

## Influence of tree species on selenium and iodine partitioning in an experimental forest ecosystem

Paulina Pisarek, Maïté Bueno, Yves Thiry, Arnaud Legout, Hervé Gallard,

Isabelle Le Hécho

#### ▶ To cite this version:

Paulina Pisarek, Maïté Bueno, Yves Thiry, Arnaud Legout, Hervé Gallard, et al.. Influence of tree species on selenium and iodine partitioning in an experimental forest ecosystem. Science of the Total Environment, 2022, 809, pp.151174. 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.151174. hal-03515648

### HAL Id: hal-03515648 https://hal.science/hal-03515648v1

Submitted on 22 Jul 2024

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

# Influence of tree species on selenium and iodine partitioning in an experimental forest ecosystem

Paulina Pisarek<sup>1,2</sup>, Maïté Bueno<sup>1</sup>, Yves Thiry<sup>2</sup>, Arnaud Legout<sup>3</sup>, Hervé Gallard<sup>4</sup>, Isabelle Le Hécho<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> CNRS/Univ. Pau & Pays de l'Adour, Institut des Sciences Analytiques et de Physico-Chimie pour l'Environnement et les Matériaux (IPREM), UMR 5254, 64053 Pau, France (\*pisarek.paulina@univ-pau.fr) (maite.bueno@univ-pau.fr;isabelle.lehecho@univ-pau.fr)

<sup>2</sup> Andra, Research and Development Division, Parc de la Croix Blanche, 92298 Châtenay-Malabry Cedex, France (yves.thiry@andra.fr)

<sup>3</sup> INRAE, BEF, F-54000 Nancy, France (arnaud.legout@inrae.fr)

<sup>4</sup> IC2MP UMR 7285, Université de Poitiers, 86073 Poitiers Cedex 9, France (herve.gallard@univ-poitiers.fr)

#### 1 Abstract

2 Storage of selenium and iodine can greatly vary between forest ecosystems, but the influence 3 of tree species on partitioning and recycling of those elements remains elusive. In this study, 4 contents of Se and I were measured in tree compartments, litterfall, humus, and soil horizons 5 in monospecific stands of Douglas fir, pine, spruce, beech, and oak under identical climatic 6 and edaphic conditions. The cycle of each element was characterized in terms of stocks and fluxes. Lowest concentrations were in wood (Se: 8 - 13  $\mu$ g kg<sup>-1</sup>; I: < 16.5  $\mu$ g kg<sup>-1</sup>). Senescing 7 organs had higher Se and I content, than the living parts of trees due to direct exposure to 8 9 atmospheric deposition, with some variation between coniferous and deciduous trees. For all 10 stands, low amounts of Se and I were involved in biological cycle as reflected by low root 11 uptake. In humus, the enrichment of elements greatly increased with the stage of organic 12 matter (OM) degradation with average factors of 10 and 20 for Se and I. OM degradation and 13 element persistence in humus was influenced by tree species. Deciduous trees, with low 14 biomass, and fast degradation of OM stored less Se and I in humus compared to fir and 15 spruce with high humus biomass. Interestingly, tree species did not affect soil reserves of Se and I. Concentration ranges were 331-690  $\mu g$  Se  $kg^{-1}$  and 4.3 - 14.5 mg I  $kg^{-1}.$  However, the 16 17 divergent vertical profiles of the elements in the soil column indicated greater mobility of I. 18 Selenium concentrations regularly decreased with depth in correlation with OM and Fe 19 oxides content. For iodine, the maximum iodine concentration at a soil depth of 15 to 35 cm 20 was caused by a parallel precipitation/sorption behavior of aluminium and organic iodine 21 dissolved in the topsoil.

22

#### 24 **1. Introduction**

25 Selenium and iodine are omnipresent in the environment and essential elements for 26 mammals. Forests which cover ~33% of land in Europe (Alberdi et al., 2015) can absorb, 27 recycle, and accumulate these elements. Tree canopies interact with dry and wet deposition 28 (De Schrijver et al., 2007) and in throughfall concentrations and speciation relative to that 29 deposited may be changed (Roulier et al., 2020a). Selenium and iodine can remain on the leaf 30 surface and/or be integrated into the biomass through foliar absorption (Cakmak et al., 2017; 31 Kikkert and Berkelaar, 2013; Roulier et al., 2020b). After interaction with foliar surfaces, 32 these elements are deposited to topsoil via precipitation and litterfall, and subsequently 33 recycled through root uptake (Kato et al., 2013; Li et al., 2008). Decomposers of decaying 34 material (earthworm, fungi and bacteria communities) influence the biological, physical, and 35 chemical properties of soils, thus affecting cycling of elements, e.g. C, N, K, Ca, Mg, and Na, 36 in forest (Aponte et al., 2013; Berger and Berger, 2012; Mareschal et al., 2010; Prescott and 37 Grayston, 2013). It has been demonstrated that both elements strongly interact with the 38 organic and mineral soil phases (Coppin et al., 2009; Pisarek et al., 2021; Roulier et al., 2019; 39 Tolu et al., 2014; Xu et al., 2016). Previous studies assessed the influence of different forest types on the distribution of Se and I and showed similar contents in litterfall, while 40 41 differences were observed for humus and soil (Pisarek et al., 2021; Roulier et al., 2019). 42 However, in these studies the different tree species, climate, and geochemical conditions 43 made it difficult to determine the dominant variables influencing observations (Pisarek et al., 2021; Roulier et al., 2019). In this study we postulated that the quantitative description of Se 44 45 and I cycle in monospecific forest stands developing under identical climatic and edaphic 46 conditions may help in characterizing the influence of tree vegetation.. For that purpose, the 47 concentrations of Se and I were quantified in the aboveground tree compartments (wood, bark, branches, leaves), litterfall (leaves, branches), forest floor/humus and soil horizons for 48

49 five tree species: Douglas fir, pine, spruce, beech and oak. The objectives were, for the five 50 tree species, (i) to identify the differences in Se and I distribution and recycling, (ii) to 51 establish Se and I retention times and accumulation rates in humus, (iii) to investigate 52 differences in Se and I soil profiles.

53 **2. Methods** 

#### 54 2.1 Study site

55 The study site is situated in the forest of Breuil-Chenue in Bourgogne, France (lat 47°18'10" N; long 4°4'44" E). The climate is temperate with a warm summer without a dry season 56 (Cornelis et al., 2010). The experimental site, managed by INRAE-BEF, is part of the 57 58 ANAEE France Platform (www.anaee-france.fr - breuil). In 1975 the original coppice forest was removed. Monospecific plots (each 1000 m<sup>2</sup>) were then planted in 1976 with five tree 59 60 species: i) coniferous: Douglas fir (Pseudotsuga menziesii), Corsican pine (P. nigra var. 61 corsicana), Norway spruce (Picea abies); ii) deciduous: European beech (Fagus sylvatica), 62 sessile oak (Quercus sessiliflora) (Figure SI-1). The soil is an acid brown soil, classified as 63 Alumnic Cambisol (IUSS Working Group WRB, 2006), derived from granite and very poor 64 in major cations (0.5% magnesium oxide, 0.6% calcium oxide and 4.4% potassium oxide) (Montelius et al., 2015). All the introduced tree species decreased soil base saturation and 65 66 increased acidification. Douglas fir, Corsican pine and secondarily oak strongly amplified the 67 acidification process. In these two stands the major soil process shifted from weak 68 acidification and crypto podzolisation to strong soil acidification (Legout et al., 2016). Soil 69 characteristics under each tree stands are presented in Table SI-1.

#### 70 2.2 Sampling

One composite sample of wood, bark, and branches from 10 trees of each stand collected in
2001 was used. Annual composite samples of green leaves, litterfall-leaves and litterfall-

73 branches were obtained from 2002 to 2006. Humus samples included 8 replicates of bulk 74 humus (sampled in 2005) for each stand and single samples of four humus horizons 75 (collected in 2006): oln (organic, litter, new), olv (organic, litter, old), of (organic, 76 fragmented) and oh (organic, humified). For each stand, the mineral soil profiles were 77 sampled in 2006 at three sampling points at the following depths (cm): 0 - 7.5; 7.5 - 15; 15 - 1578 30; 30 - 45; 45 - 60 and 60 - 75. Only the soil profile of the oak stand was collected at one sampling point and different depths: 0 - 5; 5 - 10; 10 - 15; 15 - 25; 25 - 40; 40 - 55; 55 - 7079 80 cm. For comparison, concentrations in oak soil were thus calculated for horizons similar to 81 those used for the other soils. Soils were sieved to < 2 mm and dried. The 2 - 5 mm soil fraction of the deepest layer (60 - 75 cm, Douglas fir) was considered to be representative of 82 83 the soil parent material. All samples of plant material, litterfall, humus, and soils were dried 84 and grounded.

#### 85 2.4 Total element extraction and determination

Acidic extraction was used to extract total Se. Briefly, soil samples (~0.25 g) were mixed with 0.5 mL of 38% HCl and 1.5 mL of 68% HNO<sub>3</sub>, while humus and litterfall (~0.25 g) were mixed with 2 mL of 68% HNO<sub>3</sub>, 0.5 mL of 30 % H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and 3 mL of ultrapure water (Milli-Q System, 18.2 M $\Omega$  cm; Elix, Millipore). Samples were heated at 90 °C for 3 hours in hot block digestion system (DigiPREP MS, SCP Science). Digested samples were diluted to 50 mL with ultrapure water, filtered on 0.45 µm acetate membrane and stored at 4 °C until analysis. Blanks were added in each digestion run.

Total iodine was extracted with a previously established protocol (Roulier et al., 2018; Watts et al., 2015; Watts and Mitchell, 2009). Approximately 0.2 g of soil or humus, or 0.4 g of plant material were mixed with 5 mL of 5 % tetramethylammonium hydroxide (TMAH). Digestion was performed in hot block digestion system at 85 °C for 3 hours. Digested samples were diluted to 10 mL with Milli-Q water, centrifuged at 4 000 rpm for 10 min,

filtered on 0.45 µm acetate membrane and stored at 4 °C until analysis. Before analysis,
digested soils and humus were diluted 50-fold and plant materials 25-fold. Blanks were added
in each digestion run.

101 Selenium and iodine concentrations were determined by inductively coupled plasma mass 102 spectrometry (ICP-MS; 7500ce, Tokyo, Japan). For Se analysis, collision/reaction cell gas flow was 5 mL min<sup>-1</sup> H<sub>2</sub>. When sample replicates were available (i.e. for bulk humus 103 104 (n=8/stand), soil (n=3/depth/stand), annual samples of litterfall and leaves (n=5/stand)), each 105 replicate was digested and analyzed once. Results are given as the mean value with the 106 combined uncertainties of the analysis and sampling (weighted by the biomass produced 107 during the year for foliage and litterfall). When only one sample was available (i.e. for wood, 108 bark, branch, and humus horizons), it was digested and analyzed in triplicate and result is 109 given as the mean value with the analytical uncertainty of the mean. Total Se quantification of Certified Reference Materials (118  $\pm$  9 µg kg<sup>-1</sup> for DC 73032, 191  $\pm$  15 µg kg<sup>-1</sup> for DC 110 73030,  $24 \pm 3 \ \mu g \ kg^{-1}$  for ERM-CD281,  $23 \pm 2 \ \mu g \ kg^{-1}$  for BCR-129) agreed well with the 111 certified values (140 ± 20  $\mu$ g kg<sup>-1</sup> for DC 73032, 200 ± 30  $\mu$ g kg<sup>-1</sup> for DC 73030, 23 ± 4  $\mu$ g 112 kg<sup>-1</sup> for ERM-CD281, 25  $\mu$ g kg<sup>-1</sup> (information value) for BCR-129). Furthermore, 113 experimental results of iodine concentrations on certified materials  $(9.2 \pm 0.3 \text{ mg kg}^{-1} \text{ for DC})$ 114 73022,  $6.3 \pm 0.1 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$  for DC 73030 and 137  $\pm 11 \mu \text{g kg}^{-1}$  for BCR-129) confirmed the 115 accuracy of the methods (certified values:  $9.4 \pm 1.2 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$  for DC 73022,  $6.4 \pm 0.5 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$ 116 for DC 73030, 167  $\pm$  24 µg kg<sup>-1</sup> for BCR-129). The instrumental limit of detection (LOD) 117 depended from daily sensitivity and varied between 0.01-0.03  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup> for selenium and 118 between 0.02-0.08  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup> for iodine, leading to detection limit in solid samples of 1.6  $\mu$ g kg<sup>-1</sup> 119 for Se and 8.5-16.5  $\mu$ g kg<sup>-1</sup> for I. 120

#### 121 2.4 Calculations

122 The biomass values of standing tree compartments (needles, branches, bole-bark, bole-wood) 123 were taken from Montelius et al. (2015). The biomass of broadleaves was estimated at 124 121.6% of litterfall mass to account for the average loss of mass of living foliage during senescence (Vergutz et al., 2012). The total masses of humus (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) were estimated from 125 the weighing of a reference surface of  $0.5 \text{ m}^2$  (Mareschal et al., 2010). Annual litterfall (kg 126  $ha^{-1}$  yr<sup>-1</sup>) was estimated using 5 collectors covering a total of 2.5 m<sup>2</sup> (collected every 3 127 months, from 2002 to 2006). The annual biomass production was calculated as the average of 128 129 annual increments of standing biomass over the period 2001 - 2006. Biomass pools and 130 annual productivities are presented in Table SI-2 and Table SI-3.

The stocks of elements in each soil layer  $(g ha^{-1})$  were calculated by multiplying the element 131 concentrations by the bulk density and the thickness of the soil layer. Element stocks in tree 132 133 compartments and humus (g ha<sup>-1</sup>) were estimated by multiplying element concentrations by the corresponding biomass pool. Annual fluxes through litterfall (g ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>) and production 134 of biomass from tree compartments (g  $ha^{-1} yr^{-1}$ ) were estimated by multiplying the element 135 concentrations by average annual productivities. As iodine was not detected in wood 136 compartment (< 16.5  $\mu$ g kg<sup>-1</sup>), a value of half the detection limit with an uncertainty of 100% 137 138 was used for the calculation of iodine stock and annual immobilization in wood.

The cycle of elements in tree biomass was estimated with three main annual fluxes: requirement (R); uptake (U) and translocation (T) according to equations presented in Table 1. This model, with some modifications, has already been used for Se, I and many other elements (Di Tullo, 2015; Goor and Thiry, 2004; Ranger and Colin-Belgrand, 1996; Roulier et al., 2018). Requirement includes the total element pool implemented during annual aboveground biomass production. Uptake corresponds to the amount of element absorbed from the soil by the roots calculated as the amount accumulated in tree compartments and returns of the element to soil through litterfall and crown leaching. Crown leaching was shown to have negligible influence on Se and I budgets (Di Tullo, 2015; Roulier et al., 2018), thus it was neglected in this model. Translocation reflects the internal element transfer from senescing foliage to the rest of the tree, calculated as the difference between the element content in living foliage and litterfall.

151 Selenium and iodine accumulation rates, X acc.rate, in humus were calculated according to152 Equation 1 from Redon et al., 2011:

153 
$$X \operatorname{acc. rate} = \frac{([X]_{\operatorname{humus}} - [X]_{\operatorname{litter}}) \times DM_{\operatorname{humus}}}{t_{\operatorname{resDM}}} (g \operatorname{ha}^{-1} \operatorname{yr}^{-1})$$
 (1)

Where  $[X]_{humus}$  and  $[X]_{litter}$  are Se or I concentrations in humus and litterfall. DM<sub>humus</sub> is the humus dry mass, t<sub>resDM</sub> is the average dry matter residence time in humus. t<sub>resDM</sub> was estimated according to Equation 2:

157 
$$\mathbf{t}_{\text{resDM}} = \frac{DM_{\text{humus}}}{LF \times (1 - \text{litter fraction mineralized})}$$
 (years) (2)

where LF is the annual litterfall dry mass and 'litter fraction mineralized' is the average decrease in the mass of the litter through the transformation of OM (43% in oak, 65% in beech, 70% in Douglas fir, 61% in spruce, 85% in pine forests; from Osono and Takeda, 2005).

#### 162 **2.5 Statistical analysis**

163 The distribution of data was examined using the Shapiro-Wilk test. Pearson correlations and 164 ANOVA test were performed for normally distributed data, while the Spearman correlation 165 and the Kruskal-Wallis rank test were used for data that did not follow normal distribution. 166 The t-test was performed in order to indicate if the difference in element concentration 167 between soil layers and forest compartments of monospecific stands are significant. Tukey test and pairwise Wilcoxon test were used to identify the contrast (indicated by letters) within sub-groups of categorical variables, respectively for normally and not normally distributed data. The apportionment of element concentrations within categorical sub-groups were represented with boxplots. Stocks and fluxes were compared between tree species based on ttests. Statistical study was performed in R Studio (R Core Team, 2013; version 3.4.3).

#### 173 3. Results: Se and I contents in the compartments of the five soil-tree systems

#### 174 **3.1 Tree compartments and litterfall**

175 Selenium and iodine concentrations in forest stands of Douglas fir, pine, spruce, beech and oak are presented in Table 2. The lowest concentrations of iodine (< 16.5  $\mu$ g kg<sup>-1</sup>, i.e. under 176 LOD) and selenium (8-13 µg kg<sup>-1</sup>) were found in wood stem of all trees. Similarly, Zhao et 177 al. (2019) found low I concentrations (< 6  $\mu$ g kg<sup>-1</sup> - 100  $\mu$ g kg<sup>-1</sup>) in spruce tree rings, the 178 179 fluctuation of which was due to the variation of I content in the atmosphere over the years. The ranges of selenium concentrations in bole-bark (16-47  $\mu$ g kg<sup>-1</sup>) and branches (18-37  $\mu$ g 180 kg<sup>-1</sup>) compartments were comparable. Selenium concentrations in beech foliage (43  $\pm$  5  $\mu$ g 181 kg<sup>-1</sup>) and bole-bark (47  $\pm$  5 µg kg<sup>-1</sup>) were slightly higher than those reported by Di Tullo 182 (2015) in 54 years-old beech forest (30  $\pm$  1 and 28  $\pm$  6  $\mu$ g kg<sup>-1</sup>, respectively). Iodine contents 183 in leaves (86-223  $\mu$ g kg<sup>-1</sup>), branches (41-165  $\mu$ g kg<sup>-1</sup>) and bole-barks (59 - 226  $\mu$ g kg<sup>-1</sup>) 184 corresponded well to the concentrations reported in the literature (92 - 241, 16-186, 180-329 185  $\mu$ g kg<sup>-1</sup>, respectively; from Korobova, 2010 and Roulier et al., 2018). 186

187 In woody compartments, differences were noticeable (t-test, p < 0.05):

- For Se, in branches: [Douglas fir, spruce] > [oak, beech, pine] and, in bole-bark:
- 189 [beech] > [Douglas fir] > [spruce] > [pine, oak],
- For I, in branches: [spruce] > [Douglas fir] > [pine] > [oak] > [beech] and, in bolebark: [beech] > [Douglas fir] > [spruce, pine] > [oak].

192 In average, considering functional tree types, the five-year survey showed that leaves from deciduous trees were richer in Se and I (Se mean: 39  $\mu$ g kg<sup>-1</sup>; I mean: 212  $\mu$ g kg<sup>-1</sup>) than 193 conifer needles (Se mean: 23  $\mu$ g kg<sup>-1</sup>, I mean: 116  $\mu$ g kg<sup>-1</sup>). The lowest concentrations were 194 195 found in spruce and pine needles, while concentrations found in Douglas fir needles were not 196 statistically different from those in oak leaves (Table 2; Figures 1.1-1.2; Se, I: Kruskal-Wallis, p-value < 0.001). In litterfall-leaves, Se concentrations (range of 60-90  $\mu$ g kg<sup>-1</sup>) were 197 higher under pine compared to spruce and oak (Figures 1.3; ANOVA, p-value < 0.001). Pine, 198 199 spruce and oak had lower I concentration compared to Douglas fir (Figures 1.4; ANOVA p-200 value < 0.001). Unlike leaves, litterfall-branches of deciduous trees contained lower 201 concentrations of elements (Se mean: 51  $\mu$ g kg<sup>-1</sup>, I mean: 367  $\mu$ g kg<sup>-1</sup>) than that of coniferous trees (Se mean: 245  $\mu$ g kg<sup>-1</sup>, I mean: 2346  $\mu$ g kg<sup>-1</sup>). Tree species effect was only 202 noticeable for selenium with lower concentrations found in litterfall-branches under pine 203 204 compared with spruce (Figures 1.5-1.6, Kruskal-Wallis, Se: p-value < 0.01, I: p-value < 205 0.001).

#### 206 **3.2 Humus**

207 Both element concentrations increased considerably from *oln* to *oh* horizon in the forest floor 208 of each stand (Figure 2.1-2.2 and Table 2). On average, Se content increased from 74 to 751  $\mu$ g kg<sup>-1</sup> and I content from 0.27 to 5.5 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> resulting in average enrichment factors from 209 upper to deeper humus layers of 10 and 20 for Se and I, respectively. Resulting selenium 210 concentrations in bulk humus varied from 484  $\mu g \ kg^{-1}$  to 742  $\mu g \ kg^{-1}$  (Table 1), which is 211 within the ranges of values of 57 to1022  $\mu$ g kg<sup>-1</sup> reported for deciduous forests and 108 to 212 1608 µg kg<sup>-1</sup> for conifers (Di Tullo, 2015; Pisarek et al., 2021; Tyler, 2005). The range of 213 iodine concentrations varied from 3.1 to 5.5 mg  $kg^{-1}$  that was also in agreement with ranges 214 of  $1.0 - 5.5 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$  for deciduous forests and  $1.2 - 14 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$  for coniferous forests reported 215

by Roulier et al. (2019), Takeda et al. (2015), and Bostock (2004). Oak and pine bulk humus showed the lowest Se concentrations (484 ± 61 µg kg<sup>-1</sup> for oak and 500 ± 98 µg kg<sup>-1</sup> for pine), while Douglas fir, spruce and beech humus were characterized by similar Se levels ( $682 \pm 137 \mu g kg^{-1}$ ,  $684 \pm 134$ ,  $742 \pm 174 \mu g kg^{-1}$ ) (Figure 2.3, Kruskal-Wallis, p-value < 0.001). Oak bulk humus had the lowest I concentration varying from 2.2 to 3.9 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> (Figure 2.4, ANOVA, p-value < 0.001).

222 **3.3 Soil** 

223 Selenium and iodine soil profiles under the five tree stands are presented in Figures 3.1-3.2. Selenium concentrations in all profiles ranged from 331 to 690  $\mu$ g kg<sup>-1</sup> (Table 2) with mean 224 of weighted concentrations for the entire profile of 461  $\mu$ g kg<sup>-1</sup>, which is similar to the global 225 average of 400  $\mu$ g kg<sup>-1</sup> (Shahid et al., 2018) and in agreement with the range of 226 concentrations (25 - 1222  $\mu$ g kg<sup>-1</sup>) for French forest soils (Tolu et al., 2014). Typical low Se 227 concentration of 46 ± 5  $\mu$ g·kg<sup>-1</sup> was found in 2 - 5 mm soil fraction (depth 60 - 75 cm) 228 derived from granite bedrock material that corresponds well to average levels of 25-50 µg 229 (Se) kg<sup>-1</sup> reported for granites (Shahid et al., 2018; Sharma et al., 2015). 230

Iodine concentration in soils varied with depth from 4.5 to 14.5 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>. The average iodine 231 concentration was 9.5 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>that is slightly higher than the European mean of 5.6 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> 232 (Fuge and Johnson, 2015). These results were within the same range as iodine levels of 2-10 233 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> reported in Germany (Epp et al., 2020), 0.39 - 35.65 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> in French forests 234 (Roulier et al., 2019) and 2.89 - 32.0 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> in Ireland (Bowley et al., 2019). Iodine 235 236 concentrations showed maximum values at a depth of 15 to 30 cm, and then decreased to 70 237 to 75 cm depth to values similar to those measured at the soil surface (Figure 3.2). Low iodine concentration of  $0.30 \pm 0.03$  mg kg<sup>-1</sup> found in soil fraction > 2 mm confirmed that the 238 granite material is generally poor in iodine (mean of 0.25 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, Fuge and Johnson, 2015). 239

240 Selenium concentrations showed strong positive correlation (Pearson test,  $r_p > 0.6$ ) with  $C_{org}$ 241 and exchangeable Al and Fe (Table SI-4). Moreover, moderate positive correlations (Pearson 242 test,  $r_p > 0.4$ ) were observed with oxalate extractable Fe and the cationic exchange capacity 243 (CEC). Iodine concentrations were positively correlated with oxalate extractable Al and negatively correlated with  $C_{org}$ , CEC, and exchangeable Fe (Pearson test,  $|r_p| > 0.4$ ). 244 245 Significant statistical differences between tree species were visible for Se and I 246 concentrations only in topsoil layers (Table 2; t-test, p < 0.05; 0 to 7.5 cm: Se: [Douglas fir, 247 pine] < [spruce]; I: [pine, oak] < [spruce, Douglas fir]; 7.5 to 15 cm: Se: [Douglas fir, pine] < 248 [oak]; I: [oak] < [spruce]). For the whole soil column (0 to 70 cm), differences between mean 249 concentrations were observed for Se: [Douglas fir, pine] < [spruce, beech, oak], and for I: 250 [pine] < [beech]. Differences with oak stand should be viewed with caution as soil profile 251 was obtained from single sampling point.

#### 252 **3.4 Stocks in forest ecosystem**

253 Selenium and iodine stocks are presented in Table 3. The average total pools of elements in monospecific stands were  $3.2 \pm 0.5$  kg Se ha<sup>-1</sup> and  $67 \pm 11$  kg I ha<sup>-1</sup>, lowest values being 254 255 observed in the pine forest. The average total element partitioning between the forest 256 compartments decreased as follow: soil ( $\geq 98.8\%$ ), humus ( $\leq 1.2\%$ ), tree compartments 257  $(\leq 0.09\%)$ . The higher stocks of elements for conifers compared to deciduous trees (Table 3) 258 can be explained by the higher biomass of conifers (Table SI-2). Selenium stock in bole-259 wood represented generally the largest reservoir (34 - 60%) among the aboveground tree 260 compartments. The very low iodine concentrations (< LoD) was compensated by a large biomass ( $\approx 70\%$  of the tree biomass), leading to iodine stocks in bole-wood of 8 - 17%. 261 262 Except beech, bole-bark and foliage compartments stored less Se than branches. Iodine stocks 263 were homogeneously distributed between bole-bark, branches and foliage in oak and Douglas 264 fir, while main reservoirs were branches for spruce and pine, and bole-bark for beech. For both elements, their total stock in aboveground tree parts increased in the order: oak < beech, pine  $\leq$  spruce, Douglas fir (t-test, p < 0.05). This is in agreement with the increase in biomass pools from oak to Douglas fir stands, while beech, pine and spruce have similar intermediary biomass pools.

269 **4. Discussion** 

#### **4.1 Biological distribution and cycling in tree compartments**

271 Calculated requirement fluxes of Se and I were significantly lower for pine (Table 4, p< 0.05), as expected due to its lower aboveground biomass productivity compared to the other 272 273 stands. For both elements, foliage compartment accounted for the pool for deciduous stands 274 (57-85%) and a minor part for conifer plots (8-26%), in agreement with the low foliage productivity of conifers (Table SI-3). Mean annual uptake fluxes (Se: 0.29 - 0.58 g ha<sup>-1</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>; 275 I: 1.52 - 3.52 g ha<sup>-1</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>) increased as follows: beech  $\leq$  oak, spruce, pine  $\leq$  Douglas fir. The 276 277 proportion of element uptake annually restituted through litterfall was lower for Se (65-86%) 278 compared to I (84-92%). Immobilization in ligneous organs was thus a minor part of the 279 uptake, accounting for about 25% for Se and 14% for I. Uptake exceeded requirement flux, 280 indicating that the amount of absorbed elements surpassed that involved in new biomass production. These gaps were higher for conifers, especially pine, compared to deciduous 281 282 stands (uptake/requirement ratios; Table 4). A large fraction of the absorbed elements was accumulated in the senescing foliage, as shown by negative annual fluxes of internal 283 284 translocation for all tree species. Translocated fractions were similar for both elements with 285 the highest values for pine (63% of uptake fluxes) compared to other trees (20-39%). The returns by litterfall contributed 0.19-0.38 g ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> of Se and 1.40-2.94 g ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> of I to the 286 287 forest floor. For comparison, average atmospheric inputs by wet deposition in continental climate were reported to be 0.35 g ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> of Se and 8.8 g ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> of I (Roulier et al., 288 289 2020a).

290 Elements occurring in tree compartments can originate from both the soil solution and the 291 atmosphere. The very low quantities of Se and I in bole-wood (Table 2) in addition to 292 relatively low apparent root uptake is indicative of a low biological recycling of these 293 elements from the soil. Elements originating from atmospheric deposition can accumulate on 294 tree surface or/and be absorbed by the leaves (Roulier et al., 2020b). Selenium and iodine 295 have been shown to be taken up by aerial parts of plants (Cakmak et al., 2017; Hurtevent et 296 al., 2013; Kikkert and Berkelaar, 2013). Only 13% of total I content was washable from 297 beech leaf surface, which would indicate that most of iodine was absorbed into leaf (Roulier 298 et al., 2018). Deciduous trees showed higher concentrations of elements in leaves compared 299 to conifers, which, combined with higher annual foliage production (Table SI-3), resulted in 300 an apparent higher requirement flux for foliage production (Table 4). Leaves and needles in 301 litterfall showed an average increase in Se and I concentrations by a factor of 2.5 to 4 302 compared to living foliage, which can be explained by the translocation of elements to 303 senescing leaves, longer exposure to atmospheric deposition, and loss of litterfall mass. The 304 former process is a detoxification mechanism, in which excessive or redundant elements (e.g. 305 Se, I, Ca, Mn, Zn, Fe, U) are translocated to the older organs to be eliminated by defoliation 306 (Di Tullo, 2015; Roulier et al., 2018; Thiry et al., 2005; Ukonmaanaho et al., 2008), but its 307 contribution to the net litterfall enrichment remains uncertain. Moreover, much higher 308 concentrations of Se and I were measured in litterfall-branches compared to branches, 309 especially for conifers (Figure 1). The increase was even higher than previously discussed for 310 leaves and, almost twice for iodine compared to selenium for all tree species. The observed 311 differences between tree species may be caused by longer living period of conifer branches 312 compared to deciduous trees (as reflected in higher ratios of biomass branches productivity to 313 litterfall-branches for conifers), and thus longer exposure to atmospheric deposits. These 314 results indicated that sorption of elements from atmospheric deposits might lead to 315 overestimation of the apparent uptake fluxes and biological recycling capacities of forest.

#### 316 4.2 The influence of tree species on Se and I contents in humus and soil

317 The gradual enrichment in Se and I of humus horizons was observed for all tree species 318 (Figures 2.1-2.2). A part of element enrichment in humus layers is merely associated to the 319 loss of biomass during the ageing of organic matter and the mineralization/stabilization 320 processes (Laganière et al., 2010; Prescott et al., 2000). Another part may originate from 321 atmospheric deposition via throughfall, and further sorptive retention by decaying organic matter as demonstrated for other elements (van der Heijden et al., 2013). Faster 322 323 decomposition under deciduous trees was reflected by lower calculated average residence 324 times for humus from oak and beech (10 and 19 years) than for Douglas fir, spruce and pine 325 (48, 34 and 71 years, Table 5). Even so, the net accumulation rates for all stands, which varied between 0.2 and 1.2 g ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> for Se, and between 2.4 and 7.2 g ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> for I (Table 326 327 5). In general, annual accumulation rates were higher than litterfall fluxes, corroborating the 328 atmospheric origin of a significant fraction of both elements retained in humus. Element accumulation rates were within the ranges reported for French forests (Se: -0.02-1.6 g ha<sup>-1</sup> 329  $yr^{-1}$ ; I: 0.02-15.8 g ha<sup>-1</sup>  $yr^{-1}$ ; Pisarek et al., 2021; Roulier et al., 2019). Despite similar 330 331 element restitution to the forest soil (Table 4), rapid organic matter degradation in humus of 332 oak and beech plots led to low humus biomass pools and the lowest storage of Se (next to 333 pine) and I. Additionally, oak had the lowest concentration of Se (next to pine) and I in 334 humus bulk. The homogenous element concentrations observed among other tree species 335 might be due to the relatively long residence times of humus compared to the age of the 336 stands (29 years at the time of sampling). Considering the mean concentration in soil column (0 to 70 cm), pine and Douglas fir had generally lower concentration of Se and I, probably 337 338 due to lower input from humus caused by its long residence time (71 and 48 years; Table 5).

Although the effect of tree species on composition of the soil solution (e.g. anions content) was noticable (Legout et al., 2016), Se and I contents in soil layers were statistically different only in topsoil, and these differences disappeared below 15 cm depth. It is supposed, that recently introduced tree species did not yet affect the content and distribution of Se and I in deeper soil layers and that their storage depends rather on longer term influence of atmospheric deposition and soil functional parameters.

#### 345 **4.3 Selenium and iodine distributions in soil profiles**

The Se- and I-poor status of bedrock material (46  $\mu$ g Se kg<sup>-1</sup> and 0.30 mg I kg<sup>-1</sup>; Table 2) combined with the observed enrichment of both elements in bulk humus indicated that rock weathering was a minor source of Se and I in soil reserves compared to atmospheric deposition. Contrasting vertical profiles of concentrations suggest that external inputs of each element at the surface were redistributed by different processes during downward transports.

351 In general, Se concentrations slightly decreased with soil depth, by 30% on average from 352 topsoil layer to the deepest mineral layer. Reimann et al. (2015) similarly observed a decrease from 400-6300  $\mu$ g Se kg<sup>-1</sup> in O-horizon to 100 - 2700  $\mu$ g Se kg<sup>-1</sup> in the deeper mineral C-353 horizon in Norwegian forest soils. High correlation between Se and C concentrations ( $r_p =$ 354 355 0.64, p< 0.01, Table SI-4) underlined the pivotal role of OM in Se redistribution and possible 356 retention in soil (Sharma et al., 2015). Selenium concentrations were positively correlated 357 with the mineral soil constituents: Al exchangeable, Fe exchangeable, and Fe oxalate. 358 However, co-correlations with Ctot and Fe concentrations prevented the discrimination of a 359 dominant carrier phase for Se.

In contrast to selenium, iodine concentration increased from the forest floor to a maximum at a soil depth of 15 to 35 cm, and then decreased until 70 to 75 cm to values similar to those measured at the soil surface (Figure 3.1). Different soil iodine profiles have been reported in the literature. Bostock (2004) and Shetaya et al. (2012) reported a gradual decrease of I content with soil depth (until 110 cm) and decreasing OM content. Like in our study, increase of I with soil depth and anti-correlation with OM content were observed in some studies (Epp et al., 2020; Roulier et al., 2018; Xu et al., 2016). Roulier et al. (2018) measured a modest increase in I concentration at 15 to 45 cm depth in beech forest. Xu et al. (2016) reported also an increase of I concentration until a 15 cm depth in a coniferous forest but no depth-related variation in a deciduous forest.

370 In surface forest soil, iodine is rapidly transformed into organic forms (Takeda et al., 2015), 371 that determines its mobility in the soil profile. The behavior of dissolved organo-iodine (DOI) 372 in forest soil is strongly affected by dynamic of dissolved organic carbon DOC (Takeda et al., 373 2019). At our forest site, it is postulated that iodine that is derived from the surface horizon as 374 DOI may accumulate on Al oxides (Takeda et al., 2018; Cortizas et al. 2016; Whitehead, 375 1978). That hypothesis is supported by the co-existence of a maximum of oxalate extracted Al and total I at the depth of 15 to 35 cm (Figure SI-2,  $r^2=0.63$ ). An enrichment-depletion 376 377 profile is common for Al in acid soils, where conditions in the topsoil promote the dissolution 378 of Al and the formation of secondary Al precipitates in deeper profile (Brantley et al., 2007). 379 The sorption capacity of Al oxides for DOC (Kalbitz et al., 2000), and thus including DOI, is 380 well documented (Söderlund et al., 2017). Similarly, an iodine retention front was noticed by 381 Unno et al. (2017) at 20 to 30 cm in a forest soil, in close agreement with the partitioning of 382 OC between solid and liquid soil phases. That means that leaching and further immobilization 383 of both Al and OC may have a strong influence on iodine migration in acid forest soils.

The possible influence of volatilization and root uptake on iodine depletion in surface horizon is less clear. Our estimation of iodine absorption by roots, based on calculated uptake flux, would represent no more than 0.02% of iodine stored in the 0 to 15 cm soil. Given this meager annual output, root uptake would not radically influence the distribution of I in soil. 388 Even though volatilization from terrestrial environment contributes to the global atmospheric 389 budget (Feinberg A. et al., 2020; Kadowaki et al., 2020), iodine loss by volatilization is 390 probably a minor process to explain iodine depletion in the upper layer of these soil profiles. In experiments with spiked forest soils, the loss of <sup>125</sup>I through volatilization accounted for 391 0.011-0.07% of total iodine for reaction times  $\leq 66$  days (Bostock et al., 2003; Sheppard, M. 392 393 et al., 1994). According to the poor knowledge on the magnitude of I volatilization and its 394 environmental controls in different site conditions, further studies are needed to investigate 395 the balance between retention and volatilization depending on the physicochemical properties 396 of the soil.

#### **397 5.** Conclusions

398 Monitoring of monospecific stands located under identical climatic and geogenic conditions 399 enabled specifying the impact of tree species on inventories of Se and I. For both elements, 400 stocks in above ground tree parts were marginal compared to forest soil ( $\leq 0.09\%$  for Se,  $\leq$ 401 0.013% for I). Tree species affected element stocks in aboveground tree parts (oak < beech, 402 pine  $\leq$  spruce, Douglas fir) and uptake fluxes (beech  $\leq$  oak, pine, spruce  $\leq$  Douglas fir). 403 Returns to forest floor through litterfall were lower at beech stand for Se and higher at 404 Douglas fir stand for I. Vegetation type influenced the organic material and element turnover 405 in humus. The stands with high humus biomass (i.e Douglas fir and spruce) stored more Se 406 and I, while low biomass and short residence time of OM resulted in reduced pools of 407 elements in deciduous humus. Under our edaphic conditions and stand ages, tree species did 408 not influence soil Se and I reserves. In the soil profile, selenium concentrations slightly 409 decreased with soil depth while iodine showed an "enrichment-depletion profile" that could 410 be explained by downward leaching of DOI and enrichment with depth by sorption onto Al 411 oxides.

#### 412 Supplementary information

413 Additional information as noted in the text.

#### 414 **Declaration of interests**

415 The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal 416 relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

#### 417 Acknowledgements

We would like to acknowledge all technical staff from the Breuil site network for providing
samples and data collection. This research was financially supported by the French National
Radioactive Waste Management Agency (Andra).

#### 421 **References**

- 422 Alberdi, I., Baycheva-Merger, T., Alain, B., Bozzano, M., Caudullo, G., Cienciala, E.,
  423 Corona, P., Domínguez, G., Houston-Durrant, T., Edwards, D., Estreguil, C., Ferreti,
- 424 M., Fischer, U., Freudenschuss, A., Gasparini, P., Godinho-Ferreira, P., Hansen, K.,
- 425 Hiederer, R., Inhaizer, H., Zingg, A., 2015. State of Europe's Forests 2015 summary
  426 for policy makers.
- 427 AnaEE France Breuil [WWW Document], URL https://www.anaee428 france.fr/en/infrastructure-services/in-natura-experimentation/forest-

429 ecosystems/temperate-and-continental-forests/breuil (accessed 5.2.21).

- 430 Aponte, C., García, L.V., Marañón, T., 2013. Tree species effects on nutrient cycling and soil
- 431 biota: A feedback mechanism favouring species coexistence. For. Ecol. Manag., 309,
  432 36–46. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2013.05.035
- Berger, T.W., Berger, P., 2012. Greater accumulation of litter in spruce (Picea abies)
  compared to beech (Fagus sylvatica) stands is not a consequence of the inherent

435 recalcitrance of needles. Plant Soil 358, 349–369. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-012-

436 1165-z

- Bostock, A.C., Shaw, G., Bell, J.N.B., 2003. The volatilisation and sorption of 129I in
  coniferous forest, grassland and frozen soils. J. Environ. Radioact., International
  workshop on the mobility of iodine, technetium, selenium and uranium in the
  biosphere 70, 29–42. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0265-931X(03)00120-6
- Bowley, H.E., Young, S.D., Ander, E.L., Crout, N.M.J., Watts, M.J., Bailey, E.H., 2019.
  Iodine bioavailability in acidic soils of Northern Ireland. Geoderma 348, 97–106.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2019.04.020
- Brantley, S.L., Goldhaber, M.B., Ragnarsdottir, K.V., 2007. Crossing Disciplines and Scales
  to Understand the Critical Zone. Elements 3, 307–314.
  https://doi.org/10.2113/gselements.3.5.307
- 447 Cakmak, I., Prom-u-thai, C., Guilherme, L.R.G., Rashid, A., Hora, K.H., Yazici, A., Savasli,
- 448 E., Kalayci, M., Tutus, Y., Phuphong, P., Rizwan, M., Martins, F.A.D., Dinali, G.S.,
- 449 Ozturk, L., 2017. Iodine biofortification of wheat, rice and maize through fertilizer
  450 strategy. Plant Soil 418, 319–335. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-017-3295-9
- 451 Coppin, F., Chabroullet, C., Martin-Garin, A., 2009. Selenite interactions with some
  452 particulate organic and mineral fractions isolated from a natural grassland soil. Eur. J.
  453 Soil Sci. 60, 369–376. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2389.2009.01127.x
- 454 Cornelis, J.-T., Ranger, J., Iserentant, A., Delvaux, B., 2010. Tree species impact the
  455 terrestrial cycle of silicon through various uptakes. Biogeochemistry 97, 231–245.
  456 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-009-9369-x

457 Cortizas, A.M., Vázquez, C.F., Kaal, J., Biester, H., Casais, M.C., Rodríguez, T.T., Lado,
458 L.R., 2016. Bromine accumulation in acidic black colluvial soils. Geochimica et
459 Cosmochimica Acta 174, 143–155. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2015.11.013

- 460 De Schrijver, A., Geudens, G., Augusto, L., Staelens, J., Mertens, J., Wuyts, K., Gielis, L.,
  461 Verheyen, K., 2007. The effect of forest type on throughfall deposition and seepage
  462 flux: a review. Oecologia 153, 663–674. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-007-0776-1
- 463 Di Tullo, P., 2015. Dynamique du cycle biogéochimique du sélénium en écosystèmes
  464 terrestres : rétention et réactivité dans le sol, rôle de la végétation (thesis). Pau.
- 465 Epp, T., Neidhardt, H., Pagano, N., Marks, M.A.W., Markl, G., Oelmann, Y., 2020.
  466 Vegetation canopy effects on total and dissolved Cl, Br, F and I concentrations in soil
  467 and their fate along the hydrological flow path. Sci. Total Environ 712, 135473.
  468 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.135473
- Feinberg A., Stenke A., Peter T., Winkel L., 2020. Constraining Atmospheric Selenium
  Emissions Using Observations, Global Modeling, and Bayesian Inferencef. Environ.
  Sci. Technol. 54, 7146–7155.
- 472 Fuge, R., Johnson, C.C., 2015. Iodine and human health, the role of environmental
  473 geochemistry and diet, a review. Appl. Geochem. 63, 282–302.
  474 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeochem.2015.09.013
- Goor, F., Thiry, Y., 2004. Processes, dynamics and modelling of radiocaesium cycling in a
  chronosequence of Chernobyl-contaminated Scots pine (Pinus sylvestris L.)
  plantations. Sci. Total Environ 325, 163–180.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2003.10.037
- 479 Hurtevent, P., Thiry, Y., Levchuk, S., Yoschenko, V., Henner, P., Madoz-Escande, C.,
  480 Leclerc, E., Colle, C., Kashparov, V., 2013. Translocation of 125I, 75Se and 36Cl to

481 wheat edible parts following wet foliar contamination under field conditions. J.
482 Environ. Radioact. 121, 43–54. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvrad.2012.04.013

483 IUSS Working Group WRB. 2006. World Reference Base for Soil Resources 2006. World
484 Soil Resources Reports No. 103. FAO, Rome

- Kadowaki, M., Terada, H., Nagai, H., 2020. Global budget of atmospheric 129I during 2007–
  2010 estimated by a chemical transport model: GEARN–FDM. Atmos. Environ.: X 8,
  100098. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aeaoa.2020.100098
- Kalbitz, K., Solinger, S., Park, J.-H., Michalzik, B., Matzner, E., 2000. Controls on the
  dynamics of dissolved organic matter in soils: A review. Soil Sci. 165, 277–304.
- Kato, S., Wachi, T., Yoshihira, K., Nakagawa, T., Ishikawa, A., Takagi, D., Tezuka, A.,
  Yoshida, H., Yoshida, S., Sekimoto, H., Takahashi, M., 2013. Rice (Oryza sativa L.)
  roots have iodate reduction activity in response to iodine. Front. Plant. Sci. 4, 227.
  https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2013.00227
- Kikkert, J., Berkelaar, E., 2013. Plant Uptake and Translocation of Inorganic and Organic
  Forms of Selenium. Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 65, 458–465.
  https://doi.org/10.1007/s00244-013-9926-0
- Korobova, E., 2010. Soil and landscape geochemical factors which contribute to iodine
  spatial distribution in the main environmental components and food chain in the
  central Russian plain. J. Geochem. Explor. 107, 180–192.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gexplo.2010.03.003
- Laganière, J.L., Paré, D.P., Bradley, R.L.B.L., 2010. How does a tree species influence litter
   decomposition? Separating the relative contribution of litter quality, litter mixing, and
   forest floor conditions. Can. J. For. Res. https://doi.org/10.1139/X09-208

- Legout, A., van der Heijden, G., Jaffrain, J., Boudot, J.-P., Ranger, J., 2016. Tree species
  effects on solution chemistry and major element fluxes: A case study in the Morvan
  (Breuil, France). For. Ecol. Manag. 378, 244–258.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2016.07.003
- Li, H.-F., McGrath, S.P., Zhao, F.-J., 2008. Selenium uptake, translocation and speciation in
  wheat supplied with selenate or selenite. New Phytol. 178, 92–102.
  https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2007.02343.x
- Mareschal, L., Bonnaud, P., Turpault, M.P., Ranger, J., 2010. Impact of common European
  tree species on the chemical and physicochemical properties of fine earth: an unusual

513 pattern. Eur. J. Soil Sci. 61, 14–23. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2389.2009.01206.x

- Montelius, M., Thiry, Y., Marang, L., Ranger, J., Cornelis, J.-T., Svensson, T., Bastviken, D.,
  2015. Experimental evidence of large changes in terrestrial chlorine cycling following
  altered tree species composition. Environ. Sci. Technol. 49, 4921–4928.
  https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.5b00137
- Osono, T., Takeda, H., 2005. Limit values for decomposition and convergence process of
  lignocellulose fraction in decomposing leaf litter of 14 tree species in a cool temperate
  forest. Ecol. Res. 20, 51–58. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11284-004-0011-z
- 521 Pisarek, P., Bueno, M., Thiry, Y., Nicolas, M., Gallard, H., Hécho, I.L., 2021. Selenium
  522 distribution in French forests: influence of environmental conditions. Sci. Total
  523 Environ 144962. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.144962
- Prescott, C.E., Grayston, S.J., 2013. Tree species influence on microbial communities in litter
  and soil: Current knowledge and research needs. For. Ecol. Manag., Influence of tree
  species on forest soils: New evidence from field studies 309, 19–27.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2013.02.034

528	Prescott, C.E., Zabek, L.M., Staley, C.L., Kabzems, R., 2000. Decomposition of broadleaf
529	and needle litter in forests of British Columbia: influences of litter type, forest type,
530	and litter mixtures. Can. J. For. Res. 30, 1742–1750. https://doi.org/10.1139/x00-097

R Core Team, 2013. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R
Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria

Ranger, J., Colin-Belgrand, M., 1996. Nutrient dynamics of chestnut tree (Castanea sativa
Mill.) coppice stands. For. Ecol. Manag. 86, 259–277. https://doi.org/10.1016/S03781127(96)03733-4

Redon, P.-O., Abdelouas, A., Bastviken, D., Cecchini, S., Nicolas, M., Thiry, Y., 2011.
Chloride and organic chlorine in forest soils: storage, residence times, and influence
of ecological conditions. Environ. Sci. Technol. 45, 7202–7208.
https://doi.org/10.1021/es2011918

Reimann, C., Englmaier, P., Fabian, K., Gough, L., Lamothe, P., Smith, D., 2015.
Biogeochemical plant–soil interaction: Variable element composition in leaves of four
plant species collected along a south–north transect at the southern tip of Norway. Sci.
Total Environ 506–507, 480–495. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.10.079

544 Roulier, M., 2018. Cycle biogéochimique de l'iode en écosystèmes forestiers (thesis).
545 http://www.theses.fr. Pau.

Roulier, M., Bueno, M., Coppin, F., Nicolas, M., Thiry, Y., Rigal, F., Le Hécho, I., Pannier,
F., 2020a. Atmospheric iodine, selenium and caesium depositions in France: I. Spatial
and seasonal variations. Chemosphere 128971.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2020.128971

550 Roulier, M., Bueno, M., Coppin, F., Nicolas, M., Thiry, Y., Rigal, F., Pannier, F., Le Hécho,

I., 2020b. Atmospheric iodine, selenium and caesium depositions in France: II.

# 552Influenceofforestcanopies.Chemosphere128952.553https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2020.128952

- Roulier, M., Bueno, M., Thiry, Y., Coppin, F., Redon, P.-O., Le Hécho, I., Pannier, F., 2018.
  Iodine distribution and cycling in a beech (Fagus sylvatica) temperate forest. Sci.
  Total Environ. 645, 431–440. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.07.039
- Roulier, M., Coppin, F., Bueno, M., Nicolas, M., Thiry, Y., Della Vedova, C., Février, L.,
  Pannier, F., Le Hécho, I., 2019. Iodine budget in forest soils: Influence of
  environmental conditions and soil physicochemical properties. Chemosphere 224, 20–
  28. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2019.02.060
- Shahid, N., Shahid, M., Niazi, N.K., Khalid, S., Murtaza, B., Bibi, I., Rashid, M.I., 2018. A
  critical review of selenium biogeochemical behavior in soil-plant system with an
  inference to human health. Environ. Pollut. 234, 915–934.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2017.12.019
- Sharma, V.K., McDonald, T.J., Sohn, M., Anquandah, G.A.K., Pettine, M., Zboril, R., 2015.
  Biogeochemistry of selenium. A review. Environ. Chem. Lett. 13, 49–58.
  https://doi.org/10.1007/s10311-014-0487-x
- Sheppard, M. I., Thibault, D. H., Smith, P. A., Hawkins, J. L., 1994. Volatilization: a soil
  degassing coefficient for iodine. J. Environ. Radioact. 25, 189–203.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/0265-931X(94)90072-8
- Shetaya, W.H., Young, S.D., Watts, M.J., Ander, E.L., Bailey, E.H., 2012. Iodine dynamics
  in soils. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 77, 457–473.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2011.10.034

574	Söderlund, M., Virkanen, J., Aromaa, H., Gracheva, N., Lehto, J., 2017. Sorption and
575	speciation of iodine in boreal forest soil. J Radioanal Nucl Chem 311, 549-564.
576	https://doi.org/10.1007/s10967-016-5022-z

577

- Takeda, A., Tsukada, H., Takahashi, M., Takaku, Y., Hisamatsu, S., 2015. Changes in the
  chemical form of exogenous iodine in forest soils and their extracts. Radiat. Prot.
  Dosim. 167, 181–186. https://doi.org/10.1093/rpd/ncv240
- Takeda, A., Nakao, A., Yamasaki, S., Tsuchiya, N., 2018. Distribution and Speciation of
  Bromine and Iodine in Volcanic Ash Soil Profiles. Soil Science Society of America
  Journal 82, 815–825. https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj2018.01.0019

584

- Takeda, A., Unno, Y., Tsukada, H., Takaku, Y., Hisamatsu, S., 2019. Speciation of iodine in
  soil solution in forest and grassland soils in Rokkasho, Japan. Radiat. Prot. Dosim.
  184, 368–371. https://doi.org/10.1093/rpd/ncz103
- Thiry, Y., Schmidt, P., Van Hees, M., Wannijn, J., Van Bree, P., Rufyikiri, G., Vandenhove,
  H., 2005. Uranium distribution and cycling in Scots pine (Pinus sylvestris L.) growing
  on a revegetated U-mining heap. J. Environ. Radioact., 81, 201–219.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvrad.2004.01.036
- Tolu, J., Thiry, Y., Bueno, M., Jolivet, C., Potin-Gautier, M., Le Hécho, I., 2014. Distribution
  and speciation of ambient selenium in contrasted soils, from mineral to organic rich.
  Sci. Total Environ. 479–480, 93–101. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.01.079
- Tyler, G., 2005. Changes in the concentrations of major, minor and rare-earth elements
  during leaf senescence and decomposition in a Fagus sylvatica forest. For. Ecol.
  Manag. 206, 167–177. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2004.10.065

- 598 Ukonmaanaho, L., Merilä, P., Nöjd, P., Nieminen, T., 2008. Litterfall production and nutrient
  599 return to the forest floor in Scots pine and Norway spruce stands in Finland. Boreal
  600 Environ. Res. 13, 67–91.
- Unno, Y., Tsukada, H., Takeda, A., Takaku, Y., Hisamatsu, S., 2017. Soil-soil solution
  distribution coefficient of soil organic matter is a key factor for that of radioiodide in
  surface and subsurface soils. J. Environ. Radioact. 169–170, 131–136.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvrad.2017.01.016
- van der Heijden, G., Legout, A., Midwood, A.J., Craig, C.-A., Pollier, B., Ranger, J.,
  Dambrine, E., 2013. Mg and Ca root uptake and vertical transfer in soils assessed by
  an in situ ecosystem-scale multi-isotopic (<sup>26</sup>Mg & <sup>44</sup>Ca) tracing experiment in a beech
  stand (Breuil-Chenue, France). Plant and Soil 369, 33–45.
- Vergutz, L., Manzoni, S., Porporato, A., Novais, R.F., Jackson, R.B., 2012. Global resorption
  efficiencies and concentrations of carbon and nutrients in leaves of terrestrial plants.
  Ecol. Monogr. 82, 205–220. https://doi.org/10.1890/11-0416.1
- 612 Watts, M.J., Joy, E.J.M., Young, S.D., Broadley, M.R., Chilimba, A.D.C., Gibson, R.S.,
- 613 Siyame, E.W.P., Kalimbira, A.A., Chilima, B., Ander, E.L., 2015. Iodine source
  614 apportionment in the Malawian diet. Sci. Rep. 5, 15251.
  615 https://doi.org/10.1038/srep15251
- Watts, M.J., Mitchell, C.J., 2009. A pilot study on iodine in soils of Greater Kabul and
  Nangarhar provinces of Afghanistan. Environ. Geochem. Health 31, 503–509.
  https://doi.org/10.1007/s10653-008-9202-9
- 619 Whitehead, D.C., 1978. Iodine in Soil Profiles in Relation to Iron and Aluminium Oxides and
- 620 Organic Matter. J. Soil Sci. 29, 88–94. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2389.1978.tb02035.x
- 621 Xu, C., Zhang, S., Sugiyama, Y., Ohte, N., Ho, Y.-F., Fujitake, N., Kaplan, D.I., Yeager,
- 622 C.M., Schwehr, K., Santschi, P.H., 2016. Role of natural organic matter on iodine and

- 623 239,240Pu distribution and mobility in environmental samples from the northwestern
  624 Fukushima Prefecture, Japan. J. Environ. Radioact. 153, 156–166.
  625 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvrad.2015.12.022
- 626 Zhao, X., Hou, X., Zhou, W., 2019. Atmospheric Iodine (127I and 129I) Record in Spruce
- 627 Tree Rings in the Northeast Qinghai-Tibet Plateau. Environ. Sci. Technol. 53, 8706–
- 628 8714. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.9b01160



Figure 1 Selenium and iodine concentrations (dots) in (1), (2) leaves; (3), (4) litterfall-leaves and (5), (6) litterfall-branches in forest stands (n=5/stand). Box plots show the first quartile (Q<sub>1</sub>), third quartile (Q<sub>3</sub>) and median of the data. Black diamond symbols indicate average element concentrations in groups. Upper and lower whiskers extend from the hinges by Q<sub>1</sub> - $1.5 \times IQR$  (IQR - interquartile range) and Q<sub>3</sub> +  $1.5 \times IQR$ , respectively. Letters above the boxes correspond to significant differences between the groups according to Wilcoxon or Tukey tests.



Figure 2 Selenium and iodine concentrations in (1), (2) humus horizons: oln (organic, litter, new), olv (organic, litter, old), of (organic, fragmented), oh (organic, humified) and (3), (4) bulk humus (n=8/stand) of Douglas, pine, spruce, beech and oak monospecific stands. Box plots show the first quartile (Q<sub>1</sub>), third quartile (Q<sub>3</sub>) and median of the data. Black diamond symbols indicate average element concentrations in groups. Upper and lower whiskers extend from the hinges by Q<sub>1</sub> - 1.5 × IQR (IQR - interquartile range) and Q<sub>3</sub> + 1.5 × IQR, respectively. Letters above the boxes correspond to significant differences between the groups according to Wilcoxon or Tukey tests.



Figure 3. Soil profiles of selenium (1) and iodine (2) in forest stands (mean value ±standard deviation).

Annual flux	Equation	Variables
Requirement (R)	$R = \sum_{i}$ (annual biomass production) <sub>i</sub> × $[X]_i$	i = leaves, branches, bark, wood
Uptake (U)	$\mathbf{U} = (\mathbf{U}_1) + (\mathbf{U}_2)$	
(U <sub>1</sub> ) Immobilization	$U_1 = \sum_i (annual biomass production)_i \times [X]_i$	i = branches, wood, bark
(U <sub>2</sub> ) Returns	$U_2 = \sum_i (annual biomass production)_i \times [X]_i$	i = litterfall leaves , branches
Translocations (T)	$T = (annual biomass production)_i \times ([X]_j - [X]_i)$	i = litterfall leaves; j = leaves

Table 1 Equations to calculate main annual fluxes of Se and I in forest ecosystem.

Where: [X] is Se or I concentration

Table 2 Selenium and iodine concentrations in Breuil forest stands (mean value  $\pm$  standard deviation). Concentrations with various letters are significantly different among tree species at the 95% level (2tailed t-test).

	Douglas	Pine	Spruce	Beech	Oak	
	Selenium concentrations					
Tree parts (µg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	Tree parts ( $\mu g k g^{-1}$ )					
Bole-wood	$9\pm3$	$13 \pm 4$	$11 \pm 3$	$11 \pm 4$	$8 \pm 2$	
Branches	$32 \pm 4^{a}$	$23 \pm 4^{b}$	$36 \pm 5^{a}$	$18 \pm 3^{b}$	$19 \pm 3^{b}$	
Bole-bark	$33 \pm 5^{b}$	$20 \pm 4^{d}$	$24 \pm 3^{c}$	$47 \pm 5^{a}$	$16 \pm 2^{d}$	
Foliage	$31 \pm 4^{b}$	$20 \pm 3^{\circ}$	$19 \pm 3^{\circ}$	$43 \pm 4^{a}$	$35 \pm 4^{b}$	
$\frac{1011000}{Forest floor (ug kg^{-1})}$						
I E leaves	$89 + 8^{ab}$	$90 + 7^{a}$	$62 + 6^{\circ}$	$74 + 6^{bc}$	$60 + 6^{\circ}$	
LI Icaves	$222 \pm 26^{ab}$	$187 \pm 14^{b}$	$02 \pm 0$ 201 + 24 <sup>a</sup>	$40 \pm 11^{\circ}$	$65 \pm 8^{\circ}$	
	$108 \pm 26$	$107 \pm 14$ $02 \pm 53$	$271 \pm 24$ 85 ± 22	$+0 \pm 11$ 66 ± 27	$63 \pm 18$	
LF total	$100 \pm 20$	$\frac{92 \pm 33}{500 \pm 0.00}$	$63 \pm 33$	$00 \pm 27$	$\frac{02 \pm 10}{494 \pm 61^{\text{b}}}$	
Humus bulk	$682 \pm 137^{\circ}$	$500 \pm 98^{\circ}$	$684 \pm 134^{\circ}$	$/42 \pm 1/4^{\circ}$	$484 \pm 61^{\circ}$	
OIN ola	$129 \pm 14$	$3/\pm 0$	$40 \pm 7$	$60 \pm 10$	$103 \pm 12$ 122 + 12	
of	$197 \pm 10$ $440 \pm 25$	$96 \pm 14$ $247 \pm 49$	$94 \pm 14$	$194 \pm 17$	$122 \pm 13$ $414 \pm 22$	
oh	$449 \pm 55$ $902 \pm 70$	$547 \pm 46$ $624 \pm 83$	$423 \pm 34$ $774 \pm 50$	$310 \pm 30$ $830 \pm 61$	$414 \pm 33$ $626 \pm 47$	
$\frac{1}{\mathbf{S}_{\text{oil}}(u_{\text{o}} h_{\text{o}}^{-1})}$	902 ± 70	024 ± 03	114 ± 39	850 ± 01	020 ± 47	
Soli ( $\mu g \kappa g$ )	$101 + 37^{b}$	$188 \pm 50^{b}$	$600 \pm 00^{a}$	$564 + 82^{ab}$	$566 \pm 6/a^{ab}$	
0-7.5 Cm 7 5 15 cm	$466 \pm 59^{b}$	$468 \pm 57^{b}$	$522 \pm 103^{ab}$	$504 \pm 02$ 522 + 36 <sup>ab</sup>	$500 \pm 04$ 610 + 63 <sup>a</sup>	
15-30 cm	$460 \pm 59$ 467 + 58	$469 \pm 32$	$522 \pm 100$ 550 + 50	$322 \pm 50$ $499 \pm 47$	$530 \pm 58$	
30-45 cm	$407 \pm 50$ 408 + 54	388 + 74	$459 \pm 38$	$520 \pm 36$	$530 \pm 30$ 517 + 72	
45-60 cm	404 + 42	403 + 62	467 + 45	468 + 34	491 + 62	
60-75(70) cm	331 + 59	343 + 38	405 + 54	382 + 43	433 + 51	
0-70 cm	417 + 47	415 + 55	493 + 55	482 + 42	510 + 64	
Bedrock material	$46 \pm 5$					
		Iodine concentr	ations			
Tree parts (ug kg <sup>-1</sup> )						
Bole-wood	<16.5	<16.5	<16.5	<16.5	<16.5	
Branches	$118 \pm 5^{b}$	$104 \pm 5^{\circ}$	$158 \pm 5^{a}$	$39 \pm 2^{e}$	$57 \pm 2^{d}$	
Bole-Bark	$144 \pm 6^{b}$	$89 \pm 6^{\circ}$	$100 \pm 5^{\circ}$	$226 \pm 20^{a}$	$49 \pm 5^{d}$	
Foliage	$163 \pm 14^{a}$	$89 \pm 8^{b}$	$82 \pm 9^{b}$	$208 \pm 21^{a}$	$182 \pm 32^{a}$	
Forest floor (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )						
LF leaves	$0.63 \pm 0.04^{a}$	$0.40 \pm 0.02^{b}$	$0.28 \pm 0.04^{b}$	$0.44 \pm 0.04^{ab}$	$0.36 \pm 0.02^{b}$	
LF branches	$2.1 \pm 0.3^{a}$	$1.9 \pm 0.1^{a}$	$2.5 \pm 0.2^{a}$	$0.34 \pm 0.05^{b}$	$0.40 \pm 0.06^{b}$	
LF total	$0.83 \pm 0.20$	$0.40 \pm 0.20$	$0.51 \pm 0.20$	$0.41 \pm 0.16$	$0.37 \pm 0.11$	
Humus bulk	$5.4 \pm 0.8^{b}$	$4.8 \pm 0.7$ <sup>b</sup>	$4.9 \pm 1.2^{b}$	$4.5 \pm 0.8$ <sup>b</sup>	$2.9 \pm 0.5^{a}$	
oln	$0.24\pm0.02$	$0.12 \pm 0.01$	$0.09 \pm 0.01$	$0.39 \pm 0.03$	$0.51 \pm 0.03$	
olv	$1.4 \pm 0.1$	$0.58 \pm 0.03$	$0.64 \pm 0.05$	$1.1 \pm 0.1$	$0.68 \pm 0.05$	
of	$3.4 \pm 0.2$	$2.8 \pm 0.1$	$3.5 \pm 0.2$	$3.1 \pm 0.2$	$2.6 \pm 0.1$	
oh	$7.1 \pm 0.3$	$5.0 \pm 0.2$	$6.0 \pm 0.3$	$5.4 \pm 0.3$	$4.0 \pm 0.2$	
Soil (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )						
0-7.5 cm	$6.7 \pm 0.5^{a}$	$4.8 \pm 0.4$ <sup>b</sup>	$9.0 \pm 1.7$ <sup>a</sup>	$6.6 \pm 1.9^{ab}$	$4.5 \pm 0.3$ <sup>b</sup>	
7.5-15 cm	$10.3 \pm 1.9^{ab}$	$7.7 \pm 2.1^{ab}$	$11.3 \pm 2.5^{a}$	$11.1 \pm 2.8^{ab}$	$6.7 \pm 0.2^{b}$	
15-30 cm	$11.4 \pm 4.3$	$12.2 \pm 0.8$	$13.3 \pm 1.4$	$14.5 \pm 1.4$	$11.0 \pm 0.4$	
30-45 cm	$8.6 \pm 1.3$	$10.5 \pm 2.5$	$10.3 \pm 1.6$	$11.6 \pm 1.2$	$13.3 \pm 0.5$	
45-60 cm	$8.5 \pm 0.7$	$7.4 \pm 1.6$	$8.8 \pm 1.2$	$8.9 \pm 0.6$	$10.1 \pm 0.4$	
60-75(70) cm	$6.8 \pm 2.4$	$5.8 \pm 1.2$	$5.9 \pm 1.1$	$7.7 \pm 1.3$	$7.2 \pm 0.2$	
0-70 cm	8.8 ± 1.9	$8.7 \pm 1.5$	$9.8 \pm 1.5$	$10.5 \pm 1.3$	$9.9 \pm 0.4$	
Bedrock material	$0.30 \pm 0.03$					

Table 3 Selenium and iodine stocks in monospecific forest stands (mean value  $\pm$  standard deviation). Tree and humus stocks with various letters are significantly different among tree species at the 95% level (t-test).

	Douglas	Pine	Spruce	Beech	Oak	
Selenium stocks (g ha <sup>-1</sup> )						
Tree	$2.60 \pm 0.34^{a}$	$2.00 \pm 0.38$ <sup>a,b</sup>	$2.57 \pm 0.30^{\text{ a}}$	$1.82 \pm 0.33$ <sup>b</sup>	<b>0.91 ± 0.12</b> <sup>c</sup>	
	(0.09%)	(0.07%)	(0.07%)	(0.06%)	(0.03%)	
Bole-wood	$0.96 \pm 0.29$	$1.21 \pm 0.37$	$0.86 \pm 0.25$	$0.92 \pm 0.32$	$0.38 \pm 0.10$	
Branches	$0.65\pm0.08$	$0.36 \pm 0.07$	$0.93 \pm 0.13$	$0.38 \pm 0.07$	$0.25 \pm 0.04$	
Bole-bark	$0.53 \pm 0.08$	$0.28\pm0.05$	$0.22\pm0.03$	$0.38 \pm 0.04$	$0.14\pm0.02$	
Foliage	$0.45 \pm 0.13$	$0.15 \pm 0.04$	$0.56 \pm 0.10$	$0.14 \pm 0.05$	$0.14 \pm 0.04$	
Forest soil	$2988 \pm 335$	$2824 \pm 370$	$3535 \pm 389$	$3071 \pm 264$	$3553 \pm 441$	
Humus	$34.5 \pm 7.3$ <sup>a</sup>	19.3 ± 4.6 <sup>b</sup>	$35.6 \pm 8.0$ <sup>a</sup>	$16.4 \pm 6.9 $ <sup>b,c</sup>	13.3 ± 1.7 °	
	(1.2%)	(0.7%)	(1%)	(0.5%)	(0.4%)	
Soil (0-70 cm)	$2954 \pm 335$	$2805 \pm 370$	$3499 \pm 389$	$3054 \pm 264$	$3540 \pm 441$	
	(98.8%)	(99.2%)	(98.9%)	(99.4%)	(99.6%)	
Total stock	2991 ± 335	$2826\pm370$	3538 ± 389	$3073 \pm 264$	3554 ± 441	
		Iodine sto	cks (g ha <sup>-1</sup> )			
Tree	<b>8.09 ± 0.99</b> <sup>a</sup>	<b>4.49 ± 0.81</b> <sup>b</sup>	$7.99 \pm 0.77$ <sup>a</sup>	$4.07 \pm 0.74$ <sup>b</sup>	$2.40 \pm 0.45$ <sup>c</sup>	
	(0.013%)	(0.008%)	(0.011%)	(0.006%)	(0.003%)	
Bole-wood	$0.86 \pm 0.86$	$0.78\pm0.78$	$0.66 \pm 0.66$	$0.70 \pm 0.70$	$0.39 \pm 0.39$	
Branches	$2.47\pm0.09$	$1.76 \pm 0.08$	$3.99 \pm 0.14$	$0.84 \pm 0.03$	$0.76 \pm 0.03$	
Bole-bark	$2.39 \pm 0.17$	$1.28 \pm 0.13$	$0.97 \pm 0.12$	$1.85 \pm 0.16$	$0.50\pm0.12$	
Foliage	$2.37 \pm 0.44$	$0.67 \pm 0.13$	$2.37 \pm 0.34$	$0.68 \pm 0.18$	$0.75 \pm 0.18$	
Iodine stocks (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )						
Forest soil	$63 \pm 14$	$59 \pm 10$	$70 \pm 10$	67 ± 8	$68 \pm 3$	
Humus	$0.27 \pm 0.05$ a	$0.19 \pm 0.04$ <sup>b</sup>	$0.26 \pm 0.07$ <sup>a</sup>	$0.10 \pm 0.04$ <sup>c</sup>	$0.08 \pm 0.01$ <sup>c</sup>	
	(0.4%)	(0.3%)	(0.4%)	(0.15%)	(0.12%)	
Soil (0-70 cm)	$63 \pm 14$	$59 \pm 10$	$70 \pm 10$	$66 \pm 8$	$68 \pm 3$	
	(99.6%)	(99.7%)	(99.6%)	(99.8%)	(99.9%)	
Total stock	63 ± 14	59 ± 10	70 ± 10	67 ± 8	68 ± 3	

	0	5	6 1		· · ·
	Se annual flux (g ha <sup>-1</sup> y <sup>-1</sup> )				
Annual flux	Douglas	Pine	Spruce	Beech	Oak
Requirement (R)	$0.24 \pm 0.04$ <sup>a</sup>	$0.06 \pm 0.02$ °	$0.17 \pm 0.05$ <sup>a</sup>	$0.21 \pm 0.02$ <sup>a</sup>	$0.25 \pm 0.07$ <sup>a</sup>
Wood	$0.079\pm0.032$	$0.032 \pm 0.014$	$0.055 \pm 0.034$	$0.033 \pm 0.012$	$0.044 \pm 0.036$
Branches	$0.078 \pm 0.024$	$0.017 \pm 0.006$	$0.069 \pm 0.038$	$0.025 \pm 0.005$	$0.050\pm0.041$
Bark	$0.046 \pm 0.014$	$0.007 \pm 0.002$	$0.012 \pm 0.007$	$0.009 \pm 0.003$	$0.014 \pm 0.011$
Leaves	$0.04 \pm 0.01$	$0.005 \pm 0.002$	$0.03\pm0.02$	$0.14 \pm 0.01$	$0.14\pm0.05$
Uptake (U)	$0.58 \pm 0.08$ <sup>a</sup>	<i>0.39</i> ± <i>0.13</i> <sup>b</sup>	$0.47 \pm 0.10$ b	$0.29 \pm 0.07$ <sup>c</sup>	0.41 ± 0.09 <sup>b</sup>
(U1) Immobilization	$0.20 \pm 0.04$	$0.06\pm0.02$	$0.14 \pm 0.05$	$0.07 \pm 0.01$	$0.11 \pm 0.06$
Wood	$0.079 \pm 0.032$	$0.032 \pm 0.014$	$0.055 \pm 0.034$	$0.033 \pm 0.012$	$0.044 \pm 0.036$
Branches	$0.078 \pm 0.024$	$0.017 \pm 0.006$	$0.069 \pm 0.038$	$0.025 \pm 0.005$	$0.050 \pm 0.041$
Bark	$0.046 \pm 0.014$	$0.007 \pm 0.002$	$0.012 \pm 0.007$	$0.009 \pm 0.003$	$0.014 \pm 0.011$
(U <sub>2</sub> ) Returns	$0.38 \pm 0.07$	$0.34 \pm 0.13$	$0.34 \pm 0.08$	$0.22 \pm 0.07$	$0.31 \pm 0.07$
Litterfall leaves	$0.27 \pm 0.05$	$0.32 \pm 0.13$	$0.22 \pm 0.08$	$0.19 \pm 0.07$	$0.19 \pm 0.06$
Litterfall branches	$0.11 \pm 0.04$	$0.017 \pm 0.004$	$0.12 \pm 0.03$	$0.03 \pm 0.01$	$0.11 \pm 0.02$
Translocation (T)	$-0.17 \pm 0.04$	$-0.25 \pm 0.11$	$-0.15 \pm 0.06$	$-0.08 \pm 0.03$	$-0.08 \pm 0.03$
	Se Uptake/Se Requirement				
Ratio	$2.4 \pm 0.6$	$6.4 \pm 2.7$	$2.8 \pm 1.1$	$1.4 \pm 0.4$	$1.6 \pm 0.6$
		I aı	nnual flux (g ha <sup>-1</sup> ;	y <sup>-1</sup> )	
Annual flux	Douglas	Pine	Spruce	Beech	Oak
Requirement (R)	$0.78 \pm 0.14$ <sup>a</sup>	$0.16 \pm 0.03$ b	0.53 ± 0.19 ª	$0.81 \pm 0.07$ <sup>a</sup>	1.00 ± 0.30 °
Wood	$0.07\pm0.07$	$0.02\pm0.02$	$0.04\pm0.05$	$0.03 \pm 0.03$	$0.05 \pm 0.06$
Branches	$0.29 \pm 0.08$	$0.08\pm0.02$	$0.30 \pm 0.16$	$0.056 \pm 0.004$	$0.16\pm0.12$
Bark	$0.21 \pm 0.06$	$0.03 \pm 0.01$	$0.05\pm0.03$	$0.04 \pm 0.01$	$0.05 \pm 0.04$
Leaves	$0.20 \pm 0.06$	$0.02\pm0.01$	$0.14\pm0.08$	$0.68\pm0.07$	$0.75\pm0.26$
Uptake (U)	$3.52 \pm 0.57$ a	$1.72 \pm 0.59$ b,c	$2.39 \pm 0.47$ b	1.52 ±0.41 °	$2.11 \pm 0.41$ b,c
(U1) Immobilization	$0.57 \pm 0.13$	$0.14 \pm 0.03$	$0.39 \pm 0.17$	$0.12\pm0.03$	$0.25 \pm 0.14$
Wood	$0.07\pm0.07$	$0.02\pm0.02$	$0.04\pm0.05$	$0.03 \pm 0.03$	$0.05 \pm 0.06$
Branches	$0.29 \pm 0.08$	$0.08 \pm 0.02$	$0.30 \pm 0.16$	$0.056 \pm 0.004$	$0.16 \pm 0.12$
Bark	$0.21 \pm 0.06$	$0.03 \pm 0.01$	$0.05 \pm 0.03$	$0.04 \pm 0.01$	$0.05 \pm 0.04$
(U <sub>2</sub> ) Returns	$2.94 \pm 0.55$	$1.58 \pm 0.59$	$2.00 \pm 0.43$	$1.40\pm0.40$	$1.86 \pm 0.38$
Litterfall leaves	$1.90\pm0.35$	$1.41 \pm 0.59$	$0.98 \pm 0.35$	$1.13 \pm 0.39$	$1.16 \pm 0.36$
Litterfall branches	$1.04 \pm 0.43$	$0.17\pm0.04$	$1.02\pm0.25$	$0.27 \pm 0.10$	$0.70\pm0.15$
Translocation (T)	$-1.41 \pm 0.27$	$-1.10 \pm 0.46$	$-0.69 \pm 0.27$	$-0.59 \pm 0.23$	$-0.57 \pm 0.21$
		IU	ptake/I Requirem	ent	
Dette	$45 \pm 11$	$10.8 \pm 4.4$	$45 \pm 18$	$10 \pm 05$	$21 \pm 07$

Table 4 Selenium and iodine annual fluxes in monospecific forest stands (mean value  $\pm$  standard deviation). Fluxes with various letters are significantly different among tree species at the 95% level (t-test).

Table 5 Humus residence time and Se and I average accumulation rates in humus of different tree species.

Tree	Humus <b>t</b> <sub>resDM</sub> (years)	Accumulation rate (g ha <sup>-1</sup> yr <sup>-1</sup> )		
Titte		Selenium	Iodine	
oak	$10 \pm 2$	$1.2 \pm 0.3$	$7.2 \pm 2.1$	
beech	$19 \pm 8$	$0.8 \pm 0.5$	$4.8 \pm 2.8$	
Douglas	$48 \pm 8$	$0.6 \pm 0.2$	$4.8 \pm 1.3$	
spruce	$34 \pm 11$	$0.9 \pm 0.4$	$6.8 \pm 3.0$	
pine	$71 \pm 30$	$0.2 \pm 0.1$	$2.4 \pm 1.2$	

