

## Does sexual selection shape sex differences in longevity and senescence patterns across vertebrates? A review and new insights from captive ruminants

Morgane Tidière, Jean-Michel Gaillard, Dennis W H Müller, Laurie Bingaman Lackey, Olivier Gimenez, Marcus Clauss, Jean-François Lemaître Lemaître

#### ▶ To cite this version:

Morgane Tidière, Jean-Michel Gaillard, Dennis W H Müller, Laurie Bingaman Lackey, Olivier Gimenez, et al.. Does sexual selection shape sex differences in longevity and senescence patterns across vertebrates? A review and new insights from captive ruminants. Evolution, 2015, 69 (12), pp.3123-3140. 10.1111/evo.12801. hal-03515097

### HAL Id: hal-03515097 https://hal.science/hal-03515097

Submitted on 6 Jan 2022

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Does sexual selection shape sex differences in longevity and senescence patterns across vertebrates? A review and new insights from captive ruminants

4

Morgane Tidière<sup>1,2</sup>, Jean-Michel Gaillard<sup>1,3</sup>, Dennis W. H. Müller<sup>4,5</sup>, Laurie
Bingaman Lackey<sup>6,7</sup>, Olivier Gimenez<sup>8,9</sup>, Marcus Clauss<sup>10,11</sup> and Jean-François
Lemaître<sup>1,12</sup>

8

<sup>1</sup>Université de Lyon, F-69000, Lyon; Université Lyon 1 ; CNRS, UMR5558, Laboratoire de
Biométrie et Biologie Evolutive, F-69622, Villeurbanne, France.

- <sup>2</sup>E-mail: morgane.tidiere@univ-lyon1.fr; telephone number: +33 47244811; corresponding
  author
- <sup>3</sup>E-mail: jean-michel.gaillard@univ-lyon1.fr; telephone number: +33 47244811
- <sup>4</sup>National Park "Bavarian Forest", Freyunger Str. 2, 94481 Grafenau, Germany.
- 15 <sup>5</sup>E-mail: XXX; telephone number: +XX XXX
- <sup>6</sup>1230 Oakland Street, Hendersonville, North Carolina, USA.
- 17 <sup>7</sup>E-mail: XXX; telephone number: +XX XXX
- <sup>8</sup>UMR 5175, Centre d'Ecologie Fonctionnelle et Evolutive, campus CNRS, 1919 route de
- 19 Mende, 34293, Montpellier Cedex 5, France.
- <sup>9</sup>E-mail: Olivier.gimenez@cefe.cnrs.fr; telephone number: +33 467613211
- 21 <sup>10</sup>Clinic for Zoo Animals, Exotic Pets and Wildlife, Vetsuisse Faculty, University of Zurich,
- 22 Winterthurerstr. 260, 8057 Zurich, Switzerland.

- 23 <sup>11</sup>E-mail: mclauss@vetclinics.uzh.ch; telephone number: +41 446358376.
- <sup>12</sup>E-mail: jean-francois.lemaitre@univ-lyon1.fr; telephone number: +33 47244811

25

26 **KEY WORDS:** ageing, ungulates, mating system, reproductive costs.

- 28 **RUNNING TITLE:** Sexual selection and senescence.
- 29 NUMBER OF WORDS: 7, 774 (excluding references, tables and figures)
- 30 NUMBER OF TABLES: 3
- 31 NUMBER OF FIGURES: 3
- 32

#### 33 **ABSTRACT** (200 words)

In vertebrates, both sexes mostly exhibit a different pattern of senescence and lifespan, often 34 35 male-biased in mammals and female-biased in birds. Since polygynous males are under 36 intense sexual competition to secure mating opportunities, they allocate into costly 37 conspicuous sexual traits that might explain sex-differences in longevity and senescence 38 patterns. However, comparative studies performed to date have led to conflicting results. We 39 aimed to solve this problem by first reviewing and discussing published case studies of the 40 relationship between the strength of sexual selection and age-specific survival metrics. Then, 41 we performed a comparative analysis to test whether such relationships occur among species 42 of captive large herbivores. We found that the strength of sexual selection negatively 43 influenced the onset of actuarial senescence in males, with males both senescing earlier in 44 polygynous than in monogamous species and showing greater between-sex differences in 45 onset of senescence in favor of females. Moreover, males of territorial species had earlier 46 onset of senescence than males of species displaying harem or tending mating tactics. We did 47 not detect any influence of the strength of sexual selection on the rate of actuarial senescence. 48 Our findings demonstrate that the onset of actuarial senescence, rather than its rate, is the 49 target of sexual selection.

50

52 During the last two decades, demographic analyzes based on long-term studies have demonstrated that actuarial senescence, a progressive decrease of survival with age 53 54 (Monaghan et al., 2008), is almost an ubiquitous process in vertebrates (Brunet-Rossini and 55 Austad, 2006; Gaillard and Bonenfant 2008; Nussey et al., 2008, 2013). Nevertheless, 56 actuarial senescence is not expressed with the same intensity across the tree of life (Nussey et 57 al., 2013; Jones et al., 2014). These differences in the intensity of senescence among species 58 are generally driven by the pace of life (Jones et al., 2008) that is strongly associated with 59 body mass (Calder, 1984), phylogeny (Gaillard et al., 1989), and habitat (Wiersma et al., 60 2007).

61 Within species, the intensity of actuarial senescence also varies, especially according 62 to sex (Clutton-Brock and Isvaran, 2007; Maklakov and Lummaa, 2013; Regan and Partridge, 63 2013; Adler and Bonduriansky, 2014). For example, mammalian males generally live shorter 64 and senesce faster than females in a given species or population (Lemaître and Gaillard, 65 2013a; Ramp et al., 2010; but see Gamelon et al., 2014). The magnitude of such between-sex 66 differences in actuarial senescence is generally assumed to be associated with the strength of 67 sexual selection (Clutton-Brock and Isvaran, 2007; Lemaître and Gaillard, 2013a). Sexual 68 selection causes the evolution of traits and/or behaviors that are associated with mating success (Darwin, 1859) and individuals from species subjected to a strong sexual selection 69 70 will generally suffer from high cost of production and maintenance of these sexually selected 71 traits (e.g. an increased reproductive senescence for males controlling the largest harems in the polygynous red deer, Cervus elaphus, Lemaître et al., 2014a). In most species, the 72 73 intensity of sexual selection is stronger in males than in females because fathers generally 74 allocate much less than mothers to the production and rearing of offspring (Bateman, 1948; 75 Trivers, 1972 Andersson, 1994). Then, males can allocate much more than females to intraspecific sexual competition to obtain additional mating and thereby to increase their 76

77 individual fitness. Males of species subjected to a low intra-sexual competition will both 78 undergo a lower sexual selection pressure and allocate less energy to mate. They can then 79 allocate more to somatic maintenance and thereby enjoy higher survival and weaker 80 senescence than males of species deeply involved in intra-sexual competition (Bonduriansky 81 et al., 2008). Therefore, sexual selection leads to the production and maintenance of costly 82 sexual traits in males and associated risky behaviors, which are likely to cause higher 83 mortality and accelerated senescence, and thus increased between-sex differences in actuarial 84 senescence (Williams, 1957; Owens and Bennett, 1994; Bonduriansky et al., 2008). We thus 85 expect a greater sex-bias in senescence pattern in favor of females to occur in species in 86 which males are subjected to high levels of sexual competition.

87 The influence of the strength of sexual selection on senescence or survival patterns is a 88 key topic of evolutionary biology that is currently highly debated. From a literature survey, 89 we have identified 10 studies of the link between the strength of sexual selection and survival 90 patterns at the inter-specific level (Table 1) and only six of these studies provided support for 91 a cost of sexual selection in terms of survival. However, only three studies involved actuarial 92 senescence sensu stricto. The other studies focused on age-independent metrics such as 93 maximum longevity, lifespan, or average adult mortality, which do not provide any reliable 94 information about actuarial senescence and are highly dependent of sample size (Krementz et 95 al., 1989; Moorad et al., 2012). Moreover, for a given metric, the measure retained strongly 96 differed from one study to another. For example, sexual size dimorphism (SSD), a commonly 97 used proxy of the strength of sexual selection, was measured either as the ratio between male 98 and female body mass (Promislow, 1992; Owens and Bennett, 1994), as the residuals from the 99 linear regression of male body mass against female body mass (Toïgo and Gaillard, 2003), by 100 including both male and female body mass as additive covariates in the model (Lemaître and 101 Gaillard, 2013a), or as the Lovich and Gibbons (1992)'s ratio of the mean size between males

and females when males are larger (Bro-Jørgensen, 2012). Such a diversity of SSD measures
often makes difficult to compare results among studies.

104 Conclusions from studies based on actuarial senescence metrics are also largely 105 inconsistent. So far, only one provided evidence of a stronger male-biased senescence in 106 species with strong inter-male competition for mating (Clutton-Brock and Isvaran, 2007). The 107 other two studies did not report statistically significant relationship between different rates of 108 actuarial senescence and the strength of sexual selection in either males (Lemaître and 109 Gaillard, 2013a) or females (Lemaître and Gaillard, 2013b). Moreover, all these studies were 110 based on the rate of senescence, which only depicts the intensity of actuarial senescence. The 111 onset of senescence provides another potentially powerful metric that is most often 112 overlooked in senescence studies. However, the few studies available for this trait have 113 demonstrated its biological relevance by showing that observed variation in the onset of 114 senescence across species is mostly shaped by variation in the pace of life (e.g. Jones et al., 115 2008; Péron et al., 2010). Moreover, a recent study has shown that the highly fecund wild 116 boar (Sus scrofa) females suffered from earlier, not stronger, actuarial senescence than 117 similar-sized female mammals with much lower fecundity (Gamelon et al., 2014). These 118 recent findings thus emphasize that the onset of senescence could potentially better assess the 119 potential costs of sexual selection in terms of actuarial senescence.

In the present study, we strive to make a fairly comprehensive investigation of the relationship between various metrics of actuarial senescence and survival and the strength of sexual selection both across species and between sexes. For this purpose, we analyzed a high quality dataset on age-specific survival of large herbivores living in captivity. In large herbivores, males are often subjected to intensive sexual competition (Clutton-Brock, 1989; Bro-Jørgensen, 2011) and there is a large variation in the intensity of sexual selection among species. To gain mating opportunities males subjected to strong sexual selection often display

127 phenotypic responses (Preston et al., 2003), which can be costly and lead to increased 128 mortality. In particular, these males allocate a lot in body mass, which confers advantages in 129 contest with competing males (Jarman, 1983; Andersson, 1994). Thus, a positive correlation 130 between the magnitude of SSD and male-biased mortality is expected because of the high 131 energy requirements associated with large body size (Jarman, 1983; Weckerly, 1998). 132 Moreover, large herbivores exhibit different mating systems (Clutton-Brock, 1989; Bro-133 Jørgensen, 2012) that are likely to mediate the intensity of male-male competition, and thus 134 traits such as SSD (Loison et al., 1999a). In large herbivores, three types of mating systems 135 are predominant: monogamy when males mate with the same female in several reproductive 136 attempts, promiscuity when males and females mate with several partners and there is no 137 continuing bond between individual males and females after mating, and polygyny when 138 males mate with the same group of females in successive mating attempts (Clutton-Brock, 139 1989). Inter-male competition for mating is expected to be higher in polygynous species, a 140 little less in promiscuous species, and much lower in monogamous species. This explains why monogamous species are generally less dimorphic in size than promiscuous and polygynous 141 142 species (Jarman, 1983). Furthermore, in both promiscuous and polygynous species, the 143 mating tactic can differ markedly (Clutton-Brock, 1989), encompassing territoriality (when 144 female groups are stable and home-range defensible, or when females are constrained to use a 145 given area, males defend part or all the home range of a female group), harem (a male defends 146 access to a group of females rather than to a territory), and tending (when female groups are 147 stable with a home range too large to be defensible or when females are solitary or live in 148 small groups and are unpredictably distributed, males range widely searching for oestrus 149 females, pairing with them and defending them against other males during oestrus). 150 Defending a territory should be more energetically costly than defending a harem, and even 151 more than doing tending because the time and energy devoted to harem or territory defense is no longer available to repair injuries, DNA damages or to fight against diseases. In the same way, allocating in sexually selected traits like horns or antlers should increase the intensity of senescence because of inherent trade-offs in energy allocation between reproduction and maintenance (Williams, 1966). In support, the relationship between antler or horn size and strength of sexual selection in large herbivores is now well established (Plard et *al.*, 2011; Bro-Jørgensen, 2007; Lemaître et *al.*, 2014b).

158 We used data from 64 species of large herbivores living in captivity, which were 159 obtained from the International Species Information System (ISIS) database that combines 160 individual data for each animal living in captivity in 850 member institutions from more than 161 80 countries. Such protected conditions allow eliminating mortality due to environmental 162 causes such as predation, climatic harshness or disease transmission, and thereby better 163 identifying the underlying causes of senescence. To assess the relationship between the 164 magnitude of the male-bias of actuarial senescence and the strength of sexual selection, we 165 used different measures of the intensity of sexual competition simultaneously: SSD, mating 166 system, mating tactic (in polygynous and promiscuous species only) and horn size 167 dimorphism (HSD) (in bovids only). We had too few cervids (N = 14) in our dataset to 168 include male antler size in a specific analysis. In addition, we measured the intensity of male 169 survival costs and of sex differences in actuarial senescence and survival using different 170 measures previously selected in other comparative studies: maximum longevity (Bro-171 Jørgensen, 2012), Gompertz rate of senescence (Ricklefs, 2010), age at the onset of 172 senescence (Péron et al., 2010) and a linear rate of senescence between well-defined cut-off 173 ages (Jones et al., 2008; Lemaître and Gaillard, 2013a), for each sex. We investigated whether 174 increasing allocation by males in sexual selection leads to increasing mortality and actuarial 175 senescence in males on both an absolute scale and relative to females. More specifically, we 176 tested the following predictions. First, we expected that (i) males of polygynous species

and/or with large SSD and HSD should have earlier and stronger actuarial senescence and
should live shorter than males of monogamous species and/or with a weak SSD and HSD.
Within polygynous and promiscuous species, we expected males of territorial species to have
earlier and stronger actuarial senescence than males of non-territorial species. (ii) between-sex
differences in actuarial senescence and longevity should be greater in species in which males
face strong sexual selection.

183

#### 184 Materials and methods

#### 185 METRICS OF SURVIVAL AND ACTUARIAL SENESCENCE

186 We first estimated survival at each age for each sex of each species of large herbivores 187 present in the International Species Information System (ISIS) (Müller et al., 2011; Lemaître 188 et al., 2013), which combines individual data for a given species since 1973, from 850 189 member institutions (Zoos, national parks) in over 80 countries. Thus, for each individual, 190 information such as sex and dates of birth and death are available. Considering each species 191 of captive population of each zoo as a "meta-population", it is possible to compute the 192 parameters that characterize the average population living in captivity. In sum, this "meta-193 population" describes the average performance for each species in captivity and not a specific 194 population. We only used cohorts of animals for which both dates of birth and death were 195 known, which implies that animals were born in captivity. The complete dataset included data 196 for 95 species of large herbivores. However, we kept only species for which 25 individuals at 197 least were alive at 1 year-old (N = 64 species) to get accurate estimates of age-specific 198 survival.

To measure species- and sex-specific pattern of survival and senescence, we used four different and complementary metrics: the maximum longevity, the Gompertz rate of senescence, the onset of senescence, and the linear rate of senescence between two well-

defined cut-off ages (between 6 and 12 years of age) (See Table S1). Such a diversity of metrics is important for two reasons. First it allows comparing our finding with previous results published in the literature and second it helps to disentangle possible confounding effects between the rate (i.e. intensity) and the onset (i.e. timing) of senescence.

We extracted the maximum longevity recorded for each sex from species-specific life tables. This trait (called 'longevity' hereafter) is not a direct measure of senescence because it does not include any information about age-dependent survival. However, longevity allows measuring the overall difference in survival between the sexes and can therefore be linked with the energy allocation in maintenance during the lifespan.

The second metric we used was the Gompertz rate of senescence (after called 211 212 'Gompertz rate') that measures the sex- and species-specific average exponential rate of 213 mortality with age. To measure actuarial senescence, many mathematical functions have been 214 proposed (Ricklefs and Scheuerlein, 2002). The Gompertz function is the most commonly 215 used to study human survival, survival in laboratory or in captivity (Ricklefs and Scheuerlein, 216 2002) and has been shown to provide a reliable description of age-specific survival in large 217 herbivores (Gaillard et al., 2004). We first built the capture history of each individual of each 218 sex and each of the 64 species of large herbivores included in our dataset (coded as "1" when 219 the individual was observed alive and as "0" when it was dead at a given age from birth). 220 These capture histories were then loaded into a CMR (capture-mark-recapture) software (E-221 SURGE, Choquet et al., 2009) to get reliable age-specific estimates (Lebreton et al., 1992), 222 knowing that detection probabilities were equal to 1 in captivity. We then fitted different age-223 dependent models including a model with a constant survival (i.e. no age-dependence), a 224 model with two age-classes (first year of life vs. older ages) to account for the lower survival 225 in juveniles than in older individuals that characterizes large herbivores (Gaillard et al., 2000), 226 a model with full age-dependence (i.e. one survival rate per age), and a series of Gompertz

models with onsets of senescence varying between 1 year of age and the age corresponding to the first two thirds of lifespan for each sex in each species. In Gompertz models, age variation in survival was constrained from the age at the onset of senescence to be linear on a log(-log) scale (Gaillard et *al.*, 2004). We selected a final model using AIC (Akaïke's Information Criterion, Burnham and Anderson, 2002). Then, we retained the estimate of the Gompertz rate in species for which a Gompertz model provided a better fit than either the constant or the two-age class model (N = 54 species, see Table S2).

234 The Gompertz rates we estimated were thus dependent on the onset of senescence. An 235 early onset of senescence is indeed associated with a low actuarial senescence rate (0.27  $\pm$ 0.13; t = 2.07; P = 0.04;  $\lambda < 0.001$ ). In other words, delaying the onset of senescence should 236 237 lead to increase the rate of senescence. To correct for that constraint, we estimated the onset 238 of senescence and the rate of senescence independently from the Gompertz models. The age 239 at the onset of senescence was then estimated as the age at which the mortality rate was the 240 lowest (Jones et al., 2008). However, to avoid extreme mortality values caused by a small 241 number of old individuals, we restricted the range of ages to the first two thirds of the 242 maximum lifespan recorded for a given sex in a given species (See Figure S1 for an example).

243 We also measured the rate of senescence as the proportional decrease of survival 244 between 6 and 12 years of age. We fixed these age thresholds because most of large 245 herbivores species initiated their senescence between these age threshold as demonstrated on 246 detailed analyzes of age-specific variation in intensively monitored populations of large 247 herbivores (e.g. Loison et al., 1999b; Festa-Bianchet et al., 2003; Gaillard et al., 2004; Toïgo 248 et al., 2007), which allowed us to make estimates directly comparable among species. Saïga 249 (Saiga tartarica), Speke's gazelle (Gazella spekii), Goitered gazelle (Gazella subgutturosa) 250 and Greater kudu (Tragelaphus strepsiceros) were excluded for this analysis because all 251 males died before 12 years of age in these species. Therefore, the rate of senescence of males

between 6 and 12 years of age was analyzed using data from 60 species. In addition, the longest-lived female of Water deer (*Hydropotes inermis*) died before reaching 12 years of age. We therefore restricted the analysis of between-sex differences in senescence rate of females between 6 and 12 years of age to 59 species.

Dependent variables (longevity, Gompertz rate, onset of senescence and rate of senescence between 6 and 12 years of age) were all log-transformed to account for the allometric nature of the relationships (see Houle et *al.*, 2011). For longevity and onset of senescence, the between-sex difference was calculated as the female value minus the male value. For both rates of senescence, the between-sex difference was calculated as the male rate minus the female rate. All data are provided in Table S1.

262

#### 263 METRICS OF SEXUAL SELECTION

264 To assess thoroughly the strength of sexual selection in a given species, we used 4 265 metrics: sexual size dimorphism (SSD), mating system, mating tactic (only for polygynous 266 and promiscuous species) and horn size dimorphism (HSD) for bovids only (See Table S2). 267 The first and most commonly used metric in such analyzes is the SSD (Toïgo and Gaillard, 268 2003; Table 1), which allows taking into account the difference in mass between sexes, 269 assuming that males will invest more strongly than females to increase their probability of 270 success in male-male competition (Bateman, 1948; Bonduriansky et al., 2008). Sex-specific 271 body masses (in kg) were collected for both sexes in the same free-ranging populations as 272 used by Bro-Jørgensen (2012) for bovids and Plard et al. (2011) for cervids, and completed 273 using more specific sources when required. As several measures of SSD have been previously 274 used (see Table 1), we replicated the analyzes using other SSD measures but results remained 275 qualitatively unchanged. We thus report results from analyzes including both male and female 276 body masses as covariates in the model (both log-transformed) as advocated by Freckleton (2009) to avoid potentially biased estimates in phylogenetically controlled analyzes.
Moreover, doing that allows to correct analyzes for among-species variation in the pace of
life, because of the strong allometric relationship linking body mass and generation time, a
reliable metric for assessing the pace of life (Gaillard et *al.*, 2005).

We assessed the mating system (monogamous, promiscuous or polygynous) of each species according to Zerbe et *al.* (2012)'s classification. This metric was entered as a discrete factor in models, using monogamous species as a reference. In the same way, we identified the mating tactic (territoriality, harem or tending) of each species thanks to further studies (listed in Table S3) using the tending tactic as a reference.

286 Finally, we used the horn size dimorphism (HSD) of bovids as a last metric of the 287 strength of sexual selection. Female bovids bear horns like males, but generally of smaller 288 size (Bro-Jørgensen, 2007). We thus assumed that increasing sexual selection leads to 289 increase horn size in males relatively to females. For this study, we included only 37 species 290 because we were not able to find horn size data for at least one sex in 11 species (American 291 bison Bison bison, European bison Bison bonasus, Gaur Bos gaurus, Wild goat Capra 292 aegargus, Blesbok Damaliscus pygargus, Mountain gazelle Gazella gazella, Dama gazelle 293 Nanger dama, Scimitar oryx Oryx dammah, Bighorn sheep Ovis Canadensis, Mouflon Ovis 294 orientalis and Common eland Tragelaphus oryx). Data of horn size were also obtained from 295 free-ranging populations.

296

#### 297 ACCOUNTING FOR POSSIBLE CONFOUNDING VARIABLES

We controlled for possible confounding factors previously reported to influence survival and actuarial senescence in captive large herbivores. First, body mass was included to control for the strong allometric relationship linking body mass and adult survival or actuarial senescence rates in large herbivores (Sacher, 1959; Gaillard et *al.*, 2003; Lemaître and 302 Gaillard, 2013b). Moreover, accounting for body mass allows taking into account most 303 variation generated by the pace of life because of the strong allometric component of the pace 304 of life in large herbivores (Gaillard et *al.*, 2008). The average species-specific body mass for 305 each sex was recovered from the literature (see above and Table S3).

306 Additionally, in captivity, food supplied to large herbivores is sometimes not optimal 307 (Müller et al., 2011) and grazers (whose diet consists mainly of grass) generally meet their 308 food needs in captivity better than browsers (whose diet consists mainly of leaves or twigs). 309 This leads grazers to have a longer longevity and a reduced actuarial senescence in captivity 310 compared to their wild counterparts while the opposite is observed for browsers (Müller et al., 311 2011; Lemaître et al., 2013). Therefore, diet is a structuring factor for survival and senescence 312 in large herbivores and in the present study, the effect of diet on different metrics for males 313 has been included to avoid confounding effects of a poorly adapted diet for browsers in 314 captivity. To do that, the percentage of grass in a species' natural diet has been obtained from 315 Zerbe et al. (2012)'s supplement data (see Table S3) and included as a covariate in our 316 models.

317

#### 318 STATISTICAL ANALYZES

319 To avoid biased assessment of the relationships between sexual selection and survival 320 patterns due to phylogenetic inertia, we controlled all the analyzes for the non-independence 321 between species due to sharing ancestry using "Phylogenetic Generalized Least-Squares" 322 (PGLS) models (Freckleton et al., 2002). The PGLS method provides an estimate of the 323 phylogenetic signal  $\lambda$ , which allows controlling for the phylogenetic dependence (between 0) 324 and 1). If  $\lambda$  is not statistically different from 0, phylogeny does not have a detectable effect 325 and a linear regression without correcting for phylogeny can be safely used. A phylogeny of 326 the 64 species of large herbivores used in this study (see Figure 1) was built using the

327 phylogenetic super-tree of mammals published by Bininda-Emonds et al. (2007, 2008). This 328 near completed, at the species-level, super-tree is building using a 66-gene alignment in 329 conjunction with 30 cladistically robust fossil calibration points. Branch lengths are 330 proportional to time. To confirm our results, analyzes were repeated with Agnarsson and 331 May-Collado (2008)'s near species-completed phylogeny of 266 species of Cetartiodactyla 332 based on cytochrome b sequence data and using recent Figuet et al. (2014)'s phylogenetic tree 333 reconstructed from complete mitochondrial genome of 201 species of Cetartiodactyla. In all 334 cases, results were qualitatively similar and we only present in this paper those obtained with 335 the phylogenetic super-tree of mammalian species (Bininda-Emonds et al., 2007, 2008). 336 Phylogenetically controlled analyzes were performed using the package *caper* (Orme, 2012).

337 In our analyzes dependent variables included longevity, Gompertz rate, onset of 338 senescence and rate of senescence between 6 and 12 years of age. For each dependent 339 variable entered in the full model we included the percentage of grass in a species' natural 340 diet (only when studying male traits but not between-sex differences because diet is the same 341 for both sexes, which leads their survival patterns to be biased in the same way), the SSD (by 342 entering both male and female body mass, as repeatedly advocated, Freckleton, 2002, 2009), 343 mating system, and the two-way interaction between mating system and male body mass. We 344 added this interaction because the largest herbivores tend to be polygynous and the lightest 345 ones tend to be monogamous, leading a cost of SSD to be possibly detected only in 346 polygynous species. Moreover, as the estimate of the Gompertz rate depends on the age at the 347 onset of senescence used to model senescence, the onset of senescence included in the 348 selected model was entered as a covariate in the analysis of Gompertz rates.

To analyze the effects of mating tactics on survival patterns, the full model included diet, SSD, mating tactic and the two-way interaction between mating tactic and male body mass (because males of harem and territorial species tend to be larger than males of tending

352 species and senescence could potentially be only detected in harem and territorial species)353 (See Table S3).

When we analyzed bovids separately, the full model included diet, SSD, HSD (with male and female horn size added as covariate) and the two-way interaction between body mass and horn size (See Table S3). Dependent variables were the same as for the analysis of the entire dataset.

358 Finally, to select the best model of variation in longevity or senescence metrics, we 359 used a model selection procedure based on the Akaïke Information Criterion (AIC, Burnham 360 and Anderson, 2002). For each senescence or survival metric, we retained the model with the lowest AIC. When the difference of AIC between competing models was less than 2, we 361 362 retained the simplest model to satisfy parsimony rules (Burnham and Anderson, 2002). In 363 addition, the AIC weight (w<sub>i</sub>) was calculated to measure the relative likelihood of each model 364 to be the best among the set of fitted models. When lambda was not statistically different from 365 0 we performed linear models without correcting for phylogeny to avoid any possible bias 366 induced by the inclusion of a non-required phylogenetic index and provided estimates from 367 linear models without correcting for phylogeny (Revell, 2010). However, results were 368 qualitatively the same with and without this correction. When lambda was statistically 369 different from 0, parameter estimates were obtained using the PGLS method (Tables 2 and 3).

Analyzes were performed with R version 2.14.0 (R Development Core Team, 2011)
and parameter estimates are given ± SE.

372

#### 373 **Results**

374 INFLUENCE OF SEXUAL SELECTION ON MALE LONGEVITY AND
 375 SENESCENCE PATTERNS
 376

377 Following parsimony rules, the model selected for male longevity included diet and male body mass ( $w_i = 0.22$ ;  $\lambda < 0.001$ ; Table S4). Longevity of males increased with the 378 379 percentage of grass in the species natural diet (Table 2, Figure S2A) and longevity increased 380 with body mass in males (Table 2, Figure S3A). Although the model with the lowest AIC 381 included an additional effect of mating system ( $w_i = 0.30$ ;  $\lambda < 0.001$ ; Table S4), the effect size 382 was very small, with males tending to live slightly longer in monogamous and in promiscuous 383 than in polygynous species (difference of longevity compared to monogamous species: -1.02 384 year for promiscuous species, 95%CI [-1.27 - 1.22]; -1.15 year for polygynous species, 385 95%CI [-1.43 – 1.09]).

The selected model for Gompertz rates included the onset of senescence ( $w_i = 0.27$ ;  $\lambda$  < 0.001; Table S4). As expected, males with earlier onset of senescence had lower Gompertz rates (Table 2). The models including additive effects of diet ( $\Delta$ AIC = 0.56;  $w_i = 0.21$ ;  $\lambda <$  0.001; Table S4), or of body mass ( $\Delta$ AIC = 1.00;  $w_i = 0.16$ ;  $\lambda < 0.001$ ; Table S4) also provided good fits, although the effect sizes were very small in both cases. As expected, male senescence rate tended to decrease with both the proportion of grass in species natural diet ( $\beta$  $= -0.0030 \pm 0.0026$ ; Figure S2B) and with males body mass ( $\beta = -0.057 \pm 0.058$ ; Figure S3B).

The selected model of onset of senescence in males ( $w_i = 0.51$ ;  $\lambda < 0.001$ ; Table S4) included diet, body mass and mating system. Males of grazer species senesced later than males of browser species (Table 2, Figure S2C), males of large species senesced later than males of small species (Table 2, Figure S3C), and compared to males of monogamous species, males of promiscuous and polygynous species tended to senesce 1.3 (95%CI [-1.8 – 1.1]) and 1.6 (95%CI [-2.3 – -1.1]) years earlier (Table 2, Figure 2).

399 Lastly, the model selected for the rate of senescence between 6 and 12 years of age 400 only included body mass ( $w_i = 0.28$ ;  $\lambda < 0.001$ ; Table S4). Males of small species senesced 401 faster than males of large species (Table 2, Figure S2D). Just as for male longevity, the model 402 with the lowest AIC included additional effects of diet ( $w_i = 0.30$ ;  $\lambda < 0.001$ ; Table S4), and 403 as predicted males of grazers senesced at a slower rate than males of browsers ( $\beta = -0.002 \pm$ 404 0.001; Figure S3D).

405 Analyzes restricted to polygynous and promiscuous species failed to detect any effect 406 of mating tactic on either longevity or Gompertz rate (Table S5). However, the onset of 407 senescence was influenced by the mating tactic with males of territorial species doing harem 408 or tending senescing 1.4 (95% CI [-1.9 - -1.1]) years earlier than males of species doing harem 409 or tending (Tables S5 and S6, Figure 3). In addition, the model selected for the senescence 410 rate between 6 and 12 years of age included diet, body mass, mating tactic and the two-way 411 interaction between mating tactic and male body mass ( $w_i = 0.88$ ;  $\lambda = 0.984$ ; Table S5). 412 Territorial males senesced slower between 6 and 12 years of age than males of species with 413 harem or tending mating tactics (difference of rate compared to males of tending species: -414  $0.49 \pm 1.20$  for species with harem;  $-2.61 \pm 0.84$  for territorial species; Table S6).

415 Complementary analyzes performed in bovids have revealed no effect of horn size 416 dimorphism on longevity, onset of senescence, Gompertz rate and senescence rate between 6 417 and 12 years of age (Appendix S1, Tables S7 and S8).

418

421

# 419 INFLUENCE OF SEXUAL SELECTION ON THE SEX-DIFFERENCES IN 420 LONGEVITY AND SENESCENCE PATTERNS

Males had, on average, a shorter longevity than females (male: 16.3 years, 95%CI [15.5 - 17.2]; female: 19.1 years, 95% CI [18.3 - 20.0]), with a difference of 2.81 years (95% CI [2.1 - 3.5]). Male senesced earlier than females (male: 8.7 years, 95% CI [78.0 - 9.5]; female: 10.3 years, 95%CI [9.6 - 11.0]), with a difference of 1.6 years (95%CI [0.9 - 2.3]), and their rate of senescence between 6 and 12 years of age was stronger than that of females (male: 0.69, 95%CI [0.65 - 0.74]; female: 0.56, 95%CI [0.09 - 0.17]) with a difference of 0.13 (95%CI [2.1 - 3.5]). However, both sexes had similar Gompertz rates (male: 0.17, 429 95%CI [0.14 - 0.19]; female: 0.16, 95%CI [0.14 - 0.18]) with a non-significant difference of
430 0.008 (95%CI [-0.013 - 0.028]).

When testing the effect of sexual selection on the sex-differences in longevity and senescence patterns, the model selected for longevity ( $w_i = 0.24$ ;  $\lambda < 0.001$ ; Table S9), Gompertz rate ( $w_i = 0.53$ ;  $\lambda < 0.001$ ; Table S9) and senescence rate between 6 and 12 years of age ( $w_i = 0.45$ ;  $\lambda < 0.001$ ; Table S9) was the constant model.

The model selected for the onset of senescence only included the mating system ( $w_i = 0.45$ ;  $\lambda < 0.001$ ; Table S9) and the between-sex difference in the onset of senescence was greater in polygynous than in monogamous species (monogamy: -1.0 year, 95%CI [-1.4 - 1.3]; promiscuity: 1.1 years, 95%CI [-1.2 - 1.6]; polygyny: 1.4 years, 95%CI [1.0 - 2.0]; Table 3).

440 When focusing on the effects of mating tactic, in polygynous and promiscuous species only the selected model was the constant model, for longevity ( $w_i = 0.47$ ;  $\lambda < 0.001$ ; Table 441 442 S10), Gompertz rate of senescence ( $w_i = 0.44$ ;  $\lambda < 0.001$ ; Table S10) and onset of senescence 443 (w<sub>i</sub> = 0.28;  $\lambda < 0.001$ ; Table S10). However, the model with the lowest AIC for between-sex 444 difference in the onset of senescence included mating tactic ( $w_i = 0.37$ ;  $\lambda < 0.001$ ; Table S10) 445 with territorial species that tended to display a larger between-sex difference in the onset of 446 senescence than harem-holding species and tending species (tending: 1.0 year, 95%CI [-1.3 – 447 1.3]; harem: 1.3 years, 95%CI [-1.2 – 1.9]; territorial: 1.4 years, 95%CI [1.0 – 1.9]; Table 448 S11). The model selected for between-sex differences in senescence rate between 6 and 12 449 years of age included mating tactic, body mass and the two-way interaction between male 450 body mass and mating tactic (w<sub>i</sub> = 1.00;  $\lambda < 0.001$ ; Table S10). The sex-bias in rate of 451 senescence between 6 and 12 years of age increased with male body mass in territorial 452 species, whereas it decreased with body mass in harem species and even more in tending 453 species (Table S11).

In bovids, the sex-bias in longevity and senescence patterns was not affected by the HSD because no selected model included both male and female horn size (Tables S12 and S13).

457

#### 458 **Discussion**

Our results suggest that in large herbivores the intensity of sexual competition affects male senescence patterns and consequently the sex-differences in senescence patterns. This finding principally relies on an effect of mating on the age of onset of senescence with males from polygynous species starting to senesce earlier (but not faster) than males from promiscuous and monogamous species, both on an absolute scale and relative to females.

464

465 Findings presented here, thus support the hypothesis that, at least in the range of 466 species considered in our study, high level of sexual competition leads to severe survival 467 costs. However, the impact was limited to the age at the onset of senescence (i.e. the timing of 468 senescence) and did not affect the rate of senescence (i.e. the intensity of senescence). The 469 absence of any relationship between our proxies of sexual competition level and actuarial 470 senescence rates is in line with a previous report from Lemaître and Gaillard (2013a) based on 471 a much smaller dataset of wild herbivores. In fact, the only reported effect of sexual selection 472 on senescence rates comes from the finding that in birds and mammals, the sex-differences in 473 senescence rates is bigger in polygynous than in monogamous species (Clutton-Brock and 474 Isvaran, 2007, Table 1). Therefore, until now no inter-specific study have clearly 475 demonstrated that high level of sexual competition fasten actuarial senescence rates in males.

476

477 Males of polygynous herbivores start to senesce earlier than males of promiscuous 478 and monogamous species. For instance, if we compare two similar size ungulate species, we

observe than monogamous Bay duiker males (Cephalophus dorsalis) begin to senesce at 7 479 480 years of age whereas polygynous Speke's gazelle males (Gazella spekei) start at 3 year-olds, 481 which shows that costs associated with polygynous mating system lead to an earlier onset of 482 senescence. Survival costs linked to species-specific mating systems have already been 483 reported in a few studies (Table 1). For example, Promislow (1992) found that the mean adult 484 mortality rate is female-biased in monogamous mammals and Müller et al., (2011) found a 485 longer relative life expectancy in males of monogamous species compared to males of 486 polygynous species. However, to our knowledge, we provide a first evidence of a cost in 487 terms of earlier senescence. This notably comes from the fact that comparative studies 488 interested in such questions have focused mostly on longevity and in a few instances on 489 actuarial senescence rates, without considering a possible impact in terms of timing of 490 senescence (Table 1). Recently, a wide diversity of senescence patterns have been described 491 in the living world (Nussey et al., 2013; Jones et al., 2014) and the age at the onset of 492 senescence is now increasingly recognized as a key factor characterizing senescence patterns 493 (Jones et al., 2008; Péron et al., 2010; Jones et al., 2014; Gamelon et al., 2014). While it has 494 already been shown that the onset of senescence co-varies with other time variable to shape 495 the pace of life in mammals and birds (Jones et al., 2008), our study demonstrates that other 496 factors such as the intensity of sexual selection contributes to the diversity of age at the onset 497 of senescence observed in the wild.

498

In addition, we found that among polygynous and promiscuous species, males from territorial species also senesce earlier than males from species with harem-holding or tending mating tactic. Again, if we look at two similar size species, we can see that males Bighorn sheep males (*Ovis canadensis*) which display a tending mating tactic, start to senesce at 9 years of age, whereas territorial Lechwe males (*Kobus leche*) senesce from 3 years of age. 504 Inter-specific differences in senescence patterns between territorial and non-territorial males 505 are likely to arise from the important energetically and physical demands to maintain 506 territories. For instance, in impala (Aepyceros melampus), Van Rooyen (1993) found that 507 territorial males lose more body condition during the rut than males not involved in the 508 defense of a territory, a decline that seems to result from a decrease in food intake in parallel 509 to an increased activity both linked to territorial tenure (Murray, 1982). Moreover, such costs 510 might be associated with an increased probability to face aggressive contests and injuries, as 511 observed in males of territorial pudu (Pudu puda), where fights between males during rutting 512 season includes cause injuries (MacNamara and Elridge, 1987) but also in other vertebrates 513 such as lizards species, where male-male interactions in territorial species are more likely to 514 lead to fighting than in non-territorial species (Vanhooydonck et al., 2005; Lailvaux and 515 Irschick, 2007).

516

517 Although we provided clear evidence that both mating systems and tactics influence 518 the age at the onset of senescence, we did not find any relationship between sexual size 519 dimorphism and any of our metrics of survival and senescence. This is surprising because the 520 large amount of energy required for male growth compared to female growth in highly 521 sexually dimorphic species is expected to cause survival or ageing costs (e.g. Toïgo and 522 Gaillard, 2003; Bro-Jørgensen, 2012). Interestingly, our review of the literature reveals that 523 the relationship between sexual size dimorphism and senescence per se has almost never been 524 investigated (but see Lemaître and Gaillard 2013a). Most studies so far have documented 525 survival costs of sexual size dimorphism through a negative relationship between SSD and 526 adult mortality (Promislow, 1992; Promislow et al., 1992; but see Owens and Bennett, 1994 527 and Toïgo and Gaillard, 2003) or longevity (Bro-Jørgensen 2012). However, these studies 528 have used different metrics to assess the level of sexual size dimorphism. For instance,

529 Promislow (1992) measured SSD as the ratio of male body mass on female body mass while 530 Bro-Jørgensen (2012) used the Lovich and Gibbons (1992)'s ratio. In the present study, we 531 measured SSD by adding both male and female body mass as covariates in our analyzes, a 532 method that has been repeatedly advocated in comparative studies to avoid bias resulting 533 when predictors are collinear in multi-factorial analyzes (Freckleton et al., 2002; Freckleton 534 2009). However, when we replicated our analyzes using other metrics previously used of 535 sexual size dimorphism, all results remained qualitatively unchanged (Tables S14 and S15). 536 Therefore, the observed discrepancy between the results we report here and previously 537 published studies are likely to involve either the absence of corrections for confounding factors (e.g. pace of life, see below) or the type and quality of data analyzed. For instance, for 538 539 a trait like longevity the use of different sources can rapidly lead to divergent outcomes (see 540 Lemaître et al., 2014c for an example). In the present study, we have used data from the ISIS 541 database rather than published records of longevity. ISIS data allows working on long-term 542 population with the huge advantage of not having to consider capture probabilities as 543 individuals living in captivity are known without doubt to be alive or dead in a given year. 544 This allows getting highly reliable estimates of survival and senescence. Furthermore, life in 545 captivity eliminates environmentally-driven sources of mortality (e.g. predation or climate 546 harshness) and allows to better assessing how costs associated with sexual selection and thus 547 intrinsic changes in animals conditions influence survival.

548

549 Since sexual selection acts primarily on males, female longevity and senescence 550 patterns are not expected to be directly influenced by the intensity of sexual competition. 551 Therefore, if sexual selection generates sex-differences in survival and senescence patterns, 552 the effect should be mainly driven by changes in males. Our results are in full agreement with 553 this assumption. Indeed, similarly to our analyzes strictly focused in males, we found an effect

554 of mating system on the sex-differences in the age at the onset of senescence, with larger 555 differences in onset of senescence in polygynous species than in promiscuous and 556 monogamous species. Although not focused on the onset of senescence, results from 557 comparative analyzes that have investigated this topic have revealed a link between the 558 mating system and the sex-differences in longevity or senescence patterns. These studies 559 looked at the between-sex differences of longevity in mammals (Clutton-Brock and Isvaran, 560 2007; Müller et al., 2011) and birds (Clutton-Brock and Isvaran, 2007), or the between-sex 561 differences in adult mortality in birds (Liker and Székely, 2005), have systematically been 562 found to be higher in polygynous than in monogamous species. In the present study, we 563 reported strong between-sex differences as strong as 1.5 years in the onset of senescence in 564 polygynous species, whereas this difference was less than 1 year in monogamous species. 565 These results show that the sex-bias in senescence patterns is driven by costs linked to mating. 566

567 In addition, our study documents a strong allometric relationship between body mass 568 and senescence metrics. In large herbivores, body mass is tightly linked to the pace of life 569 (Gaillard et al., 2003), with small species having a relatively fast pace of life, characterized by 570 an early and fast senescence compared to larger species. In addition, and in accordance with 571 previous reports (Müller et al., 2011; Lemaître et al., 2013), we found that diet is associated 572 with senescence in captive ungulates. Typically, grazer species (e.g. African buffalo, Syncerus 573 caffer) have a delayed onset of senescence, a slower rate of senescence, and live longer 574 compared to browser species such as roe deer (*Capreolus capreolus*). Overall, these analyzes 575 emphasize the importance of correcting for the pace of life and for diet when working on 576 captive populations to avoid biased results. However, most studies listed in Table 1 failed to 577 control for such confounding variables, which might explain part of the discrepancy observed 578 between these studies. Using the three different methods employed to assess SSD in the 579 context of sexual selection (see Table 1), we thus tested in complementary analyses how an 580 absence of correction for body mass, diet or both variables influences our results. 581 Interestingly, when body mass or both body mass and diet are removed from the models, 582 mating system was found in best models for longevity, onset of senescence and rate of 583 senescence between two ages, and SSD was associated with onset of senescence in large 584 herbivore in the expected direction (i.e. a positive association between the intensity of sexual 585 selection and the intensity of senescence, see Tables S16 and S17). This demonstrates the 586 importance to control analyzes for confounding effects of diet and pace of life to assess 587 reliably the relationship between sexual selection and senescence pattern at inter-specific level 588 and stress the importance to consider sparingly results from analyzes non-corrected for these 589 factors.

590

591 Finally, our analyzes and review of the literature show that the relationship between 592 the intensity of sexual selection and the strength of male senescence is complex. Then, the 593 lack of SSD effect along with the clear evidence of an effect of the mating system on the 594 onset of senescence in males indicate that the costs associated with sexual selection via intra-595 sexual competition are generated by risky and energy-demanding behaviours rather than by 596 large size in itself. These results demonstrate that only some traits like the timing of actuarial 597 senescence and/or behaviors like territoriality involved in sexual selection are costly in terms 598 of survival.

599

#### 600 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

M. Tidière is funded by the French Ministry of Education and Research. We are grateful to I.

602 Agnarsson from providing us access to an unpublished phylogenetic tree of ruminants.

603

#### 604 LITERATURE CITED

- Adler, M.I., and Bonduriansky, R. (2014). Sexual conflict, life span, and aging. Cold Spring
   Harb. Perspect. Biol. 6, a017566–a017566.
- 607 Agnarsson, I., and May-Collado, L.J. (2008). The phylogeny of Cetartiodactyla: The
- 608 importance of dense taxon sampling, missing data, and the remarkable promise of cytochrome
- b to provide reliable species-level phylogenies. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 48, 964–985.
- 610 Andersson, M.B. (1994). Sexual Selection (Princeton University Press).
- 611 Bateman, A. (1948). Intra-sexual selection in drosophila. Heredity 2, 349–368.
- 612 Bininda-Emonds, O.R.P., Cardillo, M., Jones, K.E., MacPhee, R.D.E., Beck, R.M.D.,
- 613 Grenyer, R., Price, S.A., Vos, R.A., Gittleman, J.L., and Purvis, A. (2007). The delayed rise 614 of present-day mammals. Nature *446*, 507–512.
- 615 Bininda-Emonds, O.R.P., Cardillo, M., Jones, K.E., MacPhee, R.D.E., Beck, R.M.D.,
- 616 Grenyer, R., Price, S.A., Vos, R.A., Gittleman, J.L., and Purvis, A. (2008). The delayed rise
- 617 of present-day mammals. Nature 456, 274.
- Bonduriansky, R., Maklakov, A., Zajitschek, F., and Brooks, R. (2008). Sexual selection,
  sexual conflict and the evolution of ageing and life span. Funct. Ecol. 22, 443–453.
- Brunet-Rossini, A.K., and Austad, S.N. (2006). Senescence in wild populations of mammals
  and birds. In Handbook of the Biology of Aging, (Academic Press), pp. 243–266.
- Burnham, K.P., and Anderson, D.R. (2002). Model selection and multimodel inference: a
   practical information-theoretic approach (Springer).
- 624 Calder, W.A. (1984). Size, function, and life history (Cambridge, MA).
- 625 Choquet, R., Rouan, L., and Pradel, R. (2009). Program E-SURGE: a software application for 626 fitting multievent models. Environ. Ecol. Stat. *3*, 8–39.
- 627 Clutton-Brock, T.H. (1989). Review lecture: mammalian mating systems. Proc. R. Soc. B
  628 Biol. Sci. 236, 339–372.
- 629 Clutton-Brock, T.H., and Isvaran, K. (2007). Sex differences in ageing in natural populations
  630 of vertebrates. Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. 274, 3097–3104.
- 631 Darwin, C. (1859). On the origin of species.
- 632 Festa-Bianchet, M., Gaillard, J.-M., and Côté, S.D. (2003). Variable age structure and
- apparent density dependence in survival of adult ungulates. J. Anim. Ecol. 72, 640–649.
- Figuet, E., Romiguier, J., Dutheil, J.Y., and Galtier, N. (2014). Mitochondrial DNA as a tool
  for reconstructing past life-history traits in mammals. J. Evol. Biol. 27, 899–910.
- Freckleton, R.P. (2002). On the misuse of residuals in ecology: regression of residuals vs.
  multiple regression. J. Anim. Ecol. *71*, 542–545.

- Freckleton, R.P. (2009). The seven deadly sins of comparative analysis. J. Evol. Biol. 22,
  1367–1375.
- Freckleton, R.P., Harvey, P.H., and Pagel, M. (2002). Phylogenetic Analysis and Comparative
  Data: A Test and Review of Evidence. Am. Nat. *160*, 712–726.
- 642 Gaillard, J., Pontier, D., Allaine, D., Lebreton, J., Trouvilliez, J., and Clobert, J. (1989). An
- 643 analysis of demographic tactics in birds and mammals. Oikos 56, 59–76.
- 644 Gaillard, J., Allaine, D., Pontier, D., Yoccoz, N., and Promislow, D. (1994). Senescence in 645 natural-populations of mammals - a reanalysis. Evolution *48*, 509–516.
- 646 Gaillard, J.M., Festa-Bianchet, M., Yoccoz, N.G., Loison, A., and Toigo, C. (2000). Temporal
- 647 variation in fitness components and population dynamics of large herbivores. Annu. Rev.
- 648 Ecol. Syst. 31, 367–393.
- Gaillard, J.-M., Loison, A., Festa-Bianchet, M., Yoccoz, N.G., and Solberg, E. (2003).
- Ecological correlates of life span in populations of large herbivorous mammals. Popul. Dev.
  Rev. 29, 39–56.
- 652 Gaillard, J.-M., Viallefont, A., Loison, A., and Festa-Bianchet, M. (2004). Assessing
- 653 senescence patterns in populations of large mammals. Anim. Biodivers. Conserv. 27, 47–58.
- 654 Gaillard, J. -M., Yoccoz, N.G., Lebreton, J. -D., Bonenfant, C., Devillard, S., Loison, A.,
- Pontier, D., and Allaine, D. (2005). Generation Time: A Reliable Metric to Measure
- Life-History Variation among Mammalian Populations. Am. Nat. 166, 119–123.
- 657 Gamelon, M., Focardi, S., Gaillard, J.-M., Gimenez, O., Bonenfant, C., Franzetti, B.,
- 658 Choquet, R., Ronchi, F., Baubet, E., and Lemaître, J.-F. (2014). Do age-specific survival
- 659 patterns of wild boar fit current evolutionary theories of senescence? Evolution n/a n/a.
- Houle, D., Pelabon, C., Wagner, G.P., and Hansen, T.F. (2011). Measurement and meaning in
  biology. Q. Rev. Biol. *86*, 3–34.
- Jarman, P. (1983). Mating system and sexual dimorphism in large, terrestrial, mammalian
  herbivores. Biol. Rev. Camb. Philos. Soc. 58, 485–520.
- Jones, O.R., Gaillard, J.-M., Tuljapurkar, S., Alho, J.S., Armitage, K.B., Becker, P.H., Bize,
- P., Brommer, J., Charmantier, A., Charpentier, M., et al. (2008). Senescence rates are
- determined by ranking on the fast-slow life-history continuum. Ecol. Lett. *11*, 664–673.
- 667 Jones, O.R., Scheuerlein, A., Salguero-Gómez, R., Camarda, C.G., Schaible, R., Casper, B.B.,
- Dahlgren, J.P., Ehrlén, J., García, M.B., Menges, E.S., et al. (2014). Diversity of ageing
- across the tree of life. Nature 505, 169–173.
- Bro-Jørgensen, J. (2007). The intensity of sexual selection predicts weapon size in male
  bovids. Evolution *61*, 1316–1326.
- 672 Bro-Jørgensen, J. (2011). Intra- and intersexual conflicts and cooperation in the evolution of 673 mating strategies: lessons from ungulates. Evol. Biol. *38*, 28–41.

- Bro-Jørgensen, J. (2012). Longevity in bovids is promoted by sociality, but reduced by sexual
  selection. PLOS ONE 7, e45769.
- Krementz, D.G., Sauer, J.R., and Nichols, J.D. (1989). Model-based estimates of annual
- 677 survival rate are preferable to observed maximum lifespan statistics for use in comparative
  678 life-history studies. Oikos *56*, 203–208.
- Lailvaux, S.P., and Irschick, D.J. (2007). The Evolution of Performance-Based Male Fighting
  Ability in Caribbean Anolis Lizards. Am. Nat. *170*, 573–586.
- 681 Lebreton, J., Burnham, K., Clobert, J., and Anderson, D. (1992). Modeling Survival and
- Testing Biological Hypotheses Using Marked Animals a Unified Approach with Case Studies. Ecol. Monogr. 62, 67–118.
- Lemaître, J.-F., and Gaillard, J.-M. (2013a). Male survival patterns do not depend on male allocation to sexual competition in large herbivores. Behav. Ecol. *24*, 421–428.
- Lemaître, J.-F., and Gaillard, J.-M. (2013b). Polyandry has no detectable mortality cost in
  female mammals. PLOS ONE *8*, e66670.
- Lemaître, J.-F., Gaillard, J.-M., Bingaman Lackey, L., Clauss, M., and Müller, D.W.H.
- 689 (2013). Comparing free-ranging and captive populations reveals intra-specific variation in 690 aging rates in large herbivores. Exp. Gerontol. *48*, 162–167.
- 691 Lemaître, J.-F., Gaillard, J.-M., Pemberton, J.M., Clutton-Brock, T.H., and Nussey, D.H.
- 692 (2014a). Early life expenditure in sexual competition is associated with increased
- reproductive senescence in male red deer. Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. 281, 20140792.
- Lemaître, J.-F., Vanpe, C., Plard, F., and Gaillard, J.-M. (2014b). The allometry between
  secondary sexual traits and body size is nonlinear among cervids. Biol. Lett. *10*, 20130869–
  20130869.
- Lemaître, J.-F., Müller, D.W.H., and Clauss, M. (2014c). A test of the metabolic theory of
- 698 ecology with two longevity data sets reveals no common cause of scaling in biological times: 699 Longevity scaling in mammals. Mammal Rev. n/a - n/a.
- Liker, A., and Székely, T. (2005). Mortality costs of sexual selection and parental care in
   natural populations of birds. Evolution *59*, 890–897.
- Loison, A., Gaillard, J.-M., Pélabon, C., and Yoccoz, N.G. (1999a). What factors shape sexual
  size dimorphism in ungulates? Evol. Ecol. Res. *1*, 611–633.
- Loison, A., Festa-Bianchet, M., Gaillard, J.-M., Jorgenson, J.T., and Jullien, J.-M. (1999b).
  Age-specific survival in five populations of ungulates: evidence of senescence. Ecology *80*,
  2539–2554.
- Lovich, J.E., and Gibbons, J.W. (1992). A review of techniques for quantifying sexual size
  dimorphism. Growth. Dev. Aging 56, 269–281.
- 709 MacNamara, M., and Elridge, W. (1987). Behavior and reproduction in captive pudu (Pudu
- 710 puda) and red brocket (Mazama americana), a descriptive and comparative analysis. In
- 711 Biology and Management of the Cervidae, (Washington: Smithsonian Institute), pp. 371–386.

- Maklakov, A.A., and Lummaa, V. (2013). Evolution of sex differences in lifespan and aging:
  Causes and constraints. BioEssays *35*, 717–724.
- Monaghan, P., Charmantier, A., Nussey, D.H., and Ricklefs, R.E. (2008). The evolutionary ecology of senescence. Funct. Ecol. *22*, 371–378.
- 716 Moorad, J.A., Promislow, D.E.L., Flesness, N., and Miller, R.A. (2012). A comparative
- assessment of univariate longevity measures using zoological animal records. Aging Cell *11*,
  940–948.
- 719 Müller, D.W.H., Lackey, L.B., Streich, W.J., Fickel, J., Hatt, J.-M., and Clauss, M. (2011).
- 720 Mating system, feeding type and ex situ conservation effort determine life expectancy in
- 721 captive ruminants. Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. 278, 2076–2080.
- Murray, M.G. (1982). The rut of impala Aspects of seasonal mating under tropical
  conditions. Z. Tierpsychol.-J. Comp. Ethol. *59*, 319–337.
- 724 Nussey, D.H., Coulson, T., Festa-Bianchet, M., and Gaillard, J.-M. (2008). Measuring
- senescence in wild animal populations: towards a longitudinal approach. Funct. Ecol. 22,393–406.
- 727 Nussey, D.H., Froy, H., Lemaître, J.-F., Gaillard, J.-M., and Austad, S.N. (2013). Senescence
- in natural populations of animals: widespread evidence and its implications for bio-gerontology. Ageing Res. Rev. *12*, 214–225.
- 730 Orme, D. (2012). The caper package: comparative analysis of phylogenetics and evolution in731 R.
- Owens, I.P.F., and Bennett, P.M. (1994). Mortality costs of parental care and sexual
  dimorphism in birds. Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. 257, 1–8.
- Péron, G., Gimenez, O., Charmantier, A., Gaillard, J.-M., and Crochet, P.-A. (2010). Age at
- the onset of senescence in birds and mammals is predicted by early-life performance. Proc. R.
  Soc. B Biol. Sci. 277, 2849–2856.
- Plard, F., Bonenfant, C., and Gaillard, J.-M. (2011). Revisiting the allometry of antlers among
  deer species: male-male sexual competition as a driver. Oikos *120*, 601–606.
- 739 Preston, B.T., Stevenson, I.R., Pemberton, J.M., Coltman, D.W., and Wilson, K. (2003).
- 740 Overt and covert competition in a promiscuous mammal: the importance of weaponry and
- testes size to male reproductive success. Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. 270, 633–640.
- Promislow, D.E.L. (1992). Costs of Sexual Selection in Natural Populations of Mammals.
  Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. 247, 203–210.
- Promislow, D.E.L., Montgomerie, R., and Martin, T.E. (1992). Mortality costs of sexual
  dimorphism in birds. Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. 250, 143–150.
- Ramp, C., Bérubé, M., Palsbøll, P., Hagen, W., and Sears, R. (2010). Sex-specific survival in
- the humpback whale Megaptera novaeangliae in the Gulf of St. Lawrence, Canada. Mar. Ecol.
  Prog. Ser. 400, 267–276.

- R Development Core Team (2011). R : A language and environment for statistical computing.
- 750 Regan, J.C., and Partridge, L. (2013). Gender and longevity: Why do men die earlier than
- women? Comparative and experimental evidence. Best Pract. Res. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.27, 467–479.
- Revell, L.J. (2010). Phylogenetic signal and linear regression on species data. Methods Ecol.
  Evol. 1, 319–329.
- Ricklefs, R.E. (2010). Life-history connections to rates of aging in terrestrial vertebrates.
  Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. *107*, 10314–10319.
- Ricklefs, R.E., and Scheuerlein, A. (2002). Biological implications of the Weibull and
  Gompertz models of aging. J. Gerontol. A. Biol. Sci. Med. Sci. *57*, B69–B76.
- Van Rooyen, A.F. (1993). Variation in body condition of impala and nyala in relation to
  social status and reproduction. South Afr. J. Wildl. Res. 23, 36–38.
- Sacher, G.A. (1959). Relation of lifespan to brain weight and body weight in mammals. In
   The Lifespan on Animals, (London: G.E.W. Wolstenholme and M. O'Connor, eds.),.
- Toïgo, C., and Gaillard, J.-M. (2003). Causes of sex-biased adult survival in ungulates: sexual size dimorphism, mating tactic or environment harshness? Oikos *101*, 376–384.
- Toïgo, C., Gaillard, J.-M., Festa-Bianchet, M., Largo, E., Michallet, J., and Maillard, D.
  (2007). Sex- and age-specific survival of the highly dimorphic Alpine ibex: evidence for a
  conservative life-history tactic. J. Anim. Ecol. *76*, 679–686.
- 768 Trivers, R. (1972). Mother-offspring conflict. Am. Zool. 12, 648–648.
- Vanhooydonck, B., Herrel, A.Y., Van Damme, R., and Irschick, D.J. (2005). Does dewlap size predict male bite performance in Jamaican Anolis lizards? Funct. Ecol. *19*, 38–42.
- Weckerly, F.W. (1998). Sexual-size dimorphism: influence of mass and mating systems in themost dimorphic mammals. J. Mammal. *79*, 33–52.
- Wiersma, P., Muñoz-Garcia, A., Walker, A., and Williams, J.B. (2007). Tropical birds have a slow pace of life. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. *104*, 9340–9345.
- Williams, G.C. (1966). Adaptation and natural selection: a critique of some currentevolutionary thought (Princeton University Press).
- Zerbe, P., Clauss, M., Codron, D., Bingaman Lackey, L., Rensch, E., Streich, J.W., Hatt, J.-
- 778 M., and Müller, D.W.H. (2012). Reproductive seasonality in captive wild ruminants:
- implications for biogeographical adaptation, photoperiodic control, and life history. Biol. Rev.87, 965–990.

**Table 1:** Survey of comparative analyzes investigating the relationship between the strength of sexual selection and mortality or actuarial senescence metrics across species. Gray-shaded results correspond to studies reporting support for a statistically significant association between the strength of sexual selection and the level of mortality or actuarial senescence. Results in bold correspond to studies based on metrics of actuarial senescence *per se*. To identify these comparatives studies, we entered the keywords '*senescence*', 'ag(e)ing', and '*sexual selection*' into the search engine database of *Web of Science* (SCI-Expanded).

Study	Таха	Population	N	Statistical analysis	Sex	Sexual selection intensity	(measure)	Survival or senescence variables	(measure)	Conclusion
Promislow, 1992	Mammals	wild	35	PICs	Sex-difference	SSD Mating system	Ratio of male to female length Monogamy / Polygyny	Adult mortality rate	Ratio of female to male life expectancy from the average age at maturity	<ul> <li>Positive correlation between SSD and male bias adult mortality.</li> <li>Female-bias in adult mortality in monogamous taxa.</li> </ul>
Promislow et al., 1992	Birds (North American Passerine only)	wild	28	PICs	Male Female Sex-difference	SSD Brightness Plumage Difference	Male minus female values Scale from 1 (dull) to 5 (bright) male - females score	Adult mortality rate	Sex-specific mortality rate extracted from literature Sex-bias : male – female values	<ul> <li>Positive correlation between male adult mortality and SSD.</li> <li>Positive correlation between sex-biased mortality and both SSD and male brightness.</li> </ul>
Owens and Bennett, 1994	Birds (western Palearctic species only)	wild	37	PICs	Males Females	SSD Sexual Plumage Dimorphism (SPD)	Ratio of male to female body mass Difference expressed on a scale from 0 (monomorphic) to 10 (maximum dimorphism) for five body regions	Adult mortality rate	Sex-specific annual mortality rate Sex-bias: male/female	<ul> <li>No mortality cost associate to SSD neither SPD.</li> </ul>
Toïgo and Gaillard, 2003	Mammals (Large herbivores only)	wild	18	PICs	Male Sex-difference	SSD Male mating tactic	Residuals male to female body mass territoriality / tending / harem- holding	Adult survival rate	Prime-age survival between 2 and 7 years of age Sex-bias: male - survival	<ul> <li>Male survival is lower than female survival.</li> <li>SSD has no effect on male-biased mortality.</li> <li>No relationship between mating tactic and male-biased mortality.</li> </ul>
Liker and Székely, 2005	Birds	wild	194	PICs	Male Female	Mating system Relative testes size	Monogamy / Polygyny Testes size and male body mass in covariate	Adult mortality rate	Sex-specific mortality rate Sex-bias : male – female values	<ul> <li>Male-male competition and relative testis size are positively associated to male biased mortality.</li> <li>Females have higher adult mortality than males.</li> </ul>
Clutton-Brock and Isvaran, 2007	Mammals and birds	wild	30	PGLS	Sex-difference	Mating system	Monogamy/polygyny: average number of adult females in breeding group	Lifespan	Ratio of male lifespan to female lifespan	<ul> <li>Bigger sex difference of lifespan in polygynous species than monogamous species.</li> </ul>
								Rate of actuarial senescence	Difference in slope obtained from linear regression fitted on age- specific data	Bigger sex differences in senescence rates for polygynous species than for monogamous species

		I GLD	Female	Mating system	Monogamous / Tending / Polygynous	expectancy (rLE)	proportion of longevity	Higher rLE in males of monogamous than polygynous species.     Licher rLE in families than males
captive	100	PICs (and PGLS)	Male Female	SSD	Lovich & Gibbons ratio*	Longevity	Maximum longevity	<ul> <li>Fight LE in females that males.</li> <li>Longevity decrease with SSD in male only.</li> </ul>
wild	24	PGLS	Male Sex-difference	SSD Weapon size dimorphism (WSD) Relative testes mass (RTM) Male mating tactic	Male and female body mass in covariate Male and female body mass in covariate Testes mass and male body mass in covariate Territoriality / tending, /harem following	Median lifespan Rate of actuarial senescence	Age at which 50% of an initial cohort are still alive Sex-bias : female – male Slope obtained from linear regression fitted on age- specific data, from median lifespan to age where 10% of an initial cohort is still alive Sex-bias : female - male	<ul> <li>Lower adult survival in males than in females.</li> <li>No relationship between male allocation to SSD, WSD and/or RTM and inter-species difference of both absolute and relative adult lifespan.</li> <li>Stronger rate of senescence in males than in females.</li> <li>No relationship between male allocation to SSD, WSD and/or RTM and between-species difference of both absolute and relative adult and relative actuarial intensity of senescence.</li> </ul>
wild	24 ent con	PGLS	Female	Level of female polyandry	Relative testes mass or percentage of multiple paternity	Median lifespan Rate of actuarial senescence	Age at which 50% of an initial cohort are still alive Slope obtained from linear regression fitted on age- specific data, from the age at the onset of senescence to death fe Expectancy.	<ul> <li>Polyandry level does not affect female lifespan.</li> <li>Polyandry level does not affect female rate of senescence.</li> </ul>
	wild wild	captive     100       wild     24       wild     24       Independent confference	captive     100     PICs (and PGLS)       wild     24     PGLS       wild     24     PGLS	captive     100     PICs     Male       (and Female     PGLS)       wild     24     PGLS     Male       Sex-difference       wild     24     PGLS     Female       wild     24     PGLS     Female       Independent contrasts; PGLS, PhyloGenetically	captive       100       PICs       Male       SSD         wild       24       PGLS       Male       SSD         Sex-difference         Weapon size         dimorphism       (WSD)         Relative testes       mass (RTM)         Male mating       tactic         wild       24       PGLS         Female       Level of female         polyandry       Independent contrasts; PGLS, PhyloGenetically Least Square mode	captive       100       PICs       Male       SSD       Lovich & Gibbons ratio*         (and Female PGLS)       Female       SSD       Male and female body mass in covariate         wild       24       PGLS       Male       SSD       Male and female body mass in covariate         Weapon size       Male and female body mass in covariate       Male and female body mass in covariate       Male and female body mass in covariate         WSD)       Relative testes       Testes mass and male body mass (RTM)       Territoriality / tending, tactic         wild       24       PGLS       Female       Level of female polyandry       Relative testes mass or percentage of multiple paternity	captive       100       PICs       Male       SSD       Lovich & Gibbons ratio*       Longevity         wild       24       PGLS       Male       SSD       Male and female body mass       Median         wild       24       PGLS       Male       SSD       Male and female body mass       Median         Weapon size       Male and female body mass       in covariate       Male and female body mass       lifespan         Weapon size       Male and female body mass       in covariate       Rate of         WSD       Relative testes       Testes mass and male body       mass in covariate         Male mating       Territoriality / tending,       actuarial         Male mating       Territoriality / tending,       actuarial         tactic       /harem following       senescence         wild       24       PGLS       Female       Level of female       Relative testes mass or       Median         polyandry       percentage of multiple       lifespan       actuarial       senescence         wild       24       PGLS       Female       Level of female       Relative testes mass or       Median         polyandry       percentage of multiple       lifespan       actuarial       senescence	captive       100       PICs       Male       SSD       Lovich & Gibbons ratio*       Longevity       Maximum longevity         wild       24       PGLS       Male       SSD       Male and female body mass in covariate       Median       Age at which 50% of an initial cohort are still alive Sex-bias :         wild       24       PGLS       Male       SSD       Male and female body mass in covariate       Median       Age at which 50% of an initial cohort are still alive Sex-bias :         Weapon size       Male and female body mass       in covariate       Rate of are regression fitted on age-specific data, from median lifespan to age where 10% of an initial cohort is still alive Sex-bias :         wild       24       PGLS       Female       Level of female polyandry       Relative testes mass or percentage of multiple paternity       Median lifespan initial cohort are still alive Sex-bias :       female - male         wild       24       PGLS       Female       Level of female polyandry       Relative testes mass or percentage of multiple paternity       Median lifespan initial cohort are still alive sensescence       Slope obtained from linear regression fitted on age-specific data, from the age at the onset of sensescence to death         wild       24       PGLS       Female       Level of female polyandry       Relative testes mass or percentage of multiple paternity       Median lifespan initial cohort are still alive initial cohort

**Table 2:** Parameter estimates from the models selected to account for among-species variation observed in longevity and metrics of actuarial senescence in males of large herbivore species living in captivity. In all analyzes performed, phylogeny had no statistically significant effects ( $\lambda < 0.001$ ) and parameters were thus estimated from linear models (LM) without any phylogenetic correction. Effect size statistically different from 0 occur in bold.

	Method	Variables	β	95%CI	Adjusted-R <sup>2</sup>	λ
Longevity	LM	Diet	0.002	0.000 ; 0.004	0.18	< 0.001
(N=64)		Male body mass	0.054	0.011 ; 0.097		
Gompertz rate of senescence (N=54)	LM	Gompertz onset	0.273	0.015 ; 0.530	0.06	< 0.001
Onset of senescence	LM	Promiscuity	-0.244	-0.599 ; 0.112	0.23	< 0.001
(N=64)		Polygyny	-0.456	-0.817 ; -0.096		
		Diet	0.004	0.001 ; 0.008		
		Male body mass	0.099	0.025; 0.172		
Rate of senescence	LM	Male body mass	-0.137	-0.198 ; -0.076	0.24	< 0.001
between 6 and 12						
years-old (N=60)						

793

**Table 3:** Parameter estimates from the models selected to account for between-sex variation observed among species of large herbivores living in captivity in longevity and metrics of actuarial senescence. In all analyzes performed, phylogeny had no statistically significant effects ( $\lambda < 0.001$ ) and parameters were thus estimated from linear models (LM) without any phylogenetic correction. Effect size statistically different from 0 occur in bold.

800

	Method	Variables	β	95%CI	Adjusted-R <sup>2</sup>	λ
Longevity (N=64)	LM	Constant				< 0.001
Gompertz rate of senescence (N=52)	LM	Constant				< 0.001
Onset of senescence (N=64)	LM	Promiscuity Polygyny	0.132 <b>0.346</b>	-0.204 ; 0.468 <b>0.014 ; 0.678</b>	0.07	< 0.001
Rate of senescence between 6 and 12	LM	Constant				< 0.001
years-old (N=59)						

801

#### **FIGURE LEGENDS**:

805	Figure 1: Phylogenetic tree built on 64 species of large herbivores used in our analysis (based
806	on Bininda-Edmonds (2007, 2008)'s phylogenetic tree. Cervid species are specified in bold,
807	Tragulus javanicus (Tragulidae), Giraffa camelopardalis (Giraffidae) and Antilocapra
808	americana (Antilocapridae) are in grey, and other are bovid species. For graphical
809	representation, the SSD was calculated as the ratio of male body mass on female body mass.
810	
811	Figure 2: Relationships between the age at the onset of actuarial senescence in males
812	(corrected for both diet and body mass), and the mating system ( $N = 64$ species).
813	
814	Figure 3: Relationships between the age at the onset of actuarial senescence in males
815	(corrected for diet), and the mating tactic ( $N = 37$ species).
816	





818 Figure 1





821 Figure 2





**Figure 3**