



HAL
open science

Bounds for the volume of the solutions to a system on the annulus

Samy Skander Bahoura

► **To cite this version:**

Samy Skander Bahoura. Bounds for the volume of the solutions to a system on the annulus. 2022.
hal-03509259

HAL Id: hal-03509259

<https://hal.science/hal-03509259>

Preprint submitted on 4 Jan 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Bounds for the volume of the solutions to a system on the annulus.

Samy Skander Bahoura*

Equipe d'Analyse Complexe et Géométrie.

Université Pierre et Marie Curie, 75005 Paris, France.

Abstract

We consider an elliptic system with regular Hölderian weight and exponential nonlinearity or with weight and boundary singularity, and, Dirichlet condition. We prove the boundedness of the volume of the solutions to those systems on the annulus.

Keywords: Regular Hölderian weight, weight, singularity, system, a priori estimate, annulus, volume, Lipschitz condition.

MSC: 35J60, 35B45.

1 Introduction and Main Results

We set $\Delta = \partial_{11} + \partial_{22}$ on the annulus $\Omega = C(1, 1/2, 0)$ of \mathbb{R}^2 of radii 1 and 1/2 centered at the origin.

We consider the following system:

$$(P) \begin{cases} -\Delta u = (1 + |x - x_0|^{2\beta})Ve^v & \text{in } \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2, \\ -\Delta v = We^u & \text{in } \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \\ v = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Here: $C(1)$ the unit circle and $C(1/2)$ the circle of radius 1/2 centered at the origin.

$$\beta \in (0, 1/2), \quad x_0 \in C(1/2),$$

and,

$$u \in W_0^{1,1}(\Omega), \quad e^u \in L^1(\Omega) \text{ and } 0 < a \leq V \leq b,$$

and,

$$v \in W_0^{1,1}(\Omega), \quad e^v \in L^1(\Omega) \text{ and } 0 < c \leq W \leq d.$$

This is a system with regular Hölderian weight not Lipschitz in x_0 but have a weak derivative.

*e-mails: samybahoura@yahoo.fr, samybahoura@gmail.com

This problem (P) is defined in the sense of the distributions, see [10]. The system was studied by many authors, see [14, 16, 27], also for Riemannian surfaces, see [1-27], where one can find some existence and compactness results. In [9] we have an interior estimate for elliptic equations with exponential nonlinearity.

In this paper we try to prove that we have on all Ω the boundedness of the volume of the solutions of (P) if we add the assumption that V and W are uniformly Lipschitz with particular Lipschitz numbers.

Here we have:

Theorem 1.1 *Assume that u is a solution of (P) relative to V and W with the following conditions:*

$$x_0 \in C(1/2) \subset \partial\Omega, \quad \beta \in (0, 1/2),$$

and,

$$0 < a \leq V \leq b, \quad \|\nabla V\|_{L^\infty} \leq A = \frac{a}{2(1+2^{2\beta})},$$

and,

$$0 < c \leq W \leq d, \quad \|\nabla W\|_{L^\infty} \leq B = \frac{c}{2},$$

we have,

$$\int_{\Omega} e^u \leq c(a, b, c, d, \beta, x_0, \Omega), \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\Omega} e^v \leq c'(a, b, c, d, \beta, x_0, \Omega)$$

We have the same result if we consider a system with boundary singularity. On the annulus $\Omega = C(1, 1/2, 0)$ of \mathbb{R}^2 of radii 1 and 1/2 centered at the origin.

We consider the following system:

$$(P_\beta) \begin{cases} -\Delta u = |x - x_0|^{2\beta} V e^v & \text{in } \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2, \\ -\Delta v = |x - x_0|^{2\beta} W e^u & \text{in } \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \\ v = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Here: $C(1)$ the unit circle and $C(1/2)$ the circle of radius 1/2 centered at the origin.

$$\beta \in (-1/2, +\infty), \quad x_0 \in C(1/2),$$

and,

$$u \in W_0^{1,1}(\Omega), \quad |x - x_0|^{2\beta} e^u \in L^1(\Omega) \quad \text{and} \quad 0 < a \leq V \leq b,$$

and,

$$v \in W_0^{1,1}(\Omega), \quad |x - x_0|^{2\beta} e^v \in L^1(\Omega) \quad \text{and} \quad 0 < c \leq W \leq d.$$

Here we have:

Theorem 1.2 Assume that u is a solution of (P_β) relative to V and W with the following conditions:

$$x_0 \in C(1/2) \subset \partial\Omega, \quad \beta \in (-1/2, +\infty),$$

and,

$$0 < a \leq V \leq b, \quad \|\nabla V\|_{L^\infty} \leq A = \frac{(\beta+1)a}{2},$$

and,

$$0 < c \leq W \leq d, \quad \|\nabla W\|_{L^\infty} \leq B = \frac{(\beta+1)c}{2},$$

we have,

$$\int_{\Omega} |x-x_0|^{2\beta} e^u \leq c(a, b, c, d, \beta, x_0, \Omega), \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\Omega} |x-x_0|^{2\beta} e^v \leq c'(a, b, c, d, \beta, x_0, \Omega)$$

We have the same result if we consider a system with boundary singularity. On the annulus $\Omega = C(1, 1/2, 0)$ of \mathbb{R}^2 of radii 1 and 1/2 centered at the origin.

We consider the following system:

$$(P_\beta) \begin{cases} -\Delta u = |x-x_0|^{2\beta} V e^v & \text{in } \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2, \\ -\Delta v = W e^u & \text{in } \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \\ v = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Here: $C(1)$ the unit circle and $C(1/2)$ the circle of radius 1/2 centered at the origin.

$$\beta \in (-1/2, +\infty), \quad x_0 \in C(1/2),$$

and,

$$u \in W_0^{1,1}(\Omega), \quad e^u \in L^1(\Omega) \quad \text{and} \quad 0 < a \leq V \leq b,$$

and,

$$v \in W_0^{1,1}(\Omega), \quad |x-x_0|^{2\beta} e^v \in L^1(\Omega) \quad \text{and} \quad 0 < c \leq W \leq d.$$

Here we have:

Theorem 1.3 Assume that u is a solution of (P_β) relative to V and W with the following conditions:

$$x_0 \in C(1/2) \subset \partial\Omega, \quad \beta \in (-1/2, +\infty),$$

and,

$$0 < a \leq V \leq b, \quad \|\nabla V\|_{L^\infty} \leq A = \frac{(\beta+1)a}{2},$$

and,

$$0 < c \leq W \leq d, \quad \|\nabla W\|_{L^\infty} \leq B = \frac{c}{2},$$

we have,

$$\int_{\Omega} e^u \leq c(a, b, c, d, \beta, x_0, \Omega), \quad \text{and,} \quad \int_{\Omega} |x - x_0|^{2\beta} e^v \leq c'(a, b, c, d, \beta, x_0, \Omega)$$

2 Proof of the Theorems:

Proof of the theorem 1.1:

By corollary 1 of the paper of Brezis-Merle, we have: $e^{ku}, e^{kv} \in L^1(\Omega)$ for all $k > 2$ and the elliptic estimates and the Sobolev embedding imply that: $u, v \in W^{2,k}(\Omega) \cap C^{1,\epsilon}(\bar{\Omega})$, $\epsilon > 0$. By the maximum principle $u, v \geq 0$.

Step 1: We use the first eigenvalue and the first eigenfunction with Dirichlet boundary condition to bound the volumes locally uniformly. Thus the solutions are locally uniformly bounded by Brezis-Merle result. The solutions $u, v > 0$ are locally uniformly bounded in $C^{1,\epsilon}(\Omega)$ for ϵ small.

By Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, applied to $u\sqrt{\phi_1}$ and $\sqrt{\phi_1}$ for the following equality:

$$\int_{\Omega} (1 + |x - x_0|^{2\beta}) V e^v \phi_1 dx = \lambda_1 \int_{\Omega} u \phi_1 dx \leq c_1 \left(\int_{\Omega} u^2 \phi_1 \right)^{1/2} \leq c_2 \left(\int_{\Omega} W e^u \phi_1 \right)^{1/2},$$

and for v ,

$$\int_{\Omega} W e^u \phi_1 dx = \lambda_1 \int_{\Omega} v \phi_1 dx \leq c_3 \left(\int_{\Omega} v^2 \phi_1 \right)^{1/2} \leq c_4 \left(\int_{\Omega} (1 + |x - x_0|^{2\beta}) V e^v \phi_1 \right)^{1/2},$$

Thus,

$$\left(\int_{\Omega} (1 + |x - x_0|^{2\beta}) V e^v \phi_1 dx \right)^{3/4} \leq c_5,$$

and,

$$\left(\int_{\Omega} W e^u \phi_1 dx \right)^{3/4} \leq c_6,$$

We can use Brezis-Merle arguments to prove that for all subdomain K of Ω : the two integrals, $\int_K (1 + |x - x_0|^{2\beta}) V e^v dx$, and, $\int_K W e^u dx$ converge to nonnegative measures μ_1, μ_2 without nonregular points.

By contradiction, suppose that $\max_K u_i \rightarrow +\infty$ and $\max_K v_i \rightarrow +\infty$.

Since $(1 + |x - x_0|^{2\beta}) V_i e^{v_i}$ and $W_i e^{u_i}$ are bounded in $L^1(K)$, we can extract from those two sequences two subsequences which converge to two nonnegative measures μ_1 and μ_2 . (This procedure is similar to the procedure of Brezis-Merle, we apply corollary 4 of Brezis-Merle paper, see [9]).

If $\mu_1(y_0) < 4\pi$, by a Brezis-Merle estimate for the first equation, we have $e^{u_i} \in L^{1+\epsilon}$ uniformly around y_0 , by the elliptic estimates, for the second equation, we have $v_i \in W^{2,1+\epsilon} \subset L^\infty$ uniformly around y_0 , and, returning to the first equation, we have $u_i \in L^\infty$ uniformly around y_0 .

If $\mu_2(y_0) < 4\pi$, then u_i and v_i are also locally uniformly bounded around y_0 .

Thus, we take a look to the case when, $\mu_1(y_0) \geq 4\pi$ and $\mu_2(y_0) \geq 4\pi$. By our hypothesis, those points y_0 are finite.

We will see that inside K no such points exist. By contradiction, assume that, we have $\mu_1(y_0) \geq 4\pi$. Let us consider a ball $B_R(y_0)$ which contain only y_0 as nonregular point. Thus, on $\partial B_R(y_0)$, the two sequence u_i and v_i are uniformly bounded. Let us consider:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta z_i = (1 + |x - x_0|^{2\beta})V_i e^{v_i} & \text{in } B_R(y_0) \subset \mathbb{R}^2, \\ z_i = 0 & \text{in } \partial B_R(y_0). \end{cases}$$

By the maximum principle:

$$z_i \leq u_i,$$

and $z_i \rightarrow z$ almost everywhere on this ball, and thus,

$$\int e^{z_i} \leq \int e^{u_i} \leq C,$$

and,

$$\int e^z \leq C.$$

but, z is a solution in $W_0^{1,q}(B_R(y_0))$, $1 \leq q < 2$, of the following equation:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta z = \mu_1 & \text{in } B_R(y_0) \subset \mathbb{R}^2, \\ z = 0 & \text{in } \partial B_R(y_0). \end{cases}$$

with, $\mu_1 \geq 4\pi$ and thus, $\mu_1 \geq 4\pi\delta_{y_0}$ and then, by the maximum principle in $W_0^{1,1}(B_R(y_0))$:

$$z \geq -2 \log |x - y_0| + C$$

thus,

$$\int e^z = +\infty,$$

which is a contradiction. Thus, there is no nonregular points inside K . Thus (u_i) and (v_i) are uniformly bounded in K and also in $C^{1,\epsilon}(K)$ by the elliptic estimates, for all $K \subset \subset \Omega$.

Step 2: Let's consider $C_1 = C(1, 3/4, 0)$ and $C_2 = C(3/4, 1/2, 0)$ the two annulus wich are the neighborhood of the two components of the boundary.

We multiply the equation by $(x-x_0) \cdot \nabla u$ on C_1 and C_2 and use the Pohozaev-Rellich identity and Stokes theorem, see [26]. We use the fact that u and v are uniformly bounded around the circle $C(3/4)$. We obtain:

1) We have on C_1 :

$$\int_{C_1} (\Delta u)[(x - x_0) \cdot \nabla v] dx = \int_{C_1} -[(1 + |x - x_0|^{2\beta})V(x - x_0) \cdot \nabla(e^v)] dx,$$

and,

$$\int_{C_1} (\Delta v)[(x - x_0) \cdot \nabla u] dx = \int_{C_1} -[W(x - x_0) \cdot \nabla(e^u)] dx,$$

Thus, by integration by parts,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{C_1} (\Delta u)[(x - x_0) \cdot \nabla v] + (\Delta v)[(x - x_0) \cdot \nabla u] dx = \\ & \int_{\partial C_1} [(x - x_0) \cdot \nabla u](\nabla v \cdot \nu) + [(x - x_0) \cdot \nabla v](\nabla u \cdot \nu) - [(x - x_0) \cdot \nu](\nabla u \cdot \nabla v) = \\ & = \int_{C_1} (2 + 2(\beta + 1)|x - x_0|^{2\beta})Ve^v dx + \int_{C_1} (1 + |x - x_0|^{2\beta})(x - x_0) \cdot \nabla Ve^v dx + \\ & \quad + \int_{C_1} 2We^u dx + \int_{C_1} (x - x_0) \cdot \nabla We^u dx + \\ & \quad - \int_{\partial C_1} (1 + |x - x_0|^{2\beta})[(x - x_0) \cdot \nu]Ve^v d\sigma + \\ & \quad - \int_{\partial C_1} [(x - x_0) \cdot \nu]We^u d\sigma \end{aligned}$$

We can write, ($u = 0$ on $C(1)$):

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{C(1)} [(x - x_0) \cdot \nu](\partial_\nu u)(\partial_\nu v) d\sigma + O(1) = \\ & \leq k_1 \int_{C_1} (1 + |x - x_0|^{2\beta})Ve^v dx + k_2 \int_{C_1} We^u dx + O(1) = \\ & = k_1 \int_{C(1)} \partial_\nu u d\sigma + k_2 \int_{C(1)} \partial_\nu v d\sigma + O(1), \end{aligned}$$

with $k_1, k_2 > 0$ not depends on u .

Be cause $\nu = x, \|x\| = 1, \|x_0\| = 1/2$ and then by Cauchy-Schwarz, $(x - x_0) \cdot x = \|x\|^2 - x_0 \cdot x \geq 1/2$, we obtain:

$$0 < \int_{C(1)} (\partial_\nu u)(\partial_\nu v) d\sigma \leq k_1 \int_{C(1)} \partial_\nu u d\sigma + k_2 \int_{C(1)} \partial_\nu v d\sigma + O(1), \quad (1)$$

Let $\epsilon = \inf(1, \frac{a}{d})$, then: $-\Delta(\epsilon v) = \epsilon We^u \leq ae^u \leq (1 + |x - x_0|^{2\beta})Ve^u$, and $\epsilon \leq 1, v \geq 0 \Rightarrow (1 + |x - x_0|^{2\beta})Ve^v \geq (1 + |x - x_0|^{2\beta})Ve^{\epsilon v}$ and then: $-\Delta u \geq (1 + |x - x_0|^{2\beta})Ve^{\epsilon v}$. (We can remove $(1 + |x - x_0|^{2\beta})$) We obtain:

$$-\Delta(u - \epsilon v) = -\Delta u + \Delta(\epsilon v) \geq (1 + |x - x_0|^{2\beta})V(e^{\epsilon v} - e^u),$$

Thus,

$$-\Delta(u - \epsilon v) + (1 + |x - x_0|^{2\beta})V(e^u - e^{\epsilon v}) \geq 0,$$

(For the theorem 1.2, we have the weight $|x - x_0|^{2\beta}$, in the two equations, we can compare ϵv and u).

We return to the proof of theorem 1.1. Let's consider the fonction:

$$c(x) = (1 + |x - x_0|^{2\beta})V \frac{(e^u - e^{\epsilon v})}{u - \epsilon v}, \text{ if } u \neq \epsilon v, \text{ and, } c(x) = (1 + |x - x_0|^{2\beta})V e^u, \text{ if } u = \epsilon v.$$

The function $c \geq 0$ is $C^\beta(\bar{\Omega})$, and $-c \leq 0$. (For the theorem 1.2, if $-1/2 < \beta < 0$, c is $C^{-\beta}(\Omega) \cap L^2(\Omega)$, but this is sufficient to apply the weak maximum principle, see the book of Gilbarg-Trudinger).

We can write:

$$\Delta(\epsilon v - u) - c(x)(\epsilon v - u) \geq 0, \text{ in } \Omega, \text{ and, } u - \epsilon v = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega,$$

The operator $L = \Delta + (-c) = \Delta + \tilde{c}$ satisfies the maximum principle because $\tilde{c} = -c \leq 0$, we obtain:

by the weak maximum principle for $\epsilon v - u \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C^1(\bar{\Omega})$, see the book of Gilbarg-Trudinger, we obtain (and for the outer normal):

$$\epsilon v - u \leq 0, \text{ and then, } \partial_\nu(\epsilon v - u) \geq 0,$$

Thus, for the inner normal, we have:

$$\partial_\nu u \geq \epsilon \cdot \partial_\nu v > 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \quad (2)$$

By the same argument, if we set $\bar{\epsilon} = \inf(1, \frac{\epsilon}{(1+2^{2\beta})b})$, we obtain, for the inner normal:

$$\partial_\nu v \geq \bar{\epsilon} \cdot \partial_\nu u > 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \quad (3)$$

Remark: For theorems 1.2 and 1.3: we can remove the fact that we have the weight $|x - x_0|^{2\beta}$ in the two equations of the system, we can assume that we have one equation with weight and the other equation without weight for example. Indeed, remark that $x_0 \in C(1/2)$ and here we consider $C(1)$, we can apply the weak maximum principle on C_1 after choosing $1 > \epsilon > 0$ and $1 > \bar{\epsilon} > 0$ independent of u and v , such that: $\epsilon v - u \leq 0$ on $C(3/4)$ and $\bar{\epsilon}u - v \leq 0$ on $C(3/4)$, because we have the uniform interior estimate around $C(3/4)$ and the maximum principle (by contradiction if we assume there are $(t_i) \in C(3/4), t_i \rightarrow t_0$ and u_i, v_i such that $u_i(t_i) \rightarrow 0$, $(u_i), (v_i)$ converge to u_0, v_0 on $B(t_0, r_0), r_0 > 0$ with $u_0(t_0) = 0$, but $-\Delta u_0 > 0$ and the maximum principle applied to $-u_0$ imply that $u_0 > 0$ around t_0 . This fact imply that u, v have positive lower bounds on $C(3/4)$ and we can choose $\epsilon, \bar{\epsilon}$ independent of u, v on $C(3/4)$. Finally we can apply the weak maximum principle on C_1).

Thus, we use (1), (2), (3), we obtain the same result as for one equation;

$$\int_{C(1)} (\partial_\nu v)^2 d\sigma \leq C_3 \int_{C(1)} \partial_\nu v d\sigma + C_4,$$

with, $C_3, C_4 > 0$ and not depend on u and v , and,

$$\int_{C(1)} (\partial_\nu u)^2 d\sigma \leq C_5 \int_{C(1)} \partial_\nu u d\sigma + C_6,$$

with, $C_5, C_6 > 0$ and not depend on u and v , and,

Applying the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have:

$$\int_{C(1)} (\partial_\nu u)^2 d\sigma = O(1), \quad \int_{C(1)} (\partial_\nu v)^2 d\sigma = O(1)$$

and thus,

$$\int_{C(1)} \partial_\nu u d\sigma = O(1), \quad \int_{C(1)} \partial_\nu v d\sigma = O(1)$$

2) We have on C_2 , we use again, the uniform boundedness of u and v in C^1 norm around $C(3/4)$:

$$\int_{C_2} (\Delta u)[(x - x_0) \cdot \nabla v] dx = \int_{C_2} -[(1 + |x - x_0|^{2\beta})V(x - x_0) \cdot \nabla(e^v)] dx,$$

and,

$$\int_{C_2} (\Delta v)[(x - x_0) \cdot \nabla u] dx = \int_{C_2} -[W(x - x_0) \cdot \nabla(e^u)] dx,$$

Thus, by integration by parts,

$$\int_{C_2} (\Delta u)[(x - x_0) \cdot \nabla v] + (\Delta v)[(x - x_0) \cdot \nabla u] dx =$$

$$\int_{\partial C_2} [(x - x_0) \cdot \nabla u](\nabla v \cdot \nu) + [(x - x_0) \cdot \nabla v](\nabla u \cdot \nu) - [(x - x_0) \cdot \nu](\nabla u \cdot \nabla v) =$$

$$= \int_{C_2} (2 + 2(\beta + 1)|x - x_0|^{2\beta})V e^v dx + \int_{C_2} (1 + |x - x_0|^{2\beta})(x - x_0) \cdot \nabla V e^v dx +$$

$$+ \int_{C_2} 2W e^u dx + \int_{C_2} (x - x_0) \cdot \nabla W e^u dx +$$

$$- \int_{\partial C_2} (1 + |x - x_0|^{2\beta})[(x - x_0) \cdot \nu]V e^v d\sigma +$$

$$- \int_{\partial C_2} [(x - x_0) \cdot \nu]W e^u d\sigma$$

But here, $\nu = -2x$ and $(x - x_0) \cdot \nu = -2(x - x_0) \cdot x \leq 0$ and thus:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{C(1/2)} (x - x_0) \cdot \nu (\partial_\nu u) (\partial_\nu v) d\sigma + O(1) = \\
= & \int_{C_2} (2 + 2(\beta + 1)|x - x_0|^{2\beta}) V e^v dx + \int_{C_2} (1 + |x - x_0|^{2\beta})(x - x_0) \cdot \nabla V e^v dx + \\
& + \int_{C_2} 2W e^u dx + \int_{C_2} (x - x_0) \cdot \nabla W e^u dx + \\
& - \int_{\partial C_2} (1 + |x - x_0|^{2\beta}) [(x - x_0) \cdot \nu] V e^v d\sigma + \\
& - \int_{\partial C_2} [(x - x_0) \cdot \nu] W e^u d\sigma
\end{aligned}$$

thus:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{C_2} (2 + 2(\beta + 1)|x - x_0|^{2\beta}) V e^v dx + \int_{C_2} (1 + |x - x_0|^{2\beta})(x - x_0) \cdot \nabla V e^v dx + \\
& + \int_{C_2} 2W e^u dx + \int_{C_1} (x - x_0) \cdot \nabla W e^u dx + \\
& + \int_{C(1/2)} \frac{1}{2} [-(x - x_0) \cdot \nu] (\partial_\nu u) (\partial_\nu v) d\sigma = O(1)
\end{aligned}$$

If we choose:

$$\frac{|(x - x_0) \cdot \nabla V|}{V} \leq \frac{1}{2} \inf_{x \in \Omega} \frac{(2 + 2(\beta + 1)|x - x_0|^{2\beta})}{1 + |x - x_0|^{2\beta}}, \quad (4)$$

and,

$$\frac{|(x - x_0) \cdot \nabla W|}{W} \leq \frac{1}{2} \cdot 2, \quad (5)$$

(For the theorem 1.2, we choose: $\frac{|(x - x_0) \cdot \nabla V|}{V} \leq \frac{1}{2} \inf_{x \in \Omega} \frac{(2(\beta + 1)|x - x_0|^{2\beta})}{|x - x_0|^{2\beta}} = \beta + 1$, and also for W , we choose $\frac{|(x - x_0) \cdot \nabla W|}{W} \leq \frac{1}{2} \inf_{x \in \Omega} \frac{(2(\beta + 1)|x - x_0|^{2\beta})}{|x - x_0|^{2\beta}} = (\beta + 1)$).

We obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{C_2} (2 + 2(\beta + 1)|x - x_0|^{2\beta}) V e^v dx + \int_{C_2} (1 + |x - x_0|^{2\beta})(x - x_0) \cdot \nabla V e^v dx \geq \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{C_2} (2 + 2(\beta + 1)|x - x_0|^{2\beta}) V e^v dx \geq 0
\end{aligned}$$

and,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{C_2} 2We^u dx + \int_{C_2} (x - x_0) \cdot \nabla We^u dx &\geq \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{C_2} 2We^u dx \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

thus,

$$\int_{C_2} [(2 + 2(\beta + 1)|x - x_0|^{2\beta})Ve^v + 2We^u] dx = O(1),$$

we obtain:

$$\int_{C_2} (1 + |x - x_0|^{2\beta})Ve^v dx = O(1),$$

and,

$$\int_{C_2} We^u dx = O(1),$$

and thus, if $A \leq \frac{a}{2(1 + 2^{2\beta})}$ and $B \leq \frac{c}{2}$, we obtain :

$$\int_{C(1/2)} \partial_\nu u d\sigma = O(1), \quad \int_{C(1/2)} \partial_\nu v d\sigma = O(1),$$

Thus, if we use 1) and 2), we obtain: if $A \leq \frac{a}{2(1 + 2^{2\beta})}$ and $B \leq \frac{c}{2}$:

$$\int_{C(1)} (\partial_\nu u) d\sigma = O(1), \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{C(1/2)} \partial_\nu u d\sigma = O(1),$$

and,

$$\int_{C(1)} (\partial_\nu v) d\sigma = O(1), \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{C(1/2)} \partial_\nu v d\sigma = O(1),$$

and, thus:

$$\int_{\Omega} [(1 + |x - x_0|^{2\beta})Ve^v] dx = \int_{\partial\Omega} (\partial_\nu u) d\sigma = O(1).$$

and,

$$\int_{\Omega} We^u dx = \int_{\partial\Omega} (\partial_\nu v) d\sigma = O(1).$$

For the theorem 1.2. we obtain the same result if $A \leq \frac{(\beta + 1)a}{2}$ and $B \leq \frac{(\beta + 1)c}{2}$.
 We have the same result for theorem 1.3.

References

- [1] T. Aubin. Some Nonlinear Problems in Riemannian Geometry. Springer-Verlag, 1998.
- [2] Ambrosio. L, Fusco. N, Pallara, D. Functions of Bounded variations and Free discontinuity Problems, Oxford Press. 2000.
- [3] Bahoura.S.S. A uniform boundedness result for solutions to the Liouville type equation with boundary singularity. J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, 23, no 2, 487-497. 2016.
- [4] Bahoura.S.S. A compactness result for an equation with Holderian condition. Commun. Math. Anal. Vol 21, no 1, 23-34, 2018.
- [5] C. Bandle. Isoperimetric Inequalities and Applications. Pitman, 1980.
- [6] L. Boccardo, T. Gallouet. Nonlinear elliptic and parabolic equations involving measure data. J. Funct. Anal. 87 no 1, (1989), 149-169.
- [7] H. Brezis, YY. Li and I. Shafrir. A sup+inf inequality for some nonlinear elliptic equations involving exponential nonlinearities. J.Funct.Anal.115 (1993) 344-358.
- [8] Brezis. H, Marcus. M, Ponce. A. C. Nonlinear elliptic equations with measures revisited. Mathematical aspects of nonlinear dispersive equations, 55-109, Ann. of Math. Stud., 163, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 2007.
- [9] H. Brezis, F. Merle. Uniform estimates and Blow-up behavior for solutions of $-\Delta u = V(x)e^u$ in two dimension. Commun. in Partial Differential Equations, 16 (8 and 9), 1223-1253(1991).
- [10] H. Brezis, W. A. Strauss. Semi-linear second-order elliptic equations in L1. J. Math. Soc. Japan 25 (1973), 565-590.
- [11] Chang, Sun-Yung A, Gursky, Matthew J, Yang, Paul C. Scalar curvature equation on 2- and 3-spheres. Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 1 (1993), no. 2, 205-229.
- [12] W. Chen, C. Li. A priori estimates for solutions to nonlinear elliptic equations. Arch. Rational. Mech. Anal. 122 (1993) 145-157.
- [13] C-C. Chen, C-S. Lin. A sharp sup+inf inequality for a nonlinear elliptic equation in \mathbb{R}^2 . Commun. Anal. Geom. 6, No.1, 1-19 (1998).
- [14] D.G. De Figueiredo. J.M. Do O. B. Ruf. Semilinear Elliptic Systems With Exponential Nonlinearities in Two Dimensions. Advanced Nonlinear Studies. 6 (2006), pp 199-213.
- [15] D.G. De Figueiredo, P.L. Lions, R.D. Nussbaum, A priori Estimates and Existence of Positive Solutions of Semilinear Elliptic Equations, J. Math. Pures et Appl., vol 61, 1982, pp.41-63.
- [16] Dupaigne, L. Farina, A. Sirakov, B. Regularity of the extremal solutions for the Liouville system. Geometric partial differential equations, 139-144, CRM Series, 15, Ed. Norm., Pisa, 2013.

- [17] Droniou. J. Quelques resultats sur les espaces de Sobolev. Hal 2001.
- [18] Ding. W, Jost. J, Li. J, Wang. G. The differential equation $\Delta u = 8\pi - 8\pi h e^u$ on a compact Riemann surface. Asian J. Math. 1 (1997), no. 2, 230-248.
- [19] D. Gilbarg, N. S, Trudinger. Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second order, Berlin Springer-Verlag.
- [20] Hofmann, S. Mitrea, M. Taylor, M. Geometric and transformational properties of Lipschitz domains, Semmes-Kenig-Toro domains, and other classes of finite perimeter domains. J. Geom. Anal. 17 (2007), no. 4, 593-647.
- [21] Krantz, S. Geometric functions theory. Birkhauser.
- [22] YY. Li. Harnack Type Inequality: the method of moving planes. Commun. Math. Phys. 200,421-444 (1999).
- [23] YY. Li, I. Shafrir. Blow-up analysis for solutions of $-\Delta u = V e^u$ in dimension two. Indiana. Math. J. Vol 3, no 4. (1994). 1255-1270.
- [24] L. Ma, J-C. Wei. Convergence for a Liouville equation. Comment. Math. Helv. 76 (2001) 506-514.
- [25] Nagasaki, K, Suzuki, T. Asymptotic analysis for two-dimensional elliptic eigenvalue problems with exponentially dominated nonlinearities. Asymptotic Anal. 3 (1990), no. 2, 173-188.
- [26] E. Mitidieri. A Rellich type identity and applications. Comm. P.D.E. 18. issue 1-2, 1993, pp 125-151.
- [27] Montenegro. M. Minimal solutions for a class of elliptic systems. Bull. London. Math. Soc. 37 (2005), no. 3, 405-416.
- [28] Necas, J. Direct Methods in the Theory of Elliptic Equations. Springer.
- [29] I. Shafrir. A sup+inf inequality for the equation $-\Delta u = V e^u$. C. R. Acad.Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. 315 (1992), no. 2, 159-164.
- [30] Stoker, J. Differential Geometry.
- [31] Tarantello, G. Multiple condensate solutions for the Chern-Simons-Higgs theory. J. Math. Phys. 37 (1996), no. 8, 3769-3796.