

A henselian preparation theorem

Laurent Moret-Bailly

▶ To cite this version:

Laurent Moret-Bailly. A henselian preparation theorem. Israel Journal of Mathematics, 2023, 257, pp.519-531. 10.1007/s11856-023-2545-1. hal-03502426v4

HAL Id: hal-03502426 https://hal.science/hal-03502426v4

Submitted on 24 Dec 2023 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

A henselian preparation theorem

Laurent Moret-Bailly^{*†‡}

December 23, 2023

Published in Israel Journal of Mathematics, 257 (2023), 519–531 (volume in honor of Moshe Jarden) doi: 10.1007/s11856-023-2545-1

Respectfully dedicated to Moshe Jarden

Abstract

We prove an analogue of the Weierstrass preparation theorem for henselian pairs, generalizing the local case recently proved by Bouthier and Česnavičius. As an application, we construct a henselian analogue of the resultant of p-adic series defined by Berger.

AMS 2020 Classification: 13J15; 13B40; 13P15.

1 Introduction

Let R be a ring (commutative, with unit). We denote by $R\{t\}$ the henselization of the polynomial ring R[t] with respect to the ideal (t): this is a subring of the power series ring R[[t]]. (For a brief review of henselian pairs and henselization, see Section 2.1).

The aim of this work is to prove the following result:

Theorem 1.1. Let R be a ring, I an ideal of R. Assume that (R, I) is a henselian pair. Let d be a natural integer and let f be an element of $R\{t\}$ which in R[[t]] has the form $f = \sum_{i>0} a_i t^i$, where $a_d \in R^{\times}$ and $a_i \in I$ for i < d. Then:

(1) The images of $1, t, \ldots, t^{d-1}$ form a basis of the R-module $S = R\{t\}/(f)$.

(2) (Division theorem) Every element of $R\{t\}$ can be written uniquely in the form Bf+Cwhere $B \in R\{t\}$ and where $C \in R[t]$ is a polynomial of degree < d.

(3) (Preparation theorem) f can be written uniquely as $f = (t^d + Q)v$ where $v \in R\{t\}^{\times}$ and where $Q \in R[t]$ has degree < d and coefficients in I.

^{*}Univ Rennes, CNRS, IRMAR - UMR 6625, F-35000 Rennes, France

[†]laurent.moret-bailly@univ-rennes1.fr

[‡]The author was partially supported by the Centre Henri Lebesgue (program ANR-11-LABX-0020-0) and the Geolie project (ANR-15-CE 40-0012).

1.2 Related results

The result (today) most commonly named "Weierstrass preparation theorem" is the analogous statement where $R\{t\}$ is replaced by R[[t]] where R is a complete noetherian local ring with maximal ideal I: see for instance [5, VII, §3, n° 8, prop. 5]. This formal variant was generalized by O'Malley [11, 2.10] to the case where R is I-adically complete and separated (but is no longer assumed local or noetherian).

In the local case, there is a convergent variant, where $R = K\langle x_1, \ldots, x_n \rangle$ is the ring of germs of analytic functions in n variables over some field K complete for an absolute value, and the role of $R\{t\}$ is played by $K\langle x_1, \ldots, x_n, t \rangle$. For $K = \mathbb{C}$, this is in fact the original theorem of Weierstrass. It is generally proved by inspection of the above formal variant (where R is $K[[x_1, \ldots, x_n]]$), checking that the series constructed in the proof remain convergent; see for instance [10, Theorem 45.3].

When R is local henselian with maximal ideal I, Theorem 1.1 was proved by Bouthier and Česnavičius in [6, 3.1.2], which inspired the present paper. The proof we give here is somewhat different and more direct: we do not use reduction to the noetherian case or the classical preparation theorem, but we work directly from the construction of $R\{t\}$ as a filtered colimit of étale R[t]-algebras.

Regrettably, there does not seem to be, at the moment, a general result covering all the above-mentioned variants, or at least a common strategy of proof.

1.3 Outline of the paper

In Section 2, we recall some basic facts about henselian pairs and henselization, some elementary results on henselian series rings (i.e. of the form $R\{t_1, \ldots, t_n\}$), and a useful decomposition result for *R*-schemes, where *R* is as in Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 1.1 itself is proved in section 3. The three statements are easily deduced from each other; here we derive (2) and (3) from (1).

Finally, as an easy application, we define in Section 4 a notion of resultant in $R\{t\}$, entirely similar to the resultant constructed by Berger [4] for *p*-adic formal power series.

Notation and conventions. All rings are commutative with unit; ring homomorphisms respect unit elements. The unit group of a ring R is denoted by R^{\times} , its Jacobson radical by rad(R).

If x is a point of a scheme, $\kappa(x)$ denotes its residue field.

Let Y be a closed subscheme of a scheme X. We say (X, Y) is a Zariski pair if X is the only open subscheme of X containing Y; this condition only depends on the underlying spaces. If X = Spec(A) is affine and $I \subset A$ is the ideal of Y, we say (A, I) is a Zariski pair if (X, Y) is a Zariski pair or, equivalently, if $I \subset \text{rad}(A)$. If (X, Y) is Zariski and $X' \to X$ is a closed morphism, then $(X', Y \times_X X')$ is Zariski.

Acknowledgments. The author is grateful to Henri Lombardi and Herwig Hauser for pointing out references, and to the referee for his/her remarks.

2 Preliminary results

2.1 Review of henselian pairs

The notion of a henselian pair was defined by Lafon [9], generalizing the local case introduced by Azumaya [3]. Let us first recall the definition:

Definition 2.1.1. Let R be a ring and I an ideal of R. We say that (R, I) is a henselian pair if for every étale R-algebra R', every morphism $\overline{\rho} : R' \to R/I$ of R-algebras lifts to a morphism $\rho : R' \to R$.

If (R, I) is a henselian pair, we also say occasionally that (Spec(R), Spec(R/I)) is a henselian pair. (There is an obvious generalization to general schemes, but we only need the affine case). A henselian local ring is a local ring R, with maximal ideal I, such that (R, I) is henselian.

A henselian pair is a Zariski pair: if $f \in 1 + I$, apply the definition to $R' = R_f$. It follows that, given $\overline{\rho}$ as in the definition, ρ is unique. Another immediate consequence of the henselian property is that the map $R \to R/I$ induces a bijection on idempotents: consider R' = R[x]/(x(x-1)).

There are many equivalent definitions of a henselian pair; for this and for more generalities, see for instance [13, Tag 09XD]. One important property that we shall use is that if (R, I) is a henselian pair, so is (R', IR') for every *finite* (or just integral) *R*-algebra *R'*. In particular, idempotents of R'/IR' lift uniquely to idempotents of R'.

2.1.2 Henselization

Let R be a ring and $I \subset R$ an ideal. The category of henselian pairs (S, J), where S is an R-algebra and J is an ideal containing IS, has an initial object $(R, I)^{\rm h} = (R^{\rm h}, I^{\rm h})^{\rm 1}$ called the *henselization* of (R, I) (or the henselization of R at I). We have $I^{\rm h} = IR^{\rm h}$ and $R/I \xrightarrow{\sim} R^{\rm h}/I^{\rm h}$. We can construct $R^{\rm h}$ as the filtered colimit of étale R-algebras R'such that $R/I \xrightarrow{\sim} R'/IR'$; in particular, $R^{\rm h}$ is flat over R, and faithfully flat if (R, I)is a Zariski pair. If R' is an integral R-algebra (for instance a quotient of R), then $(R', IR')^{\rm h} = (R, I)^{\rm h} \otimes_R R'$.

2.2 Structure of henselian series rings

Let R be a ring, $\underline{t} = (t_1, \ldots, t_n)$ a finite sequence of indeterminates ² We denote by $R\{\underline{t}\}$ the henselization of $R[\underline{t}]$ at the ideal (t_1, \ldots, t_n) ; it is an $R[\underline{t}]$ -algebra with an isomorphism $\varepsilon : R\{\underline{t}\}/(\underline{t}) \xrightarrow{\sim} R$, and there is a natural injection $R\{\underline{t}\} \hookrightarrow R[[\underline{t}]]$ making $R[[\underline{t}]]$ the (\underline{t}) -adic completion of $R\{\underline{t}\}$; the image of $f \in R\{\underline{t}\}$ in $R[[\underline{t}]]$ will be denoted by f_{for} .

As a functor of R, $R\{\underline{t}\}$ is better behaved than $R[[\underline{t}]]$. In particular, it commutes with filtered colimits, and if I is any ideal of R we have $R\{\underline{t}\}/IR\{\underline{t}\} \cong (R/I)\{\underline{t}\}$.

For $f \in R\{\underline{t}\}$ we have the equivalences:

$$f \in R\{\underline{t}\}^{\times} \Leftrightarrow f_{\text{for}} \in R[[\underline{t}]]^{\times} \Leftrightarrow \varepsilon(f) \in R^{\times}.$$

¹Of course, the notation $R^{\rm h}$ will be used only if there is no doubt about I.

²For the preparation theorem we only need the case n = 1. The case of an infinite set of indeterminates is left to the reader.

It follows that $\operatorname{rad}(R\{\underline{t}\})$ is generated by $\operatorname{rad}(R)$ and (\underline{t}) . In particular, if (R, I) is a Zariski pair, so is $(R\{\underline{t}\}, IR\{\underline{t}\} + (\underline{t}))$.

Similarly, if (R, I) is a henselian pair, so is $(R\{\underline{t}\}, IR\{\underline{t}\} + (\underline{t}))$: to see this, view R as the quotient $R\{\underline{t}\}/(\underline{t})$ and apply the transitivity property [13, 0DYD].

Classically, $R\{\underline{t}\}$ can be constructed as the colimit of a filtered family $(A_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in L}$ of étale $R[\underline{t}]$ -algebras, with compatible isomorphisms $\varepsilon_{\lambda} : A_{\lambda}/(\underline{t})A_{\lambda} \xrightarrow{\sim} R$. In particular, for all $\lambda \in L$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$, the natural morphism of R-algebras $R[\underline{t}]/(\underline{t})^N \to A_{\lambda}/(\underline{t})^N A_{\lambda}$ is an isomorphism.

Each natural morphism π_{λ} : Spec $(A_{\lambda}) \to$ Spec (R) is smooth of relative dimension n, and has a section s_{λ} deduced from ε_{λ} .

We say that an *R*-algebra *A* is *geometrically irreducible* if the natural morphism $\text{Spec}(A) \to \text{Spec}(R)$ has geometrically irreducible fibers.

Lemma 2.2.1. Let R and $\underline{t} = (t_1, \ldots, t_n)$ be as above. Then one can choose the system $(A_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in L}$ such that each A_{λ} is a geometrically irreducible R-algebra.

Proof. Starting with an arbitrary family $(A_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in L}$, we may assume, by enlarging L, that for all $\lambda \in L$ and $f \in A_{\lambda}$ such that $\varepsilon_{\lambda}(f) \in R^{\times}$, the localized algebra $A_{\lambda}[1/f]$ is still in the family. It suffices to show that, assuming this, the sub-system formed by the geometrically irreducible A_{λ} 's is cofinal. For each λ , let $U_{\lambda} \subset \text{Spec}(A_{\lambda})$ be the union of the connected components of the fibers of π_{λ} meeting the section s_{λ} . As π_{λ} is smooth, U_{λ} is open in Spec (A_{λ}) [7, (15.6.7)], and its fibers over Spec (R) are smooth and connected, with a rational point, hence geometrically irreducible. Since U_{λ} is open, there is $f \in A_{\lambda}$ such that $\text{Im}(s_{\lambda}) \subset \text{Spec}(A_{\lambda}[1/f]) \subset U_{\lambda}$ (in an affine scheme, every closed subset has a basis of principal open neighborhoods). The fibers of $\text{Spec}(A_{\lambda}[1/f]) \to \text{Spec}(R)$ are nonempty and open in those of $U_{\lambda} \to \text{Spec}(R)$ and therefore geometrically irreducible. This completes the proof.

2.2.2 Evaluation

This section will not be used until Section 4.

Let us keep the notation of 2.2 and consider the category Alg_R^h of henselian pairs (A, J) where A is an R-algebra. Then $(R\{\underline{t}\}, (\underline{t}))$ is an object of Alg_R^h corepresenting the set-valued functor $(A, J) \mapsto \prod_{i=1}^n J$. In particular, for an object (A, J) of Alg_R^h and a sequence $\underline{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)$ from J, we have a morphism "evaluation at $\underline{\alpha}$ " from $R\{\underline{t}\}$ to A which we denote by $f \mapsto f(\underline{\alpha})$. One may construct it by noting that the morphism $P \mapsto P(\underline{\alpha})$ from $R[\underline{t}]$ to A maps the t_i 's into J, hence factors through $R\{\underline{t}\}$ because (A, J) is henselian.

For given $\underline{\alpha}$, the element $f(\underline{\alpha})$ is the sum in A, for the J-adic topology, of the series $f_{\text{for}}(\underline{\alpha})$ obtained by substituting $\underline{\alpha}$ for \underline{t} ; this property characterizes $f(\underline{\alpha})$ if A is J-adically separated (but not in general).

The reader can check the following nice property, which will not be used here: if I is an ideal of R generated by n elements a_1, \ldots, a_n , the evaluation morphism $f \mapsto f(\underline{a})$ induces an isomorphism of $R\{\underline{t}\}/(t_i - a_i)_{1 \le i \le n}$ with the henselization $(R, I)^{\mathrm{h}}$.

2.3 Schemes over henselian pairs: a decomposition result

Notation 2.3.1. Let (R, I) be a henselian pair. Put S = Spec(R), $\overline{R} = R/I$, and $\overline{S} = \text{Spec}(\overline{R})$; more generally, for each *R*-algebra *A*, (resp. each *R*-scheme *X*) we shall

put $\overline{A} = A/IA$ (resp. $\overline{X} = X \times_S \overline{S}$).

The following proposition is a variant of [12, XI, cor. 1 p. 119]:

Proposition 2.3.2. With notation as above, let Z be a separated R-scheme of finite type. Assume that \overline{Z} is finite over \overline{R} .

Then there is a unique open and closed subscheme Z^{f} of Z which is finite over R and satisfies $\overline{Z^{f}} = \overline{Z}$. Moreover Z^{f} has the following properties:

(1) The pair $(Z^{\mathrm{f}}, \overline{Z})$ is henselian.

(2) Z^{f} is the smallest open subscheme of Z containing \overline{Z} .

(3) Let T be an R-scheme and $u: T \to Z$ an R-morphism. Assume that (T,\overline{T}) is a Zariski pair. Then u factors through Z^{f} .

Proof. Let us first assume the existence of Z^{f} and prove (1), (2) and (3). First, (1) is clear since (R, I) is henselian and Z^{f} is finite over R. In particular, (Z^{f}, \overline{Z}) is a Zariski pair, and (2) follows because Z^{f} is open in Z. Now take $u: T \to Z$ as in (3): then $u^{-1}(Z^{f})$ is a neighborhood of \overline{T} in T, hence equal to T, which proves (3).

Observe that (2), for instance, implies the uniqueness of Z^{f} . Now let us prove existence. First, consider the set Z' of points $x \in Z$ isolated in their fiber above Spec (R). Then Z' is open in Z [7, (13.1.4)] and, viewed as an open subscheme, it is quasifinite over Spec (R); in addition, we have $\overline{Z'} = \overline{Z}$. So it is clear that if Z'^{f} exists it is open in Z, and closed since it is finite over R, so we can take $Z^{f} = Z'^{f}$. Replacing Z by Z', we can therefore assume Z quasifinite over R.

By Zariski's main theorem [8, (18.12.13)], there is an open immersion $Z \hookrightarrow Z^c$, where Z^c is a finite R-scheme. As \overline{Z} is finite over R, the induced open immersion $\overline{Z} \hookrightarrow \overline{Z^c}$ is closed, so we have $\overline{Z^c} = \overline{Z} \amalg Y$ for an open and closed subscheme Y of $\overline{Z^c}$. Since (R, I) is henselian and Z^c is finite over R, this decomposition is induced (using the idempotent lifting property) by a decomposition $Z^c = Z^f \amalg Z_1^c$ of Z^c , where Z^f and Z_1^c are finite over R and $\overline{Z^f} = \overline{Z}$. In particular $(Z^f, \overline{Z^f}) = (Z^f, \overline{Z})$ is a Zariski pair. Since $Z \cap Z^f$ is open in Z^f and contains \overline{Z} , it is therefore equal to Z^f which means that $Z^f \subset Z$ and $Z = Z^f \amalg Z'$ with $Z' := Z \cap Z_1^c$. Thus, the desired conditions for Z^f are satisfied.

Remarks 2.3.3. (1) Assertions (2) and (3) of 2.3.2 only use the existence of Z^{f} and the Zariski property for (R, I).

(2) We see in particular that Z^{f} is the largest closed subscheme of Z which is finite over S. Moreover, Z^{f} is functorial in Z: if Y is a separated R-scheme of finite type with \overline{Y} finite over \overline{R} , every R-morphism $Z \to Y$ sends Z^{f} to Y^{f} .

(3) Using more sophisticated tools, one can generalize 2.3.2 by replacing "finite" by "proper" in the conditions for \overline{Z} and Z^{f} . For the proof, the first step (reduction to the quasifinite case) is of course ignored. One uses Nagata compactification to choose an open immersion $Z \hookrightarrow Z^{c}$ into a proper S-scheme $p: Z^{c} \to S$. Then by the properness of Z^{c} and the henselian property of (R, I), we can apply [13, Tag 0A0C] to the sheaf $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})_{Z^{c}}$ to conclude that the idempotent defining \overline{Z} in $\overline{Z^{c}}$ lifts to a unique idempotent on Z^{c} , which we take to define Z^{f} .

(4) Assume that R is local henselian and I is its maximal ideal, and let Y be a separated R-scheme of finite type. Let y be an *isolated* point of \overline{Y} . Then $C := \overline{Y} \setminus \{y\}$ is closed in

Y, so we can apply 2.3.2 to $Z := Y \setminus C$ since $\overline{Z} = \{y\}$ set-theoretically. It is then easy to see that $Z^{f} = \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{Y,y})$. In particular, $\mathcal{O}_{Y,y}$ is a finite *R*-module: this is the Mather division theorem as stated in [1, Theorem 1]. The approach in [1] (and the related paper [2]) is algorithmic, while here we use Zariski's main theorem as a magic wand.

3 The preparation theorem

3.1 Notation and assumptions

We fix a ring R and an indeterminate t. We denote by $\operatorname{Alg}_{R[t]}^+$ the category of pairs (A, x) where A is an R[t]-algebra and x is an element of A.

We also fix an element f of $R\{t\}$, and we write

$$f_{\text{for}} = \sum_{i \ge 0} a_i t^i \in R[[t]] \quad (a_i \in R).$$

We assume that the ideal generated by the a_i 's (i > 0) is equal to R. Equivalently, for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}(R)$, the image of f in $\kappa(\mathfrak{p})[[t]]$, or in $\kappa(\mathfrak{p})\{t\}$, is not a constant.

Finally we denote by S the R[t]-algebra $R\{t\}/(f)$.

Proposition 3.2. With the assumptions of 3.1, we also fix an indeterminate u.

(1) The object $(R{t}, f)$ of $\operatorname{Alg}_{R[t]}^+$ is the filtered colimit of a system $(A_{\lambda}, f_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in L}$ with, for each $\lambda \in L$, the following properties:

- (i) The R[t]-algebra A_{λ} is étale and, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the canonical morphism $R[t]/(t^n) \to A_{\lambda}/t^n A_{\lambda}$ is an isomorphism.
- (ii) The canonical R-morphism $R[u] \to A_{\lambda}$ mapping u to f_{λ} is flat and quasifinite.

In particular, the canonical R-morphism $R[u] \to R\{t\}$ mapping u to f is flat, and f is a nonzerodivisor in $R\{t\}$.

(2) The R[t]-algebra S is the filtered colimit of a system $(S_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in L}$ with the following properties:

- (i) Each R-algebra S_{λ} is flat, of finite presentation and quasifinite, and the transition maps $S_{\lambda} \to S_{\mu}$ ($\lambda \leq \mu$) are étale. (In particular, S is flat over R.)
- (ii) For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\lambda \in L$, the canonical morphism $R[t]/(t^n) \to S_{\lambda}/t^n S_{\lambda}$ is surjective.

Proof. Part (1) immediately implies part (2), with $S_{\lambda} = A_{\lambda}/(f_{\lambda})$ (the transition maps are étale due to the same property for the A_{λ} 's, which are étale over R[t]).

To prove (1), write $R\{t\} = \varinjlim_{\lambda \in L} A_{\lambda}$ as in Lemma 2.2.1, and call $t_{\lambda} \in A_{\lambda}$ the canonical image of t. There exists $\lambda_0 \in \overline{L}$ and $f_{\lambda_0} \in A_{\lambda_0}$ mapping to f; we can restrict L to the indices $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$ and, for each λ , denote by $f_{\lambda} \in A_{\lambda}$ the image of f_{λ_0} . Clearly, we have $(R\{t\}, f) = \varinjlim_{\lambda \in L} (A_{\lambda}, f_{\lambda})$. Part (1) (i) is obvious from the choice of $(A_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in L}$.

Let us prove (1) (ii). For fixed λ , we can view f_{λ} as a morphism $g_{\lambda} : X_{\lambda} := \text{Spec}(A_{\lambda}) \to \mathbb{A}^{1}_{R} = \text{Spec}(R[u])$ of *R*-schemes. For $s \in \text{Spec}(R)$, the $\kappa(s)$ -morphism $g_{\lambda,s} : X_{\lambda,s} \to \mathbb{A}^{1}_{\kappa(s)}$ induced on the fibers is deduced from $1 \otimes f_{\lambda} \in \kappa(s) \otimes_{R} A_{\lambda}$, whose image in $\kappa(s) \otimes_{R} R\{t\}$ is assumed nonconstant. So $g_{\lambda,s}$ is not constant on $X_{\lambda,s}$, which is a smooth geometrically irreducible curve over $\kappa(s)$. It follows that $g_{\lambda,s}$ is flat and quasifinite. Since X_{λ} and \mathbb{A}^{1}_{R}

are smooth over Spec (R), the "fiberwise flatness" criterion [7, (11.3.10)] shows that g_{λ} is flat. It is also quasifinite since it is affine of finite presentation with finite fibers. This completes the proof.

Definition 3.3. Let R be a ring, I an ideal of R, t an indeterminate.

We say that a formal power series $f = \sum_{i\geq 0} a_i t^i \in R[[t]]$ is I-normal if there is $d \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $a_d \in R^{\times}$ and $a_i \in I$ for i < d. The integer d (unique if $I \neq R$) is called the order of f.

We say that f is I-monic of order d if it is I-normal of order d and $a_d = 1$.

An element f of $R\{t\}$ is I-normal (I-monic) of order d if $f_{for} \in R[[t]]$ is.

3.4 Proof of Theorem 1.1

As in 1.1, let (R, I) be a henselian pair and let $f \in R\{t\}$ be *I*-normal of order *d*, with $f_{\text{for}} = \sum_{i\geq 0} a_i t^i \in R[[t]]$ $(a_i \in R)$. If d = 0, everything is trivial, so we assume in addition that d > 0; thus, the assumption of 3.1 is satisfied and, in particular, Proposition 3.2 applies to f.

Assume assertion 1.1 (1) is proved, i.e. $S = R\{t\}/(f)$ is a free *R*-module with the images of $1, t, \ldots, t^{d-1}$ as a basis. This immediately implies the division theorem 1.1 (2), with uniqueness coming from the fact that f is a nonzerodivisor (3.2 (1)).

In turn, the division theorem implies the preparation theorem 1.1 (3). Indeed, the relation in (3) can be rewritten as $t^d = v^{-1} f - Q$, so that uniqueness follows from the uniqueness part of (2); next, applying (2) to t^d , we find that $t^d = Bf - Q$ where Q is a polynomial of degree < d. Reducing modulo I and comparing coefficients, we see that Q has coefficients in I and the constant term of B is in $a_d + I$, which gives (3) with $v = B^{-1}$.

It remains to prove 1.1 (1). As in 2.3, we put $\overline{A} = A/IA$ for every *R*-algebra *A*.

First we observe that the image \overline{f} of f in $R\{t\} \cong \overline{R}\{t\}$ is the product of t^d by a unit, so that $\overline{S} \cong \overline{R}\{t\}/(t^d) \cong \overline{R}[t]/(t^d)$ which is \overline{R} -free with basis $(1, t, \ldots, t^{d-1})$.

Let us write S as the colimit of a filtered system $(S_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in L}$ of R[t]-algebras with the properties of 3.2 (2). We have just seen that t^d vanishes in \overline{S} , so by changing the index set L we may assume that t^d vanishes in $\overline{S_{\lambda}}$ for all λ : thus, $\overline{S_{\lambda}} = \overline{S_{\lambda}}/t^dS_{\lambda}$ hence, by 3.2 (2) (ii), it is a quotient of $\overline{R}[t]/(t^d)$. So we have morphisms of $\overline{R}[t]$ -algebras $\overline{R}[t]/(t^d) \to \overline{S_{\lambda}} \to \overline{S}$ where the first map is surjective and the composition is an isomorphism. We conclude that $\overline{R}[t]/(t^d) \xrightarrow{\sim} \overline{S_{\lambda}}$ for all λ . In particular, $\overline{S_{\lambda}}$ is finite over \overline{R} . As (R, I) is henselian, we may apply Proposition 2.3.2 and write $S_{\lambda} = S_{\lambda}^f \times T_{\lambda}$, where S_{λ}^f is finite over R and $\overline{S_{\lambda}^f} = \overline{S_{\lambda}}$. By functoriality (Remark 2.3.3), the quotients S_{λ}^f of the S_{λ} 's form an inductive system.

Since S is a quotient of $R\{t\}$ and $(R\{t\}, IR\{t\})$ is a Zariski pair, so is (S, IS). Hence, for all λ , the canonical morphism $S_{\lambda} \to S$ factors through S_{λ}^{f} by 2.3.2 (3), and finally $S = \varinjlim_{\lambda \in L} S_{\lambda}^{f}$.

Since, for each λ , S_{λ} is a flat *R*-algebra of finite presentation, so is S_{λ}^{f} , which is in addition a finite *R*-module, hence locally free. As $(1, t_{\lambda}, \ldots, t_{\lambda}^{d-1})$ induces an \overline{R} -basis of $\overline{S_{\lambda}^{f}}$, and $I \subset \operatorname{rad}(R)$, it follows easily that $(1, t_{\lambda}, \ldots, t_{\lambda}^{d-1})$ is an *R*-basis of S_{λ}^{f} for all λ , and part (1) follows.

4 Application: a henselian resultant

If R is a ring, S a finite locally free R-algebra and x an element of S, we denote by $N_{S/R}(x) \in R$ the norm of x in R, i.e. the determinant of multiplication by x in the R-module S.

Definition 4.1. Let (R, I) be a henselian pair. Let $f \in R\{t\}$ be I-monic of order d. Denote by S the R-algebra $R\{t\}/(f)$ (which is a free R-module of rank d, by 1.1(1)).

For $g \in R\{t\}$, the (henselian) resultant of f and g, denoted by $\operatorname{Res}^{h}(f,g)$, is the element of R defined by

$$\operatorname{Res}^{\mathrm{h}}(f,g) := \operatorname{N}_{S/R}(g)$$

4.2 Properties of the resultant

We keep the notation and assumptions of 4.1, and we denote by $P = t^d + Q$ the polynomial associated to f by 1.1 (3). The proofs of the following properties are easy and left to the reader.

4.2.1. Functoriality: Let $\varphi : (R, I) \to (R', I')$ be a morphism of henselian pairs, f' et g' the images of f and g in $R'\{t\}$. Then $\operatorname{Res}^{h}(f', g') = \varphi(\operatorname{Res}^{h}(f, g))$.

4.2.2. By construction, $\operatorname{Res}^{h}(f,g)$ only depends on f via the R-algebra $R\{t\}/(f)$. In particular, $\operatorname{Res}^{h}(f,g) = \operatorname{Res}^{h}(P,g)$.

4.2.3. Res^h(f, g) only depends on g via its class modulo f; in other words, we have Res^h(f, g + hf) = Res^h(f, g) for all $h \in R\{t\}$. Moreover, Res^h(f, g) $\in R^{\times}$ if and only if the ideal (f, g) $\subset R\{t\}$ equals $R\{t\}$. (More generally, see 4.2.8 below.)

4.2.4. Special cases: If $\alpha \in R$, we have $\operatorname{Res}^{h}(f, \alpha) = \alpha^{d}$ and $\operatorname{Res}^{h}(f, \alpha - t) = P(\alpha)$.

If $\alpha \in I$, then $\operatorname{Res}^{h}(\alpha - t, g) = g(\alpha)$, and $\operatorname{Res}^{h}(f, \alpha - t) = (1 + \varepsilon) f(\alpha)$ for some $\varepsilon \in I$ by the second formula above (recall that f is I-monic).

4.2.5. Multiplicativities: If $h \in R\{t\}$, we have $\operatorname{Res}^{h}(f,gh) = \operatorname{Res}^{h}(f,g)\operatorname{Res}^{h}(f,h)$; if in addition h is I-monic of order m, then $\operatorname{Res}^{h}(fh,g) = \operatorname{Res}^{h}(f,g)\operatorname{Res}^{h}(h,g)$. For the second equality, one may use the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow R\{t\}/(h) \xrightarrow{\times f} R\{t\}/(fh) \longrightarrow R\{t\}/(f) \longrightarrow 0.$$

4.2.6. Polynomials: If f and g are in R[t], with f monic of degree d (in the sense of polynomials), then $\operatorname{Res}^{h}(f,g)$ is the usual resultant. The condition on f is essential: for instance, $\operatorname{Res}^{h}(1 + \alpha t, g) = 1$ for all $\alpha \in R$ and $g \in R\{t\}$. (In fact, for two possibly non-monic polynomials of respective degrees $\leq d$ and $\leq m$, the definition of the classical resultant depends on the choice of d and m.)

4.2.7. Weak symmetry: Assuming that g is *I*-monic of order m, then $\operatorname{Res}^{h}(g, f) = (-1)^{md} (1 + \varepsilon) \operatorname{Res}^{h}(f, g)$ for some $\varepsilon \in I$. To see this, reduce to the case of polynomials and apply 4.2.6.

4.2.8. Elimination: Let $J \subset R\{t\}$ be the ideal generated by f and g. Then $\operatorname{Res}^{h}(f,g) \in J$ (thus it belongs to $J \cap R$): indeed, in the free R-module $S = R\{t\}/(f)$, the image of multiplication by g contains $\operatorname{Res}^{h}(f,g)S$.

Conversely, every $\alpha \in J \cap R$ is a multiple of the class of g in S so, taking norms, α^d is a multiple of $\operatorname{Res}^{h}(f,g)$ in R. In particular, we have in R the inclusions $(\operatorname{Res}^{h}(f,g)) \subset$

 $J \cap R \subset \sqrt{(\operatorname{Res}^{h}(f,g))}$. Geometrically, the closed subset $V(\operatorname{Res}^{h}(f,g)) \subset \operatorname{Spec}(R)$ is the projection of $V(f,g) \subset \operatorname{Spec}(R\{t\})$.

4.2.9. Roots: Let $\varphi : R \to R'$ be a ring homomorphism, and let $\alpha \in R'$ be a zero of P in R'. First, I claim that $g(\alpha)$ makes sense in R' and is an element of $R[\alpha] \subset R'$. Indeed, the relation $P(\alpha) = 0$ shows that (due to the form of P) $\alpha^d \in IR[\alpha]$, whence $\alpha \in \sqrt{IR[\alpha]}$. Since $R[\alpha]$ is a finite R-module, the pair $(R[\alpha], \sqrt{IR[\alpha]})$ is henselian, hence the claim.

Now assume that the image of P in R'[t] factors as $\prod_{i=1}^{d} (t - \alpha_i)$, where the α_i 's are elements of R'. Then we have in R' the equality

$$\varphi(\operatorname{Res}^{\mathrm{h}}(f,g)) = \prod_{i=1}^{d} g(\alpha_i)$$

as follows from the above remark and properties 4.2.4 and 4.2.5 (applied in the ring $R[\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_d] \subset R'$).

Note that if we assume for simplicity that R = R' is a domain, then the α_i 's are the zeros of f in \sqrt{I} .

4.2.10. Power series: Assume R is I-adically complete and separated. Then $\operatorname{Res}^{h}(f,g) = \operatorname{Res}(f_{\text{for}}, g_{\text{for}})$ where Res denotes the resultant defined in [4].

References

- M. Emilia Alonso and Henri Lombardi. Local Bézout theorem. J. Symb. Comput., 45(10):975–985, 2010.
- [2] M. Emilia Alonso and Henri Lombardi. Local Bézout theorem for Henselian rings. Collect. Math., 68(3):419–432, 2017.
- [3] Gorô Azumaya. On maximally central algebras. Nagoya Math. J., 2:119–150, 1951.
- [4] Laurent Berger. The Weierstrass preparation theorem and resultants of p-adic power series. *Münster Journal of Mathematics*, (14):155–163, 2021.
- [5] N. Bourbaki. Algèbre commutative, chapitres 5 à 7. Springer, Paris, 1985.
- [6] Alexis Bouthier and Kestutis Česnavičius. Torsors on Loop Groups and the Hitchin Fibration. Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4). To appear.
- [7] A. Grothendieck. Éléments de géométrie algébrique. IV. Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas. III. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math., (28):1–255, 1966.
- [8] A. Grothendieck. Éléments de géométrie algébrique. IV. Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas IV. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math., (32):1–361, 1967.
- [9] Jean-Pierre Lafon. Anneaux henséliens. Bull. Soc. Math. Fr., 91:77–107, 1963.
- [10] Masayoshi Nagata. Local rings, volume 13 of Intersci. Tracts Pure Appl. Math. Interscience Publishers, New York, NY, 1962.

- [11] M. O'Malley. On the Weierstrass preparation theorem. Rocky Mountain J. Math., 2(2):265-273, 1972.
- [12] M. Raynaud. Anneaux locaux henséliens. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 169. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1970.
- [13] The Stacks project authors. The Stacks project. https://stacks.math.columbia. edu, 2020.