

# High-Pressure Phase Equilibria Measurements of the Carbon Dioxide + Cycloheptane Binary System

Sarra Zid, Jean-Patrick Bazile, Jean-Luc Daridon, Jean-Noël Jaubert, Jean-Louis Havet, Marie Debacq, Stéphane Vitu

# ▶ To cite this version:

Sarra Zid, Jean-Patrick Bazile, Jean-Luc Daridon, Jean-Noël Jaubert, Jean-Louis Havet, et al.. High-Pressure Phase Equilibria Measurements of the Carbon Dioxide + Cycloheptane Binary System. Journal of Chemical and Engineering Data, 2021, 67 (1), pp.176-181. 10.1021/acs.jced.1c00848 . hal-03510148

# HAL Id: hal-03510148 https://hal.science/hal-03510148v1

Submitted on 4 Jan 2022

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# High–Pressure Phase Equilibria Measurements of the Carbon Dioxide + Cycloheptane Binary System

Sarra Zid<sup>†,§</sup>, Jean–Patrick Bazile<sup>‡</sup>, Jean–Luc Daridon<sup>‡</sup>, Jean–Noël Jaubert<sup>\*</sup>, Jean–Louis Havet<sup>†,§</sup>, Marie Debacq<sup>†,§</sup>, and Stéphane Vitu<sup>†,§</sup>\*

<sup>†</sup> Conservatoire National des Arts et Métiers, 2 rue Conté, 75003 Paris, France

<sup>§</sup> Université Paris–Saclay, INRAE, AgroParisTech, UMR SayFood, 91300 Massy, France

<sup>‡</sup> Université de Pau et des Pays de l'Adour, E2S UPPA, CNRS, TotalEnergies, LFCR UMR5150, Pau, France

<sup>4</sup> Université de Lorraine, Ecole Nationale Supérieure des Industries Chimiques, Laboratoire Réactions et Génie des Procédés, 1 rue Grandville, 54000 Nancy, France

## **Keywords:**

carbon dioxide, cycloheptane, phase equilibria, high pressure, equation of state, saturation pressure

#### Abstract:

The phase behavior of the carbon dioxide + cycloheptane binary system for which no literature data are available has been examined at temperatures ranging from (292.6 to 372.8) K. Saturation pressures, ranging from (23.9 to 154.8) bar, were acquired by a synthetic and visual method. In concrete terms, an adjustable volume high–pressure cell was utilized to measure bubble and dew point pressures by visual detection of phase transitions at constant overall composition. A total of 11 different mixtures with mole fractions of carbon dioxide ranging from (0.20 to 0.96) were prepared and a total of 99 experimental points were reported. The experimental results obtained in this work reveal the absence of liquid–liquid immiscibility in the studied temperature range and make it possible to conclude that the vapor–liquid critical line of the binary system is continuous and uninterrupted between the two pure compounds. Experimental data were correlated with the Peng–Robinson equation of state and classical Van der Waals mixing rules with temperature–dependent binary interaction parameters. They were also compared to the PPR78 model, an entirely predictive group contribution method in which the  $k_{ij}$  depends on temperature.

#### 1. Introduction

Considering the vast amount of industrially used chemicals, the number of possible mixtures encountered in the different branches of industry is practically unlimited. It is thus unthinkable to measure thermodynamic and transport properties for all the possible industrial mixtures in a wide range of temperature and pressure. Nowadays, in various industrial sectors, several thermodynamic models can be successfully employed to avoid doing systematic and timeconsuming experimental measurements.

However, experimental data are still needed for many application areas. <sup>1,2</sup> Even in the oil and gas sector, high-pressure phase equilibrium data as well as thermodynamic data regarding to uncommon molecules (such as naphthenic components with more than six carbon atoms in ring or with multiple aromatic ring) are welcomed. <sup>1,3</sup> Due to the important role of carbon dioxide in the chemical, pharmaceutical and petrochemical industries, phase equilibrium data of mixtures containing  $CO_2$  remain crucial. <sup>4–8</sup>

In our previous works <sup>3,9</sup>, a lack of data for two kinds of binary systems containing carbon dioxide + a naphthenic compound was noticed; they are CO<sub>2</sub> + branched naphthenes and CO<sub>2</sub> + naphthenes that contains more than 6 carbon atoms in ring (cycloheptane, cyclooctane, etc.). Indeed, the binary systems CO<sub>2</sub> + cyclopentane and CO<sub>2</sub> + cyclohexane were widely investigated in the past and more details about the different studies related to these two systems can be found elsewhere. <sup>10,11</sup> No literature data were available for the binary mixture CO<sub>2</sub> + cyclooctane under pressure and we thus recently published <sup>3</sup> bubble and dew points for this system. The situation is almost similar for the binary system CO<sub>2</sub> + cycloheptane. Only five solubilities of CO<sub>2</sub> in cycloheptane at atmospheric pressure have been published. <sup>12</sup>

The purpose of this study is to disseminate new VLE measurements for the  $CO_2$  + cycloheptane system under pressure, on the full composition range and therefore to fill in the data gaps concerning such systems. A high–pressure device was employed to measure phase transitions. The experimental data were correlated using the Peng–Robinson equation of state.

This work is the continuation of a series of paper providing missing experimental data with regard to basic binary mixtures <sup>13,14</sup> or to more uncommon systems. <sup>3,9,15</sup>

#### 2. Experimental section

#### 2.1. Materials

The description of materials used in this work is reported in Table 1. The chemicals were utilized as we received them. Purities given in Table 1 are those provided by the manufacturers.

Table 1. Description and specification of the pure compounds used in this study

compound	linear formula	CAS number	supplier	mass fraction purity <sup>b</sup>
carbon dioxide	$CO_2$	124-38-9	Linde	0.99995
cycloheptane	$C_{7}H_{14}$	291-64-5	Acros Organics <sup>a</sup>	0.995

<sup>a</sup> Thermo Fisher Scientific. <sup>b</sup> information provided by the manufacturers.

#### 2.2. Apparatus and method

The apparatus employed and the experimental strategy were widely described in our previous papers. <sup>3,13,16</sup> The technique used to perform saturation pressure measurements was a synthetic method, without sampling and analyses. This method is called *synthetic-visual method with variable-volume cell* (SynVisVar) as defined by Dohrn and co–workers. <sup>4</sup> The main equipment was an adjustable–volume high pressure cell. The device includes a mobile piston and two sapphire windows: one for visual observation of the fluid contained in the cell thanks to an endoscope and the other to light up the interior of the cell. The mixture was continuously mixed by means of a small bar magnet. Internal volume of the cell ranged from 8 to 30 cm<sup>3</sup> and the internal temperature was maintained by circulating a coolant distributed through three lines arranged in the cell wall. The mixture temperature enclosed in the cell was measured with an uncertainty of  $\pm 0.1$  K using a Pt100 probe inserted in the cell. The pressure was acquired by a Kulite pressure gauge installed

inside the cell to restrict dead volumes. The pressure gauge was calibrated, between 1 and 1000 bar, with an uncertainty better than 0.02%.

In a first step, the device was partly filled with a known quantity of liquid cycloheptane by vacuum extraction. The mass of cycloheptane loaded ( $m_{cycloheptane}$ ) was measured with a precision balance (Ohaus). In a second step, the CO<sub>2</sub> mass ( $m_{CO_2}$ ) was added under pressure in the cell. To do so, the carbon dioxide was firstly loaded in an aluminum pressure vessel. The vessel was weighed by means of a Metler Toledo high–capacity precision balance. So as CO<sub>2</sub> weighing not to be disturbed by the connecting line, the CO<sub>2</sub> vessel was linked to the cell through a flexible lightweight tubing. This flexible high–pressure capillary does not affect the stability of the balance during the weighing. Moreover, the capillary tube has a small diameter (1/32") and the CO<sub>2</sub> was stored in liquid–vapor equilibrium in the tank in order to keep the pressure constant during CO<sub>2</sub> injection and therefore prevent gas mass changes in the connecting line.

The expanded uncertainties in mass loaded in the apparatus were deemed to be better than 0.005 g for cycloheptane and 0.005 g for CO<sub>2</sub>. The composition ( $z_{CO_2} = z_1$ ) of the CO<sub>2</sub> + cycloheptane binary system contained in the cell can be calculated and the standard uncertainty in composition is obtained through the following expression

$$u(z_{1}) = u(z_{CO_{2}}) = z_{CO_{2}}(1 - z_{CO_{2}}) \sqrt{\left(\frac{u(m_{CO_{2}})}{m_{CO_{2}}}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{u(m_{cycloheptane})}{m_{cycloheptane}}\right)^{2}}$$
(1)

Saturation pressures were obtained visually. The phase boundaries were assessed by determining the disappearance conditions of one phase of a two phase equilibrium system.

The experiments were conducted, at constant temperature, by examining the disappearance of the vapor phase for a bubble–point pressure measurement. For a dew point, disappearance of the liquid phase was examined. The principle of the procedure is, in a first step, to reach a two–phase equilibrium by modifying the pressure and, in a second step, to eliminate the last phase formed by slowly inverting the pressure variation. Experiments were replicated to refine the measurements. Bubble pressures and dew pressures were determined with a standard uncertainty of 0.2 bar and 0.4 bar, respectively. <sup>13,16</sup>

#### **3.** Experimental results

For the  $CO_2$  + cycloheptane binary system, 83 bubble points and 16 dew points were acquired, by isoplethic measurements, for 11 different compositions ranging from 0.1996 to 0.9600 CO<sub>2</sub> mole fraction so as to achieve a detailed description of the phase diagram. The obtained data are presented in Table 2 with uncertainties and are partly depicted in Figure 1.

Table 2. Experimental bubble–point and dew–point pressures *P*, at temperature *T*, for the

$z_1 = 0.199$	$96 \pm 0.0006$	$z_1 = 0.299$	$99 \pm 0.0006$	$z_1 = 0.375$	$53\pm0.0005$	$z_1 = 0.500$	$00 \pm 0.0004$	$z_1 = 0.600$	$02 \pm 0.0003$	$z_1 = 0.700$	$00 \pm 0.0002$
T/K	P/bar	$T/\mathbf{K}$	P/bar	T/K	P/bar	T/K	P/bar	$T/\mathbf{K}$	P/bar	T/K	P/bar
293.5	23.9	293.1	32.8	293.9	38.3	293.8	44.0	292.6	46.1	292.7	47.6
302.4	27.3	303.4	37.4	302.6	44.1	302.6	52.0	302.9	56.2	302.7	58.5
311.6	30.5	311.9	42.4	313.4	51.2	311.7	60.6	312.3	66.5	311.6	68.9
321.5	33.9	321.5	47.3	322.7	57.7	321.3	69.5	321.3	76.6	321.2	81.1
331.1	37.6	332.8	52.0	332.3	64.1	330.9	78.5	331.1	88.3	330.8	94.7
341.4	40.7	342.8	57.5	342.2	70.7	341.5	88.4	341.5	100.4	340.9	107.9
349.1	42.9	351.0	62.0	350.5	76.0	350.9	97.3	350.9	111.0	350.8	121.2
358.9	46.5	359.5	66.6	360.4	82.5	361.2	106.4	360.7	122.2	361.4	134.8
371.7	49.9	371.3	72.0	371.7	89.7	372.8	115.5	371.4	132.6	371.7	146.2
$z_1 = 0.800$	$00 \pm 0.0002$	$z_1 = 0.849$	$99 \pm 0.0001$	$z_1 = 0.900$	$00 \pm 0.0001$	$z_1 = 0.930$	$00 \pm 0.0001$	$z_1 = 0.960$	$00 \pm 0.0002$		
T/K	P/bar	$T/\mathbf{K}$	P/bar	T/K	P/bar	$T/\mathbf{K}$	P/bar	$T/\mathbf{K}$	P/bar	_	
293.3	50.1	293.9	51.1	293.1	51.1	293.7	52.2	293.5	53.5	-	
304.4	63.3	303.5	62.4	303.1	62.7	302.6	63.4	303.0	65.7		
312.5	73.0	312.6	74.1	312.6	75.6	312.2	76.6	312.0	79.5		
322.5	86.9	322.0	87.4	321.5	89.2	322.5	91.5	321.2	91.1		
332.0	101.0	332.6	103.4	331.4	103.5	331.5	104.0	330.0	100.7		
341.6	115.5	341.4	117.8	341.2	117.2	340.9	115.8	341.3	112.6		
350.6	128.6	351.1	130.9	351.4	130.7	351.4	127.7	351.3	119.0		
360.7	141.7	359.8	141.9	361.2	141.8	361.1	137.3	360.9	122.0		
371.8	154.4	372.4	154.8	370.6	150.0	371.6	143.5	371.6	123.4	_	

CO<sub>2</sub> (1) + cycloheptane (2) binary system at 11 different CO<sub>2</sub> mole fractions  $z_1^{a,b,c,d}$ 

<sup>a</sup> Bold data are dew points, the rest are bubble points. <sup>b</sup> Standard uncertainties are u(T) = 0.1 K, u(P) = 0.2 bar for

bubble points and u(P) = 0.4 bar for dew points. <sup>c</sup> Each composition  $z_1$  is reported with its standard uncertainty  $u(z_1)$ .

 $^{d}z_{1}+z_{2}=1.$ 



**Figure 1.** (*P*, *T*) phase envelopes of the binary system CO<sub>2</sub> (1) + cycloheptane (2). CO<sub>2</sub> mole fractions are:  $z_1 = 0.2999$  (green envelope and green points);  $z_1 = 0.5000$  (black envelope and black points);  $z_1 = 0.7000$  (red envelope and red points);  $z_1 = 0.3753$  (pink envelope and pink points);  $z_1 = 0.8499$  (yellow envelope and yellow points);  $z_1 = 0.9600$  (blue envelope and blue points).  $\circ$ : experimental bubble points measured in this work.  $\bullet$ : experimental dew points measured in this work. Solid lines: calculated phase envelopes with the Peng–Robinson equation of state and a temperature–dependent  $k_{ij}$ . +: calculated mixture critical point.

Measurements were carried out with a particular care for the  $CO_2$  rich mixtures at low temperature, by doing intermediate temperature steps, in order to detect a possible liquid–liquid phase splitting. However, despite all this attention, no liquid–liquid immiscibility was encountered in the investigated temperature range. From the experimental data obtained in this work, it can be concluded that the vapor–liquid critical line of the  $CO_2$  + cycloheptane system is most likely uninterrupted between the pure compounds critical point so that the system is either a type I or a type II binary system in the nomenclature of van Konynenburg and Scott. <sup>17,18</sup>

To complete the analysis of the system  $CO_2$  + cycloheptane, it is more convenient to represent data in an isothermal ( $P, x_I, y_I$ ) diagram. However, phase transition measurements were not carried out at exactly the same temperatures for the 11 different mixtures prepared. The isothermal curves (P,  $x_{CO_2}$ ) thus cannot be graphed directly from the measured data presented in Table 2. Interpolated values were calculated using second order polynomials to describe the pressure– temperature relationship of the raw experimental data at constant composition, following the reliable method previously described in detail by Schwarz et al. <sup>6,19–21</sup> The following second order polynomial was used

$$P = AT^2 + BT + C \tag{2}$$

The coefficients of the polynomials (see Table 3) were calculated by fitting the raw experimental data reported in Table 2. These polynomial functions were then employed to generate isothermal data. Fitted coefficients are presented in Table 3 with  $R^2$  values for each composition studied. It is obvious that the functions and the coefficients detailed in Table 3 can be safely used to describe the studied binary system in the strict temperature range of 293.15 to 373.15 K. The (*P*,*x*<sub>1</sub>,*y*<sub>1</sub>) phase diagrams resulting from these interpolations were displayed in Figure 2 for different isotherms.

Table 3. Coefficients of the *P*–*T* polynomial function (*P*) used to fit the experimental data reported in Table 2 in order to generate isothermal data for the CO<sub>2</sub> (1) + cycloheptane (2) binary system between 293.15 K and 373.15 K <sup>a,b</sup>

$z_1/\mathrm{mol}\cdot\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$	A/bar·K <sup>-2</sup>	$B/bar \cdot K^{-1}$	C/bar	$\mathbb{R}^2$
0.1996	$-5.59793  imes 10^{-04}$	0.70530	-134.850	0.9995
0.2999	$1.01568  imes 10^{-04}$	0.43853	-104.594	0.9992
0.3753	$-2.83741  imes 10^{-04}$	0.85081	-187.328	1.0000
0.5000	$-6.72802 \times 10^{-04}$	1.36499	-299.327	0.9998
0.6002	$1.02935  imes 10^{-04}$	1.05265	-271.631	0.9995
0.7000	$4.95344  imes 10^{-04}$	0.94864	-273.623	0.9992
0.8000	$2.45485  imes 10^{-04}$	1.20661	-326.420	0.9986
0.8499	$-1.13277 \times 10^{-03}$	2.12810	-478.551	0.9976
0.9000	$-2.32288 \times 10^{-03}$	2.86794	-591.984	0.9982
0.9300	$-4.89489  imes 10^{-03}$	4.47474	-841.614	0.9982
0.9600	$-1.02564  imes 10^{-02}$	7.75416	-1340.672	0.9977

<sup>a</sup> The polynomial function is  $P = AT^2 + BT + C$ . <sup>b</sup> Using these coefficients, original experimental data (Table 2) are

correlated with AAD of 0.78 bar (0.95%).

#### 4. Modeling and discussion

Initially, the PPR78 model was used to predict the experimental points. This model is today routinely employed <sup>22</sup> and is fully described elsewhere. <sup>23,24</sup> Only most important points of the model are thus recalled below.

For a pure component, the equation of state proposed by Peng and Robinson<sup>25</sup> is

$$P = \frac{RT}{v - b_i} - \frac{a_i(T)}{v(v + b_i) + b_i(v - b_i)}$$
(3)

And

$$\begin{cases} R = 8.314472 \text{ J} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1} \cdot \text{K}^{-1} \\ b_i = 0.0777960739 \frac{RT_{c,i}}{P_{c,i}} \\ a_i = 0.457235529 \frac{R^2 T_{c,i}^2}{P_{c,i}} \left[ 1 + m_i \left( 1 - \sqrt{\frac{T}{T_{c,i}}} \right) \right]^2 \\ \text{if } \omega_i \le 0.491 \quad m_i = 0.37464 + 1.54226\omega_i - 0.26992\omega_i^2 \\ \text{if } \omega_i > 0.491 \quad m_i = 0.379642 + 1.48503\omega_i - 0.164423\omega_i^2 + 0.016666\omega_i^3 \end{cases}$$
(4)

*P* is the pressure, *R* the ideal gas constant, *T* the temperature, *v* the molar volume,  $T_c$  the critical temperature,  $P_c$  the critical pressure and  $\omega$  the acentric factor.

In the PPR78 model, classical mixing rules are employed

$$\begin{cases} a = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} z_{i} z_{j} \sqrt{a_{i} a_{j}} (1 - k_{ij}(T)) \\ b = \sum_{i=1}^{N} z_{i} b_{i} \end{cases}$$
(5)

 $z_i$  is the mole fraction of component *i* in the mixture, *N* is the number of components in the mixture and  $k_{ij}(T)$  is the binary interaction parameter. In the PPR78 model,  $k_{ij}$  is temperature–dependent and determined by group contribution:

$$k_{ij}(T) = \frac{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{N_g} \sum_{l=1}^{N_g} (\alpha_{ik} - \alpha_{jk})(\alpha_{il} - \alpha_{jl}) A_{kl} \cdot \left(\frac{298.15}{T}\right)^{\left(\frac{B_{kl}}{A_{kl}}\right)} - \left(\frac{\sqrt{a_i(T)}}{b_i} - \frac{\sqrt{a_j(T)}}{b_j}\right)^2}{2\frac{\sqrt{a_i(T) \cdot a_j(T)}}{b_i \cdot b_j}}$$
(6)

In eq 6,  $a_i$  and  $b_i$  are calculated by eq 4,  $N_g$  is the number of different groups presents in the mixture and  $\alpha_{ik}$  is the fraction of molecule *i* occupied by group *k*.  $A_{kl}$  and  $B_{kl}$  are constant group interaction parameters between group *k* and group *l*. The critical properties ( $T_c$  and  $P_c$ ) and the acentric factor ( $\omega$ ) of the two components involved in this work come from the DIPPR database.

In Figure 2, dashed isothermal curves (bubble and dew curves) foreseen by the PPR78 approach are drawn for comparison with interpolated data obtained from the original measurements at six temperatures from 293.15 K to 373.15 K. Figure 2 illustrates that the binary system is qualitatively and to a lesser extent quantitatively predicted accurately by the PPR78 model. With such a model, an average overall deviation on the liquid phase composition of 0.054 and a deviation of 0.030 on the gas phase composition were obtained, respectively. Such deviations are not surprising since (i) no parameters were fitted to represent the experimental data and (ii) this binary system was completely absent from the database used to adjust the interaction parameters of the model (the system  $CO_2$  + cycloheptane is indeed studied for the first time in this paper).

The PPR78 model foresees a type IV phase diagram in the van Konynenburg and Scott nomenclature. The predicted vapor–liquid critical locus is thus interrupted, with the presence of a lower critical end point (at 320.4 K), an upper critical end point (at 324.9 K) and a very narrow liquid–liquid–vapor three–phase line. Such a 3–phase domain was not found experimentally despite a careful examination of the mixture inside the cell in the CO<sub>2</sub> rich region.



**Figure 2.** Isothermal phase equilibria of the binary system  $CO_2$  + cycloheptane.  $\circ$ : interpolated experimental phase transition points. Dashed lines: bubble and dew curves predicted with the PPR78 model. Solid lines: bubble and dew curves calculated with the PR equation of state and a temperature–dependent  $k_{ij}$  fitted against interpolated experimental data.

So as to improve the description of the mixture behavior, it was decided to consider each molecule of the binary system as a single group. By doing so, eq 6 becomes

$$k_{ij}(T) = \frac{A_{ij} \cdot \left(\frac{298.15}{T}\right)^{\left(\frac{B_{ij}}{A_{ij}}-1\right)} - \left(\frac{\sqrt{a_i(T)}}{b_i} - \frac{\sqrt{a_j(T)}}{b_j}\right)^2}{2\frac{\sqrt{a_i(T) \cdot a_j(T)}}{b_i \cdot b_j}}$$
(7)

The parameters  $A_{ij}$  and  $B_{ij}$  were fitted to smoothed experimental data to minimize, at specified temperature and pressure, the mean absolute deviations between calculated and experimental liquid phase and gas phase compositions.

 $A_{12}$  = 122.1 MPa and  $B_{12}$  = 281.1 MPa were found to be the optimal values. Isothermal bubble and dew curves calculated by this method are solid lines in Figure 2. This figure shows that a slightly better representation of the data is obtained in the mixture critical area. By using these adjusted parameters, a continuous vapor–liquid critical locus is now calculated between the two pure compounds, which is in accordance with the experimental observation. The binary interaction parameter is high and slightly decreases with temperature: from 0.1155 at 293.15 K to 0.1007 at 373.15 K, which is in accordance with  $k_{ij}$  values reported in the literature for comparable systems. 8,11,14

Finally, Figures 1 and 2 highlight that the Peng–Robinson equation of state is not able to perfectly correlate simultaneously the bubble curve (for low CO<sub>2</sub> compositions) and the critical region. This is a well–documented weakness of cubic equations of state when size-asymmetric systems are correlated. As shown in Figure 2, the PPR78 model (dashed lines) satisfactorily correlates the bubble curve but the prize to pay is an overestimation of the critical pressures. Conversely, the Peng–Robinson equation of state with temperature–dependent  $k_{ij}$  fitted against the measured

experimental data better correlates the critical region than the PPR78 prediction but at the expense of less accurate bubble pressures at lower  $CO_2$  mole fractions.

#### 5. Conclusions

The synthetic method was applied to measure new saturation pressures of the  $CO_2$  + cycloheptane binary system between 292.6 K and 372.8 K. To do so, 11 mixtures from (0.20 to 0.96)  $CO_2$  mole fractions were prepared and studied. A total of 83 bubble points and 16 dew points were measured with pressures up to 154.8 bar. Two approaches were employed to model the experimental data: the fully predictive PPR78 model and the equation of state proposed by Peng and Robinson combined with a temperature–dependent binary interaction parameter fitted to experimental data.

This experimental work, and a previous one <sup>3</sup> devoted to the binary system  $CO_2$  + cyclooctane, can be used to discuss the phase behavior evolution regarding to the homologous series of  $CO_2$  + naphtenes binary mixtures. Mixtures of  $CO_2$  with cyclopentane and cyclohexane exhibit type I or II phase behavior. <sup>10,11</sup> From the results reported in this paper, it can be concluded that the binary system  $CO_2$  + cycloheptane also belongs to type I or II since the vapor-liquid critical curve is, highly likely, continuous. Finally, the binary mixture  $CO_2$  + cyclooctane probably exhibits type V phase behavior <sup>3</sup> but more experiments are necessary to validate this assumption.

In the future, additional measurements of the system  $CO_2$  + cyclooctane are planned, with small steps in temperature and a particular focus on the  $CO_2$  rich region of the binary diagram to confirm, or not, our supposition. Measurement of the binaries  $CO_2$  + cyclodecane and  $CO_2$  + cyclododecane are also envisaged.

## **Corresponding Author**

\* stephane.vitu@lecnam.net

## Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

## References

(1) Kontogeorgis, G. M.; Dohrn, R.; Economou, I. G.; de Hemptinne, J.-C.; ten Kate, A.; Kuitunen, S.; Mooijer, M.; Žilnik, L. F.; Vesovic, V. Industrial Requirements for Thermodynamic and Transport Properties: 2020. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* **2021**, *60* (13), 4987–5013. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.iecr.0c05356.

(2) Sima, S.; Cismondi, M.; Secuianu, C. High-Pressure Phase Equilibrium for Carbon Dioxide + Ethyl *n* -Butyrate Binary System. *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **2021**, *66* (11), 4094–4102. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jced.1c00319.

(3) Vitu, S.; Piña-Martinez, A.; Privat, R.; Debacq, M.; Havet, J.-L.; Daridon, J.-L.; Jaubert, J.-N. Experimental Determination and Modelling of High-Pressure Phase Behavior for the Binary System CO<sub>2</sub> + Cyclooctane. *The Journal of Supercritical Fluids* **2021**, *174*, 105249. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.supflu.2021.105249.

(4) Peper, S.; Fonseca, J. M. S.; Dohrn, R. High-Pressure Fluid-Phase Equilibria: Trends, Recent Developments, and Systems Investigated (2009–2012). *Fluid Phase Equilibria* **2019**, *484*, 126–224. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fluid.2018.10.007.

(5) He, J.; Xu, X.; Gui, X. New Vapor–Liquid Phase Equilibrium Data of CO<sub>2</sub> in Several Heavy *n*-Alkanes at High Pressures. *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **2021**, *66* (4), 1600–1610. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jced.0c00834.

(6) Moorcroft, J. J.; Schwarz, C. E. Influence of Unsaturation on the High-Pressure Phase Behavior of  $C_{18}$  Fatty Acid Methyl Esters +  $CO_2$ . J. Chem. Eng. Data **2020**, 65 (9), 4582–4595. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jced.0c00423.

(7) Sima, S.; Secuianu, C.; Nichita, D. V. High-Pressure Phase Equilibria of Carbon Dioxide + 1,4-Dioxane Binary System. *Fluid Phase Equilibria* **2021**, *547*, 113181. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fluid.2021.113181. (8) Gao, Y.; Li, C.; Xia, S.; Ma, P. The Solubility of CO2 in (Hexane + Cyclohexane) and (Cyclopentane + Ethylbenzene) and (Toluene + Undecane) Systems at High Pressures. *The Journal of Chemical Thermodynamics* **2021**, *154*, 106324. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jct.2020.106324.

(9) Vitu, S.; Jaubert, J.-N.; Pauly, J.; Daridon, J.-L. High-Pressure Phase Behaviour of the Binary System CO<sub>2</sub> + Cis-Decalin from (292.75 to 373.75) K. *Journal of Chemical Thermodynamics* **2008**, *40* (9), 1358–1363. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jct.2008.05.008.

(10) Sima, S.; Milanesio, J. M.; Ramello, J. I.; Cismondi, M.; Secuianu, C.; Feroiu, V.; Geană, D. The Effect of the Naphthenic Ring on the VLE of (Carbon Dioxide + Alkane) Mixtures. *The Journal of Chemical Thermodynamics* 2016, *93*, 374–385. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jct.2015.07.018.

(11) Vitu, S.; Privat, R.; Jaubert, J.-N.; Mutelet, F. Predicting the Phase Equilibria of  $CO_2$  + Hydrocarbon Systems with the PPR78 Model (PR EoS and  $k_{ij}$  Calculated through a Group Contribution Method). *Journal of Supercritical Fluids* **2008**, *45* (1), 1–26. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.supflu.2007.11.015.

(12) Gironi, F.; Lavecchia, R. A Simple Method for Determining the Solubility of Gases in Liquids: Application to CO2-Cycloparaffin Systems. *Fluid Phase Equilibria* **1993**, *87* (1), 153–161. https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-3812(93)85023-F.

(13) Zid, S.; Bazile, J.-P.; Daridon, J.-L.; Piña-Martinez, A.; Jaubert, J.-N.; Vitu, S. Fluid Phase Equilibria for the CO<sub>2</sub> + 2,3-Dimethylbutane Binary System from 291.9 K to 373.1 K. *The Journal of Supercritical Fluids* **2022**, *179*, 105387. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.supflu.2021.105387.

(14) Vitu, S.; Jaubert, J.-N.; Pauly, J.; Daridon, J.-L.; Barth, D. Phase Equilibria Measurements of CO<sub>2</sub> + Methyl Cyclopentane and CO<sub>2</sub> + Isopropyl Cyclohexane Binary Mixtures at Elevated Pressures. *Journal of Supercritical Fluids* **2008**, *44* (2), 155–163. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.supflu.2007.10.003.

(15) Vitu, S.; Jaubert, J.-N.; Pauly, J.; Daridon, J.-L.; Barth, D. Bubble and Dew Points of Carbon Dioxide + a Five-Component Synthetic Mixture: Experimental Data and Modeling with the PPR78 Model. *Journal of Chemical and Engineering Data* **2007**, *52* (5), 1851–1855. https://doi.org/10.1021/je7001978.

(16) Daridon, J.-L.; Montel, F.; Nichita, D. V.; Pauly, J. Fluid-Fluid and Fluid-Solid Phase Equilibria in Carbon Dioxide + Waxy Systems 1. CO<sub>2</sub> + n-C<sub>17</sub>. *Fluid Phase Equilibria* **2021**, *538*, 113023. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fluid.2021.113023.

(17) Van Konynenburg, P. H.; Scott, R. L. Critical Lines and Phase Equilibria in Binary Van Der Waals Mixtures. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences* **1980**, *298* (1442), 495–540. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.1980.0266.

(18) Privat, R.; Jaubert, J.-N. Classification of Global Fluid-Phase Equilibrium Behaviors in Binary Systems. *Chemical Engineering Research and Design* **2013**, *91* (10), 1807–1839. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cherd.2013.06.026. (19) Schwarz, C. E.; Nieuwoudt, I. Phase Equilibrium of Propane and Alkanes. *The Journal of Supercritical Fluids* **2003**, *27* (2), 133–144. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0896-8446(02)00232-2.

(20) Schwarz, C. E. High Pressure Phase Behavior of the Homologous Series CO<sub>2</sub> + 1-Alcohols. J. Chem. Eng. Data **2018**, 63 (7), 2451–2466. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jced.7b01000.

(21) Latsky, C.; Cordeiro, B.; Schwarz, C. E. High Pressure Bubble- and Dew-Point Data for Systems Containing CO<sub>2</sub> with 1-Decanol and n-Hexadecane. *Fluid Phase Equilibria* **2020**, *521*, 112702. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fluid.2020.112702.

(22) Chiko, A.; Polishuk, I. Comparison of CP-PC-SAFT and PC-SAFT with  $k_{12} = 0$  and PPR78 in Predicting Binary Systems of Hydrocarbons with Squalane, *n*-Dodecylbenzene, *Cis*-Decalin, Tetralin, and Naphthalene at High Pressures. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* **2021**, acs.iecr.1c03486. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.iecr.1c03486.

(23) Jaubert, J.-N.; Mutelet, F. VLE Predictions with the Peng-Robinson Equation of State and Temperature-Dependent  $k_{ij}$  Calculated through a Group Contribution Method. *Fluid Phase Equilibria* **2004**, *224* (2), 285–304. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fluid.2004.06.059.

(24) Jaubert, J.-N.; Privat, R. *Thermodynamic Models for Chemical Processes*.; ISTE Press LTD - ELSEVIER: London, 2021. https://doi.org/10.1016/C2016-0-01224-X.

(25) Peng, D.-Y.; Robinson, D. B. A New Two-Constant Equation of State. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Fundamentals* **1976**, *15* (1), 59–64. https://doi.org/10.1021/i160057a011.

# For Table of Contents Only

