

PERIODIC UNFOLDING FOR LATTICE STRUCTURES

Georges Griso, Riccardo Falconi, Julia Orlik

▶ To cite this version:

Georges Griso, Riccardo Falconi, Julia Orlik. PERIODIC UNFOLDING FOR LATTICE STRUCTURES. 2020. hal-03532516

HAL Id: hal-03532516 https://hal.science/hal-03532516

Preprint submitted on 18 Jan 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. 1

PERIODIC UNFOLDING FOR LATTICE STRUCTURES

2

RICCARDO FALCONI^{*}, GEORGES GRISO[†], AND JULIA ORLIK[‡]

Abstract. This paper deals with the periodic unfolding for sequences defined on one dimensional 3 lattices in \mathbb{R}^N . In order to port the known results of the periodic unfolding in \mathbb{R}^N to lattices, the 4 investigation of functions defined as interpolation on lattice nodes play the main role. The asymptotic 56behavior for sequences defined on periodic lattices with information until the first and until the second order derivatives are shown. In the end, a direct application of the results is given by homogenizing 8 a 4th order Dirichlet problem defined on a periodic lattice.

Key words. Periodic Unfolding Method, homogenization, lattice graphs, anisotropic Sobolev 9 10 spaces, thin structures

AMS subject classifications. 31C25, 35B27, 46E35, 49J45 11

1. Introduction. In the present, starting from the results obtained in [8, 14] 12 about the periodic unfolding method for sequences defined on bounded domains in 13 \mathbb{R}^N , we show in detail how to port such results to one-dimensional periodic lattice 14structures, spotting the obstacles we encountered and the tools we came up with to 15overcome them. 16

Given a small parameter ε and a bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ with Lipschitz boundary, 17we consider the periodic paving of Ω made with cells of size ε . In [8, Section 1.4] 18 it is extensively investigated the asymptotic behavior of sequences $\{\phi_{\varepsilon}\}_{\varepsilon}$ uniformly bounded in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and in $W^{2,p}(\Omega)$, while the entirety of [14] is devoted to sequences 20 anisotropically bounded on $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$. In this paper, we first introduce the periodic 21 lattice $\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ as one-dimensional arbitrary grids defined on the ε cells and periodi-22 cally repeated for each cell of Ω . The main idea to port the periodic unfolding results 23 from $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ to $\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon}$ is based on extending the sequences bounded on $\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon}$ by different 24 interpolation on the lattice nodes, applying the unfolding results in \mathbb{R}^N and then re-25stricting the convergences to the lattice itself. Specifically, the Q_1 interpolation on 26 lattice nodes (already introduced in [12, 13]) allows to show the asymptotic behavior 27of sequences uniformly bounded in $W^{1,p}(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon})$ and anisotropically on $W^{1,p}(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon})$, while 28 for sequences bounded in $W^{2,p}(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon})$ some more work is involved due to the lack of 29 mixed derivatives. Starting from different assumption strengths and leading to differ-30 31 ent regularity of the unfolded limit fields, two methods are developed (one involving extensions by a special Q_3 interpolation and another involving the obtained results for sequences bounded in $W^{1,p}(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon})$). The sufficient assumptions on the sequences to 33 ensure weak convergence in the space, as well as the rescaling factors for the unfolding 34 operator for lattices according to the space dimension N and the L^p norm are proved. In the end, a direct application of such lemmas is done by homogenizing via unfolding 36 the fourth order homogeneous Dirichlet problem defined on a lattice structure 37

38

$$\begin{cases} \text{Find } u_{\varepsilon} \in H_0^1(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon}) \cap H^2(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon}) \text{ such that:} \\ \int_{\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon}} A_{\varepsilon} \partial_{\mathbf{s}}^2 u_{\varepsilon} \, \partial_{\mathbf{s}}^2 \phi \, d\mathbf{s} = \int_{\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon}} g_{\varepsilon} \, \partial_{\mathbf{s}} \phi \, d\mathbf{s} + \int_{\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon}} f_{\varepsilon} \, \phi \, d\mathbf{s}, \quad \forall \phi \in H_0^1(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon}) \cap H^2(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon}). \end{cases}$$

1

--1 (-)

^{*}Fraunhofer ITWM, 67663 Kaiserslautern, Germany, falconi@itwm.fhg.de

[†]Sorbonne Université, CNRS, Université de Paris, Laboratoire Jacques-Louis Lions (LJLL), F-75005 Paris, France, griso@ljll.math.upmc.fr

[‡]Fraunhofer ITWM, 67663 Kaiserslautern, Germany, orlik@itwm.fhg.de

The homogenization via unfolding method, an equivalent to the two-scale convergence, 39

40 has been exhaustively explained in [8] and it is a constant reference throughout this

work. The method itself has, among many others, found application in the homog-41

enization for thin periodic structures like periodically perforated shells (see 9) and 42

textiles made of long curved beams (see [10, 11]). About the homogenization in the 43 44

frame of lattice structures one can look, for an instance, into [1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7].

The present provides the main tools concerning the unfolding for lattice structures 45and gives a rigorous base for up-coming papers dealing with thin structures made 46 from lattices. Among them, we would like to cite the homogenization via unfolding 47 for stable lattice structures made of beams (see [12, 13]) and the upcoming unstable 48 case [15], where it is additionally taken into consideration the problem of an aniso-49 50 tropically bounded sequence. More generally, such tools can be applied to many other problems related to partial differential equations on domains involving periodic grids, 51lattices, thin frames and glued fiber structures.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, the standard notation and tools for 53 the classical homogenization via unfolding method in periodic domains $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ are 54 listed. In section 3, we recall the main results concerning the periodic unfolding for sequences defined as Q_1 interpolated on the vertexes of the ε cells paving Ω and 56 bounded uniformly and anisotropically on $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, whose properties will be needed in the next sections. In section 4, we give a rigorous definition of one-dimensional 58 lattice structure $\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon} \subset \Omega$, build the unfolding operator for lattices and give its main properties. In section 5, we show the asymptotic behavior of sequences asymptot-60 ically and uniformly bounded in $W^{1,p}(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon})$. We first do it for functions defined as 61 Q_1 interpolated on lattice nodes, showing that for such sequences, the unfolding for 62 lattices is the mere extension of the functions from $\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon}$ to \mathbb{R}^N by Q_1 interpolation, 63 application of the known results in section 3 and then restriction of the convergences 64 to the lattice itself. Later, we extend the results to Sobolev spaces by first decom-65 posing them into Q_1 interpolated part and reminder term. In section 6, we show the 66 asymptotic behavior of sequences asymptotically and uniformly bounded in $W^{2,p}(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon})$. 67 The nature of a sequence bounded on a lattice leads to the lack of mixed derivatives, 68 since the derivation only makes sense in the lattice directions. To overcome such deficiency, two approach are considered, one by a procedure analogous to section 5 70 but with a decomposition on Q_3 interpolation on lattice nodes and reminder term, 71 and one by using twice (on the sequence and on the sequence gradient) the proved 72 for functions bounded in $W^{1,p}(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon})$. At last, in section 7 we consider the fourth order 73 Dirichlet problem shown above. Using the results in the previous sections, existence 74 and uniqueness of the limit problem are shown and through the homogenization via 75unfolding, the cell problems and the macroscopic limit problem are found. 76

2. Preliminaries and notation. Let \mathbb{R}^N be the euclidean space with usual basis $(\mathbf{e}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_N)$ and $Y = (0, 1)^N$ the open unit parallelotope associated with this basis. For a.e. $z \in \mathbb{R}^N$, we set the unique decomposition $z = [z]_Y + \{z\}_Y$ such that

$$[z]_Y \doteq \sum_{i=1}^N k_i \mathbf{e}_i, \ k = (k_1, \dots, k_N) \in \mathbb{Z}^N \text{ and } \{z\}_Y \doteq z - [z]_Y \in Y$$

Let $\{\varepsilon\}$ be a sequence of strictly positive parameters going to 0. We scale our paving by ε writing 78

79 (2.1)
$$x = \varepsilon \left[\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right]_Y + \varepsilon \left\{\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right\}_Y \text{ for a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

80 Let now Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N with Lipschitz boundary. We consider

81
$$\Xi_{\varepsilon} \doteq \left\{ \xi \in \mathbb{Z}^N \mid \varepsilon(\xi + Y) \subset \Omega \right\}$$

82 and set

83 (2.2)
$$\widehat{\Omega}_{\varepsilon} \doteq \operatorname{int} \Big\{ \bigcup_{\xi \in \Xi_{\varepsilon}} \varepsilon(\xi + \overline{Y}) \Big\}, \qquad \Lambda_{\varepsilon} \doteq \Omega \setminus \widehat{\Omega}_{\varepsilon}.$$

⁸⁴ We recall the definitions of classical unfolding operator and mean value operator.

DEFINITION 2.1. (see [8, Definition 1.2]) For every measurable function ϕ on Ω , the unfolding operator $\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}$ is defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}(\phi) \doteq \begin{cases} \phi \left(\varepsilon \left[\frac{x}{\varepsilon} \right]_{Y} + \varepsilon y \right) & \text{for a.e. } (x, y) \in \widehat{\Omega}_{\varepsilon} \times Y, \\ 0 & \text{for a.e. } (x, y) \in \Lambda_{\varepsilon} \times Y. \end{cases}$$

Note that such an operator acts on functions defined in Ω by operating on their restriction to $\widehat{\Omega}_{\varepsilon}$.

DEFINITION 2.2. (see [8, Definition 1.10]) For every measurable function $\hat{\phi}$ on $L^1(\Omega \times Y)$, the mean value operator \mathcal{M}_Y is defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{M}_{Y}(\widehat{\phi})(x) \doteq \frac{1}{|Y|} \int_{Y} \widehat{\phi}(x, y) dy, \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \Omega$$

Let $p \in [1, +\infty]$. From [8, Propositions 1.8 and 1.11], we recall the properties of these operators:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}(\phi)\|_{L^{p}(\Omega\times Y)} &\leq |Y|^{\frac{1}{p}} \|\phi\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)} \quad \text{for every } \phi \in L^{p}(\Omega), \\ \|\mathcal{M}_{Y}(\widehat{\phi})\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)} &\leq |Y|^{-\frac{1}{p}} \|\widehat{\phi}\|_{L^{p}(\Omega\times Y)} \quad \text{for every } \widehat{\phi} \in L^{p}(\Omega\times Y) \end{aligned}$$

Since we will deal with Sobolev spaces, we give hereafter some definitions:

$$W_{per,0}^{1,p}(Y) \doteq \left\{ \phi \in W^{1,p}(Y) \mid \phi \text{ is periodic with respect to } y_i, i \in \{1, \dots, N\} \right\},$$
$$W_{per,0}^{1,p}(Y) \doteq \left\{ \phi \in W_{per}^{1,p}(Y) \mid \mathcal{M}_Y(\phi) = 0 \right\},$$
$$L^p(\Omega; W^{1,p}(Y)) \doteq \left\{ \phi \in L^p(\Omega \times Y) \mid \nabla_y \phi \in L^p(\Omega \times Y)^N \right\}.$$

Now, let (N_1, N_2) be in $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}^*$ such that $N = N_1 + N_2$. We split the space by setting

91
$$\mathbb{R}^{N_1} = \Big\{ x' \in \mathbb{R}^N \ \Big| \ x' = \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} x_i \mathbf{e}_i, \ x_i \in \mathbb{R} \Big\},$$

92
$$\mathbb{R}^{N_2} = \left\{ x'' \in \mathbb{R}^N \mid x'' = \sum_{i=N_1+1}^N x_i \mathbf{e}_i, \ x_i \in \mathbb{R} \right\},$$

93
$$Y' = \left\{ y' \in \mathbb{R}^N \mid y' = \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} y_i \mathbf{e}_i, \ y_i \in (0,1) \right\},$$

94
95
$$Y'' = \left\{ y'' \in \mathbb{R}^N \mid y'' = \sum_{i=N_1+1}^N y_i \mathbf{e}_i, \ y_i \in (0,1) \right\}$$

This manuscript is for review purposes only.

and

$$\mathbb{Z}^{N_1} = \mathbb{Z}\mathbf{e}_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathbb{Z}\mathbf{e}_{N_1}, \qquad \mathbb{Z}^{N_2} = \mathbb{Z}\mathbf{e}_{N_1+1} \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathbb{Z}\mathbf{e}_N.$$

One has

$$\mathbb{R}^N = \mathbb{R}^{N_1} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{N_2}, \qquad Y = Y' \oplus Y'', \qquad \mathbb{Z}^N = \mathbb{Z}^{N_1} \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{N_2}.$$

For every $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $y \in Y$, we write

$$x = x' + x'' \in \mathbb{R}^{N_1} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{N_2}, \qquad y = y' + y'' \in Y' \oplus Y''.$$

From now on, however, we find easier to refer to such partition with the vectorial notation $% \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) = 0$

$$x = (x', x'') \in \mathbb{R}^{N_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{N_2}, \qquad y = (y', y'') \in Y' \times Y''.$$

Similarly to (2.1), we apply the paving to a.e. $x' \in \mathbb{R}^{N_1}$ and $x'' \in \mathbb{R}^{N_2}$ setting

$$\begin{aligned} x' &= \varepsilon \Big[\frac{x'}{\varepsilon} \Big]_{Y'} + \varepsilon \Big\{ \frac{x'}{\varepsilon} \Big\}_{Y'}, \quad \text{with} \quad \Big[\frac{x'}{\varepsilon} \Big]_{Y'} \in \mathbb{Z}^{N_1}, \quad \Big\{ \frac{x'}{\varepsilon} \Big\}_{Y'} \in Y', \\ x'' &= \varepsilon \Big[\frac{x''}{\varepsilon} \Big]_{Y''} + \varepsilon \Big\{ \frac{x''}{\varepsilon} \Big\}_{Y''}, \quad \text{with} \quad \Big[\frac{x''}{\varepsilon} \Big]_{Y''} \in \mathbb{Z}^{N_2}, \quad \Big\{ \frac{x''}{\varepsilon} \Big\}_{Y''} \in Y''. \end{aligned}$$

96

DEFINITION 2.3. For every $\hat{\phi} \in L^1(\Omega \times Y)$, the partial mean value operators are defined as follows:

99
$$\mathcal{M}_{Y'}(\widehat{\phi})(x,y'') \doteq \frac{1}{|Y'|} \int_{Y'} \widehat{\phi}(x,y',y'') dy', \qquad \text{for a.e. } (x,y'') \in \Omega \times Y'',$$
100
101
$$\mathcal{M}_{Y''}(\widehat{\phi})(x,y') \doteq \frac{1}{|Y''|} \int_{Y''} \widehat{\phi}(x,y',y'') dy'', \qquad \text{for a.e. } (x,y') \in \Omega \times Y'.$$

102 Denote

$$\begin{split} L^p(\Omega, \nabla_{x'}) &\doteq \left\{ \phi \in L^p(\Omega) \mid \nabla_{x'} \phi \in L^p(\Omega)^{N_1} \right\}, \\ L^p(\Omega, \nabla_{x''}) &\doteq \left\{ \phi \in L^p(\Omega) \mid \nabla_{x''} \phi \in L^p(\Omega)^{N_2} \right\}, \\ L^p(\Omega, \nabla_{x'}; W^{1,p}(Y'')) &\doteq \left\{ \widetilde{\phi} \in L^p(\Omega \times Y'') \mid \nabla_{x'} \widetilde{\phi} \in L^p(\Omega \times Y'')^{N_1}, \\ \nabla_{y''} \widetilde{\phi} \in L^p(\Omega \times Y'')^{N_2} \right\}, \\ L^p(\Omega, \nabla_{x''}; W^{1,p}(Y')) &\doteq \left\{ \widetilde{\phi} \in L^p(\Omega \times Y') \mid \nabla_{x''} \widetilde{\phi} \in L^p(\Omega \times Y')^{N_2}, \\ \nabla_{y'} \widetilde{\phi} \in L^p(\Omega \times Y')^{N_1} \right\}, \\ L^p(\Omega \times Y''; W^{1,p}(Y')) &\doteq \left\{ \widetilde{\phi} \in L^p(\Omega \times Y) \mid \nabla_{y'} \widetilde{\phi} \in L^p(\Omega \times Y)^{N_1} \right\}, \\ L^p(\Omega \times Y'; W^{1,p}(Y')) &\doteq \left\{ \widetilde{\phi} \in L^p(\Omega \times Y) \mid \nabla_{y''} \widetilde{\phi} \in L^p(\Omega \times Y)^{N_1} \right\}, \end{split}$$

103

104 $\,$ We endow these spaces with the respective norms:

 $\| \cdot \|_{L^{p}(\Omega,\nabla_{x'})} \doteq \| \cdot \|_{L^{p}(\Omega)} + \| \nabla_{x'}(\cdot) \|_{L^{p}(\Omega)^{N_{1}}},$ $\| \cdot \|_{L^{p}(\Omega,\nabla_{x''})} \doteq \| \cdot \|_{L^{p}(\Omega)} + \| \nabla_{x''}(\cdot) \|_{L^{p}(\Omega)^{N_{2}}},$

$$\| \cdot \|_{L^{p}(\Omega, \nabla_{x'}; W^{1, p}(Y''))} \doteq \| \cdot \|_{L^{p}(\Omega \times Y'')} + \| \nabla_{x'}(\cdot) \|_{L^{p}(\Omega \times Y'')^{N_{1}}} + \| \nabla_{y''}(\cdot) \|_{L^{p}(\Omega \times Y'')^{N_{2}}},$$

$$\|\cdot\|_{L^{p}(\Omega,\nabla_{x''};W^{1,p}(Y'))} \doteq \|\cdot\|_{L^{p}(\Omega\times Y')} + \|\nabla_{x''}(\cdot)\|_{L^{p}(\Omega\times Y')^{N_{2}}} + \|\nabla_{y'}(\cdot)\|_{L^{p}(\Omega\times Y')^{N_{1}}},$$

 $\|\cdot\|_{L^p(\Omega\times Y^{\prime\prime};W^{1,p}(Y^\prime))}\doteq\|\cdot\|_{L^p(\Omega\times Y)}+\|\nabla_{y^\prime}(\cdot)\|_{L^p(\Omega\times Y)^{N_1}},$

 $\| \cdot \|_{L^{p}(\Omega \times Y'; W^{1,p}(Y''))} \doteq \| \cdot \|_{L^{p}(\Omega \times Y)} + \| \nabla_{y''}(\cdot) \|_{L^{p}(\Omega \times Y)^{N_{2}}}.$

3. Periodic unfolding in \mathbb{R}^N for sequences defined as Q_1 interpolates. The periodic unfolding for this class of functions has two main advantages. The first is that less hypothesis are required for the sequences to ensure weak convergence. The second is that the convergences can be restricted to subspaces with lower dimension and it will be fundamental in the next sections, where lattice structures are taken into

- 111 account.
- 112 Define the spaces
- 113 $Q^1(Y) \doteq \{\phi \in W^{1,\infty}(Y) \mid \phi \text{ is the } Q_1 \text{ interpolation of its values on the vertexes of } \overline{Y} \},$ 114 $Q^1_{\text{ner}}(Y) \doteq \{\phi \in Q^1(Y) \mid \phi \text{ is periodic with respect to } y_i, i \in \{1, \dots, N\} \},$

114
$$Q_{per}(I) = \{ \phi \in Q \ (I) \mid \phi \text{ is periodic with respect to } y_i, i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$$

$$\underset{115}{115} \quad Q_{per,0}^1(Y) \doteq \big\{ \phi \in Q_{per}^1(Y) \mid \mathcal{M}_Y(\phi) = 0 \big\}.$$

117 Denote

118 (3.1)
$$\widetilde{\Omega}_{\varepsilon} \doteq \operatorname{int} \Big\{ \bigcup_{\xi \in \widetilde{\Xi}_{\varepsilon}} \varepsilon(\xi + \overline{Y}) \Big\}, \qquad \widetilde{\Xi}_{\varepsilon} \doteq \Big\{ \xi \in \mathbb{Z}^N \ \Big| \ \varepsilon(\xi + Y) \cap \Omega \neq \emptyset \Big\}.$$

Note that the covering $\widetilde{\Omega}_{\varepsilon}$ is now a connected open set and from (2.2) we have

$$\widehat{\Omega}_{\varepsilon} \subset \Omega \subset \widetilde{\Omega}_{\varepsilon}.$$

Hence, we need to extend the definition of the classical unfolding operator (2.1) to functions defined in the following neighborhood of Ω :

$$\left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^N \mid \operatorname{dist}(x, \Omega) < \varepsilon \operatorname{diam}(Y) \right\}.$$

119

120 DEFINITION 3.1. For every measurable function ϕ on $\widetilde{\Omega}_{\varepsilon}$, the unfolding operator 121 $\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{ext}$ is defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{T}^{ext}_{\varepsilon}(\phi) \doteq \phi\left(\varepsilon \left\lfloor \frac{x}{\varepsilon} \right\rfloor_{Y} + \varepsilon y\right) \quad for \ a.e. \ (x,y) \in \widetilde{\Omega}_{\varepsilon} \times Y.$$

Every measurable function defined in Ω can be extend to $\widetilde{\Omega}_{\varepsilon}$ by setting it to 0 in $\widetilde{\Omega}_{\varepsilon} \cap (\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \overline{\Omega})$. Now, assume $\{\Phi_{\varepsilon}\}_{\varepsilon}$ to be a sequence uniformly bounded in $L^p(\widetilde{\Omega}_{\varepsilon})$, $p \in (1, +\infty)$. Then, the sequence $\{\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{ext}(\Phi_{\varepsilon})\}_{\varepsilon}$ is uniformly bounded in $L^p(\widetilde{\Omega}_{\varepsilon} \times Y)$ and thus in $L^p(\Omega \times Y)$. Hence, there exists a subsequence of $\{\varepsilon\}$, still denoted $\{\varepsilon\}$, and $\widehat{\Phi} \in L^p(\Omega \times Y)$ such that

$$\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{ext}(\Phi_{\varepsilon})_{|\Omega \times Y} \rightharpoonup \widehat{\Phi} \quad \text{weakly in} \quad L^{p}(\Omega \times Y).$$

For simplicity, we will omit the restriction and always write the above convergence as

$$\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{ext}(\Phi_{\varepsilon}) \rightharpoonup \widehat{\Phi}$$
 weakly in $L^{p}(\Omega \times Y)$.

- 123 In this sense, all the results obtained in [8, 14] are easily transposed to this operator.
- 124 Define the space of Q_1 interpolated functions on $\hat{\Omega}_{\varepsilon}$ by

¹²⁵
¹²⁶

$$Q_{\varepsilon}^{1}(\widetilde{\Omega}_{\varepsilon}) \doteq \left\{ \Phi \in W^{1,\infty}(\widetilde{\Omega}_{\varepsilon}) \mid \Phi_{|\varepsilon\xi+\varepsilon Y} \in Q^{1}(\varepsilon\xi+\varepsilon Y) \text{ for every } \xi \in \widetilde{\Xi}_{\varepsilon} \right\}.$$

- Due to the Q_1 interpolation character, for every function $\Phi \in Q^1_{\varepsilon}(\widetilde{\Omega}_{\varepsilon})$ we remind that 127
- there exist a constant depending only on p such that 128

129 (3.2)
$$\|\nabla\Phi\|_{L^p(\widetilde{\Omega}_{\varepsilon})} \le \frac{C}{\varepsilon} \|\Phi\|_{L^p(\widetilde{\Omega}_{\varepsilon})}$$

We have the following. 130

LEMMA 3.2. Let $\{\Phi_{\varepsilon}\}_{\varepsilon}$ be a sequence in $Q^1_{\varepsilon}(\widetilde{\Omega}_{\varepsilon})$ that satisfies

$$\|\Phi_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{p}(\widetilde{\Omega}_{\varepsilon})} + \|\nabla_{x'}\Phi_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{p}(\widetilde{\Omega}_{\varepsilon})} \le C,$$

- where the constant does not depend on ε . 131
- Then, there exist a subsequence of $\{\varepsilon\}$, denoted $\{\varepsilon\}$, and $\widetilde{\Phi} \in L^p(\Omega, \nabla_{x'}; Q^1_{per}(Y''))$, 132

 $\widehat{\Phi} \in L^p(\Omega \times Y''; Q^1_{per}(Y')) \cap L^p(\Omega; Q^1(Y)), \text{ satisfying } \mathcal{M}_{Y'}(\widehat{\Phi}) = 0 \text{ a.e. in } \Omega \times Y'',$ 133 134such that

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{\varepsilon|_{\Omega}} & \rightharpoonup \Phi \quad weakly \ in \quad L^{p}(\Omega, \nabla_{x'}), \\ \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{ext}(\Phi_{\varepsilon}) & \rightharpoonup \widetilde{\Phi} \quad weakly \ in \quad L^{p}(\Omega; Q^{1}(Y)), \\ \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{ext}(\nabla_{x'}\Phi_{\varepsilon}) & \rightharpoonup \nabla_{x'}\widetilde{\Phi} + \nabla_{y'}\widehat{\Phi} \quad weakly \ in \quad L^{p}(\Omega \times Y)^{N_{1}}, \\ & \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \big(\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{ext}(\Phi_{\varepsilon}) - \mathcal{M}_{Y'} \circ \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{ext}(\Phi_{\varepsilon})\big) & \rightharpoonup \nabla_{x'}\widetilde{\Phi} \cdot y'^{c} + \widehat{\Phi} \quad weakly \ in \quad L^{p}(\Omega \times Y), \end{aligned}$$

- where $\Phi = \mathcal{M}_{Y''}(\widetilde{\Phi})$ and $y'^c \doteq y' \mathcal{M}_{Y'}(y')$. 136
- The same results hold for $p = +\infty$ with weak topology replaced by weak-* topology in 137138the corresponding spaces.

Proof. First, since the sequence $\{\Phi_{\varepsilon}\}_{\varepsilon}$ belongs to $Q_{\varepsilon}^{1}(\widetilde{\Omega}_{\varepsilon})$ we get (see (3.2))

$$\|\Phi_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{p}(\widetilde{\Omega}_{\varepsilon})} + \|\nabla_{x'}\Phi_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{p}(\widetilde{\Omega}_{\varepsilon})} + \varepsilon\|\nabla_{x''}\Phi_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{p}(\widetilde{\Omega}_{\varepsilon})} \le C$$

Π

- The constant does not depend on ε . The statement follows by [14, Lemma 4.3] and 139
- the fact that $\{\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{ext}(\Phi_{\varepsilon})\}_{\varepsilon} \subset L^{p}(\widetilde{\Omega}_{\varepsilon};Q^{1}(Y)).$ 140
- As a direct consequence, we have the following corollary. 141

COROLLARY 3.3. Let $\{\Phi_{\varepsilon}\}_{\varepsilon}$ be a sequence in $Q^1_{\varepsilon}(\widetilde{\Omega}_{\varepsilon})$ satisfying

$$\|\Phi_{\varepsilon}\|_{W^{1,p}(\widetilde{\Omega}_{\varepsilon})} \le C,$$

- where the constant does not depend on ε . 142
- Then, there exist a subsequence of $\{\varepsilon\}$, denoted $\{\varepsilon\}$, and functions $\Phi \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, 143
- $\widehat{\Phi} \in L^p(\Omega; Q^1_{per,0}(Y))$ such that 144

$$\begin{split} \Phi_{\varepsilon|\Omega} & \rightharpoonup \Phi \quad weakly \ in \quad W^{1,p}(\Omega), \\ \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{ext}(\Phi_{\varepsilon}) & \rightharpoonup \Phi \quad weakly \ in \quad L^{p}(\Omega), \\ \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{ext}(\nabla\Phi_{\varepsilon}) & \rightharpoonup \nabla\Phi + \nabla_{y}\widehat{\Phi} \quad weakl) \end{split}$$

145

$$\begin{split} &\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{ext}(\Phi_{\varepsilon}) \rightharpoonup \Phi \quad weakly \ in \quad L^{p}(\Omega; Q^{1}(Y)), \\ &\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{ext}(\nabla\Phi_{\varepsilon}) \rightharpoonup \nabla\Phi + \nabla_{y}\widehat{\Phi} \quad weakly \ in \quad L^{p}(\Omega \times Y)^{N}, \\ &\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \big(\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{ext}(\Phi_{\varepsilon}) - \mathcal{M}_{Y} \circ \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{ext}(\Phi_{\varepsilon})\big) \rightharpoonup \nabla\Phi \cdot y'^{c} + \widehat{\Phi} \quad weakly \ in \quad L^{p}(\Omega \times Y) \end{split}$$

where $y'^c \doteq y' - \mathcal{M}_{Y'}(y')$. 146

The same results hold for $p = +\infty$ with weak topology replaced by weak-* topology in 147the corresponding spaces. 148

Proof. The proof directly follow from Lemma 3.2 in the particular case $N_1 = N$ 149and $N_2 = 0$. As an equivalent proof, the statement follows by [8, Corollary 1.37 and 150Theorem 1.41] and the fact that $\{\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{ext}(\Phi_{\varepsilon})\}_{\varepsilon} \in L^{p}(\widetilde{\Omega}_{\varepsilon}; Q^{1}(Y)).$ 151

4. The periodic lattice structure. We start by giving a rigorous definition of 1-dimensional periodic lattice structure in \mathbb{R}^N . Let $i \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$ and let $K_1, \ldots, K_N \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Set

$$\mathbf{K} \doteq \prod_{i=1}^{N} \{0, \dots, K_i\} \subset \mathbb{N}^N, \quad \mathbf{K}_i \doteq \{k \in \mathbf{K} \mid k_i = 0\},$$
$$\widehat{\mathbf{K}} \doteq \prod_{i=1}^{N} \{0, \dots, K_i - 1\}, \qquad \widehat{\mathbf{K}}_i \doteq \{k \in \widehat{\mathbf{K}} \mid k_i = 0\}.$$

We denote \mathcal{K} the set of points in the closure of the unit cell \overline{Y} by

$$\mathcal{K} \doteq \left\{ A(k) \in \mathbb{R}^N \mid A(k) = \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{k_i}{K_i} \mathbf{e}_i, \quad k \in \mathbf{K} \right\} \subset \overline{Y}.$$

In this sense, the whole unit cell \overline{Y} has the following split

$$\overline{Y} = \sum_{k \in \widehat{\mathbf{K}}} A(k) + \overline{Y}_K,$$

where Y_K is the cell defined by

$$Y_K \doteq \prod_{i=1}^N (0, l_i), \quad l_i = \frac{1}{K_i}.$$

We denote $\mathcal{S}^{(i)}$ the set of all segments whose direction is \mathbf{e}_i by

$$\mathcal{S}_{c}^{(i)} \doteq \bigcup_{k \in \mathbf{K}_{i}} \left[A(k), A(k) + \mathbf{e}_{i} \right], \qquad \mathcal{S}^{(i)} \doteq \bigcup_{k \in \widehat{\mathbf{K}}_{i}} \left[A(k), A(k) + \mathbf{e}_{i} \right]$$

152 Hence, the lattice structure in the unit cell \overline{Y} is defined by

153

155

$$\mathcal{S}_c \doteq \bigcup_{i=1}^N \mathcal{S}_c^{(i)} \subset \overline{Y}, \qquad \mathcal{S} \doteq \bigcup_{i=1}^N \mathcal{S}^{(i)} \subset \overline{Y}.$$

Given $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, we cover it as in (3.1) by a union of ε cells. The periodic lattice structure is therefore defined by

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon} &\doteq \bigcup_{\xi \in \widetilde{\Xi}_{\varepsilon}} \left(\varepsilon \xi + \varepsilon \mathcal{S}_{c} \right) \subset \widetilde{\Omega}_{\varepsilon}, \qquad \mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon} \doteq \bigcup_{\xi \in \widetilde{\Xi}_{\varepsilon}} \left(\varepsilon \xi + \varepsilon \mathcal{K} \right), \\ \mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon}^{(i)} &\doteq \bigcup_{\xi \in \widetilde{\Xi}_{\varepsilon}} \left(\varepsilon \xi + \varepsilon \mathcal{S}_{c}^{(i)} \right). \end{split}$$

154 Denote **S** the running point of S and **s** that of S_{ε} . That gives ($i \in \{1, ..., N\}$)

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{S} &= A(k) + t \mathbf{e}_i \quad \text{in } \mathcal{S}^{(i)}, \, t \in [0,1], \, k \in \widehat{\mathbf{K}}_i, \\ \mathbf{s} &= \varepsilon \xi + \varepsilon A(k) + \varepsilon t \mathbf{e}_i \quad \text{in } \mathcal{S}^{(i)}_{\varepsilon}, \, t \in [0,1], \, k \in \widehat{\mathbf{K}}_i, \, \xi \in \widetilde{\Xi}_{\varepsilon} \end{split}$$

8

156 Let
$$\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{S})$$
 and $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon})$ be the spaces of continuous functions defined on \mathcal{S} and $\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon}$ respec-
157 tively. For $p \in [1, +\infty]$, we denote the spaces of functions defined on the lattice by
158 $(i \in \{1, \dots, N\})$
 $W^{1,p}(\mathcal{S}^{(i)}) \doteq \{\phi \in L^p(\mathcal{S}^{(i)}) \mid \partial_{\mathbf{S}}\phi \in L^p(\mathcal{S}^{(i)})\},$

158

161

$$W^{1,p}(\mathcal{S}^{(i)}) = \{ \phi \in L^p(\mathcal{S}^{(i)}) \mid \partial_{\mathbf{S}} \phi \in L^p(\mathcal{S}^{(i)}) \}$$
$$W^{1,p}(\mathcal{S}^{(i)}_{\varepsilon}) \doteq \{ \phi \in L^p(\mathcal{S}^{(i)}_{\varepsilon}) \mid \partial_{\mathbf{S}} \phi \in L^p(\mathcal{S}^{(i)}_{\varepsilon}) \},$$
$$W^{1,p}(\mathcal{S}) \doteq \{ \phi \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{S}) \mid \partial_{\mathbf{S}} \phi \in L^p(\mathcal{S}) \},$$
$$W^{1,p}(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon}) \doteq \{ \phi \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon}) \mid \partial_{\mathbf{S}} \phi \in L^p(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon}) \}$$

and for $k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ 160

$$\begin{split} W^{k,p}(\mathcal{S}^{(i)}) &\doteq \left\{ \phi \in W^{k-1,p}(\mathcal{S}^{(i)}) \mid \partial_{\mathbf{S}} \phi \in W^{k-1,p}(\mathcal{S}^{(i)}) \right\}, \\ W^{k,p}(\mathcal{S}^{(i)}_{\varepsilon}) &\doteq \left\{ \phi \in W^{k-1,p}(\mathcal{S}^{(i)}_{\varepsilon}) \mid \partial_{\mathbf{s}} \phi \in W^{k-1,p}(\mathcal{S}^{(i)}_{\varepsilon}) \right\}, \\ W^{k,p}(\mathcal{S}) &\doteq \left\{ \phi \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{S}) \mid \partial_{\mathbf{S}} \phi_{|\mathcal{S}^{(j)}_{\varepsilon}|} \in W^{k-1,p}(\mathcal{S}^{(j)}), \ j \in \{1, \dots, N\} \right\}, \\ W^{k,p}(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon}) &\doteq \left\{ \phi \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon}) \mid \partial_{\mathbf{s}} \phi_{|\mathcal{S}^{(j)}_{\varepsilon}|} \in W^{k-1,p}(\mathcal{S}^{(j)}_{\varepsilon}), \ j \in \{1, \dots, N\} \right\}. \end{split}$$

4.1. The unfolding operator for periodic lattices. We are now in the po-162sition to define an equivalent formulation of the unfolding operator and mean value 163operator (see Definition 2.1 and 2.2) for lattice structures. 164

DEFINITION 4.1. For every measurable function ϕ on S_{ε} , the unfolding operator $\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}$ is defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\phi)(x,\mathbf{S}) = \phi\left(\varepsilon\left[\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right] + \varepsilon\mathbf{S}\right) \quad for \ a.e. \ (x,\mathbf{S}) \in \widetilde{\Omega}_{\varepsilon} \times \mathcal{S}.$$

For every function $\widehat{\phi}$ on $L^1(\mathcal{S}^{(i)})$, $i \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$, the mean value operator $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{S}^{(i)}}$ on direction \mathbf{e}_i is defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{S}^{(i)}}(\widehat{\phi})(\mathbf{S}) \doteq \int_{A(k)}^{A(k)+\mathbf{e}_i} \widehat{\phi}(x, \mathbf{S}') d\mathbf{S}', \qquad \forall \mathbf{S} \in [A(k), A(k)+\mathbf{e}_i], \quad \forall k \in \widehat{\mathbf{K}}_i.$$

Observe that in the above definition of $\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}$, the map $(x, \mathbf{S}) \mapsto \varepsilon \left[\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right] + \varepsilon \mathbf{S}$ from $\widetilde{\Omega}_{\varepsilon} \times \mathcal{S}$ into $\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon}$ is almost everywhere one to one. This is not the case if we replace \mathcal{S} by \mathcal{S}_{c} . 165166 Below, we give the main property of $\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}$. 167

PROPOSITION 4.2. For every $\phi \in L^p(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon}), \ p \in [1, +\infty]$, one has 168

169
$$\|\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\phi)\|_{L^{p}(\widetilde{\Omega}_{\varepsilon}\times\mathcal{S})} \leq \varepsilon^{\frac{N-1}{p}} |Y|^{\frac{1}{p}} \|\phi\|_{L^{p}(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon})}$$

Proof. We start with p = 1. Let ϕ be in $L^1(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon})$. We have 170

171
$$\int_{\widetilde{\Omega}_{\varepsilon}\times\mathcal{S}} |\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\phi)(x,\mathbf{S})| dx d\mathbf{S} = \int_{\widetilde{\Omega}_{\varepsilon}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\mathcal{S}^{(i)}} |\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\phi)(x,\mathbf{S})| dx d\mathbf{S}$$
172
$$-\sum_{i=1}^{N} |\varepsilon_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}} + \varepsilon_{i}^{\mathcal{S}} |\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \int_{-\infty}^{1} |\phi(\varepsilon_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}} + \varepsilon_{j}^{\mathcal{S}})| dx d\mathbf{S}$$

172
$$= \sum_{\xi = \widetilde{\Xi}_{\varepsilon}} |\varepsilon\xi + \varepsilon Y| \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{k \in \widehat{\mathbf{K}}_{i}} \int_{0}^{1} |\phi(\varepsilon\xi + \varepsilon A(k) + \varepsilon t)| dt$$

173
$$= \varepsilon^{N} |Y| \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{k \in \widehat{\mathbf{K}}_{i}} \int_{0}^{1} |\phi(\varepsilon\xi + \varepsilon A(k) + \varepsilon t)| dt$$

174
175
$$\leq \varepsilon^{N-1} |Y| \int_{\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon}} |\phi(\mathbf{s})| d\mathbf{s}.$$

This manuscript is for review purposes only.

176 The case $p \in (1, +\infty)$ follows by definition of L^p norm. The case $p = +\infty$ is trivial.

5. Periodic unfolding for sequences defined on lattices with information
on the first order derivatives.

5.1. Asymptotic behavior of bounded sequences defined as Q_1 interpolated on lattice nodes. On S_{ε} (resp. S) we define the space $Q^1(S_{\varepsilon})$ (resp. $Q^1(S)$) by

$$Q^{1}(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon}) \doteq \left\{ \phi \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon}) \middle| \phi \text{ is affine between two contiguous points of } \mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon} \right\}$$

(resp. $Q^{1}(\mathcal{S}) \doteq \left\{ \phi \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{S}) \middle| \phi \text{ is affine between two contiguous points of } \mathcal{K} \right\}$).

179 Similarly we define the spaces $Q^1(\mathcal{S}'_{\varepsilon})$, $Q^1(\mathcal{S}'')$ and $Q^1(\mathcal{S}')$, $Q^1(\mathcal{S}'')$, $Q^1_{per}(\mathcal{S})$, $Q^1_{per}(\mathcal{S}')$, 180 $Q^1_{per}(\mathcal{S}'')$ (see (5.5)).

181 A function belonging to $Q^1(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon})$ is determined only by its values on the set of 182 nodes $\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}$ and thus can be naturally extended to a function defined in $\widetilde{\Omega}_{\varepsilon}$.

183 DEFINITION 5.1. For every function $\psi \in Q^1(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon})$, its extension $\mathfrak{Q}_{\varepsilon}(\psi)$ belonging 184 to $W^{1,\infty}(\widetilde{\Omega}_{\varepsilon})$ is defined by Q_1 interpolation on each parallelotope $\varepsilon \xi + \varepsilon A(k) + \varepsilon \overline{Y_K}$ 185 belonging to $\varepsilon \xi + \varepsilon \overline{Y}$ for every $\xi \in \widetilde{\Xi}_{\varepsilon}$ and $k \in \widehat{\mathbf{K}}$.

Define the spaces

$$Q^{1}_{\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}}(\widetilde{\Omega}_{\varepsilon}) \doteq \left\{ \Psi \in W^{1,\infty}(\widetilde{\Omega}_{\varepsilon}) \middle| \Psi_{|\varepsilon\xi+\varepsilon A(k)+\varepsilon \overline{Y_{K}}} \text{ is the } Q_{1} \text{ interpolate of its values} \\ \text{ on the vertexes of } \varepsilon\xi + \varepsilon A(k) + \varepsilon \overline{Y_{K}}, \ \forall k \in \widehat{\mathbf{K}}, \ \forall \xi \in \widetilde{\Xi}_{\varepsilon} \right\},$$

 $Q_{\mathcal{K}}^{1}(Y) \doteq \left\{ \Psi \in W^{1,\infty}(Y) \middle| \Psi_{|A(k) + \overline{Y_{K}}} \text{ is the } Q_{1} \text{ interpolate of its values} \\ \text{ on the vertexes of } A(k) + \overline{Y_{K}}, \forall k \in \widehat{\mathbf{K}} \right\}.$

Similarly we define the spaces $Q_{\mathcal{K}}^{1}(Y')$, $Q_{\mathcal{K}}^{1}(Y'')$, $Q_{\mathcal{K},per}^{1}(Y)$, $Q_{\mathcal{K},per}^{1}(Y')$, $Q_{\mathcal{K},per}^{1}(Y')$, $Q_{\mathcal{K},per}^{1}(Y')$, $Q_{\mathcal{K},per}^{1}(Y')$. By definition, the extension operator $\mathfrak{Q}_{\varepsilon}$ is both one to one and onto from $Q^{1}(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon})$ to $Q_{\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}}^{1}(\widetilde{\Omega}_{\varepsilon})$. Its inverse is given by the restriction $|_{\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon}}$ from $Q_{\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}}^{1}(\widetilde{\Omega}_{\varepsilon})$ to $Q^{1}(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon})$.

189 Below, we show the main properties of this operator.

190 LEMMA 5.2. For every $\psi \in Q^1(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon})$, one has $(p \in [1, +\infty], i \in \{1, \dots, N\})$

$$\begin{array}{l} \begin{array}{c} 191\\ 192 \end{array} (5.1) \quad \left\|\mathfrak{Q}_{\varepsilon}(\psi)\right\|_{L^{p}(\widetilde{\Omega}_{\varepsilon})} \leq C\varepsilon^{\frac{N-1}{p}} \|\psi\|_{L^{p}(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon})}, \quad \left\|\partial_{i}\mathfrak{Q}_{\varepsilon}(\psi)\right\|_{L^{p}(\widetilde{\Omega}_{\varepsilon})} \leq C\varepsilon^{\frac{N-1}{p}} \left\|\partial_{\mathbf{s}}\psi\right\|_{L^{p}(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon}^{(i)})}, \end{array}$$

193 where the constants do not depend on ε .

194 Proof. We will only consider the case $p \in [1, +\infty)$, since the case $p = +\infty$ is 195 trivial. First, remind that for every function ϕ defined as Q_1 interpolate of its values 196 on the vertexes of the nodes in \mathcal{K} , we have $(i \in \{1, \dots, N\})$

197 (5.2)
$$c \|\phi\|_{L^{p}(Y)} \leq \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbf{K}} \left|\phi(A(k))\right|^{p}\right)^{1/p} \leq C \|\phi\|_{L^{p}(S)},$$
$$c \|\partial_{y_{i}}\phi\|_{L^{p}(Y)} \leq \|\partial_{\mathbf{S}}\phi\|_{L^{p}(S^{(i)})},$$

198 where the constants do not depend on p.

We now prove $(5.1)_1$. For every $\psi \in Q^1(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon})$, set $\Psi = \mathfrak{Q}_{\varepsilon}(\psi)$. From $(5.2)_1$ and an 199 affine change of variables, we easily get 200

201
$$\int_{\widetilde{\Omega}_{\varepsilon}} |\Psi(x)|^{p} dx = \sum_{\xi \in \widetilde{\Xi}_{\varepsilon}} \int_{\varepsilon \xi + \varepsilon Y} |\Psi(x)|^{p} dx = \varepsilon^{N} \sum_{\xi \in \widetilde{\Xi}_{\varepsilon}} \int_{Y} |\Psi(\varepsilon \xi + \varepsilon y)|^{p} dy$$
202
$$\leq \varepsilon^{N} \sum_{\xi \in \widetilde{\Xi}_{\varepsilon}} \int_{\mathcal{S}} |\Psi(\varepsilon \xi + \varepsilon \mathbf{S})|^{p} d\mathbf{S} \leq \varepsilon^{N-1} \int_{\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon}} |\Psi(\mathbf{s})|^{p} d\mathbf{s}$$
203

203

10

and thus $(5.1)_1$ holds since $\Psi_{|S_{\varepsilon}} = \psi$. 204

We prove now $(5.1)_2$. Let *i* be in $\{1, \ldots, N\}$. From $(5.2)_2$ and an affine change of 205variables, we have 206

207
$$\int_{\widetilde{\Omega}_{\varepsilon}} |\partial_i \Psi(x)|^p dx = \sum_{\xi \in \widetilde{\Xi}_{\varepsilon}} \int_{\varepsilon \xi + \varepsilon Y} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \Psi(x) \right|^p dx = \varepsilon^{N-p} \sum_{\xi \in \widetilde{\Xi}_{\varepsilon}} \int_Y \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} \Psi(\varepsilon \xi + \varepsilon y) \right|^p dy$$

208
209
$$\leq \varepsilon^{N-p} \sum_{\xi \in \widetilde{\Xi}_{\varepsilon}} \int_{\mathcal{S}^{(i)}} \left| \partial_{\mathbf{S}} \Psi(\varepsilon \xi + \varepsilon \mathbf{S}) \right|^{p} d\mathbf{S} \leq \varepsilon^{N-1} \int_{\mathcal{S}^{(i)}_{\varepsilon}} \left| \partial_{\mathbf{s}} \Psi(\mathbf{s}) \right|^{p} d\mathbf{s}.$$

And thus (5.1)₂ holds since $\Psi_{|\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon}^{(i)}} = \psi_{|\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon}^{(i)}}$. 210

Note now that for every $\psi \in Q^1(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon})$, the unfolding on the lattice is equivalent to first 211 extending ψ to $\Psi = \mathfrak{Q}_{\varepsilon}(\psi)$ (see Definition 5.1), then applying the unfolding results 212in \mathbb{R}^N and lastly restricting the convergences to the lattice again, as the following 213 commutative diagrams show $(i \in \{1, \ldots, N\})$: 214

215 (5.3)
$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\psi) = \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\Psi_{|\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon}}) = \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{ext}(\Psi)_{|\tilde{\Omega}_{\varepsilon}\times\mathcal{S}}, \\ \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\partial_{\mathbf{s}}\psi) = \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\partial_{\mathbf{s}}\Psi_{|\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon}^{(i)}}) = \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{ext}(\partial_{i}\Psi)_{|\tilde{\Omega}_{\varepsilon}\times\mathcal{S}^{(i)}}. \end{cases}$$

We can finally show the asymptotic behavior of sequences which belong to $Q^1(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon})$ 216 217and we start with the following.

LEMMA 5.3. Let $\{\phi_{\varepsilon}\}_{\varepsilon}$ be a sequence in $W^{1,p}(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon})$ satisfying $(p \in (1, +\infty))$ 218

219
$$\|\phi_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{p}(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon})} + \varepsilon \|\partial_{\mathbf{s}}\phi_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{p}(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon})} \leq C\varepsilon^{\frac{1-N}{p}},$$

where the constant does not depend on ε . 220

Then, there exist a subsequence of $\{\varepsilon\}$, denoted $\{\varepsilon\}$, and $\widehat{\phi} \in L^p(\Omega; W^{1,p}_{per}(\mathcal{S}))$ such 221that 222

223 (5.4)
$$\mathcal{T}^{\mathcal{S}}_{\varepsilon}(\phi_{\varepsilon}) \rightharpoonup \widehat{\phi}$$
 weakly in $L^{p}(\Omega; W^{1,p}(\mathcal{S})).^{1}$

The same results hold for $p = +\infty$ with weak topology replaced by weak-* topology in 224 the corresponding spaces. 225

Proof. The sequence $\{\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\phi_{\varepsilon})\}_{\varepsilon}$ satisfies 226

227
$$\|\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\phi_{\varepsilon})\|_{L^{p}(\widetilde{\Omega}_{\varepsilon};W^{1,p}(\mathcal{S}))} \leq C \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad \|\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\phi_{\varepsilon})\|_{L^{p}(\Omega;W^{1,p}(\mathcal{S}))} \leq C.$$

¹As for $\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{ext}$, this convergence must be understood

 $\mathcal{T}^{\mathcal{S}}_{\varepsilon}(\phi_{\varepsilon})|_{\Omega\times\mathcal{S}} \rightharpoonup \widehat{\phi} \qquad \text{weakly in} \quad L^{p}(\Omega; W^{1,p}(\mathcal{S})).$

It will be the same for all convergences involving the unfolding operator $\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}$.

Hence, there exist a subsequence of $\{\varepsilon\}$, denoted $\{\varepsilon\}$, and $\widehat{\phi} \in L^p(\Omega; W^{1,p}_{per}(\mathcal{S}))$ such 229

that convergence (5.4) holds. The periodicity of $\hat{\phi}$ is proved as in [8, Theorem 1.36]. 230

We consider now sequences whose gradient is anisotropically bounded on the lattice. 231

Accordingly to Section 2, we apply the decomposition of the space $\mathbb{R}^N = \mathbb{R}^{N_1} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{N_2}$ 232

and define 233

(5.5)

234

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{S}' &\doteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{N_1} \mathcal{S}^{(i)}, \qquad \mathcal{S}'_c \doteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{N_1} \mathcal{S}^{(i)}_c, \qquad \mathcal{S}'_{\varepsilon} \doteq \bigcup_{\xi \in \widetilde{\Xi}_{\varepsilon}} \left(\varepsilon \xi + \varepsilon \mathcal{S}'_c \right), \\ \mathcal{S}'' &\doteq \bigcup_{i=N_1+1}^{N} \mathcal{S}^{(i)}, \quad \mathcal{S}''_c \doteq \bigcup_{i=N_1+1}^{N} \mathcal{S}^{(i)}_c, \quad \mathcal{S}''_{\varepsilon} \doteq \bigcup_{\xi \in \widetilde{\Xi}_{\varepsilon}} \left(\varepsilon \xi + \varepsilon \mathcal{S}''_c \right). \end{split}$$

We have the following. 235

LEMMA 5.4. Let $\{\phi_{\varepsilon}\}_{\varepsilon}$ be a sequence in $Q^1(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon})$ satisfying $(p \in (1, +\infty))$ 236

237
$$\|\phi_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{p}(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon})} + \|\partial_{\mathbf{s}}\phi_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{p}(\mathcal{S}'_{\varepsilon})} \leq C\varepsilon^{\frac{1-N}{p}},$$

where the constant does not depend on ε . 238

Then, there exist a subsequence of $\{\varepsilon\}$, denoted $\{\varepsilon\}$, and $\widetilde{\phi} \in L^p(\Omega, \nabla_{x'}; Q^1_{per}(\mathcal{S}''))$, 239

 $\widehat{\phi} \in L^p(\Omega; Q^1_{per}(\mathcal{S})), \text{ such that } (i \in \{1, \dots, N_1\})$ 240

241 (5.6)
$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\phi_{\varepsilon}) &\rightharpoonup \widetilde{\phi} & weakly \ in \quad L^{p}(\Omega; Q^{1}(\mathcal{S})), \\ \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\partial_{\mathbf{s}}\phi_{\varepsilon}) &\rightharpoonup \partial_{i}\widetilde{\phi} + \partial_{\mathbf{S}}\widehat{\phi} & weakly \ in \quad L^{p}(\Omega \times \mathcal{S}^{(i)}), \\ \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \Big(\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\phi_{\varepsilon}) - \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{S}^{(i)}} \circ \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\phi_{\varepsilon}) \Big) \rightharpoonup \partial_{i}\widetilde{\phi} \, \mathbf{S}^{c} + \widehat{\phi} - \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{S}^{(i)}}(\widehat{\phi}) \\ & weakly \ in \quad L^{p}(\Omega \times \mathcal{S}^{(i)}), \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{S}^c \doteq (\mathbf{S} - \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{S}^{(i)}}(\mathbf{S})) \cdot \mathbf{e}_i^2$. 242

The same results hold for $p = +\infty$ with weak topology replaced by weak-* topology in 243 the corresponding spaces. 244

Proof. We extend the sequence $\{\phi_{\varepsilon}\}_{\varepsilon}$ to the sequence $\{\Phi_{\varepsilon}\}_{\varepsilon} = \{\mathfrak{Q}_{\varepsilon}(\phi_{\varepsilon})\}_{\varepsilon}$ belong-245ing to $Q^1_{\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}}(\widetilde{\Omega}_{\varepsilon})$. By Lemma 5.2 and the Q_1 property (3.2), we get 246

247
$$\|\Phi_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{p}(\widetilde{\Omega}_{\varepsilon})} + \|\nabla_{x'}\Phi_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{p}(\widetilde{\Omega}_{\varepsilon})} + \varepsilon\|\nabla_{x''}\Phi_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{p}(\widetilde{\Omega}_{\varepsilon})} \le C$$

where the constant does not depend on ε . 248

×Ф

Ж

By construction, the sequence $\{\Phi_{\varepsilon}\}_{\varepsilon}$ belongs to $Q^1_{\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}}(\widetilde{\Omega}_{\varepsilon})$ and thus $\{\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{ext}(\Phi_{\varepsilon})\}_{\varepsilon}$ be-249 longs to $L^p(\Omega_{\varepsilon}; Q^1(Y))$. 250

Hence, Lemma 3.2 imply that there exist functions $\widetilde{\Phi} \in L^p(\Omega, \nabla_{x'}; Q^1_{\mathcal{K}, per}(Y''))$ and 251

 $\widehat{\Phi} \in L^p(\Omega \times Y''; Q^1_{\mathcal{K}, per}(Y')) \cap L^p(\Omega; Q^1_{\mathcal{K}}(Y)) \text{ satisfying } \mathcal{M}_{Y'}(\widehat{\Phi}) = 0 \text{ a.e. in } \Omega \times Y'',$ 252such that 253

254

$$\begin{split} \Phi_{\varepsilon|\Omega} &\rightharpoonup \Phi \quad \text{weakly in} \quad L^p(\Omega, \nabla_{x'}), \\ \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{ext}(\Phi_{\varepsilon}) &\rightharpoonup \widetilde{\Phi} \quad \text{weakly in} \quad L^p(\Omega; Q^1_{\mathcal{K}}(Y)), \\ \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{ext}(\nabla_{x'}\Phi_{\varepsilon}) &\rightharpoonup \nabla_{x'}\widetilde{\Phi} + \nabla_{y'}\widehat{\Phi} \quad \text{weakly in} \quad L^p(\Omega \times Y)^{N_1}, \end{split}$$

²One has $\mathbf{S} = A(k) + t\mathbf{e}_i$ in the line $[A(k), A(k) + t\mathbf{e}_i], t \in [0, 1], k \in \widehat{\mathbf{K}}_i$. Hence $\mathbf{S}^c = t - 1/2$.

where $\Phi = \mathcal{M}_{Y''}(\Phi)$. 255

Using the relations (5.3), we can restrict the above convergences from $\Omega \times Y$ to 256the subset $\Omega \times S$ (and from $\Omega \times Y'$, $\Omega \times Y''$ to $\Omega \times S'$, $\Omega \times S''$ respectively). Hence, 257 $\widetilde{\phi} = \widetilde{\Phi}_{|\Omega \times S}$ and thus $\widetilde{\phi} \in L^p(\Omega, \nabla_{x'}; Q^1_{per}(S''))$. Now, let us consider $\widehat{\Phi}_{|\Omega \times S'}$, we extend this function as an affine function between two contiguous nodes in S'', this gives 258259a function $\widehat{\phi}$ belonging to $L^p(\Omega; Q^1_{per}(\mathcal{S}))$ (see Figure 1). This proves convergences 260 $(5.6)_{1,2}$, while $(5.6)_3$ is an immediate consequence of the Poincaré-Wirtinger inequality 261 and $(5.6)_2$. 262



FIG. 1. Construction of the periodic function $\hat{\phi}$ for N = 2 and $(K_1, K_2) = (3, 2)$. On the left, the reference cell and the lattice $S \doteq S^{(1)} \cup S^{(2)}$ and the nodes A(k), where k belongs to $\mathbf{K} \doteq \{0, 1, 2, 3\} \times \{0, 1, 2\}$. On the center, the Q_1 interpolated on the lattice nodes $\widehat{\Phi}$ and its restriction to $\mathcal{S}^{(1)}$ (horizontal lines). On the right, the function $\widehat{\phi}$ given by $\widehat{\Phi}_{|\Omega \times \mathcal{S}^{(1)}}$ and the Q_1 interpolation along the segments in $\mathcal{S}^{(2)}$ (vertical lines).

Now, we show the asymptotic behavior of sequences in $Q^1(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon})$ which are uniformly 263 bounded in $W^{1,p}(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon})$. 264

COROLLARY 5.5. Let $\{\phi_{\varepsilon}\}_{\varepsilon}$ be a sequence in $Q^1(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon})$ satisfying $(p \in (1, +\infty))$ 265

266
$$\|\phi_{\varepsilon}\|_{W^{1,p}(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon})} \le C\varepsilon^{\frac{1-N}{p}}$$

where the constant does not depend on ε . 267

Then, there exist a subsequence of $\{\varepsilon\}$, still denoted $\{\varepsilon\}$, and functions $\phi \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ 268and $\widehat{\phi} \in L^p(\Omega; Q^1_{per,0}(\mathcal{S}))$ such that $(i \in \{1, \dots, N\})$ 269

270

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\phi_{\varepsilon}) &\rightharpoonup \phi & weakly \ in \quad L^{p}(\Omega; Q^{1}(\mathcal{S})), \\ \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\partial_{\mathbf{s}}\phi_{\varepsilon}) &\rightharpoonup \partial_{i}\phi + \partial_{\mathbf{S}}\widehat{\phi} & weakly \ in \quad L^{p}(\Omega \times \mathcal{S}^{(i)}). \end{aligned}$$

271 The same results hold for $p = +\infty$ with weak topology replaced by weak-* topology in the corresponding spaces. 272

Proof. The proof directly follows from Lemma 5.4 in the particular case S' = S273and $\mathcal{S}'' = \emptyset$. 274

5.2. Asymptotic behavior of sequences bounded anisotropically and uniformly in $W^{1,p}$. Denote $(p \in [1, +\infty], i \in \{1, ..., N\})$

$$\mathcal{W}_{0,\mathcal{K}}^{1,p}(\mathcal{S}) = \left\{ \phi \in W^{1,p}(\mathcal{S}) \mid \phi = 0 \text{ on } \mathcal{K} \right\},\\ \mathcal{W}_{0,\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}}^{1,p}(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon}) = \left\{ \phi \in W^{1,p}(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon}) \mid \phi = 0 \text{ on } \mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon} \right\}.$$

Every function ϕ in $W^{1,p}(\mathcal{S})$ (resp. $\psi \in W^{1,p}(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon})$) is defined on the set of nodes \mathcal{K} (resp. $\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}$) and therefore can be decomposed as

(5.7)
$$\begin{aligned} \phi &= \phi_a + \phi_0, \qquad \phi_a \in Q^1(\mathcal{S}), \quad \phi_0 \in \mathcal{W}^{1,p}_{0,\mathcal{K}}(\mathcal{S}), \\ (\text{resp. } \psi &= \psi_a + \psi_0, \qquad \psi_a \in Q^1(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon}), \quad \psi_0 \in \mathcal{W}^{1,p}_{0,\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}}(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon})), \end{aligned}$$

where ϕ_a , ψ_a are the affine function defined as Q_1 interpolation on the nodes, and ϕ_0 , ψ_0 the reminder term which is zero on every node.

LEMMA 5.6. There exists a constant C > 0, which does not depend on ε , such that $(i \in \{1, ..., N\})$

$$\forall \phi \in W^{1,p}(\mathcal{S}), \qquad \|\partial_{\mathbf{S}}\phi_a\|_{L^p(\mathcal{S}^{(i)})} + \|\partial_{\mathbf{S}}\phi_0\|_{L^p(\mathcal{S}^{(i)})} \leq C \|\partial_{\mathbf{S}}\phi\|_{L^p(\mathcal{S}^{(i)})},$$

$$\forall \psi \in W^{1,p}(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon}), \qquad \|\partial_{\mathbf{s}}\psi_a\|_{L^p(\mathcal{S}^{(i)}_{\varepsilon})} + \|\partial_{\mathbf{s}}\psi_0\|_{L^p(\mathcal{S}^{(i)}_{\varepsilon})} \leq C \|\partial_{\mathbf{s}}\psi\|_{L^p(\mathcal{S}^{(i)}_{\varepsilon})},$$

$$\|\psi_0\|_{L^p(\mathcal{S}^{(i)}_{\varepsilon})} \leq C\varepsilon \|\partial_{\mathbf{s}}\psi\|_{L^p(\mathcal{S}^{(i)}_{\varepsilon})}.$$

Proof. Step 1. First, we recall a simple result. Let ψ be in the space $W^{1,p}(0,1)$ $(p \in [1, +\infty])$. Denote ψ_a the affine function

$$\psi_a(t) \doteq \psi(0) + t(\psi(1) - \psi(0)), \qquad t \in [0, 1].$$

283 One has

284 (5.9)
$$\|\psi'_a\|_{L^p(0,1)} \le \|\psi'\|_{L^p(0,1)}, \quad \|\psi-\psi_a\|_{L^p(0,1)} \le 2\|\psi'\|_{L^p(0,1)}.$$

285 Step 2. We prove the statements of the Lemma.

We start with $(5.8)_1$. By construction, $S^{(i)}$ is the union of a finite number of segments whose extremities belong to **K**. Hence, inequality $(5.9)_1$ and an affine change of variables leads to $(i \in \{1, ..., N\})$

289
$$\|\partial_{\mathbf{S}}\phi_a\|_{L^p(\mathcal{S}^{(i)})} \le \|\partial_{\mathbf{S}}\phi\|_{L^p(\mathcal{S}^{(i)})},$$

$$\|\partial_{\mathbf{S}}\phi_0\|_{L^p(\mathcal{S}^{(i)})} \le \|\partial_{\mathbf{S}}\phi_a\|_{L^p(\mathcal{S}^{(i)})} + \|\partial_{\mathbf{S}}\phi\|_{L^p(\mathcal{S}^{(i)})} \le 2\|\partial_{\mathbf{S}}\phi\|_{L^p(\mathcal{S}^{(i)})}$$

and thus $(5.8)_1$ is proved. Estimate $(5.8)_2$ follows by $(5.8)_1$ and an affine change of variables, while $(5.8)_3$ follows by $(5.8)_2$ and again a change of variables. The constant does not depend on ε since $\mathcal{S}^{(i)}$ has a finite number of segments.

²⁹⁵ We show now the asymptotic behavior of sequences that are anisotropically bounded.

296 LEMMA 5.7. Let $\{\phi_{\varepsilon}\}_{\varepsilon}$ be a sequence in $W^{1,p}(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon})$ satisfying $(p \in (1, +\infty))$

297 (5.10)
$$\|\phi_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{p}(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon})} + \|\partial_{\mathbf{s}}\phi_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{p}(\mathcal{S}'_{\varepsilon})} + \varepsilon\|\partial_{\mathbf{s}}\phi_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{p}(\mathcal{S}''_{\varepsilon})} \le C\varepsilon^{\frac{1-N}{p}}$$

298 where the constant does not depend on ε .

299 Then, there exist a subsequence of $\{\varepsilon\}$, denoted $\{\varepsilon\}$, and $\widetilde{\phi} \in L^p(\Omega, \nabla_{x'}; W^{1,p}_{per}(\mathcal{S}''))$,

300 $\widehat{\phi} \in L^p(\Omega; W^{1,p}_{per}(\mathcal{S})), \text{ such that } (i \in \{1, \dots, N_1\})$

$$\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\phi_{\varepsilon}) \rightharpoonup \widetilde{\phi} \quad weakly \ in \quad L^{p}(\Omega; W^{1,p}(\mathcal{S})),$$

$$\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\partial_{\mathbf{s}}\phi_{\varepsilon}) \rightharpoonup \partial_{i}\widetilde{\phi} + \partial_{\mathbf{S}}\widehat{\phi} \quad weakly \ in \quad L^{p}(\Omega \times \mathcal{S}^{(i)}),$$

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \Big(\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\phi_{\varepsilon}) - \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{S}^{(i)}} \circ \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\phi_{\varepsilon}) \Big) \rightharpoonup \partial_{i}\widetilde{\phi} \, \mathbf{S}^{c} + \widehat{\phi} - \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{S}^{(i)}}(\widehat{\phi})$$

$$weakly \ in \quad L^{p}(\Omega \times \mathcal{S}^{(i)}),$$

- 302 where $\mathbf{S}^c \doteq (\mathbf{S} \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{S}^{(i)}}(\mathbf{S})) \cdot \mathbf{e}_i$.
- 303 The same results hold for $p = +\infty$ with weak topology replaced by weak-* topology in
- 304 the corresponding spaces.
- 305 Proof. Given $\{\phi_{\varepsilon}\}_{\varepsilon} \subset W^{1,p}(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon})$, we decompose ϕ_{ε} as in (5.7) and get

306
$$\phi_{\varepsilon} = \phi_{a,\varepsilon} + \phi_{0,\varepsilon}, \quad \phi_{a,\varepsilon} \in Q^1(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon}), \quad \phi_{0,\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{W}^{1,p}_{0,\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}}(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon}).$$

307 By Lemma 5.6 and hypothesis (5.10) we have

$$\|\phi_{0,\varepsilon}\|_{L^{p}(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon}')} + \varepsilon \|\partial_{\mathbf{s}}\phi_{0,\varepsilon}\|_{L^{p}(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon}')} \leq C\varepsilon \|\partial_{\mathbf{s}}\phi_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{p}(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon}')} \leq C\varepsilon^{\frac{1-N}{p}+1},$$
308 (5.12)
$$\|\phi_{0,\varepsilon}\|_{L^{p}(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon}'')} + \varepsilon \|\partial_{\mathbf{s}}\phi_{0,\varepsilon}\|_{L^{p}(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon}'')} \leq C\varepsilon \|\partial_{\mathbf{s}}\phi_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{p}(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon}'')} \leq C\varepsilon^{\frac{1-N}{p}},$$

$$\|\phi_{a,\varepsilon}\|_{L^p(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon})} + \|\partial_{\mathbf{S}}\phi_{a,\varepsilon}\|_{L^p(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon}')} + \varepsilon\|\partial_{\mathbf{S}}\phi_{a,\varepsilon}\|_{L^p(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon}'')} \le C\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

- 309 where the constant does not depend on ε .
- 310 By estimates $(5.12)_{1,2}$ and [8, Theorem 1.36] applied on each line of S_{ε} , there ex-
- ist a subsequence of $\{\varepsilon\}$, still denoted $\{\varepsilon\}$, and functions $\widehat{\phi}'_0 \in L^p(\Omega; \mathcal{W}^{1,p}_{0,\mathcal{K},per}(\mathcal{S}'))$
- 312 $(\mathcal{W}^{1,p}_{0,\mathcal{K},per}(\mathcal{S}') \doteq \mathcal{W}^{1,p}_{0,\mathcal{K}}(\mathcal{S}') \cap \mathcal{W}^{1,p}_{per}(\mathcal{S}'))$ and $\widehat{\phi}''_{0} \in L^{p}(\Omega; \mathcal{W}^{1,p}_{0,\mathcal{K},per}(\mathcal{S}''))$ such that

313
$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\phi_{0,\varepsilon}) \rightharpoonup \widehat{\phi}'_{0} \quad \text{weakly in} \quad L^{p}(\Omega; W^{1,p}(\mathcal{S}')),$$
$$\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\phi_{0,\varepsilon}) \rightharpoonup \widehat{\phi}''_{0} \quad \text{weakly in} \quad L^{p}(\Omega; W^{1,p}(\mathcal{S}'')).$$

- By estimates $(5.12)_3$ and Lemma 5.4, there exist a subsequence, still denoted $\{\varepsilon\}$, and
- functions $\widetilde{\phi}_a \in L^p(\Omega, \nabla_{x'}; Q^1_{per}(\mathcal{S}'')), \ \widehat{\phi}_a \in L^p(\Omega; Q^1_{per}(\mathcal{S}))$ such that $(i \in \{1, \dots, N_1\})$

316
$$\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\phi_{a,\varepsilon}) \to \widetilde{\phi}_{a} \quad \text{strongly in} \quad L^{p}(\Omega; Q^{1}(\mathcal{S})),$$
$$\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\partial_{\mathbf{s}}\phi_{a,\varepsilon}) \rightharpoonup \partial_{i}\widetilde{\phi}_{a} + \partial_{\mathbf{S}}\widehat{\phi}_{a} \quad \text{weakly in} \quad L^{p}(\Omega \times \mathcal{S}^{(i)}).$$

317 Hence $(i \in \{1, \dots, N_1\}, j \in \{N_1 + 1, \dots, N\})$

318

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\phi_{\varepsilon}) &\to \widetilde{\phi}_{a} \quad \text{strongly in} \quad L^{p}(\Omega; W^{1,p}(\mathcal{S}')), \\ \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\phi_{\varepsilon}) &\rightharpoonup \widetilde{\phi}_{a} + \widehat{\phi}_{0}'' \quad \text{weakly in} \quad L^{p}(\Omega; W^{1,p}(\mathcal{S}'')), \\ \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\partial_{\mathbf{s}}\phi_{\varepsilon}) &\rightharpoonup \partial_{i}\widetilde{\phi}_{a} + \partial_{\mathbf{S}}(\widehat{\phi}_{a} + \widehat{\phi}_{0}') \quad \text{weakly in} \quad L^{p}(\Omega \times \mathcal{S}^{(i)}). \end{split}$$

Setting $\tilde{\phi} \doteq \tilde{\phi}_a + \tilde{\phi}_0''$, we get that $\tilde{\phi}$ belongs to $L^p(\Omega, \nabla_{x'}; W_{per}^{1,p}(\mathcal{S}''))$. Then, we set $\hat{\phi} \doteq \hat{\phi}_a + \hat{\phi}_0'$, this function belongs to $L^p(\Omega; W_{per}^{1,p}(\mathcal{S}))$. Convergence (5.11)₃ is an immediate consequence of (5.11)₂. The proof is complete.

As a direct consequence, it follows the asymptotic behavior of the uniformly bounded sequences.

- 324 COROLLARY 5.8. Let $\{\phi_{\varepsilon}\}_{\varepsilon}$ be a sequence in $W^{1,p}(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon})$ satisfying $(p \in (1, +\infty))$
- $\|\phi_{\varepsilon}\|_{W^{1,p}(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon})} \le C\varepsilon^{\frac{1-N}{p}},$
- 326 where the constant does not depend on ε .
- Then, there exist a subsequence of $\{\varepsilon\}$, still denoted $\{\varepsilon\}$, and functions $\phi \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and $\widehat{\phi} \in L^p(\Omega; W^{1,p}_{per,0}(\mathcal{S}))$ such that $(i \in \{1, \ldots, N\})$

329
$$\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\phi_{\varepsilon}) \to \phi \quad strongly \ in \quad L^{p}(\Omega; W^{1,p}(\mathcal{S})),$$
$$\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\partial_{\mathbf{s}}\phi_{\varepsilon}) \rightharpoonup \partial_{i}\phi + \partial_{\mathbf{S}}\widehat{\phi} \quad weakly \ in \quad L^{p}(\Omega \times \mathcal{S}^{(i)})$$

332 *Proof.* The proof directly follows from Lemma 5.7 in the particular case S' = S333 and $S'' = \emptyset$.

6. Periodic unfolding for sequences defined on lattices with information until the second order derivatives. The main problem that arises for functions in $W^{2,p}(S_{\varepsilon})$ is the lack of mixed derivatives. This comes from the fact that a function defined on the lattice segments can be derived twice, only in the segment directions. We overcome the problem in two different ways.

6.1. Unfolding via special Q_3 interpolation. Analogously to the previous section, we decompose a function into a reminder term and a cubic polynomial, this latter is extended to a special Q_3 interpolation to the whole space. Then, we use the periodic unfolding results for open subset in \mathbb{R}^N and finally restrict these results to the lattice. However, to bound the extension, further assumptions on the original function must be applied.

First, we recall a basic result concerning the functions in $W^{2,p}(0,1)$.

LEMMA 6.1. Let ϕ be in $W^{2,p}(0,1)$. There exist a unique decomposition

$$\phi = \phi_p + \phi_0, \qquad (\phi_p, \phi_0) \in W^{2,p}(0,1)^2,$$

where ϕ_p is the cubic polynomial defined by $(t \in [0, 1])$

$$\phi_p(t) = \phi(0)(2t+1)(t-1)^2 + \phi(1)t^2(3-2t) + \phi'(0)t(t-1)^2 + \phi'(1)t^2(t-1)$$

346 and ϕ_0 is the reminder term satisfying

347 (6.1)
$$\phi_0(0) = \phi_0(1) = \phi'_0(0) = \phi'_0(1) = 0.$$

348 Moreover, there exists a constant C > 0, such that

$$\forall \phi \in W^{2,p}(0,1), \quad \|\phi_p''\|_{L^p(0,1)} \leq C \|\phi''\|_{L^p(0,1)}, \\ \|\phi_p'\|_{L^p(0,1)} \leq C \|\phi'\|_{W^{1,p}(0,1)}, \\ \|\phi_p\|_{L^p(0,1)} \leq C \|\phi\|_{W^{2,p}(0,1)}, \\ \|\phi_0\|_{W^{2,p}(0,1)} \leq C \|\phi''\|_{L^p(0,1)}.$$

Proof. Given ϕ be in $W^{2,p}(0,1)$, it is clear that the decomposition is unique. Indeed, condition (6.1) implies that the function ϕ_p must satisfy

$$\phi_p(0) = \phi(0), \ \phi_p(1) = \phi(1), \ \phi'_p(0) = \phi'(0), \ \phi'_p(1) = \phi'(1)$$

and therefore the 4 coefficients of the cubic polynomial are uniquely determined. Now, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_p'(t) &= \left(\phi(1) - \phi(0) - \frac{1}{2} \left(\phi'(0) + \phi'(1)\right)\right) 6t(1-t) + \left(\phi'(1) - \phi'(0)\right) t + \phi'(0), \\ \phi_p''(t) &= \left(\phi(1) - \phi(0) - \frac{1}{2} \left(\phi'(0) + \phi'(1)\right)\right) 6(1-2t) + \left(\phi'(1) - \phi'(0)\right). \end{aligned}$$

Then, we easily obtain the estimates $(6.2)_{1,2,3}$. Estimate $(6.2)_4$ follows by assumption

(6.1), the Poincaré inequality applied twice and estimate $(6.2)_1$.

Define the spaces $(p \in [1, +\infty])$

$$\mathcal{W}^{2,p}_{0,\mathcal{K}}(\mathcal{S}) = \left\{ \phi \in W^{2,p}(\mathcal{S}) \mid \phi = \partial_{\mathbf{S}} \phi = 0 \text{ on } \mathcal{K} \right\},\\ \mathcal{W}^{2,p}_{0,\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}}(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon}) = \left\{ \psi \in W^{2,p}(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon}) \mid \psi = \partial_{\mathbf{S}} \psi = 0 \text{ on } \mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon} \right\}.$$

Remind that for any $\phi \in W^{2,p}(\mathcal{S})$ (resp. $\psi \in W^{2,p}(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon})$), its derivatives $\partial_{\mathbf{S}}\phi$ (resp. $\partial_{\mathbf{s}}\psi$) in direction \mathbf{e}_i are functions belonging to $W^{1,p}(\mathcal{S}^{(i)})$ (resp. $W^{1,p}(\mathcal{S}^{(i)}_{\varepsilon})$), for every $i \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$ and therefore defined on every node of the structure \mathcal{S} (resp. $\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon}$). Hence, they can be extended by Q_1 interpolation on the small segments of $\mathcal{S}^{(j)}$ (resp. $\mathcal{S}^{(j)}_{\varepsilon}$) for every $j \in \{1, \ldots, N\}, j \neq i$. We denote these extensions by $\partial_i \phi$ (resp. $\partial_i \psi$), for every $i \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$.

LEMMA 6.2. For every $\phi \in W^{2,p}(\mathcal{S})$, there exist two functions $\Phi_p \in W^{2,p}(Y)$ and $\phi_0 \in W^{2,p}_{0,K}(\mathcal{S})$ such that

360 (6.3)
$$\phi = \Phi_p + \phi_0$$
 a.e. in *S*,

361 where $\Phi_{p|S}$ is a cubic polynomial on every small segment of S.

362 Moreover, there exists a constant C > 0 such that

$$\|D^{2}\Phi_{p}\|_{L^{p}(Y)} \leq C \sum_{i=1}^{N} \|\partial_{\mathbf{S}}(\partial_{i}\phi)\|_{L^{p}(S)},$$

$$\|\nabla\Phi_{p}\|_{L^{p}(Y)} \leq C \Big(\|\partial_{\mathbf{S}}\phi\|_{L^{p}(S)} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \|\partial_{\mathbf{S}}(\partial_{i}\phi)\|_{L^{p}(S)}\Big),$$

$$\|\Phi_{p}\|_{L^{p}(Y)} \leq C \Big(\|\phi\|_{L^{p}(S)} + \|\partial_{\mathbf{S}}\phi\|_{L^{p}(S)} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \|\partial_{\mathbf{S}}(\partial_{i}\phi)\|_{L^{p}(S)}\Big)$$

364 and that

365 (6.5)
$$\|\phi_0\|_{L^2(\mathcal{S})} + \|\partial_{\mathbf{S}}\phi_0\|_{L^2(\mathcal{S})} + \|\partial_{\mathbf{S}}^2\phi_0\|_{L^2(\mathcal{S})} \le C \|\partial_{\mathbf{S}}^2\phi\|_{L^p(\mathcal{S})}.$$

Proof. We will only prove the case N = 2, since the extension to higher dimension is done by an analogous argumentation. Step 1. A first result.

Denote Q_0, Q_1, dQ_0 and dQ_1 the following polynomial functions $(t \in [0, 1])$

$$Q_0(t) = (2t+1)(t-1)^2, \quad Q_1(t) = t^2(3-2t), \quad dQ_0(t) = t(t-1)^2, \quad dQ_1(t) = t^2(t-1).$$

Let ϕ be a function continuous on ∂Z , $Z = (0,1)^2$, and of class $W^{2,p}$ on every edge of \overline{Z} . We define the polynomial function $\Phi \in W^{2,\infty}(Z)$ by

$$\Phi(t) = \phi(0,0)P_{00}(t) + \phi(0,1)P_{0,1}(t) + \phi(1,0)P_{1,0}(t) + \phi(1,1)P_{1,1}(t)$$

$$+\partial_1\phi(0,0)d_1P_{00}(t) + \partial_1\phi(1,0)d_1P_{10}(t) + \partial_1\phi(0,1)d_1P_{01}(t) + \partial_1\phi(1,1)d_1P_{11}(t)$$

$$+\partial_2\phi(0,0)d_2P_{00}(t) + \partial_2\phi(0,1)d_2P_{01}(t) + \partial_2\phi(1,0)d_2P_{10}(t) + \partial_2\phi(1,1)d_2P_{11}(t)$$

where for all $t = (t_1, t_2) \in [0, 1]^2$

$$\begin{array}{ll} P_{00}(t) = Q_0(t_1)Q_0(t_2), & P_{01}(t) = Q_0(t_1)Q_1(t_2), \\ P_{10}(t) = Q_1(t_1)Q_0(t_2), & P_{11}(t) = Q_1(t_1)Q_1(t_2), \\ d_1P_{00} = dQ_0(t_1)Q_0(t_2), & d_1P_{10} = dQ_1(t_1)Q_0(t_2), \\ d_1P_{01} = dQ_0(t_1)Q_1(t_2), & d_1P_{11} = dQ_1(t_1)Q_1(t_2), \\ d_2P_{00} = Q_0(t_1)dQ_0(t_2), & d_2P_{01} = Q_0(t_1)dQ_1(t_2), \\ d_2P_{10} = Q_1(t_1)dQ_0(t_2), & d_2P_{11} = Q_1(t_1)dQ_1(t_2). \end{array}$$

First, observe that the polynomial Φ can be rewritten as

$$\begin{split} \Phi(t) &= \left(\phi(0,0)Q_0(t_1) + \phi(1,0)Q_1(t_1) + \partial_1\phi(0,0)dQ_0(t_1) + \partial_1\phi(1,0)dQ_1(t_1)\right)Q_0(t_2) \\ &+ \left(\phi(0,1)Q_0(t_1) + \phi(1,1)Q_1(t_1) + \partial_1\phi(0,1)dQ_0(t_1) + \partial_1\phi(1,1)dQ_1(t_1)\right)Q_1(t_2) \\ &+ \left(\partial_2\phi(0,0)dQ_0(t_2) + \partial_2\phi(0,1)dQ_1(t_2)\right)Q_0(t_1) \\ &+ \left(\partial_2\phi(1,0)dQ_0(t_2) + \partial_2\phi(1,1)dQ_1(t_2)\right)Q_1(t_1). \end{split}$$

369 A straightforward calculation and Lemma (6.1) lead to

$$\begin{split} \|D^{2}\Phi\|_{L^{p}(Z)} \\ &\leq C\Big(\sum_{i=1}^{2} \|\partial_{ii}^{2}\phi\|_{L^{p}((\partial Z)_{i})} + |\partial_{2}\phi(1,0) - \partial_{2}\phi(0,0)| + |\partial_{2}\phi(1,1) - \partial_{2}\phi(0,1)| \\ &+ |\partial_{1}\phi(0,1) - \partial_{1}\phi(0,0)| + |\partial_{1}\phi(1,1) - \partial_{1}\phi(1,0)|\Big) \\ &\leq C\sum_{i=1}^{2} \|\partial_{\mathbf{S}}(\partial_{i}\phi)\|_{L^{p}((\partial Z)_{i})}. \end{split}$$

371 where $(\partial Z)_1 = (0,1) \times \{0,1\}$ and $(\partial Z)_2 = \{0,1\} \times (0,1)$.

372 Observe also that $(i \in \{1, 2\})$

373 (6.6)
$$\|\partial_{ii}^2 \Phi\|_{L^p((\partial Z)_i)} \le C \|\partial_{\mathbf{S}}^2 \phi\|_{L^p((\partial Z)_i)}.$$

Then, we obtain

$$\|\nabla\Phi\|_{L^p(Z)} \le C\big(\|\partial_{\mathbf{S}}\phi\|_{L^p(\partial Z)} + \|D^2\Phi\|_{L^p(Z)}\big).$$

374 and thus

375

$$\|\Phi\|_{L^{p}(Z)} \leq C(\|\phi\|_{L^{p}(\partial Z)} + \|\nabla\Phi\|_{L^{p}(Z)}).$$

Step 2. We prove the estimates (6.4) for N = 2.

In every small rectangle build on the nodes of S we extend ϕ as described in Step 1. That gives a function $\Phi_p \in W^{2,p}(Y)$ satisfying (6.4) for N = 2. Estimate (6.5) follows by applying the Poincaré inequality twice and the fact that (see (6.6))

$$\|\partial_{\mathbf{S}}^{2}\phi_{0}\|_{L^{p}(\mathcal{S})} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{2} \|\partial_{ii}^{2}\Phi\|_{L^{p}((\partial Z)_{i})} + \|\partial_{\mathbf{S}}^{2}\phi\|_{L^{p}(\mathcal{S})} \leq C \|\partial_{\mathbf{S}}^{2}\phi\|_{L^{p}(\mathcal{S})}.$$

376 The proof is complete.

We can finally show the asymptotic behavior of sequences bounded in $W^{2,p}(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon})$, 377 whose derivatives of the gradient extension from the lattice to the whole space are 378also bounded. 379

THEOREM 6.3. Let $\{\phi_{\varepsilon}\}_{\varepsilon}$ be a sequence in $W^{2,p}(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon}), p \in (1, +\infty)$, satisfying 380

381 (6.7)
$$\|\phi_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon})} + \|\partial_{\mathbf{s}}\phi_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon})} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \|\partial_{\mathbf{s}}(\partial_{i}\phi_{\varepsilon})\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon})} \leq C\varepsilon^{\frac{1-N}{p}}.$$

Then, there exist a subsequence of $\{\varepsilon\}$, still denoted $\{\varepsilon\}$, and functions $\phi \in W^{2,p}(\Omega)$ 382 and $\widehat{\phi} \in L^p(\Omega; W^{2,p}_{per}(\mathcal{S}))$ such that $(i \in \{1, \dots, N\})$ 383

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\phi_{\varepsilon}) &\to \phi \quad strongly \ in \quad L^{p}(\Omega; W^{2,p}(\mathcal{S})), \\ \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\partial_{\mathbf{s}}\phi_{\varepsilon}) &\to \partial_{i}\phi \quad strongly \ in \quad L^{p}(\Omega; W^{1,p}(\mathcal{S}^{(i)})), \\ \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\partial_{\mathbf{s}}^{2}\phi_{\varepsilon}) &\to \partial_{ii}^{2}\phi + \partial_{\mathbf{S}}^{2}\widehat{\phi} \quad weakly \ in \quad L^{p}(\Omega \times \mathcal{S}^{(i)}). \end{split}$$

Proof. Given $\{\phi_{\varepsilon}\}_{\varepsilon} \subset W^{2,p}(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon})$, we decompose $\phi_{\varepsilon}(\varepsilon\xi + \varepsilon\mathbf{S}), \xi \in \widetilde{\Xi}_{\varepsilon}, \mathbf{S} \in \mathcal{S}$, as in 385 (6.3) and get 386

387
$$\phi_{\varepsilon} = \Phi_{p,\varepsilon} + \phi_{0,\varepsilon}, \quad \Phi_{p,\varepsilon} \in W^{2,p}(\widetilde{\Omega}_{\varepsilon}), \quad \phi_{0,\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{W}^{2,p}_{0,\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}}(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon}).$$

We first consider the sequence $\{\phi_{0,\varepsilon}\}_{\varepsilon}$ belonging to $\mathcal{W}^{2,p}_{0,\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}}(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon})$. By estimate (6.5) together with an affine change of variables and (6.7), we have 388 389

$$390 \qquad \|\phi_{0,\varepsilon}\|_{L^p(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon})} + \varepsilon \|\partial_{\mathbf{s}}\phi_{0,\varepsilon}\|_{L^p(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon})} + \varepsilon^2 \|\partial_{\mathbf{s}}^2\phi_{0,\varepsilon}\|_{L^p(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon})} \le C\varepsilon^2 \|\partial_{\mathbf{s}}^2\phi_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^p(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon})} \le C\varepsilon^{\frac{1-N}{p}+2},$$

where the constant does not depend on ε . Hence, there exist a subsequence, still denoted $\{\varepsilon\}$, and a function $\widehat{\phi}_0 \in L^p(\Omega; \mathcal{W}^{2,p}_{0,\mathcal{K},per}(\mathcal{S}))$ such that 391 392

393 (6.8)
$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \mathcal{T}^{\mathcal{S}}_{\varepsilon}(\phi_{0,\varepsilon}) \rightharpoonup \widehat{\phi}_0$$
 weakly in $L^2(\Omega; W^{2,p}(\mathcal{S})).$

Now we consider the sequence $\{\Phi_{p,\varepsilon}\}_{\varepsilon}$. By estimates (6.4) together with an affine 394 change of variables and hypothesis (6.7) we have 395

396
$$\|\Phi_{p,\varepsilon}\|_{W^{2,p}(\widetilde{\Omega}_{\varepsilon})} \leq C\varepsilon^{\frac{N-1}{p}} \Big(\|\phi_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon})} + \|\partial_{\mathbf{s}}\phi_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon})} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \|\partial_{\mathbf{s}}(\partial_{i}\phi_{\varepsilon})\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon})} \Big) \leq C.$$

Hence, by [8, Theorem 1.47], there exist a subsequence, still denoted $\{\varepsilon\}$, and functions 397 $\phi \in W^{2,p}(\Omega)$ and $\widehat{\Phi}_p \in L^p(\Omega; W^{2,p}_{per}(Y))$ such that 398

399

$$\begin{split} \Phi_{p,\varepsilon|\Omega} &\rightharpoonup \phi \quad \text{weakly in} \quad W^{2,p}(\Omega), \\ \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}(\Phi_{p,\varepsilon}) &\to \phi \quad \text{strongly in} \quad L^{p}(\Omega; W^{2,p}(Y)), \\ \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}(\nabla \Phi_{p,\varepsilon}) &\to \nabla \phi \quad \text{strongly in} \quad L^{p}(\Omega; W^{1,p}(Y))^{N}, \\ \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}(D^{2}\Phi_{p,\varepsilon}) &\rightharpoonup D^{2}\phi + D_{y}^{2}\widehat{\Phi}_{p} \quad \text{weakly in} \quad L^{p}(\Omega \times Y)^{N \times N}. \end{split}$$

Note that the following relations hold $(i \in \{1, \ldots, N\})$: 400

~

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\phi_{p,\varepsilon}) = \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\Phi_{p,\varepsilon|\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon}}) = \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{ext}(\Phi_{p,\varepsilon})_{|\widetilde{\Omega}_{\varepsilon}\times\mathcal{S}}, \\ \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\partial_{\mathbf{s}}\phi_{p,\varepsilon})_{|\widetilde{\Omega}_{\varepsilon}\times\mathcal{S}^{(i)}} = \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}\left(\partial_{\mathbf{s}}\Phi_{p,\varepsilon|\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon}^{(i)}}\right) = \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{ext}\left(\partial_{i}\Phi_{p,\varepsilon}\right)_{\widetilde{\Omega}_{\varepsilon}\times\mathcal{S}^{(i)}}, \\ \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\partial_{\mathbf{s}}^{2}\phi_{p,\varepsilon})_{|\widetilde{\Omega}_{\varepsilon}\times\mathcal{S}^{(i)}} = \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}\left(\partial_{\mathbf{s}}^{2}\Phi_{p,\varepsilon|\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon}^{(i)}}\right) = \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{ext}\left(\partial_{i}^{2}\Phi_{p,\varepsilon}\right)_{\widetilde{\Omega}_{\varepsilon}\times\mathcal{S}^{(i)}}. \end{cases}$$

Hence, we can restrict the above convergences from $\Omega \times Y$ to the subsets $\Omega \times S$ and $\Omega \times S^{(i)}$, for every $i \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$. Hence, there exists $\widehat{\phi}_p = \widehat{\Phi}_{p|\Omega \times S} \in L^p(\Omega; W^{2,p}_{per}(S))$ such that $(i \in \{1, \ldots, N\})$

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\phi_{p,\varepsilon}) &\to \phi \quad \text{strongly in} \quad L^{p}(\Omega; W^{2,p}(\mathcal{S})), \\ \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\partial_{\mathbf{s}}\phi_{p,\varepsilon}) &\to \partial_{i}\phi \quad \text{strongly in} \quad L^{p}(\Omega; W^{1,p}(\mathcal{S}^{(i)})), \\ \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\partial_{\mathbf{s}}^{2}\phi_{p,\varepsilon}) &\rightharpoonup \partial_{ii}^{2}\phi + \partial_{\mathbf{s}}^{2}\widehat{\phi}_{p} \quad \text{weakly in} \quad L^{p}(\Omega \times \mathcal{S}^{(i)}), \end{split}$$

405

409

where the strong convergences are preserved due to the polynomial character of the function $\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\phi_{p,\varepsilon})$ with respect to the second variable.

408 Hence, by the above convergences and (6.8) we get $(i \in \{1, ..., N\})$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\phi_{\varepsilon}) &\to \phi \quad \text{strongly in} \quad L^{2}(\Omega; W^{2,p}(\mathcal{S})), \\ \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\partial_{\mathbf{s}}\phi_{\varepsilon}) &\to \partial_{i}\phi \quad \text{strongly in} \quad L^{2}(\Omega; W^{1,p}(\mathcal{S}^{(i)})), \\ \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\partial_{\mathbf{s}}^{2}\phi_{\varepsilon}) &\rightharpoonup \partial_{ii}^{2}\phi + \partial_{\mathbf{S}}^{2}(\widehat{\phi}_{p} + \widehat{\phi}_{0}) \quad \text{weakly in} \quad L^{2}(\Omega \times \mathcal{S}^{(i)}). \end{aligned}$$

410 Hence, the proof follows by setting $\widehat{\phi} \doteq \widehat{\phi}_p + \widehat{\phi}_0$, which belongs to $L^2(\Omega; W^{2,p}_{per}(\mathcal{S}))$.

6.2. Unfolding via known results for sequences of functions uniformly bounded in $W^{1,p}$. We consider the sequences in $W^{2,p}(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon})$ as sequences in $W^{1,p}(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon})$ with partial derivatives belonging to $W^{1,p}(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon}^{(i)})$, for each $i \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$. In this sense, we can apply the results obtained in section 5. Even though no gradient extension is needed, the additional work must be done to show that the N different limit functions, one for each partial derivative, are in fact a unique function restricted to each line. From [3, Chapter 9], we recall that $(p \in (1, +\infty))$:

418

419 (i) if
$$u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$$
 satisfies $\Delta u \in L^p(\Omega)$ then $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap W^{2,p}_{loc}(\Omega)^3$;

(ii) if Ω is a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N with a $\mathcal{C}^{1,1}$ boundary and if $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ satisfies $\Delta u \in L^p(\Omega)$ then $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap W^{2,p}(\Omega)$.

422 Denote $(p \in [1, +\infty])$

$$\mathcal{W}^{2,p}(\Omega) \doteq \left\{ \phi \in W^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap W^{2,p}_{loc}(\Omega) \mid \partial^2_{ii} \in L^p(\Omega) \text{ for every } i \in \{1,\dots,N\} \right\}$$

We endow $\mathcal{W}^{2,p}(\Omega)$ with the following norm

$$\|\phi\|_{\mathcal{W}^{2,p}}(\Omega) \doteq \|\phi\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \|\partial_{ii}^{2}\phi\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)}.$$

423

424 THEOREM 6.4. Let $\{\phi_{\varepsilon}\}_{\varepsilon}$ be a sequence in $W^{2,p}(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon}), p \in (1, +\infty)$, satisfying

425 (6.9)
$$\|\phi_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{p}(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon})} + \|\partial_{\mathbf{s}}\phi_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{p}(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon})} + \|\partial_{\mathbf{s}}^{2}\phi_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{p}(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon})} \leq C\varepsilon^{\frac{1-N}{p}}.$$

426 Then, there exist a subsequence of $\{\varepsilon\}$, still denoted $\{\varepsilon\}$, and functions $\phi \in \mathcal{W}^{2,p}(\Omega)$

³In fact, we have $\rho D^2 u \in L^p(\Omega)^{N \times N}$ where $\rho(x) = \operatorname{dist}(x, \partial \Omega)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$.

and $\widehat{\phi} \in L^p(\Omega; W^{2,p}_{per,0}(\mathcal{S}))$ such that $(i \in \{1, \dots, N\})$ 427

 $\mathcal{T}^{\mathcal{S}}_{\varepsilon}(\phi_{\varepsilon}) \to \phi \quad strongly \ in \quad L^{p}(\Omega; W^{1,p}(\mathcal{S})),$ $\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\partial_{\mathbf{s}}\phi_{\varepsilon}) \rightharpoonup \partial_{i}\phi \qquad weakly \ in \quad L^{p}(\Omega \times \mathcal{S}^{(i)}),$ (6.10)428 $\mathcal{T}^{\mathcal{S}}_{\varepsilon}(\partial_{\mathbf{s}}^{2}\phi_{\varepsilon}) \rightharpoonup \partial_{ii}^{2}\phi + \partial_{\mathbf{s}}^{2}\widehat{\phi} \quad weakly \ in \quad L^{p}(\Omega \times \mathcal{S}^{(i)}).$

The same results hold for $p = +\infty$ with weak topology replaced by weak-* topology in 429 430 the corresponding spaces.

Proof. Step 1. We prove convergences $(6.10)_{1,2}$. 431

By estimate (6.9), the sequence $\{\phi_{\varepsilon}\}_{\varepsilon}$ satisfies 432

433
$$\|\phi_{\varepsilon}\|_{W^{1,p}(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon})} \le C\varepsilon^{\frac{1-N}{p}}$$

and thus by Corollary 5.8, there exist $\phi \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and $\widehat{\phi} \in L^p(\Omega; W^{1,p}_{per,0}(\mathcal{S}))$ such 434435that

436 (6.11)
$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\phi_{\varepsilon}) &\to \phi \quad \text{strongly in} \quad L^{p}(\Omega; W^{1,p}(\mathcal{S})), \\ \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}(\partial_{\mathbf{s}}\phi_{\varepsilon}) &\to \partial_{i}\phi + \partial_{\mathbf{S}}\widehat{\phi} \quad \text{weakly in} \quad L^{p}(\Omega \times \mathcal{S}^{(i)}), \qquad i \in \{1, \dots, N\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we consider the sequences $\{\psi_{\varepsilon}^{(i)}\}_{\varepsilon} = \{\partial_{\mathbf{s}}\phi_{\varepsilon|S}^{(i)}\}_{\varepsilon}, i \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$. From estimate (6.9) we have

$$\|\psi_{\varepsilon}^{(i)}\|_{W^{1,p}(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon}^{(i)})} \le C\varepsilon^{\frac{1-N}{p}}.$$

Since $\psi_{\varepsilon}^{(i)}$, $i \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$, is defined on every node of $\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon}$, we extend it as a function affine on every small segments in $\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon}^{(j)}$, $j \in \{1, \ldots, N\} \setminus \{i\}$. We still denote this extension $\psi_{\varepsilon}^{(i)}$. It satisfies

$$\|\psi_{\varepsilon}^{(i)}\|_{L^{p}(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon})} + \|\partial_{\mathbf{s}}\psi_{\varepsilon}^{(i)}\|_{L^{p}(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon}^{(i)})} + \varepsilon \|\partial_{\mathbf{s}}\psi_{\varepsilon}^{(i)}\|_{L^{p}(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon}^{[i]})} \le C\varepsilon^{\frac{1-N}{p}}, \quad \text{where} \quad \mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon}^{[i]} = \bigcup_{j=1, j\neq i}^{N} \mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon}^{(j)}$$

Observe that a function defined and constant on every line of $\mathcal{S}^{(i)}$ can be extended 437

to a function periodic on \mathcal{S} and affine between two contiguous nodes of $\mathcal{S}^{(j)}$, where 438 $j \in \{1, \ldots, N\} \setminus \{i\}$. Lemma 5.7 gives a subsequence of $\{\varepsilon\}$, still denoted $\{\varepsilon\}$, and 439

functions $\widetilde{\psi}^{(i)} \in L^p(\Omega, \partial_i; W^{1,p}_{per}(\mathcal{S}^{[i]})), \ \widehat{\psi}^{(i)} \in L^p(\Omega; W^{1,p}_{per}(\mathcal{S}))$. Here, due to the above 440

remark, we assume that $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{S}^{(i)}}(\widehat{\psi}^{(i)}) = 0$ a.e. in $\Omega \times \mathcal{S}^{(i)}$. 441

442 Thus, one has $(i \in \{1, \ldots, N\})$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\psi_{\varepsilon}^{(i)}) &\rightharpoonup \psi^{(i)} & \text{weakly in } L^{p}(\Omega; W^{1,p}(\mathcal{S})), \\ \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\partial_{\mathbf{s}}\psi_{\varepsilon}^{(i)}) &\rightharpoonup \partial_{i}\widetilde{\psi}^{(i)} + \partial_{\mathbf{S}}\widehat{\psi}^{(i)} & \text{weakly in } L^{p}(\Omega \times \mathcal{S}^{(i)}). \end{aligned}$$

The above second convergence and $(6.11)_2$ yield

$$\partial_i \phi + \partial_{\mathbf{S}} \widehat{\phi} = \widetilde{\psi}^{(i)}$$
 a.e. in $\Omega \times \mathcal{S}^{(i)}, \quad i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$

- Since $\widetilde{\psi}^{(i)}$ does not depend on **S** in $\mathcal{S}^{(i)}$ and $\widehat{\phi}$ is periodic with respect to **S** in $\mathcal{S}^{(i)}$ we have $\partial_i \phi = \widetilde{\psi}^{(i)}$ and $\partial_{\mathbf{S}} \widehat{\phi} = 0$ a.e. $\Omega \times \mathcal{S}^{(i)}$ for every $i \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$. 444
- 445

Hence, $\widetilde{\psi}^{(i)}$ belongs to $L^p(\Omega, \partial_i)$ and thus $\partial_i \phi \in L^p(\Omega, \partial_i)$. Now, since $\Delta \phi \in L^p(\Omega)$ we have $\phi \in \mathcal{W}^{2,p}(\Omega)$. Therefore, the following convergences hold: 446 447

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\phi_{\varepsilon}) &\to \phi \quad \text{strongly in} \quad L^{p}(\Omega; W^{2,p}(\mathcal{S})), \\ \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\partial_{\mathbf{s}}\phi_{\varepsilon}) &\rightharpoonup \partial_{i}\phi \quad \text{weakly in} \quad L^{p}(\Omega \times \mathcal{S}^{(i)}), \\ \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\partial_{\mathbf{s}}^{2}\phi_{\varepsilon}) &\rightharpoonup \partial_{ii}^{2}\phi + \partial_{\mathbf{S}}\widehat{\psi}^{(i)} \quad \text{weakly in} \quad L^{p}(\Omega \times \mathcal{S}^{(i)}). \end{split}$$

Moreover, we also have that, for each $i \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$: 449

450 (6.12)
$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \Big(\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\partial_{\mathbf{s}}\phi_{\varepsilon}) - \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{S}^{(i)}} \circ \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\partial_{\mathbf{s}}\phi_{\varepsilon}) \Big) \rightharpoonup \partial_{ii}^{2} \phi \, \mathbf{S}^{c} + \widehat{\psi}^{(i)} \quad \text{weakly in} \quad L^{p}(\Omega \times \mathcal{S}^{(i)}).$$

- 451
- Step 2. We prove the convergence $(6.10)_3$. We have to prove the existence of $\hat{\phi} \in L^p(\Omega; W^{2,p}_{per,0}(\mathcal{S}))$ such that 452

$$\begin{cases} \partial_{\mathbf{S}} \widehat{\phi} = \widehat{\psi}^{(1)} & \text{a.e. in } \Omega \times \mathcal{S}^{(1)}, \\ \vdots \\ \partial_{\mathbf{S}} \widehat{\phi} = \widehat{\psi}^{(N)} & \text{a.e. in } \Omega \times \mathcal{S}^{(N)}. \end{cases}$$

A necessary and sufficient condition to get existence of the function $\hat{\phi}$ is (remind that 454 $A(k + \mathbf{e}_i) = A(k) + l_i \mathbf{e}_i)$ 455

456 (6.13)
$$\forall k \in \widehat{\mathbf{K}}, \qquad \int_{A(k)}^{A(k+\mathbf{e}_i)} \widehat{\psi}^{(i)}(\cdot, \mathbf{S}) d\mathbf{S} + \int_{A(k+\mathbf{e}_i)}^{A(k+\mathbf{e}_i+\mathbf{e}_j)} \widehat{\psi}^{(j)}(\cdot, \mathbf{S}) d\mathbf{S} \\= \int_{A(k)}^{A(k+\mathbf{e}_j)} \widehat{\psi}^{(j)}(\cdot, \mathbf{S}) d\mathbf{S} + \int_{A(k+\mathbf{e}_j)}^{A(k+\mathbf{e}_i+\mathbf{e}_j)} \widehat{\psi}^{(i)}(\cdot, \mathbf{S}) d\mathbf{S}$$

a.e. in Ω . 457

448

453

Since on a line belonging to $\mathcal{S}^{(i)}$, one has (see Lemma 5.4) $\mathbf{S}^{c} = t - \frac{1}{2}, t \in [0, 1]$, the 458above equality (6.13) is equivalent to $\forall k \in \widehat{\mathbf{K}}$, 459

460 (6.14)
$$\int_{A(k)}^{A(k+\mathbf{e}_i)} \left(\partial_{ii}^2 \phi \mathbf{S}^c + \widehat{\psi}^{(i)}(\cdot, \mathbf{S})\right) d\mathbf{S} + \int_{A(k+\mathbf{e}_i)}^{A(k+\mathbf{e}_i+\mathbf{e}_j)} \left(\partial_{jj}^2 \phi \mathbf{S}^c + \widehat{\psi}^{(j)}(\cdot, \mathbf{S})\right) d\mathbf{S} \\ = \int_{A(k)}^{A(k+\mathbf{e}_j)} \left(\partial_{jj}^2 \phi \mathbf{S}^c + \widehat{\psi}^{(j)}(\cdot, \mathbf{S})\right) d\mathbf{S} + \int_{A(k+\mathbf{e}_j)}^{A(k+\mathbf{e}_i+\mathbf{e}_j)} \left(\partial_{ii}^2 \phi \mathbf{S}^c + \widehat{\psi}^{(i)}(\cdot, \mathbf{S})\right) d\mathbf{S}$$

- a.e. in Ω . 461
- Convergence (6.12) gives (remind that $\partial_{ii}^2 \phi$ does not depends on **S**) 462

463
$$\int_{A(k)}^{A(k+\mathbf{e}_{i})} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \Big(\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\partial_{\mathbf{s}}\phi_{\varepsilon}) - \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{S}^{(i)}} \circ \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\partial_{\mathbf{s}}\phi_{\varepsilon}) \Big) d\mathbf{S}$$
$$\forall k \in \widehat{\mathbf{K}} \qquad \rightarrow \int_{A(k)}^{A(k+\mathbf{e}_{i})} \Big(\partial_{ii}^{2}\phi \, \mathbf{S}^{c} + \widehat{\psi}^{(i)} \Big) d\mathbf{S}$$
$$= \partial_{ii}^{2}\phi \int_{k_{i}l_{i}}^{(k_{i}+1)l_{i}} \Big(t - \frac{1}{2} \Big) dt + \int_{A(k)}^{A(k+\mathbf{e}_{i})} \widehat{\psi}^{(i)}(x,\mathbf{S}) d\mathbf{S}.$$

464 Similarly, one has $(j \neq i)$

$$\int_{A(k+\mathbf{e}_{j})}^{A(k+\mathbf{e}_{j}+\mathbf{e}_{i})} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \Big(\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\partial_{\mathbf{s}}\phi_{\varepsilon}) - \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{S}^{(i)}} \circ \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\partial_{\mathbf{s}}\phi_{\varepsilon}) \Big) d\mathbf{S}$$
$$\rightarrow \partial_{ii}^{2}\phi \int_{k_{i}l_{i}}^{(k_{i}+1)l_{i}} \Big(t - \frac{1}{2}\Big) dt + \int_{A(k+\mathbf{e}_{j})}^{A(k+\mathbf{e}_{j}+\mathbf{e}_{i})} \widehat{\psi}^{(i)}(x,\mathbf{S}) d\mathbf{S}$$

466 and same kind of results for the other two quantities.

467 Hence, to get (6.13), we have to prove that both quantities

468 (6.15)
$$\int_{A(k)}^{A(k+\mathbf{e}_{i})} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \Big(\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\partial_{\mathbf{s}}\phi_{\varepsilon}) - \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{S}^{(i)}} \circ \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\partial_{\mathbf{s}}\phi_{\varepsilon}) \Big) d\mathbf{S}$$
$$+ \int_{A(k+\mathbf{e}_{i})}^{A(k+\mathbf{e}_{i}+\mathbf{e}_{j})} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \Big(\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\partial_{\mathbf{s}}\phi_{\varepsilon}) - \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{S}^{(j)}} \circ \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\partial_{\mathbf{s}}\phi_{\varepsilon}) \Big) d\mathbf{S}$$

469 and

470 (6.16)
$$\int_{A(k)}^{A(k+\mathbf{e}_j)} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \Big(\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\partial_{\mathbf{s}}\phi_{\varepsilon}) - \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{S}^{(j)}} \circ \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\partial_{\mathbf{s}}\phi_{\varepsilon}) \Big) d\mathbf{S}$$
$$+ \int_{A(k+\mathbf{e}_j)}^{A(k+\mathbf{e}_j+\mathbf{e}_i)} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \Big(\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\partial_{\mathbf{s}}\phi_{\varepsilon}) - \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{S}^{(i)}} \circ \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\partial_{\mathbf{s}}\phi_{\varepsilon}) \Big) d\mathbf{S}$$

admit the same limit or equivalently that the limit of their difference is 0. First we note that

$$\begin{split} \int_{A(k)}^{A(k+\mathbf{e}_i)} \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\partial_{\mathbf{s}}\phi_{\varepsilon}) d\mathbf{S} &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{A(k)}^{A(k+\mathbf{e}_i)} \partial_{\mathbf{S}} \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\phi_{\varepsilon}) d\mathbf{S} \\ &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \Big(\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\phi_{\varepsilon}) \big(\cdot, A(k+\mathbf{e}_i)\big) - \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\phi_{\varepsilon}) \big(\cdot, A(k)\big) \Big) \text{ a.e. in } \widetilde{\Omega}_{\varepsilon}. \end{split}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{split} & \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \Big(\int_{A(k)}^{A(k+\mathbf{e}_i)} \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\partial_{\mathbf{s}}\phi_{\varepsilon}) d\mathbf{S} + \int_{A(k+\mathbf{e}_i)}^{A(k+\mathbf{e}_j+\mathbf{e}_i)} \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\partial_{\mathbf{s}}\phi_{\varepsilon}) d\mathbf{S} \Big) \\ & = & \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \Big(\int_{A(k)}^{A(k+\mathbf{e}_j)} \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\partial_{\mathbf{s}}\phi_{\varepsilon}) d\mathbf{S} + \int_{A(k+\mathbf{e}_j)}^{A(k+\mathbf{e}_i+\mathbf{e}_j)} \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\partial_{\mathbf{s}}\phi_{\varepsilon}) d\mathbf{S} \Big) \text{ a.e. in } \widetilde{\Omega}_{\varepsilon}. \end{split}$$

Now, recall that the function $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{S}^{(i)}} \circ \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\partial_{\mathbf{s}}\phi_{\varepsilon})$ is defined on $\widetilde{\Omega}_{\varepsilon} \times \mathcal{S}^{(i)}$ and is constant on every line of $\mathcal{S}^{(i)}$. One has a.e. in $\widetilde{\Omega}_{\varepsilon}$

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{S}^{(i)}} \circ \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\partial_{\mathbf{s}}\phi_{\varepsilon}) = \int_{A(k')}^{A(k')+\mathbf{e}_{i}} \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\partial_{\mathbf{s}}\phi_{\varepsilon}) d\mathbf{S} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{A(k')}^{A(k')+\mathbf{e}_{i}} \partial_{\mathbf{S}} \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\phi_{\varepsilon}) d\mathbf{S}$$
$$= \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \Big(\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\phi_{\varepsilon}) \big(\cdot, A(k') + \mathbf{e}_{i} \big) - \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\phi_{\varepsilon}) \big(\cdot, A(k') \big) \Big)$$

on $\widetilde{\Omega}_{\varepsilon} \times [A(k'), A(k') + \mathbf{e}_i], k' \in \widehat{\mathbf{K}}_i$. Hence

$$\int_{A(k)}^{A(k+\mathbf{e}_{i})} \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{S}^{(i)}} \circ \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\partial_{\mathbf{s}}\phi_{\varepsilon}) d\mathbf{S}$$
$$= \frac{l_{i}}{\varepsilon} \Big(\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\phi_{\varepsilon}) \big(\cdot, A(k') + \mathbf{e}_{i} \big) - \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\phi_{\varepsilon}) \big(\cdot, A(k') \big) \Big) \quad \text{a.e. in} \quad \widetilde{\Omega}_{\varepsilon},$$

22

471 where $k' \in \hat{\mathbf{K}}_i$ is such that $k = k' + k_i \mathbf{e}_i$. Hence, we get

472
$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \Big(\int_{A(k)}^{A(k+\mathbf{e}_{i})} \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{S}^{(i)}} \circ \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\partial_{\mathbf{s}}\phi_{\varepsilon}) d\mathbf{S} - \int_{A(k+\mathbf{e}_{j})}^{A(k+\mathbf{e}_{j}+\mathbf{e}_{i})} \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{S}^{(i)}} \circ \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\partial_{\mathbf{s}}\phi_{\varepsilon}) d\mathbf{S} \Big) \\
= \frac{l_{i}}{\varepsilon^{2}} \Big(\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\phi_{\varepsilon}) \big(\cdot, A(k') + \mathbf{e}_{i} \big) - \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\phi_{\varepsilon}) \big(\cdot, A(k') \big) \\
- \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\phi_{\varepsilon}) \big(\cdot, A(k'+\mathbf{e}_{j}) + \mathbf{e}_{i} \big) + \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\phi_{\varepsilon}) \big(\cdot, A(k'+\mathbf{e}_{j}) \big) \Big) \text{ a.e. in } \widetilde{\Omega}_{\varepsilon}$$

473 where $k' \in \widehat{\mathbf{K}}_i$ is such that $k = k' + k_i \mathbf{e}_i$.

Now, we can apply Lemma 8.1 and claim that the limit of the difference of the quantities in (6.15) and (6.16) is equal to 0. This proves (6.14) for every $k \in \widehat{\mathbf{K}}$. As a consequence, there exists a unique $\widehat{\phi} \in L^p(\Omega; W^{2,p}_{per,0}(\mathcal{S}))$ such that convergence (6.10)₃ holds.

7. Application: homogenization of a fourth 4th order homogeneous Dirichlet problem on a periodic lattice structure. We can now give a direct application of the periodic unfolding for sequences in $H^2(S_{\varepsilon})$.

From now on, let Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N with a $\mathcal{C}^{1,1}$ boundary. Let $\{A_{\varepsilon}\}_{\varepsilon}$ be the sequence of functions belonging to $L^{\infty}(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon})$ defined by

$$A_{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{s}) \doteq A\left(\left\{\frac{\mathbf{s}}{\varepsilon}\right\}\right) \quad \text{for a.e. } \mathbf{s} \in \mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon}$$

478 where A belongs to $L^{\infty}(\mathcal{S})$ satisfies

479 (7.1)
$$\exists (c, C) \in (0, +\infty)^2$$
 such that $c \leq A(\mathbf{S}) \leq C$ for a.e. $\mathbf{S} \in \mathcal{S}$
and let $\{g_{\varepsilon}\}_{\varepsilon}$ and $\{f_{\varepsilon}\}_{\varepsilon}$ be sequences in $L^2(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon})$.

Set the space

$$H_0^1(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon}) \doteq \left\{ \phi \in H^1(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon}) \mid \phi = 0 \text{ a.e. on } \partial \widetilde{\Omega}_{\varepsilon} \cap \mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon} \right\}.$$

By the Poincaré and Poincaré–Wirtinger inequalities, we have

$$\forall \phi \in H^1_0(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon}) \cap H^2(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon}), \qquad \|\phi\|_{L^2(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon})} \le C \|\partial_{\mathbf{s}}\phi\|_{L^2(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon})} \le C \|\partial_{\mathbf{s}}^2\phi\|_{L^2(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon})},$$

- 480 where the constants do not depend on the parameter ε (note that $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{S}^{(i)}}(\partial_{\mathbf{s}}\phi) = 0$ for
- 481 every $i \in \{1, ..., N\}$).
- 482 Consider the 4th order homogeneous Dirichlet problem in variational formulation:

 $\begin{cases} \text{Find } u_{\varepsilon} \in H^1_0(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon}) \cap H^2(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon}) \text{ such that:} \\ \int \mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon} = \int \mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon} \int \mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon} d\varepsilon \\ \int \mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon} = \int \mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon} d\varepsilon \\ \int \mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon} d\varepsilon$

$$3 \quad (7.2) \quad \left\{ \int_{\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon}} A_{\varepsilon} \partial_{\mathbf{s}}^{2} u_{\varepsilon} \, \partial_{\mathbf{s}}^{2} \phi \, d\mathbf{s} = \int_{\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon}} g_{\varepsilon} \, \partial_{\mathbf{s}} \phi \, d\mathbf{s} + \int_{\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon}} f_{\varepsilon} \, \phi \, d\mathbf{s}, \quad \forall \phi \in H_{0}^{1}(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon}) \cap H^{2}(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon}). \right\}$$

The Lax-Milgram theorem implies that the problem (7.2) has a unique solution.
Moreover, one has

$$486 c \|\partial_{\mathbf{s}}^{2} u_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon})}^{2} \leq \|g_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon})}\|\partial_{\mathbf{s}} u_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon})} + \|f_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon})}\|u_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon})}$$

$$485 \leq C (\|g_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon})} + \|f_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon})}) \|\partial_{\mathbf{s}}^{2} u_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon})}.$$

489 Hence

490 (7.3)
$$\|u_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon})} + \|\partial_{\mathbf{s}}u_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon})} + \|\partial_{\mathbf{s}}^{2}u_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon})} \leq C(\|g_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon})} + \|f_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon})}).$$

- 491 The constant does not depend on ε .
- 492 Below, we give the periodic homogenization via unfolding.

493 THEOREM 7.1. Let u_{ε} be the solution of problem (7.2) and $\{g_{\varepsilon}\}_{\varepsilon}$, $\{f_{\varepsilon}\}_{\varepsilon}$ satisfying

494 (7.4)
$$\varepsilon^{\frac{1-N}{2}} \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(g_{\varepsilon}) \to g \quad strongly \ in \quad L^{2}(\Omega \times \mathcal{S}),$$
$$\varepsilon^{\frac{1-N}{2}} \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(f_{\varepsilon}) \to f \quad strongly \ in \quad L^{2}(\Omega \times \mathcal{S}).$$

495 Then, there exist functions $u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega)$ and $\widehat{u} \in L^2(\Omega; H^2_{per,0}(\mathcal{S}))$ such that 496 $(i \in \{1, \ldots, N\})$

497 (7.5)
$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(u_{\varepsilon}) \to u & strongly \ in \quad L^{2}(\Omega; H^{2}(\mathcal{S})), \\ \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\partial_{\mathbf{s}}u_{\varepsilon}) \to \partial_{i}u & weakly \ in \quad L^{2}(\Omega; H^{1}(\mathcal{S}^{(i)})), \\ \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\partial_{\mathbf{s}}^{2}u_{\varepsilon}) \to \partial_{ii}^{2}u + \partial_{\mathbf{S}}^{2}\widehat{u} & strongly \ in \quad L^{2}(\Omega \times \mathcal{S}^{(i)}). \end{aligned}$$

498 The couple (u, \hat{u}) is the unique solution of problem

$$499 \quad (7.6) \qquad \begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{|\mathcal{S}|} \int_{\Omega \times \mathcal{S}^{(i)}} A\left(\partial_{ii}^{2}u + \partial_{\mathbf{S}}^{2}\widehat{u}\right) \left(\partial_{ii}^{2}\phi + \partial_{\mathbf{S}}^{2}\widehat{\phi}\right) dx d\mathbf{S} \\ = \int_{\Omega} G \cdot \nabla \phi \, dx + \int_{\Omega} F \, \phi \, dx, \\ \forall \phi \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \cap H^{2}(\Omega) \quad and \quad \forall \widehat{\phi} \in L^{2}(\Omega; H_{per,0}^{2}(\mathcal{S})) \end{cases}$$

where

$$G \doteq \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{|\mathcal{S}|} \Big(\int_{\mathcal{S}^{(i)}} g(\cdot, \mathbf{S}) \, d\mathbf{S} \Big) \mathbf{e}_i, \qquad F \doteq \frac{1}{|\mathcal{S}|} \int_{\mathcal{S}} f(\cdot, \mathbf{S}) \, d\mathbf{S}.$$

500 Proof. Step 1. We show (7.6).

501 The solution u_{ε} of (7.2) satisfies (7.3). Due to the convergences (7.4) we have that

$$\|u_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon})} + \|\partial_{\mathbf{s}}u_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon})} + \|\partial_{\mathbf{s}}^{2}u_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon})} \leq C\varepsilon^{\frac{1-N}{2}}.$$

503 The constant does not depend on ε .

Hence, up to a subsequence of $\{\varepsilon\}$, still denoted $\{\varepsilon\}$, Theorem 6.4 gives functions $u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega)$ and $\hat{u} \in L^p(\Omega; H^2_{per,0}(\mathcal{S}))$ such that the following convergences hold $(i \in \{1, \ldots, N\})$:

507

502

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(u_{\varepsilon}) &\to u \quad \text{strongly in} \quad L^{2}(\Omega; H^{2}(\mathcal{S})), \\ \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\partial_{\mathbf{s}} u_{\varepsilon}) &\rightharpoonup \partial_{i} u \quad \text{weakly in} \quad L^{2}(\Omega; H^{1}(\mathcal{S}^{(i)})), \\ \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\partial_{\mathbf{s}}^{2} u_{\varepsilon}) &\rightharpoonup \partial_{ii}^{2} u + \partial_{\mathbf{S}}^{2} \widehat{u} \quad \text{weakly in} \quad L^{2}(\Omega \times \mathcal{S}^{(i)}). \end{split}$$

508 Now, we choose the test functions

509 500 510 511 • ϕ in $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\overline{\Omega}) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$, • Φ in $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$, 511 • $\hat{\phi}$ in $H_{per,0}^2(\mathcal{S})$.

Set

$$\phi_{\varepsilon}(x) \doteq \varepsilon^{\frac{1-N}{2}} \Big(\phi(\mathbf{s}) + \varepsilon^2 \Phi(\mathbf{s}) \widehat{\phi} \Big(\frac{\mathbf{s}}{\varepsilon} \Big) \Big), \quad \text{a.e. } \mathbf{s} \in \mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon}.$$

512 Applying the unfolding operator to the sequence $\{\phi_{\varepsilon}\}_{\varepsilon}$, we get that $(i \in \{1, \ldots, N\})$

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\phi_{\varepsilon}) &\to \phi \quad \text{strongly in} \quad L^{2}(\Omega; H^{2}(\mathcal{S})), \\ \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\partial_{\mathbf{s}}\phi_{\varepsilon}) &\to \partial_{i}\phi \quad \text{strongly in} \quad L^{2}(\Omega; H^{1}(\mathcal{S}^{(i)})), \\ \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\partial_{\mathbf{s}}^{2}\phi_{\varepsilon}) &\to \partial_{ii}^{2}\phi + \Phi\partial_{\mathbf{S}}^{2}\widehat{\phi} \quad \text{strongly in} \quad L^{2}(\Omega \times \mathcal{S}^{(i)}). \end{split}$$

the limit give (7.6) with $(\phi, \Phi \widehat{\phi})$. By density argumentation, we extend such results

to all $\phi \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega)$ and $\widehat{\phi} \in L^2(\Omega; H^2_{per,0}(\mathcal{S}))$. Since the solution is unique, the whole sequences converge to their limit.

518 Step 2. We show that convergence $(7.5)_3$ is strong.

519 Taking $\phi = u_{\varepsilon}$ in (7.2), then transforming by unfolding and using the weak lower 520 semicontinuity yield

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega \times \mathcal{S}^{(i)}} A \left| \partial_{ii}^{2} u + \partial_{\mathbf{S}}^{2} \widehat{u} \right|^{2} dx d\mathbf{S} \\ &\leq \liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega \times \mathcal{S}} \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}(A_{\varepsilon}) \left| \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\partial_{\mathbf{s}}^{2} u_{\varepsilon}) \right|^{2} dx d\mathbf{S} \leq \liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} \varepsilon^{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon}} A_{\varepsilon} \left| \partial_{\mathbf{s}}^{2} u_{\varepsilon} \right|^{2} d\mathbf{s} \\ &\leq \limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0} \varepsilon^{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon}} A_{\varepsilon} \left| \partial_{\mathbf{s}}^{2} u_{\varepsilon} \right|^{2} d\mathbf{s} = \limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0} \varepsilon^{N-1} \Big(\int_{\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon}} g_{\varepsilon} \partial_{\mathbf{s}} u_{\varepsilon} d\mathbf{s} + \int_{\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon}} f_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon} d\mathbf{s} \Big) \\ &= |\mathcal{S}| \Big(\int_{\Omega} G \cdot \nabla \phi \, dx + \int_{\Omega} F \, \phi \, dx \Big) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega \times \mathcal{S}^{(i)}} A \left| \partial_{ii}^{2} u + \partial_{\mathbf{s}}^{2} \widehat{u} \right|^{2} dx d\mathbf{S}. \end{split}$$

Also observe that

521

$$\begin{split} & \liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega \times \mathcal{S}} \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}(A_{\varepsilon}) \left| \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\partial_{\mathbf{s}}^{2} u_{\varepsilon}) \right|^{2} dx d\mathbf{S} \leq \limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega \times \mathcal{S}} \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}(A_{\varepsilon}) \left| \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\partial_{\mathbf{s}}^{2} u_{\varepsilon}) \right|^{2} dx d\mathbf{S} \\ & \leq \limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0} \varepsilon^{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon}} A_{\varepsilon} \left| \partial_{\mathbf{s}}^{2} u_{\varepsilon} \right|^{2} d\mathbf{s} \end{split}$$

522 From the above inequalities it follows that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon}} \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(A_{\varepsilon}) \left| \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\partial_{\mathbf{s}}^{2}u_{\varepsilon}) \right|^{2} dx d\mathbf{S}$$
$$= \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon}} A_{\varepsilon} \left| \partial_{\mathbf{s}}^{2}u_{\varepsilon} \right|^{2} d\mathbf{s} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega \times \mathcal{S}^{(i)}} A \left| \partial_{ii}^{2}u + \partial_{\mathbf{s}}^{2}\widehat{u} \right|^{2} dx d\mathbf{S}.$$

524 Since the map $\Psi \in L^2(\Omega \times S) \longrightarrow \sqrt{\int_{\Omega \times S} A |\Psi|^2 dx d\mathbf{S}}$ is a norm equivalent to the 525 usual norm of $L^2(\Omega \times S)$, we get

526
$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\Omega \times S} \left| \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}} (\partial_{\mathbf{s}}^2 u_{\varepsilon}) \right|^2 dx d\mathbf{S} = \int_{\Omega \times S} \left| \partial_{ii}^2 u + \partial_{\mathbf{S}}^2 \widehat{u} \right|^2 dx d\mathbf{S}.$$

- 527 This, together with the fact that $(7.5)_3$ already converge weakly, ensures the strong 528 convergence.
- 529 We define the corrector $\hat{\chi}_k, k \in \{1, \dots, N\}$, as the unique solution in $H^2_{per,0}(\mathcal{S})$ of the 530 cell problem

531 (7.7)
$$\int_{\mathcal{S}} A\left(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{S}^{(k)}} + \partial_{\mathbf{S}}^{2} \widehat{\chi}_{k}\right) \partial_{\mathbf{S}}^{2} \widehat{w} \, d\mathbf{S} = 0, \quad \forall \widehat{w} \in H^{2}_{per,0}(\mathcal{S}).$$

532

THEOREM 7.2. The function $u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega)$ is the unique solution of the 533 following homogenized problem: 534

535 (7.8)
$$\begin{cases} \int_{\Omega} A^{hom} \partial^2 u \cdot \partial^2 \phi \, dx = \int_{\Omega} G \cdot \nabla \phi \, dx + \int_{\Omega} F \, \phi \, dx, \\ \forall \phi \in H^1_0(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega), \end{cases}$$

536 where
$$\partial^2 u \doteq \begin{pmatrix} \partial^2_{11} u \\ \vdots \\ \partial^2_{NN} u \end{pmatrix}$$
 and $\partial^2 \phi \doteq \begin{pmatrix} \partial^2_{11} \phi \\ \vdots \\ \partial^2_{NN} \phi \end{pmatrix}$

The homogenized matrix A^{hom} is given by $((i, j) \in \{1, \dots, N\}^2)$ 537

538 (7.9)
$$A_{ij}^{hom} \doteq \frac{1}{|\mathcal{S}|} \int_{\mathcal{S}} A\left(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{S}^{(i)}} + \partial_{\mathbf{S}}^2 \widehat{\chi}_i\right) \left(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{S}^{(j)}} + \partial_{\mathbf{S}}^2 \widehat{\chi}_j\right) d\mathbf{S}.$$

Proof. Equation (7.6) with $\phi = 0$ leads to 539

540
$$\begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega \times \mathcal{S}^{(i)}} A\left(\partial_{ii}^{2} u + \partial_{\mathbf{S}}^{2} \widehat{u}\right) \partial_{\mathbf{S}}^{2} \widehat{\phi} \, dx d\mathbf{S} = 0, \\ \forall \widehat{\phi} \in L^{2}(\Omega; H^{2}_{per,0}(\mathcal{S})), \end{cases}$$

from which we obtain the form of the cell problems (7.7) and thus the representation 541of \widehat{u} 542

543
$$\widehat{u}(x,\mathbf{S}) = \sum_{k=1}^{N} \partial_{kk}^{2} u(x) \,\widehat{\chi}_{k}(\mathbf{S}), \quad \text{for a.e. } (x,\mathbf{S}) \in \Omega \times \mathcal{S}.$$

Replacing the above expression of \hat{u} in (7.6) and choosing

$$\widehat{\phi}(x, \mathbf{S}) = \sum_{k=1}^{N} \partial_{kk}^2 \phi(x) \,\widehat{\chi}_k(\mathbf{S}), \quad \text{for a.e. } (x, \mathbf{S}) \in \Omega \times \mathcal{S}$$

lead to the following left hand side of (7.6):

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{|\mathcal{S}|} \int_{\Omega \times \mathcal{S}} A\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{S}^{(i)}} + \partial_{\mathbf{S}}^{2} \widehat{\chi}_{i}\right) \partial_{ii}^{2} u\right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} \left(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{S}^{(j)}} + \partial_{\mathbf{S}}^{2} \widehat{\chi}_{j}\right) \partial_{jj}^{2} \phi\right) dx d\mathbf{S} \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i, j=1}^{N} \left(\frac{1}{|\mathcal{S}|} \int_{\mathcal{S}} A\left(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{S}^{(i)}} + \partial_{\mathbf{S}}^{2} \widehat{\chi}_{i}\right) \left(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{S}^{(j)}} + \partial_{\mathbf{S}}^{2} \widehat{\chi}_{j}\right) d\mathbf{S}\right) \partial_{ii}^{2} u \, \partial_{jj}^{2} \phi \, dx. \end{split}$$

Taking into account (7.7), the above expression becomes $\int_{\Omega} A^{hom} \partial^2 u \cdot \partial^2 \phi \, dx$ with 544

545

the matrix A^{hom} given by (7.9). We prove now that A^{hom} is coercive. Let $\boldsymbol{\xi} = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N$ be a vector with 546fixed entries. From (7.9) we first have 547

548
$$A^{hom}\boldsymbol{\xi} \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi} = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{S}|} \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} \int_{\mathcal{S}} A\left(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{S}^{(i)}} + \partial_{\mathbf{S}}^{2} \widehat{\chi}_{i}\right) \left(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{S}^{(j)}} + \partial_{\mathbf{S}}^{2} \widehat{\chi}_{j}\right) d\mathbf{S} \,\xi_{i} \,\xi_{j}$$

549
550
$$= \frac{1}{|\mathcal{S}|} \int_{\mathcal{S}} A(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\xi}} + \partial_{\mathbf{S}}^2 \widehat{\chi}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}})^2 d\mathbf{S}$$

where

55

$$\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \doteq \sum_{i=1}^{N} \xi_{i} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{S}^{(i)}}, \qquad \widehat{\chi}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} = \sum_{k=1}^{N} \xi_{k} \, \widehat{\chi}_{k}, \quad \text{a.e. in } \mathcal{S} \text{ and for all } \boldsymbol{\xi} \in \mathbb{R}^{N}.$$

551 Then, by hypothesis (7.1) on A, we get

552
553
$$A^{hom}\boldsymbol{\xi} \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi} \ge \frac{c}{|\mathcal{S}|} \| \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\xi}} + \partial_{\mathbf{S}}^2 \widehat{\chi}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \|_{L^2(\mathcal{S})}^2$$

554 By the periodicity of $\partial_{\mathbf{s}} \widehat{\chi}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}$, for every $\boldsymbol{\xi} \in \mathbb{R}^N$ we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\xi}} + \partial_{\mathbf{S}}^{2} \widehat{\chi}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \|_{L^{2}(S)}^{2} &= \|\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\xi}}\|_{L^{2}(S)}^{2} + \|\partial_{\mathbf{S}}^{2} \widehat{\chi}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \|_{L^{2}(S)}^{2} \geq \|\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\xi}}\|_{L^{2}(S)}^{2} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{N} |\mathcal{S}^{(i)}| |\xi_{i}|^{2} \geq \min_{k} |\mathcal{S}^{(k)}| \sum_{i=1}^{N} |\xi_{i}|^{2} = \left(\min_{k} |\mathcal{S}^{(k)}|\right) |\boldsymbol{\xi}|^{2}. \end{aligned}$$

556 Thus the coercivity of A^{hom} is proved since

557
$$A^{hom} \boldsymbol{\xi} \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi} \ge c |\boldsymbol{\xi}|^2, \quad \forall \boldsymbol{\xi} \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

By the coercivity of A^{hom} and the fact that $u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega)$, problem (7.8) admits a unique solution.

560 **8.** Appendix.

561 LEMMA 8.1. Let $\{\phi_{\varepsilon}\}_{\varepsilon}$ be a sequence in $W^{2,p}(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon}), p \in (1, +\infty)$, satisfying

562
$$\|\phi_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{p}(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon})} + \|\partial_{\mathbf{s}}\phi_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{p}(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon})} + \|\partial_{\mathbf{s}}^{2}\phi_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{p}(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon})} \le C\varepsilon^{\frac{1-N}{p}}.$$

For every $k' \in \widehat{\mathbf{K}}$ we define in $\widetilde{\Omega}_{\varepsilon} \times \widehat{\mathbf{K}}_i$ the piecewise constant function $\Phi_{\varepsilon}^{(i,j)}$, where $(i,j) \in \{1,\ldots,N\}^2$, $i \neq j$, by

$$\Phi_{\varepsilon}^{(i,j)}(\cdot,k') \doteq \begin{cases} \frac{l_i}{\varepsilon^2} \Big(\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\phi_{\varepsilon}) \big(\cdot,A(k')+\mathbf{e}_i\big) - \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\phi_{\varepsilon}) \big(\cdot,A(k')\big) \\ -\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\phi_{\varepsilon}) \big(\cdot,A(k'+\mathbf{e}_j)+\mathbf{e}_i\big) + \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\phi_{\varepsilon}) \big(\cdot,A(k'+\mathbf{e}_j)\big) \Big) \\ a.e. \ in \ \widetilde{\Omega}_{\varepsilon} \times \widehat{\mathbf{K}}_i, \\ 0 \qquad a.e. \ in \ \left(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \overline{\widetilde{\Omega}_{\varepsilon}}\right) \times \widehat{\mathbf{K}}_i. \end{cases}$$

Then, there exist a subsequence of $\{\varepsilon\}$, still denoted $\{\varepsilon\}$, and $\phi \in W^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap W^{2,p}_{loc}(\Omega)$ such that $((i,j) \in \{1,\ldots,N\}^2, i \neq j, k' \in \widehat{\mathbf{K}}_i)$

$$\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\phi_{\varepsilon}) \to \phi \quad strongly \ in \quad L^{p}(\Omega; W^{2,p}(\mathcal{S})),$$
565 (8.1)
$$\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\partial_{\mathbf{s}}\phi_{\varepsilon}) \rightharpoonup \partial_{j}\phi \quad weakly \ in \quad L^{p}(\Omega; W^{1,p}(\mathcal{S}^{(j)})),$$

$$\Phi_{\varepsilon}^{(i,j)}(\cdot, k') \rightharpoonup -l_{i}l_{j}\partial_{ij}^{2}\phi \quad weakly \ in \quad W^{-1,p}(\mathbb{R}^{N}).$$

Proof. There exist a subsequence of $\{\varepsilon\}$, still denoted $\{\varepsilon\}$, and a function ϕ in the space $W^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap W^{2,p}_{loc}(\Omega)$ such that convergences $(8.1)_{1,2}$ hold (see Theorem 6.4).

Now, let ψ be in $W^{1,p'}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, one has

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\Omega} \psi(x) \, \Phi_{\varepsilon}^{(i,j)}(x,k') \, dx \\ &= \varepsilon^{N} \sum_{\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^{N}} \mathcal{M}_{Y}(\psi)(\varepsilon\xi) \frac{l_{i}}{\varepsilon^{2}} \Big(\phi_{\varepsilon} \big(\varepsilon\xi + \varepsilon A(k') + \varepsilon \mathbf{e}_{i} \big) - \phi_{\varepsilon} \big(\varepsilon\xi + \varepsilon A(k') \big) \\ &\quad - \phi_{\varepsilon} \big(\varepsilon\xi + \varepsilon A(k' + \varepsilon \mathbf{e}_{j}) + \varepsilon \mathbf{e}_{i} \big) + \phi_{\varepsilon} \big(\varepsilon\xi + \varepsilon A(k' + \mathbf{e}_{j}) \big) \Big) \\ &= \varepsilon^{N} l_{i} \sum_{\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^{N}} \frac{\mathcal{M}_{Y}(\psi)(\varepsilon\xi - \varepsilon \mathbf{e}_{i}) - \mathcal{M}_{Y}(\psi)(\varepsilon\xi)}{\varepsilon} \, \frac{\phi_{\varepsilon} \big(\varepsilon\xi + \varepsilon A(k') \big) - \phi_{\varepsilon} \big(\varepsilon\xi + \varepsilon A(k' + \mathbf{e}_{j}) \big)}{\varepsilon} \\ &= l_{i} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\psi - \psi(\cdot - \varepsilon \mathbf{e}_{i})}{\varepsilon} \Big(\int_{A(k')}^{A(k' + \mathbf{e}_{j})} \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{S}}(\partial_{\mathbf{s}}\phi_{\varepsilon}) \, d\mathbf{S} \Big) dx. \end{split}$$

Then, due to convergences $(8.1)_2$, we get

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\Omega} \psi(x) \, \Phi_{\varepsilon}^{(i,j)}(x,k') \, dx = l_i \int_{\Omega} \partial_i \psi \Big(\int_{A(k')}^{A(k'+\mathbf{e}_j)} \partial_j \phi \, d\mathbf{S} \Big) dx = l_i l_j \int_{\Omega} \partial_i \psi \partial_j \phi \, dx.$$

Hence, (8.1)₃ is proved.

566Hence, $(8.1)_3$ is proved.

567 568

569

570

571572

573

574

576

578

579

580

581

582

583

584

585 586

587

588

589590

REFERENCES

- [1] Abrate S.: Continuum modeling of lattice structures III, Shock Vibration Digest 23 (1991) 16-21.
- [2] Caillerie D. and Moreau G.: Homogénéisation discrète: application aux treillis en forme de coque et à l'élasticité. Huitièmes entretiens du centre Jacques Cartier, Élasticité, viscoélasticité et contrôle optimal, aspects théoriques et numériques, Lyon, France 68, Décembre 1995.
- [3] Gilbarg D. and Trudinger N. S.: Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order, Springer Verlag, Heldelberg, New York, 1997.
- [4] Panasenko G. P.: Homogenization of lattice-like domains: L-convergence. Pitman research notes in mathematics series, (1998), 259-280.
- [5] Lenczner M. and Senouci-Bereksi G.: Homogenization of electrical networks including voltage-to-voltage amplifiers, Math. Models and Methods in Appl. Sciences, 9, 6 (1999), 899-932.
- [6] Casado-Diaz J., Luna-Laynez M., and Martin J. D.: An adaptation of the multi-scale methods for the analysis of very thin reticulated structures, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math., 332 (2001), 223-228.
- [7] Lenczner M. and Mercier D.: Homogenization of periodic electrical networks including voltage to current amplifiers, SIAM Multiscale Model. Simul., 2, 3 (2004), 359-397.
- [8] Cioranescu D., Damlamian A. and Griso G.: The Periodic Unfolding Method. Theory and Applications to Partial Differential Problems. Springer, Singapore (2018). 10.1007/978-981-13-3032-2.
- [9] Griso G., Hauck M., Orlik J.: Asymptotic analysis for periodic perforated shells. ESAIM: M2AN. https://doi.org/10.1051/m2an/2020067
- [10] Griso G., Orlik J., Wackerle S.: Asymptotic Behavior for Textiles in von-Kármán regime. J. Math. Pures Appl, 144, 164-193 (2020)
- [11] Griso G., Orlik J., Wackerle S.: Homogenization of textiles. SIAM J. Math. Anal., 52(2), 5931639-1689, (2020)
- [12] Griso G., Khilkova L., Orlik J., Sivak O.: Homogenization of perforated elastic structures, J. 595596Elast., 141, 181-225 (2020), DOI: 10.1007/s10659-020-09781
- 597 [13] Griso G., Khilkova L., Orlik J., Sivak O.: Asymptotic behavior of Stable Structures Made of 598 Beams. J. Elast (2021). https://doi.org/10.1007/s10659-021-09816-w
- 599 [14] Falconi R., Griso G. and Orlik J.: Periodic unfolding for anisotropically bounded sequences 600 (submitted)
- 601 [15] Griso G., Khilkova L., Orlik J.: Asymptotic behavior of unstable structures made of beams 602 (submitted).