



HAL
open science

T 1D -weighted ihMT imaging – Part I. Isolation of long- and short-T 1D components by T 1D -filtering

Andreea Hertanu, Lucas Soustelle, Arnaud Le Troter, Julie Buron, Julie Le Priellec, Victor N D Carvalho, Myriam Cayre, Pascale Durbec, Gopal Varma, David C Alsop, et al.

► To cite this version:

Andreea Hertanu, Lucas Soustelle, Arnaud Le Troter, Julie Buron, Julie Le Priellec, et al.. T 1D -weighted ihMT imaging – Part I. Isolation of long- and short-T 1D components by T 1D -filtering. *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine*, 2022, 10.1002/mrm.29139 . hal-03529187

HAL Id: hal-03529187

<https://hal.science/hal-03529187>

Submitted on 17 Jan 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1
2
3
4 **T_{1D}-weighted ihMT imaging – Part I. Isolation of long and short T_{1D}**
5
6
7 **components by T_{1D}-filtering**
8
9

10 **Andreea Hertanu^{1,2}, Lucas Soustelle^{1,2}, Arnaud Le Troter^{1,2}, Julie Buron^{1,2,3}, Julie Le**
11
12 **Priellec³, Victor N.D. Carvalho^{1,2,4}, Myriam Cayre³, Pascale Durbec³, Gopal Varma⁵,**
13
14
15 **David C. Alsop⁵, Olivier M. Girard^{1,2}, Guillaume Duhamel^{1,2*}**
16
17

- 18
19 **1. Aix Marseille Univ, CNRS, CRMBM, Marseille, France**
20
21
22 **2. APHM, Hôpital Universitaire Timone, CEMEREM, Marseille, France**
23
24
25 **3. Aix Marseille Univ, CNRS, IBDM, Marseille, France**
26
27
28 **4. Aix Marseille Univ, CNRS, ICR, Marseille, France**
29
30
31
32 **5. Division of MR Research, Radiology, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center,**
33
34 **Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, United States**
35
36
37
38
39
40

41 ***Corresponding author:**
42

43
44 Guillaume Duhamel
45

46
47 Centre de Résonance Magnétique Biologique et Médicale
48

49 Aix Marseille University, CNRS UMR 7339
50

51
52 13005 Marseille, France
53

54 guillaume.duhamel@univ-amu.fr
55

56
57 +33 491 386 260
58
59
60

ABSTRACT (word count: 199)

Purpose: To identify T_{1D} filtering methods, which can specifically isolate various ranges of T_{1D} components as they may be sensitive to different microstructural properties.

Methods: Modified Bloch-Provotorov equations describing a bi- T_{1D} component biophysical model were used to simulate the ihMT signal from ihMTRAGE sequences at high RF power and low duty-cycle with different switching time values for the dual saturation experiment: $\Delta t = 0.0, 0.8, 1.6, 3.2$ ms. Simulations were compared with experimental signals on the brain grey and white matter tissues of healthy mice at 7T.

Results: The lengthening of Δt created ihMT high-pass T_{1D} -filters, which efficiently eliminated the signal from T_{1D} components shorter than 1 ms, while partially attenuating that of longer components (≥ 1 ms). Subtraction of ihMTR images obtained with $\Delta t = 0.0$ ms and $\Delta t = 0.8$ ms generated a new ihMT bandpass T_{1D} -filter isolating short T_{1D} components in the 100 μ s to 1 ms range. Simulated ihMTRs in CNS tissues were confirmed experimentally.

Conclusion: Long and short T_{1D} components were successfully isolated with high RF power and low duty-cycle ihMT filters in the healthy mouse brain. Future studies should enable the investigation of the various T_{1D} ranges microstructural correlations in in vivo tissues.

Keywords: ihMT T_{1D} -filtering, high-pass T_{1D} -filter, bandpass T_{1D} -filter, low duty-cycle, bi- T_{1D} model

Running title: Isolation of long and short T_{1D} components by ihMT T_{1D} -filtering

1
2
3
4 **Body text word count: 5346 words**
5

6
7 **INTRODUCTION**
8

9
10 Inhomogeneous magnetization transfer (ihMT) is an MRI technique (1), weighted by
11
12 T_{1D} , the dipolar order relaxation time (2), an endogenous source of contrast driven by
13
14 slow molecular dynamics and tissue microstructure (3,4).
15
16

17
18 An increasing number of ihMT studies have focused on Central Nervous System (CNS)
19
20 applications (1,2,5–25), more specifically myelin imaging. Indeed, due to its highly
21
22 organized multi-layered stack ultrastructure (26), myelin represents a suitable
23
24 candidate for ihMT imaging. Molecular motions in myelin are limited and thus generate
25
26 anisotropic dynamics and unaveraged dipolar interactions (27) that lead to dipolar
27
28 order, detected in an ihMT experiment through the difference between single- and
29
30 dual- offset frequency saturation MT images (1).
31
32
33
34
35

36
37 The T_{1D} weighting of the ihMT signal was previously shown to be adjustable through
38
39 Δt , the switching time between the application of RF pulses at positive and negative
40
41 offset frequencies in the dual saturation (10). Frequency-alternating pulses with
42
43 incremental Δt values can thus be used to eliminate the signal contribution from
44
45 components with increasing values of T_{1D} .
46
47
48
49

50
51 Such strategy, called T_{1D} -filtering, allowed contrast optimization between long T_{1D} CNS
52
53 structures and short T_{1D} muscle tissue (10) and touched upon a relationship between
54
55 the strength of the T_{1D} -filtering (i.e., value of Δt) and the specificity of ihMT to CNS
56
57 myelin (17). However, the pulse length and therefore the pulse power were not kept
58
59
60

1
2
3 constant for all investigated Δt s in either of the two studies, thereby making any
4
5 variation of the ihMT signal the mixed result of power (28) and switching time effects.
6
7

8
9 A modified version of the ihMT sequence (8) in which the pulse power could be kept
10
11 constant independent of the values of Δt , allowed quantification of T_{1D} using an
12
13 advanced biophysical model derived from the modified Bloch-Prorotorov equations
14
15 (8,10). A recent study extended this model by including multiple dipolar compartments
16
17 and showed the ihMT signal decay is more accurately characterized by a minimum of
18
19 two non-null T_{1D} components, including a sub-millisecond component, provided a
20
21 suitably high RF power is used for off-resonance saturation (18).
22
23
24
25
26
27

28 Generally speaking, approaches using high RF power for saturation are necessary for
29
30 Signal-to-Noise Ratio (SNR) considerations (18). This is especially true for preclinical
31
32 studies where the typical ihMT signal in CNS tissues is half as low (10,18) as in humans
33
34 (5,8). Thus, low RF duty-cycle approaches, which use bursts of very high peak-power
35
36 RF pulses spaced widely over time are particularly appealing as they benefit from an
37
38 enhanced sensitivity through the concentration of RF power during the off resonance
39
40 saturation, thereby increasing the effects of dipolar order (12,14) and boosting the
41
42 ihMT signal, in particular for the short T_{1D} components (17).
43
44
45
46
47
48

49 In this context, our study aimed at characterizing at constant high RF power and low
50
51 duty-cycle saturation, the effect of T_{1D} filtering achieved with different values of Δt on
52
53 ihMT signals from healthy myelinated CNS tissues, with the objective of identifying T_{1D} -
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 filters which can specifically isolate various ranges of T_{1D} components. Selection of
4
5
6 filters and interpretation of results were guided by numerical simulations.
7
8

9 **METHODS**

11 *Animal experiments*

12
13
14
15
16 Animal studies were conducted on sixteen C57Bl/6J control mice (among them, 6
17
18 genetically modified mice expressed the green fluorescent protein (GFP) under the
19
20 control of the *P₀* promoter which drives the expression of a major myelin component
21
22 in the CNS – the proteolipid protein (PLP) (29)) and were performed in agreement with
23
24 the French guidelines for animal care from the French Department of Agriculture
25
26 (Animal Rights Division), the directive 2010/63/EU of the European Parliament and of
27
28 the Council of 22 September 2010 and approved by our institutional committee on
29
30 Ethics in animal research (Comité d’Ethique de Marseille n°14, project authorization
31
32 APAFIS#1747-2015062215062372v6).
33
34
35
36
37
38
39

40 *MRI protocol and analyses*

41 *Experimental setup*

42
43
44
45
46 MR experiments were performed on a preclinical 7T scanner (PharmaScan, Bruker,
47
48 Ettlingen, Germany). A 72 mm body volume coil (Bruker, Ettlingen, Germany) and a
49
50 four-channel phased array receive-only MRI CryoProbe (Bruker, Ettlingen, Germany)
51
52 were used for excitation and reception, respectively.
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Mice were maintained under anesthesia during MR experiments by spontaneous
4 respiration of a mixture of air and isoflurane (1.5%, constant flow, 300 mL/min;
5
6 Univentor 400 anesthesia unit, Zejtun, Malta) through a dedicated nose cone. Ear bars
7
8 were used to limit the mice head movement. Respiration and temperature were
9
10 controlled throughout the experiments with an MR-compatible monitoring and gating
11
12 system (SA Instruments, Stony Brook, NY, USA). A heating blanket connected to a
13
14 water bath system was placed under the mice to carefully maintain a temperature of
15
16 37.5 ± 0.5 °C.
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

25 *Experimental protocol*

26
27
28 After positioning in the magnet isocenter, a field map-based shimming (acquisition time
29
30 1 min 22 s) was performed to optimize B_0 field homogeneity over the entire mouse
31
32 brain. T_2 -weighted (T_2w) structural images were acquired using a 2D multi-slice RARE
33
34 sequence (RARE factor = 8, TE/TR = 32/5030 ms, matrix size 256x256, in-plane voxel
35
36 size 78×78 μm^2 , slice thickness 300 μm , 49 slices covering the whole brain, acquisition
37
38 time $T_A = 5$ mins 22 s). A B_1 map was acquired prior to the ihMT protocol using the
39
40 Actual Flip Angle imaging method (30,31) (TE/TR₁/TR₂ = 2/15/60 ms, matrix size
41
42 $48 \times 48 \times 44$, $400 \times 400 \times 750$ μm^3 , FA = 60°, $T_A = 1$ min 59 s). Slab-selective 3D low duty-
43
44 cycle (12,14) ihMTRAGE sequences (22) (TE/TR = 2.1/2500.0 ms, matrix size
45
46 $192 \times 192 \times 8$, voxel size $100 \times 100 \times 750$ μm^3) covering the whole corpus callosum were
47
48 used to acquire ihMT images with four different configurations, corresponding to
49
50 different Δt values.
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 The offset saturation pattern used in the ihMTRAGE sequences is provided in Figure 1.

4
5
6 The single-offset saturation MT image (MT^+) was identical for all Δt values, whereas
7
8 for dual-offset saturation MT images (MT^\pm), the application of cosine-modulated
9
10 shaped pulses (CM), enabling saturation at both positive and negative frequency
11
12 simultaneously, was used to virtually obtain a Δt of 0.0 ms (referenced hereafter by
13
14 $\Delta t_{0.0}$). The use of alternated pulses with an increasing number of consecutive pulses
15
16 of the same polarity (i.e., 1, 2 and 4) allowed to lengthen the value of Δt to 0.8 ms, 1.6
17
18 ms and 3.2 ms (referenced hereafter by $\Delta t_{0.8}$, $\Delta t_{1.6}$ and $\Delta t_{3.2}$, respectively).
19
20
21
22
23
24

25 Other saturation parameters were identical for all Δt values: Hann-shaped pulse
26
27 duration (pw) of 0.5 ms (amplitude integral of 0.5 and power integral of 0.375 (5));
28
29 nominal peak pulse power (B_{1peak}) of 42.4 μT ; root-mean-squared saturation power
30
31 calculated over the total saturation time (B_{1RMS}^{SAT}) of 6.7 μT ; frequency offset (Δf) of
32
33 10 kHz; number of pulses per burst (N_p) of 8; burst repetition time (BTR) of 60 ms;
34
35 saturation time (τ) of 900 ms; number of bursts (N_{bursts}) of 15; and RF duty-cycle (DC)
36
37 of 6.67%, calculated as:
38
39
40
41
42
43

$$44 \quad DC = \frac{\Delta t * N_p * N_{bursts}}{\tau} \quad \text{equation 1}$$

45
46
47 The acquisition time of each individual MT-weighted and MT_0 image was 20 s, hence
48
49 leading to an acquisition time of 1 min 40 s for one ihMTR image. However, for SNR
50
51 consideration, a total number of 6, 12, 12 and 18 repetitions of MT^+ , MT^- , MT^\pm and MT^\mp
52
53 images were acquired for the $\Delta t_{0.0}$, $\Delta t_{0.8}$, $\Delta t_{1.6}$ and $\Delta t_{3.2}$ ihMT images respectively,
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 leading to total acquisition times of 8 mins 40 s, 11 mins 20 s, 11 mins 20 s, and 22
4
5
6 mins.
7

8 9 *Post-processing and statistical analysis*

10
11
12 Template-based analyses were performed to quantitatively assess in different brain
13
14 structures the ihMT ratio (ihMTR) values calculated for each of the four configurations:
15

$$16 \quad ihMTR = \frac{(MT^+ + MT^-) - (MT^\pm + MT^\mp)}{MT_0} \quad \text{equation 2}$$

17
18
19 where MT_0 is a reference image obtained without any saturation. A comprehensive
20
21 description of the template construction and segmentation of the Regions-of-interest
22
23 (ROIs) in seven different brain structures is provided in appendix A.
24
25
26

27
28
29 ROI-based statistical analyses were performed using the JMP software (15.1.0; SAS
30
31 Institute, Cary, NC, USA). The mean values and group standard deviations were
32
33 calculated for each brain structure and for each ihMT configuration.
34
35
36

37
38
39 For a straightforward analysis, ROIs were divided into three groups by their association
40
41 to a specific tissue type: white matter, WM – containing myelinated structures and
42
43 nerve fiber bundles (mCC – medial corpus callosum, INT – internal capsule and OPT
44
45 – optical tract), grey matter, GM – containing structures mainly composed of neural and
46
47 glial cells (CTX- cerebral cortex, HIP – hippocampal region), and mixed structures, Mix
48
49 – containing structures with both WM and GM content (TH – thalamus, CP –
50
51 caudoputamen).
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests were performed for each ihMT modality to determine the normality of the distribution, and the homogeneity of variance was examined using the Bartlett test. The mean difference between the three groups of tissue types (WM, GM, and Mix) for each ihMT modality was tested first by a one-way Welch ANOVA test and was followed by post-hoc Games-Howell tests.

Simulations

The biophysical model associated with the theory of ihMT (2,32,33) for two non-null T_{1D} components (bi- T_{1D} model) is illustrated in Figure 2. According to the theory of weak RF saturation in solids advanced by Provotorov (34,35), the macromolecular pool of protons is divided into the semi-solid Zeeman and the dipolar order reservoirs, which are only coupled via RF induced transfer. The two dipolar compartments, β^{I1} and β^{I2} exchange with their associated semi-solid Zeeman compartment M_{ZB}^{I1} and M_{ZB}^{I2} , respectively. An additional semi-solid Zeeman reservoir (M_{ZB}^H) is assigned to account for macromolecular protons without any dipolar order contribution (e.g. $T_{1D} = 0$) (2). All macromolecular Zeeman orders exchange with the free liquid Zeeman compartment (M_{ZA}) through magnetization exchange. Modified Bloch-Provotorov equations in the matrix formalism (18,20,36) describe the evolution of the entire spin system:

$$\frac{dM_{ZA}}{dt} = R_{1A}(M_{0A} - M_{ZA}) - R(M_{0B}^{I1} + M_{0B}^{I2} + M_{0B}^H)M_{ZA} + RM_{0A}(M_{ZB}^{I1} + M_{ZB}^{I2} + M_{ZB}^H) - R_{RFA}M_{ZA}$$

$$\frac{dM_{ZB}^H}{dt} = R_{1B}(M_{0B}^H - M_{ZB}^H) - RM_{0A}M_{ZB}^H + RM_{0B}^HM_{ZA} - R_{RFB}M_{ZB}^H$$

$$\frac{dM_{ZB}^{I1/I2}}{dt} = R_{1B}(M_{0B}^{I1/I2} - M_{ZB}^{I1/I2}) - RM_{0A}M_{ZB}^{I1/I2} + RM_{0B}^{I1/I2}M_{ZA} + 2\pi\Delta f R_{RFB}\beta^{I1/I2} - R_{RFB}M_{Z1B}^{I1/I2}$$

$$\frac{d\beta^{I1/I2}}{dt} = -\frac{1}{T_{1D}^{I1/I2}}\beta^{I1/I2} + R_{RFB}\frac{2\pi\Delta f}{D^2}M_{ZB}^{I1/I2} - R_{RFB}\left(\frac{2\pi\Delta f}{D}\right)^2\beta^{I1/I2}$$

Where M_{0A} , $M_{0B}^{H/I1/I2}$ represent the equilibrium magnetizations of each macromolecular compartment. M_{0B} represents the sum of individual M_{0B} values associated with each macromolecular compartment ($M_{0B} = M_{0B}^H + M_{0B}^{I1} + M_{0B}^{I2}$). Δf is the saturation frequency offset and D corresponds to the local dipolar field expressed in angular frequency units. To minimize the number of model parameters, all macromolecular reservoirs were assumed to be characterized by the same exchange and relaxation parameters. $R_{1A/B}$ is the relaxation rate of the liquid/macromolecular reservoir, R is the magnetization exchange rate between the liquid and macromolecular compartments and $R_{RFA/RFB}$ is the saturation rate of the liquid/macromolecular compartment, defined as:

$$R_{RFA/RFB} = \pi\omega_1^2 g_{A/B}(2\pi\Delta f),$$

where $g_{A/B}(2\pi\Delta f)$ is the liquid pool/semi-solid line shape and ω_1 the RF pulse intensity.

Previously, the matrix formalism enabled the calculation of an analytical steady state solution (18) allowing the calculation of the magnetization for each reservoir. Here, the same solution was implemented under Matlab (R2017b, MathWorks Inc., Natick, MA, USA) and the magnetization matrices dimensions were adjusted to include all the considered compartments.

1
2
3
4 The tissue parameters listed in Table 1 were fixed according to values reported in the
5
6 literature for in vivo mouse brain imaging (2,10,37) and were also based on fitting on
7
8 the $\Delta t_{3,2}$ configuration in WM and GM tissues. By convention, we chose $T_{1D}^{l1} < T_{1D}^{l2}$.
9
10 T_{1D}^{l1} and T_{1D}^{l2} were considered as short T_{1D} if their value was smaller than 1 ms and
11
12 long T_{1D} otherwise. For the simulated characterization, T_{1D}^{l1} or T_{1D}^{l2} were varied
13
14 independently, while the other component was kept constant: when T_{1D}^{l1} was fixed to
15
16 a short T_{1D} value ($T_{1D}^{l1} < 1$ ms), T_{1D}^{l2} was varied in the interval [T_{1D}^{l1} , 10 ms]; when
17
18 T_{1D}^{l2} was fixed to a long T_{1D} value ($T_{1D}^{l2} > 1$ ms), T_{1D}^{l1} was varied in the interval [$0 \mu\text{s}$,
19
20 T_{1D}^{l2}]. For comparison with the experimental data, T_{1D}^{l1} and T_{1D}^{l2} were fixed to short
21
22 T_{1D} and long T_{1D} values. In the absence of any quantification of the short T_{1D}
23
24 component in the mouse brain, T_{1D}^{l1} was considered equal to values extracted from
25
26 the rat spinal cord ($T_{1D}^{l1} = 500 \mu\text{s}$) (18). The long T_{1D} value was chosen based on
27
28 previous T_{1D} measurements ($T_{1D}^{l2} = 6$ ms for WM) performed at 11.75T (10). Note that
29
30 the field strength difference with the current study might result in a slight overestimation
31
32 of T_{1D} , although the slow-motion processes occurring at the dipolar local field
33
34 frequency, which do not scale with the B_0 intensity, are the main driving mechanism
35
36 for T_{1D} relaxation (3,38). In addition, in reference (10), a single compartment model
37
38 was used in combination with high RF power, that is conditions which slightly
39
40 underestimate T_{1D} (18).
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53

54 Simulations were performed for both WM and GM tissues, but due to the similarity in
55
56 behavior henceforth results will mainly focus on WM. GM simulation curves are
57
58 presented in Supporting Information Figure S1.
59
60

1
2
3 Finally, we arbitrarily defined a sensitivity threshold of 2% for ihMTR, corresponding to
4
5
6 a value below which the ihMTR signal is considered as non-measurable.
7
8

9 RESULTS

10 *Simulation results*

11 *General description of the T_{1D} -filtering strategy.*

12
13
14
15
16 The lengthening of Δt created ihMT high-pass T_{1D} -filters characterized by T_{1D} cutoffs
17
18
19 defined as values of T_{1D} for which ihMTR equals the 2%-threshold.
20
21
22

23
24
25 Let us first consider the case where one of the two compartments is associated with a
26
27
28 null T_{1D} value ($T_{1D}^{l1} = 0$ ms). This simplified case allows us to study the effect of Δt on
29
30
31 the long and short T_{1D} components separately. Incrementing Δt leads to an increase
32
33
34 in the T_{1D} cutoff value, resulting in the removal of increasing ranges of T_{1D} s from the
35
36
37 ihMT signal (Figure 3a). The cutoff values, indicated by colored dots on Figure 3a,
38
39
40 achieved for the longer T_{1D}^{l2} component were shifted from 345 μ s for $\Delta t_{0.0}$ to 620 μ s for
41
42
43 $\Delta t_{0.8}$ and to a maximum of 2.3 ms for $\Delta t_{3.2}$. Interestingly, if the ihMT high-pass T_{1D} -filters
44
45
46 naturally eliminate the contribution from short T_{1D} components by attenuating their
47
48
49 signal below the sensitivity threshold, they also attenuate the signal of longer T_{1D} s.
50
51
52 Importantly, the attenuation of short and long T_{1D} components is however not uniform.
53
54
55 This can be appreciated in Figure 3b, which shows ihMTR values normalized with
56
57
58 respect to the $\Delta t_{0.0}$ configuration, the maximum ihMTR corresponding to each T_{1D}
59
60
61 component at a given power. The decrease in ihMTR with increasing Δt is more
62
63
64 important for short T_{1D} s (< 1 ms) compared to long T_{1D} s (≥ 1 ms). To give an order of

1
2
3 magnitude, quantitative values estimated for $T_{1D}^{l2} = 500 \mu\text{s}$ and $T_{1D}^{l2} = 6 \text{ ms}$ are
4
5 provided in Table 2.
6
7

8
9 *Isolating long T_{1D} components and attenuating short T_{1D} components with ihMT high-*
10
11
12 *pass T_{1D} -filters.*
13
14

15 Let us now consider the case where T_{1D}^{l1} is no longer null but fixed to the in vivo short
16
17 T_{1D} component value ($T_{1D}^{l1} = 500 \mu\text{s}$) and T_{1D}^{l2} variable (Figure 3c). The signal at the
18
19 baseline ($T_{1D}^{l1} = T_{1D}^{l2} = 500 \mu\text{s}$) is higher, exceeding the 2%-threshold for all the Δt
20
21 configurations except for $\Delta t_{3,2}$. The latter is the only configuration still allowing T_{1D} -
22
23 filtering of short T_{1D} s with a cutoff value of $T_{1D}^{l2} = 920 \mu\text{s}$.
24
25
26

27
28 Figure 3d shows the ihMTR response to Δt for varying short T_{1D}^{l1} and fixed T_{1D}^{l2}
29
30 ($T_{1D}^{l2} = 6 \text{ ms}$). At $T_{1D}^{l1} = 0 \mu\text{s}$, the ihMTR values observed reflect the contribution of
31
32 the fixed long 6 ms- T_{1D}^{l2} value only and, as T_{1D}^{l1} increased, the signal corresponding
33
34 to this short T_{1D} component adds up to the baseline signal generated by the T_{1D}^{l2}
35
36 component. The minimum value of T_{1D}^{l1} significantly contributing to the overall signal
37
38 was determined for a total ihMTR value increased by 2% compared to the value at
39
40 baseline (i.e., ihMTR value for $T_{1D}^{l1} = 0 \mu\text{s}$). It was shifted toward higher values as Δt
41
42 increased (color points in Figure 3d), demonstrating once again, the filtering effect of
43
44 Δt on the short T_{1D} components. The different contributions of short and long T_{1D} values
45
46 in the total ihMTR signal depending on Δt can be inferred from Figure 3d and an
47
48 example for in vivo conditions ($T_{1D}^{l1} = 500 \mu\text{s}$ and $T_{1D}^{l2} = 6 \text{ ms}$) is provided in Table 2.
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56

57
58 *Isolating short T_{1D} components with ihMT bandpass T_{1D} -filter.*
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Linear combinations between the signals achieved with $\Delta t_{0,0}$ and non-null Δt configurations enabled the generation of new filters. Remarkably, the ihMTR signal built upon the difference between the $\Delta t_{0,0}$ and $\Delta t_{0,8}$ resulted in an ihMT bandpass T_{1D} -filter which isolates short T_{1D} components, approximately within the 100 μs to 1 ms range (Figure 3e, green curve). Note that other Δt configurations used for differences with $\Delta t_{0,0}$ were unable to isolate narrow ranges of short T_{1D} values (Figure 3e, red and blue curves) as a result of diverging ihMTR values at extremity T_{1D}^{l1} values (Figure 3d).

Experimental results

Representative slices from the 3D templates of ihMT high-pass T_{1D} -filters clearly indicate a weaker signal and a varying contrast for increasing Δt values (Figure 4a). Additionally, Figure 4b presents ihMT bandpass T_{1D} -filters. Mean experimental ihMTR values in WM and GM computed from the average values measured in the seven segmented structures (summarized in Supporting Information Table S1) are plotted in Figure 5a and 5b for further comparison with simulation results (achieved for $T_{1D}^{l1} = 500 \mu\text{s}$ and $T_{1D}^{l2} = 6 \text{ ms}$).

The experimental WM/GM relative contrasts are presented in Figure 5c along with the simulated values. A very good agreement was obtained between experiments and simulations for both ihMTR and relative contrast values. Highest WM/GM relative contrast was obtained for the ihMT high-pass T_{1D} -filters in which the contribution of long T_{1D} components dominates the ihMT signal ($\Delta t \geq 1.6 \text{ ms}$). On the other hand, the

1
2
3 lowest WM/GM relative contrast (< 0.2) was obtained for the ihMT bandpass T_{1D} -filter
4
5 generated from the difference between $\Delta t_{0,0}$ and $\Delta t_{0,8}$ configurations, whose signal is
6
7 dominated by T_{1D} components within the 100 μ s to 1 ms range.
8
9

10 11 12 DISCUSSION

13 14 15 *ihMT high-pass T_{1D} -filters with increasing cutoff values by means of Δt lengthening.*

16
17
18 The use of frequency-alternated pulses to modulate the ihMT signal as a function of
19
20 T_{1D} was first introduced as a strategy to quantify T_{1D} (8). Shortly after, the idea of using
21
22 this sensitivity to filter out the ihMT signal and control the relative contrast of different
23
24 tissues, based on the modification of the switching time Δt was proposed (10).
25
26
27

28
29 Here, the simulation results obtained with the bi- T_{1D} model including two non-null T_{1D}
30
31 components provided a general understanding of the ihMTR signal response to the
32
33 variation of the switching time (Figure 3). The lengthening of Δt allowed the generation
34
35 of ihMT high-pass T_{1D} -filters with increasing cutoff values. This demonstrated the
36
37 efficacy of Δt to eliminate the signal associated with T_{1D} values such that $T_{1D} \ll \Delta t$. In
38
39 other words, Δt is the adjustment variable for the cutoff value of the ihMT high-pass
40
41 T_{1D} -filter. However, this strategy also attenuates in some part the signal associated
42
43 with longer T_{1D} values, as a consequence of an increasing dipolar order contribution in
44
45 the dual-frequency MT images as Δt increased. This is clearly evidenced by the
46
47 tendency of the dual-frequency MT signal shifting toward the single-frequency MT
48
49 signal at longer Δt values (Supporting Information Figure S2).
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Note that, in certain circumstances, a Δt of 0.0 ms as produced by CM pulses does not
4
5 correspond to a T_{1D} cutoff of 0.0 ms (e.g., for $T_{1D}^{l1} = 0 \mu\text{s}$, the cutoff is obtained for
6
7 $T_{1D}^{l2} = 345 \mu\text{s}$). This is a consequence of another filtering mechanism induced by the
8
9 power effect that governs the existence of a dipolar order producing a measurable
10
11 ihMT signal, by the empirical law $R_{RFB} \times T_{1D} > 0.01$ (28).
12
13
14
15
16

17 ***IhMT bandpass T_{1D} -filters for the isolation of short T_{1D} components can be generated***
18
19 ***with low duty-cycle and high-power approaches.***
20
21
22

23 To isolate a range of short T_{1D} components through the simple subtraction between
24
25 signals acquired with the $\Delta t_{0.0}$ and the non-null Δt configurations (Figure 3e), requires
26
27 two main conditions to be met. First, a maximum signal for the short T_{1D} components
28
29 in the $\Delta t_{0.0}$ configuration and second, a minimum attenuation of the long T_{1D}
30
31 components for the ihMT high-pass T_{1D} -filters obtained with longer Δt values ($\Delta t \geq 0.8$
32
33 ms) (Figure 3d). These two requisites are met for saturation schemes using high RF
34
35 pulse power achieved in vivo with low RF duty-cycle conditions and non-null Δt values
36
37 generating ihMT high-pass T_{1D} -filters with low cutoff values (Figure 3d).
38
39
40
41
42
43

44 Other circumstances, whether they concern different T_{1D} cutoffs or RF deposition
45
46 schemes associated with lower RF pulse power are not appropriate. IhMT high-pass
47
48 T_{1D} -filters with higher cutoff values (obtained with the $\Delta t_{1.6}$ and $\Delta t_{3.2}$ configurations,
49
50 respectively) significantly attenuate the signal from long T_{1D} values (e.g., for $T_{1D} = 6$
51
52 ms, 25% attenuation for $\Delta t_{1.6}$ and 60% attenuation for $\Delta t_{3.2}$, in comparison to the $\Delta t_{0.8}$
53
54 configuration). This results in a gain of signal originating from the long T_{1D} components
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 in the subtraction filters rather than a bandpass shaped curve (Figure 3e). For an
4
5 identical $B_{1\text{RMS}}^{\text{SAT}}$ of 6.7 μT achieved with RF pulses fully distributed over the saturation
6
7 period (DC = 62.5%) instead of concentrated RF pulses over the saturation period
8
9 (DC = 6.67%), no isolation of components within the short $T_{1\text{D}}$ range is possible
10
11 (Figure 6b). At such a high DC value, the individual pulse power is reduced (13.8 μT
12
13 for DC = 62.5% vs. 42.4 μT for DC = 6.67%) and fails to maximize the signal from short
14
15 $T_{1\text{D}}$ components in the $\Delta t_{0,0}$ configuration (Figure 6a, very close ihMTR values for all
16
17 $T_{1\text{D}}^{11}$ values). Figures 6c, and 6d explore the possibility to isolate short $T_{1\text{D}}$ components
18
19 with $B_{1\text{RMS}}^{\text{SAT}}$ values equivalent to those used in clinical studies ($B_{1\text{RMS}}^{\text{SAT}} = 3 \mu\text{T}$).
20
21 Similar to what was observed for $B_{1\text{RMS}}^{\text{SAT}} = 6.7 \mu\text{T}$, a bandpass shape curve was
22
23 obtained by a simple subtraction between $\Delta t_{0,0}$ and $\Delta t_{0,8}$ configurations, although the
24
25 resulting ihMTR signal intensity was below the 2%-sensitivity threshold (Figure 6d). In
26
27 this case again, the pulse power required to reach a $B_{1\text{RMS}}^{\text{SAT}} = 3 \mu\text{T}$ was too low (19
28
29 μT) to maximize the signal from short $T_{1\text{D}}$ components in the $\Delta t_{0,0}$ configuration (black
30
31 curves, Figure 6c vs Figure 3d). However, we should recall that these simulations were
32
33 obtained with MT parameters associated with mouse brain tissues, which differ from
34
35 human values. In particular, the higher macromolecular fraction for the human brain
36
37 WM (39) might generate higher ihMTR values, thereby allowing signal from subtraction
38
39 filters above the sensitivity threshold even for the lower power acquisitions compatible
40
41 with the clinical constraints. Thus, the viability of the ihMT bandpass $T_{1\text{D}}$ -filters to
42
43 isolate short $T_{1\text{D}}$ components in humans warrants further dedicated studies.
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 The ihMT bandpass T_{1D} -filter based on the simple subtraction of $\Delta t_{0.0}$ and $\Delta t_{0.8}$ ihMTR
4 images as proposed in this work required a rather long acquisition time (20 mins) and
5
6 shows relatively modest SNR (maximum ihMTR values in the order of 7%). These
7
8 performances could however be improved. From a technical perspective, the ihMT
9
10 bandpass T_{1D} -filter does not require single frequency saturation images and could be
11
12 built upon the difference of the dual offset saturation images only, thus gaining a factor
13
14 of 2 in the acquisition time. Here, a basic linear combination was used to generate the
15
16 ihMT bandpass T_{1D} -filter. However, the rather homogeneous behavior of ihMTR for all
17
18 the values of Δt in the $T_{1D}^{-1} \geq 1$ ms range (Figure 3d) may suggest that combinations
19
20 of several high-pass T_{1D} -filters with amplitudes multiplied by different factors could
21
22 allow deriving more advanced filters. The purpose would be to provide a better
23
24 cancellation of long T_{1Ds} and consequently a better isolation of short T_{1Ds} , preferably
25
26 with a higher sensitivity. Nevertheless, such approaches rely on the amplitudes of the
27
28 high-pass T_{1D} -filters, which are highly sensitive to the variations in some of the model
29
30 parameters (Appendix B, Figure B1.a). Conversely, the shape of the proposed $\Delta t_{0.0} -$
31
32 $\Delta t_{0.8}$ bandpass T_{1D} -filter to model parameters is less sensitive, as shown in Appendix
33
34 B, Figure B1.d-e. This suggests the $\Delta t_{0.0} - \Delta t_{0.8}$ bandpass T_{1D} -filter as a more general
35
36 and robust filter for the isolation of the short T_{1D} components.

37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51 ***T_{1D} -filtering at low duty-cycle offers more flexibility to modulate the relative WM/GM***
52
53 ***contrast by controlling the contributions of short and long T_{1Ds} .***

54
55
56
57 The bi- T_{1D} model allowed realistic simulations to be performed as indicated by the
58
59 absolute signals and relative WM/GM contrast comparisons between the predicted and
60

1
2
3 experimental values (Figure 5). In terms of absolute ihMTR values, the best
4
5
6 correspondence between simulations and experiments were obtained for the $\Delta t_{3.2}$
7
8 configuration for both WM and GM. Other configurations overestimated ($\Delta t_{0.8}$ and $\Delta t_{1.6}$)
9
10 or underestimated ($\Delta t_{0.0}$) the experimental values. The tissue parameters used for the
11
12 simulations were adapted from the literature and were also based on fitting on the $\Delta t_{3.2}$
13
14 configuration in WM and GM tissues, thus explaining the better match of this
15
16 configuration between simulations and experiments. However, an incomplete model
17
18 with insufficient T_{1D} compartments or even a higher sensitivity to some of the model
19
20 parameters can have an impact on the comparison between experimental and
21
22 simulated WM/GM contrasts. Although, it has been shown in ex-vivo studies of the
23
24 spinal cord that ihMT approaches can be used to estimate two T_{1D} components with
25
26 good sensitivity, in view of the NMR Jeener-Broekaert measurements which show a
27
28 more continuous distribution of T_{1D} s (18), one cannot dismiss the fact that the ihMT
29
30 signal might be influenced by other T_{1D} components as well. Furthermore, according
31
32 to the sensitivity analysis (Appendix B, Figure B1), some of the model parameters have
33
34 a significant influence on the absolute ihMTR signal. While it is true that most of the
35
36 parameters with the highest sensitivity on the ihMT signal are also the ones that are
37
38 best estimated with both qMT and qihMT models (such as T_{2B}), the existence of
39
40 different species of macromolecules with various T_{2B} values has not been investigated
41
42 thoroughly and might have an important influence on our results.
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55

56 Previous in vivo studies on CNS tissues performed at high duty-cycle and high
57
58 saturation power showed little difference in the relative WM/GM contrast when the
59
60

1
2
3 switching time was varied (10). In contrast, in the current study, the use of a low duty-
4
5 cycle approach enabled a better differentiation between the signals of ihMT high-pass
6
7 T_{1D} -filters, and thus a better differentiation between the resulted relative WM/GM
8
9 contrasts. The ihMT high-pass T_{1D} -filters achieved with $\Delta t \geq 1.6$ ms presented the
10
11 highest relative WM/GM contrast values, while for $\Delta t_{0,0}$ it was approximately 25%
12
13 lower. Whereas similar results might be obtained at high duty-cycle by further
14
15 increasing the pulse power, the advantage of low duty-cycle approaches is
16
17 represented by the lower Specific Absorption Rates (SAR), particularly important for
18
19 clinical translational studies and comparisons.
20
21
22
23
24
25

26 27 *Limitations and future perspectives*

28
29 Technical limitations related to the duration of the pulse and the inter-pulse delay ($p_w -$
30
31 Δt), constrain the minimum non-zero Δt value. Lower Δt values might enable the ihMT
32
33 bandpass T_{1D} -filter to be shifted to ranges of shorter T_{1D} values. However, shorter
34
35 pulses imply higher bandwidth, which depending on the frequency offset might lead to
36
37 a direct saturation of the water protons. This effect is negligible for the current Hann-
38
39 shaped pulses of 0.5 ms applied at ± 10 kHz.
40
41
42
43
44
45

46
47 Quantification of the T_{1D} relaxation time characterizing different brain structures or any
48
49 other model parameter is beyond the scope of this paper. Simulations were performed
50
51 with MT parameters fixed to values reported in the literature to allow sensible
52
53 association with the observed experimental behavior in CNS and assuming identical
54
55 exchange and relaxation parameters for all macromolecular compartments. This last
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 hypothesis was meant to limit the number of model parameters. Since only four
4
5 experimental points were acquired for comparisons with the simulated data, the
6
7 sensitivity needed to distinguish between the different macromolecular species would
8
9 be too low.
10
11

12
13
14 However, the effect of varying tissue model parameters on the amplitude and filter
15
16 function of the various T_{1D} -filters proposed in this study were evaluated in a sensitivity
17
18 analysis (Appendix B). Whereas the amplitudes of both the high-pass and bandpass
19
20 T_{1D} -filters vary with the changes in model parameters, the filters' shape functions
21
22 showed good robustness.
23
24
25
26
27

28 A detailed analysis on the explored filters' selectivity of certain T_{1D} components and
29
30 their impact on the specificity and sensitivity of ihMT to myelin concerns the Part II of
31
32 this work.
33
34

35 36 CONCLUSIONS

37
38
39 In conclusion, the present study characterized the ihMT high-pass T_{1D} -filtering effect
40
41 induced by different switching time values and proposed an ihMT bandpass T_{1D} -filter
42
43 based on the linear combination of signals acquired with different switching times. For
44
45 the high instantaneous RF pulse powers achieved at low duty-cycle saturation, shorter
46
47 T_{1D} components are substantial contributors to the signal of CNS tissue. IhMT high-
48
49 pass T_{1D} -filters with high T_{1D} cutoff values can selectively image longer T_{1D} contributors
50
51 and increase the WM/GM contrast, albeit at the cost of a loss in sensitivity. IhMT
52
53 bandpass T_{1D} -filters can be used to isolate a range of shorter T_{1D} components that may
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 have other microstructural correlates than those of long T_{1D} components. Thus, T_{1D} -
4
5 filtered ihMT imaging could be of particular interest in future studies on pathological
6
7 models or in clinical applications, where the unstructured CNS tissues are expected to
8
9 engender changes in the distribution of the T_{1D} components.
10
11
12

13 14 **Appendix**

15 16 17 **Appendix A: Post-processing images**

18
19 Schematics of the pipeline for template construction and atlas-based segmentation.
20
21 Exported raw data was converted into the NIFTI format using the Dicomifier medical
22
23 image converter (<https://github.com/lamyj/dicomifier>). After a quality check step,
24
25 images were skull-stripped using an in-house script developed under Matlab (R2017b,
26
27 MathWorks Inc., Natick, MA, USA). Individual 3D T_{2w} volumes were denoised with the
28
29 BM4D algorithm (40) and corrected for the nonuniform signal intensity distribution
30
31 using the N4ITK Bias Field Correction algorithm (41). Images were then registered
32
33 onto an external template using ANTs (42) affine and non-linear iterative
34
35 transformations. After cumulative averaging, a high resolution T_{2w} template
36
37 representative of our mice population was obtained, which we called 16BI6 T_{2w} .
38
39 Mouse-wise, all MT volumes were rigidly registered onto the MT_0 volume acquired
40
41 immediately after the T_{2w} images, to minimize the distortions introduced by intra-scan
42
43 movement in the estimated transformations. IhMTR maps were then calculated
44
45 according to equation 1. The transformations estimated for the 16BI6 T_{2w} template
46
47 were afterwards applied on the calculated ihMTR volumes, and a first target ihMTR
48
49 template was obtained in the same space as the 16BI6 T_{2w} template. After
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 consecutive non-linear registrations and cumulative averaging, high resolution ihMTR
4
5
6 templates were obtained for each ihMT modality, hereafter called 16BI6_ihMTR
7
8
9 template. Transformations were then estimated from the Dorr template to the
10
11 16BI6_T_{2w} template and were then applied onto the Dorr labels to obtain a
12
13 segmentation of the 16BI6_T_{2w} template. The labels of interest were selected,
14
15 manually corrected, and then propagated back into the space of each mouse for the
16
17 quantification of ihMTR.
18
19
20
21

22 **Appendix B: Robustness of T_{1D}-filters to tissue model parameters**

23
24 Simulations were performed varying all model parameters by a factor of 0.5, 1.5 and 2
25
26 with respect to the fixed values reported in Table 1 in order to evaluate their respective
27
28 influence on the shape and amplitude of the ihMT T_{1D}-filters. The T_{2B} and R values of
29
30 the two macromolecular Zeeman compartments were also modified independently.
31
32 The evolution of the $\Delta t_{3.2}$ high-pass and $\Delta t_{0.0} - \Delta t_{0.8}$ bandpass T_{1D}-filters with the
33
34 variation of all model parameters are shown in the Supporting Information section
35
36 (Supporting Information Figure S3 and Supporting Information Figure S4).
37
38
39
40
41

42
43 The changes in the shape of the filter function were analyzed by means of the so-called
44
45 -3 dB point, which defines the filter cutoff corresponding to an attenuation of $\sqrt{2}$ of the
46
47 maximum filter value. Therefore, we defined a filter cutoff for the high-pass T_{1D}-filter at
48
49 -3 dB of the maximum of ihMTR at $T_{1D}^2 = 10$ ms and a lower and upper filter cutoff for
50
51 the band-pass T_{1D} filter at -3 dB from the maximum value of the filter determined for
52
53 each investigated condition.
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 The absolute variations of the defined cutoffs are represented in Figure B1.b for the
5
6 $\Delta t_{3,2}$ high-pass T_{1D} -filter and Figure B1.d, e for the bandpass T_{1D} -filter. The variation in
7
8 the amplitude of the ihMTR signal corresponding to the two T_{1D} s equaling 6 ms and
9
10 500 μ s (in vivo values) are represented in Figure B1.a for the $\Delta t_{3,2}$ high-pass T_{1D} -filter
11
12 and in Figure B1.c for the bandpass T_{1D} -filter.
13
14

15
16 The amplitudes (ihMTR values) of both T_{1D} -filters varied with the model parameters.
17
18 This is not surprising since several model parameters scale the ihMTR values. For
19
20 instance, increasing the size of the macromolecular compartment associated with the
21
22 long T_{1D} (M_{0B}^{I2}) naturally enhances the ihMTR values for the high-pass T_{1D} filter.
23
24 However, and more importantly, the shape functions of the high-pass and bandpass
25
26 T_{1D} -filters show a good robustness to the variations of model parameters. For the high-
27
28 pass T_{1D} -filter, variations of the filter cutoff were less than 0.5 ms (Figure B1.b), and
29
30 for the band-pass T_{1D} -filter, variations of the lower and upper filter cutoffs were less
31
32 than 20 μ s and 0.2 ms (Figure B1.d-e) respectively. The sensitivity to M_{0B} , T_{1D} and T_{2B}
33
34 is more important, but the investigated range of variation [0.5 – 2] is not realistic in
35
36 practice since it unlikely that M_{0B} , T_{1D} and T_{2B} are determined with such uncertainty
37
38 (estimation errors are generally less than 10% as compared to the estimated value).
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47

48 Acknowledgements

49
50
51 This work was funded by ANR-17-CE18-0030, VERISMO project and partly funded by
52
53 France Life Imaging (grant ANR-11-INBS-0006), ARSEP 2021 and CARNOT STAR
54
55 2020. Authors thank Nathalie Cuge for animal handling.
56
57
58

59 References

- 1
2
3 1. Varma G, Duhamel G, de Bazelaire C, Alsop DC. Magnetization transfer from
4 inhomogeneously broadened lines: A potential marker for myelin: Magnetization Transfer
5 from Inhomogeneously Broadened Lines. *Magn. Reson. Med.* 2015;73:614–622 doi:
6 10.1002/mrm.25174.
7
- 8
9 2. Varma G, Girard OM, Prevost VH, Grant AK, Duhamel G, Alsop DC. Interpretation of
10 magnetization transfer from inhomogeneously broadened lines (ihMT) in tissues as a dipolar
11 order effect within motion restricted molecules. *J. Magn. Reson.* 2015;260:67–76 doi:
12 10.1016/j.jmr.2015.08.024.
13
- 14
15 3. Dufourc EJ, Mayer C, Stohrer J, Althoff G, Kothe G. Dynamics of phosphate head groups in
16 biomembranes. Comprehensive analysis using phosphorus-31 nuclear magnetic resonance
17 lineshape and relaxation time measurements. *Biophys. J.* 1992;61:42–57 doi:
18 10.1016/S0006-3495(92)81814-3.
19
- 20
21 4. Annabestani R, Cory D. Dipolar Relaxation Mechanism of Long Lived States in Methyl
22 Groups. ArXiv170403035 Quant-Ph 2017.
23
- 24
25 5. Girard OM, Prevost VH, Varma G, Cozzone PJ, Alsop DC, Duhamel G. Magnetization
26 transfer from inhomogeneously broadened lines (ihMT): Experimental optimization of
27 saturation parameters for human brain imaging at 1.5 Tesla: Optimizing Saturation
28 Parameters for ihMT Brain Imaging at 1.5T. *Magn. Reson. Med.* 2015;73:2111–2121 doi:
29 10.1002/mrm.25330.
30
- 31
32 6. Prevost VH, Girard OM, Varma G, Alsop DC, Duhamel G. Minimizing the effects of
33 magnetization transfer asymmetry on inhomogeneous magnetization transfer (ihMT) at
34 ultra-high magnetic field (11.75 T). *Magn. Reson. Mater. Phys. Biol. Med.* 2016;29:699–709
35 doi: 10.1007/s10334-015-0523-2.
36
- 37
38 7. Taso M, Girard OM, Duhamel G, et al. Tract-specific and age-related variations of the
39 spinal cord microstructure: a multi-parametric MRI study using diffusion tensor imaging (DTI)
40 and inhomogeneous magnetization transfer (ihMT): Spinal Cord Microstructure Assessment
41 Using DTI and ihMT. *NMR Biomed.* 2016;29:817–832 doi: 10.1002/nbm.3530.
42
- 43
44 8. Varma G, Girard OM, Prevost VH, Grant AK, Duhamel G, Alsop DC. In vivo measurement of
45 a new source of contrast, the dipolar relaxation time, T_{1D} , using a modified
46 inhomogeneous magnetization transfer (ihMT) sequence: In Vivo Measurement of T_{1D} Using
47 ihMT. *Magn. Reson. Med.* 2017;78:1362–1372 doi: 10.1002/mrm.26523.
48
- 49
50 9. Girard OM, Callot V, Prevost VH, et al. Magnetization transfer from inhomogeneously
51 broadened lines (ihMT): Improved imaging strategy for spinal cord applications: ihMT for
52 Spinal Cord Applications. *Magn. Reson. Med.* 2017;77:581–591 doi: 10.1002/mrm.26134.
53
- 54
55 10. Prevost VH, Girard OM, Mchinda S, Varma G, Alsop DC, Duhamel G. Optimization of
56 inhomogeneous magnetization transfer (ihMT) MRI contrast for preclinical studies using
57 dipolar relaxation time (T_{1D}) filtering. *NMR Biomed.* 2017;30 doi: 10.1002/nbm.3706.
58
- 59
60 11. Rasoanandrianina H, Grapperon A-M, Taso M, et al. Region-specific impairment of the
cervical spinal cord (SC) in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: A preliminary study using SC

- 1
2
3 templates and quantitative MRI (diffusion tensor imaging/inhomogeneous magnetization
4 transfer). *NMR Biomed.* 2017;30:e3801 doi: 10.1002/nbm.3801.
5
6
7 12. Mchinda S, Varma G, Prevost VH, et al. Whole brain inhomogeneous magnetization
8 transfer (ihMT) imaging: Sensitivity enhancement within a steady-state gradient echo
9 sequence: Whole Brain Inhomogeneous Magnetization Transfer (ihMT). *Magn. Reson. Med.*
10 2018;79:2607–2619 doi: 10.1002/mrm.26907.
11
12
13 13. Van Obberghen E, Mchinda S, le Troter A, et al. Evaluation of the Sensitivity of
14 Inhomogeneous Magnetization Transfer (ihMT) MRI for Multiple Sclerosis. *Am. J.*
15 *Neuroradiol.* 2018;39:634–641 doi: 10.3174/ajnr.A5563.
16
17
18 14. Varma G, Girard OM, Mchinda S, et al. Low duty-cycle pulsed irradiation reduces
19 magnetization transfer and increases the inhomogeneous magnetization transfer effect. *J.*
20 *Magn. Reson.* 2018;296:60–71 doi: 10.1016/j.jmr.2018.08.004.
21
22
23 15. Ercan E, Varma G, Mädler B, et al. Microstructural correlates of 3D steady-state
24 inhomogeneous magnetization transfer (ihMT) in the human brain white matter assessed by
25 myelin water imaging and diffusion tensor imaging: Ercan et al. *Magn. Reson. Med.*
26 2018;80:2402–2414 doi: 10.1002/mrm.27211.
27
28
29 16. Geeraert BL, Lebel RM, Mah AC, et al. A comparison of inhomogeneous magnetization
30 transfer, myelin volume fraction, and diffusion tensor imaging measures in healthy children.
31 *NeuroImage* 2018;182:343–350 doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2017.09.019.
32
33
34 17. Duhamel G, Prevost VH, Cayre M, et al. Validating the sensitivity of inhomogeneous
35 magnetization transfer (ihMT) MRI to myelin with fluorescence microscopy. *NeuroImage*
36 2019;199:289–303 doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2019.05.061.
37
38
39 18. Carvalho VND, Hertanu A, Grélard A, et al. MRI assessment of multiple dipolar relaxation
40 time (T_{1D}) components in biological tissues interpreted with a generalized
41 inhomogeneous magnetization transfer (ihMT) model. *J. Magn. Reson.* 2020;311:106668 doi:
42 10.1016/j.jmr.2019.106668.
43
44
45 19. Ercan E, Varma G, Dimitrov IE, et al. Combining inhomogeneous magnetization transfer
46 and multipoint Dixon acquisition: Potential utility and evaluation. *Magn. Reson. Med.* 2020
47 doi: 10.1002/mrm.28571.
48
49
50 20. Malik SJ, Teixeira RPAG, West DJ, Wood TC, Hajnal JV. Steady-state imaging with
51 inhomogeneous magnetization transfer contrast using multiband radiofrequency pulses.
52 *Magn. Reson. Med.* 2020;83:935–949 doi: 10.1002/mrm.27984.
53
54
55 21. Wood TC, Damestani NL, Lawrence AJ, et al. Silent myelin-weighted magnetic resonance
56 imaging. *Wellcome Open Res.* 2020;5:74 doi: 10.12688/wellcomeopenres.15845.1.
57
58
59 22. Varma G, Munsch F, Burns B, et al. Three-dimensional inhomogeneous magnetization
60 transfer with rapid gradient-echo (3D ihMTRAGE) imaging. *Magn. Reson. Med.* 2020 doi:
10.1002/mrm.28324.

- 1
2
3 23. Munsch F, Varma G, Taso M, et al. Characterization of the cortical myeloarchitecture
4 with inhomogeneous magnetization transfer imaging (ihMT). *NeuroImage* 2021;225:117442
5 doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2020.117442.
6
- 7
8 24. Hou G, Lai W, Jiang W, et al. Myelin deficits in patients with recurrent major depressive
9 disorder: An inhomogeneous magnetization transfer study. *Neurosci. Lett.* 2021;750:135768
10 doi: 10.1016/j.neulet.2021.135768.
11
- 12 25. Rowley CD, Campbell JSW, Wu Z, et al. A model-based framework for correcting
13 inhomogeneity effects in magnetization transfer saturation and inhomogeneous
14 magnetization transfer saturation maps. *Magn. Reson. Med.* n/a doi:
15 <https://doi.org/10.1002/mrm.28831>.
16
17
- 18 26. Stadelmann C, Timmler S, Barrantes-Freer A, Simons M. Myelin in the Central Nervous
19 System: Structure, Function, and Pathology. *Physiol. Rev.* 2019;99:1381–1431 doi:
20 10.1152/physrev.00031.2018.
21
- 22 27. de Campos Vidal B, Mello MLS, Caseiro-Filho AC, Godo C. Anisotropic properties of the
23 myelin sheath. *Acta Histochem.* 1980;66:32–39 doi: 10.1016/S0065-1281(80)80079-1.
24
- 25 28. Manning AP, Chang KL, MacKay AL, Michal CA. The physical mechanism of
26 “inhomogeneous” magnetization transfer MRI. *J. Magn. Reson.* 2017;274:125–136 doi:
27 10.1016/j.jmr.2016.11.013.
28
- 29 29. Greer JM, Lees MB. Myelin proteolipid protein—the first 50 years. *Int. J. Biochem. Cell*
30 *Biol.* 2002;34:211–215 doi: 10.1016/S1357-2725(01)00136-4.
31
- 32 30. Yarnykh VL. Actual flip-angle imaging in the pulsed steady state: A method for rapid
33 three-dimensional mapping of the transmitted radiofrequency field. *Magn. Reson. Med.*
34 2007;57:192–200 doi: 10.1002/mrm.21120.
35
- 36 31. Nehrke K. On the steady-state properties of actual flip angle imaging (AFI): Steady-State
37 Properties of AFI. *Magn. Reson. Med.* 2009;61:84–92 doi: 10.1002/mrm.21592.
38
- 39 32. Yeung HN, Adler RS, Swanson SD. Transient Decay of Longitudinal Magnetization in
40 Heterogeneous Spin Systems under Selective Saturation. IV. Reformulation of the Spin-Bath-
41 Model Equations by the Redfield-Provotorov Theory. *J. Magn. Reson. A* 1994;106:37–45 doi:
42 10.1006/jmra.1994.1004.
43
- 44 33. Morrison C, Stanisz G, Henkelman RM. Modeling magnetization transfer for biological-
45 like systems using a semi-solid pool with a super-Lorentzian lineshape and dipolar reservoir.
46 *J. Magn. Reson. B* 1995;108:103–113 doi: 10.1006/jmrb.1995.1111.
47
- 48 34. Provotorov B. Magnetic resonance saturation in crystals. *Zh Exsp Teor Fiz* 1962;14:1126–
49 1131.
50
- 51 35. Goldman M. *Spin Temperature and Nuclear Magnetic Resonance in Solids.* Oxford
52 University Press.; 1970.
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 36. Portnoy S, Stanisz GJ. Modeling pulsed magnetization transfer. *Magn. Reson. Med.*
4 2007;58:144–155 doi: 10.1002/mrm.21244.
5
6
7 37. Soustelle L, Antal MC, Lamy J, Harsan L, Loureiro de Sousa P. Determination of optimal
8 parameters for 3D single-point macromolecular proton fraction mapping at 7T in healthy and
9 demyelinated mouse brain. *Magn. Reson. Med.* 2020 doi: 10.1002/mrm.28397.
10
11 38. Ueda T, Takeda S, Nakamura N, Chihara H. Molecular Motion and Phase Changes in Long
12 Chain Solid Normal Alkanes as Studied by ^1H and ^{13}C NMR. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*
13 1991;64:1299–1304 doi: 10.1246/bcsj.64.1299.
14
15 39. Yarnykh VL, Yuan C. Cross-relaxation imaging reveals detailed anatomy of white matter
16 fiber tracts in the human brain. *NeuroImage* 2004;23:409–424 doi:
17 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2004.04.029.
18
19 40. Maggioni M, Katkovnik V, Egiazarian K, Foi A. A Nonlocal Transform-Domain Filter for
20 Volumetric Data Denoising and Reconstruction. :15.
21
22 41. Tustison NJ, Avants BB, Cook PA, et al. N4ITK: Improved N3 Bias Correction. *IEEE Trans.*
23 *Med. Imaging* 2010;29:1310–1320 doi: 10.1109/TMI.2010.2046908.
24
25 42. Avants BB, Tustison N, Song G. Advanced Normalization Tools (ANTS). :35.
26
27
28
29

30 Figure Captions

31 *Main document*

32
33
34
35
36 Figure 1: Schematics of a modified ihMT sequence used to derive ihMT images with
37 different values of the frequency switching time Δt at constant RF power. (a) single-
38 offset and (b-e) dual-offset preparations. RF saturation was achieved by means of
39 bursts composed of 8 off-resonance pulses (N_p) with an offset frequency of 10 kHz (Δf)
40 and a duration of 0.5 ms (pw), repeated every 60 ms (BTR), corresponding to an RF
41 duty-cycle of 6.67% for a total saturation time of 900 ms (τ). Δt was lengthened from
42 0.8 ms to 1.6 ms and 3.2 ms by increasing the number of consecutive pulses of the
43 same polarity from 1, 2 and 4. Cosine-modulated pulses producing simultaneous dual-
44 offset saturation were used for $\Delta t = 0.0$ ms. For each Δt configuration, the ihMT image
45 included the acquisition of two single frequency-offset (MT+ at $+\Delta f$ and MT- at $-\Delta f$) and
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 two dual frequency-offset MT images to minimize the asymmetry of the
4
5 macromolecular line (6).
6
7

8
9 Figure 2: Schematics of a bi- T_{1D} model (two non-null T_{1D} dipolar compartments) and
10
11 the exchanges associated with the various compartments. Exchanges between the
12
13 dipolar order compartments and their associated semi-solid Zeeman compartments
14
15 are mediated by RF induced transfer. The liquid compartment exchanges with Zeeman
16
17 compartments through magnetization transfer. Zeeman semi-solid reservoirs were
18
19 assumed to be characterized by the same relaxation parameters, saturation rate and
20
21 exchange rate with the Zeeman liquid reservoir.
22
23
24
25
26
27

28 Figure 3: Simulated behavior of ihMTR for four values of Δt (0.0 ms, 0.8 ms, 1.6 ms
29
30 and 3.2 ms) at low RF duty cycle (6.67%) and high power (6.7 μT). (a) T_{1D}^{l1} is fixed at
31
32 0 ms, and T_{1D}^{l2} is varied in a range of short and long T_{1D} values. The colored dots
33
34 indicate the shift in T_{1D} cutoff corresponding to the 2%-ihMTR detectability threshold.
35
36 (b) The curves from point (a) normalized with respect to the $\Delta t_{0.0}$ configuration illustrate
37
38 the higher signal attenuation of the short T_{1D} s as compared to the long components for
39
40 increasing Δt s. (c) T_{1D}^{l1} is fixed at 500 μs and the longer T_{1D}^{l2} component is varied in
41
42 the $[T_{1D}^{l1}, 10 \text{ ms}]$ range. The red dot corresponds to the 2%-ihMTR detectability
43
44 threshold for the high-pass $\Delta t_{3.2}$ T_{1D} -filter. (d) T_{1D}^{l2} is fixed to the long value of 6.0 ms
45
46 and the shorter T_{1D}^{l1} component is varied in the $[0.0 \mu\text{s}, T_{1D}^{l2}]$ range (data not shown
47
48 on the graph – T_{1D}^{l1} starts from 10 μs for the sake of viewing). Colored dots indicate
49
50 an increase of 2% (in absolute ihMTR unit) as compared to the baseline. (e) Band-
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 pass T_{1D} filters calculated from subtraction between ihMTR obtained with $\Delta t = 0.0$ ms
4
5 and ihMTR obtained with $\Delta t \geq 0.8$ ms for the same range of T_{1D}^{l1} values.
6
7
8

9
10 Figure 4: Experimental ihMTR maps illustrate the signal intensity and contrast
11
12 achieved on the brain of control mice with (a) increasing switching time values (b) the
13
14 difference between the $\Delta t = 0.0$ ms and $\Delta t \geq 0.8$ ms configurations. Slices are
15
16 presented from the anterior (+0.25 mm from bregma) to the posterior (-3.20 mm from
17
18 bregma) part of the brain and the acquisition time is indicated for each ihMT
19
20 configuration.
21
22
23
24

25
26 Figure 5: Absolute ihMTR values obtained in (a) GM and (b) WM experimentally (blue)
27
28 and simulated (orange) with the bi- T_{1D} model for all ihMT configurations. (c)
29
30 Experimental (blue) and simulated (orange) relative WM/GM contrast calculated as
31
32 $(ihMTR_{WM} - ihMTR_{GM})/ihMTR_{WM}$. The errors on the experimental signals represent
33
34 the standard deviations on the entire population of 16 mice, while for the simulated
35
36 signals the standard deviations were calculated on multiple simulations with T_{1D} values
37
38 varying in the range of the estimated fit errors (± 1 ms for the long T_{1D}^{l2} component
39
40 and ± 100 μ s for the short T_{1D}^{l1} component) (10,19).
41
42
43
44
45
46

47
48 Figure 6: IhMTR as a function of T_{1D}^{l1} simulated with the bi- T_{1D} model and T_{1D}^{l2} fixed
49
50 at 6.0 ms for the four Δt configurations and ihMTR calculated from subtraction between
51
52 $\Delta t = 0.0$ ms and $\Delta t > 0$ ms configurations at: (a, b) High power (6.7 μ T) and high DC
53
54 (62.5%) and (c, d) Low power (3 μ T) and low DC (6.67%).
55
56
57
58
59
60

Table 1: Model parameters used for the simulation of WM and GM tissues with the the bi- T_{1D} model. The non-null M_{0B} is distributed between all the macromolecular compartments ($M_{0B} = M_{0B}^H + M_{0B}^{I1} + M_{0B}^{I2}$). The two T_{1D} components are either varied in indicated ranges, either fixed for comparison with experimental data.

Table 2: Differential effect of Δt on short and long T_{1D} components. On the left side, quantifications of ihMTR at various Δt s for a T_{1D}^{I1} component of 0 μs and two T_{1D}^{I2} components of 6 ms (long T_{1D}) and 500 μs (short T_{1D}), extracted from Figure 3a. The normalized (Norm. ihMTR) and attenuated signals (Signal loss) were calculated with respect to the $\Delta t_{0,0}$ configuration. On the right side, for each Δt value, the contribution of short and long T_{1D} s to the total ihMTR signal corresponding to in vivo conditions ($T_{1D}^{I1} = 500 \mu s$; $T_{1D}^{I2} = 6 ms$), extracted from Figure 3d. The contribution of the long T_{1D} component to the ihMTR signal was calculated as the ratio between the ihMTR values obtained for ($T_{1D}^{I1} = 0 \mu s$ and $T_{1D}^{I2} = 6 ms$) and the ihMTR values obtained for ($T_{1D}^{I1} = 500 \mu s$ and $T_{1D}^{I2} = 6 ms$).

Appendix

Figure A1: Pipeline for template construction and segmentation. Native T_2w and MT volumes were processed with the purpose of obtaining T_2w and ihMTR templates in the same space (16BI6_ T_2w and 16BI6_ihMTR). The Dorr atlas was used afterwards to obtain segmentations of the structures of interest.

Figure B1: Variation of the absolute ihMTR signal amplitudes of the (a) $\Delta t_{3,2}$ high-pass and (c) the $\Delta t_{0,0} - \Delta t_{0,8}$ bandpass T_{1D} -filters for the in vivo conditions and all model

1
2
3 parameters. Analysis of the filter function for (b) the $\Delta t_{3,2}$ high-pass and (d, e) the $\Delta t_{0,0}$
4
5
6 – $\Delta t_{0,8}$ band-pass T_{1D} -filters for all model parameters. Dark points are associated with
7
8 the fixed model parameters and the colored points illustrate the fixed parameter
9 multiplied by a factor of 0.5 (blue), 1.5 (green) and 2 (orange).
10
11
12
13

14 *Supporting Information*

15
16
17 Table S1: Quantitative ihMTR metrics (mean \pm standard deviation) in the selected brain
18 anatomical structures of healthy mice (n = 16) and for each group of tissue type, where
19
20 INT – internal capsule, mCC – medial corpus callosum, OPT – optical tract, TH –
21
22 thalamus, CP – caudoputamen, CTX – cerebral cortex, HIP – hippocampal region, WM
23
24 = mean [internal capsule, corpus callosum, optical tract], GM = mean [cerebral cortex,
25
26 hippocampal region] and Mix = mean [thalamus, caudoputamen]. Significant
27
28 differences between the mean values of each group of tissue are indicated as: § -
29
30 significant difference to WM, * - significant difference to Mix, # - significant difference
31
32 to GM.
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41

42 Figure S1: Bi- T_{1D} model simulation for GM tissue parameters. (a) Simulated behavior
43
44 of ihMTR for four values of Δt (0.0 ms, 0.8 ms, 1.6 ms and 3.2 ms) at low RF duty cycle
45
46 (6.67%) and high power (6.7 μ T) when T_{1D}^{l2} is fixed to the long value of 5.8 ms and the
47
48 shorter T_{1D}^{l1} component is varied in the [10.0 μ s, 1.0 ms] range (b) ihMTR calculated
49
50 from subtraction between ihMTR obtained with $\Delta t = 0.0$ ms and ihMTR obtained with
51
52
53
54
55 $\Delta t > 0$ ms for the same range of T_{1D}^{l1} values.
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Figure S2: The evolution of MT^+ and MT^\pm as a function of T_{1D}^{l1} for the bi- T_{1D} model and
4
5 WM tissue parameters. Increasing Δt leads to a less efficient MT^\pm saturation, which
6
7 approached the MT^+ curve, resulting besides the natural filtering of short T_{1D}
8
9 components into a supplementary filtering of long T_{1D} components. T_{1D}^{l2} is fixed at 6
10
11 ms, while T_{1D}^{l1} is varied in the [10 μ s; 1ms] range.
12
13
14
15
16

17 Figure S3: The variation of the high-pass $\Delta t_{3.2}$ T_{1D} -filter shape with varying model
18
19 parameters.
20
21

22
23 Figure S4: The variation of the band-pass $\Delta t_{0.0} - \Delta t_{0.8}$ T_{1D} -filter shape with varying
24
25 model parameters.
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46

Table 1: Model parameters used for the simulation of WM and GM tissues with the the bi- T_{1D} model. The non-null M_{0B} is distributed between all the macromolecular compartments ($M_{0B} = M_{0B}^H + M_{0B}^{I1} + M_{0B}^{I2}$). The two T_{1D} components are either varied in indicated ranges, either fixed for comparison with experimental data.

	T_{1A}	T_{2A}	T_{1B}	T_{2B}	R	M_{0A}	M_{0B}	M_{0B}^{I1}	M_{0B}^{I2}	M_{0B}^H	Variation in a large range		Comparison with experiments	
	(s)	(ms)	(s)	(μ s)	(s^{-1})						T_{1D}^{I1}	T_{1D}^{I2}	T_{1D}^{I1}	T_{1D}^{I2}
													(μ s)	(ms)
WM	1.7	22.1		9	60		0.100	0.075	0.025	0.000	[0 μ s;	[T_{1D}^{I1} ;	500	6
GM	2	30	1	8	50	1	0.035	0.028	0.005	0.003	T_{1D}^{I2}]	10 ms]	400	5.8

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19 Table 2: Differential effect of Δt on short and long T_{1D} components. On the left side, quantifications of ihMTR at various Δt s for a T_{1D}^{l1}
20 component of 0 μs and two T_{1D}^{l2} components of 6 ms (long T_{1D}) and 500 μs (short T_{1D}), extracted from Figure 3a. The normalized
21 (Norm. ihMTR) and attenuated signals (Signal loss) were calculated with respect to the $\Delta t_{0,0}$ configuration. On the right side, for each
22 Δt value, the contribution of short and long T_{1D} s to the total ihMTR signal corresponding to in vivo conditions ($T_{1D}^{l1} = 500 \mu\text{s}$; $T_{1D}^{l2} =$
23 6 ms), extracted from Figure 3d. The contribution of the long T_{1D} component to the ihMTR signal was calculated as the ratio between
24 the ihMTR values obtained for ($T_{1D}^{l1} = 0 \mu\text{s}$ and $T_{1D}^{l2} = 6 \text{ ms}$) and the ihMTR values obtained for ($T_{1D}^{l1} = 500 \mu\text{s}$ and $T_{1D}^{l2} = 6 \text{ ms}$).
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46

	ihMTR signal attenuation for long and short T _{1D} components				Contribution of long and short T _{1D} components to the ihMTR signal			
	T _{1D} ^{l1} = 0 μs; T _{1D} ^{l2} = 6 ms		T _{1D} ^{l1} = 0 μs; T _{1D} ^{l2} = 500 μs		ihMTR (%)	ihMTR (%)	% contribution of long T _{1D}	% contribution of short T _{1D}
	Norm. ihMTR (%)	Signal loss w/r Δt _{0.0} (%)	Norm. ihMTR (%)	Signal loss w/r Δt _{0.0} (%)	T _{1D} ^{l1} = 0 μs, T _{1D} ^{l2} = 6 ms	T _{1D} ^{l1} = 500 μs, T _{1D} ^{l2} = 6 ms		
Δt_{0.0}	100	0	100	0	8.0	19.0	42	58
Δt_{0.8}	93.15	6.85	57.16	42.84	7.5	14.9	50	50
Δt_{1.6}	77.46	22.54	30.78	69.22	6.2	11.1	56	44
Δt_{3.2}	41.12	58.88	10.75	89.25	3.3	5.3	62	38




Figure 1: Schematics of a modified ihMT sequence used to derive ihMT images with different values of the frequency switching time Δt at constant RF power. (a) Single-offset and (b-e) dual-offset preparations. RF saturation was achieved by means of bursts composed of 8 off-resonance pulses (N_p) with an offset frequency of 10 kHz (Δf) and a duration of 0.5 ms (pw), repeated every 60 ms (BTR), corresponding to an RF duty-cycle of 6.67% for a total saturation time of 900 ms (τ). Δt was lengthened from 0.8 ms to 1.6 ms and 3.2 ms by increasing the number of consecutive pulses of the same polarity from 1, to 2 and 4. Cosine-modulated pulses producing simultaneous dual-offset saturation were used for $\Delta t = 0.0$ ms. For each Δt configuration, the ihMT image included the acquisition of two single frequency-offset (MT^+ at $+\Delta f$ and MT^- at $-\Delta f$) and two dual frequency-offset MT images to minimize the asymmetry of the macromolecular line (6).

130x161mm (600 x 600 DPI)




Figure 2: Schematics of a bi- T_{1D} model (two non-null T_{1D} dipolar compartments) and the exchanges associated with the various compartments. Exchanges between the dipolar order compartments and their associated semi-solid Zeeman compartments are mediated by RF induced transfer. The liquid compartment exchanges with Zeeman compartments through magnetization transfer. Zeeman semi-solid reservoirs were assumed to be characterized by the same relaxation parameters, saturation rate and exchange rate with the Zeeman liquid reservoir.

86x105mm (600 x 600 DPI)




Figure 3: Simulated behavior of ihMTR for four values of Δt (0.0 ms, 0.8 ms, 1.6 ms and 3.2 ms) at low RF duty cycle (6.67%) and high power (6.7 μT). (a) T_{1D}^{I1} is fixed at 0 ms, and T_{1D}^{I2} is varied in a range of short and long T_{1D} values. The colored dots indicate the shift in T_{1D} cutoff corresponding to the 2%-ihMTR detectability threshold. (b) The curves from point (a) normalized with respect to the $\Delta t_{0,0}$ configuration illustrate the higher signal attenuation of the short T_{1D} s as compared to the long components for increasing Δt s. (c) T_{1D}^{I1} is fixed at 500 μs and the longer T_{1D}^{I2} component is varied in the $[T_{1D}^{I1}, 10 \text{ ms}]$ range. The red dot corresponds to the 2%-ihMTR detectability threshold for the high-pass $\Delta t_{3,2}$ T_{1D} -filter. (d) T_{1D}^{I2} is fixed to the long value of 6.0 ms and the shorter T_{1D}^{I1} component is varied in the $[0.0 \mu\text{s}, T_{1D}^{I2}]$ range (data not shown on the graph - T_{1D}^{I1} starts from 10 μs for the sake of viewing). Colored dots indicate an increase of 2% (in absolute ihMTR unit) as compared to the baseline. (e) Band-pass T_{1D} filters calculated from subtraction between ihMTR obtained with $\Delta t = 0.0$ ms and ihMTR obtained with $\Delta t \geq 0.8$ ms for the same range of T_{1D}^{I1} values.

172x75mm (300 x 300 DPI)




Figure 4: Experimental ihMTR maps illustrate the signal intensity and contrast achieved on the brain of control mice with (a) increasing switching time values (b) the difference between the $\Delta t = 0.0$ ms and $\Delta t \geq 0.8$ ms configurations. Slices are presented from the anterior (+0.25 mm from bregma) to the posterior (-3.20 mm from bregma) part of the brain and the acquisition time is indicated for each ihMT configuration.

175x162mm (300 x 300 DPI)




Figure 5: Absolute ihMTR values obtained in (a) GM and (b) WM experimentally (blue) and simulated (orange) with the bi- T_{1D} model for all ihMT configurations. (c) Experimental (blue) and simulated (orange) relative WM/GM contrast calculated as $(ihMTR_{WM} - ihMTR_{GM})/ihMTR_{WM}$. The errors on the experimental signals represent the standard deviations on the entire population of 16 mice, while for the simulated signals the standard deviations were calculated on multiple simulations with T_{1D} values varying in the range of the estimated fit errors (± 1 ms for the long T_{1D}^{I2} component and ± 100 μs for the short T_{1D}^{I1} component) (10,19).

175x141mm (300 x 300 DPI)




Figure 6: $ihMTR$ as a function of T_{1D}^{I1} simulated with the bi- T_{1D} model and T_{1D}^{I2} fixed at 6.0 ms for the four Δt configurations and $ihMTR$ calculated from subtraction between $\Delta t = 0.0$ ms and $\Delta t > 0$ ms configurations at: (a, b) High power (6.7 μT) and high DC (62.5%) and (c, d) Low power (3 μT) and low DC (6.67%).

130x93mm (300 x 300 DPI)




Figure A1: Pipeline for template construction and segmentation. Native T_2w and MT volumes were processed with the purpose of obtaining T_2w and ihMTR templates in the same space (16BI6_ T_2w and 16BI6_ihMTR). The Dorr atlas was used afterwards to obtain segmentations of the structures of interest.

175x210mm (600 x 600 DPI)




Figure B1: Variation of the absolute ihMTR signal amplitudes of the (a) $\Delta_{3,2}$ high-pass and (c) the $\Delta_{0,0} - \Delta_{0,8}$ bandpass T_{1D} -filters for the in vivo conditions and all model parameters. Analysis of the filter function for (b) the $\Delta_{3,2}$ high-pass and (d, e) the $\Delta_{0,0} - \Delta_{0,8}$ band-pass T_{1D} -filters for all model parameters. Dark points are associated with the fixed model parameters and the colored points illustrate the fixed parameter multiplied by a factor of 0.5 (blue), 1.5 (green) and 2 (orange).

161x89mm (300 x 300 DPI)

Supporting Information

A summary of the mean ihMTR values and standard deviations in each of the seven segmented structures is presented in Table S1. Quantifications were performed on each mouse brain. WM tissues present higher ihMTR values than Mix tissues, which in turn present higher values than GM tissues for all Δt values. Standard deviations are lower than 1% and generally do not exceed 15% of the mean ihMTR value. All data was found to be normally distributed ($p > 0.05$) and heteroscedastic ($p > 0.05$). The analysis of variance and the post-hoc tests revealed significant differences ($p < 0.001$) between the three groups for all Δt configurations.

Table S1: Quantitative ihMTR metrics (mean \pm standard deviation) in the selected brain anatomical structures of healthy mice ($n = 16$) and for each group of tissue type, where INT – internal capsule, mCC – medial corpus callosum, OPT – optical tract, TH – thalamus, CP – caudoputamen, CTX – cerebral cortex, HIP – hippocampal region, WM = mean [internal capsule, corpus callosum, optical tract], GM = mean [cerebral cortex, hippocampal region] and Mix = mean [thalamus, caudoputamen]. Significant differences between the mean values of each group of tissue are indicated as: § - significant difference to WM, * - significant difference to Mix, # - significant difference to GM.

	INT	mCC	OPT	WM	TH	CP	Mix	CTX	HIP	GM
$\Delta t_{0.0}$	19.78	19.24	18.50	19.17	14.05	13.12	13.58	12.21	12.20	12.20
	\pm	\pm	\pm	\pm	\pm	\pm	\pm	\pm	\pm	\pm
	0.70	0.97	0.87	0.99	0.44	0.38	0.62	0.52	0.65	0.58
				#			§#			§
$\Delta t_{0.8}$	12.64	12.35	11.64	12.21	7.91	7.27	7.59	6.58	6.46	6.52
	\pm	\pm	\pm	\pm	\pm	\pm	\pm	\pm	\pm	\pm
	0.40	0.74	0.55	0.71	0.39	0.27	0.46	0.52	0.42	0.47
				#			§#			§

				9.74			5.99			5.17
	10.03	10.06	9.14		6.23	5.73		5.18	5.15	
				±			±			±
$\Delta t_{1.6}$	±	±	±		±	±		±	±	
	0.61	0.51	0.64	0.72	0.53	0.24	0.48	0.51	0.43	0.47
				#			§#			§
				5.61			3.38			2.88
	5.76	5.83	5.25		3.59	3.16		2.96	2.81	
				±			±			±
$\Delta t_{3.2}$	±	±	±		±	±		±	±	
	0.43	0.32	0.65	0.54	0.35	0.27	0.37	0.33	0.37	0.35
				#			§#			§
				13.56			10.21			9.32
	14.02	13.40	13.26		10.46	9.96		9.25	9.39	
				±			±			±
$\Delta t_{0.0}$	±	±	±		±	±		±	±	
				0.87			0.47			0.56
$\Delta t_{3.2}$	0.67	1.03	0.70		0.49	0.29		0.43	0.66	
				#			§#			§
				9.43			7.60			7.04
	9.75	9.18	9.36		7.82	7.38		7.03	7.05	
				±			±			±
$\Delta t_{0.0}$	±	±	±		±	±		±	±	
				0.63			0.47			0.42
$\Delta t_{1.6}$	0.38	0.75	0.60		0.42	0.43		0.31	0.52	
				#			§#			§
				6.96			6.00			5.68
	7.14	6.88	6.86		6.14	5.85		5.63	5.74	
				±			±			±
$\Delta t_{0.0}$	±	±	±		±	±		±	±	
				0.59			0.36			0.52
$\Delta t_{0.8}$	0.66	0.58	0.53		0.33	0.34		0.51	0.54	
				#			§#			§

Figure S1: Bi- T_{1D} model simulation for GM tissue parameters. (a) Simulated behavior of ihMTR for four values of Δt (0.0 ms, 0.8 ms, 1.6 ms and 3.2 ms) at low RF duty cycle (6.67%) and high power (6.7 μ T) when T_{1D}^{l2} is fixed to the long value of 5.8 ms and the shorter T_{1D}^{l1} component is varied in the [10.0 μ s, 1.0 ms] range (b) ihMTR calculated from subtraction between ihMTR obtained with $\Delta t = 0.0$ ms and ihMTR obtained with $\Delta t > 0$ ms for the same range of T_{1D}^{l1} values.





Figure S2: The evolution of MT^+ and MT^\pm as a function of T_{1D}^{l1} for the bi- T_{1D} model and WM tissue parameters. Increasing Δt leads to a less efficient MT^\pm saturation, which approached the MT^+ curve, resulting besides the natural filtering of short T_{1D} components into a supplementary filtering of long T_{1D} components. T_{1D}^{l2} is fixed at 6 ms, while T_{1D}^{l1} is varied in the [10 μ s; 1ms] range.



1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For Peer Review

Figure S3: The variation of the high-pass $\Delta t_{3.2} T_{1D}$ -filter shape with varying model parameters.




Figure S4: The variation of the band-pass $\Delta t_{0.0} - \Delta t_{0.8}$ T_{1D} -filter shape with varying model parameters.

