



The benthic toxic dinoflagellate *Ostreopsis* cf. *ovata* in the NW Mediterranean Sea: Relationship between sea surface temperature and bloom phenology

K. Drouet, C. Jauzein, S. Gasparini, A-S Pavau, E. Berdalet, S. Marro, V. Davenet-Sbirrazuoli, R. Siano, R. Lemée

► To cite this version:

K. Drouet, C. Jauzein, S. Gasparini, A-S Pavau, E. Berdalet, et al.. The benthic toxic dinoflagellate *Ostreopsis* cf. *ovata* in the NW Mediterranean Sea: Relationship between sea surface temperature and bloom phenology. *Harmful Algae*, 2022, 112, pp.102184. 10.1016/j.hal.2022.102184 . hal-03542208

HAL Id: hal-03542208

<https://hal.science/hal-03542208v1>

Submitted on 22 Jul 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

The benthic toxic dinoflagellate *Ostreopsis cf. ovata* in the NW Mediterranean Sea: Relationship between sea surface temperature and bloom phenology

**Drouet, K.^{1,2*}, Jauzein, C.², Gasparini, S.¹, Pavoux, A-S.¹, Berdalet, E.³, Marro, S.¹,
 Davenet-Sbirrazuoli, V.⁴, Siano, R.² & R. Lemée¹**

¹ Sorbonne Université, CNRS, Laboratoire d’Océanographie de Villefranche (UMR 7093),
 Villefranche-sur-Mer, FRANCE.

² Ifremer, DYNECO Pelagos, F-29280 Plouzané, FRANCE.

³ Institut de Ciències del Mar (CSIC), Barcelona, SPAIN.

⁴ Direction de l’Environnement, MONACO.

*Corresponding author: kevindrouet83@gmail.com

Highlights:

- Long-term monitoring showed variability in bloom dynamics of *O. cf. ovata* in NW Mediterranean Sea.
- A strong positive correlation was observed between spring sea surface temperatures and bloom timing.
- Highest growth rates did not coincide with highest sea surface temperatures.
- Sea surface temperature, nutrient loads, wind regimes and rainfall were the main factors affecting *O. cf. ovata* bloom phenology.

Abstract:

Blooms of the toxic benthic dinoflagellate *Ostreopsis* cf. *ovata* can induce ecological and human health issues in certain temperate areas. In order to prevent these negative effects, long-term monitoring studies of *O. cf. ovata* blooms have been conducted in several impacted areas to have a comprehensive understanding of bloom dynamics and efficient tools for risk management.

O. cf. ovata blooms were monitored every summer (from mid-June to the end of August) on five identified sites in Larvotto beach (Monaco, NW Mediterranean Sea), between 2007 and 2019. This time-series represents one of the largest time-series in the world describing blooms of this species.

Bloom phenological features (timing, duration, maximum cell abundance and growth rate), were found to be highly variable throughout the studied period, and were analyzed as a function of different hydroclimatic parameters, including sea surface temperature (SST). The highest net growth rates were related to temperatures ranging between 21°C and 25°C, and did not coincide with maximal temperature records (27.5°C). Such results suggest that, although global warming possibly influences the expansion of *O. cf. ovata* from tropical to temperate waters, the definite impact of temperature on bloom dynamics might be more complex than a simple facilitation factor for algal growth, at least in NW Mediterranean waters.

Furthermore, monthly SST anomalies calculated over this 13-year survey showed a strong positive correlation between spring SST positive anomalies and the bloom starting date, indicating that blooms occurred earlier in the season when spring SSTs were warmer than usual.

Overall results provide tools to modelers and managers who are facing crucial challenges to predict the distribution and phenology of *O. cf. ovata* blooms in European coastal waters, moreover in a context of global warming.

Keywords: Benthic HABs; Long-term monitoring; Environmental factors; SST anomalies; Temperature niche; Mediterranean Sea

1. Introduction

Over the past decades, worldwide reports of Harmful Algal Blooms (HABs) have increased and suggest that these events are more intense and frequent in some areas, and may also be extending to new regions (Hallegraeff, 2010; Wells et al., 2020; Hallegraeff et al., 2021). This may be the result of a combination of changing climate factors (Glibert et al., 2014; Kibler et al., 2015; Moore et al., 2008; Tester et al., 2020; Wells & Karlson, 2018), anthropogenic forcing (Anderson et al., 2002; Glibert et al., 2005; Heisler et al., 2008; Fu et al., 2012; Davidson et al., 2014) and the implementation of worldwide monitoring programs which have improved the capacity of HAB detection (Van Dolah, 2000; Anderson et al., 2012; Hallegraeff et al., 2021).

Amongst the organisms causing harmful events, the toxic species belonging to the marine dinoflagellate genus *Ostreopsis* Schmidt (1901) are found from tropical to temperate coastal areas (Faust et al., 1996; Rhodes, 2011). *Ostreopsis* spp. was reported in the Mediterranean Sea for the first time in 1972 in Villefranche-sur-Mer, France (Taylor, 1979), and regularly detected thereafter along the Mediterranean coastlines, with three identified species so far, namely, *Ostreopsis cf. ovata* (Fukuyo), *O. cf. siamensis* (Schmidt) and *O. fattorussoi* (Accoroni, Romagnoli & Totti). The first bloom of *O. cf. ovata*, the most common

species in the Mediterranean Sea, was first observed off the Tuscany coast in 1998 (Sansoni et al., 2003) and it has been recurrently blooming since then (e.g. Blanfuné et al., 2015; Accoroni and Totti, 2016). Moreover, *O. cf. ovata* blooms have been associated to respiratory problems due to inhalation of aerosols and/or skin irritations after direct contact with the seawater (Barroso García et al., 2008; Brescianini et al., 2006; Durando et al., 2007; Illoul et al., 2012; Pfannkuchen et al., 2012; Tichadou et al., 2010; Tubaro et al., 2011; Vila et al., 2008, 2016). *Ostreopsis* blooms have also been related to mass mortalities of certain invertebrates (Sansoni et al., 2003; Totti et al., 2010) caused either by oxygen limitation due to the excess of microalgal biomass or specific ecotoxic compounds. These noxious effects were attributed to *Ostreopsis* toxins (palytoxin analogues, Ciminiello et al., 2006, 2012, 2014), even if this has not been completely proven yet. These toxins have been detected in some fish and invertebrates (Aligizaki et al., 2008; Biré et al., 2013, 2015; Brissard et al., 2014) in the Mediterranean Sea and pose a potential risk of food poisoning in humans too as it was reported in the tropics (Tubaro et al., 2011). Luckily, such problems have not been reported in the Mediterranean Sea yet, but the recurrence of *Ostreopsis* blooms have stimulated its monitoring and intense research in order to prevent human and environmental health risks.

A main open question is to identify the environmental drivers directly favoring the recurrency of the *Ostreopsis* blooms, which in the NW Mediterranean Sea, are highly seasonal, occurring during the summer to autumn season (Vila et al., 2001; Mangialajo et al., 2008, 2011; Totti et al., 2010). Likely, a combination of different factors are at play. Moderate hydrodynamics seems to favor *O. cf. ovata* cells to remain attached to the substrate (Vila et al., 2001; Barone, 2007; Shears and Ross, 2009; Totti et al., 2010) while rough seas and currents would disperse them. Relatively low wind intensities ($2\text{--}4\text{ m s}^{-1}$) were registered concurrently to some blooms in the NW Mediterranean (Vila et al., 2016). The role of nutrient

availability on *Ostreopsis* blooms dynamics is still a matter of debate. For instance, no direct relationship was found between inorganic nutrient concentrations and cell abundances during bloom periods (Vila et al., 2001; Cohu et al., 2011; Pistocchi et al., 2011; Accoroni et al., 2012), although variations of nitrate to phosphate (N:P) ratios may be involved in the onset of the bloom (Accoroni et al., 2015a). These microalgae can assimilate a variety of inorganic and organic compounds, but the complexity of nutritive pathways fueling *Ostreopsis* blooms in the field has still to be characterized, in particular for mixotrophic capability (Accoroni et al., 2017; Jauzein et al., 2017; Lee and Park, 2018). Regarding salinity, laboratory studies showed that *O. cf. ovata* grew better under high salinity conditions (Pezzolesi et al., 2012), but salinity preferences would be strain-specific (Tawong et al., 2015). Field observations reported *O. cf. ovata* blooms in the 37 and 38 salinity range in the NW Mediterranean Sea (Vila et al., 2001; Mangialajo et al., 2008) and in a wider range (31 – 39) in the Northern Adriatic Sea (Accoroni et al., 2015a) or in the South-Eastern Mediterranean Sea (Abdennadher et al., 2017). Low salinity associated to inflowing freshwater was shown to hinder blooming events (Blanfuné et al., 2015; Carnicer et al., 2015). As *Ostreopsis* spp. cells mainly proliferate in shallow waters (Totti et al., 2010; Cohu and Lemée, 2012), sea depth and substratum play a role in their blooms. High *Ostreopsis* cells abundances are preferentially found on macroalgae with ramified structures in sheltered shallow habitats (Cohu et al., 2013; Accoroni et al., 2015b; Meroni et al., 2018). The seasonality of the macroalgal communities in temperate latitudes, driven by light and temperature intensity, may also be relevant for the *Ostreopsis* blooms to occur.

Indeed, temperature is considered one of the most important environmental factors determining cell biology, growth and reproduction, and it also follows seasonal variations. It has been suggested that *Ostreopsis* spp. growth and bloom development could be favored by relatively high temperatures, as those occurring in summer (Hallegraeff, 2010; Granéli et al.,

2011). In the Atlantic coastline of the Iberian Peninsula, David et al. (2012) found that *Ostreopsis* spp. blooms could be triggered when sea surface temperatures (SSTs) exceeded a certain threshold (namely, 19.5°C) over a long enough period (three months). In the NW Mediterranean Sea, the occurrence of *O. cf. ovata* blooms have been reported from 16.8°C to 30°C (Mangialajo et al., 2011; Accoroni and Totti, 2016). Even if major proliferation are usually recorded in mid-summer (July) along the coasts of the Ligurian and Catalan Seas coasts, a second bloom often occurs in autumn in these areas (Mangialajo et al., 2008, 2011; Cohu et al., 2011; Vila et al., 2016). In the Northern Adriatic Sea, blooms even appear rather later in autumn, between September and October (Monti et al., 2007; Totti et al., 2010; Ninčević Gladan et al., 2019). Overall, these observations suggest a more underlying and complex role of temperature in the occurrence of *O. cf. ovata* blooms, beyond a simple increasing facilitation with increasing temperature.

In a context of global warming, the investigation of the environmental niche of *O. cf. ovata* is key to help predicting bloom events and to plan efficient monitoring programs. A long-term monitoring dataset can provide a good comprehension of the potential link between environmental factors and *O. cf. ovata* bloom events. Such monitoring program was launched in Monaco (Ligurian Sea) in summer 2007, in order to assess the sanitary risk impact of *O. cf. ovata* on local recreational waters. This monitoring program is still ongoing and represents the world's largest time-series ever recorded for *O. cf. ovata* blooms. Data collected over the first two years of this monitoring program suggested a distinct pattern concerning the timing of the blooms and specific hydroclimatic conditions in that area (Cohu et al., 2011). Indeed, compared to 2008, 2007 was marked by a hot spring and a relatively cold summer and exhibited a strongly marked an earlier bloom episode

Here we conducted a multi-parametric approach to analyze the relationship between representative hydroclimatic parameters and *O. cf. ovata* bloom phenology in the NW

Mediterranean Sea, using a thirteen years monitoring dataset. Despite the large variability in the phenological features of *O. cf. ovata* blooms, a specific link between SST and the bloom phenology was found. We also explored the observations previously made by Cohu et al. (2011) and describe its *in situ* thermal niche in NW Mediterranean Sea.

2. Material and Methods

2.1. Sampling site

Monaco is a sovereign city-state located on the French Riviera coast, in the NW Mediterranean Sea. It is a highly touristic area whose population increases considerably during the summer season. The Larvotto beach (43°44.71' N – 7°26.04' E) is a small sheltered cove surrounded by residential buildings, shops and restaurants. The beach (Fig. 1) is orientated South-East and artificial rocky dykes were built as a barrier to protect from waves and swell. Such structures constitute ideal substrates for the development of ramified structured macroalgae, especially Phaeophyceae and Florideophyceae, such as *Halopteris scoparia* Sauvageau, *Dictyota* sp. Lamouroux and *Ellisolandia* sp. Hind & Saunders, which host high densities of benthic microalgae including *O. cf. ovata*. Thus, neighbors and tourists can be potentially exposed to the health risks associated to *O. cf. ovata* blooms. So far, sporadic skin and mucosal irritations have been observed (Tichadou et al., 2010).

2.2. Sampling process and cell quantification

O. cf. ovata blooms occurring in the NW Mediterranean Sea are always observed in early summer, when the SST increases and wind conditions are relatively calm. In order to quantify the abundance of epiphytic *O. cf. ovata* cells, a monitoring program started in 2007 and was

167 then conducted every year, generally from mid-June until the end of August. The global
168 estimation of the benthic cell stock in a given area is a complex task as the cell concentration
169 may depend on the presence of certain types of macroalgae and may also vary according to
170 small scale environmental changes. In order to give a reliable estimation of the cell abundance
171 of this area, five different stations were sampled at each sampling date. The sampling
172 campaign was carried out once a week during this period, between 9 am and 11 am, in five
173 stations located on rocky substrate areas within the Larvotto Beach (see Fig. 1). The temporal
174 evolution of the bloom was monitored through the estimation of both benthic cell stock and
175 planktonic cell abundance quantification as described by Cohu et al. (2011). Samples of the
176 most representative macroalgae (usually *Dictyota* sp., *Ellisolandia elongata* and/or *Halopteris*
177 *scoparia*) were collected at 0.5-meter depth at each sampling station, by using 250 ml plastic
178 flask filled up to the top with the surrounding water. Seawater above the sampled macroalgae,
179 at 0.3-meter depth, was also collected for planktonic cell quantification. Plankton sampling
180 was conducted before collecting the macroalgae in order to avoid releasing *Ostreopsis* benthic
181 cells initially attached to the macroalgae which could overestimate *Ostreopsis* cells in the
182 planktonic phase. All samples were then fixed with acidic Lugol (1% vol./vol.) and kept dark
183 at 4°C. In the laboratory, macroalgae samples were vigorously shaken in the plastic flasks and
184 the seawater was filtered through a 500 µm meshed filter to remove large macroalgal debris
185 and isolate the epiphytic cells. Two additional rinsing of the macroalgae with 100 ml of 0.2
186 µm filtered seawater were conducted (with additional shaking and percolation steps) to detach
187 as much microalgae cells as possible (Jauzein et al., 2018); all percolated water was merged
188 and the total volume of seawater was noted. Macroalgae were then gently pressed to eliminate
189 excess water and placed onto a tin foil for fresh weight measurement. Cell counts were
190 performed on the percolated water of samples by using a light microscope (Zeiss,
191 Oberkochen, Germany). A 1 ml Sedgwick Rafter counting chamber was used for the

epiphytic *Ostreopsis* cells and a 50 ml sedimentation column for the planktonic cells (Utermöhl, 1958). Benthic cell abundances were expressed as cells per gram of fresh weight of macroalgae (cell g⁻¹ FW) and the plankton concentrations as cell l⁻¹.

2.3. Hydroclimatic parameters

During the sampling period, seawater temperature ($\pm 0.1^\circ\text{C}$) was measured *in situ* at each station using a probe. The “Direction de l’Environnement” of Monaco provided hydroclimatic data from their meteorological program, including SST, salinity, pH, wind speed, wind direction and rainfall in Larvotto beach area. Wind speed and direction were used to represent South-North and West-East wind intensity vectors. In addition, long-term hydrological data including SST, nitrate and nitrite measurements from Point B in Villefranche-sur-Mer (43°41.10' N - 7°18.94' E) were collected by SOMLIT, a French national coastline monitoring network (<http://somlit.fr>). Located 12 km away from Monaco, Villefranche Bay can be considered as a reference area for the western part of the Ligurian Sea, including Monaco. These data were collected once a week in the bay, all year long, during the whole studied period.

2.4. Calculation and Statistics

Cell abundance for each sampling date was calculated as the mean cell abundance considering the five sampling stations. The difference in cell abundance between two sampling dates (i.e. net growth rate = μ) is the result of the combination of several parameters such as cell growth rate, cell dispersion, cell accumulation, predation and cell mortality rates. Net growth rates were calculated between each sampling week by using the following equation:

$$\mu = \frac{\ln N2 - \ln N1}{t2 - t1}$$

Where μ is the net growth rate (NGR, d^{-1}) between sampling day 1 and sampling day 2, $N1$ the mean cell abundance at sampling day 1 ($cell\ g^{-1}\ FW$), $N2$ the mean cell abundance at sampling day 2 ($cell\ g^{-1}\ FW$), $t1$ the date in days at the sampling date 1 and $t2$ the date in days at the sampling date 2. Note that this formula assumes an exponential growth (as defined in Wood et al., 2005) between the two sampling dates, although the detailed dynamics of the cell abundances is not possible to be tracked.

Data from year 2007 were not included in all the analyses as the monitoring started late in the year (early July) while the bloom was already declining. Starting date, maximum abundances and maximum growth rates could not be estimated for this year.

A mean weekly temperature profile was calculated over the thirteen-year monitoring period. Then, for each year, weekly SST anomalies were calculated as differences between temperature values and the mean weekly SST value. Finally, cumulative sums of SST anomalies were performed starting from the first week of each year.

Variations of net growth rate estimated during the phases of bloom development were analyzed as a function of SST using a non-parametric “loess” local polynomial regression model (Cleveland, 1979). The non-parametric Spearman correlation test was used to examine links between the abundance of benthic and planktonic *Ostreopsis* cells, as well as between SST and *O. cf. ovata* abundances (in the plankton and the benthos). A Wilcoxon signed-rank (non-parametric) test was applied on SST data collected both in Monaco and Villefranche Bay to ascertain the degree of similarity of the temperatures at both locations. A Principal Component Analysis (PCA) was used to explore the relationships between the different phenological features, namely, timing (starting date of the bloom), duration, intensity (characterized by the maximum cell abundances) and maximum growth rate of the bloom, and

environmental parameters (seawater temperature, salinity, winds, rainfall and nutrient concentrations). This PCA was performed using seasonal averages (mean of April, May and June) of environmental factors. These mean estimations were considered as representative of the hydroclimatic environment of the spring season, described as a crucial period before the bloom onset. The data set used for the PCA includes the years between 2008 and 2017 since rainfall, wind strength and nutrient concentrations data were not available for the last two years of monitoring. All statistical analyses were performed using R studio (R Core Team, 2019).

3. Results

3.1. Interannual variability

Blooms of *O. cf. ovata* occurred every year since 2007 at the same monitored site (Fig. 2). All data obtained over the last thirteen years of monitoring were pooled and the mean cell abundances were calculated over the five sampling stations. Results showed a positive correlation between *O. cf. ovata* benthic and planktonic abundances (Spearman test, $N = 147$, $r_s = 0.805$, $p\text{-value} < 0.01$) (Fig. 3). However, as explained earlier in the Introduction, *O. cf. ovata* is an epibenthic species, with the benthic fraction constituting the reservoir of the bloom. Therefore, the following analysis will focus exclusively on benthic abundances.

The highest abundance levels of *O. cf. ovata* blooms were constantly observed in summer (between June and August). Only two events within the thirteen-year time series showed two successive blooms in the same year: a first maximum peak of benthic cell abundance in summer followed by a second and smaller peak in late summer were observed in 2012 and 2013 (Fig. 2). Highly variable phenological features of the blooms were observed from one year to another (Fig. 2). Nevertheless, three main parameters enabled to distinguish

one bloom from another: the timing, the duration and the maximum cell abundance of blooms.

A concentration of 200,000 cells g⁻¹ FW was estimated as the threshold above which the presence of *O. cf. ovata* was defined as a bloom. Indeed, this level refers to the lowest value of the overall maximum annual abundances measured during the thirteen blooms (212,300 cells g⁻¹ FW measured in 2015). Since samples were collected only once a week, it was difficult to set the exact starting date of the bloom. The average starting day of a bloom was defined in the present study using the abundance threshold (200,000 cells g⁻¹ FW) criterion and was defined on Julian day 191 (± 10.6). Delays occurred depending of the year, with blooms appearing as early as 15 days prior to this average starting day (Julian day 177, in 2015), while the latest bloom occurred 25 days later (Julian day 214, 2016).

The duration of the event was also variable over the years. When more than 200,000 cells g⁻¹ FW were quantified during two consecutive sampling dates, the event was defined as a two-week bloom. When abundance values above this threshold were registered only once, then the event was defined as a one-week bloom. The blooms lasted in average 18.7 days (± 10.5), of which years 2011 and 2017 presented the longest blooming times (35 and 42 days respectively), and years 2007, 2009 and 2015 the shortest blooming times (7 days).

The highest annual maximum abundance values leveled to an average value of 570,878 ($\pm 391,871$) cells g⁻¹ FW. However, the highest value ever recorded was 1,565,514 cells g⁻¹ FW (174 % more than the average) in 2007 and the lowest value reached 212,300 cells g⁻¹ FW (63 % less than the average) in 2013.

3.2. Relationship between environmental factors and interannual variability of *Ostreopsis cf. ovata* blooms

A multivariate analysis (PCA) was performed on data collected between April and June from the monitoring of environmental parameters, including physico-chemical measurements of water masses (SST, salinity, nutrients) as well as rainfall and wind intensity vectors (Table 1). The two first axis forming the first factorial space explained 70% of the total inertia of projected variables (Fig. 4), indicating a relatively good representation of the relationship between the different environmental parameters (Table 2). The most important variables for the construction of the first factorial axis of the PCA (46.2 % of the total inertia) were South-North winds (0.91), SST (-0.85) and West-East winds (0.74). The second axis was driven by nitrates (0.81), salinity (-0.63) and nitrites (0.56) and captured 23.5% of the variation. These results indicate that the first factorial axis of the PCA discriminates the years with bloom events according to the SST during the spring season. Indeed, years with high SST during spring seem to be related to low wind conditions. The second factorial axis highlights the nutrient loading of the area and discriminates years according to the nutrient availability during the spring seasons. Indeed, years which experience a high level of rainfall are related to high nitrate concentrations and low salinity values. Among the phenological features, the timing of the bloom event (bloom starting day) and the maximum annual growth rate are both correlated to the first axis of the PCA (0.71 and 0.39, respectively), while the intensity of the bloom (maximum cell concentration) is correlated to the second axis (0.41). No clear relation was found between environmental factors and the bloom duration.

Throughout the entire time series, the presence of *O. cf. ovata* benthic cells was observed when SST ranged between 18.2°C and 27.9°C, with an overall temperature average of $24.3 \pm 1.8^\circ\text{C}$. When processing the entire dataset provided by the thirteen-year survey, blooming periods (i.e. when benthic cell abundance exceeded 200,000 cells g^{-1} FW) were identified when the mean SST reached $25.0 \pm 1.5^\circ\text{C}$. When focusing more specifically on each individual year, mean SST values measured during blooming periods ranged between

24.0 \pm 1.5°C and 25.2 \pm 1.7°C. At the very beginning of a bloom event, when cell densities first exceeded the threshold of 200,000 cells g⁻¹ FW, the average SST was estimated at 24.9 \pm 1.4°C.

Positive net growth rates (NGR) of *O. cf. ovata* were recorded when the SST varied between 18.2°C and 27.9°C. A negative correlation was found between the temperature and the NGR (Spearman, N = 133, r_s = -0.27, p-value < 0.01), but the relation was not linear and highest positive NGRs were not associated to maximal temperature records (Fig. 5). Indeed, the maximum NGR (0.53 d⁻¹) occurred at a temperature of 23.9°C. These variations are confirmed by the local regression loess model, defining the optimal growth temperature at 23.5°C. In these terms, when considering each maximum NGR for each year, the window of optimal growth temperature was estimated between 21.0°C and 24.7°C (Fig. 5).

No significant differences were found by comparing summer SST values measured in Larvotto and in Villefranche Bay (Wilcoxon signed-rank test: N = 125, W = 3869, p-value = 0.645). Therefore, SST values recorded in Villefranche Bay were used as a proxy for estimating the SST of Monaco area. In contrast to data collected in Monaco, SST measurements in Villefranche Bay were collected weekly and throughout the year, which enabled to describe the annual general hydroclimatic conditions of the area. Between 2007 and 2019, the annual mean SST was estimated at 18.8°C (\pm 4.3) and the respective mean values of the lowest and the highest annual temperatures were estimated at 13.4°C (\pm 0.4) and 26.3°C (\pm 0.9), respectively. Annual SST anomalies were cumulated in order to compare the heat accumulated in surface waters between each surveyed year (Fig. 6). Years with high values of SST anomalies were considered as warmer, while years with low values of SST anomalies were considered as cooler. Profiles of cumulated SST anomalies differed between years but were also found to differ at a seasonal and monthly scale, thereby differentiating years with a warmer spring season from years with a cooler spring. Amongst the previously

detailed phenological features of interest, strong positive correlations were found only between the bloom timing (starting of the event) and the cumulative sum of SST anomalies (Fig. 7A). This was observed for monthly averaged cumulative sum of SST anomalies over the months of April, May and June (prior to the bloom event) and during the whole spring season (April-May-June averaged values), but not before then (Fig. 7B). Thus, blooms of *O. cf. ovata* tend to start earlier in the season when SST anomalies are high. No other significant correlations were observed between SST anomalies and the duration of the bloom nor the maximum abundance of the bloom.

4. Discussion

Understanding the relationship between environmental parameters and the phenology of *O. cf. ovata* proliferations in the NW Mediterranean Sea can help predict either the timing, duration or intensity of blooms and hence support the management and mitigation of benthic HAB impacts. Such knowledge cannot be gained by observing one single bloom event (e.g. Vila et al., 2001; Battocchi et al., 2010; Totti et al., 2010; Accoroni et al., 2011; Illoul et al., 2012) but requires pluri-annual time series enabling to study the variability of occurring blooms along several years. Furthermore, long-term surveys as currently presented in this study enabled to characterize the *in situ* environmental niche of the target organism, *O. cf. ovata*, in the NW Mediterranean Sea. Such a set of data is of great interest for both modelers and managers, focusing on the prediction of HABs in the context of global change and warming of seawater surfaces.

In the present study, a multi-parametric approach and the analysis of environmental control parameters for algal growth were both assessed by using the benthic cell number data from *O. cf. ovata* abundance measurements. Indeed, as already reported by several studies conducted in NW Mediterranean regions (Mangialajo et al., 2008; Cohu et al., 2011; Jauzein et al., 2018), a strong correlation was found between concentrations of epiphytic and

planktonic cells of *O. cf. ovata*. *Ostreopsis* is recognized as a benthic organism adapted to the benthic life which is proven by its morphology and ecology (e.g., Hoppenrath et al., 2014). Due to the combination of possible internal biological rhythms and very local sea hydrodynamics, cells can detach from the benthos and circulate as pelagic cell, which explains the observed correlation between benthic and pelagic cell abundances. Epiphytic cells nevertheless represents the main stock, or reservoir, of the *O. cf. ovata* population able to sustain bloom events. In terms of toxic risks, both benthic and planktonic pools can be involved in direct contact skin irritations, but planktonic cells only would rather be the agents of intoxication events promoted by inhalation (Totti et al., 2010). In this case, *Ostreopsis*-produced toxic compounds would be spread by aerosolization and wind transport (Ciminiello et al., 2014).

Two main results emerge from the analysis of this long-term dataset and shall be discussed hereafter: (1) Spring SST were driving the timing of the bloom (starting date) and (2) the highest recorded temperatures were not correlated to the highest net growth rates of *O. cf. ovata*.

4.1. Interannual variations in bloom phenology

The monitoring of *O. cf. ovata* blooms which occurred in Monaco during thirteen successive summer seasons, revealed strong yearly variations in terms of phenological features. These variations, such as bloom timing, bloom length and bloom intensity, highlight the complexity behind the formation of *O. cf. ovata* blooms and the possible interactions between environmental parameters which are able to shape bloom events. The PCA analysis carried out on this data-set showed that the interannual variability in bloom timing and bloom maximum intensity could be explained by the combination of SSTs, nutrient loadings and wind regimes occurring in the spring season prior to the bloom initiation. Indeed, years which

experience high SSTs during the spring season are likely to see *O. cf. ovata* densities exceed the 200,000 cells g⁻¹ FW threshold early in the summer. High nutrient concentrations, prior and during the bloom onset, is also likely to favor intense bloom episodes. Rainfall and freshwater inflows obviously provided a high nutrient load and decreased water salinity, as shown in the PCA. The combined action of SST and nutrient concentrations on *O. cf. ovata* bloom phenology has also been investigated by Accoroni et al. (2015a) when studying a time-series of *O. cf. ovata* blooms in the Northern Adriatic Sea. These authors suggested that the bloom onset could be triggered when the SST reached a certain threshold and simultaneously coinciding with an inflow of P-riche waters which occurred after a period of relatively high N:P ratio. Similar interconnections were observed by Bucci et al. (2020) regarding the phenology of *Alexandrium catenella* blooms which occurred in the Bay of Fundy (Gulf of Maine, NW Atlantic). The study showed that the bloom timing and the date exhibiting the maximum cell density (bloom intensity) varied between the years and suggested that both parameters could be linked to a high input of freshwater during the spring and that warmer years were likely to earlier initiate the timing of blooms. In our study, spring season hydrodynamics induced by the strength and the direction of winds did not significantly impact the variability of *O. cf. ovata* phenological features. This latter observation was described at least at the interannual scale, although it may play a more important role in the bloom development at the seasonal scale. However, these environmental parameters were shown to be related to high SSTs. Indeed, in the NW Mediterranean seas, wind regimes coming from the South are generally warm and thereby increase the water temperature. Our analysis also highlights the importance of the spring season regarding the phenology of recurrent summer bloom events. Even though environmental factors strongly impact the phenology of the bloom when it is occurring, less is known about how hydroclimatic parameters are affecting the bloom phenology prior to its development.

Further investigations of factors initiating the bloom revealed a strong positive correlation between cumulated SST anomalies and the starting day of the bloom. This relation confirms that *O. cf. ovata* blooms are likely to occur earlier in the year, when spring SST are warmer than usual. This correlation is even stronger and more significant when approaching the summer season. Indeed, from April onwards, temperature anomalies can be cumulated to predict the most favorable period for epiphytic *O. cf. ovata* abundances to reach the 200,000 cells g⁻¹ FW threshold. However, variations in SST during the months of May and June have a strong impact on the bloom timing and may reject the prediction from April. It hence appears that the accuracy for predicting the bloom with SST parameter gets stronger when approaching the bloom event.

The occurrence of an early bloom can be explained by an early germination of resting *O. cf. ovata* cysts and/or by a higher division rate favored by optimal environmental conditions for algal growth. For instance, Lau et al. (2017) showed that an intense bloom of *Alexandrium minutum*, which occurred in the northeast of the Peninsular Malaysian waters, was presumably initiated by an active excystment process. Indeed, several environmental parameters have already shown to trigger dinoflagellate cyst germination such as light exposure (Anderson et al., 1987; Moore et al., 2015), nutrient availability (Bravo and Anderson, 1994; Binder and Anderson, 2004) and a mandatory exposed period to dark-cold conditions (Montresor and Lewis, 2006). Temperature also appears to be one of the main factors controlling excystment of resting dinoflagellate cells (Anderson, 1980; Bravo and Anderson, 1994; Ní Rathaille and Raine, 2011; Moore et al., 2015) as dinoflagellate cysts require a certain SST threshold in order to induce excystment. For *O. cf. ovata* blooms in the Mediterranean Sea, no relationship between the bloom starting date and neither SST nor nutrient concentrations was found. Instead, only cumulated temperature anomalies (illustrations of heat accumulation in surface waters) showed effects on the bloom timing.

Accoroni et al. (2014) indicated that cysts of *O. cf. ovata*, sampled from the N Adriatic Sea, needed a temperature of 25°C to germinate, which could explain why blooms occurring in this area are observed from late summer to beginning of fall, after recording the highest temperatures. Another study conducted by Accoroni et al. (2015a) suggested that the combination of optimal temperature and Nitrate/Phosphate ratio could favor both the initiation of cell excystment and cell proliferation, therefore triggering the bloom onset. In this study monitoring *O. cf. ovata* blooms in the Conero Riviera (N Adriatic Sea) between 2007 and 2012, cells were never observed below 25°C prior to the bloom onset. Conversely, in Monaco, cells of *O. cf. ovata* have been observed at temperatures as low as 18.2°C, and blooms usually start before the maximum SSTs are reached. These variable results seem to indicate that depending on the geographical location, different SST thresholds might be necessary for triggering the cyst germination process which leads to an *O. cf. ovata* bloom.

O. cf. ovata bloom events also varied in duration and in intensity across the years. During the bloom events, the highest measured abundance of epiphytic cells reached more than 1,500,000 cells g⁻¹ FW (in 2007) while the lowest maximum abundance barely exceeded 200,000 cells g⁻¹ FW (in 2013). The blooms lasted from less than one week (only one sampling day, in 2009 and 2015) up to 4 weeks (5 consecutive sampling days, in 2011). Length and intensity of blooms are the results of a balance between production of biomass (gross growth rate) *versus* induction of cell quiescence (encystment) and cell loss. Diverse factors can induce a limitation of microalgal growth or activation of encystment or lysis. These factors can either be abiotic, such as strong hydrodynamics, nutrient depletion or extreme temperatures, or biotic (e.g., grazing, parasitic interactions). Therefore, long lasting and intense bloom episodes could be the result of persisting optimal hydroclimatic conditions over a long enough period which enhances the production of biomass, and this combined to low biotic (grazers, parasites) and abiotic (hydrodynamics) pressures which are responsible

for cell loss. Temporary cyst formation could be a strategy leading to maintain blooms throughout time. Indeed, *O. cf. ovata* can form temporary cysts from vegetative cells (Accoroni et al., 2014) when biotic or abiotic pressures emerge in order to overcome short periods of stress. This mechanism has already been shown to help maintain blooms of other harmful dinoflagellate such as *Alexandrium taylori* (Garcés, 2002). Another strategy would be the fueling of the bloom by resting cysts throughout the bloom event, as observed in *Alexandrium* species (Anglès et al., 2012; Lau et al., 2017). By undergoing a slow and wide excystment process, the resting cyst stock would hence continuously provide new vegetative cells to the blooming population, and *in fine* enable a longer bloom episode.

In addition to the numerous environmental factors responsible for *O. cf. ovata* bloom phenology, part of the variability observed in bloom dynamics over the years may be the result of the strong small-scale repartition of cells on the benthos. Variations observed in the *O. cf. ovata* bloom phenology could be related to a high variability of cell abundances measured over small spatial scales. Indeed, the patchy distribution of epiphytic *O. cf. ovata* cells, directly linked to the distribution of their biotic substrate, makes the accurate estimation of the benthic cell stock of a given area challenging. This has already been observed by Cohu et al. (2011), who carried out the first two years of this present monitoring program, and suggested that bloom phenology could be related to the spatial and temporal evolution of the diversity of biotic substrates (Totti et al., 2010), as well as local hydrodynamic conditions. A similar monitoring study was conducted in the Gulf of Naples, in the Tyrrhenian Sea (Mediterranean Sea), aimed to assess the temporal variability of *O. cf. ovata* (Di Ciocco, 2015). Strong variations in abundances were observed throughout their seasonal monitoring but no clear effect of environmental factors, including sea temperature, on the phenology of *O. cf. ovata* was found.

Finally, although SST is recognized as a determinant factor for the development of benthic dinoflagellate cells (Pistocchi et al., 2011; Parsons et al., 2012), *O. cf. ovata* abundances and growth rates measured during the bloom events are also under the control of other environmental parameters (Carnicer et al., 2015; Accoroni and Totti, 2016). It is generally agreed that *O. cf. ovata* blooms are favored by low hydrodynamic conditions, since the highest abundance levels are rather recorded in sheltered areas, even though blooms have also been observed in exposed sites (Barone, 2007; Totti et al., 2010; Accoroni and Totti, 2016). By comparing bloom events throughout the years, the PCA analysis in this present study showed no straight forward relation between environmental parameters and maximum annual growth rates of *O. cf. ovata*.

4.2. Net growth rate

Throughout the recurrent *O. cf. ovata* summer bloom episodes, the highest positive net growth rates did not coincide with the highest recorded SST. This indicates that relatively high temperatures are not necessarily optimal for the growth of *Ostreopsis*, at least in the Monaco area. Instead, the loess regression model indicated that a moderate temperature (23.5°C) was most suitable for *O. cf. ovata* growth. Numerous *in situ* studies have already tried to understand the relation between SST and bloom development, often resulting in different conclusions which seem to depend on the actual studied location (Accoroni and Totti, 2016). For instance, in the NW Mediterranean Sea, the highest abundances of *O. cf. ovata* were recorded when temperatures were either higher than 26°C (e.g., in the Ligurian Sea (Mangialajo et al., 2008) and in Greece (Aligizaki and Nikolaidis, 2006)) or below 23°C (e.g., in the Northern Adriatic Sea (Totti et al., 2010; Accoroni et al., 2011)). In most of these studies, the monitored data were reported as cell abundances and did not show any direct correlation between SST and cell concentration (Vila et al., 2001; Totti et al., 2010; Cohu et

al., 2011). On the other hand, by estimating the maximum growth rate of *O. cf. ovata* over successive bloom events in this present study focusing on the NW Mediterranean Sea, a narrower range of optimal growth temperatures was defined between 21.0°C and 24.7°C. This temperature range is in agreement with results obtained from laboratory scale studies, which reported that optimal growth temperatures could be strain specific and part of such a strain specificity referring to a thermal niche could depend on the studied area. For instance, Pezzolesi et al. (2012) showed that strains collected in the Tyrrhenian Sea showed maximum growth rates at 20°C whereas highest growth rates were observed at 24°C and 30°C when studying strains isolated from the Catalan coast (Spain, Carnicer et al., 2016) and the Adriatic Sea (Granéli et al., 2011), respectively. However, even within the same region of the Mediterranean Sea, temperature niches enabling optimal growth seem to vary depending on the strain. Indeed, a laboratory study carried out by Scalco et al., (2012) on strains collected in the Tyrrhenian and Adriatic seas showed that the optimal temperature for algal growth was strain-specific, varying between 22°C and 26°C depending on the clone. Since *O. cf. ovata* niche temperatures are strongly variable depending on the location but also depending on the strains across Mediterranean Sea, the impact of temperature on the development of blooms are likely to vary depending on local environmental parameters and clonal diversity. In addition, the highest growth rates of *O. cf. ovata* do not coincide with the highest observed SSTs, as also reported in most of the *in situ* and laboratory studies conducted in the Mediterranean Sea. This suggests a more complex role of temperature conditions on the phenology of the blooms than a simple increasing bloom facilitation due to increasing temperature. Exceeding too high SST values might even limit the development of the bloom. In the context of ocean warming, this has to be taken into account in the view of implementing long-term previsions of *O. cf. ovata* bloom events in the future.

4.3.Spring SST anomalies and *in situ* thermal niche: a tool for modelers and managers

Relationships between the phenology of *O. cf. ovata* blooms and environmental parameters described in this present study are of great interest for predicting and managing HABs. Indeed, the correlation between spring SST anomalies and bloom timing represents a useful tool for predicting future *O. cf. ovata* HABs in the Monaco area. Using SST data recorded during the beginning of the year enabled to predict a temporal window for the beginning of a bloom event which can be useful to guide the monitoring effort (Fig. 7A). The *in situ* thermal niche, determined by the relationship between SST and net growth rates during bloom episodes, could also be used by modelers to forecast the future evolution of both the bloom phenology and distribution which can be notably impactful when facing increasing sea temperatures.

Modeling the dynamics of coastal HABs is crucial, especially in a context of climate change where the occurrence of HABs seems to be increasing in numbers and expanding in space. Many authors are trying to understand and to predict blooms of toxic dinoflagellates (Maguire et al., 2016; Moita et al., 2016; Ruiz-Villarreal et al., 2016) and toxic cyanobacteria (Hamilton et al., 2009; Rigosi et al., 2015) by using models based on ecological observations. Until now, the accuracy in modelling and predicting such complex phenological events seems to be limited by the lack of available data describing both the species itself and the environmental parameters, but also by the numerous pending questions regarding the ecology of *O. cf. ovata* (Asnaghi et al., 2012). Although our study provides data on the actual temperature niche of *O. cf. ovata* in the NW Mediterranean Sea and the relations between the bloom phenology and spring SST temperatures, more models describing *O. cf. ovata* blooms still need to be designed, improved and adapted to local environmental variables in order to minimize the risks to be exposed to such events. The determination of the thermal niche of *O. cf. ovata* in the NW Mediterranean Sea could help forecasting potential long-term variations in the phenology of the blooms but also a possible evolution of the distribution of blooms. On

the other hand, the short-term prediction of bloom timing could provide a substantial support to local sanitary authorities in charge of toxic outbreaks, as this could be used to estimate potential risks of exposure weeks before the beginning of the bloom and hence to gain precious time for deploying management strategies.

Regarding the impact of climate change, many studies consider global warming as a potential enhancement factor for benthic HABs species to expand their geographical areas, increase the seasonal occurrences and bloom windows (Wells et al., 2015; Gobler et al., 2017; Nakada et al., 2018; Gobler, 2020; Tester et al., 2020). In the Mediterranean Sea, climate projections foresee an increase in SSTs, with an average of 0.45°C per decade by 2050 (Alexander et al., 2018). Geographical expansion towards higher latitudes in the Mediterranean Sea is not possible, since *O. cf. ovata* is already found in the northern parts of the NW Mediterranean Sea. Moreover, an increase in SST temperature may not necessarily result in more intense blooms since this present study has shown that the highest SSTs do not coincide with the highest growth rates. Therefore, the assumption that ocean warming shall favor the development and the expansion of *O. cf. ovata* species and blooms in the future seems more complex than previously thought. On the other hand, global warming could favor an earlier bloom, as optimal temperature conditions would be reached earlier in the season. Global warming is not the only consequence of climate change and more specific studies between *O. cf. ovata* and future ecological conditions in the Mediterranean Sea are needed.

5. Conclusion

The phenology of *O. cf. ovata* blooms is governed by a complex assemblage of environmental factors and is still lacking specific knowledge able to describe how these environmental parameters interact and affect the progress of toxic blooms. The present study is based on a

13-year time-series of *O. cf. ovata* blooms in Monaco and reveals that part of the interannual variability of bloom phenological features, such as the bloom timing and the maximum intensity of the bloom, could be explained by a combination of environmental parameters, including SST and nutrient concentrations, especially during the spring season. The optimal growth temperature which favors the *O. cf. ovata* blooms in NW Mediterranean waters has been estimated between 21°C and 25°C. In addition, this study revealed a clear relation between spring SSTs and the timing of the bloom of *O. cf. ovata* occurring in the NW Mediterranean region. By processing data from this longest time series dedicated to *O. cf. ovata* blooms, results suggest that the accumulation of positive SST anomalies induces an early start of the bloom. Considering the increasing trend of SST observed worldwide, due to global climatic changes, such results could be of a great interest for the prediction and management of *O. cf. ovata* blooms in recreational waters. Further data collection in the scope of this time series is needed to improve the present knowledge and to deepen investigations regarding the effect of combined environmental parameters on *O. cf. ovata* bloom phenology.

Authors' contribution

K.D., A-S.P., S.M. V.D-S. and R.L. designed and contributed to the conduction of the monitoring campaign. K.D., A-S.P. and S.M. analyzed the samples. K.D. and S.G. designed and performed the modelling and statistical analyses. K.D., S.G., E.B., C.J., R.S. and R.L. analyzed the results and prepared the figures. K.D. and R.L. wrote the first version of the manuscript. All authors reviewed and accepted the final version of the manuscript.

Acknowledgement

This work was carried out in the frame the PhD thesis of K.D., supported by the project CoCliME which is part of ERA4CS, an ERA-NET initiated by JPI Climate, and funded by EPA (IE), ANR (FR), BMBF (DE), UEFISCDI (RO), RCN (NO) and FORMAS (SE), with

co-funding by the European Union (Grant 690462). The monitoring program in the Larvotto Beach was funded by the “Direction de l’Environnement” of Monaco. We are deeply grateful to all people involved in sample collection and analysis over the monitoring period for their precious help without whom this long-term study could not have been conducted. We are also grateful to the “Direction de l’Environnement” of Monaco and to SOMLIT (French National Service of Coastal Long-Term Marine Observation, <https://www.somlit.fr/>) for providing us hydroclimatic data. French authors are part of GDR PHYCOTOX, a CNRS/IFREMER network on HAB.

References

- Abdennadher, M., Zouari, A.B., Sahnoun, W.F., Alverca, E., Penna, A., Hamza, A., 2017. *Ostreopsis* cf. *ovata* in the Gulf of Gabès (south-eastern Mediterranean Sea): morphological, molecular and ecological characterization. *Harmful Algae* 63, 56–67. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hal.2017.01.009>
- Accoroni, S., Colombo, F., Pichierri, S., Romagnoli, T., Marini, M., Battocchi, C., Penna, A., Totti, C., 2012. Ecology of *Ostreopsis* cf. *ovata* Blooms in the Northwestern Adriatic Sea. *Cryptogam. Algol.* 33, 191–198. <https://doi.org/10.7872/crya.v33.iss2.2011.191>
- Accoroni, S., Glibert, P.M., Pichierri, S., Romagnoli, T., Marini, M., Totti, C., 2015a. A conceptual model of annual *Ostreopsis* cf. *ovata* blooms in the northern Adriatic Sea based on the synergic effects of hydrodynamics, temperature, and the N:P ratio of water column nutrients. *Harmful Algae* 45, 14–25. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hal.2015.04.002>
- Accoroni, S., Percopo, I., Cerino, F., Romagnoli, T., Pichierri, S., Perrone, C., Totti, C., 2015b. Allelopathic interactions between the HAB dinoflagellate *Ostreopsis* cf. *ovata* and macroalgae. *Harmful Algae* 49, 147–155. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hal.2015.08.007>

- Accoroni, S., Romagnoli, T., Colombo, F., Pennesi, C., Di Camillo, C.G., Marini, M., Battocchi, C., Ciminiello, P., Dell'Aversano, C., Dello Iacovo, E., Fattorusso, E., Tartaglione, L., Penna, A., Totti, C., 2011. *Ostreopsis* cf. *ovata* bloom in the northern Adriatic Sea during summer 2009: Ecology, molecular characterization and toxin profile. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 62, 2512–2519. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2011.08.003>
- Accoroni, S., Romagnoli, T., Pichierri, S., Totti, C., 2014. New insights on the life cycle stages of the toxic benthic dinoflagellate *Ostreopsis* cf. *ovata*. *Harmful Algae* 34, 7–16. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hal.2014.02.003>
- Accoroni, S., Totti, C., 2016. The toxic benthic dinoflagellates of the genus *Ostreopsis* in temperate areas: a review. *Adv. Oceanogr. Limnol.* 7, 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.4081/aiol.2016.5591>
- Accoroni, S., Totti, C., Razza, E., Congestri, R., Campanelli, A., Marini, M., Ellwood, N.T.W., 2017. Phosphatase activities of a microepiphytic community during a bloom of *Ostreopsis* cf. *ovata* in the northern Adriatic Sea. *Water Res.* 120, 272–279. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2017.05.004>
- Alexander, M.A., Scott, J.D., Friedland, K.D., Mills, K.E., Nye, J.A., Pershing, A.J., Thomas, A.C., 2018. Projected sea surface temperatures over the 21st century: Changes in the mean, variability and extremes for large marine ecosystem regions of Northern Oceans. *Elem Sci Anth* 6, 9. <https://doi.org/10.1525/elementa.191>
- Aligizaki, K., Katikou, P., Nikolaidis, G., Panou, A., 2008. First episode of shellfish contamination by palytoxin-like compounds from *Ostreopsis* species (Aegean Sea, Greece). *Toxicon* 51, 418–427. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.toxicon.2007.10.016>
- Aligizaki, K., Nikolaidis, G., 2006. The presence of the potentially toxic genera *Ostreopsis* and *Coolia* (Dinophyceae) in the North Aegean Sea, Greece. *Harmful Algae* 5, 717–730. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hal.2006.02.005>
- Anderson, D.M., 1980. Effects of temperature conditioning on development and germination of *Gonyaulax tamarens* (dinophyceae) hypnozygotes. *J. Phycol.* 16, 166–172. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1529-8817.1980.tb03013.x>
- Anderson, D.M., Cembella, A.D., Hallegraeff, G.M., 2012. Progress in Understanding Harmful Algal Blooms: Paradigm Shifts and New Technologies for Research, Monitoring, and Management. *Annu. Rev. Mar. Sci.* 4, 143–176. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-marine-120308-081121>
- Anderson, D.M., Glibert, P.M., Burkholder, J.M., 2002. Harmful algal blooms and eutrophication: Nutrient sources, composition, and consequences. *Estuaries* 25, 704–726. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02804901>
- Anderson, D.M., Taylor, C.D., Armbrust, E.V., 1987. The effects of darkness and anaerobiosis on dinoflagellate cyst germination: Dinoflagellate cyst germination. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 32, 340–351. <https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.1987.32.2.0340>
- Anglès, S., Garcés, E., Reñé, A., Sampedro, N., 2012. Life-cycle alternations in *Alexandrium minutum* natural populations from the NW Mediterranean Sea. *Harmful Algae* 16, 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hal.2011.12.006>
- Asnaghi, V., Bertolotto, R., Giussani, V., Mangialajo, L., Hewitt, J., Thrush, S., Moretto, P., Castellano, M., Rossi, A., Povero, P., Cattaneo-Vietti, R., Chiantore, M., 2012. Interannual Variability in *Ostreopsis ovata* Bloom Dynamic along Genoa Coast (North-Western Mediterranean): A Preliminary Modeling Approach. *Cryptogam. Algol.* 33, 181–189. <https://doi.org/10.7872/crya.v33.iss2.2011.181>
- Barone, R., 2007. Behavioural trait of *Ostreopsis ovata* (Dinophyceae) in Mediterranean rock pools: the spider's strategy. *Harmful Algae News* 33, 1–3.

- Barroso García, P., Rueda de la Puerta, P., Parrón Carreño, T., Marín Martínez, P., Guillén Enríquez, J., 2008. An epidemic outbreak with respiratory symptoms in the province of Almeria (Spain) due to toxic microalgae exposure. *Gac. Sanit.* 22, 578–584.
- Battocchi, C., Totti, C., Vila, M., Masó, M., Capellacci, S., Accoroni, S., Reñé, A., Scardi, M., Penna, A., 2010. Monitoring toxic microalgae *Ostreopsis* (dinoflagellate) species in coastal waters of the Mediterranean Sea using molecular PCR-based assay combined with light microscopy. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 60, 1074–1084. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2010.01.017>
- Binder, B.J., Anderson, D.M., 2004. Physiological and environmental control of germination in *Scrippsiella trochoidea* (dinophyceae) resting cysts1. *J. Phycol.* 23, 99–107. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0022-3646.1987.00099.x>
- Biré, R., Trotureau, S., Lemée, R., Delpont, C., Chabot, B., Aumond, Y., Krys, S., 2013. Occurrence of palytoxins in marine organisms from different trophic levels of the French Mediterranean coast harvested in 2009. *Harmful Algae* 28, 10–22. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hal.2013.04.007>
- Biré, R., Trotureau, S., Lemée, R., Oregioni, D., Delpont, C., Krys, S., Guérin, T., 2015. Hunt for Palytoxins in a Wide Variety of Marine Organisms Harvested in 2010 on the French Mediterranean Coast. *Mar. Drugs* 13, 5425–5446. <https://doi.org/10.3390/md13085425>
- Blanfuné, A., Boudouresque, C.F., Grossel, H., Thibaut, T., 2015. Distribution and abundance of *Ostreopsis* spp. and associated species (Dinophyceae) in the northwestern Mediterranean: the region and the macroalgal substrate matter. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* 22, 12332–12346. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-015-4525-4>
- Bravo, I., Anderson, D.M., 1994. The effects of temperature, growth medium and darkness on excystment and growth of the toxic dinoflagellate *Gymnodinium catenatum* from northwest Spain. *J. Plankton Res.* 16, 513–525. <https://doi.org/10.1093/plankt/16.5.513>
- Brescianini, C., Grillo, C., Melchiorre, N., Bertolotto, R., Ferrari, A., Vivaldi, B., Icardi, G., Gramaccioni, L., Funari, E., Scardala, S., 2006. *Ostreopsis ovata* algal blooms affecting human health in Genova, Italy, 2005 and 2006. *Euro Surveill. Bull. Eur. Sur Mal. Transm. Eur. Commun. Dis. Bull.* 11, E060907.3.
- Brissard, C., Herrenknecht, C., Séchet, V., Hervé, F., Pisapia, F., Harcouet, J., Lémée, R., Chomérat, N., Hess, P., Amzil, Z., 2014. Complex Toxin Profile of French Mediterranean *Ostreopsis* cf. *ovata* Strains, Seafood Accumulation and Ovatoxins Prepurification. *Mar. Drugs* 12, 2851–2876. <https://doi.org/10.3390/md12052851>
- Bucci, A.F., Thomas, A.C., Cetinić, I., 2020. Interannual Variability in the Thermal Habitat of *Alexandrium catenella* in the Bay of Fundy and the Implications of Climate Change. *Front. Mar. Sci.* 7, 587990. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2020.587990>
- Carnicer, O., García-Altres, M., Andree, K.B., Tartaglione, L., Dell’Aversano, C., Ciminiello, P., de la Iglesia, P., Diogène, J., Fernández-Tejedor, M., 2016. *Ostreopsis* cf. *ovata* from western Mediterranean Sea: Physiological responses under different temperature and salinity conditions. *Harmful Algae* 57, 98–108. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hal.2016.06.002>
- Carnicer, O., Guallar, C., Andree, K.B., Diogène, J., Fernández-Tejedor, M., 2015. *Ostreopsis* cf. *ovata* dynamics in the NW Mediterranean Sea in relation to biotic and abiotic factors. *Environ. Res.* 143, 89–99. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2015.08.023>
- Ciminiello, P., Dell’Aversano, C., Dello Iacovo, E., Fattorusso, E., Forino, M., Grauso, L., Tartaglione, L., Guerrini, F., Pezzolesi, L., Pistocchi, R., Vanucci, S., 2012. Isolation and Structure Elucidation of Ovatoxin-a, the Major Toxin Produced by *Ostreopsis ovata*. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 134, 1869–1875. <https://doi.org/10.1021/ja210784u>

- Ciminiello, P., Dell'Aversano, C., Fattorusso, E., Forino, M., Magno, G.S., Tartaglione, L., Grillo, C., Melchiorre, N., 2006. The Genoa 2005 Outbreak. Determination of Putative Palytoxin in Mediterranean *Ostreopsis ovata* by a New Liquid Chromatography Tandem Mass Spectrometry Method. *Anal. Chem.* 78, 6153–6159. <https://doi.org/10.1021/ac060250j>
- Ciminiello, P., Dell'Aversano, C., Iacovo, E.D., Fattorusso, E., Forino, M., Tartaglione, L., Benedettini, G., Onorari, M., Serena, F., Battocchi, C., Casabianca, S., Penna, A., 2014. First Finding of *Ostreopsis cf. ovata* Toxins in Marine Aerosols. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 48, 3532–3540. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es405617d>
- Cleveland, W.S., 1979. Robust Locally Weighted Regression and Smoothing Scatterplots. *J. Am. Stat. Assoc.* 74, 829–836. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01621459.1979.10481038>
- Cohu, S., Lemée, R., 2012. Vertical distribution of the toxic epibenthic dinoflagellates *Ostreopsis cf. ovata*, *Prorocentrum lima* and *Coolia monotis* in the NW Mediterranean Sea. *Cah. Biol. Mar.* 55, 373–380.
- Cohu, S., Mangialajo, L., Thibaut, T., Blanfuné, A., Marro, S., Lemée, R., 2013. Proliferation of the toxic dinoflagellate *Ostreopsis cf. ovata* in relation to depth, biotic substrate and environmental factors in the North West Mediterranean Sea. *Harmful Algae* 24, 32–44. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hal.2013.01.002>
- Cohu, S., Thibaut, T., Mangialajo, L., Labat, J.-P., Passafiume, O., Blanfuné, A., Simon, N., Cottalorda, J.-M., Lemée, R., 2011. Occurrence of the toxic dinoflagellate *Ostreopsis cf. ovata* in relation with environmental factors in Monaco (NW Mediterranean). *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 62, 2681–2691. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2011.09.022>
- David, H., Ganzedo, U., Laza-Martínez, A., Orive, E., 2012. Relationships between the Presence of *Ostreopsis* (Dinophyceae) in the Atlantic Coast of the Iberian Peninsula and Sea-Surface Temperature. *Cryptogam. Algal.* 33, 199–207. <https://doi.org/10.7872/crya.v33.iss2.2011.199>
- Davidson, K., Gowen, R.J., Harrison, P.J., Fleming, L.E., Hoagland, P., Moschonas, G., 2014. Anthropogenic nutrients and harmful algae in coastal waters. *J. Environ. Manage.* 146, 206–216. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2014.07.002>
- Di Cioccio, D., 2015. Ecology of the toxic dinoflagellate *Ostreopsis cf. ovata* along the coasts of the Campania region (Tyrrhenian Sea, Mediterranean Sea). <https://doi.org/10.6092/UNINA/FEDOA/10371>
- Durando, P., Ansaldi, F., Oreste, P., Moscatelli, P., Marensi, L., Grillo, C., Gasparini, R., Icardi, G., Collaborative Group for the Ligurian Syndromic Algal Surveillance, 2007. *Ostreopsis ovata* and human health: epidemiological and clinical features of respiratory syndrome outbreaks from a two-year syndromic surveillance, 2005-06, in north-west Italy. *Euro Surveill. Bull. Eur. Sur Mal. Transm. Eur. Commun. Dis. Bull.* 12, E070607.1.
- Faust, M.A., Morton, S.L., Quod, J.P., 1996. Further sem study of marine dinoflagellates: the genus *Ostreopsis* (dinophyceae)1. *J. Phycol.* 32, 1053–1065. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0022-3646.1996.01053.x>
- Fu, F., Tatters, A., Hutchins, D., 2012. Global change and the future of harmful algal blooms in the ocean. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 470, 207–233. <https://doi.org/10.3354/meps10047>
- Garces, E., 2002. Role of temporary cysts in the population dynamics of *Alexandrium taylori* (Dinophyceae). *J. Plankton Res.* 24, 681–686. <https://doi.org/10.1093/plankt/24.7.681>
- Giussani, V., Asnaghi, V., Pedroncini, A., Chiantore, M., 2017. Management of harmful benthic dinoflagellates requires targeted sampling methods and alarm thresholds. *Harmful Algae* 68, 97–104. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hal.2017.07.010>

- Glibert, P., Seitzinger, S., Heil, C., Burkholder, J., Parrow, M., Codispoti, L., Kelly, V., 2005. The Role of Eutrophication in the Global Proliferation of Harmful Algal Blooms. *Oceanography* 18, 198–209. <https://doi.org/10.5670/oceanog.2005.54>
- Glibert, P.M., Icarus Allen, J., Artioli, Y., Beusen, A., Bouwman, L., Harle, J., Holmes, R., Holt, J., 2014. Vulnerability of coastal ecosystems to changes in harmful algal bloom distribution in response to climate change: projections based on model analysis. *Glob. Change Biol.* 20, 3845–3858. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12662>
- Gobler, C.J., 2020. Climate Change and Harmful Algal Blooms: Insights and perspective. *Harmful Algae* 91, 101731. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hal.2019.101731>
- Gobler, C.J., Doherty, O.M., Hattenrath-Lehmann, T.K., Griffith, A.W., Kang, Y., Litaker, R.W., 2017. Ocean warming since 1982 has expanded the niche of toxic algal blooms in the North Atlantic and North Pacific oceans. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 114, 4975–4980. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1619575114>
- Granéli, E., Vidyaratna, N.K., Funari, E., Cumaratunga, P.R.T., Scenati, R., 2011. Can increases in temperature stimulate blooms of the toxic benthic dinoflagellate *Ostreopsis ovata*? *Harmful Algae* 10, 165–172. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hal.2010.09.002>
- Hallegraeff, G.M., 2010. Ocean climate change, phytoplankton community responses, and harmful algal blooms: a formidable predictive challenge. *J. Phycol.* 46, 220–235. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1529-8817.2010.00815.x>
- Hallegraeff, G.M., Anderson, D.M., Belin, C., Bottein, M.-Y.D., Bresnan, E., Chinain, M., Enevoldsen, H., Iwataki, M., Karlson, B., McKenzie, C.H., Sunesen, I., Pitcher, G.C., Provoost, P., Richardson, A., Schweibold, L., Tester, P.A., Trainer, V.L., Yñiguez, A.T., Zingone, A., 2021. Perceived global increase in algal blooms is attributable to intensified monitoring and emerging bloom impacts. *Commun. Earth Environ.* 2, 117. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s43247-021-00178-8>
- Hamilton, G., McVinish, R., Mengersen, K., 2009. Bayesian model averaging for harmful algal bloom prediction. *Ecol. Appl.* 19, 1805–1814. <https://doi.org/10.1890/08-1843.1>
- Heisler, J., Glibert, P.M., Burkholder, J.M., Anderson, D.M., Cochlan, W., Dennison, W.C., Dortch, Q., Gobler, C.J., Heil, C.A., Humphries, E., Lewitus, A., Magnien, R., Marshall, H.G., Sellner, K., Stockwell, D.A., Stoecker, D.K., Suddleson, M., 2008. Eutrophication and harmful algal blooms: A scientific consensus. *Harmful Algae* 8, 3–13. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hal.2008.08.006>
- Hoppenrath, M., Murray, S.A., Chomérat, N., Horiguchi, T., 2014. Marine benthic dinoflagellates: unveiling their worldwide biodiversity, *Kleine Senckenberg-Reihe*. E. Schweizerbart'sche Verlagsbuchhandlung, Stuttgart.
- Illoul, H., Hernández, F.R., Vila, M., Adjaz, N., younes, A.A., Bournissa, M., Koroghli, A., Marouf, N., Rabia, S., Ameer, F.L.K., 2012. The Genus *Ostreopsis* along the Algerian Coastal Waters (SW Mediterranean Sea) Associated with a Human Respiratory Intoxication Episode. *Cryptogam. Algal.* 33, 209–216. <https://doi.org/10.7872/crya.v33.iss2.2011.209>
- Jauzein, C., Açaf, L., Accoroni, S., Asnaghi, V., Fricke, A., Hachani, M.A., abboud-Abi Saab, M., Chiantore, M., Mangialajo, L., Totti, C., Zaghmouri, I., Lemée, R., 2018. Optimization of sampling, cell collection and counting for the monitoring of benthic harmful algal blooms: Application to *Ostreopsis* spp. blooms in the Mediterranean Sea. *Ecol. Indic.* 91, 116–127. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2018.03.089>
- Jauzein, C., Couet, D., Blasco, T., Lemée, R., 2017. Uptake of dissolved inorganic and organic nitrogen by the benthic toxic dinoflagellate *Ostreopsis* cf. *ovata*. *Harmful Algae* 65, 9–18. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hal.2017.04.005>

- Kibler, S.R., Tester, P.A., Kunkel, K.E., Moore, S.K., Litaker, R.W., 2015. Effects of ocean warming on growth and distribution of dinoflagellates associated with ciguatera fish poisoning in the Caribbean. *Ecol. Model.* 316, 194–210. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2015.08.020>
- Lau, W.L.S., Law, I.K., Liow, G.R., Hii, K.S., Usup, G., Lim, P.T., Leaw, C.P., 2017. Life-history stages of natural bloom populations and the bloom dynamics of a tropical Asian ribotype of *Alexandrium minutum*. *Harmful Algae* 70, 52–63. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hal.2017.10.006>
- Lee, B., Park, M.G., 2018. Genetic Analyses of the *rbcL* and *psaA* Genes From Single Cells Demonstrate a Rhodophyte Origin of the Prey in the Toxic Benthic Dinoflagellate *Ostreopsis*. *Front. Mar. Sci.* 5, 217. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2018.00217>
- Maguire, J., Cusack, C., Ruiz-Villarreal, M., Silke, J., McElligott, D., Davidson, K., 2016. Applied simulations and integrated modelling for the understanding of toxic and harmful algal blooms (ASIMUTH): Integrated HAB forecast systems for Europe's Atlantic Arc. *Harmful Algae* 53, 160–166. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hal.2015.11.006>
- Mangialajo, L., Bertolotto, R., Cattaneo-Vietti, R., Chiantore, M., Grillo, C., Lemee, R., Melchiorre, N., Moretto, P., Povero, P., Ruggieri, N., 2008. The toxic benthic dinoflagellate *Ostreopsis ovata*: Quantification of proliferation along the coastline of Genoa, Italy. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 56, 1209–1214. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2008.02.028>
- Mangialajo, L., Ganzin, N., Accoroni, S., Asnaghi, V., Blanfuné, A., Cabrini, M., Cattaneo-Vietti, R., Chavanon, F., Chiantore, M., Cohu, S., Costa, E., Fornasaro, D., Grossel, H., Marco-Miralles, F., Masó, M., Reñé, A., Rossi, A.M., Sala, M.M., Thibaut, T., Totti, C., Vila, M., Lemée, R., 2011. Trends in *Ostreopsis* proliferation along the Northern Mediterranean coasts. *Toxicon* 57, 408–420. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.toxicon.2010.11.019>
- Meroni, L., Chiantore, M., Petrillo, M., Asnaghi, V., 2018. Habitat effects on *Ostreopsis cf. ovata* bloom dynamics. *Harmful Algae* 80, 64–71. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hal.2018.09.006>
- Moita, M.T., Pazos, Y., Rocha, C., Nolasco, R., Oliveira, P.B., 2016. Toward predicting *Dinophysis* blooms off NW Iberia: A decade of events. *Harmful Algae* 53, 17–32. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hal.2015.12.002>
- Monti, M., Minocci, M., Beran, A., Iveša, L., 2007. First record of *Ostreopsis* cfr. *ovata* on macroalgae in the Northern Adriatic Sea. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 54, 598–601. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2007.01.013>
- Montresor, M., Lewis, J., 2006. Phases, stages and shifts in the life cycles of marine phytoplankton. DV Subba-Rao Ed Algal Cult. Analog. Blooms Appl. Sci. Publ. Enfield USA 2006 91–129.
- Moore, S.K., Bill, B.D., Hay, L.R., Emenegger, J., Eldred, K.C., Greengrove, C.L., Masura, J.E., Anderson, D.M., 2015. Factors regulating excystment of *Alexandrium* in Puget Sound, WA, USA. *Harmful Algae* 43, 103–110. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hal.2015.01.005>
- Moore, S.K., Trainer, V.L., Mantua, N.J., Parker, M.S., Laws, E.A., Backer, L.C., Fleming, L.E., 2008. Impacts of climate variability and future climate change on harmful algal blooms and human health. *Environ. Health* 7, S4. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1476-069X-7-S2-S4>
- Nakada, M., Hatayama, Y., Ishikawa, A., Ajisaka, T., Sawayama, S., Imai, I., 2018. Seasonal distribution of *Gambierdiscus* spp. in Wakasa Bay, the Sea of Japan, and antagonistic relationships with epiphytic pennate diatoms. *Harmful Algae* 76, 58–65. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hal.2018.05.002>

- Ní Rathaille, A., Raine, R., 2011. Seasonality in the excystment of *Alexandrium minutum* and *Alexandrium tamarense* in Irish coastal waters. *Harmful Algae* 10, 629–635. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hal.2011.04.015>
- Ninčević Gladan, Ž., Arapov, J., Casabianca, S., Penna, A., Honsell, G., Brovedani, V., Pelin, M., Tartaglione, L., Sosa, S., Dell’Aversano, C., Tubaro, A., Žuljević, A., Grbec, B., Čavar, M., Bužančić, M., Bakrač, A., Skejić, S., 2019. Massive Occurrence of the Harmful Benthic Dinoflagellate *Ostreopsis* cf. *ovata* in the Eastern Adriatic Sea. *Toxins* 11, 300. <https://doi.org/10.3390/toxins11050300>
- Parsons, M.L., Aligizaki, K., Bottein, M.-Y.D., Fraga, S., Morton, S.L., Penna, A., Rhodes, L., 2012. *Gambierdiscus* and *Ostreopsis*: Reassessment of the state of knowledge of their taxonomy, geography, ecophysiology, and toxicology. *Harmful Algae* 14, 107–129. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hal.2011.10.017>
- Pezzolesi, L., Guerrini, F., Ciminiello, P., Dell’Aversano, C., Iacovo, E.D., Fattorusso, E., Forino, M., Tartaglione, L., Pistocchi, R., 2012. Influence of temperature and salinity on *Ostreopsis* cf. *ovata* growth and evaluation of toxin content through HR LC-MS and biological assays. *Water Res.* 46, 82–92. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2011.10.029>
- Pfannkuchen, M., Godrijan, J., Marić Pfannkuchen, D., Iveša, L., Kružić, P., Ciminiello, P., Dell’Aversano, C., Dello Iacovo, E., Fattorusso, E., Forino, M., Tartaglione, L., Godrijan, M., 2012. Toxin-Producing *Ostreopsis* cf. *ovata* are Likely to Bloom Undetected along Coastal Areas. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 46, 5574–5582. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es300189h>
- Pistocchi, R., Pezzolesi, L., Guerrini, F., Vanucci, S., Dell’Aversano, C., Fattorusso, E., 2011. A review on the effects of environmental conditions on growth and toxin production of *Ostreopsis ovata*. *Toxicon* 57, 421–428. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.toxicon.2010.09.013>
- R Core Team, 2019. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Found. Stat. Comput. Vienna Austria.
- Rhodes, L., 2011. World-wide occurrence of the toxic dinoflagellate genus *Ostreopsis* Schmidt. *Toxicon* 57, 400–407. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.toxicon.2010.05.010>
- Rigosi, A., Hanson, P., Hamilton, D.P., Hipsey, M., Rusak, J.A., Bois, J., Sparber, K., Chorus, I., Watkinson, A.J., Qin, B., Kim, B., Brookes, J.D., 2015. Determining the probability of cyanobacterial blooms: the application of Bayesian networks in multiple lake systems. *Ecol. Appl.* 25, 186–199. <https://doi.org/10.1890/13-1677.1>
- Ruiz-Villarreal, M., García-García, L.M., Cobas, M., Díaz, P.A., Reguera, B., 2016. Modelling the hydrodynamic conditions associated with *Dinophysis* blooms in Galicia (NW Spain). *Harmful Algae* 53, 40–52. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hal.2015.12.003>
- Sansoni, G., Borghini, B., Camici, G., Casotti, M., Righini, P., Rustighi, C., 2003. Fioriture algali di *Ostreopsis ovata* (Gonyaulacales: Dinophyceae): un problema emergente. *Biol. Ambientale* 17, 17–23.
- Scalco, E., Brunet, C., Marino, F., Rossi, R., Soprano, V., Zingone, A., Montresor, M., 2012. Growth and toxicity responses of Mediterranean *Ostreopsis* cf. *ovata* to seasonal irradiance and temperature conditions. *Harmful Algae* 17, 25–34. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hal.2012.02.008>
- Shears, N.T., Ross, P.M., 2009. Blooms of benthic dinoflagellates of the genus *Ostreopsis*; an increasing and ecologically important phenomenon on temperate reefs in New Zealand and worldwide. *Harmful Algae* 8, 916–925. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hal.2009.05.003>
- Tawong, W., Yoshimatsu, T., Yamaguchi, H., Adachi, M., 2015. Effects of temperature, salinity and their interaction on growth of benthic dinoflagellates *Ostreopsis* spp. from Thailand. *Harmful Algae* 44, 37–45. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hal.2015.02.011>

- Taylor, F.J.R., 1979. The description of the benthic dinoflagellate associated with maitotoxin and ciguatoxin, including observations on Hawaiian material. DL Taylor HH Seliger Eds Toxic Dinoflag. Blooms Elsevier Sci. NY 71–77.
- Tester, P.A., Litaker, R.W., Berdalet, E., 2020. Climate change and harmful benthic microalgae. Harmful Algae 91, 101655. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hal.2019.101655>
- Tichadou, L., Glaizal, M., Armengaud, A., Grossel, H., Lemée, R., Kantin, R., Lasalle, J.-L., Drouet, G., Rambaud, L., Malfait, P., de Haro, L., 2010. Health impact of unicellular algae of the *Ostreopsis* genus blooms in the Mediterranean Sea: experience of the French Mediterranean coast surveillance network from 2006 to 2009. Clin. Toxicol. 48, 839–844. <https://doi.org/10.3109/15563650.2010.513687>
- Totti, C., Accoroni, S., Cerino, F., Cucchiari, E., Romagnoli, T., 2010. *Ostreopsis ovata* bloom along the Conero Riviera (northern Adriatic Sea): Relationships with environmental conditions and substrata. Harmful Algae 9, 233–239. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hal.2009.10.006>
- Tubaro, A., Durando, P., Del Favero, G., Ansaldi, F., Icardi, G., Deeds, J.R., Sosa, S., 2011. Case definitions for human poisonings postulated to palytoxins exposure. Toxicon 57, 478–495. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.toxicon.2011.01.005>
- Utermöhl, H., 1958. Zur Vervollkommnung der quantitativen Phytoplankton-Methodik: Mit 1 Tabelle und 15 abbildungen im Text und auf 1 Tafel. SIL Commun. 1953-1996 9, 1–38. <https://doi.org/10.1080/05384680.1958.11904091>
- Van Dolah, F.M., 2000. Marine algal toxins: origins, health effects, and their increased occurrence. Environ. Health Perspect. 108, 133–141. <https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.00108s1133>
- Vila, M., Abós-Herrándiz, R., Isern-Fontanet, J., Álvarez, J., Berdalet, E., 2016. Establishing the link between *Ostreopsis cf.ovata* blooms and human health impacts using ecology and epidemiology. Sci. Mar. 80, 107–115. <https://doi.org/10.3989/scimar.04395.08A>
- Vila, M., Garcés, E., Masó, M., 2001. Potentially toxic epiphytic dinoflagellate assemblages on macroalgae in the NW Mediterranean. Aquat. Microb. Ecol. 26, 51–60. <https://doi.org/10.3354/ame026051>
- Vila, M., Masó, M., Sampedro, N., Illoul, H., Arin, L., Garcés, E., Giacobbe, M.G., Alvarez, J., Camp, J., 2008. The genus *Ostreopsis* in the recreational waters along the Catalan Coast and Balearic Islands (NW Mediterranean Sea): are they the origin of human respiratory difficulties? Proc. 12th Int. Conf. Harmful Algae 2008 334–336.
- Wells, M.L., Karlson, B., 2018. Harmful Algal Blooms in a Changing Ocean, in: Glibert, P.M., Berdalet, E., Burford, M.A., Pitcher, G.C., Zhou, M. (Eds.), Global Ecology and Oceanography of Harmful Algal Blooms. Springer International Publishing, Cham, pp. 77–90. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-70069-4_5
- Wells, M.L., Karlson, B., Wulff, A., Kudela, R., Trick, C., Asnaghi, V., Berdalet, E., Cochlan, W., Davidson, K., De Rijcke, M., Dutkiewicz, S., Hallegraeff, G., Flynn, K.J., Legrand, C., Paerl, H., Silke, J., Suikkanen, S., Thompson, P., Trainer, V.L., 2020. Future HAB science: Directions and challenges in a changing climate. Harmful Algae 91, 101632. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hal.2019.101632>
- Wells, M.L., Trainer, V.L., Smayda, T.J., Karlson, B.S.O., Trick, C.G., Kudela, R.M., Ishikawa, A., Bernard, S., Wulff, A., Anderson, D.M., Cochlan, W.P., 2015. Harmful algal blooms and climate change: Learning from the past and present to forecast the future. Harmful Algae 49, 68–93. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hal.2015.07.009>
- Wood, A.M., Everroad, R.C., Wingard, L.M., 2005. Measuring growth rates in microalgal cultures. Algal Cult. Tech. 269–288.

Fig. 1: Map of the sampling sites (1 to 5) in Larvotto beach, Monaco. The inside top-left corner shows the NW Mediterranean Sea map, with the location of Monaco state.

Fig. 2: Temporal variations of benthic *Ostreopsis cf. ovata* abundances (bars, left Y-axis) and sea surface temperatures (dotted line, right Y-axis) in summer (from June 10 to September 2, X axis) in Larvotto (Monaco) from 2007 to 2019.

Fig. 3: Correlation between benthic and planktonic cell abundances of *Ostreopsis cf. ovata* in Larvotto beach (Monaco) considering the 13-year data set.

Fig. 4: Biplot representation of environmental parameters and individuals on the first two axis of the Principal Component Analysis (PCA). Mean spring values (April-May-June) of variables used to create the factorial space (SST, Salinity, Rainfall, South-North and West-East Wind intensity and both nitrate and nitrite surface concentrations) are represented by solid arrows. The grey/black gradient of the arrows represents the Cos^2 of the variables. Variables associated with phenological features (Bloom Starting Day, Bloom Duration, Maximum Intensity and Maximum Growth Rate) were added as supplementary variables to the analysis and represented by dashed red arrows.


Fig. 5: Variation of positive net growth rates with sea surface temperatures. Circled dots represent the highest positive growth rate value for each year of the survey. Black line is the loess regression model with 95% confident interval in grey.

Fig. 6: Weekly cumulative sum of SST anomalies in Point B (Villefranche-sur-Mer) corresponding to each year of survey. The spring season (April, May and June) is highlighted in grey.

Fig 7: Correlation between bloom starting day and cumulative sum of SST anomalies: (A) Example of cumulative sum of SST anomalies averaged for June compared to bloom starting days for each year of the survey (2007 excluded). (B) Strength of correlations represented by the Spearman r_s^2 for each averaged month and spring season (*: $p < 0.05$; **: $p < 0.01$).


Table 1: Environmental parameters collected over the spring (April-May-June) period. Bold values represent the mean (\pm Standard Deviation) and values in brackets represent the range between minimal and maximal values recorded.


Table 2: Correlation and Cos^2 values of active and illustrative variables for the first three dimensions of the PCA analysis.





Benthic cell abundance (cells g⁻¹ FW)


Sea surface temperature (°C)













A**B**

Year	SST (°C)	Salinity	Rainfall (ml)	Wind speed (m/s)	Nitrates ($\mu\text{mol.l}^{-1}$)	Nitrites ($\mu\text{mol.l}^{-1}$)
2007	18.8 ± 2.3	37.7 ± 0.2	0.2 ± 1.6	3.1 ± 1.7	0.6 ± 0.8	0.1 ± 0.1
	(14.1 - 21.7)	(37.3 - 38.1)	(0 - 15.0)	(1.4 - 8.5)	(0.2 - 2.7)	(0 - 0.5)
2008	18.0 ± 3.6	37.7 ± 0.3	0.2 ± 0.6	3.4 ± 2.0	0.2 ± 0.1	0.1 ± 0.0
	(13.7 - 25.2)	(37 - 38.1)	(0 - 4.0)	(1.2 - 10.2)	(0.1 - 0.3)	(0.1 - 0.2)
2009	18.5 ± 3.4	37.5 ± 0.3	0.2 ± 0.7	3.3 ± 1.8	0.6 ± 0.4	0.0 ± 0.0
	(14.0 - 23.2)	(36.8 - 37.9)	(0 - 4.4)	(1.2 - 11.6)	(0.1 - 1.4)	(0 - 0.1)
2010	17.7 ± 3.4	37.6 ± 0.2	0.6 ± 2.3	3.1 ± 1.9	0.2 ± 0.2	0.1 ± 0.1
	(13.9 - 23.7)	(37.3 - 37.8)	(0 - 16.0)	(0.8 - 14.3)	(0 - 0.6)	(0 - 0.2)
2011	18.4 ± 3.0	37.7 ± 0.3	0.5 ± 1.6	2.8 ± 1.5	0.3 ± 0.3	0.0 ± 0.0
	(13.6 - 24.2)	(37.1 - 37.9)	(0 - 9.6)	(1.1 - 11.4)	(0.1 - 1.0)	(0 - 0.1)
2012	18.1 ± 3.8	38.0 ± 0.1	1.7 ± 4.9	3.3 ± 2	0.2 ± 0.3	0.1 ± 0.0
	(13.8 - 25.6)	(37.6 - 38.2)	(0 - 24.4)	(0.9 - 9.6)	(0 - 1.0)	(0 - 0.1)
2013	17.5 ± 2.8	37.6 ± 0.3	1.8 ± 5.1	3.3 ± 1.8	0.7 ± 0.4	0.1 ± 0.2
	(13.1 - 22.0)	(37.1 - 38.0)	(0 - 34.2)	(0.9 - 10.6)	(0.2 - 1.5)	(0 - 0.6)
2014	18.0 ± 2.5	37.7 ± 0.2	0.5 ± 1.7	3.1 ± 1.8	0.2 ± 0.1	0.0 ± 0.0
	(14.8 - 23.1)	(37.3 - 37.8)	(0 - 14.4)	(0.7 - 11.3)	(0.1 - 0.4)	(0 - 0.1)
2015	18.8 ± 3.4	37.8 ± 0.1	0.8 ± 3.4	2.8 ± 1.5	0.3 ± 0.2	0.0 ± 0.0
	(14.3 - 24.3)	(37.5 - 38)	(0 - 24.6)	(0.5 - 9.2)	(0.1 - 1.0)	(0 - 0.1)
2016	17.5 ± 2.6	38.0 ± 0.1	0.7 ± 2.4	3.3 ± 1.7	0.4 ± 0.2	0.1 ± 0.1
	(14.1 - 22.8)	(37.9 - 38.1)	(0 - 20.4)	(1.4 - 8.7)	(0.1 - 0.7)	(0 - 0.3)
2017	18.8 ± 3.6	38.0 ± 0.1	ND	ND	0.4 ± 0.1	0.0 ± 0.0
	(14.4 - 24.5)	(37.9 - 38.1)			(0.2 - 0.8)	(0 - 0.1)

2018	18.8 ± 3.7 (13.5 - 24.2)	37.7 ± 0.3 (36.9 - 38.1)	ND	ND	ND	ND
2019	18.1 ± 4.0 (14.0 - 27.2)	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND

Variables		Dimension 1		Dimension 2		Dimension 3	
		Correlation	Cos ²	Correlation	Cos ²	Correlation	Cos ²
Active	SST	-0.85	0.73	-0.10	0.01	0.35	0.13
	Rainfall	0.65	0.42	0.31	0.09	0.63	0.40
	South-North Wind	0.91	0.82	-0.30	0.09	-0.25	0.06
	West-East Wind	0.74	0.55	-0.31	0.09	-0.19	0.04
	Salinity	0.47	0.22	-0.63	0.39	0.53	0.28
	Nitrate	0.18	0.03	0.81	0.65	0.04	0.00
	Nitrite	0.67	0.45	0.56	0.31	0.01	0.00
Illustrative	Bloom starting day	0.71	0.51	-0.02	0.00	-0.12	0.01
	Bloom duration	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.00	0.32	0.10
	Maximum intensity	0.00	0.00	0.40	0.16	-0.28	0.08
	Maximum growth rate	0.39	0.15	-0.01	0.00	-0.30	0.09