



**HAL**  
open science

# FREE PRE-LIE ALGEBRAS OF FINITE POSETS

Mohamed Ayadi

► **To cite this version:**

| Mohamed Ayadi. FREE PRE-LIE ALGEBRAS OF FINITE POSETS. 2022. hal-03515797v1

**HAL Id: hal-03515797**

**<https://hal.science/hal-03515797v1>**

Preprint submitted on 6 Jan 2022 (v1), last revised 7 Jun 2022 (v2)

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# FREE PRE-LIE ALGEBRAS OF FINITE POSETS

M. AYADI

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper, we first recall the construction of a twisted pre-Lie algebra structure on the species of finite connected topological spaces. Then we construct the corresponding non-associative permutative coproduct, and we prove that the vector space generated by isomorphism classes of finite posets is a free pre-Lie algebra and is a co-free non-associative permutative co-algebra. In the end, we give an explicit duality between the non-associative permutative product and the proposed non-associative permutative coproduct. Finally, we prove that the results in this paper remain true for the finite connected topological spaces.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Non-associative permutative algebras and coalgebras	4
3. Free pre-Lie algebras and co-free co-algebras	4
3.1. Free pre-Lie algebras and rooted trees	4
3.2. NAP algebras of finite connected posets	5
3.3. Free pre-Lie algebras of finite posets	7
3.4. Relation between $\circ\rightarrow$ and $\delta$	8
3.5. Free Lie algebras of finite posets	12
4. Generalization to finite topological spaces	12
References	15
Bibliography	15

## 1. INTRODUCTION

A partial order on a set  $X$  is a transitive, reflexive, antisymmetric relation on  $X$ . A finite poset is a finite set  $X$  endowed with a partial order  $\leq$ .

Let  $P = (X, \leq_P)$  and  $Q = (X, \leq_Q)$  be two posets. We say that  $P$  is finer than  $Q$  if:  $x \leq_P y \implies x \leq_Q y$  for any  $x, y \in X$ . The Hasse diagram of poset  $P = (X, \leq_P)$  is obtained by representing any element of  $X$  by a vertex, and by drawing a directed edge from  $a$  to  $b$  if and only if  $a <_P b$ , and, for any  $c \in X$  such that  $a \leq_P c \leq_P b$ , one has  $a = c$  or  $b = c$ .

Let  $I \subseteq P$ , we shall say that  $I$  is an upper ideal of  $P$  if, for all  $x, y \in P$ ,  $(x \in I, x \leq_P y) \implies y \in I$ .

Recall [3, 12] that a linear (tensor) species is a contravariant functor from the category of finite sets **Fin** with bijections into the category **Vect** of vector spaces (on some field  $\mathbf{k}$ ).

The species  $\mathbb{P}$  of finite posets is defined as follows: for any finite set  $X$ ,  $\mathbb{P}_X$  is the vector space

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16T05, 16T10, 16T15, 16T30, 06A11.

*Key words and phrases.* Bialgebras, bimonoids, finite topological spaces, Hopf algebras, species.

freely generated by the poset structures on  $X$ .

Now consider the graded vector space:

$$(1.1) \quad \mathcal{H} = \overline{\mathcal{K}}(\mathbb{P}) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{H}_n$$

where  $\mathcal{H}_0 = \mathbf{k}.1$ , and where  $\mathcal{H}_n$  is the linear span of posets on  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  when  $n \geq 1$ , modulo the action of the symmetric group  $S_n$  [3].

Let  $P = (X_1, \leq_P)$ ,  $Q = (X_2, \leq_Q)$  be two finite connected posets, and let  $v \in X_2$ . We define:

$$P \searrow_v Q := (X_1 \sqcup X_2, \leq),$$

where  $\leq$  is obtained from  $\leq_P$  and  $\leq_Q$  as follows: compare any pair in  $X_2$  (resp.  $X_1$ ) by using  $\leq_Q$  (resp.  $\leq_P$ ), and compare any element  $y \in X_2$  with any element  $x \in X_1$ : for any  $x, y \in X_1 \sqcup X_2$ ,  $x \leq y$  if and only if:

- Either  $x, y \in X_1$  and  $x \leq_P y$ ,
- or  $x, y \in X_2$  and  $x \leq_Q y$ ,
- or  $x \in X_2, y \in X_1$  and  $x \leq_Q v$ .

To sum up,  $P \searrow_v Q$  is obtained from the Hasse graphs  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  of  $P$  and  $Q$  by adding an (oriented) edge from  $v$  in  $G_2$  to any minimal vertex of  $G_1$ . For example



Denoting by  $\mathbb{V}$  the species of finite connected posets. The product  $\searrow$  defined in  $\mathbb{V}$  by:

$$P \searrow Q = \sum_{v \in X_2} P \searrow_v Q, \text{ for all } P \in \mathbb{V}_{X_1}, Q \in \mathbb{V}_{X_2},$$

is twisted pre-Lie, like its counterpart for finite topological spaces defined in [1].

Moreover we notice that,  $(\mathbb{P}, m, \Delta_{\searrow})$  is a commutative connected twisted bialgebra, and  $\mathcal{H} = \overline{\mathcal{K}}(\mathbb{P})$  is a commutative graded bialgebra. The product  $m$  is given by the disjoint union, and the coproduct is given by:

$$\Delta_{\searrow} : \mathbb{P}_X \longrightarrow (\mathbb{P} \otimes \mathbb{P})_X = \bigoplus_{Y \sqcup Z = X} \mathbb{P}_Y \otimes \mathbb{P}_Z$$

$$P \longmapsto \sum_{I \in \overline{P}} I \otimes P \setminus I$$

where  $I \in \overline{P}$  stands for

- $I$  is a ideal of  $P$ ,
- $I = I_1 \dots I_n$ , such that for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,  $I_i$  connected and  $(\min I_i = (\min P) \cap I_i)$ , or there is a single common ancestor  $x_i \in P \setminus I$  to  $\min I_i$ ).

In section 2, we recall the definition of a non-associative permutative algebra, and the dual definition of a non-associative permutative coalgebra, due to Muriel Livernet [13]. We recall the

following rigidity theorem: any pre-Lie algebra  $(V, \triangleright)$ , together with a non-associative permutative connected coproduct  $\delta$  satisfying the distributive law

$$\delta(a \triangleright b) = a \otimes b + (a \otimes \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1} \otimes a) \triangleright \delta(b),$$

is a free pre-Lie algebra and a cofree non-associative permutative coalgebra.

In the third part 3, of this paper, we recall the description of the free pre-Lie algebra in terms of rooted trees given in [9].

In this section, we define a new bilinear product  $\circ \rightarrow$  defined in the species of finite connected posets  $\mathbb{V}$  by: for all  $P \in \mathbb{V}_{X_1}$  and  $Q \in \mathbb{V}_{X_2}$  where  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are two finite sets

$$P \circ \rightarrow Q = \sum_{v \in \min(Q)} P \searrow_v Q.$$

We show that the bilinear product  $\circ \rightarrow$  is a non-associative permutative product. For any finite set  $X$ , we define the coproduct  $\delta$  by:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta : \mathbb{V}_X &\longrightarrow (\mathbb{V} \otimes \mathbb{V})_X = \bigoplus_{Y \sqcup Z = X} \mathbb{P}_Y \otimes \mathbb{P}_Z \\ P &\longmapsto \frac{1}{|\min(P)|} \sum_{I \circledast P} I \otimes P \setminus I, \end{aligned}$$

where  $I \circledast P$  means that  $I$  is a subset of  $P$  such that

- $I_-$  is a singleton included in  $\min(P)$ ,
- and  $I$  is a connected component of the set  $\{x \in P, I_- <_P x\}$ .

with the set  $I_-$  equal to the space  $\{x \notin I, \text{ there exists } y \in I \text{ such that } x \leq_P y\}$ .

We prove that the coproduct  $\delta$  is a non-associative permutative coproduct, i.e., the following identity is verified

$$(Id \otimes \delta)\delta = \tau^{12}(Id \otimes \delta)\delta.$$

Next we give a compatibility relation between the pre-Lie  $\searrow$  structure and the coproduct  $\delta$ , by proving that

$$\delta(P \searrow Q) = P \otimes Q + (P \otimes \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1} \otimes P) \searrow \delta(Q),$$

for all  $P, Q$  two finite connected posets, where the unit  $\mathbf{1}$  is identified to the empty poset.

Applying the functor  $\overline{\mathcal{K}}$  and M. Livernet's rigidity theorem [13] leads to the main result of the paper:  $(\overline{\mathcal{K}}(\mathbb{V}), \searrow)$  endowed with the coproduct  $\delta$  is a free pre-Lie algebra and a cofree non-associative permutative coalgebra.

Finally in this section, we prove that there exist relations between the non-associative permutative product  $\circ \rightarrow$  and the coproduct  $\delta$ , and by proving that  $(\mathcal{H}, \Delta_{\searrow})$  is a coassociative cofree coalgebra.

Finally we prove in section 4, that the results in this paper remain true for the finite connected topological spaces, with a small change on the definition of the coproduct  $\delta$ .

## 2. NON-ASSOCIATIVE PERMUTATIVE ALGEBRAS AND COALGEBRAS

**Definition 2.1.** A (left) non-associative permutative algebra (NAP algebra) is a vector space  $V$  equipped with a bilinear product  $\cdot$  satisfying the relation

$$a \cdot (b \cdot c) = b \cdot (a \cdot c), \text{ for all } a, b \text{ and } c \in V.$$

Note also that an associative algebra that is a non-associative permutative algebra is a permutative algebra in the terminology of Chapoton in [7].

A (left) non-associative permutative co-algebra is a vector space  $V$  equipped with a bilinear coproduct  $\delta : V \rightarrow V \otimes V$  satisfying the relation

$$(Id \otimes \delta)\delta = \tau^{12}(Id \otimes \delta)\delta.$$

This is the dual notion of a non-associative permutative algebra.

**Definition 2.2.** [8, 14] A left pre-Lie algebra over a field  $\mathbf{k}$  is a  $\mathbf{k}$ -vector space  $A$  with a binary composition  $\triangleright$  that satisfies the left pre-Lie identity:

$$(x \triangleright y) \triangleright z - x \triangleright (y \triangleright z) = (y \triangleright x) \triangleright z - y \triangleright (x \triangleright z),$$

for all  $x, y, z \in A$ . The left pre-Lie identity rewrites as:

$$(2.1) \quad L_{[x,y]} = [L_x, L_y],$$

where  $L_x : A \rightarrow A$  is defined by  $L_x y = x \triangleright y$ , and where the bracket on the left-hand side is defined by  $[x, y] = x \triangleright y - y \triangleright x$ . As a consequence this bracket satisfies the Jacobi identity.

**Definition 2.3.** [13] Let  $(V, \delta)$  coalgebra, i.e., be a vector space  $V$  together with linear map  $\delta : V \rightarrow V \otimes V$ . The following defines a filtration on  $V$ :

- $\text{Prim}(V) = V_1 = \{x \in V, \delta(x) = 0\}$
- $V_n = \{x \in V, \delta(x) \in \sum_{0 < k < n} V_k \otimes V_{n-k}\}$ .

The coalgebra  $(V, \delta)$  is said to be connected if  $V = \bigcup_{k>0} V_k$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** [13] Any pre-Lie algebra  $(V, \triangleright)$ , together with a non-associative permutative connected coproduct  $\delta$  satisfying the distributive law

$$(2.2) \quad \delta(a \triangleright b) = a \otimes b + (a \otimes \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1} \otimes a) \triangleright \delta(b),$$

is a free pre-Lie algebra and a cofree non-associative permutative coalgebra.

**Proposition 2.1.** [13, Corollary 3.9] Let  $(V, \triangleright)$  be a pre-Lie algebra together with a non-associative permutative connected coproduct  $\delta$  satisfying relation 2.2. Then  $V$  is generated as a pre-Lie algebra by  $\text{Prim}(V)$ .

## 3. FREE PRE-LIE ALGEBRAS AND CO-FREE CO-ALGEBRAS

**3.1. Free pre-Lie algebras and rooted trees.** Let  $T$  the vector space spanned by the set of isomorphism classes of rooted trees and  $H = S(T)$ . Grafting pre-Lie algebras of rooted trees were studied for the first time by F. Chapoton and M. Livernet [9], see also D. Manchon and A. Saidi [15]. The grafting product is given, for all  $t, s \in T$ , by:

$$(3.1) \quad t \rightarrow s = \sum_{s' \text{ vertex of } s} t \rightarrow_{s'} s,$$

where  $t \rightarrow_{s'} s$  is the tree obtained by grafting the root of  $t$  on the vertex  $s'$  of  $s$ . In other words, the operation  $t \rightarrow s$  consists of grafting the root of  $t$  on every vertex of  $s$  and summing up. Following the notation of Connes and Kreimer [5], any tree  $t$  writes  $t := B(v, t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n)$  where is decorated by  $v$ , and  $t_1, \dots, t_n$  are trees.

We note  $RT(n)$  the space of labelled rooted trees of degree  $n$ . For a vector space  $V$ , denoted by  $RT(V)$  the space  $\sum_n RT(n) \otimes_{\Sigma_n} V^{\otimes n}$ , where  $\Sigma_n$  is the symmetric group on  $n$  elements.

We notice that, if using the pre-Lie product  $\rightarrow$  in  $RT(V)$ , one has:

$$B(v, t_1, \dots, t_n) = t_n \rightarrow B(v, t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}) - \sum_{0 < k < n} B(v, t_1, \dots, t_n \rightarrow t_k, \dots, t_{n-1})$$

**Proposition 3.1.** [9] *Equipped by  $\rightarrow$ , the space  $T$  is the free pre-Lie algebra with one generator.*

**Proposition 3.2.** [13] *Let  $V$  be a vector space. Then  $RT(V)$ , together with the coproduct*

$$\Delta(B(v, t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n)) = \sum_{0 < k < n+1} t_k \otimes B(v, t_1, \dots, \hat{t}_k, \dots, t_n),$$

*is the cofree non-associative permutative connected coalgebra.*

**3.2. NAP algebras of finite connected posets.** Let  $P$  be a finite connected poset, and  $I$  be a subset of  $P$ . We denote by  $I_-$  the set  $\{x \notin I, \text{ there exists } y \in I \text{ such as } x \leq_P y\}$ .

We denote  $I \odot P$  if:

- $I_-$  is a singleton included in  $\min(P)$ ,
- and  $I$  is a connected component of the set  $\{x \in P, I_- <_P x\}$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $P$  be a finite connected poset, and  $I, J$  two subsets of  $P$ , we have*

- 1 - if  $I, J \odot P$ , then  $I = J$  or  $I \cap J = \emptyset$ ,
- 2 - if  $I \odot P$ , then  $\min(P \setminus I) = \min(P)$ .

*Proof.* 1- Let  $P$  be a finite connected poset, and  $I, J$  two subset of  $P$ , such as  $I, J \odot P$ , so we have two possible cases: either  $I_- \neq J_-$  or  $I_- = J_-$ .

- If  $I_- \neq J_-$ , with  $I_- = \{y\}$ , and  $J_- = \{z\}$ , then  $I$  is a connected component of the set  $\{x \in P, y <_P x\}$  and  $J$  is a connected component of the set  $\{x \in P, z <_P x\}$ . Then  $I \cap J = \emptyset$ .

- If  $I_- = J_- = \{y\}$ , then  $I$  and  $J$  is two connected component of the set  $\{x \in P, y <_P x\}$ , then  $I = J$  or  $I \cap J = \emptyset$ .

2- If there exists  $x \in \min(P) \cap I$ , then  $I_- <_P x$ , which is absurd, then  $\min(P) \cap I = \emptyset$ . Then  $\min(P \setminus I) = \min(P)$ .  $\square$

**Definition 3.1.** *We define the bilinear product  $\circ \rightarrow$  defined in the species of finite connected posets  $\mathbb{V}$  by: for all  $P \in \mathbb{V}_{X_1}$  and  $Q \in \mathbb{V}_{X_2}$ , where  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are two finite sets,*

$$P \circ \rightarrow Q = \sum_{v \in \min(Q)} P \searrow_v Q.$$

**Proposition 3.3.** *The bilinear product  $\circ \rightarrow$  is a non-associative permutative product, i.e., the following identity is verified*

$$P \circ \rightarrow (Q \circ \rightarrow R) = Q \circ \rightarrow (P \circ \rightarrow R),$$

*for all  $P, Q$  and  $R$  three finite connected posets.*

*Proof.* Let  $P, Q$  and  $R$  three finite connected posets, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} P \circ \rightarrow (Q \circ \rightarrow R) &= \sum_{v \in \min(R)} P \circ \rightarrow (Q \searrow_v R) \\ &= \sum_{u \in \min(Q \searrow_v R)} \sum_{v \in \min(R)} P \searrow_u (Q \searrow_v R), \end{aligned}$$

and since  $\min(Q \searrow_v R) = \min(R)$ , for all  $v \in \min(R)$  then

$$P \circ \rightarrow (Q \circ \rightarrow R) = \sum_{v, u \in \min(R)} P \searrow_u (Q \searrow_v R),$$

Which is symmetric on  $P$  and  $Q$ . Then we obtain:

$$P \circ \rightarrow (Q \circ \rightarrow R) = Q \circ \rightarrow (P \circ \rightarrow R).$$

□

**Corollary 3.1.** Applying the functor  $\overline{\mathcal{K}}$  gives that  $(\overline{\mathcal{K}}(\mathbb{V}), \circ \rightarrow)$  is a non-associative permutative algebra (NAP algebra).

**Proposition 3.4.** Let  $X$  be any finite set, we define the coproduct  $\delta$  by:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta : \mathbb{V}_X &\longrightarrow (\mathbb{V} \otimes \mathbb{V})_X = \bigoplus_{Y \sqcup Z = X} \mathbb{V}_Y \otimes \mathbb{V}_Z \\ P &\longmapsto \frac{1}{|\min(P)|} \sum_{I \in \mathcal{P}} I \otimes P \setminus I, \end{aligned}$$

$\delta$  is a non-associative permutative coproduct, i.e., the following identity is verified

$$(Id \otimes \delta)\delta = \tau^{12}(Id \otimes \delta)\delta, \text{ where } \tau^{12} = \tau \otimes Id, \tau \text{ is the flip.}$$

*Proof.* Let  $P$  be a finite connected poset, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (Id \otimes \delta)\delta(P) &= \frac{1}{|\min(P)|} \sum_{I \in \mathcal{P}} I \otimes \delta(P \setminus I) \\ &= \frac{1}{|\min(P)|} \sum_{J \in \mathcal{P} \setminus I} \frac{1}{|\min(P \setminus I)|} I \otimes J \otimes ((P \setminus I) \setminus J) \\ &= \frac{1}{|\min(P)|^2} \sum_{\substack{I, J \in \mathcal{P} \\ I \cap J = \emptyset}} I \otimes J \otimes (P \setminus (I \sqcup J)) \\ &= \tau^{12}(Id \otimes \delta)\delta(P). \end{aligned}$$

□

**Example 3.1.**  $\delta(\wedge) = 0$

$\delta(\vee) = 2 \cdot \otimes \dagger$

$$\delta(\mathfrak{N}) = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \otimes \wedge$$

$$\delta(\diamond) = \wedge \otimes \cdot$$

**Corollary 3.2.** Applying the functor  $\overline{\mathcal{K}}$  gives that  $(\overline{\mathcal{K}}(\mathbb{V}), \delta)$  is a non-associative permutative coalgebra (NAP coalgebra).

**3.3. Free pre-Lie algebras of finite posets.** In this subsection, we prove the compatibility relation [13, Theorem] between the product  $\searrow$  and the non-associative permutative coproduct  $\delta$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $P, Q$  two finite connected posets. We have the following identity*

$$\delta(P \searrow Q) = P \otimes Q + (P \otimes \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1} \otimes P) \searrow \delta(Q),$$

where the unit  $\mathbf{1}$  is identified to the empty poset.

*Proof.* Let  $P = (X_1, \leq_P)$ ,  $Q = (X_2, \leq_Q)$  two finite connected posets, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(P \searrow Q) &= \sum_{v \in X_2} \delta(P \searrow_v Q) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{v \in X_2 \\ I \otimes (P \searrow_v Q)}} \frac{1}{|\min(P \searrow_v Q)|} I \otimes ((P \searrow_v Q) \setminus I) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{v \in X_2 \\ I \otimes (P \searrow_v Q)}} \frac{1}{|\min(Q)|} I \otimes ((P \searrow_v Q) \setminus I) \\ &= \frac{1}{|\min(Q)|} \left( \sum_{\substack{v \in X_2, v \in \min(Q) \\ I \otimes (P \searrow_v Q)}} I \otimes ((P \searrow_v Q) \setminus I) + \sum_{\substack{v \in X_2, v \notin \min(Q) \\ I \otimes (P \searrow_v Q)}} I \otimes ((P \searrow_v Q) \setminus I) \right), \end{aligned}$$

we notice that

- if  $v \in \min(Q)$ , then  $\{I, I \otimes (P \searrow_v Q)\} = \{P\} \cup \{I, I \otimes Q\}$ ,

and

- if  $v \notin \min(Q)$ , then  $\{I, I \otimes (P \searrow_v Q)\} = \{P \searrow_v J, J \otimes Q, v \in J\} \cup \{J, J \otimes Q, v \notin J\}$ ,

then

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(P \searrow Q) &= \frac{1}{|\min(Q)|} \left( \sum_{v \in X_2, v \in \min(Q)} P \otimes Q + \sum_{\substack{v \in X_2, v \in \min(Q) \\ I \otimes Q}} I \otimes (P \searrow_v (Q \setminus I)) \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{|\min(Q)|} \left( \sum_{\substack{v \in X_2, v \notin \min(Q) \\ J \otimes Q, v \in J}} (P \searrow_v J) \otimes Q \setminus J + \sum_{\substack{v \in X_2, v \notin \min(Q) \\ J \otimes Q, v \notin J}} J \otimes (P \searrow_v (Q \setminus J)) \right), \end{aligned}$$

we notice that

$$\sum_{\substack{v \in X_2, v \notin \min(Q) \\ J \otimes Q, v \in J}} (P \searrow_v J) \otimes Q \setminus J = \sum_{J \otimes Q} (P \otimes \mathbf{1}) \searrow (J \otimes Q \setminus J),$$

and

$$\sum_{\substack{v \in X_2, v \notin \min(Q) \\ J \otimes Q, v \notin J}} J \otimes (P \searrow_v (Q \setminus J)) = \sum_{J \otimes Q} J \otimes (P \searrow_v (Q \setminus J)),$$

then

$$\sum_{\substack{v \in X_2, v \in \min(Q) \\ I \otimes Q}} I \otimes (P \searrow_v (Q \setminus I)) + \sum_{\substack{v \in X_2, v \notin \min(Q) \\ J \otimes Q, v \in J}} J \otimes (P \searrow_v (Q \setminus J)) = \sum_{J \otimes Q} (\mathbf{1} \otimes P) \searrow (J \otimes Q \setminus J),$$



then

$$\delta(P \searrow Q) = \frac{1}{|\min(Q)|} \left( \sum_{v \in X_2, v \in \min(Q)} P \otimes Q + \sum_{J \otimes Q} (P \otimes \mathbf{1}) \searrow (J \otimes Q \setminus J) + \sum_{J \otimes Q} (\mathbf{1} \otimes P) \searrow (J \otimes Q \setminus J) \right).$$

Then

$$\delta(P \searrow Q) = P \otimes Q + (P \otimes \mathbf{1}) \searrow \delta(Q) + (\mathbf{1} \otimes P) \searrow \delta(Q).$$

□

**Corollary 3.3.** *Applying the functor  $\overline{\mathcal{K}}$  gives that,  $(\overline{\mathcal{K}}(\mathbb{V}), \searrow)$  endowed with the coproduct  $\delta$  is a free pre-Lie algebra and a cofree non-associative permutative coalgebra.*

*Proof.* This is a direct consequence of theorem 3.1 and M. Livernet's rigidity theorem [13, Theorem]. □

**Corollary 3.4.**  *$\overline{\mathcal{K}}(\mathbb{V})$  is generated as a pre-Lie algebra by  $\text{Prim}(\overline{\mathcal{K}}(\mathbb{V}))$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\overline{\mathcal{K}}(\mathbb{V})_1 = \text{Prim}(\overline{\mathcal{K}}(\mathbb{V})) = \{P \in \overline{\mathcal{K}}(\mathbb{V}), \delta(P) = 0\}$ , and let  $\overline{\mathcal{K}}(\mathbb{V})_n = \{P \in \overline{\mathcal{K}}(\mathbb{V}), \delta(P) \in \sum_{0 < k < n} \overline{\mathcal{K}}(\mathbb{V})_k \otimes \overline{\mathcal{K}}(\mathbb{V})_{n-k}\}$ , we notice that  $\overline{\mathcal{K}}(\mathbb{V}) = \bigcup_{k > 0} \overline{\mathcal{K}}(\mathbb{V})_k$ , i.e., the vector space  $(\overline{\mathcal{K}}(\mathbb{V}), \delta)$  is connected. Applying proposition 2.1 we obtain that  $\overline{\mathcal{K}}(\mathbb{V})$  is generated as a pre-Lie algebra by  $\text{Prim}(\overline{\mathcal{K}}(\mathbb{V}))$ . □

**Example 3.2.** *Here are the posets in  $\text{Prim}(\overline{\mathcal{K}}(\mathbb{V}))$  up to four vertices:*



**3.4. Relation between  $\circ \rightarrow$  and  $\delta$ .** In this subsection, we prove that there exist relations between the non-associative permutative product  $\circ \rightarrow$  and the non-associative permutative coproduct  $\delta$ .

**Definition 3.2.** *Let  $G$  be a group acting on  $X$ , for every  $x \in X$ :*

- we denote by  $G \cdot x := \{g \cdot x, g \in G\}$ , the orbit of  $x$ ,

- we denote by  $G_{-x} = \{g \in G, g \cdot x = x\}$ , the stabilizer subgroup of  $G$  with respect to  $x$ .

The action of  $G$  on  $X$  is called: transitive if  $X$  is non-empty and if for each pair  $x, y \in X$  there exists  $g \in G$  such that  $g \cdot x = y$ . (i.e, that is if there exists  $x$  in  $X$  with  $G \cdot x = X$ , i.e, it has exactly one orbit.)

**Proposition 3.5.** *Let  $G$  be a group acting on  $X$ , if  $G$  and  $X$  is finite, then the orbit-stabilizer theorem, together with Lagrange's theorem [17, Theorem 3.9], gives*

$$(3.2) \quad |G \cdot x| = [G_{-x} : G] = \frac{|G|}{|G_{-x}|},$$

that implies that the cardinal of the orbit is a divisor of the group order.

**Definition 3.3.** *For any poset  $P$  on a finite set  $X$ , we denote by  $\text{Aut}(P)$  the subgroup of permutations of  $X$  which are homeomorphisms with respect to  $P$ . The symmetry factor is defined by  $\sigma(P) = |\text{Aut}(P)|$ . Let  $X_1, X_2$  two finite sets, we define the linear map  $\langle, \rangle : \mathbb{V}_{X_1} \otimes \mathbb{V}_{X_2} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$  by:*

$$\langle Q, R \rangle = \begin{cases} \sigma(Q) & \text{if } Q \approx R, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In other terms,  $\langle Q, R \rangle$  is the number of isomorphisms between  $Q$  and  $R$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $P, Q$  and  $R$  three finite connected posets. We have the following identity*

$$\langle \delta(P), Q \otimes R \rangle = \frac{1}{|\min(P)|} \langle P, Q \circlearrowright R \rangle.$$

*Proof.* Let  $P, Q$  and  $R$  three finite connected posets.

$$\langle \delta(P), Q \otimes R \rangle = \frac{1}{|\min(P)|} \sum_{\substack{I \odot P \\ I \approx Q, \\ P \setminus I \approx R}} \sigma(Q)\sigma(R) = \frac{1}{|\min(P)|} |A|$$

with

$$A = \{(I, \sigma_1, \sigma_2) \mid I \odot P, \sigma_1 : I \xrightarrow{\sim} Q, \sigma_2 : P \setminus I \xrightarrow{\sim} R\}.$$

And:

$$\langle P, Q \circlearrowright R \rangle = \sum_{\substack{v \in \min(R) \\ Q \searrow_v R \approx P}} \sigma(P) = |B|,$$

with

$$B = \{(v, \sigma) \mid v \in \min(R), \sigma : P \xrightarrow{\sim} Q \searrow_v R\}.$$

Let us define now a map  $\phi : A \longrightarrow B$ . Let  $(I, \sigma_1, \sigma_2) \in A$ . We put  $w = I_-$ . As  $I \odot P$ ,  $w \in \min(P \setminus I)$ , so  $v = \sigma_2(w) \in \min(R)$ . As  $I \odot P$ ,  $P = I \searrow_w (P \setminus I)$ , so we obtain an isomorphism  $\sigma : P \longrightarrow Q \searrow_v R$  by taking

$$\sigma(x) = \begin{cases} \sigma_1(x) & \text{if } x \in I, \\ \sigma_2(x) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We then put  $\phi(I, \sigma_1, \sigma_2) = (v, \sigma)$ .

Now, we define a map  $\psi : B \longrightarrow A$ . If  $(v, \sigma) \in B$ , we put  $I = \sigma^{-1}(Q)$ . As  $Q \odot Q \searrow_v R$ ,  $I \odot P$ . Moreover,  $\sigma_1 = \sigma|_I$  is a graph isomorphism from  $I$  to  $Q$  and  $\sigma_2 = \sigma|_{P \setminus I}$  is a graph isomorphism from  $P \setminus I$  to  $R$ . We put  $\psi(v, \sigma) = (I, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ .

Let  $(v, \sigma) \in B$ . We put  $\psi(v, \sigma) = (I, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  and  $\phi \circ \psi(v, \sigma) = (v', \sigma')$ . Then  $I = \sigma^{-1}(Q)$  and  $w' = I_- = w$ , so  $v' = \sigma(w) = v$ . Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma'_{|I} &= \sigma_1 = \sigma|_I, \\ \sigma'_{|P \setminus I} &= \sigma_2 = \sigma|_{P \setminus I}, \end{aligned}$$

so  $\sigma' = \sigma$ . Hence,  $\phi \circ \psi = \text{id}_B$ .

Let  $(I, \sigma_1, \sigma_2) \in A$ . We put  $\phi(I, \sigma_1, \sigma_2) = (v, \sigma)$  and  $\psi \circ \phi(I, \sigma_1, \sigma_2) = (I', \sigma'_1, \sigma'_2)$ . Then  $I' = \sigma^{-1}(Q) = I$ , by construction of  $\sigma$ . Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma'_1 &= \sigma|_I = \sigma_1, \\ \sigma'_2 &= \sigma|_{P \setminus I} = \sigma_2. \end{aligned}$$

So  $\psi \circ \phi = \text{id}_A$ .

Finally,  $A$  and  $B$  are in bijection and we obtain:

$$\langle \delta(P), Q \otimes R \rangle = \frac{1}{|\min(P)|} \langle P, Q \circlearrowright R \rangle.$$

□

**Notation.** Let  $E$  be any finite set, and let  $\pi, \rho$  be two partitions of  $E$ , We denote by

$$j(\pi, \rho) = \frac{1}{|E|} \sum_{\substack{\alpha \text{ is a block of } \pi \\ \beta \text{ is a block of } \rho}} |\alpha \cap \beta| \frac{|\beta|}{|\alpha|}.$$

**Proposition 3.6.** Let  $P, Q$  and  $R$  three finite connected posets. We denote by  $E = \{v, v \in \min(R) \mid P \approx Q \searrow_v R\}$ . Let  $\pi$  be the partition of  $E$  into  $\text{Aut}(P)$ -orbits, and let  $\rho$  be the partition of  $E$  into  $\text{Aut}(R)$ -orbits. We have the following identity

$$j(\pi, \rho) = 1.$$

*Proof.* Let  $P, Q$  and  $R$  three finite connected posets. In fact: firstly,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle P, Q \circ \rightarrow R \rangle &= \sum_{v \in \min(R)} \langle P, Q \searrow_v R \rangle \\ &= \sum_{\substack{v \in \min(R) \\ P \approx Q \searrow_v R}} \sigma(P) \\ &= \sigma(P)|E|, \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \delta(P), Q \otimes R \rangle &= \frac{1}{|\min(P)|} \sum_{I \in P} \langle I, Q \rangle \langle P \setminus I, R \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{|\min(P)|} \sum_{\substack{I \in P \\ I \approx Q, P \setminus I \approx R}} \sigma(Q) \sigma(R) \\ &= \frac{1}{|\min(R)|} \sum_{\substack{I \in P \\ I \approx Q, P \setminus I \approx R}} \sigma(Q) \sigma(R) \\ &= \frac{1}{|\min(R)|} \sum_{v \in \min(R)} \sum_{\substack{I \in P, I_- = \{v\} \\ I \approx Q, P \setminus I \approx R}} \sigma(Q) \sigma(R) \\ &= \frac{1}{|\min(R)|} \sum_{v \in \min(R)} N_v(P, Q, R) \sigma(Q) \sigma(R), \end{aligned}$$

where  $N_v(P, Q, R) :=$  the number of branches  $I$  of  $P$  above  $v$  isomorphic to  $Q$  such that  $P \setminus I$  isomorphic to  $R$ .

We notice that, for all  $v \in \min(R)$ :

- if  $P \not\approx Q \searrow_v R$ , then  $N_v(P, Q, R) = 0$ ,
- if  $P \approx Q \searrow_v R$ , then  $N_v(P, Q, R) = N_v(Q, R) :=$  the number of branches of  $Q \searrow_v R$  above  $v$  isomorphic to  $Q$ .

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle \delta(P), Q \otimes R \rangle &= \frac{1}{|\min(R)|} \left( \sum_{\substack{v \in \min(R) \\ P \approx Q \setminus_v R}} N_v(P, Q, R) \sigma(Q) \sigma(R) + \sum_{\substack{v \in \min(R) \\ P \neq Q \setminus_v R}} N_v(P, Q, R) \sigma(Q) \sigma(R) \right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{|\min(R)|} \sum_{\substack{v \in \min(R) \\ P \approx Q \setminus_v R}} N_v(P, Q, R) \sigma(Q) \sigma(R) \\
 &= \frac{1}{|\min(R)|} \sum_{\substack{v \in \min(R) \\ P \approx Q \setminus_v R}} N_v(Q, R) \sigma(Q) \sigma(R).
 \end{aligned}$$

By using the orbit-stabilizer theorem, as well as Lagrange's theorem for the group  $(\text{Aut}(R), \circ)$  endowed with the law of composition, we therefore have:

$$|\text{Aut}(R) \cdot v| = \frac{|\text{Aut}(R)|}{|\text{Aut}(R)_v|}, \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad |\text{Aut}(R) \cdot v| = \frac{\sigma(R)}{\sigma_v(R)}.$$

We notice that, for all  $v \in \min(R)$ :  $\sigma_v(Q \setminus_v R) = \sigma(Q) \sigma_v(R) N_v(Q, R)$ .

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle \delta(P), Q \otimes R \rangle &= \frac{1}{|\min(R)|} \sum_{v \in E} \sigma(Q) \sigma_v(R) |\text{Aut}(R) \cdot v| N_v(Q, R) \\
 &= \frac{1}{|\min(R)|} \sum_{v \in E} \sigma_v(P) |\text{Aut}(R) \cdot v| \\
 &= \frac{1}{|\min(R)|} \sum_{v \in E} \sigma(P) \frac{|\text{Aut}(R) \cdot v|}{|\text{Aut}(P) \cdot v|} \\
 &= \frac{1}{|\min(R)|} \sigma(P) S,
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$S = \sum_{v \in E} \frac{|\text{Aut}(R) \cdot v|}{|\text{Aut}(P) \cdot v|}.$$

Let  $\pi$  be the partition of  $E$  into  $\text{Aut}(P)$ -orbits, and let  $\rho$  be the partition of  $E$  into  $\text{Aut}(R)$ -orbits. We denote by  $\alpha \in \pi$  (respectively,  $\beta \in \rho$ ), if  $\alpha$  is a block of  $\pi$  (respectively, if  $\beta$  is a block of  $\rho$ ).

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 S &= \sum_{v \in E} \frac{|\text{Aut}(R) \cdot v|}{|\text{Aut}(P) \cdot v|} \\
 &= \sum_{v \in E} \sum_{\substack{\alpha \text{ is a } \text{Aut}(P)\text{-orbit of } v \\ \beta \text{ is a } \text{Aut}(R)\text{-orbit of } v}} \frac{|\beta|}{|\alpha|} \\
 &= \sum_{\substack{\alpha \text{ is a } \text{Aut}(P)\text{-orbit} \\ \beta \text{ is a } \text{Aut}(R)\text{-orbit}}} |\alpha \cap \beta| \frac{|\beta|}{|\alpha|} \\
 &= j(\pi, \rho),
 \end{aligned}$$

then  $\langle \delta(P), Q \otimes R \rangle = \frac{1}{|\min(R)|} \sigma(P) j(\pi, \rho)$ . Then

$$\langle \delta(P), Q \otimes R \rangle = \langle P, Q \circ \rightarrow R \rangle \frac{j_{P,R}(\pi, \rho)}{|\min(P)|}.$$

Applying Theorem 3.2, we obtain

$$\langle \delta(P), Q \otimes R \rangle = \frac{1}{|\min(P)|} \langle P, Q \circ \rightarrow R \rangle = \langle P, Q \circ \rightarrow R \rangle \frac{j(\pi, \rho)}{|\min(P)|}.$$

Conclusion: for  $P, Q$  and  $R$  three finite connected posets, if we denote by  $E = \{v, v \in \min(R) \mid P \approx Q \searrow_v R\}$ , and for  $\pi$  (resp.  $\rho$ ) the partition of  $E$  into  $\text{Aut}(P)$ -orbits (resp. the partition of  $E$  into  $\text{Aut}(R)$ -orbits). Then we obtain

$$j(\pi, \rho) = 1.$$

□

**3.5. Free Lie algebras of finite posets.** F. Chapoton in [6] shows that free pre-Lie algebras are free as Lie algebras, i.e., if  $(V, \triangleright)$  is a free pre-Lie algebra, then it is a free Lie algebra for the Lie bracket  $[, ]$  defined by  $[a, b] = a \triangleright b - b \triangleright a$ . This result has been obtained before with different methods by L. Foissy [10, Theorem 8.4].

**Proposition 3.7.** [13] *For any vector space  $V$ , the free pre-Lie algebra on  $V$  is isomorphic as a Lie algebra to the free Lie algebra on some set  $X(V)$  of generators.*

**Application** Let  $H^0$  be the graded dual of the Hopf algebra  $H$ . The primitive element algebra of the graded dual  $H^0$  with the bracket  $[, ]$  is a Lie algebra. We denote by  $\star$  the Grossman-Larson product on the dual of  $H$  [1]. This product restricted to  $H^0$  is the graded dual of the coproduct  $\Delta_{\searrow}$  [1].

Applying the general setting above to the case  $H = \mathcal{H} = \overline{\mathcal{K}}(\mathbb{P})$  we are studying, we obtain that:  $(\overline{\mathcal{K}}(\mathbb{V}), \searrow) = (\text{Prim}(H^0), \searrow)$  is a free pre-Lie algebra, then it is also a free Lie algebra, therefore  $U(\text{Prim}H^0) = (S(\text{Prim}H^0), \star)$  is a free associative algebra. Then  $(S(\text{Prim}H^0), \star)^0 = (H, \Delta_{\searrow})$  is a coassociative cofree coalgebra.

#### 4. GENERALIZATION TO FINITE TOPOLOGICAL SPACES

Recall [2, 11] that a finite topological space is a finite quasi-poset and vice versa. Any topology  $\mathcal{T}$  (hence any quasi-order on  $X$ ) gives rise to an equivalence relation:

$$(4.1) \quad x \sim_{\mathcal{T}} y \iff (x \leq_{\mathcal{T}} y \text{ and } y \leq_{\mathcal{T}} x).$$

This equivalence relation is trivial if and only if the quasi-order is a (partial) order. Any topology  $\mathcal{T}$  on  $X$  defines a poset on the quotient  $X / \sim_{\mathcal{T}}$ , corresponding to the partial order induced by the quasi-order  $\leq_{\mathcal{T}}$ . More on finite topological spaces can be found in [4, 16]

All the results in this paper remain true for the finite connected topological spaces, with a small change on the definition of the coproduct  $\delta$ . Indeed: let  $\mathcal{T} = (X, \leq_{\mathcal{T}})$  be a finite connected topological space, we define

$$\delta(\mathcal{T}) = \frac{1}{|\min(\mathcal{T})|} \sum_{Y \in \mathcal{T}} \mathcal{T}_{|Y} \otimes \mathcal{T}_{|X \setminus Y},$$

where  $Y \in \mathcal{T}$  means that  $Y \in \mathcal{T}$  such that

- $\bar{Y}_-$  is a singleton included in  $\min(\bar{\mathcal{T}})$ ,
- and  $Y$  is a branch of the set  $\{x \in X, \text{ such that for all } y \in Y_-, \text{ we have } y <_{\mathcal{T}} x \text{ and } y \not\leq x\}$ .

Here the set  $Y_-$  equal to the space  $\{x \notin Y, \text{ there exists } y \in Y \text{ such that } x \leq y\}$ ,  $\bar{\mathcal{T}} = (X / \sim_{\mathcal{T}}, \leq)$  is the poset defined on  $X / \sim_{\mathcal{T}}$  corresponding to the partial order  $\leq$  induced by the quasi-order  $\leq_{\mathcal{T}}$ , and  $\bar{Y}_- = Y_- / \sim_{\mathcal{T}|_{Y_-}}$ . Let  $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{T})$  the subgroup of permutations of  $X$  which are homeomorphisms with respect to  $\mathcal{T}$ , and let  $G = \{g \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{T}), g \cdot \bar{v} = \bar{v} \text{ for all } v \in X\}$ , we notice that  $G \subseteq \text{Aut}(\mathcal{T})$ ,  $G$  is a normal subgroup and  $\text{Aut}(\bar{\mathcal{T}}) = \text{Aut}(\mathcal{T})/G$ .

We notice that the results obtained in Lemma 3.1 also work for  $Y, Z \otimes \mathcal{T}$ . From  $Y, Z \otimes \mathcal{T}$  and Lemma 3.1 we get that both  $\bar{Y}, \bar{Z} \otimes \bar{\mathcal{T}}$ , then  $(\bar{Y} = \bar{Z} \text{ or } \bar{Y} \cap \bar{Z} = \emptyset)$ , and  $\min(\bar{\mathcal{T}}_{|X \setminus Y}) = \min(\bar{\mathcal{T}})$ . Then  $Y \cap Z = \emptyset$  and  $\min(\mathcal{T}_{|X \setminus Y}) = \min(\mathcal{T})$ .

Hence to show that the proposition 3.4 also works in topological cases, it suffices to change  $I, J \otimes P$  by  $Y, Z \otimes \mathcal{T}$  in the proof of proposition 3.6. To show that the result of Theorem 3.1 also works in connected finite topological spaces, we change  $I \otimes P$  by  $Y \otimes \mathcal{T}$  in the Proof 3.3.

Finally we prove the existence of a relation between  $\delta$  and  $\circ \rightarrow$  in the topological case. Exactly: for  $\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}'$  and  $\mathcal{T}''$  three finite connected topological spaces, we have

$$\langle \delta(\mathcal{T}), \mathcal{T}' \otimes \mathcal{T}'' \rangle = \frac{1}{n |\min(\mathcal{T})|} \langle \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}' \circ \rightarrow \mathcal{T}'' \rangle,$$

where  $n$  is the number of items in any bag  $\bar{v} \in \min(\bar{\mathcal{T}}'')$ , such as  $\mathcal{T} \approx \mathcal{T}' \searrow_{\bar{v}} \mathcal{T}''$ . The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.2. Indeed:

$$\langle \delta(\mathcal{T}), \mathcal{T}' \otimes \mathcal{T}'' \rangle = \frac{1}{|\min(\mathcal{T})|} \sum_{\substack{Y \otimes \mathcal{T} \\ \mathcal{T}|_Y \approx \mathcal{T}', \\ \mathcal{T}|_{X \setminus Y} \approx \mathcal{T}''}} \sigma(\mathcal{T}') \sigma(\mathcal{T}'') = \frac{1}{|\min(\mathcal{T})|} |A|$$

with

$$A = \{(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2) \mid Y \otimes \mathcal{T}, \sigma_1 : \mathcal{T}|_Y \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{T}', \sigma_2 : \mathcal{T}|_{X \setminus Y} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{T}''\}.$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}' \circ \rightarrow \mathcal{T}'' \rangle &= \sum_{v \in \min(\mathcal{T}'')} \langle \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}' \searrow_v \mathcal{T}'' \rangle \\ &= \sum_{\substack{v \in \min(\mathcal{T}'') \\ \mathcal{T} \approx \mathcal{T}' \searrow_v \mathcal{T}''}} \sigma(\mathcal{T}) = |B|, \end{aligned}$$

with

$$B = \{(v, \sigma) \mid v \in \min(\mathcal{T}''), \sigma : \mathcal{T} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{T}' \searrow_v \mathcal{T}''\}.$$

Let

$$C = \{(u, \sigma) \mid u = \bar{v}, v \in \min(\mathcal{T}''), \sigma : \mathcal{T} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{T}' \searrow_v \mathcal{T}''\}.$$

We notice that for all  $u, u' \in C$ , we have  $|u| = |u'|$ . Hence  $\frac{|B|}{|C|}$  is the number of items in any bag  $\bar{v} \in \min(\bar{\mathcal{T}}'')$ , such as  $\mathcal{T} \approx \mathcal{T}' \searrow_{\bar{v}} \mathcal{T}''$ .

We define

$$\begin{aligned} f : A &\longrightarrow C \\ (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2) &\longmapsto (u, \sigma), \end{aligned}$$

where  $u = \bar{v} = \overline{\sigma_2(Y_-)}$  and  $\sigma$  is a graph isomorphism from  $\mathcal{T}$  to  $\mathcal{T}' \searrow_v \mathcal{T}''$  defined by

$$\sigma(x) = \begin{cases} \sigma_1(x) & \text{if } x \in Y, \\ \sigma_2(x) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Now, we define a map

$$\begin{aligned} g : C &\longrightarrow A \\ (u, \sigma) &\longmapsto (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2), \end{aligned}$$

where  $Y = X_{\sigma^{-1}(\mathcal{T}')}$ ,  $\sigma_1 = \sigma_{|\mathcal{T}|_Y}$  is a graph isomorphism from  $\mathcal{T}|_Y$  to  $\mathcal{T}'$  and  $\sigma_2 = \sigma_{|\mathcal{T}|_{X \setminus Y}}$  is a graph isomorphism from  $\mathcal{T}|_{X \setminus Y}$  to  $\mathcal{T}''$ .

Let  $(u, \sigma) \in C$ , we put  $g(u, \sigma) = (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ , where  $Y = X_{\sigma^{-1}(\mathcal{T}')}$ ,  $\sigma_1 = \sigma_{|\mathcal{T}'}$  and  $\sigma_2 = \sigma_{|\mathcal{T}''}$ . Then  $f \circ g(u, \sigma) = (u', \sigma')$ , with  $u' = \bar{w} = \overline{\sigma_2(Y_-)}$  and  $\sigma'$  is a graph isomorphism from  $\mathcal{T}$  to  $\mathcal{T}' \searrow_w \mathcal{T}''$  defined by

$$\sigma'(x) = \begin{cases} \sigma_1(x) & \text{if } x \in Y, \\ \sigma_2(x) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then  $u' = \overline{\sigma_2(Y_-)} = u$  and  $\sigma' = \sigma$ . Hence  $f \circ g = Id_C$ .

Let  $(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2) \in A$ , we put  $f(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2) = (u, \sigma)$ , with  $u = \bar{v} = \overline{\sigma_2(Y_-)}$  and  $\sigma$  is a graph isomorphism from  $\mathcal{T}$  to  $\mathcal{T}' \searrow_v \mathcal{T}''$  defined by

$$\sigma(x) = \begin{cases} \sigma_1(x) & \text{if } x \in Y, \\ \sigma_2(x) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then  $g \circ f(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2) = (Y', \sigma'_1, \sigma'_2)$ , with  $Y' = X_{\sigma^{-1}(\mathcal{T}')}$ ,  $\sigma'_1 = \sigma_{|\mathcal{T}|_{Y'}}$  is a graph isomorphism from  $\mathcal{T}|_{Y'}$  to  $\mathcal{T}'$  and  $\sigma'_2 = \sigma_{|\mathcal{T}|_{X \setminus Y'}}$  is a graph isomorphism from  $\mathcal{T}|_{X \setminus Y'}$  to  $\mathcal{T}''$ .

Then  $Y' = X_{\sigma^{-1}(\mathcal{T}')} = Y$  and

$$\begin{cases} \sigma'_1 = \sigma_{|\mathcal{T}|_{Y'}} = \sigma_1, \\ \sigma'_2 = \sigma_{|\mathcal{T}|_{X \setminus Y'}} = \sigma_2. \end{cases}$$

So  $g \circ f = Id_A$ .

Finally,  $A$  and  $C$  are in bijection. Hence

$$\langle \delta(\mathcal{T}), \mathcal{T}' \otimes \mathcal{T}'' \rangle = \frac{1}{|\min(\mathcal{T})|} |A| = \frac{1}{|\min(\mathcal{T})|} |C| = \frac{1}{|\min(\mathcal{T})|} |C| \frac{|B|}{|B|} = \frac{|C|}{|B| |\min(\mathcal{T})|} \langle \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}' \circ \rightarrow \mathcal{T}'' \rangle.$$

**Remark.** All the results in this paper remain true for the posets (resp. topological spaces) decorated by  $F$ , that is to say pairs  $(\mathcal{A}, f)$ , where  $\mathcal{A} = (A, \leq_A)$  is a poset (resp. topology) and  $f : A \rightarrow F$  is a map.

**Acknowledgements:** I would like to thanks Loïc Foissy and my Ph.D. thesis supervisors: Professor Dominique Manchon and Professor Ali Baklouti for their interest in this work and useful suggestions.

**Funding:** This work was supported by the University of Sfax, Tunisia.

## REFERENCES

- [1] M. Ayadi, *Twisted pre-Lie algebras of finite topological spaces*, Communications in Algebra, 2021.  
2, 12
- [2] P. Alexandroff, *Diskrete Räume*, Rec. Math. Moscou, n. Ser. 2 (1937), no. 3, p. 501-519.  
12
- [3] M. Aguiar, S. Mahajan, *Monoidal functors, species and Hopf algebras*, CRM Monographs Series, vol. 29, Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, R.I. (2010), 784 pages.  
1, 2
- [4] J. A. Barmak, *Algebraic topology of finite topological spaces and applications*, PhD Thesis, Universidad de Buenos Aires (2009).  
12
- [5] A. Connes, D. Kreimer, *Hopf algebras, renormalization and noncommutative geometry*, Comm. Math. Phys. vol.199, p. 203–242, 1998.  
5
- [6] F. Chapoton, *Free pre-Lie algebras are free as Lie algebras*, Canadian Mathematical Bulletin, Vol. 53, p. 425-437, 2010.  
12
- [7] F. Chapoton, *Un endofoncteur de la catégorie des opérades*, in: Dialgebras and Related Operads, in: Lecture Notes in Math, vol. 1763, Springer, Berlin, 2001, pp. 105–110.  
4
- [8] F. Chapoton, *Algèbres pré-Lie et algèbres de Hopf liées à la renormalisation*, Comptes-Rendus Acad. Sci., 332 Série I (2001), 681-684.  
4
- [9] F. Chapoton, M. Livernet, *Pre-Lie algebras and the rooted trees operad*, Int. Math. Res. Not. (2001), 395-408.  
3, 4, 5
- [10] L. Foissy, *Finite dimensional comodules over the Hopf algebra of rooted trees*, Journal of Algebra, Elsevier, vol. 255, p. 89-120, 2002 .  
12
- [11] F. Fauvet, L. Foissy, D. Manchon, *The Hopf algebra of finite topologies and mould composition*, Ann. Inst. Fourier, Tome 67, No. 3 (2017), 911–945.  
12
- [12] A. Joyal, *Foncteurs analytiques et espèces de structures*, Combinatoire énumérative, Proc. Colloq. Montréal/Can. 1985, Lect. Notes Math. 1234, 126-159 (1986).  
1
- [13] M. Livernet, *A rigidity theorem for pre-Lie algebras*, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra, vol. 207, p. 1-18, 2006.  
2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 12
- [14] D. Manchon, *A short survey on pre-Lie algebras*, E. Schrodinger Institut Lectures in Math. Phys. Eur. Math. Soc, A. Carey Ed. (2011).  
4
- [15] D. Manchon, A. Saidi, *Lois pré-Lie en interaction*, Comm. Alg. vol 39, 3662-3680 (2011).  
4
- [16] R. E. Stong, *Finite topological spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 123 (1966), 325-340.  
12
- [17] S. Teerapong, *Gyrogrouop actions: A generalization of group actions*, Journal of Algebra, 454, (2016), p 70-91.  
8

LABORATOIRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES BLAISE PASCAL, CNRS–UNIVERSITÉ CLERMONT-AUVERGNE, 3 PLACE VASARÉLY, CS 60026, F63178 AUBIÈRE, FRANCE, AND UNIVERSITY OF SFAX, FACULTY OF SCIENCES OF SFAX, LABORATORY OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS AND HARMONIC ANALYSIS, ROUTE DE SOUKRA, 3038 SFAX, TUNISIA.

*Email address:* mohamed.ayadi@etu.uca.fr