

Livelihood profiling and sensitivity of livelihood strategies to land cover dynamics and agricultural variability

T. Berchoux^{1*}, C.W. Hutton², G.R. Watmough³, F.A. Johnson¹, P.M. Atkinson⁴

*Corresponding author: tristan.berchoux@soton.ac.uk



RATIONALE



For rural households, whose livelihoods are mainly based on agriculture, a decrease in the area of land cultivated can have significant consequences on their **livelihood strategies**, thus on their livelihood outcomes. However, it is still unclear **how changes in cultivated area and agricultural productivity influence households' livelihood systems**, including community capitals and households' livelihood strategies. This study investigates **how agricultural unpredictability relates to livelihood systems**.

CASE STUDY



The majority of Odisha's population depend directly or indirectly on agriculture for their livelihoods (60%), which means they are **highly exposed to climate variability**.



FRAMEWORK



This research uses an adapted version of the **Sustainable Livelihood Framework** to characterise livelihood systems.

METHODS



AGRICULTURAL VARIABILITY



LIVELIHOODS


This study combines together earth observation from space, national census and participatory qualitative data into a community-wise **analysis of the relationships between predictability in agricultural production and livelihood capitals**.

LINKS WITH SDG



This approach provides a new lens to inform policies on the **role of livelihood capitals for poverty reduction** and produces new insights for **early warning of crop failures** and for **sustainable development** in rural areas of the Global South.

RESULTS



AGRICULTURAL PREDICTABILITY




SENSITIVITY ASSOCIATION

There is a relationship between the set of livelihood capitals a community has access to and the **level of predictability** of their agricultural production based on climate indicators. It is argued that **climate-crop yield models should integrate frameworks from both climate and social sciences** to take into consideration the **actions of communities on their environment**, which modifies the impact of climate on ecosystems.

ABOUT



If you want to learn more about the **team** and about our research **project**.




Deltaic landforms are formed by a combination of rivers, which make them **very exposed to sea-level rise and floods** from both rivers and oceans. Their low gradient facilitates the spreading of floods across plains. They also are the draining endpoint of 42% of global terrestrial runoff (Ericson et al., 2006).



Rural people in deltas **rely on the environment** for ensuring their food security and their economic incomes (MEA, 2005). However, hydro-meteorological hazards lead to crop and livestock losses, thus they have a **direct negative impact on food security and households' livelihoods** (FAO, 2015).




The projected increase of the average mean temperature is going to modify precipitation patterns. It will lead to a **higher frequency and intensity of hydro-meteorological hazards** such as floods, cyclones and droughts (IPCC, 2013).



Despite the importance of agriculture for livelihoods in developing countries, **there is no clear understanding of the impacts of hazards on livelihood systems**. There is a need for better understanding of the quantitative impacts of hydro-meteorological hazards on the livelihoods of populations affected (FAO, 2015).




FRAMEWORK



Learn more about the Sustainable Livelihood Framework



Click here if you want to know more



The link between climate stress and loss of agricultural production is complex and involves agricultural practices that cannot be tackled without taking into account the socio-economical context. As an example, having access to some resources such as a drainage canal might mitigate the effects of a flood. Thus, it appears necessary to take into account the **mitigating effect of community resources while studying the sensitivity of agriculture to climate variability.**

There is no consensus on the concept of poverty and that most attempts to build poverty indicators are reductive. On the contrary, **livelihood approaches provide an integrated lens** by which to understand relationships between human communities and the environment in the broader context of **rural development.**

It also appears that rural households put in place livelihood activities based on their access to livelihood capitals. Characterising such links is a mandatory step towards a better understanding of rural communities needs, thus to adapt rural development strategies in the light of **achieving the Sustainable Development Goals.**




FRAMEWORK




Households have access to different **assets**; this relationship can be modified by the **institutions, social networks** and **organisations** at both local and national levels.

Assets to which households have access are called **livelihood capitals**, and are transformed into **outcomes** (improved food security, increased well-being) by its members through a different panel of **livelihood activities**.






CASE STUDY



Year	Main climate stress
1999	Severe cyclone
2000	Drought, flood
2001	Flood
2002	Severe drought
2003	Flood
2004	Moisture stress
2005	Moisture stress
2006	Moisture stress, flood
2007	Flood
2008	Flood, moisture stress
2009	Flood, pest attack
2010	Drought, unseasonal rain
2011	Flood, drought
2012	Drought
2013	Sever cyclone
2014	Cyclone, flood




Map of the case study

Evolution of the number of holdings and farm area in the case study

Timetable of the natural hazards that affected the State of Odisha




CASE STUDY



Coastal plains are one of the most vulnerable geographical zones to climate variability and natural hazards. In these regions, the level of poverty is very high, due to the **marginalisation of rural people** and to the **high density of population**. Considering the fact that most rural dwellers rely on agriculture for their livelihoods, land pressure leads to the scarcity of arable land in coastal plains thus farmers intensify their farming systems, which leads to the over-exploitation of the environment ([Dixon et al., 2001](#)).

On the long-term, such dynamics will lead to a decrease in food production and in water availability, negatively affecting human health and livelihoods, because of the **loss of ecosystem services**. Also, this increased **pressure on the environment** will increase exponentially the vulnerability to disasters because of the loss of some ecosystem services ([Rosegrant & Cline, 2003](#)).



We selected the Mahanadi delta located within the state of Odisha in East India as a case study. Odisha is one of the poorest states in India, with a **high prevalence of poverty** and a **great climate vulnerability** with frequent impacts of floods and droughts ([World Bank, 2008](#)). This delta is **highly populated** with a population of 3.88 million people ([Ericson et al., 2006](#)) and more than 70% of the total workforce is employed by the agricultural sector, thus with their **livelihoods relying on the environment** ([Registrar General and Census Commissioner, 2011](#)).



METHODS



2000 → 2015

Surface reflectance
MOD09A1

Spatial resolution: 250m
Temporal resolution: 8 days

Quality check
Cleaning and smoothing

2000 → 2015

WDRVI
 $\alpha = 0.2$

Spatial resolution: 250m
Temporal resolution: 8 days

$$WDRVI = \frac{\alpha \cdot \rho_{NIR} - \rho_{RED}}{\alpha \cdot \rho_{NIR} + \rho_{RED}}$$

$TSP = t_{[max_{WDRVI}; max_{WDRVI}+30]}$

2000 → 2015

$\sum_{TSP} WDRVI$

Spatial resolution: 250m
Temporal resolution: Yearly

Z-scores
Mean/Sum extraction

2000 → 2015

$Z_{\sum_{TSP} WDRVI}$

Spatial resolution: Community
Temporal resolution: Yearly

2000 → 2012

Land Cover
MCD12Q1

Spatial resolution: 500m
Temporal resolution: Yearly

Crop mask

2000 → 2015

Temperature 2m
ECMWF

Spatial resolution: 1km
Temporal resolution: Daily

Inverse Distance
Weighting

2000 → 2015

Temperature 2m
ECMWF

Spatial resolution: 1km
Temporal resolution: Daily

2000 → 2015

$\sum_{TSP} T_{2m}$

Spatial resolution: 1km
Temporal resolution: Yearly

Z-scores
Mean extraction

2000 → 2015

$Z_{\sum_{TSP} T_{2m}}$

Spatial resolution: Community
Temporal resolution: Yearly

2000 → 2015

Rainfall
CHIRPS

Spatial resolution: 1km
Temporal resolution: Daily

$RSP =$

$$t_{[max_{WDRVI}-100; max_{WDRVI}+30]}$$

$\sum_{RSP} R$

Spatial resolution: 1km
Temporal resolution: Yearly

Z-scores
Mean extraction

2000 → 2015



$Z_{\sum_{RSP} R}$

Spatial resolution: Community
Temporal resolution: Yearly





METHODS




The main remote sensing data source is MODIS **surface reflectance** products. They are estimates of the surface reflectance for each one of the two following bands: band 1 (620-670 nm) and band 2 (841-876 nm).

The **Wide Dynamic Range Vegetation Index** developed by [Gitelson \(2004\)](#) preserves a linear relationship with the Leaf Area Index/vegetation fraction ([Guindin-Garcia et al., 2012](#)). [Duncan et al. \(2015\)](#) found that the WDRVI was better than the Enhanced Vegetation Index (EVI) at estimating crop yield over the coastal plains of Odisha.

Z-scores are calculated for each pixel and for each year over the growing season. A positive z-score means that there is a greater amount of vegetation in croplands, while a negative z-score means that the agricultural production is lower than usual.




METHODS





METHODS



The Census of India 2011 is the main source of high resolution data on demographic, social and economic indicators widely available in India.

Indicators were chosen based on an in-depth **participatory fieldwork** conducted in 10 communities and on a systematic review of the livelihood literature.

A **maximum likelihood factor analysis** was conducted to reduce the number of dimensions. Each component extracted represents a set of variables.

Variables	Definition	Source	Type	Discrete variable coding
DEPENDENT VARIABLES				
<i>Cultivators</i>				
	<i>Owned or rented agricultural land and cultivated the land themselves</i>			
Main	Workers engaged in cultivation ≥ 6 months per year	PE	C	
Marginal	Workers engaged in cultivation [3 : 6] months per year	PE	C	
Minor	Workers engaged in cultivation [0 : 3] months per year	PE	C	
<i>Agricultural labourers</i>				
	<i>Engaged in agricultural work on another person's land for money, without right of lease or contract for the land</i>			
Main	Workers engaged in agricultural labour ≥ 6 months/y	PE	C	
Marginal	Workers engaged in agricultural labour [3 : 6] months/y	PE	C	
Minor	Workers engaged in agricultural labour [0 : 3] months/y	PE	C	
<i>Entrepreneurs</i>				
	<i>Any non-farm activity not registered under the Indian Factories Act</i>			
Main	Workers engaged in entrepreneurship ≥ 6 months/y	PE	C	
Marginal	Workers engaged in entrepreneurship [3 : 6] months/y	PE	C	
Minor	Workers engaged in entrepreneurship [0 : 3] months/y	PE	C	
<i>Others</i>				
	<i>Engaged in an economic activity but not classed as one of the three previous categories</i>			
Main	Workers engaged in any other activity ≥ 6 months/y	PE	C	
Marginal	Workers engaged in any other activity [3 : 6] months/y	PE	C	
Minor	Workers engaged in any other activity [0 : 3] months/y	PE	C	
INDEPENDENT VARIABLES				
<i>Natural capital</i>				
	<i>Net area sown and fallow land per household</i>	VA	C	
Potential cultivated area	Ratio of fallow land on potential cultivated land	VA	C	
Pressure on agricultural land	Permanent pastures and other grazing land area	VA	C	
Pasture and grazing	Elevation of agricultural land	RS	C	
Topography	Soil fertility index	SD	C	
Soil quality	Area of land under tree crops per household	VA	C	
Forestry	Forest area per household	VA	C	
Natural forest	Distance to the closest fresh water resource	SD	C	
Fresh water	Annual average precipitation	SD	C	
Rainfall	Average slope	SD	C	
Slope				
<i>Physical capital</i>				
	<i>Road density (weighted according to their quality)</i>	SD	C	
Accessibility	Cost surface to closest market	SD	C	
Access to markets	Availability of water infrastructures in the community	VA	D	
Water	Power supply for agriculture and commercial use	HL	D	0 if not available; 1 if available
Power supply	Means of transportation and agricultural equipment	HL	D	
Productive equipment	Ratio of agricultural irrigated land	VA	C	
Irrigated land				
<i>Human capital</i>				
	<i>Ratio of people who are working over dependent people</i>	PE	C	
Dependency ratio	Average number of men per household	PE	C	
Male adults	Ratio of women ≥ 7 years old who could read and write	PE	C	
Female literacy	Distance to the closest education facilities	VA	D	0 if within the premises; 1 if ≤ 5 km; 2 if [5 : 10]km; 3 if ≥ 10 km
Access to education				
	<i>Distance to the closest health facilities</i>	VA	D	0 if within the premises; 1 if ≤ 5 km; 2 if [5 : 10]km; 3 if ≥ 10 km
Proximity to health facilities				
	<i>Availability and distance to sanitation facilities</i>	VA	D	0 if within the premises; 1 if ≤ 5 km; 2 if [5 : 10]km; 3 if ≥ 10 km
Sanitation				
	<i>Number of water infrastructures per person</i>	VA	C	
Drinking water				
<i>Financial capital</i>				
	<i>Distance to financial facilities</i>	VA	D	0 if within the premises; 1 if ≤ 5 km; 2 if [5 : 10]km; 3 if ≥ 10 km
Banking facilities				
	<i>Ratio of households with access to financial services</i>	HL	C	
Financial services				
	<i>Ownership of electronics (TVs, radios, phones)</i>	HL	C	
Protective assets				
<i>Social capital</i>				
	<i>Ratio of SC and ST (% of total population)</i>	PE	C	
Castes				
	<i>Availability and number of social groups per household</i>	VA	C	
Social groups				
	<i>Availability of communication infrastructures</i>	VA	D	
Communication				
	<i>Ratio of population per unit area</i>	PE	C	
Population density				
	<i>Availability and distance to recreational facilities</i>	VA	D	0 if within the premises; 1 if ≤ 5 km; 2 if [5 : 10]km; 3 if ≥ 10 km
Recreational facilities				

Data source: [PE] Census population enumeration data; [VA] Census community amenities data; [HL] Census house listing data; [SD] Other spatial data; [RS] Satellite remotely sensed data
Data type: [C] Continuous; [D] Discrete




RESULTS






Correlation map of the regression between WDRVI standardised anomalies and standardised anomalies of rainfall and temperature

Density plot of R-squared for the regression [WDRVI ~ rainfall + temperature]







Unpredictability (low R-squared) is aggregated in clusters and seems associated with the **proximity to rivers** and to a **large forest area**. Results been checked upon agricultural shocks (negative breaks in time series representing floods – below) and unpredictability seems related to **flood-prone areas**.






RESULTS






RESULTS




The greater the area of cultivated land is irrigated, the more predictable its agricultural production is




Communities with a high predictability of their agricultural production have seen an increase (or stabilisation) of their proportion of cultivators between 2001 and 2011

Proximity to rivers leads to a greater unpredictability of agricultural production



Unemployment is correlated with communities with low human capital





Unpredictability increases with

Proximity to rivers

Absence of irrigation systems

Unpredictability associated with

Increase in unemployment

Farm exit



Scientific implications

- Interdisciplinary frameworks to characterise the impacts of climate change
- Climate change research to integrate social sciences
- Weather insurance to control for socio-economical variables

Migration and Employment policies
Need to control for unpredictability
Use of livelihood framework for creation of assets

Farm exit and NRLM
Support diversification to reduce vulnerability
Income-generating activities through social capital

Support to rural services
Further irrigation
Access to markets





ABOUT



T. Berchoux

Geography and Environment
University of Southampton (UK)



Corresponding Author:

tristan.berchoux@soton.ac.uk

@TristanBerchoux

F.A. Johnson

Social Statistics and Demography
University of Southampton (UK)



C.W. Hutton

Geography and Environment
GeoData Institute (UK)



G.R. Watmough

Ecoinformatics and Biodiversity
Aarhus University (Denmark)



P.M. Atkinson

Environment Centre
Lancaster University (UK)

DECCMA



DELTA, VULNERABILITY AND CLIMATE CHANGE: MIGRATION AND ADAPTATION

DECCMA's aims are to evaluate the effectiveness of adaptation options -including migration- in deltas in Africa and Asia, and to deliver policy support for sustainable, gender-sensitive adaptation. The project analyses the impacts of climate change and processes of migration across contrasting deltas using survey, participatory research and economic methods. Study sites include the Ganges-Brahmaputra-Meghna delta in Bangladesh and India, Mahanadi delta in India and Volta delta in Ghana.

www.deccma.com

This work was carried out under the Collaborative Adaptation Research Initiative in Africa and Asia (CARIAA), with financial support from the UK Government's Department for International Development (DFID), the International Development Research Centre (IDRC) (Canada) and the UK Economic and Social Research Council [grant number 1501613]. The views expressed in this work are those of the creators and do not necessarily represent those of DFID, IDRC and ESRC or its Board of Governors. Data used in this research come from the Census of India provided by the Office of the Registrar General & Census Commissioner of India.

