
2.2. Shh pathway	Hedgehog pathway	Clinical	Eliminate	[178,179
	inhibitor: IPI-926	trial	tumors and	
	(saridegib);		delays regrowth	
	compounds and		(head and neck	
	derivatives from		squamous	
	natural products		carcinomas)	
2.3. Notch	γ secretase	Clinical	Effectively	[180–
pathway	inhibitors (GSI; the	trial in	block Notch	182]
	GSIs synthesized to	vitro	activity by	
	date are divided into	0'	preventing its	
	three classes:		cleavage at the	
	peptide isosteres,		cell surface;	
	azepines, and		prevent	
	sulfonamides)		metastasis and	
			recurrence	
			(breast cancer);	
			prevent cell	
			proliferation	
			and tumor	
			necrosis factor	
			(TNF-α)-	
			dependent	
			invasion of	
			head and neck	
			(oral) cancer	
			cells; inhibits	
			cell	
			proliferation by	
			inducing cell	
			muucing ten	

			cycle arrest and apoptosis, inhibits the AKT and MEK signaling and enhance radiosensitivity in head and neck (nasopharyngea l) cancer	
	Phytochemicals (e.g. Resveratrol); natural products (e.g. curcumin,	In vitro	Reduce cell [183– proliferation and induces apoptosis (T-	
	psoralidin)		cell acute lymphoblastic leukaemia,	
		SIL.	breast and head and neck (esophageal)	
	469		cancer; induces growth arrest and EMT inhibition in	
CC	3,9		cancer stem cells (breast cancer); down- regulate Notch	
P.O			activating gamma secretase	
			complex proteins (e.g. presenilin) and	
			specific microRNAs (miRNA-21 and -34a), and	
			upregulates	

Chloral, Chlorpropamide analogs, Citral, Coprine, Cyanamide, Daidzin, Disulfiram, diethylaminobenzal suggests relationships among the expression of ALDH enzymes, and their	development of drug resistance in various	2.4. Aldehyde dehydrogenase (ALDH)	Chlorpropamide analogs, Citral, Coprine, Cyanamide, Daidzin, Disulfiram,	In vitro	relationships among the expression of ALDH enzymes, and their cooperation with ABC transporters in	[186– 189]
			dehyde (DEAB)		with ABC transporters in the development of drug resistance in various	
with ABC transporters in the development of drug resistance					Reduce or completely reverse chemotherapy and radiation resistance of cancer stem cells; abolish	

ALDH 1A1-targeted siRNAs ALDH 1A1-targeted siRNAs In vivo and in vitro Sensitize taxane- and platinum-resistant cell lines to chemotherapy; significantly reduce tumor growth; targeting cancer stem cells (ovarian cancer). Knockdown of ALDH1A1 and ALDH3A1 by siRNA decreases clonogenicity and motility, and increases sensitivity to 4-hydroperoxy-cyclophosphami de in non-small cell lung cancer cell lines C Epithelial mesenchymal transition (EMT)-targeting drugs 1.Targeting signaling pathways (Wnt, Shh, Notch)				cancer stem	
ALDH 1A1-targeted siRNAs ALDH 1A1-targeted siRNAs In vivo and in vitro and in vitro and in platinum-resistant cell lines to chemotherapy; significantly reduce tumor growth; targeting cancer stem cells (ovarian cancer). Knockdown of ALDH1A1 and ALDH3A1 by siRNA decreases clonogenicity and motility, and increases sensitivity to 4-hydroperoxy-cyclophosphami de in non-small cell lung cancer cell lines C Epithelial mesenchymal transition (EMT)-targeting drugs 1.Targeting signaling pathways (Wnt,					
ALDH 1A1-targeted siRNAs ALDH 1A1-targeted siRNAs In vivo and in vitro platinum-resistant cell lines to chemotherapy; significantly reduce tumor growth; targeting cancer stem cells (ovarian cancer). Knockdown of ALDH1A1 and ALDH3A1 by siRNA decreases clonogenicity and motility, and increases sensitivity to 4-hydroperoxy-cyclophosphami de in non-small cell lung cancer cell lines C Epithelial mesenchymal transition (EMT)-targeting drugs 1.Targeting signaling pathways (Wnt,					
ALDH 1A1-targeted siRNAs In vivo and in vitro Sensitize taxane- and platinum-resistant cell lines to chemotherapy; significantly reduce tumor growth; targeting cancer stem cells (ovarian cancer). Knockdown of ALDH1A1 and ALDH3A1 by siRNA decreases clonogenicity and motility, and increases sensitivity to 4-hydroperoxy-cyclophosphami de in non-small cell lung cancer cell lines C Epithelial mesenchymal transition (EMT)-targeting drugs See part B2. See part					
siRNAs and in vitro platinum-resistant cell lines to chemotherapy; significantly reduce tumor growth; targeting cancer stem cells (ovarian cancer). Knockdown of ALDH1A1 and ALDH3A1 by siRNA decreases clonogenicity and motility, and increases sensitivity to 4-hydroperoxy-cyclophosphami de in non-small cell lung cancer cell lines C Epithelial mesenchymal transition (EMT)-targeting drugs 1.Targeting signaling pathways (Wnt,		ALDH 1A1-targeted	In vivo		[189,190
resistant cell lines to chemotherapy; significantly reduce tumor growth; targeting cancer stem cells (ovarian cancer). Knockdown of ALDH1A1 and ALDH3A1 by siRNA decreases clonogenicity and motility, and increases sensitivity to 4-hydroperoxy-cyclophosphami de in non-small cell lung cancer cell lines C Epithelial mesenchymal transition (EMT)-targeting drugs 1.Targeting signaling pathways (Wnt,			and in	taxane- and	_
resistant cell lines to chemotherapy; significantly reduce tumor growth; targeting cancer stem cells (ovarian cancer). Knockdown of ALDH1A1 and ALDH3A1 by siRNA decreases clonogenicity and motility, and increases sensitivity to 4-hydroperoxy-cyclophosphami de in non-small cell lung cancer cell lines C Epithelial mesenchymal transition (EMT)-targeting drugs 1.Targeting signaling pathways (Wnt,			vitro	platinum-	
chemotherapy; significantly reduce tumor growth; targeting cancer stem cells (ovarian cancer). Knockdown of ALDH1A1 and ALDH3A1 by siRNA decreases clonogenicity and motility, and increases sensitivity to 4- hydroperoxy- cyclophosphami de in non-small cell lung cancer cell lines C Epithelial mesenchymal transition (EMT)-targeting drugs				_	
significantly reduce tumor growth; targeting cancer stem cells (ovarian cancer). Knockdown of ALDH1A1 and ALDH3A1 by siRNA decreases clonogenicity and motility, and increases sensitivity to 4-hydroperoxy-cyclophosphami de in non-small cell lung cancer cell lines C Epithelial mesenchymal transition (EMT)-targeting drugs 1.Targeting signaling pathways (Wnt,				lines to	
reduce tumor growth; targeting cancer stem cells (ovarian cancer). Knockdown of ALDH1A1 and ALDH3A1 by siRNA decreases clonogenicity and motility, and increases sensitivity to 4-hydroperoxy-cyclophosphami de in non-small cell lung cancer cell lines C Epithelial mesenchymal transition (EMT)-targeting drugs 1.Targeting signaling pathways (Wnt,				chemotherapy;	
growth; targeting cancer stem cells (ovarian cancer). Knockdown of ALDH1A1 and ALDH3A1 by siRNA decreases clonogenicity and motility, and increases sensitivity to 4- hydroperoxy- cyclophosphami de in non-small cell lung cancer cell lines C Epithelial mesenchymal transition (EMT)-targeting drugs				significantly	
targeting cancer stem cells (ovarian cancer). Knockdown of ALDH1A1 and ALDH3A1 by siRNA decreases clonogenicity and motility, and increases sensitivity to 4-hydroperoxy-cyclophosphami de in non-small cell lung cancer cell lines C Epithelial mesenchymal transition (EMT)-targeting drugs 1.Targeting signaling pathways (Wnt,				reduce tumor	
stem cells (ovarian cancer). Knockdown of ALDH1A1 and ALDH3A1 by siRNA decreases clonogenicity and motility, and increases sensitivity to 4- hydroperoxy- cyclophosphami de in non-small cell lung cancer cell lines C Epithelial mesenchymal transition (EMT)-targeting drugs				growth;	
(ovarian cancer). Knockdown of ALDH1A1 and ALDH3A1 by siRNA decreases clonogenicity and motility, and increases sensitivity to 4-hydroperoxy-cyclophosphami de in non-small cell lung cancer cell lines C Epithelial mesenchymal transition (EMT)-targeting drugs 1.Targeting signaling pathways (Wnt,				targeting cancer	
cancer). Knockdown of ALDH1A1 and ALDH3A1 by siRNA decreases clonogenicity and motility, and increases sensitivity to 4- hydroperoxy- cyclophosphami de in non-small cell lung cancer cell lines C Epithelial mesenchymal transition (EMT)-targeting drugs				stem cells	
Knockdown of ALDH1A1 and ALDH3A1 by siRNA decreases clonogenicity and motility, and increases sensitivity to 4-hydroperoxy-cyclophosphami de in non-small cell lung cancer cell lines C Epithelial mesenchymal transition (EMT)-targeting drugs 1.Targeting signaling pathways (Wnt,				(ovarian	
ALDH1A1 and ALDH3A1 by siRNA decreases clonogenicity and motility, and increases sensitivity to 4-hydroperoxy-cyclophosphami de in non-small cell lung cancer cell lines C Epithelial mesenchymal transition (EMT)-targeting drugs 1.Targeting signaling pathways (Wnt,				cancer).	
ALDH3A1 by siRNA decreases clonogenicity and motility, and increases sensitivity to 4-hydroperoxy-cyclophosphami de in non-small cell lung cancer cell lines C Epithelial mesenchymal transition (EMT)-targeting drugs 1.Targeting See part B2. signaling pathways (Wnt,				Knockdown of	
siRNA decreases clonogenicity and motility, and increases sensitivity to 4- hydroperoxy- cyclophosphami de in non-small cell lung cancer cell lines C Epithelial mesenchymal transition (EMT)-targeting drugs 1.Targeting signaling pathways (Wnt,				ALDH1A1 and	
decreases clonogenicity and motility, and increases sensitivity to 4- hydroperoxy- cyclophosphami de in non-small cell lung cancer cell lines C Epithelial mesenchymal transition (EMT)-targeting drugs 1.Targeting signaling pathways (Wnt,			0'	ALDH3A1 by	
clonogenicity and motility, and increases sensitivity to 4- hydroperoxy- cyclophosphami de in non-small cell lung cancer cell lines C Epithelial mesenchymal transition (EMT)-targeting drugs 1.Targeting signaling pathways (Wnt,				siRNA	
and motility, and increases sensitivity to 4- hydroperoxy- cyclophosphami de in non-small cell lung cancer cell lines C Epithelial mesenchymal transition (EMT)-targeting drugs 1.Targeting signaling pathways (Wnt,					
and increases sensitivity to 4-hydroperoxy-cyclophosphami de in non-small cell lung cancer cell lines C Epithelial mesenchymal transition (EMT)-targeting drugs 1.Targeting signaling pathways (Wnt,					
Sensitivity to 4- hydroperoxy- cyclophosphami de in non-small cell lung cancer cell lines C Epithelial mesenchymal transition (EMT)-targeting drugs 1.Targeting signaling pathways (Wnt,		*0			
hydroperoxy- cyclophosphami de in non-small cell lung cancer cell lines C Epithelial mesenchymal transition (EMT)-targeting drugs 1.Targeting signaling pathways (Wnt,					
C Epithelial mesenchymal transition (EMT)-targeting drugs 1.Targeting signaling pathways (Wnt,					
de in non-small cell lung cancer cell lines C Epithelial mesenchymal transition (EMT)-targeting drugs 1.Targeting signaling pathways (Wnt,		Y			
C Epithelial mesenchymal transition (EMT)-targeting drugs 1.Targeting See part B2. signaling pathways (Wnt,					
C Epithelial mesenchymal transition (EMT)-targeting drugs 1.Targeting See part B2. signaling pathways (Wnt,					
C Epithelial mesenchymal transition (EMT)-targeting drugs 1.Targeting See part B2. signaling pathways (Wnt,				_	
1.Targeting See part B2. signaling pathways (Wnt,	▼			cell lines	
1.Targeting See part B2. signaling pathways (Wnt,	 Fnithalial masonal	hymal transition (FM'	 T).targoti	ng druge	
signaling pathways (Wnt,	Lpithenai mesent	nymai d'ansidon (EM	ij targeti	ing ui ugo	
signaling pathways (Wnt,	1.Targeting	See part B2.			
pathways (Wnt,		_			
Shh, Notch)					

2. Snail	Small molecule	In vitro	Transcriptional	[191]
inhibitors	SNAIL-inhibitor GN-		reversal of the	
	25		mesenchyal	
			phenotype in	
			cancer stem	
			cells (breast	
			cancer)	
3. TGFβ	TGFβ receptor	In Vivo	Inhibit various	[192]
inhibitors	inhibitors		components of	
			TGFβ pathway	
			(skin and oral	
			squamous cell	
			Carcinoma)	
4. NF-kB	Phytochemicals:	In vivo	significant	[193]
inhibitors	Lupeol		synergistic	
			cytotoxic effect	
			when combined	
			with low-dose	
			cisplatin (head	
		O'	and neck	
			cancer)	
	Phytochemicals:	In vitro	Various	[194,214
	curcumin,		mechanisms to]
	resveratrol, ursolic		inhibit activity	
	acid, capsaicin,		of IKK, p65	
	butein (a		phosphorylatio	
	tetrahydroxychalco		n, p65	
	ne plant		translocation	
	polyphenol)		and DNA	
			binding, or	
			direct effect on	
			cancer stem	
			cells (by	
			reducing ALDH	
			and reducing	
			their spheres-	
			forming	
			capacity	
			through an	

				inhibition of	
				NK-kB signaling	
				(multiple	
				myeloma,	
				prostate, breast,	
				head and neck	
				cancer)	
	5. miRNA	miRNAs: miR200c	In vivo	Inhibit cancer	[195]
	therapy	mikivas. mikžooc	111 VIVO	stem cells by	[173]
	шегару			down-	
				regulation of	
				BMI1 and ZEB1;	
				inhibits lung	
				metastasis and	
				prolongs	
				survival rate	
				(head and neck	
		IDMA		carcinoma)	F10.63
		miRNA synergistic	In vitro	Alter the	[196]
		activators: curcumin		expression miR-	
				203, inhibits	
				proliferation	
				and increases	
		XV		apoptosis	
				(bladder	
				cancer)	
D	Survival pathways	targeting drugs			
	1. targeting	1.1.1. receptor inhib	itors		
	growth factors	anti-epithelial	Clinical	In combination	[197]
	V	growth factor	trials	with chemo- or	
		receptor (EGFR)		radiation	
		monoclonal		therapy showed	
		antibodies:		significant	
		Cetuximab		improvements	
				but didn't	
				reduce their	
				toxicity of	
				chemo-	
ь		1			

	Vascular Endothelia Growth Factor Receptor (VEGFR) inhibitors: Bevaxizumab	Clinical trial	radiation (squamous cell carcinoma from oropharynx, hypopharynx and larynx) In addition to chemo- radiation showed delay in progression of the distant disease (nasopharyngea l carcinoma)	[198]
	1.1.2. kinase inhibito	ors:	9	
	Tyrosine kinase	Clinical	Usage in	[199]
	inhibitors: Erlotinib;	trial	combination	
	Cetiranib (VEGF		with	
	tyrosine kinase		bevacizumab	
	inhibitor)		showed	
	Alego.		significant effect in treating metastatic and	
	V		recurrent	
			cancer (head	
20			and neck	
			squamous cell	
			carcinoma)	
	Dual kinase	Clinical	Inhibition of	[200]
	inhibitors: Lapatinib	trial	EGFR and	-
	_		EGFR-2	
			tyrosine kinase.	
			Lapatinib	
			mono-therapy	
			showed non-	
			significant	
			difference in	

			locally advanced squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck	
	Triple kinase [VEGFR, plateletderived growth factor receptor (PDGFR), and fibroblast growth factor receptor (FGFR)] inhibitors: Nintedanib	In vitro	Monotherapy and chemotherapy combination reduced proliferation and enhanced apoptosis; antiangiogenic	[201]
	1.1.3. targeting MEK pathway)	/ERK (EG	effects (lung and pancreatic cancer) FR downstream s	ignaling
A.C.C.G	Raf inhibitors: sorafenib	Clinical trial	Single agent trials showed poor response, but well tolerated and favorable progression- free survival in recurred and metastatic squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck	[202]
	MEK inhibitors: Trametinib (GSK1120212)	In vitro, in vivo	Showed therapeutic potential for tumors with activating	[203]

			mutations in	
			BRAF. Ongoing	
			clinical trials in	
			head and neck	
40.5	4 Y 7 7 1 1 1 1 1	a), ,)	cancer	500.47
1.2. Targeting	AKT inhibitor:	Clinical	Monotherapy	[204]
PIK3	Perifosine	trial	showed poor	
(Phosphatidylin			response in	
ositol-3-kinase)			incurable,	
/Akt (Protein			recurrent or	
Kinase B)			metastatic head	
/mTOR			and neck cancer	
(<u>M</u> ammalian <u>T</u> ar	PIK3 inhibitors	In vivo	Inhibit tumor-	[205,206
get <u>O</u> f	LY294002		induced]
<u>R</u> apamycin)	(reversible		angiogenesis	
pathways and	inhibitor),		and tumor	
promote PTEN	wortmannin		growth (glioma,	
(Phosphatase	(irreversible		prostate	
and tensin	inhibitor)		cancer);	
homolog)		0'	preclinical	
			evaluation in	
			head and neck	
			cancer	
	mTOR inhibitors:	Clinical	Studies	[207,208
	rapamycin, mTOR	trials	targeting]
	kinase inhibitors:	and	various solid	
	Torin1, Torin 2	preclini	tumors and	
		cal	cancer stem	
			cells	
			(Lymphoma,	
			glioma);	
			decrease the	
			capacity of	
			sphere	
			formation as	
			well as ALDH	
			activity,	
			suppress the	
			stimulation of	

	Dual PIK3/mTOR inhibitors: NVP-BEZ235	In vivo and in vitro	stem-like cells by chemotherapy in colorectal cancer; preclinical evaluation in head and neck cancer Usage in combination with sorafenib inhibits tumor cell proliferation and increases tumor cell apoptosis, to overcome drug resistance in renal cell carcinoma; Phosphatidylin ositol-3- phosphate kinase, AKT and dual PI3K- mTOR inhibitors caused marked in vitro enhancement of cytotoxicity induced by HDACIs in head and neck cancer	[208–210]
1.3. Calcium	Econazole,	In	loss of viability	[211–
1.3. Calcium influx inhibitors	Econazole, Ketotifen, Carboxyamido-	In Vitro	HDACIs in head and neck cancer	[211– 213]

	triazole	cancer stem cells (breast cancer); decrease neural stem cell differentiation; inhibition of cell proliferation, migration and					
		chemoinvasion					
		(head and neck cancer)					




Fig. 1




Fig. 2